Glioma-derived CCL2 and CCL7 mediate migration of immune suppressive CCR2+ myeloid cells into the tumor microenvironment in a redundant manner

Gregory P. Takacs[1][†], Christian J. Kreiger[1][†], Defang Luo[1], Guimei Tian[2], Julia S. Garcia[1], Loic
P. Deleyrolle[2], Duane A. Mitchell[2], and Jeffrey K. Harrison[1][*]

[1]Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Florida College of Medicine,
Gainesville, FL, USA

[2]Department of Neurosurgery, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, USA

* Correspondence:
Jeffrey K. Harrison
jharriso@ufl.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Keywords: Glioma, Chemokine, Chemokine Receptor, Migration, Immune-suppression, Myeloid,
MDSC, Bone Marrow

Abstract

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and malignant primary brain tumor, resulting in poor
survival despite aggressive therapies. GBM is characterized in part by a highly heterogeneous and
immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) made up predominantly of infiltrating
peripheral immune cells. One significant immune cell that contributes to glioma immune evasion is a
population of immunosuppressive, hematopoietic cells, termed myeloid-derived suppressor cells
(MDSCs). Previous studies suggest that a potent subset of myeloid cells, expressing monocytic(M)-
MDSC marker, distinguished by dual expression of chemokine receptors CCR2 and CX3CR1, utilize
CCR2 to infiltrate into the TME. This study evaluated the T cell suppressive function and migratory
properties of CCR2+/CX3CR1+ MDSCs. Bone marrow-derived CCR2+/CX3CR1+ cells adopt an
immune suppressive cell phenotype when cultured with glioma derived factors. Recombinant and
glioma-derived CCL2 and CCL7 induce the migration of CCR2+/CX3CR1+ MDSCs with similar
efficacy. KR158B-CCL2 and -CCL7 knockdown murine gliomas contain equivalent percentages of
CCR2+/CX3CR1+ MDSCs compared to KR158B gliomas. Combined neutralization of CCL2 and
CCL7 completely blocks CCR2-expressing cell migration to KR158B cell conditioned media. High
levels of CCL2 and CCL7 are also associated with negative prognostic outcomes in GBM patients.
These data provide a more comprehensive understanding of the function of CCR2+/CX3CR1+
MDSCs and the role of CCL2 and CCL7 in the recruitment of these immune-suppressive cells and
further support the significance of targeting this chemokine axis in GBM.

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is a highly aggressive and recurrent primary brain tumor that continues to
challenge patients and oncologists as current interventions are minimally effective (1,2). Currently,
standard of care therapy relies on surgical resection of the tumor mass followed by focal radiation
and chemotherapy (temozolomide) (3–5). Foremost in GBM patients, the immune-suppressive
tumor-microenvironment contributes to immune evasion, disease progression, and poor overall
survival (6–9). Attempts at harnessing anti-tumor immune responses to overcome the
immunosuppressive microenvironment have been made in cancer therapy (10–12). For example,
clinically successful immunotherapy has targeted immune checkpoint systems, including the
programmed cell death protein-1, i.e., PD-L1/PD-1, pathway. Unfortunately, to date targeting the
PD-L1/PD-1 axis in human gliomas has not demonstrated efficacy as an adjuvant monotherapy
(13,14). While the mechanism by which gliomas are resistant to PD-1 blockade is not entirely
resolved, mounting evidence suggests that infiltrating immune-suppressive cells contribute
significantly to the resistant phenotype (15–17).

Chief amongst the immune-suppressive cells which gain access to the glioma microenvironment are
a subset of myeloid cells termed myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (15). In humans,
MDSCs represent a heterogeneous cell population that are delineated into three major classes based
on phenotypic and morphological features: early-stage (e), polymorphonuclear (PMN/G)-, and
monocytic (M)-MDSCs (18,19). Although M-MDSCs are present within the human glioma
microenvironment in a lower proportion than are the other subtypes, M-MDSCs display the most
potent immune-suppressive phenotype through deleterious anti-tumor lymphocyte interactions. M-
MDSCs suppress lymphocytes via production of free radicals and enzymes that deplete essential
lymphocyte metabolites (20–23). In murine gliomas, M-MDSCs are the predominant subtype and are
characterized by lineage markers CD45$^+$, CD11b$^+$, Ly6C^{hi}, and Ly6G$^-$ (19,24,25).

We have previously reported that three populations of myeloid cells are identified in the glioma
microenvironment according to their expression of chemokine receptors CCR2 and CX3CR1. One of
these populations, co-expressing chemokine receptors CCR2 and CX3CR1 (denoted as
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$), express markers consistent with M-MDSCs. Pharmacologic or genetic targeting
of CCR2-expressing cells via a CCR2 antagonist or gene deletion limited the presence of these cells
within the tumor and promoted their sequestration within the bone marrow. In combination with the
immune checkpoint inhibitor, αPD-1, CCR2 antagonism unmasked an effect of PD-1 blockade in
slowing the tumor progression of two immune checkpoint inhibitor-resistant murine gliomas
(KR158B and 005 GSC) (26). While these previous findings established that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$
MDSCs utilize CCR2 to traffic into the glioma microenvironment, it is unclear what chemokines
drive this CCR2-dependent migration. This study investigated the T cell suppressive function and
chemokine ligand dependency by which CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ M-MDSCs traffic into the glioma
microenvironment. Using a preclinical glioma model, we demonstrate that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells
are sourced from the bone marrow, suppress both CD4 and CD8 T cells, and migrate to CCL2 and/or
CCL7 in a CCR2 dependent manner. We also identify CCL2 and CCL7 as predictors of survival in
human glioblastoma. These data establish the immune suppressive and migratory properties of
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ myeloid cells and confirm their role as glioma-associated M-MDSCs.

Methods

Animals

Ccr2$^{RFP/WT}$/Cx3cr1$^{GFP/WT}$ mice were generated through the breeding of Ccr2-deficient
(*Ccr2$^{RFP/RFP}$[B6.129(Cg)-Ccr2$^{tm2.1Ifc}$/J]*), and Cx3cr1-deficient (*Cx3cr1$^{GFP/GFP}$[B6.129P-
Cx3cr1^{tm1Litt}/J]*) mice. Wildtype C57BL/6, Ccr2-deficient, and Cx3cr1-deficient mice were purchased
from The Jackson Laboratory. All procedures involving animal housing and surgical protocols were
followed according to the guidelines of the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use
Committee.

Generation of Chimeric Mice

Chimeric mice were generated through a bone marrow transplant of *Ccr2$^{WT/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{WT/GFP}$* donor
mice into wildtype C57BL/6 recipient mice. Wildtype mice were placed under anesthesia (Xylazine
0.5mL, Ketamine 0.7mL, Saline 5.6mL) through intra peritoneal injection (100µL/20g mouse).
Subsequently, wildtype mice received 900 cGy x-ray radiation (X-RAD 350 irradiator). Bone
marrow was prepared from *Ccr2$^{WT/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{WT/GFP}$* mice as described below. Cells were diluted to a
final concentration of 10,000 cells/µL. After irradiation (~4hrs), whole bone marrow from
Ccr2$^{WT/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{WT/GFP}$ donor mice was tail vein injected (100 µL) into irradiated wildtype
C57BL/6 recipient mice. Seven days post-irradiation, Baytril (fluoroquinolone antibiotic) was added
to the drinking water was administered, 0.5 mg/ml for two weeks. Following recovery, chimeric mice
were implanted with KR158B gliomas (see "Orthotopic Brain Tumor Model") and evaluated via
flow cytometry (see "Flow Cytometry Analysis")

Orthotopic Brain Tumor Model

Animals were anesthetized using isoflurane and administered analgesia prior to cell injection. While
under anesthesia, the surgical site was prepared, a 2- to 3-mm incision was made at the midline of the
skull. Using a stereotaxic apparatus (Stoelting), the mice were secured, and a Hamilton syringe was
positioned 2-mm lateral from the bregma. KR158B, KR158B-CCL2 knockdown, or KR158B-CCL7
glioma cells (3.5×10^4 in a total volume of 2 µL) were injected 3-mm deep into the right cerebral
hemisphere using an automated microfluidic injection system (Stoelting) at a rate of 1 µL /min; cells
were suspended in a 1:1 ratio of methylcellulose to PBS. Post injection, the needle was retracted
slowly, and the surgical site was closed via suture and bone wax. Animals were then placed into a
warm cage for postsurgical monitoring.

