1

Dendrite architecture determines mitochondrial distribution patterns *in vivo*

Eavan J. Donovan,[1,3] Anamika Agrawal,[2,3] Nicole Liberman,[1] Jordan I. Kalai,[1] Nicholas J.

Chua,[1] Elena F. Koslover,[2] and Erin L. Barnhart[1,4]

1: Columbia University, Department of Biological Sciences

2: University of California at San Diego, Department of Physics

3: Equal contribution

4: Corresponding author; eb3305@columbia.edu

SUMMARY

Mitochondria are critical for neuronal function and must be reliably distributed through

complex neuronal architectures. By quantifying *in vivo* mitochondrial transport and localization

patterns in the dendrites of *Drosophila* visual system neurons, we show that mitochondria make

up a dynamic system at steady-state, with significant transport of individual mitochondria within

a stable global pattern. Mitochondrial motility patterns are unaffected by visual input, suggesting

that neuronal activity does not directly regulate mitochondrial localization *in vivo*. Instead, we

present a mathematical model in which four simple scaling rules enable the robust self-

organization of the mitochondrial population. Experimental measurements of dendrite

morphology validate key model predictions: to maintain equitable distribution of mitochondria

across asymmetrically branched subtrees, dendritic branch points obey a parent-daughter power

law that preserves cross-sectional area, and thicker trunks support proportionally bushier

subtrees. Altogether, we propose that "housekeeping" requirements, including the need to

maintain steady-state mitochondrial distributions, impose constraints on neuronal architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Neurons are energetically demanding cells with complex morphologies (Cajal, 1888; Attwell and Laughlin, 2001; Harris et al., 2012). Mitochondria produce most of the ATP in neurons (Hall et al., 2012) and must be distributed throughout all neuronal compartments to meet energetic demands. Mitochondria are also highly dynamic: they grow and degrade, divide and fuse, and move through the cell (Morris and Hollenbeck, 1995; Amiri and Hollenbeck, 2008; Plucinska et al., 2012; Cagalinec et al., 2013; Ashrafi et al., 2014; Misgeld and Schwarz, 2017; Lewis et al., 2018). Thus, to maintain stable mitochondrial distribution patterns over time, neurons must coordinate mitochondrial dynamics over large, elaborately branched axonal and dendritic arbors.

Mitochondrial motility, in particular, has been extensively studied in neurons (Morris and Hollenbeck, 1995; Pilling et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011; Plucinska et al., 2012; Lewis et al., 2016; Vagnoni and Bullock, 2018; Mandal and Drerup, 2019). For an individual mitochondrion, the mechanics governing motility are relatively clear (Barnhart, 2016). In brief, the motor proteins kinesin and dynein transport mitochondria along microtubules (Pilling et al., 2006). Adaptor proteins link mitochondria to motor proteins, and anchoring proteins oppose mitochondrial movement (Kang et al., 2008; Pathak et al., 2010; Schwarz, 2013). Whether a particular mitochondrion moves, and in which direction, depends on the number and orientation of microtubule tracks, as well as the relative amount of force generated by populations of motor proteins versus anchoring interactions (Barnhart, 2016). It is unclear, however, how neurons regulate these molecular-scale interactions across space and time in order to maintain large-scale mitochondrial distribution patterns.

In principle, neurons could regulate mitochondrial localization patterns by controlling the relative flux of mitochondria into subcellular compartments. In this scenario, mitochondria would be enriched in regions where more microtubule tracks allow for higher rates of mitochondrial delivery. EM studies have shown that

microtubule numbers are proportional to the thickness of neuronal processes in various cell types (Kubota et al., 2011; Katrukha et al., 2021), so the shape of a neuron is likely to play a role in mitochondrial transport patterns. The relationship between transport rates and morphological scaling across branch points in tree-like structures — i.e. the relative thickness of parent and daughter branches, as well as the relative sizes of sister subtrees that sprout from each branch point — has been studied in various contexts, from botanical trees (Leonardo et al., 1970; Eloy, 2011; Lehnebach et al., 2018) to the vascular system (Murray, 1926). In neurons, theoretical work has defined various scaling rules that optimize wiring economy (Cherniak et al., 1999; Wen and Chklovskii, 2008; Cuntz et al., 2010), electrical signaling (Rall, 1959; van Ooyen et al., 2002; Chklovskii and Stepanyants, 2003; Wen and Chklovskii, 2008), and, to a lesser extent, transport (Hillman, 1979; Liao et al., 2021). Proposed rules include Da Vinci's Rule (Leonardo et al., 1970; Hillman, 1979), Rall's Law (Rall, 1959), and Murray's Law (Murray, 1926; Cherniak et al., 1999; Chklovskii and Stepanyants, 2003), which conserve, narrow, or expand the total cross-sectional area of the branches at each junction, respectively. However, there is no comprehensive theory or experimental evidence that defines the relationship between neuronal architecture, mitochondrial transport, and large-scale mitochondrial distribution patterns.

To interrogate the relationship between neuronal architecture and dynamic mitochondrial localization patterns *in vivo*, we used neurons in the *Drosophila* visual system called horizontal system (HS) neurons as a model system. There are three HS neurons per optic lobe of the fly (six in total), all of which are functionally equivalent (Schnell et al., 2010). HS neurons have highly branched dendritic arbors, which detect global optic flow patterns by integrating local motion input signals (Barnhart et al., 2018). ADD summary of RF and wiring constraints (Cuntz 2010). ADD a schematic showing HS neurons in a fly brain and an MCFO image to Figure 1.

To determine how dendritic branching patterns contribute to steady-state

mitochondrial localization patterns in HS cells, we combined experimental

measurements of *in vivo* mitochondrial transport, mitochondrial localization patterns,

and dendrite architecture with mathematical modeling. We found that although

mitochondria in HS dendrites are highly motile, large-scale mitochondrial distribution

patterns are conserved across HS cells: mitochondria are consistently enriched in distal

dendrites, relative to primary dendrites, and equitably distributed across asymmetric

sister subtrees. Our experimental measurements are consistent with a mathematical

model in which simple dendritic scaling rules enable robust self-organization of steady-

state mitochondrial localization patterns. Consistent with previously published work

(Faits et al., 2016; Smit-Rigter et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2021), we also found that

physiological neuronal activity does not affect mitochondrial motility. Altogether, this

work demonstrates that in HS neurons, dendritic architecture, not neuronal activity,

determines mitochondrial localization patterns *in vivo*.

RESULTS

Mitochondrial localization patterns in HS neurons

To measure mitochondrial distribution patterns in HS neurons, we took

advantage of publicly available serial section transmission electron microscopy (ssTEM)

images of an entire fly brain ("Female Adult Fly Brain," or FAFB (Zheng et al., 2018)).

We used existing HS skeletons, traced throughout the three-dimensional FAFB image

volume (Michael Reiser, unpublished data), to identify mitochondria within HS

neurons (Figure 1A-B, S1A-B). Then, we measured mitochondrial morphology as a

function of subcellular compartment by manually reconstructing whole mitochondria

within small portions of the axon or dendrite (Figure S1A-B). We found that the median

volume of an individual mitochondrion was ~0.5 μm^3 in both HS dendrites and axons

(Figure S1C). We also found that dendrites, but not axons, often contained large,

branched mitochondria that spanned multiple dendritic branches (Figure S1C),

consistent with previously published measurements of mitochondrial morphology in

vertebrate neurons (Popov et al., 2005; Turner et al., 2022).

Next, rather than measuring the size of individual mitochondria within small

portions of the cell, we sought to quantify mitochondrial distribution patterns across the

entire cell. Manual segmentation of mitochondria in three dimensions, however, is

prohibitively labor- and time-intensive. To rapidly measure mitochondrial densities (i.e.

the fraction of the neuron occupied by mitochondria) for whole cells, we resampled

each HS skeleton such that skeleton nodes were placed at regular 5 μm intervals along

the skeleton. Then we extracted two-dimensional image slices centered around each

node and manually reconstructed the HS neuronal segment and all mitochondria

within it in each image (Figure 1A). We calculated total mitochondrial densities for each

HS neuron by dividing the total mitochondrial area by the total neuronal area and

found that, on average, the total mitochondrial density in HS neurons is ~20% (Figure

S1D; mitochondrial density = 0.19 +/- 0.04 STE). Although we measured significant

variation in the total mitochondrial density across the six HS neurons in the FAFB

dataset (Figure S1D), we found that mitochondrial localization patterns were conserved

across all six cells (Figure 1C,E and S1E-F).

First, we measured mitochondrial densities as a function of subcellular

compartment and found consistently higher densities in dendrites than in axons (Figure

S1E). Next, in dendrites but not axons, mitochondrial densities increased with distance

from the soma, such that mitochondrial densities were approximately four times higher

in the distal-most dendrites compared to the primary dendrite (Figure 1C, S1F-G).

Finally, we measured mitochondrial densities across dendritic subtrees. At each branch

point within a dendritic arbor, a parent branch splits into two daughter branches, and

the entire arbor can be decomposed into successive pairs of sister subtrees (Figure 1D).

Although in some cases the dendritic arbor splits in a symmetric fashion, we found that

sister subtrees are often asymmetric (Figure 1E). Despite this asymmetry in sister

subtree size, we found that mitochondria are equitably distributed across branch points

such that sister subtrees have equivalent mitochondrial densities (Figure 1D-E).

Altogether, these results show that mitochondria in HS dendrites follow a specific

distribution pattern, with equitable distribution across sister subtrees and

mitochondrial enrichment in distal dendrites.

In vivo **mitochondrial motility in HS dendrites**

Mitochondria in neurons are motile, both in cell culture (Morris and Hollenbeck,

1995; Overly et al., 1996; Wang and Schwarz, 2009) and *in vivo* (Plucinska et al., 2012;

Lewis et al., 2016; Mandal and Drerup, 2019; Silva et al., 2021). We therefore

hypothesized that the specific mitochondrial localization pattern we measured in HS

dendrites reflects a dynamic steady-state in which individual mitochondria are

continually reorganizing within a stable, global pattern. To test this hypothesis, we used

the GAL4/UAS binary system to drive expression of GFP-tagged mitochondria

(mitoGFP) and a cytosolic volume marker (tdTomato) in HS neurons (Brand and

Perrimon, 1993). Then, we used *in vivo* confocal microscopy to image HS dendrites in

living, head-fixed *Drosophila* (Figure 2A). Mitochondria are densely packed within HS

dendrites, so we bleached stationary mitochondria in a small portion of the dendrite to

resolve motile mitochondria moving through the bleached region. We measured

mitochondrial motility in primary and distal dendritic branches (Figure 2B-D). In the

primary dendrite, mitochondria moved in both anterograde (into the arbor) and

retrograde (out of the arbor) directions (Figure 2D). Motile mitochondria were highly

persistent, with less than 20% of mitochondria arresting or pausing as they moved

through the primary dendrite (Figure S2A). Individual mitochondria exhibited a broad

range of speeds and lengths, but there were no significant differences between

mitochondria moving in the anterograde versus retrograde directions in the primary

dendrite (Figure 2E-F). In the distal dendrites, mitochondria moving in the anterograde direction were significantly slower than in the primary dendrite (Figure 2E). Linear flux rates were also significantly lower in the distal dendrites (Figure S2B). Finally, the average flux of anterograde mitochondria moving through the primary dendrite into the arbor was equivalent to the average flux of retrograde mitochondria moving back out of the arbor (Figure 2G). This balanced anterograde and retrograde transport through the primary dendrite suggests that the total amount of mitochondria in the HS arbor remains constant over time.

