A glycine zipper motif governs translocation of type VI secretion toxic effectors across the cytoplasmic membrane of target cells

Jemal Ali[1,2,3], Manda Yu[1#], Li-Kang Sung[1], Yee-Wai Cheung[1], and Erh-Min Lai[1,2,4*]

[1] Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

[2] Molecular and Biological Agricultural Sciences Program, Taiwan International Graduate Program, National Chung-Hsing University and Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

[3] Graduate Institute of Biotechnology, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan

[4] Biotechnology Center, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan

Running title: Glycine zipper mediated effector entry

* Corresponding author

Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica

128, Sec. 2, Academia Road, Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan 115201

Tel: 886-2-27871158

E-mail: emlai@gate.sinica.edu.tw

#Current address

Department of Biological Sciences

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

ABSTRACT

Type VI secretion systems (T6SSs) can deliver diverse toxic effectors into eukaryotic and bacterial cells. Although much is known about the regulation and assembly of T6SS, the translocation mechanism of effectors into the periplasm and/or cytoplasm of target cells remains elusive. Here we use the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* DNase effector Tde1 to unravel the mechanism of translocation from attacker to prey. We demonstrate that Tde1 binds to its adaptor Tap1 through the N-terminus, which harbours continuous copies of GxxxG motifs resembling the glycine zipper structure found in proteins involved in the membrane channel formation. Amino acid substitutions on $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ motif does not affect Tde1-Tap1 interaction and secretion but abolish its membrane permeability and translocation of its fluorescent fusion protein into prey cells. The data suggest that $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ governs the delivery of Tde1 into target cells by permeabilizing the cytoplasmic membrane. Considering the widespread presence of GxxxG motifs in bacterial effectors and pore-forming toxins, we propose that glycine zipper mediated permeabilization is a conserved mechanism used by bacterial effectors for translocation across target cell membranes.

Key words: Type VI secretion system, DNase effector, antibacterial, glycine zipper, translocation, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*

INTRODUCTION

In a complex microbial community, bacteria have evolved versatile secretion systems for export or import of substrates across their membranes in response to different environmental cues. Each specialized protein secretion systems (type I to X secretion system [TISS to TXSS])(reviewed in Christie, 2019; Costa et al., 2015; Palmer et al., 2020) can recognize specific substrates for secretion and translocation across one or multiple membranes. The type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a molecular weapon deployed by many Proteobacteria for pathogenesis, antagonism, or nutrient acquisition (Coulthurst,

2019). The T6SS effectors discovered so far exert functions in antibacterial, anti-
eukaryotic, and metal acquisition (Hachani et al., 2016; Jurenas and Journet, 2021; Lien and Lai,
2017; Russell et al., 2014). The most established T6SS effectors are bacterial toxins, in which
bacteria also produce cognate immunity proteins to prevent self-intoxication and toxicity
in the sibling cells.

T6SS is a multiprotein complex, composed of at least 13 conserved core proteins
resembling a phage tail structure, that extends from the cytoplasm to the outer membrane
of the attacker cell (Cherrak et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). The T6SS machine consists of the
Tss(J)LM membrane complex (MC), TssEFGK base plate (BP), TssBC contractile sheath,
and Hcp-VgrG-PAAR puncturing device. The MC interacts with the BP (Cherrak et al., 2018;
Durand et al., 2015), which serves as a docking site of VgrG-PAAR effector complex to
initiate polymerization of the tail (Zoued et al., 2016). The tail is composed of the Hcp inner
tube and TssBC outer sheath, whose biogenesis is regulated by TssA cap protein, and
when triggered, the sheath contracts and ejects out the effector decorated puncturing
device into extracellular milieu or target cells (Ali and Lai, 2022; Basler et al., 2012; Vettiger and
Basler, 2016).

The T6SS has multiple strategies for delivering diverse effectors. On the basis of the
known effectors and their transport mechanisms, effectors can be classified as
"specialized" or "cargo" effectors (Cherrak *et al.,* 2019; Cianfanelli et al., 2016). Specialized
effectors are fused to either of the C-termini of three core structural proteins (Hcp, VgrG,
or PAAR) while cargo effectors interact directly or require a specific chaperone/adaptor
to be loaded into the lumen of the Hcp tube or onto the VgrG spike prior to secretion.
Though diverse T6SS antibacterial effectors that act in the cytoplasm, membrane, or
periplasm of the target cells have been reported (Jurenas and Journet, 2021; Lien and Lai, 2017;
Russell *et al.,* 2014), their mechanisms to breach outer and inner membranes for targeting
cytoplasm of their targets still yet to be clarified.

A glycine zipper structure consisting of repetitive GxxxG motifs is commonly found in
membrane-associated proteins (Kim et al., 2005) and bacterial toxins (Fonte et al., 2011; Kim
et al., 2004). Glycine zipper motifs are known be involved in toxicity of some bacterial
effectors for membrane channel formation. For example, the transmembrane domain

(TMD) of a vacuolating toxin, VacA of *Helicobacter pylori* encodes three GxxxG motifs

forming helix-helix packing interactions (Kim *et al.,* 2004), which are required for the

vacuolation and membrane channelling contributing to VacA toxicity (McClain et al., 2003).

Type I secretion effectors CdzC and CdzD of *Caulobacter crescentus* and T6SS effector

Tse4 of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* also possess glycine zipper motifs involved in

antibacterial activity (Garcia-Bayona et al., 2017; LaCourse et al., 2018). Expression of Tse4

disrupted the proton motive force of the inner membrane while CdzC and CdzD form

surface aggregation for contact-dependent killing of target cells. However, how glycine

zipper motifs of Tse4 and CdzCD involved in toxicity remain unknown.

A T6SS-encoding locus is highly conserved in the genome of plant pathogenic bacterium

Agrobacterium tumefaciens and the apparatus functions as an antibacterial weapon (Chou

et al., 2022; Ma et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2020). We previously revealed that *A.*

tumefaciens strain C58 deploys two Type VI DNase effectors (Tde1 and Tde2) as the

major antibacterial weapons, in which the cognate immunity proteins (namely Tdi1 and

Tdi2) prevent autointoxication (Ma *et al.,* 2014). Both Tde1 and Tde2 harbour a novel C-

terminal Ntox15 toxin domain (Zhang et al., 2012) containing an HxxD catalytic motif required

for its DNase activity (Ma *et al.,* 2014). Tde1 requires its cognate chaperone/adaptor Tap1

for loading onto VgrG1 for secretion (Bondage et al., 2016).

By obtaining the uncoupling Tde1 variants that remain capable for binding to Tap1 for

export but deficient in membrane permeability, translocation, and interbacterial

competition, we reveal the secretion and translocation mechanism of Tde1 from the

attacker cell to the target cell. We show that the N-terminal region of Tde1 harbouring

repetitive glycine zipper motifs is sufficient for interacting with Tap1 for secretion. Once

secreted, a conserved glycine zipper motif is necessary for translocation across target

cell membranes. The findings demonstrate a new role of glycine zipper motif(s) in effector

delivery into target cells.

RESULTS

Tde1 can cause DNase-independent growth inhibition in *Escherichia coli*

Our previous study showed that overexpression of Tde1 in *A. tumefaciens* C58 caused

growth inhibition, and the immunity protein Tdi1 only partially protected against this

cytotoxicity (Ma *et al.,* 2014). We hypothesized that Tde1 has domains apart from the DNase

domain that contribute to its toxicity. In addition to the C-terminal Ntox15 DNase domain

(amino acid 99-247) (Ma *et al.,* 2014), Tde1 has a predicted transmembrane domain (TMD,

22-42) (Fig.1A). Thus, three fragments of Tde1, that being the N-terminal, N-Tde1(1-97)

and two C-terminal regions, C1-Tde1(49-278), and C2-Tde1(98-278) were tested for

toxicity. To avoid confounding effects by the DNase activity, substitutions of catalytic

residues (H190A, D193A) were introduced in the C1-Tde1 and the full length wide-type

Tde1 to become C1-Tde1(M) and Tde1(M) respectively (Fig.1A). Ectopic expression in *E.*

coli (DH10B) under an IPTG inducible promoter showed that N-Tde1 was sufficient to

inhibit growth (Fig.1B). Tde1(M), but not the C1-Tde1(M), is growth inhibitory. Although

C2-Tde1(WT) retains the wild type DNase catalytic residues, it was not able to inhibit

growth, suggesting the N-terminus is required for the DNase activity. Both C1-Tde1(M)

and C2-Tde1(WT) are expressed at levels similar or higher than N-Tde1 or Tde1(M),

indicating that their loss of growth inhibition is not due to non-expression of the proteins

(Fig. S1A). Evidence suggests the N-terminal region of Tde1 is sufficient to confer toxicity

under conditions tested and that the C-terminal DNase domain requires entire or part of

the N-terminus for it to cause toxicity.

To test whether Tdi1, the immunity protein for the DNase toxicity of Tde1 (Ma *et al.,* 2014),

can also neutralize the N-Tde1 toxicity, the Tde1 variants were co-expressed with the

Tdi1. The result show that Tdi1 could not rescue the growth inhibition caused by the N-

Tde1 and Tde1(M) (Fig. 1C, Fig. S1B). This indicates that Tdi1 cannot neutralize the N-

terminus-mediated toxicity.

A glycine zipper motif in N-terminus of Tde1 is required for toxicity and enhanced

membrane permeability

To get an insight into the cause of growth inhibition by N-terminus of Tde1, we used N-

Tde1 region as a query to search against the NCBI non redundant (nr) database and

identified   Tde1   homologues   encoded   in   the   T6SS   gene   clusters   of

Agrobacterium/Rhizobium as well as tape measure proteins (TMP) encoded in genomes

of *Paraburkholderia/Burkholderia* (Fig. 1D, Fig, S2). We noticed the conservation of

continuous copies of GxxxG motifs (12-51) in the N-terminus of Tde1, which resembles

the glycine zipper motifs overrepresented in membrane proteins and reported to be

involved in the membrane channel formation (Kim *et al.,* 2005). Thus, we hypothesized that

these repetitive glycine zipper motifs are involved in membrane permeability and N-Tde1

toxicity.

To verify the hypothesis, two highly conserved glycine residues at position 39 and 43 of

a glycine zipper motif were substituted with leucine (G39L and G43L), and the resulting

N-Tde1 and Tde1(M) variants were named as N-Tde1^{GLGL}, Tde1(M)^{GLGL} respectively. The

growth analysis of *E. coli* DH10B cells by counting viable cells and OD_{600} measurement

showed that both N-Tde1^{GLGL} and Tde1(M)^{GLGL} lost the ability to cause growth inhibition

(Fig. 2A, Fig. S1C). Similar results were also observed when they were overexpressed in

A. tumefaciens Δtde1 mutant (Fig. S1D), indicating that the $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ glycine zipper motif

of Tde1 is required for the observed toxicity.

Next, we tested whether N-Tde1 can increase *E. coli* inner membrane permeability. To

do so, we used the β-galactosidase activity assay to measure the entry of ortho

nitrophenyl galactopyranoside (ONPG) (301 Da) into the cytosol. ONPG normally

requires a functional permease LacY to enter into the cytosol but can enter if the inner

membrane is permeabilized/compromised (Casteels et al., 1993; Epand et al., 2009). N-Tde1

and Tde1(M) as well as their glycine zipper substitution variants were expressed in *E. coli*

BW25113Δ*lacY* (Baba et al., 2006) carrying β-galactosidase (pYTA-*lacZ*). The

BW25113Δ*lacY*(pYTA-*lacZ*) complemented with *lacY* was used as a positive control. The

E. coli cells were induced with IPTG to express Tde1 variants for one hr and collected for

ONPG uptake assay. This time point was chosen because there is no obvious difference

on the number of viable cells among the strains tested (Fig. 2A, S1E). The results showed

that cells expressing either N-Tde1 or Tde1(M) had similar β-galactosidase activity as

LacY expressing cells. In contrast, cells expressing N-Tde1^{GLGL} and Tde1(M)^{GLGL} only

exhibited background level activity as the negative controls (Fig. 2B). These results

indicate that the N-Tde1 and Tde1(M) are able to increase membrane permeability

depending on the $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ motif. The data also suggest that the N-terminus-mediated

growth inhibition is caused by its ability to enhance inner membrane permeability through
glycine zipper motifs.