Tissue Isolation

Mice were euthanized at experimental endpoint. Transcardial perfusions, using a 10mL syringe with
a 25G winged infusion set, of 20mL 0.9% saline solution were administered to remove intra-
vasculature associated cells. Femurs, tibiae, and humeri were harvested from the animal. Fat and
muscle were trimmed from the bones and were subsequently cut at one end to expose bone marrow.
Bones were placed in microcentrifuge tubes (2 bones per tube) with the bottoms pierced and nested
in 1.5mL centrifuge tubes containing 100uL PBS. Tubes were centrifuged at 5,700 x g for 20 seconds
to flush the bone marrow. Spleens were harvested and placed on a petri dish. Fat was trimmed from
the tissue and spleens were injected with 1mL PBS via 18G needle. Spleens were minced using a
razor blade and transferred to 15mL conical tubes containing 5mL PBS. Using a 5mL syringe and
18G needle, the tissue was mechanically dissociated via passage through the needle 20 times.
Splenocytes were collected via centrifugation (4 °C, 380 × *g*, 5 min). Bone marrow cells and

splenocytes were resuspended in 1mL Ammonium-Chloride-Potassium (ACK) Lysis buffer (Gibco,
Invitrogen) and placed on ice for 5 min to lyse red blood cells. Subsequently, lysis buffer was
quenched with 5mL fluorescence-activated cell-sorter (FACS) washing buffer (1% FBS in PBS) and
strained through a 40-μm cell strainer. Cells were collected via centrifugation (4 °C, 380 × g, 5 min)
and counted by trypan blue exclusion. Brains were removed and tumors were extracted and
mechanically minced using a razor blade. Tumors were placed in 4 °C Accumax dissociation solution
(Innovative Cell Technologies) and incubated at 37 °C for 5 min, followed by 5 min of agitation at
room temperature. Cells were then passed through a 40-μm strainer, centrifuged (4 °C, 380 × g, 5
min), and resuspended in 4 mL of 70% Percoll (70% Percoll and 1% PBS in RPMI-1640 cell
medium). The 70% Percoll/cell solution was then carefully layered beneath 37% Percoll layer (4 mL,
37% Percoll and 1% PBS in RPMI-1640 cell medium) using an 18-gauge needle. Samples were then
centrifuged for 30min at room temperature (500 x g). Cells at the interface were collected and
transferred into a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. Cells were washed with cold PBS and counted by
trypan blue exclusion.

Flow Cytometry Analysis

Single cell suspensions were prepared from tissues as described above and diluted to 1 x 10⁶
cells/100uL. Subsequently, cells were stained for markers of interest (see Supplement Table 1) for 30
min at 4 °C. Cells were then washed twice in ice-cold PBS and stained with a viability dye. Stained
samples were analyzed using single-color compensation on a Sony SP6800 spectral analyzer or
Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX LX 96-well plate system and quantified using FlowJo V10.8.1 (BD
Biosciences).

Cell Culture

KR158B, KR158B-Luciferase, KR158B-CCL2 knockdown, and KR158B-CCL7 knockdown glioma
cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 1%
penicillin-streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells were grown in a humidified
incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. DMEM and penicillin-streptomycin were purchased through
Invitrogen. FBS was purchased through Thermo Scientific.

Generation of CCL2 and CCL7 Deficient Glioma Cells

Plasmids for knockdown of CCL2 (TRCN0000301701 and TRCN0000301702) and CCL7
(TRCN0000317599, TRCN0000068135, and TRCN0000068136) were obtained from Sigma.
shRNA control plasmid (SHC002. Sigma) was used as non-targeting control. ShRNA plasmids were
purified with QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (#27106, Qiagen) after overnight incubation with E-coli
bacteria. Packaging 293T/17 cells were co-transfected with the different shRNAs and the packaging
plasmids psPAX2 and pMD2.G, to generate viral particles, which were subsequently used to
transduce KR158B cells. KR158B-CCL2 knockdown were generated using the combination of
TRCN0000301701 and TRCN0000301702. TRCN0000317599, TRCN0000068135, and
TRCN0000068136 were combined to generate KR158B-CCL7 knockdown.

Cytokine Quantification

Cytokine quantification was completed using mouse CCL2 (Invitrogen# 88-7391-22) and mouse
CCL7 (Invitrogen# BMS6006INST) enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) analysis
following manufacturer protocols. KR158B, KR158B-CCL2 knockdown and KR158B-CCL7
knockdown glioma cell lines were cultured to 90% confluency. Cells were counted and plated in a

96-well plate at 50, 100 or 500 cells/uL in 200uL complete DMEM media. Cells were incubated at
37°C, 5% CO_2 for 24 hours. Following incubation, cell contents were transferred to tubes and
centrifuged as previously described. Supernatant was aliquoted and frozen at -80°C until use for
ELISA as previously described.

Mouse Brain Fixation and Immunohistochemistry

Transcardial perfusions, using a 10mL syringe with a 25G winged infusion set, of 20mL 4.0%
paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution were administered. Following fixative perfusion, mouse brains
were removed and soaked in 4.0% PFA for 1hr. Brains were subsequently transferred to 30% sucrose
solution for 24hrs and snap frozen using liquid nitrogen chilled 2-Methylbutane. Brains were
embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound and mounted for cryo-sectioning (Lecia
Biosystems Cryostat). 5-10μm thick sections were taken and mounted on microscope slides. Sections
were dried overnight at 4°C. Tissue sections were brought to room-temperature and washed 3 times
in PBS and counter stained with antifade mounting medium with DAPI (Vectashield). Brain tumor
sections were imaged using an inverted Nikon TiE-PFS-A1R confocal microscope. Images were
post-processed using Nikon Elements software.

Bone Marrow Culture

Induction of MDSCs was adapted from previously published work (Alban et al.) (27). Bone marrow-
derived cells from wildtype C57BL/6 mice were prepared as previously described. Cells were then
plated at a density of 400,000 cells/cm² and concentration of 1,000 cells/uL in media consisting of
50% complete RPMI (RPMI + 10% FBS + 2mM L-Glutamine) and 50% KR158B conditioned
media. Additionally, the media was supplemented with 40ng/mL GM-CSF (R&D 415-ML) and
40ng/mL IL-6 (R&D 406-ML). On day 5, suspended cells were collected, the flask was washed in
PBS and scraped using a cell scraper (Fisher), and all contents were joined together in a 50mL
conical tube. Cells were collected via centrifugation (4 °C, 380 × g, 5 min) and counted by trypan
blue exclusion. Cells were then either subjected to flow cytometry (see "Flow Cytometry Analysis")
or utilized for the T cell suppression assay (see "T cell Suppression Assay").

