**Activation-pathway transitions in human voltage-gated proton channels revealed by a non-canonical fluorescent amino acid.**

Esteban Suárez-Delgado[1,2], Gisela E. Rangel-Yescas[1] and León D. Islas[1*]

From:

[1]Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, UNAM, Mexico City,04510, Mexico

[2]Current affiliation: Department of Biology, Xenon Pharmaceuticals Inc., 3650 Gilmore Way, Burnaby, BC V5G 4W8, Canada

*Correspondence to:

León D. Islas

Department of Physiology

School of Medicine

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Circuito Escolar S/N, Ciudad Universitaria

Ciudad de México, 04510, México

leon.islas@gmail.com

ORCIDs

E. Suárez-Delgado: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0147-3451

G. Rangel-Yescas: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2766-6040

L.D. Islas: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7461-5214

**Significance statement**

The activation mechanisms of voltage-gated proton channels ($H_V1$) are not well
understood. Here we have combined patch-clamp fluorometry and a fluorescent non-
canonical amino acid to uncover transitions in the activation pathway of human $H_V1$ that
are modulated by voltage and the pH gradient.

**Abstract**

Voltage-dependent gating of the voltage-gated proton channels ($H_V1$) remains poorly
understood, partly because of the difficulty of obtaining direct measurements of voltage
sensor movement in the form of gating currents. To circumvent this problem, we have
implemented patch-clamp fluorometry in combination with the incorporation of the
fluorescent non-canonical amino acid Anap to monitor channel opening and movement of
the S4 segment. Simultaneous recording of currents and fluorescence signals allows for
direct correlation of these parameters and investigation of their dependance on voltage
and the pH gradient ($\Delta$pH). We present data that indicate that Anap incorporated in the S4
helix is quenched by an aromatic residue located in the S2 helix and that motion of the S4
relative to this quencher is responsible for fluorescence increases upon depolarization. The
kinetics of the fluorescence signal reveals the existence of a very slow transition in the
activation pathway, which seems to be singularly regulated by $\Delta$pH. Our experiments also
suggest that the voltage sensor can move after channel opening and that the absolute value
of the pH can influence the channel opening step. These results shed light on the
complexities of voltage-dependent opening of human $H_V1$ channels.

**Introduction**

Voltage-gated, proton-permeable ion currents in a large variety of cell types and organisms
are produced by the $H_V1$ gene (HVCN1 in humans), which encodes a membrane protein
that is a member of the superfamily of voltage-sensing domains (VSDs) (1, 2). These VSDs
are encountered in voltage-sensitive phosphatases (VSP) and voltage-gated ion channels
(VGIC), and their principal function is to detect the membrane potential difference and
translate it into a conformational change that activates VSP and opens VGIC (3).

$H_V1$ is thought to form ion channels activated by voltage and employing a mechanism of

activation similar to the VSDs of canonical voltage-gated potassium, sodium and calcium

channels (4). The functions of $H_V1$ channels are diverse, including intracellular pH

regulation (5), charge compensation during immune response (6), modulation of flagellar

beating in spermatozoa (7), bioluminescence (8), and possible roles in calcification

processes in marine organisms (9, 10). Also, $H_V1$ is involved in different pathologies such as

B cell malignancy (11), breast cancer (12), and post-ischemic brain injury (13, 14);

consequently, in recent years $H_V1$ has emerged as a possible pharmacological target (15,

16).

Among all voltage-gated proton channels sequenced, the human orthologue, $hH_V1$, is the

most widely studied (17). This channel is thought to be a functional dimer formed by two

subunits comprising an intracellular N-terminus, a bundle of four transmembrane helices

(TMH, S1-S4) in the VSD fold, and a long intracellular alpha helix that mediates a coiled-coil

interaction, mainly responsible for dimerization and cooperative activation (18–20). The

fourth alpha helix, S4, contains positive charges represented by three arginine residues

(R205, R208, and R211) in the characteristic VSD repeats and is thought to undergo an

outward displacement and rotation in response to depolarization, mostly in accordance to

the helical screw rotation and displacement model of voltage-gating (21). Unlike canonical

VGIC, $H_V1$ lacks the two TMH that make up the pore region in canonical ion channels (S5

and S6); therefore, the VSD of $H_V1$ has a double function: it is responsible for detecting the

electrical potential across the membrane and forming the pathway through which the

protons will move once the channel is activated.

A characteristic of $H_V1$ activation is its dependence on the pH gradient or $\Delta pH$ ($pH_o$ - $pH_i$).

Native proton channels were first shown to open at more negative voltages when the

proton gradient points in the outward direction (22). It was shown that for every unit of

$\Delta pH$, the voltage of mid activation shifts ~40 mV. Subsequently, all native and cloned

proton channels have been found to approximately follow this rule (1, 2, 10, 17, 23, 24).

Recent experiments suggest that the proton gradient produces this effect by acting on the

voltage sensor and not only affecting a close-to-open transition, since gating currents and

channel opening are similarly modulated (25, 26). However, the molecular mechanisms

through which protons modulate voltage-sensor function are not known. Due to technical

difficulties, such as not having a pore structure separate from VSD or the impossibility of

patch-clamping without protons in the experiments, gating current recordings of $H_V1$

channels have been obtained from mutants of the *Ciona* $H_V1$ (ci$H_V1$) orthologue (26, 27) or

mutants of human $H_V1$ (28).

Patch-clamp Fluorometry (PCF) is a powerful tool that allows investigation of electrically

silent conformational changes in VSDs associated with channel gating (29). In ci$H_V1$, this

technique has been used to obtain evidence of cooperative gating, S1 movement, and the

pH sensitivity S4 movement (25, 30, 31). Here, we assess the conformational changes of the

S4 from the human voltage-gated proton channel in response to voltage activation and pH

modulation with a genetically encoded fluorescent non-canonical amino acid, Anap, of

similar size to aromatic amino acids, and a fluorescent signal with strong stability to acidity

changes. We find our measurements can resolve a transition during the deactivation

process that is strongly modulated by pH. Furthermore, we find that the changes in Anap

fluorescence could be produced by the presence of aromatic amino acids within $H_V1$.

**Results**

***Incorporation of Anap into h$H_V1$***

To study voltage-dependent transitions in a voltage sensor using patch-clamp fluorometry,

it's desirable that the introduced fluorescent probe does not produce a major structural

perturbation of the target protein. The relatively recently developed probe Anap (3-(6-

acetylnaphtalen-2-ylamino)-2-aminopropanoic acid) is a small non-canonical fluorescent

amino acid (Figure 1B) which has been shown to be easily genetically-encoded in proteins

expressed in eukaryotic cells (32, 33) and useful as a reporter of voltage-dependent

conformational changes (34) and as a FRET pair (35) to probe ion channel dynamics. In

this study Anap was inserted into specific positions of the S4 segment of the human $H_V1$

proton channel (h$H_V1$) sequence (Figure 1A), with the purpose of examining its voltage and

pH-dependent dynamics.

We selected the S4 helix as insertion target, since this region of the channel is proposed to

undergo a voltage-dependent outward displacement that has been previously studied with

different approaches, including voltage-clamp fluorometry (13–15).

Anap efficiently rescued expression of channels containing an amber stop codon (TAG) in
the indicated position, as judged both by appearance of red fluorescence produced by the
mCherry fluorescent protein appended in the C-terminus or the appearance of proton
currents recorded from HEK 293 cells in the whole-cell patch-clamp configuration (Figure
1C and Supplementary figure 1).

