Aromatic ring flips in differently packed protein crystals: MAS NMR and MD studies of 3 ubiquitin lattices

Diego F. Gauto[1,2,7], **Olga O. Lebedenko**[3,7], **Lea Marie Becker**[4], **Isabel Ayala**[1], **Roman Lichtenecker**[5], **Nikolai R. Skrynnikov**[2,6,✉], **and Paul Schanda**[4,✉]

[1]Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), 71, Avenue des Martyrs, F-38044 Grenoble, France
[2]ICSN,CNRS UPR2301, Univ. Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[3]Laboratory of Biomolecular NMR, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia
[4]Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Am Campus 1, A-3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria
[5]Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Vienna, Wahringer Str. 38, 1090 Vienna, Austria
[6]Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2084, USA
[7]These authors contributed equally

Probing the dynamics of aromatic side chains provides important insights into the behavior of a protein because flips of aromatic rings in a protein's hydrophobic core report on breathing motion involving large part of the protein. Inherently invisible to crystallography, aromatic motions have been primarily studied by solution NMR. Here we apply magic-angle spinning NMR, advanced phenylalanine ^{1}H-^{13}C/^{2}H isotope labeling and molecular simulations to a protein in three different crystal packing environments to shed light onto possible impact of packing on ring flips. We find that ring flips in ubiquitin are quite conserved in the different crystal forms, even though the intermolecular packing is quite different suggesting that intramolecular influences are more important than intermolecular (packing) effects.

NERRD relaxation dispersion | dipolar coupling measurements | molecular dynamics simulations | ^{1}H relaxation | ^{13}C relaxation

Correspondence: *paul.schanda@ist.ac.at, n.skrynnikov@spbu.ru*

Introduction

Aromatic side chains play important roles in proteins. Often located in their hydrophobic cores, they are key to protein stability. Over-represented in protein-protein and protein-drug interfaces, aromatics play an important role in molecular recognition and binding (1), and are often prominent in the active sites of enzymes. The dynamics of aromatic side chains have been of central interest to research for more than four decades (2–4). An important motivation for this interest is the realisation that aromatic side chains are rather bulky, and their motions, and particularly rotations of the aromatic rings, require a significant void volume. Consequently, aromatic ring flips are thought to reveal coordinated movement of surrounding residues. Ring flips of Phe and Tyr, i.e. 180° rotations around the χ2 dihedral angle, interconvert two indistinguishable states, and they are, therefore, not observable by crystallographic methods. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy can probe such motions in quite some detail, including the time scales of ring flips and the amplitudes and time scales of the ring-axis motions. Solution-state NMR provides insights into ring flips because the two symmetry-equivalent spin pairs (at the two Hδ-Cδ positions,

or the two Hε-Cε positions, respectively) are exposed to different conformational environments. Observing either two distinct sets of peaks, or a single time-average set of peaks, or possibly line broadening due to exchange, provides evidence for the time scale of flips. A growing arsenal of methods allows for quantification of such exchange processes (5–7). Studying the pressure- or temperature-dependence of such parameters sheds light onto the transition state and created void volume involved in ring flips (8–10). A recent study managed to stabilise a transition state of a ring flip which became then amenable to high-resolution structural investigation (11). Nuclear spin relaxation methods (10, 12) provide another avenue to probe ring dynamics of proteins in solution.

Whether crystal packing has an influence on ring flips is poorly understood, largely because these are invisible to crystallography. Magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR provides atom-resolved insight into protein assemblies, including crystals. It can, thus, shed light onto the impact of crystal packing on motions. We have recently applied a selective isotope-labeling strategy combined with sensitive proton-detected MAS NMR pulse sequences to quantitatively probe aromatic ring dynamics over a wide range of time scales (13). The approach uses highly deuterated protein samples, in which ^{1}H-^{13}C spin pairs are introduced at either the Cζ site, the Cε or the Cδ site. Together with MAS frequencies of 40-50 kHz or above, this strategy leads to sensitive high resolution ^{1}H-^{13}C correlation spectra. Moreover, given the simplicity of the spin system, with well-isolated ^{1}H-^{13}C spin pairs, it is straightforward to obtain quantitatively accurate dynamics data without any influence of scalar or dipolar couplings to remote spins. In the solid state, the arsenal of methods for probing dynamics is richer than in solution: in addition to chemical-shift based methods and relaxation measurements (also accessible in solution), MAS NMR allows quantifying dipolar couplings. Motion leads to averaging of these couplings, and the averaged dipolar-coupling tensor reflects the conformational space that the inter-atomic vector samples; the methods we employ here

Fig. 1. Structures of ubiquitin in three different crystal forms, denoted herein as "MPD-ub" (black), "cubic-PEG-ub" (red) and "rod-PEG-ub" (blue). The three crystal forms correspond to PDB entries 3ONS, 3N30 and 3EHV, respectively. The number of non-equivalent molecules in the unit cell are: 1 (MPD-ub), 2 (cubic-PEG-ub) and 3 (rod-PEG-ub). (A) Overlay of the backbones of the six (1+2+3) chains from the three crystal forms. Panels (B) and (C) zoom onto Phe45 and Phe4, respectively. Spheres denote atoms within 5 Å around the aromatic side chain (shown here for one of the chains of rod-PEG-ub; dark blue: nitrogen, red: oxygen, light blue: carbon). (D-I) Crystal packing for MPD-ub (D), cubic-PEG-ub, chains A (E) and B (F), and rod-PEG-ub, chains A (G), B (H) and C (I). Neighboring molecules in direct contact with the molecule in the center are shown as mesh. (J) The number of heavy atoms located in a neighboring ubiquitin chain within a radius of 4 Å around any atom of Phe4 (left) or Phe45 (right).

allow to even see anisotropy of the underlying motion, that is caused e.g. by two-site ring flips (13, 14). Additionally, MAS NMR relaxation measurements probe dynamics over a broad range of time scales without inherent "blind spots" (15), although MAS NMR relaxation experiments are differently sensitive to different time scales (16). In particular, so-called near-rotary resonance relaxation-dispersion experiments allow probing whether motions occur on microseconds (μs) or rather nanosecond (ns) time scales.

Here, we use MAS NMR together with highly deuterated samples with specific ^{1}H-^{13}C spin pairs to investigate phenylalanine ring dynamics in the 8.6 kDa protein ubiquitin, crystallized in three different crystal forms, herein called "MPD-ub", "cubic-PEG-ub" and "rod-PEG-ub". These names refer to the crystallisation agent, methyl-pentane diol or polyethylene glycole, and the crystal shape. The arrangement of the molecules, in particular with respect to the Phe side chains, is displayed in Fig. 1.

These three crystals differ in the number of molecules in the unit cell, the relative orientation of molecules to each other and the solvent content in the crystal, ranging from 58% water content in cubic-PEG-ub to 49% (MPD-ub) to 40% (rod-PEG-ub). Previous studies of the backbone dynamics of these crystals have revealed that the backbone has similar (sub-

μs) motion, but that there is different degree of overall motion: the molecules of the least-densely packed cubic-PEG-ub crystal undergo overall rocking motion with an amplitude of several degrees on a μs time scale (17, 18). Although both Phe residues are positioned more or less on the protein's surface, rather than in the hydrophobic core, the packing of the aromatic side chains differ. Considering the highly accurate solution-NMR structure of uniquitin 1D3Z, we find that the average solvent accessible surface area of Phe4 in this multi-conformer structure is 59 Å^2 (33%), while for Phe45 it is 42 Å^2 (23%). However, the degree of solvent exposure changes depending on intermolecular arrangements in the different crystal forms (Fig. 1D-I), which is also reflected in the number of contacts that each Phe side chain makes with the neighboring ubiquitin molecules (Fig. 1J).

