The metabolic influence of the core ciliate *Entodinium caudatum* within the rumen microbiome

T.O. Andersen[1], I. Altshuler[1], A.V.P. de Leon[2], J. Walter[2] E. McGovern[3], K. Keogh[3], C. Martin[4], L. Bernard[4], H. Fougère[4], D.P. Morgavi[4], T. Park[5,6], J.L. Firkins[6], Z. Yu[6], T.R. Hvidsten[2], S.M. Waters[3], M. Popova[4], M.Ø. Arntzen[2], L.H. Hagen[2], P.B. Pope[1,2*]

[1] Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Faculty of Biosciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway

[2] Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway

[3] Teagasc, Animal and Bioscience Research Department, Animal and Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Teagasc, Grange, Dunsany, County Meath, Ireland

[4] Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genes-Champanelle, France

[5] Department of Animal Science and Technology, Chung-Ang University, Anseong-si, Gyeonggi-do 17546, Republic of Korea

[6] Department of Animal Sciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

* Correspondence: phil.pope@nmbu.no

ABSTRACT

Protozoa comprise a major fraction of the microbial biomass in the rumen microbiome, of which the genus *Entodinium* has been consistently observed to be dominant across a diverse genetic and geographical range of ruminant hosts. Despite the apparent core role that species such as *Entodinium caudatum* exert, their major biological and metabolic contributions to rumen function remain largely undescribed. Here, we have leveraged (meta)genome-centric metaproteomes from rumen fluid samples originating from both cattle and goats fed diets with varying inclusion levels of lipids and starch, to detail the specific metabolic niches that *E. caudatum* occupies in the context of its microbial co-habitants. Initial proteome estimations via total protein counts and label-free quantification highlight that *E. caudatum* comprises an extensive fraction of the total rumen metaproteome. Our analysis also suggested increased microbial predation and volatile fatty acid (VFA) metabolism by *E. caudatum* to occur in high methane-emitting animals, although with no apparent direct metabolic link to methanogenesis. Despite *E. caudatum* having a well-established reputation for digesting starch, it was unexpectedly less detectable in low methane emitting-animals fed high starch diets, which were instead dominated by propionate/succinate-producing bacterial populations suspected of being resistant to predation irrespective of host. Finally, we reaffirmed our abovementioned observations in geographically independent datasets, thus illuminating the substantial metabolic influence that

under-explored eukaryotic populations have in the rumen, with greater implications for both digestion
and methane metabolism.

Keywords: Protozoa, *Entodinium caudatum*, metaproteomics, metagenomics, multi-omics, methane,
rumen

BACKGROUND

Ruminants operate in symbiosis with their intrinsic rumen microbiome, which is responsible for the
degradation of forage into nutrients, in the form of volatile fatty acids (VFAs), supplying ~70% of net
energy for the host[1]. The rumen microbiome itself is a complex assemblage of bacterial, fungal,
archaeal, viral, and protozoal microorganisms whose intricate composition and function is connected
to host productivity traits, such as feed efficiency, milk yield, animal health and greenhouse gas (GHG)
emissions[2-5]. Large collaborative research efforts have been made to identify and characterize the core
rumen microbiome including creating a publicly available catalogue for cultivated and sequenced
genomes[6-8]. In the rumen, bacteria is estimated to constitute 50-90 %, protozoa 10-50 %, fungi 5-10%
and archaea less than 4% of the total microbial biomass[9,10]. Due to the difficulties of axenically
culturing rumen eukaryotic populations and their complex genomic features that are obstinate to
current metagenomic technologies, the reconstruction of the rumen microbiome has been heavily
biased towards bacterial and archaeal members, whereas the fungal and protozoal contributions of
the rumen currently remain poorly characterized. While anaerobic fungi have a reputable role as fibre
degraders in the rumen, only 18 anaerobic gut fungi from herbivores are currently described, with
only 11 genomes available[11-13]. Similarly, to date few rumen protozoal genomes are sequenced and
publicly available, chief among them, the rumen ciliate protozoa *Entodinium caudatum*[14].

Entodinium represents one of the most dominant genera of rumen protozoa, previously being
detected in more than 99% of 592 rumen samples at a mean protozoal relative abundance of ~38%
(2015 rumen census: 32 animal species, 35 countries)[15]. While *E. caudatum* has been previously
observed to stimulate methane production[16], it is believed that this protozoa lacks hydrogenosomes,
and instead encodes mitosomes and iron hydrogenases that may indicate hydrogen production,
beneficial for methanogenic endosymbionts[17]. Here, we present a genome-centric metaproteomics
analysis of the rumen microbiome from two different host species Holstein dairy cows (*Bos taurus*)
and alpine goats (*Capra hircus*) that were fed diets of first-cut grassland hay with a 45:55
forage:concentrate ratio, with concentrates supplemented with either no additional lipid (CTL), or
corn oil and cracked-wheat starch grains (COS) [5,18,19]. Moreover, metadata revealed that animals fed
COS displayed reduced methane emissions, irrespective of host. To describe how these diets affect
digestion and production of methane and VFA's, we sought to investigate changes in function and

composition in the complex rumen microbiome. By using shotgun metagenomic sequencing we

recovered in total 244 prokaryote metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) that together with

selected isolate-derived eukaryote genomes[14,20-25] formed the database for our integrated functional

analysis of *E. caudatum*. Despite *E. caudatum* having the genetic ability to degrade plant

polysaccharides such as starch and produce hydrogen, our analysis showed contrasting data that

suggests *E. caudatum* is less metabolically active in the rumen microbiome of animals fed a starch-

rich diet. In such a scenario other starch-degrading and/or propionate and succinate producing

bacterial genera, as *Prevotella* and *Fibrobacter* and members of the families *Succinivibrionaceae* and

Aminobacteriaceae appeared to be more prevalent. In concert, our analysis showed that reduced

methane production in both cattle and goats eating feeds supplemented with COS is likely caused via

a redirection of hydrogen to succinate and propionate production instead of methanogenesis. Finally,

by analysing a secondary, geographically independent dataset, we reaffirmed our primary

observations of *E. caudatum* dominance and starch-related metabolism, thus supporting our

hypothesis that this protozoal species plays a core role in rumen microbiome function.

METHODS

Animal trial and sample handling

The experimental procedures were approved by the Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes Ethics Committee for

Experiments on Animals (France; DGRI agreement APAFIS#3277–2015121411432527 v5) and

complied with the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU guidelines. Experiments were performed at

the animal experimental facilities of HerbiPôle site de Theix at the Institut National de la Recherche

pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation l'Environnement (INRAE, Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France) from

February to July 2016. Experimental design, animals and diets were previously described by Fougère

et al.[19] and Martin *et al.*[5]. Briefly, 4 Holstein cows and 4 Alpine goats, all lactating, were enrolled in

respectively two 4 x 4 Latin square design trials to study the effects of 4 diets over four 28-d

experimental periods. The original study included a control diet and 3 experimental diets with various

lipid sources[19]. However, in this work, we only focused on the CTL (grass hay and concentrates

containing no addition lipids) and COS (CTL diet supplemented with corn oil and wheat starch) diets

which were associated with the most extreme methane (CH_4) emission profiles in both ruminant

species. In the present study, we focused on the two following diets: a diet composed of grass hay ad

libitum with concentrates containing no additional lipid (CTL) or corn oil (5.0% total dry matter intake

(DMI)) and cracked-wheat starch -5.0 % of total DMI (COS) (**Table 1**). Corn oil (Olvea, Saint Léonard,

France) was added to the concentrate, at 5% of total DMI and contained (g/kg of total FA): 16:0 (114),

18:0 (16.4), cis-9 18:1 (297), cis-11 18:1 (6.30), 18:2n-6 (535), 18:3n-3 (7.57), 20:0 (3.48), 22:0 (1.0),

24:0 (1.5), and total FA (1000 g/kg). Detailed diet composition is available in Martin *et al.*[5]. Each

experimental period lasted for 28 days. Diets were offered as 2 equal meals at 0830 and 1600h.

