Imaginal disc growth factors are *Drosophila* Chitinase-like Proteins with roles in morphogenesis and CO$_2$ response

Authors

Anne Sustar[1], Liesl Strand[1,2] Sandra Zimmerman[1*], Celeste Berg[1*]

[1]Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, [2] Current address: Department of Developmental Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California

*For correspondence: caberg@uw.edu; sgzim@uw.edu

Abstract

Chitinase-like proteins (CLPs) are members of the family 18 glycosyl hydrolases, which include chitinases and the enzymatically inactive CLPs. A mutation in the enzyme's catalytic site, conserved in vertebrates and invertebrates, allowed CLPs to evolve independently with functions that do not require chitinase activity. CLPs normally function during inflammatory responses, wound healing, and host defense, but when they persist at excessive levels at sites of chronic inflammation and in tissue-remodeling disorders, they correlate positively with disease progression and poor prognosis. However, little is known about their physiological function. *Drosophila melanogaster* has six CLPS, termed Imaginal disc growth factors (Idgfs), encoded by *Idgf1*, *Idgf2*, *Idgf3*, *Idgf4*, *Idgf5*, and *Idgf6*. In this study we developed tools to facilitate characterization of the physiological roles of the Idgfs by deleting each of the *Idgf* genes using the CRISPR/Cas9 system and assessing loss-of-function phenotypes. Using null lines, we showed that loss-of-function for all six Idgf proteins significantly lowers fertility and viability and compromises germ cell migration. We also showed that Idgfs play roles in epithelial morphogenesis, maintaining proper epithelial architecture and cell shape, regulating E-cadherin and cortical Actin, and protecting these tissues against CO$_2$ exposure. Defining the normal molecular mechanisms of CLPS is key to understanding how deviations tip the balance from a physiological to a pathological state.

Introduction

Chitinase-like proteins (CLPs) are secreted glycoproteins with properties of cytokines and growth factors (GUAN *et al.* 2020; KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999; KZHYSHKOWSKA *et al.* 2016; LU *et al.* 2022). Normally, CLPs aid in inflammatory responses, wound healing, and defense against parasites, but they also have a dark side (DI ROSA *et al.* 2016; MAZUR *et al.* 2021; PINTEAC *et al.* 2021; SUTHERLAND *et al.* 2014). Excess levels of CLPs are associated with diseases exhibiting chronically inflamed tissues, such as cancer, asthma, and arthritis, and there they promote the disease process rather than mitigate it (DI ROSA *et al.* 2016; KZHYSHKOWSKA *et al.* 2016; LEE *et al.* 2011; MAZUR *et al.* 2021; PINTEAC *et al.* 2021; QURESHI *et al.* 2011).

The enzymatically active members of the family 18 glycosyl hydrolases hydrolyze the glycosidic bonds of chitin, a polysaccharide present in plant, fungal, bacterial, and animal species (FUHRMAN *et al.* 1992; MIYASHITA AND FUJII 1993; SAMAC *et al.* 1990; THARANATHAN AND KITTUR 2003; WATANABE *et al.* 1993). CLPs,

on the other hand, lack hydrolytic activity due to substitution of a key amino acid (glutamic acid) in the
protein's catalytic domain (e.g., with glutamine in *Drosophila* Idgfs and human CHI3L2, or with leucine in
human CHI3L1) (KIRKPATRICK *et al.* 1995; RECKLIES *et al.* 2002; VARELA *et al.* 2002). This mutation was
facilitated by duplication of ancestral chitinases, followed by subsequent mutations and further
duplications (BUSSINK et al. 2007). Over evolutionary time, CLPs acquired new functions that do not
require chitinase activity.

Extensive research in humans has identified four CLPs: chitinase 3 like 1 (CHI3L1), chitinase 3 like 2
(CHI3L2), oviductal glycoprotein 1 (OVGP1), and stabilin-interacting chitinase-like protein (SI-CLP). Most
studies have focused on the expression patterns of CLPs and their clinical relevance as potential
biomarkers of disease or as targets for therapy (MAZUR *et al.* 2021; PINTEAC *et al.* 2021). Analyses of the
associated molecular mechanisms are limited to a few studies identifying binding partners, receptors, or
signaling pathways (ARESHKOV *et al.* 2012; FRANCESCONE *et al.* 2011; FUSETTI *et al.* 2003; GUAN *et al.* 2020;
HE *et al.* 2013; KZHYSHKOWSKA *et al.* 2004; KZHYSHKOWSKA *et al.* 2006; LIBREROS *et al.* 2013; LOW *et al.* 2015;
MALIK *et al.* 2015; SHAO *et al.* 2009; ZHANG *et al.* 2009), but these studies relied on *in vitro* cell culture,
binding assays, immunohistochemistry, or biochemical methods rather than whole-animal models. To
understand the molecular mechanisms of CLP function in physiological and pathological contexts, we
need to analyze function *in vivo,* preferably in a model organism that would allow definitive functional
tests.

The six *Drosophila* Idgfs are encoded by genes located at four sites in the genome: *Idgf1*, *Idgf2*, and *Idgf3*
are clustered together on the left arm of chromosome 2 (2L), *Idgf4* lies on the X chromosome, while
Idgf5 and *Idgf6* reside ~ 1.7 Mb apart on the right arm of chromosome 2 (2R) (Figure 1A and Table S1)
(KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999; KIRKPATRICK *et al.* 1995; ZUROVCOVA AND AYALA 2002). Although now defined with
a common nomenclature, the proteins were originally identified through different routes. Idgf6
(previously called DS47) was isolated from media from cultured Schneider line-2 (S2) cells, which have
macrophage-like properties (KIRKPATRICK *et al.* 1995). Idgf1, Idgf2, Idgf3, and Idgf4 were isolated from
conditioned media from imaginal disc cell culture (Clone 8 cells) (KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999). Idgf5 was
identified by computer search of the *Drosophila* genome (ZUROVCOVA AND AYALA 2002).

Idgf proteins exhibit about 50% amino acid identity with each other and 15–25% amino acid sequence
homology to family 18 glycosyl hydrolases, which include the chitinases (KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999; VARELA
et al. 2002). Consistent with their identification as secreted proteins, Idgfs and their human orthologs
have an N-terminal signal sequence and an N-linked glycosylation sequence (ARIAS *et al.* 1994; HU *et al.*
1996; KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999; KIRKPATRICK *et al.* 1995; MENG *et al.* 2010; NYIRKOS AND GOLDS 1990; RENKEMA
et al. 1998; SCHIMPL *et al.* 2012). The proteins form a characteristic barrel structure composed of eight
parallel beta sheets surrounded by eight anti-parallel alpha helices (VARELA *et al.* 2002).

Idgfs promote growth, proliferation, polarization, and motility in cultured cells (KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999).
They participate in wound healing, protect against infection by nematodes (BROZ *et al.* 2017; KUCEROVA *et*
al. 2016), function in detoxification of cells (BROZ *et al.* 2017), and participate in extracellular matrix
organization required for molting (PESCH *et al.* 2016). To accomplish these functions, the Idgfs are not
co-regulated, but rather, exhibit distinct expression patterns and respond to different stimuli. In the
embryo, *Idgf* RNA is localized in cells adjacent to invaginating cells during tissue morphogenesis
(KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999). In larvae and adults, Idgfs are expressed by hemocytes and the fat body
(functional equivalent of the liver) and secreted into the hemolymph (CARTON AND NAPPI 2001; IRVING *et*

al. 2001; KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999; KHUSH AND LEMAITRE 2000; KIRKPATRICK *et al.* 1995; MEISTER *et al.* 2000).

Hemocytes and fat body both participate in innate immune responses. In the ovary, Idgfs are expressed

in dynamic patterns specific to the different cell types of the egg chamber (ZIMMERMAN *et al.* 2017).

Both over- and under-expression in egg chamber cells disrupt epithelial tube morphogenesis (ESPINOZA

AND BERG 2020; ZIMMERMAN *et al.* 2017). Thus, Idgfs function in diverse processes in different tissues.

In this study, we focused on characterizing the physiological roles of the Idgfs by precisely deleting each

of the Idgfs using the CRISPR/Cas9 system and assessing the effects of complete loss of function in

various tissues and different life stages. We found that flies lacking all six Idgfs have low viability and

fertility. Germ cell migration in these mutants is defective and likely contributes to the observed low

fertility. We detected numerous cuticle defects in adults, abnormal epithelial morphogenesis in egg

chambers, and segmentation defects in embryos. Finally, we found that Idgfs regulate E-cadherin and

cortical Actin and protect epithelia against CO_2 exposure.

A

Idgf structure and location

B

Hatch rates

C

Phenotypes in adults

Figure 1 *Idgf* complete knockouts are not entirely lethal. (A) Diagram shows cytological locations of *Idgf* genes and structure of transcript isoforms, including 5' and 3' untranslated regions (purple), coding sequences (green), and introns (thin lines). Black arrows on transcripts indicate the orientation of transcription in the plus (right-pointing) or minus (left-pointing) direction. Arrowheads (magenta) indicate approximate cut sites for deleting each *Idgf* gene. (B) Homozygous and heterozygous *sxtΔ* mutants have low hatch rates relative to control (w^{1118}). Dots represent egg laying assays sampled from three independent biological replicates, ranging from 350-852 eggs analyzed per replicate. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. Significance is based pairwise t-tests with p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons (Benjamini-Hochberg), ** denotes $p≤0.01$, ****denotes $p≤0.0001$. (C) Adult phenotypes. Top row, dorsal view: etched tergites in adult abdomen (arrow). Wings are held out and down ("droopy" wings). Middle row, ventral view: dark cuticle patches (arrow) are reminiscent of melanotic clots. Bottom row: adult wings display ectopic wing veins (arrow). Anterior is up, distal is to the right.

Results

Idgf loss of function phenotypes

To begin to understand how Idgfs function, we developed *Idgf* null lines using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. We precisely deleted the coding region of each *Idgf*, creating single, double, triple, and more mutant lines, as well as a line with all six deletions (w^{1118} $Idgf^{4\Delta}$; $Idgf^{(1-3)\Delta,(6-5)\Delta}$, termed "sextuple mutant" and designated from here on as *sxtΔ*) (Figure 1A, Figure S1, and Table S2). In the laboratory environment of rich food and benign growth conditions, we found no highly penetrant defects in any single mutant. *sxtΔ* flies, however, exhibited numerous phenotypes described below.

sxtΔ mutants have low viability and fertility

Viability and fertility depend on many developmental processes that require patterning, growth, and cell migration. We assessed the function of Idgfs in this context by quantifying hatch rates for offspring from *sxtΔ* homozygous flies and from *sxtΔ* flies carrying the second chromosome CyO balancer (w^{1118} $Idgf^{4\Delta}$; $Idgf^{(1-3)\Delta,(6-5)}$/CyO). We compared these rates to hatch rates of offspring from w^{1118} and w^{1118} carrying the same second-chromosome balancer (w^{1118}; +/CyO) (Figure 1B).

The expected hatch rate for offspring from w^{1118}; +/CyO parents is 75% of all laid eggs (*CyO/CyO* is lethal). In this background, we observed a hatch rate of 73% compared to a hatch rate of 63% in offspring from w^{1118} $Idgf^{4\Delta}$; $Idgf^{(1-3)\Delta,(6-5)\Delta}$/CyO parents. The lower hatch rate for embryos in the *sxtΔ* background indicates that reduction of Idgfs moderately impacts embryonic development. For the heterozygous offspring from this cross (embryos carrying the *CyO* chromosome), maternal and zygotic contributions of wild-type Idgfs comes only from the *CyO* chromosome. In the *sxtΔ* homozygous embryos, all zygotic expression of Idgfs is lost. Consistent with maternal loading of *Idgf* transcripts (Zimmerman et al. 2017), maternal and zygotic loss of Idgfs is more detrimental: nearly 90% of eggs laid by *sxtΔ* females mated to *sxtΔ* males fail to hatch. Surprisingly, some escapers survive to adulthood, and when crossed to w^{1118} flies, these escapers are weakly fertile (Figure 1B).

