1 **Katdetectr: utilising unsupervised changepoint analysis for robust kataegis detection**
2

3 Daan M. Hazelaar[1,2,†], Job van Riet[1-3,†], Youri Hoogstrate[1,4], Martijn P. Lolkema[2] and Harmen
4 J. G. van de Werken[1,3,5]
5
6
[1]Cancer Computational Biology Centre, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Erasmus University
Medical Centre, Dr. Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, [2]Department
of Medical Oncology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Dr.
Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, [3]Department of Urology,
Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Dr. Molewaterplein 40,
3015 GD, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, [4]Department of Neurology, Erasmus MC Cancer
Institute, Erasmus University Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD, Rotterdam,
the Netherlands, [5]Department of Immunology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Erasmus
University Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.
16
17 [†]Shared first-author

18
All Authors have seen and approved this manuscript.

Abstract

Motivation:
Kataegis refers to the occurrence of regional hypermutation in cancer genomes and is a
phenomenon that has been observed in a wide range of malignancies. Robust detection of
kataegis is necessary to advance research regarding the origins and clinical impact of
kataegis. Multiple kataegis detection packages are publicly available; however, the
performance of their respective approaches have not been evaluated extensively. Here, we
introduce katdetectr, an R-based, open-source, computationally fast, and robust package
for the detection, characterisation and visualisation of kataegis.

Results:
The performance of katdetectr and five publicly available packages for kataegis detection
were evaluated using an in-house generated synthetic dataset and an *a priori* labelled pan-
cancer dataset of whole genome sequenced malignancies. The performance evaluation
revealed that katdetectr has the highest accuracy and normalized Matthews Correlation
Coefficient for kataegis classification on both the synthetic and the *a priori* labelled dataset.
Katdetectr is in particularly more robust for kataegis detection within samples with a high
tumour mutational burden.

Availability and Implementation:
Katdetectr imports standardised variant calling formats (MAF and VCF) as well as standard
Bioconductor classes (GRanges and VRanges). Katdetectr segments genomic variants
utilising unsupervised changepoint detection and the Pruned Exact Linear Time search
algorithm. The implementation of changepoint detection utilised by katdetectr results in
fast computation. Furthermore, katdetectr is available on Bioconductor which ensures
reliability, and operability on common operating systems (Windows, macOS and Linux).
Katdetectr is available on Bioconductor at
https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/html/katdetectr.html.
Contact: h.vandewerken@erasmusmc.nl

Introduction

Next-generation sequencing of cancer genomes has revealed that mutations can cluster together, i.e., the acquired mutations are found in proximity to one another, much closer than would be expected if they had been dispersed uniformly throughout the genome purely by chance (Alexandrov et al., 2013a; Nik-Zainal et al., 2012a). This phenomenon was termed kataegis and its respective genomic location was termed a kataegis foci. Kataegis, which is Greek for thunderstorm or shower, was first observed and visualised in whole genome sequencing (WGS) data of 21 primary breast cancers (Nik-Zainal et al., 2012b). Alexandrov et al. subsequently detected 873 kataegis foci in a pan-cancer dataset containing 507 WGS samples from primary malignancies (Alexandrov et al., 2013b).

Extensive exploration of the aetiology of kataegis revealed a significant positive correlation between kataegis and two distinct mutational signatures both attributed to the APOBEC enzyme-family Alexandrov et al., 2020; Bergstrom, Luebeck, et al., 2022; Burns et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2013b).

Subsequently, multiple studies confirmed the importance of the APOBEC enzymes in cancer, showing that APOBEC is a major cause of mutagenesis, both seen in clusters, dispersed throughout the cancer genome and in extrachromosomal DNA (Bergstrom et al., 2021; Bergstrom, Luebeck, et al., 2022; Langenbucher et al., 2021; Maciejowski et al., n.d.; Taylor et al., 2013a).

Previous studies have shown that kataegis occurs within known cancer genes including TP53, EGFR and BRAF which are associated with overall survival (Bergstrom, Luebeck, et al., 2022). Still, the clinical significance of kataegis remains to be validated and therefore obfuscates kataegis as a clinical biomarker for predicting prognosis. Nevertheless, any future clinical application requires accurate and robust detection of kataegis.

