Draft of canary manuscript, bioRxiv submission draft version 5, 16 Aug 2023

Canary in the cardiac-valve coal mine.
Flow velocity and inferred shear during prosthetic valve closure
–predictors of blood damage and clotting

Lawrence N. Scotten [1], Dipl.T.,
Rolland Siegel [2], BA,
David J. Blundon [3], Ph.D.,
Marcus-André Deutsch [4], M.D.,
Terence R. P. Martin [5], D.Phil.,
James W. Dutton [6], M.D.,
Ebrahim M. Kolahdouz [7], Ph.D.,
Boyce E. Griffith, Ph.D. [8, 9, 10]

* In an early coal mining era, small songbirds accompanied workers in subterranean extraction sites. As these avian species are highly sensitive to changes in ambient air quality, they provided early warnings of impending hazardous breathing conditions.

Affiliations:

[1] Independent consultant, Victoria, BC, Canada

[2] Independent consultant, Portland, Oregon, USA

[3] Instructor (retired), Environmental Technology Program, Camosun College, Victoria, BC, Canada

[4] Surgeon – Cardiothoracic, Klinik fur Thorax- und Kardiovaskularchirurgie,
  Herz- und Diabeteszentrum NRW, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany

[5] Medical Engineer, Nottingham, UK.

[6] Cardiac Surgeon (retired) – Victoria, BC, Canada

[7] Center for Computational Biology, Flatiron Institute, Simons Foundation, New York, NY, USA

[8] Professor –Departments of Mathematics, Applied Physical Sciences, and Biomedical Engineering,
  University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

[9] Carolina Center for Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, University of North Carolina,
  Chapel Hill, NC, USA

[10] Computational Medicine Program, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Correspondence to: Lawrence N. Scotten. Dipl. T., Independent Consultant, Victoria, BC, V8P 4V4, Canada.
Email: larryscotten2@hotmail.com

*See appended Supplement S6

Graphical Abstract

Static flow illustrates free jets of water passing through closed mechanical valve gaps (SJM). Investigations with pulsatile flow suggest similar fleeting jets arise near valve closure. Flow velocity determined in-vitro is evidence for shear forces that are predictive of blood cell damage and thrombogenic response. Red star is moment of valve closure.

ABSTRACT
Objective: To demonstrate a clear link between predicted blood shear forces during valve closure and thrombogenicity that explains the thrombogenic difference between tissue and mechanical valves and provides a practical metric to develop and refine prosthetic valve designs for reduced thrombogenicity.

Methods: Pulsatile and quasi-steady flow systems were used for testing. The time-variation of projected open valve area (POVA) was measured using analog opto-electronics calibrated to projected reference orifice areas. Flow velocity determined over the cardiac cycle equates as instantaneous volumetric flow rate divided by POVA. For the closed valve interval, data from quasi-steady back pressure/flow tests was obtained. Performance ranked by derived maximum negative and positive closing flow velocities, evidences potential clinical thrombogenicity via inferred velocity gradients (shear). Clinical, prototype and control valves were tested.

Results: Blood shear and clot potential from multiple test datasets guided empirical optimization and comparison of valve designs. A 3-D printed prototype valve design (BV3D) purposed for soft closure and reduced thrombogenic potential was assessed.

Conclusions: The relationship between leaflet geometry, flow velocity and predicted shear at valve closure illuminated an important source of prosthetic valve thrombogenicity. With an appreciation for this relationship and based on our experiment generated comparative data, we achieved optimization of valve prototypes with potential for reduced thrombogenicity.

Competing Interests: None declared.
Financial Disclosure: This research has been done on a pro bono basis by all authors.

Key Words: prosthetic valve; laboratory simulation; projected open valve area; valve closure, thrombogenicity; valve flow velocity; rebound

CENTRAL MESSAGE
A derived laboratory metric for valve closing flow velocity offers a way to rank valve models for potential blood damage. These results provide new insight and a mechanistic explanation for prior clinical observations where aortic and mitral valve replacements differ in thrombogenic potential and anticoagulation requirement. The study suggests a path forward to design and evaluate novel mechanical valve models for future development. As multiple modifications to mechanical and bioprosthetic valves have not resolved chronic shortcomings related to thrombogenicity and durability, a new development avenue was required in order to eliminate thrombogenicity in the former and extend durability in the latter.

PERSPECTIVE
Prosthetic mechanical valve devices cause blood cell damage. Activation of the coagulation cascade is initiated by dynamic valve function. Design innovation focusing on valve closure behavior may reduce valve thrombogenic potential. **Our study demonstrates that valve design can be empirically optimized with emphasis on that phase.**

SIGNIFICANCE
Emphasis on open valve performance has encouraged a long standing bias while under appreciation of the closing phase vital to identification of potential thrombogenic complications persist. Our multiple data sets are useful in challenging this bias.
Dynamic motion(s) of mechanical valves and derived regional flow velocity are impacted by valve geometry. Focus on valve closure dynamics may lead to the development of potentially less thrombogenic prototype valves. Laboratory experiments support the supposition that valve regional flow velocity is associated with valve thrombogenic potential. This study compares three clinical valves and two experimental prototypes.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Our interest in prosthetic valve dynamics was initially stimulated during formation of the Cardiac Research Development Laboratory tasked with supporting the newly opened Cardiac Surgery unit at Royal Jubilee Hospital in Victoria, BC, Canada (1973). Incorporated as Vivitro Systems Inc (VSI), our primary focus was research, design and development of cardiac valve implant devices and on the laboratory test systems required. During this phase we studied valve motion in an early pulse duplicator using high-speed cinematography and photogrammetric analysis (Brownlee and Scotten 1976; Scotten et al. 1979). Subsequently, an innovative simpler method was devised to determine projected open valve area (POVA) similar to planimetric quantification of POVA from 16 mm cinematography and was published in *New Laboratory Technique Measures Projected Dynamic Area of Prosthetic Heart Valves* (Scotten and Walker 2004). In 2009, work transitioned into a separate independent research and development enterprise, ViVitro Laboratories Inc. (VLI) also based in Victoria, BC, Canada.