MAS NMR combined with MD simulations shed light onto the effects of crystal packing on Phe ring dynamics. The entirety of spectroscopic data suggest that the Phe45 signals are broadened beyond detection in all crystals due to slow (μs) ring flips of Phe45. This finding is backed up by MD simulations. The peak positions of Phe4 are remarkably conserved in the three different crystal forms, despite different buffer composition and pH conditions and intermolecular packing. However, in one crystal form, differences in intermolecular

packing between the two chains are reflected by different ^{1}H chemical shifts and spin relaxation parameters. Overall, our study reveals that aromatic ring dynamics are detectably impacted by the crystal packing, but the effect is small compared to the intramolecular determinants of ring flipping.

Results

Fig. 2. MAS NMR of specifically Phe-labeled ubiquitin. (A) Ketoacid precursor used for the labeling of Phe with two [1]H-^{13}C spin pairs at the two ε positions of Phe. (B) Overlay of the CP-based [1]H-^{13}C correlation spectra of the three different ubiquitin crystal samples. The spectra were obtained at 50 kHz MAS frequency at a sample temperature of ca. 28 °C with a pulse sequence based on [1]H-^{13}C out-and-back cross-polarisation steps, and ^{1}H detection (13). The assignments of Phe4 and Phe45 in various solution-state data sets (BMRB 6457, 5387, 16228 and 27356) are indicated by crosses, and the solid-state NMR assignment (only for ^{13}C; BMRB 25123) is indicated by a horizontal line, color-coded for Phe4 (gold) and Phe45 (cyan). (C) Chemical-shift predictions for ε [1]H-^{13}C spin pairs in Phe4 using the program SHIFTX2 (the peaks are color-coded as indicated in the legend). Of interest, chains A and B in cubic-PEG-ub show significantly different proton shifts. This effect can be attributed to ring current shift arising from the stacking of the two Phe4 rings from the proximal chains A in the crystal lattice (illustrated in panel D).

Specifically isotope-labeled Phe samples of three crystal forms of ubiquitin. In order to obtain sensitive and high-resolution proton-detected MAS NMR spectra, high levels of sample deuteration, along with fast magic-angle spinning is an established method (19, 20). For exchangeable sites, in particular the amides of the backbone, perdeuteration followed by back-exchange in [1]H$_2$O buffer is a straightforward method. For detecting side chain atoms, one can either use the "imperfection" of deuteration, i.e. the residual ^{1}H content in deuterated samples (21, 22), or specific labeling with precursors in which a chosen type of moiety is protonated almost completely. The latter approach is commonly used for methyl-directed NMR, particularly in solu-

tion NMR (23, 24), and also in MAS NMR (14, 25). Introduction of such isolated protons in other sites allows obtaining highest resolution for other side chains; generally, such specific-labeling approaches can clearly achieve better line widths than those obtainable from fully protonated samples at the highest available MAS frequencies to date (100 kHz) (13).

We have recombinantly expressed ubiquitin in which all non-exchangeable sites are deuterated, and individual [1]H-^{13}C spin pairs are incorporated at the two Hε-Cε positions of Phe residues. (We denote this labeling here as u-[^{2}H,^{15}N],Phe(ε1,ε2)-[1]H-[13]CH; note that all other carbon sites are not labeled). The incorporation of the specific label was achieved by adding a properly labeled ketoacid precursor molecule (35 mg/L), displayed in Fig. 2A, to the bacterial culture prior to induction (26), as described in the Methods. Fig. 2B shows the aromatic region of the [1]H-^{13}C spectra of ubiquitin in the three different crystal forms. Overlaid with these spectra are previous assignments of the ε [1]H-^{13}C sites in solution (27–30), and of the ε carbon in a carbon-detected MAS NMR study (31). Even though these previous data sets have been collected under a diverse set of conditions, including also in-cell NMR and a sample in reverse micelles, the reported chemical-shift positions are remarkably similar.

In our spectra, only the signal that corresponds to Phe4 is visible, while the one of Phe45 is absent. We discuss the question of why the signal of Phe45 is not observed further below. As for Phe4, for this site we observe a single peak in both MPD-ub and rod-PEG-ub spectra. This is expected for MPD-ub, in which all chains are identical. However, this outcome is less obvious for rod-PEG-ub, where the crystal contains three non-equivalent molecules in the unit cell. For many backbone amide sites, three distinct signals can be observed (17). Closer inspection of the three non-equivalent Phe4 appearances in the crystal (Fig. 1G–I) shows that the Phe4 side chains do not have any contact to other molecules. Thus, their environment is very similar in the three non-equivalent molecules, which explains the observation of a single signal. In cubic-PEG-ub, however, we observe two signals: one at the position found in the other crystal forms and in solution (denoted as 1 in Fig. 2), and the other one ca. 0.25 ppm upfield (2). These two peaks mirror the differences in the environment of Phe4 in the two non-equivalent molecules in the crystal (Fig. 2E, F, J). We tentatively assign peak 1, which is very close to the solution-NMR position, to chain B, because in chain B Phe4 does not form any intermolecular contacts, and peak 2 to chain A, which is engaged in contacts to a neighboring chain.

To confirm this view, we have performed SHIFTX2 predictions (32) of the ε [1]H-^{13}C correlations in solution and in the three crystal forms. Chemical-shift prediction programs are often challenged to predict proton frequencies with accuracy, particularly those in side chains, for which fewer data are available. However, the predictions are able to reproduce the effects observed for Phe4 rather well. In particular, the prediction finds a 0.39 ppm upfield shift of the signal corresponding to chain A of cubic-PEG-ub, compared to chain B.

This effect is due to intermolecular stacking of Phe4 rings in the two neighboring chains A (Fig. 2D). When the second chain is removed in the SHIFTX2 calculation, the two Phe4 signals are essentially on top of each other. Even though predictions of proton chemical shifts are challenging, the effects of ring-currents are well understood (33, 34), and we assume that the effects of intermolecular ring stacking are well recapitulated in the predicted Phe4 shifts of cubic-PEG-ub.

On the other hand, the limited accuracy of the structure-based chemical shift predictors is apparent in the results for Phe45. While SHIFTX2 correctly predicts that Phe45 shifts are similar among the different crystal forms and in solution, their absolute values do not agree very well with the experiment, see Fig. S1.

Fig. 3. ^{1}H-^{13}C dipolar-coupling tensor measurements for the ε site in Phe4. (A) REDOR recoupling curves for the different crystals/sites. (B) Fitted tensor parameters in a fit that does a grid search for the best order parameter S (i.e. the tensor anisotropy) and tensor asymmetry η. (C) Fitted order parameter from a fit against a grid of simulations that assume explicit two-site jumps (120°) and a variable tensor anisotropy. The order parameter in the latter is close to 1, reflecting that the tensor can be described by the two-site jump and only very small additional motional amplitude.