Animals had access to a constant supply of freshwater ad libitum. Concentrate and hay refusals were

weighed daily. The amounts of feed offered the following day was adjusted regarding to refusals to

maintain the targeted the dietary 45 % dry matter (DM) forage and 55 % DM concentrate ratio.

Table 1. Summary of the animal host, dietary conditions and accompanying metadata that are linked to the
rumen samples used to explore *E. caudatum* function. Effects of control diet (CTL) and diet supplemented with
corn oil and wheat starch (COS) on average VFA concentration in the percentage of total VFA concentration
(mmol/l) and average methane yield (CH₄ g/kg DMI) in addition to average total protozoa cell counts and average
cell counts for small *Entodiniomorph* protozoa for cows (n=4) and goats (n=4) (**Supplementary Table S1**)[5]. Total
protozoal cell counts, and cell counts of small *Entodiniomorph* protozoa were based on ciliate protozoa
morphology in microscopy[5]. Measurements on VFA concentrations and methane yield were determined by gas
chromatography and respiration chambers, respectively.

Animal	Diet	VFA sum mmol/l	Acetate (% sum)	Propionate (% sum)	Butyrate (% sum)	CH₄ g/kg dry matter intake	Standard deviation	Total protozoa (10³ cells/ml)	Small entodiniomorphs (<100μm) (10³ cells/ml)
COW	CTL	61.83	72.02	14.21	10.80	19.51	2.18	92	51
	COS	68.06	69.12	21.32	6.39	14.45	2.89	100	97
GOAT	CTL	33.70	65.73	15.62	13.66	19.52	3.96	1382	1346
	COS	25.27	64.17	19.07	9.90	13.47	4.14	898	898

Rumen fluid was collected through stomach-tubing before the morning feeding on day 27 of each

experimental period. The stomach tube consisted of a flexible 23 mm external diameter PVC hose

fitted to a 10 cm-strainer at the head of the probe for cows, and a flexible 15 mm PVC hose with a 12

cm-strainer for goats. The first 200 ml of rumen fluid was discarded from to minimize contamination

from saliva. Samples were filtered through a polyester monofilament fabric (280 μm pore size),

dispatched in 2-ml screw-cap tubes, centrifuged at 15000 x g for 10 mins and the pellet snap-frozen

in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at -80°C until DNA extraction using the Yu and Morrison bead-

beating procedure [26]. In total, 32 rumen fluid samples (4 cattle and 4 goats fed four diets included in

the original study[19]) were sent to the Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU) for metagenomic

and metaproteomic analysis. Respiration chambers were used to measure methane emissions over a

5-day period, while VFA and NH₃ concentrations were determined by gas chromatography using a

flame ionization detector[27]. Protozoa were counted by microscopy and categorized as either small

entodiniomorphs (<100 μm), large entodiniomorphs (>100 μm) or as holotrichs *Dasytricha* and

Isotricha[9]. Further specifics about diets and measurements can be found in Martin *et al.*[5] and VFA and

methane measurements are summarized in **Table 1**.

Metagenomic sequencing and analysis

Metagenomic shotgun sequencing was performed at the Norwegian Sequencing Centre on two lanes

of the Illumina HiSeq 3/4000 generating 150 bp paired-end reads in both lanes. Sequencing libraries

were prepared using the TruSeq DNA PCR-Free High Throughput Library Prep Kit (Illumina, Inc) prior

to sequencing. All 32 samples (4 cattle and 4 goats fed four diets included in the original study[19]) were

run on both lanes to prevent potential lane-to-lane sequencing bias. FASTQ files were quality filtered

and Illumina adapters removed using Trimmomatic [28] (v. 0.36) with parameters -phred33 for base

quality encoding, leading and trailing base threshold set to 20. Sequences with an average quality

score below 15 in a 4-base sliding window were trimmed and the minimum length of reads was set to

36 bp. MEGAHIT [29] (v.1.2.9) was used to co-assemble reads originating from samples collected from

cow and goats separately, with options –kmin-1pass, --k-list 27,37,47,57,67,77,87, --min-contig-len

1000 in accordance with [7]. Bowtie2[30] (v. 2.3.4.1) was used to map reads back to the assemblies and

SAMtools[31] (v. 1.3.1) was used to convert SAM files to BAM format and index sorted BAM files.

The two co-assemblies (one from the samples originating from cattle and the other originating from

the samples of goats) were binned using Maxbin2[32], MetaBAT2[33] and CONCOCT[34]. MetaBAT2 (v.

2.12.1) was run using parameters –minContig 2000 and –numThreads 4, Maxbin2 (v. 2.2.7) ran with

default parameters and -thread 4, min_contig_length 2000, and CONCOCT (v. 1.1.0) ran with default

parameters and –length_threshold 2000. Further, bins were filtered, dereplicated and aggregated

using DASTool[35](v. 1.1.2) with the parameters –write_bins 1, --threads 2 and BLAST[36] as search engine.

This resulted in a total of 244 dereplicated MAGs across the two host species (104 originating from

cow and 140 from goat). CheckM[39](v. 1.1.3) lineage workflow was used to determine completeness

and contamination of each MAG, with parameters –threads 8, --extension fa, and CoverM (v. 0.5.0)

(https://github.com/wwood/CoverM) was used to calculate relative abundance of each MAG, while

GTDB-tk[40,41] (v. 1.3.0) was used for taxonomic annotation. 90% (219 of 244) of the recovered MAGs

were considered high or medium quality MAGs according to MIMAGs threshold for completeness and

contamination for genome reporting standards[42]. Gene calling and functional annotation of the final

MAGs were performed using the DRAM[43] pipeline with the databases dbCAN[44], Pfam[45], Uniref90[46],

Merops[47], VOGdb and KOfam[48]. The translated amino acid sequences from the publicly available

drafted *E. caudatum* macronucleus genome were annotated with the KEGG metabolic pathway

database using BlastKOALA[49] by Park *et al.* [14]. Proteins with resulting KEGG Orthology (KO) numbers

were functionally assigned to metabolic pathways using KEGG Mapper Reconstruct Tool [50].

The resulting protein sequences for each MAG, as well as those from the host genomes of goat (*Capra*
hircus, NCBI ID: 10731) and cattle (*Bos taurus*, NCBI ID: 82) were compiled into two databases, from
now on referred to as sample specific RUmen DataBase for Goat (RUDB-G) and sample specific RUmen
DataBase for cattle (RUDB-C). In addition, the genomes of the protozoa *Entodinium caudatum* [14] and
Fibrobacter succinogenes S85 (NCBI ID: 932) was added to both rumen databases. *F. succinogenes* is
well recognized as a primary cellulolytic bacterium in the rumen microbiome and has previously been
observed as an active microorganism in similar studies yet was not thoroughly binned as a unique
MAG in this study. Nine available fungal genomes downloaded from Joint Genome Institute (JGI)
Mycocosm[51] were added to RUDB-C (*Anaeromyces* sp. S4[21], *Caecomyces churrovis*[24], *Neocallimastix*
californiae[21], *Neocallimastix lanati*[25], *Piromyces finnis*[21], *Piromyces* sp. E2[21], *Piromyces* UH3-1[20,21,23],
Piromyces eukMAG[52], *Orpinomyces* sp.[22]). Because of database size restrictions in downstream
analysis of metaproteomics data[53], only four of the nine fungal genomes were added to RUDB-G
(*Anaeromyces* sp. S4*, Caecomyces churrovis, Neocallimastix lanati, Piromyces* UH3-1). In total the
complete databases consisted of 452 073 and 431 023 protein entries for RUDB-G and RUDB-C,
respectively.

