1
2   **The slow evolving genome of the xenacoelomorph worm *Xenoturbella bocki***
3

4   Philipp H. Schiffer[1,2,*], Paschalis Natsidis[1], Daniel J. Leite[1,3], Helen E. Robertson[1],
5   François Lapraz[1,4], Ferdinand Marlétaz[1], Bastian Fromm[5], Liam Baudry[6], Fraser
6   Simpson[1], Eirik Høye[7,8], Anne-C. Zakrzewski[1,9], Paschalia Kapli[1], Katharina J.
7   Hoff[10,11], Steven Mueller[1,12], Martial Marbouty[13], Heather Marlow[14], Richard R.
8   Copley[15], Romain Koszul[13], Peter Sarkies[16], Maximilian J. Telford[1,*]
9

10   *corresponding authors: p.schiffer@uni-koeln.de, m.telford@ucl.ac.uk
11

1 Center for Life's Origin and Evolution, Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment,
University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK
2 Institute for Zoology, University of Cologne, 50674 Cologne, Germany
3 Department of Biosciences, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, UK
4 Université Côte D'Azur, CNRS, Inserm, iBV, Nice, France
5 The Arctic University Museum of Norway, UiT – The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway
6 Collège Doctoral, Sorbonne Université, F-75005 Paris, France
7 Department of Tumor Biology, Institute for Cancer Research, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo
University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
8 Institute of Clinical Medicine, Medical Faculty, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
9 Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Invalidenstr. 43,
10115 Berlin, Germany
10 University of Greifswald, Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science, Greifswald, Germany
11 University of Greifswald, Center for Functional Genomics of Microbes, Greifswald, Germany
12 Royal Brompton Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
13 Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris, CNRS UMR3525, Unité Régulation Spatiale des Génomes, F-
75015 Paris, France
14 The University of Chicago, Division of Biological Sciences, Chicago, IL 60637, USA
15 Laboratoire de Biologie du Développement de Villefranche-sur-mer (LBDV), Sorbonne Université,
CNRS, 06230 Villefranche-sur-mer, France
16 Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Abstract

The evolutionary origins of Bilateria remain enigmatic. One of the
more enduring proposals highlights similarities between a
cnidarian-like planula larva and simple acoel-like flatworms. This idea is based in part
on the view of the Xenacoelomorpha as an outgroup to all other bilaterians which are
themselves designated the Nephrozoa (protostomes and deuterostomes). Genome
data, which can help to elucidate phylogenetic relationships and provide important
comparative data, remain sparse for early branching bilaterians. Here we assemble
and analyse the genome of the simple, marine xenacoelomorph *Xenoturbella bocki*, a

key species for our understanding of early bilaterian and deuterostome evolution. Our

highly contiguous genome assembly of *X. bocki* has a size of ~110 Mbp in 18

chromosome like scaffolds, with repeat content, and intron, exon and intergenic space

comparable to other bilaterian invertebrates. We find *X. bocki* to have a similar number

of genes to other bilaterians and to have retained ancestral metazoan synteny. Key

bilaterian signalling pathways are also largely complete and most bilaterian miRNAs

are present. We conclude that *X. bocki* has a complex genome typical of bilaterians,

in contrast to the apparent simplicity of its body plan. Overall, our data do not provide

evidence supporting the idea that Xenacoelomorpha are a primitively simple outgroup

to other bilaterians and gene presence/absence data support a relationship with

Ambulacraria.

Introduction

Xenoturbella bocki (Fig 1) is a morphologically simple marine worm first described

from specimens collected from muddy sediments in the Gullmarsfjord on the West

coast of Sweden. There are now 6 described species of *Xenoturbella* - the only genus

in the higher-level taxon of Xenoturbellida[1]. *X. bocki* was initially included as a species

within the Platyhelminthes[2], but molecular phylogenetic studies have shown that

Xenoturbellida is the sister group of the Acoelomorpha, a second clade of

morphologically simple worms also originally considered Platyhelminthes. Analyses of

phylogenomic data sets have shown that Xenoturbellida and Acoelomorpha constitute

their own phylum, the Xenacoelomorpha[3,4]. The monophyly of Xenacoelomorpha is

convincingly supported by unique amino acid signatures of their Caudal genes[3] and

potentially also in their Hox genes[5].

67   The simplicity of xenacoelomorph species compared to other bilaterians is a

central feature of discussions over their evolution. While Xenacoelomorpha are clearly

monophyletic, their phylogenetic position within the Metazoa has been controversial

for a quarter of a century. There are two broadly discussed scenarios: a majority of

studies have supported a position for Xenacoelomorpha as the sister group of all other

Bilateria (the Protostomia and Deuterostomia, collectively named Nephrozoa)[4]; work

we have contributed to[1,3,6,7], has instead placed Xenacoelomorpha within the Bilateria

as the sister group of the Ambulacraria (Hemichordata and Echinodermata) to form a

clade called the Xenambulacraria[6].

Xenoturbella bocki has neither organized gonads nor a centralized nervous

system. It has a blind gut, no body cavities and lacks nephrocytes[8]. If

Xenacoelomorpha are the sister group to Nephrozoa these character absences can

be interpreted as representing the primitive state of the Bilateria. According to

advocates of the Nephrozoa hypothesis, these and other characters absent in

Xenacoelomorpha must then have evolved in the lineage leading to Nephrozoa after

the divergence of Xenacoelomorpha. More generally there has been a tendency to

interpret Xenacoelomorpha (especially Acoelomorpha) as living approximations of

Urbilateria[9].

An alternative explanation for the simple body plan of xenaceolomorphs is

that it is derived from that of more complex urbilaterian ancestors through a major loss

of morphological characters found in other bilaterians. The loss of morphological

complexity is a common feature of evolution in many animal groups and is typically

associated with new modes of living[10,11] – in particular the adoption of a sessile (sea

squirts, barnacles) or parasitic (neodermatan flatworms, orthonectids) lifestyle,

extreme miniaturization (e.g. tardigrades, orthonectids), or even neoteny (e.g.

flightless hexapods).

In the past some genomic features gleaned from analysis of various

Xenacoelomorpha have been used to test these evolutionary hypotheses. For

example, the common ancestor of the protostomes and deuterostomes has been

reconstructed with approximately 8 Hox genes but only 4 have been found in the

Acoelomorpha (Nemertoderma) and 5 In *Xenoturbella*. This has been interpreted as

a primary absence with the full complement of 8 appearing subsequent to the

divergence of Xenacoelomorpha and Nephrozoa. Similarly, analysis of the microRNAs

(miRNAs) of an acoelomorph, *Symsagittifera roscoffensis*, found that many bilaterian

miRNAs were absent from its genome[12]. Some of the missing bilaterian miRNAs,

however, were subsequently observed in *Xenoturbella*[6].

The only Xenacoelomorpha genomes available to date are from the acoel

Hofstenia miamia[13] – like other Acoelomorpha it shows accelerated sequence

evolution relative to *Xenoturbella*[3] – and from *Praesagittifera naikaiensis*[14]. The

analyses of gene content of *Hofstenia* showed similar numbers of genes and gene

families to other bilaterians[13], while an analysis of the neuropeptide content concluded
that most bilaterian neuropeptides were present in Xenacoelomorpha[15].

In order to infer the characteristics of the ancestral xenacoelomorph genome,
and to complement the data from the Acoelomorpha, here we describe a high-quality
genome of the slowly evolving xenacoelomorph *Xenoturbella bocki*. This allows us to
contribute knowledge of Xenacoelomorpha and *Xenoturbella* in particular with
genomic traits, such as gene content and genome-structure and helping to reconstruct
the genome structure and composition of the ancestral xenacoelomorph.

Results

Assembly of a draft genome of *Xenoturbella bocki*.

We collected *Xenoturbella bocki* specimens (Fig. 1) from the bottom of the fjord close
to the biological field station in Kristineberg (Sweden). These adult specimens were
starved for several days in tubes with artificial sea water, and then sacrificed in lysis
buffer. We extracted high molecular weight (HMW) DNA from single individuals for
each of the different sequencing steps below.

We assembled a high-quality draft genome of *Xenoturbella bocki* using one short
read Illumina library and one TruSeq Synthetic Long Reads (TSLR) Illumina library.
We used a workflow based on a primary assembly with SPAdes (Methods; [16]). The
primary assembly had an N50 of ~62kb over 23,094 contigs and scaffolds spanning
~121Mb. The longest scaffold was 960,978kb.

The final genome was obtained using the redundans pipeline, and Hi-C
scaffolding using the program instaGRAAL (Methods;[17]). The scaffolded genome has
a span of 111 Mbp (117 Mbp including small fragments unincorporated into the HiC
assembly) and an N50 of 2.7 Mbp (for contigs >500bp). The assembly contains 18
megabase-scale scaffolds encompassing 72 Mbp (62%) of the genomic sequence,
with 43% GC content. The original assembly indicated a repeat content of about 25%
after a RepeatModeller based RepeatMasker annotation (Methods). As often seen in
non-model organisms, about 2/3 of the repeats are not classified.

