1 **Impacts and mechanisms of CO$_2$ narcosis in bumble bees: Narcosis depends on dose, caste**
2 **and mating status and is not induced by anoxia**
3

4 Anna Cressman & Etya Amsalem
5
6 Department of Entomology, Center for Chemical Ecology, Center for Pollination Research,
7 Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802,
8 USA.

9
10

Abstract. Carbon dioxide (CO_2) is commonly used to immobilize insects and to induce reproduction in bees. However, despite its wide use and potential off-target impacts, its underlying mechanisms are not fully understood. Here we used *Bombus impatiens* to examine whether CO_2 impacts are mediated by anoxia and whether these mechanisms differ between female castes or following mating.

We examined the behavior, physiology, and gene expression of workers, mated and virgin queens following exposure to anoxia, hypoxia, full and partial hypercapnia, and control. Hypercapnia and anoxia caused immobilization, but only hypercapnia resulted in behavioral, physiological, and molecular impacts in bees. Recovery from hypercapnia resulted in increased abdominal contractions and took longer in queens. Additionally, hypercapnia activated queens'- but inhibited workers' ovaries in a dose-dependent manner and caused a depletion of fat-body lipids in both. All responses of hypercapnia were weaker following mating in queens. Analysis of gene expression related to hypoxia and hypercapnia supported the physiological findings in queens, demonstrating that the overall impacts of CO_2, excluding virgin queen ovaries, were unique and were not induced by anoxia. This study contributes to our understanding of the impacts and the mechanistic basis of CO_2 narcosis in insects and its impacts on bees' physiology.

Keywords: carbon dioxide, insects, reproduction, metabolism, anesthesia

Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO_2) is a prevalent gas present in the atmosphere that can vary in concentration in different microclimates. Low concentrations of CO_2 are often used as an attractant or repellent and are identified by designated receptors in insects (1). They can guide host plant finding and increase foraging efficiency when flowers are open in herbivores (2), nest digging in ants (3, 4) and nest maintenance behaviors in social bees and termites (5, 6). In contrast, hypercapnic concentrations of CO_2 can result in pervasive physiological, molecular, and behavioral changes (2, 7). Full hypercapnia (100% CO_2) is a commonly used anesthetic during experimental procedures in insects but may result in unwanted side effects. Despite its wide use and importance in insects, the mechanisms underlying CO_2's mode of action are not fully understood.

CO_2 narcosis has pleiotropic impacts on insect physiology and behavior. These effects have been documented in multiple orders including Orthoptera (8), Diptera (9, 10), Hemiptera (11), and Hymenoptera (12-15). CO_2 narcosis in *Drosophila melanogaster* resulted in changes in fecundity and longevity (10) and reduced climbing behavior (16). CO_2 also inhibited feeding and drinking in *Empoasca devastans* (11), and reduced social aggregation in *Locusta migratoria* (8, 16). In addition, CO_2 treatment has specific impacts on insect reproduction, some are being used for practical purposes, such as initiating egg laying in queen bees (17, 18). However, these impacts are not limited to stimulation and may also include suppression of reproduction in some species. For example, exposure to CO_2 led to a reduction in insemination frequency in *Glossina* females (19), suppressed ovarian development in adult *Tribolium castaneum* (20), reduced egg laying in *Pyrrhocoris apterus* (21), and inhibited ovary activation in honey bee workers (14, 15, 22). Similar changes following CO_2 were found in the gene expression profile of multiple species, demonstrating changes in genes related to vitellogenesis (23-25), insulin and juvenile hormone (JH) signaling pathways (23) and oxidative stress (14, 23).

Earlier studies examining the mechanisms underlying CO_2 suggested that it affects the nervous system through rapid changes in intracellular pH (2). While the membrane acidity was shown to increase following CO_2 in numerous species (26-28), it has never been directly linked to the

unique effect of CO_2 and can be caused by several potential mechanisms. One of these is

hypoxia, whereby CO_2 operates via the lack of oxygen (2, 29-31). This too could lead to acidic

intracellular pH; however, the data are controversial. In termites, both CO_2 and hypoxic

conditions increased the reproductive output in *Reticulitermes speratus* (32) and also induced

similar changed in reproductive-related genes (33). On the other hand, a nitrogen treatment

(anoxia) failed to induce a shift from a gregarious to a solitary state in *Locusta migratoria*, as did

CO_2 (8). The same was found in crayfish where a nitrogen treatment did not produce the same

behavioral (avoidance response) and physiological (increase in heart and ventilatory rates)

responses caused by CO_2 (34). Finally, in cricket larvae, some of the impacts of CO_2 could be

mimicked by nitrogen (feeding and drinking inhibition), but not all of them (e.g., metabolic rate)

(29).

Another hypothesis proposed that CO_2 operates directly on the central nervous system, but how

exactly this works and whether the mechanism is conserved across species remain open. Studies

in *Drosphila melanogaster* and crayfish demonstrated that CO_2 blocks glutamate receptors at the

neuromuscular junction (NMJ) and through inhibition of recruiting motor neurons within the

CNS (28, 35). In crayfish, NMJ responds to exogenous glutamate and to saline that was adjusted

to pH 5.0 by depolarization. However, the synaptic transmission is shut down in response to

CO_2-saturated water and does not respond to either exogenous glutamate or to acidic pH (5.0).

Similar evidence for CO_2 blocking the glutamate receptors were obtained also in Drosophila

(28). There, it was also shown that CO_2 has actions not only in the CNS, but also in the

periphery.

Additional studies provided more information about the cascade process between CO_2

administration and its downstream effects. First, CO_2 narcosis is followed by changes in brain

biogenic amines. Levels of dopamine, tryptophan, and tyrosine in pollen-fed honey bee workers

were significantly reduced following CO_2 compared to untreated controls, whereas in caged

queens, dopamine levels decreased in 45% (36). Levels of octopamine, content of cAMP, and

sensitivity of their receptors were modified also in *Locusta migratoria* following CO_2 narcosis

(30). Second, JH, a gonadotropin in most insects, was shown to spike following CO_2 narcosis in

bumble bees (23), and when young queens were fed with JH inhibitor, CO_2 had no effect on their

ovaries and fat body macronutrient amounts as compared to controls (Barie, Levin, Amsalem,

submitted). Combined, these changes likely result from the neural changes and the decrease in

intracellular pH caused by CO_2 and lead to the diverse behavioral and physiological effects

observed downstream. However, the cascade of reactions and the overall mechanism are not

fully understood.

