3D bioengineered neural tissue generated from patient-derived iPSCs develops time-

dependent phenotypes and transcriptional features of Alzheimer's disease

Selene Lomoio[1], Ravi S. Pandey[2], Nicolas Rouleau[3], Beatrice Menicacci[1], WonHee Kim[1], William

L. Cantley[3], Philip G. Haydon[1], David A. Bennett[4], Tracy L. Young-Pearse[5], Gregory W. Carter[2,6],

David L. Kaplan[3], and Giuseppina Tesco[1#]

[#] Corresponding author

Affiliations

[1] Department of Neuroscience, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

[2] The Jackson Laboratory for Genomic Medicine, Farmington, CT, USA

[3] Department of Biomedical Engineering, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA

[4] Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA

[5] Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard

Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[6] The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA

Email addresses

Selene Lomoio: selene.lomoio@tufts.edu

Ravi S. Pandey: ravi.pandey@jax.org

Nicolas Rouleau: nicolas.rouleau@algomau.ca

Beatrice Menicacci: beatrice.menicacci@tufts.edu

WonHee Kim: wonhee.kim@tufts.edu

William L. Cantley: william.cantley@gmail.com

Philip G. Haydon: philip.haydon@tufts.edu

David A. Bennett: david_a_bennett@rush.edu

Tracy L. Young-Pearse: tyoung@rics.bwh.harvard.edu

Gregory W. Carter: gregory.carter@jax.org

David L. Kaplan: david.kaplan@tufts.edu

Giuseppina Tesco: giuseppina.tesco@tufts.edu

Abstract

Background

Current models to study Alzheimer's disease (AD) include cell cultures and animal models. Human diseases, however, are often poorly reproduced in animal models. Developing techniques to differentiate human brain cells from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) provides a novel approach to studying AD. Three-dimensional (3D) cultures to model AD are represented by organoids, neurospheroids, and scaffold-based cultures. Some AD-related phenotypes have been identified across 3D models [1]. However, to our knowledge, none of these studies could recapitulate several AD-related hallmarks in one single model and establish a temporal relation among them. Furthermore, to date, the transcriptomic features of these 3D models have not been compared with those of human AD brains. These data are, in our opinion, key to understanding the pertinency of these models for studying AD-related pathomechanisms over time.

Methods

We developed a 3D bioengineered model of iPSC-derived neural tissue that combines a porous scaffold composed of silk fibroin protein with an intercalated collagen hydrogel to support the growth of neurons and glial cells into complex and functional networks. This biomaterial scaffold, designed to match the mechanical properties of brain tissue, can support 3D neural cultures for an extended time without necrosis, a fundamental requisite for aging studies.

We have optimized our protocol by seeding neural precursor cells (NPCs) into these scaffolds. NPC-derived cultures were generated from iPSC lines obtained from two subjects carrying the familial AD (FAD) APP London mutation, two well-studied control lines, and an isogenic control. Cultures were analyzed at 2 and 4.5 months.

Results

An elevated Aβ42/40 ratio was detected in conditioned media from FAD cultures at both time points, as previously reported in 2D cultures derived from the same FAD lines. However, extracellular Aβ42 deposition and enhanced neuronal excitability were observed in FAD culture

only at 4.5 months. The increased excitability of FAD cultures correlated with extracellular Aβ42

deposition but not with soluble Aβ42/40 ratio levels, as they were similar at both time points.

These data suggest that extracellular Aβ deposition may trigger enhanced network activity.

Notably, neuronal hyperexcitability has been described in AD patients early in the disease.

Transcriptomic analysis revealed the deregulation of multiple gene sets in FAD samples. Notably,

such alterations were similar to those observed in human AD brains in a large study that

performed a co-expression meta-analysis of harmonized data from Accelerating Medicines

Partnership for Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-AD) across three independent cohorts.

Conclusions

Our 3D tissue model supports the differentiation of healthy iPSC-derived cultures in a porous silk-

collagen composite sponge with an optically clear central region. This design facilitates nutrient

delivery to meet the metabolic demand of long-term cultures. These data provide evidence that

our bioengineered model from patient-derived FAD iPSCs develops time-dependent AD-related

phenotypes and establishes a temporal relation among them. Furthermore, FAD iPSC-derived

neuronal tissue recapitulates transcriptomic features of AD patients. Thus, our bioengineered

neural tissue represents a unique tool to model AD-related pathomechanisms over time, with

several advantages compared to the existing models.

Keywords

Alzheimer's disease

Human iPSC

iPSC-derived cultures

Human neural cells

Bioengineered model

3D model

Background

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by memory impairments and cognitive deterioration. It is one of the most common forms of neurodegeneration and accounts for approximately 70% of all cases of dementia. The key pathological hallmarks of AD are extracellular senile plaques composed of neurotoxic amyloid-beta (Aβ) peptide and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) composed of hyperphosphorylated tau [2]. Clinical studies show that the most critical risk factors for AD are aging and family history of the disease. Approximately 5% of the population over 65 is affected by AD, and its prevalence doubles with every five years of increasing age [3]. Less than 1% of AD cases occur in families where the disease is inherited in a fully penetrant, autosomal dominant manner, with early (< 65) onset. Three early-onset familial AD (FAD) genes have been identified to date: the amyloid precursor protein (APP) gene, the presenilin 1 (PSEN1) gene, and the presenilin 2 (PSEN2) gene. Together, these genes can harbor any of > 400 mutations (https://www.alzforum.org/mutations) that account for roughly 5-10% of autosomal dominant FAD.

Current models to study AD include cell cultures and animal models. Human diseases, however, are often poorly reproduced in animal models [4]. A significant limitation of using rodents to model AD is the increasing evidence for marked differences between humans and rodents in glial gene expression, in contrast to the relatively conserved neuronal gene expression across mammals [5-7]. The development in the past decade of techniques to generate human-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and differentiate them into different brain cell types, together with advances in genome editing, has provided a novel model to study AD and other neurodegenerative disorders [1, 8]. Neural cultures derived from patients or isogenic mutant iPSCs recapitulate at least some of the phenotypes observed in the AD brain [1, 8, 9]. However, conventional two-dimensional (2D) culture systems fail to recapitulate the diversity and maturation of multiple cell types and their interaction under physiological and pathological conditions. For example, removing secreted Aβ

with media changes most likely prevents the deposition of amyloid aggregates in 2D cultures [1].

To date, three-dimensional (3D) cultures to model AD are represented by organoids,

neurospheroids, and scaffold-based 3D cultures (for review, see [1]). Some AD-related

phenotypes have been identified across 3D models [1]. However, to our knowledge, none of these

studies could recapitulate several AD-related hallmarks in one single model and establish a

temporal relation among them. Furthermore, to date, no studies have compared the

transcriptomic features of human 3D models to those of human AD brains. These data are, in our

opinion, key to understanding the pertinency of these models for studying AD-related

pathomechanisms over time.

We developed a bioengineered model of iPSC-derived neural tissue [10]. This biomaterial scaffold

design was adapted from our previous tissue model generated using primary embryonic rodent

brain cells [11] and human-induced neural stem cells [12, 13] to match the mechanical properties

of native brain tissue. Our silk-collagen-based "donut" scaffolds can support compartmentalized,

3D neural-like tissues for over a year without necrosis [14]. More importantly, our cultures develop

networks and action potentials (APs) typical of fully mature neurons [10]. The architecture of the

scaffolds was optimized to meet the metabolic demand of high-density cultures in terms of free

diffusion of nutrients and oxygen, a fundamental requisite for long-term cultures and aging-related

studies.

To support that our bioengineered tissue model can reproduce AD-related phenotypes, we have

generated cultures from iPSC lines obtained from two subjects carrying the FAD mutation APP

V717I (also known as London), hFAD-1, and hFAD-2 (Clone A and B) [15]. As controls, we used

two well-studied lines, YZ1 and BR24 [9, 15]. Furthermore, we generated the isogenic control of

the hFAD-2 Clone B line by correcting the APP V717I mutation to wild type (C-hFAD-2 Clone B).

FAD and control cultures were analyzed at two time points (2 and 4.5 months). An elevated

Aβ42/40 ratio was detected in FAD cultures at both time points, as previously reported in 2D

cultures derived from the same FAD lines [15].

Additionally, extracellular Aβ42 deposition and enhanced neuronal excitability were observed in

FAD culture at 4.5 months. Remarkably, neuronal hyperexcitability has been described in both

FAD and late-onset AD (LOAD) patients at the early stage of the disease [16]. Gene expression

analysis was performed to identify the specific target genes which exhibit significantly increased

or decreased expression in FAD lines compared to controls at both time points. Multiple gene

sets were deregulated in FAD samples at 2 months. The difference was maintained up to 4.5

months. Several of the pathways identified are implicated in neurotransmitter release/reuptake

and synthesis/storage, neural connectivity, structural stability of the cytoskeleton, axonal and

dendrite structure, and vesicle trafficking regulation. Such alterations in gene expression were

similar to those observed in human AD brains in a large study that performed a co-expression

meta-analysis of harmonized Accelerating Medicines Partnership for Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-

AD) data across three independent cohorts [17]. These data provide compelling evidence that our

3D cultures reproduce some time-dependent phenotypes and transcriptomic features observed

in AD patients. Thus, our bioengineered neural tissue represents a valuable model for studying

AD-related pathomechanisms over time.

Results

A 3D bioengineered silk-collagen neural model

We have previously designed and validated a 3D bioengineered neural model that combines a

porous scaffold composed of silk fibroin with an intercalated hydrogel to allow neurons and glial

cells to grow into complex and functional networks [11, 13, 18]. Each element of this model was

designed to be tunable, from the pore sizes in the scaffold biomaterial [19] to the hydrogel

composition, mechanical properties, and incorporated cell types [14]. We demonstrated that

iPSCs incorporated into the scaffolds could directly differentiate into neurons and glial cells and

remain functionally active for several months [10].