We used BRAKER1[18,19] with extensive RNA-Seq data, and additional single-cell
UTR enriched transcriptome sequencing data to predict 15,154 gene models. 9,575
gene models (63%) are found on the 18 large scaffolds (which represent 62% of the

Figure 1: (a) Schematic drawings of *Xenoturbella bocki* showing the simple body organisation of the marine vermiform animal. (b) A comparison of total length of exons, introns, and intergeneic space in the *X. bocki* genome with other metazoans (data from ref 20). *X. bocki* does not appear to be an outlier in any of theses comparisons.

total sequence). 13,298 of our predicted genes (88%) have RNA-Seq support.
Although at the low end of bilaterian gene counts, we note that our RNA-seq libraries
were all taken from presumably adult animals and thus may not represent the true
complexity of the gene complement. We consider our predicted gene number to be a
lower bound estimate for the true gene content.

The predicted *X. bocki* genes have a median coding length of 873 nt and a mean
length of 1330 nt. Median exon length is 132 nt (mean 212 nt) and median intron length
is 131 nt (mean 394 nt). Genes have a median of 4 exons and a mean of 8.5 exons.
2,532 genes have a single exon and, of these, 1,381 are supported as having a single
exon by RNA-Seq (TPM>1). A comparison of the exon, intron, and intergenic
sequence content in *Xenoturbella* with descriptions of other animal genomes[20] show
that *X. bocki* falls within the range of other similarly sized metazoan genomes (Fig. 1b)
for all these measures.

<u>The genome of a co-sequenced *Chlamydia* species</u>
We recovered the genome of a marine *Chlamydia* species from Illumina data obtained
from one *X. bocki* specimen and from Oxford Nanopore data from a second specimen
supporting previous microscopic analyses and single gene PCRs suggesting that *X.*
bocki is host to a species in the bacterial genus *Chlamydia*. The bacterial genome was
found as 5 contigs spanning 1,906,303 bp (N50 of 1,237,287 bp) which were
assembled into 2 large scaffolds. Using PROKKA[21] we predicted 1,738 genes in this
bacterial genome, with 3 rRNAs, 35 tRNAs, and 1 tmRNA. The genome is 97.5%
complete for bacterial BUSCO[22] genes, missing only one of the 40 core genes.

Marine chlamydiae are not closely related to the group of human pathogens[23]
and we were not able to align the genome of the Chlamydia-related symbioint from *X.*
bocki to the reference strain *Chlamydia trachomatis* F/SW4, nor to *Chlamydophila*
pneumoniae TW-183. To investigate the phylogenetic position of the species co-
occurring with *Xenoturbella*, we aligned the 16S rRNA gene from the *X. bocki*-hosted
Chlamydia with orthologs from related species including sequences of genes amplified
from DNA/RNA extracted from deep sea sediments. The *X. bocki*-hosted *Chlamydia*
belong to a group designated as Simkaniaceae in[23], with the sister taxon in our

2a

Figure 2a: *Xenoturbella bocki* harbours a marine Chlamydiae species as potential symbiont. In the phylogenetic analysis of 16S rDNA (ML: GTR+F+R7; bootstrap values included) the bacteria in our *X. bocki* isolate (arrow) are sister to a previous isolate from *X. westbaldi*. *X. westbaldi* is most likely a mis-identification of *X. bocki*. (b/c) A phylogeny based on presence and absence of genes calculated with OMA. Both analysis (b) and (c) confirm Xenambularcraria, i.e. Xenoturbellida in a group with Echinoderms and Hemichordates. Inclusion of the acoel flat worms places these as sister to all other Bilateria (b). This placement appears an artefact due to the very fast evolution in this taxon, in particular as good evidence exists for uniting Xenoturbellida and Acoela refs 5 and 6.

2b

2c

phylogenetic tree being the *Chlamydia* species previously found in *X. westbladi* (*X.*
westbladi is almost certainly a synonym of *X. bocki*)[24] (Fig. 2a).

To investigate whether the *X. bocki*-hosted Chlamydia might contribute to the
metabolic pathways of its host, we compared the completeness of metabolic pathways
in KEGG for the *X. bocki* genome alone and for the *X. bocki* genome in combination
with the bacteria. We found only slightly higher completeness in a small number of
pathways involved in carbohydrate metabolism, carbon fixation, and amino acid
metabolism (Supplementary) suggesting that the relationship is likely to be
commensal or parasitic rather than a true symbiosis.

A second large fraction of bacterial reads, annotated as Gammaproteobacteria,
were identified and filtered out during the data processing steps. These bacteria were
also previously reported as potential symbionts of *X. bocki*[25]. However, these
sequences were not sufficiently well covered to reconstruct a genome and we did not
investigate them further.

The *X. bocki* molecular toolkit is typical of bilaterians.
The general completeness of the *X. bocki* gene set allowed us to use the presence
and absence of genes identified in our genomes as a source of information to find the
best supported phylogenetic position of the Xenacoelomorpha. We conducted two
separate phylogenetic analyses of gene presence/absence data: one including the
fast evolving Acoelomorpha and one without. In both analyses the best tree grouped
Xenoturbella with the Ambulacraria (Fig. 2b). The analysis including acoels, however,
placed this taxon separate from *Xenoturbella* as the sister-group to Nephrozoa (Fig.
2c). Because other data have shown the monophyly of Xenacoelomorpha to be robust,
we interpret this result as being the result of systematic error caused by a high rate of
gene loss or by orthologs being incorrectly scored as missing due to higher rates of
sequence evolution in acoelomorphs[26].

One of our principal aims was to ask whether the *Xenoturbella* genome lacks
characteristics otherwise present in the Bilateria. We found the set of *X. bocki* proteins
translated from our gene predictions to be 90% complete for the Metazoa gene set in
BUSCO (v5). This estimate is similar to the acoel *Hofstenia miamia*, which was
originally reported to be 90%[13], but in our re-analysis was 95.71%. In comparison, the
morphologically highly simplified and fast evolving annelid *Intoshia linei*[27] has a

genome of fewer than 10,000 genes[28] and in our analysis is only ~64% complete for

the BUSCO (v5) Metazoa set. The model nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* is ~81%

complete for the same set. Despite the morphological simplicity of both *Xenoturbella*,

and even more so the acoel *Hofstenia,* the Xenacoelomorpha show few absences of

core genes compared to other fast evolving bilaterian lineages.

Using our phylogenomic matrix of gene presence/absence (see above) we

identified all orthologs present in any bilaterian and any non-bilaterian; these must

have existed in the bilaterian ancestor. All individual bilaterian genomes were missing

many of these orthologs but Xenacoelomorphs and some other derived bilaterians

lacked more of these than most other taxa. Average number of these genes present

in bilaterians = 7577; *Xenoturbella* = 5459; *Hofstenia* = 5438; *Praesagittifera* = 4280;

Drosophila = 4844; *Caenorhabditis* = 4323.

To better profile the *Xenoturbella* and xenacoelomorph molecular toolkit, we

used OrthoFinder to conduct orthology searches in a comparison of 155 metazoan

and outgroup species, including the transcriptomes of the sister species *X. profunda*

and an early draft genome of the acoel *Paratomella rubra* we had available*,* as well as

the *Hofstenia* and *Praesagittifera* proteomes (Supplementary online material). For

each species, we counted, in each of the three Xenacoelomorphs, the number of

orthogroups for which a gene was present. The proportion of orthogroups containing

an *X. bocki* and *X. profunda* protein (87.4% and 89.2%) are broadly similar to the

proportions seen in other well characterised genomes, for example *S. purpuratus*

proteins (93.8%) or *N. vectensis* proteins (84.3%) (Fig 3a). In this analysis, the fast-

evolving nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* appears as an outlier, with only ~64% of

its proteins in orthogroups and ~35% unassigned. Both *Xenoturbella* species have an

intermediate number of unassigned genes of ~11-12%. Similarly, the proportion of

species-specific genes (~14% of all genes) corresponds closely to what is seen in

most other species (with the exception of the parasitic annelid *I. linei*, Fig. 3a).

<u>Idiosyncrasies of *Xenoturbella*</u>

In order to identify sets of orthologs specific to the two *Xenoturbella* species we used

the kinfin software[29] and found 867 such groups in the OrthoFinder clustering. We

profiled these genes based on Pfam domains and GO terms derived from

Figure 3: (a) In our orthology screen *X. bocki* shows similar percentages of genes in orthogroups, un-assigned genes, and species-specific orthogroups as other well-annotated genomes. (b) The number of family members per species in major gene families (based on Pfam domains), like transcription factors, fluctuates in evolution. The *X. bocki* genome does not appear to contain particularly less or more genes in any of the analysed families. (c) Cell signalling pathways in *X. bocki* are functionally complete, but in comparison to other species contain less genes. The overall completeness is not significantly different to, for example, the nematode *C. elegans* (inset, t-test).

t-test

Species 1	Species 2	p-value
X. bocki	*H. miamia*	0.9448
X. bocki	*S. kowalevskii*	**0.0001974**
X. bocki	*S. purpuratus*	**0.0004118**
X. bocki	*C. intestinalis*	**0.0003404**
X. bocki	*B. floridae*	**0.004928**
X. bocki	*C. elegans*	0.3893
X. bocki	*D. melanogaster*	**0.0004194**
X. bocki	*I. linei*	0.2469
X. bocki	*N. vectensis*	**0.00277**
X. bocki	*H. vulgaris*	0.06593
X. bocki	*T. adhaerens*	0.4552
X. bocki	*A. queenslandica*	**0.001896**
X. bocki	*C. owczarzaki*	0.1184
X. bocki	*S. cerevisiae*	**0.007309**

InterProScan. While these *Xenoturbella* specific proteins fall into diverse classes, we

did see a considerable number of C-type lectin, Immunoglobulin-like, PAN, and Kringle

domain containing Pfam annotations. Along with the Cysteine-rich secretory protein

family and the G-protein coupled receptor activity GO terms, these genes and families

of genes will be important for future studies into *Xenoturbella* biology in its native

environment.