To further analyse the extent of enhanced membrane permeabilization, cells from the
same experiment were normalized to the same OD_{600} and stained with Hoechst and
propidium iodide (PI). Hoechst (616 Da) is a nucleic acid staining dye which is permeable
to live Gram-negative bacterial cells while PI (668.4 Da) can only enter through a
compromised inner membrane or dead cells. The PI/Hoechst staining showed strong PI
signals in cells expressing N-Tde1 and Tde1 (M) but no or weak signals were detected in
cells expressing N-Tde1[GLGL], Tde1(M)[GLGL], or vector control, indicating that N-Tde1 is
able to enhance membrane permeability to allow molecules with size 668.4 Da to pass
(Fig. 2C).

We next determined whether the N-terminus of Tde1 is bacteriostatic or bacteriolytic by
growth recovery assay (Mariano et al., 2019). *E. coli* cells were induced with IPTG to express
N-Tde1 or Tde1(M) and after one hr, washed with fresh media without IPTG for
continuous cultivation. We found that growth was recovered when cells were washed of
the IPTG inducer, in contrast to the growth inhibition of cells with continuous IPTG
induction (Fig. 2D). Collectively, the data suggest that the N-terminus of Tde1 is sufficient
to facilitate membrane permeability for bacteriostatic toxicity, and such activity requires
the conserved $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ glycine zipper motif.

The N-terminus of Tde1 is necessary and sufficient for Tap1 interaction

Tap1 is the adaptor for loading Tde1 onto VgrG1 (Bondage *et al.,* 2016; Ma *et al.,* 2014).
However, the region that Tde1 and Tap1 interact remains undefined. Thus, we performed
co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay to identify the specific region of Tde1 that can
interact with Tap1 in *A. tumefaciens*. The HA-tagged Tde1 variants were expressed in
Δ*tde1* and anti-HA agarose bead was used to co-precipitate the interacting proteins
followed by western blotting to detect Tde1 variants and Tap1. The results showed that
the N-Tde1 and Tde1(M) interact with Tap1 but not the C-terminal variants, C1-Tde1(M)
and C2-Tde1 (Fig. 3A, Fig. S3). N-Tde1[GLGL] and Tde1(M)[GLGL] remain capable of
interacting with Tap1 (Fig.3A). The results suggest that Tap1 interacts with Tde1 through

the N-terminus and that the G39L and G43L substitution does not affect Tde1-Tap1

interaction.

207   The N-terminus of Tde1 is necessary and sufficient for secretion

Because N-Tde1 interacts with Tap1, we hypothesized that this region is required for Tde1

secretion. Thus, we performed secretion assay by expressing the various HA-tagged

Tde1 variants in Δ*tdei,* a deletion mutant lacking both *tde1-tdi1* and *tde2-tdi2* toxin

immunity pairs. Both cellular and extracellular fractions were collected to determine their

expression and secretion, respectively. The results showed that all Tde1 variants

containing N-terminus are secreted but not the C-terminus, C1-Tde1(M). The secretion is

in a T6SS-dependent manner as secretion was essentially abrogated in Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK,*

which lacks both *tdei* and *tssk* encoding the baseplate component. N-Tde1^{GLGL} and

Tde1(M)^{GLGL} are also stably expressed and secreted (Fig. 3B, Fig. S3). The data suggest

that N-terminus of Tde1 is necessary and sufficient for secretion and that the G39L and

G43L substitution does not interfere with the secretion capacity of Tde1. Accordingly,

Hcp secretion levels are highly correlated with Tap1-Tde1 interaction and secretion of

Tde1 variants (Fig. 3B). The data also confirmed the requirement of the Tap1-Tde1

interaction for Tde1 secretion and supported our previous finding that Tde loading onto

VgrG is critical for active T6SS secretion (Wu et al., 2020).

223   G^{39}xxxG^{43} motif of Tde1 is required for target cell delivery

Because the G^{39}xxxG^{43} glycine zipper motif located in N-Tde1 increased the membrane

permeability but was not required for secretion, we hypothesized that G^{39}xxxG^{43} is

responsible for inserting Tde1 into the inner membrane and delivering it into the

cytoplasm of target cells. Here, we engineered each of Tde1 variants fused to super-

folder green fluorescence protein (sfGFP) with a flexible (GGGS) linker between Tde1

and sfGFP to avoid the Tde1 functional/structural interference by GFP. The sfGFP fused

Tde1 variants were expressed in *A. tumefaciens* Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK* mutants. The

secretion assay results showed that both WT and G39L and G43L substitution of N-Tde1-

sfGFP and Tde1(M)-sfGFP are secreted (Fig. S4A). No or trace amounts of proteins were

observed in the extracellular fractions of Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssk* mutants, demonstrating that the

secretion was T6SS dependent. C1-Tde1(M)-sfGFP protein signal could not be

unambiguously determined in the cellular fraction due to the overlapping of its predicted

protein band with cross-reacted proteins, and no corresponding C1-Tde1(M)-sfGFP band

was detected in the extracellular fraction. The secretion assay of Tde1 variants fused with

either HA or sfGFP concluded that N-Tde1 is necessary and sufficient for secretion and

the G39L and G43L substitution does not affect Tde1 being secreted, which is correlated

with the ability to interact with Tap1.

We next investigated the translocation of Tde1 variants by mixing *A. tumefaciens* Δ*tdei,*

expressing sfGFP-fused Tde1 variants, with *E. coli* cells expressing mCherry. *A.*

tumefaciens expressing sfGFP only (Vector-sfGFP) was used as a negative control. After

co-culture, we imaged populations for mCherry (false coloured in blue) and GFP (green)

to detect *E. coli* and *A. tumefaciens*, respectively. We merged images to identify cyan

colored cells (overlayed blue and green signals), which represent *E. coli* cells with

translocated Tde1 variants carrying sfGFP. We were able to observe ~50% of cells with

cyan fluorescence when *A. tumefaciens* expressing N-Tde1-sfGFP and Tde1(M)-sfGFP

was co-cultured with *E. coli* mCherry whereas the GFP and mCherry signals were not

overlapped in the *E. coli* cells co-cultured with *A. tumefaciens* strains expressing GFP

only or sfGFP-fused C1-Tde1(M), N-Tde1^{GLGL}, Tde1(M)^{GLGL} respectively (Fig. 4). No cyan

fluorescence was observed when N-Tde1-sfGFP and Tde1(M)-sfGFP were expressed in

the Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssk* mutant as the attacker (Fig. S4B).

The data suggest that Tde1 is translocated into target cells in a T6SS- and G^{39}xxxG^{43}-

dependent manner. Because N-Tde1^{GLGL}-sfGFP and Tde1(M)^{GLGL}-sfGFP could be

secreted but not translocated into target cells, G^{39}xxxG^{43} motif is necessary for delivering

Tde1 into the target cell.

G^{39}xxxG^{43} is critical for interbacterial competition but not for DNase activity

To assess the role of the G^{39}xxxG^{43} motif for target cell intoxication in the context of

interbacterial competition, *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* expressing either Tde1-Tdi1,

Tde1(M)-Tdi1, or single/double G39L and G43L substitution variants, was competed with

target *E. coli* (DH10B) cells. By counting the survival rate of *E. coli* prey cells, the data

showed that *A. tumefaciens* Δ*tdei* (Tde1-Tdei1*)* exhibits an antibacterial activity but not in

the negative controls, the secretion deficient mutants Δ*tssL* and Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK* (Tde1-Tdei1*)*

(Fig. 5A). No antibacterial activity could be observed for *A. tumefaciens* Δ*tdei* expressing

Tde1(M)-Tdi1, indicating the DNase-mediated killing to *E. coli*. The antibacterial activity

of Δ*tdei*(Tde1^{GLGL}-Tdi1, Tde1^{G39L}-Tdi1, Tde1^{G43L}-Tdi1*)* was not detectable, similar to that

of negative controls. We also performed interbacterial competition assays using *A.*

tumefaciens strain 1D1609, which is susceptible to T6SS killing by C58 (Wu et al., 2019).

The interbacterial competition between two *A. tumefaciens* strains was calculated by

competitive index, which revealed the higher competitiveness of Δ*tdei* (Tde1-Tdi1*)* and

C58 against 1D1609 but no competitive advantage could be detectable for any of glycine

zipper variants or Tde1(M) (Fig. 5B). The observed antibacterial activity is T6SS-

dependent because the killing activity of Tde1 was not observed when expressed in

Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK*. The results indicate that G^{39}xxxG^{43} motif is required for interbacterial

competition at both inter- or intra-species levels. We also performed a secretion assay of

these *A. tumefaciens* attacker strains and all glycine zipper variants were secreted (Fig.

5C). It is notable that Tde1^{GLGL} proteins accumulated at slightly lower levels while

Tde1^{G39L} and Tde1^{G43L} had similar or even higher protein levels to that of Tde1 and

Tde1(M). Accordingly, Tde1^{GLGL} was secreted at lower levels.

To exclude the possibility that G39L and G43L substitution may influence its DNase

activity, we performed *in vivo* plasmid DNA degradation assay. Tde1 and the variants

were each expressed by tightly controlled arabinose-inducible promoter for *in vivo*

plasmid DNA degradation assay in *E. coli* BW25113 as described (Ma *et al.,* 2014). It was

observed that plasmid DNA was completely degraded in cells expressing Tde1, but not

in the negative controls, the cells without arabinose induction nor cells expressing

Tde1(M). Plasmid DNA was also degraded by Tde1^{GLGL} but not as complete as Tde1

while both Tde1^{G39L} and Tde1^{G43L} exhibit wild-type level DNase activity. (Fig. 5D). The

lower DNA degradation efficiency of Tde1^{GLGL} could be correlated with the barely

detected Tde1^{GLGL} proteins (Fig. 5D). We also found that the degree of plasmd DNA

degration is also correlated with the growth inhibition effect (Fig. 5E and Fig. S1E). The

slightly recovery of Tde1^{GLGL} from growth inhibition is consistent with the instability of

Tde1^{GLGL}. The evidence that G39L and G43L substitutions abolished interbacterial

competition but did not affect DNase activity and secretion of Tde1 suggest the G^{39}xxxG^{43}

motif is necessary for delivering Tde1 across the inner membrane into the cytoplasm of
target cells.

DISCUSSION

Through the dissection of Tde1 DNase effector, we provide strong evidence for a role of
the N-terminal glycine-zipper motif(s) of Tde1 in delivering the T6SS effector into target
cells. Here, we propose a model explaining the loading, firing, and translocation of Tde1
(Fig.6). In *A. tumefaciens,* Tde1 DNase activity is neutralized by Tdi1 by binding to C-
terminal DNase domain while its N-terminal domain interacts with Tap1 for loading onto
VgrG1 (Step 1). The VgrG1-Tap1-Tde1-Tdi1 complex is then recruited onto membrane-
associated baseplate, which serves as a docking site for polymerization of Hcp tube and
TssBC sheath (Step 2). Upon TssBC sheath contraction (Step 3), Tap1 and Tdi1 may fall
off and Hcp-VgrG-Tde1 puncturing device is then ejected for secretion. In contact with a
target cell, Tde1 may be delivered to periplasm of the target cell where Tde1
permeabilises the inner membrane in a $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ motif-dependent manner (Step 5).
Once delivered, Tde1 exerts its toxicity by attacking DNA for degradation (Step 6).