In this study we examined whether CO_2 causes immobilization and transition to reproduction by

inducing anoxia and whether these mechanisms differ between female castes or following mating

using the social bumble bee *Bombus impatiens*. This bee goes through an annual life cycle where

a single mated queen produces workers until the nest reaches several hundreds of bees, and then

switches to produce virgin queens that will mate and go into a winter diapause (37). The effects

of CO_2 were extensively studied in bees where it induced a transition to reproduction in honey

bee and bumble bee queens (17, 18) and inhibition of reproduction in honey bee workers (38). It

was further shown to induce a metabolic shift in bumble bee queens, reducing lipid levels in the

fat body and increasing glycogen and protein in the ovaries (14, 23) (Barie, Levin, Amsalem,

submitted), as well as increasing the hemolymph levels of JH (23). The effects of CO_2 on queen

metabolism persist even when queen's ovaries were removed but did not persist when queens

were fed with a JH inhibitor (Barie, Levin, Amsalem, submitted), suggesting that CO_2 impacts

are mediated via JH and its effect on reproduction is secondary. It was also shown that when

combined with cold storage, the CO_2's effect on reproduction in queens diminished with the

length of cold storage (39), showing once again that the effect on reproduction is a byproduct of

other processes. CO_2 also increased aggression and flight behavior in virgin queens (14) and

changed the gene expression profile of the queen's following administration (14, 23). However,

data on CO_2 impacts throughout the different queen life stages and in workers are lacking.

Altogether, bumble bees are an excellent system model to examine the mechanisms underlying

CO_2's mode of action.

To examine whether CO_2 is induced by anoxia, we assigned workers, virgin, and mated queens

to anoxia, hypoxia, and full and partial hypercapnia, as compared to controls and examined the

behavior, physiology, and gene expression of bees. We hypothesize that if full hypercapnia and

the lack of oxygen (anoxia) have different effects on bees, then CO_2 is acting uniquely. However,

if hypercapnia and anoxia have the same effects, then CO_2 is operating by inducing anoxia.

Methods

Bees and treatments. Colonies were obtained from Koppert Biological Systems (Howell, MI)

and maintained in environmental chambers under darkness, 28-30° C, 60% relative humidity,

and supplied with *ad libitum* 60% sugar solution and fresh pollen purchased from Koppert.

Newly-emerged workers and gynes (< 24 hours old and distinguished by their silvery

appearance) were collected from multiple source colonies (n=12), tagged with a colored number,

and randomly assigned a cage (11 cm diameter and 7 cm high). Workers were kept in groups of

three while gynes were kept alone. Cages were randomly assigned to one of five gas treatments

including full hypercapnia (FH, 100% CO_2), partial hypercapnia (PH, 50% CO_2, 50% N_2),

hypoxia (HY, 14% O_2, 86% N_2), anoxia (AN, 100% N_2), and control (C, no gas exposure). Gas

treatments were conducted for one full minute of air flow into a nearly sealed plastic cage.

Queens and workers typically lose mobilization within seconds when exposed to full

hypercapnia, partial hypercapnia, and anoxia, but do not lose mobility in hypoxic or control

conditions. The seal was left on the container for an additional 30 minutes after exposure,

allowing the cage to reach an equilibrium with the ambient air and was removed afterwards.

Following treatments, cages were placed back in the environmental chamber.

Workers: 534 newly-emerged workers (the split of sample size to treatments and variables is

provided in Table S1) were collected and kept in group of three. In the first experiment ("single

treatment"), workers (n=309) were treated with gas on day one of age and were kept for six- and

ten-days post treatment. Following recovery from the gas treatment, workers were immediately

observed for abdominal contractions and for aggressive behavior between nestmates for 20 min

per day on days 1-6. They were then stored in -80 ℃ until further analyses. Six-day-old workers

were examined for ovary activation, fat body lipid amount, and head gene expression, and 10-

day-old workers were examined for total number of eggs laid per cage. The second experiment

with workers ("multiple treatments", n=225) was identical to the first one with two differences:

first, workers were treated daily on days 1-6 of age to account for age of exposure being a

possible factor in the effectiveness of the gas treatment, and second, workers were frozen at the

age of 7 instead of 6 days to allow them more time to activate their ovaries. The workers of the

second experiment were examined for ovarian activation, fat body lipid amount and head gene

expression.

Queens: Newly emerged queens (n=192, Table S1) were kept in individual cages for six days

and were introduced into a mating arena at the age of 6-11 days. Queens were put back in their

individual cages following mating (mated) or unsuccessful attempt to mate (virgin). Mating

procedure is described below. Queens were randomly assigned to a gas treatment 24 hours post-

mating or the attempt to mate and were observed for abdominal contractions immediately after

recovery. Queens were kept for additional ten days post treatment, resulting in all queens being

17-22 days old at the completion of the experiment. Queens were stored in -80 ℃ and were

examined for ovary activation, egg laying, fat body lipids and head gene expression.

Mating. At the age of six days, queens were placed in a mating arena (35 x 12 x 12 cm) with 3-4

males for every queen. Queens were given a three-hour window to mate, during which they were

constantly observed. If queens did not mate, a similar attempt was conducted daily until a

successful mating was observed or the queen reached the age of 11 days. This range was chosen

based on the optimal age for mating found in our previous study (40). The arena was checked

every 15 minutes to observe for mating pairs and pairs were removed while mating and placed

back into an individual cage. The pair remains connected in their genitals for approximately 30

minutes and males were removed from the cage upon the completion of mating. Whenever a

mated queen was sampled, a same-age unmated queen (virgin) was removed from the arena and

sampled as well.

