

1 **Title: Interlimb coordination in Parkinson's Disease is affected by a visuospatial dual**
2 **task**

3 **Running title: Interlimb coordination and DT in PD**

4

5 Allen Hill^a, Julie Nantel^{a*}

6

7 ^a School of Human Kinetics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

8 * corresponding author, jnantel@uottawa.ca

9

10 Key words: Parkinson's disease, dual task, interlimb coordination, gait asymmetry, relative
11 phase, more affected side

12

13 Submitting to: Journal of Experimental Biology (JEB)

14 Abstract word count: 231/250

15 Manuscript body word count: 2212 (not including methods)

16

17 Summary statement

18 A dual-task during gait disrupted attempts to compensate for spatial and temporal
19 coordination deficits on the less affected side in a group of people with mild to moderate
20 Parkinson's disease.

21 Abstract

22 Parkinson's disease (PD) leads to reduced spatial and temporal interlimb coordination
23 during gait as well as reduced coordination in the upper or lower limbs. While multi-tasking
24 when walking is common during real world activities, the impact of dual-tasking (DT) on intra
25 and interlimb coordination of both lower and upper limbs when walking in people with PD
26 remains unknown. Seventeen volunteers with mild to moderate PD (11M, 65±8 years, 173±8
27 cm, 74±20 kg, UPDRS III 10±5) participated in gait trials in an Extended-CAREN system, which
28 includes a treadmill, 12-camera Vicon motion capture system, and a 180° field-of-view virtual
29 reality projection screen. Participants completed a 3 min walking trial, and a 2 min visuospatial
30 word recognition DT trial at their preferred walking pace. Single and DT were compared with a
31 paired t-test. During DT, we found the less affected (LA) shoulder ROM decreased by 1.5°, the
32 LA shoulder peak flexion decreased by 1.1°, the LA hip ROM decreased by 0.99° ($p<.04$,
33 $g_{av}>.12$), and hip ROM asymmetry decreased by 0.96° ($p=.01$, $g_{av}=.24$). Upper and lower
34 intralimb phase variability on the LA side increased 3.8° and 0.94°, respectively, during DT
35 ($p<.03$, $g_{av}>.28$). These results suggest that during normal single-task gait, people with PD use
36 attentional resources to compensate for deficits in spatial and temporal coordination.
37 Furthermore, our results may indicate that compensating for deficits in coordination is a higher
38 priority than minimizing asymmetry in gait.

39 1 Introduction

40 Parkinson's disease (PD) is a multisystem neurodegenerative disease characterized by
41 the loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra with cascading effects in other regions,
42 including those involved in cholinergic systems (Poewe et al., 2017; Yarnall et al., 2011). The
43 neurodegeneration in PD begins unilaterally and progresses bilaterally, and motor symptoms
44 mirror this unilateral emergence and bilateral progression (Djaldetti et al., 2006). The
45 asymmetric development commonly results in one side being more affected than the other
46 (Djaldetti et al., 2006). PD has three cardinal motor symptoms: bradykinesia, rigidity, and
47 tremor. In addition, people with PD develop further motor deficits—including hypokinesia

48 (reduced movement amplitude), increased movement variability, gait asymmetry, and postural
49 instability—which contribute to or reflect impairments in coordinating the upper and lower limbs
50 to achieve successful locomotion. (Mirelman et al., 2019; Plotnik et al., 2007; van Emmerik and
51 Wagenaar, 1996; Yogev et al., 2007). Work by Marigold and Misiąszek (2009) suggests that
52 interlimb coordination is a factor in maintaining dynamic stability during gait based on the
53 presence of whole body reactions involving both the lower and upper limbs in response to
54 perturbations.

55 Coordination is defined as the context and phase dependent control of spatial and
56 temporal cyclical relationships between body segments (Krasovsky and Levin, 2010). One
57 prominent feature of normal gait coordination (for gait speeds above 0.8 m/s) is the fixed anti-
58 phase swing (180 deg phase offset) between the arms, legs, and ipsilateral arm-leg pairs, while
59 contralateral arm-leg pairs exhibit fixed in-phase swing (Wagenaar and van Emmerik, 2000).
60 These phase relationships result in gait which is generally symmetric in healthy adults (Killeen
61 et al., 2018; Sadeghi et al., 2000). In contrast, asymmetry in spatial and temporal gait
62 characteristics is recognized as a disruption in the coordination of normal gait, and occurs in
63 several populations with pathological gait, including those with PD (Huang et al., 2012; Park et
64 al., 2016; Yogev et al., 2007).

65 The phase coordination index (PCI) is a measure of accuracy and consistency in the
66 anti-phase coordination of step timing during gait (Plotnik et al., 2007). Results by Plotnik and
67 collaborators (2007) reported worse coordination, as measured by PCI, in people with PD
68 compared to healthy controls. Additionally, other studies evaluating coordination with PCI
69 showed a reduced ability in people with PD to adapt the coordination of the lower limbs to step
70 lengths and times other than preferred, or to external mechanical constraints, such as walking
71 on split-belt treadmills (Fasano et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2013). However, PCI is only used to
72 assess lower limb coordination.