We were able to successfully substitute amino acids by Anap at positions Q191, A197,
L198, G199, L200, L201 and I202 in the S4. The substituted channels gave rise to voltage-
activated currents, with similar range of activation to WT as judged by their conductance
vs. voltage (G-V) curves (except I202Anap channels) (Figure 1D and Supplementary figure
2 and Supplementary Table I). The observed fluorescence emission spectrum of the Anap
signal present in the membrane (Figure 1C), which presumably originates mostly from
Anap incorporated into channels, shows that there are no major or systematic variations
on the peak emission wavelength (Figure 1E). The peak emission for all positions is
between 480-487 nm, except the most C-terminal and presumably deepest position,
I202Anap, which is 479 nm. This result suggests that the local environment of Anap in
these positions is very similar and consistent with a polar environment since the peak
emission of Anap in aqueous solution is ~486 nm (Supplementary Figure 3C and D). Figure
1E also shows that the emission spectra of positions other than Q191Anap exhibit a small
extra peak near 610 nm that corresponds to the peak emission of mCherry. Since the 405
nm laser used to excite Anap does not excite mCherry, these results suggest that channel-
incorporated Anap is able to undergo resonant energy-transfer (FRET) with mCherry. We
did not attempt to quantify FRET between Anap and mCherry.

***Insensitivity of Anap to pH***

In order to use Anap as a reporter of conformational changes in $H_V1$ proton channels and
given that these channels are able to change the pH of the surrounding solution (36, 37),
we first wanted to validate if this fluorophore is insensitive to pH changes. The amino acid
form of Anap that we use is the methyl-ester, which contains amino and carboxy groups,
whose protonation could alter the electron distribution and thus its fluorescence, as a
function of pH. In fact, the fluorescence of the free form of Anap in solution is highly

sensitive to pH, with changes in both the peak emission wavelength and the intensity,
presumably reflecting the pKa's of amino and carboxy groups (Supplementary Figure 3E).
We reasoned that the best assay to test the pH dependence of Anap fluorescence is to use
already incorporated Anap. For this purpose, we used the mutant Q191Anap, which
incorporates Anap in the S3-S4 loop which faces the extracellular solution, even in the
deactivated state of the channel (Figure 1A). The emission spectrum of Q191Anap channels
was measured from transfected HEK cells in which the pH of the extracellular solution was
changed over the range 3 to 9.

These measurements showed that the fluorescence of Anap is not significantly changed in
intensity or shape of the emission spectrum (Figure 2A and B), indicating that this
fluorophore is insensitive to pH and that Anap fluorescence should not be affected by pH
changes, which might be produced as a consequence of proton currents.

As a further test of our data showing pH-insensitivity of channel-incorporated Anap and to
validate the use of Anap in proton channels, we incorporated the amino acid in a position at
the N-terminus of the channel, V62Anap. This amino acid is located in the intracellular part
of the channel and should be subject to changes in local internal pH during channel
activation (36) but not show changes in fluorescence as a function of voltage-dependent
conformational changes. As expected, we did not detect Anap fluorescence changes,
although the amino acid was incorporated into functional channels, as judged from proton
currents recorded simultaneously with fluorescence (Figure 2C and D). This result further
supports the use of Anap in voltage-gated proton channels to measure conformational
changes.

***Voltage-dependent changes of Anap fluorescence***

Previous experiments in which other dyes like tetra-methyl-rhodamine maleimide (TMRM)
were used to label cysteine residues in the S4 segment of voltage-sensing domains,
including the *Ciona* and human $H_V1$ channels (25, 30, 31), usually result in fluorescence
signals that are reduced upon depolarization by a voltage-dependent quenching process
(38, 39). In contrast, when we incorporate Anap at position A197, located towards the
extracellular end of the S4, depolarization induced an increase of the fluorescence, along
with proton currents. The fluorescence increase saturates at depolarized voltages,

suggesting that it is produced by a saturable process such as voltage-sensor activation. The
direction of this fluorescence change is the same when measured at a $\Delta$pH of 0 or 2,
suggesting the same conformational change in the S4 voltage sensor occurs at different pH
gradients, albeit over a different range of voltages (Figure 3A and B). The time course of the
fluorescence change is very similar to the time course of proton current activation. The
values of the time constants of activation (tau) are very similar, with the fluorescence
signal slightly slower, but with comparable voltage dependence (Figure 3C).
When F-V and G-V curves are plotted together, it is evident that sensor movement
paralleled the activation of the proton conductance. At three different values of the pH
gradient ($\Delta$pH 0, 1 and 2), both the F-V and G-V curves are almost superimposable and shift
along the voltage axis by the same amount of ~40 mV/pH unit (Figure 3D), which is
expected of $H_V1$ channels (22). Only at $\Delta$pH = 2 the fluorescence signal is shifted to slightly
more negative voltages than the conductance and only at voltages at which channel
activation begins. The observed voltage shift of the G-V is ~31 mV from $\Delta$pH 2 to 1 and ~43
mV from $\Delta$pH 1 to 0 and is very similar for the F-V curves. This result indicates that the
$\Delta$pH-dependence of gating is preserved in channels with incorporated Anap and that the
voltage sensor movement occurs in the same voltage range as the formation of the proton
permeation pathway.
204
To understand the origin of the increased fluorescence observed during activation, we
measured the emission spectra of A197Anap in voltage-clamped cells at different voltages.
Figure 3E plots the normalized spectra obtained at voltages ranging from -100 to 140 mV
and it shows that the spectra superimpose well, indicating that the increase in fluorescence
is not due to depolarization-driven wavelength shifts of the emission spectra. We interpret
this result as an indication that Anap incorporated at position A197 remains in a polar
environment at all voltages or that small changes in polarity change the quantum yield of
Anap but not the emission spectrum.
213
***$H_V1$-197Anap is quenched by a phenylalanine in the S2***

The increase of the Anap fluorescence at position 197 in the S4 seen with depolarization
could be interpreted as a reflection of an outward movement of the S4 and exposure of
Anap to a more polar environment, which in principle will produce a red shift of the
emission spectrum and an increase of the fluorescence that is detected. However, as shown
in Figure 3E, the shape of emission spectrum of Anap remains unchanged at all voltages
and with a constant emission peak at ~480 nm, indicating that the fluorophore remains in
a polar environment in the closed and open states and thus, a change in local polarity is not
the cause of dequenching.

Anap was derived from Prodan (32) and although the photophysical behavior of Anap is
not well characterized, Prodan's fluorescence is known to be quenched by mechanisms
such as π-stacking or photoinduced electron transfer with aromatic amino acids (40), both
mechanisms which require close proximity. For these reasons, it is conceivable that the
Anap quencher in $H_V1$, could be an aromatic residue that is located near the introduced
fluorophore in the closed state and upon S4 movement, increases its distance, generating
the observed dequenching. We used a structural model of $H_V1$ derived from experimental
data (41) and replaced A197 with Anap. Figure 4A shows Anap in salmon-colored spheres
and highlights aromatic residues within the transmembrane domains of a monomer as
dotted spheres. A possible candidate for an Anap quencher is F150 (yellow spheres),
because this residue is the closest aromatic to Anap that is not in the S4 and F150 will
presumably remain in its position as 197Anap undergoes an outward displacement with
depolarization. In contrast, other aromatic residues which are closer to 197Anap and are
part of the S4, will presumably move with all the S4 as a rigid body. Incidentally, an
equivalent phenylalanine to F150 has been identified as the charge transfer center in
canonical voltage-gated potassium channels and in $H_V1$ (42, 43).

