Genomic signals of local adaptation and hybridization in Asian white birch

Gabriele Nocchi[1,2#], Jing Wang[3#], Long Yang[4], Junyi Ding[5,6], Ying Gao[4], Richard J. A. Buggs[1,2*], Nian Wang[5,6,7*]

[1]School of Biological and Behavioural Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London, E1 4NS, UK

[2]Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, Richmond, TW9 3AB, Surrey, UK

[3]Key Laboratory for Bio-resources and Eco-environment, College of Life Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610064, China

[4]Agricultural Big-Data Research Center and College of Plant Protection, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an 271018, China

[5]State Forestry and Grassland Administration Key Laboratory of Silviculture in Downstream Areas of the Yellow River, College of Forestry, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an 271018, China

[6]Mountain Tai Forest Ecosystem Research Station of State Forestry and Grassland Administration, College of Forestry, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an, 271018, China.

[7]State Key Laboratory of Crop Biology, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an, 271018, China

[#]Contributed equally to this work

[*]Author for correspondence:

Richard Buggs: r.buggs@kew.org

Nian Wang: nian.wang@sdau.edu.cn

Abstract

Disentangling the numerous processes that affect patterns of genome-wide diversity in widespread

tree species has important implications for taxonomy, conservation, and forestry. Here, we

investigate the population genomic structure of Asian white birch (*Betula platyphylla*) in China and

seek to explain it in terms of hybridization, demography and adaptation. We generate whole

genome sequence data from 83 individuals across the species range in China. Combining this with an

existing dataset for 79 European and Russian white birches, we show a clear distinction between *B.*

pendula and *B. platyphylla*, which have sometimes been lumped taxonomically. Genomic diversity of

B. platyphylla in north-western China and Central Russia is affected greatly by hybridization with *B.*

pendula. Excluding these hybridized populations, *B. platyphylla* in China has a linear distribution

from north-eastern to south-western China, along the edge of the inland mountainous region.

Within this distribution, three genetic clusters are found, which we model as long diverged with

subsequent episodes of gene flow. Patterns of co-variation between allele frequencies and

environmental variables in *B. platyphylla* suggest the role of natural selection in the distribution of

diversity at 7,609 SNPs of which 3,767 were significantly differentiated among the genetic clusters.

The putative adaptive SNPs are distributed throughout the genome and span 1,633 genic regions. Of

these genic regions, 87 were previously identified as candidates for selective sweeps in Eurasian *B.*

pendula. We use the 7,609 environmentally associated SNPs to estimate the risk of non-adaptedness

for each sequenced *B. platyphylla* individual under a scenario of future climate change, highlighting

areas where populations may be under future threat from rising temperatures.

Keywords

Asian white birch, landscape genomics, climate change, local adaptation, hybridization

Introduction

Analysis of the population genomic diversity of species can help us to understand their past and predict their future. Past processes affecting present allele frequencies include hybridization, range contraction and expansion, population size changes, gene flow among populations, and natural selection. Understanding the effects of natural selection is particularly important for future predictions as the extent to which alleles are locally adaptive has important implications for population fitness under climate change (Sork et al., 2013; Savolainen et al., 2007; Aitken et al. 2008). Covariance between allele frequencies and environmental variables may be signatures of local adaptation but only if the effects of other past processes are accounted for (Sork et al., 2013; Wang & Bradburd, 2014). Hence, we need to gain the fullest possible understanding of all past processes affecting allele frequencies in populations before we can predict patterns of diversity that may be beneficial in future climates.

Recently, the genetic basis of local adaptation has been investigated for certain non-model species using high-throughput sequencing, high-resolution environmental data, and novel analytical methods that seek to account for the effects of neutral processes (Eckert et al., 2010; Ellegren, 2014). Environmental association analysis (EAA) correlates abiotic data with genomic data, such as SNP allele frequencies (Manel and Holderegger 2013; Sork et al. 2013). Such studies pinpoint SNPs showing signals of elevated differentiation among environments and showing significant correlations with one or more environmental variables (Rellstab et al., 2015), which are putative candidates involved in local adaptation (Hoban et al., 2016). Using EAA, we can also predict the possible degree of maladaptation of local populations to future climate change (Borrell et al., 2019; Rellstab et al., 2021; Rellstab et al., 2016).

The future of forest trees under climate change is critical carbon sequestration, ecosystem conservation and timber production. Forest trees with wide geographic distributions over spatially heterogeneous environments often show local adaptation with adaptive traits polygenically

determined (Savolainen et al., 2007; Alberto et al., 2013). Due to their long generation times, and

short dispersal distances relative to their range sizes, forest trees may struggle to adapt or migrate

under rapid climate change (Aitken et al., 2008; Keenan, 2015). The genus *Betula* (birch) is a case in

point, containing several tree species widespread in the Northern Hemisphere, comprising key

components of temperate forests (Ashburner and McAllister, 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Wang et al.,

2021). Understanding the genomic basis of adaptation in this genus can help to predict their

potential to survive under rapid climate change, helping to manage species conservation (Borrell et

al., 2019).

In this study, we selected Asian white birch (*Betula platyphylla*), which belongs to the subgenus

Betula, to understand its past demographical histories, current local adaptation, and future potential

to respond to climate change. Asian white birch is of great ecological and commercial value. It

commonly occurs in eastern Asia including the Far East Russia, China, and Japan. In China, it has

distributions in northwestern (NW), central (CE), northeastern (NE) and southwestern regions (SW)

(Chen & Lou, 2019; Ashburner and McAllister, 2013). Asian white birch is a pioneer species,

colonizing open habitats in grasslands, on roadsides and on the verge of forest (Ashburner and

McAllister, 2013). We selected this species not only because of the above-mentioned values but also

for the following reasons. First, it occupies spatial heterogeneous environments across its range with

over 26 latitudinal degrees based on our collection records. Some populations distribute in SW China

and its adjacent regions, with an altitude of over 3,700 meters whereas some populations

distributing in NW China grow by riverside with a much higher annual evaporation than precipitation.

Some taxonomists place *B. platyphylla* into the same species as silver birch, *B. pendula* (Ashburner &

McAllister, 2013), which is widespread in Europe and Russia. Others see them as sister species (Chen

et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Salojärvi et al., 2017; Tsuda et al., 2017), with estimates of divergence

times differing between 36,000 years (Tsuda et al., 2017) and 2.6 million years (Chen et al., 2021).

Well assembled and annotated reference genomes now exist for both *B. pendula* (Salojärvi et al.,

2017) and *B. platyphylla* (Chen et al., 2021) but the latter was not available when we commenced the

present study. A large population genomic dataset is publicly available for *B. pendula*, analysis of

which identified two genetic clusters with some inter-mixed populations and showed that variations

around the genes involved in photoperiodic responses were highly associated with local

environmental adaptation (Salojärvi et al., 2017). The genetic structure of *B. platyphylla* has been

investigated using ten microsatellite markers, showing five genetic clusters within its range, with

substantial gene flow among them (Chen & Lou, 2019).

In this present study, we generate whole genome sequence data for *B. platyphylla* across its range in

China to investigate its population genetic structure. We ask if *B. platyphylla* is differentiated from *B.*

pendula, and if so, the extent to which hybridization among them affects *B. platyphylla* populations.

We investigate the genetic structure within nonhybridized *B. platyphylla*, modelling how this has

been affected by population divergence, population size change, and gene flow. We also model the

environmental niche of *B. platyphylla* and how this may affect its range under future climates. We

use EAA to identify SNP markers in *B. platyphylla* associated with environmental clines after

controlling for population structure. We calculate the risk of non-adaptedness (RONA) (Rellstab et al.,

2016) for each individual under a scenario of climate of 2080-2100. Together, this study generates

new hypotheses regarding local adaptation in Asian white birch, which can be further tested in

common garden trials or trans-genetic analyses in future studies.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

Samples of Asian white birch (*B. platyphylla*) were collected from 74 naturally occurring populations

throughout its distribution in China between 2016 and 2019. Sample identifiers and GPS locations

are presented in Table S1 (Supporting Information). Dried cambial tissues were used for DNA

extraction following a modified CTAB protocol (Wang et al., 2013). One or two samples per

population were selected for resequencing, resulting in a total of 83 samples. Extracted DNA was

assessed with 1% agarose gel and sent to BerryGenomics (Beijing, China) for library preparation and

whole genome re-sequencing. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq6000 platform

with 150 bp paired end read sequencing with approximate 11Gbp data obtained for each sample

(average depth of ~25x). We also downloaded genomic read data from Salojarvi et al. (2017) for 78

B. pendula individuals from most of this species' European and Asian geographic range and one *B.*

platyphylla individual from Russia.