73 Continuous relative phase (CRP) is a technique which has been previously used to
74 measure the accuracy and stability of coordination between limb or joint pairs, including upper
75 and lower limbs (Schmidt et al., 1993). Previous studies have found that compared to healthy
76 peers, people with PD have reduced coordination accuracy and stability (measured with CRP)
77 for upper and lower interlimb coordination during gait and bimanual coordination tasks (Almeida
78 and Brown, 2013; van Emmerik and Wagenaar, 1996). Similarly, CRP can be used to measure
79 coordination stability within individual limbs (i.e. intralimb coordination), but we are not aware of
80 previous studies having studied this in people with PD (Barela et al., 2000; Byrne et al., 2002).

81 Other measures of coordination (cross-correlation techniques, arm swing asymmetry,
82 and intracycle phase variability) have been used to detect reduced coordination between the
83 upper limbs in people with PD compared to healthy controls (Huang et al., 2012; Sterling et al.,
84 2015). Furthermore, investigations of ipsilateral and contralateral arm-leg coordination have
85 found reduced coupling (measured by cross-correlation) (Roemmich et al., 2013) and a larger
86 phase offset between the more affected shoulder and hip (Nanhoe-Mahabier et al., 2011) in
87 people with PD compared to healthy controls.

88 Performing a secondary task during gait adds an attentional stressor that can reveal the
89 loss of automaticity for some aspects of gait (e.g. gait speed, gait variability, etc.)
90 (Yogev-Seligmann et al., 2008). In addition, gait while dual tasking may be more similar to gait
91 in the real world than typical laboratory recordings of steady state gait (Hillel et al., 2019).
92 Although bilateral coordination has been found to be sensitive to dual tasks in healthy young
93 and old adults and people with PD (Yogev-Seligmann et al., 2008), few studies have directly
94 investigated coordination of gait in people with PD while dual tasking. Among those studies,
95 people with PD show a larger decline in lower limb coordination measured by PCI during a dual
96 task compared to healthy controls, and PCI in PD fallers and freezers is more affected by a dual
97 task than PD non-fallers and freezers (Plotnik and Hausdorff, 2008; Plotnik et al., 2009; Plotnik
98 et al., 2011). In addition, studies have found reduced arm swing during gait in people with PD
99 during a dual task compared to single task gait (Baron et al., 2018; Mirelman et al., 2016).
100 However, no previous studies have explored coordination of both upper and lower limbs during
101 gait, including intra and interlimb coordination, in people with PD while dual tasking. The
102 purpose of this study is to investigate spatial and temporal coordination within and between the
103 upper and lower limbs during single and dual task gait in people with PD. We hypothesize that a
104 visuospatial dual task will decrease coordination and increase asymmetry.

105 2 Methods

106 2.1 Participants

107 Volunteers with mild to moderate PD (between I-III Hoehn & Yahr) were recruited from
108 the Ottawa-Gatineau area. Exclusion criteria included any additional neurological impairment, a
109 recent orthopedic injury or surgery that could interfere with gait, the use of a walking aid, or any
110 discomfort with using a projected virtual reality system. Participant characteristics for sex, age,
111 height, weight, handedness, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) motor section
112 (III), freezing and falling status, and interval since diagnosis of PD were recorded (Table 1). The
113 more affected (MA) side was defined as the side where PD motor symptoms first occurred, as

114 reported by participants. Participants were tested while optimally medicated. All participants
115 provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by local ethics review boards.

116 2.2 Protocol

117 Data was collected using the CAREN system (CAREN-Extended, Motekforce Link,
118 Amsterdam, NL). The CAREN system consists of an instrumented split-belt treadmill (Bertec
119 Corp., Columbus, OH) embedded in a six degree-of-freedom motion platform, a 12-camera
120 motion capture system (Vicon, Oxford, UK), and a 180 deg field of view projection screen. A
121 safety harness attached to an overhead frame on the motion platform prevents participants from
122 falling without restricting movement.

123 Participants were allowed an initial familiarization period with the CAREN system; the
124 familiarization period was also used to determine their preferred walking speed for the gait trials.
125 The single task trial was 3 min long, while the dual task trial was 2 min long. The dual task
126 consisted of a visuospatial word recognition and acknowledgement task where a word was
127 shown at eye level at a random position between 20-70 deg to the left or right of center. Twelve
128 words were randomly drawn from a standard list of 16 possible words in the native language of
129 the participant (English or French). The dual task began 20 s into the trial, and a new word was
130 shown for 3 s every 2-4 s for 80 s. The dual task was designed to be an ecologically valid
131 recreation of common daily life situations (e.g. public transportation terminal, etc.) requiring
132 perception and comprehension of visual cues (Ahmadi et al., 2021; Siragy and Nantel, 2020).
133 Participants were allowed to rest between trials when requested.