Abdominal contractions. Abdominal contractions (AC) are performed by insects to facilitate gas

exchange throughout the body (41, 42), and typically start upon recovery from anesthesia. The

number of abdominal contractions was video-recorded and quantified for 20 minutes in queens

and workers from the first movement and only in treatments that caused immobility (full

hypercapnia, partial hypercapnia, and anoxia). We also quantified the time it took bees to enter

anesthesia (in seconds) and the recovery time from anesthesia (in minutes). Recovery time

differed between treatments and between queens and workers (see results), and therefore

recording began at different timepoints after the gas treatments.

Aggression in worker groups. Aggressive behavior in workers was observed on days 1-6

following exposure in workers of experiment 1 (single treatment). Each cage was observed for

20 minutes per day under red light between 8:00-12:00 AM. We recorded and summed four

aggressive behaviors typically seen in queen-less groups of *Bombus impatiens* (14): humming (a

series of wing vibrations lasting less than 3 s), climbing (one bee crawls on top of another bee),

darting (a sudden movement in the direction of another bee) and attacking (biting, pushing,

dragging by legs or wings, and an attempt to sting). The identity of the bee performing and

receiving each behavior was recorded. The total aggressive behaviors performed by individual

workers during days 1-6 was used in further analyses. Queens were not observed for aggression

since they were kept alone.

Egg laying. Cages of workers at the age 10 days (first experiment) and queen cages were

checked daily for newly constructed egg cells. Ten days after the gas treatment, egg cells were

carefully opened using a micro spatula. Eggs were counted and summed for each cage.

Ovarian activation. All workers and queens were dissected under stereomicroscope. The three

largest ovarioles were measured using an ocular scale (mm) and at least one ovariole per ovary

was measured as in previous studies (14, 23) . Mean terminal oocyte length was used in further

analyses.

Fat body lipid analysis. Worker and queen fat bodies were dissected out and homogenized in 5

ml of 2% sodium sulfate, as in (14). 200 μl of the homogenate were transferred to a new vial,

with 2.8 ml of a 1:1 chloroform-methanol solution. Vials were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3000

rpm to separate the lower and the upper phases. The supernatant was transferred to a new vial,

where 2 ml of distilled water were added, and samples were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3000

rpm. The bottom layer (lipids) was separated from the remaining fraction and was placed on a

heating plate at 100° C to evaporate the solvent. Lipid amount was determined by using a

vanillin-phosphoric reaction (14). A standard curve was created using five different

concentrations of vegetable oil in chloroform. Absorbance values (OD 525) were determined

using Biotek Synergy LX plate reader and converted into micrograms per bee based on the

standard curve equation. The amounts of lipids were normalized for the tissue mass, which was

measured on electronic scale prior to analysis, and are presented as percentages.

Gene expression. The effect of gas treatments on gene expression levels was quantified for six

candidate genes and three treatments (full hypercapnia, anoxia and control) in the two

experiments of workers and in the virgin and mated queens (see Table S1 for sample size).

Analysis included three genes that were regulated by CO_2 in previous studies (*vitellogenin*,

FOXO, and *PHGP*) (14, 43), and three hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) that were regulated by

the lack of oxygen in previous studies (44, 45). Vitellogenin (*vit*) codes for the major egg yolk

protein in the ovaries (46) and has been shown to be upregulated in CO_2 treated queens

compared to the non-treated controls (23). *FOXO* is a conserved transcription factor that

regulates insulin and insulin-like growth factor signaling. It has been shown to be downregulated

after CO_2 treatment. Finally, *PHGP* is a gene coding to an antioxidant enzyme and was

previously shown (together with other antioxidant enzymes) to be downregulated in queens in

response to hypercapnia (14). HIF-1α (*sima*) and β (*tango*) are two units of a transcription factor

involved in the response to low oxygen concentration and *fatiga* is part of a family of prolyl

hydroxylases, which plays a vital role in the degradation of HIF-1α. All three genes are expected

to be regulated in response to anoxia (45). Design of the forward and reverse primers for each

gene was done using primerBLAST. The list of primers and accession numbers is provided in

Table S2. Genes with multiple variants had primers designed to target the most conserved region

between the sequences. RNA was extracted from queen and worker heads using the RNeasy mini

kit according to the manufactural instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Queens' RNA was

extracted from individual samples whereas heads of workers from the same cage were pooled

together. 600 ng of RNA was used for cDNA synthesis with Reverse Transcriptase (Applied

Biosystems). After PCR, cDNA was diluted using 85 µl of nuclease free water and stored at -20°

C until real time PCR was performed. Gene expression was measured using QuantStudio 5 real-

time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). 2µ of the diluted cDNA were used in a reaction with 5

µl SYBR Green, 0.2 µl of the forward and reverse primers, and 4.6 µl of nuclease free water.

Arginine kinase and *Phospholipase A2* were used as housekeeping genes to control for PCR

efficiency and differences across samples. These control genes have been used in previous

studies with *Bombus impatiens* (47). Each reaction was performed in triplicate and averaged for

data analysis. Each plate had a water control and a negative control (cDNA reaction without

Reverse Transcriptase enzyme). Expression levels of each gene were normalized using the

geometric means of the two housekeeping genes using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ technique.

Statistical Analysis. All statistical analyses were performed in JMP Pro 16. The time to enter

anesthesia, the recovery time following anesthesia and the number of abdominal contractions

were compared using Two-way ANOVA mixed model with caste (virgin, mated, workers) and

treatment (FH, PH, and AN) as fixed effect and colony and cage as random factors. The sum of

aggressive behavior in workers was compared using Kruskal Wallis test followed by multiple

comparison test for each pair using Wilcoxon method. Ovarian activation, fat-body lipid amount,

the total egg production and gene expression levels were compared using ANOVA mixed model

with colony as a random effect (in queens) or with colony and cage as random effects (in

workers). Gene expression data were log transformed prior to analysis. Post-hoc comparison was

performed using Tukey HSD test. Statistical significance was accepted at α=0.05. Data are

presented as means ± S.E.M

Results

The time it took bees to become immobile, the recovery time from the gas treatments and the

number of abdominal contractions bees exhibited upon recovery were only observed following

recovery from anoxia (AN), full and partial hypercapnia (FH, PH), because hypoxia (HY) or

control did not result in anesthesia. The time to enter anesthesia differed between all treatments

(Two-way ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{2,158}=134.3$, p<0.0001 followed by Tukey HSD p<0.0001)

and between workers and virgin queens ($F_{2,158}=5.18$, p=0.006 followed by Tukey HSD p=0.004).