Notably, our measurements of mitochondrial density and motility allow us to estimate the fraction of dendritic mitochondrial volume that is motile versus stationary at any given point in time. In the primary dendrite, approximately two mitochondria pass a cross-section per minute, in each direction. Based on our measurements of mitochondrial length, we estimate that the volume of each motile mitochondrion is ~0.5 μm^3 (see Methods), consistent with the median mitochondrial volume in our EM reconstructions (Figure S1C). Thus, ~1 μm^3 of mitochondrial mass exchanges through the primary dendrite every minute. Based on this rate of mitochondrial volume exchange (J ~1 μm^3/min), the typical speed of motile mitochondria (v ~0.4 $\mu m/s$), the mitochondrial volume density (c ~10%), and the cross-sectional area (A_d ~ 30 μm^2) in the primary dendrite, we estimate that the fraction of motile mitochondria mass in this proximal region is $f_m = 2 J/(v\, c\, A_d)$ ~ 3%. Mitochondrial density is higher in the distal dendrites (c ~30%), and we therefore estimate an even lower motile fraction for the distal portions of the dendritic arbor (f_m ~1%). Thus, the majority of the mitochondrial population is stationary at any given moment. However, we also estimate that the total volume of mitochondria in the dendritic arbor exchanges through the primary dendrite many times over the lifetime of the neuron. Based on the mitochondrial density in the whole dendrite (c ~20%), the total volume of the dendrite (V_d ~2000 μm^3 (Cuntz et al., 2013) and the rate of mitochondrial volume exchange (J ~ 1 μm^3/min), we estimate the

fraction of mitochondrial volume exchanged per unit time in the dendrite to be: J_{norm} =

$J/(c\ V_d)$ ~15% hr^{-1}. At this rate, the entire mitochondrial volume in an HS arbor

reorganizes in less than ten hours, or more than one hundred times over the course of a

fly's lifetime. Altogether, these results are consistent with the idea that the mitochondria

in HS dendrites make up a dynamic system at steady-state.

Visual stimulus-evoked calcium signals do not affect mitochondrial motility in HS

dendrites

How do HS dendrites maintain steady-state mitochondrial distribution patterns,

given rapid reorganization of mitochondrial mass? HS neurons selectively respond to

specific patterns of global optic flow (Schnell et al., 2010; Fujiwara et al., 2017; Barnhart

et al., 2018), which drive large calcium increases in the distal dendrites of HS neurons

(Barnhart et al., 2018). Calcium arrests mitochondrial motility in cultured neurons

(MacAskill et al., 2009; Wang and Schwarz, 2009), so we reasoned that if stimulus-

evoked calcium signals are stronger in the distal dendrites than in the primary dendrite,

then calcium-dependent arrest of mitochondrial transport could increase the density of

mitochondria in the distal dendrites, relative to the primary dendrite. To test this idea,

we projected the preferred visual stimulus for HS neurons — a global motion stimulus

moving from front to back across one eye — on a screen positioned in front of the fly

while simultaneously imaging a genetically-encoded calcium reporter (RGECO1a) in

HS dendrites (Figure 3A, S3A). Consistent with our previously published work, front-

to-back global motion stimuli drove robust calcium responses in HS distal dendrites

(Figure S3A-C). In contrast, we measured significantly smaller calcium responses to the

same stimuli in primary dendrites (Figure S3A-C). Thus, stimulus-evoked calcium

signals correlate with mitochondrial density, with larger calcium response amplitudes

and mitochondrial densities in the distal dendrites compared to the primary dendrites.

However, we also found that visual stimulus-evoked calcium signals are not

sufficient to arrest mitochondrial motility in HS distal dendrites (Figure 3B-D). When

we simultaneously imaged a green calcium reporter (GCaMP6f) and mitochondrially-

targeted DsRed (mitoDsRed) while presenting global motion stimuli to the fly, we again

measured large, stimulus-evoked calcium signals in the distal dendrites (Figure 3B). We

observed mitochondria moving through distal dendritic branches, regardless of

whether the stimulus was on or off (Figure 3B). The stimulus did not affect

mitochondrial speeds (Figure 3C), nor did we measure a difference in mitochondrial

transport rates when the stimulus was on versus off (Figure 3D). Thus, physiologically-

relevant calcium signals do not directly affect mitochondrial motility in HS dendrites *in*

vivo.

Simple scaling rules recapitulate experimental measurements of mitochondrial

localization patterns

<u>A mean field model of mitochondrial distributions in branched dendrites</u>

We next hypothesized that dendritic architecture, rather than neuronal activity,

determines steady-state mitochondrial localization patterns in HS cells. To test this idea,

we developed a quantitative model of mitochondrial transport and localization patterns

in HS dendrites. In developing this model, we assumed that mitochondrial distributions

are governed by the local relationship between mitochondrial transport rates and

dendrite thickness as well as the morphology of the dendritic arbor. Specifically, we

defined four simple scaling relationships governing mitochondrial localization patterns

(Figure 4A):

1) Scaling of mitochondrial transport with dendrite radius. Our *in vivo* measurements

of mitochondrial transport show that mitochondria are significantly more likely to

arrest motility in thin distal dendrites than in the thick primary dendrite (Figure S2A).

Based on this, we assume that the rate of mitochondrial arrest, k_s, scales with dendrite

radius according to $k_s \sim 1/r^\beta$. This relationship incorporates a width-dependence for the population-averaged speed of mitochondrial transport in different dendritic branches.

2) Splitting of mitochondria at branch points. Motor proteins transport mitochondria along microtubules in neurons (Pilling et al., 2006), and microtubule numbers scale with branch thickness in other neuronal cell types (Kubota et al., 2011; Katrukha et al., 2021). We therefore assume that the probability of a mitochondrion moving into a given daughter branch is proportional to the cross-sectional area of the branch, according to $p_1/p_2 = r_1^2/r_2^2$, where p_1 and p_2 are the probabilities that a mitochondrion moves from the parent into daughter 1 or 2, and r_1 and r_2 are the radii of the two daughters.

3) Power law scaling of parent and daughter branch widths. Consistent with several previous studies (Hillman, 1979; Cherniak et al., 1999; van Ooyen et al., 2002; Chklovskii and Stepanyants, 2003), we assume that parent and daughter radii scale according to the power law $r_0^\alpha = r_1^\alpha + r_2^\alpha$, where r_0 is the radius of the parent branch and r_1 and r_2 are the radii of the daughter branches. The exponent α determines the extent to which the total dendritic cross-sectional area increases or decreases in the daughter branches, relative to the parent: area is conserved for $\alpha = 2$, decreases for $\alpha < 2$, and increases for $\alpha > 2$. Optimal values for α have been derived based on theoretical arguments for preservation of graded electrical signals across dendritic branch points ($\alpha = 3/2$, often called "Rall's Law" after the neuroscientist Wilfrid Rall (Rall, 1959)), action potential propagation in axons ($\alpha = 3$, often called "Murray's Law" and first derived for the vasculature system) (Murray, 1926; Cherniak et al., 1999; Chklovskii and Stepanyants, 2003; Mandal and Drerup, 2019), or efficient intracellular transport ($\alpha = 2$, often called "Da Vinci scaling" after Da Vinci's rule for trees) (Leonardo et al., 1970; Hillman, 1979).

4) Scaling of sister subtree size with trunk thickness. Finally, we assume that larger subtrees are supported by proportionally thicker trunks, with trunk radii scaling with either total subtree length, depth, or some other measure of subtree size. This scaling,

along with proportional mitochondrial transport at branch points, should ensure that larger subtrees receive a proportionally larger supply of mitochondria.

To determine how these scaling rules contribute to steady-state mitochondrial localization patterns, we developed a mathematical model of mitochondrial transport in dendritic trees. In this model, each dendrite is a binary tree, with each junction node connecting a parent edge to two daughter edges (Figure 4B). We assume that each edge is a cylinder with fixed radius r_i along its entire length l_i. In our model, the connectivity and length of each edge in a tree are known attributes, either extracted from skeletons of real HS neurons (Figure 4B) or from synthetic trees with varying branching patterns (Figure S4A). Radii of each branch are set according to various rules for parent-daughter and sister subtree scaling (Rules 3 and 4, Figure 4A).

Within this dendritic structure, we assume that discrete mitochondrial units undergo active transport, which includes processive motion in the anterograde and retrograde directions, as well as arrest and restarting (Figure 4B). The ratio of arrest and restarting rates (k_s/k_w) determines the local fraction of stationary mitochondria in each dendritic branch. We assume the restarting rate is constant throughout the arbor, but allow the arrest rate to vary in dendrites of different widths (Rule 1, Figure 4A). Finally, we assume that the anterograde mitochondrial linear flux entering a junction node is split in proportion to the cross-sectional area of the daughter branches, so that wider daughter branches receive proportionally more mitochondria (Rule 2, Figure 4A),

We implemented several versions of this model, using different forms of parent-daughter, sister subtree, and transport scaling rules, through analytical solutions of mean-field equations for mitochondrial density (Figures 4, 7, S4, and S7) and stochastic simulations (Figure S5). For all model versions, we calculated mitochondrial densities as a function of distance from the soma and across sister subtrees to determine which scaling rules recapitulate our experimental measurements (i.e. distal enrichment and equitable distribution of mitochondria across sister subtrees).

Model results with uniform transport parameters

<u>Model results with uniform transport parameters</u>

In the first, simplest version of our model, we assumed that mitochondrial arrest

rates and transport speeds are independent of dendrite thickness ($\beta = 0$ in Rule 1), such

that the fraction of stationary versus motile mitochondria is constant throughout the

arbor, and that the linear flux of mitochondria splits in proportion to daughter branch

thickness at each branch point (Rule 2). We also assumed that parent and daughter radii

follow power law scaling (Rule 3), either Rall's law ($\alpha = 3/2$), Da Vinci's rule ($\alpha = 2$), or

Murray's law ($\alpha = 3$). When $\alpha = 2$, the total cross-sectional area is conserved at each

junction, giving rise to constant mitochondrial volume densities throughout the entire

arbor, regardless of the tree topology and the relative thickness of sister radii (as set by

various forms of Rule 4). Thus, Da Vinci parent-daughter scaling results in equitable

distribution of mitochondria across sister subtrees, but not distal enrichment in this

minimal version of our model (Figure 4C,E, S4B,D).

In contrast, when the narrowing of daughter branches is governed by Rall's Law

($\alpha = 3/2$), the reduction in total cross-sectional area at each branch point results in distal

enrichment of mitochondria (Figure 4E, S4D). When the narrowing of daughter

branches is governed by Murray's Law ($\alpha = 3$), the expansion in total cross-sectional

area at each branch point results in distal dilution of mitochondria (Figure 4E, S4D). In

addition, when $\alpha = 3/2$ or 3 (or any value other than 2), the relative volume density of

mitochondria in sister subtrees depends on the relative thicknesses of sister trunks at

each branch point (as set by various forms of Rule 4), and different forms of sister

subtree scaling all result in significant mitochondrial density asymmetries unless

dendritic branching patterns are perfectly symmetric (Figure 4C, S4B). In particular,

subtrees with more branch points tend to accumulate higher or lower mitochondrial

densities due to the reduction or expansion of dendritic cross-sectional area below each

branch points when $\alpha = 3/2$ or 3, respectively. Moreover, we show that for an arbor

obeying Rall's Law, it is impossible to establish equitable mitochondrial distributions

between asymmetric sister subtrees with any single function that sets sister subtree

trunk thicknesses based on subtree morphology (Figure S4F and Supplemental

Methods). Altogether, when mitochondrial transport parameters are spatially uniform,

our model recapitulates either equitable distribution of mitochondrial across sister

subtrees (for Da Vinci-scaled dendrites) or distal enrichment of mitochondria (for Rall-

scaled dendrites), but not both.