Heterozygous offspring from *sxtΔ* females crossed to w^{1118} males have a higher hatch rate (26.5%) than embryos from a cross between *sxtΔ* homozygotes, presumably due to zygotic expression of wild-type Idgfs, and embryos from w^{1118} females crossed to *sxtΔ* males, which have both maternal and potential zygotic *Idgf* expression in embryos, have a 52% hatch rate (Figure 1B). This percentage is lower than the hatch rate from the $Idgf^{4\Delta}$; $Idgf^{(1-3)\Delta,(6-5)\Delta}$/CyO cross, possibly reflecting greater fertility of the male carrying the *CyO* balancer, which expresses wild-type Idgfs. These results indicate that both maternal and zygotic expression of wild-type Idgfs participate in embryonic development.

To further explore the effect of loss of Idgfs on viability and development, we compared eclosion rates between homozygous (straight-winged) and heterozygous (curly-winged) adult offspring of heterozygous parents (w^{1118}; +/CyO or w^{1118} $Idgf^{4\Delta}$; $Idgf^{(1-3)\Delta,(6-5)\Delta}$/CyO males and females). Considering the lethality of homozygous *CyO/CyO* flies, the expected Mendelian fractions of curly vs. straight-winged adults should be 2/3 heterozygous (curly-winged) and 1/3 homozyous (straight-winged) flies. Chi-squared analysis demonstrates that the relative fractions in the *sxtΔ* background (73% curly, 27% straight) deviates significantly from the relative fractions of wing phenotypes in the w^{1118} background (69% curly, 31% straight) (p = 0.0087) (Figure S2A). Furthermore, *sxtΔ* homozygotes are developmentally delayed compared to w^{1118} homozygotes. The fraction of *sxtΔ* homozygotes eclosing each day relative to the total after 3 days lags behind the w^{1118} homozygotes (Figure S2B).

147 Taken together, these results indicate that loss of all six Idgfs impacts embryonic development, delays
148 embryonic, larval and/or pupal development, reduces overall adult viability, and lowers both male and
149 female fertility.

Germ cell migration is defective in *sxtΔ*

In addition to low hatch rates, *sxtΔ* females laid fewer eggs than control females. Consistent with this
observation, ovaries from *sxtΔ* adult females were greatly reduced in size compared to control females.
Low fertility in *sxtΔ* flies may be the result of defects in germ cell migration and gonad development.
Germ cells form at the posterior pole of the embryo and migrate through the gut, guided by attractive
and repellent cues, to the somatic gonadal precursors; together these two cell types form the gonads
(Bejsovec and Martinez Arias 1991; LeBlanc and Lehmann 2017). To assess whether low fertility was a
consequence of defects in gonad formation, we marked germ cells with anti-Vasa antibody in control
(w^{1118}) and *sxtΔ* embryos (Figure S3A, A'). In the mutants, germ cell number is significantly reduced
relative to embryos from control flies (Figure S3B, p=0.0002). These defects potentially involve guidance
cues, cell adhesion, or polarization. In support of this hypothesis, we have observed a close association
of *Idgf6::GFP* transgene expression with germ cells during embryonic Stages 4-7 (Fig S3C).

Embryos exhibit segmentation defects

The insect body is organized in a series of segments, regulated by segmentation genes consisting of gap,
pair-rule, and segment polarity genes. For example, *engrailed* is a segment polarity gene that is
expressed in the posterior two rows of each segment (Figure 4A) and participates in the development of
denticle belts (Glover and Hames 1989, pp.65-66). Denticles are hook-like cuticle structures on the
ventral side of each larval segment that provide traction for crawling (Figure S4B). During late
embryogenesis, the larval epithelial cells that secrete the ventral cuticle have one of two fates: either
they remain smooth, or they produce polarized, actin-based protrusions that form the denticles (Dilks
and DiNardo 2010) (Figure S4C). Maintenance of the segmental pattern of alternating smooth and
denticle-producing stripes is regulated by the interactions between Engrailed (En), Wingless (Wg), and
Patched (Ptc) (Glover and Hames 1989, pp. 65-66). The pattern of En expression was disrupted in *sxtΔ*
mutant embryos, both on a tissue level and within each cell (Figure S4A',B',C',D'). Smooth cuticle
overlapped denticle belts, parts of neighboring belts sometimes fused together, single rows of denticles
localized within bands of smooth cuticle, and En aberrantly localized to cell membranes (Figure S4D,D')
We explored these defects by examining the segmental pattern of denticle-producing and non-denticle-
producing cell shapes in late-stage embryos and discovered an array of altered cell shapes and sizes
(Figure 4C,C'). This phenotype could be due to patterning defects, or, alternatively, the cells may have
achieved proper cell fate but cannot maintain proper positioning within a segment due to loss of cell-
junction integrity. Cell polarity may also be affected.

Adult flies display a variety of cuticle defects and lesions

Adult *sxtΔ* mutant flies display cuticle defects, i.e., etched tergites and "droopy" wings (Figure 1C, top
row). Consistent with the role of Idgfs in immune responses (Kucerova *et al.* 2016), adults have lesions
resembling melanotic clots, which normally occur at the sites of wounds or at sites of pathogen invasion
(Figure 1C, middle row, arrow). Adult wings occasionally have ectopic wing veins (Figure 1C, bottom
row, arrow), suggesting defects in wing imaginal-disk patterning during larval and early pupal
development.

Overall size of *Idgf* mutant adults was not affected

As noted in the Introduction, CLPs have properties of growth factors (ARESHKOV *et al.* 2012; LEE *et al.*
2011; SHAO *et al.* 2009). To assess whether loss of Idgfs compromises growth, we quantified fly size by
measuring wing area, which correlates well with overall body size (MIRTH AND SHINGLETON 2012; SIOMAVA
et al. 2016). We compared wing area in four different genotypes and found no significant difference
under our culture conditions. Genotypes: w^{1118}, w^{1118} or $Idgf^{(1-3)\Delta}$ in *trans* to a deficiency generated by
the Bloomington Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) (RYDER *et al.* 2004) that uncovers *Idgf*s 1-3 (Table S3),
and $Idgf^{(1-3)\Delta}$ in trans to a wild-type chromosome. We found no significant difference between any of
these genotypes under our culture conditions. This result implies that these Idgfs do not contribute to
growth and proliferation of imaginal discs and histoblast cells during larval and pupal development.
Bristle morphology was also normal.

Dorsal appendage tube formation is disrupted in sxtΔ mutants

Cell migration, polarization, growth, proliferation, and signaling govern the tissue movements and
reconfigurations that form morphologic structures such as epithelial tubes. We used our dorsal
appendage (DA) tube morphogenesis model to assess the effects of *Idgf* loss of function during
oogenesis (Figure 2A). DA tubes produce eggshell structures that facilitate gas exchange for the
developing embryo, and they are made by a subset of follicle cells in late-stage egg chambers. The egg
chamber consists of 15 germline-derived nurse cells, one oocyte, and a surrounding monolayer of
somatic epithelial cells consisting posteriorly of columnar cells and anteriorly of squamous cells (termed
"stretch" cells). The tubes develop from two patches of precursor cells in the follicular epithelium and,
through cell intercalation and cell shape change, elongate by migrating anteriorly over the stretch cells
and beneath the extracellular matrix (DORMAN *et al.* 2004; OSTERFIELD *et al.* 2013; RITTENHOUSE AND BERG
1995; WARD AND BERG 2005). The tube cells secrete eggshell protein into the tube lumen, providing a
readout for tube formation, similar to the way a mold forms a sculpture (DAs) (Figure 2A, right image).
This highly conserved process of tube formation is a wrapping mechanism akin to mammalian neural
tube formation (OSTERFIELD *et al.* 2017).

We previously observed disruption of DA morphogenesis using *Idgf1* and *Idgf3* overexpression (ESPINOZA
AND BERG 2020; ZIMMERMAN *et al.* 2017) and *Idgf* RNAi for each single mutant (ZIMMERMAN *et al.* 2017).
Since null mutations are the gold standard in genetics, we asked "What about complete knockout of
Idgfs?" We compared the DA phenotypes of eggs laid by each single *Idgf* CRISPR-null mutant and the
sxtΔ mutant to the DAs of eggs laid by control (w^{1118}) flies and categorized the phenotypes as mild,
moderate, or severe by scoring the DAs while blinded to the genotypes (Figure 2B). To limit the
influence of potential background mutations, we assessed the phenotypes produced by single mutant
genotypes *Idgf1Δ* through *Idgf6Δ* by placing each *Idgf* deletion chromosome *in trans* to a deficiency
chromosome generated independently by the Bloomington Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) (RYDER *et*
al. 2004). Note that none of the deficiencies produced DA phenotypes on their own (Table S3). The *sxtΔ*
mutant was assessed homozygously due to the unfeasibility of creating flies heterozygous with
deficiencies spanning each of the six deleted *Idgf* loci.

Approximately 50% of eggs laid by the *sxtΔ* mutants and 18% of the *Idgf6Δ* mutants had moderate or
severe phenotypes, but both of these percentages varied somewhat from experiment to experiment
(see below). The other single mutants (*Idgf1Δ*, *Idgf2Δ*, *Idgf3Δ*, *Idgf4Δ*, and *Idgf5Δ*) did not exhibit

significant levels of defects [Figure 2C (i)]. Expression of an *Idgf6::GFP* transgene in a *sxtΔ* mutant
background partially rescued the *sxtΔ* DA phenotype [Figure 2C (ii)].

Overall, these results provide evidence for important physiologic roles of Idgfs in tissue morphogenesis,
potentially including cell polarization, migration, adhesion, and signaling.

A

tube
formation

egg chambers

Stage 10B   Stage 14   Egg

B

normal   moderate   severe

C

Figure 2 Dorsal appendage tube formation and defects in *Idgf* mutants. (A) Dorsal appendage formation. Roof
cells (blue) and floor cells (red) change shape and reorganize to make two DA tubes. Stretch cells (green), cut away
to show nurse cells (purple), guide tube elongation. Dorsal appendages (arrowheads) of the laid egg bring air to
the embryo that is developing inside the eggshell. (B) Representative examples of DA morphology categorized into
normal, moderate, and severe phenotypes. Scale bar = 100μm. (C) Moderate and severe DA phenotypes are
significantly increased in eggs laid by *Idgf6Δ/Df* and *sxtΔ* females indicating defects in tubulogenesis during
oogenesis. *Idgf1Δ/Df*, *Idgf2Δ/Df*, *Idgf3Δ/Df*, *Idgf4Δ/Df,* and *Idgf5Δ/Df* single mutants do not significantly affect DA
morphology [C(i)]. Expression of a wild-type *Idgf6* transgene in *sxtΔ* mutant females partially rescues DA
morphology [C(ii)]. Deficiency chromosomes specific to each mutant are listed in Table S3.

Idgfs protect against effects of CO$_2$ exposure

While analyzing the DA defects in eggs laid by *Idgf* mutants, we observed variability in penetrance and
expressivity. Initially, we found differences in the percentage and severity of DA defects for eggs laid by
Idgf6Δ females. Because these two sets of experiments (*Idgf6Δ* vs. other single *Idgf* mutants) were
performed by two different lab workers, we asked whether these differences were biological or whether
the variability was due to a difference in technique between lab workers (e.g., age of flies,
overcrowding, or CO$_2$ exposure). Intriguingly, we determined that there was variability in whether flies
were exposed to CO$_2$ when they were transferred to fresh egg-laying plates. This observation raised the
question as to whether loss of *Idgf* function rendered flies more sensitive to CO$_2$.

To compare CO$_2$ sensitivity between wild-type and mutant flies, we assessed DA morphology on eggs
laid by w^{1118} flies and *Idgf* mutants by using several different regimes of CO$_2$ exposure. Treating adult
females to 100% CO$_2$ for 60 seconds twice per day (~every 12 hours) for 3.5 days (Figure S5A) resulted in
a significant increase in DA defects on the eggs laid by *Idgf6Δ* and *sxtΔ* mutants, but not on the w^{1118}
eggs nor in any of the other single mutants (*Idgf1Δ* through *Idgf5Δ*).

Since tissue and cellular responses to carbon dioxide depend on the length and severity of exposure
(HELENIUS *et al.* 2016; HELENIUS *et al.* 2009; SHARABI *et al.* 2009a; SHARABI *et al.* 2009b), we asked if a
prolonged exposure to a lower level of CO$_2$ would have a similar effect. We exposed *sxtΔ* mutant and
w^{1118} adult flies to either 20% CO$_2$ or 100% air and evaluated DA morphology at 1.5 – 2 days and 7-8 days
of exposure. Exposure to CO$_2$ over either of these time periods did not significantly increase DA defects
in eggs laid by *sxtΔ* mutants (Figure S5B).