<u>Gene families and signaling pathways are retained in *X. bocki*</u>

In our orthology clustering we did not see an inflation of *Xenoturbella*-specific groups

in comparison to other taxa, but also no conspicuous absence of major gene families

(Fig. 3b). Family numbers of transcription factors like Zinc-fingers or homeobox-

containing genes, as well as, for example, NACHT-domain encoding genes seem to

be neither drastically inflated nor contracted in comparison to other species in our

InterProScan based analysis.

To catalogue the completeness of cell signalling pathways we screened the *X.*

bocki proteome against KEGG pathway maps using GenomeMaple[30]. The *X. bocki*

gene set is largely complete in regard to core proteins of these pathways, while an

array of effector proteins is absent (Fig. 3c). In comparison to other metazoan species,

as well as a unicellular choanoflagellate and a yeast, the *X. bocki* molecular toolkit has

significantly lower KEGG completeness than morphologically complex animals such

as the sea urchin and amphioxus (t-test; Fig. 3c). *Xenoturbella* is, however, not

significantly less complete when compared to other bilaterians considered to have low

morphological complexity and which have been shown to have reduced gene content,

such as *C. elegans*, the annelid parasite *Intoshia linei*, or the acoel *Hofstenia miamia*

(Fig. 3c).

<u>Clustered homeobox genes in the *X. bocki* genome</u>

Acoelomorph flatworms possess three dispersed HOX genes, orthologs of anterior

(Hox1), central (Hox4/5 or Hox5) and posterior Hox (HoxP). In contrast, previous

analysis of *X. bocki* transcriptomes identified one anterior, three central and one

posterior Hox genes. We identified in *Xenoturbella* clear evidence of a syntenic Hox

cluster with four Hox genes (antHox1, centHox1, centHox3 and postHox) in the *X.*

bocki genome (Fig. 4). There was also evidence of a fragmented annotation of
centHox2, split between the Hox cluster and a separate scaffold (Fig. 4). In summary,
this suggests that all five Hox genes form a Hox cluster in the *X. bocki* genome, but
that there are possible unresolved assembly errors disrupting the current annotation.
We also identified other homeobox genes on the Hox cluster scaffold, including Evx
(Fig. 4a).

Along with the Hox genes, we also surveyed other homeobox genes that are
often clustered. The canonical bilaterian paraHox cluster contains three genes Cdx,
Xlox (=Pdx) and Gsx. We identified Cdx and a new Gsx annotation on the same
scaffold, as well as a previously reported Gsx paralog on a separate scaffold. This
indicates partial retention of the paraHox cluster in *X. bocki* along with a further
duplication of Gsx. On both of these paraHox containing scaffolds we observed other
homeobox genes.

Hemichordates and chordates have a conserved cluster of genes involved in
patterning their pharyngeal pores - the so-called 'pharyngeal cluster'. The homeobox
genes of this cluster (Msxlx, Nk2-1/2/4/8) were present on a single *X. bocki* scaffold.
Another pharyngeal cluster transcription factor, the Forkhead containing Foxa, and
'bystander' genes from that cluster including Egln, Mipol1 and Slc25a21 are found in
the same genomic region. Different sub-parts of the cluster are found in non-bilaterians
and protostomes and the cluster may well be plesiomorphic for the Bilateria rather
than a deuterostome synapomorphy[31].

<u>The *X. bocki* neuropeptide complement is larger than previously thought</u>
A catalogue of acoelomorph neuropeptides was previously described using
transcriptome data[32]. We have discovered 12 additional neuropeptide genes and 39
new neuropeptide receptors in *X.bocki* adding 6 bilaterian peptidergic systems to the
Xenoturbella catalogue (NPY-F ; MCH/Asta-C ; TRH ; ETH ; CCHa/Nmn-B ; Np-
S/CCAP), and 6 additional bilaterian systems to the Xenacoelomorpha catalogue
(Corazonin ; Kiss/GPR54 ; GPR83 ; 7B2 ; Trunk/PTTH ; NUCB2) making a total of 31
peptidergic systems (Fig. 4, Supplementary).

Among the ligand genes, we identify 6 new repeat-containing sequences. One
of these, the LRIGamide-peptide, had been identified in Nemertodermatida and

Figure 4: (a) *X. bocki* has 5 HOX genes, which are located in relatively close proximity on one of our chromosome size scaffolds. Similar clusters exist for the ParaHox and "pharyngeal" genes. Numbers between genes are distance (below) and number of genes between (below). Colours indicate gene families. Red box marks the position of a partial Hox gene. The "?" gene has an unresolved homeodomain identity. (b) We found a specific prokineticin ligand signature sequence in *X. bocki*, which was previously reported for Ecdysozoa and Chordata, as well as a "K/R-RFP-K/R", sequence shared only by ambulacrarians and *X. bocki*. Signature previously reported for Ecdysozoa and Chordata, as well as new signatures we found in Spiralia and Cnidaria is absent from ambulacrarian and X. bocki prokineticin ligand sequences. (c) The revised microRNA complement of *X. bocki* has a near complete set of metazoan, bilaterian and deuterostome families and genes. Presence (color) and absence (black) of microRNA families (column), paralogue numbers (values & heatmap coloring) organized in node-specific blocks in a range of representative protostome and deuterostome species compared with *Xenoturbella* (species from MirGeneDB 2.1 - Fromm et al 2021). The bottom row depicts 2011 complement by Philippe et al 2011 (blue numbers on black depict detected miRNA reads, but lack of genomic evidence). Red "x" in pink box highlights the lack of evidence for an ambulacraria-specific microRNA in *X. bocki*.

Acoela and its loss in *Xenoturbella* was proposed[32]. We also identify the first 7B2

neuropeptide and NucB2/Nesfatin genes in Xenacoelomorpha. Finally, we identified 3

new *X.bocki* insulin-like peptides, one of them showing sequence similarity and an

atypical cysteine pattern shared with the Ambulacrarian octinsulin, constituting a

potential synapomorphy of Xenambulacraria (see Supplementary).

Our searches also revealed the presence of components of the arthropod

moulting pathway components (PTTH/trunk, NP-S/CCAP and Bursicon receptors),

which have recently been shown to be of ancient origin (de Oliveira et al., 2019). We

further identified multiple paralogs for, e.g the Tachykinin, Rya/Luquin, tFMRFa,

Corazonin, Achatin, CCK, and Prokineticin receptor families. Two complete *X. bocki*

Prokineticin ligands were also found in our survey (see Supplementary).

Chordate Prokineticin ligands possess a conserved N-terminal "AVIT" sequence

required for the receptor activation[33]. This sequence is absent in arthropod Astakine,

which instead possess two signature sequences within their Prokineticin domain [34].

To investigate Prokineticin ligands in Xenacoelomorpha we compared the sequences

of their prokineticin ligands with those of other bilaterians (Fig. 4b, Supplementary).

Our alignment reveals clade specific signatures already reported in Ecdysozoa and

Chordata sequences, but also two new signatures specific to Lophotrochozoa and

Cnidaria sequences, as well as a very specific "K/R-RFP-K/R" signature shared only

by   ambulacrarian   and   *Xenoturbella   bocki*   sequences.   The   shared

Ambulacrarian/Xenacoelomorpha signature is found at the same position as the

Chordate sequence involved in receptor activation - adjacent to the N-terminus of the

Prokineticin domain (Fig. 4b).

The *X. bocki* genome contains most bilaterian miRNAs reported missing from

acoels.

microRNAs have previously been used to investigate the phylogenetic position of the

acoels and *Xenoturbella.* The acoel *Symsagittifera roscoffensis* lacks protostome and

bilaterian miRNAs and this lack was interpreted as supporting the position of acoels

as sister-group to the Nephrozoa. Based on shallow 454 microRNA sequencing (and

sparse genomic traces) of *Xenoturbella*, some of the bilaterian miRNAs missing from

acoels were found - 16 of the 32 expected metazoan (1 miRNA) and bilaterian (31

miRNAs) microRNA families – of which 6 could be identified in genome traces[6].

By deep sequencing two independent small RNA samples, we have now

identified the majority of the missing metazoan and bilaterian microRNAs and

identified them in the genome assembly (Fig. 4c). Altogether, we found 23 out of 31

bilaterian microRNA families (35 genes including duplicates); the single known

Metazoan microRNA family (MIR-10) in 2 copies; the Deuterostome-specific MIR-103;

and 7 *Xenoturbella*-specific microRNAs giving a total of 46 microRNA genes. None of

the protostome-specific miRNAs were found and we could not confirm in the RNA

sequences or new assembly a previously identified, and supposedly

xenambulacrarian-specific MIR-2012 ortholog.