<u>Model results with non-uniform transport parameters</u>

Our experimental measurements indicate that in thin distal dendrites, average

mitochondrial speeds are lower and arrest rates are higher than in the thick primary

dendrite (Figure 2E and S2A), and we reasoned that scaling of mitochondrial transport

with dendrite radius could result in increased mitochondrial densities in thin distal

dendrites. We therefore updated our initial model such that mitochondrial transport

rates scale with dendrite radii. Specifically, we assumed that mitochondrial arrest rates

are inversely proportional to dendrite cross-sectional area, $k_s \sim 1/r^2$ ($\beta = 2$ in Rule 1). For

simplicity, we assumed that mitochondrial motility initiation rates and speeds are still

spatially uniform; different assumptions about how mitochondrial transport changes

with branch thickness (e.g. scaling of mitochondrial speed with dendrite radius) give

the same results when the fraction of motile mitochondria is very small (see

Supplemental Methods), as we observed experimentally.

In dendrites that follow Da Vinci scaling of parent and daughter branch widths

($\alpha = 2$ in Rule 3), this model predicts that motile mitochondria densities will be constant

throughout the dendrite, while stationary mitochondrial densities will be higher in

distal dendrites (Figure 4F, S4E). If we assume that daughter branch radii are split

equally ($r_1 = r_2$) at each junction, then asymmetric topologies of sister subtrees lead to

asymmetry in the mitochondrial distribution, with more-branched subtrees acquiring a

higher mitochondrial density (Figure 4E, S4D).

Next, we investigated whether an alternate relationship between the trunk

widths of sister subtrees could restore equitable distribution of mitochondria across

subtree pairs. According to our analytical calculations for Da Vinci trees with $k_s \sim 1/r^2$

(see Supplemental Methods), the ratio of stationary mitochondrial densities in a pair of

sister subtrees is given by $c^{(s)}_1/c^{(s)}_2 = (L_1/V_1)/(L_2/V_2)$, where $c^{(s)}$ is the density of stationary

mitochondria and L and V are subtree length and volume. Equitable distribution of

mitochondria therefore depends on a specific morphological relationship between sister

subtrees: the total subtree volume must be proportional to its length, such that $L_1/V_1 =$

L_2/V_2.

For an arbor that follows the Da Vinci scaling law, the preservation of cross-

sectional area across branch points means that the total volume of a tree can be

expressed as $V = r_0^2 D$, where r_0 is the radius of the trunk of the tree and D describes the

effective depth of the tree. For a tree where all terminal dendritic branch tips are the

same distance d from the trunk, the effective tree depth is $D = d$. For trees with distal

tips at varying distances from the trunk, we compute the effective depth D recursively

from the branch lengths in each subtree (see Supplemental Methods). Notably, the

dependence of subtree volume on the trunk radius implies that for subtrees with

different depths, it is not possible to split sister subtree trunks in a way that would

allow their cross-sectional area to be proportional to volume. We thus do not consider r^2

$\sim V$ splitting behaviors in our calculations.

In a tree with Da Vinci parent-daughter scaling, all subtrees will exhibit a volume

proportional to their total branch length if and only if the sister subtree trunk areas scale

according to the following relation: $r_1^2/r_2^2 = (L_1/D_1)/(L_2/D_2)$. The ratio of total length over

depth (L/D) can be thought of as the "bushiness" of a tree. In trees with no branch

points, $L = D$ and thus $L/D = 1$. In trees with many branch points (i.e. bushier trees), $L \gg D$ and $L/D \gg 1$.

In dendrites that follow Da Vinci parent-daughter scaling and sister subtree scaling with r2~L/D, mitochondria are equitably distributed across subtrees (Figure 4D, S4C). Trees that follow different sister subtree scaling rules (e.g. with radii splitting proportional to subtree length) do not exhibit such equitable distributions across subtrees (Figure 4D, S4C). Regardless of the choice of parent-daughter or sister subtree scaling rule, increased mitochondrial arrest rates in thinner distal branches leads to distal mitochondrial enrichment (Figure 4F). We note that the predicted distal enrichment of mitochondria in these simple models is unrealistically high. This effect can be mitigated by assuming a smaller value for β, such that mitochondrial arrest rates increase less as dendrite radii decrease, or setting a lower limit on dendrite radii (Liao et al., 2021), as discussed below.

Our analytical mean-field calculations are supplemented by stochastic simulations of point-like mitochondria moving through small synthetic dendritic arbors (Supplemental Figure S5). These simulations show average densities similar to those predicted by the mathematical model, although higher asymmetries are found between sister subtrees due to stochastic variation in the simulations. Both simulations and mean-field calculations demonstrate that this model — with dendritic trees obeying Da Vinci parent-daughter scaling, inverse scaling of mitochondrial arrest and dendrite thickness, and sister subtree trunk areas proportional to tree bushiness — successfully recapitulates the key features of experimentally observed mitochondrial distributions: equitable densities between sister subtrees and increased density in distal branches.

Mitochondria split according to dendrite thickness at asymmetric branch points

Our model rests on the assumption that mitochondria traversing branch points in the anterograde direction split according to the cross-sectional areas of the daughter

branches. To test this assumption, we used our *in vivo* imaging setup to measure

mitochondrial transport across primary branch points in HS dendrites (Figure 5A). We

found, first, that most mitochondria moving through the branch in the anterograde

direction moved persistently from the parent into one of the two daughter branches

without reversing direction or arresting motility (Table S1). In the retrograde direction,

the vast majority of mitochondria moved from a daughter branch into the parent

branch, with infrequent reversals or arrests and almost no mitochondria moving from

one daughter to the other (Table S1). At each branch point, the population of

mitochondria moving in the anterograde direction splits, with some mitochondria

moving from the parent branch into one daughter branch and the rest into the other

(Figure 5A). By comparing mitochondrial linear flux rates to daughter branch cross-

sectional areas (Figure 5B), we found that asymmetric linear flux rates (where more

mitochondria are transported into one daughter than the other) correlate with

asymmetric daughter branch cross-sectional areas (where one daughter is thicker than

the other). Thus, proportionally more mitochondria are transported into thicker

daughter branches, consistent with our assumption that mitochondria split at branch

points according to daughter branch cross-sectional area.

In addition, we found that mitochondrial flux rates (the linear flux rate divided

by branch cross-sectional area) are conserved across branch points, such that flux in the

parent branch is roughly equivalent to flux in the daughter branches (Figure 5C,

average flux = 0.07 +/- 0.01 (STE) mitochondria/min/um^2 in both parent and daughter

branches). We measured similar mitochondrial flux rates in primary (0.08 +/- 0.01

mitochondria/min/um^2) and distal (0.06 +/- 0.01 mitochondria/min/um^2) dendrites as

well (Figure S2D). Conservation of mitochondrial flux rates throughout the arbor is

consistent with spatially constant motile mitochondrial volume densities which,

according to our model, occurs in dendrites that follow Da Vinci parent-daughter

scaling.

HS dendrites follow simple dendritic scaling rules

According to our model, HS dendrites maintain realistic mitochondrial distributions if parent and daughter radii scale according to Da Vinci's rule ($\alpha = 2$), and sister subtrees scale with trunk thickness proportional to subtree bushiness ($r^2 \sim L/D$). To determine whether HS dendrites obey these morphological scaling rules, we measured HS dendritic architecture. We used stochastic multicolor FlpOut (MCFO) labeling (Nern et al., 2015) to label individual HS dendrites, which we then imaged by confocal microscopy (Figure 6A-B). Next, we segmented and skeletonized each dendrite before measuring parent and daughter branch radii and the length, volume, and bushiness of the subtrees sprouting from each branch point (Figure 6B). We found, first, that HS dendrites are asymmetrically branched, with significant asymmetry in daughter branch thickness and subtree length, volume, and bushiness (Figure 6C). Second, we fit our measurements of parent and daughter radii to the power law $r_0^\alpha = r_1^\alpha + r_2^\alpha$ for a range of values for the exponent α, and we found HS dendrites are approximately Da Vinci-scaled, with $\alpha = 2.2$ giving the best fit (Figure 6D, $R^2 = 0.87$, 95% bootstrap confidence interval = 1.98-2.44).

Next, our model predicts that Da Vinci-scaled dendrites can only achieve equitable distribution of mitochondria if sister subtrees have volumes proportional to their length. To test this prediction, we compared the asymmetry in subtree lengths (L_1-L_2)/(L_1+L_2) with the asymmetry in volumes (V_1-V_2)/(V_1+V_2) for sister subtree pairs emerging from the same branch point. We found that length asymmetry is equal to volume asymmetry (Figure 6E, R^2=0.94), indicating that longer sister subtrees have proportionally larger volumes, as predicted. Thus, HS dendrites obey two separate morphological rules: power law scaling of parent and daughter branches with $\alpha \sim 2$, and sister subtree splitting with volume proportional to length ($L_1/V_1 = L_2/V_2$). According to our model, for dendrites that follow these two rules, daughter branch cross-sectional

areas must be proportional to subtree bushiness: $r_1^2/r_2^2 = (L_1/D_1)/(L_2/D_2)$. To test this

prediction, we compared asymmetry in branch cross-sectional area $(r_1^2-r_2^2)/(r_1^2+r_2^2)$ to

asymmetry in subtree bushiness $((L_1/D_1)-(L_2/D_2))/((L_1/D_1)+(L_2/D_2))$. We found that trunk

cross-sectional area and bushiness asymmetry are correlated (Figure 6F, R^2=0.70), and

that cross-sectional area asymmetry was only weakly correlated with subtree length or

depth asymmetry (Figure S6A-B). Finally, we measured similar correlations for

synthetic tree structures with an imposed error in radii measurements (Supplemental

Figure S6).

Altogether, these experimental results support our model and strongly suggest

that dendrite morphology plays a key role in determining steady-state mitochondrial

localization patterns in neurons *in vivo*.

Robust self-organization of equitably distributed mitochondria for a range of

transport parameters

In our model, we assume that mitochondrial arrest rates are inversely

proportional to the cross-sectional area of each dendritic branch ($k_s \sim 1/r^\beta$, where $\beta = 2$),

resulting in increased mitochondrial densities in thinner distal dendrites. However,

distal enrichment was orders of magnitude higher in our model (~300 fold enrichment)

compared to in our experimental measurements (~4 fold enrichment). Smaller values of

β should result in more reasonable distal enrichment, so we estimated β from *in vivo*

images of mitochondria moving through dendritic branches with a range of radii (see

Methods). Our measurements show that mitochondrial arrest is indeed more frequent

in thinner branches, and that the rate of arrest scales with dendrite radius according to

$k_s \sim 1/r^{1.3}$ ($\beta = 1.3$, $R^2 = 0.54$, 95% confidence interval = 0.93-1.67) (Figure 7A). In our

model, values for α and β in the range of our experimental measurements result in both

realistic distal enrichment (~10 fold enrichment) and equitable mitochondrial densities

in dendrites that obey $r^2 \sim L/D$ sister subtree scaling (Figure 7B-C). Incorporating a

1. minimum radius (r_m) such that parent and daughter dendrites scale according to $r_0^2 + r_m^2$

2. $= r_1^2 + r_2^2$ (as recently described for sensory neurons in *Drosophila* larva) (Liao et al., 2021)

3. reduces distal enrichment and introduces small asymmetries in mitochondrial densities

4. across sister subtrees (Figure S7A-B). Interestingly, in Da Vinci-scaled dendrites ($\alpha = 2$)

5. without a minimum radius, equitable distribution is highly robust to changes in β

6. (Figure 7C), indicating that changes in transport parameters have a dramatic effect on

7. distal enrichment without affecting equitable distribution. In contrast, Rall's ($\alpha = 3/2$)

8. and Murray's ($\alpha = 3$) laws failed to yield equitable mitochondrial distributions (Figure

9. 7C), and different sister subtree scaling rules (e.g. trunks splitting according the subtree

10. length, rather than bushiness) yielded equitable distributions in Da Vinci-scaled

11. dendrites only when $\beta = 0$ (Figure S7D,F). Thus, equitable mitochondrial distributions

12. are robust to changes in mitochondrial transport parameters only in dendrites that

13. follow specific morphological scaling rules.