Metaproteomic data generation
To 300 μL of rumen fluid sample (in total 32 rumen fluid samples originating 4 cattle and 4 goats fed
four diets included in the original study[19]) 150 μL lysis buffer (30 mM DTT, 150 mM Tris-HCl (pH=8),
0.3% Triton X-100, 12% SDS) was added together with 4 mm glass beads (≤ 160 μm), followed by brief
vortexing and resting on ice for 30 mins. Lysis was performed using FastPrep-24™ Classic Grinder (MP
Biomedical, Ohio, USA) for 3 × 60 seconds at 4.0 meter/ second[54]. Samples were centrifuged at 16 000
× *g* for 15 minutes at 4°C and lysate was carefully removed. Protein concentration was measured using
the Bio-Rad DC™ Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, California USA) with bovine serum albumin as standard.
Absorbance of sample lysates was measured at A750 on BioTek™ Synergy™ H4 Hybrid Microplate
Reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Massachusetts, USA). 40-50 μg of protein was prepared in SDS-
buffer, heated in water bath for 5 minutes at 99°C and analysed by SDS-PAGE using Any-kD Mini-
PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free™ gels (Bio-Rad, California, USA) in a 2-minute run for sample clean-up
purposes, before staining with Coomassie Blue R-250. The visible bands were carefully excised from
the gel and divided as 1×1 mm pieces before reduction, alkylation, and digestion with trypsin. Peptides
were concentrated and eluted using C18 ZipTips (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) according to
manufacturer's instructions, dried, and analysed by nano-LC-MS/MS using a Q-Exactive hybrid
quadrapole Orbitrap MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Massachusetts, USA) as previously described[55]

Metaproteomic data analysis

Acquired MS raw data were analysed using MaxQuant[56] (v. 1.6.17.0) and searched against the RUDB's. The MaxLFQ algorithm was used to quantify proteins[57]. Detected protein groups were explored in Perseus[58] (v. 1.6.8.0). Both RUDB's were supplemented with contaminant protein entries, such as human keratin, trypsin, and bovine serum albumin, in addition to reversed sequences of all protein entries for estimation of false discovery rates (FDR). Oxidation of methionine, protein N-terminal acetylation, deamination of asparagine and glutamine, and conversion of glutamine to pyroglutamic acids were used as variable modifications, while carbomidomethylation of cysteine residues was used as fixed modification. Trypsin was chosen as digestive enzyme and maximum missed cleavages allowed was one. Protein groups identified as potential contaminants were removed. Proteins were filtered to 1% FDR and considered valid if they had at least one unique peptide per protein and at least one valid value in total. One sample originating from goat fed HPO diet (14201 P2 (Goat 2 fed HPO P2, sample no. 22)) was deemed as a technical outlier, mapping significantly fewer protein groups in metaproteomic analysis compared to the rest of the data and was therefore removed from the downstream analysis. After filtration, we resolved 1081 unique protein groups across the 16 samples from cattle and 1632 unique protein groups across 15 samples from goats. Box plots for **Figure 1** were made with ggplot2[59] in R (v. 4.2.0)[60]. To determine which expressed metabolic pathways *E. caudatum* were significantly enriched for in each diet/animal, we used the hyperR package[61] in R which employs the hypergeometric test. The 'geneset' for hyperR was generated by using the KEGGREST R package to retrieve entries from the KEGG database and determine which pathways the *E. caudatum* KOs belong to. The geneset was then manually curated to only include metabolic pathways of interest (i.e., we remove pathways such as "Huntington disease"). For the 'background' setting in hyperR, to be conservative, we used the total number of unique KOs (7592) in the *E. caudatum* genome that could possibly be expressed.

Animal trial, sample handling and metagenomic data generation for independent validating dataset

Samples were also analysed from previously performed feeding experiments with Holstein Friesian bulls[62]. In brief, these bulls were subjected to either *ad libitum* or restricted feeding regime in a compensatory growth model detailed in Keogh *et al.*, 2015[62]. Both feeding groups received the same ratio of concentrate and grass silage, respectively 70% and 30%, of which the concentrate was mainly composed of starch-rich rolled barley (72.5%) and soya (22.5%). Rumen samples were collected at slaughter and stored at -80C prior to metagenomics and metaproteomic analysis in this study.

Sample preparation, cell lysis and extraction of DNA was carried out as previously described by

McCabe *et al.*[63] Quality check of fastq files and removal of low-quality reads was performed using fastp

(V.0.19.5). Sequence reads were mapped against the bovine genome (ARS-UCD1.3) using minimap2

(V.2.16), and host sequences were removed. Reads were co-assembled using Megahit (V1.2.6) with

"–meta-large" pre-set option as the metagenome was complex. Metagenomic binning was applied to

the co-assembly using MetaBAT2 using standard parameters (V.2.12.1). MAGs were then dereplicated

using dRep (V.1.4.3), and the resulting MAGs were taxonomically annotation using Bin Annotation

Tool (BAT), available on (https://github.com/dutilh/CAT). This tool internally uses prodigal (V.2.6.3)

for gene prediction and DIAMOND (V.0.9.14) for the alignment against the non-redundant (nr) protein

database (As of Feb 2020).

Sample preparation for metaproteomics was done by lysing cells with bead beating with two glass

bead sizes (≤106 μm and 0.5 mm), in 100 mM Tris, pH8, 5% SDS and 10 mM DTT. A FastPrep 24

instrument was operated for 3 × 45 seconds at a speed of 6.5 m/s. The samples were centrifuged for

15 minutes at 20.000 × *g* and the protein extracts were cleaned by Wessel-Flügge precipitation[64];

pellets were dissolved in 5% SDS, 100 mM Tris-Cl, pH8, 10 mM DTT and kept at -20 °C until further

processing. Protein digestion was performed using suspension trapping (STrap)[65], dried in a SpeedVac

(Eppendorf Concentrator Plus) and re-dissolved in 0.05 % trifluoroacetic acid, 2% acetonitrile for

peptide concentration estimation using a Nanodrop One instrument, and subsequent MS/MS-

analysis. The samples were analyzed using an Ultimate3000 RSLCnano UHPLC coupled to a QExactive

hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany) as described

previously[55].

Mass spectrometry raw data were analysed with a sequence of software in the Galaxy software suite

(usegalaxy.eu). Initially, they were searched against the sample-specific protein sequence database

(1.773.447 protein sequences) with SearchGUI[66] utilizing the X!Tandem search engine[67] version

Vengeance. The database was supplemented with contaminant protein entries, such as human

keratin, trypsin, and bovine serum albumin, in addition to reversed sequences of all protein entries

for estimation of false discovery rates (FDR). Oxidation of methionine and protein N-terminal

acetylation were used as variable modifications, while carbomidomethylation of cysteine residues

were used as fixed modification. Trypsin was chosen as digestive enzyme, maximum missed cleavages

allowed was one and matching tolerance levels for MS and MS/MS were 10 ppm and 20 ppm,

respectively. PeptideShaker[68] was used to filter the results to 1% FDR and quantification was done

using FlashLFQ[69] including normalization between samples and the feature 'match between runs' to

maximize protein identifications. Perseus[58] version 1.6.2.3 was used for further analysis. A protein

group was considered valid if it had at least one unique peptide identified and being quantified in at

least 50% of the replicates in at least one condition (7 restricted and 8 Ad lib). Protein groups identified

as potential contaminants were removed. Calculations of MAG abundances were done by summing

LFQ values for all proteins belonging to each MAG and differential abundance between diets were

detected by a two-sided Student's t-test (p<0.05).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Protozoal populations have large proteomes in the rumen microbiome

Because of their large size protozoal species can comprise a significant fraction of the microbial

biomass in the rumen[9]. While the total number and diversity of protozoal species are lesser than their

bacterial counterparts in the rumen, their genome size and total gene count are considerably larger

and due to alternative splicing and post-translational modifications, the protein representation of

protozoal populations will be larger than the number of genes in the genome[70]. Thus, the amount of

protein in a protozoa species can be expected to far exceed the amount of protein in a bacterial

species that can be identified and quantified in proteomic studies. In this context, our metaproteomic

data showed an extensive fraction of detectable proteins affiliated to *E. caudatum*, and other closely

related species, in both cows (26.3%) and goats (31.5%) in proportion to the combined bacterial

species that were represented in our genome databases (**Figure 1a**). In addition, the label free

quantification (LFQ) of *E. caudatum* proteins, which is indicative of protein detection intensity, was

proportionally higher than the bacterial fraction of the rumen microbiome further supporting the

dominance of protozoal activity in our samples (**Figure 1b**). Twice as many *E. caudatum* proteins were

detected in goat than cows (mean: 514 vs 284), however this was somewhat expected given the 7x

higher counts of entodiniomorph concentration (cells/mL) previously observed in the goat samples

compared to cows (**Table 1**)[5].