Trimming and SNP filtering

The raw data was trimmed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) in paired-end mode with a

required quality of 30. Reads shorter than 90 bp were discarded. Clean reads of the sequenced

samples were aligned to a genome assembly of *B. pendula* (Salojärvi et al., 2017) using BWA-MEM

v.0.7.17-r1188 algorithm in BWA (v0.7.17) with default parameters (Li & Durbin, 2009). Reads with

non-specific matches were discarded. Alignments were converted from sequence alignment map

(SAM) format to sorted, indexed binary alignment map (BAM) files (SAMtools v1.8) (Li et al., 2009).

The MarkDuplicates tool from the Genome Analysis Tool Kit (GATK) (v 4.1.4.) was used to mark

duplicates (DePristo et al., 2011; McKenna et al., 2010). We filtered for biallelic SNPs genotyped in all

individuals with minor allele frequency greater than 0.05, quality by depth greater than 2, fisher

strand test less than 60, root mean square mapping quality greater than 40, mapping quality rank

sum test greater than -12, read position rank sum test greater than -8 and strand odds ratio less

than 3. We pruned the filtered SNP set by linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 > 0.4$) using the "indep-

pairphase" function of Plink (Chang et al., 2015) in windows of 50 and step of 5. We term this set of

SNPs the China dataset.

Using the same method to the above we also assembled a second SNP dataset that included

genomic read data from Salojarvi et al. (2017) for 78 *B. pendula* individuals from most of this species'

European and Asian geographic range, and one *B. platyphylla* individual deliberately included from

Russia. We term this set of SNPs the Eurasian dataset.

Population structure

We used *fastSTRUCTURE* (Raj, Stephens, & Pritchard, 2014) on the China dataset and also on the

Eurasian dataset of SNPs to assign individuals to populations. We used a simple prior, 5-fold cross-

validation and number of ancestral populations (K) from one to ten. We used the python function

chooseK, which is the recommended tool for model selection in *fastSTRUCTURE* (Raj, Stephens &

Pritchard, 2014), and the cross-validation profile, which identifies the model complexity for which

the prediction error is minimized, to assess the models generated. The function *chooseK* suggests

two values for K: one that maximises the log-marginal likelihood lower bound (LLBO) of the dataset

(K*⊡) and captures strong structure, and another one (Køc) which reports the model components

that have a cumulative ancestry contribution of at least 99% and it is aimed at finding additional

weak underlying structure (Raj, Stephens, & Pritchard, 2014). Individual trees were assigned to

populations according to the value of the admixture coefficient (q) computed by *fastSTRUCTURE* at

the chosen model complexity: $q \geq 0.9$ to belong to a population, while individuals with $q < 0.9$ were

classified as admixed.

On the basis of the fastSTRUCTURE analysis of the Eurasian dataset, we excluded from the China

dataset any individuals that had over 10% assignment to *B. pendula* and ran *fastSTRUCTURE* only on

Chinese *B. platyphylla* based on 1,387,994 SNPs (after filtering for missing genotypes). Furthermore,

we used the program sparse non-negative matrix factorization (*snmf*), part of LEA package (Caye et

al., 2019), to perform a population differentiation test and identify F_{st} outlier SNPs between the

clusters identified for *B. platyphylla* in China. *snmf* computes fixation also in the presence of

admixed individuals in the sample (Martins et al., 2016) and performs a test similar to other F_{st}-

outlier approaches (Lotterhos & Whitlock, 2014). Multiple testing was controlled by converting the

p-values computed by *snmf* into q-values with the R package "qvalue" (Storey et al., 2015). Q-values

represent the expected false discovery rate (FDR) associated with a given p-value (Storey and

Tibshirani 2003). Finally, we selected candidate outlier SNPs with FDR < 1%.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the Eurasian dataset and the Chinese *B.*

platyphylla dataset using *Plink v2.0* (Chang et al., 2015).

Demographic histories

We inferred the demographic histories of the three identified populations of Asian white birch using

a coalescent simulation-based method implemented in fastsimcoal2 v 2.6.0.3 (Excoffier et al. 2013).

The pairwise two-dimensional joint SFSs (2D-SFSs) among the three populations were constructed

from the posterior probabilities of sample allele frequencies using ANGSD v 0.934 (Korneliussen et

al., 2014). A total of 14 models were evaluated assuming divergence with or without gene flow and a

constant or changed population size after divergence (Figure S1, Table S2, Supporting Information).

All models show the NE diverging first, followed by the split of the CE and SW populations (Figure S1,

Supporting Information). The global maximum likelihood estimates for all demographic parameters

under each model were obtained from 60 independent runs, with 100,000 coalescent simulations

per likelihood estimates and 40 cycles of the likelihood maximization algorithm. The models were

compared based on the maximum value of likelihood over the 60 independent runs using the

Akaike's weight. The model with the maximum Akaike's weight value was chosen as the optimal one.

Confidence intervals were generated by performing parametric bootstrapping with 100 bootstrap

replicates, and with 30 independent runs in each bootstrap. We used a mutation rate of 9.5×10^{-9}

per site per year (Salojärvi et al. 2017) and a generation time of 15 years to convert the coalescent

scaled time into a speculative time scale in years.

In addition, we inferred the historical change in effective population sizes using Multiple Sequentially

Markovian Coalescent approach (MSMC v2.0.0) (Schiffels & Durbin, 2014) for each of the three

populations, respectively. For each population, we randomly chosen seven individuals and applied

MSMC to phased whole-genome sequences from four (eight haplotypes) individuals. In total, we had

35 different individual configurations for haplotype analyses in each lineage. We ran MSMC on all

individual configurations and estimated medians and standard deviations of effective population

sizes changes across time.

Population diversity and differentiation

We estimated linkage disequilibrium decay along the *B. platyphylla* genome with the tool

PopLDdecay (Zhang, Dong, Xu, He, & Yang, 2018) separately for the different populations identified

for *B. platyphylla* in China by *fastSTRUCTURE* at K = 3 (Figure 3). For this calculation we used the SNP

set prior to linkage disequilibrium pruning but filtered to retain SNPs with minor allele frequency >

0.05. After excluding individuals admixed between the three populations (admixture coefficient < 0.9

in fastSTRUCTURE at K = 3), Weir and Cockerham F_{st} (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) was calculated

between the three *B. platyphylla* populations on a per SNP site basis with the *vcftools* function *weir-*

fst-pop (Danecek et al., 2011). We calculated nucleotide diversity π (Nei & Li, 1979) within each

population using the *vcftools* (Danecek et al., 2011) function *window-pi* in non-overlapping windows

of 5 kb. For the π calculation we used the SNPs set prior to minor allele frequency filtering and

linkage disequilibrium pruning (28,058,885 SNPs in total).