14.

15. **DISCUSSION**

16.   Neuronal function is inextricably linked to neuronal form: dendrite size limits

17. the formation of synaptic connections to a particular receptive field (Peichl and Wassle,

18. 1983); branching patterns affect dendritic integration of input signals (van Elburg and

19. van Ooyen, 2010; Lefebvre et al., 2015); and axon thickness regulates action potential

20. propagation (Waxman and Bennett, 1972; Seidl, 2014). Our work suggests that HS

21. neurons in the *Drosophila* visual system also maintain a dendritic architecture that

22. allows reliable distribution of mitochondria through asymmetrically branched arbors.

23. We present a model in which four simple scaling rules determine steady-state

24. mitochondrial distribution patterns (Figure 4). The first two rules — scaling of

25. mitochondrial transport with dendrite radius and proportional splitting of

26. mitochondria at branch points — relate local mitochondrial motility rates to dendritic

27. branch radii. The third and fourth rules — power law scaling of parent and daughter

radii and scaling of trunk thickness with sister subtree size — are morphological rules that determine the architecture of the dendrite. There are many possible forms of these dendritic scaling rules, but only a subset of the rules we examined — Da Vinci scaling of parent-daughter radii at branch points and sister subtree scaling with trunk thickness proportional to subtree bushiness — predict realistic mitochondrial localization patterns in our model. Our experimental measurements demonstrate that HS dendrites do in fact obey these morphological scaling rules (Figure 6). Thus, our work suggests that intracellular transport, and the need to distribute mitochondria throughout elaborately branched dendritic arbors, acts as an important constraint on dendrite morphology.

We have shown that mitochondria are equitably distributed across sister subtrees and enriched in the distal dendrites in HS cells (Figure 1). Distribution of mitochondria throughout the cell is critical for neuronal stability (Griparic et al., 2004; Verhoeven et al., 2006; Baloh et al., 2007; Nunnari and Suomalainen, 2012) but the relationship between specific mitochondrial localization patterns (e.g. distal enrichment) and neuronal function is unclear. One possibility is that mitochondrial densities simply reflect local energetic demands, with mitochondrial enrichment in subcellular regions that require relatively higher levels of ATP production. The reversal of ion fluxes near synapses is thought to account for a large fraction of the neuron's energy budget (Attwell and Laughlin, 2001; Harris et al., 2012), and mitochondrial densities weakly correlate with synaptic densities in the dendrites of mouse cortical pyramidal neurons (Turner et al., 2022). Mitochondria also buffer calcium (Werth and Thayer, 1994), and variations in mitochondrial densities may contribute to compartment-dependent differences in calcium buffering capacities, which have recently been shown to contribute to place field formation in awake and behaving mice (O'Hare et al., 2022).

In addition to supporting dendritic function, enrichment of mitochondria in specific neuronal compartments may play a role in supporting mitochondrial function (Chang et al., 2006; Thomas et al., 2019; Turner et al., 2022). In active mitochondria, damaging reactive oxygen species are a by-product of the electron transport chain (Miwa and Brand, 2003). Mitochondria are thought to compensate for ROS-induced damage in two ways: by degrading and replacing damaged proteins (Wang et al., 2012), and by homogenizing the mitochondrial population — diluting damaged proteins and sharing freshly synthesized proteins — via mitochondrial fusion and fission (Willems et al., 2015). The majority of mitochondrial proteins are thought to be synthesized and transported into mitochondria in the cell body which are then trafficked out into the axons and dendrites (Misgeld and Schwarz, 2017). Theoretical work suggests that fusion with stationary mitochondria depletes freshly-synthesized mitochondrial proteins from motile mitochondria as they move in the anterograde direction (Agrawal and Koslover, 2021). A graded distribution of stationary mitochondria, with higher densities in distal dendrites, may allow neurons to ensure adequate delivery of fresh mitochondrial proteins to distal axons and dendrites while also maximizing complementation across mitochondria in distal compartments. Moreover, mitochondria in HS distal dendrites are large, often spanning multiple dendritic branch points (Figure S1). If young, healthy mitochondria fuse with stationary mitochondria upon arrival in the distal dendrites, passive transport within these elongated mitochondria would ensure uniform local distributions of freshly-synthesized mitochondrial proteins. Future versions of our model will include mitochondrial fusion and fission rates, as well as mitochondrial motility.

In our model, equitable distribution of mitochondria across sister subtrees is robust to variation in mitochondrial transport parameters in Da Vinci-scaled dendrites (Figures 7C). Distal enrichment, on the other hand, depends on inverse scaling of

motility arrest with dendrite thickness (Figure 7B). The mechanism underlying inverse

scaling of motility arrest and branch thickness remains undetermined. In principle,

narrowing of dendrite branches, on its own, could be sufficient to increase motility

arrest. In cylindrical dendrites, the surface area-to-volume ratio (A_s/V) increases as

radius decreases, with A_s/V ~ 1/r. Microtubule densities are conserved throughout

dendritic arbors (Hillman, 1979; Kubota et al., 2011; Katrukha et al., 2021) and the

amount of microtubule-based transport should scale with dendrite volume. In contrast,

some mechanical interactions that oppose mitochondrial transport should scale with

surface area. Non-specific viscous friction between motile mitochondria and the cell

membrane could oppose motility in thin neuronal processes (Narayanareddy et al.,

2014). Actin localizes to the cell membrane in neurons (Leiss et al., 2009), and actin-

based anchoring opposes microtubule-based transport in several contexts (Kapitein et

al., 2013; Lu et al., 2020), including myosin V-dependent opposition to mitochondrial

movement in cultured neurons (Pathak et al., 2010). Biochemical signals generated at

the cell membrane could also contribute to inverse scaling of motility arrest and

dendrite radius. For example, high glucose levels trigger mitochondrial arrest in

cultured neurons via post-translational modification of the Milton adaptor protein

(Pekkurnaz et al., 2014), and quantitative modeling indicates glucose-mediated motility

should be sufficient to affect mitochondrial localization patterns (Agrawal and

Koslover, 2021). Neurons take up glucose via transporters in the cell membrane

(Ferreira et al., 2011; Lundgaard et al., 2015; Ashrafi et al., 2017) and, assuming a

constant areal density of these transporters, glucose concentrations in the cytosol will

increase as the surface area-to-volume ratio increases, thereby promoting increased

mitochondrial arrest in thin distal dendrites. Altogether, the relative weight of

mechanical and biochemical signals generated at the cell membrane versus in the

cytosol should increase as neuronal processes narrow, and surface area-to-volume

ratios may play a general role in regulating intracellular transport in neurons.

Finally, our results suggest that neuronal signal processing and housekeeping

requirements may act as competing constraints on neuronal architecture. Specifically,

HS dendrites are approximately Da Vinci-scaled ($\alpha \sim 2$) which, according to our model,

allows for equitable distribution of mitochondria across sister subtrees for a broad

range of transport parameters. However, according to cable theory, parent-daughter

scaling according to Rall's law ($\alpha = 3/2$) is optimal for dendritic function, as it allows for

efficient propagation of electrical signals across branch points in passive dendrites (Rall,

1959). Interestingly, unlike many neurons in the *Drosophila* visual system, HS neurons

are not purely graded (Schnell et al., 2010), and active dendritic conductances may

allow Da Vinci-scaled dendrites to efficiently integrate dendritic inputs while also

maintaining steady-state mitochondrial localization patterns. Parent-daughter scaling

may be more likely to be constrained by Rall's law in neurons with purely passive

dendrites. Strikingly, though, our model strongly suggests that Rall's law is

incompatible with equitable distribution of mitochondria in asymmetrically branched

dendrites; for equitable mitochondrial distribution, Rall-scaled dendrites must also be

symmetrically branched. Altogether, our work suggests that neuronal morphologies are

constrained not only by functional requirements and wiring economy, but also by the

need to efficiently distribute subcellular constituent elements like mitochondria

throughout the cell.

METHODS

Drosophila strains and husbandry

The *Drosophila* stocks used in this study are listed in the Key Resources table. All flies

were reared at 25°C on standard cornmeal-agar food in 12 h light: dark cycle. Crosses

were flipped into fresh vials every 3 days and progeny were imaged 4-7 days after

eclosion.

In vivo imaging

Female flies were cold anesthetized and positioned in a key-hole cut in a thin metal

shim, with the back of the head exposed above the shim and the eyes below the shim.

The fly was secured in place with fast-curing glue (Bondic) and the brain was exposed

using fine forceps to dissect a hole in the cuticle and remove overlying fat and trachea.

The brain was perfused with a sugar saline solution (103 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 5 mM

TES, 1 mM NaH_2PO_4, 26 mM $NaHCO_2$, 4 mM $MgCl_2$, 1.5 mM $CaCl_2$, 10 mM trehalose,

10 mM glucose, and 7 mM sucrose). Neurons were imaged using an integrated confocal

and two-photon microscope (Leica SP8 CSU MP Dual) and a 25x 1.0 NA water

immersion objective (Leica). For confocal imaging of motile mitochondria, stationary

GFP-tagged mitochondria in the field of view were photobleached prior to time lapse

imaging, allowing for resolution of individual motile mitochondria as they moved into

the field of view. Confocal z-stacks (voxel size = 108.54 nm x 108.54 nm x 22 microns)

were collected every 4.4 seconds for 10-20 minutes after photobleaching. For two-

photon imaging of visual stimulus-evoked calcium signals, a fixed 1045nm femtosecond

laser beam (Spectra-Physics Insight X3 DUAL) was used to excite RGECO1a, and 256 x

256 images (pixel size = 139.09nm x 139.09nm) were collected at a frame rate of 10 Hz.

Visual stimulus presentation

Visual stimuli were generated using PsychoPy (Python) and presented on a white

screen (Da-Lite Dual-Vision vinyl, AV Outlet) using a digital light projector (DLP

LightCrafter, Texas Instruments). The stimulus screen spanned ~60° of the fly's visual

field horizontally and ~60° vertically, and the stimulus was updated at 60 Hz. To avoid

detection of light from the stimulus by the microscope, the stimulus was filtered using a

472/30 nm bandpass filter (Semrock). Voltage signals from the imaging software were

relayed to PsychoPy via a LabJack device, in order to synchronize the stimulus and the

imaging frames. The visual stimuli were full contrast square wave gratings ($\lambda = 30°$) that

filled the entire stimulus screen. When the stimulus was on, the gratings moved in the

preferred direction for HS neurons (front-to-back across one eye) at 30°/s; when the

stimulus was off, the gratings remained stationary.

MultiColor FlpOut Labeling

HS Gal4 driver lines were crossed with MCFO virgins. Offspring were collected 1-2

days after eclosion, heat shocked at 38°C for 25 min, and dissected three days later. Fly

brains were dissected in cold PBS solution and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 25 min at

room temperature. Brains were subsequently rinsed with PBST (PBS with 0.5% Triton)

and blocked in PBST-NGS (PBST with 5% normal goat serum) at room temperature for

1.5 hr. Brains were incubated for two nights in primary antibodies diluted in PBST-

NGS, then incubated for two nights in secondary antibodies in PBST-NGS, and finally

incubated overnight in tertiary antibodies in PBST-NGS. Prior to each antibody

incubation, brains were washed 3 times for 10 min each in PBST. All antibody

incubations were performed at 4°C. Brains were mounted on their ventral end in

VectaShield media (Vector Laboratories) and imaged using confocal microscopy. All

antibodies are listed in the Key Resources Table.

