Escherichia coli membrane microdomain SPFH protein HflC interacts with YajC and contributes to aminoglycoside and oxidative stress tolerance

Aimee K. WESSEL[1][†], Yutaka YOSHII[1][†], Alexander REDER[2], Rym BOUDJEMAA[3], Magdalena SZCZESNA[1,6], Jean-Michel BETTON[4], Joaquin BERNAL-BAYARD[1,7], Christophe BELOIN[1], Daniel LOPEZ[5], Uwe VÖLKER[2], Jean-Marc GHIGO[1*]

[1] *Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris-Cité, CNRS UMR6047, Genetics of Biofilms Laboratory F-75015 Paris, France.*

[2] *Department of Functional Genomics, Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine Greifswald, 17487 Greifswald, Germany.*

3 *Abbelight, 191 avenue Aristide Briand, 94230 Cachan*

[4] *Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris-Cité,, UMR UMR6047, Stress adaptation and metabolism in enterobacteria 75015 Paris, France.*

[5] *Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Centro Nacional de Biotecnologia, Campus de Cantoblanco, Calle Darwin 3, 28049 Madrid, España*

[6] *MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London, Armstrong Road, London SW7 2AZ, UK*

[7] *Departamento de Genética, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Sevilla, Apartado 1095, 41080 Sevilla, Spain*

† AW and YY equally contributed to this work.

*Corresponding author: Jean-Marc Ghigo (jean-marc.ghigo@pasteur.fr)

Short Title: *E. coli* SPFH lipid raft proteins contribute to tobramycin and paraquat tolerance

Keywords

Lipid raft; membrane micro-domains; SPFH; Flotilin; Stress tolerance; *Escherichia coli*

ABSTRACT

Eukaryotic cells segregate many membrane-dependent functions into membrane microdomains also known as lipid rafts. These domains are enriched in polyisoprenoid lipids and in scaffolding proteins belonging to the Stomatin, Prohibitin, Flotillin, and HflK/C (SPFH) protein superfamily, which are also found in prokaryotes. Whereas Gram-positive bacteria were also shown to possess functional membrane microdomains (FMMs) structurally and functionally similar to eukaryotic lipid rafts, little is still known about Gram-negative bacteria FMMs. *Escherichia coli* K12 possesses 4 SPFH proteins, YqiK, QmcA, HflK, and HflC, previously shown to localize in discrete polar or lateral inner-membrane locations, raising the possibility that *E. coli* SPFH proteins could contribute to the assembly of inner-membrane FMMs regulating cellular processes.

Here we studied the determinants of the native, chromosomal QmcA and HflC cell localization using a domain swap analysis and fluorescent and super-resolution microscopy. We showed that full QmcA and HflC protein is required for achieving their native inner-membrane localization and that impairing the synthesis of cardiolipin and isoprenoid lipids known to associate with FMMs alters QmcA and HflC localization pattern. Finally, using Biolog phenotypic arrays, we showed that a mutant lacking all SPFH genes displayed increased sensitivity to aminoglycosides and oxidative stress. This phenotype is exclusively due to the absence of HflKC and a cross-linking and mass spectrometry analysis showed that YajC, a SecDF translocon accessory protein, interacts with HflC and also contributes to *E. coli* stress tolerance. Our study therefore provides insights into the function and interactions associated with SPFH proteins in *E. coli* FMMs.

IMPORTANCE

Eukaryotic cells segregate many cellular processes in cholesterol-rich functional

membrane micro-domain also called lipid rafts, which contain proteins of the Stomatin,

Prohibitin, Flotillin, and HflK/C (SPFH) superfamily. Whereas SPFH proteins are also

present in bacteria, they were mostly studied in Gram-positive bacteria and less is known

on the function of SPFH proteins in Gram-negative bacteria. Here, we showed that the

cell localization of the *E. coli* SPFH proteins QmcA and HflKC is altered in absence of

cardiolipin and isoprenoid lipid synthesis, suggesting that these lipids could contribute to

E. coli membrane microdomain assembly. Using a broad phenotypic analysis and cross-

linking coupled with spectrometry approaches, we identified that YajC, a SecDF-YajC

translocon accessory protein, interacts with HflC and that both proteins contribute to *E.*

coli tolerance to aminoglycosides and oxidative stress. Our study, therefore, provides new

insights into the cellular processes associated with SPFH proteins in *E. coli* functional

membrane microdomains.

INTRODUCTION

In addition to separating the intracellular content of cells from the environment, lipid

bilayer membranes also contribute to specialized functions, including cross-membrane

transport, enzymatic activity, signaling as well as anchoring of cytoskeletal and

extracellular structures (1, 2). In eukaryotes, these membrane-dependent functions are

spatially and temporally regulated by membrane microdomains called lipid rafts (3-5),

broadly defined as cholesterol- and sphingolipid-enriched membrane regions formed

upon lipid-lipid, lipid-protein and protein-protein interactions that compartmentalize

membrane cellular processes (5-7). The Stomatin/Prohibitin/Flotillin/HflK/C (or SPFH)

family of membrane proteins has been shown to localize in eukaryotic lipid rafts and to

recruit and provide a stabilizing scaffold to other lipid raft-associated proteins (8-13).

Although sphingolipids and cholesterol are absent from most prokaryotes (14), the Gram-

positive bacteria *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* can also compartmentalize

cellular processes in functional membrane microdomains (FMMs) (14-16). Analogous to

eukaryote lipid rafts, bacterial FMMs display a distinct lipidic composition and are

enriched in farnesol, hopanoids and other polyisoprenoid lipids (14, 16, 17). They also

contain SPFH proteins, including flotillins, and a pool of proteins involved in diverse

cellular processes (14, 16). In *B. subtilis*, flotillins FloT and FloA colocalize in membrane

foci and contribute to the assembly of membrane protein complexes (18-21). Lack of

flotillins impairs biofilm formation, sporulation, protease secretion, motility, and natural

competence, indicating that the formation of FMMs also plays critical cellular roles in *B.*

subtilis (15, 18, 22-25).

SPFH proteins are also found in Gram-negative bacteria and *Escherichia coli* K12

possesses four genes, *yqiK*, *qmcA*, *hflK*, and *hflC*, which encode proteins with a SPFH

domain and a N-terminal transmembrane segment (26). QmcA and YqiK are predicted to

face the cytoplasmic compartment, while HflK and HflC are predicted to be exposed in

the periplasm, forming the HflKC complex negatively regulating the protease activity of

FtsH against membrane proteins (27-30). HflC and QmcA are detected in *E. coli*

membrane fractions resistant to solubilization by non-ionic detergents (detergent-resistant

membranes or DRM) that are often used as - debatable - proxies for FMMs (31-34).

Moreover, fluorescent microscopy showed that *E. coli* SPFH proteins HflC and QmcA

are localized in discrete polar or lateral membrane foci (35). This raised the possibility

that *E. coli* SPFH proteins could localize in inner-membrane FMMs and regulate

important cellular processes (36). However, apart from the functional and structural

description of HflKC as a regulator of the FtsH membrane protease (27, 30, 37) and a

recent study suggesting that YqiK is involved in cell motility and resistance to ampicillin

(38), the functions of FMM in *E. coli* and other Gram negative bacteria are still poorly

understood.

In this study, we used fluorescent and super resolution microscopy to perform a detailed

analysis of QmcA and HflC membrane localization signals. We then showed that integrity

of QmcA and HflC protein domains is required for their inner membrane localization,

and that the lack of cardiolipin and isoprenoid lipids known to associate with FMMs alters

their localization. Moreover, using single and multiple SPFH gene mutants, we showed

that the absence of HflKC increases sensitivity to aminoglycosides and oxidative stress.

Finally, cross-linking and mass spectrometry analysis allowed us to identify YajC, a

protein of unknown function and an accessory component of the SecDF-YajC translocon,

as a new partner that interacts and colocalizes with HflC at the cell pole and contributes

to stress tolerance Our study therefore provides new insights into the function and

interactions associated with *E. coli* SPFH proteins.

RESULTS

Chromosomal *E. coli* SPFH fluorescent fusion proteins show distinct localization patterns.