Environmental niche modelling

We used environmental niche modelling (ENM) to characterize the present habitat and predict the

future distribution of non-hybrid *B. platyphylla* in China and to identify the climatic variables

influencing this species distribution. ENM analysis was performed by using the samples' geographic

locations in this study and observation records of this species from 1970 to 2010 in China, sourced

from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (http://www.gbif.org). To mitigate the effects of

spatial autocorrelation, we removed records within 0.2 degrees (approximately 22-25 km) from one

another, which resulted in a total of 138 presence locations: 66 records were *B. platyphylla* from the

83 individuals sampled (excluding admixed *B. pendula* - *B. platyphylla* individuals) and another 72

records were from the GBIF (excluding NW China as all the trees that we had sampled there were

hybrids – see Results). We downloaded 19 bioclimatic variables related to temperature and

precipitation from the WorldClim database (www.worldclim.org) at 1km resolution (Hijmans,

Cameron, Parra, Jones, & Jarvis, 2005) for the period 1970-2000 representing "current climate", as

this is the estimated time period of establishment of the sampled trees and also corresponds to the

time that most GBIF observations included in the model were recorded. We downloaded elevation

data at the same resolution and used it to compute slope, aspect and four additional terrain related

characteristics (topographic position index, terrain ruggedness index, terrain roughness and water

flow direction) using the *raster* R package (Hijmans & Etten, 2012). In order to avoid overfitting, we

selected environmental variables with Pearson's correlation coefficient < 0.7, preferring annual

rather than monthly or quarterly values, which resulted in 11 variables retained for the ENM (Table

S3, Figure S2-3, Supporting Information). We assembled eight further datasets with the same 11

variables under four Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) defined by the Intergovernmental Panel

on Climate Change sixth Assessment (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2021) at each of two future time

points (2041–2060 and 2081–2100). The ENMs were generated using Maxent (Phillips, Anderson, &

Schapire, 2006) with 50 subsampled replicate 5000 iterations runs with 20% of observations left-out

for cross-validation. Variables' importance was assessed with jack-knife tests and multiple models

were generated and evaluated using a test of omission rate and area under the receiver operating

characteristic curve (AUC). An environment suitability threshold was defined by "maximum training

sensitivity plus specificity," which optimizes the trade-off between commission and omission errors

(Liu, Newell, & White, 2016).

249 Genome environment association analysis: identification of adaptive SNPs

We used *LFMM2* (Caye, Jumentier, Lepeule, & Francois, 2019) to test for associations between

environmental variables and SNPs in 71 Chinese non-hybrid *B. platyphylla* individuals. *LFMM2*

performs multivariate linear regressions to evaluate the association between a response matrix,

corresponding to SNP frequencies of individuals, and a matrix of environmental variables. It

combines the environmental fixed effects with latent effects, which are unobserved confounding

effects due to population structure (Frichot et al., 2013; Caye, Jumentier, Lepeule, & Francois, 2019).

LFMM2 has a two steps approach: first it estimates the latent factors and then tests for the

genotype-environment associations. Each SNP locus is tested separately using the latent scores as

covariates to control for demographic history and population structure. The null hypothesis is that

the environmental variables have no effects on the SNP frequency, which is tested using a student

distribution with n−K−1 degrees of freedom (Caye, Jumentier, Lepeule, & Francois, 2019). *LFMM2*

requires to specify the number (K) of latent factors to include in the model which was determined

according to *fastSTRUCTURE* cross-validation schemes. We chose the more conservative K = 3 (see

Results section) to run *LFMM2*, including all the 11 uncorrelated environmental variables used in the

ENM (Table S3, Supporting Information). Multiple testing was controlled by converting the p-values

computed by *LFMM2* into q-values with the R package "qvalue" (Storey et al., 2015). Finally, we

selected candidate adaptive SNPs with FDR < 1%.

268 Characterization of candidate adaptive SNPs

We performed two further principal component analyses of the Chinese *B. platyphylla* samples with

Plink (Chang et al., 2015): one including only the putative adaptive SNPs (detected by *LFMM2* (K = 3)

with FDR < 1%) and another only including a similar number of putatively neutral SNPs. The neutral

set of 7,500 SNPs was generated by selecting SNP loci at random from those that reported a q-value

above 0.4 across all environmental variables in the *LFMM2* analysis. Weir and Cockerham F_{st}

between the three *B. platyphylla* populations was re-computed based on the adaptive and neutral

SNP sets with the *vcftools* function *weir-fst-pop* (Danecek et al., 2011) after excluding admixed

individuals (admixture coefficient < 0.9 in fastSTRUCTURE at K = 3).

In order to functionally annotate our putative adaptive SNPs, we performed a gene ontology (GO)

annotation of the whole *B. pendula* reference gene set provided in Salojärvi et al. (2017), retrieving

GO terms associated with the coding regions (Ashburner et al., 2000) using *Omics Box* (Conesa &

Götz, 2008). We used *Omics Box* default functional annotation workflow which incorporates BLAST,

run on the Viridiplantae non-redundant database, and Interproscan (Zdobnov & Apweiler, 2001). We

then identified the genic regions overlapping our candidate adaptive SNPs with *bedtools* v2.28.0

(Quinlan & Hall, 2010) and performed a functional enrichment analysis of this adaptive gene set

against the fully annotated reference gene set using Fisher's exact test in *Omics Box* (Conesa & Götz,

2008). We applied multiple testing corrections (FDR < 5%) and reduced the resulting significantly

enriched GOs to the most specific term in the hierarchy for all three ontology levels: biological

process, molecular function, and cellular component (Ashburner et al., 2000).

Adaption to future climate

We carried out risk of non-adaptedness (RONA) analysis using a slight modification of the method of

Rellstab et al. (2016), implemented in the software *pyRona* (Pina-Martins, Baptista, Pappas & Paulo,

2018). RONA represents an estimate of the average change in allele frequencies at climate

associated SNP loci required in a population to cope with future climatic conditions and is calculated

separately for each environmental variable (Rellstab et al., 2016). RONA uses simple linear

regressions of the selected current climate environmental variable and the alternative allele

frequencies of the candidate loci identified in genome-environment association analyses (Rellstab et

al., 2016). The regression coefficients of the environmentally associated loci are then used to predict

the allele frequencies of the adaptive loci at a future climate value for the chosen environmental

variable (Rellstab et al., 2016). The main improvement in the implementation by Pina-Martins,

Baptista, Pappas & Paulo (2018) is that the RONA for an environmental variable is given by the

weighted mean RONA of all relevant SNP loci for that environmental variable, weighted by the r^2

value of each locus regression, while the original method (Rellstab et al., 2016) uses unweighted

means. We computed RONA on an individual sample basis for the seven uncorrelated environmental

variables that are expected to change in the future, therefore excluding elevation and its derived

measures. We used as future climate the profile ssp370 for 2080-2100 (Figure 4C). For each

environmental variable, RONA was calculated by using the candidate adaptive SNP loci identified

with *LFMM2* (FDR < 1%). For each individual tree we then calculated weighted mean RONA, giving

each environmental variable RONA a weight equivalent to the contribution reported by that variable

in the ENM. Furthermore, we reported the maximum RONA for each individual, out of the seven

variables for which it was calculated.

Results

SNP discovery

After filtering, the number of reads retained for each sample in the China dataset that we generated

ranged between 66,016,635 and 134,129,672 and between 91.5% and 96.7% of these reads per

individual were mapped to the reference genome (Table S1, Supporting Information). SNPs filtering

of the 83 individuals resulted in 1,497,547 unlinked (r^2< 0.4) SNPs. After filtering, the number of

reads retained for each sample in the Salojarvi et al. (2017) dataset ranged between 16,506,088 and

237,845,424 and between 82.9% and 97.2% of these reads per individual were mapped to the

reference genome. The combined Eurasian dataset resulted in 278,717 SNPs after filtering for

missing genotypes. When the China dataset was restricted to pure *B. platyphylla* (71 individuals in

SW, CE, and NE China) we were left with 1,387,994 SNPs.

324   Population structure, diversity, and differentiation

For the China dataset *fastSTRUCTURE* analysis with K = 2 separates the samples in north-western

China (Xinjiang) from the other populations. At K = 3 south-western and north-eastern populations

are further separated and at K = 4 a central population is revealed (Figure 1). The model that

maximises the log-marginal likelihood lower bound (LLBO) of the data and that best explains

additional weak underlying structure was K = 3, as suggested by the function *"chooseK"* (Figure S4,

Supporting Information).

For the Eurasian dataset at K = 2, one cluster contains the *B. pendula* individuals from Salojarvi et al.