Quantification of mitochondrial morphologies and localization patterns

Mitochondrial morphologies and distribution patterns in HS neurons were measured

using the publicly available fully aligned fly brain (FAFB) dataset, serial section

transmission electron microscopy (ssTEM) images of the entire brain of an adult female

fly (Zheng et al., 2018). Previously traced HS skeletons (Michael Reiser, unpublished

data), available on the CATMAID (Collaborative Annotation Toolkit for Massive

Amounts of Image Data) server (Saalfeld et al., 2009), were used to identify HS neurons

within the larger FAFB image volume. To measure the size of individual mitochondria,

small image volumes centered around HS dendritic segments were cropped out of the

FAFB dataset, and mitochondria within HS dendrites were manually segmented in

three dimensions using the TrakEM Fiji plug-in. To measure mitochondrial localization

patterns throughout HS neurons, all HS skeletons were resampled using a python-

CATMAID interface library, pymaid, such that the graph distance between skeleton

nodes was 5 microns. All branch points and end points were preserved during

resampling. Two dimensional image slices centered around each node in the resampled

skeleton were then cropped out of the FAFB dataset, and HS neurons and the

mitochondria within them were manually segmented in each image using TrakEM.

Mitochondrial density (total mitochondrial area/total neurite area) was measured as a

function of neuronal compartment (axons versus dendrites), distance from the soma,

and across sister subtree pairs.

Quantification of mitochondrial motility patterns

Mitochondrial speeds, flux rates, arrest rates, and lengths were measured from max

projections of confocal z-stacks of mitoGFP and cytosolic tdTomato expressed in HS

neurons. Max projections were aligned using the Turboreg Fiji plugin. Individual motile

mitochondria were hand-tracked using the Tracking Fiji plugin, and mitochondrial

speeds were measured from mitochondrial tracks using custom-written Python code.

Linear mitochondrial flux rates were measured by counting the number of motile

mitochondria that moved through a particular cross-section of a dendritic branch in

either the anterograde or retrograde direction per unit time. Mitochondrial arrest rates

were calculated per dendritic branch, as the fraction of mitochondria that either stopped

or paused motility within each branch. Lengths of motile mitochondria were measured

using Celltool (Pincus and Theriott, 2007), and the average volume of motile

mitochondria was estimated as $V = l\,pi\,r^2$, where l is ~2 microns, measured from our *in*

vivo images, and r is assumed to be ~0.3 microns. Dendrite diameters were measured

based on the cytosolic tdTomato signal, using the line scan tool in Fiji.

<u>Quantification of dendritic branching parameters</u>

Dendritic arbors for individual HS neurons were segmented from MCFO images using

ilastik (Berg et al., 2019), publicly available interactive machine learning software, and

custom-written Python code. Unique pixel classifiers were trained in ilastik for each

MCFO z-stack, and binary masks were generated from the resulting probability maps in

Python by using thresholding and connected component analysis. Binary masks were

then manually cleaned up in Fiji and skeletonized using the Skeletonize (2D/3D) Fiji

built in plugin.

Skeleton data was translated into a set of nodes (including junction nodes, parent node,

and distal tips) with three-dimensional coordinates, and curved edge paths connecting

the nodes. Once the initial network structure was extracted, manual clean-up was

carried out with a custom Matlab GUI, involving the removal of short spurious

branches ('shrubs') from the network. A combination of percentile and asymmetry

cutoffs were used to quantitatively remove shrubs that would not contribute to the total

length in subsequent analysis. A degree of manual editing was performed for each cell,

such that any branch without a discernible thickness was removed from the network

object. The widths of network branches were also calculated with the aid of the Matlab

GUI. The interface allows the user to add and adjust width measurements across a

given edge. For longer edges, one measurement point close to the branching point and

the other closer to the end of the edge are chosen. Total subtree length, volume, depth,

and bushiness following each branch was calculated using Matlab written code.

Volume was measured using the diameter and length of each edge bounded between

two nodes. Bushiness is defined as the total subtree length (L) over the subtree depth

(D), where D is the path length from the base of the subtree to each distal tip, weighted

by the length of each subtree. Lastly, asymmetry for each parameter was measured

using our asymmetry metric, $(ST1-ST2)^2/(ST1+ST2)^2$, where ST1 and ST2 are the

indicated measurements (radius, length, volume, or bushiness) for sister subtree 1 and

2, respectively.

<u>Synthetic tree construction</u>

Synthetic binary trees were constructed in Python 3.7.6 using the NetworkX library

(Aric A. Hagberg, 2008). First, the skeleton of a binary tree was created, starting with a

single junction consisting of a parent branch and two daughter branches of unit length.

Moving downstream along the tree, each daughter branch either terminated as a distal

tip (with probability ⅓), increased in length by an additional unit (probability ⅓), or

branched into two more daughter branches (probability ⅓). This process was repeated

up to a preset maximum path distance (40 unit branch lengths) from the arbor parent

node to the distal tips. The resulting random-topology binary tree structures were used

as the ensemble of synthetic arbors in Supplemental Figures S5 and S6.

<u>Computing imposed radii</u>

Skeletons with well-defined branch lengths and connectivity were obtained either from

MCFO images of *Drosophila* HS neurons, from published data (Cuntz et al., 2013), from

HS skeletons traced through a ssTEM dataset (Zheng et al., 2018) (Michael Reiser lab

unpublished data or from synthetically constructed trees.

For each skeleton, the widths of the branches (r_i) were calculated, starting with $r_0=1$ at

the parent trunk (in dimensionless units). At each junction node, the daughter branch

radii were defined by a combination of scaling rule (1) for parent and daughter radii: r_1^α

$+ r_2^\alpha = r_0^\alpha$ and rule (4) for sister subtree radii $r_1^2/r_2^2 = \mu_{12}$. Da Vinci arbors have $\alpha = 2$,

Rall's Law arbors have $\alpha = 3/2$, and Murray's Law arbors have $\alpha = 3$.

The daughter branch splitting rules included equal splitting ($\mu_{12} = 1$), splitting in

proportion to total subtree length ($\mu_{12} = \Sigma_{i \in ST1} l_i / \Sigma_{i \in ST2} l_i$), and splitting in proportion to

subtree bushiness (total branch length over depth), defined in Supplemental Methods 1.

To impose radii with a minimum radius (Liao et al., 2021) on an MCFO HS skeleton

(Figure S7), we assumed the primary dendrite radius = 3 µm and the minimum radius

Rm = 0.2 um (Liao et al., 2021). At each branching point, the parent-daughter radii

relationship was modified to $r0^2 + rm^2 = r1^2 + r2^2$ to incorporate the effect of this

minimum radius. A 'minimum area' is imposed to take into account the finite area

occupied by a microtubule, so the distal dendrites have a greater predicted diameter in

this case.

To estimate the effect of measurement error in branch width (Supplemental Figure S6),

we added a Gaussian noise term with standard deviation equal to 30% of the computed

radius to all branch widths r_i.

<u>Mean-field model for mitochondrial distribution</u>

Our minimal mean-field model allows the calculation of steady-state mitochondrial

densities along each branch of a dendritic arbor with prescribed topology, branch lengths,

and branch radii. We assume punctate mitochondria are produced at the soma (parent

node of the arbor), and undergo processive bidirectional motion with pause free velocity

v_i (where i is the branch index), pausing rate k_i^s, and constant restarting rate k_w. The linear

densities of anterograde, retrograde, and stationary mitochondria in each branch are

given by ρ_i^+, ρ_i^-, ρ_i^s, respectively. At steady-state, these densities obey the transport

equations:

$$\frac{d\rho_i^{\pm}}{dt} = \mp v_i \frac{d\rho_i^{\pm}}{dx} - k_i^s \rho_i^{\pm} + \frac{k_w}{2}\rho_i^s = 0$$

$$\frac{d\rho_i^s}{dt} = k_i^s(\rho_i^+ + \rho_i^-) - k_w \rho_i^s = 0$$

The steady-state solutions have densities that are constant along each individual branch, with the relationship between different branch densities determined by boundary conditions at the junctions. Distal tips are treated as reflecting boundaries (yielding $\rho_i^+ = \rho_i^-$). At the branch junctions, the boundary conditions are set by the conservation of incoming and outgoing mitochondrial flux, together with the assumption that anterograde mitochondria split in proportion to the cross sectional area of the daughter branches:

$$v_i \rho_i^{\pm} = v_j \rho_j^{\pm} + v_k \rho_k^{\pm}, \qquad \frac{v_j \rho_j^+}{v_k \rho_k^+} = \frac{r_j^2}{r_k^2}$$

where i is the parent branch, and j, k the two daughter branches at the junction. Finally, we set the boundary condition at the soma by fixing the motile mitochondria linear density in the parent trunk to a constant, ρ_0.

The steady-state linear densities of mitochondria in each branch are then found by solving this set of linear equations. The density of stationary mitochondria is given by:

$$\rho_i^s = \frac{k_i^s}{k_w}(\rho_i^w)$$

where $\rho_i^w = \rho_i^+ + \rho_i^-$ is the motile mitochondrial density.

Volume densities and equitability metric

Volume density on each branch is computed as $c_i = \rho_i/r_i^2$. The average volume density in a subtree is given by:

$$\langle c \rangle_{\text{ST}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in ST} \rho_i \ell_i}{\sum_{i \in ST} r_i^2 \ell_i}$$

Where the summation is carried out over all branches i of the subtree, with

corresponding length l_i and radius r_i.

We define a single metric ζ for the equitability of mitochondrial distribution throughout

the entire tree. Specifically, this metric gives the root-mean-squared asymmetry of

mitochondrial densities in sister subtrees, averaged over all junctions in the arbor. We

focus on the regime where most mitochondria are in the stationary state, and hence

compute the asymmetry metric based specifically on distributions of stationary

mitochondria:

$$\zeta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_b} \sum_b \left(\frac{\langle c^s \rangle_{b,1} - \langle c^s \rangle_{b,2}}{\langle c^s \rangle_{b,1} + \langle c^s \rangle_{b,2}} \right)^2}.$$

Here, the index b enumerates the junctions, N_b is the total number of junctions in the

tree, and subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the two daughter subtrees emanating from a

junction.

Agent-based simulations of mitochondrial transport

Stochastic simulations of mitochondrial transport in a network were carried out using

custom code written in Fortran 90. An initial tree structure is initialized to contain

information on the junction connectivity, as well as lengths and radii of individual

branches. The tree skeletons were generated using the NetworkX library as described in

(Synthetic tree construction). A typical example is presented in Supplemental Figure

S5B. The widths of these synthetic trees were calculated by imposing either Da-Vinci

scaling law with $r^2 \sim L/D$, or Rall's law with $L \sim V$ scaling applied. For demonstrating

the simulated results showing the difference in equitability of mitochondrial

distribution in trees following Da-Vinci vs Rall's scaling, $N = 10$ synthetic trees were

used. The edge lengths for the synthetic tree were fixed to $l_0 = 10\ \mu m$, consistent with

average edge length measurements observed in HS dendrites.

While these synthetic trees were shorter in extent compared to real HS neurons due to

computational limitations (going a maximum of $D/l_0 = 4$ levels down), the synthetic

trees incorporated a range of heterogeneity in branching structure.