We have optimized our protocol for this study by seeding neural precursor cells (NPCs) instead

of iPSCs. This improved protocol offers several advantages: NPCs can be expanded, frozen,

banked, and subsequently differentiated. Having NPC stocks derived from multiple subjects

allows synchronization of their differentiation and minimizes experimental variability. We have

successfully generated NPCs from various iPSC lines using an embryoid body (EB)-based

protocol [15, 20-22] that seeks to recapitulate embryonic differentiation. In the absence of

exogenous patterning factors, the default path of this protocol is to generate forebrain neurons of

cortical fates [23].

For the success of this experimental strategy, quality control parameters are associated with iPSC

cultures [24]. iPSCs are susceptible to cell culture stresses and prone to genomic instability.

Morphology is an obvious indicator of the iPSC health [24]. In our practice, karyotyping, potency,

and mycoplasma testing have been routinely performed in all iPSC lines used for this study (Fig.

1A-B, Fig. S1).

Figure 1C illustrates the timeline schematic for the protocol, in which well-defined and compact

iPSC colonies expressing pluripotency markers like the octamer-binding transcription factor 4

(Oct4) are differentiated to NPCs over approximately 20 days. The milestones of this approach

are the formation of EBs, three-dimensional cell aggregates that mimic some structures of the

developing embryo. They can differentiate into all three germ layers [25], followed by neural

rosette maturation that can be identified morphologically by their characteristic appearance as

round clusters of primitive neuroepithelial cells with apicobasal polarity [26] (Fig. 1C).

According to previous work, nestin-positive NPCs can be robustly passaged, frozen, thawed, and

differentiated into functional neurons. NPCs could be typically passaged more than ten times in

vitro without accumulating karyotype abnormalities [26]; however, in agreement with other studies

[26, 27], we found that beyond ten passages, NPCs show increased cellular heterogeneity and

lower differentiation efficiency. Thus, experiments were conducted on mycoplasma-free passage-

matched NPC populations between passages two and six.

When differentiated in 2D on a poly-ornithine/laminin substrate using BrainPhys media

supplemented with SM1 and N2, NPCs can efficiently differentiate into human neurons

(hNeurons) expressing characteristic markers of a mature neuronal population such as the

microtubule-associated protein 2B (MAP2B), the neuron-specific class III beta-tubulin (Tuj1), and

synaptophysin (SYN) for extended periods. Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)-positive cells are

also observed, indicating the presence of astrocytic-like cells (Fig. 1D). NPCs have already been

described as having dual lineage potential and can differentiate into 70-80% neural populations

of Tuj1-positive neurons and 20-30% GFAP-positive astrocytes [26].

For this study, NPCs have been seeded into silk scaffolds and cultured according to the timeline

in Fig. 1E. Our data indicate that NPCs integrate into the silk-collagen scaffold model, expand

(NeuroFluor NeuO live staining, Fig. 1E), and differentiate into healthy neurons and astrocytic-

like cells, as indicated by IF imaging (Fig. 1F). Cultures express neuronal markers such as

neurofilament heavy chain (NFH), MAP2B, and Tuj1 together with GFAP (Fig. 1F). They develop

an intricated three-dimensional network extending deep into the silk pores and the supporting

collagen, suggesting high connectivity among growing cells (Fig. 1E, F). Cultures generated with

this approach supported by BrainPhys media supplemented with SM1 and N2 can survive in

culture for extended periods.

Aβ42/40 ratio and extracellular Aβ42 deposition are elevated in FAD cultures

To explore whether our bioengineered cultures could be valuable for modeling AD, we generated

cultures from extensively characterized iPSC lines [15] from a father (hFAD-1) and a daughter

(hFAD-2), each harboring the FAD mutation APP V717I [15] (Fig. 2A). The father was 57 years

old and diagnosed with AD before the biopsy. In contrast, the daughter was asymptomatic at age

33. The two clones from the daughter (hFAD-2 Clone A and Clone B) displayed a normal euploid

karyotype (Fig. S1), while the clone from the father (hFAD-1) revealed a standard chromosome

number but a balanced (t(1;12)(q42.3;q21.2)) translocation in all cells analyzed (Fig. S1). The

232 fibroblasts obtained from this subject displayed the same abnormal karyotype, suggesting a

233 preexisting abnormality that did not arise during the reprogramming [15]. This karyotypic alteration

234 didn't compromise the differentiation potential for the line.

235 The following lines were selected as controls (Fig. 2A). YZ1 [15], derived from fetal lung

236 fibroblasts, BR24 (Religious Order Study (ROS) or Rush Memory and Aging Project (MAP) [28]),

237 a cognitively unimpaired woman at the time of biopsy, 76 years of age [9]. Participants in the ROS

238 and MAP projects range from 50 to over 100 years and are free of dementia at the enrollment [9,

239 28]. Follow-up assessments indicated that the BR24 subject died at the age of 90, showing no

240 signs of cognitive decline or AD pathology. We also generated an isogenic control for the hFAD-

241 2 Clone B line (Fig. 2B) using genome-editing technology by reverting the APP V717I mutation to

242 wild type (C-hFAD-2 Clone B). Potential off-target cuts were identified using the Cas-OFF finder

243 (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder). The top 8 sites were amplified, and Sanger sequenced to

244 verify the status of these potential off-targets. None of the predicted sites showed evidence of off-

245 targeting cutting. No karyotype clonal abnormalities were identified among the control lines (Fig.

246 S1).

247 To better understand the nature of our cultures and the reproducibility of the differentiation

248 approach from different iPSC lines and clones, we next turned to NanoString Technologies® for

249 measuring gene expression at 2 and 4.5 months. Data were analyzed by ROSALIND®

250 (https://rosalind.onramp.bio), with a HyperScale architecture developed by ROSALIND®, Inc.

251 (San Diego, CA, USA), and the nCounter® Advanced Analysis protocol. Housekeeping probes (9)

252 used for normalization were selected based on the geNorm algorithm implemented in the

253 NormqPCR R library.

254 We used the nCounter® Cell Type Profiling Module (as described in [29]) to assess the abundance

255 of various cell populations (Fig. 2C). The method quantifies cell populations using marker genes

256 expressed stably and precisely in given cell types. While markers for endothelial cells,

257 oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, neurons, and microglia have been screened, only scores for

neurons and astrocytes reached a statistically significant threshold (p-value <0.001 and <0.01,

respectively, Fig. 2C), indicating that these two cell types are the main constituents of our cultures.

Exploiting NanoString normalized expression analysis, we compared the expression of specific

groups of commonly expressed genes in iPSC-derived neural cultures (Fig. S2) based on

previous studies [15, 20, 23, 30-32] between different lines, genotypes, time points, and biological

replicates. In agreement with previous observations [15, 20], normalized expression analysis

identified the enrichment of a vast array of glial (GFAP, ALDH1L1, S100B, etc.), pan-neuronal

and synaptic markers (HOMER1, TBR1, STX1A, etc.) with most neurons exhibiting an excitatory

profile, together with some progenitor markers (NES, CCND1) being expressed up to 4.5 months

post-seeding. As expected, based on the differentiation method implemented, genomic analysis

suggests that our culture acquired a cortical layer fate as indicated by the expression of genes

(TBR1, DLX1, DLX2, etc.) involved in mammalian neocortical development and/or expressed in

a layer-specific manner in the human neocortex (Fig. S2) [33]. Also, AD-related genes were

expressed in our 3D cultures across all analyzed conditions. Differential expression analysis did

not reveal any significant variance between lines and genotypes for this set of genes, suggesting

that the cultures are homogeneously patterned, and that the differentiation approach is highly

reproducible.

To assess whether our cultures can recapitulate some AD-related phenotypes, soluble Aβ

quantification (MSD: Meso Scale Discovery Assay, Aβ Peptide Panel 1-4G8) was performed on

24-hour-conditioned media (Fig. 2D). In agreement with previous reports [15, 23, 34, 35], the

Aβ42 over Aβ40 ratio was found to be increased in FAD cultures compared to control ones at

both time points (CTR 2mo: 0.040±0.002 vs. FAD 2mo: 0.089±0.017, p=0.0003; CTR 4.5mo:

0.048±0.004 vs. FAD 4.5mo: 0.093±0.005, p<0.0001). The Aβ42/40 ratio calculated for the

isogenic C-hFAD-2 Clone B control was comparable to that of other control lines, supporting the

idea that the observed increase in the hFAD-2 Clone B depended on the APP V717I mutation.

Next, we performed IF using an antibody specific for Aβ42 (validated in 5XFAD:BACE1^{-/-} brain

sections [36]) and calculated the amyloid burden for CTR and FAD cultures at both time points

(Fig. 2E). Confocal imaging and 3D reconstruction revealed the presence of Aβ42-positive

extracellular aggregates interspersed among FAD hNeurons (identified by MAP2B staining, Fig.

2F). Volumetric analysis of the amyloid burden (Fig. 2E) revealed a mild trend for increase starting

at 2 months in FAD cultures compared to controls (CTR: 0.00053±0.00022 vs. FAD:

0.00170±0.00044, p=0.9368) with a striking difference among conditions at 4.5 months (CTR:

0.00028±0.00005 vs. FAD: 0.02572±0.00281, p<0.0001). No difference was identified between

control groups at the two time points analyzed.

FAD cultures manifest enhanced neuronal excitability

To determine the electrophysiological properties of the cultures, local field potentials (LFPs) were

recorded from single electrodes inserted into the superficial layers of the hydrogel next to silk

fibers, adapting a protocol by Du and collaborators [37], where both spontaneous and evoked

potentials (EPs) were collected. LFPs, indicative of cellular electrical activations [14], were

quantified and compared across all conditions. The spontaneous and evoked activity was

observed within all the scaffolds analyzed starting at 2 months, suggesting that our 3D model is

populated by functional neurons capable of network activity.

