Functional succession of actively growing soil microorganisms during rewetting is shaped by

precipitation history

Ella T. Sieradzki[1], Alex Greenlon[1], Alexa M. Nicolas[2], Mary K. Firestone[1], Jennifer Pett-Ridge[3,4],

Steven J. Blazewicz[3*], Jillian F. Banfield[1,5-7*]

1 University of California Berkeley, Department of Environmental Science, Policy and

Management, Berkeley CA

2 University of California Berkeley, Department of plant and microbial biology, CA, USA

3 Physical and Life Sciences Directorate, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore,

California, USA

4 Life & Environmental Sciences Department, University of California Merced, Merced, CA, USA

5 Department of Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

6 Earth Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

7 Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA, USA

* Corresponding authors: blazewicz1@llnl.gov and jbanfield@berkeley.edu

Competing interest statement: the authors declare no competing interests.

Keywords: soil wet-up, soil rewetting, stable isotope probing, ecophysiology, environmental

microbiology, microbial ecology, metagenomics, annual grassland

Abstract

Rewetting of seasonally dry soils induces a burst of microbial activity and carbon mineralization

that changes nutrient availability and leads to succession. Yet the microbial functions that

underpin this succession are not well described. Further, it's unclear how previous precipitation

frames microbial capacities after rewetting and how long these effects persist. We used

isotopically-labeled water to rewet seasonally dry annual grassland soil that experienced either

mean annual or reduced precipitation during the previous two years, and sampled at five

subsequent time points. We used quantitative stable isotope probing (qSIP)-informed genome-

resolved metagenomics to identify growing microorganisms, predict their capabilities, and

analyze how these traits differed over time and between precipitation treatments. Organisms

that grew after wetup were more abundant than non-growing organisms prior to the wet-up,

suggesting that traits that initiate succession are pre-defined at the end of the prior plant

growing season or via survival over the summer. Fast-growing organisms had fewer

carbohydrate active enzyme (CAZy) genes per genome than slower-growing organisms,

suggesting that although fast-growers were capable of degrading complex C, they may not

specialize in this process. Differential abundance of CAZy genes in growing organisms

throughout the succession implies that substrate availability varied with time. In contrast, the

abundance of peptidases in growing organisms differed between precipitation treatments, but

not over time following wet-up. Before wet-up, the soil organisms' gene inventories were

different between the two precipitation treatments. Surprisingly, this legacy effect waned after

just one week. Thus, pre-wetup differences in microbial functional capacity converged shortly

after rewetting.

Introduction

Following the dry season in arid and semi-arid climates, soil rewetting is a key event that

triggers microbial community restructuring and substantial carbon turnover [1, 2]. This sudden

increase in soil moisture has a disproportionate influence on the annual carbon budget [3] and

leads to an immediate release of carbon dioxide, termed the "Birch effect", which can arise

from both abiotic and biotic processes [4–6], with biotic processes dominant in non-carbonate-

rich soils [2]. Rewetting causes rapid habitat changes and a large microbial response to the

altered soil environment within the span of hours: from survival and dormancy, to rapid

osmotic changes and cell lysis, to peak activity [7]. These changes parallel a rapid community

succession, with high rates of both growth and mortality that vary by taxonomic group [8].

While the identities of the bacterial and archaeal taxonomic groups that respond to rewetting

have been described, the physiological traits that underpin organism interactions and enable

rapid microbial growth and turnover following wet-up are poorly understood.

Prior research has investigated the organic resources that drive the pulse of biogeochemical

processing in Mediterranean-climate grassland soils following the first autumn rain event. In

addition to dry plant detritus, labile carbon and nitrogen accumulate over the summer dry

season [9], largely due to low microbial metabolic rates and reduced microbial uptake [10].

Sustained activity of secreted extracellular enzymes [10, 11], osmotic shock [12] and secretion

of compatible solutes also contribute to the buildup of labile C and N pools [13–15]. Soil

rewetting is generally followed by high degradation rates of these substrates [16], supporting

initially fast-growing organisms [17]. The existing paradigm suggests these fast growers rely on

labile resources. However, we know little about the metabolic characteristics of the initially

fast-growing organisms [8] which are significant contributors to the Birch effect.

In Mediterranean systems, climate change is predicted to cause increased water stress [18] due

to decreased rainfall, extension of the dry season and increased frequency of drying/rewetting

cycles, leading to more CO_2 pulses [19, 20]. It has been noted that there is less immediately

respirable carbon when the dry season is prolonged [10]. We hypothesized that reduced

precipitation in the preceding growing season could affect the response of soil microbial

communities to rewetting following the long dry summer. Specifically, we predicted that

differences in prior year water input would lead to differences in the biogeochemical toolkit of

organisms that grow following wet-up, and that these differences would persist over time.

Here, we used stable isotope-informed genome-resolved metagenomics to identify and analyze

the genomes of organisms that become active in the week following soil wet-up. From these

genomes, we predicted the functional capacities of growing organisms and investigated how

they changed over time and due to differences in rainfall history. Our results reveal distinct

gene inventories of fast-responding organisms after wet-up in soils that had experienced two

distinct rainfall treatments. We document shifts in functional capacities over time, consistent

with changes in carbon compound resources, and convergence in the capacities of growing

organisms after approximately one week.

Results

Surface soil samples from a Mediterranean annual grassland with a reduced vs. mean

precipitation experiment were collected in the late summer of 2018, prior to the first autumn

rainfall event, and used for a ^{18}O-water quantitative stable isotope probing (qSIP) wet-up

experiment. DNA was extracted pre-wetup (0h) from soil that experiences mean or reduced

precipitation, at 4 time points after water addition from the mean precipitation treatment

samples (24h, 48h, 72h and 168h) and 2 time points from the reduced precipitation treatment.

The DNA was density fractionated, and 5-7 Gbp of Illumina sequences were acquired per

density fraction in addition to 20-28 Gbp from unfractionated DNA per sample. 338

dereplicated metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) were generated from this dataset and

combined with 168 MAGs from a previous metagenomic study from a nearby field site sampled

during winter [21]. These 503 genomes representing 11 phyla and 23 classes of bacteria and

archaea were at least 75% complete. Three additional Firmicutes MAGs, the only

representatives of this phylum, were of low quality and therefore used only for abundance and

growth analyses. Of the total pool of MAGs, 199 had a breadth (covered fraction) of at least

50% in at least one sample from this study. Failure to detect the remainder of the MAGs

(304/503) with coverage above this threshold may be because coverage of the genomes in any

individual sample was too low to enable assembly (thus they were only reconstructed via co-

assembly of data from multiple samples). Short reads mapped to the MAGs made up an

average of 12% of total reads per unfractionated sample and 24% of reads from the density

fraction samples. This level of assignment of reads to genomes is expected because the

majority of DNA from soil originates from very low abundance organisms [22, 23].

