Genomic islands of divergence between *Drosophila yakuba* subspecies

predominantly overlap with chromosomal inversions

Erina A. Ferreira[1,2], Cathy C. Moore[3], David Ogereau[1], Arnaud Suwalski[2], Rebekah L. Rogers[3], Amir

Yassin[1,2]

[1]Laboratoire Évolution, Génomes, Comportement et Écologie, CNRS, IRD, Université Paris-Saclay

– Institut Diversité, Écologie et Évolution du Vivant (IDEEV), 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

[2]Institut Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISyEB), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle,

CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, CP50, 75005 Paris, France.

[3]Department of Bioinformatics and Genomics, University of North Carolina, Charlotte NC, USA.

* Corresponding author: Amir Yassin (amir.yassin@universite-paris-saclay.fr)

Laboratoire Évolution, Génomes, Comportement et Écologie, CNRS, IRD, Université Paris-Saclay –

Institut Diversité, Ecologie et Evolution du Vivant (IDEEV), 12 route 128, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette,

France.

Abstract

During the early stages of speciation, genetic differences tend to accumulate at certain regions of the genome leading to the formation of genomic islands of divergence (GIDs). This pattern may be due to selection and/or difference in the rate of recombination. Here, we investigate the possible causes of GIDs in *Drosophila yakuba mayottensis*, a subspecies of *Drosophila yakuba* specializing on toxic noni (*Morinda citrifolia*) fruits on the island of Mayotte. Field collection reconfirmed the association of this subspecies with noni fruits. Population genomics did not find evidence for genetic structure on the island, but fine-scale analysis comparing these sequences to two mainland *D. y. yakuba* populations identified multiple structured genomic regions. We assembled a new genome for *D. y. mayottensis* using a combination of long and short reads*.* We identified six chromosomal inversions in high recombining regions, of which two were unique to *D. y. mayottensis*. Genome-wide differentiation analyses revealed that 92% of outlier genes overlapped with the inversions. We also compared population genomics sequences of *Drosophila sechellia*, the only other noni-specialist, to its generalist homosequential relatives of the *simulans* clade. Although we identified several common outlier genes between *D. sechellia* and *D. y. mayottensis*, we did not detect traces of GIDs in this clade. Thus, different genomic architectures can underlie complex convergence in response to common selection in independent lineages, leading to distinct genomic divergence patterns.

Keywords: ecological speciation; evolutionary predictability; population genomics; genetic parallelism; insect-plant interactions.

Introduction

During the early stages of speciation, genetic differences tend to accumulate more rapidly in certain genomic regions which can lead to the formation of genomic islands of divergence (GIDs) (Feder and Nosil 2009; Wolf and Ellegren 2017). Those islands were originally hailed as result of divergent selection acting on genes that may underlie adaptation to distinct environment and/or reproductive isolation (Turner et al. 2005). However, a plethora of mechanisms may also lead to heterogeneous patterns of genetic differentiation across the genome even in the absence of divergent selection. Most importantly, variation in recombination rate, due to heterochromatin content or structural variation, strongly affects the rate of the accumulation of genetic differences in natural populations (Feder and Nosil 2009; Cruickshank and Hahn 2014; Quilodrán et al. 2019; Huang et al. 2020). Chromosomal inversions are among the most common forms of structural variation, and a number of studies have unraveled an overlap between inversions and GIDs (Feder and Nosil 2009; Michel et al. 2010; Ellegren et al. 2012; Sodeland et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2020) . Inversions often suppress recombination hence reducing the rate by which slightly-deleterious mutations could be purged out from the population (Berdan et al. 2019; Faria et al. 2019; Jay et al. 2021). Conversely, the reduction of recombination could conserve one or more favorable allelic combinations for survival in contrasting environments, hence becoming targets of diverging selection. Untangling the complex interactions of selection and inversions in driving GIDs and ultimately speciation therefore requires proper understanding of the genomic architecture of the study model and its natural variation.

In herbivorous insects, which constitute a large portion of the biodiversity of the planet, speciation is usually associated with host shifts or changes in the width of the ecological niche, e.g., specialization (Hébert et al. 2016; Forbes et al. 2017). The complexity of this phenomenon, which should involve the simultaneous evolution of genes underlying multiple behavioral and physiological phenotypes with an ultimate impact on reproductive isolation, has long been a major area of research (Forbes et al. 2017). One of the best well-characterized systems at the genomic level is the specialization of the fly *Drosophila sechellia* on toxic fruits of noni (*Morinda*

citrifolia) on the Seychelles islands in the Indian Ocean. *Drosophila sechellia* is a member of the *simulans* species complex, which comprises two additional species, namely the cosmopolitan *Drosophila simulans* and *Drosophila mauritiana*, which is endemic to Mauritius. Unlike *D. sechellia*, both species are generalists and are intolerant to noni main toxins, octanoic acid causing death and hexanoic acid causing reversible coma (Legal et al. 1994). The three species represent a sister clade to *Drosophila melanogaster*. This facilitated the extension of the unique genetic and genomic tools from this paragmatic species to the study of *D. sechellia* specialization. Besides, the three species show partial reproductive isolation and are karyologically homosequential, making them ideal for quantitative trait loci (QTLs) mapping through interspecific crosses (Auer et al. 2021). In spite of these numerous advantages, the divergence of *D. sechellia* from its generalist sister species *D. simulans* is old enough, estimated at around 89.000 years ago (Schrider et al. 2018); its entire genome has accumulated so many differences that traces of possible GIDs during the early stages of divergence may be difficult to observe. Besides, a particularly low level of genetic diversity has been detected in this species (Legrand et al. 2009; Legrand et al. 2011; Schrider et al. 2018), further complicating population genomics analyses.

In January 2013, we discovered a second case of a *Drosophila* species associated with toxic noni in Mayotte, another island in the Indian Ocean. This was a population of *Drosophila yakuba*, which had higher preference for and survival on noni unlike its continental conspecifics (Yassin et al. 2016). The noni-specialist population has likely diverged from generalist continental populations nearly 28,000 years ago and specialization was accompanied by precopulatory isolation between Mayotte and continental flies, earning them subspecific status as *D. y. mayottensis* and *D. y. yakuba*, respectively (Yassin et al. 2016; Yassin 2017). Genomic comparisons between this single population of *D. y. mayottensis* and two *D. y. yakuba* continental populations identified multiple GIDs, widespread across the genome, consistent with theoretical expectations, with many GIDs overlapping with large noni survival QTLs in *D. sechellia* (Yassin, Debat, et al. 2016). *Drosophila yakuba* is a member of the *melanogaster* species subgroup, and it is thought to have diverged from *D. sechellia* nearly 7 million years ago (Suvorov et al. 2022). The

two species therefore represent an ideal case of two time points along an 'ecological speciation continuum', wherein two independent lineages specialize on the same host. In spite of those similarities, *D. yakuba* is a species where, unlike the *simulans* species complex, multiple polymorphic chromosomal inversions have been identified in continental populations (Lemeunier and Ashburner 1976). Several GIDs in *D. y. mayottensis* may therefore be result from such inversions.

In this paper, we report the population genomics analysis of three additional populations of *D. y. mayottensis* all associated with noni on Mayotte. We identified 18 GIDs distinguishing the three populations from continental *D. y. yakuba*. We assembled a genome for *D. y. mayottensis* and identified multiple inversions that predominantly overlap with the GIDs. We also performed population genomics analyses in the three species of the *simulans* complex. Despite minor overlap between outlier genes in both noni-specialist taxa that may indicate genetic parallelism, our results show that their potential genic convergence was attained through distinct genomic architectures.