Evidence indicates that LOAD and FAD patients show enhanced excitability, with onset during

the early stages of the pathology [38-45]. In our study, FAD cultures displayed comparable levels

of spontaneous potentials (Log10 LFP spikes/min) relative to control samples at 2 months (CTR:

0.717±0.130 vs. FAD: 0.872±0.126, p=0.3950); however, by 4.5 months, FAD samples displayed

significantly more spontaneous potentials relative to controls (CTR: 0.515±0.106 vs. FAD:

0.832±0.114, p=0.0475). Similarly, FAD samples showed more EPs relative to controls at 4.5

months post-seeding (CTR: 0.736±0.079 vs. FAD: 1.050±0.077, p=0.0064), which was not

apparent at 2 months (CTR: 1.040±0.091 vs. FAD: 1.270±0.112, p=0.1069). Our findings agree

with previous work that indicates dysregulation of the electrophysiological properties in AD brains

accompanied by enhanced network activity. Cognitive impairment in AD patients was assumed

to originate from depressed synaptic activity that eventually led to neurodegeneration. However,

multiple lines of evidence now indicate that, particularly during AD initial stages, synapse

dysfunctions are first induced by neuronal hyperactivity rather than hypoactivity [46-48].

Differential expression and functional analysis of the NanoString panel in FAD cultures

Transcriptional profiling of NanoString data from our samples was performed by ROSALIND®

platform (see method section for details). At 2 months, there were 133 differentially expressed

genes (DEGs) (absolute fold change > 1.5 & FDR < 0.05) between FAD and control samples (Fig.

4A). Expression for 38 of those 133 genes was upregulated in FAD samples compared to controls

and downregulated for 95 of 133 genes (Fig. 4A). Gene set analysis (GSA) identified increased

expression of genes associated with Cytokines, Activated Microglia, and Neuronal Cytoskeleton

(directed GSS > 0) (Fig. 4C).

Based on the differentiation protocol used for this study, we expected our cultures to be populated

exclusively by cells derived from the neuroectodermal lineage. So, we were surprised to observe

an upregulation of the Activated Microglia pathway in FAD cultures. Follow-up

immunofluorescence for TMEM119 (Fig. S3), a well-known microglia marker, followed by confocal

microscopy identified the presence of TMEM119 positive cells in the scaffolds interspersed

among MAP2 and GFAP-positive cells, indicating the presence of microglia-like cells in our

cultures. The cells show a ramified morphology and are intertwined with neuronal processes as

described by others (Fig. S3) [49]. These data agree with previous studies that have identified

mesoderm-derived progenitors and microglia in cerebral organoids [49, 50].

Further, GSA identified reduced expression of genes associated with multiple other

neurodegeneration pathways such as Transmitter Release, Neural Connectivity, and Vesicle

Trafficking (directed GSS < 0) (Fig. 4C).

At 4.5 months, there were 38 DEGs (absolute fold change > 1.5 & p < 0.05) between FAD and

control samples (Fig. 4B). Expression for 5 of the 38 genes was upregulated in FAD samples

compared to controls and downregulated for 33 of 38 genes (Fig. 4B). Gene set analysis identified

increased expression of genes associated with Cytokines and reduced expression of genes

associated with multiple pathways such as Transmitter Release, Neuronal Cytoskeleton, and

Vesicle Trafficking (Fig. 4D).

FAD cultures reproduce human neurodegeneration signatures

To assess the relevance of our model for AD, we performed a correlation analysis between our

NanoString data from FAD cultures and human post-mortem co-expression modules [17, 51].

FAD cultures at 2 months showed significant positive correlations (p<0.05) with AD-associated

changes in human co-expression modules in Consensus Cluster B, which include transcripts that

are enriched for immune-related pathways in the cerebellum brain region (CBEturquoise) (Fig.

5A). Furthermore, FAD cultures at 2 months showed significant positive correlations (p<0.05) with

AD changes in multiple modules in Consensus Cluster C, which include transcripts that are

enriched for neuronal-related pathways in the inferior frontal gyrus module (IFG), temporal cortex

(TCX), and the parahippocampus gyrus (PHG) brain regions (Fig. 5A). However, these significant

positive correlations diminish at 4.5 months (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, FAD cultures at 2 months

showed a significant positive correlation (Pearson Correlation = 0.27; p<0.05) with AD ECM

organization changes in module TCXblue in Consensus Cluster A but also showed a significant

negative correlation (Pearson Correlation = -0.35; p<0.05) with AD changes in the PHGyellow

module enriched for similar biological process. However, at 4.5 months of age, FAD cultures

exhibited a non-significant but positive correlation with AD changes in the PHGyellow module

(Pearson Correlation = 0.11; p > 0.05) and a stronger significant positive correlation with TCXblue

module changes in AD (Pearson Correlation = 0.27; p < 0.05) (Fig. 5A). These results suggest

that functional changes such as ECM organizations are strengthened with age and represent

similar effects to those observed in endpoint AD.

Next, we examined the expression profile of differentially regulated genes in the FAD cultures

identified by the ROSALIND® platform across human AMP-AD transcriptomic data. We

determined that most of the differentially upregulated genes (fold change > 1.5) in 2-month-old

FAD cultures showed increased expression in immune/inflammation-associated AMP-AD

modules in Consensus Cluster B (Fig. 5B), suggesting increased expression of these genes in

multiple human brain regions. Similarly, most of the differentially downregulated genes (fold

change < 1.5) in 2 months old FAD cultures showed reduced expression across numerous human

brain regions except in the frontal pole and cerebellum brain regions of AD patients (Fig. 5C). Our

2-month-old FAD cultures exhibited expression profiles of neuronal genes remarkably similar to

human AD for multiple brain regions.

Similarly, we examined the expression of differentially deregulated genes in 4.5 months old FAD

cultures across AMP-AD modules. There were only 5 genes that were differentially upregulated

in the 4.5-month-old FAD cultures compared to controls, and these genes mainly exhibited

reduced expression in some of the AMP-AD modules (Fig. S4 A). Most of the differentially

downregulated genes (fold change < 1.5) in 4.5 months old FAD cultures showed reduced

expression in neuronal-associated AMP-AD modules in Consensus Cluster C, except in

CBEyellow and FPyellow modules (Fig. S4 B). These results suggest that neurodegeneration-

related genes were downregulated in our FAD cultures, similar to human AD patients.

Discussion

We report here that iPSC-derived NPCs reliably integrate into the silk-collagen scaffold model,

expand, and differentiate in healthy neurons and astrocytic-like cells as indicated by the

expression of neuronal and astrocytic markers. Accordingly, gene expression and cell enrichment

analysis revealed a similar cellular composition across all cultures. More importantly, 3D neural

cultures from APP London mutation heterozygous carriers recapitulate some AD-like phenotypes.

We found that FAD cultures displayed an elevated Aβ42/40 ratio in conditioned media at 2 and

4.5 months, as previously reported in 2D cultures derived from the same FAD lines [15, 23]. While

the Aβ42/40 ratio change was similar at the two time points, extracellular deposition of Aβ42

developed only in the older cultures and identified FAD from control cultures. Notably, Aβ42/40

ratio and Aβ42 extracellular deposition in the isogenic control cultures were similar to BR24 and

YZ1 lines. These data demonstrate that the APP V7171I mutation causes such phenotypes.

LFP recordings showed that our 3D cultures were electrically active starting at 2 months. While

no difference in LFP frequency was observed at 2 months, by 4.5 months, FAD samples displayed

significantly higher spontaneous and evoked potentials relative to controls. The increased

excitability in FAD cultures correlated with extracellular Aβ42 deposition but not with soluble

Aβ42/40 ratio levels, as they were similar at both time points. These data suggest that extracellular

Aβ deposition may trigger enhanced network activity. Our data agree with previous work showing

increased neuronal excitability in AD patients at an early stage of the disease [16, 43].

A large study conducted on carriers of FAD mutations (The Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer

Network (DIAN) consortium) showed that changes in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers,

cerebral amyloid deposition, and brain metabolism begin at least two decades before the

predicted onset of clinical symptom [52]. Similarly, increased brain activity may precede AD

diagnosis by 30 years in PSEN1 mutation carriers [53, 54]. More recently, neuronal

hyperexcitability was described in organoids generated from isogenic iPSC lines carrying the FAD

PSEN1 ΔE9, PSEN1 M146V, or APP Swedish mutations [55]. However, this is the first report of

enhanced excitability in 3D cultures from patient-derived iPSC lines carrying the APP London

mutation.

Differential expression analysis and GSA revealed that multiple gene sets were deregulated in

FAD compared to control cultures. At 2 months, upregulated genes were associated with

Cytokines, Activated Microglia, and Neuronal Cytoskeleton pathways in GSA. The downregulated

genes were related to multiple neurodegeneration pathways such as Transmitter Release, Neural

Connectivity, and Vesicle Trafficking. Some of the differences were maintained for up to 4.5

months. At 4.5 months, GSA identified increased expression of genes associated with Cytokines

and reduced expression of genes associated with multiple pathways such as Transmitter

Release, Neuronal Cytoskeleton, and Vesicle Trafficking. While most DEGs in the FAD cultures

are expressed in neurons, genes associated with activated microglia were upregulated in FAD

cultures at 2 months. Even though only scores for neuronal and astrocyte markers reached a

statistically significant threshold in the nCounter® Cell Type Profiling Module, TMEM119-positive

cells are present in our cultures. Similarly, mesoderm-derived progenitors, from which microglia

originate, and mature microglia-like cells innately develop in cerebral organoids [49, 50].

To validate our cultures as an AD model in vitro, we applied a system biology approach developed

to evaluate AD mouse models [51]. A correlation analysis was performed between gene

expression changes in FAD cultures and changes in human AD cases in post-mortem co-

expression modules. We used a recent human molecular disease catalog based on harmonized

co-expression data from three independent postmortem brain cohorts (ROSMAP, Mayo, Mount

Sinai Brain bank) [56-58] and seven brain regions that define 30 human co-expression modules

and five consensus clusters derived from the overlap of those modules [17]. FAD cultures at 2

months showed significant positive correlations with human co-expression modules in Consensus

Cluster B, including transcripts enriched for immune-related pathways. They showed significant

positive correlations with multiple modules in Consensus Cluster C, including transcripts enriched

for neuronal-related pathways. However, these significant positive correlations diminish at 4.5

months of age.