Losing mobility was the fastest following FH compared to the other treatments, and workers lost

mobility quicker than queens following FH but slower than queens following AN (Fig. 1, Table

S3 for means, S.E.M and statistical tests). The recovery time following anesthesia also differed

between all treatments ($F_{2,158}=72.6$, p<0.0001 followed by Tukey HSD p<0.0001) and between

workers and queens ($F_{2,158}=50.1$, p<0.0001 followed by Tukey HSD p<0.001). Workers were

faster to recover compared to queens, but recovery from FH took longer than the other

treatments. Finally, we quantified the number of abdominal contractions (AC) in 20 minutes

beginning with the first movement across treatments and castes. Here, differences were found

between treatments ($F_{2,158}$=14.8, p<0.0001 followed by Tukey HSD p<0.03 for all groups) but

not between castes ($F_{2,158}$=1.16, p=0.31), with the highest AC following PH, then FH and the

lowest AC following AN.

The effect of the gas treatments on aggression was examined in groups of workers that were

treated a single time on the day of emergence. Comparison of all groups showed nearly-

significant increase in aggression following CO_2 (Kruskal Wallis test, x^2_4=8.98, p=0.06 followed

by significant differences between FH and the treatments PH (p=0.037), HY (p=0.004) and

control (p=0.029), but not with AN (p=0.07) (Fig. 2).

Worker ovary activation was not affected by a single treatment of CO_2 (ANOVA Mixed model,

$F_{4,140}$=0.25, p=0.96, Figure 3A), but was affected by the treatment in the multiply-treated

workers (ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,\,70}$=20.2, p < 0.0001; followed by a Tukey post-hoc test

p<0.001 for FH vs. HY and control, PH vs. HY and control, and p<0.04 for An vs. PH, HY and

control, Fig 3B). Ovarian activation was also affected by the treatment in the virgin queens

(ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,95}$=19.3, p<0.001, Fig 3C), where both full and partial hypercapnia

treatments differed significantly from the remaining treatments (p<0.001), but not from the

anoxia treatment (p>0.05) which displayed intermediate levels of ovarian activation as compared

to hypercapnia and control. In mated queen, the data show a similar pattern to the ones of virgin

queens with significant effect of the treatment (ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,87}$=4.7, p=0.0013

followed by Tukey post- hoc test between=0.03 for AN vs. FH; p=0.009 for FH vs. control, and

p<0.001 for FH vs. HY (Fig. 3D). Egg laying was observed in all 10-days old worker cages that

were treated once regardless of treatment (n=50), but only in a small fraction of the queen cages

(7.7% of all queens, n=154, data not shown). This has no practical meaning since queen-less

workers start laying eggs within approximately 7 days from emergence, while queens require 2-3

weeks to initiate a colony following CO_2 treatment. Thus, 10-days old workers had more time to

lay eggs compared to the queens who were sampled 10 days after the gas treatments. Egg laying

in workers did not significantly differ across treatments (ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,45}$=1.8,

p=0.15). In mated queens, two queens laid eggs (in full hypercapnia and anoxia treatments) and

in virgin queens, ten queens laid eggs, eight of them in the full and partial hypercapnia

treatments. These results are in line with the stronger impact of CO_2 on the virgin queen ovaries

compared to the mated queens.

Lipid percentage in the fat body was overall lower in workers (on average of 4%) compared to

queens (on average of 20%). The treatment did not affect the lipid percentage of workers who

were treated once (ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,45}=1.3$, p=0.297, Fig. 3a), but did affect workers

who were treated multiple times (ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,30}=3.79$, p=0.013 followed by a

Tukey post-hoc test p=0.05 between AN and PH vs. C; and p=0.02 between FH vs. C, Fig. 3b).

Virgin and mated queens showed similar pattern of response to the treatments with lower lipid

percentages in FH and PH compared to the other treatments, but the differences were significant

only in the virgin queens (ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,59}=3.8$, p=0.009 followed by lower lipids in

FH compared to control (p=0.023), AN (p=0.042) and HY (p=0.035), fig. 4C) ,while the

differences in the mated queens were insignificant (ANOVA Mixed model, $F_{4,45}=1.77$, p=0.15,

Fig 4D).

Gene expression of six candidate genes, previously shown to be regulated by CO_2 (*vitellogenin*,

FOXO and *PHGP*), or by anoxia (*sima, tango, and fatiga*) (45) were tested across treatments in

workers, virgin and mated queens. Analysis focused on comparing the control, full hypercapnia,

and anoxic treatments. In workers, there was no significant effect of treatment on either the HIF

or the CO_2 genes. This was the case in workers that were treated once (ANOVA Mixed model

for *fatiga*: $F_{2,15}=1.45$, p=0.27; for *tango*: $F_{2,15}=0.55$, p=59; for *sima*: $F_{2,15}=1.16$, p=0.34; for

PHPG: $F_{2,15}=0.8$, p=0.46; for *FOXO*: $F_{2,15}=0.27$, p=77; for *vitellogenin*: $F_{2,15}=1.1$, p=0.35, data

not shown), and also in workers that were treated multiple times (ANOVA Mixed model for

fatiga: $F_{2,14.4}=1.98$, p=0.17; for *tango*: $F_{2,14.2}=2.6$, p=0.11; for *sima*: $F_{2,14.5}=2.1$, p=0.16; for

PHGP: $F_{2,12.6}=3.5$, p=0.06; for *FOXO*: $F_{2,14.5}=2.2$, p=0.15; for *vitellogenin*: $F_{2,13.4}=0.41$, p=0.67,

Fig. 5A). Queen groups were combined, as there was no effect of mating on gene expression

levels. In queens, there was a significant effect of treatment on gene expression level in each of

the HIF genes (ANOVA Mixed model for *fatiga*: $F_{2,30}=3.5$, p=0.04; for *tango*: $F_{2,29}=3.5$, p=0.04;

for *sima*: $F_{2,27}=9.08$, p=0.0009). Additionally, there were significant effects of treatment on the

expression levels of *FOXO* and *PHGP*, but not *vitellogenin* (ANOVA Mixed model for *PHGP*:

$F_{2,20}=4.8$, p=0.02; for *FOXO*: $F_{2,29}=5$, p=0.01, Fig. 5B).

