Peptidoglycan recycling mediated by an ABC transporter in the plant pathogen *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*

Michael C. Gilmore, Felipe Cava*

Laboratory for Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden (MIMS), Department of Molecular Biology, Umeå University, 90187 Umeå, Sweden

*For correspondence: felipe.cava@umu.se

Running title: Peptidoglycan recycling mediated by an ABC transporter

Keywords: bacterial cell wall, peptidoglycan recycling, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, Rhizobiales, ABC transporter

Abstract

During growth and division, the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan (PG) is remodelled, resulting in the liberation of PG muropeptides which are typically reinternalized and recycled. Bacteria belonging to the Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales orders of the Alphaproteobacteria lack the canonical muropeptide transporter AmpG, despite having other key PG recycling enzymes. Here, we show that an alternative transporter, YejBEF-YepA, takes over this role in the Rhizobiales phytopathogen *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Muropeptide import by YejBEF-YepA governs expression of the β-lactamase AmpC in *A. tumefaciens*, contributing to β-lactam resistance. However, we show that the absence of YejBEF-YepA causes severe cell wall defects that go far beyond lowered AmpC activity. Thus, contrary to previously established Gram-negative models, PG recycling is vital for cell wall integrity in *A. tumefaciens*. YepA is widespread in the Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales, suggesting that YejBEF-YepA-mediated PG recycling could represent an important but overlooked aspect of cell wall biology in these bacteria.

Introduction

The bacterial cell wall is composed primarily of peptidoglycan (PG), a heteropolymer which consists of alternating *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and *N*-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) sugars crosslinked by short peptide chains (1). In order to facilitate growth and division, as well as adaption to environmental stressors, the cell wall is constantly remodelled by an arsenal of lytic enzymes (2): glucosaminidases and lysozyme cleave between the two sugars, endopeptidases cleave within the peptide chains, carboxypeptidases cleave the C-terminal amino acid from the peptide chains, amidases cleave the peptide chain from the MurNAc sugar and lytic transglycosylases (LTs) cleave between the sugars with simultaneous cyclisation of the terminal MurNAc to form 1,6-anhydroMurNAc. A consequence of this remodelling activity is the liberation of peptidoglycan fragments, termed muropeptides, which can be released into the environment where they can have far-reaching consequences in signalling or interspecies interactions (3), or imported into the cell for reuse in PG synthesis, in a process termed PG recycling (4).

PG recycling has been well studied in some Gram-negative models. Typically, anhydromuropeptides liberated by LTs are imported by the Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) transporter AmpG (5), before being broken into their constituent sugars and peptide by the amidase AmpD (6), β-hexosaminidase NagZ and LD-carboxypeptidase LdcA (7) (Fig. 1A). Pathways exist for recycling both the anhydroMurNAc and GlcNAc sugars (4, 8–10), while the peptide is directly reincorporated into PG synthesis by ligation with UDP-MurNAc by the ligase Mpl (11). Around 50% of the sacculus is turned over in *E. coli* per generation (12), but

only around 8% is released to the medium, due to recycling (13). Despite the extent of PG turnover, the abundance of proteins produced solely to enable PG recycling and its relatively wide conservation, its importance is unclear, since its interruption does not affect bacterial growth under laboratory conditions (4). The exception to this is a growth defect caused by the deletion of the LD-carboxypeptidase LdcA, which leads to a reduction in cell wall crosslinking due to the inability of tetrapeptide precursors to be used as a donor in the PG transpeptidation reaction (7, 14).

We noticed that Alphaproteobacteria belonging to the orders Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales lack AmpG orthologs, despite encoding orthologs of other PG recycling enzymes such as AmpD and NagZ in their genomes. Using the plant pathogen *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* as model, we identified that the broad-specificity peptide ABC transporter YejBEF takes over the role of AmpG as muropeptide transporter in these bacteria, utilising an alternative PG-specific substrate-binding protein (SBP) YepA which is unique to the Alphaproteobacteria. PG recycling mediated by YejBEF-YepA governs expression of the β-lactamase AmpC through a canonical AmpR repression system, controlling β-lactam resistance in *A. tumefaciens* in place of AmpG. Importantly, we show that contrary to previously established Gram-negative models, PG recycling is vital for cell wall integrity in this bacterium since deleting the transporter results in significantly altered cell wall density and composition, leading to growth defects on low-osmolarity medium and hypersensitivity to β-lactam antibiotics in a manner partly independent of AmpC.

Results

A. tumefaciens recycles PG

We first set out to determine if *A. tumefaciens* recycles PG, since it lacks an ortholog of the PG recycling transporter AmpG. Since deletion of the anhydromuramoyl-amidase AmpD results in the accumulation of anhydromuramyltripeptides in the cytoplasm of *E. coli* (6), we deleted the *A. tumefaciens* orthologs of both *ampD* (Atu2113) and *nagZ* (Atu1709) and used ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled to quadrupole-time of flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) to check for the accumulation of the substrates of these enzymes in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1B). We observed the accumulation of anhydroMurNAc- and MurNAc-linked muropeptide species accumulating in the cytoplasm of Δ*ampD*, while the GlcNAc-anhydroMurNAc and GlcNAc-MurNAc disaccharides accumulated in the cytoplasm of Δ*nagZ*, confirming these enzymes play the same respective roles in PG recycling in *A. tumefaciens* as in other Gram-negative bacteria. Interestingly, we observed tri-, tetra- and pentapeptide muropeptides accumulating in Δ*ampD*. In *E. coli*, typically only tripeptide muropeptides are observed to accumulate in an *ampD* mutant due to the activity of the LD-carboxypeptidase LdcA (6), suggesting that *A. tumefaciens* lacks this activity in its cytoplasm. To confirm this, we ectopically expressed LdcA from *E. coli* in *A. tumefaciens* on a plasmid, and observed a corresponding shift in accumulation from tetrapeptide to tripeptide muropeptides (Fig. S1). Additionally, trisaccharide muropeptides also accumulated in Δ*ampD*, suggesting that *A. tumefaciens* can transport dimers into its cytoplasm for recycling. The ion masses of these were consistent with either crosslinked dimers or chains, but since LdcA expression converted the di-tetrapeptide dimer into di-tripeptide (Fig. S1), they likely form chains

as the D-Ala is not crosslinked. Since *A. tumefaciens* does recycle PG, we reasoned

that it must have an alternative transporter of anhydromuropeptides.