Atom fraction excess (AFE), a metric of growth-based activity, was calculated per MAG based

on isopycnic fractionation (fractionation by ultracentrifugation based on changes in DNA

density resulting from heavy isotope incorporation, in this case ^{18}O). 79 MAGs had statistically

significant isotopic enrichment in at least one time point of one watering regime, as indicated

by AFE lower confidence interval limit > 0. We refer to this subset of organisms as growing,

since isotope enrichment of DNA requires replication. Hereafter, we distinguish between

analyses that include all 506 organisms and analyses of the 199 organisms that were detected

by read mapping, of which 79 were growing (sup. table S1).

We used 16S rRNA based quantitative stable isotope probing (qSIP) to characterize changes in

microbial community structure and determine differences in post wet-up mortality in soils that

had experienced mean precipitation or reduced precipitation during the previous growing

season. We also measured total soil respiration (CO_2) and new plant-biomass derived

respiration (i.e., decomposition of carbon fixed during the preceding growing season labeled

with ^{13}C). While total soil respiration was not significantly different between the precipitation

treatments (fig. 1A), the microbial mortality rate and respiration of newly-fixed plant material

were lower in the reduced precipitation treatment (fig 1B, C, p=0.08). The cumulative microbial

mortality rate was three orders of magnitude higher in the mean precipitation treatment.

Figure 1: Effects of a 1 week wet-up experiment and two precipitation treatments in annual

grassland soils from Hopland, CA: (A) total soil respiration (N=3 per treatment), (B) $^{13}CO_2$

respiration of new plant material (N=3 per treatment), (C) cumulative qSIP-based microbial

mortality rate, starting at 24h. The linear regression of mortality in the mean precipitation

treatment explained 93% of variability with p=0.02. Error bars reflect standard deviation.

To test whether growing microorganisms were more abundant or became more abundant

compared to detected but non-growing organisms we calculated the abundance (measured as

reads per kilo million, RPKM) of both growing (N=79) and non-growing (N=121) organisms at

each post-wetup time point and in both treatments (fig. 2). Growing microorganisms were

significantly more abundant than non-growing organisms at time 0 for both treatments

(p<0.001). Abundance of growing or non-growing organisms did not vary between precipitation

treatments. There was no statistically significant change in mean abundance over the following

week for growing or non-growing microorganisms. With that, the variance in abundance of

growing and non-growing organisms in the reduced precipitation treatment decreased

significantly over the first 48 hours (fig. 2 see asterisks), indicating that the abundance of the

rarer growing organisms increased.

Figure 2: Abundance (RPKM, reads per kilo million) of actively growing versus non-growing

bacteria and archaea after a wet-up of dry grassland soils that had experienced mean or

reduced precipitation in the preceding growing season. Growth was determined by quantitative

stable isotope probing via ^{18}O-water incorporation. All differences between growing organisms

(N=79) and not-growing but detected organisms (N=120) are statistically significant (p<0.001);

within these groups, there are not statistically significant differences with time or precipitation

treatment. Boxes represent 75% of that data, whiskers indicate 90%, outliers are denoted by

dots. The differences in variance (box length) between 0h and 48h in the reduced precipitation

treatment are significant in both growing and not growing organisms (p<0.05).

To test the relationship between abundance and growth, we assessed whether abundant

growing organisms were also growing rapidly (AFE > 0.2) by comparing abundances and AFE

values of growing microorganisms (N=79) at each time point (fig. 3). Less abundant organisms

tended to be fast growing (e.g., Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria) whereas the

more abundant organisms were slower growing (e.g., Actinobacteria) over the week post-

wetup (linear regression, p<0.001, R^2=0.1). Significant growth was also detected in

Verrucomicrobiae, Gemmatimonadetes, Chloroflexi, Myxococcia, Acidobacteria and the

ammonia oxidizing archaea class Nitrososphaeria (fig. 3).

Figure 3: Abundance, enrichment and taxonomic affiliation of 79 taxa that were growing (atom

fraction excess confidence interval > 0) after a grassland soil wet-up with ^{18}O enriched water,

illustrating that highly abundant organisms in both mean and reduced precipitation treatments

were slow growing, and vice versa. Each row represents one taxon, and each column represents

one time point. The heat map on the left shows abundance normalized to sample size and MAG

length (RPKM) and the heat map on the right shows ^{18}O enrichment (AFE). The rows are

ordered by class and enrichment within class, and the left bar represents taxonomy. MP =

Mean precipitation, RP = reduced precipitation. Negative AFE values were considered

undetectable and converted to zero.

Most growing organisms were isotopically enriched at multiple consecutive time points, with 63

out of 70 and 30 out of 40 in the mean precipitation and reduced precipitation soils respectively

(fig. 3). To distinguish boom and bust growth dynamics compared to persistent enrichment on

an organism-by-organisms basis, we plotted the number of growing organisms only at one time

point vs. in multiple time points (fig. 4). A bloom of 28 newly growing organisms occurred at

48h in the mean precipitation treatment, but the majority of these organisms did not continue

growing later (see leftmost column in fig. 4), representing boom and bust dynamics. In contrast,

for 10 organisms, mostly Proteobacteria, we detected growth in all time points in both

treatments (see rightmost column in fig. 4).

Figure 4: Growth temporal patterns in microbes during the week following wetup of

Mediterranean soil that experienced mean or reduced precipitation during the two previous

growing seasons. Each row represents a time point in a single precipitation treatment. A single

dot per column represents organisms growing only in that time point, whereas linked dots

represent organisms growing in both time points/treatments. Each column, denoted by either a

single dot or linked dots, represents a group (e.g., organisms that grow at 48h, 72h and 168h in

the mean precipitation treatment). Each bar shows how many organisms were growing within a

specific group (group size), as well as their taxonomic distribution. In both mean (MP) and

reduced precipitation (RP) treatments, when grouped using growth patterns over time (black

dots), it is apparent that many organisms grew in just one time point, others displayed a longer

boom before bust growth pattern and some grew continuously.

To probe the extent to which changes in genetic repertoires might underpin shifts in organism

abundances we compared the abundances of annotated genes in growing organisms in all

samples. The purpose of this gene-centric approach was to identify genes common in growing

organisms, as opposed to examining each genome of a growing organism and searching for

common genes. We interpret a higher number of genes encoding a trait in growing organisms

as an indication of a higher capacity of the community to perform that function. Out of 275,788

annotated genes from growing organisms (N=79), the abundance of 30,175 genes changed

significantly (p_{adj} < 0.05), either between consecutive time points or between treatments at the

same time point. In the mean precipitation treatment, the number of genes changing in

abundance between consecutive time intervals varied by two to three orders of magnitude.

This was not apparent in the reduced precipitation treatments (fig. 5A). However, at time 0h,

when comparing the mean vs. reduced precipitation treatments, 11,149 genes were

significantly more abundant in one of the treatments (fig. 5B). Most genes at this time point

were more abundant in the mean precipitation treatment (sup. fig. 1). This effect waned over

time and disappeared completely at 168h (fig. 5A). Ordination of gene abundance in growing

organisms revealed progressive temporal changes in community gene abundance in both

precipitation treatments (fig. 5C).