T6SS cargo effectors often require the specific chaperone/adaptor for loading onto
puncturing device for secretion. Our previous findings demonstrated that Tap1, a
DUF4123-containing protein, specifically interacts with Tde1 for loading onto VgrG1 for
secretion (Bondage *et al.,* 2016; Ma *et al., 2014*). We now show that N-terminal region of Tde1
is necessary and sufficient for interaction with Tap1 for secretion and delivery into target
cells. The evidence that Tde1^{GLGL} variant remains capable for binding to Tap1 for export
but deficient in membrane permeability and translocation demonstrate a distinct role of
this $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ motif in target cell delivery. Among the nine classes of the Ntox15-
containing proteins, the majority of them including Tde1 belong to class I without
detectable N-terminal domains (Fig. S2). We identified the presence of glycine zipper
motifs overlapping with transmembrane domain (TMD) not only in N-terminal region of all
Tde1 orthologs encoded in Rhizobiaceae but also in C-terminal region of tape measure
proteins (TMP) encoded in genomes of *Paraburkholderia/Burkholderia,* likely as a
prophage. TMP is a phage protein suggested to have a channel forming activity (Roessner

and Ihler, 1984; 1986) and as a determinant in connecting host inner membrane proteins for
injecting phage genome into bacterial host cells (Cumby et al., 2015). Such conservation in
Tde1 orthologs suggests that this glycine zipper-mediated delivery could be a common
strategy deployed by these bacterial effectors for translocation across target cell
membranes. It would be also interesting to investigate whether TMP also employs its C-
terminal glycine zipper to mediate phage genome entry into host cells.

A role of N-terminal domain involved in translocation of polymorphic toxins have been
well documented in those of contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) system and
bacteriocins (Ruhe et al., 2020). However, little is known for the translocation mode of
bacterial toxins delivered by other systems. Previous study in *P. aeruginosa* showed that
VgrG-loaded Tse6-EgaT6 complex is sufficient to translocate across a lipid bilayer *in vitro*
(Quentin et al., 2018), suggesting a role of VgrG-effector complex itself in inserting across
the inner membrane of target cells. A recent study further uncovered a widespread
prePAAR motif in N-terminal TMDs of T6SS effectors involved in interaction with Eag
family chaperone for export (Ahmad et al., 2020). The findings from the Tap1 and Eag
chaperone-mediated T6SS toxins led us to propose that the bacterial toxins harbouring a
N-terminal TMD may be protected by its cognate chaperone/adaptor from insertion into
membranes in the attacker cell. However, once the effector is injected into the periplasm
of the target cell, specific motifs (such as glycine zippers or perhaps prePAAR) may insert
into the inner membrane for the delivery into the cytoplasm. By an elegant *in vitro*
translocation assay, a recent study discovered a N-terminal domain of a bacteriocin
pyocin G is required for import of its C-terminal nuclease toxin into the cytoplasm cross
inner membrane (Atanaskovic et al., 2022). This inner membrane translocation domain (IMD)
is distinct from the glycine zipper repeats identified in this study but also found conserved
in other bacterial toxins including some of T6SS. Thus, bacterial toxins itself directing
translocation into target cells could be a general strategy used by bacteria for
interbacterial competition.

A few membrane permeabilizing T6SS toxins have been reported. The *Vibrio cholerae*
VasX caused dissipation of membrane potential, leading to membrane permeabilization
of target bacterial cells similar to the Tme effectors of *V. parahaemolyticus,* which

represents a widespread family of T6SS effectors harbouring C-terminal TMD for

membrane disruption (Fridman et al., 2020; Miyata et al., 2013). On the other hand, Tse4

disrupts the membrane potential and forms a cation selective pore without membrane

permeabilization where the pore cannot even allow the permeability of a relatively smaller

molecular weight (ONPG, 300 Da) (LaCourse *et al.,* 2018). Distinct from these toxins in which

they confer pore forming activity for toxicity, the role of glycine zipper(s) of Tde1 appears

to enhance membrane permeability for bringing the toxin domain into target cell

cytoplasm because Tde1(M) with complete glycine zipper motifs but loss of DNase

activity did not show interbacterial competition activity against *E. coli* or *A. tumefaciens*

under conditions tested (Fig. 5A, 5B) (Ma *et al.,* 2014).

To date, no structural information is available for Ntox15 superfamily proteins where Tde1

belongs. While N-terminus of Tde1 lacks sequence similarity to any of those known pore-

forming toxins, structural modelling showed structural similarity of two helixes containing

consecutive glycine zipper motifs to the pore forming domain of pyocin S5 (Behrens et al.,

2020) (Fig.S6). Pyocin S5 can cause ATP leakage and PI permeability (Ling et al., 2010)

potentially to inner membrane after translocation through FptA and TonB1 (Behrens *et al.,*

2020). Tde1 allows the passage of relatively larger molecule, PI (668 Da), suggesting that

its N-terminal glycine zipper(s) may form larger pores similar to pyocin S5. $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$

motif plays no role in DNase activity of Tde1 but is crucial for its protein stability. Tde1

with substitution of one of the two glycine residues to leucine retains stability of intact

proteins but Tde1 is prone for truncations and degradation when both glycine residues

are substituted to leucine. The instability is most evident when ectopically expressed in

E. coli and when retaining DNase activity (Fig. S1A, Fig. 5). Single glycine substitution

(Tde1^{G39L} and Tde1^{G43L} variants) does not influence protein stability may suggest that the

adjacent glycine residues (G^{35} or G^{47}) are sufficient to compensate the loss of one glycine

of $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ motif for structural integrity in both variants. The importance of $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$

motif in Tde1 protein stability is consistent with the role of glycine zippers in structural

impact (Kim *et al.,* 2005). However, integrity of $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ motif is critical for interbacterial

competition because both Tde1^{G39L} and Tde1^{G43L} variants do not exhibit detectable

antibacterial activity to either *E. coli or A. tumefaciens* (Fig. 5A, 5B). These results suggest

the role of $G^{39}xxxG^{43}$ motif in delivering Tde1 across the inner membrane into the

cytoplasm of target cells.

It is striking to observe such a high percentage of cells (~50%) representing N-Tde1-

sfGFP and Tde1(M)-sfGFP translocation from *A. tumefaciens* into *E. coli* cells expressing

mCherry (Fig. 4). Adding the flexible GGGS linker between sfGFP and Tde1 that retain

both Tde1 secretion activity and GFP fluorescence may be the key for the success of this

translocation experiment. Interestingly, we also observed many GFP foci from *A.*

tumefaciens cells expressing translocation competent N-Tde1-sfGFP or Tde1(M)-sfGFP

while others including *E. coli* cells with GFP signals uniformly distributed throughout the

cells. Based on the role of glycine zippers in interacting with membrane, we propose that

Tde1 proteins may preferentially bind to microdomain of cytoplasmic membrane, which

was recently found in *A. tumefaciens* (Czolkoss et al., 2021). We also found that Tde1

proteins (either tagged with HA or GFP, Fig. 3, S1, S4A) are prone for truncation

especially when they are ectopically expressed in *E. coli* or when Tdi1 is absent or not

equivalent. Thus, it is possible that Tde1-GFP proteins are truncated after translocation

into *E. coli* cells, in which most GFP signals are emitted from free GFP instead of Tde1-

GFP. The stability of free GFP derived from translocated Tde1-GFP may also explain the

high percentage of *E. coli* cells exhibiting overlayed GFP/mCherry signals. There is

evidence that truncation of T6SS effectors is critical for the toxicity (Pei et al., 2020). Future

work to investigate how Tde1 interacts with membrane and dissect the region required

for DNase activity shall shed light to understand the biological significance and

mechanisms underlying this interesting observation.

With the knowledge of effector translocation mechanisms, the bacterial protein secretion

apparatus also offers a strategy for delivering heterologous proteins to specific cells.

T6SS is a promising vehicle for such purpose because effectors or secreted proteins

appear to be delivered with their folded or partially folded form, unlike those to be

transported as unfolded forms in most of other specialized secretion systems (Costa *et al.,*

2015). Engineering T6SS carriers such as VgrG spikes to carry exogenous effectors

proteins into target cells are feasible but challenging (Ho et al., 2017; Wettstadt and Filloux,

2020; Wettstadt et al., 2020). By using a truncated variant of PAAR, a recent study showed

delivering exogenous T6SS effectors and Cre recombinase for genetic modification in the

target cells (Hersch et al., 2021). Our success in using N-Tde1 in the delivery of sfGFP

proteins into target *E. coli* cells where they exert fluorescence also suggest potential

applications of N-Tde1 for the delivery of proteins of interests such as genetic modifiers.

This strategy provides advantages over transforming foreign DNA for expressing a protein

of interest from creating undesired genome manipulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth conditions

The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in the supplementary Tables S1and

S2. The *E. coli* strains used in this study are BW25113 and DH10B. All the *A. tumefaciens*

strains were cultured on 523 medium (Kado, 1970) at 28 °C unless stated. The *E. coli* strains

were cultured on Luria Bertani (LB) medium (10 g L−1 NaCl, 10 g L−1 tryptone, and 5 g

L−1 yeast extract) at 37°C unless stated. Where appropriate, the media were

supplemented with 100 µg mL^{-1} spectinomycin, gentamycin 25 µg mL^{-1} (for *E. coli*) and

50 µg mL^{-1} (for *A. tumefaciens*)*,* 50 µg mL^{-1} ampicillin, 50 µg mL^{-1} kanamycin, 1 mM

Isopropyl β- d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG).

Growth inhibition assay

For growth inhibition assay of IPTG-inducible expression of Tde1 and its variants, *E. coli*

(DH10B) harbouring pTrc200 vector or the derivatives expressing Tde1 variants were

grown overnight in LB medium supplemented with spectinomycin prior to 1:30 dilution in

a fresh medium and incubated for 2 hrs at 37°C with 250 rpm. After 2 hrs, the cultures

were normalized to OD_{600} 0.1 in LB with 1 mM IPTG for continuous culture in the same

growth condition. The growth of *E. coli* was monitored for OD_{600} every one hr using

ULTROSPEC® 10 cell density meter (Biochrom, UK) or viable cell by counting colony

forming units (CFUs) on LB agar containing Sp. For growth inhibition assay of arabinose-

inducible expression, *E. coli* BW25113 harbouring pJN105 vector or the derivatives

expressing Tde1 variants were used. Overnight cultures of *E. coli* cells were adjusted to

an OD_{600} of 0.1 in 200 µL LB with 0.2% L-arabinose in a 96-well plate. The OD_{600} values

were measured by the Synergy H1 microplate reader (Agilent Technologies, USA) with

agitation at 37 °C. The OD_{600} values or CFUs of indicated time points were used to

calculate mean ± SD of three independent repeats. One way Analysis of Variance

(ANOVA) was used for the analysis of statistical significance followed by the Tukey's

multiple comparison.

***In vivo* plasmid DNA degradation assay.** The *in vivo* plasmid DNA degradation assay

was performed as described (Ma *et al.*, 2014) with minor modifications. Briefly, overnight

cultures of *E. coli* BW25113 carrying pJN105 vector or the derivatives expressing Tde1

variants were adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.3 in 4 mL LB with 0.5% D-glucose or 0.2% L-

arabinose. After induction for 3 hrs, bacterial cells normalized by OD_{600} were collected for

plasmid DNA extraction and western blot analysis. The plasmids were then extracted and

applied to 0.6% agarose gel electrophoresis to detect the DNA degradation. The OD_{600}

values were measured by DEN-600 photometer (Biosan, Latvia) every hr.

β-galactosidase and viability assays for ONPG update

β-galactosidase assay was performed as described (Saint Jean et al., 2018) with minor

modifications. BW25113 wild type, BW25113Δ*lacY*(pYTA-lacZ), or BW25113Δ*lacY*

harbouring pTrc200 vector or the derivatives expressing Tde1 variants were grown

overnight and refreshed to a fresh medium as stated for growth inhibition assay. After

subculture for 2 hr, the cells were induced with 1 mM IPTG, and incubated for one more

hr. Part of the culture was adjusted to OD_{600} = 0.3 in Z-buffer and the Intracellular β-

galactosidase activity was measured by mixing 100 μL of 4 mg/ml ONPG with 900 μL of

the cells and incubation at room temperature for 10 min prior to measurement at OD_{420}.