Phe4 rings in all crystal forms undergo sub-millisecond ring flips. The observation of a single cross-peak for one phenylalanine, which is labeled at the two ε sites, suggests that the isotropic chemical shift of the two positions is averaged by sub-millisecond ring flips. To gain a more direct insight into ring flips, MAS NMR can probe the dipolar-coupling averaging. The ^{1}H-^{13}C dipolar-coupling tensor is averaged, such that the tensor anisotropy (often denoted as the "dipolar-coupling strength") is reduced by ring flips to theoretically 62.5% (order parameter S=0.625); moreover,

the dipolar-coupling tensor, which is axially symmetric in the rigid-limit case (η= 0), becomes asymmetric (η= 0.6) (13). An adapted version of the Rotational Echo Double Resonance (REDOR) experiment allows determining order parameters and tensor asymmetries (14). Fig. 3A shows the REDOR curves for Phe4 in the three crystal forms. In all cases, the obtained tensor parameters are in excellent agreement with the ring flip model.

Phe4 ring flips occur on a 10-20 ns time scale in all crystal forms. Spin relaxation rate constants are sensitive to both amplitudes and time scales of motion. We have measured the ^{13}C longitudinal (R_1) and rotating-frame ($R_{1\rho}$) relaxation as well as ^{1}H $R_{1\rho}$ and used them to determine the ring-flip rate constants. To this end, we compared the experimentally measured ^{13}C relaxation rate constants (Fig. 4 A,B) to calculated rate constants that result from ring flips (panel D). In these calculations, we assumed an order parameter of the ^{1}H-^{13}C moiety that corresponds to the two-site jump motion. The rate constant of the ring flips determined from $R_{1\rho}$ and R_1 agree very well, supporting that a single dominant motion, ring flips, accounts for the bulk of spin relaxation. The time scale of the motion is in the range 10-20 ns for all crystal forms (including two nonequivalent positions in the cubic-PEG-ub crystal) .

Even though these data clearly point to nanosecond flips, we also probed whether Phe4 undergoes µs motions, using ^{13}C $R_{1\rho}$ NEar-Rotary resonance Relaxation Dispersion (NERRD) experiments (18, 38). In these experiments, the $R_{1\rho}$ rate constant is probed as a function of the spin-lock RF field strength. In the presence of µs motion, $R_{1\rho}$ increases sharply when the RF field strength approaches the MAS frequency. A ^{1}H $R_{1\rho}$ NERRD version has been proposed, too, and, although less straightforward to quantify, also detects µs motions (39, 40). Fig. 4C and E show the ^{13}C and ^{1}H NERRD data, respectively. These profiles are flat in most cases, suggesting that there is no significant µs motion. This being said, there is a clear and strong rise in the ^{1}H NERRD profiles at the condition $\nu_{RF}=\frac{1}{2}\nu_{MAS}$, due to the recoupling of the homonuclear dipolar coupling (35); however, it extends over only a narrow range of RF field strengths, much less than what is expected if the motion occurred on a µs time scale (40).

It is noteworthy that the experimentally observed rate constants for Phe4 are much higher than the expected ones for ring flips occurring on the ca. 10-20 ns time scale (Fig. 4F). Dipolar dephasing appears to dominate the observed decay rate constant in this case.

These calculations also offer a plausible explanation why the signal of Phe45 is unobserved: if ring flips are 1-2 orders of magnitude slower than for Phe4 (MD suggests ca. a factor of 50; see below), the associated relaxation-induced life times of ^{1}H and ^{13}C would be expected to be of the order of 1 ms or less (and additional dipolar dephasing would shorter the ^{1}H life times even more), such that they would decay rapidly during the pulse sequence and detection.

Of note, in cubic-PEG-ub peak number 2 (assigned to chain A) has higher ^{1}H and ^{13}C $R_{1\rho}$ rate constants than peak num-

Fig. 4. MAS NMR dynamics data for Phe4 signals in the three different crystal forms, sensitive to the amplitude and time scale of motion, done at 44.053 kHz MAS frequency. In all cases, the colors black, red and blue refer to data from the three different crystal forms. (A) ^{13}C longitudinal (R_1) and (B) rotating-frame ($R_{1\rho}$) relaxation rate constants. (C) Measurements of ^{13}C $R_{1\rho}$ as a function of the spin-lock radio-frequency field strength, at a MAS frequency of 44.053 kHz (relaxation-dispersion). The profiles do not show a marked increase when the RF field strength approaches the condition $\nu_{RF}=\nu_{MAS}$ (NEar Rotary resonance Relaxation Dispersion), which one would expect if the motion was on the μs time scale. (D) Determination of the ring-flip rate time constant of Phe4 from ^{13}C relaxation rate constants. The solid lines shown calculated rate constants expected for ring flips (see Supplementary Methods) as a function of the time scale of these flips; orange: $R_{1\rho}$; blue: R_1. Horizontal lines indicate the experimentally measured rate constants and vertical dashed lines show where the experimental data intercept the calculated curve (on the "fast" branch of the curve). Note the remarkable agreement of the flip time scale from $R_{1\rho}$ and R_1. (E) ^1H $R_{1\rho}$ relaxation-dispersion profiles. There is a rise of $R_{1\rho}$ at $\nu_{RF}=\frac{1}{2}\nu_{MAS}$ ("HORROR-condition" (35)), which is due to the recoupling of the ^1H-^1H dipolar coupling, visible in particular for the MPD-ub crystal data, but it is limited to only a few kHz around the (F) Calculated ^1H $R_{1\rho}$ rate constants in the presence of ring flips, taking into account the only the ^1H CSA and the dipolar coupling to either only the directly bonded ^{13}C or two additional remote ^1H spins (see Methods). Note that although the validity of the Redfield theory for slow motions has been debated (15, 36), it appears to be valid for the range of rate constants considered here (37).

ber 1. This is likely the basis why the peak intensity of peak number 2 is lower. Given that the ring flip rate is very similar, the origin of this faster relaxation is not clear; a possible reason could be the closer proximity of protons from the neighboring molecule in the crystal.

Lastly, it is noteworthy that the molecules in the cubic-PEG-ub crystals undergo rocking motion within the crystal, while for the more densely packed MPD-ub and rod-PEG-ub crystals this was not found (17, 18). This rocking effect, occurring on a time scale of tens of μs, was detected by non-flat ^{15}N NERRD profiles. In this sense, it is interesting that the ^{13}C NERRD profiles of cubic-PEG-ub, but not of the other two crystal forms, show a slight (ca. 10 s^{-1}) increase. We ascribe this small NERRD effect to the overall rocking motion sensed by the side chain; the effect is quantitatively somewhat smaller than expected from the rocking parameters obtained for the backbone, which might reflect that the side chain is to some degree decoupled from the motion that the backbone senses.

Overall, NMR data show that in all crystal forms the flips of Phe4 are similar, occurring on a 10-20 ns time scale. It appears that the flips of Phe45 occur on a slower time scale, which prevents its detection by NMR. The MD evidence for that is reviewed in the next section.

MD simulations provide semi-quantitative insight into ring-flip dynamics. Molecular dynamics simulations provide an useful additional view on ring flips. We have analyzed microsecond-long trajectories of the explicit crystal lattices, as well as of ubiquitin in solution, in order to understand the observed differences in ring-flip rates, in particular between Phe4 and Phe45; the latter is experimentally not observed. Fig. 5 shows the time traces of the χ_1 and χ_2 dihedral angles of the two Phe sidechains in the different crystal lattices and in solution. As the simulated crystal lattices comprise 24 or 48 molecules, and the simulation extends over 2 μs, the trajectories effectively cover many microseconds.