We next asked whether the effect of CO$_2$ exposure was directly due to the CO$_2$ itself or due to hypoxia,
the transient absence of oxygen. To distinguish between these two hypotheses, we exposed flies to
100% N$_2$ for five minutes followed by DA analysis at 8 – 10 hours and 2 days after exposure. We did not
observe a significant effect on DA morphology following this treatment, indicating that the increase in
DA morphology defects was directly due to CO$_2$ exposure rather than hypoxia (Figure 3A).

These results suggest that Idgf proteins protect tissues against acute CO$_2$ exposure. If this hypothesis is
correct, expression of Idgf protein should rescue the DA mutant phenotype associated with high CO$_2$. To
test this prediction, we used a GFP-tagged transgene, *Idgf6::GFP*, in which Idgf6 is fused in-frame at its
C-terminus to superfolder GFP (SAROV *et al.* 2016). We expressed this transgene in the *Idgf6Δ* and
sxtΔ mutants and compared the DA phenotypes to the same mutants lacking the transgene. We
exposed the flies to a single pulse of 100% CO$_2$ for one minute and analyzed DA defects at 8 – 10 hours
and 2 days after exposure (Figure 3B). While both mutants exhibited defects at 8 – 10 hours after
exposure, *Idgf6Δ::GFP* expression restored the percentage of DA defects to pre-exposure levels in the
Idgf6Δ mutants, and it reduced the DA defects in the *sxtΔ* mutants but not to the level of statistical
significance. After 2 days, the DA defects in both mutants recovered to pre-exposure levels. These
results demonstrate that this gene—environment interaction is due to the loss of Idgfs. Significantly,
expression of a single Idgf protein can rescue or ameliorate the DA phenotype in *Idgf* mutants.

To examine the time course following acute CO$_2$ exposure on a finer scale, we exposed adult *sxtΔ* flies to
a single pulse of 100% CO$_2$ for one minute, followed by hourly DA defect analysis (Figure 3C). Defects
peaked at 6 – 10 hours after exposure and then recovered by ~27 hours after exposure.

In addition to the developmental roles for Idgfs in normoxia, these results support a hypothesis that
Idgfs protect against morphogenetic disruption following acute CO_2 exposure.
288

Figure 3 Idgfs protect against CO₂ exposure. (A) Effect of hypoxia on DA phenotype. Air is replaced with 100% N₂
for 5 min to induce hypoxia. DA phenotype is not significantly affected by hypoxia. (B) Expressing a single copy of a
wild-type *Idgf6* transgene rescues the DA *Idgf6Δ* phenotype induced by a single, 1-min pulse of 100% CO₂. The sxtΔ
phenotype is partially but not significantly rescued (p=0.32). Proportions of defects peak at 8-10 hours after the
CO₂ pulse. The *Idgf6Δ* deletion is transheterozygous with a deficiency chromosome to cover potential background
mutations. **** denotes significance (p≤0.0001), NS=not significant. (C) Hourly assessment of DA phenotype
following a 1-minute pulse of 100% CO₂. DA defects in eggs laid by *sxtΔ* females peak at 7-10 hours after CO₂
exposure.

Actin but not E-cadherin is disrupted in egg chambers after CO$_2$ exposure

How do Idgfs function in DA morphogenesis, and how does acute exposure to CO$_2$ impact that process? One hypothesis is that Idgfs are necessary for patterning the DA primordial cells. A second hypothesis is that Idgfs regulate cell morphology and epithelial tissue dynamics. To test these hypotheses, we exposed adult females to 100% CO$_2$ for one minute and then waited for 20-30 minutes before dissecting, fixing, and staining the ovaries. We then examined patterning and cell morphology of the DA-forming cells using antibodies against Broad, a transcription factor that specifies the DA roof-forming cells, and against E-cadherin, an adhesion protein that outlines cell shapes (Dorman et al. 2004; Ward and Berg 2005; Ward et al. 2006).

Patterning was normal in both w^{1118} and *sxtΔ* in both the exposed and unexposed egg chambers as revealed by two patches of ~ 50, high-Broad-expressing cells residing just lateral to the dorsal midline (Figure 4A-C). Cell morphology in the DA patches, however, was more disorganized, and E-cadherin was disrupted in the *sxtΔ* exposed egg chambers relative to the exposed w^{1118} and unexposed *sxtΔ* egg chambers (Figure 4A'-C', rectangles). DA cell circumferences were abnormally large in both the exposed and unexposed *sxtΔ* mutants relative to the DA cells in the exposed w^{1118} egg chambers (Figure 4A'-C', rectangles, and Figure 4D-E, rectangles). After exposure to CO$_2$, *sxtΔ* DA-forming cells were further disrupted by a dramatic loss of Actin from both the cell junctions and the basal surfaces (Figure 4G). In contrast, cell shapes and Actin levels looked normal in the w^{1118} DA-forming cells (Figure 4F).

Figure 4   Patterning and cell morphology in DA-forming cells. (A-C') Stage 10B egg chambers. Top row (A-C):
Broad (green) marks the roof cells in the DA primordium, DAPI (blue) indicates the nuclei. Patterning is normal in
$sxt\Delta$ mutant exposed to CO_2. Lower row (A'-C'): E-cadherin delineates the apico-lateral cell junctions in follicle
cells. Cell morphology is abnormal in $sxt\Delta$ mutant DAs, and E-cadherin localization is disrupted after a single pulse
of 100% CO_2 exposure (white rectangles indicate DA-forming cells). (D-G) Stage 12 egg chambers. DA roof cells
(white rectangles) are abnormally large in the $sxt\Delta$ mutant (E) compared to control (w^{1118}) (D) egg chambers. (F) As
shown in w^{1118} egg chambers, basal cell membranes normally exhibit bright spots of Actin localization (arrow). (G)
In $sxt\Delta$ mutants, Actin is lost from cell membranes after CO_2 exposure. Arrowheads in the $sxt\Delta$ + CO_2 image
indicate chorion autofluorescence.

We quantified E-cadherin and Actin in the DA-forming follicle cells by measuring fluorescent intensities
across cell membranes using ImageJ (Figure 5, Figure S6) and calculating the total area under the plot
profiles. To assess whether potential differences in intensity might be stage or cell-type specific, we
analyzed roof cells, floor cells, and main-body follicle cells at Stage 10B, just prior to tube wrapping, and
at Stage 12, during tube elongation (Figure 5A and Figure S6A). E-cadherin intensity was lower in *sxtΔ*
mutants relative to w^{1118} control flies ($p \leq 0.05$), but it was not significantly affected by CO_2 exposure
(Figure 5B,C and Figure S6B). In contrast, Actin levels were similar in w^{1118} and *sxtΔ* mutants but
dropped quickly in both samples upon exposure to CO_2 ($p \leq 0.05$) (Figure 5B',C' and , Figure S6B'). After
30 minutes, Actin intensity recovered in the control egg chambers but remained low in the *sxtΔ* mutant
samples (Figure 4F,G). These results suggest that Idgfs regulate E-cadherin expression or stability in
normoxia and that they impact Actin dynamics in response to CO_2.

Figure 5 Quantification of cortical Actin and E-Cadherin intensity in DA cells. (A) E-Cadherin and Actin in Stage
10B roof cells (blue square) and Stage 12 floor cells (red square). Scale bar = 50 µm. (B) Comparison of w^{1118} and
sxtΔ fluorescence intensity plot profiles averaged across three cell membranes, from the center of one cell to the
center of the neighboring cell, averaged over at least 6 egg chambers for each genotype and each exposure regime
as indicated. Plots show mean (magenta) and confidence limits (gray). (C) Integrated area under the curves in B
and B' averaged over all measurements. Error bars show 95% confidence limits. * denotes $p≤0.05$, ** denotes
$p≤0.01$, Student's *t*-test.

Actin is disrupted in Stage 8-12 embryos after CO_2 exposure

To ask whether Idgfs regulate E-cadherin and Actin in other contexts, we examined E-cadherin and Actin
during embryonic development. We exposed w^{1118} and *sxtΔ* embryos of all stages to 100% CO_2 or
pressurized air for 2 minutes before de-chorionating, fixing, and staining. Since embryos comprise
numerous distinct cell types, we focused on cells near the surface that were relatively uniform in size
and easy to measure. We chose ectodermal cells located between the mid-lateral cells and lateral edge
of the germ band in abdominal segments 2-6 and measured these cells during the extended germ band
stages (late Stage 8 – early Stage 12). Under these conditions, we observed no significant difference
between E-cadherin levels in w^{1118} embryos or *sxtΔ* mutant embryos under any of the three conditions
(Figure 6A, Figure 6A'). Actin levels also did not differ significantly in w^{1118} embryos between any of the
three treatments (Figure 6B, Figure 6B'). However, Actin levels were significantly lower in *sxtΔ* mutants
exposed to CO_2 compared to unexposed embryos or embryos exposed to air in either genotype. Taken
together, these results support our hypothesis that CO_2 exposure disrupts Actin in embryonic epithelia.

Figure 6   **Quantification of cortical Actin and E-Cadherin intensity in Stage 8-12 embryos**. (A, B) Representative
embryo images showing E-cadherin (A) and Actin (Rhodamine phalloidin) (B) comparing genotypes and CO_2 regime
as indicated. Scale bar = 50 μm. (A', B') Comparison of w^{1118} and $sxt\Delta$ fluorescence intensity measured across 6 cell
membranes per embryo, from the center of one cell to the center of the neighboring cell. The colors represent
different biological replicates. Each point in the graphs represents the average of the areas under the 6 plot
profiles per embryo. The notches on the box plots represent the 95% confidence interval of the medians
(horizontal lines), ±1.57*IQR/sqrt(n). IQR = interquartile range. + signs = means. Notches that double back occur
when the uncertainty of the median exceeds the IQR. Non-overlapping notches are strong evidence that their
medians significantly differ.

When analyzing Actin dynamics in egg chambers, we noticed that some cell types were more sensitive
to CO_2 exposure than others and that the effect of CO_2 exposure on Actin perdured over a longer period
in *sxtΔ* mutants compared to *w[1118]* controls. We therefore asked whether we might see more dramatic
effects in embryos if we exposed embryos during cellularization, a period when Actin function is crucial
(SOKAC *et al.* 2022). We also reasoned that embryonic cells might be protected from CO_2 exposure by
the eggshell. We therefore exposed early-stage embryos to 100% CO_2 and increased the exposure time
to six minutes. Embryos were allowed to age for 30 minutes before fixing them and staining with
phalloidin. We measured Actin fluorescence intensity across cell membranes in syncytial blastoderm
embryos during stages 3-5. Prior to cellularization in Stage 5, nuclei in the syncytial blastoderm embryo
are surrounded by Actin extensions (called pseudofurrows) at the periphery. In Stage 5, the Actin
furrows extend around the nuclei to form a single layer of cells surrounding the yolky core. At these
stages, the cells are uniform in size and shape, facilitating uniformity in measurements. As we observed
in egg chambers, loss of Actin was significant in early embryos after exposure to CO_2 but did not differ
significantly between *w[1118]* and *sxtΔ* (Figure 7A,B,C).

Figure 7   **Quantification of cortical Actin intensity in Stage 3-5 embryos**. (A) Actin in early embryos comparing genotypes and CO_2 regime as indicated. (B) Comparison of w^{1118} and $sxt\Delta$ fluorescence intensity plot profiles averaged across 6 cell membranes, from the center of one cell to the center of the neighboring cell, averaged over at least 10 Stage 3-5 embryos for each genotype and each exposure regime as indicated. Plots show mean (magenta) and confidence limits (gray). (C) Integrated area under the curves in B averaged over all measurements. Error bars show 95% confidence limits. ** denotes $p\leq0.01$, *** denotes $p\leq0.01$, Student's t-test.

Discussion

We investigated the *in vivo* roles of *Drosophila* Imaginal disc growth factors throughout development. Previous studies have sought to characterize the function of individual Idgfs using RNAi or in cell culture. We are the first to delete each of the Idgfs and develop fly lines with single or multiple deletions of the Idgfs, including flies null for all six Idgfs. Using these tools, we found that loss of all Idgf function results in a variety of phenotypes.