To test this hypothesis, we made the double mutant F150A-A197Anap and estimated the
relative amount of basal Anap quenching, by comparing the emission spectra of both Anap
and mCherry in the same membrane region. Figures 4B and C shows that the double
mutant displays a significantly increased Anap fluorescence (~60 %) relative to mCherry,
when compared to A197Anap alone, suggesting that indeed, phenylalanine 150 is capable

of quenching Anap in the closed state (at the resting potential of HEK cells of -20 to -40 mV

(44) and at the employed $\Delta pH \sim -0.2$ ($pH_o = 7$) most channels should be in the closed state).

Despite having removed the quenching group, F150A-A197Anap channels still show

voltage-dependent fluorescence changes (Figure 4D), suggesting the presence of additional

quenchers or that in the absence of F150, Anap at 197 becomes sensitive to polarity

changes.

The voltage dependence of the fluorescence signals from F150A-A197Anap channels shows

significant differences from those of A197Anap alone. At values of $\Delta pH$ of 0 and 1,

fluorescence precedes the increase in conductance, indicating that the conformational

change of the S4 segment occurs at more negative voltages than the formation of the

proton-permeable pathway. This effect is more pronounced at $\Delta pH = 1$. Interestingly, the

difference of $V_{0.5}$ of the F-V curve between $\Delta pH= 0$ and 1 is 57.8 mV, similar for A197Anap,

which is 45.7 mV.

***A distinct gating transition detected by Anap fluorescence***

The fluorescence time course of the F150A-A197Anap channels shows an interesting

characteristic, which is that the OFF signals ($F_{off}$) that are produced at the return of the

voltage to the holding potential and represent the return of the voltage sensor to the

resting states, show a two-component kinetic behavior. This is particularly evident at $\Delta pH$

= 0 (Figure 4D), where $F_{off}$ shows a very rapid quenching followed by a much slower

component, suggesting that the voltage sensor can move back to its resting position at

varying rates.

To explore the kinetics of fluorescence signals, especially during repolarization, and since

this double mutant removes a quenching group, we used the $hH_V1$-201Anap channels. We

reasoned that this mutant channel, which has Anap in a deeper position in the S4 and

presumably closer to F150 in the closed state, might be a better reporter of the kinetics of

S4 movement.

Figures 5A, B and C show simultaneous current and fluorescence recordings from $hH_V1$-

L201Anap channels at three different $\Delta pH$ values of 0, 1 and 2. As with the $hH_V1$-A197Anap

construct, the voltage-dependence of the conductance and fluorescence are almost superimposable and shows a large shift of >40 mV/pH unit (Figure 5D).

The most salient feature of these fluorescence traces is that, at $\Delta pH = 0$, the OFF signal during repolarization ($F_{off}$) has two distinct kinetic components. The deactivation tail currents at -60 mV decay exponentially, with a time constant of $141 \pm 55$ ms, while the $F_{off}$ can be fit to a sum of two exponentials with time constants of $129 \pm 68$ ms and $8.6 \pm 0.74$ s. (Supplementary figure 4). The presence of the two components in $F_{off}$ suggest that the return of the voltage sensor to its resting state can occur at varying rates. In particular, the slow component is consistent with the immobilization of the off-gating charge observed in monomeric *Ciona* $H_V1$ channels (27). The slow off-component is also present at $\Delta pH = 1$ and 2, although its amplitude is smaller. The slow return of the voltage sensor at $\Delta pH = 0$ is also consistent with the more positive voltages needed to activate the channel at this pH gradient.

To qualitatively understand the kinetics of the fluorescence signals, we used a simplified kinetic model of channel activation (Scheme I), similar to a model that was previously used to study the voltage-dependent kinetics of $hH_V1$ (45).

$$C_0 \underset{k_{10}}{\overset{k_{01}}{\rightleftharpoons}} C_1 \underset{k_{21}}{\overset{k_{12}}{\rightleftharpoons}} C_2 \underset{k_{32}}{\overset{k_{23}}{\rightleftharpoons}} O_3 \qquad \text{Scheme I}$$

In this model, one of the backward transitions ($k_{21}$) between closed states is set to be much slower than the open to closed transition ($O_3 \text{->} C_2$), resulting in a large difference between the closing kinetics of the ionic current, mostly determined by $k_{32}$, and the fluorescence signals. This kinetic difference can account for the biphasic behavior of the $F_{off}$ signal, and especially the slow component of its time course (Figure 5D). The model also indicates that when the internal pH is lower than the external pH ($\Delta pH = 2$), this slow rate constant is more affected than any other, indicating a conformational step that is especially sensitive to pH.

While the simple model in Scheme I can account qualitatively for the observed kinetics of

201Anap channels, the experimental F-V relationship is positively shifted by ~10 mV with

respect to the G-V curve, which is not a feature of Scheme I and is reminiscent of channels

that can open to multiple open states, without the need of full voltage sensor activation

(46). This observation suggests that $hH_V1$ channels operate via a more complicated

mechanism that the one illustrated by Scheme I, which might include channel opening

before complete voltage-sensor movement. We tested a simple version of such an allosteric

model and show that it can account, at least qualitatively, for current and fluorescence

kinetics and for the relationships between G-V and F-V curves at varying $\Delta pH$

(Supplementary figure 5). Interestingly, in this model the slow deactivation rate constant is

also the step with the most sensitivity to pH (Supplementary Table III).

***Absolute pH values are determinants of voltage sensor movement.***

One of the most intriguing characteristics of $H_V1$ channel gating, is its steep modulation by

the pH gradient. While it has been shown that this modulation depends on the value of

$\Delta pH$, regardless of how it is set up (22), there is evidence that the absolute value of pH can

also exert an effect on gating (47). In most of our experiments, the pH gradient was set up

with a low value of intracellular pH, between 5.5 and 6. To test the effect of absolute pH, we

carried out experiments with the same $\Delta pH$ of 0, with symmetric low (5.5/5.5) or high

(7/7) intra/extracellular pH. The expectation was that, if pH gating of $hH_V1$ depends only

on the pH gradient, the voltage sensor should move with essentially the same

characteristics. Surprisingly, the fluorescence signals display important differences, as do

the proton currents. Our results in Figure 6 show that when compared to $\Delta pH$ of 0

(5.5/5.5), the fluorescence in symmetric $pH_o$ and $pH_i$ of 7 has a rapid return of the $F_{off}$

signal (Figure 6A and B). Interestingly, the voltage dependence of the F-V relationship is

very similar for (5.5/5.5) or (7/7) conditions, while in (7/7) the proton current appears at

more positive voltages than the bulk of the fluorescence (Figure 6C).