Results

Drosophila yakuba mayottensis *is strictly associated with noni on Mayotte*

In April 2017, we returned to Mayotte to better investigate the association between *D. y. mayottensis* and noni. Out of 14 collection sites, we identified noni trees in 9 locations, mostly near the coastline. However, the trees were not always at the same fruiting stage, with fallen ripe fruits found at only three locations, namely Soulou (the same locality for the 2013 sample, hereafter SOU), M'tsangamouji (MTS) in the humid northwest and Bambo Est (BE) in the arid southeast (Figure 1A). *D. y. mayottensis* flies were collected through net sweeping or direct aspiration over fallen, rotting noni fruits only at those three locations. No single *D. y. mayottensis* fly was collected using fermenting banana traps at those sites or at any other site on the island, although the noni trees at Bambo Est were present amid a banana plantation. On continental Africa, such traps would have collected hundreds of *D. y. yakuba*. The total number of collected

D. y. mayottensis was low, ~200 flies throughout the 10-days duration of the expedition, compared

to ~600 flies that were collected during the last 2 days of the January 2013 at a single location

(David et al. 2014). This indicates a strong seasonality in the abundance of the species, consistent

with other observations on *D. y. yakuba* on the continent (Prigent et al. 2013), and highlights the

necessity of year-round investigations. We also observed that flies were more abundant on noni

fruits that have been nibbled and thrown to the ground by the brown lemur (*Eulemur fulvus*) than

on intact fallen fruits (Figure 1B).

Pooled and isofemale line sequencing of the three Mayotte populations reveal low level of

differentiation on the island

We established 26, 22 and 29 isofemale lines from wild-caught flies from the three

collection sites, *i.e.,* BE, SOU and MTS, respectively. As with the 2016 study (Yassin et al. 2016), we

sequenced a single F_1 fly per line in three pools according to the populations. The level of genetic

differentiation in SOU between the 2013 and 2017 samples was low (F_{ST} = 0,0272), as well as the

level of differentiation between the three 2017 geographical samples (F_{ST} = 0.0290 ± 0.0057). On

continental Africa, we estimated the level of genetic differentiation between two *D. y. yakuba*

populations from Kenya (NY) and Cameroon (CY) that were sequenced by Rogers et al. (2014) to

be F_{ST} = 0.0609, a value that is slightly lower from that distinguishing both populations from the

three Mayotte samples (F_{ST} = 0.0650 ± 0.015). Because F_{ST} estimates from Pool-Seq data could be

slightly biased (Hivert et al. 2018), we also sequenced 7, 6 and 6 individual isofemale lines from

BE, SOU and MTS, respectively. We found a strong correspondence between F_{ST} estimates from

both approach (F_{ST} = 0.0343 ± 0.0062 between the Mayotte populations and 0.0698 ± 0.0162

between Mayotte and the continent).

Fine-scale genetic structure analysis identifies 12 structured tracts in D. yakuba

We used the individual lines sequences to investigate genome-wide levels of population

genetic structure within and between *D. yakuba* subspecies. Following Reilly's (2020) study on

the two continental populations, we run the MavericK ver. 1.0 software package (Verity and

Nichols 2016) to infer for each 100-kb window of the v1.05 reference genome

(http://ftp.flybase.net/genomes/Drosophila_yakuba/) the likeliest number of demes (k) among

three possibilities 1, 2 and 3. 854 (72%), 272 (23%) and 59 (5%) of the windows had 1, 2 and 3

demes, respectively. Visual depiction of the distribution of the demes among the lines across the

genome identified structured genomic regions (

Figure 1C). Based on our previous determination of low-recombining genomic regions

from the number of segregating sites in CY, *i.e.* the most polymorphic *D. y. yakuba* population

inhabiting the ancestral range (CY), we identified 12 structured regions falling in normally high

recombining regions (Table S1). Remarkably, the regions greatly differed in length, with 6 regions

only encompassing from 1-2 windows (*i.e.* 100-200 kb) and six regions going from 0.7 to 11.6 Mb

long. The longest structured regions were on chromosome 2. Of which two regions (S3 and S4)

fell within the cytogenetic limits of the *2Lh* chromosomal inversion according to Lemeunier and

Ashburner (1976; considered new inversion by Reilly (2020)). The longest region, S7, almost

covered the entirety of the high recombining region of chromosomal arm 2R. It was the only

region with windows with 3 demes and it encompassed the cytogenetic limits of three large

inversions, *2Rj*, *2Rk* and *2Rn*, that were bioinformatically delimited by Reilly (2020). We noted,

however, that for those 2L and 2R regions, no structure among *D. y. mayottensis* lines was

observed, indicating that this subspecies is likely monomorphic for two inversions that are

polymorphic in *D. y. yakuba*. By contrast, all the remaining structured regions mostly

distinguished *D. y. mayottensis* from *D. y. yakuba* populations. We also noted other genomic

regions distinguishing the two species falling in the low recombining long telomeric region of

chromosome X and on the boundaries of the centromeric region of chromosome 3.

Novel genome assembly identifies subspecies-specific inversions in D. y. mayottensis

To investigate whether the remaining genomic regions distinguishing Mayotte from

continental flies fall within specific inversions, we assembled a new genome from a laboratory

mass culture of *D. y. mayottensis* that was formed from the pooling of isofemale lines collected in

Soulou in 2013. The assembly was made following a hybrid strategy that combined Oxford

Nanopore long read and Illumina HiSeq short read sequences under the MaSurCa software

package v3.4.0 (Zimin et al. 2013). The Nanopore MinION run has generated 143 587 reads with

a N50 of 33,25 kb and with the final assembly we had obtained 563 fragments with an N50 of

6,9Mb, and a BUSCOv5 score of 99,5% (Manni et al. 2021). We aligned the *D. y. mayottensis*

assembly on a novel assembly of the West-African reference *D. y. yakuba* strain that was

assembled using the same hybrid Nanopore-Illumina approach (Miller et al. 2018; David et al.

2022) (Figure 2A). This new assembly corrects the order of genes falling between 8,586,415 and

11,101,068 on chromosomal arm 2L (Figure S1). We also aligned the *D. y. mayottensis* assembly

on a PiggyBac long-read assembly for the Kenyan NY73PB strain (NCBI Assembly

GCA_016746335.2), which differed from the reference genome in being homozygous for different

karyotypes of the 2L and 2R inversions, namely *2Lh* and *2Rj* (Reilly 2020) (Figure 2B). From these

dual comparisons we were able to identify 11 inversions in low and high recombining regions.

Five of them were specific to *D. y. mayottensis*.

Nine *D. y. mayottensis* scaffolds aligned to the X chromosome. The longest scaffold

(scf7180000002491, 8090556 bp) covered the low recombining subtelomeric region. No signals

of inversions or breakpoints were detected on this scaffold. On the contrary, evidence of inversion

was detected in the middle of the scaffold scf7180000003411, which spans from X:9696909 to

the end of the scaffold at X:10135060 bp on the reference v1.05 genome, and which overlaps with

the short region strongly differentiating the two subspecies (

195   Figure 1C). A single breakpoint with inverted gene order was detected at this scaffold. We

were however unable to identify the second breakpoint of this inversion, which we call *Xtb*, on the

other scaffolds. The only other aberration that we detected was a connection between regions

X:12576920-13477771 and X:17070823-20685121 on the scf7180000002569 scaffold. Genes on

the two connected regions followed the same order as on the reference genome, which could

result from double inversions. However, we do not find population genetic support for such

hypothetical double inversions. It is therefore possible that the second breakpoint of the inversion

we detected at X:8671033 fell at intermediate regions between the scaffolds that we were not able

to assemble or align. No previous inversions on chromosome X were ever detected in *D. y. yakuba*,

and there is no evidence for genetic structure on this chromosome in the continental populations

(Figure 1C).