In *Idgf sxtΔ* mutants, gonads form with significantly reduced germ cell numbers. Most germ cells are lost or die before they reach the somatic gonadal precursor cells, consistent with the low fertility we observed in *sxtΔ* adults. Normally, germ cells form at the anterior pole of the embryo and are taken into the midgut primordium during gastrulation. They initially form a tight cluster in the midgut, but subsequently extend protrusions toward the midgut cells, lose adhesion from each other, disperse, migrate individually through the midgut, and associate with somatic gonadal precursors cells to form the gonads (Review: RICHARDSON AND LEHMANN 2010). To migrate, germ cells become polarized and extend protrusions toward the midgut cells. One hypothesis is that Idgfs participate in remodeling of cell junctions and Actin dynamics in migrating cells, consistent with our finding that loss of all Idgfs disrupts E-cadherin and Actin in certain contexts. A second hypothesis is that loss of Idgf function disrupts polarization and protrusiveness of primordial germ cells, consistent with a previous study demonstrating that Idgfs promote cell motility and protrusiveness in cell culture (KAWAMURA *et al.* 1999). Initial polarization of germ cells requires the G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) Trapped in endoderm 1 (Tre1), which is expressed in the germ cells and coincides with a redistribution of the Gβ subunit and adherens junction proteins such as Rho1 and E-cadherin (KUNWAR *et al.* 2008). Tre1 is also important for migration across the midgut by signaling through small G proteins and the GTPase Rho1 (KUNWAR *et al.* 2003). Studies have identified other key regulators of germ cell migration, such as the lipid phosphate phosphatases, Wunen and Wunen-2 (HANYU-NAKAMURA *et al.* 2004), the small acidic protein, 14-3-3ε (TSIGKARI *et al.* 2012), and pathways such as JAK/STAT (BROWN *et al.* 2006; LI *et al.* 2003). CLPs are known to have properties of cytokines (LEE *et al.* 2011), raising the question of whether Idgfs may promote guidance cues for migrating germ cells. We have observed that Idgf6 associates with migrating germ cells from embryonic Stages 4-7; this association is lost by Stage 10. How Idgfs might interact with these components or otherwise participate in germ cell migration will require further study.

Consistent with studies showing expression of Idgfs throughout development, we showed that hatch rates are severely reduced in *sxtΔ* homozygous embryos from *sxtΔ* parents. This effect of loss of all maternal and zygotic Idgfs was ameliorated by adding either maternal, zygotic, or both maternal and zygotically expressed Idgfs. Interestingly, offspring of parents where both parents carry a *CyO* chromosome in a *sxtΔ* background have a higher hatch rate than offspring of *w*[1118] females crossed to *sxtΔ* males, even though both scenarios produce embryos that presumably receive both maternal and zygotically expressed Idgfs. Perhaps *sxtΔ* males are less fertile than their *sxtΔ* counterparts carrying the *CyO* chromosome, which expresses wild-type Idgfs. One hypothesis is that the sxtΔ male's fertility is compromised by defective germ cell migration, consistent with our finding that germ cell migration is defective in *sxtΔ* embryos.

Further, we found that the proportions of homozygous vs. heterozygous offspring produced by heterozygous parents deviate significantly from the expected Mendelian ratios, reflecting greater lethality of the homozygotes from embryonic through adult stages. Our observation that eclosion is

delayed in *sxtΔ* mutants could imply that Idgfs function as growth hormones; loss of function could slow
development. Nevertheless, we found no significant size difference between *Idgf* mutants and controls.

Consistent with disruption of embryonic development (as revealed by lower *sxtΔ* hatch rates), we
observed abnormal expression of Engrailed protein and disorganized denticle belts in embryos, including
a mislocalization of Engrailed at the cell membrane. Patterning is achieved through the expression of
the segment polarity genes *wingless* (*wg*) and *engrailed* (*en*), which activates expression of *hedgehog*
(*hh*). Within each segment, cells receiving the Wg signal produce smooth cuticle posteriorly, and cells
receiving the Hh signal produce denticles anteriorly (BEJSOVEC AND MARTINEZ ARIAS 1991; SWARUP AND
VERHEYEN 2012). The denticle-versus-smooth cell shapes are distinct: whereas the denticle-producing
cells are rectangular and arranged in rows with the long edges oriented in the ventrolateral direction
(similar to a staggered brick-wall arrangement), the smooth cells are larger and not arranged in rows
(HIRANO *et al.* 2009). Mislocalization or abnormal expression of En could cause a transformation of cell
fate from non-denticle-producing to denticle-producing cells, resulting in the disorganized denticle belt
phenotype we observed in *sxtΔ* embryos (Figure S4B). Alternatively, cells in the epidermal epithelium
may have altered cell adhesion and increased motility, allowing denticle-producing cells to invade into
the non-denticle-producing cells.

Segmentation defects were apparent in adults in that *sxtΔ* flies exhibited etched tergites. Each
abdominal segment in the adult epidermis develops from three bilateral pairs of groups of cells called
histoblast nests. The anterior pair in each segment forms the tergite. Histoblast nests proliferate after
pupariation and migrate by intercalation into the larval epithelial cells, which then extrude basally and
die. The histoblast nests fuse and secrete the adult cuticle (ATHILINGAM *et al.* 2021; DAVIS *et al.* 2022;
MANGIONE AND MARTIN-BLANCO 2018; MICHEL AND DAHMANN 2020; NARDI *et al.* 2018; PANZADE AND MATIS
2021; PRAT-ROJO *et al.* 2020). Successful migration depends on Decapentaplegic (Dpp) and protrusive
extensions at the leading edge of the histoblast nests (NINOV *et al.* 2007; NINOV *et al.* 2010). Our findings
suggest a role for Idgfs in cell migration, but how Idgfs function in tergite formation is unknown.

Our previous studies showed that the stretch cells relay signals from the nurse cells to the DA cells to
guide their movement and ensure tube closure. These signals rely on function of the SOX transcription
factor Bullwinkle (Bwk) in the nurse cells [Rittenhouse dissertation, 1996. Bullwinkle, an HMG box
protein, is required for proper development during oogenesis, embryogenesis and metamorphosis in
Drosophila melanogaster, PhD, University of Washington; (RITTENHOUSE AND BERG 1995)], and the non-
receptor tyrosine kinases Shark and Src42a in the stretch cells (TRAN AND BERG 2003). The specific
signaling molecules and their targets are unknown. *Bwk* mutants produce short, wide, open tubes that
create DAs resembling moose antlers (DORMAN *et al.* 2004; RITTENHOUSE AND BERG 1995). Shark and
Src42A act downstream of Bwk to regulate DA morphogenesis; Shark loss of function enhances the Bwk
phenotype (TRAN AND BERG 2003).

In this study, we found that except for *Idgf6*, the single *Idgf*-null mutants did not cause a defective DA
phenotype. The results for the single null mutants contrast with our previous studies using RNAi to
knock down each *Idgf* individually (ZIMMERMAN *et al.* 2017); we found that RNAi knockdown of each *Idgf*
results in a partially penetrant DA phenotype featuring shorter, wider DAs. Shorter, wider DAs also
occur when Idgfs are overexpressed or elevated as in eggs from *bwk* mutant flies and contrast with the
moderate category of defective DAs in the null mutants, which have thin DAs. Why do significant
defects occur in single *Idgf* RNAi knockdowns, but not in single nulls? Functional redundancy from the
remaining Idgfs or even from non-Idgf genes could account for the incomplete penetrance or lack of a

phenotype. Duplicated genes can maintain functional redundancy despite their divergence over

evolutionary time (DEAN *et al.* 2008; DELUNA *et al.* 2008; KAFRI *et al.* 2008; MUSSO *et al.* 2008). Functional

redundancy between paralogous genes can result in a strong phenotype when all of the paralogs are

deleted and a weak or neutral phenotype when the genes are deleted singly (DEAN *et al.* 2008; DELUNA *et*

al. 2008; GU *et al.* 2003; KAFRI *et al.* 2008; MUSSO *et al.* 2008), similar to what we observed for the *Idgf*

null mutants.

Compensation in single null mutants from the remaining wild-type paralogs or even from non-*Idgf* genes

could be post-transcriptional, e.g., through increased translation or greater protein stability.

Compensation may also occur through transcriptional adaptation, in which upregulation of

compensating genes can be triggered by any of a number of mRNA decay pathways that target aberrant

mRNAs (GARNEAU *et al.* 2007). A recent study demonstrated that transcriptional adaptation depends on

the existence of a mutant mRNA, for which degradation can occur via different surveillance pathways,

including non-stop, no-go, or nonsense-mediated decay, depending on the nature of the mutation.

Upregulation can then occur in genes with sequence similarity to the degraded mRNA (EL-BROLOSY *et al.*

2019; EL-BROLOSY AND STAINIER 2017; GARNEAU *et al.* 2007; ROSSI *et al.* 2015).

Except for *Idgf2Δ*, the single null mutants cannot express a transcript. The *Idgf2* deletion leaves intact

the 5' UTR, the first exon and intron, and part of the second exon, potentially allowing production of a

mutant transcript and therefore transcriptional adaptation in the *Idgf2Δ* and *sxtΔ* mutants, which carry

the *Idgf2* deletion. Degradation of the *Idgf2Δ* transcript could not be via the nonsense-mediated decay

(NMD) process, which requires a premature stop codon upstream of an intron. Degradation via a non-

NMD pathway, however, could be occurring in either the $Idgf^{2Δ}$ or *sxtΔ* mutant lines. Our RNAi

experiments were performed in a wild-type background, so there would be no mutant mRNAs, and any

adaptation would be on the translational or post-translational protein level (DELUNA *et al.* 2010;

DONNELLY AND STORCHOVA 2014; ISHIKAWA *et al.* 2017; TORRES *et al.* 2008).

Although adaptation could be occurring in both the nulls and the knockdowns, the time frame for

adaptation could also be a factor. In the RNAi study, we used the GAL4/UAS system to knockdown

transcripts from each of the *Idgf* genes. We used a stretch-cell-specific Gal4 driver, which is not

expressed until Stage 10 of egg chamber development, after patterning has occurred but just hours

before tube formation. This timing may not allow enough time for compensation to occur. On the other

hand, *Idgf*-null mutant flies are mutant throughout their entire life cycle. Thus, the different results of

our *Idgf* RNAi knockdown and null experiments could be due to different mechanisms of compensation.

Discerning whether compensation is occurring and what contributes to the differences in phenotypes

exhibited by overexpression, RNAi, and gene deletion will require further study.

Mutations can render cells less robust to endogenous or exogenous perturbations (LEVY AND SIEGAL 2008;

MASEL AND SIEGAL 2009). *Drosophila* researchers commonly use CO_2 to anesthetize flies without

considering the potential profound and long-lasting effects of CO_2 exposure. Previous studies have

shown that hypercapnia can suppress the immune system and alter gene expression via the NF-κB

pathway in mammalian cells (CUMMINS *et al.* 2010). Hypercapnia also reduces fertility (HELENIUS *et al.*

2009) and alters climbing and flight behavior in *Drosophila* (BARTHOLOMEW *et al.* 2015).

We demonstrated that exposing *Idgf*-null mutants to CO_2 enhances dorsal appendage morphogenesis

defects independently of hypoxia and induces loss of cortical Actin in epithelial tissues during oogenesis

and embryonic development. We did note molecular differences, raising the question of whether Idgfs

regulate E-cadherin in normoxia and Actin in response to CO_2. We saw that E-cadherin was disrupted in
sxtΔ mutant egg chambers but was unaffected by CO_2 exposure in either egg chambers or embryos. In
contrast, Actin levels were unaffected in *sxtΔ* mutants vs. controls but significantly reduced in *sxtΔ*
mutants upon CO_2 exposure. It could be that the Actin is also perturbed in wild-type tissue but recovers,
whereas absence of Idgfs eliminates a similar recovery in *sxtΔ* tissue. The mechanisms leading to these
novel outcomes are unknown.