The remaining cells were normalized to OD_{600} 0.3 in 0.9 % sterile saline and after serial

dilution, 10 μL was spotted on LB plate without antibiotics to recover the viable cells. Data

of OD_{420} were used to calculate mean ± SD of three independent experiments. One way

ANOVA was used for the analysis of statistical significance followed by the Tukey's

multiple comparison.

Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

The co-IP was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations of EZview

red Anti-HA agarose (Sigma-E6779) with minor modifications. To identify Tap1 interacting

domain of Tde1, the HA tagged Tde1 variants were expressed on pTrc200 plasmid. For

co-IP in *A. tumefaciens,* C58 Δ*tde1* cells expressing the Tde1 variants grown in 523

medium overnight were resuspended in a 1:30 ratio to a fresh medium and incubated at

25°C for 3 hrs followed by 1 mM IPTG induction for additional 3 hrs. After 6 hrs post

incubation, the cells were normalized to OD_{600} of 5 per mL in ice-cold PBS buffer (pH 7.4).

After cell lysis by lysozyme treatment and sonication, the lysate was centrifuged and a

100 µL aliquot of the lysate was saved for the input fraction. The remaining 900 µL lysate

was mixed with 25 µL of pre-equilibrated Ezview red Anti-HA agarose and incubated at 4

°C for 1 hr. The beads were then washed 3 times with ice-cold PBS buffer and the proteins

bound to the beads were eluted with 100 µL of 2X SDS sample loading buffer. Similarly,

the aliquoted input fraction was mixed with equal volume of 2X SDS sample loading buffer

for analysis by western blotting.

Secretion assay

Type VI secretion assay was performed in 523 as described previously (Wu *et al.,* 2020).

Briefly, *A. tumefaciens* strain was cultured overnight in 523 medium and normalized to

OD_{600} of 0.2 in a fresh medium. After 6 hrs of culturing, the secreted proteins were

collected by centrifuging at 10,000 g for 5 mins. The resulting pellet was adjusted to OD_{600}

of 10 as a cellular fraction. The culture supernatant was filtered with 0.22 µm Millipore

filter membrane and resulting filtrate was subjected for TCA precipitation (Wu et al., 2008)

and referred as an extracellular fraction.

Western blotting

Western blot analyses were done as previously described (Lin et al., 2013). The following

primary antibody titres used were: HA epitope (1:4,000), Tap1 (1:3,000) (Lin *et al.,* 2013),

Strep (1:4,000), EF-Tu (1:6,000), and C-terminal Tde1 (1:4,000) (Bondage *et al.,* 2016; Ma *et*

al., 2014), Hcp (1:2,500) (Wu *et al.,* 2008).

Interbacterial competition assays

For interbacterial competition with *E. coli* (target), *A. tumefaciens* strain (attacker) was

grown overnight at 28°C in 523 broth with appropriate antibiotics if needed. *E. coli* DH10B

harbouring pRL662 plasmid was grown at 37°C in LB broth with gentamycin. After

harvesting and washing the cells in 0.9% saline, the attacker to target cell density was

adjusted to 30:1 (OD_{600} =3: 0.1) and the mix was spotted on Agrobacterium kill-triggering

(AK) (Yu *et al.,* 2020) or LB medium containing 1.5% (wt/vol) agar. After incubation of the

mixed strains for 16 hr at 28°C, the spot was resuspended in 0.9% saline, serial diluted,

and spotted on a gentamycin-containing LB agar square plate at 37°C to calculate *E. coli*

survival rate by CFU counts. Similar procedure was used when using *A. tumefaciens*

strain 1D1609 as a target, which was grown at 28°C in 523 broth prior to competition. The

competition was carried out on AK medium for 16 hr at 28°C with CFU counting at both

initial and final time points by selection of C58 strains with Sp resistance and 1D1609 with

Gm resistance. To calculate competitive index, CFUs of *A. tumefaciens* attacker C58

strain were divided by the CFUs of target 1D1609 strain at both 0 hr and 16 hr; and the

ratio of C58/1D1609 at 16 hr was divided by the ratio of C58/1D1609 at 0 hr to obtain

competitive index. One way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used for the analysis of

statistical significance followed by the Fishers Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

Fluorescence microscopy

For propidium iodide and Hoechst staining, *E. coli* cells (BW25113) harbouring Trc200

vector or derivatives expressing Tde1 variants were grown overnight and refreshed to a

fresh medium as stated for growth inhibition assay. After subculture for 2 hrs, the cells

were induced with 1 mM IPTG for one hr and OD_{600} equivalent to 0.3 was collected in 1

ml PBS and stained with Hoechst 33342 (H3570) to a final concentration of 12.3 µg/mL

and 1 µg/ml Propidium iodide (2208511) and incubated for 2 minutes in dark. Finally, 2

µL was spotted on 2.5% agarose pad.

For the translocation experiment, the sfGFP fused Tde1 variants were expressed in *A.*

tumefaciens ∆tdei cells (attacker). *E. coli* (target) cells were labelled with mCherry (false

colour blue) expressed from pBBRMCS2. *A. tumefaciens* attacker cells were cultured in

523 broth overnight, and *E. coli* target cells were separately cultured on LB broth.

Overnight cultured attacker and target cells were mixed at 5:1 ratio (OD_{600}= 1.0: 0.2), and

10 μL of the mix was cultured on LB-agar plate without IPTG. After 20 hrs of co-culture,

the cells were washed with 100 μL PBS and 2 μL of suspension was spotted on the 2.5%

agarose pad on a microscopic slide. The merged GFP and mCherry signals was based

on a cyan fluorescence.

Fluorescence microscopy was performed using Axio Observer 7 (Zeiss, Germany)

microscope equipped with an Axiochem 702 digital camera and a Plan-Apochromat

100x/1.4 Oil DIC H objective. Exposure times were adjusted to 20 ms for Phase, 50 ms

for Hoechst, 150 ms for PI, 200 ms for GFP and 5000 ms for mCherry. Multiple images

were taken from different fields and all the experiments were performed at least in

triplicate and a representative image is shown. Images were analyzed by using ZEN 2.3

(blue edition) software.

Domain prediction and analysis

Full-length Tde1 (1-278) was used as a query for conserved domain search on the

conserved domains database (CDD) (Lu et al., 2020) of the National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Prediction of transmembrane domain was done using

the PRED-TMR2 (Pasquier et al., 1999). The Tde1 homologues and tape measure proteins

(TMPs) for the multiple sequence alignment were obtained by BLAST search of N-Tde1

(1-97) against the NCBI non redundant database (nr) with representative sequences

selected for multiple sequence alignment. The domain architectures of the Ntox15 domain

containing proteins were obtained using the full length Tde1 against Conserved Domain

Architectural retrieval tool (CDART) of NCBI. The information of gene clusters encoding

Tde1 homologues and TMPs including upstream and downstream three genes was

retrieved from their respective genomes. Full-length Tde1 (1-278) was used as a query

for structural prediction on a Phyre2 (Kelley et al., 2015). Three-dimensional structure

modelling was done using Phyre2 in intensive modelling mode. Crystal structure served

as best template for the N-terminus and percentage of confidence for three-dimensional

structure modelling are indicated in the legends of corresponding figures. The structural

graphics were generated by using ChimeraX 1.1 (Goddard et al., 2018).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the Lai lab members for their help and fruitful discussion throughout this study, Yun-Wei Lien for generating the Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK* strain, and Chih-Horng Kuo for discussion and suggestion on BLAST analysis. The authors highly appreciate Jeff Chang, See-Yeun Ting, Lay-Sun Ma, and Chih-Feng Wu for critically reading the manuscript and their valuable comments. We also acknowledge the technical assistance of fluorescence microscope provided by Mei-Jane Fang from Live Cell Imaging Division of Cell Biology Core and Sanger DNA sequencing service provided by Genomic Technology Core, both located at the Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica. The authors also thank Ying Wang for illustration of proposed model. The funding was supported by National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) of Taiwan (NSTC 110-2311-B-001-032-MY3) and Academia Sinica Investigator Award (AS-IA-107-L01) to EML. YWC was supported by the postdoctoral fellowship (NSCT 110-2811-B-001-524). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: JA, MY, EML; Investigation: JA, MY, LKS, YWC; Project Funding acquisition and administration: EML; Supervision: EML; Writing of original draft: JA, EML; Writing – methodology, review & editing: JA, MY, LKS, YWC, EML.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, S., Tsang, K.K., Sachar, K., Quentin, D., Tashin, T.M., Bullen, N.P., Raunser, S., McArthur, A.G., Prehna, G., and Whitney, J.C. (2020). Structural basis for effector transmembrane domain recognition by type VI secretion system chaperones. Elife *9*. 10.7554/eLife.62816.

Ali, J., and Lai, E.M. (2022). Distinct TssA proteins converge in coordinating tail biogenesis of the type VI secretion systems. Bioessays, e2200219. 10.1002/bies.202200219.

Atanaskovic, I., Sharp, C., Press, C., Kaminska, R., and Kleanthous, C. (2022). Bacterial Competition Systems Share a Domain Required for Inner Membrane Transport of the Bacteriocin Pyocin G from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. mBio *13*, e0339621. 10.1128/mbio.03396-21.

Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y., Baba, M., Datsenko, K.A., Tomita, M., Wanner, B.L., and Mori, H. (2006). Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol *2*, 2006 0008.

Basler, M., Pilhofer, M., Henderson, G.P., Jensen, G.J., and Mekalanos, J.J. (2012). Type VI secretion
requires a dynamic contractile phage tail-like structure. Nature *483*, 182-186. nature10846
[pii]10.1038/nature10846.