Consistent with a focus on evaluation of various prosthetic valve models, our pulse duplicator was modified to include a unique opto-electronic subsystem which we named *Leonardo*. The addition of this subsystem redirected our interest in heart valve dynamics to the closure phase and associated supra-physiologic backflow fluid velocities. Driven by ongoing curiosity and armed with new data from *Leonardo*, we reported our findings through a series of preprints and publications (Scotten and Walker 2004; Scotten et al. 2006, 2011, 2014, 2015 preprint versions 1-4, 2019-2020, 2022; Chaux et al. 2016; Deutsch et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021). In a recent broader application of our investigative technology, results from *in silico* computational modeling and *in vitro* experimental studies confirmed or verified the characteristics of leaflet spatial oscillations in bioprosthetic valves (flutter) throughout the open period of the cardiac cycle Lee et al. 2020 and prompted peer commentary (Lee et al. 2021; Carpenter 2021; Obrist and Carrel 2021; Barret et al. 2023).

Given the arc of success with prosthetic valves over decades including initiation and progressive expansion of transcatheter devices, long-term durability and thrombosis issues persist. Thrombosis is a physics-based phenomenon that nature evolved to stem bleeding after an injury. For both transcatheter and surgically implanted bioprosthetic valves, limited durability related to multiple factors has stimulated introduction of a variety of "rescue devices". Intended to provide transcatheter based mitigation of complications in primary and valve-in-valve bioprosthetic valves implants, these devices are associated with their own unique complications. The longer term consequences of a transcatheter based multiple valve approach for patient morbidity; mortality and overall cost are yet to be determined. In the current paper, our focus returns to identification and assessment of sources of thrombogenicity in contemporary clinical and also experimental mechanical and bioprosthetic heart valves with particular attention to the central role of conspicuous transient fluid velocities during valve closure. Flow velocity manifests shear via flow velocity gradients that can trigger blood damage and clot formation in vascular disease processes and cardiovascular implant devices (Obrist and Carrel 2021; Chan et al. 2022; Sheriff et al. 2021). When adjacent fluid layers bypass with speed differentials, shear forces increase and blood damage results. We have sought to assess and compare the dynamic behavior of clinical and experimental valves which stimulated provocative conclusions regarding development of less thrombogenic devices.

METHODS
Pulse Duplicator Experiments and Computational Modeling

Over time, progressive adaptations to the pulse duplicator and experiment outcomes have been reported (Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021; Scotten et al. 1979; Scotten and Walker 2004; Scotten et al. 2006; Scotten and Siegel 2011; Scotten and Siegel 2014; Scotten and Siegel 2015; Scotten et al. versions 1-9, 2019-2020). This included the optical measurement of valve POVA kinematics and non trivial *in silico* evaluations (Barret et al. 2023; Kovarovic et al. 2023; Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021; Scotten et al. 2022). Test conditions, FSI parameters, and boundary conditions used in this study are reported in the Fig. 1 caption. Computational model results and experimental outcomes are in close agreement (Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021).

Figure 1. Leonardo^{LNS} pulse duplicator system includes
reduced models of *C*ompliance and *R*esistance:
C_{VIA1} 120 mL; C_{VIA2} 50 mL; C_{per} 615 mL; C_{root} 640 mL
R_{per} adjusted for normal mean aortic pressure of 100 mmHg; R_{VIA} 200 c.g.s. units
test fluid saline; cardiac output ~ 5 L/min

Table 1. Valves tested in aortic and mitral locations

Valve Name	Description	Site
St. Jude Medical Regent ™ (SJM)	Mechanical bi-leaflet	**A, M**
mock-TAVR (PVL pre-adjusted)	Simulated TAVR with preset trivial paravalvular leak (PVL)	**A**
On-X ™ Life Technologies	Mechanical bi-leaflet	**A, M**
BV3D ^{LNS}	Printed prototype, bi-leaflet	**A, M**
Lapeyre-Triflo ™ -F6 (*circa* 2004)	Prototype, mechanical tri-leaflet	**A, M**
Edwards-Perimount ™	Bioprosthetic, pericardial tri-leaflet	**A, M**

Valve seat size (TAD) ~ 25 mm. (**A**)ortic, (**M**)itral; <u>Non-test valve</u> -Mitroflow** pericardial size 29 mm. **Sorin, Milan, Italy

Test valves are listed in Table 1. Flow and pressure signals are filtered by analogue circuitry (bandwidth BW~ 0-100 Hz). The POVA signal was unfiltered and had a measured rise time ~ 1.04 μs (BW ~ 0-337 KHz). Saline was used as an accepted test fluid per ISO 5840-3 with density ρ=1.0 g/cm^3 and dynamic viscosity μ=1.0 cP (Cardiovascular Implants –cardiac valve prostheses –part3: ISO 2013).

| A. | B. |

Figure 2. (A) photograph (B) rendering of prototype bi-leaflet mechanical valve BV3D
See video https://youtu.be/5QmDPbfUvTM animation link

Assessment of dynamic flow velocity
The Leonardo system enables assessment of dynamic flow velocity through test valves using measurements from an electromagnetic magnetic flow probe together with photodiode detection of POVA. Mathematically, flow velocity equates to the ratio of these two quantities as:

$$flow\ velocity\ (cm/s) = volumetric\ flow\ rate\ (cm^3/s)\ /\ POVA\ (cm^2)$$

The assumption is POVA has a uniform flow profile but this may not hold true when valve occluder motion and flow are irregular. Experiments indicate that as POVA decreases, valve flow velocity increases and conversely, decreases when POVA increases. This behavior is governed by Bernoulli's principle.

Pressure measurement
Left atrial, left ventricle aortic outflow tract, and aortic sites pressures measured via short catheters (~ 7.5 cm length) have internal diameter of ~1.8 mm connected to disposable pressure transducers (Deltran™, model PT43-604) ***. Catheter ports measured wall pressures referenced to the mid-plane of the test valve. In Fig. 1, aortic transvalve pressure is measured between the left ventricular outflow tract (LVP) and the aorta (AP). Mitral transvalve pressure is measured between the left atrium (LAP) and the left ventricular outflow tract (LVP).

Significant waveform characteristics
Several traits are clear in Figs. 3-5. All signals were sampled synchronously. Regions relevant to the valve closure moment are near the dashed red line. Of importance are: initial minimum valve POVA values and initial peak negative values attained in transvalve pressure, volume flow rate, and closure flow velocities. For bioprosthetic valves, we observed an upward-downward movement of both the valve frame and leaflets demonstrative of compliance reactivity. Hydrodynamic oscillations are also present post valve closure as seen in the unadjusted volume flow rate in some of the waveforms. Comparing the phasing of volume flow rate and POVA near valve closure, regional components having compliance influence hydrodynamic patterns.