Figure 1. Quantities of proteins identified as *E. caudatum* in the rumen microbiome vary depending on host animal and dietary conditions. Boxplots for total proteins identified (**a**), and average recovered metaproteomic expression (**b:** presented as proportion of summed LFQ intensities) belonging to protozoal (*E. caudatum*), bacterial, archaeal, or fungal species across the control diet (CTL) and diets supplemented with corn oil and

wheat starch (COS) for dairy cows (n=4) and goats (n=4). Detected proteins LFQ intensities for protozoal and
bacterial populations can be found in **Supplementary Table S2**.

Metabolism of E. caudatum shows predatory activity and metabolism of VFAs

While previous efforts have investigated the genome and transcriptome of *E. caudatum* grown in

monoculture[14,71], our metaproteomic analysis sought to reveal *in vivo* metabolism and functions of *E.*

caudatum within the rumen microbiome. In accordance with Wang *et al.*[71], our metaproteomic

analysis revealed expressed proteins significantly enriched in metabolic pathways such as carbon

metabolism, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, starch and sucrose (and glycogen) metabolism, pyruvate

metabolism, oxidative phosphorylation and production of alcohol (**Supplementary Table S3**). Wang *et*

al. found that as for most rumen microbes, *E. caudatum* uses carbohydrates such as starch as its

primary substrate, as well as cellulose and hemicellulose to a certain degree[71], and their transcript

analyses showed that *E. caudatum* had high levels of expression of amylases and low-level expression

of hemicellulases, cellulases and pectinases. Similarly, our metaproteomic analysis reveals expression

of amylases by *E. caudatum* that are predicted to enable *E. caudatum* to engulf and degrade starch

granules to simpler sugars and to produce glycogen, its most important storage carbohydrate[72].

However, no detection of *E. caudatum* carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes) related to

hemicellulose or pectin were observed in any of our metaproteomes, suggesting that it is not engaging

in the deconstruction of these carbohydrates at the time our samples were collected for analysis

(before feeding). It should be noted that ruminal fermentation activity as well as production of VFA's

and methane will be at its highest after feeding, as a result of an increased availability of fermentable

substrate[73]. While sampling time can influence the recovered microbial composition and hence

function, any differences in metabolic parameters or species abundance in this study is relative across

both diets given the consistent sampling times.

While monoculture cultures of *E. caudatum* have not been established to verify the VFA's it can

produce, Wang *et al.* found transcripts of enzymes involved in fermentative formation of acetate and

butyrate[71]. Similarly, we detected proteins inferred in metabolism of acetate, butyrate, and alcohol in

E. caudatum. Irrespective of host, animals fed the control (CTL) diet had a higher proportion of *E.*

caudatum proteins and concurrently had increased relative levels of acetate and butyrate compared

to animals fed the corn oil and wheat starch diet (COS), which had fewer *E. caudatum* proteins and

lower acetate/butyrate levels (**Figure 1** and **Table 1**). As *E. caudatum* was seemingly most abundant

in goats fed the CTL diet, we used these metaproteomes to reconstruct metabolic features (**Figure 2**).

Of the 514 *E. caudatum* proteins identified in goats, 454 had unique KO numbers assigned, from which

KEGG Mapper reconstructions[50] enabled functional assignment of 268 proteins to metabolic

pathways. Our metabolic reconstructions showed expressed proteins involved in endocytosis,

phagosome and lysosome processes for predatory activity, engulfment, and digestion of bacteria

(**Supplementary Table S2**). Interestingly, for the rumen samples used in this study Martin *et al.*

previously observed higher NH_3 concentrations in goats compared to cows[5] and hypothesised that it

might have resulted from increased bacterial protein breakdown and feed protein degradability due

to higher density of entodiniomorphs known for their predatory activity[5]. In support of these

observations, we performed metaproteomic pathway enrichment analysis of *E. caudatum* (**Figure 2,**

Supplementary Table S3), which revealed significantly enriched nitrogen metabolism, in addition to

purine and pyridine metabolism in goats but not in cows. Other biological processes such as signalling

and metabolism of amino acids, and amino and nucleotide sugars represented significantly enriched

pathways in *E. caudatum* (**Supplementary Table S3**). In the previous transcriptome study by Wang *et*

al., transcripts for a ferredoxin hydrolase and an iron hydrogenase were recovered and are suspected

to be involved in production of hydrogen. Here in our metaproteomic analysis, we identified only one

of its eight iron hydrogenases (in goats, it was absent in cows, **Supplementary Table S2**), which

showed no contrasting changes in LFQ intensity in either the CTL or COS datasets, contributing to the

uncertainty that *E. caudatum* is a major producer of hydrogen, despite previous reports associating

its abundance with higher methane levels [74-76].

352
Figure 2. Reconstructed phagolysosome formation and starch metabolism of *Entodinium caudatum* within the
rumen microbiome of goats fed the control diet (CTL) based on metaproteomic analysis. KO identifiers for
identified proteins were analysed via KEGG mapper to reconstruct expressed key features in the metabolism of
E. caudatum. Dashed arrows represent proteins or pathways that were not detected in our metaproteomes but
are key steps in their respective pathways. Detailed information connecting KO identifiers to their respective
gene ID, LFQ and animal/diet can be found in **Supplementary Table S2**.
359

E. caudatum is less active in diets supplemented with starch regardless of its starch degrading reputation.

The changes in VFA and methane levels in animals fed the high starch COS diet, previously measured by Martin *et al.*[5], suggested significant alterations in composition and thus functions of the rumen microbiome irrespective of host species. In particular, a decrease in proportions of acetate and butyrate, decrease in the acetate:propionate ratio and an increase in proportional propionate levels were observed in animals fed the COS diet, compared to the CTL diet (**Table 1**). Diets that are high in starch content or with low forage:concentrate ratios have previously been shown to result in higher production of propionate and succinate, as they are easily fermented in the rumen and accordingly have high passage rates[77,78]. We therefore leveraged our genome-centric metaproteomic data from both cows (**Figure 3a-c)** and goats (**Figure 3d-f**) fed either the COS or CTL diet to gain an overview of protein expression from individual populations. We specifically focused on pathways involved in the degradation of starch (CTL: corn starch, COS: corn + wheat starch) to pyruvate through glycolysis and finally formation of acetate, butyrate, and propionate (via succinate). Irrespective of host, and despite its starch-degrading reputation[71,72], *E. caudatum* had a lower abundance and less proteins involved in starch degradation in animals fed the COS diet compared to those fed the CTL diet (**Figure 3a** and **3d**). Further, we observed opposing patterns for *E. caudatum* proteins involved in glycolysis, and production of pyruvate, acetate, and butyrate, which were detected in higher levels in both cows and goats fed the CTL diet compared to the starch and corn oil (COS) supplemented diet.