One possible mechanism for this regulation is that Idgfs interact with the immune deficiency (IMD)
pathway through the transcription factor Relish (Drosophila NF-κB). Previous studies show that Relish
inhibits JNK signaling (Park et al., 2004). Activation of JNK signaling reorganizes the Actin cytoskeleton,
impacting developmental processes such as cell migration and dorsal closure (HOMSY *et al.* 2006; JACINTO
et al. 2000; KALTSCHMIDT *et al.* 2002; KOCKEL *et al.* 2001; RUDRAPATNA *et al.* 2014). Upon Relish loss, JNK
activation causes upregulation of actin remodelers (RAMESH *et al.* 2021). Another possibility is that CO_2
exposure reduces pH at the cell membrane, thereby altering Actin dynamics. pH is an important
regulator in developmental processes such as differentiation (ULMSCHNEIDER *et al.* 2016) and tissue
architecture (GRILLO-HILL *et al.* 2015). Alternatively, the mechanism could involve a pathway
independent of JNK signaling or pH.

A common thread among the various phenotypes produced by loss of all Idgfs is the disruption of E-
cadherin and Actin, an outcome that could interfere with cell migration, segmentation, and tissue
morphogenesis. Consistent with this hypothesis, we recently identified eukaryotic translation initiation
factor 3 subunit e (eIF3e) as a strong enhancer of Idgf3 overexpression (ESPINOZA AND BERG 2020). eIF3e
is part of a complex that regulates the redox enzyme, Mical, which functions to disassemble Actin
filaments and inhibit Actin polymerization (GRINTSEVICH *et al.* 2021; GRINTSEVICH *et al.* 2017; GRINTSEVICH *et*
al. 2016; HUNG *et al.* 2010). We are currently beginning to investigate the mechanistic role of Idgfs in
this pathway.

Our investigation into the effects of complete loss of function of Idgfs has raised intriguing questions
regarding Idgf function. What are the pathways? What are the precise mechanisms? What are the
common threads among the various phenotypes? Our *Idgf* null lines are valuable tools that will
facilitate studies providing new insights into the function of Idgfs in *Drosophila*. Our results suggest new
avenues of investigation for the mechanisms of CLP function in cancer pathogenesis, autoimmune
disease, and tissue remodeling disorders.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks

Bloomington stocks: w^{1118} (3605), *Df(2L)ED1102* (24113), *Df(1)ED6989* (9056), *Df(2R)BSC337* (24361),
Df(2R)Exel6064 (7546). VDRC stock: Idgf6[fTRG01331.sfGFP-TVPTBF] (SAROV *et al.* 2016). Stocks generated in this
study: $Idgf^{1Δ}$, $Idgf^{2Δ}$, $Idgf^{3Δ}$, $Idgf^{4Δ}$, $Idgf^{5Δ}$, $Idgf^{6Δ}$, and Idgf4Δ; Idgfp [(1-3)Δ,(6-5)Δ] (*sxtΔ*) were used in the
experiments. All *Idgf* mutants generated in this study, including double and triple nulls, are listed in
Table S2. Flies were maintained on standard molasses food at 25°C.

Reagents and materials
<u>Ovaries</u>
•   Dissecting dishes and forceps

• PBS, 10x (Sambrook et al. 1989), NaCl 80 g, KCl 2 g, Na2HPO4 14.4 g, KH2PO4 2.4 g in 1 L of H2O
pH 7.4.
• PBT, 0.1% (vol/vol) Tween 20 diluted in 1× PBS
• EBR, 10× (modified Ephrussi-Beadle Ringer's solution) 1.3 M NaCl, 47 mM KCl, 19 mM CaCl2 and
100 mM HEPES (pH 6.9).
• Paraformaldehyde, 4% (wt/vol), Dilute 16% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS at a ratio of 1:4
• Western Blocking Reagent (WBR)
575
Embryos
• Flow Buddy - Benchtop Flow Regulator (Genesee Cat #: 59-122B)
• Egg collection basket - Falcon tube (50 mL) with the bottom cut off and nylon mesh attached to
bottom (e.g., melt the bottom edge slightly with a Bunsen burner and press on the nylon mesh)
• Double-sided sticky tape
• Whatman paper
• Pipette controller (e.g., Millipore Sigma BR25900)
• Pasteur pipettes, glass, 3.5 inches
• Petri dish lid
• Plastic fly bottles punched with holes (to make egg laying chambers when attached to apple
juice plates)
• 30G syringe needles + plastic syringes
• PBTA with 0.05% or 0.1% Triton (1x PBS, 1% BSA, 0.05% or 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.02% Sodium
Azide)
• Apple juice plates, 175 mL H_2O, 25 mL 4x apple juice, 5 g of agar 1.2 g of methyl paraben. Heat in
microwave until agar dissolves. Pour into Petri dish lids. These will be attached to the plastic fly
bottles using rubber bands.
• Bleach
• Heptane Saturated with 37% Formaldehyde - Combine equal volumes of heptane and 37%
formaldehyde, shake the mixture vigorously for 15 seconds. Let the solution settle into the 2
phases. Prepare the solution the day before it is to be used, shaking the vial or bottle
periodically throughout the day. The saturated heptane is the upper phase in the 1:1
heptane:formaldehyde stock. Store at room temperature, protected from light, up to several
months.
• 1x Embryo Wash Solution, - 0.7% NaCl, 0.05% Triton X-100, H_2O
• Normal goat serum (NGS)
602
Tissue processing and Immunohistochemistry
Ovaries - Ovaries were dissected in EBR, fixed for 20 minutes in 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde,
permeabilized for 1 hour in 1% PBS-Triton, and blocked for 1 hour in WBR. Primary antibodies: mouse
anti-Broad (1:500), rat anti-Ecadherin (1:50) (DSHB DCAD2), rabbit anti-Vasa (1:2000) (Paul Lasko)
(Figure S2A), rat anti-Vasa (1:500) (Figure S3), mouse anti-Engrailed concentrate (1:20) or supernatant
(1:50) (DSHB 4D9). Secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse (1:200) and goat anti-rat (1:200).
Ovaries were washed in PBT, incubated O/N at 4°C in PBT with primary antibodies and 5% NGS, washed

in PBT, incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in secondary antibodies and DAPI, washed in PBT,
mounted on slides and imaged on a Leica SP8X LSM.

Embryos - Females were aged for 2 days with wet yeast paste. ~100 males and ~100 females were
mated and allowed to lay on apple juice plates O/N or for a few hours to obtain a range of desired
stages. All aspects of this experiment prior to dechorionization were done at 25°C. Embryos were
exposed to either 100% CO_2 or air for 2 minutes by inserting the CO_2 needle through a hole in the plastic
fly bottle. The CO_2 or air was humidified by flowing the gas through a bubbler and the flow rate was
held precisely at 3.5 L per minute, using the Flow Buddy. Using a paint brush and embryo wash,
embryos were washed from plates into a basket and rinsed with embryo wash using a squirt bottle, then
dechorionated in 50% bleach (1:1 bleach:H_2O) for 2 minutes by submerging the mesh end of the basket
in a Falcon tube with the bleach. The de-chorionated embryos were rinsed with embryo wash in the
basket, then transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube by squirting embryo wash onto the outside end of
the mesh and into the Eppendorf tube. Embryos were fixed for 40 minutes in 1 mL of heptane saturated
with 37% formaldehyde. Using a pipette tip with the tip cut off and pre-treated in PBTA, embryos were
transferred to Whatman paper for ~30 seconds to allow the heptane to evaporate. The Whatman paper
was placed embryo side down on the tape, gently tapped until embryos were stuck to the tape, and the
embryos were immediately covered with PBS. Vitelline membranes were manually removed using 30-
Gauge syringe needles. Using a pipette controller attached to a glass Pasteur pipette pre-treated with
PBTA, embryos were transferred to PBTA with 0.1% Triton X-100 for staining or to PBTA with 0.05%
Triton X-100 for storage at 4°C. Stage 3-5 embryos were incubated with DAPI (1µg/mL), and rhodamine
phalloidin (Abcam ab235138) (1:500) for 1 hour, and washed in PBTA with 0.05% Triton X-100 for 1
hour, rinsed 3x in PBS, and mounted in Vectashield mounting medium. Stage 8-12 embryos were
incubated with rat anti-E-cadherin (1:50) and mouse anti-Engrailed concentrate (1:20) or supernatant
(1:50) in PBTA with 0.1% Triton X-100 and NGS O/N at 4°C, washed for 1 hour in PBTA with 0.1% Triton
X-100, incubated for 1 hour at RT in PBTA with 0.1% Triton X-100, 5% NGS, DAPI (1µg/mL), rhodamine
phalloidin (Abcam ab235138) (1:500), Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse 488 and anti-rat 647, washed for 1
hour in PBTA with 0.1% Triton X-100, rinsed 3x in PBS, and mounted on slides with Vectashield mounting
medium.

Generation of *Idgf* mutant fly lines

Deletions of the entire locus or most of the locus for each of *Idgf1*, *Idgf2*, *Idgf3*, *Idgf4*, *Idgf5*, and *Idgf6*
were created using CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair. For each deletion, we designed
and injected three vectors: two guide RNAs and one donor containing a Ds-Red eye reporter to aid in
screening newly transformed flies. Using the FlyCRISPR algorithm
(tools.flycrispr.molbio.wisc.edu/targetFinder), we designed guide RNAs targeting sites upstream and
downstream of each gene. Guide RNAs were synthesized as 5'-phosphorylated oligos (Operon),
annealed, and ligated into the BbsI sites of the pU6-BbsI-chiRNA plasmid (Addgene #45946). For the
donor vector, we PCR amplified ~1kb homology arms from genomic DNA of the injection strain and
cloned them into pHD-DsRed-attP (Addgene #51019). We co-injected guide RNAs and donor vectors
(Rainbow Transgenics) into *y vas-Cas9 w^{1118}* embryos (Bloomington 55821) and screened F1 flies for
germline transmission of the DsRed reporter, followed by single-fly PCR and sequencing. The *Idgf* null
strains were outcrossed to *w^{1118}; +; +* for three generations to remove background effects and remove
the *vas-Cas9* transgene. All of the single *Idgf*-null lines are homozygous viable and fertile.

Image analysis and quantification

For egg chambers, images were acquired as 8-bit images at the same laser intensities and detector settings on a Leica SP8X LSM. Using ImageJ, we measured E-cadherin and Actin intensity across three cell membranes, from the center of one cell to the center of the neighboring cell, in at least 6 egg chambers for each genotype and compared egg chambers exposed to CO_2 to egg chambers not exposed to CO_2. Plot profiles across 5.0 μm were averaged over all egg chambers for each genotype and treatment.

For embryos, images of all genotypes and treatments were acquired as 16-bit images at the same laser intensities and detector settings on a Leica SP8X LSM. For each individual channel and optical plane being measured, background was subtracted, and a median filter was applied (rolling ball radius of 2.0) prior to measurements. For each genotype, we measured E-cadherin and Actin fluorescent intensity as above, across 6 cell membranes per embryo, with n=10 embryos for each treatment (no CO_2, +CO_2, and +air) within three bioreplicates, except for *sxtΔ* bioreplicate 3, no CO_2 (n=6 embryos). Plot profiles across 3.5 μm were averaged over all embryos for each genotype and treatment. Total E-cadherin or Actin was calculated by integrating the area under the mean plot profile curve using the trapezoidal rule. Data analyses were performed in Excel. Statistical analyses were performed in Excel and R.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) and the Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center for stocks, and FlyBase for genetic, polypeptide, and functional data. The rabbit anti-Vasa antibody (LIU *et al.* 2009) was a gift from Paul Lasko. The mouse anti-Broad, rat anti-Vasa, and rat anti-E-cadherin antibodies were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and maintained by the University of Iowa, Department of Biology. We thank Nathaniel Peters at the University of Washington Keck Center for technical support and advice on imaging. We thank Vincent So for providing the data and image for Idgf6::GFP localization to germ cells.

Funding

NIH R01 GM079433

Author Contributions

Celeste Berg – Project design; oversight of all experiments; analysis of mutant embryos; review and editing of manuscript

Liesl Strand – Generation of *Idgf6Δ* fly strain using CRISPR/Cas9; experiments and data analysis to examine *Idgf6Δ* dorsal appendage defects; experiments to assess variability in phenotypes; review of manuscript.

Anne Sustar – Generation of *Idgf* null fly strains using CRISPR/Cas9; experiments (hatch rates, dorsal appendage morphogenesis, adult phenotype analysis, germ cell migration phenotype, CO_2 response in

689   egg chambers, patterning in egg chambers, Actin and E-cadherin measurements in egg chambers);
690   imaging; data analyses; review of manuscript.

691   Sandra Zimmerman – writing and editing drafts, constructing figures, experiments (E-cadherin and Actin
692   in embryos, hatch rates), imaging, and data analysis.