To investigate the determinant of cell localization of *E. coli* SPFH proteins, we first

tagged YqiK and QmcA, which C-termini are predicted to be in the cytoplasm (29), with

a C-terminal monomeric super folder green fluorescent protein (msfGFP). We then

tagged HflC and HflK, which C-termini are predicted to be in the periplasm (29), with

the C-terminal red fluorescent protein mCherry. All these fusions were expressed under

their own promoter from their native chromosomal location (Sup. Fig. S1).

Epifluorescence and super-resolution microscopy confirmed the previously reported

polar localization of HflK and HflC (35) (Fig. 1 and Sup. Fig. S2), with 94% and 91%

polar localization pattern for HflC-mCherry and HflK-mCherry, respectively (n=150). By

contrast, C-terminally tagged QmcA-GFP localized exclusively as punctate distributed

throughout the cell body, with 96% of the cells harboring 5 foci or more (n=150) (Fig.

1CD). However, we could not detect YqiK-GFP, possibly due to its low native

chromosomal expression level. We then used anti-GFP or mCherry antibodies to perform

immunodetection on cytoplasmic as well as inner and outer membrane fractions of *E. coli*

strains expressing either HflC-mCherry or QmcA-GFP. In agreement with previous

results (29, 36), both fusion proteins were detected in the inner membrane fraction (Fig.

2).

Domain swap analysis shows that protein integrity is essential for QmcA-GFP and HflC-mCherry localization.

To identify HflC and QmcA membrane localization signals, we constructed multiple

fluorescently tagged truncated version of both proteins. We tagged with msfGFP a QmcA

protein reduced to its transmembrane region and SPFH domain (TM^{QmcA}-SPFH^{QmcA}-

GFP) and, separately, one reduced to the QmcA transmembrane region only (TM^{QmcA}-

GFP) (Fig. 3A). To test the role of the QmcA transmembrane region, we also swapped

TM^{QmcA} in the three constructions by the single-spanning TM domain of the phage coat

protein Pf3 (TM^{Pf3}), which orients subsequent amino acids to the cytosol (38) (Fig. 3A).

Similarly, in addition to the full length HflC-mCherry, we tagged with mCherry the HflC

transmembrane region and SPFH domain (TM^{HflC}-SPFH^{HflC}-mCherry) and, separately,

only its TM region (TM^{HflC}-mCherry) (Fig. 3B). We also swapped the HflC TM region

with the single-spanning TM region of colistin M immunity protein (TM^{Cmi}), which

orients subsequent amino acids to the periplasm (39) (Fig. 3B). Epifluorescence

microscopy of HflC and QmcA derivative fusions showed that in addition to full-length

constructs only full-length constructs with swapped TM (TM^{Pf3}-QmcA-GFP and TM^{Cmi}-

HflC-mCherry) displayed significant punctated foci or polar localization, respectively

(Fig. 3AB), although at reduced frequency compared to native QmcA-GFP and HflC-

mCherry. Finally, we prepared inner and outer membrane fractions of *E. coli* strains

expressing each QmcA and HflC derivative and we observed that all these constructs

were still mainly located in the inner membrane fraction. This indicates that, while we

observed that QmcA-GFP and HflC-mChery derivatives exhibit altered cell localization,

they do not exhibit significant mis-localization and remain located in the inner membrane

(Sup. Fig. S3). These results therefore indicated that specific QmcA and HflC localization

requires the combination of a transmembrane and full cytoplasmic (QmcA) or

periplasmic (HflC) domain.

185
186 **Lack of cardiolipin and isoprenoid lipid synthesis alters the cell localization of**
187 **QmcA and HflC.**
188
FMMs were previously shown to be enriched with negatively charged cardiolipins and

isoprenoids, which promote the localization of polar proteins and modulation of

membrane lipid fluidity (15, 22, 39-42). We first tested whether alteration of cardiolipin

synthesis could cause mis-localization of *E. coli* SPFH proteins QmcA or HflKC in a

mutant lacking the major cardiolipin synthases *clsABC* (43). Whereas epifluorescence

microscopy analysis did not show clear alteration of QmcA-GFP punctate localization

patterns in a *clsABC* mutant, single-molecule 2 and 3D super-resolution microscopy

analysis showed a 3 to 10-fold reduction of the number of QmcA-GFP punctates

compared to WT (Fig. 4A and Sup. Fig. S4). Super-resolution microscopy analysis of

HflC-mCherry localization showed a drastic loss of polar localization pattern (Fig. 4B

and Supp. Fig. S4). We then used an *idi* mutant unable to synthesize isoprenoid lipids due

to the lack of isomerization of isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) into dimethylallyl

diphosphate (DMAPP) (44). We observed that, whereas QmcA-GFP punctate

localization is not affected, HflC-mCherry polar localization was reduced in the Δ*idi*

mutant (Fig. 4A and Sup. Fig. S4). These results demonstrate that the modulation of

membrane lipid fluidity alters FMM protein localization in *E. coli*.

Phenotypic analysis of *E. coli* SPFH mutant shows that only absence of HflKC

increases *E. coli* sensitivity to aminoglycosides and oxidative stress.

To identify potential phenotypes and functions associated with the *E. coli* SPFH proteins

YqiK, QmcA, HflK and HflC, we introduced single and multiple deletions of the

corresponding SPFH genes and observed that neither single mutants nor the quadruple

Δ*hflK,* Δ*hflC,* Δ*qmcA*, and Δ*yqiK* (hereafter referred to Δ*SPFH* mutant) displayed any

significant growth defects in rich or minimal media (Fig. 5A and Sup. Fig. S5A).

Considering the role of SPFH proteins in activation of inner-membrane kinases involved

in *B. subtilis* biofilm formation (15)*,* we tested adhesion and biofilm capacity of WT and

ΔSPFH strains but could not detect any significant differences between these two strains.

We then used Biolog™ phenotypic microarrays to perform a large-scale phenotypic assay

comparing an *E. coli* WT and Δ*SPFH* mutant, (Sup. Table S1). This analysis revealed

that the Δ*SPFH* mutant is metabolically less active when grown in the presence of various

aminoglycosides (tobramycin, capreomycin, sisomicin and paromomycin) or when

exposed to paraquat (Fig. 5B and Sup. Fig. S5BC). Consistently, the minimal inhibitory

concentration (MIC) for tobramycin of the Δ*SPFH* mutant was 3-fold lower than that of

the WT MIC (Fig.5C) and the sensitivity of the Δ*SPFH* mutant to paraquat was increased

compared to the WT (Fig. 5D and Sup. Fig. S5D). Test of individual SPFH-gene mutants

for their sensitivity to tobramycin and paraquat showed that the HflKC complex is the

sole responsible for both phenotypes, as both single *hflK* and *hflC* or a double *hflKC*

mutants displayed increased sensitivity to tobramycin and oxidative stress (Fig. 5CD and

Sup. Fig. S5E). This phenotype could be complemented upon introduction of a plasmid

expressing *hflKC* genes in the double *hflKC* mutant (Sup. Fig. S6A). Finally, whereas an

Δ*idi* mutant showed no significant difference compared to the WT, the MIC for

tobramycin and paraquat of a Δ*clsABC* mutant was reduced by 2 to 3-fold, consistent with

the impact of a *cls* mutation on HflC localization (Fig. 4BD and Fig.5CD).