Next, we examined the expression profile of differentially regulated genes in the FAD cultures

identified by the ROSALIND® platform across human AMP-AD transcriptomic data. We

determined that most of the differentially upregulated genes in 2-months-old FAD cultures showed

increased expression in immune/inflammation-associated AMP-AD modules in Consensus

Cluster B. Similarly, most of the differentially downregulated genes in 2-months-old FAD cultures

showed reduced expression across numerous human brain regions in Consensus Cluster C.

Thus, 2-month-old FAD cultures exhibited expression profiles of neuronal genes remarkably

similar to human AD for multiple brain regions. In the 4.5-month-old FAD cultures, most

differentially downregulated genes showed reduced expression in neuronal-associated AMP-AD

modules in Consensus Cluster C. These results suggest that neurodegeneration-related genes

were downregulated in FAD cultures, similar to human AD patients.

Since iPSC reprogramming rejuvenates cells and erases donor aging signatures, concerns were

raised about the ability to model age-related diseases with iPSC-derived neuronal cultures [59].

It is well-established that AD develops over decades [60]. Thus, genetic factors may produce

endophenotypes early in life; therefore, they can be captured in iPSC-derived neural cultures.

Conclusions

We developed a neural tissue model that supports the differentiation of iPSC-derived NPCs in a

donut-shaped porous silk sponge with an optically clear (collagen-filled) central region. The

porous design facilitates nutrients and oxygen diffusion to meet the metabolic demand of long-

term cultures. This study provides compelling evidence that our 3D bioengineered model from

patient-derived FAD iPSCs develops time-dependent phenotypes and transcriptomic features

observed in AD patients. Some of these changes have been identified across other 3D models

[1]. However, to our knowledge, none of these studies could recapitulate several different AD-

related hallmarks in one single model. While Aβ extracellular deposition and changes in the

Aβ42/40 ratio represent frequent findings in other 3D models, the enhanced excitability and

transcriptomic changes described in our manuscript have been difficult to recapitulate in these

conditions. Importantly, in our scaffolds, these phenotypes have been identified at two time points

(2 and 4.5 months), resembling some of the features that characterize AD progression. Aβ42/40

ratio and transcriptomic changes are already present at 2 months, while Aβ deposition appears

only at 4.5 months when the neuronal hyperexcitability becomes evident, suggesting a temporal

relation between these two events. Gahtak et al. [55] detected hyperexcitability in AD organoids

accompanied by increased Aβ immunostaining and an increase in the ratio of secreted Aβ42/40

at 2 months; nevertheless, no other time points were analyzed, providing no information on the

temporal relation between these events. To date, no other studies have been published examining

the transcriptomic features of these 3D models while comparing them to those of human AD

brains in a large study that performed a co-expression meta-analysis of harmonized data from

Accelerating Medicines Partnership for Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-AD). These data are, in our

opinion, key to understanding the pertinency of these models for studying AD-related

pathomechanisms over time. As discussed, one of the main strengths of this model is that every

element was designed to be tunable and amenable to experimental modification. Although we did

not incorporate a layered structure for this study, the 3D model can be designed using a concentric

toroidal scaffold to more closely approximate gross features of the layered brain tissue [11].

However, we need to consider that any modification to the structural design of the model could

cause unexpected changes to the integrity and longevity of the cultures [14] and therefore require

extensive and costly troubleshooting. We could also incorporate embedded electrodes for

continuous, long-term recordings of electrophysiological activity [61, 62]. Moreover, unlike self-

organizing organoids, mixed 3D neuronal tissue could be generated by co-culturing neurons,

astrocytes, and microglia derived from different donors or isogenic iPSC lines carrying AD-linked

genetic variants [63]. For example mixed 3D cultures containing mutant neurons and wild-type

astrocytes or microglia cells and vice-versa could be used to study the impact of cell specific

genetic variants on AD-like phenotypes. For all these reasons, our bioengineered neural tissue

represents a unique tool to model AD-related pathomechanisms over time, with several

advantages and potential compared to the existing model.

On the other hand, our model still has technical limitations. Even though the porous structure of

the scaffolds facilitates the diffusion of oxygen and nutrients, it lacks a proper neurovascular unit,

a perivascular compartment, and coordinated drainage of accumulated metabolites. In addition,

other practical challenges like scalability and high throughput screening compatibility will have to

be optimized.

Methods

iPSC lines

hFAD-1, hFAD-2 Clone A and B iPSC lines [15] were generated in the laboratory of Dr. T. Young-

Pearse (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA). BR24 iPSC line (ROSMAP) [9, 28]

was generated at the New York Stem Cell Foundation. YZ1 iPSC line was obtained from the

University of Connecticut-Wesleyan Stem Cell Core (Farmington, CT, USA [15]). The original

manuscripts cited above describe the reprogramming methods and quality control assays for

these lines.

hFAD-2 Clone B Corrected was generated at the University of Connecticut-Wesleyan Stem Cell

Core (Farmington, CT, USA). Briefly, the guide RNA used for APP editing was designed using

chop-chop (https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no/) for specificity to the loci of interest. The resulting guide

5' GACAGTGATCATCATCACCT 3' was identified to have high specificity to the desired loci. The

guide is designed to cut the mutant allele. Given that the CAS9/guide RNA was transiently

expressed in the cells, the potential for off-targets in the nearest matches was minimal. Potential

off-targets were identified using the Cas-OFF finder (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/). The

top eight identified sites were amplified from the clones, and Sanger sequenced to verify the

status of these potential off-targets. None of the predicted sites showed evidence of off-targeting

cutting. After targeting, the corrected allele resulted in a single base difference from the original

guide sequence. None of the clones sequenced exhibited off-target cutting at the predicted sites

or the corrected allele.

All iPSC lines have been confirmed by sequencing before starting any of the experiments listed

in this manuscript.

ROSMAP study participants

iPSC lines were generated from autopsied participants in either the Religious Orders Study or

Rush Memory and Aging Project (ROSMAP) [28]. Both studies were approved by an Institutional

Review Board of Rush University Medical Center; they enroll older persons without dementia who

agree to annual clinical evaluation and brain donation at death. All participants signed informed

consent, an Anatomical Gift Act, and a repository consent to allow their resources to be

repurposed. As part of the annual evaluation, peripheral blood mononuclear cells were drawn and

cryopreserved.

Karyotype analysis and mycoplasma testing

G-banded karyotyping was outsourced to WiCell (Madison, WI, USA). WiCell's chromosome

analysis is optimized for pluripotent stem cells. All results are reviewed by an American Board of

Medical Genetics and Genomics (ABMGG) board-certified or board-eligible director. Karyograms

for all the lines have been checked every ten passages.

Mycoplasma testing was performed monthly for all the lines included in this study at any

differentiation stage (LookOut Mycoplasma PCR Detection Kit, Sigma). All cells used in this study

were consistently negative.

NPC differentiation

iPSCs were maintained in feeder-free conditions on plates coated with Matrigel hESC-qualified Matrix (Corning) with daily media changes using mTeSR1 medium (StemCell Technologies). Cells were held at 37°C and 5% CO_2 and were split as necessary based on colony growth (5-7 days). Cells were passaged in small aggregates (1:7/1:10 depending on the line) using ReLeSR (StemCell Technologies). 10 µM Y-27632 (ROCK inhibitor, StemCell Technologies) were added to the culturing media for a maximum of 24 hours after passage.

According to the StemCell Technologies method, NPCs were differentiated using an EB-based protocol without SMADi supported by STEMdiff Neural Induction medium (StemCell Technologies) with daily media change over ~20 days. To generate iPSC-derived EBs for neural induction AggreWell 800 (StemCell Technologies), 24-well plates were used. These plates enable the easy generation of uniformly sized EBs, making differentiation experiments more reproducible. For each well of the AggreWell plate, 3×10^6 single-cell suspension (Gentle Cell Dissociation Reagent, StemCell Technologies) of iPSCs were plated, followed by centrifugation at 100xg for 3 minutes, to capture the cells in the microwells. A daily partial-medium change was performed for 4 days. On day 5, EBs were harvested from a single well of an AggreWell 24-well plate and re-plated onto a Matrigel hESC-qualified-coated well of a tissue culture-treated 6-well plate. Replated EBs were maintained with daily media change until neural rosette structures were visible and defined. Around days 10-12, based on the different lines, rosettes were selected (Neural Rosette Selection Reagent, StemCell Technologies) and replated onto Matrigel hESC-qualified Matrix-coated plates. Selected rosette-containing clusters attach, and NPC outgrowths will form a monolayer between the clusters. A daily full-medium change was performed. NPCs are ready for passage 1 at approximately day 17-19 (Accutase, StemCell Technologies). NPCs were plated at 1.25×10^5 cells/cm^2 on Matrigel hESC-qualified Matrix-coated wells and maintained in Neural Progenitor medium (StemCell Technologies) with daily complete media change. Single-cell suspensions (2×10^6 cells/mL) of NPCs were cryopreserved and banked for future usage using

Neural Progenitor Freezing (StemCell Technologies). At least two separate differentiations of

NPCs have been generated from different iPSC stocks for all the lines included in this study and

used to differentiate hNeurons.

Scaffold preparation, seeding, and differentiation into hNeurons

Silk protein was processed from *Bombyx mori* cocoons as described previously [64]. In brief, silk

cocoons were cut into fragments and boiled for 30 minutes in a 0.02 M Na_2CO_3 solution. The

fibroin was solubilized in a 9.3 M LiBr solution dialyzed against deionized water. The silk solution

was then diluted to 6% weight/volume and used to generate the porous scaffolds via salt leaching

[19], using 500–600 um NaCl crystals to generate a sponge-like structure. A biopsy punch was

used to generate the donut-shaped scaffolds (5mm outer diam. x 2mm central hole diam. x 2mm

ht.). Scaffolds were autoclaved and then coated with poly-L-ornithine (20ug/mL in DPBS, Sigma)

and laminin (10ug/mL in DMEM/F-12, Sigma) overnight at 4°C.