(2017) and the other cluster contains 71 of the 83 individuals that we sequenced from China and the

Russian *B. platyphylla* individual from Salojarvi et al. (2019) (Figure 2). The 12 individuals we sampled

in north-western China (Xinjiang) are shown as hybrids between *B. pendula* and *B. platyphylla*

(Figure 2A). At K = 3, the pattern was similar but a south-western China *B. platyphylla* cluster

separates from a north-eastern China *B. platyphylla* cluster (Figure 2B). At K = 4, the only difference

was that Irish and two Finnish *B. pendula* samples showed an unknown component (Figure 2C). The

fastSTRUCTURE model that maximises the log-marginal likelihood lower bound (LLBO) of the data

and that best explains additional weak underlying structure was K = 4, as suggested by the function

choose K (Figure S5, Supporting Information). The LLBO curve and the cross-validation profile show

that the marginal likelihood plateau at K = 2, and so does the prediction error, which stays within 1

standard error from K = 2 with added complexity (Figure S5A-B, Supporting Information). These

separations suggested by fastSTRUCTURE are reflected in the PCA (Figure S6, Supporting

Information).

In *fastSTRUCTURE* restricted to the 71 non-hybrid *B. platyphylla* individuals in China, the model that

maximises the log-marginal likelihood lower bound (LLBO) of the data was K = 2 and that that best

explains additional weak underlying structure was K = 3, as suggested by the function *"chooseK"*

(Figure S7A, Supporting Information). The *fastSTRUCTURE* cross-validation score profile shows that

the prediction error is lowest at K = 3 (Figure S7B, Supporting Information). At K = 2 the north-

eastern population is separated from the south-western population and the individuals in central

China appear admixed between these two populations, while at K = 3 the central population is

separated (Figure 3).

In PCA on the 71 pure *B. platyphylla* individuals, PC1 explained ~33% of the total variance and

separated the north-eastern, central, and south-western populations (Figure S8, Supporting

Information). PC2 (15% of total variance) also separates the three populations while PC3 (8% of total

variance) scatters the individuals of the north-eastern population. The explained variance levelled-

off after PC3 (Figure S8D, Supporting Information).

Due to the differentiation between the individuals located in central China and those in the North-

East shown by the PCA (Figure S8, Supporting Information) and the recommendation by

fastSTRUCTURE cross-validation scheme, we assigned individuals to populations according to the

admixture coefficients computed with *fastSTRUCTURE* at K = 3. In total, ten individuals were

assigned to the central population (CE), seven to the south-western population (SW), 46 to the

north-eastern population (NE) and the remaining eight individuals were classified as admixed (Figure

3B-C). Based on this assignment, *snmf* identified 17,218 outlier SNPs with FDR < 1% (Figure S9,

Supporting Information).

Linkage disequilibrium decays rapidly in *B. platyphylla* populations and reaches background levels at

approximately 50 kb (Figure S10, Supporting Information). Assessment of pairwise F_{st} between

populations showed that the highest levels of differentiation are between the north-eastern and

south-western population or the central population and south-western populations (Figure S11,

Supporting Information). On the other hand, the central and the north-eastern population reported

significantly lower mean pairwise F_{st} (Figure S11, Supporting Information).

Nucleotide diversity π in windows of 5kb shows a slight increase in populations from south to north

geographically, reporting mean of 0.0057 (~0.6%) in the south-western population, 0.0075 (~0.7%) in

the central population and 0.0083 (~0.8%) in the north-eastern population (Figure S12-13,

Supporting Information).

Species population size and separation history

Assuming that there are three populations of *B. platyphylla* in NE, CE and SW China, and the NE

population was the first to diverge, the best-supported fastsimcoal2 model (Figure 3D; Table S2,

Supporting Information) suggested that the NE population diverged from the common ancestral

population of CE and SW at 2.804 Mya (95% CI: 2.892–3.506 Mya) (Figure 3D). The NE population

initially had a small population size. The CE and SW populations diverged 2.797 Mya (95% CI: 2.294–

2.768 Mya; Figure 3D). The SW population underwent a slight bottleneck at 2.787 Mya (95% CI:

2.129–2.489 Mya) and experienced a slight population expansion at 0.812 Mya (95% CI: 0.477–0.706

Mya) (Figure 3D). The estimated present day effective population sizes (Ne) are 3.3 x 10^5 (95% CI:

3.0–3.4 x 10^5) for NE, 1.2 x 10^5 (95% CI: 1.6–2.2 x 10^5) for CE and 0.7 x 10^5 (95% CI: 1.6–2.2 x 10^5) for

SW. The best-supported model detected low levels of ancient gene flow between the populations

soon after their divergence, no gene flow between 2.797 Mya and 0.812 Mya, and recent gene flow

between NE and CE and between SW and CE (Figure 3D).

MSMC results suggested that the three populations experienced severe bottlenecks (2.51-2.89

million years ago) followed by population expansion during the period of 26.1-42.6 thousand years

ago (Figure 3E). The NE lineage experienced the least population reduction (Ne, 11235.88 ± 204.75)

whereas the SW lineage underwent the most severe population decline (Ne, 6152.70 ± 265.12)

(Figure 3E).

Environmental niche modelling

Environmental niche models were built based on eleven uncorrelated environmental variables

(Figure S1-2, Table S3, Supporting Information) and were based on our *B. platyphylla* samples'

locations and GBIF observations of this species (Figure 4).

Jack-knife tests suggested that the variables "aspect" and "water-flow direction" did not play a

relevant contribution either singly or in combination, so they were excluded (Figure S14-16,

Supporting Information). The final Maxent model with the nine remaining variables reported high

mean test AUC (0.913 ± 0.017) and low mean test omission rate (0.13, $p < .001$) at a maximum

training sensitivity plus specificity logistic threshold of 0.2 (Figure 4A-B; Figure S17-18, Supporting

Information). Two variables, "annual precipitation" and "mean temperature of wettest quarter",

together contributed to > 50% of the predicting performance of the model, defined as the increase

in regularized gain added (or subtracted) to the contribution of the corresponding variable, over

each model iteration (Table S4, Supporting Information).

Response curves for the final Maxent model are available in Supporting Information. Future

projections show an overall contraction of the *B. platyphylla* habitat throughout China, particularly

noticeable in central China and in the north-east (Figure 4C). Currently suitable environments

located at higher elevations seem to contract less in the future (Figure 4C). The predicted habitat

reduces between 19 and 30 % in 2040-2060, and between 17 and 34 % in 2080-2100 (Figure 4C),

compared to the present. In the most adverse scenario (ssp370), *B. platyphylla* habitat in China may

be reduced by approximately a third of its current extent by 2080-2100 (Figure 4C) and the NE and

SW populations will be spatially separated by a large uninhabitable region.

Genome-environment association analysis: identification and characterization of putatively

adaptive SNPs

We choose a q-value threshold of 0.01 (FDR < 1%) to select candidate associations in the LFMM2

analysis on 1,387,994 SNPs (r^2 < 0.4) restricted to the 71 non-hybrid *B. platyphylla* individuals

identified with fastSTRUCTURE (excluding the individuals in NW China).

In total, 7,609 SNPs showed significant associations with one or more environmental variables in

LFMM2 (K = 3) using our criteria (Figure S19, Supporting Information), for a total of 11,304

associations. In more details: 4,643 SNP were associated to one environmental variable, 2,424 SNPs

to two environmental variables, 384 SNPs to three environmental variables, 133 SNPs to four

environmental variables, 21 SNPs to five environmental variables and four SNPs to six environmental

variables. Of the 7,609 putative adaptive SNPs identified *LFMM2*, 3,767 were shown to be more

differentiated among the NE, CE and SW populations than was expected due to drift in our *snmf* F_{st}

outlier test (FDR < 1%).

The number of the putative environmentally associated SNPs detected with LFMM2 differed among

the variables tested (Figure S20, supporting information). "Isothermality" and "mean diurnal range"

had a much larger number of candidates than the other variables, with 5,679 and 2,794 putative

SNP-environment associations identified for these two variables, respectively. These were followed

by "annual precipitation" (1,059 SNPs hits), "precipitation of driest quarter" (735 SNPs hits), "annual

mean temperature" (391 SNPs hits), "flow direction" (263 SNPs hits), "mean temperature of wettest

quarter" (227 SNPs hits), "TPI" (104 SNPs hits), "slope" (49 SNPs hits) and "precipitation seasonality"

(3 SNPs hits). The variable "aspect" did not have any SNP association with an FDR < 1% in *LFMM2*.

There was no significant correlation between the number of identified SNP association per variable

and the percentage of contribution of each variable to the ENM (Pearson's test p > 0.05).