YajC interacts and colocalizes with HflC and contributes to tobramycin and paraquat tolerance

Considering the scaffolding role of HflKC, we hypothesized that proteins interacting with HflKC in FMMs could also contribute to tolerance to aminoglycosides and paraquat. We first tested AcrA, the efflux pump involved in the transport of a wide range of substrates including aminoglycosides (45) and YidC, an essential inner membrane protein required for proper insertion of integral inner membrane proteins (46). Both proteins were indeed previously identified in *E. coli* inner-membrane DRM fractions often biochemically associated with FMM and shown to display HflC-type pattern of polar localization when fused to fluorescent proteins and expressed from plasmids (35, 47). However, when expressed from their native chromosomal context, we could not detect any distinct AcrA-GFP nor YidC-GFP localization nor any significant co-localisation with HflC in exponential or stationary phase conditions (Sup. Fig. S7). Moreover, an *acrA* deletion did not alter *E. coli* MIC profile to tobramycin and paraquat as much as a Δ*hflC* mutant (Fig.5CD). To define additional interaction partners of HflC, we decided to use a cross-linking approach followed by affinity chromatography and mass spectrometric identification of interacting proteins. To this end, we created a translation fusion of HflC with a C-terminal double Tag consisting of a TwinStrep-Tag followed by a poly-Histidine-Tag (hereafter referred to *HflC-tag* fusion) at the native chromosomal locus. Expression of the fusion from the *hflC* promoter ensured native expression levels and minimized detection of false-positive interaction candidates due to non-physiological protein levels. Proteins were cross-linked covalently by formaldehyde treatment in quadruplicates in exponentially growing and stationary phase cells. Complexes of HflC were purified with StepTactinXT magnetic beads from both conditions and 11 or 17 possible direct interaction partners were identified by mass spectrometry in exponentially growing or stationary phase *E. coli*, respectively (Sup. Fig. S8 and Sup. Table S2 and S3). In both tested conditions, we not only identified as potential interaction partners the two well-known HflC interaction partners HflK and FtsH (27), but also YajC, a Sec translocon accessory subunit membrane protein of unknown function, and two periplasmic proteins, the thiol-reductase chaperone DsbG and the cell division coordinator of peptidoglycan synthesis CpoB (Sup. Fig. S8 and Sup Table S3).

To validate these 3 new HflC partners, we performed localization experiments for all

three candidate proteins. Whereas DsbG-GFP and CpoB-GFP fusions did not display a

HflC-type pattern of polar localization. (Sup. Fig. S7), we could show that YajC-GFP co-

localized with HflC-mCherry at cell poles (93%; n=150) (Fig 6A). Moreover, a Δ*yajC*

mutant displayed an increased sensitivity to tobramycin and paraquat (Fig 6 BC), which

could be partially reverted upon complementation with a plasmid expressing *yajC* (Sup.

Fig. S6AB and S8). Nevertheless, YajC-GFP polar localization was not altered in

Δ*clsABC,* Δ*idi,* and Δ*hflC* mutants (Sup. Fig. S9), suggesting that YajC function or its

localization are not strictly dependent on the presence of HflC. Taken together, these

results indicate that the HflKC SPFH protein complex interacts with YajC and contributes

to oxidative and antibiotic stress resistance.

DISCUSSION
279

SPFH-domain proteins have been identified in most organisms (16, 48). However,
whereas SPFH-domain proteins have been extensively studied in Eukaryotes (3, 5, 49),
prokaryotic SPFH proteins and proteins associated with functional membrane
microdomains (FMMs) are much less understood. This is particularly the case for Gram-
negative bacteria, in which potential FMMs functions are mostly inferred from studies
performed in *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*.
286

In this study, we investigated the functions and the localization determinants of *E. coli*
SPFH proteins. We first used a domain deletion and replacement approach and showed
that, although most of the tested domain replacement variants correctly localized to the
inner membrane, they failed to display WT protein localization patterns. This indicates
that inner-membrane localization alone is not sufficient for correct subcellular
distribution of HflC and QmcA, whose localization signals might rely on multiple
addressing sequences spread throughout the entirety of each protein.
294

The very different localization patterns of QmcA and HflKC SPFH proteins suggests that
they could each be part of different FMMs, potentially using different localization signals
and involved in different cellular processes. The punctate localization pattern displayed
by QmcA-GFP fusion was also observed in the case of *E. coli* YqiK expressed from
plasmid and of the flotillin homologues in *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, and *B. anthracis* flotillin
homologues (15, 16, 50-52). Interestingly, *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* flotillin genes are
found associated with a gene encoding an NfeD protein, which that could contribute to
protein-protein interactions within flotillin assemblies (53, 54). Consistently, like *yqiK*,
E. coli qmcA gene is located upstream of the NfeD-like *ybbJ* gene. This further supports
the notion that QmcA, like YqiK, can be considered as an *E. coli* flotillin. By contrast,
the *hflKC* transcription unit lacks a downstream *nfeD* gene, suggesting that HflKC may
not be a *bona fide* flotillin. However, while QmcA and YqiK have opposite orientation to
HflK and HflC, they are structurally similar proteins and the four *E. coli* SPFH proteins
could therefore share some degrees of functionalities. The topological similarity between
HflK and HflC might contribute to HflKC complex formation and its interaction with

FtsH protease, resulting in a large periplasmic FtsH-HflKC complex localized at the cell

pole (27, 28, 55-57).

The strong negative impact of QmcA or HflC transmembrane (TM) domain replacement

suggests that QmcA or HflC TM domains could recognize specific membrane lipid

composition facilitating the recruitment of SPFH proteins at their proper cellular

membrane localization. Along with phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylglycerol,

cardiolipins are the primary constituent components of *E. coli* membranes that

concentrate into cell poles and dividing septum (58-61). It was indeed observed that the

composition of *E. coli* membrane lipid at cell poles is altered in a *clsABC* cardiolipin

deficient mutant, compensated by an increased amount of phosphatidylglycerol (62).

Several studies reported that cardiolipin-enriched composition in membranes at cell poles

influences both the localization and activity of inner membrane proteins such as

respiratory chain protein complexes and the osmosensory transporter ProP (39, 40, 63-

65). In this study, we showed that, similarly to ProP, HflC and QmcA localization patterns

are drastically affected in a *ΔclsABC* mutant, suggesting that *E. coli* FMMs are

cardiolipin-rich microdomains, in which HflKC and QmcA complexes could act as a

scaffold for FMMs cargo proteins.

Isoprenoid lipds such as farnesol, carotenoids, and hopanoids are constituents of bacterial

FMMs and interact with SPFH proteins and FMM-associated proteins (14). Blocking the

S. aureus carotenoid synthetic pathway by zaragozic acid leads to flotillin mis-

localization (15). Moreover, inactivation of farnesol synthesis in a *B. subtilis yisP* mutant*,*

which is defective for production of farnesol, impairs focal localization of the FMM-

associated sensor kinase KinC (15). We showed here that in *E. coli*, interfering with the

idi isoprenoid biosynthesis pathway also strongly alters the localization of HflC. This

further documents that isoprenoid lipids contribute to the formation or integrity of FMMs,

possibly by altering isoprenoid-dependent membrane rigidity, as shown in *S. aureus* and

B. subtilis FMMs (14, 66).

Our phenotypic investigation of the function of *E. coli* SPFH proteins showed that a

ΔSPFH mutant displays increased susceptibility to oxidative stress and aminoglycosides,

and this sensitivity was due to the absence of HflKC. The HflKC complex was previously

shown to modulate the quality control proteolytic activity of FtsH by regulating the access

of misfolded membrane protein products to FtsH (27, 28, 67). *E. coli* Δ*hflK* and Δ*hflC*

mutant strains were also shown to accumulate increased amounts of hydroxyl radical,

suggesting that HflK and HflC could influence tolerance to aminoglycosides and

oxidative stress by suppressing excessive hydroxyl radical production. Alternatively,

HflK and HflC could contribute to tobramycin resistance via FtsH-dependent proteolytic

activity (68) or favoring FMM formation and the assembly of membrane proteins and

lipids, such as cardiolipin, involved in the transport and movement of aminoglycosides

within cells and cell membranes. Consistently, several proteins associated with

aminoglycosides transport were actually detected in *E. coli* DRM fractions, including

proteins involved in LPS transport (LptC, G and F), phospholipid transport (MlaD, MlaE,

YebT and PqiB) and several components of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump (35),

suggesting that deletion of *hflK* or *hflC* could reduce the activity of these proteins in

FMMs and enhance entry of aminoglycosides. Whereas the susceptibility to

aminoglycosides indeed partly relies on the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump (45, 69-71), we

found that lack of the AcrA only moderately decreases the MIC to tobramycin, compared

to a *hflKC* mutation.

The use of a cross-linking approach followed by mass spectrometric analysis allowed us

to identify YajC as a new HflC interacting partner. YajC is described as an unknown

function accessory membrane protein contributing to the association between SecA and

SecYEG translocon (72-74). Consistently with its association with HflC, the deletion of

yajC reduced sensitivity to tobramycin and paraquat, however to a greater extent than in

a Δ*SPFH* or Δ*hflKC* mutants, suggesting that lack of YajC could have a muti-factorial

effect on *E. coli* antibiotic and oxidative stress resistance. Interestingly, a pump

component, AcrB, was shown to co-crystalize with YajC (75). Hence, HflC could play a

scaffolding role, facilitating YajC interactions with the SecDF or AcrAB-TolC protein

complexes. However, the polar localization of YajC was not altered by the absence of

HflC, nor in a Δ*clsABC* mutant, indicating that YajC is not strictly FMM-dependent. YajC

could therefore itself be a scaffolding protein and we speculate that YajC and the HflKC-

FtsH complex could be interacting only when independently localizing at cell poles.