Competing Interests

695   The authors declare no competing interests.

References

ARESHKOV, P. O., S. S. AVDIEIEV, O. V. BALYNSKA, D. LEROITH AND V. M. KAVSAN, 2012 Two closely related
human members of chitinase-like family, CHI3L1 and CHI3L2, activate ERK1/2 in 293 and U373
cells but have the different influence on cell proliferation. Int J Biol Sci **8:** 39-48.
ARIAS, E. B., H. G. VERHAGE AND R. C. JAFFE, 1994 Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid cloning and
molecular characterization of an estrogen-dependent human oviductal glycoprotein. Biol
Reprod **51:** 685-694.
ATHILINGAM, T., P. TIWARI, Y. TOYAMA AND T. E. SAUNDERS, 2021 Mechanics of epidermal morphogenesis in
the Drosophila pupa. Semin Cell Dev Biol **120:** 171-180.
BARTHOLOMEW, N. R., J. M. BURDETT, J. M. VANDENBROOKS, M. C. QUINLAN AND G. B. CALL, 2015 Impaired
climbing and flight behaviour in Drosophila melanogaster following carbon dioxide anaesthesia.
Sci Rep **5:** 15298.
BEJSOVEC, A., AND A. MARTINEZ ARIAS, 1991 Roles of wingless in patterning the larval epidermis of
Drosophila. Development **113:** 471-485.
BROWN, S., M. P. ZEIDLER AND J. E. HOMBRIA, 2006 JAK/STAT signalling in Drosophila controls cell motility
during germ cell migration. Dev Dyn **235:** 958-966.
BROZ, V., L. KUCEROVA, L. ROUHOVA, J. FLEISCHMANNOVA, H. STRNAD *et al.*, 2017 Drosophila imaginal disc
growth factor 2 is a trophic factor involved in energy balance, detoxification, and innate
immunity. Sci Rep **7:** 43273.
CARTON, Y., AND A. J. NAPPI, 2001 Immunogenetic aspects of the cellular immune response of Drosophilia
against parasitoids. Immunogenetics **52:** 157-164.
CUMMINS, E. P., K. M. OLIVER, C. R. LENIHAN, S. F. FITZPATRICK, U. BRUNING *et al.*, 2010 NF-kappaB links CO2
sensing to innate immunity and inflammation in mammalian cells. J Immunol **185:** 4439-4445.
DAVIS, J. R., A. P. AINSLIE, J. J. WILLIAMSON, A. FERREIRA, A. TORRES-SANCHEZ *et al.*, 2022 ECM degradation in
the Drosophila abdominal epidermis initiates tissue growth that ceases with rapid cell-cycle exit.
Curr Biol **32:** 1285-1300 e1284.
DEAN, E. J., J. C. DAVIS, R. W. DAVIS AND D. A. PETROV, 2008 Pervasive and persistent redundancy among
duplicated genes in yeast. PLoS Genet **4:** e1000113.
DELUNA, A., M. SPRINGER, M. W. KIRSCHNER AND R. KISHONY, 2010 Need-based up-regulation of protein levels
in response to deletion of their duplicate genes. PLoS Biol **8:** e1000347.
DELUNA, A., K. VETSIGIAN, N. SHORESH, M. HEGRENESS, M. COLON-GONZALEZ *et al.*, 2008 Exposing the fitness
contribution of duplicated genes. Nat Genet **40:** 676-681.
DI ROSA, M., G. DISTEFANO, K. ZORENA AND L. MALAGUARNERA, 2016 Chitinases and immunity: Ancestral
molecules with new functions. Immunobiology **221:** 399-411.

DILKS, S. A., AND S. DINARDO, 2010 Non-cell-autonomous control of denticle diversity in the Drosophila embryo. Development **137:** 1395-1404.

DONNELLY, N., AND Z. STORCHOVA, 2014 Dynamic karyotype, dynamic proteome: buffering the effects of aneuploidy. Biochim Biophys Acta **1843:** 473-481.

DORMAN, J. B., K. E. JAMES, S. E. FRASER, D. P. KIEHART AND C. A. BERG, 2004 *bullwinkle* is required for epithelial morphogenesis during *Drosophila* oogenesis. Dev Biol **267:** 320-341.

EL-BROLOSY, M. A., Z. KONTARAKIS, A. ROSSI, C. KUENNE, S. GUNTHER *et al.*, 2019 Genetic compensation triggered by mutant mRNA degradation. Nature **568:** 193-197.

EL-BROLOSY, M. A., AND D. Y. R. STAINIER, 2017 Genetic compensation: A phenomenon in search of mechanisms. PLoS Genet **13:** e1006780.

ESPINOZA, C. Y., AND C. A. BERG, 2020 Detecting New Allies: Modifier Screen Identifies a Genetic Interaction Between Imaginal disc growth factor 3 and combover, a Rho-kinase Substrate, During Dorsal Appendage Tube Formation in Drosophila. G3 (Bethesda) **10:** 3585-3599.

FRANCESCONE, R. A., S. SCULLY, M. FAIBISH, S. L. TAYLOR, D. OH *et al.*, 2011 Role of YKL-40 in the angiogenesis, radioresistance, and progression of glioblastoma. J Biol Chem **286:** 15332-15343.

FUHRMAN, J. A., W. S. LANE, R. F. SMITH, W. F. PIESSENS AND F. B. PERLER, 1992 Transmission-blocking antibodies recognize microfilarial chitinase in brugian lymphatic filariasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **89:** 1548-1552.

FUSETTI, F., T. PIJNING, K. H. KALK, E. BOS AND B. W. DIJKSTRA, 2003 Crystal structure and carbohydrate-binding properties of the human cartilage glycoprotein-39. J Biol Chem **278:** 37753-37760.

GARNEAU, N. L., J. WILUSZ AND C. J. WILUSZ, 2007 The highways and byways of mRNA decay. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **8:** 113-126.

GLOVER, D. M., AND B. D. HAMES, 1989 *Genes and embryos.* IRL Press, Oxford ; New York.

GRILLO-HILL, B. K., C. CHOI, M. JIMENEZ-VIDAL AND D. L. BARBER, 2015 Increased H(+) efflux is sufficient to induce dysplasia and necessary for viability with oncogene expression. Elife **4**.

GRINTSEVICH, E. E., G. AHMED, A. A. GINOSYAN, H. WU, S. K. RICH *et al.*, 2021 Profilin and Mical combine to impair F-actin assembly and promote disassembly and remodeling. Nat Commun **12:** 5542.

GRINTSEVICH, E. E., P. GE, M. R. SAWAYA, H. G. YESILYURT, J. R. TERMAN *et al.*, 2017 Catastrophic disassembly of actin filaments via Mical-mediated oxidation. Nat Commun **8:** 2183.

GRINTSEVICH, E. E., H. G. YESILYURT, S. K. RICH, R. J. HUNG, J. R. TERMAN *et al.*, 2016 F-actin dismantling through a redox-driven synergy between Mical and cofilin. Nat Cell Biol **18:** 876-885.

GU, Z., L. M. STEINMETZ, X. GU, C. SCHARFE, R. W. DAVIS *et al.*, 2003 Role of duplicate genes in genetic robustness against null mutations. Nature **421:** 63-66.

GUAN, R., R. LIN, R. JIN, L. LU, X. LIU *et al.*, 2020 Chitinase-like protein YKL-40 regulates human bronchial epithelial cells proliferation, apoptosis, and migration through TGF-beta1/Smads pathway. Hum Exp Toxicol **39:** 451-463.

HANYU-NAKAMURA, K., S. KOBAYASHI AND A. NAKAMURA, 2004 Germ cell-autonomous Wunen2 is required for germline development in Drosophila embryos. Development **131:** 4545-4553.

HE, C. H., C. G. LEE, C. S. DELA CRUZ, C. M. LEE, Y. ZHOU *et al.*, 2013 Chitinase 3-like 1 regulates cellular and tissue responses via IL-13 receptor alpha2. Cell Rep **4:** 830-841.

HELENIUS, I. T., R. J. HAAKE, Y. J. KWON, J. A. HU, T. KRUPINSKI *et al.*, 2016 Identification of Drosophila Zfh2 as a Mediator of Hypercapnic Immune Regulation by a Genome-Wide RNA Interference Screen. J Immunol **196:** 655-667.

HELENIUS, I. T., T. KRUPINSKI, D. W. TURNBULL, Y. GRUENBAUM, N. SILVERMAN *et al.*, 2009 Elevated CO2 suppresses specific Drosophila innate immune responses and resistance to bacterial infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **106:** 18710-18715.

HIRANO, M., D. NEFF AND S. COLLIER, 2009 Cell shape and epithelial patterning in the Drosophila embryonic epidermis. Fly (Austin) **3:** 185-191.

HOMSY, J. G., H. JASPER, X. G. PERALTA, H. WU, D. P. KIEHART *et al.*, 2006 JNK signaling coordinates integrin
and actin functions during Drosophila embryogenesis. Dev Dyn **235:** 427-434.
HU, B., K. TRINH, W. F. FIGUEIRA AND P. A. PRICE, 1996 Isolation and sequence of a novel human chondrocyte
protein related to mammalian members of the chitinase protein family. J Biol Chem **271:** 19415-
19420.
HUNG, R.-J., U. YAZDANI, J. YOON, H. WU, T. YANG *et al.*, 2010 Mical links semaphorins to F-actin
disassembly. Nature **463:** 823-827.
IRVING, P., L. TROXLER, T. S. HEUER, M. BELVIN, C. KOPCZYNSKI *et al.*, 2001 A genome-wide analysis of immune
responses in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **98:** 15119-15124.
ISHIKAWA, K., K. MAKANAE, S. IWASAKI, N. T. INGOLIA AND H. MORIYA, 2017 Post-Translational Dosage
Compensation Buffers Genetic Perturbations to Stoichiometry of Protein Complexes. PLoS Genet
13: e1006554.
JACINTO, A., W. WOOD, T. BALAYO, M. TURMAINE, A. MARTINEZ-ARIAS *et al.*, 2000 Dynamic actin-based
epithelial adhesion and cell matching during Drosophila dorsal closure. Curr Biol **10:** 1420-1426.
KAFRI, R., O. DAHAN, J. LEVY AND Y. PILPEL, 2008 Preferential protection of protein interaction network hubs
in yeast: evolved functionality of genetic redundancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **105:** 1243-1248.
KALTSCHMIDT, J. A., N. LAWRENCE, V. MOREL, T. BALAYO, B. G. FERNANDEZ *et al.*, 2002 Planar polarity and actin
dynamics in the epidermis of Drosophila. Nat Cell Biol **4:** 937-944.
KAWAMURA, K., T. SHIBATA, O. SAGET, D. PEEL AND P. J. BRYANT, 1999 A new family of growth factors produced
by the fat body and active on *Drosophila* imaginal disc cells. Development **126:** 211-219.
KHUSH, R. S., AND B. LEMAITRE, 2000 Genes that fight infection: what the Drosophila genome says about
animal immunity. Trends Genet **16:** 442-449.
KIRKPATRICK, R. B., R. E. MATICO, D. E. MCNULTY, J. E. STRICKLER AND M. ROSENBERG, 1995 An abundantly
secreted glycoprotein from *Drosophila melanogaster* is related to mammalian secretory proteins
produced in rheumatoid tissues and by activated macrophages. Gene **153:** 147-154.
KOCKEL, L., J. G. HOMSY AND D. BOHMANN, 2001 Drosophila AP-1: lessons from an invertebrate. Oncogene
20: 2347-2364.
KUCEROVA, L., V. BROZ, B. AREFIN, H. O. MAAROUFI, J. HURYCHOVA *et al.*, 2016 The *Drosophila* chitinase-like
protein IDGF3 is involved in protection against nematodes and in wound healing. J Innate
Immun **8:** 199-210.
KUNWAR, P. S., H. SANO, A. D. RENAULT, V. BARBOSA, N. FUSE *et al.*, 2008 Tre1 GPCR initiates germ cell
transepithelial migration by regulating Drosophila melanogaster E-cadherin. J Cell Biol **183:** 157-
168.
KUNWAR, P. S., M. STARZ-GAIANO, R. J. BAINTON, U. HEBERLEIN AND R. LEHMANN, 2003 Tre1, a G protein-
coupled receptor, directs transepithelial migration of Drosophila germ cells. PLoS Biol **1:** E80.
KZHYSHKOWSKA, J., A. GRATCHEV, J. H. MARTENS, O. PERVUSHINA, S. MAMIDI *et al.*, 2004 Stabilin-1 localizes to
endosomes and the trans-Golgi network in human macrophages and interacts with GGA
adaptors. J Leukoc Biol **76:** 1151-1161.
KZHYSHKOWSKA, J., S. MAMIDI, A. GRATCHEV, E. KREMMER, C. SCHMUTTERMAIER *et al.*, 2006 Novel stabilin-1
interacting chitinase-like protein (SI-CLP) is up-regulated in alternatively activated macrophages
and secreted via lysosomal pathway. Blood **107:** 3221-3228.
KZHYSHKOWSKA, J., S. YIN, T. LIU, V. RIABOV AND I. MITROFANOVA, 2016 Role of chitinase-like proteins in
cancer. Biol Chem **397:** 231-247.
LEBLANC, M. G., AND R. LEHMANN, 2017 Domain-specific control of germ cell polarity and migration by
multifunction Tre1 GPCR. J Cell Biol **216:** 2945-2958.
LEE, C. G., C. A. DA SILVA, C. S. DELA CRUZ, F. AHANGARI, B. MA *et al.*, 2011 Role of chitin and
chitinase/chitinase-like proteins in inflammation, tissue remodeling, and injury. Annu Rev
Physiol **73:** 479-501.