These results suggest that the voltage range of movement of the voltage sensor is

dependent on the $\Delta pH$, while the opening of the proton conduction pathway can occur after

only a fraction of the voltage sensor movement has occurred and this coupling between
voltage sensing and channel opening can be regulated by low pH.
333
**Discussion**
In the experiments described here we have implemented patch-clamp fluorometry in
combination with incorporation of a fluorescent non-canonical amino acid (NCAA) to study
voltage-dependent gating in $hH_V1$ proton channels. Although voltage-clamp fluorometry
(VCF) has been used previously to study $H_V1$ channels (25, 30, 31), employing the
fluorescent Anap NCAA has the advantages of being a smaller size probe and improving the
specificity of fluorescence signals, since it is genetically encoded. We were able to
incorporate Anap into functional channels in several sites along the S4 and the S3-S4 loop.
Since Anap was developed as an environmental sensitive probe, the fact that the emission
spectrum of Anap in these sites is very similar to that of Anap in water, suggests that these
residues are solvated in the native $H_V1$. The only position that shows a blue-shifted Anap
spectrum is I202 which is the most C-terminal residue explored and might burry the Anap
R-group in a more hydrophobic environment.
Since the activity of $H_V1$ proton channels can change the local concentration of protons
near the conduction site and fluorescence probes have been used to detect these proton
fluxes (36, 37), we addressed whether Anap could change its fluorescence as a function of
pH. We show that Anap is highly insensitive to pH in the range 4 to 8 and it does not change
fluorescence in conditions in which high outward fluxes can change the local intracellular
pH. Our experiments confirm that Anap can be used without interference from local
changes in proton concentration.
When incorporated at position 197, Anap produced fluorescence signals that indicate an
increase in intensity with depolarization and saturated in magnitude at positive potentials.
This behavior indicates dequenching of Anap as the S4 segments undergoes an outward
movement during the activation conformational change. Anap has been incorporated in
other membrane proteins, including the *Shaker* potassium channel, in which Anap was
incorporated in the S4-S5 linker and displayed fluorescence quenching upon depolarization
(48). As far as we know, Anap has been incorporated in the S4 of only the
hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channel (49), where it is

quenched or dequenched upon hyperpolarization in a position-dependent manner. The

direction of the fluorescence changes due to S4 motion are difficult to predict, since, as we

have shown, Anap's fluorescence can be affected by both the local environment's polarity

and interaction with specific quenching groups that are part of the channel sequence.

The fluorescence changes we observe in 197Anap channels indicate that the G-V and F-V

relationships have almost the same voltage-dependence at the $\Delta$pH values tested,

suggesting that S4 movement closely follows channel opening, and that S4 movement and

activation of the proton conductance are equally affected by the proton gradient. A similar

conclusion has been reached in studies measuring S4 movements of hH$_V$1 by fluorescence

(25) or in *Ciona* H$_V$1 by gating current recordings (26). Interestingly, these changes in

fluorescence as a function of voltage, are not accompanied by changes in the emission

spectrum of Anap, suggesting that the probe remains in a solvated environment regardless

of the state of the channel. This is in accordance with the finding that the VSD that forms

hH$_V$1 channels has a large extracellular cavity able to contain many water molecules (50).

Since Anap remains solvated in the closed and open state, what is the origin of the reported

fluorescence changes? As a Prodan-derived probe, we hypothesized that an aromatic

residue could act as an Anap quencher and thus found that the only aromatic outside the S4

close enough to have this function is F150. Mutation F150A in the 197Anap background

produced an increased Anap/mCherry fluorescence intensity as compared to 197Anap

alone, indicating reduced quenching. This result suggests that 197Anap moves away from

F150 as the S4 segment moves outward during channel activation.

Substitution of L201 for Anap allowed us to uncover a slow step in the activation pathway.

The fluorescence signal observed upon channel closure by repolarization at $\Delta$pH = 0 shows

two components, one which is much slower than channel closing as reported by the tail

current. The fact that tail current is faster than the slow component of the deactivation

fluorescence signal indicates that the latter is produced by a slow intermediate transition,

as is also recapitulated by a simple kinetic model. Interestingly, recordings of gating

currents in mutant *Ciona* H$_V$1 channels show that the charge return after depolarization

can be very slow, producing gating charge immobilization (27). This observation of a

singular slow transition in $hH_V1$ activation illustrates the value of fluorescence recording
with a small probe such as Anap.

Our data thus far indicates that a fraction of S4 movement, as reported by the F-V relation,
occurs before the increase of the proton conductance, and that S4 movement can continue
after channel activation. Comparison of the $V_{0.5}$ values of Q-V and G-V curves in $hH_V1$
channels (28) indicates that charge moves at slightly more negative values than
conductance, but not at all $\Delta pH$ values. Fluorescence changes depend on all the
conformational states in which the fluorophore has distinct fluorescence values, while
gating currents are produced during transitions between conformations with state-
dependent charge distributions (51). For these reasons, F-V and Q-V curves of multistate
channels are not expected to be identical or contain the same information.

Our fluorescence data are consistent with recent experiments that have shown that the
characteristic gating effect of the proton gradient on voltage-gated proton channels comes
about by a conformational change that affects voltage sensor movement and not a channel
opening transition. Furthermore, our modeling suggests that all transitions in the
activation pathway, including a characteristic slow transition detected by fluorescence are
modulated by $\Delta pH$.

The mechanism of $\Delta pH$ modulation is still unknown. It has been proposed that the energy
stored in the pH gradient is directly coupled to S4 movement to produce $\Delta pH$-dependent
gating (26). We have previously proposed an allosteric model in which both extracellular
and intracellular protons can affect local electrostatic networks and bring about $\Delta pH$-
gating (10). This class of models predicts the existence of multiple open states, which is
supported by the observation that S4 movement can happen after channel opening.

A mechanism in which the proton gradient energy is coupled to S4 movement predicts that
the absolute value of pH should not influence gating. Interestingly, we have observed that
the absolute pH values used to set up a $\Delta pH = 0$ do affect gating. When $pH_o=5.5/pH_i=5.5$, G-
V and F-V are almost superimposed and the $F_{off}$ signal has a fast and slow component; in
contrast, when $pH_o=7/pH_i=7$, the F-V curve has almost the same voltage-dependence, but
conductance can be observed at more negative voltages and the $F_{off}$ signal only contains the
fast component. These results suggest that the absolute pH in the extracellular side of the

channel is a determinant of the steady-state gating, presumably modulating the slow rate
constant in the activation pathway.
423
**Materials and Methods**
*Molecular biology and HEK cell expression*
A plasmid containing the human voltage-gated proton channel (hH$_V$1) was a gift from Dr.
Ian Scott Ramsey (Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA). We used the
fluorescent protein mCherry as a reporter to verify L-Anap incorporation. The construct
hH$_V$1-mCherry was made by the PCR overlap technique, adding the sequence of fluorescent
protein mCherry after the C-terminus of hH$_V$1 with the following linker sequence: (Gly-Gly-
Ser)$_3$. This construct was subcloned into the pCDNA3.1 vector. For all hH$_V$1-TAG mutants,
an amber codon (TAG) was introduced using appropriate mutagenic oligonucleotides and a
protocol for whole plasmid site-directed mutagenesis employing KOD polymerase (Merck
Millipore, Germany) as detailed in manufacturer's instructions and previous work (52, 53).
The bacterial methylated DNA templates were digested with the DpnI restriction enzyme,
and the mutant plasmids were confirmed by automatic sequencing at the Instituto de
Fisiología Celular, UNAM.
We used HEK293 cells for channel expression and L-Anap incorporation experiments. The
HEK cells used in this study were found free of mycoplasma infection (Sigma-Aldrich
mycoplasma detection kit). These cells were cotransfected with 0.1 – 1 μg of mutant hH$_V$1-
TAG plasmid and 0.7 μg of pAnap plasmid (a gift from Dr. Sharona Gordon, University of
Washington, Seattle, WA) using the transfection reagent JetPei (Polyplus-transfection).
The pANAP plasmid contains the orthogonal pair tRNA/aminoacyl tRNA synthetase specific
to L-Anap. The Methyl ester form of L-Anap; L-Anap-Me (AsisChem Inc.) was added to the
medium of cells in 35 mm culture dishes from a storing stock solution of 10 mM to a final
concentration of 10-20 μM. Through the text, we will refer to L-Anap as Anap for simplicity.
Cells were incubated during 12-48 hours before experiments in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle
Medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, USA)
and penicillin-streptomycin (100 units/ml — 100 μg/ml, Invitrogen, USA) at 37 °C in a 5%
$CO_2$ atmosphere. Around 4 hours before electrophysiological recordings, HEK293 cells
were treated with 0.05% trypsin-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Trypsin-EDTA) to

obtain rounded cells, which were then re-platted in 35 mm glass-bottom dishes (World

Precision Instruments, USA) and used for experiments within 3-6 hrs. All the experiments

were performed at room temperature (~25°C).