*** Utah Medical Products Inc., Midvale, Utah 84047-1048, USA

Figure 3. Measured and derived AORTIC valve test data includes 10 consecutive cycles each experiment. A spatially averaged metric for mitral valve flow velocity (red curves) is equated for specified cycles to volume flow rate / valve projected open area. Mean flow velocity and 95% confidence limits are shown. Some peak flow velocities shown are outside the upper and lower bounds. An optical approach measures valve projected open area. Data acquisition sampling interval of white dots is 3.36 ms. Intravalvular leakage rates are shown (IVL) and paravalvular (PVL). Edited *vs.* unedited volume flow rates are shown dashed grey alongside edited solid black waveforms. Influence of reactive elastic and inertia elements are apparent in the unedited flows (dashed grey) which are edited out prior to estimation the red flow velocity waveforms. Partial re-opening of AORTIC valve projected open areas are shown highlighted in yellow and the shock water hammer zones are shown highlighted in yellow. Control valve is Edwards Perimount.

Figure 4. Measured and derived MITRAL valve test data includes 10 consecutive cycles each experiment. A spatially averaged metric for mitral valve flow velocity (red curves) is equated for specified cycles to volume flow rate / valve projected open area. Mean flow velocity and 95% confidence limits are shown. Some peak flow velocities shown are outside the upper and lower bounds. An optical approach measures valve projected open area. Data acquisition sampling interval of white dots is 3.36 ms. Intravalvular leakage rates are shown (IVL) and paravalvular (PVL). Edited *vs.* unedited volume flow rates are shown dashed grey alongside edited solid black waveforms. Influence of reactive elastic and inertia elements are apparent in the unedited flows (dashed grey) which are edited out prior to estimation the red flow velocity waveforms. Partial re-opening of MITRAL projected open areas are shown highlighted in yellow and the shock water hammer zones are in blue. Control valve is Edwards Perimount.

Figure 5. For each valve and site (**A**ortic, **M**itral), the average valve closing flow velocity of ten consecutive cycles and confidence limits show where 95% of the derived positive and negative flow velocities fall. Valves: -Mechanical (bi-leaflet: **On-X**, **SJM**, printed prototype **BV3D**), (tri-leaflet **LT** -F6), -Tissue (mock-TAVI, **mTAVI**, Edwards-Perimount[TM] **EP**).

Signal synchronicity

As indicated in Figs. 3 and 4, in the aortic and mitral valve sites, instantaneous minimum regurgitant volume flow rate and minimum POVA are synchronous with valve closure. The flow and pressure signals are processed through 100 Hz low-pass analogue filters whereas POVA is unfiltered to preserve maximum frequency response (~ 0-337 KHz).

Synchronized hydrodynamic oscillations emerge post valve closure due to the pooled reactive compliances of the test valve and holder. These damped oscillations are observed in the unadjusted volume flow rate signals (dashed grey) and are ascribed to the combined movement of test valve components (i.e., leaflets plus stent) within the elastic silicone rubber holder. Oscillations evident for all valves and sites have similar periodicity ~ 20ms (50 Hz).

Statistical Analysis

For each experiment, we acquired 10 consecutive cycles and report average velocity measurements and cycle-to-cycle variations of 10 negative peak flow velocities recorded using confidence limits (CL). Summary of the

valve flow velocity test datasets utilize EXCEL**** data analysis tool labeled descriptive statistics with options -Analysis ToolPak and -Solver Add-in. In Figs. 3-5, mean negative peak flow velocities and CL=95% indicated by CL bars adjacent to the flow velocity waveforms show the predicted uncertainty.
**** Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA

Experimental valve design
The BV3D rapid prototype valve design shown in Figs. 2A and 2B show a working model that resulted from several designs trialed (Scotten and Siegel 2015). Consideration of competing factors produced 56 laboratory constructed prototype valves with data from experiments producing empiric optimization through interaction of leaflet profile, pivot location and surface characteristics. These led to the rapid printed prototype model BV3D with advantageous forward and especially closing flow dynamics. In this work, *Leonardo* provided immediate feedback on the impact of even subtle geometric changes on valve dynamic behavior.

RESULTS
Aortic *vs.* Mitral Dynamics
When fluid in motion is abruptly halted or changes its direction (momentum change) water hammer is observed. This unwanted transient motion closely associates with valve closure timing, property of valve mountings and has been previously reported in vitro (Scotten and Siegel 2015). Figs. 3-5 illustrate snapshots of shock water-hammer dynamics with mean flow velocities reaching -29.0 m/s for the On-X mitral and -65.2 m/s for the On-X aortic valve and is absent for the Lapeyre-Triflo -F6, prototype BV3D, mock-TAVI, and Edwards-Perimount control valves. The mock-TAVI valve was untested in the mitral site.

For the On-X, SJM, and LT valves in the mitral site, a brief fractional reopening is evident in POVA post initial valve closure. In Fig. 4, negative peak transvalve pressure spikes range over comparable levels in the mitral site (-75 to -90 mmHg). However, in the aortic position (Fig. 3) pressure spikes encompass a wider range (-40 to -95 mmHg).

Signature shock water hammer dynamics for aortic and mitral valves are evident for POVA, transvalve pressures, volume flow rates, and derived valve flow velocity waveforms. We found that shock water hammer dynamics can be mitigated by valve designs optimized for soft valve closure which reduces retrograde valve flow velocity in the early closing phase as observed for the BV3D and EP valves.

Edited *vs.* unedited instantaneous volumetric flow rates
In Figs. 3 and 4, measured and derived aortic and mitral valve test results include 10 consecutive cycles each experiment. Data acquisition sampling interval is ~3.36 ms (white data points). Evident are maximum negative flow velocities at valve closure ranging up to -65.2 m/s (On-X aortic). The oscillatory trait seen in the primary unadjusted (grey) flow rate waveforms was edited to produce the black volume flow rate waveform data prior to deriving the flow velocity data (red waveforms). The spatially averaged metric of mitral flow velocities (red curves) are obtained by dividing time-periodic volume flow rate by time-periodic POVA. Average flow velocity and CL bars show where 95% of the derived negative peak valve flow velocities fall. An inherent advantage of *Leonardo* is that POVA is determined by a high resolution opto-electronic methodology with excellent spatial area resolution (details in supplementary information S7). Leonardo offers clear detection of initial and water hammer rebound events. Distinctive valve motion events such as: initial POVA opening, POVA closure, shock water hammer rebound where POVA goes briefly positive just after valve initial closure are all discernible in the POVA waveforms. Volumetric flow rate, transvalve pressures, and derived flow velocity waveforms are acquired synchronously.

In Fig. 4, the mock-TAVI valve produced aortic but not mitral site data. Leakage rates are indicated either intra-valvular (IVL) or paravalvular (PVL). Post valve closure, valve fractional re-openings, highlighted in yellow, are attributable to shock water hammer phenomena.