The *X. bocki* genome retains ancestral metazoan linkage groups.

The availability of chromosome-scale genomes has made it possible to reconstruct 24

ancestral linkage units broadly preserved in bilaterians[35]. In fast-evolving genomes,

such as those of nematodes, tunicates or platyhelminths, these ancestral linkage

groups (ALGs) are often dispersed and/or extensively fused (Supplementary). We

were interested to test if the general conservation of the gene content in *X. bocki* is

reflected in its genome structure.

We compared the genome of *Xenoturbella* to several other metazoan genomes

and found that it has retained most of these ancestral bilaterian units: 12

chromosomes in the *X. bocki* genome derive from a single ALG, five chromosomes

are made of the fusion of two ALGs, and one *Xenoturbella* chromosome is a fusion of

three ALGs, as highlighted with the comparison of ortholog content with amphioxus,

the sea urchin and the sea scallop (Fig. 5 and Supplementary).

One ancestral linkage group that has been lost in chordates but not in

ambulacrarians (such as sea urchin, ALG R) is detectable in *X. bocki* (Fig. 5), while *X.*

bocki does not show the fusions that are characteristic of lophotrochozoans.

We also attempted to detect some pre-bilaterian arrangement of ancestral

linkage: for instance, ref [36] predicted that several pre-bilaterian linkage groups

successfully fused in the bilaterian lineage to give ALGs A1, Q and E. These are all

represented as a single unit in *X. bocki* in common with other Bilateria and ultimately,

we found none of the inferred pre-bilaterian chromosomal arrangements in *X. bocki*

that could have provided support for the Nephrozoa hypothesis.

<u>One *X. bocki* chromosomal fragment appears aberrant</u>
The smallest of the 18 large scaffolds in the *X. bocki* genome did not show strong 1:1
clustering with any scaffold/chromosome of the bilaterian species we compared it to.
To exclude potential outside contamination in the assembly as a source for this contig
we examined the orthogroups to which the genes from this scaffold belong. We found
that *Xenoturbella profunda*[37], for which a transcriptome is available, was the species
that most often occurred in the same orthogroup with genes from this scaffold (41
shared orthogroups), suggesting the scaffold is not a contaminant.

We did observe links between the aberrant scaffold and several scaffolds from
the genome of the sponge *E. muelleri* in regard to synteny (Supplementary). In line
with this, genes on the scaffold show a different age structure compared to other
scaffolds, with both more older genes (pre bilaterian) and more *Xenoturbella* specific
genes (Fig. 5b; supported by Ks statistics, Supplementary). The scaffold also had
significantly lower levels of methylation than the rest of the genome (Supplementary).

379
DISCUSSION
The phylogenetic positions of *Xenoturbella* and the Acoelomorpha have been
controversial since the first molecular data appeared over twenty five years ago. Today
we understand that they constitute a monophyletic group of morphologically simple
worms[1,6,38], but there remains a disagreement over whether they represent a
secondarily simplified sister group of the Ambulacraria or a primitively simple sister
group to all other Bilateria (and can be interpreted as evolutionary intermediates
between the eumetazoan and nephrozoan common ancestors).

Previous analyses of the content of genomes, especially of Acoela, have been
used to bolster the latter view, with the small number of Hox genes and of microRNAs
of acoels interpreted as representing an intermediate stage on the path to the ~8 Hox
genes and 30 odd microRNAs of the Nephrozoa. A strong version of the Nephrozoa
idea would go further than these examples and anticipate, for example, a genome
wide paucity of bilaterian genes, GRNs and biochemical pathways and/or an
arrangement of chromosomal segments intermediate between that of the Eumetazoa
and Nephrozoa.

5a

5b

Figure 5: (a) A comparison of scaffolds in the *X. bocki* genome with other Metazoa. 17 of the 18 large scaffolds in the *X. bocki* genome are linked via synteny to distinct chromosomal scaffolds in these species. (b) Phylostratigraphic age distribution of genes on all major scaffolds in the *X. bocki* genome. One scaffold, which showed no synteny to a distinct chromosomal scaffold in the other metazoan species also had a divergent gene age structure in comparison to other *X. bocki* scaffolds.

One criticism of the results from analyses of acoel genomes is that the
Acoelomorpha have evolved rapidly (their long branches in phylogenetic trees
showing high rates of sequence change). This rapid evolution might plausibly be
expected to correlate with other aspects of rapid genome evolution such as higher
rates of gene loss and chromosomal rearrangements leading to significant differences
from other Bilateria. The much more normal rates of sequence evolution observed in
Xenoturbella therefore recommend it as a more appropriate xenacoelomorph to study
with fewer apomorphic characters expected.

We have sequenced, assembled, and analysed a draft genome of *Xenoturbella*
bocki. To help with annotation of the genome we have also sequenced miRNAs and
small RNAs as well as using bisulphite sequencing, Hi-C and Oxford nanopore. We
compared the gene content of the *Xenoturbella* genome to species across the
Metazoa and its genome structure to several other high-quality draft animal genomes.

We found the *X. bocki* genome to be fairly compact, but not unusually reduced
in size compared to many other bilaterians. It appears to contain a similar number of
genes (~15,000) as other animals, for example from the model organisms *D.*
melanogaster (>14,000) and *C. elegans (~20,000)*. The BUSCO completeness, as
well as a high level of representation of *X. bocki* proteins in the orthogroups of our 155
species orthology screen (discussed in detail below) indicates that we have annotated
a near complete gene set. Surprisingly, there are fewer genes than in the acoel
Hofstenia (>22,000; BUSCO score ~95%). This said, of the genes found in Urbilateria
(orthogroups in our presence/absence analysis containing a member from both a
bilaterian and an outgroup) *Xenoturbella* and *Hofstenia* have very similar numbers
(5459 and 5438 respectively). Gene, intron and exon lengths also fall in a range seen
in many other invertebrate species[20]. It thus seems that basic genomic features in
Xenoturbella are not anomalous among Bilateria. Unlike some extremely simplified
animals, such as orthonectids, we observe no extreme reduction in gene content.

All classes of homeodomain transcription factors have previously been reported
to exist in Xenacoelomorpha[39]. We have identified 5 HOX-genes in *X. bocki* at least
four, and probably all five of these are on one chromosomal scaffold within 187 Kbp.
X.bocki also has the parahox genes Gsx and Cdx; while Xlox/pdx is not found, it is
present in Cnidarians and must therefore have been lost[40]. If block duplication models

of Hox and Parahox evolutionary relationships are correct, the presence of a complete

set of parahox genes implies the existence of their Hox paralogs in the ancestor of

Xenacoelomorphs suggesting the xenacoelomorph ancestor also possessed a Hox 3

ortholog. If anthozoans also have an ortholog of bilaterian Hox 2[41], this must also have

been lost from Xenacoelomorphs. The minimal number of Hox genes in the

xenacoelomorph stem lineage was therefore probably 7 (AntHox1, lost Hox2, lost Hox

3, CentHox 1, CentHox 2, CentHox 3 and postHoxP).

Based on early sequencing technology and without a reference genome

available, it was thought that Acoelomorpha lack many bilaterian microRNAs. Using

deep sequencing of small RNAs and our high-quality genome, we have shown that

Xenoturbella shows a near-complete metazoan and bilaterian set of miRNAs including

the single deuterostome-specific miRNA family (MIR-103) (Figure X). The low number

of differential family losses of *Xenoturbella* (8 of 31 bilaterian miRNA families) inferred

is equal to that of the flatworm *Schmidtea,* and substantially lower than the rotifer

Brachionus (which has lost 14 bilaterian families). It is worth mentioning that *X. bocki*

shares the absence of one miRNA family (MIR-216) with all Ambulacrarians although

if Deuterostomia are paraphyletic this could be interpretable as a primitive state[31].

The last decade has seen a re-evaluation of our understanding of the evolution

of the neuropeptide signaling genes[42,43]. The peptidergic systems are thought to have

undergone a diversification that produced approximately 30 systems in the bilaterian

common ancestor[42,43]. Our study identified 31 neuropeptide systems in *X.bocki* and

for all of these either the ligand, receptor, or both are also present in both protostomes

and deuterostomes indicating conservation across Bilateria. It is likely that more

ligands (which are short and variable) remain to be found with enhanced detection

methods. It appears that the *Xenoturbella* genome contains a nearly complete

bilaterian neuropeptide complement with no signs of simplification but rather signs of

expansions of certain gene families and reveals a new potential synapomorphy with

Ambulacraria (Fig 4 and Supplementary).

We have used the predicted presence and absence of genes across a selection

of metazoan genomes as characters for phylogenetic analyses. Our trees re-confirm

the findings of recent phylogenomic gene alignment studies in linking *Xenoturbella* to

the Ambulacraria. We also used these data to test different bilaterians for their

propensity to lose otherwise conserved genes (or for our inability to identify

orthologs[26]). While the degree of gene loss appears similar between *Xenoturbella* and

acoels, the phylogenetic analysis shows longer branches leading to the acoels most

likely due to faster evolution and some degree of gene loss in the branch leading to

the Acoelomorpha.