Identification of the PG recycling transporter in *A. tumefaciens*

To identify the missing transporter, we took advantage of the antibiotic

Fosfomycin. This inhibits the first committed step of PG synthesis, the MurA-

catalysed conversion of UDP-GlcNAc into UDP-1-carboxyvinyl-GlcNAc, which forms

the substrate for the subsequent production of UDP-MurNAc by MurB (15). This step

can bypassed to some extent by the recycling of MurNAc, which confers higher

intrinsic resistance to Fosfomycin (8). We therefore used a high-throughput

transposon sequencing screen (Tn-Seq) to identify genes which are required for

survival under Fosfomycin treatment, reasoning that this should reveal genes

required for recycling MurNAc in *A. tumefaciens*, and thus our transporter. Our

Fosfomycin Tn-Seq screen revealed that recycling of MurNAc in *A. tumefaciens*

likely happens through the *Pseudomonas* pathway (8–10), using the enzymes AnmK

(Atu1827), MupP (Atu1614), AmgK (Atu0026) and MurU (Atu0025) to process

anhydroMurNAc and convert it into UDP-MurNAc for reuse (Supplementary Data 1).

In the list of genes which were statistically underrepresented in transposon insertions

with 2 mg/mL Fosfomycin (Fig. 2A, Supplementary Data 1), there were two ATP-

binding cassette (ABC) transporters. One consisted of the membrane-spanning and

nucleotide-binding proteins of the phosphonate ABC importer *phnJK*, which is

reported to form part of the carbon-phosphorus (C-P) lyase machinery (16, 17).

Since this complex mediates the cleavage of C-P bonds, such as that found in

Fosfomycin, it likely confers resistance to Fosfomycin by degrading it. Indeed,

degradation of Fosfomycin by Rhizobiales bacteria has been previously observed

(18), and this process could explain the relatively high intrinsic Fosfomycin

resistance in *A. tumefaciens*. The other ABC transporter with significantly reduced

Tn insertions was a putative peptide ABC importer previously reported as YejABEF

(Fig. 2A) consisting of two membrane-spanning proteins *yejB* and *yejE* (Atu0188 and

Atu0189) and an ATPase *yejF* (Atu0190). Interestingly, these genes form an operon

with a periplasmic substrate-binding protein (SBP), *yejA* (Atu0187) which was not

affected in Tn insertions under Fosfomycin treatment. Instead, our screen identified

an alternative peptide-binding SBP (Atu1774) with significantly fewer Tn insertions,

which showed sequence similarity to *yejA* (38.7% identity). The YejABEF ABC

transporter has previously been reported as a broad-specificity peptide importer

required for resistance to plant antimicrobial peptides and proper bacteroid

differentiation in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (19, 20), and thus together with the SBP

Atu1774, represented a good candidate for an importer of muropeptides.

To investigate whether YejABEF constitutes a novel PG recycling transporter

in *A. tumefaciens*, we deleted the full operon corresponding to *yejABEF* (Atu0187-

Atu0190) (Fig. 2C) and used UPLC to check the supernatant of this mutant for the

accumulation of PG fragments. As would be expected, anhydromuramyl tri-, tetra-

and peptapeptides accumulated in large quantities in the extracellular milieu of the

Δ*yejABEF* mutant (Fig. 2B). Since deletion of *ampD* results in the accumulation of

muropeptides in the cytoplasm of *A. tumefaciens*, we reasoned that deletion of the

transporter in a Δ*ampD* background must necessarily prevent this. We therefore

checked the cytoplasmic soluble muropeptides in a Δ*yejABEF*Δ*ampD* mutant (Fig.

2D) using UPLC. There was no cytoplasmic muropeptide accumulation in the double

mutant, indicating that YejABEF is the sole transporter responsible for muropeptide

recycling in *A. tumefaciens*. Further, ectopic expression of the known MFS

muropeptide importer AmpG from *E. coli* restored the cytosolic accumulation of

muropeptides in the Δ*yejABEF*Δ*ampD* background (Fig. S2), confirming that these

transporters have the same function. Finally, we produced anhydro-disaccharide

tetrapeptides with the non-canonical D-amino acid D-Met instead of D-Ala in the

fourth position (M4N^{Met}) as a probe for PG recycling (14). Δ*ampD* cultures

exogenously supplemented with M4N^{Met} yielded an accumulation of

anhydroMurNAc-P4^{Met} (i.e., M4N^{Met} processed by NagZ) in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2E),

which did not occur in the Δ*yejABEF*Δ*ampD* mutant, further confirming the

requirement of YejABEF for the import of anhydromuropeptides into the cytoplasm.

Role of PG recycling in β-lactam resistance in *A. tumefaciens*

A. tumefaciens encodes a canonical inducible AmpC β-lactamase system

where the import of anhydromuropeptides controls β-lactamase expression through

the regulator AmpR (21, 22)(Fig. 3A). We therefore reasoned that the Δ*yejABEF*

mutant could show decreased Amp resistance through lower β-lactamase

expression. Indeed, we observed a >250-fold decrease in Amp MIC in the

transporter mutant (Fig. 3B). Consistently, hydrolysis of the chromogenic

cephalosporin nitrocefin was decreased considerably in the mutant, indicating lower

AmpC activity (Fig. 3C).

Interestingly, the three parts of the transporter that are required for

Fosfomycin resistance form a cluster with the putative SBP YejA (Atu0187). Using

RT-PCR, we confirmed that *yejA* is co-expressed with *yejBEF* on a single mRNA

(Fig. S3A). As mentioned, Tn insertions in *yejA* were not significantly reduced under

Fosfomycin treatment. Instead, we found that mutation of the *yejA* paralog Atu1774

conferred Fosfomycin sensitivity. To investigate the potential role of these SBPs, we

generated single mutants of *yejA* and Atu1774 and compared them with mutants of

other parts of the transporter (Fig. 3C). The ampicillin sensitivity of the Δ*yejB*, Δ*yejE*,

Δ*yejF* and ΔAtu1774 mutants recapitulated that of the entire operon deletion mutant

(Fig. S3B), indicating that these components are required for the PG recycling

function of the transporter, while the Δ*yejA* mutant was phenotypically identical to the

WT. Additionally, we observed the accumulation of PG fragments in the supernatant

of ΔAtu1774 on the same levels as for Δ*yejABEF*, while Δ*yejA* again reflected the

WT (Fig. 3E). Collectively these results demonstrate that Atu1774, instead of YejA, is

the SBP involved in PG recycling in *A. tumefaciens*, and so we renamed it YepA for

<u>Ye</u>j-<u>p</u>eptidoglycan binding protein <u>A</u>.