Readers will note that in prior publications, closing flow velocities in excess of those observed in this study were reported (Scotten et al. 2004; Scotten and Siegel 2014; Chaux et al. 2016; Deutsch et al. 2018). Previous records with excessive closing flow velocities have been rectified in this report by re-analysis of the historical experiment test datasets imported into revised EXCEL templates.

The relationship between POVA, flow velocity, and mass flow rate helps in understanding the fluid dynamics of valves. Factors such as fluid properties, valve design, and pressure differentials influence this relationship. For example, prototype valves with non-curved leaflet profiles and pivot locations near the orifice center show promise in optimizing valve designs for reduced thrombogenic potential. Partially closed valves evidenced by decreased POVA as well as an increase in flow velocity, aligns with the Continuity Principle. The continuity equation states that the mass flow rate of a fluid must remain constant along a continuous flow path and is expressed mathematically as $Q = A_1V_1 = A_2V_2$ where Q is the fluid flow rate, A_1 and A_2 are the areas of the pipe or valve at two different locations, and V_1 and V_2 correspond to velocities of the fluid at those locations. Therefore, when the area available for flow is least (i.e., when the valve is approaching closure and almost closed), the velocity of the fluid is greatest. Transvalve pressure is amplified by increased flow velocity and, in accord with Bernoulli's principle, assists valve closure indicated by a reducing POVA.

Although high blood flow velocity alone may not cause blood damage directly, the presence of high flow velocity gradients which occur when flow velocity varies significantly over a short distance, can potentially trigger clotting. Such velocity gradients (shear) often arise in areas along the flow pathway where flow disturbances such as constrictions, or turbulence occur. Shear refers to the frictional force between adjacent fluid layers moving at different velocities. Steep velocity gradients contribute to increased shear potential. When shear force exceeds the physiological tolerance, cell fragmentation and activation of clotting processes can follow. While blood cells are capable of withstanding moderate shear levels due to their deformable membranes, certain implant devices like mechanical heart valves are deemed thrombogenic because shear forces expose cells beyond their physiologic limits. Consequently, individuals with such devices may require chronic anti-coagulation therapy to mitigate clotting risks.

Transvalve flow rate is determined primarily by valve open area and transvalve pressure. When valve open area is decreasing (partially closed), transvalve pressure and flow velocity increase. This relationship is governed by The Bernoulli's principle states that as fluid velocity increases fluid pressure decreases. Therefore, when POVA is decreasing (valve not completely closed), fluid velocity increases, transvalve pressure increases and open area declines. Conversely, when the valve is fully open, and pooled open areas are maximal, fluid velocity declines in conjunction with transvalve pressure resulting in lower volumetric flow rate. High blood flow velocity may not be an independent trigger for blood damage, but generation of high flow velocity gradients can potentially lead to clotting activation. Velocity gradients come about when there is a significant change in flow velocity over a short distance and are often observed in areas having flow pathway disturbance, constriction or turbulence.

Shear is a frictional force exerted by adjacent fluid layers moving at different velocities. With steep velocity gradients, shear potential increases. When physiological tolerance for shear is exceeded, cell fragmentation and

activation of clotting processes may result. While blood cells have deformable membranes that can tolerate moderate levels of shear, certain implant devices, such as mechanical heart valves, can be overtly thrombogenic when exposed to high shear force requiring chronic anti-coagulation therapy for recipients.

Several notable points in Figs 3-5 are:

1. The occurrence of positive flow velocities shortly after valve closure for the SJM, On-X and LT.
2. The On-X, SJM, LT mechanical mitral valves show shock water-hammer patterns having momentary post closure fractional reopening.
3. Shock water-hammer patterns are absent for the two bioprostheses (EP and mTAVI).
4. The EP aortic and mitral control valves exhibit low closure flow velocity (< 10 m/s).
5. For the SJM valve, the differential between aortic and mitral maximum volumetric backflow rates consistently exceeded that observed in other tested valves ~ (-180 ml/s $_{-max}$ *vs.* -150 ml/s $_{-max}$).
6. For current clinical mechanical mitral valves a disquieting abrupt closure and shock water hammer dynamics is observed and shown highlighted in yellow. In this region, a brief partial valve re-opening is triggered ~ 6 ms after valve closure with rebound duration of ~ 4-10 ms.
7. Mitral valve closure generates oscillations in flow rate and transvalve pressure greater in amplitude but less dampened than valves in the aortic site.
8. Mitral valve occluder rebound (highlighted yellow) associates with high negative regurgitant volume flow rate, transvalve pressure peaks and flow velocities which impacts on valve closing with certainty.
9. Negative transvalve pressure spikes are near synchronous with valve closure.
10. Transvalve pressure phase leads the flow.
11. Important features underscored in the valve closure phase are: near synchronous timing of valve closure (i.e., valve minimum POVA), negative going transvalve pressures at closure, maximum retrograde closure volume flow rate, and maximum retrograde flow velocities.
12. The velocity of unsteady pressures in water is ~1,500 m/s. Therefore, pressures in immediate proximity to the aortic test valve would, for example, have trivial phase (~0.07 ms) relative to the aortic wall pressure site which is ~10 cm distant from the mid-plane of the valve.

Figure 6. Examples of peak CW Doppler signals showing prosthetic aortic valve forward flow velocity. A peak clinical forward flow velocity of 2.8 m/s is considered normal. Link. Thus, the On-X aortic valve with in vitro flow velocity of 1.8 m/s, affirms normal functioning (see Fig. S2).

Results in Figs. 3-5 are based on predicted valve flow velocities. Notable near post valve closure are positive flow velocities for SJM, On-X and LT. An interesting mitral site pattern shows shock water-hammer phenomena associated with three of the four mechanical mitral valves tested (On-X, SJM, LT), where kinematic evidence shows momentary post closure fractional reopening and absence in the two bioprostheses (mTAVI and EP). The EP aortic and mitral control valves have low closure flow velocity (< 10 m/s).