Figure 5: Changes in gene abundance over time and between precipitation treatments reflect

changes in abundance of growing organisms after soil wetup. (**A**) Number of significantly

(p<0.05) differentially abundant open reading frames (ORFs) at each time point compared to

the previous time point (e.g., 48h vs. 24h). Three main comparisons are represented by distinct

colors: Mean precipitation treatment (**MP, light blue**), Reduced precipitation treatment (**RP,**

orange) and comparison between the two at the same time point (**legacy, dark purple**). Note

that the y axis scale is logarithmic. (**B**) Venn diagram of differentially abundant genes between

MP and RP precipitation at the same time point (legacy effect). (**C**) Principal coordinates

analysis of log-ratio transformed abundance of genes from growing organisms normalized to

sequencing depth (N=79). Shapes represent the location of the field plot from which the

metagenome was sequenced: the upper row (plots 8 and 9) or the lower row (plots 10, 13, 14

and 16; see methods). Full shapes denote MP, and empty shapes RP. The first two components

explain 48% of the variance.

Dynamics of differentially abundant genes differed for mean and reduced precipitation

treatments. In the mean precipitation treatment, the number of differentially abundant genes

mostly decreased over the first day, became more abundant over the following day, decreased

over the third day and some were more and others less abundant by the end of the week (sup.

fig. 1). The reduced precipitation treatment showed a distinct pattern: all differentially

abundant genes were more abundant after one week (sup. fig. 1, panel RP 168h vs. 48h).

Comparing time points between treatments indicates that most genes were initially (0h) more

abundant in the mean precipitation compared to the reduced treatment (sup. fig. 1).

Within a given treatment, we tested whether the genes that changed in abundance between

consecutive time intervals were the same genes. In the mean precipitation treatment, 88% of

differentially abundant genes were unique to the 48h time point (sup. fig. 2A). In the reduced

precipitation treatment, 99/182 differentially abundant genes were shared between 48h and

168h (sup. fig. 2B). Almost all the genes (472/510) that differed at time 48h also differed at 0h

(sup. fig. 2C).

To search for evidence of factors that may have driven changes in growth behavior over time,

we evaluated inventories of genes for degradation of carbohydrates, including plant material,

fungal material, and other polysaccharides. These functions are performed by carbohydrate

active enzymes (CAZy). The difference in abundance patterns of CAZy genes between growing

organisms (N=79) and detected but not growing organisms (N=120), i.e., which CAZy genes

were more abundant than others, was significant (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p<0.001$). Thus,

organisms that grew during wet-up possessed a different set of CAZy pathways compared to

the rest of the bacterial and archaeal community. We looked for a correlation between the

number of CAZy genes per genome and atom fraction excess, representing growth. We found

that fast growing organisms (AFE>0.2, based on sup. fig. S3) had significantly fewer CAZy genes

per genome (average 33 genes per genome), compared to an average of 41 genes per genome

in slow growers (sup. fig. 3; one sided Student's t-test, $p=0.02$, $t=-2$). To verify that this

difference was not due to lower genome completeness of fast-growing organisms, we

compared their genomes completeness values to those of slow-growers and found no

significant difference (Student's t-test, $p=0.66$).

Because complex carbohydrates are an important carbon source in soil not just during wetup

but throughout the year, we compared CAZy pathways found in growing organisms to CAZy

repertoires of the whole genomically sampled community, defined as all organisms detected in

the wetup and during winter (N=503)[21]. We found genes coding for the degradation of

rhamnose, pectin, beta mannan, mixed linkage glucans, fucose, chitin, crystalline cellulose more

highly represented in growing organisms than in the total set of organisms (sup. fig. 4).

The total abundance of certain CAZy pathways, especially those related to plant material

degradation, changed over time in growing organisms (fig. 6). For example, degradation of

amorphous cellulose (C) became relatively more abundant between 24h and 48h. In contrast,

xyloglucan (X) degradation became relatively less abundant between 24h and 72h. Based on

the percent of growing organisms containing specific CAZy pathways, we observed a functional

succession mainly of plant material degrading enzymes. These changes were statistically

significant between time points in the mean precipitation treatment, as well as between

treatments at 48h, but differences between treatments were not measurable 168h after

wetup.

Figure 6: Percent of the organisms that were growing during the week following soil wetup at

each time point and contained genes coding for carbohydrate degradation pathways in order of

time and precipitation treatment. Arrows indicate significant changes to the entire profile

determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test (Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple

comparisons p<0.05). Xyloglucan degradation is marked by an X and Amorphous cellulose by a

C above the respective bars.

We also investigated nitrogen acquisition and cycling pathways in growing organisms. Nitrogen

in soil exists mostly in the form of large, complex molecules such as proteins, chitin and nucleic

acids, but it may also be present as ammonium and other inorganic nitrogen forms [24]. Some

inorganic forms of nitrogen can be used as an energy source, such as oxidation of ammonium in

nitrification. To investigate what forms of nitrogen growing organisms were taking up or

transforming during wet-up, we compared the percentage of growing organisms that contained

various nitrogen related genes to the genetic repertoire of the year-round whole community.

We found enrichment of genes related to ammonium assimilation and ammonium transporters

in growing organisms (Student's paired t-test, $p=0.06$, fig. 7A), but no enrichment of organic

nitrogen acquisition genes (Student's paired t-test, $p=0.33$, fig. 7B) except for peptidases

(Student's paired t-test, $p=0.1$, fig. 7C). Growing organisms contained more peptidases per

genome that belonged mainly to three catalytic groups: metallopeptidases, serine peptidases

and cysteine peptidases (fig. 7C). While the number of peptidases per genome in growing

organisms did not change over time, there was a significant difference ($p<0.05$) between this

number in the mean precipitation treatment at times 48 h and 72 h compared to the reduced

precipitation treatment at 48 h.

Figure 7: Presence of nitrogen acquisition and cycling traits in growing organisms during the

week following soil wetup. Comparison of N acquisition/cycling genes of (A) inorganic N

transformations, (B) organic N transformations and (C) catalytic groups of peptidases in growing

organisms vs. the whole community. P-values based on Wilcoxon rank sum test are displayed

on each panel. Peptidase catalytic groups: S=serine, M=metallo, C=cysteine, T=threonine,

U=unknown catalyst, A=aspartic acid, N=asparagine, =mixed, G=glutamic acid. (D) Number of

peptidases per organism in growing organisms did not change over time, but the number is

significantly ($p<0.05$) lower at 48h in reduced precipitation compared to 48h and 72h at mean

annual precipitation, indicated by asterisks. MP = Mean precipitation, RP = reduced

precipitation

Two of the main strategies in which soil organisms can deal with dry conditions are osmolyte

biosynthesis and production of extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) [25]. We searched for genes

coding for biosynthesis of the osmolyte trehalose and for EPS synthesis and export. We found

significantly more EPS export genes in growing organisms compared to the whole community

(Student's paired t-test, p=0.003; fig. 8A) but no significant differences in trehalose synthesis

(data not shown). We observed no effect of rainfall treatment or temporal changes in the

percent of growing organisms that possess EPS export genes (fig. 8B).