There, the lack of cardiolipin could only lead to a partial defect of HflC-YajC interactions,

consistent with the observed slight increased susceptibility of a Δ*clsABC* mutant for

tobramycin and paraquat compared to a wild type *E. coli*.

In conclusion, the present study provides new insights into the functions of *E. coli* SPFH

proteins and some of their interacting partners and further experiments will be needed to

fully uncover the roles played by this intriguing family of membrane proteins in Gram-

negative bacteria.

379
380

MATERIALS & METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Sup. Table S4, and

further explained in Sup. Fig. S1 and Figure 3. Unless stated otherwise, all experiments

were performed in lysogeny broth (LB) or M63B1 minimal medium supplemented with

0.4% glucose (M63B1.G) at 37 °C. Antibiotics were used as follows: kanamycin (50

μg/mL); chloramphenicol (25 μg/mL); ampicillin (100 μg/mL); and zeocin (50 μg/mL).

All compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) except for

Zeocin (InvivoGen, Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

Mutant construction

Generation of mutants in E. coli: Briefly, *E. coli* deletion or insertion mutants used in this

study originated either from the *E. coli* Keio collection of mutants (76) or were generated

by λ-red linear recombination using pKOBEG (Cm^R) or pKOBEGA (Amp^R) plasmids

(77) using primers listed in Sup. Table S5. P1vir transduction was used to transfer

mutations between different strains. When required, antibiotic resistance markers flanked

by two FRT sites were removed using the Flp recombinase (78). Plasmids used in this

study were constructed using an isothermal assembly method, Gibson assembly (New

England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) using primers listed in Sup. Table S5. The integrity

of all cloned fragments, mutations, and plasmids was verified by PCR with specific

primers and DNA sequencing

Construction of deletion mutants

Δ*yqiK*, Δ*qmcA*, Δ*hflK*, Δ*hflC*, Δ*clsA*, Δ*clsB*, Δ*clsC*,Δ*idi*, Δ*acrA*, Δ*cpoB* and Δ*dsbG*

deletions were transferred into *E. coli* MG1655*strep* by P1vir phage transduction from the

corresponding mutants in the *E. coli* BW25113 background of the Keio collection (76).

The associated kanamycin marker was then removed using the Flp recombinase

expressed from the plasmid pCP20 (78). (Details regarding the construction of all other

strains used in this study are presented in Sup. Table S4).

Construction of GFP and mCherry fusions

See Supplementary Materials and Methods section in Supplementary Materials

Construction of complemented strains

The *hflKC* or *yajC* genes were amplified from MG1655*strep* using primers listed in Sup.

Table S5 and cloned at the downstream of the IPTG-inducible promoter of a pZS*12

vector using the Gibson assembly to generate plasmids pZS*12-HflKC and pZS*12-YajC.

Then, these plasmids were introduced into Δ*hflKC* and Δ*yajC* mutants, respectively, to

construct complemented mutants (Sup. Table S4). A pZS*12 empty vector was also

introduced into wildtype, Δ*hflKC* and Δ*yajC* mutants. Mutants harbouring these pZS*12

plasmids were incubated and used for the below experiments in the presence of IPTG (1

mM) and ampicillin.

Epifluorescence microscopy

Bacteria were incubated into 5 mL of fresh LB medium and harvested at OD_{600} 0.4 for

samples in exponential phase or OD_{600} 2.0 for stationary phase. After washing twice with

M63B1 medium, cells corresponding to 1 mL of the bacterial culture were pelleted by

centrifugation and resuspended into 0.1 mL of M63B1 medium for exponential samples,

or 1 mL of the medium for stationary samples. Ten µL aliquots of the cell suspension

were immobilized on glass slides previously covered with freshly made M63B1 medium

0.8% agarose pads. Cells were observed using a ZEISS Definite focus fluorescent

microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), equipped with an oil-immersion

objective lens microscope (Pln-Apo 63X/1.4 oil Ph3). GFP or mCherry fluorescence was

exited with a ZEISS Colibri LED illumination system and the fluorescence signal was

detected with Zeiss FS38 HE (Carl Zeiss) or Semrock HcRed filters (Semrock, Rochester,

NY, USA). GFP, and mCherry fluorescence images were taken at 1000, and 2000 msec.

exposure, respectively. Image processing was performed using ImageJ and Adobe

Photoshop. For each tested mutant, the subcellular localization patterns of 150 randomly

selected bacteria were evaluated and the frequencies were expressed as percentiles.

Super resolution microscopy

Bacteria were imaged using single-molecule localization microscopy and stochastic

optical reconstruction microscopy (SMLM-STORM), using a previously described

method (79). Overnight cultures were fixed with PFA 4%, permeabilized with Triton

0,05%, and labeled with either GFP monoclonal FluoTag®-Q — Sulfo-Cyanine 5 (Cy5),

or RFP monoclonal FluoTag®-Q – Cy5, which are single-domain antibodies (sdAb)

conjugated to Cy5. Labeling was performed at 1:250 (concentration), and washing steps

were carried out three times using Abbelight's SMART kit buffer. For imaging,

Abbelight's imaging system was used with NEO software. Abbelight's module was added

to an Olympus IX83 with 100x TIRF objective, N.A. 1.49. We used Hamamatsu's

sCMOS Flash 4 camera and a 647nm 500mW Oxxius laser, with an astigmatic lens, to

allow for 3D imaging of the sample (80).

Inner membrane separation

E. coli overnight cultures were diluted into 1 L of fresh LB medium to OD_{600} of 0.02, and

incubated at 37°C and 180 rpm until reaching OD_{600} 0.4. Cells were harvested and washed

once with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and stored at -20°C for at least 1 h. Bacteria were then

resuspended in 10 mL of 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) containing 100 µL of Benzonase (3.10^4

U/ml) and were passed through a FRENCH press (Thermo) at 20,000 psi. The lysate was

centrifugated at 15,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C to remove cell debris, and aliquots of the

suspension were stored at 4 °C as the whole extract. Then, the suspension was centrifuged

at 100,000 g for 45 min at 4 °C to separate supernatant and pellets, and aliquots of the

supernatant were stored at 4 °C as the cytosolic and periplasmic fractions. The pellets

were suspended into 600 µL of cold 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and homogenized by using

2 mL tissue grinder (Kontes Glass, Vineland, NJ, USA). Discontinuous sucrose gradients

with the following composition were placed into ultracentrifugation tube: bottom to top

0.5 mL of 2 M sucrose, 2.0 mL of 1.5M sucrose, and 1.0 mL of 0.8 M sucrose, and 500

µL of the homogenized samples were placed on the top of sucrose gradients. The

gradients were centrifuged at 100,000 g for 17.5 h at 4 °C. Subsequently, 400 µL of

aliquots were collected into 11 microtubes from top to bottom, and the samples were

proceeded to the immunodetection method, as described below.

Immunodetection of inner membrane proteins

Aliquots of samples were suspended in 4× Laemmli buffer (BioRad) with 2-

Mercaptoethanol (Sigma) and incubated for 5 min at 98 °C. The protein samples (10 µL

each) were run on 4-20 % Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-FreeTM precast Gels (BioRad)

in $1\times$ TGX buffer and then transferred to nitrocellulose membrane using a Trans-Blot®

Turbo™ Transfer System (BioRad). Subsequently, the membranes were blocked using

blocking buffer consisting of 5% skim milk in PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST) for 2

h at 4 °C with agitation. The membranes were then incubated in PBST containing 1%

skim milk with first antibodies, polyclonal rabbit antiserum raised against ExbB and TolC

(kindly given by Dr. Philippe Delepelaire), GFP (Invitrogen, A6455, Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and mCherry (Invitrogen, PA5-34974) at 1:20,000

overnight at 4 °C with agitation. The membranes were washed in PBST and incubated in

PBST containing 1% skim milk with a secondary antibody, anti-rabbit IgG conjugated

with horseradish peroxidase (Cell signaling, 7074S), at 1:10,000 for 2 h at 25 °C with

agitation. After washing the excess secondary antibody, specific bands were visualized

using the ECL prime detection method (GE Healthcare) and imaged with an imaging

system, iBright™ CL1500 (Invitrogen).