Important elements in Figs. 3-5 are:

1. The EP aortic and mitral control valve demonstrated the lowest predicted valve closing flow velocity which is consistent with their reported clinical experience of low thrombogenic potential.
2. Driven by shock water hammer dynamics, backflow velocity is higher in the mitral than aortic site.
3. Slow-motion and real time visualization of aortic and mitral valves qualitatively revealed both symmetrical and non-symmetrical closing behavior of occluders. Quantitatively, flow velocity confidence levels (CL) may be a useful measure of occluder motion evenness over 10 consecutives cycles. Results show that the On-X and SJM have greatest CL values.
4. The mTAVI valve sample with trivial paravalvular leak (PVL) had a peak average negative valve closing flow velocity of -10.7 m/s (± 5.25). However, it has been noted that valve samples with PVL = zero and counter intuitively, with $>$ PVLs, have reduced valve closing flow velocities (Scotten and Siegel 2011; Scotten and Siegel 2014).
5. Based on the control valve results, mean closure flow velocities < -10 m/s suggests potential for low/non-thrombogenic shear force.
6. Valve closing dynamics are associated with shock water hammer are also associated with maximums in flow velocity, backflow rate and transvalve pressure.
7. During valve closure, platelets subjected to jet flow velocity gradients are likely to accumulate more shear force damage relative to that from the forward flow phase (Herbertson et al. 2011).

DISCUSSION

Over the past 60 years, heart valve design and performance evolved to provide improved durability and hemodynamic function. While preferences for mechanical *vs.* bioprosthetic valves fluctuated widely, the advent of transcatheter delivered devices and their less invasive insertion methodology, the balance shifted progressively in favor of bioprostheses. Although initially restricted to use in the elderly or patients designated too fragile for conventional surgical implantation, use is now extended to younger and lower risk candidates. Younger patient cohorts receiving bioprosthetic heart valves have longer life expectancies (>20 years) and thus a higher likelihood of re-intervention. The shift in favor of BHVs may prove to be premature as more (or lack of) long-term data is in hand (Briffa and Chambers 2017). A recent surgical AVR study by (Lu et al. 2023) in patients aged 50 to 69 years, found long-term survival was better in those who received mechanical compared to Perimount bioprosthetic valves and suggested a substantial survival advantage be recognized in patients with mechanical valves. To respond to an incidence of technical failure in contemporary transcatheter valves and perhaps in anticipation of increasing frequency of degenerated valves in younger patients, a variety of transcatheter "rescue" devices are offered. Inserted within a degenerated or malfunctioning primary valve or a previously implanted rescue device, the predicted durability of rescue devices is speculative. In a prophetic article *Russian Dolls; when valve-in-valve implantation is not enough* (Tseng 2016), Tseng called attention to the possibility of rescue valve recipients who will represent persistent therapeutic challenges that may not be resolvable by transcatheter devices. As a response to a possible increase in this initially small subset of patients, for those valve replacement candidates who favor the alternative of one valve durable for life but are hesitant because of concerns over anticoagulant related issues and the as-yet unfulfilled need by rheumatic patients in developing countries (Zilla et al. 2008; Wium 2020; Wium et al. 2020) it is essential that research driven by purposeful curiosity continues toward achievement of a long awaited anticoagulant independent mechanical valve by research endeavors such as recently reported by (Wium 2020; Wium et al. 2020)

At or near valve closure, flow dynamics can be considered analogous to transitory valve stenosis, whereby regurgitation is increasingly constrained until complete, motionless, closed-valve conditions arise. Thus, during brief crucial moments preceding and after valve closure, localized prothrombotic microenvironments may be relevant to generation of jet flow velocities with shear sufficient to induce blood element damage (Soares et al. 2013; Ding et al. 2015). These influences may impact multiple valve types with potential consequences that may include but not limited to:

- reduced valve leaflet mobility, sub-clinical thrombosis,
- potential for pannus formation,
- cavitation and clinically detectable high intensity transcranial signals (HITS),
- transient ischemic attack (TIA), embolic acute/sub-acute stroke, other silent micro-infarction and adverse cerebrovascular events (Woldendorp et al. 2021)

Shock water hammer phenomena and occluder rebound

Mitral valve closure dynamics shown in Fig. 4 include a partial post closure transient opening (rebound) attributable to shock water-hammer, were found less prominent in the aortic site (Fig. 3). Occluder rebound driven by water-hammer power (product of transvalve pressure and volumetric flow rate) for the SJM mitral valve is observed as a momentary post closure partial re-opening. This has been previously reported with high resolution magnified examples of POVA rebound data (Scotten and Walker 2004; Scotten and Siegel 2011; Scotten and Siegel 2015). Relative to the bioprosthetic control valve, mechanical mitral valves (On-X, SJM, LT) are driven by higher-level transvalve pressures and retrograde flows and reveal rebound behavior prior to final closure. Additional pro-thrombotic aspects may be related to sub-optimal valve forward flow energy losses (e.g. arterial sclerosis) and biomechanical and biochemical responses sufficient to exacerbate risk of pathologic thrombus formation and propagation.

Research outcomes reported:
(Scotten et al. 2006; Scotten and Siegel 2011; Scotten and Siegel 2014; Scotten and Siegel 2015; Scotten et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021; Scotten et al. 2022)

1. High amplitude valve closing flow velocities may result in supra-physiologic shear forces originating in small intra- and para-valvular leak gaps and which become mechanistic initiators of the clotting cascade.
2. Platelet activation is induced by high shear even with short exposure time (Ding et al. 2015).
3. Closing dynamics disparity between mechanical (MHV) and bioprosthetic valves is chronically overlooked as a primary indicator of valve thrombogenicity.
4. Current MHVs have overt valve closing flow velocity transients relating to occluder non-response to flow deceleration and residual valve POVA.
5. For a given volumetric backflow rate near valve closure, the smaller the total residual leakage area, the greater the valve closing flow velocity.
6. The highest valve closing flow velocity was for the On-X and the SJM valves compared to the tissue control valve (Edwards pericardial).
7. The prototype BV3D valve had lowest predicted valve closing flow velocities in both aortic and mitral sites. Assessment of this dynamic behavior represents a practical means to qualitatively screen valves and controls for thrombogenic potential.
8. Valve BV3D test data suggest that specific MHV leaflet geometries generate a closing force during forward flow deceleration and prior to flow reversal, a potentially beneficial "soft closure" response.
9. Our novel laboratory methodology permits inference of shear damage to formed blood elements and potential for continuing thrombogenic response. This constitutes a mechanistic explanation for observed thrombogenic disparity in prosthetic valve types and designs, now broadened to include observations of TAVR related thromboembolic events.
10. For MHVs, cyclic valve closing flow velocities appear to be related to a prothrombotic state requiring chronic anti-coagulation.
11. Bioprosthetic valves appear not to generate a pro-thrombotic closing phase.
12. In both experimental and computational pulse duplicator studies, bioprosthetic valves in smaller diameters and/or with thicker leaflets generate higher flutter frequencies (Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021).