*Electrophysiology*

Recordings of proton currents were performed in the whole-cell patch-clamp configuration

using fire-polished borosilicate micropipettes (Sutter Instruments, USA). Currents were

recorded by an Axoclamp 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, USA) and acquired with an

ITC-18 AD/DA converter (HEKA Elektronik, Germany), both controlled with Patchmaster

software (HEKA Elektronik, Germany). Currents were low-passed filtered at 5 kHz and

sampled at 20 kHz. The extracellular solution contained (in mM): 100

tetramethylammonium hydroxide and methanesulfonic acid (TMAOH-HMESO$_3$), 100 buffer

((2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonicacid (MES) for pH 5.5, and 6.0; 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-

piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) for pH 7.0 and 7.5), 2 CaCl$_2$, 2 MgCl$_2$, 8 HCl and pH-

adjusted with TMAOH and HMESO. The intracellular solution contained (in mM): 80

(TMAOH-HMESO$_3$) 100 buffer (MES for pH 5.5 and 6.0; HEPES for pH 7.0 and 7.5), 10

ethylene glycol-bis(β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N'- tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 10 MgCl$_2$, and 4

HCl and pH-adjusted with TMAOH and HMESO. With these solutions, patch pipettes had a

resistance of 2-5 MΩ. Since cells used in these experiments were round to improve space-

clamp and currents were relatively small, no series-resistance compensation was

employed. The voltage-clamp protocols varied depending on the value of ΔpH and are

indicated in the figure legends. The interval between each test pulse was 45 s at the holding

potential to facilitate return of slow fluorescence signals and minimize the effects of proton

depletion.

*Fluorescence measurements*

Fluorescence measurements in whole-cell fluorometry (WCF) experiments were made

using a TE-2000U (Nikon, Japan) inverted epifluorescence microscope with a 60x oil

immersion objective (numerical aperture 1.4). A 405 nm solid-state laser (Compass 405-50

CW, COHERENT, USA) and a filter cube containing a 405/20-nm excitation filter, a 405-nm

long pass dichroic mirror, and a 425-nm long-pass emission filter were used for Anap

fluorescence excitation. For mCherry fluorescence, measurements were performed using

an Ar-Ion laser (Spectra-Physics, Germany) and a filter cube with a 514/10-nm excitation

filter, a 514-nm long pass dichroic mirror, and a 530-nm long-pass emission filter (Chroma,

USA). Both lasers were through-air coupled, collimated using an optical cage system and

appropriate optics (Thorlabs, USA) and then focused into the objective's back focal plane

through the microscope's rear port. Imaging was performed using  Luca or Ixon Ultra

EMCCD cameras (Andor, Oxford instruments, Ireland) controlled by Micromanager

software (54). The fluorescence of a region without cells was measured with the same ROI

employed with cells and this background was subtracted from Anap fluorescence images.

Image stacks from cells were recorded at 10-25 Hz (100 – 40 ms of exposure, respectively).

To improve signal-to-noise ratio, 4x4 or 8x8 pixel binning was used. Initially, fluorescence

time course was measured from a region of interest (ROI) that included only the membrane

of the patched cell. Identical results were obtained by using a ROI encompassing all the cell.

Fluorescence and proton current recording synchronization was achieved through a home-

programed Arduino Uno microcontroller board (Arduino, Italy) triggered by a

PatchMaster-generated TTL pulse.

For spectral measurements, the light from the microscope was collected by a SpectraPro

2150i imaging spectrograph (Princeton Instruments, USA) mounted between the

microscope and EMCCD camera. The spectra of both fluorophores (Anap and mCherry)

were recorded by measuring line scans of the spectral image of the cell membrane, and the

background fluorescence from a region of the image without cells was subtracted.

*Data analysis*

IgorPro (Wavemetrics) and ImageJ (NIH) software were used to analyze the data. For the

G-V relationships, conductance ($G$) was calculated from proton currents according to:

$$G(V) = \frac{I(V)}{V - V_{rev}}$$

508    Where $V_{rev}$ is the proton current reversal potential, measured from the current-voltage

509    relation. Then, $G$ was normalized and fit to a Boltzmann function as follows:

$$\frac{G}{G_{max}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{(q(V-V_{0.5})/K_B T)}}$$

510                                                                                                          Equation 1

Where $q$ is the apparent gating charge (in elementary charges, $e_0$), $V$ is the membrane

potential, $V_{1/5}$ is the potential at which half of the maximal activation is reached, $K_B$ is the

Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin.

The time course of fluorescence in WCF experiments, was obtained from all the

background-subtracted images in a stack ($F_i$), and the changes through time were

normalized to the first image ($F_0$) as follows:

$$\frac{F_i - F_0}{F_0} = \frac{\Delta F}{F_0}$$

517   Then, this normalization was multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change of

518   fluorescence. This procedure was carried out for each stack at each voltage. The voltage-

519   dependence of the fluorescence was estimated from F-V relationships. The value of the

520   fluorescence at the end of the volage step was normalized and fit to a Boltzmann function:

$$\frac{F}{F_{max}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{(q(V-V_{0.5})/K_B T)}}$$

521                                                                                                          Equation 2

Where $F$ is the percent of fluorescence change at $V$ potential and $F_{max}$ is the maximum

fluorescence percent change in each experiment at $V$ potential. The meaning of $q$, $V$, $V_{0.5}$ and

$K_B T$ is the same as in equation 1. All data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the

mean (s.e.m.).

The time constants activation of proton currents and fluorescence signals were obtained by

fitting of the second half of each trace to the equation:

$$I \text{ or } F(t) = A_{ss}\left(1 - e^{\left(-(t-t_o)/\tau\right)}\right)$$

529                                                                                                          Equation 3

530   Where $A_{ss}$ is the amplitude of the fluorescent signal ($F$) or current ($I$) at steady state, $\tau$ is the

531   time constant, and $t_o$ is the time of start if the voltage pulse, both in ms. The voltage

532   dependence of $\tau$ was calculated from a fit to equation:

$$\tau(V) = \tau(0) \cdot e^{\left(\frac{qV}{K_B T}\right)}$$

533                                                                                                              Equation 4

Where $\tau(0)$ is the activation time constant at 0 mV, $q$ is the partial charge in $e_0$ units and $V$,

$K_B$ and $T$ have the same meaning as in equation 1.

*Modelling*

Modelling of current and fluorescence was carried out using custom-written programs in

IgorPro (Wavemetrics). The occupancy of each discreet state in the models was calculating

by numerically solving the differential equations describing the transitions between states.

The occupancy of each discreet state $i$ is $P_i$ and it was calculated by numerically solving the

differential equations described by a master equation:

$\frac{dP_i}{dt} = \sum_{i \neq j} (P_j k_{ji} - P_i k_{ij})$

The rate constants $k_{ij}$ or $k_{ji}$ are given by:

$$k_{ij} = k_{ij}(0) \cdot \exp(-z_{ij}V/K_B T)$$

Where $k_{ij}(0)$ is the value of the rate constant at 0 mV, $z_{ij}$ is the partial charge associated with

the transition and $K_B T$ have the same meaning as in Eq.1.