T cell Suppression Assay

Following a 5-day culture (see "Bone Marrow Culture"), MDSC enriched bone marrow cells were
collected from culture as described above and subjected to M-MDSC magnetic bead isolation
(Miltenyi Biotec) according to manufacturer's protocols. Additionally, fresh splenocytes were
isolated as previously described and subjected to Pan-T cell magnetic bead isolation (Miltenyi
Biotec) according to manufacturer's protocols. Following isolation, T cells were collected via
centrifugation and resuspended at a density of 1million cells/mL in PBS. T cells were incubated with
1uL Cell Trace Far Red Cell Proliferation dye (ThermoFisher C34564) per 1 million cells for 20
minutes at RT. Following incubation, the dye was quenched in 5 times the present volume of
complete RPMI. T cells were collected via centrifugation (4 °C, 380 × g, 5 min) and resuspended in
complete RPMI at 1,000 cells/uL. Dynabeads Mouse T Activator CD3/CD28 beads (Thermofisher
11452D) were washed in complete RPMI and mixed with stained T cells at a 2:1 (activating bead:T
cell) ratio. T cells were retained at each step to ensure for unstained, unstimulated, and stained,
unstimulated controls. 100,000 T cells were added per well in a round-bottom 96-well plate and
MDSCs were added at ratios of 1:4, 1:2 and 1:1 (MDSCs:T cells). Co-cultures were incubated at
37°C for 3 days. Following incubation, well contents, in addition to 2 subsequent PBS well washes,
were transferred to centrifuge tubes. Tubes were then placed on the Dynamag-2 (Thermofisher
12321D) to remove activating beads. Cells were collected by centrifugation and stained for CD3,

CD4 and CD8 (Biolegend 100234; 100510; 100708) for flow cytometry analysis. Each biologic and
condition were run in triplicate. Technical triplicates were averaged prior to statistical analysis.

In Vitro Cell Migration

Bone marrow cells were isolated from *Ccr2$^{WT/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{WT/GFP}$* mice as described previously. Cells
were diluted to a final concentration of 2,000 cells/μL in migration buffer consisting of RPMI-1640,
25mM HEPES, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 0.1% BSA (>98% quality). In vitro migration of
CCR2$^{WT/RFP}$/CX3CR1$^{WT/GFP}$ cells was assessed using a transwell-96 well plate with 5uM
polycarbonate membrane (Corning; product number 3388). Recombinant Mouse CCL2, CCL7, and
soluble CX3CL1 chemokines were purchased from R & D Systems (product numbers 479-JE-010;
456-MC-010; 571-MF-025). Recombinant proteins were reconstituted following manufacture
preparation and storage guidelines. Recombinant CCL2, CCL7, and soluble CX3CL1 ligands were
diluted in migration buffer and seeded at 150μL/well in the bottom chamber. To validate a
chemotaxis effect, chemokine was also placed in the top and bottom chambers at equivalent
concentrations (i.e., 30ng/mL top chamber and 30ng/mL bottom chamber). Cells were plated at
150μL/well in the top chamber, and the plate was incubated at 37°C, 5% CO$_2$ for 2 hours. After
incubation, the membrane insert was lightly shaken to detach migrated cells on the underside of the
membrane and then discarded. Wells were analyzed for CCR2$^{WT/RFP}$ and CCR2$^{WT/RFP}$/CX3CR1$^{WT/GFP}$
populations using single color compensation on Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX LX 96-well plate
system. 75uL/well was collected at a flow rate of 150uL/min with 3s shake time and backflush
between wells. Gating strategy proceeded as follows: 1. Positive gate for myeloid population
according to forward-scatter area (FSC-A) and side-scatter area (SSC-A). 2. Doublet exclusion
according to FSC-A and forward-scatter height (FSC-H). 3. CCR2/CX3CR1 co-expression according
to PE and FITC channels. Gating strategy was established according to analysis of raw bone marrow
samples and applied constantly throughout the analysis. Final gating analysis was conducted using
FCS Express software (De Novo) or FlowJo V10.8.1 (BD Biosciences). Control wells containing no
chemokine in the bottom well were averaged and normalized as 100% migration. Sample wells are
compared relative to control wells for presentation and statistical analyses.

For analysis of migration to conditioned media, KR158B or KR158B-CCL2 KD cells were cultured
to 90% confluency in a tissue-culture T-75 flask containing complete DMEM (see "Cell Culture"
section in methods). Media was washed out and cells were plated in a 6-well plate in 6mL migration
buffer at 50, 100, 500 cells/μL overnight at 37 °C, 5% CO$_2$. Contents from wells were extracted and
centrifuged at 1,000 RPM for 5 minutes. Supernatant was collected, filtered, and aliquoted in the
bottom chamber of the transwell-96 well plate. For neutralization experiments, polyclonal goat IgG
antibodies (anti-CCL2, anti-CCL7, and normal goat IgG control) were purchased from R & D
Systems (product number AB-479-NA, AF-456-NA, and AB-108-C) and stored following
manufacture instructions. All wells that received neutralizing antibodies received equal quantities of
exogenous protein (i.e., 8.25 μg/well) through supplementation of normal goat IgG control. Raw
bone marrow was seeded in the top chamber as previously mentioned. Each biologic and condition
were run in triplicate. Technical triplicates were averaged prior to statistical analysis.

Survival Analysis

The complete human glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) patient dataset was mined from The Cancer
Genome Atlas (TCGA Research Network: cancer.gov/tcga) The Georgetown Database of Cancer (G-
DOC) platform to extract gene expression and clinical parameters(28–30). G-DOC platform was
accessed on February 4, 2022. Gene expression was gathered from the Affymetrix dataset
(Affymetrix HT Human Genome U133a microarray platform by the Broad Institute of MIT and

Harvard University cancer genomic characterization center) and RNA sequencing dataset (Illumina
HiSeq 2000 RNA Sequencing platform by the University of North Carolina TCGA genome
characterization center). Patients were stratified into low or high CCL2, CCL7, and CCL2 ∩ CCL7
expressing categories (LOW<25th percentile and HIGH>75th percentile, respectively). Percentiles
were generated using descriptive statistics function in GraphPad Prism version 9.3.1 for Windows,
GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA. Survival curve comparisons and numbers at risk
were calculated using Log-rank (Mantel-Cox test) and graphically illustrated through GraphPad
Prism version 9.3.1. P-values are reported in figures.

Statistical Analysis

Multiple t-tests, Log-rank (Mantel-Cox), one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA analyses were
performed in GraphPad Prism version 9.3.1 to determine statistically significant differences between
groups. Multiple comparisons were corrected for with the recommended Dunnett multiple
comparison test. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant and is indicated by symbols depicted in
the figures, figure legends and text.

Results

CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells in the glioma-microenvironment are sourced from the bone marrow

We previously established that a glioma-associated CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ myeloid cell population also
expresses markers consistent with M-MDSCs. A CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ myeloid cell population,
expressing the same MDSC markers, is also present in bone marrow. To examine the T cell
suppressive and migratory properties of these CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells, dual transgenic
Ccr2$^{WT/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{WT/GFP}$ mice were utilized in order to facilitate the direct examination of CCR2-
and CX3CR1-expressing cells. Fluorescent confocal microscopy of intracranial KR158B tumors
confirmed the presence of brain-resident CX3CR1$^{WT/GFP}$ microglia and revealed that CCR2$^{WT/RFP}$ and
CCR2$^{WT/RFP}$/CX3CR1$^{WT/GFP}$ cells were also present within the TME as early as 5 days post-
implantation of KR158B tumor cells (**Figure 1A**). Fluorescent imaging of naïve (non-tumor) brain
tissue confirmed the absence of any RFP-expressing cells in non-tumor bearing brain tissue while
RFP/GFP positive cells were present in bone marrow (**Figure 1A**).

To directly establish if CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells present within KR158B gliomas are sourced from the
bone marrow we generated chimeric mice harboring *Ccr2$^{WT/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{WT/GFP}$* bone marrow cells.
Irradiated wild type C57BL/6 mice (recipient) received whole bone marrow isolated from
Ccr2$^{WT/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{WT/GFP}$ mice (donor) and, following immune reconstitution, chimeric mice were
orthotopically implanted with KR158B glioma cells. At experimental endpoint, bone marrow and
brain tumor tissue were processed for flow cytometry. Flow cytometry analysis identified the
presence of CCR2$^+$ and CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells in the tumors of chimeric mice (p<.0001) (**Figure
1B, C**) which indicates that these populations were derived from the bone marrow. GFP+ cells were
absent from these tumors, suggesting that this population is brain-derived.

CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells suppress CD8 and CD4 T cell proliferation and IFN-γ production

To investigate the functionality of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells, the impact on T cell proliferation and
function was assessed. Having determined that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells are bone marrow-derived,
whole bone marrow was harvested and cells were cultured in the presence of KR158B glioma-
derived factors (conditioned media) containing soluble GM-CSF and IL-6 to enrich and expand the
population of dual-expressing chemokine receptor cells. Following magnetic bead MDSC isolation,

flow cytometry analysis confirmed the isolation of cells expressing CD45, CD11b, Ly6C, and
chemokine receptors CCR2 and CX3CR1 (**Figure 2A**); cells were negative for Ly6G. The enriched,
bone marrow-derived cells significantly suppressed the proliferation of both CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells
at ratios 1:2 and 1:1 (**Figure 2B-D**). In the presence of MDSCs at a 1:2 ratio, CD4$^+$ T cell
proliferation decreased from 71% to 39% while CD8$^+$ T cell proliferation decreased from 82% to
50%. When co-cultured at a 1:1 ratio of MDSCs to T cells, CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell proliferation was
suppressed to 20% and 18%, respectively (**Figure 2C, D**). To further assess suppression within the
co-culture, we analyzed the media from the suppression assay for levels of IFN-γ. Consistent with the
results for proliferation, higher ratios of MDSCs:T cells also yielded lower concentrations of IFN-γ
within the co-culture (**Figure 2E**), suggesting functional inhibition of effector T cells by the
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ MDSCs. These results establish that bone marrow CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells, when
incubated in glioma-derived factors, acquire a phenotype capable of disrupting the proliferation and
function of both CD4- and CD8-expressing T cells.

High CCL2 and CCL7 expression is associated with lower overall survival in human
glioblastoma

Of the five known human ligands of CCR2, three are shared with mice, namely CCL2, CCL7 and
CCL8. To determine the impact of these chemokines on the clinical prognosis of human GBM, gene
expression and survival data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) GBM cohort was analyzed.
Patients were stratified into "Low" and "High" expressing categories based on the lowest and highest
quartiles of expression. Kaplan-Meyer survival curves, derived from Affymetrix and
IlluminaHighseq datasets, were generated and Log-rank tests were utilized to compare the survival
distributions. Similar to findings of Chang et al., a statistically significant decrease in survival among
patients with high expression of CCL2, compared to low-expressing patients, was evident in the
Affymetrix gene expression dataset (p<0.0005) (**Figure 3A**)(31). Similar results based on CCL7
expression were identified (p=0.0417) (**Figure 3B**). Upon grouping cohorts of high expression of
CCL2 and CCL7 and low expression of CCL2 and CCL7 (denoted as the intersection sign "∩"),
there was a statistically significant decrease in survival among the high expression cohort (p=0.0255)
(**Figure 3C**). More striking results were revealed when analyzing the Illumina Highseq dataset. High
CCL2 expression (p=0.0109) (**Figure 3D**) and high CCL7 (p=0.0319) (**Figure 3E**) expression among
patients correlated with a statistically significant reduction in survival. This survival disadvantage
was most pronounced among patients with high expression of both CCL2 and CCL7 (p=0.0018)
(**Figure 3F**). These results indicate that high expression of CCL2 and CCL7 is negatively correlated
with survival in the context of human GBM. Expression of CCL8, the other shared CCR2 chemokine
across species, was not associated with a significant survival disadvantage (**Supplementary Figure**
3C). CCL13 and CCL16, CCR2 ligands found only in humans, also did not show a significant
survival disadvantage (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Taken together with our previous data, we posit
that this significant difference in survival between low and high expressors is due in part to an
elevated level of recruitment of immunosuppressive CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells into the glioma
microenvironment.

CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells migrate to recombinant CCL2 and CCL7 through CCR2

With evidence that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells represent a potent T cell suppressive population and
CCR2 ligands (CCL2 and CCL7) confer poor survival in human GBM, we evaluated the impact of
CCL2 and CCL7 on cell migration. To determine the migratory capacity of the CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$
cell population to chemokine ligands, a 96-well 5-uM transwell migration assay was employed.
Migration of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells was determined using flow cytometry, gating for CCR2$^{WT/RFP}$

and CX3CR1$^{WT/GFP}$ double-positive cells (**Figure 4A**) with results presented as percent migration
relative to the control condition i.e., no recombinant chemokine in the bottom chamber.

Flow cytometry analysis revealed statistically significant migration of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells to
recombinant CCL2 and CCL7 (**Figure 4B, C**). Cells derived from naïve animals achieved a
maximum percent migration to both ligands at a plating concentration of 30ng/mL (p<0.0001). These
cells displayed a higher efficacy for CCL7 relative to CCL2, achieving a mean percent migration of
559% and 366% to CCL7 and CCL2 respectively (**Figure 4C**). Bone marrow-derived cells from
tumor-bearing animals 3-week post-implantation also displayed statistically significant migration,
achieving maximum migration of 500% and 334% at a plating concentration of 10ng/mL and
30ng/mL for CCL2 and CCL7 respectively (p<0.0001) (**Figure 4B**). Distinct from the naïve
condition, cells from the tumor-bearing animal migrate to CCL2 with a slightly higher potency as
compared to CCL7 and achieve near-maximum migration to both ligands at as low as 3ng/mL of
recombinant protein plated in the bottom chamber.

To establish that the effect shown through these transwell migration assays was due to chemotaxis
(directed cell movement in response to a chemokine gradient) as opposed to increased chemokinetic
(random cell movement) activity, recombinant chemokine was plated at equal concentrations in both
chambers. Disruption of the chemokine gradient, at 30ng/mL concentrations of ligand prevented
migration of bone marrow cells derived from either naïve or tumor-bearing animals. (**Figure 4B, C**).
To determine if the migration was dependent on functional CCR2, bone marrow-derived cells from
tumor-bearing CCR2-deficient mice (*Ccr2$^{RFP/RFP}$*) were analyzed for CCL2-dependent migration.
RFP-expressing cells from the *Ccr2$^{RFP/RFP}$* mouse did not migrate to any of the CCL2 concentrations
tested (**Figure 4B**). This indicates that CCR2-expressing cells migrate to the chemokines CCL2 and
CCL7 in a CCR2-dependent mechanism. Since this cell population also expresses CX3CR1,
migration of bone marrow-derived cells from tumor-bearing *Ccr2$^{+/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{+/GFP}$* mice to soluble
CX3CL1 was assessed. There was no statistically significant migration of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells to
CX3CL1 (**Supplemental Figure 5B**). Taken together, these results suggest that bone marrow-
derived CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells from naïve and tumor-bearing animals migrate to CCL2 and CCL7,
in a CCR2-dependent manner.

KR158B CCL2 and CCL7 knockdown gliomas contain equivalent percentages of
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ MDSCs compared to KR158B gliomas

To evaluate whether KR158B tumor cells are active contributors in the recruitment of
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells, we tested whether glioma cells produced and secreted CCL2 and CCL7.
ELISA analysis of the conditioned media of KR158B cells determined that after 24 hours, glioma
cells plated at 500 cells/uL had produced 11.1ng/mL of CCL2 and 1.9ng/mL of CCL7. Simultaneous
ELISA analysis of KR158B CCL2 knockdown (KR158B CCL2 KD) and KR158B CCL7
knockdown (KR158B CCL7 KD) cell lines revealed a statistically significant decrease in production
of CCL2 and CCL7, measured at 2.7ng/mL and 0.8ng/mL respectively (p<0.0001) (**Figure 5A, B***)*.

KR158B, KR158B CCL2 KD or KR158B CCL7 KD glioma cell lines were implanted in
Ccr2$^{+/RFP}$/Cx3cr1$^{+/GFP}$ mice, and flow cytometry analysis of tumors and bone marrow was conducted
4.5 weeks post-implantation (**Figure 5C**). We found no significant differences in infiltrating
populations of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells, induced by KR158B CCL2 KD and KR158B CCL7 KD
glioma cell lines, when compared to KR158B (**Figure 5D**). We also saw no changes in the
population of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells in the bone marrow of mice harboring chemokine knockdown
gliomas (**Figure 5E***)*. These results suggest that decreased production of a single chemokine by

glioma cells does not impact recruitment of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells to the TME. The lack of effect
observed following the implantation of individual CCL2 or CCL7 knockdown gliomas suggested a
potential chemokine ligand redundant mechanism utilized by the KR158B cells to recruit
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells to the TME.

CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cell migration to KR158B conditioned media is inhibited with CCL2 and
CCL7 neutralizing antibodies

We next sought to determine whether bone marrow-derived CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells migrate to
KR158B conditioned media. Utilizing the same transwell migration assay and flow cytometry gating
strategy as described above, conditioned media was plated as the chemoattractant, and migration was
analyzed. These results indicate that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells migrate significantly to the conditioned
media of both the KR158B and KR158B-CCL2 KD glioma cell lines. CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells
migrated to the conditioned media of the KR158B cell line with a maximum percent migration of
266% relative to the migration buffer control condition (p=0.0006) (**Figure 6A**). Consistent with our
in vivo results, this cell population migrated similarly to the conditioned media of the KR158B CCL2
KD cell line, achieving a maximum percent migration of 242% relative to the control (p=0.004). Of
note, statistically significant migration was only achieved in conditions in which the conditioned
media of KR158B or KR158B CCL2 KD cells was derived from 24-hour cultures plated at a
concentration of 500cells/uL. A positive control with recombinant CCL2 at 10ng/mL as the
chemoattractant confirmed the migratory potential of the cells. Upon validating CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$
cell migration to the conditioned media of KR158B and KR158B CCL2 KD glioma cell lines, we
sought to determine whether the migration was exclusively mediated by CCL2 and/or CCL7. To
evaluate this question, we plated KR158B cells at various densities and collected the conditioned
media after 24 hours. The impact of anti CCL2 or anti-CCL7 neutralizing antibodies or a
combination of both was evaluated on migration to the KR158B conditioned media.

CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells migrated significantly to conditioned media containing non-immune IgG
with a percent migration of 413% (p<0.0001) (**Figure 6B**). There was no statistically significant
difference observed between migration to conditioned media with or without non-immune IgG. In the
conditions in which conditioned media was supplemented with a low concentration of neutralizing
antibody (10ug/mL α-CCL2 or 1ug/mL α-CCL7), we observed no significant reduction in overall
migration. To determine if migration could be inhibited by supplementing with a higher neutralizing
antibody concentration, the conditioned media was supplemented with 50ug/mL α-CCL2 or 5ug/mL
α-CCL7. When supplementing with 50ug/mL α-CCL2, a significant reduction in percent migration
from 413% in the control condition to 240%, a 42% reduction (p=0.016) was evident. Similarly,
when supplementing the conditioned media with the high dose of α-CCL7, 5ug/mL, migration was
reduced from 413% to 192%, a 54% reduction (p=0.0015).

As CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells migrate similarly to CCL2 and CCL7, we then assessed whether there was
redundancy between the chemokines that would facilitate cell migration in the event that one ligand
was neutralized. To evaluate this, the conditioned media was supplemented with either low
combinations (10ug/mL α-CCL2 and 1ug/mL α-CCL7) or high combinations (50ug/mL α-CCL2 and
5ug/mL α-CCL7) of neutralizing antibodies. Interestingly, supplementing with either the low or high
combination of neutralizing antibodies resulted in complete inhibition of migration. In the case of the
low neutralizing antibody combination, CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells achieved 99% migration, whereas
cells in the high neutralizing antibody condition reached only 89% migration compared to the
migration buffer control normalized to 100% (p<0.0001) (**Figure 6B**).

To validate that CCR2 was responsible for the migration of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells to KR158B
conditioned media, bone marrow cells derived from a *Ccr2*-deficient mouse were utilized. Using

conditioned media as the chemoattractant, no statistically significant migration of RFP-expressing
cells to conditioned media, as compared to the buffer control, was seen (**Figure 6B**). Taken together,
these results show that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells migrate to CCL2 and CCL7 produced by KR158B
cells through CCR2, and this migration can be disrupted through pharmacologic or genetic disruption
of this chemokine-receptor axis.

Discussion

Glioblastoma is a highly aggressive disease which exhibits a significant immune suppressed tumor
microenvironment, lending to its poor prognosis (32–36). Although representing a diverse population
in itself, infiltrating myeloid cell populations contribute to the suppressed environment and promote
tumor growth (7,8,37–39). Our earlier studies established that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ myeloid cells,
characterized by M-MDSC markers, are present in the bone marrow and infiltrate into multiple
murine gliomas (KR158B and 005 GSC). Genetic and pharmacologic inhibition of CCR2 reduced the
presence of these cells in the TME, promoted sequestration of these cells in the bone marrow, and
unmasked an effect of an immune checkpoint inhibitor to slow glioma progression (26). While these
prior studies clearly support targeting CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells as a means to treat gliomas, a greater
appreciation of the immune-suppressive and migratory properties of this CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cell
population is needed. Herein, we extend our published results to better understand the functionality
of these cells. The principal findings of this study are 1) glioma-associated CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$
myeloid cells are sourced from the bone marrow, 2) CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells suppress both CD4$^+$ and
CD8$^+$ T cells, and 3) CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells migrate to recombinant and glioma-produced CCL2 and
CCL7 in a redundant manner.

Brain tumors, and particularly gliomas, contain mixed populations of myeloid cells (40–44). Our
previous report provided a comprehensive analysis of the phenotypic markers expressed by myeloid
cells in the glioma microenvironment of both KR158B and 005 GSC intracranial tumors. Glioma-
associated myeloid cells can be distinguished by relative CD45 expression, with microglia and
peripherally sourced cells expressing mid and high levels of this marker, respectively (26,45). In
addition, forward scatter properties also distinguish microglia from peripheral tumor associated
macrophages. We established that bone marrow and glioma-associated CD45^{high}, CD11b$^+$,
Ly6C^{hi}/Ly6G$^-$ cells co-express CCR2 and CX3CR1. This bone marrow population expands in tumor
bearing mice and, pharmacological or genetic disruption of CCR2 promoted the sequestration of
these cells in the bone marrow (26). Using a chimeric mouse paradigm, we formally established that
the CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ population is derived from the bone marrow. These findings suggest an
involvement of CCR2, upon stimulation by its ligands, in facilitating the trafficking of
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells from the bone marrow to the TME.

CCR2$^+$ M-MDSCs represent a prominent infiltrating immune suppressive cell population within
murine gliomas (31,46). Their elevated presence has shown to be correlated with negative prognosis
and poor response to prospective immunotherapy approaches such as immune-checkpoint inhibitors
(9,24). Data reported here establish that CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ M-MDSCs are directly involved in
disrupting the proliferation and activated function of both CD4 and CD8-expressing T cells.
CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ M-MDSCs suppressed both T cell populations with similar potency. These ex vivo
studies are consistent with our prior results where combined PD-1 and CCR2 blockade led to
decreased numbers of exhausted CD4 and CD8 T cells and increased IFN-γ expression within the
gliomas. Further studies will be necessary to better understand direct and indirect mechanisms
whereby CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ M-MDSCs disrupt T cell function and dampen immune responses in the

context of glioma. Nonetheless, these data provide further rationale for preventing the infiltration of
these immunosuppressive cells into the TME.