Strengths
A derived valve closing flow velocity provides a useful laboratory metric directly proportional to blood cell damage from shear force. For some mechanical mitral valves, a brief positive flow transient is noted. Such transients result from shock water-hammer dynamics and are indicative of high shear force, blood cell damage, biomechanical and biochemical responses that promote pathologic thrombus formation and propagation.

Limitations
Mechanical valves tested in the mitral position consistently manifested leaflet rebound observed as a transitory post closure partial re-opening driven by water-hammer power (product of transvalve pressure and volumetric flow rate). This has been previously reported with magnified examples of high resolution POVA rebound data (Scotten and Siegel 2014).

In order to validate computational simulations, high fidelity amplitude and frequency responses are required to resolve small scale valve geometries and hydrodynamic features. This prerequisite may benefit from recently developed computational approaches and extensions needed to help fill lingering computational gaps (Kolahdouz et al. 2020; Kolahdouz et al. 2021).

CONCLUSIONS

This work exposes the central relationship between thrombogenicity and predicted high velocity flows and shear forces at valve closure. As practical application of our findings, specific valve geometric features were identified that led to prototype designs with potential for further development. The application of unique technology, rapid prototyping and ranking of flow velocity patterns near valve closure optimized experimental valve geometry for reduced thrombus potential compared with control valves.

We compared the hydrodynamics and kinematics of prosthetic heart valves at valve closure to control valves. The in-vitro results suggest that the potential for blood clots caused by high velocity flows and shear forces can be reduced by focusing on specific valve geometric features, leading to the development of improved clinical devices. The study however raises the question of whether optimum mechanical valve performance requires similar, identical or lower valve closing flow velocities compared to contemporary clinical bioprostheses. The work opens an experimentally assisted pathway for developing new, durable and less thrombogenic devices and the prototype model BV3D serves as evidence that focused laboratory efforts can yield promising results. Additionally, the study indicates that valve flow velocity differentials and associated shear are significant activators of thrombogenicity for the On-X valve, emphasizing the importance of valve closure behavior in reducing thrombus potential and improving clinical devices, despite the challenges in introducing new prosthetic heart valves to the market. The Holy Grail goal of a mechanical valve independent of chronic anticoagulation still beckons.

Conflict of Interest Statement
All authors report no conflicts of interest.

Contributions:
(I) Methodology, conception and design: LN Scotten; (II) Project administrative support: LN Scotten; (III) Provision of study materials: LN Scotten; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: LN Scotten; (V) Data analysis, interpretation, validation: LN Scotten, DJ Blundon; (VI) All authors have contributed.

Acknowledgements:
Competing Interests: None declared.
Financial Disclosure: This research has been done on a pro bono basis by all authors with no financial support of others.

Data availability statement:
The core data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [LNS], upon reasonable request.

Open access:
Anyone can share, reuse, remix, or adapt this material, providing this is not done for commercial purposes and the original authors are credited and cited.

References:
Barrett A, Brown JA, Smith MA, Woodward A, Vavalle JP, Kheradvar A, Griffith BE, Fogelson AL. A model of fluid-structure and biochemical interactions with applications to subclinical leaflet thrombosis. https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.01578v2 (2023) Link

Briffa N, and Chambers JB. Biological Valves in Younger Patients Undergoing Aortic Valve Replacement: A Word of Caution. Circulation 2017;135:1101–1103.

Brownlee RT, Scotten L. The in vitro assessment of left ventricular flow patterns on the closure of a new mitral valve "Bioprosthesis". Trans ASAIO 1976;22:341-346. Link

Cardiovascular implants –cardiac valve prostheses –part 3: heart valve substitutes implanted by transcatheter techniques. *ISO.* 2013;5840-3. Link

Carpenter AJ. Commentary: A surgeon's view of engineer's data. JTCVS Open 2021;6:84

Carrel T, Dembitsky WP, de Mol B, Obrist D, Dreyfus G, Meuris B, Vennemann BM, Lapeyre D, Schaff H. Non-physiologic closing of bi-leaflet mechanical heart prostheses requires a new tri-leaflet valve design. Int J Cardiol 2020;304:125-127.

Chan CHH, Simmonds MJ, Fraser KH, Igarashi K, Ki KK, Murashige T, Joseph MT, Fraser JF, Tansley GD, Watanabe N. Discrete responses of erythrocytes, platelets, and von Willebrand factor to shear. J Biomech 2022: 1-8.

Chaux A, Richard J. Gray RJ, Stupka JC, Emken MR, Scotten LN, Siegel R. Anticoagulant independent mechanical heart valves: viable now or still a distant holy grail. Ann Transl Med 2016;4(24):525-31. Link

Cinellia M, Schwartz L, Spagnola J, Gulkarov I, Rosell F, Lackey A, Imam M, Schwartz C. Early structural deterioration of a sutureless bioprosthetic aortic valve. Cardiol Res 2020;11(2):113-117. Link

Deutsch M-A, Scotten LN, Siegel R, Lange R, Bleiziffer S. Leaflet thrombosis and clinical events after TAVR; Are paravalvular leaks a crucial trigger? EuroIntervention 2018;14:716-717.

Ding J, Chen Z, Niu S. Quantification of shear-induced platelet activation: High shear stresses for short exposure time. Artificial Organs 2015;39:576-83.

Gilchrist IC. Treating hemolysis due to paravalvular leaks: It is all about modifying micro-jets and not the volume of regurgitation. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2019;93:720-721.

Herbertson LH, Deutsch S, Manning KB. Near valve flows and potential blood damage during closure of a bileaflet mechanical heart valve. J Biomech Eng. 2011:133(9):094507 total pages 7.

Johnston DR, Soltesz EG, Vakil N, Rajeswaran J, Roselli EE, Sabik III JF, Smedira NG, Svensson LG, Lytle BW, Blackstone EH. Long-term durability of bioprosthetic aortic valves: Implications from 12,569 implants. Ann Thorac Surg 2015;99(4):1239–1247.

Kolahdouz EM, Bhalla APS, Craven BA, Griffith BE. An immersed interface method for discrete surfaces. J. Compu Phys 2020,108854 Link

Kolahdouz EM, Bhalla APS, Scotten LN, Craven BA, Griffith BE. A sharp interface Lagrangian-Eulerian method for rigid-body fluid-structure interaction. arXiv:2003.12046v5 [math.NA] 13 Apr 2021:443:1-43

Kovarovic BJ, Rotman OM, Parikh PB, Slepian MJ, Bluestein D. Mild paravalvular leak may pose an increased thrombogenic risk in transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) patients-insights from patient specific in vitro and in silico studies. Bioengineering 2023; 188-207.