Here, we introduce katdetectr, an R-based and Bioconductor package that contains a complete suite for the detection, characterisation and visualisation of kataegis. Additionally, we have evaluated the performance of katdetectr and five publicly available kataegis detection packages (Bergstrom, Kundu, et al., 2022; Lin et al., 2021; Lora, 2016; Mayakonda et al., 2018; Yousif et al., 2020).

Approach

Katdetectr was programmed in the R statistical programming language (v4.1.2) (R Core Team, 2022). Briefly, katdetectr can import standardised formats denoting genomic variants including: Variant Calling Format (VCF), Mutation Annotation Format (MAF) and VRanges objects. Per sample, the genomic variants are pre-processed and subsequently the upstream-oriented intermutation distance (IMD) is calculated (Nik-Zainal et al., 2012a). The distribution of IMDs is then segmented based on unsupervised detection of changepoints using the changepoint package (v2.2.3) and the Pruned Exact Linear Time (PELT) search method (Haynes et al., 2017; Haynes & Killick, 2021; Killick et al., 2012; Killick & Eckley, 2014).

After segmentation, putative kataegis foci are called based on the following definition: 1) a continuous segment harbouring ≥6 variants and 2) the captured IMDs within the segment

contain a mean IMD of ≤1000 bp (Alexandrov et al., 2013a). Moreover, katdetectr can
visualise the IMD, changepoints and their continuous segments and can highlight all
putative kataegis foci within a sample using an intuitive rainfall plot (Figure 1).
The output of katdetectr consists of an S4 object containing the putative kataegis foci
(GRanges), the annotated genomic variants (VRanges) and the annotated segments
(GRanges).
100
101 See supplementary note 1 for an extended description of the design of katdetectr and
102 parameters settings.
103
Figure 1, Overview of the katdetectr workflow, Intermutation distance and rainfall plots. A)
General workflow of katdetectr represented by arrows. B) The intermutation distance (IMD)
is determined for each two subsequent genomic variants per chromosome and rainfall plots
are used to visualise these IMDs and corresponding detected changepoint segments. C)
Rainfall plot of PD7049a (breast cancer) from the Alexandrov dataset as interrogated by
katdetectr (Alexandrov et al., 2013a). Y-axis: IMD, x-axis: variant ID ordered on genomic
appearance, light blue rectangles: kataegis foci with genomic variants within kataegis foci
shown in bold. The mutational type is depicted by the colour. The determined segmentation
(as mean IMD per segment) is shown by black horizontal solid lines whilst vertical lines
represent detected changepoints. Note that the first variant of a kataegis foci has a high
IMD due to the usage of the upstream-oriented IMD.
115
Method
The performance of katdetectr (v1.0.0) was compared to alternative packages by utilising an
in-house generated synthetic dataset containing 1024 samples and a publicly available pan-
cancer dataset containing 507 WGS samples with a priori labelled kataegis foci as curated by
Alexandrov et al. (2013) (Alexandrov et al., 2013a; Bergstrom, Kundu, et al., 2022; Lin et al.,
2021; Lora, 2016; Mayakonda et al., 2018; Yousif et al., 2020).
122
123 In order to quantify and compare performances, the task of kataegis detection was reduced
124 to a binary classification problem. The task of the kataegis detection packages was to
125 correctly label each variant for kataegis, i.e., whether or not a genomic variant lies within a
126 kataegis foci.
127
In order to assess performance related to sample-specific Tumour Mutational Burden
(TMB), we binned samples based on TMB. The synthetic dataset contained eight TMB
classes (0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, 500) whilst the Alexandrov dataset was binned into three
TMB classes (low: TMB < 0.1, middle: $0.1 \geq TMB < 10$, high: TMB ≥ 10).
Due to large class imbalance, we used the normalised Matthews Correlation Coefficient
(nMCC) as the main performance metric for performance evaluation (Chicco & Jurman,
2020).
135
136 See supplementary note 1 for an extended description of the datasets, synthetic data
137 generation and confusion matrices.