The distribution of the candidate adaptive SNPs in the *B. pendula* reference genome shows that they

are spread across the entire genome and present on all linkage groups (Figure S20, Supporting

Information). The chromosome that reported the largest number of candidates is chromosome 2

(738 SNPs), while the lowest number was recorded for chromosome 6 (344 SNPs). The number of

SNPs identified per chromosome does not appear correlated with chromosome size (Pearson's test p

> 0.05). A hotspot is visible in the second half of chromosome 7 (Figure S20, Supporting

Information).

PCA based only on the 7,609 adaptive SNPs showed a steep discrepancy in the variance explained by

PC1 compared to that of the other PCs (Figure S21, Supporting Information). Furthermore, PCA

based on only adaptive SNPs does not show a clear separation between the central and north-

eastern population in the first three PCs, while it separates the south-western population (Figure

S21, Supporting Information). When using only 7,500 putatively "neutral" SNPs in PCA, the

separation between populations is slightly weaker compared to that observed with the whole data

set, and individuals in each population are more scattered along the PCA axes (Figure S22,

Supporting Information). The pairwise F_{st} patterns of differentiation between populations based only

the 7,609 adaptive SNPs and only on neutral loci reflected those observed with the whole SNPs set,

however loci under selection showed substantially higher F_{st} compared to those recorded for neutral

markers and for the whole SNP set (Figure S23-24, Supporting Information).

We further searched for the mRNA regions in the *B. pendula* reference genome (Salojärvi et al.,

2017) containing at least one candidate adaptive SNP out of the 7,609 candidates, and we identified

1,633 genic regions. A functional enrichment analysis of the identified genic regions reported

significant hits (FDR < 5%) at all three gene ontology levels: biological process (3 hits), cellular

component (1 hits) and molecular function (3 hits) (Figure S25, Supporting Information).

Risk of non-adaptedness to future conditions (RONA)

We calculated RONA for seven environmental variables projected under the future climate profile

ssp370, identified as the worst-case scenario for *B. platyphylla* for the years 2080 – 2100 in terms of

habitat reduction (Figure 5, Table S5, Supporting Information). The most represented environmental

variables in terms of number of associations in the RONA computation were "isothermality" (5,679

SNPs, mean r^2 = 0.459), "mean diurnal range" (2,794 SNPs, mean r^2 = 0.0157) and "annual

precipitation" (1,059 SNPs, mean r^2 = 0.0976) (Table S5, Supporting Information). The variables that

reported higher average r^2 of adaptive SNPs were "isothermality" (5,679 SNPs, mean r^2 = 0.459),

"annual mean temperature" (391 SNPs, mean r^2 = 0.195), and "mean temperature of wettest

quarter" (227 SNPs, mean r^2 = 0.185) (Table S5, Supporting Information).

There was large variability in the expected allele frequency changes required to match future

conditions across environmental variables. "Annual mean temperature" and "mean temperature of

wettest quarter" reported notably higher mean individual RONA compared to all the other variables,

being 0.1773 and 0.2314 respectively (Table S5, Supporting Information).

Weighted mean RONA scores were overall relatively low across individuals, with mean of 0.08 (sd =

0.03) and maximum of 0.1455, that was reported for an individual in the north-eastern population

(HTY15) (Figure 6A, Table S6, Supporting Information). The maximum RONA scores show greater

variability across individuals, with mean of 0.25 (sd = 0.09) and maximum of 0.3956, that was

recorded for an individual in the north-eastern population (JY23, "mean temperature of wettest

quarter") (Figure 6B, Table S6, Supporting Information).

There were some differences between the three Chinese *B. platyphylla* populations in regard to the

environmental variables that will require steeper allele frequency changes (in ssp370 at 2080 –

2100) (Table S7, Supporting Information): although all populations showed similar RONA patterns

across the environmental variables tested, with the largest mean RONA (averaged over the

individuals of each population) reported for temperature derived variables ("annual mean

temperature" and "mean temperature of wettest quarter") rather than precipitation-derived

variables (Table S7, Supporting Information), the south-western population, however, reported

much higher mean RONA for "precipitation seasonality" (0.21) compared to the other two

populations that had relatively low (< .1) mean RONA for this specific environmental variable (Table

S7, Supporting Information).

Discussion

Pan Eurasian population genetic structure of white birch

Our study clearly differentiated between *B. pendula* and *B. platyphylla* across Eurasia, with strong

separation between the two in PCA and fastSTRUCTURE analyses. We found evidence for extensive

hybridization between these two species in northwest China, and to a lesser extent in central Russia.

Populations in northwest China (Xinjiang) that were initially identified as *B. platyphylla* turned out to

be mainly *B. pendula* with introgression from *B. platyphylla*. A previous microsatellite study of *B.*

pendula and *B. platyphylla* across Eurasia (Tsuda et al., 2017) showed admixture between the two

species in central Asia, but east of Europe this study only included populations from Russia and

Japan. In a microsatellite study of white birches in China, Chen & Lou (2019) found populations in

northwest China to be highly differentiated from other populations, and to be of high genetic

diversity. However, as no *B. pendula* were included in their study, they could not pick up a signature

of hybridization in these populations. Instead, they attributed their high differentiation and diversity

to a northern glacial refugium for white birch in the Altay Mountains. We might have done the same

if we had not co-analysed our data with that of Salojärvi et al. (2017). We removed individuals from

NW China from our downstream analyses on *B. platyphylla*.

Genetic structure of white birch within China and signals of local adaptation

With the NW individuals excluded, *B. platyphylla* in China has a linear distribution from north-

eastern to south-western China, along the edge of the inland mountainous region. Occurrence data

and environmental niche models suggest that there is currently a single contiguous region currently

habitable by *B. platyphylla*. Within our *B. platyphylla* samples, we found three genetic clusters with

some admixed individuals between them. Our fastsimcoal results suggest that that the three

populations exchanged genetic information soon after their divergence and also recently, with a

long period of isolation in between (Figure 3D). The absolute date estimated from fastsimcoal

suggests that this period of isolation between the *B. platyphylla* populations was from 2.8 Mya to 0.8

Mya. This is hard to fit with estimates of the time of speciation between *B. platyphylla* and *B.*

pendula which different studies place between 36,000 years (Tsuda et al., 2017) and 2.6 million years

(Chen et al., 2021) ago. We note, however, that our demographic simulation results are provisional

as it is hard to distinguish among the many factors that affect patterns genetic variation (Johri et al.,

2022), and our assumptions about mutation rate and generation time may be incorrect. We cannot

therefore exclude the possibility that our simulations are picking up signals of *B. platyphylla*

population isolation due to separate refugia in recent glaciations.

Consistent with a previous phylogeographic study of Asian white birch showing that genetic diversity

of Asian white birch increased along latitude based on SSR (Chen & Lou, 2019), our result confirmed

that genomic diversity in the NE is higher than that in CE which is higher than that in SW (Figure S12-

13, Supporting Information). It might have been expected that higher diversity in the NE is due to

admixture from *B. pendula*, but we find no evidence for this.

Ecological niche models indicated that mean temperature of wettest quarter and annual mean

precipitation are the most important predictors of *B. platyphylla* distribution and both north-eastern

China and the south-western Tibetan plateau currently provide the most suitable environments for

this species in China. Future climate projections show an overall decline of the species range and

environmental suitability throughout of its current distribution in China, with suitable habitats

persisting mostly in areas of higher elevation (Figure 4) and the central China habitable area

vulnerable to being lost.

Our PCA based only on adaptive SNPs showed that the NE and CE populations formed an

overlapping cluster whereas in PCA based on neutral SNPs the two populations separated (Figure

S21-22, Supporting Information). This is possibly due to the relatively lower diversifying selection

acting on the two populations and the existence of gene flow which can counteract the impact of

diversifying selection (Guichoux et al., 2013; Nosil et al., 2009). F_{st} analyses suggested that the level

of differentiation is much higher at loci under selection rather than neutral loci, as expected, and the

SW populations appears to be highly differentiated from the others at adaptive loci (Figure S23,

Supporting Information).