A strained (40um nylon mesh) single-cell suspension of ($2x10^6$/100 uL) NPCs between passages

two and six was added to the coated scaffold in a 96-well plate and incubated for 24 hours. The

media (200uL, Neural Progenitor medium) was changed daily for five days following seeding,

moving the scaffolds to fresh wells with each change. After allowing five days of cellular

expansion, the scaffolds were moved to a clean well and infused with a 100 uL solution of cold

Collagen Type-1 Rat Tail (3.0mg/mL, Corning) mixed with 10X PBS and 1N NaOH (ratio 88:10:2).

The collagen-filled scaffolds were then incubated at 37°C until the gelation occurred (~45

minutes). Once solidified, the scaffolds were transferred to a 24-well plate and flooded with 1.5

mL of BrainPhys media (StemCell Technologies) supplemented with SM1 (StemCell

Technologies) and N2 (ThermoFisher) with media changes every four days and differentiated into

hNeurons.

Immunofluorescence and imaging analysis

2D and 3D cultures were fixed with a 4% paraformaldehyde/4% sucrose solution in DPBS for 15 and 30 minutes, respectively, followed by membrane permeabilization with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 15 minutes. Non-specific binding sites were blocked for one (2D) or four (3D) hours at RT (5% BSA, 0.1% Triton-X-100 in DPBS). Cultures were then incubated with primary antibodies (see Antibody Table) diluted in 1% BSA, 0.1% Triton-X-100 in DPBS overnight at 4°C. After multiple washes in DPBS, sections were incubated with Alexa (1:1000 in 1% BSA in DPBS) fluorescent secondary antibodies against the corresponding host species for one (2D) or three (3D) hours at RT. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (Sigma). 2D cultures were mounted on superfrost slides (Fisher Scientific) using Fluoromount-G1 mounting media (Southern Biotech), while 3D cultures were imaged directly.

NeuroFluor™ NeuO (StemCell Technologies), a membrane-permeable fluorescent probe that selectively labels iPSC-derived neurons, was used to stain live cultures according to manufacture instructions.

Z-stack fluorescence images were obtained using confocal microscopes (SP8 Falcon Leica, SP8 Leica, see figure legends for details), keeping constant imaging parameters. 3D reconstructions were generated with the LAS X Life Science Leica software. Image analysis was performed using Fiji ImageJ version: 2.0.0-rc-69/1.52p.

Aβ burden volumetric analysis was performed using the Fiji ImageJ plug-in 3D object counter. The sum of all extracellular Aβ deposition volumes was divided by the total volume of the region of interest (ROI) imaged to obtain the amyloid burden values. At least two separate sets of cultures per line and three images per set of cultures have been analyzed.

MSD assay and data analysis

Before harvest, BrainPhys media was changed, and fresh media was dispensed into each well. Twenty-four hours later, media was collected and stored at -80°C. MSD (Rockville, MD, USA)

assay for the detection of human Aβ38, Aβ40, and Aβ42 (V-PLEX Aβ Peptide Panel 1 (4G8) Kit)

was performed in-house according to the manufacturer's instructions on undiluted conditioned

media. Technical duplicates were run for all the samples analyzed. The assay demonstrated high

sensitivity with a lower limit of detection values in the low-pg/mL and over 2.5 logs of dynamic

range, allowing the measurement of analytes in all samples, except for Aβ38, which fell under the

lower limit of detection for most of the samples. All MSD assays exhibited excellent reproducibility

with an average calculated concentration of 3.6% and 7.2% for the standards and samples within

the detection range of the assay, respectively. The data were analyzed using MSD Workbench

4.0 software. The software fits the standard curves using a four-parameter logistic fit with $1/y^2$

weighting.

LFP recordings

Local field potentials (LFPs) were recorded from samples, adapting a protocol by Du et al. [37].

Both spontaneous and evoked potentials (EPs) were collected. Each sample was placed in the

center of a 35mm plastic petri dish (Corning) containing 2 mL of an extracellular solution

simulating cerebrospinal fluid (mM): 130 NaCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 1.8 $MgSO_4$, 1.6 $CaCl_2$, 3 KCl, 10

HEPES-NaOH, 5.5 glucose, pH 7.4. The dish and solution were maintained at 37ºC using a WP-

16 Warmed Platform (with a TC-134A Handheld Temperature Controller). A bipolar stimulator

was positioned on top of the sample, affixing it to the bottom of the dish. Then, a recording

electrode (borosilicate glass pipette, 40-80 MΩ resistance) which was pulled with a Sutter P-97

(Navato), was inserted into the silk-scaffold region between the stimulators (e.g., stimulator-

electrode-stimulator). LFPs were amplified and digitized (Axon Instruments DAC; Intan digital

amplifier) with a sampling frequency of 2500Hz. Recordings were performed blind (each sample

was given an unidentifiable ID), always counterbalanced by condition, and replicated batches

were measured within the same 12-hour window.

Spontaneous activity (baseline) was recorded for 2 mins, followed by the evoked potential phase,

which consisted of a 60s period of stimulation (1ms pulse, 1Hz, 5 mA), an injection of 10 µM

tetrodotoxin (sodium channel blocker), 2 mins of perfusion, and a final 60 sec period of stimulation.

Data were retrieved from Clampex 10.7 (Axon Instruments), exported to Clampfit 10.7, and

"spikes" indicative of activity were extracted using an amplitude threshold (±0.35 mV). Spike

frequencies (LFP spikes/minute) were computed for spontaneous (baseline) recordings. Evoked

potentials per stimulation period were also quantified after a background-subtraction process as

previously described [37]. All data were normalized to DNA content quantified using Quant-iT

PicoGreen dsDNA Assay kit (ThermoFisher). Data were also subjected to a log-10 transformation

to stabilize and normalize expected trial-to-trial variation.

RNA isolation and NanoString outsourcing

At the time of desired analysis, scaffolds were snap-frozen using liquid nitrogen and stored at

−80°C. The frozen scaffolds were then homogenized using a liquid nitrogen-chilled Spectrum

Bessman Tissue Pulverizer (Fisher Scientific). RNA from homogenized samples was extracted

immediately after the homogenization using the RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen) following the

manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, samples were dissolved in Qiagen RLT Plus lysis buffer

supplemented with 1% β-mercaptoethanol and centrifuged for 3 min at maximum speed. The

supernatant was transferred to a gDNA Eliminator spin column to remove genomic DNA, and the

flow-through was mixed with 70% ethanol and placed in an RNeasy spin column. After one wash

with the RW1 buffer and two washes with the RPE buffer, RNA was eluted in 20uL of RNase-free

water and quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher). RNA

concentration was normalized to 20ng/uL, and 150ng of total RNA was shipped to NanoString

Technologies® (Seattle, WA, USA) for analysis.

NanoString neuropathology panel gene expression profiling

NanoString neuropathology panel gene expression profiling

The NanoString Neuropathology profile panel was used for gene expression profiling of our RNA

samples on the nCounter platform (NanoString Technologies®, Seattle, WA, USA). The

neuropathology panel incorporated 770 human genes, including 10 references (housekeeping

genes) and 760 endogenous genes, to target all aspects of neurodegeneration. Data were

analyzed by ROSALIND® (https://rosalind.onramp.bio), with a Hyperscale architecture developed

by ROSALIND, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA). Normalization, fold changes, and p-values were

calculated using criteria provided by NanoString. ROSALIND® follows the nCounter® Advanced

Analysis protocol of dividing counts within a lane by the geometric mean of the normalizer probes

from the same lane.

Housekeeping probes for normalization were selected based on the geNorm algorithm

implemented in the NormqPCR R library [65]. Fold changes, and significance score (p-value)

were calculated using the optimal method described in the nCounter® Advanced Analysis 2.0

User Manual. Significant p-values ($p < 0.05$) were adjusted for multiple genetic comparisons using

the Benjamini–Hochberg method of estimating false discovery rates [66]. Gene expression was

considered significantly different if there was a greater than 1.5. fold change compared to controls.

The nCounter Neuropathology profile panel annotation database was referenced to perform gene

set enrichment analysis. Directed global significance scores (GSS) were calculated relative to a

set of background genes measured in the experiment. This analysis determined both significance

and directional response (i.e., upregulated or downregulated) of GSS for a given

neurodegeneration pathway. A total of 24 fundamental neurodegeneration pathways were

examined to determine the effect of FAD mutation. Bar plots were generated to compare

neurodegeneration pathways as a function of directed GSS.

AMP-AD post-mortem brain cohorts and gene co-expression modules

Data on the 30 human AMP-AD co-expression modules were obtained from the Synapse data repository [56, 58, 67] (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn11932957/tables/;SynapseID: syn11932957). These modules were obtained from a co-expression meta-analysis of harmonized AMP-AD data across three independent study cohorts. Each module is enriched in genes differentially expressed in AD cases versus controls [17]. The 30 AMP-AD modules were further grouped into five consensus clusters that describe the major functional groups of alterations observed in human AD [17, 51].

iPSC-derived cultures-human brains expression comparison

The nSolver software-generated raw gene expression counts for the Neuropathology panel. Normalization was done by dividing counts within a lane by the geometric mean of the housekeeping genes from the same lane. Next, normalized count values were log-transformed for downstream analysis. To determine the effect of FAD mutation, we fitted a linear mixed-effect regression model using the lme4 function in R by considering the fixed effect of FAD mutation plus random variation in intercept among cell lines within controls and FAD mutation (formula ~ FAD + 1|FAD:Cell.Line).

To compare expression changes in FAD lines with those observed in human disease, we computed Pearson correlations between log fold change in transcript expression of human AD patients compared to control patients (Log2FC(AD/controls) and the effect of FAD mutation estimated by the linear mixed-effect regression model [51, 68]. Correlation coefficients were computed using the cor.test function built-in R as: cor.test(log2FC(AD/Control,β_{FAD}).

LogFC values for human transcripts after correction for technical covariates were obtained via the AD Knowledge Portal (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn14237651).

Statistical analysis

Information regarding statistical analyses can be found in the figure legends. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM), represented as error bars. All statistical tests were computed using GraphPad Prism 9 software unless otherwise noted. ROUT method (1%) was applied to identify outliers. A p-value of 0.05 was used as the significance threshold throughout this study. In all figures, p-values are illustrated for all tests used: [n.s.] $p > 0.05$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$, **** $p \leq 0.0001$. A minimum of two differentiations for each iPSC line have been analyzed for all experiments. Figure legends and graphs representing individual data points indicate the sample size (n = number of scaffolds).