The current as a function of time $t$ and voltage $V$ was calculated as:

$I(t,V) = \gamma_{ch} \cdot N \cdot (V - V_{rev}) \cdot P_o(t,V) + \gamma_{leak} \cdot (V - V_{rev}^{leak})$

$\gamma_{ch}$ is the single proton channel conductance, $N$ is the number of channels, $V_{rev}$ is the

reversal potential and $P_o$ is the probability of the open state. $\gamma_{leak}$ is the leak conductance

and $V_{rev}^{leak}$ is the reversal potential of the leak currents.

The fluorescence was calculated as:

$F(t,V) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} P_i(t,V) \cdot f_i$

$f_i$ is the fluorescence of the $i$-th state in arbitrary units.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Eduardo Guevara for measurements of Anap spectra in different solvents and

Manuel Hernández for excellent technical support. We thank Dr. Sebastian Brauchi for the

loan of the 405 nm laser. This work was supported by DGAPA-PAPIIT-UNAM grant No.

IN215621. E. S-D is a doctoral student from Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias
Bioquímicas-UNAM and was supported by a doctoral thesis scholarship from CONACyT No.
463819 (grantee number: 576613).
564
565

**References**

1.    M. Sasaki, M. Takagi, Y. Okamura, A Voltage Sensor-Domain Protein Is a Voltage-Gated
Proton Channel. *Science* **312**, 589–592 (2006).

2.    I. S. Ramsey, M. M. Moran, J. A. Chong, D. E. Clapham, A voltage-gated proton-selective
channel lacking the pore domain. *Nature* **440**, 1213–1216 (2006).

3.    L. Catacuzzeno, F. Franciolini, The 70-year search for the voltage sensing mechanism of ion
channels. *The Journal of Physiology*, JP282780 (2022).

4.    C. Gonzalez, *et al.*, Voltage sensor of ion channels and enzymes. *Biophys Rev* **4**, 1–15
(2012).

5.    J. Ma, *et al.*, The HVCN1 voltage-gated proton channel contributes to pH regulation in
canine ventricular myocytes. *The Journal of Physiology* **600**, 2089–2103 (2022).

6.    I. S. Ramsey, E. Ruchti, J. S. Kaczmarek, D. E. Clapham, Hv1 proton channels are required
for high-level NADPH oxidase-dependent superoxide production during the phagocyte
respiratory burst. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 7642–7647 (2009).

7.    P. V. Lishko, Y. Kirichok, The role of Hv1 and CatSper channels in sperm activation: Hv1 and
CatSper channels in sperm activation. *The Journal of Physiology* **588**, 4667–4672 (2010).

8.    R. Eckert, T. Sibaoka, The Flash-Triggering Action Potential of the Luminescent
Dinoflagellate Noctiluca. *Journal of General Physiology* **52**, 258–282 (1968).

9.    A. R. Taylor, A. Chrachri, G. Wheeler, H. Goddard, C. Brownlee, A Voltage-Gated H+
Channel Underlying pH Homeostasis in Calcifying Coccolithophores. *PLoS Biol* **9**, e1001085
(2011).

10.   G. Rangel-Yescas, *et al.*, Discovery and characterization of Hv1-type proton channels in
reef-building corals. *eLife* **10**, e69248 (2021).

11.   E. Hondares, *et al.*, Enhanced activation of an amino-terminally truncated isoform of the
voltage-gated proton channel HVCN1 enriched in malignant B cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
U.S.A.* **111**, 18078–18083 (2014).

12.   Y. Wang, S. J. Li, X. Wu, Y. Che, Q. Li, Clinicopathological and Biological Significance of
593            Human Voltage-gated Proton Channel Hv1 Protein Overexpression in Breast Cancer.
594            *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287**, 13877–13888 (2012).

595    13.   L.-J. Wu, Microglial Voltage-Gated Proton Channel Hv1 in Ischemic Stroke. *Transl. Stroke*
596            *Res.* **5**, 99–108 (2014).

597    14.   Y. Yu, *et al.*, Microglial Hv1 proton channels promote white matter injuries after chronic
598            hypoperfusion in mice. *J. Neurochem.* **152**, 350–367 (2020).

599    15.   R. Zhao, *et al.*, Role of human Hv1 channels in sperm capacitation and white blood cell
600            respiratory burst established by a designed peptide inhibitor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*
601            **115** (2018).

602    16.   Q. Zhang, *et al.*, Inhibiting Hv1 channel in peripheral sensory neurons attenuates chronic
603            inflammatory pain and opioid side effects. *Cell Res* **32**, 461–476 (2022).

604    17.   B. Musset, *et al.*, Detailed comparison of expressed and native voltage-gated proton
605            channel currents: Voltage-dependent proton channel gating. *The Journal of Physiology*
606            **586**, 2477–2486 (2008).

607    18.   H. P. Koch, *et al.*, Multimeric nature of voltage-gated proton channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
608            *Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 9111–9116 (2008).

609    19.   S.-Y. Lee, J. A. Letts, R. MacKinnon, Dimeric subunit stoichiometry of the human voltage-
610            dependent proton channel Hv1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 7692–7695 (2008).

611    20.   F. Tombola, M. H. Ulbrich, E. Y. Isacoff, The Voltage-Gated Proton Channel Hv1 Has Two
612            Pores, Each Controlled by One Voltage Sensor. *Neuron* **58**, 546–556 (2008).

613    21.   Q. Li, *et al.*, Resting state of the human proton channel dimer in a lipid bilayer. *Proc. Natl.*
614            *Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112** (2015).

615    22.   V. V. Cherny, V. S. Markin, T. E. DeCoursey, The voltage-activated hydrogen ion
616            conductance in rat alveolar epithelial cells is determined by the pH gradient. *The Journal of*
617            *general physiology* **105**, 861–896 (1995).

618    23.   S. M. E. Smith, *et al.*, Voltage-gated proton channel in a dinoflagellate. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
619            *Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 18162–18167 (2011).

620    24.   C. Zhao, F. Tombola, Voltage-gated proton channels from fungi highlight role of peripheral
621            regions in channel activation. *Commun Biol* **4**, 261 (2021).

622    25.   T. M. Schladt, T. K. Berger, Voltage and pH difference across the membrane control the S4
623            voltage-sensor motion of the Hv1 proton channel. *Sci Rep* **10**, 21293 (2020).

624    26.    E. M. Carmona, *et al.*, The voltage sensor is responsible for ΔpH dependence in H$_v$1
625           channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2025556118 (2021).

626    27.    E. M. Carmona, *et al.*, Gating charge displacement in a monomeric voltage-gated proton (H
627           $_v$1) channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, 9240–9245 (2018).

628    28.    V. De La Rosa, I. S. Ramsey, Gating Currents in the Hv1 Proton Channel. *Biophysical Journal*
629           **114**, 2844–2854 (2018).

630    29.    J. Kusch, G. Zifarelli, Patch-Clamp Fluorometry: Electrophysiology meets Fluorescence.
631           *Biophysical Journal* **106**, 1250–1257 (2014).

632    30.    L. Mony, T. K. Berger, E. Y. Isacoff, A specialized molecular motion opens the Hv1 voltage-
633           gated proton channel. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **22**, 283–290 (2015).

634    31.    F. Qiu, S. Rebolledo, C. Gonzalez, H. P. Larsson, Subunit Interactions during Cooperative
635           Opening of Voltage-Gated Proton Channels. *Neuron* **77**, 288–298 (2013).

636    32.    A. Chatterjee, J. Guo, H. S. Lee, P. G. Schultz, A Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Probe in
637           Mammalian Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 12540–12543 (2013).