While several putative *E. caudatum* amylases were detected across all animals and diets, their quantification levels (i.e., LFQ intensities) did not increase as expected when higher levels of starch were available (**Figure 3a** and **d**). We therefore hypothesized that the observed shift in VFA profiles in response to increased starch was additionally influenced by the bacterial fraction of the rumen microbiome. In contrast to lower *E. caudatum* levels in the animals fed the COS diet, we observed an increase in suspected starch-degrading bacterial species, and succinate- and propionate-producing bacterial species irrespective of host (**Figure 3c** and **3f**). For example, starch fermentation pathways from population genomes affiliated with the *Succinivibrionaceae* family, *Prevotella* species, *Fibrobacter* species and, additionally for goats, members of the *Selenomonadaceae* and *Aminobacteriaceae* families, were detected at higher proteomic levels in the animals fed the COS diet compared to those fed the CTL diet (**Figure 3c** and **3f**).

Figure 3. Detected proteins mapped to the genome of *E. caudatum* and bacterial metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) in the rumen microbiome of dairy cattle (n=4) and goats (n=4) fed either a control diet (CTL) or one supplemented with corn oil and wheat starch (COS). The figure displays metabolically active populations (as genomes or MAGs), with selected expressed proteins (presented as Enzyme Commission (EC) number) active in starch degradation, glycolysis and production of pyruvate, butyrate, acetate, and succinate in cows (**a-c**) and goats (**d-f**) fed CTL or COS diets. Panels **a** and **d** depict *E.caudatum* proteomes that were detected in cattle and goats respectively are presented separately to bacteria (panels **b** and **e**) as the scale of their protein quantification values were ~10x larger. Protein quantification values (y-axis) were calculated by considering both the number of proteins detected per MAG/genome and their LFQ intensity: we averaged LFQ intensities for each detected protein across biological replicates for each dietary condition (CTL: green or COS: orange), which were subsequently summed for all detected proteins per MAG/genome. Panels **c** and **f** show selected MAGs (I-VI) with metabolically active proteins, presented as EC numbers, recovered from cows (RUDB-C) and goats (RUDB-G) fed

[14]

either CTL or COS diets. MAGs are presented with their MAG ID and taxonomic annotation from GTDB-tk.
Genome annotations and LFQ intensities used to create panels **a-f** can be found in **Supplementary Table S2**.

E. caudatum is less active in animals that produce lower methane yield

For the animals sampled in this study, Martin *et al.* demonstrated a ~25-30% reduction in methane

emissions in both cows and goats fed the COS diet compared to the control (**Table 1**)[5]. While our

proteomic evidence clearly showed a lower *E. caudatum* activity in COS-fed low-methane producing

animals, a specific mechanism that explains this phenomenon is still elusive. Previous comparisons

between defaunated and faunated animals have shown decrease in methane production in protozoa-

free ruminants, suggesting symbiotic interactions between methanogenic archaea and protozoal

species[74]. Methanogen's epi- and endo-symbiotic relationships with protozoa have also been

suggested to contribute to 9-37% of rumen methanogenesis[74,75,79,80]. Moreover, studying microcosms

with the presence and absence of protozoal species Solomon *et al.* reported higher levels of acetate

and butyrate in microcosms with protozoa present in addition to increased methane emissions[74],

which supports the main findings of animals fed the CTL diet in this study (**Figure 4**). It is tempting to

speculate that such protozoal-methanogen relationships in this study are centred on hydrogen

transfer. However, we observed minimal evidence in our proteomic data that *E. caudatum* makes

major contributions to ruminal hydrogen production that is linked to methane levels, with only one of

its eight iron hydrogenases detected in goats (absent in cattle), which showed no changes in LFQ

intensity in either the high (CTL) or low (COS) methane yielding animals.

Increases in dietary starch for ruminants is known to stimulate the propionate and succinate pathways

of starch-degrading bacteria, which due to their net incorporation of metabolic hydrogen [H]

represent a [H] sink in rumen fermentation besides hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis[79,81]. In addition

to starch, in a study conducted by Zhang *et al.*[82], goats fed corn oil as a supplement decreased ruminal

H_2 concentrations and total methane emissions. Nevertheless, there was seemingly no effect on

rumen protozoal populations, which suggests that corn oil does not act as an anti-protozoal agent,

with the dose of corn oil used in this study[82]. Furthermore, supplementation of dietary lipids can

decrease plant fibre degradation and hence levels of acetate and butyrate at the expense of

propionate production, as lipid-derived long-chain fatty acids can be toxic to keystone fibre degrading

gram-positive bacterial species[83,84]. These findings were in agreement with the decreased CH_4

production in cows and goats in this study fed the COS diet, which was observed to additionally impact

other ruminal fermentation parameters, such as increased propionate and decreased butyrate and

acetate levels (**Figure 4**)[5].

436
Figure 4. Schematic overview of the overall predicted metabolism in the rumen microbiome of cows (Panel a) and goats (Panel b) fed the control diet (CTL) compared to those fed the diet supplemented with corn oil and wheat starch (COS). The dominant microorganisms, as determined via MAG-centric metaproteomics, were predicted to convert starch into volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and methane in the rumen microbiome. However, the taxonomic and VFA profile of the rumen microbiomes differed when their host animals were subjected to different levels of starch in their diet. Thicker arrows represent higher abundance of metabolites. VFA concentrations were determined using gas chromatography. Percentages of VFA concentrations can be found in Supplementary Table S1. Percentages of VFA concentrations and methane yield are presented at average values, and numbers in parentheses are standard deviation for measured values for methane yield from each diet. * Represented by few detected proteins in the metaproteomic analysis.

Diets rich in starch are more fermentable in the rumen, which can decrease the ruminal pH to levels

that can inhibit methanogenic archaea and fibre-degrading bacterial species[85,86]. Yet, lowered pH

levels in the rumen can also lead to clinical (or sub-clinical in most production scenarios) ruminal

acidosis[87,88]. Hence, high concentrate diets, which increase production of propionate at the expense

of methane, does not necessarily opt for a viable methane mitigation strategy in the long term. Our

results suggests that decreased methanogenesis in COS-fed animals is likely due to a decrease in

available hydrogen and/or decrease in pH levels, which we predict is caused by the metabolism of

dominant wheat starch-degrading populations that likely do not produce exogenous hydrogen due to

their own [H]-utilizing succinate and propionate metabolism (**Figure 4**).

E. caudatum dominance is validated in geographically independent datasets

To further test our hypothesis that *E. caudatum* plays a central role in the rumen ecosystem, we

explored additional metagenome-centric metaproteomic datasets originating from an independent

feeding experiment performed in Ireland on 60 Holstein Friesian bulls[62]. In brief, these bulls were

subjected to the same ratio of concentrate and grass silage at either an *ad libitum* or restricted feeding

regime in a compensatory growth model detailed in Keogh et al, 2015[62]. We applied the same strategy

as for the described Holstein dairy cows and alpine goats to resolve the metaproteomic dataset for a

subset of 15 animals (7 restricted and 8 Ad libitum) against 781 reconstructed sample-specific MAGs

(RUDB-HF), which were supplemented with the genome of *E. caudatum,* as well as genomes of

available anaerobic fungi. This collection of microbial prokaryote and eukaryote genomes was then

used as a sequence database for the generated protein spectra. Consistent with our previous

observation, a substantial proportion of the detected proteins were affiliated to *E. caudatum*

providing further support that *Entodinium* is an important and metabolically active contributor to the

rumen microbiome. Intriguingly, the protein quantification (measured as sum of LFQ intensities

affiliated to each MAG/genome, averaged for each diet) was twice as high in the rumen sample from

bulls on the restricted diet, which likely had less starch available compared to the *ad libitium* group

and a higher retention time (**Figure 5a**). A previously published 16S rRNA amplicon investigation of

the phylogenetic differences between the rumen microbiomes of these two diet groups highlighted

an increase in *Succinivibrionaceae* in the starch-rich *ad libitum* diet[63]. Our metaproteomic analysis

confirmed a significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) proteomic detection of several *Succinivibrionaceae*-MAGs

under the *ad libitium* group (**Figure 5b**), accompanied with a reduced acetate:propionate ratio in the

rumen, which is often associated with increased feed efficiency and reduced production of methane[63].