List of supplementary materials: Fig. S1, Fig. S2, Fig. S3, Fig. S4, Table 1.

Availability of data and materials: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files. Additional information is available from the corresponding author on request. ROSMAP resources can be requested at https://www.radc.rush.edu.

Competing interests: SL, RSP, NR, BM, WK, WLC, PGH, DAB, TLYP, GWC, DLK, and GT have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding: This work was supported by awards from the National Institutes of Health: 1R21AG065792 (to GT), 5R01AG061838 (to GT, PGH, and DLK), R01AG055909 (to TLYP), and U54AG054345 (to GWC). ROSMAP is supported by P30AG10161, P30AG72975, R01AG15819, R01AG17917. U01AG46152, U01AG61356 (to DAB).

Authors' contributions: The authors confirm contributions to the manuscript as follows: conceptualization: SL, GT; data curation: SL, RSP, NR; formal analysis: SL, RSP, NR;

investigation: SL, NR, BM, WK, WLC; methodology: SL, RSP, NR, GWC; resources: WLC, GWC,

DAB, TLYP, DLK; project administration: GT; supervision: SL, GWC, DLK, GT; validation: SL,

RSP, NR, BM, WK, GT; visualization: SL, RSP, NR; writing - original draft preparation: SL, RSP,

NR, GT; writing - review and editing: SL, RSP, NR, BM, WK, WLC, PGH, DAB, TLYP, GWC, DLK,

GT.

Acknowledgments: We thank Rachel Willen, Edward K. Robinson, Griffin Sigal, and Isabel

Paine for their technical support.

Abbreviations:

2D: Two-Dimensional

3D: Three-Dimensional

$A\beta$: Amyloid Beta

AD: Alzheimer's Disease

AMP-AD: Accelerating Medicines Partnership for Alzheimer's Disease

AP: Action Potential

APP: Amyloid Precursor Protein

CBE: Cerebellum

CSF: Cerebrospinal Fluid

DEG: Differentially Expressed Gene

DIAN: Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer's Network

EB: Embryoid Body

EP: Evoked Potential

FAD: Familial Alzheimer's Disease

GFAP: Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein

GSA: Gene Set Analysis

hNeuron: Human Neuron

IFG: Inferior Frontal Gyrus

iPSC: Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell

LOAD: Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease

LFP: Local Field Potential

MAP2B: Microtubule-Associated Protein 2B

MSD: Meso Scale Discovery

NFH: Neurofilament Heavy Chain

NFT: Neurofibrillary Tangles

NPC: Neural Precursor Cell

Oct4: Octamer-Binding Transcription Factor 4

PHG: Parahippocampus Gyrus

PSEN1: Presenilin 1

PSEN2: Presenilin 2

ROSMAP: Religious Order Study Rush Memory and Aging Project

SYN: Synaptophysin

TCX: Temporal Cortex

Tuj1: Neuron-Specific Class III Beta-Tubulin

Bibliography

1. Cenini G, Hebisch M, Iefremova V, Flitsch LJ, Breitkreuz Y, Tanzi RE, Kim DY, Peitz M,

Brustle O: **Dissecting Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis in human 2D and 3D models.**

Mol Cell Neurosci 2021, **110:**103568.

2. Serrano-Pozo A, Frosch MP, Masliah E, Hyman BT: **Neuropathological alterations in**

Alzheimer disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2011, **1:**a006189.

3. Qiu C, Kivipelto M, von Strauss E: **Epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: occurrence,**

determinants, and strategies toward intervention. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2009, **11:**111-

128.

4. D'Avanzo C, Aronson J, Kim YH, Choi SH, Tanzi RE, Kim DY: **Alzheimer's in 3D culture:**

challenges and perspectives. *Bioessays* 2015, **37:**1139-1148.

5. Miller JA, Horvath S, Geschwind DH: **Divergence of human and mouse brain**

transcriptome highlights Alzheimer disease pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010,

107:12698-12703.

6. Zhang Y, Sloan SA, Clarke LE, Caneda C, Plaza CA, Blumenthal PD, Vogel H, Steinberg GK,

Edwards MS, Li G, et al: **Purification and Characterization of Progenitor and Mature**

Human Astrocytes Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences with Mouse.

Neuron 2016, **89:**37-53.

7. Arranz AM, De Strooper B: **The role of astroglia in Alzheimer's disease: pathophysiology**

and clinical implications. *Lancet Neurol* 2019, **18:**406-414.

8. Penney J, Ralvenius WT, Tsai LH: **Modeling Alzheimer's disease with iPSC-derived brain**

cells. *Mol Psychiatry* 2020, **25:**148-167.

9. Lagomarsino VN, Pearse RV, Liu L, Hsieh Y-C, Fernandez MA, Vinton EA, Paull D, Felsky D,

Tasaki S, Gaiteri C, et al: **Stem cell-derived neurons reflect features of protein networks,**

neuropathology, and cognitive outcome of their aged human donors. *Neuron* 2021,

109:3402-3420.e3409.

10. Cantley W, Du C, Lomoio S, DePalma T, Peirent E, Kleinknecht D, Hunter M, Tang-Schomer

M, Tesco G, Kaplan D: **Functional and Sustainable 3D Human Neural Network Models**

from Pluripotent Stem Cells. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng,* 2018, **Article ASAP DOI:**

10.1021/acsbiomaterials.8b00622 Publication Date (Web): October 1, 2018.

11. Tang-Schomer MD, White JD, Tien LW, Schmitt LI, Valentin TM, Graziano DJ, Hopkins AM,

Omenetto FG, Haydon PG, Kaplan DL: **Bioengineered functional brain-like cortical tissue.**

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2014,

111:13811-13816.

12. Cairns DM, Rouleau N, Parker RN, Walsh KG, Gehrke L, Kaplan DL: **A 3D human brain-like**

tissue model of herpes-induced Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Adv* 2020, **6:**eaay8828.

13. Cairns DM, Chwalek K, Moore YE, Kelley MR, Abbott RD, Moss S, Kaplan DL: **Expandable**

and rapidly differentiating human induced neural stem cell lines for multiple tissue

engineering applications. *Stem cell reports* 2016, **7:**557-570.

14. Rouleau N, Cantley WL, Liaudanskaya V, Berk A, Du C, Rusk W, Peirent E, Koester C,

Nieland TJF, Kaplan DL: **A Long-Living Bioengineered Neural Tissue Platform to Study**

Neurodegeneration. *Macromolecular Bioscience* 2020, **20:**e2000004.

15. Muratore CR, Rice HC, Srikanth P, Callahan DG, Shin T, Benjamin LN, Walsh DM, Selkoe

DJ, Young-Pearse TL: **The familial Alzheimer's disease APPV717I mutation alters APP**

processing and Tau expression in iPSC-derived neurons. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014, **23:**3523-

3536.

16. Hector A, Brouillette J: **Hyperactivity Induced by Soluble Amyloid-beta Oligomers in the**

Early Stages of Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2020, **13:**600084.

17. Wan YW, Al-Ouran R, Mangleburg CG, Perumal TM, Lee TV, Allison K, Swarup V, Funk CC,

Gaiteri C, Allen M, et al: **Meta-Analysis of the Alzheimer's Disease Human Brain**

Transcriptome and Functional Dissection in Mouse Models. *Cell Rep* 2020, **32:**107908.

18. Chwalek K, Tang-Schomer MD, Omenetto FG, Kaplan DL: **In vitro bioengineered model of**

cortical brain tissue. *Nature Protocols* 2015, **10:**1362-1373.

19. Nazarov R, Jin HJ, Kaplan DL: **Porous 3-D scaffolds from regenerated silk fibroin.**

Biomacromolecules 2004, **5:**718-726.

20. Muratore CR, Srikanth P, Callahan DG, Young-Pearse TL: **Comparison and optimization**

of hiPSC forebrain cortical differentiation protocols. *Plos One* 2014, **9:**e105807.

21. Itskovitz-Eldor J, Schuldiner M, Karsenti D, Eden A, Yanuka O, Amit M, Soreq H, Benvenisty

N: **Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into embryoid bodies compromising**

the three embryonic germ layers. *Molecular Medicine* 2000, **6:**88-95.

22. Zeng H, Guo M, Martins-Taylor K, Wang X, Zhang Z, Park JW, Zhan S, Kronenberg MS,

Lichtler A, Liu H-X, et al: **Specification of region-specific neurons including forebrain**

glutamatergic neurons from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Plos One* 2010,

5:e11853.

23. Muratore CR, Zhou C, Liao M, Fernandez MA, Taylor WM, Lagomarsino VN, Pearse RV, Rice

HC, Negri JM, He A, et al: **Cell-type Dependent Alzheimer's Disease Phenotypes: Probing**

the Biology of Selective Neuronal Vulnerability. *Stem cell reports* 2017, **9:**1868-1884.

24. Engle SJ, Blaha L, Kleiman RJ: **Best Practices for Translational Disease Modeling Using**

Human iPSC-Derived Neurons. *Neuron* 2018, **100:**783-797.

25. Pettinato G, Wen X, Zhang N: **Formation of well-defined embryoid bodies from**

dissociated human induced pluripotent stem cells using microfabricated cell-repellent

microwell arrays. *Sci Rep* 2014, **4:**7402.

26. Topol A, Tran NN, Brennand KJ: **A guide to generating and using hiPSC derived NPCs**

for the study of neurological diseases. *J Vis Exp* 2015**:**e52495.

27. Readhead B, Hartley BJ, Eastwood BJ, Collier DA, Evans D, Farias R, He C, Hoffman G,

Sklar P, Dudley JT, et al: **Expression-based drug screening of neural progenitor cells**

from individuals with schizophrenia. *Nat Commun* 2018, **9:**4412.

28. Bennett DA, Buchman AS, Boyle PA, Barnes LL, Wilson RS, Schneider JA: **Religious Orders**

Study and Rush Memory and Aging Project. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2018, **64:**S161-S189.