Remarkably, we noticed that Δ*yejABEF* and the single mutants Δ*yejB*, Δ*yejE*,

Δ*yejF and* Δ*yepA* were more Amp sensitive than Δ*ampC* (Fig. 3B), meaning that the

Amp hypersensitivity of the PG recycling defective mutants was not just a result of

decreased β-lactamase activity. Indeed, the nitrocefin hydrolysis assay showed that

Δ*yejABEF* retained more β-lactamase activity than Δ*ampC* despite its lower Amp

resistance (Fig. 3C). This was further confirmed by testing sensitivity of both mutants

to aztreonam, a monobactam β-lactam antibiotic. The Δ*ampC* mutant showed an

identical resistance profile to the WT, suggesting that AmpC plays no role in

resistance of *A. tumefaciens* to aztreonam, while Δ*yejABEF* was once again

hypersensitive (Fig. 3F).

PG recycling is required for cell wall integrity in *A. tumefaciens*

We therefore hypothesised that the absence of YejBEF-YepA-mediated PG recycling might cause cell wall defects which result in increased sensitivity to β-lactam antibiotics. To challenge cell wall strength, we first grew PG recycling-defective mutant and WT strains in LB with no added NaCl (LB_0) to see how growth would be affected by low-osmolarity conditions. The Δ*yejB* mutant (phenotypically identical to the total Δ*yejABEF* mutant) displayed cell swelling and lysis (Fig. 4A), while the Δ*yejABEF* and Δ*yepA* mutants (but not Δ*yejA*) had a severe growth defect (Fig. 4B, S4A) which indicated a compromised cell wall. To investigate this further, we chemically analysed the cell wall of the Δ*yejB* mutant and WT using UPLC. While total crosslinking was slightly decreased in the mutant (Fig. 4C), interestingly, LD-crosslinking was more affected than DD-crosslinking, which was actually slightly higher relative to the WT. Since LD-transpeptidases are not affected by most β-lactam antibiotics (23), a higher dependence of the Δ*yejABEF* mutant on PBPs for PG crosslinking could be a possible contributing factor to its decreased β-lactam tolerance. Importantly, the total amount of PG in the mutant, as determined by the area under the whole chromatogram normalized to the optical density of the culture, was decreased considerably (Fig. 4D), suggesting that PG biogenesis was compromised. Quantification of the primary soluble cytoplasmic PG precursor UDP-muramylpentapeptide (UDP-M5) showed a corresponding decrease (Fig. 4E), indicating that PG recycling is an important source of material for PG synthesis in *A. tumefaciens*.

To further investigate the cell wall defects of Δ*yejABEF*, we used Tn-Seq to identify genes which confer greater or reduced fitness to the mutant when interrupted by Tn insertion (Fig. 4F, 4G, Supplementary Data 2). Consistent with the observed

defects of Δ*yejABEF* in PG synthesis and crosslinking, we found that synthetically

detrimental mutants included a putative LD-transpeptidase (Atu1615), bifunctional

PBP (Atu0931), DD-carboxypeptidase (Atu1499), and lytic transglycosylases MltB

(Atu3779), MltG (Atu1099) and RlpA (Atu1500), suggesting that additive effects

caused by the loss of these PG synthesis and remodelling proteins in combination

with PG recycling results in loss of fitness or non-viability. These results were

recapitulated by a second Tn-Seq comparing insertions in the Δ*yepA* and Δ*yejA*

mutants (Fig. S4B).

Conversely, mutations in several glycosyltransferases (Atu2583, Atu2590,

Atu2592), a UDP-GlcNAc dehydrogenase (Atu2582) and a putative ABC exporter

(Atu2577) improved fitness of the Δ*yejABEF* mutant. These genes form part of a

cluster likely to be involved in the synthesis of an uncharacterized glycan, previously

reported to be required for resistance to phenazines in *A. tumefaciens* (24). Since its

synthesis seems to use UDP-GlcNAc as a building block, we hypothesized that

these mutations might redirect GlcNAc flux to PG synthesis, thereby improving

Δ*yejABEF* fitness. However, this does not seem to be the case, as measured UDP-

M5 and UDP-MurNAc levels in ΔAtu2582 and ΔAtu2582Δ*yejABEF* strains showed

no differences to their WT and Δ*yejABEF* counterparts (Fig. S4C). It remains to be

seen what role this polymer plays in cell wall biology in *A. tumefaciens*.

YejBEF-YepA is conserved in the Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales

orders of the Alphaproteobacteria

Finally, to define the degree of conservation of the PG recycling function of

YejBEF in bacteria, we generated a molecular fingerprint that allowed us to

differentiate between YepA and YejA. Using the JDet package (25), we generated a

set of specificity-determining residues from an alignment of YejA and YepA orthologs

(Fig. 5B). While YejABEF is broadly conserved across the proteobacteria, YepA is

exclusive to the Alphaproteobacteria (Fig. 5A), being present in almost all families in

the Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales orders, which also mostly lack AmpG. These

bacteria inhabit a broad spectrum of niches including plant symbionts and pathogens

(Rhizobiales), mammalian pathogens (Brucellaceae, Bartonellaceae), soil bacteria

(Azospirilliceae) and photosynthetic marine bacteria (Rhodobacteriaceae)

suggesting that YejBEF-YepA is not associated with one particular lifestyle.

Interestingly, while most species opt either for AmpG or YejBEF-YepA, these PG

transporters seem to coexist in a number of species such as in the

Bradyrhizobiaceae indicating that one or both could be used under different

conditions.

Discussion

PG biosynthesis and remodelling have been the subject of decades of research, but

the focus on relatively few model organisms has left the diversity of these processes

poorly understood. This particularly applies to PG recycling, which has additionally

suffered from a perceived lack of importance, since its interruption in the Gram-

negative model organism *E. coli* has no detrimental effect to the bacterium under lab

conditions (4). Muropeptides are a unique molecular signature of bacteria which are

highly immunogenic (26) and often mediate interspecies interactions (3). Therefore,

PG recycling is a factor which influences bacterial virulence and immune response

activation (27–29). Additionally, the high turnover of PG during the cell cycle means

that significant amounts of material would be lost if it were not recycled. Many

bacteria outside the typical models lack orthologs of key PG recycling enzymes, but

have others (4), suggesting there are alternative enzymes or pathways to be

discovered. For example, it was recently uncovered that both *Vibrio cholerae* (14)

and *Acinetobacter baumanii* (30, 31) have different functional analogs of the *E. coli*

LD-carboxypeptidase LdcA with a separate but convergent evolution. We noticed

that many bacteria belonging to the Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales orders of the

Alphaproteobacteria lacked an AmpG transporter despite having orthologs of the

other key PG recycling enzymes AmpD and NagZ. Using the plant pathogen *A.*

tumefaciens as model, we identified an alternative ABC transporter that takes over

this role. *A. tumefaciens* is a fascinating model to study the growth and division of

bacteria, because it exhibits an unusual asymmetric polar growth mode, and relies

heavily on the typically dispensable LD-transpeptidases for peptidoglycan

crosslinking (32).