134 2.3 Data reduction

135 A set of 57 markers (Wilken et al., 2012) was used to capture full-body kinematics at
136 100 Hz; marker data was then filtered using a 4th order dual-pass Butterworth low-pass filter
137 with a cutoff frequency of 12 Hz. Low pass filter cutoff frequency was chosen based on a
138 residual frequency analysis of marker data using an RMS noise of 0.5 mm measured from static
139 markers fixed to the motion platform (Winter, 2009). Gait events were calculated using an
140 algorithm based on the local extrema of the vertical position and velocity of the heel marker
141 (Roerdink et al., 2008). OpenSim was used with the Rajagopal et al. (2016) model to perform
142 inverse kinematics to extract bilateral knee, elbow, and shoulder and hip flexion/extension
143 angles (Delp et al., 2007). The wrist pronation/supination range of motion of the model was
144 expanded from 90 deg to 160 deg to better match normative characteristics (Shaaban et al.,
145 2008).

146 Spatial coordination was assessed as the bilateral peak flexion and average range of
147 motion (ROM) for the shoulder and hip joints and asymmetry therein. Asymmetry was calculated
148 as the difference between MA and less affected (LA) sides, $MA - LA$, as previously
149 recommended (Hill and Nantel, 2022a). Temporal coordination was assessed using CRP (Lamb
150 and Stöckl, 2014) to measure average phase offset and average intercycle phase variability
151 between interlimb (homologous, ipsilateral, and contralateral shoulder and hip) and intralimb
152 (shoulder and elbow, hip and knee) joint pairs. Continuous phase was calculated as the angle of
153 the complex analytic signal produced by the Hilbert transform after centering the original signal
154 (Lamb and Stöckl, 2014). Relative phase was calculated as $\theta_{MA} - \theta_{LA}$ for bilateral joint pairs,
155 $\theta_{shoulder} - \theta_{hip}$ for ipsilateral shoulder-hip pairs, and $\theta_{proximal} - \theta_{distal}$ for intralimb joint pairs.
156 Furthermore, asymmetry was measured for intralimb and ipsilateral phase variability, and PCI
157 was used as an additional measure of temporal coordination (Plotnik et al., 2007).

158 2.4 Statistics

159 Paired t-tests with a critical alpha of 0.05 were used for all variables. Two-tailed p-values
160 were used to test for changes in average phase offsets and asymmetry; one-tailed p-values
161 were used to test for decreases in peak flexion and ROM, and for increases in phase variability
162 and PCI. Effect sizes were reported using Cohen's d_{av} with a Hedges g correction, noted as g_{av}
163 (Cumming, 2011; Lakens, 2013). A sensitivity power analysis was conducted in G*Power (Faul
164 et al., 2007) to find that the minimum detectable effect size is $d_z = 0.63$ and $d_z = 0.72$ for one
165 and two-tailed t-tests, respectively, when $\alpha = .05$, $\beta = 0.8$, and the sample size is 17. Arm swing
166 for a given shoulder was treated as functionally absent when ROM was less than 5 deg. No
167 meaningful coordination was expected between any joint and a shoulder with functionally
168 absent arm swing; therefore, the inter- and intralimb CRP variables for affected
169 subjects/shoulders were removed as outliers—3 subjects at most, depending on the variable.
170 Circular statistics (circular mean and standard deviations) were used for all variables, which
171 were in angular units (Fisher, 1993). All data reduction and statistical analyses were performed
172 with the Julia language using open-source libraries and code (Bezanson et al., 2017; Hill and
173 Nantel, 2022b).

174 3 Results

175 Participant demographics are reported in Table 1. Twenty subjects who met the
176 inclusion criteria were recruited. Two participants with severe dyskinesia were excluded from
177 this study, and a third participant was excluded for talking with their hands during a significant

178 portion of a trial; both behaviors produce movements (Jankovic, 2005) which are disruptive to
 179 the normal coordination patterns of steady state gait. Subjects were diagnosed with PD an
 180 average of 7.4 ± 4.5 years prior to study participation.

181 **Table 1 Participant demographics**

Characteristic	Mean \pm SD	Range
Sex (n)	11 M, 6 F	
Age (years)	64.8 ± 7.7	48-79
Height (cm)	173.3 ± 7.6	165-188
Weight (kg)	74.2 ± 19.9	52-128
Handedness	14 R, 3 L	
More affected side	9 R, 8 L	
UPDRS III	10.2 ± 5.3	0-20
Freezers (n)	5	
Fallers (n, <1yr)	10	

182

183 Single and dual task results were calculated using an average of 139 ± 19 and 74 ± 6
 184 steps, respectively (dual task trial length was shorter than the single task trial). Preferred gait
 185 speed was 1.0 ± 0.2 m/s among subjects. Spatial coordination results are reported in Table 2.
 186 The LA shoulder ROM and peak flexion decreased by 1.5 deg and 1.1 deg, respectively, during
 187 dual task compared to single task walking. The LA hip ROM decreased by 0.99 deg during the
 188 dual task, and hip ROM asymmetry decreased by 0.97 deg during the dual task. Temporal
 189 coordination results are reported in Table 3. Intralimb phase variability on the LA side increased
 190 by 3.8 deg and 0.94 deg in the upper and lower limbs, respectively, during the dual task. The
 191 phase offset between MA hip and knee decreased by 1.7 deg during the dual task.