This pattern of conservation of evolutionarily old parts of the Metazoan genome

is further reinforced by the retention in *Xenoturbella* of linkage groups present from

sponges to vertebrates. It is interesting to note that as a morphologically simplified

organism *X. bocki* would not follow the pattern of for example nematodes and

Platyhelminthes, which have lost or fused the ancestral units of conservation. We

interpret this to be a signal of comparably slower genomic evolution in *Xenoturbella* in

comparison to some derived protostome lineages. The fragmented genome sequence

of *Hofstenia* prevents us from asking whether the ancient linkage groups have also

been preserved in the Acoelomorpha.

One of the chromosome-scale scaffolds in our assembly showed a different

methylation and age signal, with more younger genes, and also no clear relationship

to metazoan linkage groups. By analyzing orthogroups of genes on this scaffold for

their phylogenetic signal and finding *X. bocki* genes to cluster with those of *X. profunda*

we concluded that the scaffold most likely does not represent a contamination. It

remains unclear whether this scaffold is a fast-evolving chromosome, or a

chromosomal fragment or arm. Very fast evolution on a chromosomal arm has for

example been shown in the zebrafish[44].

Apart from DNA from *X. bocki* we also obtained a highly contiguous genome of

a species related to marine *Chlamydia* species (known from microscopy to exist in *X.*

bocki); a symbiotic relationship between the species and the bacteria has been

thought possible[45] The large gene number and the completeness of genetic pathways

we found in the chlamydial genome do not support an endosymbiotic relationship.

Overall, we have shown that, while *Xenoturbella* has lost some genes - in

addition to the reduced number of Hox genes previously noted, we observe a reduction

of some signaling pathways to the core components - in general, the *X. bocki* genome

is not strikingly simpler than many other bilaterian genomes. Some degree of

secondary absence is in fact expected as our analysis of the gene presence/absence

matrix shows Xenacoelomorpha have lost a greater number of genes we know to have

existed in Urbilateria than have most other bilaterians.

We do not find support for a strong version of the Nephrozoa hypothesis which

would predict many missing bilaterian genes. Bilaterian Hox and microRNA absent

from Aceolomorpha are found in *Xenoturbella* removing two character types that were

previously used in support of Nephrozoa. The *Xenoturbella* genome has also largely

retained the ancestral linkage groups found in other bilaterians and does not represent

a structure intermediate between Eumetazoan and bilaterian ground states. Overall,

while we can rule out a strong version of the Nephrozoa hypothesis, our analysis of

the *Xenoturbella* genome cannot distinguish between a weaker version of Nephrozoa

(with character absences being typically primary) and the Xenambulacraria topology

(with character absences being mostly secondary); nevertheless, our phylogenetic

analysis of gene presence and absence supports the latter.

Methods

Genome Sequencing, Assembly, and Scaffolding

We extracted DNA from individual *Xenoturbella* specimens with Phenol-

Chloroform protocols and Qiagen kits. Worms were first starved and kept in repeatedly

replaced salt water, reducing the likelihood of food or other contaminants in the DNA

extractions. Initially, we sequenced Illumina short paired-end reads and mate pair

libraries. As the initial paired-read datasets were of low complexity and coverage we

later complement this data with an Illumina HiSeq 4000 paired-end dataset with ~700

bp insert size and 250bp read lengths, yielding ~354M reads. Additionally, we

generated ~40M Illumina TruSeq Synthetic Long Reads (TSLR) for high confidence

primary scaffolding.

After read cleaning with Trimmomatic v.0.38[46] we conducted initial test assemblies

using the clc assembly cell v.5 and ran the blobtools pipeline[47] to screen for

contamination. Not detecting any significant numbers of reads from suspicious

sources in the HiSeq 4000 dataset we used SPAdes v. 3.9.0[16] to correct and assemble

a first draft genome. We also tried to use dipSPAdes but found the runtime to exceed

several weeks without finishing. Thus, we submitted SPAdes assembly to the

redundans pipeline to eliminate duplicate contigs and to scaffold with all available mate

pair libraries. The resulting assembly was then further scaffolded with the aid of

assembled transcripts (see below) in the BADGER pipeline[48]. In this way we were able

to obtain a draft genome with ~60kb N50 that could be scaffolded to chromosome

scale super-scaffolds with the use of 3C data.

<u>Preparation of the Hi-C libraries</u>

The Hi-C protocol was adapted from refs[49] and[50]. Briefly, an animal was chemically

cross-linked for one hour at room temperature. Formaldehyde was quenched for 20

min at RT by adding 10 ml of 2.5 M glycine. The fixed animal was recovered through

centrifugation and stored at -80°C until use. For library preparation, the animal was

transferred to a VK05 Precellys tubes in 1X DpnII buffer (NEB) and the tissues were

disrupted using the Precellys Evolution homogenizer (Bertin-Instrument). SDS was

added to the lysate and the tubes were incubated at 65°C for 20 minutes followed by

an incubation at 37°C for 30 minutes and an incubation of 30 minutes after adding 50

μL of 20% triton-X100. 150 units of the DpnII restriction enzyme were then added and

the tubes were incubated overnight at 37°C. The tubes were then centrifuged and

pellets were re-suspended in 200 μl NE2 1X buffer and pooled. DNA ends were

labeled using 50 μl NE2 10X buffer, 37.5 μl 0.4 mM dCTP-14-biotin, 4.5 μl 10mM

dATP-dGTP-dTTP mix, 10 μl klenow 5 U/μL and incubation at 37°C for 45 minutes.

The labeling mix was then transferred to ligation reaction tubes (1.6 ml ligation buffer;

160 μl ATP 100 mM; 160 μl BSA 10 mg/mL; 50 μl ligase 5U/μl; 13.8 ml H2O) and

incubated at 16°C for 4 hours. A proteinase K mix was added to each tube and

incubated overnight at 65°C. DNA was then extracted, purified and processed for

sequencing. Hi-C libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq 500 (2 × 75 bp, paired-end

using custom made oligonucleotides[50]). Libraries were prepared separately on two

individuals in this way but eventually merged.

<u>InstaGRAAL assembly pre-processing</u>

The primary Illumina assembly contains a number of very short contigs, which are

disruptive when computing the contact distribution needed for the instaGRAAL

proximity ligation scaffolding (see[50] and[17] for details). Testing several Nx metrics we

found a relative length threshold, that depends on the scaffolds' length distribution, to

be a good compromise between the need for a low-noise contact distribution and the
aim of connecting most of the genome. We found N90 a suitable threshold and
excluded contigs below 1,308 bp. This also ensured no scaffolds shorter than three
times the average length of a DpnII restriction fragment (RF) were in the assembly. In
this way every contig contained enough RFs for binned and were included in the
scaffolding step.

Reads from both libraries were aligned with bowtie2 (v. 2.2.5)[51] against the
DpnII RFs of the reference assembly using the hicstuff pipeline
(https://github.com/koszullab/hicstuff) and in paired-end mode (with the options: -fg-
maxins 5 -fg-very-sensitive-local), with a mapping quality >30. The pre-processed
genome was reassembled using instaGRAAL. Briefly, the program uses a Markov
Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method that samples DNA segments (or bins) of the
assembly for their best relative 1D positions with respect to each other. The quality of
the positions is assessed by fitting the contact data first on a simple polymer model,
then on the plot of contact frequency according to genomic distance law computed
from the data. The best relative position of a DNA segment with respect to one of its
most likely neighbors consists in operations such as flips, swaps, merges or split of
contigs. Each operation is either accepted or rejected based on the computed
likelihood, resulting in an iterative progression toward the 1D structure that best fits
the contact data. Once the entire set of DNA segments is sampled for position (i.e. a
cycle), the process starts over. The scaffolder was run independently for 50 cycles,
long enough for the chromosome structure to converge. The corresponding genome
is then considered stable and suitable for further analyses. The scaffolded assemblies
were then refined using instaGRAAL's instaPolish module, to correct small artefactual
inversions that are sometimes a byproduct of instaGRAAL's processing.

Genome Annotation
<u>Transcriptome Sequencing</u>
We extracted total RNA from a single *X. bocki* individual and sequenced a strand
specific Illumina paired end library. The resulting transcriptomic reads were assembled
with the Trinity pipeline[52,53] for initial control and then supplied to the genome
annotation pipeline (below).

Repeat annotation

In the absence of a repeat library for Xenoturbellida we first used RepeatModeller

v. 1.73 to establish a library *de novo*. We then used RepeatMasker v. 4.1.0

(https://www.repeatmasker.org) and the Dfam library[54,55] to soft-mask the genome.

We mapped the repeats to the instaGRAAL scaffolded genome with RepeatMasker.

Gene prediction and annotation

We predicted genes using Augustus[56] implemented into the BRAKER (v.2.1.0)

pipeline[18,19] to incorporate the RNA-Seq data. BRAKER uses spliced aligned RNA-

Seq reads to improve training accuracy of the gene finder GeneMark-ET[57].