What happens to the PG after it is transported into the cell? An interesting

difference between muropeptide recycling in *A. tumefaciens* and *E. coli* is the variety

of intracellular muropeptide species which accumulate in the Δ*ampD* mutant. In *E.*

coli, only the NagZ- and LdcA-processed anhydromuramyl tripeptide accumulates on

significant levels (5), whereas in our *A. tumefaciens* Δ*ampD* mutant, peaks

corresponding to a wide variety of anhydromuramyl tri-, tetra- and pentapeptides are

present as well as dimeric muropeptides (Fig. 1B). Since direct recycling of

tetrapeptide precursors is typically highly toxic to the cell (7, 14), and we were unable

to detect UDP-M4^{Met} on treating *A. tumefaciens* with M4N^{Met} (Fig. 2E), we suggest

that *A. tumefaciens* does not directly reuse the PG peptide by ligation with UDP-

MurNAc as in some other Gram-negative bacteria. Instead, it might simply degrade

the released peptides and reincorporate the released amino acids into *de novo*

precursor synthesis or use them as a source of C or N. This idea is supported by the

lack of Mpl ligase or LD-carboxypeptidase orthologs in *A. tumefaciens*, and by the

genetic context of *yejABEF* since it clusters with a putative D-alanine dehydrogenase

dadA (Atu0192, labelled as a pseudogene due to a frameshift mutation, but this

occurs after the catalytic domain suggesting that it could have retained its activity)

and glutamine synthetase *glnA* (Atu0193) which uses glutamate as a substrate.

It is interesting that the PG SBP YepA is located separately from the rest of

the transporter in the genome. This raises the possibility of separate transcriptional

regulation of the "core" and PG-binding parts of the transporter, which would allow its

PG recycling function to be regulated independently of its function as a broad-

spectrum peptide importer. Additionally, the presence of two SBPs competing for the

same core could allow regulation of transporter function at the protein level, since

higher levels of one SBP might be expected to preclude binding of the other. YepA

also seems to have a conserved position beside a both a predicted cytoplasmic

DsbA-like protein (Atu1775) of unknown function and the essential periplasmic polar

growth protein RgsG (33), but the function of these two genes remains to be

identified.

The presence of an AmpC induction system based on released muropeptides

has been previously reported in *A. tumefaciens* (21), but the mechanism through

which muropeptides enter the cell has not been revealed until now. As a soil

bacterium, *A. tumefaciens* likely encounters β-lactam antibiotics in the environment,

so the presence of an inducible β-lactamase system could be a way to counter

these. However, although YejBEF-YepA does control the induction of AmpC, we

showed that its effect on β-lactam sensitivity is also partly caused by cell wall defects

which occur in its absence. The requirement of PG recycling for cell wall integrity and normal growth was surprising, since *E. coli* has been shown to have no physiological defects on deletion of AmpG (4). Our Tn-Seq screen in Δ*yejABEF* revealed synthetically lethal cell wall-related genes including a bifunctional PBP, an LD-transpeptidase, and three LTs. Insertions in the synthetic PBP and LD-transpeptidase could result in lethality due to additive effects on top of the synthesis defects that already occur. Mutation of LTs is known to cause periplasmic stress due to periplasmic crowding caused by the accumulation of PG chains (34). Interruption of PG recycling could exacerbate periplasmic crowding, since we observed PG fragments accumulating in large amounts in the supernatant of Δ*yejABEF*. That we observe chains accumulating in the cytoplasm of Δ*ampD* but not in the supernatant of Δ*yejABEF* suggests they could be too large to escape the outer membrane, accumulating in the periplasm and thereby causing osmotic stress.

Our Tn-Seq screen also revealed that Tn insertions in a gene cluster likely responsible for the synthesis of an uncharacterised glycan alleviated the fitness cost of deleting Δ*yejABEF*. While this could be consistent with the idea of alleviating periplasmic crowding, *A. tumefaciens* also produces osmoregulated cyclic β-glucans (35), which did not show up in our screen, meaning this is likely not the case. This polymer has previously been reported as required for tolerance of the phenazine pyocyanin in *A. tumefaciens* (24), suggesting it could play some role in oxidative stress metabolism. Cell wall defects have previously been associated with an overproduction of hydroxyl radicals (36), and the potential role of YejBEF-YepA in periplasmic oxidative stress is a subject for future research.

YejABEF has previously been reported as important for proper bacteroid differentiation and resistance to the plant antimicrobial peptide NCR247 in *S. meliloti*

(20, 37). Though this was thought to be due to its activity as a promiscuous peptide

importer, we suggest that it actually comes from its function as a PG transporter,

since AmpD is also required for NCR247 tolerance and the non-PG SBP YejA is not

(37). Indeed, the bacteroids formed by *S. meliloti* Δ*yejE* and Δ*yejF* mutants (20)

share strikingly similar aberrant morphologies with our Δ*yejABEF* mutant grown on

low osmolarity medium (LB$_0$, Fig. 4A) indicating that PG recycling is necessary to

maintain cell wall integrity in *S. meliloti* during bacteroid differentiation. *A.*

tumefaciens, along with *Brucella abortus* and *Bartonella henselae*, is an intracellular

pathogen, and so "hiding" its released PG from the host could be an essential

strategy to avoid immune detection particularly since immune receptors for PG are

located intracellularly. The effect of the transporter on virulence and immune

response evasion in other related species remains to be studied, but the widespread

presence of YepA in the Alphaproteobacteria and its physiological importance to *A.*

tumefaciens suggests that PG recycling could be an important and overlooked

aspect of cell wall biology in these bacteria.

The question of why *A. tumefaciens* uses an ABC transporter to recycle PG

instead of a PMF-dependent MFS transporter such as AmpG remains. The use of

different energy sources could mean that PG recycling is required by *A. tumefaciens*

under a specific condition where ATP is available as an energy source but

membrane polarisation is affected. However, *yepA* does not seem to be limited to

any one particular niche, being present in diverse plant and animal pathogens and

symbionts also environmental and marine bacteria (Fig. 5). The Rhizobiales are

known to be particularly rich in ABC transporters, with 146 full ABC importers

present in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (38, 39). One possible reason for this is that it could

facilitate a common mechanism of regulation of transport. Inactivation of ABC

transporters on a cellular scale has been identified previously in *Rhizobium*

leguminosarum in response to sensing of global cellular nitrogen availability (40–42)

or glutathione levels (43), through a putatively post-translational mechanism which

remains to be elucidated (40, 42). Therefore, using an ABC transporter for PG

recycling could allow the cell to coordinate the regulation of this process with the

regulation of acquisition of other nutrients and energy sources, perhaps in

synchronisation with the cell cycle since glutathione levels are known to fluctuate

during the cell cycle in the related Alphaproteobacterium *Caulobacter crescentus*

(44).