192

193 **Table 2 Spatial coordination and asymmetry between the more and less affected sides during**
 194 **single and dual task**

Variable		Single task	Dual task	t-test	p-value	g_{av}
Shoulder ROM (deg)	Asym	-3.4 ± 9.5	-0.3 ± 13.9	$t(16)=1.25$	0.228	0.27
	LA	20.9 ± 12.8	19.5 ± 11.9	$t(16)=-2.51$	0.012	-0.12
	MA	17.5 ± 12.1	19.2 ± 14.3	$t(16)=0.66$	0.742	0.12
Shoulder peak flexion (deg)	Asym	-2.2 ± 8.6	-0.4 ± 11.6	$t(16)=1.14$	0.272	0.18
	LA	15.0 ± 8.5	13.9 ± 8.1	$t(16)=-2.44$	0.013	-0.14
	MA	12.8 ± 7.8	13.5 ± 9.5	$t(16)=0.46$	0.676	0.08
Hip ROM (deg)	Asym	-1.5 ± 3.9	-0.6 ± 3.9	$t(16)=2.84$	0.012	0.24
	LA	38.8 ± 4.0	37.9 ± 3.9	$t(16)=-1.89$	0.038	-0.25
	MA	37.3 ± 5.0	37.3 ± 5.2	$t(16)=-0.05$	0.480	0
Hip peak flexion (deg)	Asym	-2.3 ± 3.2	-2.1 ± 3.0	$t(16)=0.71$	0.487	0.08

LA	25.2 ± 6.5	25.0 ± 6.9	t(16)=-0.92	0.186	-0.04
MA	22.9 ± 7.9	22.9 ± 7.7	t(16)=0.09	0.534	0

195 ROM stands for range of motion. LA/MA stands for less/more affected side. Asym is an
 196 abbreviation for asymmetry. g_{av} is the Cohen's d_{av} effect size corrected with Hedge's g .
 197

198 **Table 3 Temporal coordination and asymmetry between the more and less affected during**
 199 **single and dual task**

Variable		Single task	Dual task	t-test	p-value	g_{av}
PCI (deg)		6.6 ± 3.1	7.5 ± 4.0	t(16)=1.71	0.053	0.26
Shoulder interlimb (deg)	θ_{avg}	-178.9 ± 15.2	177.1 ± 21.4	t(13)=1.38	0.120	-0.22
	$\theta_{\mu sd}$	20.0 ± 10.0	21.5 ± 14.1	t(13)=0.51	0.312	0.11
Hip interlimb (deg)	θ_{avg}	178.9 ± 6.8	179.4 ± 8.0	t(16)=1.50	0.605	0.06
	$\theta_{\mu sd}$	6.5 ± 2.0	6.8 ± 2.8	t(16)=0.69	0.252	-0.06
Ipsilateral shoulder-hip (deg)	$\theta_{avg,LA}$	179.9 ± 23.8	178.9 ± 28.1	t(15)=1.29	0.614	-0.04
	$\theta_{avg,MA}$	179.5 ± 20.2	173.7 ± 27.0	t(13)=1.17	0.099	-0.26
	Asym	1.7 ± 9.5	-4.2 ± 14.5	t(13)=-1.56	0.143	-0.50
	$\theta_{\mu sd}$					
	$\theta_{\mu sd,LA}$	17.7 ± 15.6	21.9 ± 17.5	t(15)=1.56	0.070	0.24
	$\theta_{\mu sd,MA}$	16.5 ± 9.1	14.9 ± 6.2	t(13)=-0.26	0.599	-0.13
Contralateral shoulder-hip (deg)*	$\theta_{avg,LA}$	1.5 ± 25.4	0.1 ± 30.2	t(15)=-0.73	0.477	-0.06
	$\theta_{avg,MA}$	-1.4 ± 19.7	-7.4 ± 23.6	t(13)=-1.91	0.077	-0.24
	$\theta_{\mu sd,LA}$	17.6 ± 15.7	22.0 ± 17.6	t(15)=1.63	0.062	0.25
	$\theta_{\mu sd,MA}$	16.0 ± 9.0	14.0 ± 6.2	t(13)=-0.45	0.670	-0.19
Upper intralimb (deg)	$\theta_{avg,LA}$	9.5 ± 13.1	12.3 ± 15.2	t(15)=1.70	0.104	0.21
	$\theta_{avg,MA}$	8.5 ± 35.6	12.1 ± 18.4	t(13)=0.25	0.815	0.08
	Asym	8.8 ± 21.1	4.1 ± 13.7	t(13)=-0.89	0.390	-0.28
	$\theta_{\mu sd}$					
	$\theta_{\mu sd,LA}$	22.0 ± 10.2	25.8 ± 16.0	t(15)=2.02	0.031	0.28
	$\theta_{\mu sd,MA}$	31.0 ± 21.5	26.5 ± 13.2	t(13)=-0.53	0.699	-0.16
Lower intralimb (deg)	$\theta_{avg,LA}$	-84.4 ± 9.8	-84.7 ± 9.6	t(16)=-0.49	0.633	-0.03
	$\theta_{avg,MA}$	-84.8 ± 8.9	-83.1 ± 9.1	t(16)=2.26	0.040	0.19
	Asym	0.5 ± 1.3	-0.0 ± 1.1	t(16)=-2.09	0.053	-0.45
	$\theta_{\mu sd}$					
	$\theta_{\mu sd,LA}$	6.2 ± 1.5	7.2 ± 2.7	t(16)=2.40	0.014	0.29
	$\theta_{\mu sd,MA}$	6.7 ± 1.6	7.1 ± 2.2	t(16)=1.54	0.071	0.06