The tree is then populated by a fixed number ($N = 1000$) of punctate of mitochondria,

distributed uniformly throughout. The position of each mitochondrion is tracked in

terms of the branch on which it is located and its position along the branch. Each

mitochondrion is associated with a transport state (anterograde, retrograde, or

stationary).

On every timestep, an anterograde mitochondrion steps distance $v\Delta t$ downstream

along the branch, and a retrograde mitochondrion steps distance $v\Delta t$ upstream. The

velocity of an individual mitochondrion was assumed to be $v = 0.4\ \mu m/s$. One time

step corresponds to $\Delta t = 2.5\ s$, so that the stepping distance $v\Delta t = 1\ \mu m$. A motile

mitochondrion switches to a stationary state with probability P_{stop}, whereas a stationary

mitochondrion becomes motile with probability P_{start}, according to the corresponding

rates:

24
$$P_{stop} = 1 - e^{-k_i^s \Delta t}$$
$$P_{start} = 1 - e^{-k_w \Delta t}$$

When becoming motile, the mitochondrion is equally likely to enter the anterograde or

retrograde state. The rate of switching from motile to stationary state was fixed

according to measurements of mitochondrial motility in HS dendrites. From the

measurements of mitochondrial densities and exchange rate, we obtain a ~ 3% motile

fraction in the primary dendrite. Plugging in to the expression for motile fraction

$f_{motile} = 1 + k_s/k_w$, we obtain the dimensionless ratio $k_s/k_w = 35$ for the primary

dendrite. The rate k_s for a primary dendrite was set equal to $0.15/s$ and the rate k_w was

set equal to $2 \times 10^{-3}/s$, so that on an average a mitochondrion had a probability $P_{stop} =$

0.31 of stopping in a primary dendrite at each timestep. The stopping to walking rate

was tuned as a function of branch radii according to the rule $k_s \sim 1/r^2$.

At each junction, an anterograde mitochondrion chooses which daughter branch to

enter with probability proportional to the cross-sectional area of the branch: $p_1/p_2 =$

r_1^2/r_2^2. When an anterograde mitochondrion reaches the distal tip, it reverses and

becomes retrograde. When a retrograde mitochondrion reaches the soma, it becomes

anterograde again. The simulations were run for $N_{steps} = 10^8$ to ensure convergence in

observed mitochondrial distributions, as monitored by the edge density autocorrelation

function over time.

<u>Estimating the power law rule for dependence of motility arrest on dendritic branch</u>

<u>thickness</u>

Figure 7A shows the dependence of the pausing rate k_s on dendritic diameter, from

experimental mitochondrial motility data. Each field in the motility data gave the

number of transport events observed for a specific dendritic branch in the entire

imaging duration, classified as (i) anterograde-persistent (ii) anterograde-stop (iii)

anterograde-pause (iv) anterograde-reverse (v) retrograde-persistent (vi) retrograde-

stop (vii) retrograde-pause and (viii) retrograde-reverse. The probability of stopping

($P_{stop,i}$) for the branch i was calculated as the fraction of total stopping events (antero-

stop + retro-stop + antero-pause + retro-pause) and total transport events recorded.

From the probability of stopping, the effective stopping rate $k_{s,i}$ for each branch i was

calculated from the formula $P_{stop,i} = 1 - e^{-k_{s,i} \Delta t}$, where Δt is the time spent by

mitochondria in that branch, which is proportional to the length of the branch.

REFERENCES

Bibliography and References Cited

Agrawal, A., and Koslover, E.F. (2021). Optimizing mitochondrial maintenance in extended neuronal projections. Plos Comput Biol *17*, e1009073.

Amiri, M., and Hollenbeck, P.J. (2008). Mitochondrial biogenesis in the axons of vertebrate peripheral neurons. Developmental Neurobiology *68*, 1348-1361.

Aric A. Hagberg, D.A.S.a.P.J.S. (2008). Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using NetworkX. Paper presented at: Proceedings of the 7th Python in Science Conference (SciPy2008).

Ashrafi, G., Schlehe, J.S., LaVoie, M.J., and Schwarz, T.L. (2014). Mitophagy of damaged mitochondria occurs locally in distal neuronal axons and requires PINK1 and Parkin. Journal of Cell Biology *206*, 655-670.

Ashrafi, G., Wu, Z., Farrell, R.J., and Ryan, T.A. (2017). GLUT4 Mobilization Supports Energetic Demands of Active Synapses. Neuron *93*, 606-615 e603.

Attwell, D., and Laughlin, S.B. (2001). An energy budget for signaling in the grey matter of the brain. J Cerebr Blood F Met *21*, 1133-1145.

Baloh, R.H., Schmidt, R.E., Pestronk, A., and Milbrandt, J. (2007). Altered axonal mitochondrial transport in the pathogenesis of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease from mitofusin 2 mutations. J Neurosci *27*, 422-430.

Barnhart, E.L. (2016). Mechanics of mitochondrial motility in neurons. Curr Opin Cell Biol *38*, 90-99.

Barnhart, E.L., Wang, I.E., Wei, H., Desplan, C., and Clandinin, T.R. (2018). Sequential Nonlinear Filtering of Local Motion Cues by Global Motion Circuits. Neuron *100*, 229-243 e223.

Berg, S., Kutra, D., Kroeger, T., Straehle, C.N., Kausler, B.X., Haubold, C., Schiegg, M., Ales, J., Beier, T., Rudy, M.*, et al.* (2019). ilastik: interactive machine learning for (bio)image analysis. Nat Methods *16*, 1226-1232.

Brand, A.H., and Perrimon, N. (1993). Targeted Gene-Expression as a Means of Altering Cell Fates and Generating Dominant Phenotypes. Development *118*, 401-415.

Cagalinec, M., Safiulina, D., Liiv, M., Liiv, J., Choubey, V., Wareski, P., Veksler, V., and Kaasik, A. (2013). Principles of the mitochondrial fusion and fission cycle in neurons. J Cell Sci *126*, 2187-2197.

Cajal, S.R.y. (1888). Estructura de los centros nerviosos. Revista trimestral de histología *1*, 1-10.

Chang, D.T., Honick, A.S., and Reynolds, I.J. (2006). Mitochondrial trafficking to synapses in cultured primary cortical neurons. J Neurosci *26*, 7035-7045.

Cherniak, C., Changizi, M., and Kang, D. (1999). Large-scale optimization of neuron arbors. Phys Rev E Stat Phys Plasmas Fluids Relat Interdiscip Topics *59*, 6001-6009.

Chklovskii, D.B., and Stepanyants, A. (2003). Power-law for axon diameters at branch point. Bmc Neurosci *4*.

Cuntz, H., Forstner, F., Borst, A., and Hausser, M. (2010). One Rule to Grow Them All: A General Theory of Neuronal Branching and Its Practical Application. Plos Comput Biol *6*.

Cuntz, H., Forstner, F., Schnell, B., Ammer, G., Raghu, S.V., and Borst, A. (2013). Preserving neural function under extreme scaling. PLoS One *8*, e71540.

Eloy, C. (2011). Leonardo's Rule, Self-Similarity, and Wind-Induced Stresses in Trees. Phys Rev Lett *107*.

Faits, M.C., Zhang, C., Soto, F., and Kerschensteiner, D. (2016). Dendritic mitochondria reach stable positions during circuit development. Elife *5*, e11583.

Ferreira, J.M., Burnett, A.L., and Rameau, G.A. (2011). Activity-dependent regulation of surface glucose transporter-3. J Neurosci *31*, 1991-1999.

Fujiwara, T., Cruz, T.L., Bohnslav, J.P., and Chiappe, M.E. (2017). A faithful internal representation of walking movements in the Drosophila visual system. Nat Neurosci *20*, 72-81.

Griparic, L., van der Wel, N.N., Orozco, I.J., Peters, P.J., and van der Bliek, A.M. (2004). Loss of the intermembrane space protein Mgm1/OPA1 induces swelling and localized constrictions along the lengths of mitochondria. J Biol Chem *279*, 18792-18798.

Hall, C.N., Klein-Flugge, M.C., Howarth, C., and Attwell, D. (2012). Oxidative Phosphorylation, Not Glycolysis, Powers Presynaptic and Postsynaptic Mechanisms Underlying Brain Information Processing. Journal of Neuroscience *32*, 8940-8951.

Harris, J.J., Jolivet, R., and Attwell, D. (2012). Synaptic Energy Use and Supply. Neuron *75*, 762-777.

Hillman, D.E. (1979). Neuronal shape parameters and substructures as a basis of neuronal form (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).

Kang, J.S., Tian, J.H., Pan, P.Y., Zald, P., Li, C., Deng, C., and Sheng, Z.H. (2008). Docking of axonal mitochondria by syntaphilin controls their mobility and affects short-term facilitation. Cell *132*, 137-148.

Kapitein, L.C., van Bergeijk, P., Lipka, J., Keijzer, N., Wulf, P.S., Katrukha, E.A., Akhmanova, A., and Hoogenraad, C.C. (2013). Myosin-V opposes microtubule-based cargo transport and drives directional motility on cortical actin. Curr Biol *23*, 828-834.

Katrukha, E.A., Jurriens, D., Pastene, D.M.S., and Kapitein, L.C. (2021). Quantitative mapping
of dense microtubule arrays in mammalian neurons. Elife *10*.

Kubota, Y., Karube, F., Nomura, M., Gulledge, A.T., Mochizuki, A., Schertel, A., and
Kawaguchi, Y. (2011). Conserved properties of dendritic trees in four cortical interneuron
subtypes. Sci Rep *1*, 89.

Lefebvre, J.L., Sanes, J.R., and Kay, J.N. (2015). Development of dendritic form and function.
Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol *31*, 741-777.

Lehnebach, R., Beyer, R., Letort, V., and Heuret, P. (2018). The pipe model theory half a century
on: a review. Ann Bot *121*, 1427.

Leiss, F., Koper, E., Hein, I., Fouquet, W., Lindner, J., Sigrist, S., and Tavosanis, G. (2009).
Characterization of dendritic spines in the Drosophila central nervous system. Dev Neurobiol *69*,
221-234.

Leonardo, Richter, J.P., and Bell, R.C. (1970). The notebooks of Leonardo da Vinci (New York:
Dover Publications).

Lewis, T.L., Kwon, S.K., Lee, A., Shaw, R., and Polleux, F. (2018). MFF-dependent
mitochondrial fission regulates presynaptic release and axon branching by limiting axonal
mitochondria size. Nature Communications *9*.

Lewis, T.L., Turi, G.F., Kwon, S.K., Losonczy, A., and Polleux, F. (2016). Progressive Decrease
of Mitochondrial Motility during Maturation of Cortical Axons In Vitro and In Vivo. Current
Biology *26*, 2602-2608.

Liao, M., Liang, X., and Howard, J. (2021). The narrowing of dendrite branches across nodes
follows a well-defined scaling law. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *118*.

Lu, W., Lakonishok, M., Liu, R., Billington, N., Rich, A., Glotzer, M., Sellers, J.R., and Gelfand,
V.I. (2020). Competition between kinesin-1 and myosin-V defines Drosophila posterior
determination. Elife *9*.

Lundgaard, I., Li, B., Xie, L., Kang, H., Sanggaard, S., Haswell, J.D., Sun, W., Goldman, S.,
Blekot, S., Nielsen, M.*, et al.* (2015). Direct neuronal glucose uptake heralds activity-dependent
increases in cerebral metabolism. Nat Commun *6*, 6807.

MacAskill, A.F., Rinholm, J.E., Twelvetrees, A.E., Arancibia-Carcamo, I.L., Muir, J., Fransson,
A., Aspenstrom, P., Attwell, D., and Kittler, J.T. (2009). Miro1 Is a Calcium Sensor for
Glutamate Receptor-Dependent Localization of Mitochondria at Synapses. Neuron *61*, 541-555.