The χ_1 angle, which reorients the ring axis, does not change in all cases. For χ_2, which represents rotations of the ring (flips and small-amplitude motions within the two wells), the situation is more interesting and more diverse. Phe4 undergoes ring flips in all cases. In cubic-PEG-ub and rod-PEG-ub, these flips occur multiple times along the 2 μs long trajectory. In MPD-ub, which experimentally behaves very similarly, the flips occur much less frequently than in the PEG-ub crystals. The aggregate estimate of the characteristic time for Phe4 ring flips using all crystal trajectories and solution trajectory is 204 ns. This is is an order of magnitude longer than the value of 10-20 ns estimated from the experimental relaxation data. Such difference translates into excess barrier height of ca. 1.5 kcal/mol, which is common even for state-of-the-art force fields (41).

In all crystals as well as in solution, the ring flips of Phe45 are much less frequent than those of Phe4. Specifically, the MD data indicate that there is a 47-fold reduction of the rate constant. While this value is to be considered a rough estimate, due to the insufficient sampling of the flip event, the MD data show unambiguously a slow-down compared to Phe4. This

Fig. 5. Time traces of side-chain torsional angles χ1 and χ2 for (A) Phe4 and (B) Phe45 from the three simulated ubiquitin crystals and one simulation of ubiquitin in solution. The color coding is the same as in the previous figures: black (MPD-ub), red (cubic-PEG-ub), blue (rod-PEG-ub) and magenta (solution form). The cubic-PEG-ub simulation cell contains 48 ubiquitin molecules equally divided between chain A (molecules 1-24) and chain B (molecules 25-48). The rod-PEG-ub simulation cell contains 24 ubiquitin molecules equally divided between chain A (molecules 1-8), chain B (molecules 9-16) and chain C (molecules 17-24)

Discussion

We have shown that a selective isotope-labeling scheme with proton-detected MAS NMR provides insights into phenylalanine dynamics in ubiquitin crystals. The resolution in the ^{1}H dimension allowed us to detect two distinct environments of Phe4 in the two chains in the asymmetric unit cell. Specifically, stacking of Phe4 rings between two neighboring molecules leads to an upfield proton shift, thus giving rise to a distinct signal for one of the two chains in cubic-PEG-ub. In rod-PEG-ub, which is composed of three non-equivalent chains, only one set of peaks is seen because Phe4 points into the solvent in all chains. Phe45, unobserved in all three crystals, is presumably broadened beyond detection by the slow flips, as suggested by MD simulations. Using the experimentally determined time scale of ca. 10-20 ns for the flips of Phe4 (Fig. 4D) and the MD-derived factor by which Phe45 is slower than Phe4, ca. 50, we estimate that Phe45 ring flips occur on a time scale of about 1 μs.

We have calculated proton transverse relaxation rate $R_{1\rho}$ (using a 4-spin system, see Supplementary Information for details), and carbon $R_{1\rho}$ as a function of the time scale of dynamics, to estimate how rapidly the spin magnetization would decay if ring flips occur on such long time scales. These data (Fig. 4D and F) show that the coherence life times under a spin lock are a fraction of millisecond. Such a rapid decay means that the signal is expected to be very broad, and during the coherence transfer steps in an hCH experiment it should die off before detection. In a simple proton single-pulse excitation 1D spectrum, the aromatic signals overlap with the amide signals, making it impossible to detect Phe45. (Deuterating fully all amide sites, i.e. using 100% deuterated buffer, is not possible as even the precipitation agent, MPD, brings in about 20% ^{1}H into the solvent.)

It is noteworthy that Phe45 has been detected in one MAS NMR study of MPD-ub, although at lower temperature (273 K) and using carbon detected experiments (31). While we can only speculate about the reasons, a possibility is that Phe45 in MPD-ub has ring flips that are much slower than in other crystals, e.g. in hundreds of μs). This conjecture finds some support in our MD simulations of MPD-ub crystal, where Phe45 does not show a single flip (see Fig. 5B). If so, then lowering the temperature to 273 K may further slow down Phe45 flips, bringing them to millisecond territory (42). That would create the conditions for Phe45 signal to become observable again, cf. Fig. 4D and F. In this connection it should be mentioned that under the conditions of fast MAS used in our study, the lowest temperature that we could achieve was ca. 15-20 °C, and we did not observe the Phe45 peak in these trial experiments (not shown).

We sought to identify the origin of the large difference in ring-flip rates between Phe4 and Phe45. Given that Phe4 and Phe45 are not buried in the hydrophobic core, but are positioned on the outside of the ubiquitin molecule, a parameter that likely has an influence is the solvent accessible surface area (SASA). We reasoned that SASA should reflect the

void (i.e. in this case water-filled) volume available to the phenyl ring. Indeed, in solution Phe4 has a somewhat larger SASA than Phe45 (59 vs 42 Å^2). However, calculations of the solvent-accessible surface area along the MD simulations of three different crystals reveal that there is no direct correlation between SASA and the ring-flip rates (Supplementary Fig. S2). Thus, the observed flip rates are likely controlled by more subtle structure/dynamics factors, involving phenylalanie residues and their immediate surroundings, that remain to be uncovered.

Overall, our study reveals that for ubiquitin the crystal packing has little effects on ring-flip dynamics: for the observed Phe4, the flip rate constants are nearly the same, and in all crystals Phe45 appears to be broadened beyond detection, which we ascribe to much slower flips. It will be interesting to probe the effects of crystalline packing on ring-flip effects in cases of aromatic residues buried in the hydrophobic core, where "breathing" motions are thought to be required for ring flips. The methodology we have presented here, which combines selective labeling and a suite of MAS NMR experiments, is well suited to address these questions.

Methods

Protein expression and purification. Perdeuterated ubiquitin with specific ^{1}H-^{13}C labels at the phenylalanine ε positions was prepared by bacterial overexpression as follows. Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells were transformed with a pET21b plasmid carrying the human Ubiquitin gene. Transformants were progressively adapted in four stages over 48 h to M9/D$_2$O media containing 1 g/L ^{15}ND$_4$Cl, 2 g/L D-glucose-d$_7$ as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources. In the final culture, the bacteria were grown at 37 °C. When the optical density (OD) at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) reached 0.65, 35 mg of the ketoacid precursor (shown in Fig. 2A) were added. After 1 h incubation shaking at 37 °C, the OD$_{600}$ reached 0.95, whereupon protein expression was induced by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM. Induction was performed for 3 h at 37 °C. At the end, the final (OD$_{600}$) reading was 2.2.

After induction, the cells were resuspended in 20 mL of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8 buffer containing 2 μg/mL leupeptine and 2 μg/mL pepstatine, and lysed by sonication. The lysate was centrifuged for 30 min at 46 000 g using JA25-50 Beckman rotor, then the supernatant was dialyzed against two times 300 mL of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8 buffer. After dialysis the sample was centrifuged for 30 min at 46,000 g and loaded on a 40 mL Q-Sepharose column. Ubiquitin was recovered in the flow through fractions, which were subsequently concentrated and injected on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 75 column equilibrated with 1 column volume of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8 buffer. The protein was dialyzed against Milli-Q Ultra pure water until the buffer was completely removed. Then the protein was freeze-dried for 24 h.