For chromosome 2, which is the most polymorphic in *D. y. yakuba*, alignment on both the

reference and NY73 genomes confirmed the population genomic analysis suggestion that

D. y. mayottensis was fixed for the *2Rj* inversion. Indeed, the breakpoints of the three common

inversions on 2R all fell within a single scaffold (scf7180000002462), which showed consistent

patterns of alignment with the reference genome, homozygous for *2Rn*, and the homokaryotpic

NY73, homozygous for *2Rj*. On chromosomal arm 2L, *D. y. mayottensis* was homokaryotypic with

the reference genome for inversion *2Lh*, and distinct from NY73 which is homozygous for

inversion *2Ll*. Nonetheless, we found an aberrant connection on the longest scaffold

(scf7180000002456) of this arm connecting regions 2L:14779-8423810 and 2L:10283568-

20352247 of the reference genome with opposite gene order at the later region. We, however,

note that the region at the left breakpoint is situated on a misassembled region on the 1.05

reference genome (see above; Figure S1). Indeed, the breakpoint at 2L:20352247 overlapped with

a genomic region differentiating *D. y. mayottensis* from the continent (

Figure 1C). We did not detect a second breakpoint on chromosomal arm 2L. However, a scaffold

near the centromeric region of chromosome 2R also showed evidence for a breakpoint. Because

the two breakpoints are separated by the highly repetitive centromeric scaffolds, with many of

such scaffolds also harboring evidence for inversion breakpoints, we cannot define at this stage

with certainty the boundaries of the unique 2L inversion in *D. y. mayottensis*, which we call *2Lo*.

Chromosome 3 is the least polymorphic autosome in *D. y. yakuba*. Lemeunier and

Ashburner (1976) found two inversions, *3Lj* and *3Rh*, at low frequencies mostly in West-African

populations but none was found between CY and NY populations. *D. y. mayottensis* had the

standard configuration found in the reference and NY73b genomes for those inversions. In

D. y. mayottensis, we detected two novel subcentromeric inversion breakpoints, on scaffold

scf7180000003504 on chromosomal arm 3L and on scaffold scf7180000003512 on chromosomal

arm 3R. Fine-scale genetic structure analyses did not suggest an association between the two

breakpoints and signal of subspecific differentiation was detected only on the 3R breakpoint,

suggesting the two breakpoints to likely be independent. As with chromosome 2, several

breakpoints in single scaffolds of the centromeric region of chromosome 3 were also detected.

Genomic islands of divergence between D. yakuba *subspecies overlap with inversions*

We conducted Population Branch Excess (*PBE*) analysis (Yassin et al. 2016) to identify

genomic islands of divergence specifically distinguishing *D. y. mayottensis* from the two

continental populations CY and NY. Because of the lack of genetic structure among the three

populations of *D. y. mayottensis* (

Figure 1C), we pooled either the individual lines sequences or the Pool-Seq sequences of

the three populations in a single population, referring to them as Pool of Individuals (PoI) and

Pool of Pools (PoP), respectively. Results did not substantially differ between the two methods

and we will show here PBE analysis on the PoP data. For sake of comparison with the three species

of the *simulans* complex (see below), we conducted the PBE analyses at each gene ± 2 kb. *PBE* is a

standardized version of the Population Branch Statistic (*PBS*), which was proposed to identify

population-specific allelic changes from F_{ST} pairwise comparisons between three populations (Yi

et al. 2010). *PBE* measures how *PBS* at a particular gene deviate from the chromosome-wide

median *PBS* divided by the median F_{ST} estimate from the two non-focal populations. Therefore,

PBE is less sensitive than *PBS* to genome-wide variation in polymorphism levels.

The *PBE* analyses showed 18 genomic islands of divergence (GIDs) with genes falling

above the 97.5% or below the 2.5% quantiles in *D. y. mayottensis* (Figure 3A; Table S1). Of these,

7 and 8 GIDs had predominantly positive or negative *PBE* values, respectively. Two GIDs had both

positive and negative *PBE* values. 12 out of the 18 GIDs fell within or were adjacent to

chromosomal inversions breakpoints, amounting to ~92% of the sum of the lengths of all GIDs.

Among these, the only two positive GIDs (GID1 and 8) fell within the *Xtb* and *2Lo* inversions

specific to *D. y. mayottensis*, respectively. Five negative GIDs fell at the breakpoints of the *2Lh* and

2Ll (GID3-6), and the breakpoints between *2Rn* and *2Rj/k* (GID10 and 13). Their negative *PBE*

values agree with the fact that the most widespread of those inversions were fixed in

D. y. mayottensis, while being polymorphic between the two *D. y. yakuba* populations. Two GIDs,

both falling within the polymorphic chromosomal arm 2R had positive *PBE* values at one side and

negative on the other. The positive *PBE* sides define the breakpoints between the *2Rj* inversion

(fixed in Mayotte) and the *2Rk* inversion (predominant in NY), since the reference genome is

homozygous for the *2Rn* inversion. Five out of the six remaining GIDs, which did not co-localized

with inversions, had positive *PBE* values (GID2 on 2L, GID14 on 2R and GID16-18 on 3R). Because

those GIDs do not fall within low recombining telomeric and centromeric region or in

chromosomal inversions, their high positive *PBE* values are likely due to selection specific to

D. y. mayottensis.

Population genomics analyses in D. sechellia *unravel distinct divergence patterns with*

D. y. mayottensis

For sake of comparison, we aligned on the reference chromosome v.2.02 of *D. simulans*

(Flybase ; Thurmond et al. 2019) recently published population genomics data for the three species

of the *simulans* complex, namely *D. mauritiana* (Nolte et al. 2012), *D. simulans* (Rogers et al. 2014)

and *D. sechellia* (Schrider et al. 2018). We conducted the *PBE* analysis on the topology

(*mauritiana*,(*simulans*,*sechellia*)) suggested by Cruickshank and Hahn (2014). In contrast to

D. y. mayottensis, no distinct genomic island of divergence was observed in *D. sechellia*; variation

in *PBE* values were almost equally distributed across the genome (Figure 3B). Applying the same

stringent threshold, we used for *D. y. mayottensis*, *i.e.* quantiles ≥97.5 and ≤2.5, outlier genes were

found scattered across the genomes. Of these, 28 genes were outliers in both *D. sechellia* and

D. y. mayottensis. This proportion is not significantly different from what would be expected if the

5% most outlier genes were randomly drawn from the two species, most likely because a great

majority of *D. y. mayottensis* outliers were strongly linked and consequently non-independent.

Nonetheless, those overlapping genes may still be candidate for parallel genetic changes

underlying the convergent specialization on noni fruits (see Discussion below).