Subsequently, a highly reliable gene set predicted by GeneMark-ET in *ab initio* mode

was selected to train the gene finder AUGUSTUS, which in a final step predicted

genes with evidence from spliced aligned RNA-Seq reads. To make use of additional

single cell transcriptome data allowing for a more precise prediction of 3'-UTRs we

employed a production version of BRAKER (August 2018 snapshot). We had

previously mapped the RNA-Seq data to the genome with gmap-gsnap v. 2018-07-

04[58] and used samtools[59] and bamtools[60] to create the necessary input files. This

process was repeated in an iterative way, visually validating gene structures and

comparing with mappings loci inferred from the single cell data, in particular in regard

to fused genes. Completeness of the gene predictions was independently assessed

with BUSCO[22] setting the eukaryote dataset as reference on gVolante[61]. We used

InterProScan v. 5.27-66.0 standalone[62,63] on the UCL cluster to annotate the predicted

X. bocki proteins with Pfam, SUPERFAM, PANTHER, and Gene3D information.

Horizontal Gene Transfer

To detect horizontally acquired genes in the *X. bocki* genome we made use of a

pipeline available from (https://github.com/reubwn/hgt). Briefly, this uses blast against

the NCBI database, alignments with MAFFT[64], and phylogenetic inferences with

IQTree[65,66] to infer most likely horizontally acquired genes, while trying to discard

contamination (e.g. from co-sequenced gut microbiota).

 Orthology inference

We included 155 metazoan species and outgroups into our orthology analysis. We

either downloaded available proteomes or sourced RNA-Seq reads from online

repositories to then use Trinity v 2.8.5 and Trinnotate v. 3.2.0 to predict protein sets.

In the latter case we implemented diamond v. 2.0.0 blast[67,68] searches against UniProt

and Pfam[69] hmm screens against the Pfam-A dataset into the prediction process. We

had initially acquired 185 datasets, but excluded some based on inferior BUSCO

completeness, while at the same time aimed to span as many phyla as possible.

Orthology was then inferred using Orthofinder v. 2.2.7[70,71], again with diamond as the

blast engine.

Using InterProScan v. 5.27-66.0 standalone on all proteomes we added

functional annotation and then employed kinfin[29] to summarise and analyse the

orthology tables. For the kinfin analysis, we tested different query systems in regard

to phylogenetic groupings (Supplementary).

To screen for inflation and contraction of gene families we first employed

CAFE5[72], but found the analysis to suffer from long branches and sparse taxon

sampling in Xenambulacraria. We thus chose to query individual gene families (e.g.

transcription factors) by looking up Pfam annotations in the InterProScan tables of

high-quality genomes in our analysis.

Through the GenomeMaple online platform we calculated completeness of

signaling pathways within the KEGG database using GhostX as the search engine.

Presence/absence phylogenetics

We used a database of metazoan proteins, updated from ref [73], as the basis for

an OMA analysis to calculate orthologous groups, performing two separate runs, one

including *Xenoturbella* and acoels, and one with only *Xenoturbella*. We converted

OMA gene OrthologousMatrix.txt files into binary gene presence absence matrices in

Nexus format with datatype = restriction. We calculated phylogenetic trees on these

matrices using RevBayes (see https://github.com/willpett/metazoa-gene-content for

RevBayes script), as described in ref [74], with corrections for no absent sites and no

singleton presence. For each matrix, two runs were performed and compared and

consensus trees generated with bpcomp from Phylobayes[75].

 Hox and ParaHox gene cluster identification and characterisation

Previous work has already used transcriptomic data and phylogenetic inference

to identify the homeobox repertoire in *Xenoturbella bocki*. These annotations were

used to identify genomic positions and gene annotations that correspond to Hox and

ParaHox clusters in *X. bocki*. Protein sequences of homeodomains (Evx, Cdx, Gsx,

antHox1, centHox1, centHox2, cent3 and postHoxP) were used as TBLASTN queries

to initially identify putative scaffolds associated with Hox and ParaHox clusters. Gene

models from these scaffolds were compared to the full length annotated homeobox

transcripts from[76] using BLASTP, using hits over 95% identity for homeobox

classification. There were some possible homeodomain containing genes on the

scaffolds that were not previously characterised and where therefore not given an

annotation.

There were issues concerning the assignment of postHoxP and Evx to gene

models. To ascertain possible CDS regions for these genes, RNA-Seq reads were

mapped with HISAT2 to the scaffold and to previous annotation[76],were assembled

with Trinity and these were combined with BRAKER annotations.

Some issues were also observed with homeodomain queries matching genomic

sequences that were identical, suggesting artifactual duplications. To investigate

contiguity around genes the ONT reads were aligned with Minimap2 to capture long

reads over regions and coverage.

Small RNA Sequencing and Analysis

Two samples of starved worms were subjected to 5' monophosphate dependent

sequencing of RNAs between 15 and 36 nucleotides in length, according to previously

described methods[77]. Using miRTrace[78] 3.3 18.6 million high-quality reads were

extracted and merged with the 27 635 high quality 454 sequencing reads from Philippe

et al. The genome sequence was screened for conserved miRNA precursors using

MirMachine (Umu et al in prep; https://github.com/sinanugur/MirMachine) followed by

a MirMiner run that used predicted precursors and processed and merged reads on

the genome[79]. Outputs of MirMachine and MirMiner were manually curated using a

uniform system for the annotation of miRNA genes[80] and by comparing to

MirGeneDB[81].

<u>Neuropeptide prediction and screen</u>

Neuropeptide prediction was conducted on the full set of *X.bocki* predicted proteins using two strategies to detect neuropeptide sequence signatures. First, using a custom script detecting the occurrence of repeated sequence patterns: RRx(3,36)RRx(3,36)RRx(3,36)RR,RRx(2,35)ZRRx(2,35)ZRR, RRx(2,35)GRRx(2,35)GRR, RRx(1,34)ZGRRx(1,34)ZGRR where R=K or R ; x=any amino acid ; Z=any amino acid but repeated within the pattern. Second, using HMMER3.1[82] (hmmer.org), and a combination of neuropeptide HMM models obtained from the PFAM database (pfam.xfam.org) as well as a set of custom HMM models derived from alignment of curated sets of neuropeptide sequences[42,43,83]. Sequences retrieved using both methods and comprising fewer than 600 amino acids were further validated. First, by blast analysis: sequences with E-Value ratio "best blast hit versus ncbi nr database/best blast hit versus curated neuropeptide dataset" < 1e-40 were discarded. Second by reciprocal best blast hit clustering using Clans[84] (eb.tuebingen.mpg.de/protein-evolution/software/clans/) with a set of curated neuropeptide sequences[42]. SignalP-5.0[85] (cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) was used to detect the presence of a signal peptide in the curated list of predicted neuropeptide sequences while Neuropred[86] (stagbeetle.animal.uiuc.edu/cgi-bin/neuropred.py) was used to detect cleavage sites and post-translational modifications. Sequence homology of the predicted sequence with known groups was analysed using a combination of (i) blast sequence similarity with known bilaterian neuropeptide sequences, (ii) reciprocal best blast hit clustering using Clans and sets of curated neuropeptide sequences, (iii) phylogeny using MAFFT (mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/), TrimAl[87] (trimal.cgenomics.org/) and IQ-TREE[88] webserver for alignment, trimming and phylogeny inference respectively. Bilaterian prokineticin-like sequences were searched in ncbi nucleotide, EST and SRA databases as well as in the *Saccoglossus kowalevskii* genome assembly[66,89] (groups.oist.jp/molgenu) using various bilaterian prokineticin-related protein sequences as query. Sequences used for alignments shown in figures were collected from ncbi nucleotide and protein databases as well as from the following publications: 7B2[42]; NucB2[83]; Insulin[90]; Prokineticin[33,34,91]. Alignments for figures were created with Jalview (jalview.org).

<u>Neuropeptide receptor search</u>

Neuropeptide Receptor sequences for Rhodopsin type GPCR, Secretin type GPCR and tyrosine and serine/threonine kinase receptors were searched by running HMMER3.1 on the full set of *X.bocki* predicted proteins using the 7tm_1 (PF00001), 7tm_2 (PF00002) and PK_Tyr_Ser-Thr (PF07714) HMM models respectively which were obtained from the PFAM database (pfam.xfam.org). Sequences above significance threshold were then aligned with sequences from curated dataset, trimmed and phylogeny inference was conducted using same method as for the neuropeptide. A second alignment and phylogeny inference was conducted after removal of all *X.bocki* sequences having no statistical support for grouping with any of the known neuropeptide receptor from curated dataset. Curated datasets were collected from the following publications: Rhodopsin type GPCR beta and gamma and Secretin type GPCR[91]; Rhodopsin type GPCR delta (Leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled Receptors)[92]; Tyrosine kinase receptors[93,94]; and were complemented with sequences from NCBI protein database.

<u>Synteny</u>

Ancestral linkage analyses rely on mutual-best-hits computed using Mmseqs2[95] between pairs of species in which chromosomal assignment to ancestral linkage groups (ALG) was previously performed, such as *Branchiostoma floridae* or *Pecten maximus*[35]. Oxford dotplots were computed by plotting reciprocal positions of indexed pairwise orthologs between two species as performed previously[35,36]. The significance of ortholog enrichment in pairs of chromosomes was assessed using a fisher test.