29. Danaher P, Warren S, Dennis L, D'Amico L, White A, Disis ML, Geller MA, Odunsi K,

Beechem J, Fling SP: **Gene expression markers of Tumor Infiltrating Leukocytes.** *J*

Immunother Cancer 2017, **5:**18.

30. Zhang Y, Pak C, Han Y, Ahlenius H, Zhang Z, Chanda S, Marro S, Patzke C, Acuna C, Covy

J, et al: **Rapid single-step induction of functional neurons from human pluripotent stem**

cells. *Neuron* 2013, **78:**785-798.

31. Nehme R, Zuccaro E, Ghosh SD, Li C, Sherwood JL, Pietilainen O, Barrett LE, Limone F,
Worringer KA, Kommineni S, et al: **Combining NGN2 Programming with Developmental**
Patterning Generates Human Excitatory Neurons with NMDAR-Mediated Synaptic
Transmission. *Cell reports* 2018, **23:**2509-2523.

32. Liao M-C, Muratore CR, Gierahn TM, Sullivan SE, Srikanth P, De Jager PL, Love JC, Young-
Pearse TL: **Single-Cell Detection of Secreted Aβ and sAPPα from Human IPSC-Derived**
Neurons and Astrocytes. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 2016, **36:**1730-1746.

33. Mariani J, Simonini MV, Palejev D, Tomasini L, Coppola G, Szekely AM, Horvath TL,
Vaccarino FM: **Modeling human cortical development in vitro using induced pluripotent**
stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, **109:**12770-12775.

34. Arber C, Toombs J, Lovejoy C, Ryan NS, Paterson RW, Willumsen N, Gkanatsiou E, Portelius
E, Blennow K, Heslegrave A, et al: **Familial Alzheimer's disease patient-derived neurons**
reveal distinct mutation-specific effects on amyloid beta. *Mol Psychiatry* 2020, **25:**2919-
2931.

35. O'Connor A, Pannee J, Poole T, Arber C, Portelius E, Swift IJ, Heslegrave AJ, Abel E,
Willumsen N, Rice H, et al: **Plasma amyloid-beta ratios in autosomal dominant**
Alzheimer's disease: the influence of genotype. *Brain* 2021, **144:**2964-2970.

36. Eimer WA, Vassar R: **Neuron loss in the 5XFAD mouse model of Alzheimer's disease**
correlates with intraneuronal Abeta42 accumulation and Caspase-3 activation. *Mol*
Neurodegener 2013, **8:**2.

37. Du C, Collins W, Cantley W, Sood D, Kaplan DL: **Tutorials for Electrophysiological**
Recordings in Neuronal Tissue Engineering. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng* 2017, **3:**2235-2246.

38. Lam AD, Deck G, Goldman A, Eskandar EN, Noebels J, Cole AJ: **Silent hippocampal**
seizures and spikes identified by foramen ovale electrodes in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat*
Med 2017, **23:**678-680.

39. Vossel KA, Beagle AJ, Rabinovici GD, Shu H, Lee SE, Naasan G, Hegde M, Cornes SB,
Henry ML, Nelson AB, et al: **Seizures and epileptiform activity in the early stages of**
Alzheimer disease. *JAMA neurology* 2013, **70:**1158-1166.

40. Zott B, Busche MA, Sperling RA, Konnerth A: **What Happens with the Circuit in Alzheimer's**
Disease in Mice and Humans? *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2018, **41:**277-297.

41. Zott B, Simon MM, Hong W, Unger F, Chen-Engerer HJ, Frosch MP, Sakmann B, Walsh DM,
Konnerth A: **A vicious cycle of beta amyloid-dependent neuronal hyperactivation.**
Science 2019, **365:**559-565.

42. Palop JJ, Chin J, Roberson ED, Wang J, Thwin MT, Bien-Ly N, Yoo J, Ho KO, Yu G-Q,
Kreitzer A, et al: **Aberrant excitatory neuronal activity and compensatory remodeling of**
inhibitory hippocampal circuits in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 2007,
55:697-711.

43. Palop JJ, Mucke L: **Network abnormalities and interneuron dysfunction in Alzheimer**
disease. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2016, **17:**777-792.

44. Palop JJ, Mucke L: **Amyloid-beta-induced neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease:**
from synapses toward neural networks. *Nature Neuroscience* 2010, **13:**812-818.

45. Palop JJ, Mucke L: **Epilepsy and cognitive impairments in Alzheimer disease.** *Arch*
Neurol 2009, **66:**435-440.

46. Busche MA, Chen X, Henning HA, Reichwald J, Staufenbiel M, Sakmann B, Konnerth A:

Critical role of soluble amyloid-beta for early hippocampal hyperactivity in a mouse

model of Alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, **109:**8740-8745.

47. Busche MA, Eichhoff G, Adelsberger H, Abramowski D, Wiederhold K-H, Haass C,

Staufenbiel M, Konnerth A, Garaschuk O: **Clusters of hyperactive neurons near amyloid**

plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Science* 2008, **321:**1686-1689.

48. Busche MA, Grienberger C, Keskin AD, Song B, Neumann U, Staufenbiel M, Forstl H,

Konnerth A: **Decreased amyloid-beta and increased neuronal hyperactivity by**

immunotherapy in Alzheimer's models. *Nat Neurosci* 2015, **18:**1725-1727.

49. Ormel PR, Vieira de Sa R, van Bodegraven EJ, Karst H, Harschnitz O, Sneeboer MAM,

Johansen LE, van Dijk RE, Scheefhals N, Berdenis van Berlekom A, et al: **Microglia innately**

develop within cerebral organoids. *Nat Commun* 2018, **9:**4167.

50. Quadrato G, Nguyen T, Macosko EZ, Sherwood JL, Min Yang S, Berger DR, Maria N,

Scholvin J, Goldman M, Kinney JP, et al: **Cell diversity and network dynamics in**

photosensitive human brain organoids. *Nature* 2017, **545:**48-53.

51. Preuss C, Pandey R, Piazza E, Fine A, Uyar A, Perumal T, Garceau D, Kotredes KP, Williams

H, Mangravite LM, et al: **A novel systems biology approach to evaluate mouse models**

of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* 2020, **15:**67.

52. Bateman RJ, Xiong C, Benzinger TL, Fagan AM, Goate A, Fox NC, Marcus DS, Cairns NJ,

Xie X, Blazey TM, et al: **Clinical and biomarker changes in dominantly inherited**

Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2012, **367:**795-804.

53. Mondadori CR, Buchmann A, Mustovic H, Schmidt CF, Boesiger P, Nitsch RM, Hock C,

Streffer J, Henke K: **Enhanced brain activity may precede the diagnosis of Alzheimer's**

disease by 30 years. *Brain* 2006, **129:**2908-2922.

54. Reiman EM, Quiroz YT, Fleisher AS, Chen K, Velez-Pardo C, Jimenez-Del-Rio M, Fagan AM,

Shah AR, Alvarez S, Arbelaez A, et al: **Brain imaging and fluid biomarker analysis in**

young adults at genetic risk for autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease in the

presenilin 1 E280A kindred: a case-control study. *Lancet Neurol* 2012, **11:**1048-1056.

55. Ghatak S, Dolatabadi N, Trudler D, Zhang X, Wu Y, Mohata M, Ambasudhan R, Talantova M,

Lipton SA: **Mechanisms of hyperexcitability in Alzheimer's disease hiPSC-derived**

neurons and cerebral organoids vs isogenic controls. *Elife* 2019, **8**.

56. Allen M, Carrasquillo MM, Funk C, Heavner BD, Zou F, Younkin CS, Burgess JD, Chai HS,

Crook J, Eddy JA, et al: **Human whole genome genotype and transcriptome data for**

Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases. *Sci Data* 2016, **3:**160089.

57. De Jager PL, Ma Y, McCabe C, Xu J, Vardarajan BN, Felsky D, Klein HU, White CC, Peters

MA, Lodgson B, et al: **A multi-omic atlas of the human frontal cortex for aging and**

Alzheimer's disease research. *Sci Data* 2018, **5:**180142.

58. Wang M, Beckmann ND, Roussos P, Wang E, Zhou X, Wang Q, Ming C, Neff R, Ma W,

Fullard JF, et al: **The Mount Sinai cohort of large-scale genomic, transcriptomic and**

proteomic data in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Data* 2018, **5:**180185.

59. Mertens J, Reid D, Lau S, Kim Y, Gage FH: **Aging in a Dish: iPSC-Derived and Directly**

Induced Neurons for Studying Brain Aging and Age-Related Neurodegenerative

Diseases. *Annu Rev Genet* 2018, **52:**271-293.

60. Golde TE: **Alzheimer's disease - the journey of a healthy brain into organ failure.** *Mol*
Neurodegener 2022, **17:**18.

61. Hronik-Tupaj M, Raja WK, Tang-Schomer M, Omenetto FG, Kaplan DL: **Neural responses**
to electrical stimulation on patterned silk films. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2013, **101:**2559-
2572.

62. Tang-Schomer MD, Hu X, Tupaj M, Tien LW, Whalen M, Omenetto F, Kaplan DL: **Film-based**
Implants for Supporting Neuron-Electrode Integrated Interfaces for The Brain. *Adv*
Funct Mater 2014, **24:**1938-1948.

63. Ramos DM, Skarnes WC, Singleton AB, Cookson MR, Ward ME: **Tackling**
neurodegenerative diseases with genomic engineering: A new stem cell initiative from
the NIH. *Neuron* 2021, **109:**1080-1083.

64. Rockwood DN, Preda RC, Yucel T, Wang X, Lovett ML, Kaplan DL: **Materials fabrication**
from Bombyx mori silk fibroin. *Nat Protoc* 2011, **6:**1612-1631.

65. Perkins JR, Dawes JM, McMahon SB, Bennett DL, Orengo C, Kohl M: **ReadqPCR and**
NormqPCR: R packages for the reading, quality checking and normalisation of RT-
qPCR quantification cycle (Cq) data. *BMC Genomics* 2012, **13:**296.