Methods

Strains and Growth Conditions

Strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. *A. tumefaciens* strains were

grown in LB, ATGN or ATSN (prepared as ref. (45) but without the addition of $FeSO_4$

to avoid precipitation as its absence did not affect growth) at 30°C unless stated

otherwise. *E. coli* strains were grown in LB at 37°C. All *A. tumefaciens* mutants are

derivatives of the *Agrobacterium fabrum* strain C58. When required, antibiotics were

added to growth media: Kanamycin (50 µg/mL *E. coli*, 300 µg/mL *A. tumefaciens*),

Ampicillin (100 µg/mL) or Chloramphenicol (20 µg/mL). Growth curves were done

using a microplate reader (Eon Biotek) to measure OD_{600} of 200 µL cultures in a 96-

well microplate over 28h at 5 minute intervals. Three technical replicates of three

biological replicates (i.e. separate parental cultures) were used per condition. Initial

cultures were prepared by adjusting exponentially growing cultures to OD_{600} 0.01.

Construction of Plasmids and Mutants

Expression and allelic exchange vectors were constructed using the primers outlined in Table S2. Allelic exchange vectors for *A. tumefaciens* were constructed using isothermal assembly of flanking regions with the suicide vector pNPTS139; mutagenesis was carried out as described (46). Protein expression vectors were constructed by PCR of the region of interest with addition of restriction sites by primers, then restriction digest and ligation before transformation into *E. coli* DH5α.

Antibiotic Resistance Determination

Resistance to various antibiotics was determined on agar using MIC Test Strips (Liofilchem). Exponential cultures were adjusted to OD_{600} 0.1 in PBS before being spread across an LB agar plate three times using a sterile cotton swab; the plate was rotated 60° between each spreading. The strip was applied once the plate had dried fully.

Nitrocefin Hydrolysis Assay

Overnight cultures of *A. tumefaciens* strains were diluted 1:3 in fresh LB with 50 μg/mL ampicillin added (to induce *ampC* expression) and grown for 1 h. 1 mL of culture normalised to OD_{600} 0.4 was prepared which was pelleted and washed in 1 mL of PBS. The final pellet was then resuspended in 1 mL lysis buffer (PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100, 50 μg/mL lysozyme and 2 mM EDTA) and incubated on ice for 30 min to lyse the cells. 200 μL reactions were set up containing 100 μL lysate, 96 μL PBS and 4 μL 500 μg/mL nitrocefin (Oxoid) and the reaction was followed by monitoring A_{486} for 2 h in a microplate reader (Eon Biotek) with readings every 5 min.

Soluble muropeptide and PG precursor analysis

To determine the presence and levels of intra- and extracellular soluble muropeptides and precursors, bacteria were grown until late exponential phase (roughly OD600 0.7) in minimal media before being cooled on ice for 10 min and normalised to the same OD_{600}. Cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 2 min. The supernatant was decanted and passed through a 0.22 µm filter, then boiled for 10 min, centrifuged at maximum speed in a benchtop centrifuge for 10 min to remove precipitated proteins and concentrated 20 times in a SpeedVac system. Meanwhile, the cell pellet was washed three times in ice-cold 0.9% NaCl, resuspended in 0.9% NaCl so that the cells are 20 times concentrated and boiled for 10 minutes before centrifugation at maximum speed in a benchtop centrifuge for 10 min to remove the proteins and insoluble fraction.

Detection and chemical characterisation of the soluble muropeptides was carried out using an Acquity H-Class UPLC (Waters) coupled to a Xevo G2/XS QTOF mass spectrometer. Chromatographic separation was achieved using an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (Waters) maintained at 45°C. A linear gradient of 0.1% formic acid in water to 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile was used for elution. UV detection was performed at 204 nm. The QTOF instrument was operated in positive ion mode, with data collection performed in untargeted MS^e mode. The parameters were set as follows: capillary voltage 3.0 kV, source temperature 120°C, desolvation temperature 350°C, sample cone voltage 40 V, cone gas flow 100 L h^{-1} and desolvation gas flow 500 L h^{-1}. Data acquisition and processing was performed using the UNIFI software (Waters). A compound library of expected muropeptides was built in UNIFI by drawing the structures in ChemSketch (http://www.acdlabs.com). This

library was used for automated processing of the data and quantification by integration of the peaks from extracted ion chromatograms.

Peptidoglycan Analysis

Peptidoglycan isolation and analysis were carried out as described previously (47). Briefly, 10 mL stationary phase cultures were normalised to the same OD_{600}, pelleted, resuspended in 5% SDS and boiled for 2 h with stirring. The obtained sacculi were washed repeatedly in water to remove the SDS before analysis; pelleting was performed by ultracentrifugation in an Optima MAX-TL benchtop ultracentrifuge at 150,000 x g. The final pellet was resuspended in water and digested overnight with muramidase (80 µg/mL) before adjustment to pH 9.5 with addition of 0.5 M sodium borate, reduction with addition of 10 mg/mL sodium borohydride for 20 min at RT and adjustment to pH 3.5 by addition of orthophosphoric acid.

Liquid chromatography was carried out using an Acquity H-Class UPLC system (Waters) equipped with an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1mm x 150 mm, 130 Å pore size and 1.7 µm particle size, Waters). Chromatographic separation was achieved using a linear gradient from 0.1% formic acid in water to 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. Peaks were identified by running a reference sample in LC-MS using the same method as above and assigning peak identities using retention time. The relative amount of each muropeptide was calculated by integrating all the peaks and dividing each peak area by the total area of the chromatogram, while the total area of the chromatogram was taken as the relative density of PG per sample and expressed relative to the WT.

M4N^{Met} incorporation experiment

M4N was prepared by digesting purified *V. cholerae* sacculi using the lytic transglycosylase MltA. An in vitro Ldt-mediated exchange reaction with purified LdtA was used to swap the D-Ala in position 4 with D-Met to produce M4N^{Met} as described (48). 10 mL of exponentially growing *A. tumefaciens* cultures was pelleted and resuspended in 200 µL fresh medium, and approximately 15 µg M4N^{Met} was added to each. The cultures were then grown for a further hour and prepared for soluble precursor analysis as described above.