Lee JH, Rygg AD, Kolahdouz EM, Rossi S, Retta SM, Duraiswamy N, Scotten LN, Craven BA, Griffith BE. Fluid-Structure Interaction models of bioprosthetic heart valve dynamics in an experimental pulse duplicator. Ann Biomed Eng 2020;48(5):1475-1490. Link

Lee JH, Scotten LN, Hunt R, Caranasos TG, Vavalle JP, Griffith BE. Bioprosthetic aortic valve diameter and thickness are directly related to leaflet fluttering: Results from a combined experimental and computational modeling study. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Open 2021;6:60-81. Link

Li W, Gao Z, Jin Z, Qian J. Transient study of flow and cavitation inside a bileaflet mechanical heart valve. Appl Sci 2020;10:2548;1-13. Link

Lu R, Dismorr M, Glaser N, Sartipy U. Aortic valve replacement with mechanical valves *vs.*Perimount bioprostheses in 50- to 69-year-old patients. JACC: Advances 2023;2(4) 1-11.

Obrist D, Carrel TP. Commentary: Leaflet fluttering of bioprosthetic valve –Does it matter? JTCVS Open 2021;6:82-83. Link

Panaich SS, Maor E, Reddy G, Raphael CE, Cabalka A, Hagler DJ, et al. Effect of percutaneous paravalvular leak closure on hemolysis. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2019;93:713-719.

Scotten LN, Walker DK, Brownlee RT. Construction and evaluation of a hydromechanical simulation facility for the assessment of mitral valve prostheses. J Med Eng Technol 1979;3:11-18.

Scotten LN, Walker DK. New Laboratory Technique Measures Projected Dynamic Area of Prosthetic Heart Valves. J Heart Valve Dis 2004;13:120-132.

Scotten LN, Walker DK, Harbott P, de Hart J, Perrault R, Coisne D, et al. The mechanical Bioprosthesis -does valve motion predict thrombogenicity. Abstract #599, Presentation 16th WSCTS, Ottawa, Canada, 17-20 August 2006.

Scotten LN, Siegel R. Importance of Shear in Prosthetic Valve Closure Dynamics. J Heart Valve Dis 2011;20:664-672.

Scotten LN, Siegel R. Thrombogenic potential of transcatheter aortic valve implantation with trivial paravalvular leakage. Ann Transl Med 2014;2(5):43-53. Link

Scotten LN, Siegel R. Are anticoagulant independent mechanical valves within reach -fast prototype fabrication and in vitro testing of innovative bi-leaflet valve models. Ann Transl Med 2015;3(14):197-215. Link

Scotten LN, Blundon D, Deutsch M-A, Siegel R: Violin Plot Data; A concerto of crucial information on valve thrombogenicity classified using laboratory measured valve motion. medRxiv preprint server posts; versions 1-9:2019-2020. Link

Scotten LN, Siegel R, Blundon DJ, Deutsch M-A, Martin TRP, Dutton JW, Kolahdouz EM, Griffith BE. Canary in the cardiac-valve coal mine: Flow velocity and inferred shear during prosthetic valve closure – predictors of blood damage and clotting. bioRxiv preprint v4, 21 Oct 2022. Link

Sheriff, J., Wang, P., Zhang, P. Zhang Z., Deng Y, Bluestein D. In Vitro Measurements of Shear-Mediated Platelet Adhesion Kinematics as Analyzed through Machine Learning. Ann Biomed Eng 2021;49: 3452–3464. Link

Soares JS, Sheriff J, Bluestein D. A novel mathematical model of activation and sensitization of platelets subjected to dynamic stress histories. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 2013;12(6):1127-1141. Link

Tseng E. When valve-in-valve implantation is not sufficient: bioprosthetic Russian dolls. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2016;152(3):624-25.

Wium E. Design, manufacture and hydrodynamic evaluation of a prototype trileaflet mechanical heart valve for aortic valve replacement. MSc Thesis 2020, Stellenbosch University.

Wium E, Jordaan CJ, Botes L , Smit FE. Alternative mechanical heart valves for the developing world. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann 2020;28(7):431-443.

Woldendorp K, Indja B, Bannon PG, Fanning JP, Plunkett BT, Grieve SM. Silent brain infarcts and early cognitive outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Heart J 2021; 42:1004-1015.

Yanagisawa R, Tanaka M, Yashima F, Arai T, Jinzaki M, Shimizu H, et al. Early and late leaflet thrombosis after transcatheter aortic valve replacements a multicenter initiative from the OCEAN-TAVI registry. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2019;12:e007349. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS. 118.007349

Zilla P, Brink J, Human P, Bezuidenhout D. Prosthetic heart valves: Catering for the few. Biomaterials 2008;29:385-406.

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX:

Figure S1. Electrical inter-connections for testing aortic valves.

FIGURE S2. Laboratory data for various AORTIC valve flow velocities over 10 consecutive cycles, average, and 95% confidence limits (CL). Importantly, negative flow velocity transients are generated during valve closure but unlike for same valve samples in the mitral site, positive flow velocity transients valve are NOT produced. Results challenge the chronic emphasis (bias) towards open valve performance as the primary factor in valve-induced thrombogenic complications.

Figure S3. Laboratory data of MITRAL valve flow velocities over 10 consecutive cycles, average, and 95% confidence limits (CL). Importantly, flow velocity transients during valve closing and rebound substantially exceed the maximum positive valve open flow velocities. Results challenge the chronic bias towards open valve performance as the primary factor in valve-induced thrombogenic complications.

S4 –Video
See MPEG 4, animated visualization of prototype BV3D valve structures and full range motion potential.
https://youtu.be/5QmDPbfUvTM U-tube link

S5 – Reduced-order models:
Figure 1 depicts Leonardo pulse duplicator system with reduced-order electrical models for the Viscoelastic Impedance Adapter (VIA) and outflow loading comprised of resistive and compliance elements used in the pulsed duplicator and assigned in computational fluid- structure simulations with saline used as the test fluid (Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021; Scotten et al. 2022).

<u>Upstream VIA elements immersed in water:</u>
C_{VIA1} = 0.0010 mL/mm Hg, 0.0275 mmHg mL^{-1}, C_{VIA2} = 0.1456 mL/mm Hg, 0.0347 mmHg mL^{-1}
R_{VIA} = 0.15 mm Hg/mL/s, 0.15mm Hg mL^{-1}s^{2}, and R_{out} = 0.0898mm Hg mL^{-1}s.
<u>Downstream elements:</u>
R_c = 0.0218 mm Hg mL^{-1}s, R_p = 1.31mm Hg mL^{-1}s, and C = 1.27mm Hg mL^{-1}.
The test fluid is saline.