Protein crystallisation. The three different crystal forms, which were also used in our previous backbone-dynamics study although with different isotope labeling (17), were ob-

tained by sitting-drop crystallisation with buffer conditions described below. In all cases, the crystals were obtained using sitting-drop crystallisation plates (Hampton research Cryschem plate, catalog number HR3-159) with a 40 μL sitting drop and 450 μL of reservoir buffer.

For preparing MPD-ub crystals ubiquitin was dissolved in buffer A (20 mM ammonium acetate, pH 4.3) at a concentration of 20 mg/mL. Buffer B (50 mM citrate, pH 4.1) was prepared and mixed with methyl pentane diol (MPD) at a volume ratio of 40:60 (buffer B:MPD), and 450 μL of this mix was placed in the reservoir of the wells. In the sitting drop, 37 μL of the ubiquitin/buffer A solution was mixed with 10 μL of the buffer B/MPD solution. The plate was covered with CrystalClear adhesive tape and kept at 4 °C. After ca. 1-2 weeks, needle-shaped ("sea-urchin like") crystals appeared.

For preparing cubic-PEG-ub crystals, the reservoir contained 450 μL of buffer C (100 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES), pH 6.3, 20% (weight) PEG 3350 and 100 mM zinc acetate). The protein solution (20 mg/mL of ubiquitin) in buffer D (20 mM ammonium acetate pH 4.8) was mixed with buffer C at a 1:1 ratio, and 45 μL thereof were placed in the sitting-drop holder. Cubic-shape crystals were obtained within 1 week at ca. 23 °C.

For preparing rod-PEG-ub crystals, the reservoir buffer contained buffer E (50mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), pH 7.0, 25% PEG 1500 and 25 mM zinc acetate). The protein was dissolved in buffer D at 20 mg/mL, and mixed with reservoir buffer E (1:1), akin to the cubic-PEG-ub crystals.

Protein crystals were transferred to a custom-built ultra-centrifuge tool (essentially a funnel placed on top of a 1.3 mm Bruker NMR rotor, with dimensions that fit the buckets of a Beckman SW32 rotor). The crystals of each kind (ca. 3 mg protein) were centrifuged into their individual rotors, and the rotor caps were glued with two-component epoxy glue to avoid loss of water.

NMR. All experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance 3 spectrometer operating at 600 MHz ^{1}H Larmor frequency (14.1 T) with a Bruker 1.3 mm probe where the main coil was tuned to ^{1}H, ^{13}C and ^{15}N frequencies, and an auxiliary coil to ^{2}H frequency. The MAS frequency was set to 40-50 kHz (specified in the figure panels and below) and maintained constant to within less than 10 Hertz. The effective sample temperature was ca. 28 °C. The temperature was determined from a non-temperature sensitive signal of MPD and the bulk water line, using the equation T[°C] = 455 - 90·δ$_{H2O}$, where δ$_{H2O}$ is the shift of the bulk water line in parts-per-million (ppm).

The pulse sequence for the proton-detected ^{1}H-^{13}C correlation experiments (hCH) includes ^{1}H excitation, cross-polarisation to ^{13}C for chemical-shift editing (with ca. 10 kHz ^{1}H decoupling), flip-back of ^{13}C coherence to ^{13}C$_z$ for ca. 40 ms water suppression by a train of ^{1}H pulses with 18 kHz field-strength amplitude and a duration of 820 μs, alternating in phase (± 15°). The indirect ^{13}C dimension was typically sampled for ca. 12-15 ms, using a spectral width of

15 ppm (2250 Hz); the ^{1}H dimension was sampled for ca. 50 ms.

The time-shifted REDOR, ^{13}C R_1, ^{13}C $R_{1\rho}$ and ^{1}H $R_{1\rho}$ experiments used the same basic hCH correlation experiment, with the appropriate pulse sequence element as described in Fig. S2 of reference (13). The one exception is the ^{1}H $R_{1\rho}$ experiment, where a spin-lock element was inserted after the initial ^{1}H excitation pulse. Except the ^{1}H relaxation experiment, all other pulse sequences are implemented in NMRlib (43).

The cross-polarisation steps (H to C and C to H) used RF fields of ca. 85 kHz and 35 kHz at 50 kHz MAS frequency, and a duration of 400 μs, with a ramp (90% to 100%) on the ^{1}H channel; the specified RF field strength is the value at the mid-point of the ramp. Hard pulses were typically 2.5 to 2.6 μs (^{1}H), 3.4 to 3.5 μs (^{15}N) and 3.2 μs (^{13}C).

The REDOR experiment was performed at a MAS frequency of 44.053 kHz (rotor period of 22.7 μs). For the recoupling pulse train in the REDOR experiment, the ^{1}H π and ^{13}C π pulses had durations of 5 μs and 6 μs, respectively. The REDOR experiment was implemented with a shift of half of the ^{1}H pulses away from the center of the rotor period as described previously (14, 44). The shift of the pulses was such that the shortest time interval between two consecutive ^{1}H pulses was 0.5 μs, i.e. the centers of these two consecutive ^{1}H pulses were separated by 5.5 μs. Seventeen time points were acquired, from 2 rotor periods to 36 rotor periods in steps of 2 rotor periods (one on each side of the central ^{13}C pulse). The REDOR data were collected as a series of 1D spectra.

In the ^{13}C $R_{1\rho}$ measurements, ten 1D experiments with different spin-lock durations (between 1 and 45 ms) were collected, and this was repeated for 20 different spin-lock RF field strengths, ranging from 2 kHz to 40 kHz. A ^{1}H π pulse was applied in the center of the relaxation period to suppress cross-correlated relaxation effects (45). In the ^{13}C R_1 measurements, ten 1D experiments with different relaxation delays (between 1 and 45 ms) were collected. In the ^{1}H $R_{1\rho}$ measurements, ten 1D experiments with different spin-lock durations (between 1 and 25 ms) were collected, and this was repeated for 25 different spin-lock RF field strengths, ranging from 1 kHz (for MPD-ub) or 2 kHz (cubic-PEG-ub) to 40 kHz.

To analyse the data, the peaks in the 1D series of spectra were integrated using in-house written python scripts. The relaxation decay profiles were fitted using a simple exponential fit. To interpret the REDOR dat, a series of numerical simulations of the REDOR recoupling element was conducted using the GAMMA simulation package (46). The simulations were performed with different values of the tensor asymmetry and anisotropy, resulting in a "2D grid" of simulated time traces. The experimental data were fitted by first calculating $\Delta S/S_{ref}$ = $(S_{ref}-S_{rec})/S_{ref}$ for both the experiment and the simulation, where S_{rec} and S_{ref} are the signal intensities in the recoupling experiment and reference experiment (with and without ^{1}H pulses, respectively). Then, the experimental curve was compared to each simulation, by calculating a chi-square value as

the sum of the squared deviations between the experimental and simulated $\Delta S/S_{ref}$ divided by the squared experimental error estimate. The best-fit values of the two fitted parameters, tensor asymmetry and anisotropy, were taken to be those for which the calculated chi-square value was minimal over the simulated 2D grid. In an alternative fitting approach, (Fig. 3C), we have performed the simulations with an explicit jump model, assuming that ring flips cause a change of 120° in orientation of ^{1}H-^{13}C vector. A 1D grid of these simulations was compiled, where the dipolar tensor anisotropy was varied. Confidence intervals were obtained from Monte-Carlo simulations: the best-fit curve (relaxation decay or REDOR curve) along with experimental uncertainties (of the intensities or $\Delta S/S_{ref}$ values) were used to generate a "noisy" data set, by choosing the points randomly within a normal distribution around the best-fit data point. One-thousand such noisy data sets were fitted using the same procedure as described above, and the standard deviation of the fitted parameters is reported here.