Leveraging the knowledge from functional genetic studies, we investigated the *PBE* values

for genes for which evidence for contribution in *D. sechellia* divergence was demonstrated. These

include the odorant receptor *Or22a*, which is responsible for olfactory attraction to noni (Auer et

al. 2020), the ionotropic receptor *Ir75b*, which underlies short-range attraction to noni (Prieto-

Godino et al. 2017), the odorant binding proteins *Obp57d* and *Obp57e*, which underlie preference

for noni taste (Matsuo et al. 2007) and *Catsup*, which contributes to the higher oviposition rate in

D. sechellia when reared on noni (Lavista-Llanos et al. 2014). *PBE* values were -0.198, -0.016, -

0.049, -0.058 and -0.036, respectively. *Obp57d/e* which falls amid the major *2R* chromosomal

inversions, whereas none of the remaining genes overlap with any of the *D. y. mayottensis* GIDs.

Nonetheless, the very low *PBE* value of *Or22a* was astonishing. This gene had one of the most

extreme absolute *PBE* value in *D. sechellia*. The negative sign is in complete agreement with the

scenario deduced from functional studies wherein mutations in the remaining four genes had

likely originated in the *D. sechellia* lineage whereas mutations in *Or22a* conferring noni attractions

in *D. sechellia* were likely ancestral (Auer et al. 2020).

In summary, whereas no major overlap could be detected between the outliers of the two

species, population genomics analyses in the *simulans* clade was still capable to identify some

early targets of selection driving *D. sechellia* specialization on noni. Those analyses do not support

a role of GIDs at the early stages of speciation in this homosequential clade.

Discussion

Distinct genomic architectures between D. sechellia *and* D. y. mayottensis

How much could we learn about the early stages of *Drosophila sechellia* specialization on

noni, a primary genetics model for insect-plant interactions, from population genomics analyses

of its distant relative, yet another noni-specialist, *D. yakuba mayottensis*? In theory, relative

divergence at parallel genetic changes between species should correlate in population pairs

inhabiting similar contrasting environments (e.g., Bohutínská et al. (2021); Montejo-Kovacevich

et al. (2021)). If specialization on noni has involved common genetic changes, one should expect

an enrichment of shared outlier genes between the two taxa, although those genes would be

members of large "genomic islands of divergence" in the recently diverging taxon,

i.e. D. y. mayottensis, and of less distinct "genomic atolls of divergence" in the older taxon,

i.e. D. sechellia. The rational is that at early stages of selection, variation in large genomic regions

tends to hitchhike with the selected gene(s). At later stages the level of average genome-wide

divergence accumulate to almost eroding through recombination the signal surrounding the

selected gene(s). Our results demonstrate that this straightforward expectation is not to be

encountered between *D. sechellia* and *D. y. mayottensis* mainly due to their distinct genomic

architectures.

Recombination landscape strongly differs between the two species. In *D. yakuba*, nearly

35.3% of the genome has low number of segregating sites, extending from the telomeres and

centromeres to region that are usually highly recombining in *D. melanogaster*. In the three species

of the *simulans* clade, only 10.5% of the genome has low number of segregating sites, a proportion

that is even lower than that encountered in *D. melanogaster* (Pool et al. 2012). *Drosophila yakuba*

is also highly polymorphic for large chromosomal inversions that are known to usually suppress

recombination. Of the 13 rearrangements identified by Lemeunier and Ashburner (1976) for

autosomal chromosomes, we encountered here five that were polymorphic in the two *D. y. yakuba*

populations. Besides we identified two inversions that were specific, and nearly fixed in

D. y. mayottensis. The three species of the *simulans* clade are, on the other hand, homosequential

and chromosomal inversions were very rarely reported in *D. simulans* (Chakraborty et al. 2021).

Such difference in recombination landscape complicates cross-species comparisons because

outlier genes will tend to predominantly accumulate in regions with low recombination in one

species, *i.e., D. yakuba*, and disperse across the genome in the other species, *i.e., D. sechellia*. In

some instances, such as in the intensively investigated "supergenes", inversions often involve

multiple genes that simultaneously control the diverging phenotype (Thompson and Jiggins 2014; Durmaz et al. 2018; Villoutreix et al. 2021). In those instances, an enrichment of outlier genes may be expected regardless to the recombination landscape difference between taxa. This however does not seem to be the case between *D. sechellia* and *D. y. mayottensis*. There is no enrichment of shared outliers in the two inversions on the X and 2L chromosomes that are specific to *D. y. mayottensis*. Moreover, *D. y. mayottensis* has the standard karyotype of the highly polymorphic chromosomal arm *2R*, which is thought to even predate the speciation between *D. yakuba* and its closest relative *D. santomea* (Reilly 2020).

Potential parallelism at the gene-level between the two noni-specialist species

Despite the genomic distinctness between the two noni-specialists, some traces of potential genetic parallelism are still detectable. For example, common outlier genes at GID1, which is adjacent to inversion *Xtb*, directly flank *Flo2*, a long gene that responds to insecticide exposure (Zhang et al. 2021). *Flo2* has a long intron, which contains multiple paralogs of the glucose-methanol-choline oxidoreductases family, some of which have been associated with insect-plant interactions (Iida et al. 2007; Rahfeld et al. 2014) or ethanol tolerance in *D. melanogaster* (Glaser-Schmitt and Parsch 2018). Two shared outliers in the *2Lo* inversions are genes fallen within the long intron of the *pdm3* gene. This long intron is known to harbor elements that regulate *pdm3* expression (Yassin, Delaney, et al. 2016). This gene codes a transcription factor that can determine the number of sensilla expressing the ionotropic receptor *Ir75b*, a gene that is responsible for *D. sechellia* preference for noni's hexanoic acid odor (Prieto-Godino et al. 2017; Arguello et al. 2021). The highest *PBE* value among the different outlier genes from the polymorphic chromosomal arm *2R* concerns *GstE9*, a gene that is down-regulated in *D. sechellia* antenna upon exposure to noni (Dworkin and Jones 2009; Shiao et al. 2015).

Under lesser stringent thresholds to detect potential parallelism, GIDs that were not associated with inversions also shared some interesting outliers with *D. sechellia*. Most notably, syntenic members of the *Osiris* gene family constitute GID13 in *D. y. mayottensis*. The role of those

genes in conferring resistance against noni main toxin, octanoic acid, has been demonstrated

through genetic mapping (Hungate et al. 2013) and transcriptomic analyses in *D. sechellia* (Lanno

et al. 2017), as well as functional gene silencing in *D. melanogaster* (Andrade López, J. M. et al.

2017). Taken together, our comparative population genomics highlighted a number of candidate

genes potentially involved in parallel genetic changes for future functional analyses.

Population genomics signals of early targets of selection in D. sechellia *and repeatability of genomic*

divergence during convergent speciation

The role of the genomic islands of divergence in driving speciation is tightly linked with

an oligogenic mode of speciation wherein strong selection on a few loci initiates the earliest stages

of speciation (Via 2009). As in hard selective sweep models, the amplitude and the width of the

islands will depend on the allelic difference between the diverging populations and the

recombination rate at their genomic positions. The alternative mode of speciation genomics is a

polygenic view wherein multifarious selection simultaneously acts on multiple loci. This mode can

also generate large islands under certain circumstances, but genomic divergence is mostly

expected to proceed through the formation of smaller islands that become rapidly submerged by

genome-wide average divergence (Michel et al. 2010; Nosil et al. 2021). Detection of such small

islands during the early stages is difficult, especially if selection proceeded on standing variation

with moderate frequencies and/or high number of loci, *i.e.,* a soft selective sweep model (Pritchard

et al. 2010). Paradoxically, if the selection pressure persists, freezing the ancestral variation in one

population while allowing the locus to cumulate variations in other populations, targets of this

selection could readily be detected at later stages of speciation. This is likely the case of the *Or22a*

olfactory receptor gene, which controls *D. sechellia* attraction to noni odor. Our population

genomics analysis confirmed earlier functional genetics hypothesis emitted by Auer et al. (2020)

that *D. sechellia* has retained an ancestral functionally relevant variation at this gene. In a

population genomics scan for signals of soft selective sweep specifically targeting chemosensory

genes in the 2013 population of *D. y. mayottensis*, *Or22a* was the most diverging olfactory receptor

(Ferreira et al. 2021). Hence, genic parallelism outside the genomic islands of divergence remains

potentially possible.