We also used a Python implementation of MCscanX[96] (Haibao Tang and available on https://github.com/tanghaibao/jcvi/wiki/MCscan-(Python-version)) to compare *X. bocki* to *Euphydtia muelleri*, *Trichoplax adhearens*, *Branchiostoma floridae*, *Saccoglossus kowalevskii*, *Ciona intestinalis*, *Nematostella vectensis*, *Asteria rubens*, *Pecten maximus*, *Nemopilema nomurai*, *Carcinoscorpius rotundicauda* (see Supplementary). Briefly the pipeline uses high quality genomes and their annotations to infer syntenic blocks based on proximity. For this an all vs. all blastp is performed and synteny extended from anchors identified in this way. Corresponding heatmaps (see

Supplementary) were plotted with Python in a Jupyter notebooks instance.

Chlamydia assembly and annotation

We extracted a highly contiguous *Chlamydia* genome from the *X. bocki* genome

assembly. We then use our Oxford Nanopore derived long-reads to polish the

Chlamyida genome with LINKS[97] and annotated it with the automated PROKKA

pipeline. To place the genome on the *Chlamydia* tree we extracted the 16S gene

sequence, aligned it with set of *Chlamydia* 16S sequences from[23] using MAFFT, and

reconstructed the phylogeny using IQ-TREE 2[65] We visualized the resulting tree with

Figree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/).

Acknowledgements

We thank Josh Quick and Nick Loman for help with the generation of ONT long-read
data. Analyses were conducted mainly on the UCL Cluster, with some computations
also run on the CHEOPS cluster at the University of Cologne. We are grateful to Kevin
J. Peterson for his comments on the manuscript, the miRNA section in particular. We
thank the Kristineberg research station to support us with sampling worms.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability

All read sets (RNA and DNA derived) used in this study will be made available with
the publication of this manuscript on the SRA database under the BioProject ID
PRJNA864813. Hi-C reads are deposited under SAMN30224387. The genome
assemblies of *X. bocki* (ERS12565994, ERA16814408) and the *Chlamydia* sp.
(ERS12566084, ERA16814775) are deposited under PRJEB55230 at ENA.

Funding

PHS was funded by an ERC grant (ERC-2012-AdG 322790) to MJT, which also
supported HR, ACZ, SM. PHS was also funded through an Emmy-Noether grant
(434028868) to himself. Part of this work was funded by BBSRC grant
BB/R016240/1 (M.J.T./P.K.), by a Leverhulme Trust Research Project Grant RPG-
2018-302 (M.J.T./D.J.L.), and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no 764840
IGNITE (M.J.T./P.N.).

References

1. Telford, M. J. Xenoturbellida: the fourth deuterostome phylum and the diet of worms. *Genesis (New York, N.Y.: 2000)* 46, 580–586 (2008).

2. Westblad, E. *Xenoturbella bocki* n. g., n. sp., a peculiar, primitive Turbellarian type. *Arkiv för Zoologi* 3–29 (1949).

3. Philippe, H. *et al.* Mitigating Anticipated Effects of Systematic Errors Supports Sister-Group Relationship between Xenacoelomorpha and Ambulacraria. *Current Biology* 29, 1818-1826.e6 (2019).

4. Cannon, J. T. *et al.* Xenacoelomorpha is the sister group to Nephrozoa. *Nature* 530, 89–93 (2016).

5. Ueki, T., Arimoto, A., Tagawa, K. & Satoh, N. Xenacoelomorph-Specific Hox Peptides: Insights into the Phylogeny of Acoels, Nemertodermatids, and Xenoturbellids. *Zool Sci* 36, 395–401 (2019).

6. Philippe, H. *et al.* Acoelomorph flatworms are deuterostomes related to Xenoturbella. *Nature* 470, 255–258 (2011).

7. Bourlat, S. J. *et al.* Deuterostome phylogeny reveals monophyletic chordates and the new phylum Xenoturbellida. *Nature* 444, 85–88 (2006).

8. Nakano, H. What is *Xenoturbella*? *Zoological Letters* 1, 1 (2015).

9. Hejnol, A. & Martindale, M. Q. Acoel development supports a simple planula-like urbilaterian. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* 363, 1493–1501 (2008).

10. Martynov, A. *et al.* Multiple paedomorphic lineages of soft-substrate burrowing invertebrates: parallels in the origin of *Xenocratena* and *Xenoturbella*. *Plos One* 15, e0227173 (2020).

11. Westheide, W. Progenesis as a principle in meiofauna evolution. *J Nat Hist* 21, 843–854 (1987).

12. Sempere, L. F., Cole, C. N., McPeek, M. A. & Peterson, K. J. The phylogenetic distribution of metazoan microRNAs: insights into evolutionary complexity and constraint. 306, 575–588 (2006).

13. Gehrke, A. R. *et al.* Acoel genome reveals the regulatory landscape of whole-body regeneration. *Science* 363, 1–9 (2019).

14. Arimoto, A. *et al.* A draft nuclear-genome assembly of the acoel flatworm *Praesagittifera naikaiensis*. *Gigascience* 8, giz023 (2019).

15. Moroz, L. L., Romanova, D. Y. & Kohn, A. B. Neural versus alternative integrative
systems: molecular insights into origins of neurotransmitters. *Philosophical Transactions*
Royal Soc Lond Ser B Biological Sci 376, 20190762 (2021).

16. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to
single-cell sequencing. *www.liebertpub.com* 19, 455–477 (2012).

17. Baudry, L. *et al.* instaGRAAL: chromosome-level quality scaffolding of genomes using a
proximity ligation-based scaffolder. *Genome Biol* 21, 148 (2020).

18. Hoff, K. J., Lomsadze, A., Borodovsky, M. & Stanke, M. Whole-Genome Annotation
with BRAKER. *Methods Mol Biology Clifton N J* 1962, 65–95 (2019).

19. Hoff, K. J., Lange, S., Lomsadze, A., Borodovsky, M. & Stanke, M. BRAKER1:
Unsupervised RNA-Seq-Based Genome Annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS.
Bioinformatics 32, 767–769 (2016).

20. Francis, W. R. & Wörheide, G. Similar ratios of introns to intergenic sequence across
animal genomes. *Genome Biol Evol* 9, evx103- (2017).

21. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinform Oxf Engl* 30, 2068–
9 (2014).

22. Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V. & Zdobnov, E. M.
BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
orthologs. 31, 3210–3212 (2015).

23. Dharamshi, J. E. *et al.* Marine Sediments Illuminate Chlamydiae Diversity and Evolution.
Curr Biol 30, 1032-1048.e7 (2020).

24. Rouse, G. W., Wilson, N. G., Carvajal, J. I. & Vrijenhoek, R. C. New deep-sea species of
Xenoturbella and the position of Xenacoelomorpha. *Nature* 530, 94–97 (2016).

25. Kjeldsen, K. U., Obst, M., Nakano, H., Funch, P. & Schramm, A. Two Types of
Endosymbiotic Bacteria in the Enigmatic Marine Worm *Xenoturbella bocki*. 76, 2657–2662
(2010).

26. Natsidis, P., Kapli, P., Schiffer, P. H. & Telford, M. J. Systematic errors in orthology
inference and their effects on evolutionary analyses. *iScience* 102110 (2021).

27. Schiffer, P. H., Robertson, H. E. & Telford, M. J. Orthonectids Are Highly Degenerate
Annelid Worms. *Current Biology* 1–9 (2018).

28. Mikhailov, K. V. *et al.* The genome of *Intoshia linei* affirms orthonectids as highly
simplified spiralians. *Current Biology* 26, 1768–1774 (2016).

29. Laetsch, D. R., Laetsch, D. R., Blaxter, M. L. & Blaxter, M. L. KinFin: Software for
Taxon-Aware Analysis of Clustered Protein Sequences. *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)* 7, 3349–3357
(2017).

30. Takami, H. *et al.* An automated system for evaluation of the potential functionome:
MAPLE version 2.1.0. *Dna Res* 23, 467–475 (2016).

31. Kapli, P. *et al.* Lack of support for Deuterostomia prompts reinterpretation of the first
Bilateria. *Sci Adv* 7, eabe2741 (2021).

32. Thiel, D., Franz-Wachtel, M., Aguilera, F. & Hejnol, A. Xenacoelomorph
Neuropeptidomes Reveal a Major Expansion of Neuropeptide Systems during Early
Bilaterian Evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35, 2528–2543 (2018).

33. Negri, L. & Ferrara, N. The Prokineticins: Neuromodulators and Mediators of
Inflammation and Myeloid Cell-Dependent Angiogenesis. *Physiol Rev* 98, 1055–1082
(2018).

34. Ericsson, L. & Söderhäll, I. Astakines in arthropods–phylogeny and gene structure. *Dev
Comp Immunol* 81, 141–151 (2018).

35. Simakov, O. *et al.* Deeply conserved synteny resolves early events in vertebrate
evolution. *Nat Ecol Evol* 1–11 (2020).

36. Simakov, O. *et al.* Deeply conserved synteny and the evolution of metazoan
chromosomes. *Sci Adv* 8, eabi5884 (2022).

37. Rouse, G. W., Wilson, N. G., Carvajal, J. I. & Vrijenhoek, R. C. New deep-sea species of
Xenoturbella and the position of Xenacoelomorpha. *Nature* 530, 94–97 (2016).