The calculation of relaxation-rate constants in Fig. 4D and F used Redfield-theory-based analytical expressions, as outlined in the Supplementary Methods. The order parameter used for these calculations was set to $S = 0.661$, which corresponds to a two-site jump model, $S^2 = (3cos^2(\phi)+1)/4 = 0.437$, where it is assumed that the jumps occur between the two equiprobable states and cause reorientation of dipolar vector by 120° (47). (Note that $S = 0.661\sqrt{(0.437)}$ is not exactly the same as $S = 0.625$, which is the scaling of the dipolar-coupling anisotropy from the two-site jumps, used in the REDOR analysis. The latter, however, relies on a somewhat different model, which also involves a tensor asymmetry.)

MD simulations. Four MD trajectories have been analyzed to glean information on phenylalanine dynamics: those of MPD ub (2 μs), cubic-PEG-ub (2 μs) and rod-PEG-ub (2 μs) crystals, as well as ubiquitin in solution (8 μs). For example, the MPD-ub trajectory is based on crystallographic structure 3ONS (48) and models the crystalline supercell that is comprised of 4 unit cells. In total, the simulation box contains 24 ubiquitin molecules and 8,772 SPC/E (49) water molecules. The dimensions of the box were rescaled by a factor 1.016 to reflect the expansion of the crystal at room temperature.

As standard for protein crystal simulations, (50) the periodicity of the crystalline lattice is modeled by means of periodic boundary conditions applied to the faces of the simulation box. All crystal trajectories have been recorded in Amber 16 program (51) using ff14SB force field (41); the solution trajectory was recorded in Amber 11 (52) using ff99SB force field (53, 54). Other details of the MD setup can be found in our previous publications (17, 18). MD data have been processed using python library pyxmolpp2 written in-house (available from https://github.com/bionmr-spbu/pyxmolpp2). In particular, this library offers facilities to extract dihedral angles and calculate SASA.

To calculate the chemical shifts, we have used the crystallographic structures 3ONS, 3N30 and 3EHV and built the respective crystal lattices. From these lattices we carved out the

fragments representing a Ub chain of interest together with the proximal chains. These fragments were subsequently fed into the chemical shift predictor SHIFTX2 (32) to predict the phenylalanine side-chain $^1H^\varepsilon$, $^{13}C^\varepsilon$ chemical shifts. The obtained shifts were averaged over the $\varepsilon1$, $\varepsilon2$ pairs of atoms. In addition, we have also used the high-accuracy NMR structure 1D3Z to similarly predict the chemical shifts in solution.

To calculate the number of flips in the MD simulations, we have employed the following scheme. We defined the flip as the transition between the two states, $\chi_2=[-120° -0°]$ and $\chi_2=[60° -180°]$. The very rare appearances of the rings in between of these two corridors have been ignored. In this manner we have counted all Phe4 flips observed in all of the crystal and solution simulations and similarly counted all Phe45 flips. These calculations indicate that the flip rate of Phe45 is, on average, 47 times slower than the flip rate of Phe4 in our MD simulations.

Acknowledgements

The NMR platform in Grenoble is part of the Grenoble Instruct-ERIC center (ISBG; UAR 3518 CNRS-CEA-UGA-EMBL) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB), supported by FRISBI (ANR-10-INBS-0005-02) and GRAL, financed within the University Grenoble Alpes graduate school (Ecoles Universitaires de Recherche) CBH-EUR-GS (ANR-17-EURE-0003). This work was supported by the European Research Council (StG-2012-311318-ProtDyn2Function to P. S.) and used the platforms of the Grenoble Instruct Center (ISBG; UMS 3518 CNRS-CEA-UJF-EMBL) with support from FRISBI (ANR-10-INSB-05-02) and GRAL (ANR-10-LABX-49-01) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB). We would like to thank Sergei Izmailov for developing and maintaining the pyxmolpp2 library. N.R.S. acknowledges support from St. Petersburg State University in a form of the grant 92425251 and the access to the MRR, MCT and CAMR resource centers.

All authors would like to make a call for universal peace.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

D. F. G. performed MAS NMR experiments and data analysis and prepared figures. O. O. L. analyzed the MD data, performed chemical shift calculations and prepared figures. N. R. S. participated in the analyses of the MD and chemical shift data, and in writing the manuscript. L. M. B. performed calculations of relaxation rate constants and data fits. I. A. produced the ubiquitin sample. R. L. synthesized the ketoacid precursor. P. S. performed and analyzed NMR experiments, prepared figures and wrote the manuscript with input from all co-authors.

References

1. Lanzarotti, E., Defelipe, L. A., Marti, M. A. & Turjanski, A. G. Aromatic clusters in protein–protein and protein–drug complexes. *J. Cheminformatics* **12**, 1–9 (2020).

2. Wuthrich, K. & Wagner, G. Internal motion in globular proteins. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **3**, 227–230 (1977).

3. Campbell, I. D., Dobson, C. M. & Williams, R. J. P. Proton Magnetic Resonance Studies of the Tyrosine Residues of Hen Lysozyme-Assignment and Detection of Conformational Mobility. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **189**, 503–509 (1975).

4. Wagner, G., DeMarco, a. & Wüthrich, K. Dynamics of the aromatic amino acid residues in the globular conformation of the basic pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI). I. 1H NMR studies. *Biophys. Struct. Mech.* **2**, 139–158 (1976).

5. Weininger, U., Respondek, M., Low, C. & Akke, M. Slow aromatic ring flips detected despite near-degenerate nmr frequencies of the exchanging nuclei. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **117**, 9241–9247 (2013).

6. Weininger, U., Modig, K. & Akke, M. Ring flips revisited: 13c relaxation dispersion measurements of aromatic side chain dynamics and activation barriers in basic pancreatic trypsin inhibitor. *Biochemistry* **53**, 4519–4525 (2014).

7. Raum, H. N., Dreydoppel, M. & Weininger, U. Conformational exchange of aromatic side chains by 1h cpmg relaxation dispersion. *J. Biomol. NMR* **72**, 105–114 (2018).

8. Dreydoppel, M., Dorn, B., Modig, K., Akke, M. & Weininger, U. Transition-state compressibility and activation volume of transient protein conformational fluctuations. *JACS Au* **1**, 833–842 (2021).

9. Hattori, M. *et al.* Infrequent cavity-forming fluctuations in hpr from staphylococcus carnosus revealed by pressure-and temperature-dependent tyrosine ring flips. *Protein Sci.* **13**, 3104–3114 (2004).

10. Kasinath, V., Fu, Y., Sharp, K. A. & Wand, A. J. A sharp thermal transition of fast aromatic-ring dynamics in ubiquitin. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 102–7 (2015).