200 ROM stands for range of motion. LA/MA stands for less/more affected side. Asym is an
 201 abbreviation for asymmetry. g_{av} is the Cohen's d_{av} effect size corrected with Hedge's g . *LA/MA
 202 refers to the shoulder of the contralateral shoulder-hip pair. μSD is average intercycle phase
 203 variability. Arm swing for three subjects was treated as functionally absent in one or both
 204 shoulders, which reduced the degrees of freedom for the t-tests of some variables.

205 4 Discussion

206 In this study, we determined that some aspects of coordination are affected by a
207 visuospatial dual task in a cohort of mild to moderate PD. While dual tasking, compared to
208 single task gait, the LA shoulder ROM and peak flexion decreased, and the LA hip ROM
209 decreased leading to reduced hip ROM asymmetry (Table 2). Intralimb phase variability
210 increased in the upper and lower limbs on the LA side during the dual task, and the lag between
211 MA hip and knee decreased during the dual task (Table 3). The changes in LA intralimb
212 coordination may be linked to the decreases in LA shoulder and hip ROM during the dual task,
213 however, more investigation is needed to confirm or refute such a relationship. Our hypothesis
214 of worsened coordination during a dual task is partially supported by these results.

215 Previous studies show that due to gait asymmetry present in people with PD, the LA side
216 typically exhibits ROM and coordination that are more similar to—but not always matching—
217 healthy peers, while the MA side is less similar to healthy peers (Roemmich et al., 2013;
218 Roggendorf et al., 2012). The single task shoulder ROM in our data showed that the arm swing
219 was fairly symmetric, with shoulder ROM on the LA side slightly larger than the MA side (Table
220 2), and the shoulder ROM on both sides is slightly reduced compared to normative arm swing
221 data for healthy older adults at similar gait speeds (Killeen et al., 2018; Plate et al., 2015).
222 Similarly, the single task hip ROM and asymmetry (Table 2) match previous results in mild to
223 moderate PD, where the LA hip ROM was slightly larger and more similar to healthy elderly
224 controls, but asymmetry was generally small (Roemmich et al., 2013).

225 During the dual task condition, we observed significant changes in spatial and temporal
226 coordination predominantly on the LA side (Table 2-3). In our cohort, changes in spatial
227 coordination (reduced shoulder and hip ROM and increased hip ROM asymmetry) trended away
228 from behavior of healthy peers and trended towards the characteristics of the MA side. Similarly,
229 increased intralimb phase variability in the upper and lower LA limbs and a trend towards
230 increased PCI ($p=.053$, $g_{av}=0.26$) suggests a reduced coordinative stability originating from the
231 LA side (Amazeen et al., 1998; Plotnik et al., 2007). These changes suggest that during normal,
232 single task gait, participants used attentional resources to compensate for hypokinesia and
233 other coordination deficits on the LA side, while compensation on the MA side was less effective
234 (so as to produce an effect too small to be detected) or not attempted.

235 Previous studies of gait symmetry often assume that gait with minimal levels of
236 asymmetry is natural in healthy adults, which was confirmed for many aspects of gait, according
237 to a review by Sadeghi et al. (2000). In addition, healthy adults have been shown to quickly

238 adapt their gait on split-belt treadmills to minimize spatial and temporal asymmetry, and people
239 with PD demonstrate similar, albeit slower, gait adaptations (Malone et al., 2012; Roemmich et
240 al., 2014). However, even some aspects of gait in PD that show adaptation to split-belt walking
241 (e.g. step length) appear to maintain a consistently larger asymmetry than controls before,
242 during, and after adaptation (Roemmich et al., 2014). The consistent asymmetry in PD
243 throughout and post-adaptation may indicate the prioritization of other movement
244 characteristics, such as compensation for motor and coordination deficits, at the expense of
245 minimizing gait asymmetry.

246 In our results, we found greater asymmetry and larger differences between MA and LA
247 sides during single task gait, when participant's compensation for motor and coordination
248 deficits were not disrupted by a dual task. Therefore, although previous results indicate that
249 symmetric gait is a movement goal in healthy adults and in people with PD (Malone et al., 2012;
250 Roemmich et al., 2014), our results suggest that people with PD may prioritize compensation for
251 motor deficits (e.g. impaired coordination) higher than they prioritize symmetric gait. This theory
252 is supported by previous results from our group and others, which indicate that during single
253 task gait, compensation for motor deficits on the LA side produces step times (Siragy and
254 Nantel, 2020) and arm swing ROM (Mirelman et al., 2016) that are more similar to those of
255 healthy peers, but when compensation is disrupted during dual task gait, the LA side becomes
256 more similar to the performance of the MA side during normal gait. However, more research is
257 needed to confirm the prioritization of compensating for deficits at the expense of increased
258 asymmetry, and whether this strategy is optimal, in terms of dynamic stability.