Figure 8: Presence of EPS export genes in metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) of soil

organisms during the week after soil wetup in (A) growing organisms vs. the whole community

(Student's paired t-test, p=0.003), and (B) in growing organisms over time (ANOVA, p=0.99).

Discussion

We investigated how the history of reduced rainfall impacts the response of soil microbial

communities to wet up and the processes by which they acquire nutrients to support growth.

While climate change and its associated periodicity of rainfall and longer droughted periods

affecting soil moisture are predicted to reduce grassland soil microbial diversity [26], it is the

active organisms that determine ecosystem function, therefore we focused on those through

stable isotope probing. Integrated results from stable isotope informed genome-resolved

metagenomics, gene abundance, and gene function information enabled characterization of

the traits of growing organisms that may have contributed to their proliferation during the

week following rewetting. Our findings provide a mechanistic foundation for understanding of

bulk rate measurements of soil biogeochemical processes following wetup (e.g., respiration).

As conditions following wetup are quite distinct from those during the summer dry period, we

expected that organisms that were abundant during dry down would not be those that grow

immediately following wet up. However, in the mean precipitation treatment, the organisms

that grew over the week following wetup were a subset of those present in high abundance

prior to water addition (t0). Upon wetup, growth was almost certainly primed by newly

available labile compounds released by cell death due to osmolysis [17, 25]. Cell lysates may

also have been available following desiccation during dry down. Thus, growing organisms may

simply be those that utilize compounds released upon cell death rapidly.

Organisms that grew following wetup were initially more abundant. It may be that they were

abundant immediately prior to dry down, or they survived the dry season better than others, or

both. We observed a higher prevalence of genes coding for synthesis and export of extracellular

polysaccharides in growing organisms compared to the whole community implying that

growing organisms may be better poised to survive dry soil conditions. Thus, in combination,

we suggest that organisms that were abundant at t0 and grew following wetup were those

capable of surviving summer dry conditions and that can grow rapidly on cell lysates. Moreover,

organisms that grow may also rely on more complex carbon sources but be primed by labile

nitrogen, such as ammonium and amino acids.

Of the organisms that grew, the fastest growers over the following week were the bacteria that

were not the most abundant organisms. Why this is the case remains unclear, but this pattern

has been observed previously in grassland soil during the winter [27]. It is possible that

organisms that rapidly respond to wetup rely on resources that are less available during most of

the year, such as labile carbon and inorganic nitrogen. In support of that, genomes of fast

growers contained less carbohydrate degradation genes than those of slow growers, implying a

limited toolkit for accessing complex carbon, which is the main carbon source in soil during the

rest of the year [28]. A similar pattern of rare and fast-growing bacteria was also identified in a

marine environment [29], in which short-lived resource availability was also suggested as a

potential mechanism.

Notably, the organisms that were least abundant prior to wetup exhibited no growth at all

following water addition. We suggest that these organisms are part of the low abundance

microbial "seed bank" and proliferate under conditions that differ from those studied here.

Seed banks are a common strategy of microbial communities to overcome unfavorable

conditions and likely underlie successional processes [30]. However, we acknowledge that we

likely cannot detect growth of all rare organisms due to the noise inherent in qSIP calculations.

We tracked the changes in gene abundance over the week following wetup and noted that

gene abundances changed dramatically and over daily timescales. The observation that genes

in growing organisms are almost all becoming less abundant (i.e, regardless of the function of

the gene) over the first 24 hr is likely attributed to community-wide mortality during the rapid

change in osmotic conditions associated with rewetting of dry soil [7, 8]. Reversal of this

pattern over the following day is thus likely attributed to extensive growth across the

community.

Organisms growing at the same time may be using similar resources, and changes in the

organisms that are growing could be driven by changes in resources available. Specifically,

cellular growth requires acquisition of carbon and nitrogen. The main sources of these

elements in soil are organic, i.e., complex carbohydrates and large nitrogen molecules such as

proteins, chitin and microbial cell walls. Therefore, we focused on the genetic repertoires

related to these substrates and their differential abundances over time and between

treatments.

Respiration of $^{13}CO_2$ was produced from labeled plant-derived material, likely a mixture of plant

litter and microbial bodies that consumed plant material during the previous year's growing

season. It has been previously suggested that in soils that experienced drought, microbes take

up less plant derived ^{13}C compounds during the dry season than in soils that didn't experience

drought [31]. Therefore, the slightly lower respiration of ^{13}C in the reduced precipitation

treatment suggests that microbial bodies served as a carbon source following wetup, and those

were less isotopically labeled under reduced precipitation due to the lower uptake of labeled

plant material. ^{13}C respiration was detected throughout the week after wetup. However, this

respiration was fastest in both treatments within the first 48h, implying usage of ^{13}C-material by

fast growers. Fast growing organisms had a smaller number of carbohydrate degrading

enzymes than slow growers, implying that fast growth relies on labile carbon in addition to

microbial bodies. Thus, based on genetic evidence and respiration of plant-derived C, we

suggest that fast growers rely not only on labile C but also on plant-derived material for growth.

However, fast growers are likely better competitors for labile carbon, thus necessitating slow

growers to specialize in targeting more complex carbon sources.

Importantly, the changing pattern of carbohydrate degrading enzymes over the week-long

succession implies reliance on different carbohydrates. Based on succession theory, this would

be linked to the changing availability of substrates, which likely drove community composition

changes. In contrast, over the same period the vast majority of growing organisms relied on the

same nitrogen sources, namely ammonium and extracellular proteins. These could become

available following cell death due to osmotic shock at wet-up and continued mortality during

the succession. Thus, it appears that the succession we detected is driven more by carbon

availability than nitrogen sources.

Our experimental strategy was designed to test for the effect of reduced precipitation in the

prior growing season on microbial growth and propagation of specific functional genes

following wetup. We refer to this as a legacy effect. One of the most striking findings of this

study is the large magnitude of this effect, reflected in a difference in abundance of thousands

of genes at t0 in organisms that grew after wetup. To gain insight into the causes of this legacy

effect, we compared the growing microbial populations between precipitation treatments.

Reduced precipitation resulted in less detectable growing organisms overall, although unlike

previous studies [32] it did not result in lower respiration. Alphaproteobacteria response was

altered by precipitation history with a delayed growth response in reduced precipitation as

compared to mean annual precipitation. As field conditions were otherwise identical for both

treatment groups, the explanation for the delayed response may relate to resource availability.

Based on 16S rRNA qSIP, mortality after wet-up in the reduced precipitation treatment was

three orders of magnitude lower than in the mean precipitation treatment. The resulting low

abundance of labile carbon from cell lysis may have inhibited growth in the first two days,

whereas higher mortality in the mean precipitation treatment likely supported growth of new

cells. This raises the question of why lysis was less prevalent in the reduced precipitation

treatment. While drying and rewetting of soil, even when they result in the same soil moisture,

are very different processes [33], they both require the ability to withstand soil moisture

changes. Wetup is rapid, and the organisms that survive it must be equipped to handle rapid

changes in soil moisture. These same organisms would potentially be those that survived faster

drying due to reduced precipitation over the prior year, hence the higher abundance at t0 of

organisms that grew following wetup. We suggest that an important driver of the legacy effect

was the combination of bacterial resilience to osmotic stress and the resulting decrease in

mortality.