CCR2 is a receptor that, among other functions, is primarily implicated in the chemotaxis of cells on
which it is expressed (47,48). A common feature amongst many chemokine receptors is the ability to
be stimulated by multiple structurally similar ligands. CCR2 is no exception with five known ligands:
CCL2, CCL7, CCL8, CCL13 and CCL16 (49). This feature facilitates functional redundancy in that
multiple ligands may induce similar downstream cellular effects upon signaling through the same
receptor. While CCL2 has previously been reported as the most potent inducer of CCR2$^+$ monocyte
migration, other CCR2 ligands are likely contributing to migration in a redundant manner to respond
to inflammation (50). Brait et al. reported elevated levels of CCL7, in addition to CCL2, in models of
ischemia reperfusion (51). These findings suggest that CCL2 and CCL7 may function in a redundant
manner to recruit CCR2-expressing cells to sites of inflammation. Additional studies have also
reported that the accumulation of myeloid cells within the CNS during inflammation is dependent
upon the presence of CCL2 and CCL7. In a CCL2- and CCL7-deficient mouse models, there was a
significant reduction in CD45$^+$/CD11b$^+$/Ly6C^{hi} cells that accumulated in the CNS; the markers that
characterize this population coincide with CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells in the model utilized here. This
suggests that in addition to having functional CCR2, it is also necessary to maintain sufficient levels
of the cognate ligands to ultimately induce accumulation of this cell population in the CNS (52).
Other chemokine:chemokine receptor systems appear to have redundant roles, determined from
studies in murine glioma models, including CCR1 and CCR5 and their shared ligands (53,54). While
we report redundant roles for CCL2 and CCL7, there may be spatiotemporal regulation of CCR2-
expressing cells by these individual ligands. For instance, one chemokine, i.e., CCL2, might be the
prominent driver of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cell recruitment to the tumor, while the second, i.e., CCL7, is
more important for homing to specific niches within tumor. Although soluble CX3CL1 did not
stimulate migration of the MDSCs, a role for membrane attached CX3CL1 in firm adhesion of the
cells to endothelium within the tumor vasculature remains a possibility (55,56). Studies aimed toward
determining specific CCL2-, CCL7-, and CX3CL1-expressing areas within the tumor would need to
be conducted to support these concepts.

In conclusion, we determined that CCR2 and its cognate ligands are prominent regulators of the
recruitment of a CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ immune-suppressive cell to gliomas. The expression and
functional characterization of these chemokine receptors further defines the M-MDSC phenotype.
CCL2 and CCL7 are produced, at least in part, by glioma cells and our study indicates that CCL2 and
CCL7 function in a redundant manner to induce the migration of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ M-MDSCs. As
such, a more effective approach to limiting this population from gaining access to the TME should
involve antagonizing CCR2. However, given that high CCL2 and CCL7 expression is associated
with poorer prognosis in GBM patients, consideration of the relative expression of these two
chemokines may provide predictive value to a therapeutic strategy targeting this
chemokine:chemokine receptor axis.

References

1. Weller M, Cloughesy T, Perry JR, Wick W. Standards of care for treatment of recurrent
glioblastoma—are we there yet? Neuro-Oncology. 2013 Jan 1;15(1):4–27.

2. van Solinge TS, Nieland L, Chiocca EA, Broekman MLD. Advances in local therapy for
glioblastoma — taking the fight to the tumour. Nat Rev Neurol. 2022 Apr;18(4):221–36.

3. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJB, et al. Radiotherapy
plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2005 Mar
10;352(10):987–96.

4. Stupp R, Taillibert S, Kanner AA, Kesari S, Steinberg DM, Toms SA, et al. Maintenance
Therapy With Tumor-Treating Fields Plus Temozolomide vs Temozolomide Alone for
Glioblastoma: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2015 Dec 15;314(23):2535–43.

5. Stupp R, Hegi ME, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Taphoorn MJB, Janzer RC, et al. Effects of
radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide versus radiotherapy alone on
survival in glioblastoma in a randomised phase III study: 5-year analysis of the EORTC-NCIC
trial. Lancet Oncol. 2009 May;10(5):459–66.

6. Ravi VM, Neidert N, Will P, Joseph K, Maier JP, Kückelhaus J, et al. T-cell dysfunction in the
glioblastoma microenvironment is mediated by myeloid cells releasing interleukin-10. Nat
Commun. 2022 Feb 17;13(1):925.

7. Wainwright DA, Balyasnikova IV, Chang AL, Ahmed AU, Moon KS, Auffinger B, et al. IDO
expression in brain tumors increases the recruitment of regulatory T cells and negatively
impacts survival. Clin Cancer Res. 2012 Nov 15;18(22):6110–21.

8. Wesolowska A, Kwiatkowska A, Slomnicki L, Dembinski M, Master A, Sliwa M, et al.
Microglia-derived TGF-beta as an important regulator of glioblastoma invasion--an inhibition
of TGF-beta-dependent effects by shRNA against human TGF-beta type II receptor. Oncogene.
2008 Feb 7;27(7):918–30.

9. Alban TJ, Alvarado AG, Sorensen MD, Bayik D, Volovetz J, Serbinowski E, et al. Global
immune fingerprinting in glioblastoma patient peripheral blood reveals immune-suppression
signatures associated with prognosis. JCI Insight. 2018 Nov 2;3(21):122264.

10. Mellman I, Coukos G, Dranoff G. Cancer immunotherapy comes of age. Nature. 2011
Dec;480(7378):480–9.

11. Waldman AD, Fritz JM, Lenardo MJ. A guide to cancer immunotherapy: from T cell basic
science to clinical practice. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020 Nov;20(11):651–68.

12. Gupta R, Mehta A, Wajapeyee N. Transcriptional determinants of cancer immunotherapy
response and resistance. Trends in Cancer. 2022 May 1;8(5):404–15.

13. Bristol Myers Squibb Announces Update on Phase 3 CheckMate -548 Trial Evaluating Patients
with Newly Diagnosed MGMT-Methylated Glioblastoma Multiforme [Internet]. [cited 2021
Nov 22]. Available from: https://news.bms.com/news/details/2020/Bristol-Myers-Squibb-

541   Announces-Update-on-Phase-3-CheckMate--548-Trial-Evaluating-Patients-with-Newly-
542   Diagnosed-MGMT-Methylated-Glioblastoma-Multiforme/default.aspx

543 14. Reardon DA, Brandes AA, Omuro A, Mulholland P, Lim M, Wick A, et al. Effect of
544   Nivolumab vs Bevacizumab in Patients With Recurrent Glioblastoma: The CheckMate 143
545   Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2020 Jul 1;6(7):1003–10.

546 15. Kamran N, Kadiyala P, Saxena M, Candolfi M, Li Y, Moreno-Ayala MA, et al.
547   Immunosuppressive Myeloid Cells' Blockade in the Glioma Microenvironment Enhances the
548   Efficacy of Immune-Stimulatory Gene Therapy. Molecular Therapy. 2017 Jan 4;25(1):232–48.

549 16. Kamran N, Chandran M, Lowenstein PR, Castro MG. Immature Myeloid Cells in the Tumor
550   Microenvironment: Implications for Immunotherapy. Clin Immunol. 2018 Apr;189:34–42.

551 17. Kumar R, Mooij T de, Peterson TE, Kaptzan T, Johnson AJ, Daniels DJ, et al. Modulating
552   glioma-mediated myeloid-derived suppressor cell development with sulforaphane. PLOS ONE.
553   2017 Jun 30;12(6):e0179012.

554 18. Veglia F, Sanseviero E, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the era of
555   increasing myeloid cell diversity. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2021 Feb 1;1–14.

556 19. Bronte V, Brandau S, Chen SH, Colombo MP, Frey AB, Greten TF, et al. Recommendations for
557   myeloid-derived suppressor cell nomenclature and characterization standards. Nat Commun.
558   2016 Jul 6;7(1):1–10.

559 20. Kwak T, Wang F, Deng H, Condamine T, Kumar V, Perego M, et al. Distinct Populations of
560   Immune-Suppressive Macrophages Differentiate from Monocytic Myeloid-Derived Suppressor
561   Cells in Cancer. Cell Rep. 2020 Dec 29;33(13):108571.

562 21. Dorhoi A, Du Plessis N. Monocytic Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in Chronic Infections.
563   Front Immunol. 2018 Jan 4;8:1895.

564 22. Yang Y, Li C, Liu T, Dai X, Bazhin AV. Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in Tumors: From
565   Mechanisms to Antigen Specificity and Microenvironmental Regulation. Front Immunol.
566   2020;11:1371.

567 23. Tcyganov EN, Hanabuchi S, Hashimoto A, Campbell D, Kar G, Slidel TW, et al. Distinct
568   mechanisms govern populations of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in chronic viral infection
569   and cancer. J Clin Invest. 2021 Aug 16;131(16):145971.