Behrens, H.M., Lowe, E.D., Gault, J., Housden, N.G., Kaminska, R., Weber, T.M., Thompson, C.M.A.,
Mislin, G.L.A., Schalk, I.J., Walker, D., et al. (2020). Pyocin S5 Import into *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
Reveals a Generic Mode of Bacteriocin Transport. mBio *11*. 10.1128/mBio.03230-19.
601
602 Bondage, D.D., Lin, J.S., Ma, L.S., Kuo, C.H., and Lai, E.M. (2016). VgrG C terminus confers the type VI
603 effector transport specificity and is required for binding with PAAR and adaptor-effector complex. Proc Natl
604 Acad Sci U S A *113*, E3931-3940. 10.1073/pnas.1600428113.
605
606 Casteels, P., Ampe, C., Jacobs, F., and Tempst, P. (1993). Functional and chemical characterization of
607 Hymenoptaecin, an antibacterial polypeptide that is infection-inducible in the honeybee (Apis mellifera). J
608 Biol Chem *268*, 7044-7054.
609
610 Cherrak, Y., Flaugnatti, N., Durand, E., Journet, L., and Cascales, E. (2019). Structure and Activity of the
611 Type VI Secretion System. Microbiol Spectr *7*. 10.1128/microbiolspec.PSIB-0031-2019.
612
613 Cherrak, Y., Rapisarda, C., Pellarin, R., Bouvier, G., Bardiaux, B., Allain, F., Malosse, C., Rey, M., Chamot-
614 Rooke, J., Cascales, E., et al. (2018). Biogenesis and structure of a type VI secretion baseplate. Nat
615 Microbiol *3*, 1404-1416. 10.1038/s41564-018-0260-1.
616
617 Chou, L., Lin, Y.C., Haryono, M., Santos, M.N.M., Cho, S.T., Weisberg, A.J., Wu, C.F., Chang, J.H., Lai,
618 E.M., and Kuo, C.H. (2022). Modular evolution of secretion systems and virulence plasmids in a bacterial
619 species complex. BMC Biol *20*, 16. 10.1186/s12915-021-01221-y.
620
621 Christie, P.J. (2019). The Rich Tapestry of Bacterial Protein Translocation Systems. Protein J *38*, 389-408.
622 10.1007/s10930-019-09862-3.
623
624 Cianfanelli, F.R., Monlezun, L., and Coulthurst, S.J. (2016). Aim, Load, Fire: The Type VI Secretion System,
625 a Bacterial Nanoweapon. Trends Microbiol *24*, 51-62. 10.1016/j.tim.2015.10.005.
626
627 Costa, T.R., Felisberto-Rodrigues, C., Meir, A., Prevost, M.S., Redzej, A., Trokter, M., and Waksman, G.
628 (2015). Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural and mechanistic insights. Nat Rev
629 Microbiol *13*, 343-359. 10.1038/nrmicro3456.
630
631 Coulthurst, S. (2019). The Type VI secretion system: a versatile bacterial weapon. Microbiology (Reading)
632 *165*, 503-515. 10.1099/mic.0.000789.
633
634 Cumby, N., Reimer, K., Mengin-Lecreulx, D., Davidson, A.R., and Maxwell, K.L. (2015). The phage tail tape
635 measure protein, an inner membrane protein and a periplasmic chaperone play connected roles in the
636 genome injection process of *E. coli* phage HK97. Mol Microbiol *96*, 437-447. 10.1111/mmi.12918.
637
638 Czolkoss, S., Safronov, X., Rexroth, S., Knoke, L.R., Aktas, M., and Narberhaus, F. (2021). *Agrobacterium*
639 *tumefaciens* Type IV and Type VI Secretion Systems Reside in Detergent-Resistant Membranes. Front
640 Microbiol *12*, 754486. 10.3389/fmicb.2021.754486.
641
642 Durand, E., Nguyen, V.S., Zoued, A., Logger, L., Pehau-Arnaudet, G., Aschtgen, M.S., Spinelli, S.,
643 Desmyter, A., Bardiaux, B., Dujeancourt, A., et al. (2015). Biogenesis and structure of a type VI secretion
644 membrane core complex. Nature *523*, 555-560. 10.1038/nature14667.
645
646 Epand, R.F., Sarig, H., Mor, A., and Epand, R.M. (2009). Cell-wall interactions and the selective
647 bacteriostatic activity of a miniature oligo-acyl-lysyl. Biophys J *97*, 2250-2257. 10.1016/j.bpj.2009.08.006.

648   Fonte, V., Dostal, V., Roberts, C.M., Gonzales, P., Lacor, P.N., Velasco, P.T., Magrane, J., Dingwell, N.,
649   Fan, E.Y., Silverman, M.A., et al. (2011). A glycine zipper motif mediates the formation of toxic beta-amyloid
650   oligomers in vitro and in vivo. Mol Neurodegener *6*, 61. 10.1186/1750-1326-6-61.

651
652   Fridman, C.M., Keppel, K., Gerlic, M., Bosis, E., and Salomon, D. (2020). A comparative genomics
653   methodology reveals a widespread family of membrane-disrupting T6SS effectors. Nat Commun *11*, 1085.
654   10.1038/s41467-020-14951-4.

655
656   Garcia-Bayona, L., Guo, M.S., and Laub, M.T. (2017). Contact-dependent killing by *Caulobacter crescentus*
657   via cell surface-associated, glycine zipper proteins. Elife *6*. 10.7554/eLife.24869.

658
659   Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Pettersen, E.F., Couch, G.S., Morris, J.H., and Ferrin, T.E. (2018).
660   UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. Protein Sci *27*, 14-25.
661   10.1002/pro.3235.

662
663   Hachani, A., Wood, T.E., and Filloux, A. (2016). Type VI secretion and anti-host effectors. Curr Opin
664   Microbiol *29*, 81-93. 10.1016/j.mib.2015.11.006.

665
666   Hersch, S.J., Lam, L., and Dong, T.G. (2021). Engineered Type Six Secretion Systems Deliver Active
667   Exogenous Effectors and Cre Recombinase. mBio *12*, e0111521. 10.1128/mBio.01115-21.

668
669   Ho, B.T., Fu, Y., Dong, T.G., and Mekalanos, J.J. (2017). *Vibrio cholerae* type 6 secretion system effector
670   trafficking in target bacterial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *114*, 9427-9432. 10.1073/pnas.1711219114.

671
672   Jurenas, D., and Journet, L. (2021). Activity, delivery, and diversity of Type VI secretion effectors. Mol
673   Microbiol *115*, 383-394. 10.1111/mmi.14648.

674
675   Kado, C.I., and Heskett, M.G. (1970). Selective media for isolation of *Agrobacterium, Carynebacterium,*
676   *Erwinia, Pseudomonas,* and *Xanthomonas*. . Phytopathology *60*, 969-976.

677
678   Kelley, L.A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C.M., Wass, M.N., and Sternberg, M.J. (2015). The Phyre2 web portal for
679   protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc *10*, 845-858. 10.1038/nprot.2015.053.

680
681   Kim, S., Chamberlain, A.K., and Bowie, J.U. (2004). Membrane channel structure of *Helicobacter pylori*
682   vacuolating toxin: role of multiple GXXXG motifs in cylindrical channels. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *101*,
683   5988-5991. 10.1073/pnas.0308694101.

684
685   Kim, S., Jeon, T.J., Oberai, A., Yang, D., Schmidt, J.J., and Bowie, J.U. (2005). Transmembrane glycine
686   zippers: physiological and pathological roles in membrane proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *102*, 14278-
687   14283. 10.1073/pnas.0501234102.

688
689   LaCourse, K.D., Peterson, S.B., Kulasekara, H.D., Radey, M.C., Kim, J., and Mougous, J.D. (2018).
690   Conditional toxicity and synergy drive diversity among antibacterial effectors. Nat Microbiol *3*, 440-446.
691   10.1038/s41564-018-0113-y.

692
693   Lien, Y.W., and Lai, E.M. (2017). Type VI Secretion Effectors: Methodologies and Biology. Front Cell Infect
694   Microbiol *7*, 254. 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00254.

695
Lin, J.S., Ma, L.S., and Lai, E.M. (2013). Systematic Dissection of the *Agrobacterium* Type VI Secretion
System Reveals Machinery and Secreted Components for Subcomplex Formation. PLoS One *8*, e67647.
10.1371/journal.pone.0067647 PONE-D-12-38170 [pii].

Ling, H., Saeidi, N., Rasouliha, B.H., and Chang, M.W. (2010). A predicted S-type pyocin shows a
bactericidal activity against clinical *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates through membrane damage. FEBS
Lett *584*, 3354-3358. 10.1016/j.febslet.2010.06.021.

Lu, S., Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M.K., Geer, R.C., Gonzales, N.R., Gwadz, M., Hurwitz, D.I.,
Marchler, G.H., Song, J.S., et al. (2020). CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020. Nucleic
Acids Res *48*, D265-D268. 10.1093/nar/gkz991.

Ma, L.S., Hachani, A., Lin, J.S., Filloux, A., and Lai, E.M. (2014). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* deploys a
superfamily of type VI secretion DNase effectors as weapons for interbacterial competition in planta. Cell
Host Microbe *16*, 94-104. 10.1016/j.chom.2014.06.002.

Ma, L.S., Lin, J.S., and Lai, E.M. (2009). An IcmF family protein, ImpLM, is an integral inner membrane
protein interacting with ImpKL, and its walker a motif is required for type VI secretion system-mediated Hcp
secretion in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. J Bacteriol *191*, 4316-4329. JB.00029-09 [pii]10.1128/JB.00029-
09.

Mariano, G., Trunk, K., Williams, D.J., Monlezun, L., Strahl, H., Pitt, S.J., and Coulthurst, S.J. (2019). A
family of Type VI secretion system effector proteins that form ion-selective pores. Nat Commun *10*, 5484.
10.1038/s41467-019-13439-0.

McClain, M.S., Iwamoto, H., Cao, P., Vinion-Dubiel, A.D., Li, Y., Szabo, G., Shao, Z., and Cover, T.L. (2003).
Essential role of a GXXXG motif for membrane channel formation by *Helicobacter pylori* vacuolating toxin.
J Biol Chem *278*, 12101-12108. 10.1074/jbc.M212595200.

Miyata, S.T., Unterweger, D., Rudko, S.P., and Pukatzki, S. (2013). Dual expression profile of type VI
secretion system immunity genes protects pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*. PLoS Pathog *9*, e1003752.
10.1371/journal.ppat.1003752.

Newman, J.R., and Fuqua, C. (1999). Broad-host-range expression vectors that carry the L-arabinose-
inducible *Escherichia coli* araBAD promoter and the araC regulator. Gene *227*, 197-203. S0378-
1119(98)00601-5 [pii].

Palmer, T., Finney, A.J., Saha, C.K., Atkinson, G.C., and Sargent, F. (2020). A holin/peptidoglycan
hydrolase-dependent protein secretion system. Mol Microbiol. 10.1111/mmi.14599.

Pasquier, C., Promponas, V.J., Palaios, G.A., Hamodrakas, J.S., and Hamodrakas, S.J. (1999). A novel
method for predicting transmembrane segments in proteins based on a statistical analysis of the SwissProt
database: the PRED-TMR algorithm. Protein Eng *12*, 381-385. 10.1093/protein/12.5.381.

Pei, T.T., Li, H., Liang, X., Wang, Z.H., Liu, G., Wu, L.L., Kim, H., Xie, Z., Yu, M., Lin, S., et al. (2020).
Intramolecular chaperone-mediated secretion of an Rhs effector toxin by a type VI secretion system. Nat
Commun *11*, 1865. 10.1038/s41467-020-15774-z.

Quentin, D., Ahmad, S., Shanthamoorthy, P., Mougous, J.D., Whitney, J.C., and Raunser, S. (2018).
Mechanism of loading and translocation of type VI secretion system effector Tse6. Nat Microbiol *3*, 1142-
1152. 10.1038/s41564-018-0238-z.

Roessner, C.A., and Ihler, G.M. (1984). Proteinase sensitivity of bacteriophage lambda tail proteins gpJ
and pH in complexes with the lambda receptor. J Bacteriol *157*, 165-170. 10.1128/jb.157.1.165-170.1984.

Roessner, C.A., and Ihler, G.M. (1986). Formation of transmembrane channels in liposomes during injection
of lambda DNA. J Biol Chem *261*, 386-390.

Ruhe, Z.C., Low, D.A., and Hayes, C.S. (2020). Polymorphic Toxins and Their Immunity Proteins: Diversity,
Evolution, and Mechanisms of Delivery. Annu Rev Microbiol *74*, 497-520. 10.1146/annurev-micro-020518-
115638.

Russell, A.B., Peterson, S.B., and Mougous, J.D. (2014). Type VI secretion system effectors: poisons with
a purpose. Nat Rev Microbiol *12*, 137-148. 10.1038/nrmicro3185.