In the soils that experienced reduced precipitation, the carbohydrate degradation gene sets are

different compared to gene sets in organisms growing in soils that received mean precipitation.

This may point to the availability of different carbohydrates during and after dry-down between

the treatment groups. Specifically, a higher fraction of the growing organisms from the reduced

precipitation treatment after two days contained genes for degradation of cellulose. Thus, the

capacity to degrade cellulose provided a selective advantage following wet up of soils that dried

down earlier in the prior year. Further supporting this inference, increased degradation of

cellulose was recently shown to be correlated to historical drought [34].

The legacy effect was surprisingly short-lived, and by the end of the week the metabolic

potential of growing microorganisms that previously experienced reduced or mean

precipitation converged entirely. This community "reset" could reflect convergence in resource

abundance between the treatments, selecting for communities adapted to resource types

historically available in this annual grassland. This reset is indicative of a resilient microbial

community that converged to be similarly equipped for the next growing season. The intensity

of drying/rewetting cycles is predicted to increase with climate change [35]. The experiment

conducted here simulated this phenomenon; the results indicate that although the resistance

of grassland soil communities to reduced precipitation is not high, their resilience likely is [36].

Summary

Wet up, a pivotal time point in soils that experience Mediterranean climates, leads to a

microbial succession process. Prior studies in Mediterranean soils revealed which organisms

grow during this process, but not which traits allow them to do so. The SIP-informed

metagenomic data analysis showed that growing organisms are the ones with higher fitness

during dry down and have genes for polysaccharide production and export that likely provide

resistance to desiccation. We report a disconnect between growth and abundance in organisms

that respond to wetup. Slow growers likely rely on complex carbon sources more than fast

growers, whereas fast growers are likely better competitors for labile carbon. Finally, we

demonstrate a strong but short-lived legacy effect of prior water inputs, with convergence of

the sets of growing organisms and their functional traits within a week after wetup.

Methods

Field site description

Soil samples for this study were collected from the site of a multi-year precipitation

manipulation and $^{13}CO_2$ labeling field experiment (sup. fig. 5)[28] at the University of California

Hopland Research and Extension Center (HREC) in Northern California (39° 00' 14.6" N, 123° 05'

09.1" W). This region has a Mediterranean climate with cool, wet winters, and hot, dry

summers. The HREC field station exists on territory originally home to the indigenous Pomo

Nation, and the experiment site is an annual grassland, with naturalized annual grasses such as

Avena spp. and *Bromus spp.* growing in soil from the Squawrock-Witherell complex. In the Fall,

the soil's pH is 7.3 with total C content of 15.1 mg/g and total N of 1.5 mg/g [28].

For two years preceding our sample collection, sixteen 3.24 m^2 field plots dominated by

Avena spp. were watered with either 100% (930 mm) or 50% (465 mm) of the site's mean

annual precipitation[28], and in February 2018 plants were isotopically labeled with $^{13}CO_2$ for 5

days in the field during the active growing season [28, 37]. Labeled plants and associated soils

remained undisturbed in the field throughout the remainder of the growing season and

throughout the summer dry season. Samples for the analysis described here were collected on

August 28th, 2018, prior to the first rainfall event of the Fall.

Sample collection

Topsoil samples (0-15 cm, roughly 0.5 m^3) were collected from six plots, three that had

experienced mean annual precipitation and three that experienced 50% precipitation. The soils

were collected before the first fall rainfall event, when average soil moisture was 3%, and

transferred to the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory where roots were removed, and

soils were homogenized by sieving (2mm) to remove rocks and large plant necromass, all under

ambient (hot, dry) conditions.

^{18}O-water stable isotope probing

For SIP incubations, 5 g sieved soil from each of the field replicates (*n* = 3) was transferred to a

15 ml Nalgene flat bottom vial. One milliliter of isotopically enriched water (98 at% ^{18}O-H$_2$O) or

natural abundance water (as a control) was slowly and evenly pipetted onto the soil and gently

mixed with the pipette tip, resulting in a final average gravimetric soil moisture of 22%. After

the water addition, vials were immediately sealed inside 500 ml mason jars with lids fitted with

septa (to facilitate headspace sampling) and incubated at room temperature in the dark.

Parallel jars were destructively harvested at multiple timepoints following the wet-up (0, 24, 48,

72, 168 h). At each harvest, vials containing soils were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored

at -80°C. A total of 66 distinct samples were incubated.

DNA was extracted from all soil samples using a modified phenol chloroform protocol adapted

from Barnard et al. (2015) [38]. Each sample was extracted in triplicate and then replicate DNA

extracts were combined. For each extraction, soil (0.4 g +/- 0.001) was added to 2 ml Lysing

Matrix E tube (MP Biomedicals) and extracted twice as follows: 500 µl extraction buffer (5%

CTAB, 0.5 M NaCl, 240 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$, pH 8.0) and 500 µl 25:24:1 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl

alcohol were added before shaking (FastPrep24, MP Biomedicals: 30 s, 5.5 m s^{-1}). After

centrifugation (16,100 x g, 5 min), residual phenol was removed using pre-spun 2 ml Phase Lock

Gel tubes (5 Prime, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) with an equal volume of 24:1 chloroform:isoamyl

alcohol, mixed and centrifuged (16,100 x g, 2 min). The aqueous phases from both extractions

were pooled, mixed with 7 µl RNAase (10 mg/ml), mixed by inverting, and incubated at 50 °C

for 10 min. 335 µL 7.5 M NH$_4^+$ acetate was added, mixed by inverting, incubated (4 °C, 1 h) and

centrifuged (16,100 x g, 15 min). The supernatant was transferred to a new 1.7 ml tube and 1 μl

Glycoblue (15 mg/ml) and 1 ml 40% PEG 6000 in 1.6 M NaCl were added, mixed by vortexing,

and incubated at room temperature in the dark (2 h). After centrifugation (16,100 x g, 20 min),

the pellet was rinsed with 1 ml ice-cold 70% ethanol, air-dried, resuspended in 30 μl 1xTE and

stored at -80 °C.

Samples were density fractionated in a cesium chloride density gradient formed by physical

density separation in an ultracentrifuge as previously described (Blazewicz et al. 2020), with

minor modifications. For each sample, 5 μg of DNA in 150 μL 1xTE was mixed with 1.00 mL

gradient buffer, and 4.60 mL CsCl stock (1.885 g mL^{-1}) with a final average density of 1.730 g mL^{-}

^{1}. Samples were loaded into 5.2 mL ultracentrifuge tubes and spun at 20 ºC for 108 hours at

176,284 RCF$_{avg}$ in a Beckman Coulter Optima XE-90 ultracentrifuge using a VTi65.2 rotor.