11. Mariño Pérez, L. *et al.* Visualizing protein breathing motions associated with aromatic ring flipping. *Nature* **602**, 695–700 (2022).

12. Kasinath, V., Valentine, K. G. & Wand, A. J. A (13)C labeling strategy reveals a range of aromatic side chain motion in calmodulin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 9560–9563 (2013).

13. Gauto, D. F. *et al.* Aromatic ring dynamics, thermal activation, and transient conformations of a 468 kda enzyme by specific 1h–13c labeling and fast magic-angle spinning nmr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 11183–11195 (2019).

14. Schanda, P., Huber, M., Boisbouvier, J., Meier, B. H. & Ernst, M. Solid-state nmr measurements of asymmetric dipolar couplings provide insight into protein side-chain motion. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 11005–11009 (2011).

15. Schanda, P. & Ernst, M. Studying dynamics by magic-angle spinning solid-state nmr spectroscopy: Principles and applications to biomolecules. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **96**, 1–46 (2016).

16. Zumpfe, K. & Smith, A. A. Model-free or not? *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 957 (2021).

17. Ma, P. *et al.* Observing the overall rocking motion of a protein in a crystal. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 1–10 (2015).

18. Kurauskas, V. *et al.* Slow conformational exchange and overall rocking motion in ubiquitin protein crystals. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1–12 (2017).

19. Le Marchand, T. *et al.* ^{1}h-detected biomolecular nmr under fast magic-angle spinning. *Chemical Reviews* **122**, 9943—10018 (2022).

20. Andreas, L. B., Le Marchand, T., Jaudzems, K. & Pintacuda, G. High-resolution proton-detected NMR of proteins at very fast MAS. *J. Magn. Reson.* **253**, 36–49 (2015).

21. Asami, S., Szekely, K., Schanda, P., Meier, B. H. & Reif, B. Optimal degree of protonation for 1H detection of aliphatic sites in randomly deuterated proteins as a function of the MAS frequency. *J. Biomol. NMR* **54**, 155–168 (2012).

22. Agarwal, V. & Reif, B. Residual methyl protonation in perdeuterated proteins for multi-dimensional correlation experiments in MAS solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* **194**, 16–24 (2008).

23. Ruschak, A. M. & Kay, L. E. Methyl groups as probes of supra-molecular structure, dynamics and function. *J. Biomol. NMR* **46**, 75–87 (2010).

24. Kerfah, R., Plevin, M. J., Sounier, R., Gans, P. & Boisbouvier, J. Methyl-specific isotopic labeling: A molecular tool box for solution NMR studies of large proteins. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **32**, 113–122 (2015).

25. Agarwal, V., Xue, Y., Reif, B. & Skrynnikov, N. R. Protein side-chain dynamics as observed by solution- and solid-state NMR spectroscopy: A similarity revealed. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 16611–16621 (2008).

26. Lichtenecker, R. J., Weinhäupl, K., Schmid, W. & Konrat, R. α-Ketoacids as precursors for phenylalanine and tyrosine labelling in cell-based protein overexpression. *J. Biomol. NMR* **57**, 327–31 (2013).

27. Cornilescu, G., Marquardt, J. L., Ottiger, M. & Bax, A. Validation of protein structure from anisotropic carbonyl chemical shifts in a dilute liquid crystalline phase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **120**, 6836–6837 (1998).

28. Babu, C. R., Flynn, P. F. & Wand, A. J. Validation of protein structure from preparations of encapsulated proteins dissolved in low viscosity fluids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **123**, 2691–2692 (2001).

29. Ikeya, T. *et al.* Automated nmr structure determination of stereo-array isotope labeled ubiquitin from minimal sets of spectra using the sail-flya system. *J. Biomol. NMR* **44**, 261–272 (2009).

30. Tanaka, T. *et al.* High-resolution protein 3d structure determination in living eukaryotic cells. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **131**, 7362–7366 (2019).

31. Fasshuber, H. K. *et al.* Structural heterogeneity in microcrystalline ubiquitin studied by solid-state nmr. *Prot. Sci.* **24**, 592–598 (2015).

32. Han, B., Liu, Y., Ginzinger, S. W. & Wishart, D. S. SHIFTX2: significantly improved protein chemical shift prediction. *J. Biomol. NMR* **50**, 43–57 (2011).

33. Haigh, C. & Mallion, R. Ring current theories in nuclear magnetic resonance. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **13**, 303–344 (1979).

34. Case, D. A. Calibration of ring-current effects in proteins and nucleic acids. *J. Biomol. NMR* **6**, 341–346 (1995).

35. Nielsen, N. C., Bildsoe, H., Jakobsen, H. J. & Levitt, M. H. Double-Quantum Homonuclear Rotary Resonance - Efficient Dipolar Recovery in Magic-Angle-Spinning Nuclear-Magnetic-Resonance. *J. Chem. Phys.* **101**, 1805–1812 (1994).

36. Smith, A. A., Testori, E., Cadalbert, R., Meier, B. H. & Ernst, M. Characterization of fibril dynamics on three timescales by solid-state nmr. *J. Biomol. NMR* **65**, 171–191 (2016).

37. Smith, A. A., Testori, E., Cadalbert, R., Meier, B. H. & Ernst, M. Correction to: characterization of fibril dynamics on three timescales by solid-state nmr. *J. Biomol. NMR* **70**, 203–203 (2018).

38. Ma, P. *et al.* Probing transient conformational states of proteins by solid-state r1ρ relaxation-dispersion nmr spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **53**, 4312–4317 (2014).

39. Rovo, P. *et al.* Mechanistic insights into microsecond time-scale motion of solid proteins using complementary 15n and 1h relaxation dispersion techniques. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 858–869 (2019).

40. Gauto, D. F. *et al.* Protein conformational dynamics studied by 15 N and 1 H R 1ρ relaxation dispersion: Application to wild-type and G53A ubiquitin crystals. *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.* **87**, 86–95 (2017).

41. Maier, J. A. *et al.* ff14sb: improving the accuracy of protein side chain and backbone parameters from ff99sb. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **11**, 3696–3713 (2015).

42. Dreydoppel, M., Raum, H. N. & Weininger, U. Slow ring flips in aromatic cluster of gb1 studied by aromatic 13c relaxation dispersion methods. *J. Biomol. NMR* **74**, 183–191 (2020).

43. Vallet, A., Favier, A., Brutscher, B. & Schanda, P. ssnmrlib: a comprehensive library and tool box for acquisition of solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance experiments on bruker spectrometers. *Magn. Reson.* **1**, 331–345 (2020).

44. Schanda, P., Meier, B. H. & Ernst, M. Quantitative Analysis of Protein Backbone Dynamics in Microcrystalline Ubiquitin by Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 15957–15967 (2010).

45. Kurauskas, V. *et al.* Cross-correlated relaxation of dipolar coupling and chemical-shift anisotropy in magic-angle spinning R1ρ NMR measurements: application to protein backbone dynamics measurements. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **120**, 8905–8913 (2016).

46. Smith, S., Levante, T., Meier, B. & Ernst, R. Computer simulations in magnetic resonance. An object-oriented programming approach. *J. Magn. Reson.* **106**, 75–105 (1994).