Saint Jean, K.D., Henderson, K.D., Chrom, C.L., Abiuso, L.E., Renn, L.M., and Caputo, G.A. (2018). Effects
of Hydrophobic Amino Acid Substitutions on Antimicrobial Peptide Behavior. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins
10, 408-419. 10.1007/s12602-017-9345-z.
760
761 Schmidt-Eisenlohr, H., Domke, N., and Baron, C. (1999). TraC of IncN plasmid pKM101 associates with
762 membranes and extracellular high-molecular-weight structures in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol *181*, 5563-
763 5571.
764
765 Vergunst, A.C., Schrammeijer, B., den Dulk-Ras, A., de Vlaam, C.M., Regensburg-Tuink, T.J., and
766 Hooykaas, P.J. (2000). VirB/D4-dependent protein translocation from *Agrobacterium* into plant cells.
767 Science *290*, 979-982. 8951 [pii].
768
769 Vettiger, A., and Basler, M. (2016). Type VI Secretion System Substrates Are Transferred and Reused
770 among Sister Cells. Cell *167*, 99-110 e112. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.023.
771
772 Wang, J., Brodmann, M., and Basler, M. (2019). Assembly and Subcellular Localization of Bacterial Type
773 VI Secretion Systems. Annu Rev Microbiol *73*, 621-638. 10.1146/annurev-micro-020518-115420.
774
775 Wettstadt, S., and Filloux, A. (2020). Manipulating the type VI secretion system spike to shuttle passenger
776 proteins. PLoS One *15*, e0228941. 10.1371/journal.pone.0228941.
777
778 Wettstadt, S., Lai, E.M., and Filloux, A. (2020). Solving the Puzzle: Connecting a Heterologous
779 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* T6SS Effector to a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Spike Complex. Front Cell Infect
780 Microbiol *10*, 291. 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00291.
781
782 Wu, C.F., Lien, Y.W., Bondage, D., Lin, J.S., Pilhofer, M., Shih, Y.L., Chang, J.H., and Lai, E.M. (2020).
783 Effector loading onto the VgrG carrier activates type VI secretion system assembly. Embo Rep *21*. ARTN
784 e47961DOI 10.15252/embr.201947961.
785
786 Wu, C.F., Santos, M.N.M., Cho, S.T., Chang, H.H., Tsai, Y.M., Smith, D.A., Kuo, C.H., Chang, J.H., and
787 Lai, E.M. (2019). Plant-Pathogenic *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Strains Have Diverse Type VI Effector-
788 Immunity Pairs and Vary in In-Planta Competitiveness. Mol Plant Microbe In *32*, 961-971. 10.1094/Mpmi-
      01-19-0021-R.
789
790 Wu, C.F., Weisberg, A.J., Davis, E.W., 2nd, Chou, L., Khan, S., Lai, E.M., Kuo, C.H., and Chang, J.H.
791 (2021). Diversification of the Type VI Secretion System in Agrobacteria. mBio *12*, e0192721.
792 10.1128/mBio.01927-21.
793
794 Wu, H.Y., Chung, P.C., Shih, H.W., Wen, S.R., and Lai, E.M. (2008). Secretome Analysis Uncovers an
795 Hcp-Family Protein Secreted via a Type VI Secretion System in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. J. Bacteriol
796 *190*, 2841-2850.
797
798 Yu, M., Wang, Y.C., Huang, C.J., Ma, L.S., and Lai, E.M. (2020). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Deploys a
799 Versatile Antibacterial Strategy to Increase its Competitiveness. J Bacteriol. 10.1128/JB.00490-20.
800
801 Zhang, D., de Souza, R.F., Anantharaman, V., Iyer, L.M., and Aravind, L. (2012). Polymorphic toxin systems:
802 Comprehensive characterization of trafficking modes, processing, mechanisms of action, immunity and
803 ecology using comparative genomics. Biol Direct *7*, 18. 1745-6150-7-18 [pii]10.1186/1745-6150-7-18.
804
805 Zoued, A., Durand, E., Brunet, Y.R., Spinelli, S., Douzi, B., Guzzo, M., Flaugnatti, N., Legrand, P., Journet,
806 L., Fronzes, R., et al. (2016). Priming and polymerization of a bacterial contractile tail structure. Nature *531*,
      59-63. 10.1038/nature17182.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Schematic domain organization, sequence alignment, growth inhibition

assay of Tde1. (A) Schematic domain organization of Tde1 protein and its variants. The

N-terminal repeated glycine zipper motifs (12-51) overlapping a predicted

transmembrane domain (22-42) and Ntox15 DNase domain (99-247) are indicated. Tde1

and its variants with truncation or amino acid substitutions were illustrated. (B) Growth

inhibition assay of *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives

expressing Tde1 variants with IPTG induction. (C) Growth inhibition assay of *E. coli*

DH10B cells co-expressing the Tde1 variants expressed from pTrc200 plasmid and Tdi1

immunity gene expressed from pRL662 plasmid. Growth curve was determined at $OD_{600.}$

Graphs of panels B and C show mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different

letters indicate statistically different groups of strains (p value <0.01). (D) Multiple

sequence alignment of N-Tde1 homologues were presented with highly conserved amino

acid residues highlighted in yellow. The bacterial species, strain name, and locus number

of Tde1 orthologs (*Agrobacterium/Rhizobium*) or tape measure proteins

(*Paraburkholderia/Burkholderia*) are indicated on the left and right of aligned sequences.

Two conserved glycine residues (G^{39}, G^{43}) subjected for mutagenesis were indicated by

the arrows above the sequences.

Figure 2. Growth inhibition and membrane permeabilization assays of glycine

zipper mutants. (A) Growth inhibition assay of *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring pTrc200

vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants with IPTG inducible expression.

The growth of *E. coli* was monitored by CFU counting every 1 hr. For membrane

permeabilization assays, BW25113 WT or BW25113 (Δ*lacY,* pYTA-*lacZ)* cells harbouring

pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants were carried out for

(B) β-galactosidase activity assay to determine ONPG uptake, (C) propidium iodide

permeability with cells treated with Propidium iodide and Hoechst for detection by

fluorescence microscope (Scale bar = 5μm). For quantification of cells with PI signals, a

total of 6 randomly selected images obtained from two independent experiments were

used to quantify the number of PI stained cells / number of Hoechst stained cells as

indicated. (D) Bacteriostatic activity assay. *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring pTrc200 vector

or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants were cultured with or without IPTG

induction for 1 hr. The IPTG-induced cells were further centrifuged and resuspended in

the fresh medium with or without IPTG. Cell density was measured again before

continuous growth for additional 1 hr. Graphs of panels A, B, and D show mean ± SD of

three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically different groups of

strains (p value <0.01).

Figure 3. The N-terminus of Tde1 is sufficient for interaction with Tap1 and

secretion. (A) Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) in *A. tumefaciens. A. tumefaciens* C58

Δ*tde1* harbouring pTrc200 vector or its derivatives expressing HA-tagged Tde1 variants.

Anti-HA resin was used to coprecipitate the Tde1 variants and Tap1. (B) Secretion assay

for HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Western blot for the cellular and extracellular fractions of *A.*

tumefaciens C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssK* expressing the HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Hcp

secretion was detected as a positive control for active T6SS secretion. Representative

western blot results of three independent experiments were shown with antibody against

HA, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non-secreted protein control. Protein markers

are indicated in kDa.

Figure 4. Translocation of Tde1 variants fused with sfGFP by *A. tumefaciens-E. coli*

co-culture. Fluorescence microscopy for Tde1 translocation. *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei*

expressing Tde1 variants fused with sfGFP (in green) and *E. coli* DH10B carrying

mCherry (false coloured in blue) were co-cultured for 20 hrs. A cyan fluorescence with

merged blue and green signals represented the translocation of Tde1 variants from *A.*

tumefaciens to *E. coli* (Scale bar = 5μm). The number of cells with overlayed GFP and

mCherry fluorescence was quantified from a total of 6 randomly selected images obtained

from three independent experiments (number of cells with cyan fluorescence/ total *E. coli*

cells counted).

Figure 5. G^{39}xxxG^{43} glycine zipper motif of Tde1 is required for DNase-mediated

killing of target cells during interbacterial competition. (A) Interbacterial competition

of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssK* expressing the Tde1 variants against *E.*

coli cells was carried out on LB medium and *E. coli* survival rate was quantified by CFU

counting. (B) Interbacterial competition between various *A. tumefaciens* C58 strains and

A. tumefaciens 1D1609 on AK medium and the competition outcome was shown by

competitive index. (C) Secretion assay for Tde1 and its variants co-expressed with its

immunity protein Tdi1 in *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK.* (D) *In vivo* plasmid

DNA degradation assay. *E. coli* BW25113 carrying pJN105 empty vector or the

derivatives expressing different variants of Tde1 were supplemented with 0.5% glucose

("-") or 0.2% L-arabinose ("+") for 3 hrs to either repress or induce Tde1 production. The

plasmids were then extracted to observe the DNA degradation and bottom panel

showed western blots of specific Tde1 protein bands indicated by arrows. (E) Growth

inhibition assay of Tde1 and its variants. *E. coli* BW25113 cells were induced by adding

0.2% L-arabinose for Tde1 production. The OD$_{600}$ values were measured every 15

minutes. The OD$_{600}$ values of the 4 h post L-arabinose induction were analysed for

statistical analysis. Graphs show mean ± SD of three biological repeats. Western blots

were detected with specific antibody against Tde1, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading

and non-secreted protein control. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. Data in panel A

are mean ± SD of four biological repeats of two independent experiments. Panels B and

E show mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate

statistically different groups of strains (p value <0.05). Results in panel C and D are

representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 6. Proposed model of the loading, firing, and translocation of Tde1. The Tde1

translocation is proposed through six steps. Step 1: Tde1 forms a complex with Tdi1 and

Tap1 in the attacker cell. Step 2: Tap1-Tde1-Tdi1 complex binds to the VgrG and the

Hcp-VgrG-PAAR puncturing device carrying Tde1 effector complex is loaded onto

membrane-associated baseplate. Step 3: Hcp tube and TssB/C sheath polymerize on the

Tde1-loaded VgrG/baseplate while Tdi1 and Tap1 fall off with unknown mechanisms

before or upon firing. Step 4: TssBC sheath contracts and ejects Tde1 into the target cell

periplasm or cytoplasm. Step 5: The glycine zipper(s) on the N-terminus of Tde1

permeabilize the target cell membrane. Step 6: Intact or truncated Tde1 proteins attack

DNA for degradation in the target cell.

Figure S1. Growth inhibition assays in *A. tumefaciens* and *E. coli*. (A) Western blot

for detection of the expression of HA-tagged Tde1 variants expressed in *E. coli*. *Other

HA-tagged truncated bands, related to Figure 1B. (B) Western blot for the detection of

Tdi1 from *E. coli* cells co-expressing HA tagged Tde1 variants and strep-tagged Tdi1,

related to Figure 1C. (C) Growth inhibition assay of *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring

pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants with IPTG inducible

expression, monitored by OD_{600}, related to Figure 2A. (D) Growth curve and western blot

analyses of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tde1* carrying pTrc200 or its derivatives expressing HA-

tagged Tde1 variants. The growth curve was detected every 2 hrs in 523 medium

supplemented with 1 mM IPTG. Graphs show mean ± SD of three independent

experiments. Different letters indicate statistically different groups of strains (p value

<0.01). The proteins collected at end point (6 hr) were analysed for western blotting with

antibody against HA. Representative results of three independent experiments were

shown. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. (E) Viability assay for *E. coli* cells derived

from the ONPG uptake assay after 1 hr IPTG induction, related to Fig. 2B. (F) The growth

curve analysis of *E. coli* cells used for *in vivo* plasmid DNA degradation assay. The

turbidity of *E. coli* BW25113 expressing Tde1 and its variants carried out for the *in vivo*

plasmid DNA degradation assay were measured. The *E. coli* cells were supplemented

with 0.5% glucose (glu) or 0.2% L-arabinose (ara) for the repression or induction of Tde1

and its variants. The OD_{600} values were measured by DEN-600 photometer (Biosan,

Latvia) every hr.

Figure S2. Domain architecture and genetic organizations of Tde homologues. (A)

Domain organization of the Ntox15-containing proteins. Top 10 classes of the Ntox15-

containing proteins are shown with the identifiable domains (not to scale). The number of
proteins in each class were indicated on the left based on the information on June 29,
2022. The *A. tumefaciens* Tde1 belonged to the first class where the N-terminal region
lacks an identifiable domain. (B) Genetic organizations of genes encoding Tde1
orthologues and Tape Measure Proteins (TMPs) with sequence similarity to N-terminus
of Tde1. The proteins encoded from the upstream and downstream of *tde1* and *tmp* genes
are shown with their identified domain organizations.