Discussion

In this study, we examined the behavioral, physiological and gene expression effects caused by

different gas treatments in *Bombus impatiens* workers and queens. Our goal was to determine if

the effects caused by CO_2 narcosis are mediated through anoxia. Our data demonstrate that

overall, this is not the case. Hypercapnia, but not hypoxia or anoxia, caused immobilization in a

dose-dependent manner and the recovery from hypercapnia, but not from anoxia, was associated

with increased abdominal contraction behavior. Hypercapnia also had marginal impacts on

aggression in workers. Physiologically, hypercapnia affected ovarian activation and fat-body

lipids much strongly than hypoxia or anoxia. And finally, gene expression differences were

significant only in queens, likely due to the smaller sample size in workers. Contrary to our

expectations, the three genes associated with anoxia (*fatiga, tango* and *sima*) were

downregulated in queens following hypercapnia, but not following anoxia. And, as expected, two

out of the three genes associated with CO_2 in previous studies (*FOXO* and *PHGP*) were

significantly downregulated after hypercapnia in queens. Overall, the behavioral, physiological

and gene expression differences following hypercapnia were unique to CO_2 and were not

induced by anoxia. The only exceptions were the ovaries of virgin queens that were significantly

reduced by both CO_2 and anoxia, and lipid amount in the multiply-treated workers that were

reduced also following anoxia.

A second goal of this study was to understand the impacts of CO_2 across female castes and

following mating. Here we discovered that the recovery from hypercapnia was slower in queens

compared to workers, and that also workers exhibit increased aggression following CO_2 narcosis

(14), likely due to their increased ovary activation and competition. We found that full

hypercapnia had a stronger effect compared to partial hypercapnia, and that CO_2 impacts on

ovary activation were opposite in queens and workers, and also weaker following mating in

queens. We also noted that while queens respond to CO_2 narcosis, regardless of their age,

duration, and number of exposures (14), workers did not respond to CO_2 upon emergence and

require multiple exposures. Overall, the effects of CO_2 were dose-, caste-, and mating status

dependent. These results clarify the diverse effects of CO_2 in bumble bees, their regulation by

caste and mating, and preclude anoxia as a potential mechanism explaining how CO_2 operates.

Our findings demonstrated that the effects of CO_2 narcosis are not mediated through anoxia,

meaning that CO_2 has a unique impact on cells, leading to a reduction in extracellular pH either

by blocking glutamate receptors in the neuromuscular junction (28, 35) or by altering the levels

of other neurotransmitters and/or neuromodulators in the nervous system. Studying these

questions requires experimentation at the cell level rather than at the level of the entire organism.

Other neurotransmitters and neuromodulators that could be directly affected by CO_2 are

endogenous biogenic amines (BAs) such as dopamine, octopamine, serotonin and tyramine.

These low molecular substances are not only involved in reproduction and ovipositing in

numerous insects (48-56), but were also shown to be directly affected by CO_2. In honey bee

queens and workers, CO_2 narcosis was found to affect level of BAs in the brain (36) and also BA

receptors in both the ovaries and the brain (57). Selected BAs such as dopamine also correlate

with reproductive status in bees in a caste-dependent manner (55). Finally, BA levels can also

modify the level of JH (58) and explain its elevated levels following CO_2 narcosis (23).

Understanding these mechanisms can also clarify why the impacts of CO_2 are caste dependent in

both bumble bees (current study) and honey bees (15, 25, 59). If CO_2 activates network of genes

related to hormones and vitellogenesis that have decoupled during the evolution of social

behavior (60-62), then the downstream effects on worker and queen reproduction are easier to

explain. For example, if CO_2 regulates JH and JH decoupled during the evolution of sociality to

regulate reproduction in honey bee queens and task allocation in honey bee workers, its caste-

dependent effects are intuitive. However, while this explanation makes sense for honey bees, it

does not make sense in bumble bees, where JH maintained its gonadotropin role in both queens

and workers.

In contrast to its caste-dependent impact on reproduction, CO_2 causes a reduction in lipids in the

fat body of both queens and workers. Typically in insects that reproduce, lipid stores correlate

negatively with reproductive status, as lipids are reallocated to build the oocytes (63). However,

workers of social species who remain sterile may have low lipid reserves if they use them for

maintenance tasks that require energy, like foraging (64-66). Foragers show lower reproductive

capacity compared to house bees in both the honey bee (65) and bumble bees (60, 67). This may

explain how the same impact of CO_2 on lipid levels can translate into different reproductive

status in queens and workers. It also emphasizes that CO_2's primary effect on insect physiology

is on metabolism with reproduction being a secondary byproduct of it (Barie, Levin, Amsalem,

submitted). The metabolic shift caused by CO_2 was demonstrated in several species (14, 68, 69).

Focusing on the molecular mechanisms that affect metabolism and macronutrient allocation may

narrow down the possible mechanisms underlying CO_2 to explore. One candidate is the insulin

signaling pathway that could be triggered by neural changes and affect metabolism.

The weaker effects of CO_2 on mated queens were observed across all the parameters examined in

the study. Post-mating changes occur widely in insects (70-72) and include changes in immunity

(71, 73), stimulation of egg laying (74), and a decrease in the response to sex pheromones (70).

Since CO_2 also induces some of these changes in bumble bees (14), its impact following mating

may be redundant. It should be noted that the only effect of anoxia in this study was observed in

the ovary of virgin queens which could reflect a higher sensitivity to lack of oxygen during

earlier life stages. Interestingly, response to anoxia is indeed graded, tissue and age dependent

(75).

Overall, our data show that the behavioral, physiological and gene expression differences

following hypercapnia were unique to CO_2 and were not induced by anoxia, with the exception

of ovarian activation being affected by both hypercapnia and anoxia in virgin queens. We also

demonstrated that CO_2 affects queens and workers differently alongside a conserved effect of

CO_2 on lipid level in both castes and likely also across species. Our results contribute to

understanding of the mechanisms of CO_2 narcosis in bees. Further studies at the cell level could

help identify the molecular mechanisms underpinnings CO_2 effect on the neural system and how

these are translated into metabolic differences in insects.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Amsalem Lab for the thoughtful discussion and critical reading of

earlier drafts of the manuscript. We also thank undergraduate assistant Angelina Toral for her

assistance with this experiment.