These observations largely mirror the dominance of *Succinivibrionaceae*-MAGs in the dairy cattle and

goats fed the COS diet, further strengthening our hypothesis that *E. caudatum* does not metabolically
respond to increases in available starch in the host animals' diets and has other roles than being a
primary starch degrader.

Eukaryota and prokaryota Metagenome-Assembled Genomes (MAGs)

Figure 5. The proteomes of *Entodinium caudatum* and other rumen microbiome populations from Holstein-
Friesian beef cattle are affected by high starch diets. A total of 60 beef cattle were subjected to two dietary
contrasting condition: 30 animals with *ad libitum* feeding and 30 subjected to 125 days of feed restriction.
Dietary components in both treatments consisted of 70% concentrate, and 30% grass silage, with the
concentrate containing rolled barley 72.5%, soya 22.5%, molasses 3% and calf mineral 2%. Rolled barley is high
in energy and starch content (~50%). **a.** Metaproteomes for a subset of 15 animals (7 restricted and 8 *ad libitium*)
were analysed against a database of 781 MAGs and isolate genomes, including eukaryotic representatives such
as *E. caudatum*. Protein quantification values (y-axis) were calculated by considering both the number of
proteins detected per MAG (and *E. caudatum*) and their LFQ intensity; we averaged LFQ intensities for each
detected protein across biological replicates for each dietary condition (Ad lib: green or restricted: orange),
which were subsequently summed for all detected proteins per MAG. Similar to our observations in Holstein
dairy heifers and Alpine goats (**Figure 3**), the proteome of *E. caudatum* was a major fraction of the total rumen
metaproteome, however it was substantially reduced in dietary conditions where starch content was higher. **b.**
Volcano plot indicating different rumen microbiome proteins that displayed both large magnitude of fold-
changes in LFQ intensities (x axis) and high statistical significance (-log10 of p values using a t-test, y axis, dashed
horizontal line denote a p<0.05 cut-off). Supporting our results in dairy cattle and goats, we observed in high-
starch conditions an increase in protein detection for populations affiliated to the *Succinivibrionaceae* (green)
compared to the *E. caudatum* (purple) and methanogens (orange), which were detected at higher LFQ intensities
in restricted dietary conditions. LFQ intensities used to create panel **a** can be found in **Supplementary Table S4**.

***E. caudatum* seemingly has preferential bacterial species it will predate.**

E. caudatum is renowned for its predatory activity and is acknowledged as the most abundant

protozoa in the rumen, whereby it has been estimated that 0.1% of rumen prokaryotes are digested

by the rumen protozoal population every minute [89]. Although suspected of having metabolic
interactions with methanogenic archaea, several protozoal populations such as *Entodinium* are
hypothesized as having associations with certain members of the Gram negative
Gammaproteobacteria, which multiple studies have speculated are resistant to protozoal
engulfment[74,90,91]. In contrast, Gutierrez and Davis previously demonstrated that *Entodinium*-species
engulf Gram positive starch-degraders[91]. In the context of our data, we speculate that CTL fed animals
provided *E. caudatum* optimal conditions for predation, whereas increased starch levels in the COS
diets facilitated Gram-negative starch-degraders resistant to protozoal engulfment and/or reduced
pH levels. Such a scenario would enable populations of *Succinivibrionaceae* in cattle and/or
Aminobacteriaceae in goats to exploit the "predation free" COS diet and could plausibly explain the
observations of higher propionate levels, less methane, and lower activity of *E. caudatum*.

In conclusion, by using a (meta)genome-centric metaproteomics approach we primarily investigated
the role of the rumen protozoa *E. caudatum* in the rumen microbiome of beef and dairy cattle as well
as dairy goats that were subjected to varying dietary conditions. We showed that the proteome of *E.*
caudatum constitutes a substantial fraction of the recovered rumen microbial proteome, which
supports previous 16S/18S rRNA gene-based rumen census data that have highlighted its global
dominance across a plethora of ruminant species. However, *E. caudatum* proteins were surprisingly
detected at lower levels in animals that were fed increased levels of wheat starch, despite its reputable
starch-degrading capabilities (**Figures 3-5**). We hypothesize that this scenario is likely caused by the
out competition of *E. caudatum* by Gram-negative starch-degrading bacterial species that are possibly
resistant to protozoal engulfment and/or lower pH levels, creating sub-optimal conditions for *E.*
caudatum. We also observed limited evidence of *E. caudatum* metabolism being directly linked to
higher CH_4 yield at the time of sampling in this study (prior to feeding). However, the abundance of *E.*
caudatum in high methane-emitting animals may be indirectly fuelled in instances where preferential
pH conditions also support methanogens and fibrolytic bacteria that are also known to produce
hydrogen. Similarly, our data further support the theories that certain Gram-negative bacterial species
are resistant to predation by *E. caudatum*, which could enable specific niches for succinate- and
propionate-producing populations to flourish, subsequently exerting a larger impact on hydrogen and
methane metabolisms in the rumen microbiome. While much work is still needed to confirm our
abovementioned hypotheses, our integrated metaproteomics approaches have demonstrated the
future importance of including eukaryote populations for accurate and meaningful analyses of the
rumen microbiome and its impact on GHG mitigation strategies and host productivity traits.

Acknowledgements

PBP and TOA are grateful for support from The Research Council of Norway (FRIPRO program, PBP: 250479), as well as the European Research Commission Starting Grant Fellowship (awarded to PBP: 336355 - MicroDE), and the Novo Nordisk Foundation (awarded to PBP: 0054575 - SuPAcow). LHH was supported by The Research Council of Norway (FRIPRO program, LHH: 302639 – SeaCow), while MØA was supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation, project No. NNF20OC006131. The experimental trial was financed by APIS-GENE (Paris, France) as part of the NutriLip project. Gas emission measurements were funded by UMR 1213 Herbivores (INRAE, Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France). The sequencing service was provided by the Norwegian Sequencing Centre (www.sequencing.uio.no), a national technology platform hosted by the University of Oslo and supported by the "Functional Genomics" and "Infrastructure" programs of the Research Council of Norway and the Southeastern Regional Health Authorities. The authors acknowledge the Orion High Performance Computing Center at the Norwegian University of Life Sciences and Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway for providing computational resources that have contributed to meta-omics computations reported in this paper. Mass spectrometry-based proteomic analyses were performed by The MS and Proteomics Core Facility, Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU). This facility is a member of the National Network of Advanced Proteomics Infrastructure (NAPI), which is funded by the Research Council of Norway INFRASTRUKTUR-program (project number: 295910).

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability

Raw shotgun metagenomic data has been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Sequence Read Archive (NCBI-SRA) under accessions numbers SRR19524239 to SRR19524270 with links to BioProject accession number PRJNA844951. All annotated prokaryote MAGs are available publicly at DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.20066972.v1. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE[92] partner repository with the dataset identifiers PXD034544, PXD034779 and PXD034642.

REFERENCES

1   Bergman, E. N. Energy contributions of volatile fatty acids from the gastrointestinal tract in various species. *Physiol Rev* **70**, 567-590 (1990).

2   Wallace, R. J. *et al.* The rumen microbial metagenome associated with high methane production in cattle. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 839 (2015).