Automated sample fractionation was performed using Lawrence Livermore National

Laboratory's high-throughput SIP pipeline 'HT-SIP' [27, 39, 40], which automates fractionation

and clean-up tasks for the density gradient SIP protocol. Ultracentrifuge tube contents were

fractionated into 36 fractions (~200 μL each) using an Agilent Technologies 1260 isocratic pump

delivering water at 0.25 mL min^{-1} through a 25G needle inserted through the top of the

ultracentrifuge tube. Each tube was mounted in a Beckman Coulter fraction recovery system

with a side port needle inserted through the bottom. The side port needle was routed to an

Agilent 1260 Infinity fraction collector. Fractions were collected in 96-well deep well plates. The

density of each fraction was then measured using a Reichart AR200 digital refractometer fitted

with a prism covering to facilitate measurement from 5 μL, as previously described [41]. DNA in

each fraction was purified and concentrated using a Hamilton Microlab Star liquid handling

system programmed to automate glycogen/PEG precipitations [40]. Washed DNA pellets were

suspended in 40 μL of 1xTE and the DNA concentration of each fraction was quantified using a

PicoGreen fluorescence assay. The fractions for each sample were binned into 5 groups based

on density (1.6400-1.7039 g/ml, 1.7040-1.7169 g/ml, 1.7170-1.7299 g/ml, 1.7300-1.7449 g/ml,

1.450-1.7800 g/ml), and fractions within a binned group were combined and sequenced.

Unfractionated DNA from each soil sample was also saved for sequencing.

Metagenomic analyses

Biological triplicates of unfractionated DNA from the three field plots, as well as DNA from 5

density fractions per sample (*n* = 234) were sequenced using an Illumina Novaseq S4 2x150bp

platform by Novogene Co. (novogene.com). Illumina adapters and phiX sequences were

removed using BBmap (https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools) and the remaining reads

were quality trimmed using Sickle for paired-end reads [42]. This process yielded $155 \cdot 10^6 \pm$

$15 \cdot 10^6$ (mean ± standard deviation) reads per unfractionated sample, and $38 \cdot 10^6 \pm 3 \cdot 10^6$ reads

per density fraction.

Triplicates of unfractionated samples from each time point were co-assembled using MEGAHIT

version 1.2.9 [43] with presets large-meta and minimum contig length 1000 bp. Reads from

unfractionated samples were mapped to each assembly with BBwrap (requiring minimum

identity 98%, https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools) and used for binning with Maxbin 2.0

[44] and MetaBAT2 [45]. Density fractions from each sample were co-assembled and binned in

the same manner. Bins from each assembly were refined with the MetaWrap pipeline [46]

requiring a minimum of 50% bin completion and maximum 10% bin redundancy. The resulting

bins from all assemblies were dereplicated (along with bins assembled from soil samples

collected from a nearby site in the winter of 2018 [21]) using dRep [47], requiring 75%

completeness and under 10% contamination, yielding 503 unique metagenome assembled

genomes (MAGs): 385 from the current study and 193 from the winter study. MAG taxonomy

was assigned with the GTDB-tk classify workflow [48]. Three additional low-quality MAGs of

Firmicutes were manually binned using ggkbase. These bins were used only for SIP analyses

(not for any functional analyses), and were included because a former 16S-rRNA qSIP analysis

from the same soil [8] indicated high growth rates of Firmicutes, and we were unable to

automatically assemble high quality bins of this phylum.

Reads from unfractionated samples and density fractions were mapped to our MAG collection

described above. Open reading frames (ORFs) were identified in MAGs with Prodigal version

2.6.3 [49] and annotated with DRAM [50]. Read counts per ORF from MAGs per sample were

parsed with Rsubread [51] and then normalized and analyzed with DESeq2 [52].

16S rRNA gene quantification and sequencing

For 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, density fractionated DNA from 330 density fractions

was amplified in triplicate 10-uL reactions using primers 515 F and 806 R [53, 54]. Each reaction

contained 1uL sample and 9uL of Phusion Hot Start II High Fidelity master mix (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) including 1.5mM additional $MgCl_2$. PCR conditions were 95 C for 2 min followed by 20

593 cycles of 95 C for 30 S, 64.5 C for 30 S, and 72 C for 15 S. The triplicate PCR products were then

594 pooled and diluted 10X and used as a template in a subsequent dual indexing reaction that

595 used the same primers including the Illumina flowcell adaptor sequences and 8-nucleotide

596 Golay barcodes (15 cycles identical to initial amplification conditions). Resulting amplicons were

597 purified with AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter) and quantified with a PicoGreen

598 assay on a BioTek Synergy HT plate reader. Samples were pooled at equivalent concentrations,

599 purified with the AMPure XP beads, and quantified using the KAPA Sybr Fast qPCR kit (Kapa

600 Biosciences). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument at Northern Arizona

601 University's Genetics Core Facility using a 300-cycle v2 reagent kit.

603 *Quantitative stable isotope probing*

604 Atom fraction excess (AFE), growth rates, mortality rates and net rates were calculated using

605 quantitative stable isotope probing (qSIP) [8, 55] by normalizing MAG relative abundance to the

606 quantity of DNA per density fraction [21]. Relative abundance was calculated with coverM

607 version 0.4.0 (https://github.com/wwood/CoverM), generating read counts (method count) for

608 MAGs with a minimum covered fraction of 50% (method covered_fraction) and dividing by total

609 reads per sample. Cumulative mortality was calculated using mortality rates estimated with 16S

610 rRNA gene sequence and qPCR data as described in Blazewicz et al. 2020 [8, 56].

612 *CO$_2$ Flux quantification*

613 For analysis of CO$_2$ and ^{13}CO$_2$, headspace gas samples (5 ml) were collected at each harvest with

614 a gas-tight syringe and transferred to 20 ml wheaton serum bottles that had been purged and

filled with N_2 (1 atm). Total headspace CO_2 was quantified via gas chromotography equipped

with a methanizer paired with a flame ionization detector (GC2014, Shimadzu). Carbon isotopic

composition (δ^{13}C relative to the VPDB standard) of CO_2 was measured with a Picarro G2201-i

cavity ring down spectrometer fitted with a small sample introduction module (SSIM).

Statistical analyses

R code used in this study is available on https://github.com/ellasiera/Wetup_traits.

Cumulative mortality regression was calculated with the R base function lm based on mortality

values resulting from qSIP analyses. Abundance (RPKM), read counts and breadth (fraction of

MAG covered) tables of MAGs per sample were calculated with coverM

(https://github.com/wwood/CoverM). For qSIP analyses, counts were converted to zero where

breadth was <50%. Relative abundance was then calculated by dividing read counts per MAG

by number of reads per sample. Growing organisms *(n = 79)* were defined as MAGs with

detected growth for which the lower limit of the confidence interval was greater than zero.