Microbial growth phenotypic analysis

A high-throughput analysis for microbial growth phenotypes using a colorimetric reaction,

Phenotype MicroArrays (Biolog Inc., Hayward, CA, USA), was performed in accordance

with the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, several colonies of *E. coli* grown on LB agar

were transferred in 10 mL of a mixture of Biolog IF-0a media (BioLog) and sterilized

water into a sterile capped test tube. The suspension was mixed gently, and the turbidity

was adjusted to achieve the appropriate transmittance using the Biolog turbidimeter

(BioLog). The cell suspension was diluted with the IF-0a plus dye mix, as mentioned in

the manufacturer's protocol. 100 μL of the mixture suspension was inoculated into PM

plates 1-3 and 9-20 and incubated for 72 h at 37 °C. The absorbance of each well was

taken every 15 min. The OmniLog software (BioLog) was used to view and edit data, to

compare data lists, and to generate reports.

Monitoring of bacterial growth

An overnight culture of *E. coli* was diluted into fresh LB and M63B1 supplemented with

0.4% glucose medium to OD_{600} of 0.05, and 200 μL aliquots were cultured in the presence

or absence of paraquat (Methyl viologen dichloride hydrate, Sigma-Aldrich) in 96-well

microplates at 37 °C for 24 h with shaking. The absorbance of each culture at 600 nm was

measured every 15 min for 24 h using a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite, Mannedorf,

Switzerland).

Susceptibility of *E. coli* against Tobramycin and Paraquat

The broth microdilution method was used to determine the MIC (minimum inhibitory

concentration) values of Tobramycin (Sigma-Aldrich) and Paraquat (Sigma-Aldrich) in

96-well microtiter plates. Briefly, 100 μL of LB medium was distributed into each well

of the microtiter plates. Tobramycin was 2-fold serially diluted in each well. Five μL of

approximately 1×10^7 CFU/mL of *E. coli* was inoculated into each well, and the plates

were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The lowest concentration that visibly inhibited bacterial

growth was defined as the MIC. All strains were evaluated in biological and technical

triplicates.

The spot assay was performed to evaluate the susceptibility of *E. coli* against

paraquat. Briefly, an overnight culture of *E. coli* was diluted into fresh LB medium to

OD_{600} of 0.05. Ten μL of the diluted culture was spotted on LB plates containing either

no or 100 μM paraquat. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and the photographs

were taken. All strains were evaluated in triplicate.

HflC-tag protein-protein interaction assay

Construction and growth behavior of the E. coli HflC-tag strain and growth phase

dependent expression of HflC

The *hflC* gene was chromosomally tagged at its 3'end with the coding sequence for a

double affinity TAG, creating a translation fusion with a Twin-Strep-Tag and a 6 x His-

poly-histidine-Tag at the C-terminus of HflC. A 3' located kanamycin resistance cassette

was co-integrated with the double-Tag sequence and positive clones were selected on

kanamycin selective LB agar plates (50 μg/mL Km) and verified by DNA sequencing.

The cloning strategy and primers used for the chromosomal integration construct can be

found in in the Mutant construction section and Table S5. The double-affinity-Tag

strategy enables a two-step purification to minimize co-purification of unspecifically

bound proteins from the first purification step if necessary. To analyze the expression

pattern of the tagged HflC variant, the strain was cultivated in unlabeled 14N

BioExpress®1000 complex medium (CGM-1000-U - Cambridge Isotope Laboratories,

Inc.). Exponentially growing cells from an overnight pre-culture were used to inoculate

the main culture to a starting OD_{600} of 0.05 and growth was monitored up to 2 hours after

entry into stationary phase (Sup. Fig S10). Growth phase dependent expression of HflC-

tag was monitored by fluorescent Western blot detection using a CW800-Cy-dye labeled

StrepTactinXT conjugate on a LI-COR Odyssey CLx scanner (Sup. Fig S10).

Quantification of Western blot signals with the Image Studio software (LI-COR) showed

that HflC was uniformly and strongly expressed across all growth phases. To identify

potential interaction partners of HflC early exponential growth phase (OD_{600} 0.4) and

stationary phase ($\sim OD_{600}$ 5.5 or 1 h after entry into stat. phase) samples were selected for

further testing.

$^{14}N/^{15}N$ stable isotope labeling, formaldehyde cross-linking and cell sampling

The *E. coli* wild-type strain was cultivated in both, unlabeled ^{14}N-BioExpress®1000

medium (CGM-1000-U) as well as stable isotope labeled ^{15}N-BioExpress®medium

(CGM-1000-N) from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. The *E. coli* HflC-tag strain

was only cultivated in unlabeled ^{14}N-BioExpress®1000 medium. All experiments were

performed in quadruplicate biological replicates. Each main culture was inoculated from

exponentially growing precultures grown in the corresponding ^{14}N or ^{15}N labeled medium

to a starting OD_{600} of 0.05. When the cultures reached an optical density of 0.4 or 60 min

of stationary phase ($\sim OD_{600}$ 5.5) 16 OD units were either immediately harvested by

centrifugation (non-crosslinked samples) or mixed with a freshly prepared

Paraformaldehyde solution (4%) to a final concentration of 0.6% and incubated for 25

min at 37°C (crosslinked samples) (81, 82). The chemical cross-linking of proteins using

formaldehyde has a very short cross-linking span ($\sim$2-3 Å) making it an ideal tool for

detecting specific protein-protein interactions with great confidence (83). After cross-

linking cells were harvested by centrifugation at room temperature for 2 min at 5000 rpm.

The pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for further preparation.

Cell disruption and affinity Tag purification

The pellets were resuspended in 250 µL 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0 and mechanically
disrupted in the frozen state using a bead mill. The powder of disrupted cells was then
mixed with another 250 µL of HEPES buffer and a nuclease digestion was performed.
The lysates were not centrifuged, and a protein assay (BCA) was performed from the
crude lysates at a 1:50 dilution in 20mM HEPES pH8.0 with 1% SDS. For affinity
purification using Strep-Tactin® Magnetic Microbeads (IBA Lifesciences GmbH,
Goettingen, Germany), 750 µg of crude lysate of each sample were used and mixed with
2x concentrated wash buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0 + 30% Sarcosyl) at a 1:1 volume
ratio and filled up to 800 µL with 1x wash buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0 + 15% Sarcosyl).
In parallel, 150 µL of each bead suspension was equilibrated 3 x with 1x wash buffer
(equivalent to 7.5 µL beads) and the pellet was then mixed with the respective lysate
(vortexed briefly) and then incubated on an overhead shaker (IKA™ Loopster Digital,
Fisher scientic) at level 9 at room temperature for 1 h. Purification was then performed in
the magnet rack. Samples were washed 4 times with 600 µL wash buffer and separated
from the supernatants using the magnet rack. Elution was performed in 50 µL 20 mM
HEPES pH 8.0 with 100 mM biotin without Sarcosyl.
588
SDS-PAGE, Western blot evaluation, quantification and ratio determination of $^{14}N/^{15}N$
isotope labeled purified samples
For the Western blot experiments, 4 µL of the purified eluates from exponential and
stationary growth was separated by SDS-PAGE (Nu-PAGE gradient gels 4 - 12%) and
transferred to a low fluorescent PVDF membrane with a BioRad Turbo Blot System (Sup.
Fig S11A and B). In order to distinguish non-specifically purified and cross-linked
proteins from true interaction partners, ^{14}N and ^{15}N labeled samples were mixed with
respect to AccB signal intensity from the Western blots in a ratio of 1:1. Thus, the heavily
labeled ^{15}N pool or reference sample was used in a constant amount of 130 ng per mass
spectrometric measurement and was mixed with the corresponding volume of each ^{14}N
sample of the *E. coli* wild type as well as the *E. coli* HflC-tag samples (Sup. Fig S11A
and B).
601