3   Jami, E., White, B. A. & Mizrahi, I. Potential role of the bovine rumen microbiome in
modulating milk composition and feed efficiency. *PLoS One* **9**, e85423 (2014).
4   McCann, J. C. *et al.* Induction of Subacute Ruminal Acidosis Affects the Ruminal Microbiome
and Epithelium. *Front Microbiol* **7**, 701 (2016).
5   Martin, C. *et al.* Diets supplemented with corn oil and wheat starch, marine algae, or
hydrogenated palm oil modulate methane emissions similarly in dairy goats and cows, but
not feeding behavior. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **272**, 114783 (2021).
6   Seshadri, R. *et al.* Cultivation and sequencing of rumen microbiome members from the
Hungate1000 Collection. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 359-367 (2018).
7   Stewart, R. D. *et al.* Compendium of 4,941 rumen metagenome-assembled genomes for
rumen microbiome biology and enzyme discovery. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 953-961 (2019).
8   Xie, F. *et al.* An integrated gene catalog and over 10,000 metagenome-assembled genomes
from the gastrointestinal microbiome of ruminants. *Microbiome* **9**, 137 (2021).
9   Williams, A. G. & Coleman, G. S. in *The rumen microbial ecosystem*   73-139 (Springer,
1997).
10   Lin, C. Z., Raskin, L. & Stahl, D. A. Microbial community structure in gastrointestinal tracts of
domestic animals: Comparative analyses using rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes. *Fems*
Microbiology Ecology **22**, 281-294 (1997).
11   Hagen, L. H. *et al.* Proteome specialization of anaerobic fungi during ruminal degradation of
recalcitrant plant fiber. *ISME J* **15**, 421-434 (2021).
12   Saye, L. M. G. *et al.* The Anaerobic Fungi: Challenges and Opportunities for Industrial
Lignocellulosic Biofuel Production. *Microorganisms* **9**, 694 (2021).
13   Stabel, M. *et al.* Aestipascuomyces dupliciliberans gen. nov, sp. nov., the First Cultured
Representative of the Uncultured SK4 Clade from Aoudad Sheep and Alpaca.
Microorganisms **8**, 1734 (2020).
14   Park, T., Wijeratne, S., Meulia, T., Firkins, J. L. & Yu, Z. The macronuclear genome of
anaerobic ciliate Entodinium caudatum reveals its biological features adapted to the distinct
rumen environment. *Genomics* **113**, 1416-1427 (2021).
15   Henderson, G. *et al.* Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but
a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. *Sci Rep* **5**, 14567 (2015).
16   Ranilla, M. J., Jouany, J. P. & Morgavi, D. P. Methane production and substrate degradation
by rumen microbial communities containing single protozoal species in vitro. *Lett Appl*
Microbiol **45**, 675-680 (2007).
17   Park, T., Meulia, T., Firkins, J. L. & Yu, Z. Inhibition of the rumen ciliate Entodinium caudatum
by antibiotics. *Frontiers in microbiology* **8**, 1189 (2017).
18   Fougere, H. & Bernard, L. Effect of diets supplemented with starch and corn oil, marine
algae, or hydrogenated palm oil on mammary lipogenic gene expression in cows and goats:
A comparative study. *J Dairy Sci* **102**, 768-779 (2019).
19   Fougere, H., Delavaud, C. & Bernard, L. Diets supplemented with starch and corn oil, marine
algae, or hydrogenated palm oil differentially modulate milk fat secretion and composition
in cows and goats: A comparative study. *J Dairy Sci* **101**, 8429-8445 (2018).
20   Solomon, K. V. *et al.* Early-branching gut fungi possess a large, comprehensive array of
biomass-degrading enzymes. *Science* **351**, 1192-1195 (2016).
21   Haitjema, C. H. *et al.* A parts list for fungal cellulosomes revealed by comparative genomics.
Nat Microbiol **2**, 17087 (2017).
22   Youssef, N. H. *et al.* The genome of the anaerobic fungus Orpinomyces sp. strain C1A reveals
the unique evolutionary history of a remarkable plant biomass degrader. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol **79**, 4620-4634 (2013).
23   Hooker, C. A. *et al.* Hydrolysis of untreated lignocellulosic feedstock is independent of S-
lignin composition in newly classified anaerobic fungal isolate, Piromyces sp. UH3-1.
Biotechnol Biofuels **11**, 293 (2018).

24 Brown, J. L. *et al.* Cocultivation of the anaerobic fungus Caecomyces churrovis with
Methanobacterium bryantii enhances transcription of carbohydrate binding modules,
dockerins, and pyruvate formate lyases on specific substrates. *Biotechnol Biofuels* **14**, 234
(2021).
25 Wilken, S. E. *et al.* Experimentally Validated Reconstruction and Analysis of a Genome-Scale
Metabolic Model of an Anaerobic Neocallimastigomycota Fungus. *mSystems* **6** (2021).
26 Yu, Z. & Morrison, M. Improved extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from digesta and
fecal samples. *Biotechniques* **36**, 808-812 (2004).
27 Morgavi, D. P., Jouany, J. P. & Martin, C. Changes in methane emission and rumen
fermentation parameters induced by refaunation in sheep. *Australian Journal of*
Experimental Agriculture **48**, 69-72 (2008).
28 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).
29 Li, D., Liu, C. M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K. & Lam, T. W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node
solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.
Bioinformatics **31**, 1674-1676 (2015).
30 Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **9**,
357-359 (2012).
31 Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-
2079 (2009).
32 Wu, Y. W., Simmons, B. A. & Singer, S. W. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to
recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 605-607 (2016).
33 Kang, D. D. *et al.* MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome
reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* **7**, e7359 (2019).
34 Alneberg, J. *et al.* Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. *Nat Methods*
11, 1144-1146 (2014).
35 Sieber, C. M. K. *et al.* Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication,
aggregation and scoring strategy. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 836-843 (2018).
36 Johnson, M. *et al.* NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**, W5-9 (2008).
37 Hyatt, D., LoCascio, P. F., Hauser, L. J. & Uberbacher, E. C. Gene and translation initiation site
prediction in metagenomic sequences. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2223-2230 (2012).
38 Matsen, F. A., Kodner, R. B. & Armbrust, E. V. pplacer: linear time maximum-likelihood and
Bayesian phylogenetic placement of sequences onto a fixed reference tree. *BMC*
Bioinformatics **11**, 538 (2010).
39 Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM:
assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
metagenomes. *Genome Res* **25**, 1043-1055 (2015).
40 Parks, D. H. *et al.* A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny
substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 996-1004 (2018).
41 Parks, D. H. *et al.* A complete domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea. *Nature*
biotechnology **38**, 1079-1086 (2020).
42 Bowers, R. M. *et al.* Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a
metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 725-
731 (2017).
43 Shaffer, M. *et al.* DRAM for distilling microbial metabolism to automate the curation of
microbiome function. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 8883-8900 (2020).
44 Zhang, H. *et al.* dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme
annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W95-W101 (2018).
45 Mistry, J. *et al.* Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D412-D419
(2021).

679 46 Suzek, B. E. *et al.* UniRef clusters: a comprehensive and scalable alternative for improving
680   sequence similarity searches. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 926-932 (2015).

681 47 Rawlings, N. D. *et al.* The MEROPS database of proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and
682   inhibitors in 2017 and a comparison with peptidases in the PANTHER database. *Nucleic Acids*
683   *Res* **46**, D624-D632 (2018).

684 48 Aramaki, T. *et al.* KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and
685   adaptive score threshold. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2251-2252 (2020).

686 49 Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y. & Morishima, K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools for
687   Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *J Mol Biol* **428**, 726-
688   731 (2016).

689 50 Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y. & Kawashima, M. KEGG mapping tools for uncovering hidden features
690   in biological data. *Protein Sci* **31**, 47-53 (2022).

691 51 Nordberg, H. *et al.* The genome portal of the Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute:
692   2014 updates. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D26-31 (2014).