Bioinformatic Analyses

Sequences of SBPs corresponding to YejA and YepA were identified in representative species spanning the Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales orders of the Alphaproteobacteria: *A. tumefaciens*, *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, *Bartonella henselae*, *Brucella melitensis*, *Ochrobactrum anthropi*, *Dinoroseobacter shibae* and *Phaeobacter inhibens*. SBPs were identified by phenotype for *D. shibae* and *P. inhibens* (using FitnessBrowser (49) to look for the SBP with the expected β-lactam and Fosfomycin sensitivity phenotypes) and eggNOG 5.0 (50) using fine-grained orthologs of Atu1774 and Atu0187 for the others. Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (51) and the JDet package (25) was used to find specificity-determining positions (SDPs), which were visualised using WebLogo (52). To produce a phylogenetic tree, all YejA orthologs were downloaded from OrthoDB (53), aligned using MUSCLE v5 and the previously determined SDPs were used to label each as either YejA or YepA. AmpG orthologs from OrthoDB were filtered by BLASTP

against *E. coli* AmpG using an E value cutoff of 1×10^{-25}. PhyloT was used to produce

a phylogenetic tree of all species on OrthoDB and orthologs of YejA, YepA and

AmpG were mapped against this. The final tree was visualised using iTOL (54).

RT-PCR of *yejABEF* cluster

All kits were used as per the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was

extracted from *A. tumefaciens* C58 WT using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (QIAGEN),

DNA was degraded using the TURBO DNA-free kit (Thermo) and cDNA was

synthesised using the Maxima Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo). PCR was

performed with primers FCP6349-6357 using the VeriFi polymerase (PCRBIO), with

a 64 °C annealing temperature and 1.5 min elongation time.

Transposon Sequencing

For identification of conditionally essential genes, transposon sequencing (Tn-

Seq) was performed broadly as described elsewhere (55), adapted for *A.*

tumefaciens. $3-5 \times 10^5$ transposon mutants were generated per library by conjugation

of *A. tumefaciens* C58 with *E. coli* SM10λ-pir carrying the mariner transposon donor

plasmid pSC189 (56). Mutant libraries were selected on LB plates with 500 μg/mL

kanamycin (to select for the transposon), 25 μg/mL streptomycin (to remove the

donor *E. coli*) and 2 mg/mL Fosfomycin where appropriate. The libraries were

collected, genomic DNA extracted, and pooled genomic DNA fragments were

sequenced using a MiSeq system (Illumina). Insertion sites were identified and

statistical representation of transposon insertions determined using the ConArtist

pipeline as described (57).

Acknowledgements

We thank all members of the Cava lab for helpful comments and suggestions.

Research in the Cava lab is supported by the Swedish Research Council,

the Laboratory for Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden (MIMS), Umeå University,

the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW) and the Kempe Foundation.

References

1. W. Vollmer, D. Blanot, M. A. De Pedro, Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **32**, 149–167 (2008).

2. W. Vollmer, B. Joris, P. Charlier, S. Foster, Bacterial peptidoglycan (murein) hydrolases. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **32**, 259–286 (2008).

3. O. Irazoki, S. B. Hernandez, F. Cava, Peptidoglycan muropeptides: Release, perception, and functions as signaling molecules. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **10** (2019).

4. J. T. Park, T. Uehara, How Bacteria Consume Their Own Exoskeletons (Turnover and Recycling of Cell Wall Peptidoglycan). *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **72**, 211–227 (2008).

5. C. Jacobs, L. J. Huang, E. Bartowsky, S. Normark, J. T. Park, Bacterial cell wall recycling provides cytosolic muropeptides as effectors for β-lactamase induction. *EMBO Journal* **13**, 4684–4694 (1994).

6. C. Jacobs, *et al.*, AmpD, essential for both β-lactamase regulation and cell wall recycling, is a novel cytosolic N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase. *Molecular Microbiology* **15**, 553–559 (1995).

7. M. F. Templin, A. Ursinus, J. V. Höltje, A defect in cell wall recycling triggers autolysis during the stationary growth phase of Escherichia coli. *EMBO Journal* **18**, 4108–4117 (1999).

8. J. Gisin, A. Schneider, B. Nägele, M. Borisova, C. Mayer, A cell wall recycling shortcut that bypasses peptidoglycan de novo biosynthesis. *Nature Chemical Biology* **9**, 491–493 (2013).

9. M. Borisova, J. Gisin, C. Mayer, The N-Acetylmuramic Acid 6-Phosphate Phosphatase MupP Completes the Pseudomonas Peptidoglycan Recycling Pathway Leading to Intrinsic Fosfomycin Resistance. *mBio* **8**, e00092-17 (2017).

10. C. Fumeaux, T. G. Bernhardt, Identification of MupP as a new peptidoglycan recycling factor and antibiotic resistance determinant in pseudomonas aeruginosa. *mBio* **8**, 1–13 (2017).

11. D. Mengin-Lecreulx, J. Van Heijenoort, J. T. Park, Identification of the mpl gene encoding UDP-N-acetylmuramate: L-alanyl- γ-D-glutamyl-meso-diaminopimelate ligase in Escherichia coli and its role in recycling of cell wall peptidoglycan. *Journal of Bacteriology* **178**, 5347–5352 (1996).

12. E. W. Goodell, Recycling of murein by Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology* **163**, 305–310 (1985).

13. E. Goodell, U. Schwarz, Release of Cell Wall Peptides into Culture Medium by Exponentially Growing Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology* **162**, 391–397 (1985).

14. S. B. Hernández, T. Dörr, M. K. Waldor, F. Cava, Modulation of Peptidoglycan Synthesis by Recycled Cell Wall Tetrapeptides. *Cell Reports* **31** (2020).

548   15.   F. M. Kahan, J. S. Kahan, P. J. Cassidy, H. Kropp, The Mechanism of Action of Fosfomycin (Phosphonomycin). *Annals*
549   *of the New York Academy of Sciences* **235**, 364–386 (1974).

550   16.   P. Seweryn, *et al.*, Structural insights into the bacterial carbon-phosphorus lyase machinery. *Nature* **525**, 68–72 (2015).

551   17.   A. K. White, W. W. Metcalf, Microbial metabolism of reduced phosphorus compounds. *Annual Review of Microbiology*
552   **61**, 379–400 (2007).

553   18.   J. W. McGrath, F. Hammerschmidt, J. P. Quinn, Biodegradation of phosphonomycin by Rhizobium huakuii PMY1.
554   *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **64**, 356–358 (1998).

555   19.   M. Novikova, *et al.*, The Escherichia coli Yej transporter is required for the uptake of translation inhibitor microcin C.
556   *Journal of Bacteriology* **189**, 8361–8365 (2007).

557   20.   Q. Nicoud, *et al.*, Sinorhizobium meliloti Functions Required for Resistance to Antimicrobial NCR Peptides and Bacteroid
558   Differentiation. *mBio* **12**, e00895-21 (2021).