LEVY, S. F., AND M. L. SIEGAL, 2008 Network hubs buffer environmental variation in Saccharomyces
cerevisiae. PLoS Biol **6:** e264.
LI, J., F. XIA AND W. X. LI, 2003 Coactivation of STAT and Ras is required for germ cell proliferation and
invasive migration in Drosophila. Dev Cell **5:** 787-798.
LIBREROS, S., R. GARCIA-AREAS, P. KEATING, R. CARRIO AND V. L. IRAGAVARAPU-CHARYULU, 2013 Exploring the role
of CHI3L1 in "pre-metastatic" lungs of mammary tumor-bearing mice. Front Physiol **4:** 392.
LIU, N., H. HAN AND P. LASKO, 2009 Vasa promotes Drosophila germline stem cell differentiation by
activating mei-P26 translation by directly interacting with a (U)-rich motif in its 3' UTR. Genes
Dev **23:** 2742-2752.
LOW, D., R. SUBRAMANIAM, L. LIN, T. AOMATSU, A. MIZOGUCHI *et al.*, 2015 Chitinase 3-like 1 induces survival
and proliferation of intestinal epithelial cells during chronic inflammation and colitis-associated
cancer by regulating S100A9. Oncotarget **6:** 36535-36550.
LU, Y., N. CHANG, X. ZHAO, R. XUE, J. LIU *et al.*, 2022 Activated Neutrophils Secrete Chitinase-Like 1 and
Attenuate Liver Inflammation by Inhibiting Pro-Inflammatory Macrophage Responses. Front
Immunol **13:** 824385.
MALIK, P., N. CHAUDHRY, R. MITTAL AND T. K. MUKHERJEE, 2015 Role of receptor for advanced glycation end
products in the complication and progression of various types of cancers. Biochim Biophys Acta
1850: 1898-1904.
MANGIONE, F., AND E. MARTIN-BLANCO, 2018 The Dachsous/Fat/Four-Jointed Pathway Directs the Uniform
Axial Orientation of Epithelial Cells in the Drosophila Abdomen. Cell Rep **25:** 2836-2850 e2834.
MASEL, J., AND M. L. SIEGAL, 2009 Robustness: mechanisms and consequences. Trends Genet **25:** 395-403.
MAZUR, M., A. ZIELINSKA, M. M. GRZYBOWSKI, J. OLCZAK AND J. FICHNA, 2021 Chitinases and Chitinase-Like
Proteins as Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Diseases, with a Special Focus on Inflammatory
Bowel Diseases. Int J Mol Sci **22**.
MEISTER, M., C. HETRU AND J. A. HOFFMANN, 2000 The antimicrobial host defense of Drosophila. Curr Top
Microbiol Immunol **248:** 17-36.
MENG, G., Y. ZHAO, X. BAI, Y. LIU, T. J. GREEN *et al.*, 2010 Structure of human stabilin-1 interacting chitinase-
like protein (SI-CLP) reveals a saccharide-binding cleft with lower sugar-binding selectivity. J Biol
Chem **285:** 39898-39904.
MICHEL, M., AND C. DAHMANN, 2020 Tissue mechanical properties modulate cell extrusion in the
Drosophila abdominal epidermis. Development **147**.
MIRTH, C. K., AND A. W. SHINGLETON, 2012 Integrating body and organ size in Drosophila: recent advances
and outstanding problems. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) **3:** 49.
MIYASHITA, K., AND T. FUJII, 1993 Nucleotide sequence and analysis of a gene (chiA) for a chitinase from
Streptomyces lividans 66. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem **57:** 1691-1698.
MUSSO, G., M. COSTANZO, M. HUANGFU, A. M. SMITH, J. PAW *et al.*, 2008 The extensive and condition-
dependent nature of epistasis among whole-genome duplicates in yeast. Genome Res **18:** 1092-
1099.
NARDI, J. B., C. M. BEE AND C. L. WALLACE, 2018 Remodeling of the abdominal epithelial monolayer during
the larva-pupa-adult transformation of Manduca. Dev Biol **438:** 10-22.
NINOV, N., D. A. CHIARELLI AND E. MARTIN-BLANCO, 2007 Extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms directing
epithelial cell sheet replacement during Drosophila metamorphosis. Development **134:** 367-379.
NINOV, N., S. MENEZES-CABRAL, C. PRAT-ROJO, C. MANJON, A. WEISS *et al.*, 2010 Dpp signaling directs cell
motility and invasiveness during epithelial morphogenesis. Curr Biol **20:** 513-520.
NYIRKOS, P., AND E. E. GOLDS, 1990 Human synovial cells secrete a 39 kDa protein similar to a bovine
mammary protein expressed during the non-lactating period. Biochem J **269:** 265-268.
OSTERFIELD, M., C. A. BERG AND S. Y. SHVARTSMAN, 2017 Epithelial Patterning, Morphogenesis, and
Evolution: Drosophila Eggshell as a Model. Dev Cell **41:** 337-348.

OSTERFIELD, M., X. DU, T. SCHUPBACH, E. WIESCHAUS AND S. Y. SHVARTSMAN, 2013 Three-dimensional epithelial
morphogenesis in the developing Drosophila egg. Dev Cell **24:** 400-410.
PANZADE, S., AND M. MATIS, 2021 The Microtubule Minus-End Binding Protein Patronin Is Required for the
Epithelial Remodeling in the Drosophila Abdomen. Front Cell Dev Biol **9:** 682083.
PESCH, Y. Y., D. RIEDEL, K. R. PATIL, G. LOCH AND M. BEHR, 2016 Chitinases and Imaginal disc growth factors
organize the extracellular matrix formation at barrier tissues in insects. Sci Rep **6:** 18340.
PINTEAC, R., X. MONTALBAN AND M. COMABELLA, 2021 Chitinases and chitinase-like proteins as biomarkers in
neurologic disorders. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm **8**.
PRAT-ROJO, C., P. A. POUILLE, J. BUCETA AND E. MARTIN-BLANCO, 2020 Mechanical coordination is sufficient to
promote tissue replacement during metamorphosis in Drosophila. EMBO J **39:** e103594.
QURESHI, A. M., A. HANNIGAN, D. CAMPBELL, C. NIXON AND J. B. WILSON, 2011 Chitinase-like proteins are
autoantigens in a model of inflammation-promoted incipient neoplasia. Genes Cancer **2:** 74-87.
RAMESH, P., N. S. DEY, A. KANWAL, S. MANDAL AND L. MANDAL, 2021 Relish plays a dynamic role in the niche
to modulate Drosophila blood progenitor homeostasis in development and infection. Elife **10**.
RECKLIES, A. D., C. WHITE AND H. LING, 2002 The chitinase 3-like protein human cartilage glycoprotein 39
(HC-gp39) stimulates proliferation of human connective-tissue cells and activates both
extracellular signal-regulated kinase- and protein kinase B-mediated signalling pathways.
Biochem J **365:** 119-126.
RENKEMA, G. H., R. G. BOOT, F. L. AU, W. E. DONKER-KOOPMAN, A. STRIJLAND *et al.*, 1998 Chitotriosidase, a
chitinase, and the 39-kDa human cartilage glycoprotein, a chitin-binding lectin, are homologues
of family 18 glycosyl hydrolases secreted by human macrophages. Eur J Biochem **251:** 504-509.
RICHARDSON, B. E., AND R. LEHMANN, 2010 Mechanisms guiding primordial germ cell migration: strategies
from different organisms. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **11:** 37-49.
RITTENHOUSE, K. R., AND C. A. BERG, 1995 Mutations in the *Drosophila* gene *bullwinkle* cause the formation
of abnormal eggshell structures and bicaudal embryos. Development **121:** 3023-3033.
ROSSI, A., Z. KONTARAKIS, C. GERRI, H. NOLTE, S. HOLPER *et al.*, 2015 Genetic compensation induced by
deleterious mutations but not gene knockdowns. Nature **524:** 230-233.
RUDRAPATNA, V. A., E. BANGI AND R. L. CAGAN, 2014 A Jnk-Rho-Actin remodeling positive feedback network
directs Src-driven invasion. Oncogene **33:** 2801-2806.
RYDER, E., F. BLOWS, M. ASHBURNER, R. BAUTISTA-LLACER, D. COULSON *et al.*, 2004 The DrosDel collection: a set
of P-element insertions for generating custom chromosomal aberrations in Drosophila
melanogaster. Genetics **167:** 797-813.
SAMAC, D. A., C. M. HIRONAKA, P. E. YALLALY AND D. M. SHAH, 1990 Isolation and Characterization of the
Genes Encoding Basic and Acidic Chitinase in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiol **93:** 907-914.
SAROV, M., C. BARZ, H. JAMBOR, M. Y. HEIN, C. SCHMIED *et al.*, 2016 A genome-wide resource for the analysis
of protein localisation in Drosophila. Elife **5:** e12068.
SCHIMPL, M., C. L. RUSH, M. BETOU, I. M. EGGLESTON, A. D. RECKLIES *et al.*, 2012 Human YKL-39 is a pseudo-
chitinase with retained chitooligosaccharide-binding properties. Biochem J **446:** 149-157.
SHAO, R., K. HAMEL, L. PETERSEN, Q. J. CAO, R. B. ARENAS *et al.*, 2009 YKL-40, a secreted glycoprotein,
promotes tumor angiogenesis. Oncogene **28:** 4456-4468.
SHARABI, K., A. HURWITZ, A. J. SIMON, G. J. BEITEL, R. I. MORIMOTO *et al.*, 2009a Elevated CO_2 levels affect
development, motility, and fertility and extend life span in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A **106:** 4024-4029.
SHARABI, K., E. LECUONA, I. T. HELENIUS, G. J. BEITEL, J. I. SZNAJDER *et al.*, 2009b Sensing, physiological effects
and molecular response to elevated CO_2 levels in eukaryotes. J Cell Mol Med **13:** 4304-4318.
SIOMAVA, N., E. A. WIMMER AND N. POSNIEN, 2016 Size relationships of different body parts in the three
dipteran species Drosophila melanogaster, Ceratitis capitata and Musca domestica. Dev Genes
Evol **226:** 245-256.