Functional enrichment analysis of mRNA intersecting the identified adaptive SNPs suggested that

these genes were mostly involved in growth and environmental stress response (Figure S25,

Supporting Information), although further studies and functional experiments are needed to confirm

these findings. Among the significantly enriched categories, the most interesting in view of climate

adaptation were: "regulation of response to stimulus", "DNA helicase activity" which are enzymes

involved in DNA repair and have a crucial role as caretakers of the plant genome against

environmental damages (Sami et al., 2021) and "inorganic cation transmembrane transporter

activity", as potassium, the most abundant inorganic cation in plant cells, it is essential for plant

growth and development and it has been shown to have a major role in resistance to drought,

salinity and fungal infections (Sharma, Dreyer & Ridelsberger, 2013). The 1,633 identified adaptive

genes also included several light-response and growth-related genes, according to our OmicsBox

annotation, as well as members of all the three GO categories significantly enriched in the putative

genes under selection detected by Solojarvi et al. (2017): transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine

kinase, peptidyl-histidine phosphorylation, and axis specification. Furthermore, 87 of the 1,633 genic

regions detected in this study were identified as putative selective sweeps in *B. pendula* (Salojarvi et

al., 2017). Even though it would be interesting to explore all the identified genes singularly, this goes

beyond the extent of this work.

In line with similar studies in other species (Jordan et al., 2017; Rellstab et al., 2016; Borrell et al.,

2019; Pina-Martins et al., 2018), local adaptation appears to be a highly polygenic in *B. platyphylla*,

therefore it is likely that maintaining the standing variation and adaptive diversity may be a better

solution to aid future climate adaptation, rather than focusing on raising the frequencies of a specific

set of adaptive SNPs, as it was proposed previously (Jordan et al. 2017). Two variables, "mean

diurnal range" and "isothermality" reported a substantially larger number of significant SNPs

association compared to the other climatic variables (Figure S20, Supporting Information), which

may have arisen due to the spatial correlation of these environmental variables and genotypes

across the sampling range therefore these results should be interpreted with caution and further

validation is necessary, however we cannot exclude and highly polygenic control of these variables

with many SNPs with low effects involved. We did not identify any significant correlation between

the number of SNPs associated with each climate variable, and their percentage contribution in our

ENM, unlike some other studies (Borrell et al., 2019; Rellstab et al., 2016). However, we note that it

is not a logical necessity as the variables with higher discriminatory power in the ENM could be

limiting species ranges precisely because they lack adaptation (Borrell et al., 2019).

To investigate how the samples of *B. platyphylla* will respond to rapid future climate change, we

calculated RONA for the 71 *B. platyphylla* individuals according to the implementation by Pina-

Martins et al. (2018). Overall, RONA was relatively low across the environmental variables tested

(Figure 5), with the exception of "annual mean temperature" and "mean temperature of wettest

quarter", very likely reflecting the larger relative projected change of temperature derived variables

compared with that of environmental variables related to precipitation throughout China. The

expected allelic frequencies changes are similar to those reported in other studies (Jordan et al.,

2017; Pina-Martins et al., 2019; Rellstab et al., 2016). Weighted mean RONA incorporated the ENM

with EAA and generally remains low and it is always below 0.2 (Figure 6A). We also reported the

maximum RONA per individual out of the seven variables tested (Figure 6B) because even if a

population, in this case an individual, has "low" average RONA for a given future projection, it might

still be its highest RONA that will determine how much it will need to shift its allelic frequencies in

order respond to future selective pressure (Pina-Martins, Baptista, Pappas & Paulo, 2018). Maximum

RONA across individuals showed significantly higher values, as expected. Interestingly, the maximum

RONA plot also shows particularly high values for four individuals in the south-western population

located at the very southern edge of this population distribution, reported for precipitation

seasonality which is expected to have a much larger relative change at these locations (Figure 6B).

Overall, both weighted mean and maximum RONA match well with our ENM for ssp370 2080-2100,

with lower RONA values reported within the inferred projected suitable environment (Figure 6).

The RONA method is of course a huge simplification, and its limitations are accurately described in

the original publication (Rellstab et al., 2016), therefore our results should be viewed considering

such caveats. In addition, RONA has been originally designed to be used with population allelic

frequencies rather than individuals, as the results in only three possible data points for the RONA

regression which simplifies this method even further. However, given the nature of our sampling,

this was to our knowledge the best approach. Grouping the individuals in populations for the RONA

calculation would be problematic in this study as it would require averaging climatic conditions

across different locations, which would result in an even greater simplification due to the broad

geographic range of our study and the high heterogeneity of some of the environmental variables

tested.

It is not straightforward to determine when RONA is too high to result in a lag between allele

frequencies and adaptation however previous studies on *Fagus sylvatica* have observed frequencies

changes of 0.1 – 0.2 per decade in populations (Jump, Hunt, Martinez-Izquierdo, & Peñuelas, 2006),

therefore, following suggestions (Jordan et al., 2017) we can hypothesize that frequencies changes <

0.1 may be matched by populations naturally, whereas larger changes may require some sort of aid

such as assisted gene flow or assisted migration (Borrell et al., 2019). However, the above statement

is highly dependent on the population size and generation time (Gain & Francoise, 2021), therefore

it should be treated as a rough indication.

Concluding remarks

This study provides insights into both the evolution and ecology of Asian white Birch, *B. platyphtylla*,

in China. We showed that *B. platyphylla* and *B. pendula* are better considered distinct species, as

their differentiation is clear at the genomic level. We identified three distinct lineages of *B.*

platyphylla in China distributed along a latitudinal gradient from the north-east to the south-west,

and a hybrid zone between *B. platyphylla/B. pendula* in north-western China. The three *B.*

platyphylla lineages appear to have had a long period of isolation from one another, followed by

more recent gene flow. Our species distribution model shows that *B. platyphylla* prefers

mountainous environments with cool summer and moderate levels of precipitations. Future

prediction shows significant reduction on the suitable habitat of this species in China under every

future scenario tested, up to a third reduction compared to the current extent. We identified signals

of local adaptation throughout the genome of this species, suggesting that climate control is a highly

polygenic mechanism. We estimated the degree of maladaptation to inform conservation strategies.

Despite the limitation of our method, we showed that rapidly rising temperature, particularly in the

summer months, poses a risk to this species and our environmental niche model suggests that

current habitats located in central China and in the north-eastern region adjacent to the Changbai

mountains will likely become unsuitable by 2080-2100, while current habitats located at higher

elevations, such as the south-western Tibetan plateau and the region surrounding the Greater

Khingan mountains range in the north-east will remain suitable. It is possible that populations

outside the predicted suitable environment may be able to adapt, however climate, particularly

temperature, might change to an extent that adaptation will not be possible and assisted migration

might be the only option possible to rescue some populations. The estimated required changes in

allelic frequencies at adaptive loci do not appear as concerning within the predicted suitable

environment, however with the current dataset, based on individuals' allelic frequencies, it is

difficult to estimate with confidence an allelic frequency change threshold after which populations

will fail to adapt on time naturally and will require assisted gene flow from donor pre-adapted

populations.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31770230 and

31600295) to Nian Wang. Gabriele Nocchi is on a PhD programme run jointly between Queen Mary

University of London and the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew funded by the UK Government

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), in association with Action Oak.

We thank Stefan Götz, David Seide and the BioBam Bioinformatics team for kindly letting us use

their software OmicsBox for the functional annotation and functional enrichment analysis of the

identified adaptive genes. We thank Richard Nichols (Queen Mary University of London), Yiye Liang

and Baosheng Wang (South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for their expert

advice on our analyses.

This research utilised Queen Mary's Apocrita HPC facility, supported by QMUL Research-IT:

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.438045.

References

1. Aitken, S., Yeaman, S., Holliday, J., Wang, T., & Curtis-McLane, S. (2008). Adaptation, migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree populations. *Evolutionary Applications*, *1*(1), 95-111.

2. Alberto, F., Aitken, S., Alía, R., González-Martínez, S., Hänninen, H., & Kremer, A. et al. (2013). Potential for evolutionary responses to climate change – evidence from tree populations. *Global Change Biology*, *19*(6), 1645-1661.