693 52 Peng, X. F. *et al.* Genomic and functional analyses of fungal and bacterial consortia that
694   enable lignocellulose breakdown in goat gut microbiomes. *Nature Microbiology* **6**, 499-+
695   (2021).

696 53 Andersen, T. O., Kunath, B. J., Hagen, L. H., Arntzen, M. O. & Pope, P. B. Rumen
697   metaproteomics: Closer to linking rumen microbial function to animal productivity traits.
698   *Methods* **186**, 42-51 (2021).

699 54 Mićić, M., Whyte, J. D. & Karsten, V. in *Sample Preparation Techniques for Soil, Plant, and*
700   *Animal Samples* 99-116 (Springer, 2016).

701 55 Michalak, L. *et al.* Microbiota-directed fibre activates both targeted and secondary metabolic
702   shifts in the distal gut. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5773 (2020).

703 56 Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-
704   range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* **26**, 1367-
705   1372 (2008).

706 57 Cox, J. *et al.* Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed normalization and
707   maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **13**, 2513-2526
708   (2014).

709 58 Tyanova, S. *et al.* The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of
710   (prote)omics data. *Nat Methods* **13**, 731-740 (2016).

711 59 ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (Springer-Verlag New York, 2016).

712 60 Team, R. C. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (2013).

713 61 Federico, A. & Monti, S. hypeR: an R package for geneset enrichment workflows.
714   *Bioinformatics* **36**, 1307-1308 (2020).

715 62 Keogh, K., Waters, S. M., Kelly, A. K. & Kenny, D. A. Feed restriction and subsequent
716   realimentation in Holstein Friesian bulls: I. Effect on animal performance; muscle, fat, and
717   linear body measurements; and slaughter characteristics. *J Anim Sci* **93**, 3578-3589 (2015).

718 63 McCabe, M. S. *et al.* Illumina MiSeq Phylogenetic Amplicon Sequencing Shows a Large
719   Reduction of an Uncharacterised Succinivibrionaceae and an Increase of the
720   Methanobrevibacter gottschalkii Clade in Feed Restricted Cattle. *PLoS One* **10**, e0133234
721   (2015).

722 64 Wessel, D. & Flugge, U. I. A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution
723   in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Anal Biochem* **138**, 141-143 (1984).

724 65 Zougman, A., Selby, P. J. & Banks, R. E. Suspension trapping (STrap) sample preparation
725   method for bottom-up proteomics analysis. *Proteomics* **14**, 1006-1000 (2014).

726 66 Barsnes, H. & Vaudel, M. SearchGUI: A Highly Adaptable Common Interface for Proteomics
727   Search and de Novo Engines. *J Proteome Res* **17**, 2552-2555 (2018).

67   Fenyo, D. & Beavis, R. C. A method for assessing the statistical significance of mass
spectrometry-based protein identifications using general scoring schemes. *Anal Chem* **75**,
768-774 (2003).
68   Vaudel, M. *et al.* PeptideShaker enables reanalysis of MS-derived proteomics data sets. *Nat*
Biotechnol **33**, 22-24 (2015).
69   Millikin, R. J., Solntsev, S. K., Shortreed, M. R. & Smith, L. M. Ultrafast Peptide Label-Free
Quantification with FlashLFQ. *J Proteome Res* **17**, 386-391 (2018).
70   Harper, J. W. & Bennett, E. J. Proteome complexity and the forces that drive proteome
imbalance. *Nature* **537**, 328-338 (2016).
71   Wang, L. L. *et al.* The transcriptome of the rumen ciliate Entodinium caudatum reveals some
of its metabolic features. *Bmc Genomics* **20**, 1-18 (2019).
72   Belzecki, G., McEwan, N. R., Kowalik, B., Michalowski, T. & Miltko, R. Effect of Entodinium
caudatum on starch intake and glycogen formation by Eudiplodinium maggii in the rumen
and reticulum. *Eur J Protistol* **57**, 38-49 (2017).
73   Allen, M. S. Relationship between fermentation acid production in the rumen and the
requirement for physically effective fiber. *Journal of Dairy Science* **80**, 1447-1462 (1997).
74   Solomon, R. *et al.* Protozoa populations are ecosystem engineers that shape prokaryotic
community structure and function of the rumen microbial ecosystem. *ISME J* **16**, 1187-1197
(2022).
75   Newbold, C. J., Lassalas, B. & Jouany, J. P. The importance of methanogens associated with
ciliate protozoa in ruminal methane production in vitro. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **21**, 230-234
(1995).
76   Newbold, C. J., de la Fuente, G., Belanche, A., Ramos-Morales, E. & McEwan, N. R. The Role
of Ciliate Protozoa in the Rumen. *Front Microbiol* **6**, 1313 (2015).
77   Bauman, D. E., Davis, C. L. & Bucholtz, H. F. Propionate Production in Rumen of Cows Fed
Either a Control or High-Grain, Low-Fiber Diet. *Journal of Dairy Science* **54**, 1282-& (1971).
78   Jiao, H. P. *et al.* Effect of concentrate feed level on methane emissions from grazing dairy
cows. *J Dairy Sci* **97**, 7043-7053 (2014).
79   Martin, C., Morgavi, D. P. & Doreau, M. Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe to
the farm scale. *Animal* **4**, 351-365 (2010).
80   Finlay, B. J. *et al.* Some Rumen Ciliates Have Endosymbiotic Methanogens. *Fems*
Microbiology Letters **117**, 157-162 (1994).
81   Popova, M. *et al.* Effect of fibre- and starch-rich finishing diets on methanogenic Archaea
diversity and activity in the rumen of feedlot bulls. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **166-**
67, 113-121 (2011).
82   Zhang, X. M. *et al.* Corn oil supplementation enhances hydrogen use for biohydrogenation,
inhibits methanogenesis, and alters fermentation pathways and the microbial community in
the rumen of goats. *Journal of Animal Science* **97**, 4999-5008 (2019).
83   Niu, P. *et al.* A Basic Model to Predict Enteric Methane Emission from Dairy Cows and Its
Application to Update Operational Models for the National Inventory in Norway. *Animals*
(Basel) **11**, 1891 (2021).
84   McAllister, T. A., Okine, E. K., Mathison, G. W. & Cheng, K. J. Dietary, environmental and
microbiological aspects of methane production in ruminants. *Canadian Journal of Animal*
Science **76**, 231-243 (1996).
85   Van Kessel, J. A. S. & Russell, J. B. The effect of pH on ruminal methanogenesis. *FEMS*
microbiology ecology **20**, 205-210 (1996).
86   Russell, J. B., Muck, R. E. & Weimer, P. J. Quantitative analysis of cellulose degradation and
growth of cellulolytic bacteria in the rumen. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **67**, 183-197 (2009).
87   Russell, J. B. The importance of pH in the regulation of ruminal acetate to propionate ratio
and methane production in vitro. *J Dairy Sci* **81**, 3222-3230 (1998).

88 Kleen, J. L., Hooijer, G. A., Rehage, J. & Noordhuizen, J. P. Subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA):
a review. *J Vet Med A Physiol Pathol Clin Med* **50**, 406-414 (2003).
89 Coleman, G. & Sandford, D. C. The engulfment and digestion of mixed rumen bacteria and
individual bacterial species by single and mixed species of rumen ciliate protozoa grown in
vivo. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **92**, 729-742 (1979).
90 Park, T. & Yu, Z. Do Ruminal Ciliates Select Their Preys and Prokaryotic Symbionts? *Front
Microbiol* **9**, 1710 (2018).
91 Gutierrez, J. & Davis, R. E. Bacterial Ingestion by the Rumen Ciliates Entodinium and
Diplodinium. *Journal of Protozoology* **6**, 222-226 (1959).
92 Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019:
improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D442-D450 (2019).