Mass spectrometry and data evaluation of $^{14}N/^{15}N$ isotope labeled samples

Samples were digested and analyzed by mass spectrometry. Data were analyzed using
Spectronaut 15 (directDIA, FDR = 0.01) and filtered for an ion Q-value below 0.001.
Protein ratios were calculated using the median of light/heavy peptide ratios per protein.
Protein ratios were then normalized using AccB ratios as reference. The statistical
analysis was performed using an empirical Bayes t-test (limma package 3.50.3) (84) and
multiple test adjustment utilizing the Benjamini-Hochberg approach. The criteria for
significance of a potential interaction are based on an identification of the protein in at
least 3 of the 4 replicates with more than 2 identified peptides per protein, a q-value below
0.05, and a calculated ratio (fold change or enrichment factor) of at least 32-fold. Proteins
that were also enriched from the wildtype control, that did not contain affinity tagged
proteins, represent unspecific resin interactions and were removed from the list of HflC
interaction partners (Sup. Fig. S8 and Sup Table S2 and 3). Supplementary Table S6 and
S7 summarize the reversed phase liquid chromatography (RPLC) as well as the mass
spectrometry method used.
617
Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad, La Jolla,
CA, USA). All data are expressed as mean (± standard deviation, SD) in figures.
Statistical analysis was performed using Two-tailed unpaired *t-test* with Welch correction.
Differences were considered statistically significant for *P* values of <0.05.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Philippe Delepelaire for insightful comments and material support. We are

grateful to Eva Wolrab and Sven van Teeffelen for their initial interest in the project and

for providing the strains for msfGFP and mCherry constructions. We thank Uwe Sauer

and Philip Warmer for initial assessment of lipid composition of some of the strains

used in this study. This work was supported by EU Horizon 2020 Rafts4Biotech grant

720776 (to JMG, DL, AKW, YY, AR, UV and MS), the French government's

Investissement d'Avenir Program, Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of

Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant n°ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID) and the *Fondation*

pour la Recherche Médicale (grant no. DEQ20180339185). This work benefited from

the facilities and expertise of Add Photonic BioImaging platform (UTechS PBI, Institut

Pasteur). A.K.W. was supported by a Pasteur-Roux-Cantarini postdoctoral and a grant

from the Philippe Foundation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:

A.K.W., Y.Y., and J.-M.G. designed the experiments. A.K.W., Y.Y., A.R., R.B.; M.S.,

J.B-B. performed the experiments. A.K.W., Y.Y., A.R., U.V. M.S., R.B., J.-M.B., C.B.,

D.L. and J.-M.G. analyzed the data. Y.Y., A.K.W. and J.-M.G. wrote the paper with

significant contribution from all authors.

REFERENCES

1. **Alberts B, Johnson A, Lewis J, Raff M, Roberts K, Walter P.** 2002. Molecular biology of the cell 6th edition ed. Garland Science., New York.

2. **Cho W, Stahelin RV.** 2005. Membrane-protein interactions in cell signaling and membrane trafficking. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct **34:**119-151.10.1146/annurev.biophys.33.110502.133337: 10.1146/annurev.biophys.33.110502.133337

3. **Simons K, Ikonen E.** 1997. Functional rafts in cell membranes. Nature **387:**569-572.10.1038/42408: 10.1038/42408

4. **Rajendran L, Simons K.** 2005. Lipid rafts and membrane dynamics. J Cell Sci **118:**1099-1102.10.1242/jcs.01681: 10.1242/jcs.01681

5. **Simons K, Sampaio JL.** 2011. Membrane organization and lipid rafts. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol **3:**a004697.10.1101/cshperspect.a004697: 10.1101/cshperspect.a004697

6. **Pike LJ.** 2006. Rafts defined: a report on the Keystone Symposium on Lipid Rafts and Cell Function. J Lipid Res **47:**1597-1598.10.1194/jlr.E600002-JLR200: 10.1194/jlr.E600002-JLR200

7. **Sezgin E, Levental I, Mayor S, Eggeling C.** 2017. The mystery of membrane organization: composition, regulation and roles of lipid rafts. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **18:**361-374.10.1038/nrm.2017.16: 10.1038/nrm.2017.16

8. **Langhorst MF, Reuter A, Stuermer CA.** 2005. Scaffolding microdomains and beyond: the function of reggie/flotillin proteins. Cell Mol Life Sci **62:**2228-2240.10.1007/s00018-005-5166-4: 10.1007/s00018-005-5166-4

9. **Morrow IC, Parton RG.** 2005. Flotillins and the PHB domain protein family: rafts, worms and anaesthetics. Traffic **6:**725-740.10.1111/j.1600-0854.2005.00318.x: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2005.00318.x

10. **Stuermer CA, Plattner H.** 2005. The 'lipid raft' microdomain proteins reggie-1 and reggie-2 (flotillins) are scaffolds for protein interaction and signalling. Biochem Soc Symp**:**109-118.10.1042/bss0720109: 10.1042/bss0720109

11. **Browman DT, Hoegg MB, Robbins SM.** 2007. The SPFH domain-containing proteins: more than lipid raft markers. Trends Cell Biol **17:**394-402.10.1016/j.tcb.2007.06.005: 10.1016/j.tcb.2007.06.005

12. **Zeke A, Lukács M, Lim WA, Reményi A.** 2009. Scaffolds: interaction platforms for cellular signalling circuits. Trends Cell Biol **19:**364-374.10.1016/j.tcb.2009.05.007: 10.1016/j.tcb.2009.05.007

13. **Zhao F, Zhang J, Liu YS, Li L, He YL.** 2011. Research advances on flotillins. Virol J **8:**479.10.1186/1743-422x-8-479: 10.1186/1743-422x-8-479

14. **Lopez D, Koch G.** 2017. Exploring functional membrane microdomains in bacteria: an overview. Curr Opin Microbiol **36:**76-84.10.1016/j.mib.2017.02.001: 10.1016/j.mib.2017.02.001

15. **Lopez D, Kolter R.** 2010. Functional microdomains in bacterial membranes. Genes Dev **24:**1893-1902.10.1101/gad.1945010: 10.1101/gad.1945010

16. **Bramkamp M, Lopez D.** 2015. Exploring the existence of lipid rafts in bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev **79:**81-100.10.1128/mmbr.00036-14: 10.1128/mmbr.00036-14

17.   **Willdigg JR, Helmann JD.** 2021. Mini Review: Bacterial Membrane
Composition and Its Modulation in Response to Stress. Front Mol Biosci
8:634438.10.3389/fmolb.2021.634438: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.634438
18.   **Dempwolff F, Möller HM, Graumann PL.** 2012. Synthetic motility and cell
shape defects associated with deletions of flotillin/reggie paralogs in Bacillus
subtilis and interplay of these proteins with NfeD proteins. J Bacteriol
194:4652-4661.10.1128/jb.00910-12: 10.1128/jb.00910-12
19.   **Bach JN, Bramkamp M.** 2013. Flotillins functionally organize the bacterial
membrane. Mol Microbiol **88:**1205-1217.10.1111/mmi.12252:
10.1111/mmi.12252
20.   **Schneider J, Klein T, Mielich-Süss B, Koch G, Franke C, Kuipers OP,**
Kovács Á T, Sauer M, Lopez D. 2015. Spatio-temporal remodeling of
functional membrane microdomains organizes the signaling networks of a
bacterium. PLoS Genet **11:**e1005140.10.1371/journal.pgen.1005140:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1005140
21.   **Wagner RM, Kricks L, Lopez D.** 2017. Functional Membrane Microdomains
Organize Signaling Networks in Bacteria. J Membr Biol **250:**367-
378.10.1007/s00232-016-9923-0: 10.1007/s00232-016-9923-0
22.   **Donovan C, Bramkamp M.** 2009. Characterization and subcellular localization
of a bacterial flotillin homologue. Microbiology **155:**1786-
1799.10.1099/mic.0.025312-0: 10.1099/mic.0.025312-0
23.   **Yepes A, Schneider J, Mielich B, Koch G, García-Betancur JC,**
Ramamurthi KS, Vlamakis H, López D. 2012. The biofilm formation defect
of a Bacillus subtilis flotillin-defective mutant involves the protease FtsH. Mol
Microbiol **86:**457-471.10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08205.x: 10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2012.08205.x
24.   **Mielich-Süss B, Schneider J, Lopez D.** 2013. Overproduction of flotillin
influences cell differentiation and shape in Bacillus subtilis. mBio **4:**e00719-
00713.10.1128/mBio.00719-13: 10.1128/mBio.00719-13
25.   **Schneider J, Mielich-Süss B, Böhme R, Lopez D.** 2015. In vivo
characterization of the scaffold activity of flotillin on the membrane kinase KinC
of Bacillus subtilis. Microbiology **161:**1871-1887.10.1099/mic.0.000137:
10.1099/mic.0.000137
26.   **Hinderhofer M, Walker CA, Friemel A, Stuermer CA, Möller HM, Reuter**
A. 2009. Evolution of prokaryotic SPFH proteins. BMC Evol Biol
9:10.10.1186/1471-2148-9-10: 10.1186/1471-2148-9-10
27.   **Kihara A, Akiyama Y, Ito K.** 1996. A protease complex in the Escherichia coli
plasma membrane: HflKC (HflA) forms a complex with FtsH (HflB), regulating
its proteolytic activity against SecY. Embo j **15:**6122-6131
28.   **Saikawa N, Akiyama Y, Ito K.** 2004. FtsH exists as an exceptionally large
complex containing HflKC in the plasma membrane of Escherichia coli. J Struct
Biol **146:**123-129.10.1016/j.jsb.2003.09.020: 10.1016/j.jsb.2003.09.020
29.   **Chiba S, Ito K, Akiyama Y.** 2006. The Escherichia coli plasma membrane
contains two PHB (prohibitin homology) domain protein complexes of opposite
orientations. Mol Microbiol **60:**448-457.10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05104.x:
10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05104.x
30.   **Ma C, Wang C, Luo D, Yan L, Yang W, Li N, Gao N.** 2022. Structural
insights into the membrane microdomain organization by SPFH family proteins.