570 24. Raychaudhuri B, Rayman P, Huang P, Grabowski M, Hambardzumyan D, Finke JH, et al.
571   Myeloid derived suppressor cell infiltration of murine and human gliomas is associated with
572   reduction of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes. J Neurooncol. 2015 Apr;122(2):293–301.

573 25. Bergenfelz C, Leandersson K. The Generation and Identity of Human Myeloid-Derived
574   Suppressor Cells. Front Oncol. 2020 Feb 7;10:109.

575 26. Flores-Toro JA, Luo D, Gopinath A, Sarkisian MR, Campbell JJ, Charo IF, et al. CCR2
576   inhibition reduces tumor myeloid cells and unmasks a checkpoint inhibitor effect to slow

progression of resistant murine gliomas. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020 Jan 14;117(2):1129–
38.

27. Alban TJ, Bayik D, Otvos B, Rabljenovic A, Leng L, Jia-Shiun L, et al. Glioblastoma Myeloid-
Derived Suppressor Cell Subsets Express Differential Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor
Receptor Profiles That Can Be Targeted to Reduce Immune Suppression. Front Immunol.
2020;11:1191.

28. Bhuvaneshwar K, Belouali A, Singh V, Johnson RM, Song L, Alaoui A, et al. G-DOC Plus - an
integrative bioinformatics platform for precision medicine. BMC Bioinformatics. 2016 Apr
30;17(1):193.

29. Madhavan S, Gusev Y, Harris M, Tanenbaum DM, Gauba R, Bhuvaneshwar K, et al. G-DOC: a
systems medicine platform for personalized oncology. Neoplasia. 2011 Sep;13(9):771–83.

30. Goldman MJ, Craft B, Hastie M, Repečka K, McDade F, Kamath A, et al. Visualizing and
interpreting cancer genomics data via the Xena platform. Nat Biotechnol. 2020 Jun;38(6):675–
8.

31. Chang AL, Miska J, Wainwright DA, Dey M, Rivetta CV, Yu D, et al. CCL2 produced by the
glioma microenvironment is essential for the recruitment of regulatory T cells and myeloid-
derived suppressor cells. Cancer Res. 2016 Oct 1;76(19):5671–82.

32. Hishii M, Nitta T, Ishida H, Ebato M, Kurosu A, Yagita H, et al. Human glioma-derived
interleukin-10 inhibits antitumor immune responses in vitro. Neurosurgery. 1995
Dec;37(6):1160–6; discussion 1166-1167.

33. Qian J, Luo F, Yang J, Liu J, Liu R, Wang L, et al. TLR2 Promotes Glioma Immune Evasion by
Downregulating MHC Class II Molecules in Microglia. Cancer Immunol Res. 2018
Oct;6(10):1220–33.

34. Ikushima H, Todo T, Ino Y, Takahashi M, Miyazawa K, Miyazono K. Autocrine TGF-beta
signaling maintains tumorigenicity of glioma-initiating cells through Sry-related HMG-box
factors. Cell Stem Cell. 2009 Nov 6;5(5):504–14.

35. Joseph JV, Balasubramaniyan V, Walenkamp A, Kruyt FAE. TGF-β as a therapeutic target in
high grade gliomas - promises and challenges. Biochem Pharmacol. 2013 Feb 15;85(4):478–85.

36. Nduom EK, Weller M, Heimberger AB. Immunosuppressive mechanisms in glioblastoma.
Neuro Oncol. 2015 Nov;17(Suppl 7):vii9–14.

37. Gabrusiewicz K, Ellert-Miklaszewska A, Lipko M, Sielska M, Frankowska M, Kaminska B.
Characteristics of the alternative phenotype of microglia/macrophages and its modulation in
experimental gliomas. PLoS One. 2011;6(8):e23902.

38. Avril T, Saikali S, Vauleon E, Jary A, Hamlat A, De Tayrac M, et al. Distinct effects of human
glioblastoma immunoregulatory molecules programmed cell death ligand-1 (PDL-1) and
indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) on tumour-specific T cell functions. J Neuroimmunol. 2010
Aug 25;225(1–2):22–33.

39. Chen Z, Hambardzumyan D. Immune Microenvironment in Glioblastoma Subtypes. Front Immunol. 2018 May 8;9:1004.

40. Friebel E, Kapolou K, Unger S, Núñez NG, Utz S, Rushing EJ, et al. Single-Cell Mapping of Human Brain Cancer Reveals Tumor-Specific Instruction of Tissue-Invading Leukocytes. Cell. 2020 Jun 25;181(7):1626-1642.e20.

41. Pombo Antunes AR, Scheyltjens I, Lodi F, Messiaen J, Antoranz A, Duerinck J, et al. Single-cell profiling of myeloid cells in glioblastoma across species and disease stage reveals macrophage competition and specialization. Nat Neurosci. 2021 Apr;24(4):595–610.

42. Yuan J, Levitin HM, Frattini V, Bush EC, Boyett DM, Samanamud J, et al. Single-cell transcriptome analysis of lineage diversity in high-grade glioma. Genome Medicine. 2018 Jul 24;10(1):57.

43. Mantovani A, Marchesi F, Jaillon S, Garlanda C, Allavena P. Tumor-associated myeloid cells: diversity and therapeutic targeting. Cell Mol Immunol. 2021 Mar;18(3):566–78.

44. Klemm F, Maas RR, Bowman RL, Kornete M, Soukup K, Nassiri S, et al. Interrogation of the Microenvironmental Landscape in Brain Tumors Reveals Disease-Specific Alterations of Immune Cells. Cell. 2020 Jun 25;181(7):1643-1660.e17.

45. Chen Z, Feng X, Herting CJ, Garcia VA, Nie K, Pong WW, et al. Cellular and molecular identity of tumor-associated macrophages in glioblastoma. Cancer Res. 2017 May 1;77(9):2266–78.

46. Alghamri MS, Banerjee K, Mujeeb AA, Mauser A, Taher A, Thalla R, et al. Systemic Delivery of an Adjuvant CXCR4–CXCL12 Signaling Inhibitor Encapsulated in Synthetic Protein Nanoparticles for Glioma Immunotherapy. ACS Nano. 2022 Jun 28;16(6):8729–50.

47. Gschwandtner M, Derler R, Midwood KS. More Than Just Attractive: How CCL2 Influences Myeloid Cell Behavior Beyond Chemotaxis. Front Immunol. 2019 Dec 13;10:2759.

48. Takacs GP, Flores-Toro JA, Harrison JK. Modulation of the chemokine/chemokine receptor axis as a novel approach for glioma therapy. Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2021 Jun 1;222:107790.

49. Proudfoot AEI. Chemokine receptors: multifaceted therapeutic targets. Nat Rev Immunol. 2002 Feb;2(2):106–15.

50. Chu HX, Arumugam TV, Gelderblom M, Magnus T, Drummond GR, Sobey CG. Role of CCR2 in inflammatory conditions of the central nervous system. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2014 Sep;34(9):1425–9.

51. Brait VH, Rivera J, Broughton BRS, Lee S, Drummond GR, Sobey CG. Chemokine-related gene expression in the brain following ischemic stroke: No role for CXCR2 in outcome. Brain Research. 2011 Feb 4;1372:169–79.

52.   Bardina SV, Michlmayr D, Hoffman KW, Obara CJ, Sum J, Charo IF, et al. Differential Roles
of Chemokines CCL2 and CCL7 in Monocytosis and Leukocyte Migration during West Nile
Virus Infection. J Immunol. 2015 Nov 1;195(9):4306–18.

53.   Pham K, Luo D, Liu C, Harrison JK. CCL5, CCR1 and CCR5 in murine glioblastoma: immune
cell infiltration and survival rates are not dependent on individual expression of either CCR1 or
CCR5. J Neuroimmunol. 2012 May 15;246(1–2):10–7.