Funding

434 This work was supported by the United States-Israel Binational Agricultural Research and

435 Development Fund [US-5182-19 to EA]

437 **Author's contributions**

438 AC and EA designed the experiments, AC collected and analyzed the data, AC and EA wrote the

439 manuscript.

References

1.   Kwon JY, Dahanukar A, Weiss LA, Carlson JR. The molecular basis of carbon dioxide reception in Drosophila. Proceeding of the Nationa Acadamy of Science USA. 2007;104(9):3574-8.

2.   Nicolas G, Sillans D. Immediate and latent effects of carbon dioxide on insects. Annual Review of Entomology. 1989;34(1):97-116.

3.   Hangartner W. Carbon dioxide, a releaser for digging behavior in Solenopsis geminata (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Psyche: A Journal of Entomology. 1969;76(1):58-67.

4.   Römer D, Halboth F, Bollazzi M, Roces F. Underground nest building: the effect of CO2 on digging rates, soil transport and choice of a digging site in leaf-cutting ants. Insectes Sociaux. 2018;65(2):305-13.

5.   Seeley TD. Atmospheric carbon dioxide regulation in honey-bee (Apis mellifera) colonies. J Insect Physiol. 1974;20(11):2301-5.

6.   Korb J. The architecture of termite mounds: a result of a trade-off between thermoregulation and gas exchange? Behavioral Ecology. 1999;10(3):312-6.

7.   Guerenstein PG, Hildebrand JG. Roles and effects of environmental carbon dioxide in insect life. Annu Rev Entomol. 2008;53(161-178):161-78.

8.   Fuzeau-Braesch S, Nicolas G, Baehr J-C, Porcheron P. A study of hormonal levels of the locust Locusta migratoria cinerascens artificially changed to the solitary state by a chronic CO2 treatment of one minute per day. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology. 1982;71(1):53-8.

9.   Barron AB. Anaesthetising Drosophila for behavioural studies. J Insect Physiol. 2000;46(4):439-42.

10.   Perron JM, Huot L, Corrivault GW, Chawla SS. Effects of carbon dioxide anaesthesia on Drosophila melanogaster. J Insect Physiol. 1972;18(10):1869-74.

11.   Kumar H, Saxena K. Mating behavior of the cotton leafhopper, Empoasca devastans, in relation to its age, ovarian development, diurnal cycle, and CO2 treatment. Annals of the Entomological Society of America. 1978;71(1):108-10.

12.   Stec D, Kuszewska K. CO2 narcosis influences the memory of honey bees. Journal of Apicultural Research. 2020;59(4):663-8.

13.   Czekońska K. The effect of different concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO2) in a mixture with air or nitrogen upon the survival of the honey bee (Apis mellifera). Journal of apicultural research. 2009;48(1):67-71.

14.   Amsalem E, Grozinger CM. Evaluating the molecular, physiological and behavioral impacts of CO2 narcosis in bumble bees (*Bombus impatiens*). J Insect Physiol. 2017;101:57-65.

15.   Berger B, Poiani SB, Roat TC, da Cruz-Landim C. Ovary development in honeybee (Apis mellifera L.) workers under CO2 narcosis, caged outside of the colony. Journal of Apicultural Science. 2015;59(1):51-8.

16.   Bartholomew NR, Burdett JM, VandenBrooks JM, Quinlan MC, Call GB. Impaired climbing and flight behaviour in Drosophila melanogaster following carbon dioxide anaesthesia. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15298.

17.   RÖSeler P-F. A technique for year-round rearing of Bombus terrestris (Apidae, Bombini) colonies in captivity. Apidologie. 1985;16(2):165-70.

18.   Mackensen O. Effect of carbon dioxide on initial oviposition of artificially inseminated
and virgin queen bees. J Econ Entomol. 1947;40(3):344-9.
19.   Moloo SK, Kutuza SB. Effects of carbon dioxide anaesthetic on Glossina. Acta Trop.
1975;32(2):159-65.
20.   Press J, Flaherty B, Arbogast R. Oöcyte maturation in Tribolium castaneum after
repetitive sublethal carbon dioxide exposures. Annals of the Entomological Society of America.
1973;66(2):480-1.
21.   Hodkowa M, FUZEAU-BARESCH S. Effet du gaz carbonique sur la reproduction de
Pyrrhocoris apterus sous deux régimes lumineux: inhibition de la reproduction en jours longs.
Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences Série 3, Sciences de la vie. 1988;306(3):121-4.
22.   Karsli BA, Gurel F. Effects of CO_2 narcosis on the onset of oviposition and colony
founding success of post diapausing bumblebee (Bombus terrestris) queens. Kafkas Universitesi
Veteriner Fakyltesi Dergisi. 2013;19:221-4.
23.   Amsalem E, Galbraith DA, Cnaani J, Teal PE, Grozinger CM. Conservation and
modification of genetic and physiological toolkits underpinning diapause in bumble bee queens.
Mol Ecol. 2015;24(22):5596-615.
24.   Engels W, Gonçalves LS, Engels E. Effects of carbon dioxide on vitellogenin metabolism
in unmated queen honeybees. Journal of Apicultural Research. 2015;15(1):3-10.
25.   Thompson GJ, Yockey H, Lim J, Oldroyd BP. Experimental manipulation of ovary
activation and gene expression in honey bee (Apis mellifera) queens and workers: testing
hypotheses of reproductive regulation. J Exp Zool A Ecol Genet Physiol. 2007;307(10):600-10.
26.   Sillans D, Biston J. Studies on the anesthetic mechanism of carbon dioxide by using
Bombyx mori larvae. Biochimie. 1979;61:153-6.
27.   Clark MA, Eaton DC. Effect of CO_2 on neurons of the house cricket, *Acheta domestica*. J
Neurobiol. 1983;14(3):237-50.
28.   Badre NH, Martin ME, Cooper RL. The physiological and behavioral effects of carbon
dioxide on Drosophila melanogaster larvae. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol.
2005;140(3):363-76.
29.   Woodring J, Clifford C, Roe R, Beckman B. Effects of CO_2 and anoxia on feeding,
growth, metabolism, water balance, and blood composition in larval female house crickets,
Acheta domesticus. Journal of Insect Physiology. 1978;24(6-7):499-509.
30.   Fuzeau-braesch S, Nicolas G. Effect of carbon dioxide on subsocial insects Comparative
Biochemistry and Physiology. 1981;68(3):289-97.
31.   Chuda-Mickiewicz B, Czekońska K, Samborski J, Rostecki P. Success rates for
instrumental insemination of carbon dioxide and nitrogen anaesthetised honey bee (Apis
mellifera) queens. Journal of Apicultural Research. 2012;51(1):74-7.
32.   Tasaki E, Matsuura K, Iuchi Y. Hypoxia adaptation in termites: hypoxic conditions
enhance survival and reproductive activity in royals. Insect Mol Biol. 2018;27(6):808-14.
33.   Tasaki E, Komagata Y, Inagaki T, Matsuura K. Reproduction deep inside wood: a low
O_2 and high CO_2 environment promotes egg production by termite queens. Biol Lett.
2020;16(4):20200049.
34.   Bierbower SM, Cooper RL. The effects of acute carbon dioxide on behavior and
physiology in Procambarus clarkii. J Exp Zool A Ecol Genet Physiol. 2010;313(8):484-97.
35.   Bierbower SM, Cooper RL. The mechanistic action of carbon dioxide on a neural circuit
and NMJ communication. J Exp Zool A Ecol Genet Physiol. 2013;319(6):340-54.