3. Ashburner, K., & McAllister, H. (2013). Botanical Magazine Monograph: The Genus Betula: A Taxonomic Revision of Birches. Erscheinungsort nicht ermittelbar: Royal Botanic Gardens.

4. Ashburner, M., Ball, C., Blake, J., Botstein, D., Butler, H., & Cherry, J., ... Sherlock, G. (2000). Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nature Genetics*, *25*(1), 25-29.

5. Bolger, A., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(15), 2114-2120.

6. Borrell, J., Zohren, J., Nichols, R., & Buggs, R. (2019). Genomic assessment of local adaptation in dwarf birch to inform assisted gene flow. *Evolutionary Applications*, *13*(1), 161-175.

7. Cao, X., Herzschuh, U., Ni, J., Zhao, Y., & Böhmer, T. (2015). Spatial and temporal distributions of major tree taxa in eastern continental Asia during the last 22,000 years. *The Holocene*, *25*(1), 79-91.

8. Caye, K., Deist, T., Martins, H., Michel, O., & François, O. (2016). TESS3: fast inference of spatial population structure and genome scans for selection. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *16*(2), 540-548.

9. Caye, K., Jumentier, B., Lepeule, J., & François, O. (2019). LFMM2: Fast and Accurate Inference of Gene-Environment Associations in Genome-Wide Studies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *36*(4), 852-860.

10. Chang C. C., Chow, CC, Tellier, L. C. A. M., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S. M., & Lee, J. J. (2015) Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and ricer datasets. *GigaScience*, *4*(1)

11. Chen, S., Wang, Y., Yu, L., Zheng, T., Wang, S., & Yue, Z. et al. (2021). Genome sequence and evolution of *Betula platyphylla*. *Horticulture Research*, *8*(1).

12. Chen, T., & Lou, A. (2019). Phylogeography and paleodistribution models of a widespread birch (*Betula platyphylla* Suk.) across East Asia: Multiple refugia, multidirectional expansion, and heterogeneous genetic pattern. *Ecology And Evolution*, *9*(13), 7792-7807.

13. Conesa, A., & Götz, S. (2008). Blast2GO: A Comprehensive Suite for Functional Analysis in Plant Genomics. *International Journal of Plant Genomics*, 1-12.

14. Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M., ... Durbin, R. and 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group. (2011). The Variant Call Format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(15), 2156–2158.

15. Davey, J., Hohenlohe, P., Etter, P., Boone, J., Catchen, J., & Blaxter, M. (2011). Genome-wide
genetic marker discovery and genotyping using next-generation sequencing. *Nature Reviews*
Genetics, *12*(7), 499-510.

16. DePristo, M., Banks, E., Poplin, R., Garimella, K., Maguire, J., & Hartl, C., …, & Daly, M. J.
(2011). A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA
sequencing data. *Nature Genetics*, *43*(5), 491-498.

17. Eckert, A., van Heerwaarden, J., Wegrzyn, J., Nelson, C., Ross-Ibarra, J., González-Martínez, S.,
& Neale, D. (2010). Patterns of population structure and environmental associations to
aridity across the range of Loblolly Pine (*Pinus taeda* L., Pinaceae). Genetics, *185* (3), 969-
982.

18. Ekblom, R., & Galindo, J. (2010). Applications of next generation sequencing in molecular
ecology of non-model organisms. *Heredity*, *107*(1), 1-15.

19. Ellegren, H. (2014). Genome sequencing and population genomics in non-model organisms.
Trends In Ecology & Evolution, *29*(1), 51-63.

20. Excoffier, L., Dupanloup, I., Huerta-Sánchez, E., Sousa, V., & Foll, M. (2013). Robust
Demographic Inference from Genomic and SNP Data. *Plos Genetics*, *9* (10), e1003905.

21. Excoffier, L., Dupanloup, I., Huerta-Sánchez, E., Sousa, V., & Foll, M. (2013). Robust
Demographic Inference from Genomic and SNP Data. *Plos Genetics*, *9* (10), e1003905.

22. Fisher, R. (1930). The genetical theory of natural selection. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

23. Frichot, E., Schoville, S., Bouchard, G., & François, O. (2013). Testing for Associations
between Loci and Environmental Gradients Using Latent Factor Mixed Models. *Molecular*
Biology and Evolution, *30*(7), 1687-1699.

24. Gain, C., & François, O. (2021). LEA 3: Factor models in population genetics and ecological
genomics with R. *Molecular Ecology Resources*.

25. Guichoux, E., Garnier-Géré, P., Lagache, L., Lang, T., Boury, C., & Petit, R. (2012). Outlier loci
highlight the direction of introgression in oaks. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(2), 450-462.

26. Hijmans, R. J., & Etten, J. (2012). raster: Geographic analysis and modelling with raster data.

27. Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high-
resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of*
Climatology, *25*, 1965–1978.

28. Hoban, S., Kelley, J., Lotterhos, K., Antolin, M., Bradburd, G., & Lowry, D. et al. (2016).
Finding the Genomic Basis of Local Adaptation: Pitfalls, Practical Solutions, and Future
Directions. *The American Naturalist*, *188*(4), 379-397.

29. Joost, S., Bonin, A., Bruford, M. W., Després, L., Conord, C., Erhardt, G., & Taberlet, P. (2007).
A spatial analysis method (SAM) to detect candidate loci for selection: Towards a landscape
genomics approach to adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*, 16, 3955–3969.

30. Jordan, R., Hoffmann, A., Dillon, S., & Prober, S. (2017). Evidence of genomic adaptation to
climate in Eucalyptus microcarpa: Implications for adaptive potential to projected climate
change. *Molecular Ecology*, *26*(21), 6002-6020.

31. Jorhi, P., Eyre-Walker, A., Gutenkunst, R. N., Lohmueller, K. E., & Jensen, J. D. (2022). On the
prospect of achieving accurate joint estimation of selection with population history. *Genome*
Biology and Evolution, evac088.

32. Jump, A. S., Hunt, J. M., Martinez-Izquierdo, J. A., & Peñuelas, J. (2006). Natural selection
and climate change: Temperature-linked spatial and temporal trends in gene frequency in
Fagus sylvatica. *Molecular Ecology*, *15*, 3469–3480.

33. Keenan, R. (2015). Climate change impacts and adaptation in forest management: a review.
Annals of Forest Science, *72*(2), 145-167.

34. Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of next generation
sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *15* (1), 356.

35. Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1754-1760.

36. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., & Homer, N., …, & Durbin, R. (2009).
The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(16), 2078-2079.

37. Liu, C., Newell, G., & White, M. (2016). On the selection of thresholds for predicting species
occurrence with presence-only data. *Ecology and Evolution*, *6*, 337–348.

38. Lotterhos, K. E., & Whitlock, M. C. (2014). Evaluation of demographic history and neutral
parameterization on the performance of FST outlier tests. Molecular Ecology, 23(9), 2178–
2192.

39. Manel, S., & Holderegger, R. (2013). Ten years of landscape genetics. *Trends In Ecology &*
Evolution, *28*(10), 614-621.

40. Martins, H., Caye, K., Luu, K., Blum, M. G. B., & François, O. (2016). Identifying outlier loci in
admixed and in continuous populations using ancestral population differentiation statistics.
Molecular Ecology, 25(20), 5029–5042.

41. Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L., Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L.
Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R., Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T.
Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and Zhou, B. (2021). IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The
Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of
the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. In Press.

42. McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., & Kernytsky, A., …, &
DePristo, M. A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analysing
next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, *20*(9), 1297-1303.

43. Nei, M., & Li, W. H. (1979). Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of
restriction endonucleases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
States of America, *76*(10), 5269–5273.

44. Nosil, P., Funk, D., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2009). Divergent selection and heterogeneous
genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*(3), 375-402.

45. Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., & Schapire, R. E. (2006). Maximum entropy modelling of
species geographic distributions. *Ecol. Modell.*, *190*, 231–259.

46. Pina-Martins, F., Baptista, J., Pappas, G., & Paulo, O. (2018). New insights into adaptation
and population structure of cork oak using genotyping by sequencing. *Global Change*
Biology, *25*(1), 337-350.