SOKAC, A. M., N. BIEL AND S. DE RENZIS, 2022 Membrane-actin interactions in morphogenesis: Lessons
learned from Drosophila cellularization. Semin Cell Dev Biol.
SUTHERLAND, T. E., N. LOGAN, D. RUCKERL, A. A. HUMBLES, S. M. ALLAN *et al.*, 2014 Chitinase-like proteins
promote IL-17-mediated neutrophilia in a tradeoff between nematode killing and host damage.
Nat Immunol **15**: 1116-1125.
SWARUP, S., AND E. M. VERHEYEN, 2012 Wnt/Wingless signaling in Drosophila. Cold Spring Harb Perspect
Biol **4**.
THARANATHAN, R. N., AND F. S. KITTUR, 2003 Chitin--the undisputed biomolecule of great potential. Crit Rev
Food Sci Nutr **43**: 61-87.
TORRES, E. M., B. R. WILLIAMS AND A. AMON, 2008 Aneuploidy: cells losing their balance. Genetics **179**: 737-
746.
TRAN, D. H., AND C. A. BERG, 2003 *bullwinkle* and *shark* regulate dorsal-appendage morphogenesis in
Drosophila oogenesis. Development **130:** 6273-6282.
TSIGKARI, K. K., S. F. ACEVEDO AND E. M. SKOULAKIS, 2012 14-3-3epsilon Is required for germ cell migration in
Drosophila. PLoS One **7**: e36702.
ULMSCHNEIDER, B., B. K. GRILLO-HILL, M. BENITEZ, D. R. AZIMOVA, D. L. BARBER *et al.*, 2016 Increased
intracellular pH is necessary for adult epithelial and embryonic stem cell differentiation. J Cell
Biol **215**: 345-355.
VARELA, P. F., A. S. LLERA, R. A. MARIUZZA AND J. TORMO, 2002 Crystal structure of imaginal disc growth
factor-2. A member of a new family of growth-promoting glycoproteins from *Drosophila*
melanogaster. J Biol Chem **277:** 13229-13236.
VENKEN, K. J., Y. HE, R. A. HOSKINS AND H. J. BELLEN, 2006 P[acman]: a BAC transgenic platform for targeted
insertion of large DNA fragments in D. melanogaster. Science **314:** 1747-1751.
WARD, E. J., AND C. A. BERG, 2005 Juxtaposition between two cell types is necessary for dorsal appendage
tube formation. Mech Dev **122:** 241-255.
WATANABE, T., K. KOBORI, K. MIYASHITA, T. FUJII, H. SAKAI *et al.*, 1993 Identification of glutamic acid 204 and
aspartic acid 200 in chitinase A1 of Bacillus circulans WL-12 as essential residues for chitinase
activity. J Biol Chem **268:** 18567-18572.
ZHANG, J., A. GRATCHEV, V. RIABOV, S. MAMIDI, C. SCHMUTTERMAIER *et al.*, 2009 A novel GGA-binding site is
required for intracellular sorting mediated by stabilin-1. Mol Cell Biol **29:** 6097-6105.
ZIMMERMAN, S. G., G. E. MERRIHEW, M. J. MACCOSS AND C. A. BERG, 2017 Proteomics Analysis Identifies
Orthologs of Human Chitinase-Like Proteins as Inducers of Tube Morphogenesis Defects in
Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics **206:** 973-984.
ZUROVCOVA, M., AND F. J. AYALA, 2002 Polymorphism patterns in two tightly linked developmental genes,
Idgf1 and Idgf3, of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics **162:** 177-188.

Supplementary Figures and Tables
959
960

A Create gRNA and donor vectors

Design CRISPR guide RNAs

DmU6 promoter BbsI sites chiRNA U6 terminator

BS-U6-gRNA vector

digest

Insert gRNA sequence

Insert homology arms into donor vector

HA1   HA2

MCS attP LoxP DsRed   MCS

pHD-DsRed-attP vector

Inject donor and gRNA vectors

Fly line expressing Cas9

B Delete each *Idgf* gene and replace it with DsRed

gRNA1   gRNA2
PAM │ 5' target 3' target │ PAM

Genomic DNA

Homology region *Idgf* Homology region

DSBs

HA1   HA2

Donor vector

HDR

Genomic DNA

Screen for transformants

DsRed +   DsRed -

961
962
Figure S1 *Idgf* gene deletion method. (A) Strategy for constructing gRNA vectors and donor vectors for injection
into embryos endogenously expressing Cas9. (B) Cas9 exploits gRNAs to make double-strand breaks at sites of pink
arrows. Using homology directed repair, the *Idgf* gene is replaced with the gene encoding DsRed fluorescent
protein. Transformants are null for the *Idgf* gene of interest and have fluorescent red eyes. gRNA (guide RNA), HA
(homology arm), MCS (multiple cloning site) PAM (protospacer adjacent motif), DSB (double-strand break) HDR
(homology directed repair).
969

970
Figure S2 Eclosion rates. (A) Eclosion averaged over 3 days [9-11 days after egg laying (AEL)] for offspring of *+;*
+/CyO and *w¹¹¹⁸ Idgf⁴ᐞ; Idgf⁽¹⁻³⁾ᐞ,⁽⁶⁻⁵⁾ᐞ/CyO* crosses. Percentages are the number of curly or straight-winged flies
divided by the total (curly + straight). The expected Mendelian fractions of curly vs. straight-winged flies should be
2/3 heterozygous (curly-winged) and 1/3 homozyous (straight-winged) flies. The relative fractions of wing
phenotypes in the *sxtΔ* background (73% curly, 27% straight) deviates significantly from the relative fractions in
the *w¹¹¹⁸* background (69% curly, 31% straight) (p = 0.0087, X^2 test, n=1304 for the *w¹¹¹⁸* background and 1185 for
the *sxtΔ* background). (B) Eclosion rates for homozygous offspring over time. Fractions are the number of
homozygous flies eclosed for each day divided by the total number of homozygous flies for all three days. The
fraction of *w¹¹¹⁸* homozygotes peaks 1 day earlier than for the *sxtΔ* homozygotes, indicating that the *sxtΔ*
homozygotes are developmentally delayed.
981

982
Figure S3 Germ cell migration. Anterior is to the left, dorsal is up in A, A', and C. (A, A') Germ cells in 16-hour old
embryos are marked with anti-Vasa antibody, revealing germ-cell loss and reduced numbers in the gonads of sxtΔ
versus w^{1118} embryos. Scale bar = 50µm. (B) Graph shows germ cell counts in individual 3-hour old cellular
blastoderm embryos. w^{1118}, mean = 46.6 (n= 12 embryos), *sxtΔ*, mean = 33.2 (n= 10 embryos), *p* = 0.0002,
Student's *t*-test. (C) During germband extension, GFP-tagged Idgf6 (green) is present in and around the pole cells,
which are marked with anti-Vasa antibody (red). Scale bars = 100µm.
989

Figure S4 Segmentation defects. (A,A') Anti-Engrailed antibody marks the posterior cells in each segment in w^{1118} embryos. *sxtΔ* mutants exhibit segmentation defects. Scale bar = 50µm. (B,B') Denticle belt defects in *sxtΔ* mutants reflect segmentation defects. Lateral view (B), ventrolateral view (B'). (C,C') In Stage 16 embryos, Rhodamine-Phalloidin highlights cell cortices and the actin protrusions that secrete cuticle to form denticles (images). White squares in images show the areas drawn in the diagrams. (C) The control (w^{1118}) cells display the typical "brick wall" arrangement in the denticle-producing cells (magenta) and the larger, less organized naked cuticle cells (black). (C') Cell morphology and localization in denticle-producing cells is disrupted in *sxtΔ* mutant embryos. (D,D') Engrailed protein aberrantly localizes to cell membranes in *sxtΔ* embryos (C'), but not in w^{1118} embryos (C). White rectangle indicates area shown in close-up. Scale bar = 50µm.

1002

1003

Figure S5 CO₂ Exposure regimes. DA defects are significantly increased in Idgf6Δ and sxtΔ mutants after a one-minute pulse of high (100%) CO_2 exposure every 12 hours. A sustained time course of 20% CO2 for at least 1.5 days and as much as 8 days does not significantly increase DA defects in these mutants. * denotes significance ($p \leq 0.05$).

Figure S6 Quantification of cortical Actin and E-Cadherin intensity in DA cells. (A) E-Cadherin and Actin in Stage
10B roof cells (green square) and Stage 12 floor cells (red square) and in posterior columnar cells (white squares).
(B) Comparison of w^{1118} and $sxt\Delta$ fluorescence intensity plot profiles averaged across three cell membranes, from
the center of one cell to the center of the neighboring cell, averaged over at least 6 egg chambers for each
genotype and each exposure regime as indicated. Plots show mean (E-cad, blue and Actin, magenta) and
confidence limits (gray).
1017

Table S1   Molecularly mapped *Idgf* gene loci, mRNA, protein lengths and weights, and deleted sequences

Gene	Locus[a]	Length (bp)	Isoform	mRNA Length (nt)	Protein Length (aa)	MW (kDa)	Deleted Sequence[b]
Idgf1	16,445,278	1516	A	1445	439	49.4	16,445,132 - 16,446,690
Idgf2	16,447,330	3179	A	1440	440	49.2	16,449,257 - 16,450,348
			B	1796	368	41.1	
			C	1367	368	41.1	
Idgf3	16,450,907	4288	A	1649	441	49.3	16,450,962 - 16,452,978
			B	3145	441	49.3	
			C	1724	441	49.3	
			D	3801	441	49.3	
Idgf4	9,927,973	2976	A	1514	442	48.6	9,928,084 - 9,930,904
			B	1541	442	48.6	
			C	1807	442	48.6	
Idgf5	18,393,666	1617	A	1495	444	50.1	18,393,347 - 18,395,294
Idgf6	16,691,022	2730	A	1541	452	50.4	16,690,912 - 16,693,893
			B	1811	452	50.4	

1019   a. 5' nucleotide locus of molecularly mapped sequence from flybase.org
1020   b. Cas9 cuts directly before the first and after the second number
1021

Table S2 Cytological locations, gRNA sequences, and cut sites. Vertical line (|) indicates gRNA cut site, underlined nucleotides indicate PAM (located 3' to target on genomic DNA, not part of gRNA)

Deletion[a,f]	Cytological Location	5' gRNA Target	3' gRNA Target
Single			
Idgf1Δ	2L: 36A	gtgaaactgtattagct | gac agg	tacttaattgaccgcag | tat ggg
Idgf2Δ	2L: 36A	acttggtctacggctat | gct ggg	gcccctaggttcttga | cac ggg
Idgf3Δ	2L: 36A	ggggttttcgtttcact | acg ggg	gaggcctttaggcacc | gaa agg
Idgf4Δ	X: 9A	aaactatataaattatc | aca cgg	gacgatagtaaacttta | taa agg
Idgf5Δ	2R: 55C	tccacacatttgccaca | aac tgg	atagtaaacgagctttc | aaa tgg
Idgf6Δ	2R: 53D	ggtcacacatgctgatt | ttc agg	ggtattcaattaaattg | cgt agg
Double			
Idgf2Δ,3Δ	2L: 36A	acttggtctacggctat | gct ggg	gaggcctttaggcacc | gaa agg
Idgf6Δ,5Δ	2R: 53D, 55C	b	b
Triple			
Idgf1Δ,2Δ,3Δ	2L: 36A	c	c
Idgf4Δ;Idgf6Δ,5Δ	X: 9A; 2R: 53D, 55C	d	d
Sextuple			
Idgf4Δ;Idgf(1,2,3)Δ,(6,5)Δ	X: 9A; 2L: 36A; 2R: 53D, 55C	e	e

a. Additional null combinations may be generated by crossing or recombining the applicable null lines.

b. Generated by recombination between *Idgf6Δ* and *Idgf5Δ*

c. *Idgf1* guide and donor plasmids were injected into *vas-Cas9GFP*; *Idgf2Δ3Δ* embryos to preserve integrity of the neighboring gene *CG5888*

d. Generated by crossing *Idgf6Δ,5Δ* to *Idgf4Δ*

e. Generated by recombination between *Idgf1Δ,2Δ,3Δ* and *Idgf6Δ,5Δ* followed by cross to *Idgf4Δ* line

f. All the lines are in a *w1118* background

1032 **Table S3** **Deficiency phenotypes. The deficiencies delete the indicated Idgfs. Deficiency locus is heterozygous**
1033 **with wild-type Idgf(s) to test for DA defects caused by deletion of genes flanking the Idgfs within the**
1034 **deletion locus.**

genotype	associated Idgf deletions	normal	moderate	severe
w^{1118}; +/+	NA	98.4%	1.5%	0.1%
w^{1118}; +/Df(2L)ED1102 (VENKEN *et al.* 2006); +	1Δ, 2Δ, 3Δ	99.1%	0.7%	0.1%
w^{1118}/Df(1)ED6989 (VENKEN *et al.* 2006)	4Δ	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
w^{1118}; +/Df(2R)BSC337; +	5Δ	99.8%	0.2%	0.0%
w^{1118}; +/Df(2R)6064; +	6Δ	98.0%	2.0%	0.0%

1035