Cell Res **32:**176-189.10.1038/s41422-021-00598-3: 10.1038/s41422-021-
00598-3

31.   **Brown DA, Rose JK.** 1992. Sorting of GPI-anchored proteins to glycolipid-
enriched membrane subdomains during transport to the apical cell surface. Cell
68:533-544.10.1016/0092-8674(92)90189-j: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90189-j

32.   **Schroeder R, London E, Brown D.** 1994. Interactions between saturated acyl
chains confer detergent resistance on lipids and glycosylphosphatidylinositol
(GPI)-anchored proteins: GPI-anchored proteins in liposomes and cells show
similar behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **91:**12130-
12134.10.1073/pnas.91.25.12130: 10.1073/pnas.91.25.12130

33.   **Lichtenberg D, Goñi FM, Heerklotz H.** 2005. Detergent-resistant membranes
should not be identified with membrane rafts. Trends Biochem Sci **30:**430-
436.10.1016/j.tibs.2005.06.004: 10.1016/j.tibs.2005.06.004

34.   **Brown DA.** 2006. Lipid rafts, detergent-resistant membranes, and raft targeting
signals. Physiology (Bethesda) **21:**430-439.10.1152/physiol.00032.2006:
10.1152/physiol.00032.2006

35.   **Guzmán-Flores JE, Steinemann-Hernández L, González de la Vara LE,**
Gavilanes-Ruiz M, Romeo T, Alvarez AF, Georgellis D. 2019. Proteomic
analysis of Escherichia coli detergent-resistant membranes (DRM). PLoS One
14:e0223794.10.1371/journal.pone.0223794: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223794

36.   **Guzman-Flores JE, Alvarez AF, Poggio S, Gavilanes-Ruiz M, Georgellis D.**
2017. Isolation of detergent-resistant membranes (DRMs) from Escherichia coli.
Anal Biochem **518:**1-8.10.1016/j.ab.2016.10.025: 10.1016/j.ab.2016.10.025

37.   **Ito K, Akiyama Y.** 2005. Cellular functions, mechanism of action, and
regulation of FtsH protease. Annu Rev Microbiol **59:**211-
231.10.1146/annurev.micro.59.030804.121316:
10.1146/annurev.micro.59.030804.121316

38.   **Padilla-Vaca F, Vargas-Maya NI, Elizarrarás-Vargas NU, Rangel-Serrano**
Á, Cardoso-Reyes LR, Razo-Soria T, Membrillo-Hernández J, Franco B.
2019. Flotillin homologue is involved in the swimming behavior of Escherichia
coli. Arch Microbiol **201:**999-1008.10.1007/s00203-019-01670-8:
10.1007/s00203-019-01670-8

39.   **Romantsov T, Helbig S, Culham DE, Gill C, Stalker L, Wood JM.** 2007.
Cardiolipin promotes polar localization of osmosensory transporter ProP in
Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol **64:**1455-1465.10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2007.05727.x: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05727.x

40.   **Mileykovskaya E, Dowhan W.** 2009. Cardiolipin membrane domains in
prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Biochim Biophys Acta **1788:**2084-
2091.10.1016/j.bbamem.2009.04.003: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2009.04.003

41.   **Feng X, Hu Y, Zheng Y, Zhu W, Li K, Huang CH, Ko TP, Ren F, Chan**
HC, Nega M, Bogue S, López D, Kolter R, Götz F, Guo RT, Oldfield E.
2014. Structural and functional analysis of Bacillus subtilis YisP reveals a role
of its product in biofilm production. Chem Biol **21:**1557-
1563.10.1016/j.chembiol.2014.08.018: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2014.08.018

42.   **Zielińska A, Savietto A, de Sousa Borges A, Martinez D, Berbon M,**
Roelofsen JR, Hartman AM, de Boer R, van der Klei IJ, Hirsch AKH,
Habenstein B, Bramkamp M, Scheffers D-J. 2020. Flotillin mediated

membrane fluidity controls peptidoglycan synthesis and MreB movement.
bioRxiv:736819.10.1101/736819: 10.1101/736819

43.   **Nishijima S, Asami Y, Uetake N, Yamagoe S, Ohta A, Shibuya I.** 1988.
Disruption of the Escherichia coli cls gene responsible for cardiolipin synthesis.
J Bacteriol **170:**775-780.10.1128/jb.170.2.775-780.1988: 10.1128/jb.170.2.775-
780.1988

44.   **Hahn FM, Hurlburt AP, Poulter CD.** 1999. Escherichia coli open reading
frame 696 is idi, a nonessential gene encoding isopentenyl diphosphate
isomerase. J Bacteriol **181:**4499-4504

45.   **Aires JR, Nikaido H.** 2005. Aminoglycosides are captured from both periplasm
and cytoplasm by the AcrD multidrug efflux transporter of Escherichia coli. J
Bacteriol **187:**1923-1929.10.1128/jb.187.6.1923-1929.2005:
10.1128/jb.187.6.1923-1929.2005

46.   **Samuelson JC, Chen M, Jiang F, Möller I, Wiedmann M, Kuhn A, Phillips
GJ, Dalbey RE.** 2000. YidC mediates membrane protein insertion in bacteria.
Nature **406:**637-641.10.1038/35020586: 10.1038/35020586

47.   **Urbanus ML, Fröderberg L, Drew D, Björk P, de Gier JW, Brunner J,
Oudega B, Luirink J.** 2002. Targeting, insertion, and localization of
Escherichia coli YidC. J Biol Chem **277:**12718-
12723.10.1074/jbc.M200311200: 10.1074/jbc.M200311200

48.   **Tavernarakis N, Driscoll M, Kyrpides NC.** 1999. The SPFH domain:
implicated in regulating targeted protein turnover in stomatins and other
membrane-associated proteins. Trends Biochem Sci **24:**425-427.10.1016/s0968-
0004(99)01467-x: 10.1016/s0968-0004(99)01467-x

49.   **Morrow IC, Rea S, Martin S, Prior IA, Prohaska R, Hancock JF, James
DE, Parton RG.** 2002. Flotillin-1/reggie-2 traffics to surface raft domains via a
novel golgi-independent pathway. Identification of a novel membrane targeting
domain and a role for palmitoylation. J Biol Chem **277:**48834-
48841.10.1074/jbc.M209082200: 10.1074/jbc.M209082200