Performance kataegis classification

	Package	Reference	Language	Synthetic dataset					Dataset labelled by Alexandrov et al.				
				Accuracy	nMCC	F1	TPR	TNR	Accuracy	nMCC	F1	TPR	TNR
1	katdetectr	Hazelaar, van Riet et al., 2022	R	0.99	0.98	0.97	0.94	0.99	0.99	0.92	0.83	0.91	0.99
2	SeqKat	Taylor et al., 2013	R	0.84	0.54	0.02	0.93	0.84	0.99	0.85	0.69	0.59	0.99
3	MafTools	Mayakonda et al., 2018	R	0.74	0.53	0.01	0.96	0.74	0.99	0.85	0.66	0.93	0.99
4	SigProfilerClusters	Bergstrom, Kundu, et al., 2022	Python	0.65	0.52	0.01	0.88	0.65	0.99	0.84	0.68	0.66	0.99
5	ClusteredMutations	Lora, 2016	R	0.70	0.53	0.01	0.99	0.74	0.99	0.83	0.61	0.99	0.99
6	kataegis	Lin et al., 2021	R	0.99	0.80	0.52	0.36	0.99	0.99	0.56	0.03	0.02	0.99

Table 1, performance metrics of evaluated kataegis detection packages. Accuracy, normalized Matthews Correlation Coefficient (nMCC), F1 score, True Positive Rate (TPR) and True Negative Rate (TNR) of the kataegis detection packages on 1024 synthetic samples and 507 a priori labelled WGS samples (Alexandrov et al., 2013a). Rows were sorted in descending order based on nMCC score on the Alexandrov dataset (grey transparent background). For each performance metric, the highest score is underlined.

Results
Out of all evaluated packages, katdetectr revealed the highest overall accuracy and nMCC in correctly labelling kataegis foci within both the synthetic and Alexandrov et al. dataset (Table 1). The performance of all packages was found to be associated with the sample-respective TMB (Supplementary Figure 1). Performance evaluation per TMB-binned category revealed that katdetectr is on par with alternative packages for samples with TMB ≤50. However, in contrast to alternative packages, the nMCC of katdetectr remains high for samples with high TMB (ranging between 50-500; Supplementary Figures 2-3). Furthermore, katdetectr demonstrated the fastest computational runtimes of all evaluated packages (Supplementary Figures 4).

Conclusion
Here, we described katdetectr; an R-based Bioconductor package capable of the detection, characterization and visualization of putative kataegis foci within genomic variants. Performance evaluation revealed that katdetectr robustly detects kataegis in a wide range of malignancies, irrespectively of low or high TMB. Additionally, katdetectr is user-friendly and computationally inexpensive with fast runtimes. In conclusion, the robust and reproducible methodologies of katdetectr can help facilitate further research into the clinical significance and underlying biological mechanism of kataegis.

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank John Martens, Marcel Smid and Guido Jenster for their discussions, input and support. Additionally, we would like to thank Coen Berns and Yi Ping for their initial efforts on detecting kataegis.

Funding
This research received funding from the Daniel den Hoed Fonds - Cancer Computational Biology Center (DDHF-CCBC) grant.
Conflict of Interest: none declared.

Data availability
All code used for the performance evaluation is available on GitHub at:
https://github.com/ErasmusMC-CCBC/evaluation_katdetectr. All data used in the

179   performance evaluation can be found on Zenodo at:
180   https://zenodo.org/record/6623289#.YqBxHi8Rr0o
181
182   **References**