36.   Harris JW, Woodring J, Harbo JR. Effects of carbon dioxide on levels of biogenic amines
in the brains of queenless worker and virgin queen honey bees (Apis mellifera). Journal of
Apicultural Research. 1996;35(2):69-78.
37.   Amsalem E, Grozinger CM, Padilla M, Hefetz A. The physiological and genomic bases
of bumble bee social behaviour. In: Amro Z, Clement FK, editors. Genomics, Physiology and
Behaviour of Social Insects. 48. Adv In Insect Phys: Academic Press; 2015. p. 37-93.
38.   Koywiwattrakul P, Thompson GJ, Sitthipraneed S, Oldroyd BP, Maleszka R. Effects of
carbon dioxide narcosis on ovary activation and gene expression in worker honeybees, *Apis
mellifera*. J Insect Sci. 2005;5(36):36.
39.   Treanore ED, Amsalem E. Examining the individual and additive effects of cold storage
and CO2 narcosis on queen survival and reproduction in bumble bees. J Insect Physiol.
2022;139:104394.
40.   Treanore E, Barie K, Derstine N, Gadebusch K, Orlova M, Porter M, et al. Optimizing
laboratory rearing of a key pollinator, Bombus impatiens. Insects. 2021;12(8).
41.   Tartes U, Vanatoa A, Kuusik A. The insect abdomen--a heartbeat manager in insects?
Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 2002;133(3):611-23.
42.   WEIS-FOGH T. Respiration and tracheal ventilation in locusts and other flying insects.
Journal of Experimental Biology. 1967;47(3):561-87.
43.   Amsalem E, Grozinger CM, Padilla M, Hefetz A. The physiological and genomic bases
of bumble bee social behaviour. Advances in Insect Physiology. 2015;48:37-93.
44.   Hardy KM, Follett CR, Burnett LE, Lema SC. Gene transcripts encoding hypoxia-
inducible factor (HIF) exhibit tissue- and muscle fiber type-dependent responses to hypoxia and
hypercapnic hypoxia in the Atlantic blue crab, Callinectes sapidus. Comp Biochem Physiol A
Mol Integr Physiol. 2012;163(1):137-46.
45.   Cervoni MS, Cardoso-Junior CAM, Craveiro G, Souza AO, Alberici LC, Hartfelder K.
Mitochondrial capacity, oxidative damage and hypoxia gene expression are associated with age-
related division of labor in honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) workers. J Exp Biol. 2017;220(Pt
21):4035-46.
46.   Hagedorn H, Kunkel J. Vitellogenin and vitellin in insects. Annual review of
entomology. 1979;24(1):475-505.
47.   Treanore E, Amsalem E. The effect of intrinsic physiological traits on diapause survival
and their underlying mechanisms in an annual bee species Bombus impatiens. Conserv Physiol.
2020;8(1):coaa103.
48.   Li F, Li K, Wu LJ, Fan YL, Liu TX. Role of biogenic amines in oviposition by the
diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella L. Front Physiol. 2020;11:475.
49.   Cook CN, Lawson SP, Brent CS, Rehan SM. Biogenic amines shift during the pre-
reproductive to reproductive transition in the small carpenter bee, Ceratina calcarata. Apidologie.
2019;50(1):90-9.
50.   Sasaki K, Matsuyama H, Morita N, Ono M. Caste differences in the association between
dopamine and reproduction in the bumble bee Bombus ignitus. J Insect Physiol. 2017;103:107-
16.
51.   Brent CS, Miyasaki K, Vuong C, Miranda B, Steele B, Brent KG, et al. Regulatory roles
of biogenic amines and juvenile hormone in the reproductive behavior of the western tarnished
plant bug (Lygus hesperus). J Comp Physiol B. 2016;186(2):169-79.