559   21.   W. M. Figueroa-Cuilan, *et al.*, Induction of AmpC-Mediated β-Lactam Resistance Requires a Single Lytic
560   Transglycosylase in Agrobacterium tumefaciens. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, e0033322 (2022).

561   22.   C. Jacobs, J.-M. Frère, S. Normark, Cytosolic Intermediates for Cell Wall Biosynthesis and Degradation Control
562   Inducible β-Lactam Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Cell* **88**, 823–832 (1997).

563   23.   A. Aliashkevich, F. Cava, LD-transpeptidases: the great unknown among the peptidoglycan cross-linkers. *FEBS Journal*
564   (2021) https:/doi.org/10.1111/febs.16066.

565   24.   E. K. Perry, D. K. Newman, The transcription factors ActR and SoxR differentially affect the phenazine tolerance of
566   Agrobacterium tumefaciens. *Mol Microbiol* **112**, 199–218 (2019).

567   25.   T. Muth, *et al.*, JDet: Interactive calculation and visualization of function-related conservation patterns in multiple
568   sequence alignments and structures. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 584–586 (2012).

569   26.   I. G. Boneca, The role of peptidoglycan in pathogenesis. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **8**, 46–53 (2005).

570   27.   R. E. Schaub, J. P. Dillard, The Pathogenic Neisseria Use a Streamlined Set of Peptidoglycan Degradation Proteins for
571   Peptidoglycan Remodeling, Recycling, and Toxic Fragment Release. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **10** (2019).

572   28.   R. S. Rosenthal, W. Nogami, B. T. Cookson, W. E. Goldman, W. J. Folkening, Major fragment of soluble peptidoglycan
573   released from growing Bordetella pertussis is tracheal cytotoxin. *Infection and Immunity* **55**, 2117–2120 (1987).

574   29.   S. Mattoo, J. D. Cherry, Molecular Pathogenesis, Epidemiology, and Clinical Manifestations of Respiratory Infections
575   Due to Bordetella pertussis and Other Bordetella Subspecies. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **18**, 326–382 (2005).

576   30.   Y. Dai, V. Pinedo, A. Y. Tang, F. Cava, E. Geisinger, A New Class of Cell Wall-Recycling l,d-Carboxypeptidase
577   Determines β-Lactam Susceptibility and Morphogenesis in Acinetobacter baumannii. *mBio* **12**, e0278621 (2021).

578   31.   B. W. Simpson, *et al.*, Acinetobacter baumannii Can Survive with an Outer Membrane Lacking Lipooligosaccharide Due
579   to Structural Support from Elongasome Peptidoglycan Synthesis. *mBio* **12**, e0309921 (2021).

580   32.   P. J. B. Brown, *et al.*, Polar growth in the Alphaproteobacterial order Rhizobiales. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
581   *of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 1697–1701 (2012).

582   33.   E. Krol, *et al.*, Tol-Pal System and Rgs Proteins Interact to Promote Unipolar Growth and Cell Division in Sinorhizobium
583   meliloti. *mBio* **11**, e00306-20 (2020).

584   34.   A. I. Weaver, *et al.*, Lytic transglycosylases mitigate periplasmic crowding by degrading soluble cell wall turnover
585   products. *Elife* **11**, e73178 (2022).

586   35.   M. W. Breedveld, K. J. Miller, Cyclic beta-glucans of members of the family Rhizobiaceae. *Microbiol Rev* **58**, 145–161
587   (1994).

588   36.   S. Giacomucci, L. Alvarez, C. D. A. Rodrigues, F. Cava, C. Paradis-Bleau, Hydroxyl Radical Overproduction in the
589   Envelope: an Achilles' Heel in Peptidoglycan Synthesis. *Microbiol Spectr* **10**, e0120321 (2022).

590   37.   M. F. F. Arnold, *et al.*, Genome-Wide Sensitivity Analysis of the Microsymbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti to Symbiotically
591   Important, Defensin-Like Host Peptides. *mBio* **8**, e01060-17 (2017).

38.   T. H. Mauchline, *et al.*, Mapping the Sinorhizobium meliloti 1021 solute-binding protein-dependent transportome.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **103**, 17933–17938 (2006).

39.   J. P. W. Young, *et al.*, The genome of Rhizobium leguminosarum has recognizable core and accessory components.
Genome Biology **7**, R34 (2006).

40.   J. Prell, *et al.*, The PTSNtr system globally regulates ATP-dependent transporters in Rhizobium leguminosarum.
Molecular Microbiology **84**, 117–129 (2012).

41.   V. Untiet, *et al.*, ABC transport is inactivated by the PTS(Ntr) under potassium limitation in Rhizobium leguminosarum
3841. *PLoS One* **8**, e64682 (2013).

42.   G. Mulley, *et al.*, Mutation of GOGAT prevents pea bacteroid formation and N2 fixation by globally downregulating
transport of organic nitrogen sources. *Mol Microbiol* **80**, 149–167 (2011).

43.   G. Cheng, R. Karunakaran, A. K. East, O. Munoz-Azcarate, P. S. Poole, Glutathione affects the transport activity of
Rhizobium leguminosarum 3841 and is essential for efficient nodulation. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **364** (2017).

44.   J. Hartl, *et al.*, Untargeted metabolomics links glutathione to bacterial cell cycle progression. *Nat Metab* **2**, 153–166
(2020).

45.   E. R. Morton, C. Fuqua, Laboratory Maintenance of Agrobacterium. *Current Protocols in Microbiology* **73**, 141–151
(2012).

46.   E. R. Morton, C. Fuqua, Genetic manipulation of Agrobacterium. *Current Protocols in Microbiology*, 1–15 (2012).

47.   L. Alvarez, S. B. Hernandez, M. A. De Pedro, F. Cava, "Ultra-Sensitive, High-Resolution Liquid Chromatography
Methods for the High-Throughput Quantitative Analysis of Bacterial Cell Wall Chemistry and Structure" in *Bacterial Cell
Wall Homeostasis: Methods and Protocols*, (2016).

48.   F. Cava, M. A. De Pedro, H. Lam, B. M. Davis, M. K. Waldor, Distinct pathways for modification of the bacterial cell wall
by non-canonical D-amino acids. *EMBO Journal* **30**, 3442–3453 (2011).

49.   M. N. Price, *et al.*, Mutant phenotypes for thousands of bacterial genes of unknown function. *Nature* **557**, 503–509
(2018).

50.   J. Huerta-Cepas, *et al.*, eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource
based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**, D309–D314 (2019).

51.   R. C. Edgar, MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*
32, 1792–1797 (2004).

52.   G. E. Crooks, G. Hon, J.-M. Chandonia, S. E. Brenner, WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. *Genome Res* **14**, 1188–
1190 (2004).