In summary, the genomic landscape of divergence in *D. yakuba* is predominated by large

islands overlapping inversion breakpoints. We cannot rule out that such islands may still harbor

noni-specialization genes, but they are so large that we cannot use population genomics data alone

to identify them. By contrast, a few mostly narrow islands that do not overlap with inversion

breakpoints harbor strong candidates for genetic parallelism between *D. y. mayottensis* and *D.*

sechellia, e.g., the *Osiris* gene family. For *D. sechellia*, which lacks large inversions, it is most likely

that a few genomic islands of divergence did not characterize the early stages of its speciation.

Indeed, QTL analyses of noni-use traits in *D. sechellia* suggested specialization to be highly

polymorphic; at least 15 loci on the five chromosomal arms underlie preference and performance

phenotypes (Jones 2005; Auer et al. 2021). QTL analyses will be complicated in *D. yakuba* by the

prevalence of chromosomal inversions. Future functional genetics analyses, which should be

simultaneously carried in both species, would certainly clarify potential genic parallelism. At

present, our results clearly show that distinct genomic architectures do not impede complex

convergent response to common selection between independent lineages.

Materials and methods

Fly collection and establishment of isofemale lines from Mayotte island

Flies were prospected in April 2017 from 14 localities on the Grande Terre and Petite

Terre islands of Mayotte. We searched fruiting noni trees. Whereas noni trees in multiple sites,

trees in only three localities had fruits at an advanced stage of ripening falling aground. *Drosophila*

yakuba flies were collected by net sweeping and direct aspiration over fallen fruits or bred from

ripe fruits brought back to the laboratory. Standard Drosophila baits with fermenting banana did

not yield any *D. yakuba*. Isofemale lines were established by placing one female and one male in a

small vial with instant Carolina medium and the F_1 progeny was transferred to an axenic

laboratory medium in the laboratory.

Pooled and individual lines genome sequencing

Drosophila yakuba mayottensis were sequenced both as pooled (Pool-Seq) as well as individual lines (Ind-Seq). For Pool-Seq analyses, we pooled single F_1 female per line in three pools according to the populations, namely BE, MTS and SOU. Pools were sent in ethanol to End2end Genomics LLC, Davis, California where DNA was extracted, PE library prepared using NEBNext Protocol and 75x covergage double-paired Illumina NovoSeq sequencing was conducted.

For Ind-Seq analyses, DNA was extracted from single flies flash frozen in liquid nitrogen following QIAamp Mini Kit (Qiagen) protocol without using RNase A. The resulting DNA samples were quantified (Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit, ThermoFisher Scientific), assessed for quality (Nanodrop ND-2000; A260/A280\$>\$1.8), and stored at -20°C. Illumina TruSeq Nano DNA libraries were prepared manually following the manufacturer's protocol (TruSeq Nano DNA, RevD; Illumina). Briefly, samples were normalized to 100ng DNA and sheared by sonication with Covaris M220 (microTUBE 50; Sage Science). The samples were end repaired, purified with Ampure XP beads (Agencourt; Beckman Coulter), adaptors adenylated, and Unique Dual Indices (Table) ligated. Adaptor enrichment was performed using eight cycles of PCR. Following Ampure XP bead cleanup, fragment sizes for all libraries were measured using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (HS DNA Assay; Applied Biosystems). The libraries were diluted 1:10 000 and 1:20 000 and quantified in triplicate using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Kapa Biosystems). Equimolar samples were pooled, and the libraries were size selected targeting 400-700bp range to remove adaptor monomers and dimers using Pippen Prep DNA Size Selection system (1.5% Agarose Gel Cassette #CDF1510; Sage Sciences). Library pools (24 samples per lane) were run on an llumina HiSeq 4000 platform using the 150bp paired end (PE) Cluster Kit.

Population genomics analyses and delimitation of the genomic islands of divergence (GIDs) in
Drosophila yakuba

As in Yassin et al. (2016) and Ferreira et al. (2021), we used previously published genomic sequences from two continental *D. y. yakuba* populations, namely Cameroon and Kenya (Rogers et al. 2014), for comparisons with *D. y. mayottensis*. We also used previous Pool-Seq reads from a single *D. y. mayottensis* population collected from Soulou in 2013 for temporal comparisons within the subspecies (Yassin et al. 2016). For all comparisons, reads were mapped to the *D. yakuba* v.1.05 and the *D. simulans* v.2.02 reference genome obtained from Flybase (https://flybase.org/, Thurmond et al., 2019) using Minimap2 software package (Li 2018). Minimap2-generated SAM files were converted to BAM format using samtools 1.9 software (Li et al. 2009). The BAM files were then cleaned and sorted using Picard v.2.0.1 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Popoolation 2 software package (v.1.20162, Kofler, Pandey, and Schlötterer 2011) was used to generate a synchronized mpileup file, from which intra-population polymorphism (π) and between-populations genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) were estimated using a customized perl script given in (Ferreira et al. 2021).

We used the MavericK ver. 1.0 software package (Verity and Nichols 2016) to infer for each 100-kb window of the v1.05 reference genome the likeliest number of demes (k) among three possibilities 1, 2 and 3. For each window, we extracted bi-allelic sites for the 20 *D. y. yakuba* and 19 *D. y. mayottensis* lines and ran MavericK using the admixture model k_{min} = 1, k_{max} = 3,500 burn-in iterations, and 250 sampled iterations for the main MCMC run, 250 burn-in iterations and 250 sampled iterations for the thermodynamic integrations MCMC, with 21 evenly spaced values of ß for thermodynamic integration (TI). These were the same parameters that were used by Reilly (2020) to delimit chromosomal inversion polymorphisms within *D. y. yakuba*. Admixture proportions for the optimal k for each line, chosen by maximum TI likelihood, were collated using custom Perl script and plotted using R (Schie et al. 2014)(XXX). Structured regions were delimited as consecutive windows with k > 1 fallen less then 500-kb apart in regions with high

recombination that were previously defined by Yassin et al. (2016)from polymorphism patterns

in the CY population.

De novo assembly of D. y. mayottensis *genome and identification of chromosomal rearrengements*

A mass culture of *D. y. mayottensis* was used to assemble a reference genome for this

subspecies. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Nucleobond AXG20 kit and buffer set IV from

Macherey-Nagel (ref 740544 and 740604, https://www.mn-net.com, Düren, Germany), and size

selection was conducted using the SRE XS kit from Circulomics (https://www.circulomics.com/,

Baltimore, Maryland, USA). Fragments less than 10 Kb were eliminated. The SQK-LSK109 kit from

Oxford nanopore technology (Lu, Giordano, and Ning 2016, https://nanoporetech.com/) was then

used to prepare the samples for nanopore sequencingfollowing manufacturer's protocol. The

library waq then loaded on a R9.4 flow cell for sequencing. On MinION. Raw datas were basecalled

with Guppy v4.0.11.