Detected organisms *(n = 200)* were those that had breadth >50% and RPKM >0 in at least one

sample. Abundance boxplots of growing and non-growing organisms were plotted based on

RPKM using ggplot2 [57]. Heatmaps for abundance and median atom fraction excess (AFE) were

plotted using the R package heatmap3 [58]. Comparisons of gene abundance between time

points and treatments were performed with DESeq2 [52] by defining the variable "condition" to

include both time and treatment and comparing all conditions. P values were adjusted for

multiple comparisons using FDR correction. Principal coordinates analysis was based on a Bray-

Curtis dissimilarity matrix representing gene abundance per sample via the R vegan package

[59], and plotted with ggplot2 [57] and ggvenn [60].

For MAG functional annotation, we used gene annotations from DRAM [50] and

determined which MAGs of growing organisms contained CAZy pathways for degradation of

carbohydrates in each time point. That number was divided by the number of growing

organisms at each time point to get the percent of organisms with the capability to degrade

specific substrates. The resulting table was plotted using ggplot2 [57]. Similarly, we analyzed

and plotted inorganic and organic nitrogen cycling genes, as well as peptidases. Finally, we used

the annotated gene abundances to search for patterns in genes coding for extracellular

polysaccharide (EPS) biosynthesis and export, assuming these would be necessary to generate a

biofilm.

References

1.   Placella SA, Brodie EL, Firestone MK. Rainfall-induced carbon dioxide pulses result from sequential

resuscitation of phylogenetically clustered microbial groups. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012; **109**:

10931–10936.

2.   Barnard RL, Blazewicz SJ, Firestone MK. Rewetting of soil: Revisiting the origin of soil CO_2

emissions. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2020; **147**: 107819.

3.   Xu L, Baldocchi DD, Tang J. How soil moisture, rain pulses, and growth alter the response of

ecosystem respiration to temperature. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 2004; **18**.

4.   Birch HF. The effect of soil drying on humus decomposition and nitrogen availability. *Plant Soil*

658   1958; **10**: 9–31.

659 5. Unger S, Máguas C, Pereira JS, David TS, Werner C. The influence of precipitation pulses on soil

660   respiration--Assessing the 'Birch effect' by stable carbon isotopes. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2010; **42**:

661   1800–1810.

662 6. Lee X, Wu H-J, Sigler J, Oishi C, Siccama T. Rapid and transient response of soil respiration to rain.

663   *Glob Chang Biol* 2004; **10**: 1017–1026.

664 7. Blazewicz SJ, Schwartz E, Firestone MK. Growth and death of bacteria and fungi underlie rainfall-

665   induced carbon dioxide pulses from seasonally dried soil. *Ecology* 2014; **95**: 1162–1172.

666 8. Blazewicz SJ, Hungate BA, Koch BJ, Nuccio EE, Morrissey E, Brodie EL, et al. Taxon-specific microbial

667   growth and mortality patterns reveal distinct temporal population responses to rewetting in a

668   California grassland soil. *ISME J* 2020; **14**: 1520–1532.

669 9. Schaeffer SM, Homyak PM, Boot CM, Roux-Michollet D, Schimel JP. Soil carbon and nitrogen

670   dynamics throughout the summer drought in a California annual grassland. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2017;

671   **115**: 54–62.

672 10. Homyak PM, Blankinship JC, Slessarev EW, Schaeffer SM, Manzoni S, Schimel JP. Effects of altered

673   dry season length and plant inputs on soluble soil carbon. *Ecology* 2018; **99**: 2348–2362.

674 11. Schimel J, Becerra CA, Blankinship J. Estimating decay dynamics for enzyme activities in soils from

675   different ecosystems. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2017; **114**: 5–11.

676 12. Kieft TL, Soroker E, Firestone MK. Microbial biomass response to a rapid increase in water potential

677   when dry soil is wetted. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* . 1987. , **19**: 119–126

678 13. Kempf B, Bremer E. Uptake and synthesis of compatible solutes as microbial stress responses to

679   high-osmolality environments. *Arch Microbiol* 1998; **170**: 319–330.

680 14. Halverson LJ, Jones TM, Firestone MK. Release of intracellular solutes by four soil bacteria exposed

681   to dilution stress. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 2000; **64**: 1630–1637.

682   15.   Warren CR. Isotope pool dilution reveals rapid turnover of small quaternary ammonium

683   compounds. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2019; **131**: 90–99.

684   16.   Miller AE, Schimel JP, Meixner T, Sickman JO, Melack JM. Episodic rewetting enhances carbon and

685   nitrogen release from chaparral soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2005; **37**: 2195–2204.

686   17.   Hicks LC, Lin S, Rousk J. Microbial resilience to drying-rewetting is partly driven by selection for

687   quick colonizers. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2022; **167**: 108581.

688   18.   Lehner F, Coats S, Stocker TF, Pendergrass AG, Sanderson BM, Raible CC, et al. Projected drought

689   risk in 1.5°C and 2°C warmer climates. *Geophys Res Lett* 2017; **44**: 7419–7428.

690   19.   Meisner A, Rousk J, Bååth E. Prolonged drought changes the bacterial growth response to

691   rewetting. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* . 2015. , **88**: 314–322

692   20.   Meisner A, Leizeaga A, Rousk J, Bååth E. Partial drying accelerates bacterial growth recovery to

693   rewetting. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2017; **112**: 269–276.

694   21.   Greenlon A, Sieradzki ET, Zablocki O, Koch BJ, Foley MM, Kimbrel JA, et al. Quantitative stable-

695   isotope probing (qSIP) with metagenomics links microbial physiology and activity to soil moisture in

696   Mediterranean-climate grassland ecosystems. *bioRxiv* . 2022. , 2022.05.02.490339

697   22.   Pessi IS, Viitamäki S, Virkkala A-M, Eronen-Rasimus E, Delmont TO, Marushchak ME, et al. In-depth

698   characterization of denitrifier communities across different soil ecosystems in the tundra. *bioRxiv* .

699   2022. , 2020.12.21.419267

700   23.   Xu L, Dong Z, Chiniquy D, Pierroz G, Deng S, Gao C, et al. Genome-resolved metagenomics reveals

701   role of iron metabolism in drought-induced rhizosphere microbiome dynamics. *Nat Commun* 2021;

702   **12**: 3209.

703   24.   Schimel JP, Bennett J. NITROGEN MINERALIZATION: CHALLENGES OF A CHANGING PARADIGM.

704   *Ecology* . 2004. , **85**: 591–602

25.   Schimel JP. Life in Dry Soils: Effects of Drought on Soil Microbial Communities and Processes. *Annu*

Rev Ecol Evol Syst 2018.

26. Wu L, Zhang Y, Guo X, Ning D, Zhou X, Feng J, et al. Reduction of microbial diversity in grassland soil

is driven by long-term climate warming. *Nat Microbiol* 2022.

27. Foley MM, Blazewicz SJ, McFarlane KJ, Greenlon A, Hayer M, Kimbrel JA, et al. Active communities

and growth of soil microorganisms are framed by mean annual precipitation in three California

annual grasslands. *bioRxiv* . 2021. , 2021.12.06.471491

28. Fossum C, Estera-Molina KY, Yuan M, Herman DJ, Chu-Jacoby I, Nico PS, et al. Belowground

allocation and dynamics of recently fixed plant carbon in a California annual grassland. *Soil Biol*

Biochem 2022; **165**: 108519.