638    33.    M. C. Puljung, "ANAP: A versatile, fluorescent probe of ion channel gating and regulation"
639           in *Methods in Enzymology*, (Elsevier, 2021), pp. 49–84.

640    34.    T. Kalstrup, R. Blunck, Dynamics of internal pore opening in K$_v$ channels probed by a
641           fluorescent unnatural amino acid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 8272–8277 (2013).

642    35.    S. E. Gordon, M. Munari, W. N. Zagotta, Visualizing conformational dynamics of proteins in
643           solution and at the cell membrane. *eLife* **7**, e37248 (2018).

644    36.    V. De-la-Rosa, E. Suárez-Delgado, G. E. Rangel-Yescas, L. D. Islas, Currents through Hv1
645           channels deplete protons in their vicinity. *Journal of General Physiology* **147**, 127–136
646           (2016).

647    37.    L. Zhang, K. Bellve, K. Fogarty, W. R. Kobertz, Fluorescent Visualization of Cellular Proton
648           Fluxes. *Cell Chemical Biology* **23**, 1449–1457 (2016).

649    38.    M. Vaid, T. W. Claydon, S. Rezazadeh, D. Fedida, Voltage Clamp Fluorimetry Reveals a
650           Novel Outer Pore Instability in a Mammalian Voltage-gated Potassium Channel. *Journal of*
651           *General Physiology* **132**, 209–222 (2008).

652    39.    A. Cha, F. Bezanilla, Structural Implications of Fluorescence Quenching in the Shaker K+
653           Channel. *Journal of General Physiology* **112**, 391–408 (1998).

654    40.    A. S. Klymchenko, Solvatochromic and Fluorogenic Dyes as Environment-Sensitive Probes:
655           Design and Biological Applications. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **50**, 366–375 (2017).

656     41.    A. L. Randolph, Y. Mokrab, A. L. Bennett, M. S. Sansom, I. S. Ramsey, Proton currents
657            constrain structural models of voltage sensor activation. *eLife* **5**, e18017 (2016).

658     42.    X. Tao, A. Lee, W. Limapichat, D. A. Dougherty, R. MacKinnon, A Gating Charge Transfer
659            Center in Voltage Sensors. *Science* **328**, 67–73 (2010).

660     43.    L. Hong, M. M. Pathak, I. H. Kim, D. Ta, F. Tombola, Voltage-sensing domain of voltage-
661            gated proton channel Hv1 shares mechanism of block with pore domains. *Neuron* **77**, 274–
662            287 (2013).

663     44.    P. Thomas, T. G. Smart, HEK293 cell line: A vehicle for the expression of recombinant
664            proteins. *Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods* **51**, 187–200 (2005).

665     45.    C. A. Villalba-Galea, Hv1 proton channel opening is preceded by a voltage-independent
666            transition. *Biophysical journal* **107**, 1564–1572 (2014).

667     46.    E. Stefani, *et al.*, Voltage-controlled gating in a large conductance $Ca^{2+}$-sensitive $K^+$
668            channel (hslo). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **94**, 5427–5431 (1997).

669     47.    V. V. Cherny, *et al.*, Tryptophan 207 is crucial to the unique properties of the human
670            voltage-gated proton channel, hHV1. *Journal of General Physiology* **146**, 343–356 (2015).

671     48.    T. Kalstrup, R. Blunck, S4–S5 linker movement during activation and inactivation in
672            voltage-gated $K^+$ channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115** (2018).

673     49.    G. Dai, T. K. Aman, F. DiMaio, W. N. Zagotta, The HCN channel voltage sensor undergoes a
674            large downward motion during hyperpolarization. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **26**, 686–694 (2019).

675     50.    I. S. Ramsey, *et al.*, An aqueous H+ permeation pathway in the voltage-gated proton
676            channel Hv1. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**, 869–875 (2010).

677     51.    A. Cha, F. Bezanilla, Characterizing Voltage-Dependent Conformational Changes in the K
678            Channel with Fluorescence. *Neuron* **19**, 1127–1140 (1997).

679     52.    L. Zheng, U. Baumann, J.-L. Reymond, An efficient one-step site-directed and site-
680            saturation mutagenesis protocol. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**, e115 (2004).

681     53.    B. Munteanu, M. Braun, K. Boonrod, Improvement of PCR reaction conditions for site-
682            directed mutagenesis of big plasmids. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B* **13**, 244–247 (2012).

683     54.    A. D. Edelstein, *et al.*, Advanced methods of microscope control using µManager software.
684            *J Biol Methods* **1**, e10 (2014).

 **Figures and Figure legends**

Figure 1

**Figure 1.** Anap as a fluorescent probe in hH$_V$1. A) Ribbon representation of transmembrane segments S1-S4 of closed hH$_V$1 based on the model of Randolph et al. (41). S1-S3 are in grey whereas S4 is in light blue. S4 positively charged arginine residues are shown as cyan sticks, whereas the residues where Anap was incorporated individually in the S4 segment are depicted as green sticks and with green arrow heads in the S3-S4 sequence below; positively charged arginine residues are indicated in marine blue. B) Structure of non-canonical amino acid Anap (left), and a schematic representation (right) that shows the incorporation of Anap (green star) into the hH$_V$1 dimer expressed in HEK293 cells. An mCherry fluorescent protein (magenta cylinder) was fused to the C-terminal end of hH$_V$1 as an Anap incorporation reporter. C) Images of a representative Patch-clamp Fluorometry (PCF) experiment, showing the voltage-clamped cell and the co-localization of Anap and mCherry fluorescence in the cell membrane for Anap incorporated at position Q191 of hH$_V$1. D) G-V curves obtained from currents produced by each hH$_V$1 mutant rescued by Anap incorporation. All G-V s were obtained at $\Delta$pH=1 and compared with hH$_V$1 WT. Continuous lines are the fit of the conductance data to equation 1; fit parameters are summarized in Supplemental table I. The incorporation of Anap at the I202 site sifts the G-V ~65 mV to more negative potentials. Data shown are mean ± s.e.m. E) Normalized mean emission spectrum of Anap (continuous lines) and mCherry (dashed lines) at each incorporation site (color code from D). Q191(n=15); A197(n=10); L198(n=3); G199(n=5); L200(n=1); L201(n=4); I202(n=5). The vertical blue line indicates the peak emission of Anap in water (486 nm). A second emission peak can be distinguished in every position inside S4 where Anap was incorporated, except Q191Anap. This peak is located around 610 nm which coincides with the peak emission of mCherry.

**Figure 2.** The fluorescence of incorporated Anap is stable to external acidity and local pH changes. A) Mean spectra of Anap fluorescence in the hH$_V$1-Q191Anap mutant at each external pH tested (pH$_o$). The emission peak of spectra of Anap remained inside the wavelength range of 475-480 nm. B) Percentage of fluorescence intensity change normalized to fluorescence at pH$_o$ 7 in hH$_V$1-Q191Anap mutant (n=13). The intensity was measured from the peak of emission spectra. C) Representative PCF experiments with the hH$_V$1-V62Anap mutant. Currents (upper panel, orange traces) and fluorescent signal (lower panel, gray traces) were elicited in response to voltage pulses from -100 mV to 120 mV in steps of 20 mV. D) F-V and G-V relationships from the experiments shown in C. Relative fluorescence changes at the end of voltage test pulses are shown in gray triangles, and conductance is shown in orange circles. The orange continuous line is the fit to

equation 1 of G-V data (fit parameters: $V_{0.5}$ = 24.4 ± 1.6 mV; q = 1.5 ± 0.1 $e_0$). Data in B and
D are Mean ± s.e.m.