Illumina short-read sequences have also been produced to reconstruct our genome, using

a hybrid assembly strategy, combining those short accurate Illumina reads to our long Nanopore

reads. Illumina sequencing was realized by Novogene Company Limited

(https://en.novogene.com, Cambridge, UK) on our previously extracted DNA.

DNA sequences, from Nanopore and Illumina technologies, were then assembled using

MaSuRCA genome assembler software v3.4.0 (Zimin et al. 2013). We aligned our newly

constructed *D. y. mayottensis* genome using minimap2 software package to a newly assembled

genome of the reference *D. yakuba* strain (David et al. 2022) in order to correct for early

missassemblies in the v.1.05 reference genome (Miller et al. 2019). *D. y. mayottensis* scaffolds were

then ordered and oriented according to the reference genome, and chromosomal rearrangements

were visually detected from a dotplot constructed by R. The newly constructed *D. y. mayottensis*

genome was also aligned, following the same methodology, with a genome of *D. y. yakuba* (strain

NY73PB, NCBI Assembly GCA_016746335.2) from Kenya, which differs from the reference

genome in two rearrangements, namely *2Ll* and *2Rj*, to highlight inversions specific to the

population of the island of Mayotte from those that could be polymorphic in *D. y. yakuba*.

Delimitation of the genomic islands of divergence (GIDs) in D. y. mayottensis

Pairwise F_{ST} measurements were used to estimate *Population Branch Statisitics (PBS)* and

Population Branch Excess (PBE) using the formulas presented in Yi et al. (2010) and Yassin et al.

(2016), respectively. Due to the lack of genetic structure within *D. y. mayottensis*, Pool-Seq reads

of the three populations were pooled into a single Pool of Pools (PoP). *PBS* and *PBE* were made

assuming an (CY,(NY,PoP) tree. Genes with *PBE* values falling ≥ the upper 97.5 or ≤ 2.5% quantiles

were considered outliers, and the width of a genomic island of divergence was considered if two

outlier genes did not fall more than 500-kb apart.

Population genomics analyses in the simulans clade

To test for possible similarities at the gene-level in divergence pattern between *D. y.*

mayottensis and *D. sechellia*, we obtained short-read sequences of populations from the three

species of the *simulans* clade. For *D. simulans*, we obtained data from Rogers et al. (2014)

concerning 10 inbred lines from two geographical populations, namely Kenya (NS) and

Madagascar (MS). For *D. mauritiana*, we obtained Pool-Seq data from 107 isofemale lines

published by Nolte et al. (2013). For *D. sechellia*, we pooled individual fly genomes published by

Schrider et al. (2018) from three islands, namely Mahé, La digue and Marianne. Schrider et al.

(2018)found no evidence for genetic structure between the three islands, so sequences from those

islands were pooled together for subsequent analyses. By contrast, these authors found genetic

structure when sequences from a fourth island, Praslin, were analyzed. Therefore, we did not

include any sequence from this island.

For the three species, short reads were mapped to the *Drosophila simulans* v.2.02

reference genome (Flybase) using minimap2, and subsequent population genomic analyses were

conducted to estimate π and F_{ST} as detailed above for *D. yakuba*. For sake of comparison, a list of

orthologous genes was obtained from Flybase between *D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans* and *D. yakuba*

and a further run of BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) was conducted to confirm orthology. F_{ST} was

calculated for each gene from pairwise species comparisons. A (*mauritiana*,(*simulans*,*sechellia*))

tree, which presumably reflects the phylogeny of the three species (Cruickshank and Hahn 2014),

was used to estimate *PBS* and *PBE* values. Similar quantile boundaries as in *D. yakuba* were used

to delimit outlier genes in the *simulans* clade.

529   Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for Claire Golléty for help identifying noni plantation places in

Mayotte. Our late colleague, Jean R. David (1931-2021), who initially discovered *D. y.*

mayottensis in 2013, participated to the 2017 expedition. This work was funded by the

Agence Nationale de la Recherche grant no. ANR-18-CE2-0008, a Richard Lounsbery

Foundation grant and an ATM bursary from the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle to

AY, and a National Institutes of Health grant NIH NIGMS R35 GM133376 to RLR.

537   Conflict of interest

538   The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215:403–410.

Andrade López, J. M., Lanno, S. M., Auerbach, J. M., Moskowitz, E. C., Sligar, L. A., Wittkopp, P. J., Coolon JD. 2017. Genetic basis of octanoic acid resistance in Drosophila sechellia: functional analysis of a fine-mapped region. *Mol. Ecol.* 26:1148–1160.

Arguello JR, Abuin L, Armida J, Mika K, Chai PC, Benton R, Ramaswami M. 2021. Targeted molecular profiling of rare olfactory sensory neurons identifies fate, wiring, and functional determinants. *Elife* 10:1–33.

Auer TO, Khallaf MA, Silbering AF, Zappia G, Ellis K, Álvarez-Ocaña R, Arguello JR, Hansson BS, Jefferis GSXE, Caron SJC, et al. 2020. Olfactory receptor and circuit evolution promote host specialization. *Nature* [Internet] 579:402–408. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2073-7

Auer TO, Shahandeh MP, Benton R. 2021. Drosophila sechellia : A Genetic Model for Behavioral Evolution and Neuroecology. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* [Internet] 55:527–554. Available from: https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-genet-071719-020719

Bamba M, Kawaguchi YW, Tsuchimatsu T. 2018. Plant adaptation and speciation studied by population genomic approaches. *Dev. Growth Differ.*:12–24.

Berdan E, Enge S, Nyluns GM, Wellenreuther M, Martens GA, Pavia H. 2019. Genetic divergence and phenotypic plasticity contribute to variation in cuticular hydrocarbons in the eaweed fly Coelopa frigida. *Ecol. Evol.* 9:12156–12170.

Bohutínská M, Vlček J, Yair S, Laenen B, Konečná V, Fracassetti M, Slotte T, Kolár F. 2021. Genomic basis of parallel adaptation varies with divergence in Arabidopsis and its relatives. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118.

Chakraborty M, Chang C-H, Khost DE, Vedanayagam J, Adrion JR, Liao Y, Montooth KL, Meiklejogn CD, Larracuente AM, Emerson JJ. 2021. Evolution of genome structure in the Drosophila simulans species complex. *Genome Res.* 31:380–396.

566 Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW. 2014. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of speciation are due
to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. *Mol. Ecol.* 23:3133–3157.

David JR, Ferreira EA, Jabaud L, Ogereau D, Bastide H, Yassin A. 2022. Evolution of assortive

mating following selective introgression of pigmentation genes between two Drosophila

species. *Ecol. Evol.* 12:1–13.

David JR, Yassin A, Gidaszewski N, Debat V. 2014. Drosophilids (Diptera) from Mayotte island:

An annotated list of species collected in 2013 and comments on the colonisation of Indian

Ocean Islands. *Ann. la Soc. Entomol. Fr.* [Internet] 50:336–342. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00379271.2014.938548

Durmaz E, Benson C, Kapun M, Schmidt P, Flatt T. 2018. An inversion supergene in Drosophila

underpins latitudinal clines in survival traits. *J. Evol. Biol.* [Internet] 31:1354–1364.

Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29904977/

Dworkin I, Jones CD. 2009. Genetic changes accompanying the evolution of host specialization in

Drosophila sechellia. *Genetics* 181:721–736.

Ellegren H, Smeds L, Burri R, Olason PI, Backström N, Kawakami T, Künstner A, Mäkinen H,

Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Qvarnström A, et al. 2012. The genomic landscape of species

divergence in Ficedula flycatchers. *Nature* 491:756–760.

Faria R, Johannesson K, Butlin RK, Westram AM. 2019. Evolving Inversions. *Ecol. Evol.* 34:239–

248.

Feder JL, Nosil P. 2009. Chromosomal inversions and species differences: When are genes

affecting adaptive divergence and reproductive isolation expected to reside within

inversions? *Evolution (N. Y).* 63:3061–3075.

Ferreira EA, Lambert S, Verrier T, Marion-Poll F, Yassin A. 2021. Soft selective sweep on

chemosensory genes correlates with ancestral preference for toxic noni in a specialist

drosophila population. *Genes (Basel).* 12:1–13.

Forbes AA, Devine SN, Hippee AC, Tvedte ES, Ward AKG, Widmayer HA, Wilson CJ. 2017.

Revisiting the particular role of host shifts in initiating insect speciation. *Evolution (N. Y).*

71:1126–1137.

Glaser-Schmitt A, Parsch J. 2018. Functional characterization of adaptative variation within a cis-

regulatory element influencing Drosophila melanogaster growth. *PLoS Biol.* 16:1–28.

Hébert JB, Scheffer SJ, Hawthorne DJ. 2016. Evidence for ecological speciation via a host shift in

the holly leaf miner, Phytomyza glabricola (Diptera: Agromyzidae). *Ecol. Evol.* 6:6565–

6577.

Hivert V, Leblois R, Petit EJ, Gautier M, Vitalis R. 2018. Measuring Genetic Differentiation from

Pool-seq Data. *Genetics* 210:315–330.

Huang K, Andrew RL, Owens GL, Ostevik KL, Rieseberg LH. 2020. Multiple chromosomal

inversions contribute to adaptive divergence of a dune sunflower ecotype. *Mol. Ecol.*

29:2535–2549.

Hungate EA, Earley EJ, Boussy IA, Turissini DA, Ting CT, Moran JR, Wu ML, Wu CI, Jones CD.

2013. A locus in Drosophila sechellia affecting tolerance of a host plant toxin. *Genetics*

195:1063–1075.

Iida K, Cox-Foster DL, Yang X, Ko WY, Cavener DR. 2007. Expansion and evolution of insect GMC

oxidoreductases. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 7:1–12.

Jay P, Chouteau M, Whibley A, Bastide H, Parrinello H, Llaurens V, Joron M. 2021. Mutation load

at a mimicry supergene sheds new light on the evolution of inversion polymorphisms. *Nat.*

Genet. 53:288–293.

Jones CD. 2005. The genetics of adaptation in Drosophila sechellia. *Genetica* 123:137–145.

Kofler R, Pandey RV, Schlötterer C. 2011. PoPoolation2: Identifying differentiation between

populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics* 27:3435–

3436.

Lachaise D, Harry M, Solignac M, Lemeunier F, Benassi V, Cariou ML. 2000. Evolutionary

novelties in islands: Drosophila santomea, a new melanogaster sister species from Sao

Tome. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 267:1487–1495.

Lanno SM, Gregory SM, Shimshak SJ, Alverson MK, Chiu K, Feil AL, Findley MG, Forman TE,

Gordon JT, Ho J, et al. 2017. Transcriptomic analysis of octanoic acid response in Drosophila

sechellia using RNA-sequencing. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* 7:3867–3873.

Lavista-Llanos S, Svatoš A, Kai M, Riemensperger T, Birman S, Stensmyr MC, Hansson BS. 2014.

Dopamine drives Drosophila sechellia adaptation to its toxic host. *Elife* 3:3785–3802.

Legal L, Chappe B, Jallon JM. 1994. Molecular basis of Morinda citrifolia (L.): Toxicity on

drosophila. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 20:1931–1943.

Legrand D, Tenaillon MI, Matyot P, Gerlach J, Lachaise D, Cariou M. 2009. Species-Wide Genetic

Variation and Demographic History of Drosophila sechellia , a Species Lacking Population

Structure. *Genetics* 182:1197–1206.

Legrand D, Vautrin D, Lachaise D, Cariou ML. 2011. Microsatellite variation suggests a recent

fine-scale population structure of Drosophila sechellia, a species endemic of the Seychelles

archipelago. *Genetica* 139:909–919.

Lemeunier F, Ashburner M. 1976. Relationships within the melanogaster species subgroup of the

genus Drosophila (Sophophora). II. Phylogenetic relationships between six species based

upon polytene chromosome banding sequences. *Proc. R. Soc. London - Biol. Sci.* [Internet]

193:275–294. Available from:

https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rspb.1976.0046

Li H. 2018. Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 34:3094–

3100.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009.

The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:2078–2079.

Lu H, Giordano F, Ning Z. 2016. Oxford Nanopore MinION Sequencing and Genome Assembly.

Genomics, Proteomics Bioinforma. 14:265–279.

Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, Simão FA, Zdobnov EM. 2021. BUSCO Update: Novel and

Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for Scoring

of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38:4647–4654.

Matsuo T, Sugaya S, Yasukawa J, Aigaki T, Fuyama Y. 2007. Odorant-binding proteins OBP57d

and OBP57e affect taste perception and host-plant preference in Drosophila sechellia. *PLoS*

Biol. 5:0985–0996.

Michel AP, Sim S, Powell THQ, Taylor MS, Nosil P, Feder JL. 2010. Widespread genomic

divergence during sympatric speciation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107:9724–9729.

Miller DE, Staber C, Zeitlinger J, Hawley RS. 2018. Highly Contigous Genome Assemblies of 15

Drosophila Species Generated Using Nanopore Sequencing. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.*

8:3131–3141.

Montejo-Kovacevich G, Meier JI, Bacquet CN, Warren IA, Chan YF, Kucka M, Salazar C, Rueda N,

Montgomery SH, McMillan WO, et al. 2021. Repeated genetic adaptation to high altitude in

two tropical butterflies. *bioRxiv* [Internet]:2021.11.30.470630. Available from:

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.30.470630v1%0Ahttps://www.biorxi

v.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.30.470630v1.abstract

Nolte V, Vinay Pandey R, Kofler R, Christian S. 2013. Genome-wide patterns of natural variation

reveal strong selective sweeps and ongoing genomic conflict in Drosophila mauritiana.

Genome Res. 23:99–110.

Nosil P, Feder JL, Gompert Z. 2021. How many genetic changes create new species? *Science (80-.*

). 371:777–779.

Pool JE, Corbett-Detig RB, Sugino RP, Stevens KA, Cardeno CM, Crepeau MW, Duchen P, Emerson

JJ, Saelao P, Begun DJ, et al. 2012. Population Genomics of Sub-Saharan Drosophila

melanogaster: African Diversity and Non-African Admixture. *PLoS Genet.* 8.

Prieto-Godino LL, Rytz R, Cruchet S, Bargeton B, Abuin L, Silbering AF, Ruta V, Dal Peraro M,

Benton R. 2017. Evolution of Acid-Sensing Olfactory Circuits in Drosophilids. *Neuron*

93:661-676.e6.