66. Ferreira JA: **The Benjamini-Hochberg method in the case of discrete test statistics.** *Int J*
Biostat 2007, **3:**Article 11.

67. Mostafavi S, Gaiteri C, Sullivan SE, White CC, Tasaki S, Xu J, Taga M, Klein HU, Patrick E,
Komashko V, et al: **A molecular network of the aging human brain provides insights into**
the pathology and cognitive decline of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 2018, **21:**811-
819.

68. Pandey RS, Graham L, Uyar A, Preuss C, Howell GR, Carter GW: **Genetic perturbations of**

disease risk genes in mice capture transcriptomic signatures of late-onset Alzheimer's

disease. *Mol Neurodegener* 2019, **14:**50.

1000

1001

1002

1003   **Figures**

1004　**Figure 1**

1005

1006

Figure 1. A 3D bioengineered silk-collagen neural model. (A) Quality tests performed on

iPSCs used in this study. (B) Representative karyotype report. Reports for all the lines are in Fig.

S1. (C) Schematic representation of the differentiation timeline to generate NPCs. (a)

Representative iPSC colony stained for Oct4 (red) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 40um. Confocal

z-stack. Leica SP8 HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 IMM. 1.5x Zoom. EB (b) and rosettes (c) phase-

contrast images. Scale bar: 20um. Nikon TE300 CFI PL FL DL 4x/0.13 and 10x/0.30. (d) NPC

cultures stained for nestin (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 40um. Confocal z-stack. Leica SP8

HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 IMM. 1x Zoom. (D) 2D 7-months-old hNeurons stained for GFAP

(magenta), SYN (green), and MAP2B (red), and 10-months-old hNeurons stained for MAP2B

(magenta), Tuj1 (green), and DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 50um. Confocal z-stack. Leica SP8 HC PL

APO CS2 20x/0.75 IMM. 0.75x Zoom. (E) Schematic representation of the timeline to differentiate

hNeurons in silk-collagen scaffolds from NPCs. Silk scaffold phase-contrast image. Scale bar: 500um. Z-stack. Stitched image. Zeiss CD7 PL APO 5x/0.35. Magnification changer 0.5x. 3D reconstruction of hNeurons grown in a scaffold for 2.5 months and stained with NeuroFluor™ NeuO. Leica SP8 HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 IMM. 0.75x Zoom. (F) 3D reconstruction of hNeurons grown in a scaffold for 2 months (stained for MAP2B (magenta), NFH (green)), 4 months (stained for Tuj1 (magenta), GFAP (green)) and 4.5 months (stained for MAP2B (green)). For all the images DAPI and silk autofluorescent signals are in grey. Leica SP8 HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 IMM. 0.75x Zoom.

1037   **Figure 2**

1038

Figure 2. Aβ42/40 ratio and extracellular Aβ42 deposition are elevated in FAD cultures. (A)

Table reporting iPS lines used across the study. (B) Sequencing traces of hFAD-2 Clone B and

its isogenic control after reversion of the APP V717I mutation (C-hFAD-2 Clone B). (C) nSolver

Cell Enrichment analysis bar plot. (D) Aβ42/40 MSD analysis quantification in conditioned media.

FAD cultures (hFAD-1, hFAD-2 Clone A, hFAD-2 Clone B) and controls (C-hFAD-2 Clone B,

BR24, YZ1) plotted as groups are provided at 2 and 4.5 months. CTR 2mo: n=10, FAD 2mo: n=7,

CTR 4.5mo: n=12, FAD 4.5mo: n=15. One-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test. (E) Amyloid

burden quantification (%). FAD cultures (hFAD-1, hFAD-2 Clone A, hFAD-2 Clone B) and controls

(C-hFAD-2 Clone B, BR24, YZ1) plotted as groups are provided at 2 and 4.5 months. n=6. One-

way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test. (E) Representative 3D reconstructions of hNeuron culture

(4.5 months) derived from C-hFAD-2 Clone B and hFAD-2 Clone B stained for MAP2B (magenta)

and Aβ42 (green). DAPI and silk autofluorescent signals are in grey. Zoom-in view: green Aβ42

extracellular depositions (white arrows) interspersed among hNeurons (MAP2B, magenta). Leica

Falcon SP8 HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 IMM. 3.5x Zoom.

Figure 3

1054

Figure 3. FAD cultures manifest enhanced neuronal excitability. (A) Representative traces

of spontaneous LFPs (mV) obtained from 3D cultures derived from a FAD line (hFAD-2 Clone B)

and the isogenic control (C-hFAD-2 Clone B) at 2 months and 4.5 months. A 20-sec reference is

provided for traces.   Quantification of normalized spontaneous and evoked LFP spikes/min

(Log10) at 2 months (B) and 4.5 months (C). FAD cultures (hFAD-1, hFAD-2 Clone A, hFAD-2

Clone B) and controls (C-hFAD-2 Clone B, BR24, YZ1) plotted as groups are provided. (B)

Spontaneous CTR 2mo n=26, FAD 2mo n=25. Evoked CTR 2mo n=26, FAD 2mo n=25. (C)

Spontaneous CTR 4.5mo n=23, FAD 4.5mo n=21. Evoked CTR 4.5mo n=22, FAD 4.5mo n=21.

Unpaired t-test.

Figure 4

1065

Figure 4. **Differential expression and functional analysis of the NanoString panel in FAD**

cultures. DEGs (absolute fold change > 1.5, FDR < 0.05) in 2-months-old (A) and 4.5-months-

old (B) CTR and FAD lines, are shown in the heatmap. GSA of differentially expressed genes in

iPSC-derived cultures (FAD vs CTR, ROSALIND® platform, NanoString annotations) at 2 months

(C) and 4.5 months (D). Upregulation: directed enrichment score > 0. Downregulation: directed

enrichment score < 0. FAD 2mo n=8, CTR 2mo n=8, FAD 4.5mo n=9, CTR 4.5mo n=7.

1072

Figure 5

1074

Figure 5. **FAD cultures reproduce human neurodegeneration signatures.** Correlation

analysis between the gene expression profile of FAD cultures and human post-mortem co-

expression modules. (A) 2-months-old FAD cultures exhibited a significant positive correlation (p

< 0.05) with AD changes in immune-related modules in Consensus Cluster B and neuronal related

modules in Consensus Cluster C. Circles within a square correspond to significant (p < 0.05)

positive (red) and negative (blue) Pearson correlation coefficients for gene expression changes

in FAD lines associated with disease-associated changes in distinct human co-expression

modules. Color intensity and size of the circles are proportional to the correlation. (B) Differentially

upregulated genes in the 2 months old FAD lines (FDR <0.05, fold change > 1.5), showing

increased expression in immune/inflammation associated AMP-AD modules in Consensus

Cluster B, are shown in the heatmap. (C) Differentially downregulated genes in the 2 months old

1086   FAD lines (FDR <0.05, fold change < 1.5), exhibiting reduced expression in neuronal-associated

1087   AMP-AD modules in Consensus Cluster C, are shown in the heatmap. FAD 2mo n=8, CTR 2mo

1088   n=8.

1089   **Figure S1**

1091   **Figure S1:** Karyotype reports for all the lines used across the study (WiCell).

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

Figure S2

1098

1099   **Figure S2:** Normalized expression heatmap (9 housekeeping probes) of genes used to

1100   characterize the 3D cultures. FAD 2mo n=8, CTR 2mo n=8, FAD 4.5mo n=9, CTR 4.5mo n=7.

1101   Genes included in the heatmap are not deregulated when comparing FAD vs. CTR lines at any

1102   time point analyzed.

1103   **Figure S3**

1104

1105   **Figure S3:** 3D reconstruction of 4.5 months cultures (stained for MAP2B (grey), TMEM119

1106   (green), GFAP (magenta), DAPI (blue)). Front and back projections are shown. Leica Falcon SP8

1107   HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 IMM. 2.25x Zoom.

1108   **Figure S4**

1109

Figure S4: Gene expression changes of differentially expressed genes in the 4.5-months-old

FAD cultures across human co-expression modules. (A) Gene expression changes of five

differentially upregulated genes in the 4.5-months-old FAD lines (FDR <0.05, fold change > 1.5)

across AMP-AD modules are shown in the heatmap. (B) Gene expression changes of

differentially downregulated genes in the 4.5-months-old FAD lines (FDR <0.05, fold change <

1.5) across AMP-AD. FAD 4.5mo n=9, CTR 4.5mo n=7.

Table 1

Target Antigen	Host	Clone	Clonality	Reactive Species	Company	Catalog #	IF Dilution	
							2D	3D
Aβ42	Rabbit	H31L21	Monoclonal	Human, Mouse	Invitrogen	700254	/	1:200
GFAP	Rabbit	/	Polyclonal	Human 90-95% homology between species	Dako	Z0334	1:1000	1:500
GFAP	Mouse	G-A-5	Monoclonal	Pig, Rat, Human	Sigma	G3893	/	1:500
MAP2B	Mouse	18	Monoclonal	Rat, Human, Mouse	BD Biosciences	610460	1:1000	1:500
MAP2B	Chicken	/	Polyclonal	Human, Rat, Bovine	Millipore	AB5543	1:1000	1:500
Nestin	Mouse	10C2	Monoclonal	Human Non-Human Primate	StemCell Tech	10C2	1:1000	/
NFH	Chicken	/	Polyclonal	Human, Rat, Mouse, Bovine, Porcine, Feline	Millipore	AB5539	/	1:500
OCT4	Mouse	3A2A20	Monoclonal	Human	StemCell Tech	60093	1:250	/
Synaptophysin 1	Mouse	7.2	Monoclonal	Human, Rat, Mouse, Mammals	Synaptic Systems	101011	1:500	/
TMEM119	Rabbit	/	Polyclonal	Human	Sigma	HPA051870	/	1:200
Tuj1	Mouse	TU-20	Monoclonal	Bovine, Rat, Avian, Monkey, Sheep, Human, Mouse, Pig	Chemicon	MAB1637	1:1000	1:500

1117

1118   **Table 1:** List of antibodies used throughout the study.

1119