**Figure 3.** Anap incorporation in position A197 reveals that the movement of S4 is
modulated by ΔpH. A-B) Representative PCF experiment with A197Anap at ΔpH=0 and
ΔpH=2, respectively. Proton current families (upper panels) are shown in blue traces and
fluorescent Anap signal (lower panel) in lemon traces. C) Activation time constant of
current (blue) and fluorescent (lemon) signals at ΔpH=0 obtained by fitting Eq. 3. The dark
blue curve shows the exponential fit to Eq. 4. The fit parameters were: $\tau(0)$ = 935 ms and $q$
= -0.06 $e_0$ for fluorescence and 678 ms and -0.09 $e_0$ for current. D) F-V (empty triangles)
and G-V (filled diamonds) curves and different ΔpH values (ΔpH=0 in blue; ΔpH=1 in red;
ΔpH=2 in black). The data were fit to equation 1(G-V, continuous curves; F-V, discontinuous
curves) with the following parameters:  ΔpH=0; F-V: $V_{0.5}$ = 72.3 ± 6 mV; q = 1.0 ± 0.1 $e_0$. G-V:

$V_{0.5}$ = 69.6 ± 1.5 mV; q = 1.1 ± 0.1 $e_0$. ΔpH =1; F-V: $V_{0.5}$ = 26.6 ± 1.5 mV; q = 1.3± 0.1 $e_0$. G-V:

$V_{0.5}$ = 23.4 ± 1.3 mV; q = 1.5 ± 0.1 $e_0$. ΔpH = 2; F-V: $V_{0.5}$ = -6.1 ± 1.8 mV; q = 1.0 ± 0.1 $e_0$. G-V:

$V_{0.5}$ = -9.2 ± 2.3 mV; q = 1.2 ± 2.4 $e_0$. E) Normalized spectra of Anap in A197Anap mutant

obtained in steady-state (300 ms at the end of holding potential and the end of the test

pulse, green bars in the inset) in response to different voltages (color code indicates the

test pulse in mV: purple, -60; dark blue, -40; light blue, 20; cyan, 0; light green, 20; dark

green, 40; olive, 60; yellow, 80; orange, 100; dark red, 120; red, 140). Data shown in C and

D are mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 4

**Figure 4.** The charge transfer center (F150) is an Anap quencher. A) Cartoon showing the presence of aromatic residues in hH$_V$1 (rendered as space-filling dots, main chain in light blue, S3 was removed for illustration). F150 in yellow and Anap in pink. B) Averages of spectra of Anap incorporated in both mutants (H$_V$1-A197Anap, red; H$_V$1-F150A-A197Anap, green) normalized to the fluorescence of mCherry (black). The double mutant's brightness is approximately 60% higher. Shadows represent s.e.m. C) Comparison of the intensity of the emission spectrum peak of Anap normalized to the intensity of the fluorescent protein mCherry between the mutant H$_V$1-A197Anap-Cherry (0.49 ± 0.03) and double mutant H$_V$1-F150A-A197Anap-Cherry (0.79 ± 0.05), taken at 48 hours post-transfection. Each point indicates an individual spectrum measured from a single cell; n = 41 and 49, respectively. Black horizontal lines are the mean ± s.e.m. T-test value p <0.001. D) Representative fluorescence traces from PCF experiments of the double mutant H$_V$1-F150A-A197Anap at ΔpH=1 (upper panel) and ΔpH=0 (lower panel). E) Comparison of G-V (diamonds) and F-V

(triangles) relationship between both $\Delta pH$ conditions ($\Delta pH=1$ in green; $\Delta pH=0$ in purple)

of the double mutant $H_V1$-F150A-A197Anap. F-V curve of $H_V1$-F150A-A197Anap at $\Delta pH=0$

is shifted negatively around 58 mV compared to $\Delta pH=1$. Boltzmann fit parameters of $H_V1$-

F150A-A197Anap were: $\Delta pH=1$ F-V: $V_{0.5}$=-19.8 ± 2.7 mV; q =1.2 ± 0.1 $e_0$; G-V: $V_{0.5}$ = 22.7 ±

2.3 mV; q = 0.9 ± 0.1 $e_0$. $\Delta pH=0$ F-V: $V_{0.5}$=38.0 ± 3.0 mV; q =0.9 ± 0.1 $e_0$; G-V: $V_{0.5}$ = 42.6 ±

3.8 mV; q = 1.0 ± 0.1 $e_0$. Data shown in B, C and E are mean ± s.e.m.

**Figure 5**. The kinetics of fluorescent signal during deactivation is strongly modulated by pH. Representative PCF experiments with the hH$_V$1-L201Anap mutant at A) ΔpH=0 [5.5$_{int}$-5.5$_{ext}$]. B) ΔpH=1, and C) ΔpH=2. Current families are shown in the upper panel (purple traces) and fluorescent signals in the lower panel (black and gray traces). D) G-V (filled diamonds) and F-V (empty triangles) relationships at ΔpH=0 (purple markers, n = 3), ΔpH=1 (orange markers, n=4) and ΔpH=2 (black markers, n=5) of mutant hH$_V$1-L201Anap. Data are mean ± s.e.m. Note that the difference between the activation at ΔpH=1 and ΔpH=0 is around 77 mV/ΔpH unit. Boltzmann fit parameters: ΔpH=0, F-V; V$_{0.5}$= 84.6 ± 2.1 mV, q =1.0 e$_0$ ± 0.1. G-V; V$_{0.5}$= 79.7 ± 1.8 mV, q = 1.4 ± 0.1 e$_0$. ΔpH =1, F-V; V$_{0.5}$= 7.7 ± 1.6 mV, q =1.2 ± 0.1 e$_0$. G-V: V$_{0.5}$= 6.3 ± 2.2 mV; q = 1.2 ± 0.1 e$_0$. ΔpH = 2, F-V: V$_{0.5}$= -21.1 ± 2.3 mV; q =1.1 ± 0.1 e$_0$. G-V: V$_{0.5}$= -30.7 ± 1.9 mV; q = 1.2 ± 0.1 e$_0$. E) Comparison of the current and fluorescence at two values of ΔpH with the predictions of the sequential activation model in Scheme I. Experimental current and fluorescence traces are color coded as in A). Simulated

787  current traces are orange and fluorescence traces are lemon. Simulation parameters can be

788  found in Supplementary Table II.

**Figure 6.** Absolute pH values are gating determinants in hH$_V$1. A) Representative PCF experiment at ΔpH=0 (5.5$_o$-5.5$_i$). Currents are purple and fluorescence black. B) Similar experiment to A) with ΔpH=0 (7$_o$-7$_i$). Current and fluorescence traces color coded as in A). C) G-V (filled diamonds) and F-V (empty triangles) curves at ΔpH=0 but with different absolute pH values (pH$_o$/pH$_i$ =5.5/5.5 in blue; pH$_o$/pH$_i$ =7/7 in orange, n= 4). Boltzmann fit parameters were pH$_o$/pH$_i$ =7/7 F-V: V$_{0.5}$= 75.3 ± 2.2 mV; q =0.8 ± 0.04 e$_0$. G-V: V$_{0.5}$= 39.6 ± 1.3 mV; q = 1.2 ± 0.1 e$_0$. pH$_o$/pH$_i$ =5.5/5.5 F-V: V$_{0.5}$= 84.6 ± 2.1 mV; q =1.0 e$_0$ ± 0.1. G-V: V$_{0.5}$= 79.7 ± 1.8 mV; q = 1.4 ± 0.1 e$_0$. Data are mean ± s.e.m.