52.   Salomon M, Malka O, Meer RK, Hefetz A. The role of tyramine and octopamine in the
regulation of reproduction in queenless worker honeybees. Naturwissenschaften.
2012;99(2):123-31.
53.   Sasaki K, Yamasaki K, Tsuchida K, Nagao T. Gonadotropic effects of dopamine in
isolated workers of the primitively eusocial wasp, Polistes chinensis. Naturwissenschaften.
2009;96(5):625-9.
54.   Cuvillier-Hot V, Lenoir A. Biogenic amine levels, reproduction and social dominance in
the queenless ant Streblognathus peetersi. Naturwissenschaften. 2006;93(3):149-53.
55.   Bloch G, Simon T, Robinson GE, Hefetz A. Brain biogenic amines and reproductive
dominance in bumble bees (*Bombus terrestris*). J Comp Physiol A. 2000;186(3):261-8.
56.   Roeder T. Biogenic amines and their receptors in insects. Comparative Biochemistry and
Physiology Part C: Pharmacology, Toxicology and Endocrinology. 1994;107(1):1-12.
57.   Vergoz V, Lim J, Duncan M, Cabanes G, Oldroyd BP. Effects of natural mating and CO2
narcosis on biogenic amine receptor gene expression in the ovaries and brain of queen honey
bees, A pis mellifera. Insect molecular biology. 2012;21(6):558-67.
58.   Hartfelder K. Insect juvenile hormone: from "status quo" to high society. Brazilian
Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 2000;33(2):157-77.
59.   Ebadi R, Gary NE, Lorenzen K. Effects of carbon dioxide and low temperature narcosis
on honey bees, Apis mellifera. Environmental Entomology. 1980;9(1):144-50.
60.   Amsalem E, Malka O, Grozinger C, Hefetz A. Exploring the role of juvenile hormone
and vitellogenin in reproduction and social behavior in bumble bees. BMC Evol Biol.
2014;14(1):45.
61.   Santos CG, Humann FC, Hartfelder K. Juvenile hormone signaling in insect oogenesis.
Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2019;31:43-8.
62.   Page RE, Jr., Amdam GV. The making of a social insect: developmental architectures of
social design. Bioessays. 2007;29(4):334-43.
63.   Arrese EL, Soulages JL. Insect fat body: energy, metabolism, and regulation. Annu Rev
Entomol. 2010;55:207-25.
64.   Toth A, Bilof K, Henshaw M, Hunt J, Robinson G. Lipid stores, ovary development, and
brain gene expression in Polistes metricus females. Insectes Sociaux. 2009;56(1):77-84.
65.   Toth AL, Kantarovich S, Meisel AF, Robinson GE. Nutritional status influences socially
regulated foraging ontogeny in honey bees. J Exp Biol. 2005;208(Pt 24):4641-9.
66.   Ament SA, Chan QW, Wheeler MM, Nixon SE, Johnson SP, Rodriguez-Zas SL, et al.
Mechanisms of stable lipid loss in a social insect. J Exp Biol. 2011;214(Pt 22):3808-21.
67.   Amsalem E, Shpigler H, Bloch G, Hefetz A. Dufour's gland secretion, sterility and
foraging behavior: correlated behavior traits in bumblebee workers. J Insect Physiol.
2013;59(12):1250-5.
68.   Colinet H, Renault D. Metabolic effects of carbon dioxide anaesthesia in *Drosophila*
melanogaster. Biol Lett. 2012;8(6):1050-4.
69.   Zhou S, Criddle RS, Mitcham EJ. Metabolic response of Platynota stultana pupae to
controlled atmospheres and its relation to insect mortality response. J Insect Physiol.
2000;46(10):1375-85.
70.   Devescovi F, Hurtado J, Taylor PW. Mating-induced changes in responses of female
Queensland fruit fly to male pheromones and fruit: A mechanism for mating-induced sexual
inhibition. J Insect Physiol. 2021;129:104195.

71.   Colgan TJ, Finlay S, Brown MJ, Carolan JC. Mating precedes selective immune priming
which is maintained throughout bumblebee queen diapause. BMC genomics. 2019;20(1):1-18.
72.   Gadenne C, Dufour MC, Anton S. Transient post-mating inhibition of behavioural and
central nervous responses to sex pheromone in an insect. Proc Biol Sci. 2001;268(1476):1631-5.
73.   Kapelnikov A, Zelinger E, Gottlieb Y, Rhrissorrakrai K, Gunsalus KC, Heifetz Y. Mating
induces an immune response and developmental switch in the Drosophila oviduct. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(37):13912-7.
74.   Herndon LA, Wolfner MF. A Drosophila seminal fluid protein, Acp26Aa, stimulates egg
laying in females for 1 day after mating. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92(22):10114-8.
75.   Harrison JF, Greenlee KJ, Verberk W. Functional hypoxia in insects: Definition,
assessment, and consequences for physiology, ecology, and evolution. Annu Rev Entomol.
2018;63(1):303-25.

 Captions to figure:

Figure 1. The recovery time from anesthesia and the effect of gas treatments on the number of

abdominal contractions in workers (A), virgin queens (B), and mated queens (C). Bees were

observed for 20 minutes from their recovery (first movement) in the three treatments that

induced anesthesia. Data are presented as means ± S.E.M. Additional data and statistical

analyses are provided in Table S3

Figure 2. The effect of treatment on the sum aggressive behaviors performed by workers during

days 1-6 following emergence. Workers were assigned to five gas treatments on the day they

emerged. They were kept in groups of 3 (n=10/treatment) and were observed daily for 20

minutes. Four aggressive behaviors were documented and summed for each worker. Data are

presented as means ± S.E.M.

Figure 3. The effect of gas treatment on the average terminal oocyte size in All queens were

frozen 10 days post treatment and were 17-20 days old. The sample size per treatment is denoted

using the numbers within columns. Different letters denote significant differences at α=0.05.

Data are presented as means ± S.E.M.

Figure 4. The effect of treatment on the average fat body lipid percent in (A) 6-day-old workers

treated upon emergence, and (B) 7-day-old workers treated for six consecutive days after

emergence, (C) virgin queens, and (D) mated queens. All queens were frozen 10 days post

treatment and were 17-20 days old. The sample size per treatment is denoted using the numbers

within columns. Different letters denote significant differences at α=0.05. Data are presented as

means ± S.E.M.

Figure 5. The effect of treatment on the expression level of six genes in *Bombus impatiens*

workers (a) and queens (b). Workers were treated daily on days 1-6 after emergence and frozen

on day seven. All queens were frozen 10 days post treatment and were 17-20 days old. No

differences were found in gene expression of virgin and mated queens and therefore results were

combined. The sample size per treatment is denoted using the numbers within columns. Different

letters denote significant differences at α=0.05. Data are presented as means ± S.E.M.

Figure 1

673   Figure 2

Figure 4

Figure 5