259 4.1 Limitations

260 The participants in this study had generally mild PD, and the arm swing ROM and ROM
261 asymmetry within our cohort is markedly different compared to previously reported PD cohorts
262 (Isaias et al., 2012; Mirelman et al., 2016; Roggendorf et al., 2012; Sterling et al., 2015); it is
263 unclear how coordination would respond in people with similarly mild PD—but with larger levels
264 of arm swing asymmetry, or in people with more severe PD—which have more symmetric arm
265 swing but reduced ROM (Roggendorf et al., 2012).

266 Additionally, different tasks are known to have unique and specific effects on different
267 aspects of gait which may limit the generalizability of our results (Al-Yahya et al., 2011;
268 Rochester et al., 2014), and the visuospatial dual task in this study was simple (12/17
269 participants demonstrated perfect performance, and the remaining 5 participants responded to

270 10 \pm 1.5 words out of 12). However, Baron et al. (2018) found that arm swing kinematics were
271 sensitive to multiple common dual tasks.

272 5 Conclusion

273 Our results show that a visuospatial dual task during gait contributes to decreased
274 spatial and temporal coordination on the LA side in a group of mild PD. The effect of a dual task
275 on coordination suggests that people with PD use attentional resources to compensate for
276 motor deficits. Additionally, the changes in the LA, but not MA, side may suggest that
277 compensation for motor deficits during normal, single task gait is a higher priority than
278 minimizing gait asymmetry.
279

280 6 Bibliography

- 281 **Ahmadi, S., Siragy, T. and Nantel, J.** (2021). Regularity of kinematic data between single and
282 dual-task treadmill walking in people with Parkinson's disease. *Journal of*
283 *NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation* **18**, 20.
- 284 **Almeida, Q. J. and Brown, M. J. N.** (2013). Is DOPA-Responsive Hypokinesia Responsible for
285 Bimanual Coordination Deficits in Parkinson's Disease? *Front. Neurol.* **4**,.
- 286 **Al-Yahya, E., Dawes, H., Smith, L., Dennis, A., Howells, K. and Cockburn, J.** (2011).
287 Cognitive motor interference while walking: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
288 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **35**, 715–728.
- 289 **Amazeen, P. G., Amazeen, E. L. and Turvey, M. T.** (1998). Dynamics of Human
290 Intersegmental Coordination. In *Timing of Behavior: Neural, Psychological, and*
291 *Computational Perspectives* (ed. Rosenbaum, D. A.) and Collyer, C. E.), pp. 237–259.
292 The MIT Press.
- 293 **Barela, J. A., Whittall, J., Black, P. and Clark, J. E.** (2000). An examination of constraints
294 affecting the intralimb coordination of hemiparetic gait. *Human Movement Science* **19**,
295 251–273.
- 296 **Baron, E. I., Miller Koop, M., Streicher, M. C., Rosenfeldt, A. B. and Alberts, J. L.** (2018).
297 Altered kinematics of arm swing in Parkinson's disease patients indicates declines in gait
298 under dual-task conditions. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders* **48**, 61–67.
- 299 **Bezanson, J., Edelman, A., Karpinski, S. and Shah, V.** (2017). Julia: A Fresh Approach to
300 Numerical Computing. *SIAM Rev.* **59**, 65–98.
- 301 **Byrne, J. E., Stergiou, N., Blanke, D., Houser, J. J., Kurz, M. J. and Hageman, P. A.** (2002).
302 Comparison of Gait Patterns between Young and Elderly Women: An Examination of
303 Coordination. *Percept Mot Skills* **94**, 265–280.
- 304 **Cumming, G.** (2011). *Understanding The New Statistics: Effect Sizes, Confidence Intervals,*
305 *and Meta-Analysis*. New York: Routledge.
- 306 **Delp, S. L., Anderson, F. C., Arnold, A. S., Loan, P., Habib, A., John, C. T., Guendelman, E.**
307 **and Thelen, D. G.** (2007). OpenSim: Open-Source Software to Create and Analyze
308 Dynamic Simulations of Movement. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **54**,
309 1940–1950.
- 310 **Djaldetti, R., Ziv, I. and Melamed, E.** (2006). The mystery of motor asymmetry in Parkinson's
311 disease. *The Lancet Neurology* **5**, 796–802.
- 312 **Fasano, A., Schlenstedt, C., Herzog, J., Plotnik, M., Rose, F. E. M., Volkmann, J. and**
313 **Deuschl, G.** (2016). Split-belt locomotion in Parkinson's disease links asymmetry,
314 dyscoordination and sequence effect. *Gait & Posture* **48**, 6–12.
- 315 **Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G. and Buchner, A.** (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical
316 power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior*
317 *Research Methods* **39**, 175–191.