Mandal, A., and Drerup, C.M. (2019). Axonal Transport and Mitochondrial Function in Neurons.
Front Cell Neurosci *13*, 373.

Misgeld, T., and Schwarz, T.L. (2017). Mitostasis in Neurons: Maintaining Mitochondria in an
Extended Cellular Architecture. Neuron *96*, 651-666.

Miwa, S., and Brand, M.D. (2003). Mitochondrial matrix reactive oxygen species production is very sensitive to mild uncoupling. Biochem Soc T *31*, 1300-1301.

Morris, R.L., and Hollenbeck, P.J. (1995). Axonal-Transport of Mitochondria Along Microtubules and F-Actin in Living Vertebrate Neurons. Journal of Cell Biology *131*, 1315-1326.

Murray, C.D. (1926). The Physiological Principle of Minimum Work: I. The Vascular System and the Cost of Blood Volume. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *12*, 207-214.

Narayanareddy, B.R., Vartiainen, S., Hariri, N., O'Dowd, D.K., and Gross, S.P. (2014). A biophysical analysis of mitochondrial movement: differences between transport in neuronal cell bodies versus processes. Traffic *15*, 762-771.

Nern, A., Pfeiffer, B.D., and Rubin, G.M. (2015). Optimized tools for multicolor stochastic labeling reveal diverse stereotyped cell arrangements in the fly visual system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *112*, E2967-2976.

Nunnari, J., and Suomalainen, A. (2012). Mitochondria: in sickness and in health. Cell *148*, 1145-1159.

O'Hare, J.K., Gonzalez, K.C., Herrlinger, S.A., Hirabayashi, Y., Hewitt, V.L., Blockus, H., Szoboszlay, M., Rolotti, S.V., Geiller, T.C., Negrean, A*., et al.* (2022). Compartment-specific tuning of dendritic feature selectivity by intracellular Ca(2+) release. Science *375*, eabm1670.

Overly, C.C., Rieff, H.I., and Hollenbeck, P.J. (1996). Organelle motility and metabolism in axons vs dendrites of cultured hippocampal neurons. J Cell Sci *109 (Pt 5)*, 971-980.

Pathak, D., Sepp, K.J., and Hollenbeck, P.J. (2010). Evidence That Myosin Activity Opposes Microtubule-Based Axonal Transport of Mitochondria. Journal of Neuroscience *30*, 8984-8992.

Peichl, L., and Wassle, H. (1983). The structural correlate of the receptive field centre of alpha ganglion cells in the cat retina. J Physiol *341*, 309-324.

Pekkurnaz, G., Trinidad, J.C., Wang, X., Kong, D., and Schwarz, T.L. (2014). Glucose regulates mitochondrial motility via Milton modification by O-GlcNAc transferase. Cell *158*, 54-68.

Pilling, A.D., Horiuchi, D., Lively, C.M., and Saxton, W.M. (2006). Kinesin-1 and Dynein are the primary motors for fast transport of mitochondria in Drosophila motor axons. Mol Biol Cell *17*, 2057-2068.

Pincus, Z., and Theriott, J.A. (2007). Comparison of quantitative methods for cell-shape analysis. J Microsc-Oxford *227*, 140-156.

Plucinska, G., Paquet, D., Hruscha, A., Godinho, L., Haass, C., Schmid, B., and Misgeld, T. (2012). In vivo imaging of disease-related mitochondrial dynamics in a vertebrate model system. J Neurosci *32*, 16203-16212.

Popov, V., Medvedev, N.I., Davies, H.A., and Stewart, M.G. (2005). Mitochondria form a
filamentous reticular network in hippocampal dendrites but are present as discrete bodies in
axons: A three-dimensional ultrastructural study. J Comp Neurol *492*, 50-65.

Rall, W. (1959). Branching dendritic trees and motoneuron membrane resistivity. Exp Neurol *1*,
491-527.

Saalfeld, S., Cardona, A., Hartenstein, V., and Tomancak, P. (2009). CATMAID: collaborative
annotation toolkit for massive amounts of image data. Bioinformatics *25*, 1984-1986.

Schnell, B., Joesch, M., Forstner, F., Raghu, S.V., Otsuna, H., Ito, K., Borst, A., and Reiff, D.F.
(2010). Processing of horizontal optic flow in three visual interneurons of the Drosophila brain. J
Neurophysiol *103*, 1646-1657.

Schwarz, T.L. (2013). Mitochondrial trafficking in neurons. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *5*.

Seidl, A.H. (2014). Regulation of conduction time along axons. Neuroscience *276*, 126-134.

Silva, C.A.P., Yalnizyan-Carson, A., Busch, M.V.F., van Zwieten, M., Verhage, M., and
Lohmann, C. (2021). Activity-dependent regulation of mitochondrial motility in developing
cortical dendrites. Elife *10*.

Smit-Rigter, L., Rajendran, R., Silva, C.A.P., Spierenburg, L., Groeneweg, F., Ruimschotel,
E.M., van Versendaal, D., van der Togt, C., Eysel, U.T., Heimel, J.A.*, et al.* (2016).
Mitochondrial Dynamics in Visual Cortex Are Limited In Vivo and Not Affected by Axonal
Structural Plasticity. Current Biology *26*, 2609-2616.

Thomas, C.I., Keine, C., Okayama, S., Satterfield, R., Musgrove, M., Guerrero-Given, D.,
Kamasawa, N., and Young, S.M., Jr. (2019). Presynaptic Mitochondria Volume and Abundance
Increase during Development of a High-Fidelity Synapse. J Neurosci *39*, 7994-8012.

Turner, N.L., Macrina, T., Bae, J.A., Yang, R., Wilson, A.M., Schneider-Mizell, C., Lee, K., Lu,
R., Wu, J., Bodor, A.L.*, et al.* (2022). Reconstruction of neocortex: Organelles, compartments,
cells, circuits, and activity. Cell *185*, 1082-1100 e1024.

Vagnoni, A., and Bullock, S.L. (2018). A cAMP/PKA/Kinesin-1 Axis Promotes the Axonal
Transport of Mitochondria in Aging Drosophila Neurons. Curr Biol *28*, 1265-1272 e1264.

van Elburg, R.A., and van Ooyen, A. (2010). Impact of dendritic size and dendritic topology on
burst firing in pyramidal cells. Plos Comput Biol *6*, e1000781.

van Ooyen, A., Duijnhouwer, J., Remme, M.W., and van Pelt, J. (2002). The effect of dendritic
topology on firing patterns in model neurons. Network *13*, 311-325.

Verhoeven, K., Claeys, K.G., Zuchner, S., Schroder, J.M., Weis, J., Ceuterick, C., Jordanova, A.,
Nelis, E., De Vriendt, E., Van Hul, M.*, et al.* (2006). MFN2 mutation distribution and
genotype/phenotype correlation in Charcot-Marie-Tooth type 2. Brain *129*, 2093-2102.

Wang, X., and Schwarz, T.L. (2009). The mechanism of Ca2+ -dependent regulation of kinesin-
mediated mitochondrial motility. Cell *136*, 163-174.

Wang, X., Winter, D., Ashrafi, G., Schlehe, J., Wong, Y.L., Selkoe, D., Rice, S., Steen, J.,
LaVoie, M.J., and Schwarz, T.L. (2011). PINK1 and Parkin target Miro for phosphorylation and
degradation to arrest mitochondrial motility. Cell *147*, 893-906.

Wang, Y., Nartiss, Y., Steipe, B., McQuibban, G.A., and Kim, P.K. (2012). ROS-induced
mitochondrial depolarization initiates PARK2/PARKIN-dependent mitochondrial degradation by
autophagy. Autophagy *8*, 1462-1476.

Waxman, S.G., and Bennett, M.V. (1972). Relative conduction velocities of small myelinated
and non-myelinated fibres in the central nervous system. Nat New Biol *238*, 217-219.

Wen, Q., and Chklovskii, D.B. (2008). A cost-benefit analysis of neuronal morphology. Journal
of Neurophysiology *99*, 2320-2328.

Werth, J.L., and Thayer, S.A. (1994). Mitochondria buffer physiological calcium loads in
cultured rat dorsal root ganglion neurons. J Neurosci *14*, 348-356.

Willems, P.H., Rossignol, R., Dieteren, C.E., Murphy, M.P., and Koopman, W.J. (2015). Redox
Homeostasis and Mitochondrial Dynamics. Cell Metab *22*, 207-218.

Zheng, Z., Lauritzen, J.S., Perlman, E., Robinson, C.G., Nichols, M., Milkie, D., Torrens, O.,
Price, J., Fisher, C.B., Sharifi, N.*, et al.* (2018). A Complete Electron Microscopy Volume of the
Brain of Adult Drosophila melanogaster. Cell *174*, 730-743 e722.
20

Figure 1: Mitochondrial localization patterns in HS dendrites. A: TEM images of mitochondria (cyan) in different compartments of an HS neuron (yellow); scale bars are 1 µm. Dashed boxes indicate the regions enlarged in the inset images (inset scale bars are 200 nm). B: Skeleton of an HS neuron traced through ssTEM images. C: Average mitochondrial densities plotted versus distance from the soma in the dendrite (green) and axon (magenta). N = 6 neurons; shaded regions indicate the standard error of the mean. For each cell, mitochondrial densities are normalized to the density in the primary dendrite near the soma. D: Mitochondrial densities in sister subtree pairs. Error bars are bootstrap 95% confidence intervals. E: Sister subtree asymmetries in subtree length and mitochondrial density (asymmetry = $((ST1-ST2)^2/(ST1+ST2)^2)^{1/2}$, averaged over all sister subtree pairs per cell); $p < 0.01$ (T-test).

Figure 2: Mitochondrial transport in HS dendrites. A: Experimental setup with confocal microscope positioned above head-fixed fly. B: Schematic depicting an HS dendritic arbor, including primary and distal dendrites and the direction of anterograde versus retrograde transport. C-D: Image time series showing mitochondrial transport in distal (C) and primary (D) dendrites. Arrows indicate mitochondria moving in the anterograde (green) and retrograde (magenta) directions, along with an arrested mitochondrion (yellow). E-F: Average speed (E) and length (F) of mitochondria moving through primary or distal mitochondria in the anterograde (green) or retrograde (magenta) directions. Each dot represents an individual mitochondrion. The asterisk indicates a significant difference (one way ANOVA, post-hoc Tukey's test). G: Mitochondrial linear flux rates (number of mitochondria per minute) in primary dendrites in the anterograde (green) and retrograde (magenta) directions. Dots represent individual primary dendrites. There is no significant difference in anterograde versus retrograde linear flux (T-test).

Figure 3: Stimulus-evoked calcium signals do not affect mitochondrial motility in HS dendrites. A: Experimental setup. Neurons are imaged by confocal microscopy while a visual stimulus is presented on a screen in front of the fly. The visual stimulus is squave wave gratings moving in the preferred direction (front-to-back across one eye) for HS neurons. B: Calcium responses to the motion stimulus (GCaMP6f, left) and mitochondria (mitoDsRed, right) in an HS dendritic branch. Yellow arrows indicate a moving mitochondrion. C-D: Mitochondrial speeds (C) and linear flux rates (D) when the visual stimulus was OFF (gray) versus ON (yellow). Dots indicate instantaneous speeds measured between successive frames (C) or average linear flux rates for individual flies (D).