29. Campbell BJ, Yu L, Heidelberg JF, Kirchman DL. Activity of abundant and rare bacteria in a coastal

ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011; **108**: 12776–12781.

30. Lennon JT, Jones SE. Microbial seed banks: the ecological and evolutionary implications of

dormancy. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2011; **9**: 119–130.

31. Fuchslueger L, Bahn M, Hasibeder R, Kienzl S, Fritz K, Schmitt M, et al. Drought history affects

grassland plant and microbial carbon turnover during and after a subsequent drought event. *J Ecol*

2016; **104**: 1453–1465.

32. Göransson H, Godbold DL, Jones DL, Rousk J. Bacterial growth and respiration responses upon

rewetting dry forest soils: Impact of drought-legacy. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2013; **57**: 477–486.

33. Patel KF, Myers-Pigg A, Bond-Lamberty B, Fansler SJ, Norris CG, McKever SA, et al. Soil carbon

dynamics during drying vs. rewetting: Importance of antecedent moisture conditions. *Soil Biol*

Biochem 2021; **156**: 108165.

34. Liu L, Estiarte M, Bengtson P, Li J, Asensio D, Wallander H, et al. Drought legacies on soil respiration

and microbial community in a Mediterranean forest soil under different soil moisture and carbon

inputs. *Geoderma* 2022; **405**: 115425.

35.   Dai A, Zhao T, Chen J. Climate Change and Drought: a Precipitation and Evaporation Perspective.

Current Climate Change Reports 2018; **4**: 301–312.

36.   Shade A, Peter H, Allison SD, Baho DL, Berga M, Bürgmann H, et al. Fundamentals of microbial

community resistance and resilience. *Front Microbiol* 2012; **3**: 417.

37.   Santos-Medellín C, Estera-Molina K, Yuan M, Pett-Ridge J, Firestone MK, Emerson JB. Spatial

turnover of soil viral populations and genotypes overlain by cohesive responses to moisture in

grasslands. *bioRxiv* . 2022. , 2022.03.24.485562

38.   Barnard RL, Osborne CA, Firestone MK. Changing precipitation pattern alters soil microbial

community response to wet-up under a Mediterranean-type climate. *ISME J* 2015; **9**: 946–957.

39.   Trubl G, Kimbrel JA, Liquet-Gonzalez J, Nuccio EE, Weber PK, Pett-Ridge J, et al. Active virus-host

interactions at sub-freezing temperatures in Arctic peat soil. *Microbiome* 2021; **9**: 208.

40.   Nuccio EE, Blazewicz SJ, Lafler M, Campbell AN, Kakouridis A, Kimbrel JA, et al. HT-SIP: A semi-

automated Stable Isotope Probing pipeline identifies interactions in the hyphosphere of arbuscular

mycorrhizal fungi. *bioRxiv* . 2022. , 2022.07.01.498377

41.   Buckley DH, Huangyutitham V, Hsu S-F, Nelson TA. Stable Isotope Probing with 15N Achieved by

Disentangling the Effects of Genome G+C Content and Isotope Enrichment on DNA Density. *Appl*

Environ Microbiol 2007; **73**: 3189–3195.

42.   Joshi NA, Fass J, Others. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for FastQ

files (Version 1.33)[Software]. 2011.

43.   Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large

and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. *Bioinformatics* 2015; **31**: 1674–

1676.

44.   Wu Y-W, Simmons BA, Singer SW. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to recover genomes

from multiple metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics* 2016; **32**: 605–607.

45. Kang DD, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, et al. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for

robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* 2019; **7**: e7359.

46. Uritskiy GV, DiRuggiero J, Taylor J. MetaWRAP—a flexible pipeline for genome-resolved

metagenomic data analysis. *Microbiome* 2018; **6**: 158.

47. Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons

that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. *ISME J* 2017;

11: 2864–2868.

48. Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the

Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* 2019.

49. Hyatt D, Chen G-L, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene

recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010; **11**: 119.

50. Shaffer M, Borton MA, McGivern BB, Zayed AA, La Rosa SL, Solden LM, et al. DRAM for distilling

microbial metabolism to automate the curation of microbiome function. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2020;

48: 8883–8900.

51. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment

and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; **47**: e47.

52. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data

with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014; **15**: 550.

53. Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer

greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquat Microb Ecol* 2015; **75**: 129–137.

54. Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA primers

for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. *Environ*

Microbiol 2016; **18**: 1403–1414.

55. Hungate BA, Mau RL, Schwartz E, Caporaso JG, Dijkstra P, van Gestel N, et al. Quantitative microbial

ecology through stable isotope probing. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015; **81**: 7570–7581.

56.   Koch BJ, McHugh TA, Hayer M, Schwartz E, Blazewicz SJ, Dijkstra P, et al. Estimating taxon-specific

population dynamics in diverse microbial communities. *Ecosphere* . 2018. , **9**

57.   Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. 2016. Springer.

58.   Zhao S, Guo Y, Sheng Q, Shyr Y. Heatmap3: an improved heatmap package with more powerful and

convenient features. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2014; **15**: P16.

59.   Oksanen J, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara B, Simpson GL, Solymos P, et al. The vegan package.

Community ecology package [http://r-forge r-project org/projects/vegan/] 2008.

60.   Yan. ggvenn: Draw Venn Diagram by 'ggplot2'. *R Package Version* .

Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the Firestone and Pett-Ridge groups who helped with field

sampling and soil processing, Shufei Lei and Rohan Sachdeva for bioinformatic support, Max Li

for help with the soil incubations and DNA extractions, Ryan Gini for help with GC analyses,

Michaela Hayer for help with qPCR and amplicon sequencing, and Marissa Lafler for operation

of the LLNL HT-SIP qSIP pipeline and the Picarro CRDS.

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Biological and

Environmental Research (BER), Genomic Science Program Lawrence Livermore National

Laboratory (LLNL) 'Microbes Persist' Soil Microbiome Scientific Focus Area SCW1632, and a

subaward to UC Berkeley. Field plots, the precipitation experiment and the $^{13}CO_2$ labeling study

were initially generated via DOE BER awards DE-SC0020163 and DE-SC0016247 (to MKF) and

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

All raw data used in this publication is available on the NCBI short read archive under BioProject

PRJNA856348. No custom tools were used to analyze the data.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Biological and

Environmental Research (BER), Genomic Science Program Lawrence Livermore National

Authors' contributions

ETS performed all bioinformatics and statistical analyses on metagenomic data, wrote and

revised the manuscript.

AG provided genomic sequences, participated in sample processing and provided input on the

manuscript.

AMN assisted with graphical illustrations and provided feedback on the manuscript.

MKF advised on paper writing and analyses and provided funding for the original precipitation

manipulation experiment.

JPR co-designed the experiment, provided equipment and funding, processed samples,

provided feedback and revised the paper.

SJB co-designed and ran the experiment, advised on the paper, participated in writing and

analyzed 16S-qSIP data.

JFB advised and participated in paper writing and analyses.