Prigent SR, Le Gall P, Mbunda SW, Veuille M. 2013. Seasonal and altitudinal structure of

drosophilid communities on Mt Oku (Cameroon volcanic line). *Comptes Rendus - Geosci.*

345:316–326.

Pritchard JK, Pickrell JK, Coop G. 2010. The Genetics of Human Adaptation: Hard Sweeps, Soft

Sweeps and Polygenic Adaptation. *Curr. Biol.* 20:208–215.

Quilodrán CS, Ruegg K, Sendell-price AT, Anderson E, Coulson T, Clegg S. 2019. The multiple

population genetic and demographic routes to islands of genomic divergence.

R'Kha S, Capy P, David JR. 1990. Host-plant specialization in the Drosophila melanogaster

species complex: a physiological, behavioral, and genetical analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

88:1835–1839.

Rahfeld P, Kirsch R, Kugel S, Wielsch N, Stock M, Groth M, Boland W, Burse A. 2014.

Independently recruited oxidases from the glucose-methanol-choline oxidoreductase

family enabled chemical defences in leaf beetle larvae (subtribe Chrysomelina) to evolve.

Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281.

Reilly PF. 2020. Comparative Genomics of the Drosophila yakuba group.

Rogers RL, Cridland JM, Shao L, Hu TT, Andolfatto P, Thornton KR. 2014. Landscape of standing

variation for tandem duplications in drosophila yakuba and drosophila simulans. *Mol. Biol.*

Evol. 31:1750–1766.

Schie S, Chaudhary R, Debener T. 2014. Analysis of a Complex Polyploid Plant Genome using

Molecular Markers : Strong Evidence for Segmental Allooctoploidy in Garden Dahlias. *Plant*

Genome 7:1–6.

Schrider DR, Ayroles J, Matute DR, Kern AD. 2018. Supervised machine learning reveals

introgressed loci in the genomes of Drosophila simulans and D . sechellia. *Plos Genet.* 14:1–

29.

Shiao MS, Chang JM, Fan W-L, Lu MYJ, Notredame C, Fang S, Kondo R, Li W-H. 2015. Expression

Divergence of Chemosensory Genes between Drosophila sechellia and Its Sibling Species

and Its Implications for Host Shift. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 7:2843–2858.

Sodeland M, Jorde PE, Lien S, Jentoft S, Berg PR, Grove H, Kent MP, Arnyasi M, Olsen EM, Knutsen

H. 2016. "Islands of Divergence" in the atlantic cod genome represent polymorphic

chromosomal rearrangements. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 8:1012–1022.

Suvorov A, Kim BY, Wang J, Armstrong EE, Peede D, D'Agostino ERR, Price DK, Waddell P, Lang

M, Courtier-Orgogozo V, et al. 2022. Widespread introgression across a phylogeny of 155

    Drosophila genomes. *Curr. Biol.* 32:111-123.e5.

Thompson MJ, Jiggins CD. 2014. Supergenes and their role in evolution. *Heredity (Edinb).*

    [Internet] 113:1–8. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24642887/

Thurmond J, Goodman JL, Strelets VB, Attrill H, Gramates LS, Marygold SJ, Matthews BB, Millburn

    G, Antonazzo G, Trovisco V, et al. 2019. FlyBase 2.0: The next generation. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

    47:D759–D765.

Turner TL, Hahn MW, Nuzhdin S V. 2005. Genomic islands of speciation in Anopheles gambiae.

    PLoS Biol. 3:1572–1578.

Verity R, Nichols RA. 2016. Estimating the number of subpopulations (K) in structured

    populations.

Via S. 2009. Natural selection in action during speciation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106:9939–9946.

Villoutreix R, Ayala D, Joron M, Gompert Z, Feder JL, Nosil P. 2021. Inversion breakpoints and the

    evolution of supergenes. *Mol. Ecol.* [Internet] 30:2738–2755. Available from:

    https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33786937/

Wolf JBW, Ellegren H. 2017. Making sense of genomic islands of differentiation in light of

    speciation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* [Internet] 18:87–100. Available from:

    http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.133

Yassin A. 2017. Drosophila yakuba mayottensis, a new model for the study of incipient ecological

    speciation. *Fly (Austin).* 11:37–45.

Yassin A, Debat V, Bastide H, Gidaszewski N, David JR, Pool JE. 2016. Recurrent specialization on

    a toxic fruit in an island Drosophila population. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113:4771–4776.

Yassin A, Delaney EK, Reddiex AJ, Seher TD, Bastide H, Appleton NC, Lack JB, David JR,

    Chenoweth SF, Pool JE, et al. 2016. The pdm3 Locus Is a Hotspot for Recurrent Evolution of

    Female-Limited Color Dimorphism in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 26:2412–2422.

Yi X, Liang Y, Huerta-Sanchez E, Jin X, Cuo ZXP, Pool JE, Xu X, Jiang H, Vinckenbosch N,

    Korneliussen TS, et al. 2010. Sequencing of Fifty Human Exomes Reveals Adaptation to

High Altitude. *Science (80-.).* 329:75–78.

Zhang C, Seong KM, Sun W, Mittapalli O, Qiu B, Clark JM, Pittendrigh BR. 2021. The insulin

signaling pathway in Drosophila melanogaster: A nexus revealing an "Achilles'' heel" in

DDT resistance." *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* [Internet] 171:104727. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2020.104727

Zimin A V., Marçais G, Puiu D, Roberts M, Salzberg SL, Yorke JA. 2013. The MaSuRCA genome

assembler. *Bioinformatics* 29:2669–2677.

Figure legends

Figure 1. A. Geographical distribution of Drosophila yakuba populations used in this study.

Orange and green dots refer to D. y. yakuba and D. y. mayottensis populations, respectively. B. A

Lemur eating a fruit of Morinda citrifolia on Mayotte Island, photo credit: Amir Yassin. D. y.

mayottensis flies were usually collected over fallen nibbled fruits. C. Pattern of gnetic structure

in 100-kb windows across the genome between the five populations (separated by red

horizontal lines). Light blue, navy blue and yellow refer to window attribution to the first,

second or third genetic cluster by Maverick. The lower rectangles in dark grey correspond to

regions of low recombination and the light grey ones to regions of high recombination based on

polymorphism patterns in CY (Yassin et al. 2016). The highlighted GIDs were identified only for

high recombination regions.

Figure 2. Alignment of Drosophila yakuba mayottensis genome on (A) Drosophila yakuba on a

new assemby of the reference genome correcting previous early missassemblies (David et al.

2022) and (B) D. y. yakuba from Kenya NY73PB (Assembly GCA_016746335.2). Each colour

represents a scaffold obtained during D. y. mayottensis reconstruction. The bottom rectangles

on graph (A) represents the low recombination regions in dark grey and the high recombination

regions in light grey.

Figure 3. Population Branch Excess (PBE) comparisons at orthologous genes ± 2kb between the

two noni specialists (A) Drosophila yakuba mayottensis and (B) Drosophila sechellia. Genomic

islands of divergence (GIDs) for D. y. mayottensis are indicated in yellow and the limits of

chromosomal inversions are indicated as colored horizontal bars. Below each graph the extent of

low recombining telomeric and centromeric regions as well as of high recombining regions are

given in black and grey, respectively, for each taxon. Genes that have been shown by functional

studies to be involved in noni specialization in D. sechellia, are given in blue.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3