A scaling rules

[1] motility arrest $k_s \sim 1/r^\beta$

[2] transport at branch points $p \sim r^2$

motile mitochondria

[3] parent-daughter $r_0^\alpha = r_1^\alpha + r_2^\alpha$

r_1 r_2 r_0

[4] sister subtree $r^2 \sim$ subtree size

B HS dendrite skeleton

l_2 r_2 node r_1 l_1 r_0 l_0

v^+ motile mitochondrion v^- $k_{s,i}$ k_w stationary mitochondrion

C uniform transport ($\beta = 0$)

mito density asymmetry

$r^2 \sim$ eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D
$\alpha =$ 2   3/2   3

D distal enrichment

$r^2 \sim$ eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D
$\alpha =$ 2   3/2   3

E non-uniform transport ($\beta = 2$)

mito density asymmetry

$r^2 \sim$ eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D
$\alpha =$ 2   3/2   3

F distal enrichment

$r^2 \sim$ eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D   eq. L L/D
$\alpha =$ 2   3/2   3

Figure 4: A mean field model for mitochondrial distributions recapitulates experimental measurements when dendrites obey specific scaling rules. A: Scaling rules included in the model. Rule 1: Mitochondrial arrest rates scale with dendrite radius according to $k_s \sim 1/r^\beta$. Rule 2: Mitochondria split at branch points according to the cross-sectional area of each daughter branch. Rule 3: Parent (r_0) and daughter (r_1 and r_2) radii scale according to $r_0^\alpha = r_1^\alpha + r_2^\alpha$. Rule 4: Sister subtrees scale such that thicker trunks support proportionally larger subtrees. Subtree size can be subtree volume, length, or bushiness, where bushiness is length/depth. B: In the model, dendrites are binary trees in which each junction node connects a parent edge to two daughter edges (center panel). Each edge is a cylinder with fixed radius (r) along its entire length (l). The connectivity and length of each edge are extracted from real HS dendrite skeletons (left panel); radii are set according to various forms of parent-daughter and sister-subtree scaling rules (Rules 3 and 4). Within this dendritic structure, mitochondria can move persistently in either the anterograde (v^+) or retrograde (v^-) direction, as well as arresting and initiating motility (right panel). For simplicity, we assume a constant motility initiation rate (k_w) and constant velocities v^+ and v^-; arrest rates vary according to $k_s \sim 1/r^\beta$ (Rule 1). At branch points, motile mitochondria move into daughter branches according to their cross-sectional areas (Rule 2). C-F: Model results. Dendrite topologies (edge length and connectivity for each dendritic branch) were extracted from HS skeletons traced through ssTEM images (circles, N = 6 dendrites, Michael Reiser, unpublished results) or previously published HS dendrite reconstructions (squares, N = 20 dendrites, Cuntz et al 2013). Mitochondrial density asymmetry across sister subtrees (C,E) and distal mitochondrial enrichment (D,F) were calculated for $\beta = 0$ (C,D) or $\beta = 2$ (E,F), $\alpha = 2$ (cyan), 3/2 (red), or 3 (purple), and sister subtree scaling with subtree trunks splitting according to $r_1 = r_2$ (eq.), $r^2 \sim L$, or $r^2 \sim L/D$. The mitochondrial density asymmetry is the root-mean squared asymmetry across sister subtrees ST1 and ST2, where asymmetry = (ST1-ST2)/(ST1+ST2). Distal enrichment is mitochondrial density in the distal-most dendritic branches, defined as branches with path distance from the root node >= 75% of the maximum path distance, divided by the mitochondrial density in the primary dendrite. Box plots show the median, interquartile range, and 1.5x the interquartile range.

Figure 5: Motile mitochondria split according to dendrite thickness at asymmetric branch points. A: Trajectories of mitochondria moving in the anterograde direction from a parent branch (P) into one of two daughter branches (D1 and D2). Trajectory colors indicate mitochondria that moved into daughter one (D1, yellow) or daughter two (D2, blue). B: Asymmetry in daughter branch radii squared plotted versus asymmetry in mitochondrial linear flux rates; asymmetry = (D1-D2)/(D1+D2), N = 26 branch points. C: Mitochondrial flux rates — linear flux rates (mitochondria/minute) divided by dendrite cross-sectional area — in parent (P) and daughter (D) branches; n.s. indicates no significant difference (T test).

Figure 6: HS dendrites obey dendritic scaling rules. A-B: MCFO image of three HS dendrites (A) and extracted skeletons and radii (B). C: Box plots showing sister subtree asymmetries in trunk thickness (r^2), length (L), depth (D), bushiness (B), and volume (V). Black lines, boxes, and whiskers indicate the median, interquartile range, and 1.5 times the interquartile range, respectively, and each gray dot indicates the average value for a single cell (N = 10 HS dendrites). D-F: HS dendrites follow parent-daughter scaling $r_0^\alpha = r_1^\alpha + r_2^\alpha$, with $\alpha = 2.2$ (D), and sister subtree scaling with subtree volume proportional to subtree length (E) and trunk thickness (r^2) proportional to subtree bushiness (F). N = 649 branch points from 10 dendrites.

Figure 7: Equitable mitochondrial distributions are robust to changes in transport scaling.
A: Dendrite diameters plotted versus mitochondrial arrest rate k_s. The dashed line indicates the best fit, with $k_s \sim 1/r^{1.3}$. B-C: Model results showing average distal mitochondrial enrichment (B) and mitochondrial density asymmetry across sister subtrees (C) calculated as a function of α (parent-daughter scaling) and β (transport scaling). HS dendrite topologies were extracted from MCFO images (N = 10 cells) and dendrite radii were set according to sister subtree scaling rule $r^2 \sim L/D$, as well as power law parent-daughter scaling with the indicated range of values for α.

Figure S1: Mitochondrial morphologies and localization patterns in HS neurons.
A: TEM images showing mitochondria (green, cyan, magenta) in an HS dendrite
(yellow). B: 3D reconstruction of mitochondria based on ssTEM images. Black arrow-
heads point to different portions of a single branched mitochondrion. C: Mitochondri-
al volumes in axons (top, N = 53 mitochondria from 5 cells) and dendrites (bottom, N =
48 mitochondria from 5 cells). The blue arrow indicates the volume of the large mito-
chondrion shown in B. Dashed lines indicate median mitochondrial volumes (0.40µm^3
in axons; 0.54µm^3 in dendrites). D: Total mitochondrial densities for six HS neurons;
error bars are 95% bootstrap confidence intervals. E: Mitochondrial densities in axons
versus dendrites. F-G: Mitochondrial density plotted versus distance from the cell soma
in axons (F) and dendrites (G). Colors indicate HS subclasses (magenta = HSN; blue =
HSE; and green = HSS). Asterisks indicate significant differences (paired t-test;

Figure S2: Anterogrde mitochondrial motility in primary and distal HS dendrites. Mitochondrial arrest rates (A), linear flux (B), dendrite thickness (C), and flux (D) in primary versus distal HS dendrites. Mitochondrial arrest rate is the fraction of mitochondria that arrested motility per branch. Each dot represents average metric per fly, N = 39 (primary dendrites) or 26 (distal dendrites) flies.

Figure S3: Visual stimulus-evoked calcium responses in HS primary and distal dendrites.
A: Two-photon microscopy images of calcium (RGECO1a) signals in HS distal (top row) and primary (bottom row) dendrites before (baseline, left column) and during (stimulus ON, center column) visual stimulus presentation. The images on the right show the difference between the left and center images (ΔF = stimulus ON - baseline). The visual stimulus was a square wave grating moving in the preferred direction for HS neurons. B: RGECO1a responses to visual stimulation in primary (purple) and distal (orange) dendrites, plotted over time. The dashed lines indicate when the stimulus was on. C: Stimulus-evoked RGECO1a response amplitudes in primary and distal dendrites. Individual dots indicate average response amplitudes in one fly; dashed lines connect measurements in the primary and distal dendrites in the same fly. Asterisks indicte a significant difference (p<0.001, paired T test).

Figure 4: Model mitochondrial distributions in synthetic dendritic trees. A: Representative examples of synthetic trees with random (four left images) or symmetric (right) topologies. B-E: Model results. Mitochondrial density asymmetry across sister subtrees (B,C) and distal mitochondrial enrichment (D,E) were calculated for $\beta = 0$ (B,D) or $\beta = 2$ (C,E), $\alpha = 2$ (cyan), 3/2 (red), or 3 (purple), and sister subtree scaling with subtree trunks splitting according to $r_1 = r_2$ (eq.), $r^2 \sim L$, or $r^2 \sim L/D$. N = 19 synthetic arbors (circles) and 1 symmetric arbor (stars). F: HS dendrites with radii that obey Rall's parent-daughter scaling ($\alpha = 3/2$); $\beta = 0$. The parameter z^* determines the ratio of sister subtree trunk thickness ($r1$ and $r2$) at each branch point (see Supplemental Methods). Red circles indicate branch points for which there is no solution for equal sister subtree densities. For high values of z^* (left), there are many junctions with no solution. As z^* approaches 1 (right), there are fewer junctions with no solution. However, arbor morphologies become unrealistic, with many thin dendrites branching from a single thick branch.

simulations

$< c_{ST1} >= 43.0 \pm 1.6$

$< c_{ST2} >= 51.5 \pm 1.0$

analytic calculations

$< c_{ST1} >= 51.55$

$< c_{ST2} >= 51.55$

Figure S5: Numerical simulations of mitochondrial distributions. A: Simulated mitochondrial densities in a small synthetic arbor scaled according to Da Vinci's rule ($\alpha = 2$), sister subtree splitting with L~V, and transport scaling with $\beta = 2$. Total mitochondrial densities in the two largest subtrees ($<c_{ST1}>$ and $<c_{ST2}>$) are indicated on the plot. B: Analytical calculations of mito- chondrial densities for the same arbor as in A. Note that whereas mitochondria are equitably distributed across ST1 and ST2 in the analytical solution, stochastic effects result in asymmetry in the simulated results. C-D: Mitochondrial densities were simulated for 10 synthetic arbors, and mitochondrial density asymmetry (C) and distal enrichment (D) were measured for two parent-daughter scaling rules: Rall's law ($\langle = 3/2$) and Da Vinci's rule ($\langle = 2$). Sister subtrees split with L~V, and transport scaled with $\beta = 2$. Box plots indicate the mean and interquartile range for simulation results; dashed lines indicate average values for numerical solutions for the same arbors.

Figure S6: HS dendrites do not obey sister subtree scaling with r² ~ subtree length or depth. A-B: Measurements of HS dendrites sister subtree asymmetries (N = 649 branch points from 10 HS dendrites). Trunk thickness (r²) asymmetry is more weakly correlated with length (A) or depth (B) asymmetry than with bushiness asymmetry (Figure 6F). C-F: Sister subtree correlations in a synthetic tree. Branch radii were set according to parent-daughter scaling with α = 2 and sister subtree scaling with L~V, plus a gaussian noise term (see Methods). The noise has a small effect on measurements of L~V scaling (C), and a larger effect on r²~L/D scaling (D). Subtree length (E) and depth (F) asymmetries show weaker correlations with r² asymmetry, as in the experimental results (A-B).

Figure S7: Model mitochondrial distributions in dendrites that obey different scaling rules. A-D: Average mitochondrial distal enrichment (A,C) and density asymmetry (B,D) calculated as a function of α (parent-daughter scaling) and β (transport scaling) for dendrites that obey sister subtree scaling with $r_1 = r_2$ (equitable splitting; A-B) or $r^2 \sim L$ (C-D). Green dashed lines indicate 95% confidence intervals for experimental measurements of α and β. E-F: Average distal enrichment (E) and density asymmetry (F) calculated as a function of β, for $r^2 \sim L/D$ and parent-daughter scaling with (red) or without (blue) a minimum radius (r_m): $r_0^2 + r_m^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2$. Including the minimum radius leads to less distal enrichment (E) and greater density asymmetry (F).