53.   E. V. Kriventseva, *et al.*, OrthoDB v10: sampling the diversity of animal, plant, fungal, protist, bacterial and viral
genomes for evolutionary and functional annotations of orthologs. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**, D807–D811 (2019).

54.   I. Letunic, P. Bork, Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic
Acids Research* **49**, W293–W296 (2021).

55.   M. C. Chao, *et al.*, High-resolution definition of the Vibrio cholerae essential gene set with hidden Markov model-based
analyses of transposon-insertion sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**, 9033–9048 (2013).

56.   S. L. Chiang, E. J. Rubin, Construction of a mariner-based transposon for epitope-tagging and genomic targeting. *Gene*
296, 179–185 (2002).

57.   J. R. Pritchard, *et al.*, ARTIST: High-Resolution Genome-Wide Assessment of Fitness Using Transposon-Insertion
Sequencing. *PLoS Genetics* **10** (2014).

Figures

Figure 1. *A. tumefaciens* recycles muropeptides. (A). Schematic diagram of PG

recycling pathway in *E. coli*. TP, transpeptidase; TG, transglycosylase; LT, lytic

transglycosylase; OM, outer membrane; PG, peptidoglycan; IM, inner membrane.

(B). Soluble PG fragments that accumulate in the cytoplasm of *A. tumefaciens* WT,

Δ*ampD* and Δ*nagZ* strains detected using LC-MS.

Figure 2. YejBEF is the PG recycling transporter in *A. tumefaciens*. (A). Volcano plot

showing the ratio of Transposon sequencing (Tn-Seq) reads mapped to genes in the

A. tumefaciens WT strain treated with 2 mg/mL Fosfomycin compared to control,

plotted against inverse p-value. Genes corresponding to *yejBEF* and Atu1774 are

highlighted in red. (B). UPLC chromatogram showing levels of anhydromuropeptides

released into the supernatants by *A. tumefaciens* WT and Δ*yejABEF* strains (C).

Genomic context of *yejABEF* and Atu1774. (D). Soluble PG fragments that

accumulate in *A. tumefaciens* WT, Δ*yejABEF*, Δ*ampD* and Δ*yejABEFΔampD* strains

detected using UPLC.

Figure 3. Δ*yejABEF* is hypersensitive to Ampicillin, in a manner only partly

dependent on the β-lactamase AmpC. (A). Genomic context of the AmpC β-

lactamase in A. tumefaciens, showing proximity to canonical AmpR repressor. (B).

Comparison of Amp resistance of A. tumefaciens WT, Δ*yejABEF*, Δ*ampC* and

Δ*yejABEF*Δ*ampC* strains by growth on LB plates with Ampicillin MIC test strips. (C).

Hydrolysis of nitrocefin by lysates of *A. tumefaciens* WT, Δ*yejABEF*, Δ*ampC* and

Δ*yejABEF*Δ*ampC* strains, measured by following A_{486}. (D). Comparison of Amp

resistance of *A. tumefaciens* Δ*yejA* and Δ*yepA* strains. (E). Accumulation of

muropeptides in the supernatants of *A. tumefaciens* WT, Δ*yepA*, Δ*yejA* and

Δ*yejABEF* strains, measured by UPLC. (F). Comparison of Aztreonam resistance of

A. tumefaciens WT, Δ*yejABEF* and Δ*ampC* strains by growth on LB plates with

Aztreonam MIC test strips. (G). Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of A.

tumefaciens WT, Δ*yejABEF* and Δ*ampC* to β-lactams Ampicillin, Aztreonam,

Mecillinam, Imipenem, and MurA-inhibitor Fosfomycin.

Figure 4. PG recycling is required for cell wall integrity in *A. tumefaciens*. (A-E).

Phenotypic analysis of *A. tumefaciens* WT and Δ*yejABEF* strains. (A). Phase

contrast microscopy of WT and Δ*yejB* cells grown on LB_{10} and LB_0. (B). Growth

curves of WT and Δ*yejABEF* on LB_{10} and LB_0. (C). Molar abundance of DD- and

LD-crosslinks in cell walls determined by UPLC analysis of WT and Δ*yejB* PG. (D).

Relative PG amounts determined by total area under UPLC chromatograms of WT

and Δ*yejB* PG. (E). LC-MS quantification of primary soluble PG precursor UDP-M5 in

WT and Δ*yejB*. (F). Volcano plot showing the ratio of Tn-Seq reads mapped to genes

in the *A. tumefaciens* Δ*yejABEF* strain compared to WT as control. Selected

680   synthetically detrimental (red) and synthetically beneficial (green) hits are

681   highlighted. (G). Table listing selected synthetically detrimental or beneficial hits in

682   Δ*yejABEF* Tn-Seq with annotations.

A

B

Figure 5. YejABEF is widespread in the proteobacteria, but YepA is only present in the Alphaproteobacteria. (A). Phylogenetic tree showing distribution of *ampG*, *yejA* and *yepA* in the proteobacteria. (B). Molecular fingerprint residues of YepA used to differentiate between YejA and YepA.

Supplementary Figure 1. Detection of accumulating muropeptide species in *A.*

tumefaciens WT and Δ*ampD* strains containing expression vector with or without *E.*

coli ldcA using LC-MS.

Supplementary Figure 2. Detection of accumulating muropeptide species in A.

tumefaciens WT, ΔampD, ΔyejABEF and ΔyejABEFΔampD containing expression

vector with or without *E. coli ampG* using UPLC.

Supplementary Figure 3. *yejABEF* genes are co-transcribed, but *yejA* is not involved

in PG recycling. (A). PCR amplification using as template: 1. gDNA; 2. RT reaction

without added RT; 3. cDNA. Inter- and intragenic regions amplified are indicated

below. (B). Ampicillin MIC test strips of *A. tumefaciens* WT, Δ*yejA*, Δ*yejB*, Δ*yejE*,

Δ*yejF*, Δ*yejABEF* and Δ*yepA*.

Supplementary Figure 4. PG recycling is required for cell wall integrity in *A. tumefaciens* (cont.). (A). Growth curves of *A. tumefaciens* WT, Δ*yejA*, Δ*yepA* and Δ*yejABEF* strains on LB$_{10}$ and LB$_0$. (B). Volcano plot showing the ratio of Tn-Seq reads mapped to genes in the *A. tumefaciens* Δ*yejABEF*, Δ*yepA* and Δ*yejA* strains compared to WT as control. Selected synthetically detrimental (red) and synthetically beneficial (green) hits are highlighted. (C) Quantification of PG precursors UDP-M5 and UDP-MurNAc in *A. tumefaciens* WT, Δ*yejABEF*, ΔAtu2582 and Δ*yejABEF*ΔAtu2582 strains using LC-MS.