47. Quinlan, A. R., & Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(6), 841-842.

48. Raj, A., Stephens, M., & Pritchard, J. K. (2014). fastSTRUCTURE: Variational Inference of
Population Structure in Large SNP Data Sets. *Genetics*, *197* (2), 573-589.

49. Rellstab, C., Dauphin, B., & Exposito-Alonso, M. (2021). Prospects and limitations of genomic
offset in conservation management. *Evolutionary Applications*, *14*(5), 1202-1212.

50. Rellstab, C., Gugerli, F., Eckert, A., Hancock, A., & Holderegger, R. (2015). A practical guide to
environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(17), 4348-
4370.

51. Rellstab, C., Zoller, S., Walthert, L., Lesur, I., Pluess, A., & Graf, R. et al. (2016). Signatures of
local adaptation in candidate genes of oaks (*Quercus* spp.) with respect to present and
future climatic conditions. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(23), 5907-5924.

52. Salojärvi, J., Smolander, OP., Nieminen, K., Rajaraman, S., Safronov, O., Safdari, P., …
Kangasjarvi, J. (2017). Genome sequencing and population genomic analyses provide insights
into the adaptive landscape of silver birch. *Nature Genetics*, *49*, 904–912.

53. Sami, A., Arabia, S., Sarker, R., & Islam, T. (2021). Deciphering the role of helicases and
translocases: A multifunctional gene family safeguarding plants from diverse environmental
adversities. *Current Plant Biology*, *26*, 100204.

54. Savolainen, O., Pyhäjärvi, T., & Knürr, T. (2007). Gene Flow and Local Adaptation in Trees.
Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, *38*(1), 595-619.

55. Schiffels, S., & Durbin, R. (2014). Inferring human population size and separation history
from multiple genome sequences. *Nature Genetics*, *46* (8), 919-925.

56. Sharma, T., Dreyer, I., & Riedelsberger, J. (2013). The role of K+ channels in uptake and
redistribution of potassium in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Frontiers in Plant
Science, 4.

57. Sork, V., Aitken, S., Dyer, R., Eckert, A., Legendre, P., & Neale, D. (2013). Putting the
landscape into the genomics of trees: approaches for understanding local adaptation and
population responses to changing climate. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, *9*(4), 901-911.

58. Storey, J. D., Bass, A. J., Dabney, A., & Robinson, D. (2015). qvalue: Q-value estimation for
false discovery rate control. R package version 2.4.2. http://github.com/jdstorey/qvalue

59. Storey, J., & Tibshirani, R. (2003). Statistical significance for genome wide studies.
Proceedings Of the National Academy Of Sciences, *100*(16), 9440-9445.

60. Stucki, S., Orozco-terWengel, P., Forester, B. R., Duruz, S., Colli, L., Masembe,
C.…Consortium, N. (2017). High performance computation of landscape genomic models
including local indicators of spatial association. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *17*(5), 1072–
1089.

61. Tsuda, Y., Semerikov, V., Sebastiani, F., Vendramin, G., & Lascoux, M. (2017). Multispecies
genetic structure and hybridization in the *Betula* genus across Eurasia. *Molecular Ecology*,
26(2), 589-605.

62. Wang, I., & Bradburd, G. (2014). Isolation by environment. *Molecular Ecology, 23* (23), 5649-
5662.

63. Wang, N., Kelly, L., McAllister, H., Zohren, J., & Buggs, R. (2021). Resolving phylogeny and
polyploid parentage using genus-wide genome-wide sequence data from birch trees.
Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 160, 107126.

64. Wang, N., McAllister, H., Bartlett, P., & Buggs, R. (2016). Molecular phylogeny and genome
size evolution of the genus *Betula* (Betulaceae). *Annals of Botany, 117*(6), 1023-1035.

65. Wang, N., Thomson, M., Bodles, W., Crawford, R., Hunt, H., & Featherstone, A., Pellicer, J.,
Buggs, R. J. A. (2013). Genome sequence of dwarf birch (*Betula nana*) and cross-species RAD
markers. *Molecular Ecology, 22*(11), 3098-3111.

66. Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
structure. *Evolution, 38*, 1358–1370.

67. Zdobnov, E., & Apweiler, R. (2001). InterProScan - an integration platform for the signature-
recognition methods in InterPro. *Bioinformatics, 17*(9), 847-848.

68. Zhang, C., Dong, S., Xu, J., He, W., & Yang, T. (2018). PopLDdecay: a fast and effective tool for
linkage disequilibrium decay analysis based on variant call format files. *Bioinformatics,*
35(10), 1786-1788.

Data accessibility

Sequencing read data are archived in the NCBI through the BioProject accession PRJNA854976.

Benefit sharing statement

This research was led by scientists from the country providing genetic samples. As described above,

all data have been shared with the broader public via appropriate biological databases.

Author contributions

RB and NW conceived the project. NW and JD performed the sampling and extracted DNA. LY, JD,

and YG performed mapping and SNPs calling. GN and JW analysed the data and wrote the

manuscript. RB oversaw the project and helped write the manuscript.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. *fastSTRUCTURE* results for the China dataset. **A)** Bar plot of *fastSTRUCTURE* results of 83
sampled birch individuals, based on 1,497,547 unlinked SNPs ($r^2 < 0.4$) at K = 2, **B)** K=3 and **C)** K =4. **D)**
Map of the individuals showing their genetic ancestry composition according to fastSTRUCTURE at K
= 3.

Figure 2. *fastSTRUCTURE* results for the Eurasian dataset. **A)** Bar plot of *fastSTRUCTURE* results of
162 *B. pendula* and *B. platyphylla* individuals, based on 278,717 unlinked SNPs ($r^2 < 0.4$) at K = 2, **B)**
K=3 and **C)** K =4. **D)** Map of the individuals showing their genetic ancestry composition according to
fastSTRUCTURE at K = 4.

Figure 3. *fastSTRUCTURE*, *MSMC* and *fastsimcoal* results for Chinese *B. platyphylla*. **A)** Bar plot of
fastSTRUCTURE results of 71 *B. platyphylla* individuals, based on 1,387,994 unlinked SNPs ($r^2 < 0.4$)
at K = 2, and **B)** K=3. **C)** Map of the sampled individuals showing their genetic ancestry composition
according to *fastSTRUCTURE* at K = 3. **D)** A demographic scenario of the NE, CE and SW lineages
modelled using fastsimcoal2. Shown in light grey are the ancestral populations. The arrows show the
per generation migration rate (m) between the three lineages. **E)** Historical effective population size
of the three populations estimated by MSMC. Solid lines and shading represent medians and
±standard deviation calculated across pairs of haplotypes, respectively.

Figure 4. Environmental niche model (ENM) of *B. platyphylla* in China. Red points represent the
individuals sampled in this study included in the model, blue points represent observations
downloaded from the GBIF (http://www.gbif.org). **A)** Present time ENM showing the HSI throughout
China. **B)** Binomial representation of the present time habitat suitability index (HSI), using the
maximum training sensitivity plus specificity threshold of 0.2. **C)** Binomial HSI projections (> 0.2) of *B.*
platyphylla under four different climate scenarios (ssp126, ssp245, ssp370 and ssp585) at two
different time points (2041-2060 and 2080-2100). The percentage in each plot shows the decrease in
suitable environment area compared with the current habitat.

 Figure 5. Risk of non-adaptedness (RONA) for the 71 *B. platyphylla* individuals**.** RONA calculated
independently for each of the seven climatic variables projected to change in the future. The future
climate profile ssp370 at 2080-2100 was used for RONA calculation. AD: admixed individuals. AMT:
annual mean temperature. MDR: mean diurnal range. ISO: isothermality. MTWQ: mean temperature
of wettest quarter. AP: annual precipitation. PS: precipitation seasonality. PDQ: precipitation of
driest quarter.

913   **Figure 6.** Weighted-mean RONA and MAX RONA. **A)** Map showing weighted mean RONA for the 71
914   *B. platyphylla* individuals, out of the seven environmental variables included in the analysis. Each
915   variable RONA was given a weight equivalent to its percent contribution in the ENM. **B)** Map
916   showing maximum RONA per individual, out of the seven environmental variables tested. Green
917   shading represents suitable environment in 2080-2100 under profile ssp370 according to our ENM.

923