Compliance and Resistance:
A three-element (R–C–R) Windkessel model characterizes the upstream driving and downstream loading conditions specified in resistance and compliance units:

<u>-Upstream:</u>
C_{VIA1} = 0.0275 mm Hg mL^{-1}, C_{VIA2} = 0.0347 mm Hg mL^{-1},
R_{VIA} = 0.15mm Hg mL^{-1}s, and R_{out} = 0.0898mm Hg mL^{-1}s
<u>-Downstream:</u>
R_c = 0.0218 mm Hg mL^{-1}s, R_p = 1.31mm Hg mL^{-1}s, and C = 1.27mm Hg mL^{-1}

Mathematically, compliance is defined as the ratio of change in air volume to change in air pressure and is considered a bulk modulus of elasticity or young's modulus of air (E):
$$C = 1/E \text{ (in SI units of dyn/cm)}$$
Compliance is modeled by four enclosed air volumes:
$$C_{VIA1} = 120 \text{ ml } (0.0010 \text{ mL/mm Hg})$$
$$C_{VIA2} = 50 \text{ ml } (0.1456 \text{ mL/mm Hg})$$
$$(C_{root}) = 640 \text{ mL Aortic root}$$
$$C_{per} = 615 \text{ ml Systemic arterial compliance}$$
Compressibility of air is calculated by a bulk modulus (K):
$$K = 1333.2 \times V\Delta P / \Delta V \approx 140 \text{ kPa}^{-1} \text{ for standard air conditions}$$
Where:

Given that K ≈ 140 kPa^{-1} for standard air conditions,
Check that calculated K is to this approximate value.
V is initial contained air volume (cm^3)
ΔP is the change in contained air pressure (mm Hg)
ΔV is the resulting change in contained air volume (cm^3)
Conversion factor: 1 mmHg =1333.2 dynes/cm^2

The bulk pump source compliances simulated in VIA contain two air volumes C_{VIA1} and C_{VIA2}. These volumes are adjustable and cover physiological range. The nominal air volume settings used for valve testing are: C_{VIA1} = 120 ml (0.0010 mL/mm Hg); C_{VIA2} = 50 ml (0.1456 mL/mm Hg); aortic root (C_{root}) = 640 mL and systemic arterial compliance C_{per} = 615 mL.
Compliance is defined as the ratio of volume change to pressure change as follows:
$$\text{Compliance} = \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta P \bullet 1333.2}$$

Where:

ΔV = change in contained air volume in ml

ΔP = change in pressure (mm Hg) caused by volume change ΔV

$\Delta P = P_2 - P_1$

P_1 = initial static pressure in mmHg

P_2 = final static pressure in mmHg

Conversion factor: 1 mmHg = 1333.2 Dynes/cm^2

Air volume$_{max}$ values found experimentally that simulate left ventricle, aortic root, and systemic arterial compliance and also calibrated parameters for the reduced-order models using saline as a test fluid (Lee et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2021; Scotten et al. 2022) facilitated realistic pressure and flow wave forms while under pulsatile flow conditions were:

- R_{VIA} = 0.15 mm Hg/mL/s.
- Aortic root C_{VIA1} = 120 ml = 0.1456 mL/mm Hg
- Output compliance C_{VIA2} = 50 ml
- Aortic root C_{root} = 640 ml= 0.0010 mL/mm Hg
- Left ventricle source compliance air volume C_{VIA2} = 640 ml
- Output compliance air volume = 50 ml
- Peripheral systemic C_{per} = 615 ml

Resistance:

Resistance to flow causes frictional loss of energy and flow container chamber radius is the dominant determinant of resistance. In Figure 1, R_{VIA} and R_{per} offer flow resistance. R_{VIA} consists of a micro-porous water filter section which offers a low fixed resistance to flow (200 c.g.s. units). The peripheral resistance R_{per} offers alterable resistance allowing for operator adjustment of end diastole aortic pressure.

Light source;

Phlox® SLLUB Backlight** 50x50mm x 8.5 mm, LED back light source (diffuse red), luminance 5,780 cd/m^2, uniformity 99.24%, wavelength 625±15 nm.

**PHLOX Corp., ZAC de l'Enfant, Aix-en-Provence, France

*S6. A mining tradition dating back to 1911 used canaries in coal mines to detect carbon monoxide and other toxic gases before they hurt humans. In 1906, Dr. John Scott Haldane proceeded to study asphyxia in coal miners in coal miners and proposed that *"miners carry small animals like mice or canaries to detect levels of gas in their working environment"* This practice was in vogue until 1986. (Sekhar KC, Rao SC. John Scott Haldane: The father of oxygen therapy. Indian J Anaesth 2014;58:350-2.) Link

Leonardo^{LNS} test system specifications (Scotten and Siegel 2014; 2015):

- Temporal resolution ≈1.04 µs;
- POVA spatial resolution * ≈ 0.34 mm^2;
- Telecentric lens maintains constant magnification ≈0.16×;
- Working distance ≈18 cm;
- Perspective error <0.3% (depth 15 mm);
- Spatial sensitivity variation <6%;
- LED back light (red):
- Wavelength 625 nm, ±15 nm;
- Uniformity 99.24%;
- Luminance 5,780 cd/m^2;
- Typical linear calibration: y=0.00128x, with R^2=0.9998.
  * Photodiode (Hamamatsu S1723-06) highly resolves spatial features of imaged backlit valve area. With zero input light conditions, the opto-electronic system noise level measures ~3.2 mv$_{rms}$.

S7 -Spatial area resolution of photo diode

Photodiode Approach:

We use a photo diode capable of high resolution sensing of POVA. It has a low noise level of approximately 3.2 mVrms measured under zero light conditions. The photo diode's light-sensitive area is 10 mm x 10 mm. Optics are chosen to achieve a magnification of ~0.16×. The image of the backlit valve is focused onto the photodiode creating an image ~ 6 mm diameter (area of ~0.28 cm^2). A black paper mask with a 7 mm diameter aperture is placed on the front window surface of the photodiode. The purpose of the mask is to prevent extraneous light reflections in the optics from reaching the photo diode, enhancing the accuracy of measurements. The spatial area resolution =

Dark noise (3.2 mv$_{rms}$) × calibrated opto-electronics POVA sensitivity (0.106 mm^2/mv$_{rms}$) = **0.34 mm^2**