- 318 **Fisher, N. I.** (1993). *Statistical Analysis of Circular Data*. Cambridge University Press.
- 319 **Hill, A. and Nantel, J.** (2022a). Sensitivity of discrete symmetry metrics: Implications for metric
320 choice. *PLOS ONE* **17**, e0268581.
- 321 **Hill, A. and Nantel, J.** (2022b). *Data and Code for: Interlimb coordination in Parkinson's*
322 *Disease is affected by a visuospatial dual task*. Zenodo.
- 323 **Hillel, I., Gazit, E., Nieuwboer, A., Avanzino, L., Rochester, L., Cereatti, A., Croce, U. D.,**
324 **Rikkert, M. O., Bloem, B. R., Pelosin, E., et al.** (2019). Is every-day walking in older
325 adults more analogous to dual-task walking or to usual walking? Elucidating the gaps
326 between gait performance in the lab and during 24/7 monitoring. *European Review of*
327 *Aging and Physical Activity* **16**, 6.
- 328 **Huang, X., Mahoney, J. M., Lewis, M. M., Guangwei Du, Piazza, S. J. and Cusumano, J. P.**
329 (2012). Both coordination and symmetry of arm swing are reduced in Parkinson's
330 disease. *Gait & Posture* **35**, 373–377.
- 331 **Isaias, I. U., Volkman, J., Marzegan, A., Marotta, G., Cavallari, P. and Pezzoli, G.** (2012).
332 The Influence of Dopaminergic Striatal Innervation on Upper Limb Locomotor Synergies.
333 *PLOS ONE* **7**, e51464.
- 334 **Jankovic, J.** (2005). Motor fluctuations and dyskinesias in Parkinson's disease: Clinical
335 manifestations. *Movement Disorders* **20**, S11–S16.
- 336 **Killeen, T., Elshehabi, M., Filli, L., Hobert, M. A., Hansen, C., Rieger, D., Brockmann, K.,**
337 **Nussbaum, S., Zörner, B., Bolliger, M., et al.** (2018). Arm swing asymmetry in
338 overground walking. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 12803.
- 339 **Krasovsky, T. and Levin, M. F.** (2010). Review: Toward a Better Understanding of
340 Coordination in Healthy and Poststroke Gait. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* **24**, 213–224.
- 341 **Lakens, D.** (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a
342 practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. *Front. Psychol.* **4**,.
- 343 **Lamb, P. F. and Stöckl, M.** (2014). On the use of continuous relative phase: Review of current
344 approaches and outline for a new standard. *Clinical Biomechanics* **29**, 484–493.
- 345 **Malone, L. A., Bastian, A. J. and Torres-Oviedo, G.** (2012). How does the motor system
346 correct for errors in time and space during locomotor adaptation? *Journal of*
347 *Neurophysiology* **108**, 672–683.
- 348 **Marigold, D. S. and Misiaszek, J. E.** (2009). Whole-Body Responses: Neural Control and
349 Implications for Rehabilitation and Fall Prevention. *Neuroscientist* **15**, 36–46.
- 350 **Mirelman, A., Bernad-Elazari, H., Thaler, A., Giladi-Yacobi, E., Gurevich, T., Gana-Weisz,**
351 **M., Saunders-Pullman, R., Raymond, D., Doan, N., Bressman, S. B., et al.** (2016).
352 Arm swing as a potential new prodromal marker of Parkinson's disease. *Movement*
353 *Disorders* **31**, 1527–1534.

- 354 **Mirelman, A., Bonato, P., Camicioli, R., Ellis, T. D., Giladi, N., Hamilton, J. L., Hass, C. J.,**
355 **Hausdorff, J. M., Pelosin, E. and Almeida, Q. J.** (2019). Gait impairments in
356 Parkinson's disease. *The Lancet Neurology* **18**, 697–708.
- 357 **Nanhoe-Mahabier, W., Snijders, A. H., Delval, A., Weerdesteyn, V., Duysens, J., Overeem,**
358 **S. and Bloem, B. R.** (2011). Walking patterns in Parkinson's disease with and without
359 freezing of gait. *Neuroscience* **182**, 217–224.
- 360 **Park, K., Roemmich, R. T., Elrod, J. M., Hass, C. J. and Hsiao-Wecksler, E. T.** (2016).
361 Effects of aging and Parkinson's disease on joint coupling, symmetry, complexity and
362 variability of lower limb movements during gait. *Clinical Biomechanics* **33**, 92–97.
- 363 **Plate, A., Sedunko, D., Pelykh, O., Schlick, C., Ilmberger, J. R. and Bötzel, K.** (2015).
364 Normative data for arm swing asymmetry: How (a)symmetrical are we? *Gait & Posture*
365 **41**, 13–18.
- 366 **Plotnik, M. and Hausdorff, J. M.** (2008). The role of gait rhythmicity and bilateral coordination
367 of stepping in the pathophysiology of freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. *Movement*
368 *Disorders* **23**, S444–S450.
- 369 **Plotnik, M., Giladi, N. and Hausdorff, J. M.** (2007). A new measure for quantifying the bilateral
370 coordination of human gait: effects of aging and Parkinson's disease. *Exp Brain Res*
371 **181**, 561–570.
- 372 **Plotnik, M., Giladi, N. and Hausdorff, J. M.** (2009). Bilateral coordination of gait and
373 Parkinson's disease: the effects of dual tasking. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery &*
374 *Psychiatry* **80**, 347–350.
- 375 **Plotnik, M., Giladi, N., Dagan, Y. and Hausdorff, J. M.** (2011). Postural instability and fall risk
376 in Parkinson's disease: impaired dual tasking, pacing, and bilateral coordination of gait
377 during the "ON" medication state. *Exp Brain Res* **210**, 529–538.
- 378 **Poewe, W., Seppi, K., Tanner, C. M., Halliday, G. M., Brundin, P., Volkman, J., Schrag, A.-**
379 **E. and Lang, A. E.** (2017). Parkinson disease. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* **3**,
380 17013.
- 381 **Rajagopal, A., Dembia, C. L., DeMers, M. S., Delp, D. D., Hicks, J. L. and Delp, S. L.** (2016).
382 Full-Body Musculoskeletal Model for Muscle-Driven Simulation of Human Gait. *IEEE*
383 *Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **63**, 2068–2079.
- 384 **Rochester, L., Galna, B., Lord, S. and Burn, D.** (2014). The nature of dual-task interference
385 during gait in incident Parkinson's disease. *Neuroscience* **265**, 83–94.
- 386 **Roemmich, R. T., Field, A. M., Elrod, J. M., Stegemöller, E. L., Okun, M. S. and Hass, C. J.**
387 (2013). Interlimb coordination is impaired during walking in persons with Parkinson's
388 disease. *Clinical Biomechanics* **28**, 93–97.
- 389 **Roemmich, R. T., Nocera, J. R., Stegemöller, E. L., Hassan, A., Okun, M. S. and Hass, C. J.**
390 (2014). Locomotor adaptation and locomotor adaptive learning in Parkinson's disease
391 and normal aging. *Clinical Neurophysiology* **125**, 313–319.