38. Hejnol, A. Acoelomorpha and Xenoturbellida. in 203–214 (Springer Vienna, 2015).

39. Brauchle, M. *et al.* Xenacoelomorpha Survey Reveals That All 11 Animal Homeobox
Gene Classes Were Present in the First Bilaterians. *Genome Biol Evol* 10, 2205–2217 (2018).

40. Jimenez-Guri, E., Paps, J., Garcia-Fernandez, J. & Salo, E. Hox and ParaHox genes in
Nemertodermatida, a basal bilaterian clade. *Int J Dev Biology* 50, 675–679 (2006).

41. Ryan, J. F. *et al.* The cnidarian-bilaterian ancestor possessed at least 56 homeoboxes:
evidence from the starlet sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*. *Genome Biol* 7, R64–R64
(2006).

42. Jekely, G. Global view of the evolution and diversity of metazoan neuropeptide signaling.
Proc National Acad Sci 110, 8702–8707 (2013).

43. Mirabeau, O. & Joly, J.-S. Molecular evolution of peptidergic signaling systems in
bilaterians. *Proc National Acad Sci* 110, E2028–E2037 (2013).

44. Howe, K. *et al.* Structure and evolutionary history of a large family of NLR proteins in
the zebrafish. *Open Biology* 6, 160009 (2016).

45. Pillonel, T., Bertelli, C. & Greub, G. Environmental Metagenomic Assemblies Reveal
Seven New Highly Divergent Chlamydial Lineages and Hallmarks of a Conserved
Intracellular Lifestyle. *Front Microbiol* 9, 79 (2018).

46. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120 (2014).

47. Laetsch, D. R. & Blaxter, M. L. BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies.
F1000research 6, 1287 (2017).

48. Elsworth, B., Jones, M. & Blaxter, M. Badger--an accessible genome exploration
environment. *Bioinformatics* 29, 2788–2789 (2013).

49. Lieberman-Aiden, E. *et al.* Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals
folding principles of the human genome. *Sci New York N Y* 326, 289–93 (2009).

50. Marie-Nelly, H. *et al.* High-quality genome (re)assembly using chromosomal contact
data. *Nat Comm* 5, 5695 (2014).

51. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature*
Methods 9, 357–359 (2012).

52. Haas, B. J. *et al.* De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-Seq: reference
generation and analysis with Trinity. 8, 1494–1512 (2013).

53. *RNA-Seq De novo Assembly Using Trinity*. 1–7 (2015).

54. Wheeler, T. J. *et al.* Dfam: a database of repetitive DNA based on profile hidden Markov
models. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41, D70–D82 (2013).

55. Hubley, R. *et al.* The Dfam database of repetitive DNA families. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44,
D81–D89 (2016).

56. Stanke, M. & Waack, S. Gene prediction with a hidden Markov model and a new intron
submodel. *Bioinformatics* 19 Suppl 2, ii215-25 (2003).

57. Lomsadze, A., Burns, P. D. & Borodovsky, M. Integration of mapped RNA-Seq reads
into automatic training of eukaryotic gene finding algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42, e119–
e119 (2014).

58. Wu, T. D., Reeder, J., Lawrence, M., Becker, G. & Brauer, M. J. GMAP and GSNAP for
Genomic Sequence Alignment: Enhancements to Speed, Accuracy, and Functionality.
Methods Mol Biology Clifton N J 1418, 283–334 (2016).

59. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25,
2078–2079 (2009).

60. Barnett, D. W., Garrison, E. K., Quinlan, A. R., Strömberg, M. P. & Marth, G. T.
BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. *Bioinformatics* 27,
1691–1692 (2011).

61. Nishimura, O., Hara, Y. & Kuraku, S. gVolante for standardizing completeness
assessment of genome and transcriptome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 33, 3635–3637 (2017).

62. Jones, P. *et al.* InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification.
Bioinformatics 30, 1236–1240 (2014).

63. Mulder, N. & Apweiler, R. InterPro and InterProScan: tools for protein sequence
classification and comparison. *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* 396, 59–70
(2007).

64. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 30, 772–780 (2013).

65. Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic
inference in the genomic era. *Mol Biol Evol* 37, 1530–1534 (2020).

66. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., Haeseler, A. von & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and
Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol Biol*
Evol 32, 268–274 (2015).

67. Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. *Nature Methods* 12, 59–60 (2014).

68. Buchfink, B., Reuter, K. & Drost, H.-G. Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale
using DIAMOND. *Nat Methods* 18, 366–368 (2021).

69. Finn, R. D. *et al.* The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future.
Nucleic Acids Research 44, D279-85 (2016).

70. Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative
genomics. *Genome Biol* 20, 238 (2019).

71. Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome
comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biology* 16, E9-
13 (2015).

72. Han, M. V., Thomas, G. W. C., Lugo-Martinez, J. & Hahn, M. W. Estimating Gene Gain
and Loss Rates in the Presence of Error in Genome Assembly and Annotation Using CAFE
3. *Mol Biol Evol* 30, 1987–1997 (2013).

73. Leclère, L. *et al.* The genome of the jellyfish Clytia hemisphaericaand the evolution of
the cnidarian life-cycle. 1–41 (2018).

74. The Role of Homology and Orthology in the Phylogenomic Analysis of Metazoan Gene
Content. 1–7 (2019).

75. Lartillot, N., Lepage, T. & Blanquart, S. PhyloBayes 3: a Bayesian software package for
phylogenetic reconstruction and molecular dating. 25, 2286–2288 (2009).

76. Brauchle, M. *et al.* Xenacoelomorpha survey reveals that all 11 animal homeobox gene
classes were present in the first bilaterians. *Genome biology and evolution* (2018)
doi:10.1093/gbe/evy170.

77. Sarkies, P. *et al.* Ancient and Novel Small RNA Pathways Compensate for the Loss of
piRNAs in Multiple Independent Nematode Lineages. *Plos Biol* 13, e1002061 (2015).

78. Kang, W. *et al.* miRTrace reveals the organismal origins of microRNA sequencing data.
Genome Biol 19, 213 (2018).

79. Wheeler, B. M. *et al.* The deep evolution of metazoan microRNAs. *Evol Dev* 11, 50–68
(2009).

80. Fromm, B. *et al.* A Uniform System for the Annotation of Vertebrate microRNA Genes
and the Evolution of the Human microRNAome. *Annu Rev Genet* 49, 213–242 (2015).

81. Fromm, B. *et al.* MirGeneDB 2.1: toward a complete sampling of all major animal phyla.
Nucleic Acids Res 50, D204–D210 (2022).

82. Johnson, L. S., Eddy, S. R. & Portugaly, E. Hidden Markov model speed heuristic and
iterative HMM search procedure. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11, 431–431 (2010).

83. Zandawala, M. *et al.* Discovery of novel representatives of bilaterian neuropeptide
families and reconstruction of neuropeptide precursor evolution in ophiuroid echinoderms.
Open Biol 7, 170129 (2017).

84. Frickey, T. & Lupas, A. CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein families based
on pairwise similarity. *Bioinformatics* 20, 3702–3704 (2004).

85. Armenteros, J. J. A. *et al.* SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep
neural networks. *Nat Biotechnol* 37, 420–423 (2019).

86. Southey, B. R., Rodriguez-Zas, S. L. & Sweedler, J. V. Prediction of neuropeptide
prohormone cleavages with application to RFamides. *Peptides* 27, 1087–1098 (2006).

87. Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M. & Gabaldon, T. trimAl: a tool for automated
alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1972–1973
(2009).

88. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., Haeseler, A. von & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and
Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol Biol*
Evol 32, 268–274 (2015).

89. Simakov, O. *et al.* Hemichordate genomes and deuterostome origins. *Nature* 527, 459–
465 (2015).

90. Cherif--Feildel, M., Berthelin, C. H., Rivière, G., Favrel, P. & Kellner, K. Data for
evolutive analysis of insulin related peptides in bilaterian species. *Data Brief* 22, 546–550
(2019).

91. Thiel, D., Franz-Wachtel, M., Aguilera, F. & Hejnol, A. Changes in the neuropeptide
complement correlate with nervous system architectures in xenacoelomorphs. 1–57 (2018).

92. Roch, G. J. & Sherwood, N. M. Glycoprotein hormones and their receptors emerged at
the origin of metazoans. *Genome Biol Evol* 6, 1466–79 (2014).

93. Oliveira, A. L. de, Calcino, A. & Wanninger, A. Ancient origins of arthropod moulting
pathway components. *eLife* 8, e46113 (2019).

94. Smýkal, V. *et al.* Complex Evolution of Insect Insulin Receptors and Homologous Decoy
Receptors, and Functional Significance of Their Multiplicity. *Mol Biol Evol* 37, 1775–1789
(2020).

95. Steinegger, M. & Söding, J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for
the analysis of massive data sets. *Nat Biotechnol* 35, 1026–1028 (2017).

96. Wang, Y. *et al.* MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene
synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40, e49–e49 (2012).

97. Warren, R. L. *et al.* LINKS: Scalable, alignment-free scaffolding of draft genomes with
long reads. *Gigascience* 4, 35 (2015).