Figure S3. Full western blots of Co-IP and secretion assays

(A) Co-IP in *A. tumefaciens*. *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tde1* harbouring pTrc200 vector or its
derivatives expressing HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Anti-HA resin was used to coprecipitate
the Tde1 variants and Tap1. (B) Secretion assay for HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Western
blot for the cellular and extracellular fractions of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and
Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssK* expressing the HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Hcp secretion was detected as a
positive control for active T6SS secretion. Representative western blot results of three
independent experiments were shown with antibody against HA, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving
as a loading and non-secreted protein control. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. Box
indicates the cropped area shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. S4. Fluorescence microscopy for negative controls of translocation assay.

(A) Secretion assay for Tde1 variants fused with sfGFP. Western blot for the cellular and
extracellular fractions of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssK* expressing the Tde1
variants fused with sfGFP were detected by anti-GFP antibody. Representative western
blot results of three independent experiments were shown with antibody against GFP,
Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non secreted protein control. Hcp secretion
served as a positive control for active T6SS secretion. Protein markers are indicated in
kDa. (B) *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK* expressing N-Tde1-sfGFP or Tde1(M)-sfGFP (in
green) and *E. coli* DH10B carrying mCherry (false coloured in blue) were co-cultured for
20 hrs. No cyan fluorescence with merged blue and green signals could be detected when
attacker cells are T6SS-inactive, which served as negative controls for the translocation
assay (Scale bar = 5μm).

Figure S5. Full western blots of secretion assays

Secretion assay for Tde1 and its variants co-expressed with its immunity protein Tdi1 in

A. tumefaciens C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK*. Western blots were detected with specific

antibody against Tde1, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non-secreted protein

control. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. Box indicates the cropped area shown in

Fig. 5B, 5E.

Figure S6. Structural prediction of the Tde1 N-terminus with similarity to pyocin S5

and colicins. (A) Predicted results of N-terminal Tde1 (1-97) as a query. (B) N-terminal

Tde1 with structural similarity to pore-forming domain of the pyocin S5, colicines and other

membrane perturbing proteins based on Phyre2 prediction. (C) Cartoon model of the

Tde1 (residue 10 – 62) by using on the basis of the crystal structure of Pyocin S5 (PDB

6THK) with 77.3% of identity. Glycine residues of Tde1 were indicated. (D)

Superimposition of N-Tde1 and pore-forming domain of pyocin S5. Tde1 N-terminus is

in red and partially pore-forming domain of pyocin S5 is in teal, G^{39} and G^{43} in the putative

glycine zipper motif are highlighted in green. All data were analyzed by Phyre2 server.

976
977

979   **Table S1. List of Strains and plasmids**

Strain/plasmid Name	Relevant Characteristics	Source/Reference	EML No
A. tumefaciens			
C58	Wild type, virulent strain containing nopaline-type Ti plasmid pTiC58	Eugene Nester	EML530
ΔtssL	*tssL* deletion mutant	(Ma et al., 2009)	EML1073
Δtde1	Deletion of *atu4350*		
Δtdei	Deletion of *tde1-tdi1 (atu4350-atu4351)* and *tde2-tdi2 (atu3640-atu3639)* toxin immunity pairs	(Ma *et al.,* 2014)	EML3559
ΔtdeiΔtssK	Deletion of *tssK* in *Δtdei*	This Study	EML5136
E. coli			
DH10B	F- *araDJ39 Δara, leu)7697 ΔlacX74 galU galK rpsL deoR φ80dlacZΔM15 endAI nupG recAI mcrA Δ (mrr hsdRMS mcrBC)*	Invitrogen	EML5396
BW25113	*rrnB Δ lacZ4787 hsdR514 Δ (araBAD)567 DE (rhaBAD)568 rph-1* (ME9062)	NBRP, Japan	EML5398
BW25113 (*ΔlacY*)	*lacY* deletion mutant of the BW25113	NBRP, Japan	
Plasmids			
pTrc200	Sm^R, Sp^R, pVS1 origin *lacIq, trc* promoter expression vector	(Schmidt-Eisenlohr et al., 1999)	EML5481
pRL662	Gm^R, non-conjugal transferable broad host range vector derived from pBBR1MCS-2,	(Vergunst et al., 2000)	EML5452
pRL662-Tdi1-Strep	Gm^R, constitutive expression of Tdi1-Strep by *lac* promoter on the pRL662 plasmid	(Ma *et al.,* 2014)	EML3398
pTrc-Tde1(M)-HA	Sp^R, C-terminal HA-tagged Tde1(M) driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200	This Study	EML5445
pTrc-N-Tde1-HA	Sp^R, N-terminus of Tde1 (1-49) with C-terminal HA tag driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200	This Study	EML5482
pTrc-N-Tde1^GLGL-HA	Sp^R, N-terminus of Tde1 (1-49) with amino acid substitutions (G39L, G43L) and HA tag on C-terminus driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200	This Study	EML5464
pTrc-Tde1(M)^GLGL-HA	Sp^R, C-terminal HA-tagged Tde1(M) with amino acid substitutions (G39L, G43L) driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200	This study	EML5465
pTrc-C1-Tde1(M)-HA	Sp^R, C-terminus of Tde1(M) (49-278) with C-terminal HA tag driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200.	This study	EML5479
pTrc-C2-Tde1(WT)-HA	Sp^R, C-terminus of Tde1 (98-278) with C-terminal HA tag driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200.	This Study	EML5478
pYTA_LacZ	Amp^R, full length *lacZ* gene was cloned on to the YTA vector by TA cloning	This Study	EML1661

pBBRMCS2-mCherry	Km^R, full length mCherry gene was cloned on to pBBRMCS2 plasmid	This Study	EML3022
pTrc200-LacY	Sp^R, *lacY* from BW25113 with C-terminal HA tag driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid.	This Study	EML6350
pTrc-N-Tde1-sfGFP	Sp^R, N-terminus of Tde1 (1-49) fused to the sfGFP on the C-terminal with a GGGS linker driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5489
pTrc-N-Tde1^GLGL-sfGFP	Sp^R, N-terminus of Tde1 (1-49) with point mutation (G39L, G43L) fused to the sfGFP on the C-terminal with a GGGS linker driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5490
pTrc-Tde1(M)-sfGFP	Sp^R, full length of Tde1(M) fused to the sfGFP on the C-terminal with a GGGS linker driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5493
pTrc-Tde1(M)^GLGL-sfGFP	Sp^R, full length of Tde1(M) with point mutation on (G39L, G43L) fused to the sfGFP on the C-terminal with a GGGS linker driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5494
pTrc-C1-Tde1(M)-HA	Sp^R, C-terminus of Tde1 (49-278) fused to the sfGFP on the C-terminal with a GGGS linker driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5492
pTrc-C2-Tde1(WT)-HA	Sp^R, C-terminus of Tde1 (98-278) fused to the sfGFP on the C-terminal with a GGGS linker driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5491
pTrc200-sfGFP	Sp^R, sfGFP driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5495
pTrc-Tde1-Tdi1	Sp^R, expressopm of Tde1-Tdi1 driven by *trc* promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	(Wu et al., 2020)	EML4277
pTrc-Tde1^GLGL-Tdi1	SpR, expression of Tde1-Tdi1 driven by trc promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML5513
pTrc-Tde1^G39L-Tdi1	SpR, expression Tde1-Tdi1 with point mutation on (G39L) driven by trc promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML6358
pTrc-Tde1^G43L-Tdi1	SpR, expression Tde1-Tdi1 with point mutation on (G43L) driven by trc promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML6359
pTrc-Tde1(M)^GLGL-Tdi1	SpR, expression Tde1-Tdi1 with point mutation on (G43L) driven by trc promoter on pTrc200 plasmid	This Study	EML6360
pJN105	Gm^R, arabinose-inducible gene expression vector derived from pBBRMCS-1, araC-P$_{BAD}$	(Newman and Fuqua, 1999)	

pJN105_Tde1	Gm^R, pJN105 expressing C-terminal 6xHis tagged Tde1	This study
pJN105_Tde1^{G39L}	Gm^R, pJN105 expressing C-terminal 6xHis tagged Tde1 with the substitution of G39L	This study
pJN105_Tde1^{G43L}	Gm^R, pJN105 expressing C-terminal 6xHis tagged Tde1 with the substitution of G43L	This study
pJN105_Tde1^{GLGL}	Gm^R, pJN105 expressing C-terminal 6xHis tagged Tde1 with the substitutions of G39L and G43L	This study
pJN105_Tde1(M)	Gm^R, pJN105 expressing C-terminal 6xHis tagged Tde1 with the substitutions of H190A and D193A	This study

982 **Table S2. List of primers used to make constructs**

Plasmid/Construct made with the primers	Primer names and Sequence (restriction site is indicated underline)
pTrc200_sfGFP	NcoI_sfGFP_F: TGGAC<u>CCATGG</u>ACCGTAAAGGCGAAGAGCTGTTCA HindIII_sfGFP_R:TCGAC<u>AAGCTT</u>TTTGTACAGTTCATCCATACCATG
pTrc200_Tde1(M)-HA pTrc200_N-Tde1-HA pTrc200_N-Tde1^{GLGL}-HA	NCoI_Tde1_F: AAAAA<u>CCATGG</u>ACATGAGTGCGACGACAACTGTCG XbaI-Tde1_R: AAAAA<u>TCTAGA</u>CACCGGGACGTCAGGATTGCC XbaI_N-tde1_R: AAAAA<u>TCTAGA</u> A GCT CCC TCA GGC GGA CTA AAG CAA T
pTrc200_C1 Tde1(M)-HA pTrc200_C2-Tde1(M)-HA	NCoI_C2-Tde1_F: AAAAA<u>CCATGG</u>ACACGCCTAAGCAAGTTGAAGAGTTTAGG NcoI_C1_Tde1_F: AAAAA<u>CCATGG</u>ACGCGGGTAGAGCGGCTGCCTCCGCAA
pTrc200_N-Tde1^{GLGL}-HA pTrc200_Tde1(M)^{GLGL}-HA pTrc200_Tde1^{GLGL}-Tdi1 pTrc200_Tde1(M)^{GLGL}-Tdi1	G39L_G43L_F: TGGAGGTACAGCGGTTTTAGCATGGATACTTGGGCAAGTCGGCGCTAT G39L_G43L_R: ATAGCGCCGACTTGCCCAAGTATCCATGCTAAAACCGCTGTACCTCCAG
pTrc200_lacY-HA	NcoI_LacY_F: AAAAA<u>CCATGG</u>ACATGTACTATTTAAAAAACACAAAC XbaI_LacY_R: AAAAA<u>TCTAGA</u>AGCGACTTCATTCACCTGACGAC
pTrc200_N-Tde1-sfGFP	N-tde_GGGS_sfGFP_F: TTAGTCCGCCTGAGGGAGCT<u>TCTAGA</u>GGTGGTGGTTCTCGTAAAGGCGAAGAGCTGTT sfGFP_GGGS_Ntde1_R: ACAGCTCTTCGCCTTTACGAGAACCACCACC<u>TCTAGA</u>AGCTCCCTCAGGCGGACTAA
pTrc200_sfGFP	NcoI_sfGFP_F: TGGAC<u>CCATGG</u>ACCGTAAAGGCGAAGAGCTGTTCA HindIII_sfGFP_R:TCGAC<u>AAGCTT</u>TTTGTACAGTTCATCCATACCATG
pJN105_Tde1	pET-rbs-F1-XbaI: CCCC<u>TCTAGA</u>AATAATTTTGTTTAAC pET-R1-SacI: GGAA<u>GAGCTC</u>CCCTTTCGGGCTTTGTTAG

pTrc-Tde1^{G39L}-Tdi1	Tde_G39L: FACAGCGGTTCTCGCATGGATAGGTGGGCAATC Tde_G39L_R: GACTTGCCCACCTATCCATGCGAGAACCGCTGT
pTrc-Tde1^{G43L}-Tdi1	Tde_G43L: ACAGCGGTTGGAGCATGGATACTCGGGCAAGTCTde_G43L: GACTTGCCCGAGTATCCATGCTCCAACCGCTGT

Figure 1. Schematic domain organization, sequence alignment, growth inhibition assay of Tde1. (A) Schematic domain organization of Tde1 protein and its variants. The N-terminal repeated glycine zipper motifs (12-51) overlapping a predicted transmembrane domain (22-42) and Ntox15 DNase domain (99-247) are indicated. Tde1 and its variants with truncation or amino acid substitutions were illustrated. (B) Growth inhibition assay of *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants with IPTG induction. (C) Growth inhibition assay of *E. coli* DH10B cells co-expressing the Tde1 variants expressed from pTrc200 plasmid and Tdi1 immunity gene expressed from pRL662 plasmid. Growth curve was determined at OD_{600}. Graphs of panels B and C show mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically different groups of strains (p value <0.01). (D) Multiple sequence alignment of N-Tde1 homologues were presented with highly conserved amino acid residues highlighted in yellow. The bacterial species, strain name, and locus number of Tde1 orthologs (*Agrobacterium/Rhizobium*) or tape measure proteins (*Paraburkholderia/Burkholderia*) are indicated on the left and right of aligned sequences. Two conserved glycine residues (G^{39}, G^{43}) subjected for mutagenesis were indicated by the arrows above the sequences.