- 392 **Roerdink, M., Coolen, B. (H.), Clairbois, B. (H.). E., Lamothe, C. J. C. and Beek, P. J.**
393 (2008). Online gait event detection using a large force platform embedded in a treadmill.
394 *Journal of Biomechanics* **41**, 2628–2632.
- 395 **Roggendorf, J., Chen, S., Baudrexel, S., van de Loo, S., Seifried, C. and Hilker, R.** (2012).
396 Arm swing asymmetry in Parkinson's disease measured with ultrasound based motion
397 analysis during treadmill gait. *Gait & Posture* **35**, 116–120.
- 398 **Sadeghi, H., Allard, P., Prince, F. and Labelle, H.** (2000). Symmetry and limb dominance in
399 able-bodied gait: a review. *Gait & Posture* **12**, 34–45.
- 400 **Schmidt, R. C., Shaw, B. K. and Turvey, M. T.** (1993). Coupling dynamics in interlimb
401 coordination. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*
402 **19**, 397–415.
- 403 **Shaaban, H., Pereira, C., Williams, R. and Lees, V. C.** (2008). The Effect Of Elbow Position
404 On The Range Of Supination And Pronation Of The Forearm. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol* **33**,
405 3–8.
- 406 **Siragy, T. and Nantel, J.** (2020). Absent Arm Swing and Dual-Tasking Decreases Trunk
407 Postural Control and Dynamic Balance in People with Parkinson's Disease. *Front.*
408 *Neurol.* **11**,.
- 409 **Sterling, N. W., Cusumano, J. P., Shaham, N., Piazza, S. J., Liu, G., Kong, L., Du, G.,**
410 **Lewis, M. M. and Huang, X.** (2015). Dopaminergic modulation of arm swing during gait
411 among Parkinson's disease patients. *J Parkinsons Dis* **5**, 141–150.
- 412 **van Emmerik, R. E. A. and Wagenaar, R. C.** (1996). Dynamics of movement coordination and
413 tremor during gait in Parkinson's disease. *Human Movement Science* **15**, 203–235.
- 414 **Wagenaar, R. C. and van Emmerik, R. E. A.** (2000). Resonant frequencies of arms and legs
415 identify different walking patterns. *Journal of Biomechanics* **33**, 853–861.
- 416 **Wilken, J. M., Rodriguez, K. M., Brawner, M. and Darter, B. J.** (2012). Reliability and minimal
417 detectable change values for gait kinematics and kinetics in healthy adults. *Gait &*
418 *Posture* **35**, 301–307.
- 419 **Williams, A. J., Peterson, D. S. and Earhart, G. M.** (2013). Gait coordination in Parkinson
420 disease: Effects of step length and cadence manipulations. *Gait & Posture* **38**, 340–344.
- 421 **Winter, D. A.** (2009). *Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement*. 4th ed. Hoboken,
422 New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 423 **Yarnall, A., Rochester, L. and Burn, D. J.** (2011). The interplay of cholinergic function,
424 attention, and falls in Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders* **26**, 2496–2503.
- 425 **Yogev, G., Plotnik, M., Peretz, C., Giladi, N. and Hausdorff, J. M.** (2007). Gait asymmetry in
426 patients with Parkinson's disease and elderly fallers: when does the bilateral
427 coordination of gait require attention? *Exp Brain Res* **177**, 336–346.

428 **Yogev-Seligmann, G., Hausdorff, J. M. and Giladi, N.** (2008). The role of executive function
429 and attention in gait. *Movement Disorders* **23**, 329–342.

430