183   Alexandrov, L. B., Kim, J., Haradhvala, N. J., Huang, M. N., Tian Ng, A. W., Wu, Y., ... &
184   Stratton, M. R. (2020). The repertoire of mutational signatures in human cancer. Nature,
185   578(7793), 94-101. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-1943-3
186
187   Alexandrov, L. B., Nik-Zainal, S., Wedge, D. C., Aparicio, S. A., Behjati, S., Biankin, A. V., ... &
188   Stratton, M. R. (2013). Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature,
189   500(7463), 415-421. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12477
190
191   Bergstrom, E. N., Kundu, M., Tbeileh, N., & Alexandrov, L. B. (2022). Examining clustered
192   somatic mutations with SigProfilerClusters. bioRxiv.
193   https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTAC335
194
195   Bergstrom, E. N., Luebeck, J., Petljak, M., Khandekar, A., Barnes, M., Zhang, T., ... &
196   Alexandrov, L. B. (2022). Mapping clustered mutations in cancer reveals APOBEC3
197   mutagenesis of ecDNA. Nature, 602(7897), 510-517.
198   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04398-6
199
200   Bergstrom, E. N., Luebeck, J., Petljak, M., Bafna, V., Mischel, P. S., Harris, R. S., & Alexandrov,
201   L. B. (2021). Comprehensive analysis of clustered mutations in cancer reveals recurrent
202   APOBEC3 mutagenesis of ecDNA. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.27.445689
203
204   Burns, M. B., Lackey, L., Carpenter, M. A., Rathore, A., Land, A. M., Leonard, B., ... & Harris,
205   R. S. (2013). APOBEC3B is an enzymatic source of mutation in breast cancer. Nature,
206   494(7437), 366-370. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE11881
207
Chicco, D., & Jurman, G. (2020). The advantages of the Matthews correlation coefficient
(MCC) over F1 score and accuracy in binary classification evaluation. BMC Genomics, 21(1),
1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6413-7
Haynes, K., Fearnhead, P., & Eckley, I. A. (2017). A computationally efficient nonparametric
approach for changepoint detection. Statistics and computing, 27(5), 1293-1305.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11222-016-9687-5
214
215   Haynes, K., & Killick, R. (2021). changepoint.np: Methods for Nonparametric Changepoint
216   Detection. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=changepoint.np
217
218   Killick, R., & Eckley, I. (2014). changepoint: An R package for changepoint analysis. Journal of
219   statistical software, 58(3), 1-19.
220
221   Killick, R., Fearnhead, P., & Eckley, I. A. (2012). Optimal detection of changepoints with a
222   linear computational cost. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 107(500), 1590–
223   1598. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2012.737745
224

225 Langenbucher, A., Bowen, D., Sakhtemani, R., Bournique, E., Wise, J. F., Zou, L., ... &
226 Lawrence, M. S. (2021). An extended APOBEC3A mutation signature in cancer. Nature
227 communications, 12(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21891-0
228
229 Lin, X., Hua, Y., Gu, S., Lv, L., Li, X., Chen, P., Dai, P., Hu, Y., Liu, A., & Li, J. (2021). kataegis: an
230 R package for identification and visualization of the genomic localized hypermutation
231 regions using high-throughput sequencing. BMC Genomics, 22(1), 1–6.
232 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07696-x
233
234 Lora, D. (2016). ClusteredMutations: Location and Visualization of Clustered Somatic
235 Mutations. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ClusteredMutations
236 Maciejowski, J., Chatzipli, A., Dananberg, A., Chu, K., Toufektchan, E., Klimczak, L. J., ... & de
237
238 Lange, T. (2020). APOBEC3-dependent kataegis and TREX1-driven chromothripsis during
239 telomere crisis. Nature genetics, 52(9), 884-890.
240 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0667-5
241
242 Mayakonda, A., Lin, D. C., Assenov, Y., Plass, C., & Koeffler, H. P. (2018). Maftools: efficient
243 and comprehensive analysis of somatic variants in cancer. Genome research, 28(11), 1747-
244 1756. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.239244.118
245
246 Nik-Zainal, S., Alexandrov, L. B., Wedge, D. C., Van Loo, P., Greenman, C. D., Raine, K., ... &
247 Breast Cancer Working Group of the International Cancer Genome Consortium. (2012).
248 Mutational processes molding the genomes of 21 breast cancers. Cell, 149(5), 979-993.
249 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.024
250
251 R Core Team. (2022). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
252 https://www.R-project.org/
253
254 Taylor, B. J., Nik-Zainal, S., Wu, Y. L., Stebbings, L. A., Raine, K., Campbell, P. J., ... &
255 Neuberger, M. S. (2013). DNA deaminases induce break-associated mutation showers with
256 implication of APOBEC3B and 3A in breast cancer kataegis. elife, 2.
257 doi: 10.7554/eLife.00534
258
259 Yousif, F., Lin, X., Fan, F., Lalansingh, C., & Macdonald, J. (2020). SeqKat: Detection of
260 Kataegis. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=SeqKat