Figure 2. Growth inhibition and membrane permeabilization assays of glycine zipper mutants. (A) Growth inhibition assay of *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants with IPTG inducible expression. The growth of *E. coli* was monitored by CFU counting every 1 hr. For membrane permeabilization assays, BW25113 WT or BW25113 (Δ*lacY*, pYTA-*lacZ*) cells harbouring pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants were carried out for (B) β-galactosidase activity assay to determine ONPG uptake, (C) propidium iodide permeability with cells treated with Propidium iodide and Hoechst for detection by fluorescence microscope (Scale bar = 5μm). For quantification of cells with PI signals, a total of 6 randomly selected images obtained from two independent experiments were used to quantify the number of PI stained cells / number of Hoechst stained cells as indicated. (D) Bacteriostatic activity assay. *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants were cultured with or without IPTG induction for 1 hr. The IPTG-induced cells were further centrifuged and resuspended in the fresh medium with or without IPTG. Cell density was measured again before continuous growth for additional 1 hr. Graphs of panels A, B, and D show mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically different groups of strains (p value <0.01).

Figure 3. The N-terminus of Tde1 is sufficient for interaction with Tap1 and secretion. (A) Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) in *A. tumefaciens*. *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tde1* harbouring pTrc200 vector or its derivatives expressing HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Anti-HA resin was used to coprecipitate the Tde1 variants and Tap1. (B) Secretion assay for HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Western blot for the cellular and extracellular fractions of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssK* expressing the HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Hcp secretion was detected as a positive control for active T6SS secretion. Representative western blot results of three independent experiments were shown with antibody against HA, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non-secreted protein control. Protein markers are indicated in kDa.

Figure 4. Translocation of Tde1 variants fused with sfGFP by *A. tumefaciens-E. coli* co-culture. Fluorescence microscopy for Tde1 translocation. *A. tumefaciens* C58 *Δtdei* expressing Tde1 variants fused with sfGFP (in green) and *E. coli* DH10B carrying mCherry (false coloured in blue) were co-cultured for 20 hrs. A cyan fluorescence with merged blue and green signals represented the translocation of Tde1 variants from *A. tumefaciens* to *E. coli* (Scale bar = 5µm). The number of cells with overlayed GFP and mCherry fluorescence was quantified from a total of 6 randomly selected images obtained from three independent experiments (number of cells with cyan fluorescence/ total *E. coli* cells counted).

Figure 5. G³⁹xxxG⁴³ glycine zipper motif of Tde1 is required for DNase-mediated killing of target cells during interbacterial competition. (A) Interbacterial competition of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssK* expressing the Tde1 variants against *E. coli* cells was carried out on LB medium and *E. coli* survival rate was quantified by CFU counting. (B) Interbacterial competition between various *A. tumefaciens* C58 strains and *A. tumefaciens* 1D1609 on AK medium and the competition outcome was shown by competitive index. (C) Secretion assay for Tde1 and its variants co-expressed with its immunity protein Tdi1 in *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK*. (D) *In vivo* plasmid DNA degradation assay. *E. coli* BW25113 carrying pJN105 empty vector or the derivatives expressing different variants of Tde1 were supplemented with 0.5% glucose ("-") or 0.2% L-arabinose ("+") for 3 hrs to either repress or induce Tde1 production. The plasmids were then extracted to observe the DNA degradation and bottom panel showed western blots of specific Tde1 protein bands indicated by arrows. (E) Growth inhibition assay of Tde1 and its variants. *E. coli* BW25113 cells were induced by adding 0.2% L-arabinose for Tde1 production. The OD$_{600}$ values were measured every 15 minutes. The OD$_{600}$ values of the 4 h post L-arabinose induction were analysed for statistical analysis. Graphs show mean ± SD of three biological repeats. Western blots were detected with specific antibody against Tde1, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non-secreted protein control. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. Data in panel A are mean ± SD of four biological repeats of two independent experiments. Panels B and E show mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically different groups of strains (p value <0.05). Results in panel C and D are representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 6. Proposed model of the loading, firing, and translocation of Tde1. The Tde1 translocation is proposed through six steps. Step 1: Tde1 forms a complex with Tdi1 and Tap1 in the attacker cell. Step 2: Tap1-Tde1-Tdi1 complex binds to the VgrG and the Hcp-VgrG-PAAR puncturing device carrying Tde1 effector complex is loaded onto membrane-associated baseplate. Step 3: Hcp tube and TssB/C sheath polymerize on the Tde1-loaded VgrG/baseplate while Tdi1 and Tap1 fall off with unknown mechanisms before or upon firing. Step 4: TssBC sheath contracts and ejects Tde1 into the target cell periplasm or cytoplasm. Step 5: The glycine zipper(s) on the N-terminus of Tde1 permeabilize the target cell membrane. Step 6: Intact or truncated Tde1 proteins attack DNA for degradation in the target cell.

Figure S1. Growth inhibition assays in *A. tumefaciens* and *E. coli*. (A) Western blot for detection of the expression of HA-tagged Tde1 variants expressed in *E. coli*. *Other HA-tagged truncated bands, related to Figure 1B. (B) Western blot for the detection of Tdi1 from *E. coli* cells co-expressing HA tagged Tde1 variants and strep-tagged Tdi1, related to Figure 1C. (C) Growth inhibition assay of *E. coli* DH10B cells harbouring pTrc200 vector or each of its derivatives expressing Tde1 variants with IPTG inducible expression, monitored by OD_{600}, related to Figure 2A. (D) Growth curve and western blot analyses of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tde1* carrying pTrc200 or its derivatives expressing HA-tagged Tde1 variants. The growth curve was detected every 2 hrs in 523 medium supplemented with 1 mM IPTG. Graphs show mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically different groups of strains (p value <0.01). The proteins collected at end point (6 hr) were analysed for western blotting with antibody against HA. Representative results of three independent experiments were shown. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. (E) Viability assay for *E. coli* cells derived from the ONPG uptake assay after 1 hr IPTG induction, related to Fig. 2B. (F) The growth curve analysis of *E. coli* cells used for *in vivo* plasmid DNA degradation assay. The turbidity of *E. coli* BW25113 expressing Tde1 and its variants carried out for the *in vivo* plasmid DNA degradation assay were measured. The *E. coli* cells were supplemented with 0.5% glucose (glu) or 0.2% L-arabinose (ara) for the repression or induction of Tde1 and its variants. The OD_{600} values were measured by DEN-600 photometer (Biosan, Latvia) every hr.

A.

Domain Structures	Total nr Sequences
Ntox-15	608
FIX-1 — Ntox-15	156
PAAR — Ntox-15	92
PAAR — FIX-1 — Ntox-15	36
LXG — PT-TG — Ntox-15	34
RhsA — Rhs_a — Ntox-15	22
Rhs_a — FIX-1 — Ntox-15	17
Ntox-15 — PHA03247	15
WXG100 — PT-TG — Ntox-15	14
Ntox-15 — PRK07764	14

B.

Figure S2. Domain architecture and genetic organizations of Tde homologues. (A) Domain organization of the Ntox15-containing proteins. Top 10 classes of the Ntox15-containing proteins are shown with the identifiable domains (not to scale). The number of proteins in each class were indicated on the left based on the information on June 29, 2022. The *A. tumefaciens* Tde1 belonged to the first class where the N-terminal region lacks an identifiable domain. (B) Genetic organizations of genes encoding Tde1 orthologues and Tape Measure Proteins (TMPs) with sequence similarity to N-terminus of Tde1. The proteins encoded from the upstream and downstream of *tde1* and *tmp* genes are shown with their identified domain organizations.

Figure S3. Full western blots of Co-IP and secretion assays

(A) Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) in *A. tumefaciens*. *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tde1* harbouring pTrc200 vector or its derivatives expressing HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Anti-HA resin was used to coprecipitate the Tde1 variants and Tap1. (B) Secretion assay for HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Western blot for the cellular and extracellular fractions of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei1ΔtssK* expressing the HA-tagged Tde1 variants. Hcp secretion was detected as a positive control for active T6SS secretion. Representative western blot results of three independent experiments were shown with antibody against HA, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non-secreted protein control. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. Box indicates the cropped area shown in Fig. 3.

A.

B.

Fig. S4. Fluorescence microscopy for negative controls of translocation assay. (A) Secretion assay for Tde1 variants fused with sfGFP. Western blot for the cellular and extracellular fractions of *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei1*Δ*tssK* expressing the Tde1 variants fused with sfGFP were detected by anti-GFP antibody. Representative western blot results of three independent experiments were shown with antibody against GFP, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non secreted protein control. Hcp secretion served as a positive control for active T6SS secretion. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. **(B)** *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK* expressing N-Tde1-sfGFP or Tde1(M)-sfGFP (in green) and *E. coli* DH10B carrying mCherry (false coloured in blue) were co-cultured for 20 hrs. No cyan fluorescence with merged blue and green signals could be detected when attacker cells are T6SS-inactive, which served as negative controls for the translocation assay (Scale bar = 5µm).

Figure S5. Full western blots of secretion assays

Secretion assay for Tde1 and its variants co-expressed with its immunity protein Tdi1 in *A. tumefaciens* C58 Δ*tdei* and Δ*tdei*Δ*tssK*. Western blots were detected with specific antibody against Tde1, Hcp, or EF-Tu serving as a loading and non-secreted protein control. Protein markers are indicated in kDa. Box indicates the cropped area shown in Fig. 5B, 5E.

A.

B.

Tde1 N-terminus
(Tde1: residues 10 – 62)

Pyocin S5
(6THK: residues 446 – 495)

Colicin 1a
(1CII: residues 575 – 620)

Colicin A
(1COL: residues 142 – 199)

Colicin S4
(3FEW: residues 434 – 494)

Colicin B
(1RH1: residues 451 – 509)

C.

D.

Figure S6. Structural prediction of the Tde1 N-terminus with similarity to pyocin S5 and colicins. (A) Predicted results of N-terminal Tde1 (1-97) as a query. (B) N-terminal Tde1 with structural similarity to pore-forming domain of the pyocin S5, colicines and other membrane perturbing proteins based on Phyre2 prediction. (C) Cartoon model of the Tde1 (residue 10 – 62) by using on the basis of the crystal structure of Pyocin S5 (PDB 6THK) with 77.3% of identity. Glycine residues of Tde1 were indicated. (D) Superimposition of N-Tde1 and pore-forming domain of pyocin S5. Tde1 N-terminus is in red and partially pore-forming domain of pyocin S5 is in teal, G^{39} and G^{43} in the putative glycine zipper motif are highlighted in green. All data were analyzed by Phyre2 server.