47. Clore, G. M., Driscoll, P. C., Wingfield, P. T. & Gronenborn, A. M. Analysis of the backbone dynamics of interleukin-1b using two-dimensional inverse detected heteronuclear 15n–1h nmr spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **29**, 7387–7401 (1990).

48. Huang, K. Y., Amodeo, G. A., Tong, L. & McDermott, A. The structure of human ubiquitin in 2-methyl-2, 4-pentanediol: A new conformational switch. *Prot. Sci.* **20**, 630–639 (2011).

49. Berendsen, H., Grigera, J. & Straatsma, T. The missing term in effective pair potentials. *J. Phys. Chem.* **91**, 6269–6271 (1987).

50. Cerutti, D. S., Freddolino, P. L., Duke Jr, R. E. & Case, D. A. Simulations of a protein crystal with a high resolution x-ray structure: evaluation of force fields and water models. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **114**, 12811–12824 (2010).

51. Case, D. *et al.* AMBER 2016; University of California: San Francisco, 2016 (2015).

52. Case, D. *et al.* AMBER 11, University of California, San Francisco (2010).

53. Hornak, V. *et al.* Comparison of multiple amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters. *Proteins* **65**, 712–725 (2006).

54. Lindorff-Larsen, K. *et al.* Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the amber ff99sb protein force field. *Proteins* **78**, 1950–1958 (2010).

55. Rovó, P. Recent advances in solid-state relaxation dispersion techniques. *Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance* **108**, 1–14 (2020).

56. Zhang, R., Mroue, K. H. & Ramamoorthy, A. Proton chemical shift tensors determined by 3d ultrafast mas double-quantum nmr spectroscopy. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **143**, 1–6 (2015).

Fig. S1. Chemical-shift predictions for ε ^{1}H-^{13}C spin pairs in Phe4 (colored yellow) and Phe45 (colored cyan) using the program SHIFTX2. It appears that SHIFTX2 correctly predicts the similarity of Phe45 chemical shifts in different crystal forms and in solution. Furthermore, it correctly predicts the Phe4 shifts, including the resonance from chain A in the cubic-PEG-ub crystal, which is shifted due to the intermolecular stacking of Phe4 rings (see Fig.2C). However, SHIFTX2 apparently fails to accurately predict the absolute value of Phe45 shifts as can be deduced from the experimental evidence, see Fig.2B.

Fig. S2. The solvent-accessible surface areas (SASA) of the two phenylalanine residues averaged over 2-μs MD simulations of the three investigated ubiquitin crystals and 8-μs MD simulation of ubiquitin in solution. Of note, the SASA values for Phe4 and Phe45 do not show any significant correlation with the flip rates for these two residues (see Fig.5). The color coding is the same as elsewhere: black (MPD-ub), red (cubic-PEG-ub), blue (rod-PEG-ub) and magenta (solution form). The MPD-ub simulation box contains 24 ubiquitin molecules that are all classified as chain A. The cubic-PEG-ub simulation box contains 48 ubiquitin molecules equally divided between chain A (molecules 1-24) and chain B (molecules 25-48). The rod-PEG-ub simulation box contains 24 ubiqutin molecules equally divided between chain A (molecules 1-8), chain B (molecules 9-16) and chain C (molecules 17-24).

Supplementary Note 1: Calculation of proton $R_{1\rho}$ relaxation

The ^{1}H $R_{1\rho}$ relaxation can be described by a sum of heteronuclear dipolar ^{1}H-^{13}C ($R_{1\rho}{}^{HC}$), homonuclear dipolar ^{1}H-^{1}H ($R_{1\rho}{}^{HH}$) and the ^{1}H CSA ($R_{1\rho}{}^{CSA}$) contributions (55):

$$R_{1\rho}^{HC} = \frac{1}{4}d_{HC}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{3}\sin^2(\beta_{eff}) \left[J(\omega_e - 2\omega_r) + 2J(\omega_e - \omega_r) + 2J(\omega_e + \omega_r) + J(\omega_e + 2\omega_r) + 9J(\omega_C) \right] \right. \tag{1}$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{4}(3 + \cos(2\beta_{eff})) \left[3J(\omega_H) + 6J(\omega_H + \omega_C) + J(\omega_H - \omega_C) \right] \right\}$$

$$R_{1\rho}^{HH} = \frac{3}{4}d_{HH}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{8}\sin^2(2\beta_{eff}) \left[J(\omega_r - \omega_e) + J(\omega_r + \omega_e) \right] + \frac{1}{2}\sin^4(\beta_{eff}) \left[J(\omega_r - 2\omega_e) + J(\omega_r + 2\omega_e) \right] \right. \tag{2}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{16}\sin^2(2\beta_{eff}) \left[J(2\omega_r - \omega_e) + J(2\omega_r + \omega_e) \right] + \frac{1}{4}\sin^4(\beta_{eff}) \left[J(2\omega_r - 2\omega_e) + J(2\omega_r + 2\omega_e) \right]$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{4}(7 - 3\cos(2\beta_{eff})) \left[J(\omega_H) \right] + \frac{1}{2}(5 + 3\cos(2\beta_{eff})) \left[J(2\omega_H) \right] \right\}$$

$$R_{1\rho}^{CSA} = \frac{1}{3}\omega_H^2 \Delta\sigma^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{9}\sin^2(\beta_{eff}) \left[J(\omega_e - 2\omega_r) + 2J(\omega_e - \omega_r) + 2J(\omega_e + \omega_r) + J(\omega_e + 2\omega_r) \right] \right. \tag{3}$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{4}(3 + \cos(2\beta_{eff}) \left[J(\omega_H) \right] \right\}$$

Here, d_{HC} and d_{HH} are the hetero- and homonuclear dipolar couplings given by $d_{12} = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2\hbar}{r_{12}^3}$ with the vacuum permeability μ_0, the gyromagnetic ratios γ_i, Planck's constant $\hbar$ and the internuclear distance r_{12}. $\Delta\sigma = \frac{3}{2}(\sigma_{zz} - \sigma_{iso})$ is the reduced chemical shift anisotropy, ω_e is the amplitude of the effective field, β_{eff} is the tilt angle of the effective field, ω_r is the MAS frequency, and ω_H and ω_C are the ^{1}H and ^{13}C Larmor frequencies, respectively. The spectral densities $J(\omega)$ are defined as $J(\omega) = \frac{2}{5}(1 - S^2)\frac{\tau_c}{1 + (\omega\tau_c)^2}$, with the order parameter S and the correlation time τ_c.

For the homonuclear dipolar relaxation of an ϵ proton of Phe45, we considered a four-spin system containing the Cϵ, the two Hϵ and the closest amide proton in the backbone (Thr66). The distances between the nuclei are 1.09 Å for Cϵ-Hϵ, 4.3 Å for Hϵ-Hϵ and 3.0 Å for Cϵ-HN. The proton chemical shift anisotropy $\Delta\sigma$ was set to 9 ppm (56). The relaxation rates were calculated assuming a magnetic field corresponding to 600 MHz Larmor frequency, 50 kHz MAS, an effective field of 80 kHz and an effective tilt angle of 90°. The order parameters S were set to 0.661 for the heteronuclear ^{1}Hϵ-^{13}Cϵ relaxation, 1.0 for the homonuclear ^{1}Hϵ-^{1}Hϵ relaxation and 0.5 for the homonuclear ^{1}Hϵ-^{1}HN relaxation (47).