54.   Dyer DP. Understanding the mechanisms that facilitate specificity, not redundancy, of
chemokine-mediated leukocyte recruitment. Immunology. 2020;160(4):336–44.

55.   Umehara H, Goda S, Imai T, Nagano Y, Minami Y, Tanaka Y, et al. Fractalkine, a CX3C-
chemokine, functions predominantly as an adhesion molecule in monocytic cell line THP-1.
Immunol Cell Biol. 2001 Jun;79(3):298–302.

56.   Ostuni MA, Hermand P, Saindoy E, Guillou N, Guellec J, Coens A, et al. CX3CL1 homo-
oligomerization drives cell-to-cell adherence. Sci Rep. 2020 Jun 3;10(1):9069.

Figures

Figure 1. CCR2/CX3CR1 cells infiltrate the glioma-microenvironment and are derived from
the bone marrow. (**A**) Representative immunofluorescent images of naïve brain, PBS injected
(sham) brain, and tumor-implanted brains 5 days post implantation. Images depict the absence of
$CCR2^{WT/RFP}$ cells within the normal and PBS injected brain tissue and presence within tumors 5 days
post-implantation. (**B**) Representative flow cytometry plots of tumors and bone-marrow of non-
irradiated control and chimeric animals at experimental endpoint. (**C**) Quantification of $CCR2^{+}$,
$CX3CR1^{+}$ and $CCR2^{+}/CX3CR1^{+}$ leukocytes in control and chimeric animals. (n=3) GraphPad Prism
was used to conduct two-way ANOVA statistics (Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). Differences
are compared to the control (0) condition. p-values: 0.0332(*), 0.0021(**), 0.0002(***),
<0.0001(****)

Figure 2. CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells suppress CD8 and CD4 T cell proliferation and IFN-γ production. (A) Representative flow cytometry plot establishing CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells are enriched using Ly6G and GR-1 magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS). **(B)** Representative flow cytometry plot denoting CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ proliferating cells in the presence or absence of CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ enriched cells. Quantification of **(C)** CD4$^+$ or **(D)** CD8$^+$ T cell proliferation in the presence and absence of CD3/CD28 activation beads (stimulated/unstimulated) and stimulated T cells co-cultured with enriched CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells. Enriched CCR2$^+$/CX3CR1$^+$ cells were plated at varying ratios to dye-loaded T cells (T cell numbers were held constant). After 3 days, proliferation was assessed using flow cytometry (n=3). **(E)** Supernatant from co-culture T cell suppression assay was collected and analyzed for IFN- γ protein via ELISA (n=3). One-way ANOVA statistical analysis was conducted (Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). Differences are compared to the stimulated control condition. p-values: 0.0332(*), 0.0021(**), 0.0002(***), <0.0001(****)

Figure 3. High CCL2 and CCL7 expression is associated with negative prognosis for patients
with glioblastoma. (A-C) Kaplan-Meyer survival curves of GBM patients based on Affymetrix gene
expression profiles of **(A)** CCL2 **(B)** CCL7 **(C)** intersection of CCL2 and CCL7 from TCGA
database. **(D-F)** Kaplan-Meyer survival curves of GBM patients based on Illumina Highseq
expression profiles of **(D)** CCL2 **(E)** CCL7 **(F)** intersection of CCL2 and CCL7 mined from TCGA
database. High and low cohorts are stratified as top and bottom quartiles, respectively. Number at
risk indicates surviving patients in each cohort at the respective timepoints of analysis. Log-rank
(Mantel-Cox) test was conducted on high vs low expressing cohorts.

Figure 4. Bone marrow-derived CCR2+/CX3CR1+ cells migrate to recombinant CCL2 and CCL7 through CCR2. (A) Experimental design of transwell migration assays. (left) Graphic (Created with BioRender.com) depicting assay preparation in which whole bone-marrow is plated in the top chamber of the transwell migration plate. The bottom chamber contains either recombinant chemokine protein or conditioned media. (right) Representative flow plot depicting the population of CCR2+/CX3CR1+ cells quantified. **(B)** Migration to recombinant CCL2 (n=7) and CCL7 (n=4) of CCR2+/CX3CR1+ cells derived from tumor-bearing animals. Graph also depicts no migration to recombinant CCL2 of bone marrow-derived RFP-expressing cells from a Ccr2$^{RFP/RFP}$ animals. **(C)** Migration to recombinant CCL2 and CCL7 of CCR2/CX3CR1 expressing cells derived from naïve animals. (n=4) A condition in which chemokine was also plated in the top chamber of the transwell plate (30/30) is included to validate that migration is due to chemotaxis rather than chemokinesis. Two-way ANOVA statistical analysis was conducted (Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). Differences are compared to the control (0) condition. p-values: 0.0332(*), 0.0021(**), 0.0002(***), <0.0001(****)

**Figure 5. Infiltration of CCR2⁺/CX3CR1⁺ cells into tumors is equivalent in mice implanted
with KR158B, KR158B CCL2 KD, or KR158B CCL7 KD tumors. (A-B)** ELISA results
confirming the production of **(A)** CCL2 and **(B)** CCL7 respectively from the KR158B and respective
KR158B-chemokine knockdown glioma cell lines. **(C)** Representative flow plots depicting
equivalent populations of CCR2/CX3CR1-expressing cells in the chemokine knockdown tumors
compared to the KR158B tumor. No significant change in this population was observed in the bone
marrow. **(D-E)** Graphs depicting the percent of CCR2/CX3CR1-expressing cells in the tumors and
bone marrow of mice implanted with KR158B, KR158B CCL2 KD or KR158B CCL7 KD cell lines.
(n=8) Two-way ANOVA statistical analysis was conducted (Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). p-
values: 0.0332(*), 0.0021(**), 0.0002(***), <0.0001(****)

Figure 6. Glioma-derived CCL2 or CCL7 is necessary and sufficient for bone marrow derived CCR2/CX3CR1 cell migration. (A) Graph depicting CCR2+/CX3CR1+ cells migrating to conditioned media of KR158B (n=6) and KR158B-CCL2 knockdown (n=6) cells. A condition in which conditioned media was also plated in the top chamber of the transwell plate (100/100) is included to validate that migration is due to chemotaxis rather than chemokinesis. **(B)** Migration of CCR2+/CX3CR1+ cells to conditioned media in the presence or absence of chemokine- neutralizing antibodies. Migration is disrupted with the addition of high concentrations of single neutralizing

antibody. Migration is completely inhibited with a combination of neutralizing antibodies at either
low or high concentrations. (n=4) No migration was observed to conditioned media of bone marrow-
derived RFP-expressing cells from Ccr2RFP/RFP animals. (n=3) **(C)** Graph depicting that migration
to exogenous recombinant CCL2 or CCL7 is inhibited through the addition of high concentrations of
respective neutralizing antibody (n=4). Two-way ANOVA statistical analysis was conducted
(Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). Differences are compared to the control condition or between
cell lines. p-values: 0.0332(*), 0.0021(**), 0.0002(***), <0.0001(****)

Conflict of Interest

739   The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
740   relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

742   GPT and CJK-designed the study, performed experiments, data analysis, data interpretation, and
743   wrote the manuscript. DL, JSG-performed experiments. GT-performed experiments, data analysis.
744   LPD-designed the study, data interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. DAM-designed the study,
745   data interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. JKH-designed the study, data interpretation, and wrote
746   the manuscript.

Funding

748   Research reported in this publication was supported by National Institute of Health grant RO1
749   NS108781 (to JKH and DAM), Florida Center for Brain Tumor Research (to JKH), as well as the
750   National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under
751   University of Florida Clinical and Translational Science Awards TL1TR001428 and UL1TR001427
752   (to GPT).

Acknowledgments

754   We thank Joseph Flores-Toro for providing insight and technical assistance in generating chimeric
755   mice and flow cytometry. Members of Jeffrey Martens' lab for access and training on Nikon
756   Confocal Microscope. We gratefully acknowledge the University of Florida Interdisciplinary Center
757   for Biotechnology Research Flow Cytometry Core and University of Florida Animal Care Service.