50.   **Dempwolff F, Schmidt FK, Hervás AB, Stroh A, Rösch TC, Riese CN,
Dersch S, Heimerl T, Lucena D, Hülsbusch N, Stuermer CA, Takeshita N,
Fischer R, Eckhardt B, Graumann PL.** 2016. Super Resolution Fluorescence
Microscopy and Tracking of Bacterial Flotillin (Reggie) Paralogs Provide
Evidence for Defined-Sized Protein Microdomains within the Bacterial
Membrane but Absence of Clusters Containing Detergent-Resistant Proteins.
PLoS Genet **12:**e1006116.10.1371/journal.pgen.1006116:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1006116

51.   **Somani VK, Aggarwal S, Singh D, Prasad T, Bhatnagar R.** 2016.
Identification of Novel Raft Marker Protein, FlotP in Bacillus anthracis. Front
Microbiol **7:**169.10.3389/fmicb.2016.00169: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00169

52.   **García-Fernández E, Koch G, Wagner RM, Fekete A, Stengel ST,
Schneider J, Mielich-Süss B, Geibel S, Markert SM, Stigloher C, Lopez D.**
2017. Membrane Microdomain Disassembly Inhibits MRSA Antibiotic
Resistance. Cell **171:**1354-1367.e1320.10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.012:
10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.012

53.   **Dempwolff F, Wischhusen HM, Specht M, Graumann PL.** 2012. The
deletion of bacterial dynamin and flotillin genes results in pleiotrophic effects on

cell division, cell growth and in cell shape maintenance. BMC Microbiol
12:298.10.1186/1471-2180-12-298: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-298

54.   **Yokoyama H, Matsui I.** 2020. The lipid raft markers stomatin, prohibitin,
flotillin, and HflK/C (SPFH)-domain proteins form an operon with NfeD
proteins and function with apolar polyisoprenoid lipids. Crit Rev Microbiol
46:38-48.10.1080/1040841x.2020.1716682: 10.1080/1040841x.2020.1716682

55.   **Kihara A, Ito K.** 1998. Translocation, folding, and stability of the HflKC
complex with signal anchor topogenic sequences. J Biol Chem **273:**29770-
29775.10.1074/jbc.273.45.29770: 10.1074/jbc.273.45.29770

56.   **Edgar R, Rokney A, Feeney M, Semsey S, Kessel M, Goldberg MB, Adhya**
S, Oppenheim AB. 2008. Bacteriophage infection is targeted to cellular poles.
Mol Microbiol **68:**1107-1116.10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06205.x:
10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06205.x

57.   **Bandyopadhyay K, Parua PK, Datta AB, Parrack P.** 2010. Escherichia coli
HflK and HflC can individually inhibit the HflB (FtsH)-mediated proteolysis of
lambdaCII in vitro. Arch Biochem Biophys **501:**239-
243.10.1016/j.abb.2010.06.030: 10.1016/j.abb.2010.06.030

58.   **Raetz CR, Dowhan W.** 1990. Biosynthesis and function of phospholipids in
Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem **265:**1235-1238

59.   **Lin TY, Weibel DB.** 2016. Organization and function of anionic phospholipids
in bacteria. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **100:**4255-4267.10.1007/s00253-016-
7468-x: 10.1007/s00253-016-7468-x

60.   **Sohlenkamp C, Geiger O.** 2016. Bacterial membrane lipids: diversity in
structures and pathways. FEMS Microbiol Rev **40:**133-
159.10.1093/femsre/fuv008: 10.1093/femsre/fuv008

61.   **El Khoury M, Swain J, Sautrey G, Zimmermann L, Van Der Smissen P,**
Décout JL, Mingeot-Leclercq MP. 2017. Targeting Bacterial Cardiolipin
Enriched Microdomains: An Antimicrobial Strategy Used by Amphiphilic
Aminoglycoside Antibiotics. Sci Rep **7:**10697.10.1038/s41598-017-10543-3:
10.1038/s41598-017-10543-3

62.   **Oliver PM, Crooks JA, Leidl M, Yoon EJ, Saghatelian A, Weibel DB.** 2014.
Localization of anionic phospholipids in Escherichia coli cells. J Bacteriol
196:3386-3398.10.1128/jb.01877-14: 10.1128/jb.01877-14

63.   **Romantsov T, Stalker L, Culham DE, Wood JM.** 2008. Cardiolipin controls
the osmotic stress response and the subcellular location of transporter ProP in
Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem **283:**12314-12323.10.1074/jbc.M709871200:
10.1074/jbc.M709871200

64.   **Arias-Cartin R, Grimaldi S, Arnoux P, Guigliarelli B, Magalon A.** 2012.
Cardiolipin binding in bacterial respiratory complexes: structural and functional
implications. Biochim Biophys Acta **1817:**1937-
1949.10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.04.005: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.04.005

65.   **Romantsov T, Gonzalez K, Sahtout N, Culham DE, Coumoundouros C,**
Garner J, Kerr CH, Chang L, Turner RJ, Wood JM. 2018. Cardiolipin
synthase A colocalizes with cardiolipin and osmosensing transporter ProP at the
poles of Escherichia coli cells. Mol Microbiol **107:**623-638.10.1111/mmi.13904:
10.1111/mmi.13904

66.   **van Tilburg AY, Warmer P, van Heel AJ, Sauer U, Kuipers OP.** 2022.
Membrane composition and organization of Bacillus subtilis 168 and its

genome-reduced derivative miniBacillus PG10. Microb Biotechnol **15:**1633-
1651.10.1111/1751-7915.13978: 10.1111/1751-7915.13978

67.   **Akiyama Y.** 2009. Quality control of cytoplasmic membrane proteins in
Escherichia coli. J Biochem **146:**449-454.10.1093/jb/mvp071:
10.1093/jb/mvp071

68.   **Hinz A, Lee S, Jacoby K, Manoil C.** 2011. Membrane proteases and
aminoglycoside antibiotic resistance. J Bacteriol **193:**4790-
4797.10.1128/jb.05133-11: 10.1128/jb.05133-11

69.   **Venter H, Mowla R, Ohene-Agyei T, Ma S.** 2015. RND-type drug efflux
pumps from Gram-negative bacteria: molecular mechanism and inhibition. Front
Microbiol **6:**377.10.3389/fmicb.2015.00377: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00377

70.   **Nikaido E, Shirosaka I, Yamaguchi A, Nishino K.** 2011. Regulation of the
AcrAB multidrug efflux pump in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium in
response to indole and paraquat. Microbiology **157:**648-
655.10.1099/mic.0.045757-0: 10.1099/mic.0.045757-0

71.   **Garneau-Tsodikova S, Labby KJ.** 2016. Mechanisms of Resistance to
Aminoglycoside Antibiotics: Overview and Perspectives. Medchemcomm **7:**11-
27.10.1039/c5md00344j: 10.1039/c5md00344j

72.   **Pogliano KJ, Beckwith J.** 1994. Genetic and molecular characterization of the
Escherichia coli secD operon and its products. J Bacteriol **176:**804-
814.10.1128/jb.176.3.804-814.1994: 10.1128/jb.176.3.804-814.1994

73.   **Kato Y, Nishiyama K, Tokuda H.** 2003. Depletion of SecDF-YajC causes a
decrease in the level of SecG: implication for their functional interaction. FEBS
Lett **550:**114-118.10.1016/s0014-5793(03)00847-0: 10.1016/s0014-
5793(03)00847-0

74.   **Crane JM, Randall LL.** 2017. The Sec System: Protein Export in Escherichia
coli. EcoSal Plus **7.**10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0002-2017:
10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0002-2017

75.   **Törnroth-Horsefield S, Gourdon P, Horsefield R, Brive L, Yamamoto N,**
Mori H, Snijder A, Neutze R. 2007. Crystal structure of AcrB in complex with
a single transmembrane subunit reveals another twist. Structure **15:**1663-
1673.10.1016/j.str.2007.09.023: 10.1016/j.str.2007.09.023

76.   **Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA,**
Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of Escherichia coli K-12
in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol
2:2006.0008.10.1038/msb4100050: 10.1038/msb4100050

77.   **Chaveroche MK, Ghigo JM, d'Enfert C.** 2000. A rapid method for efficient
gene replacement in the filamentous fungus Aspergillus nidulans. Nucleic Acids
Res **28:**E97.10.1093/nar/28.22.e97: 10.1093/nar/28.22.e97

78.   **Cherepanov PP, Wackernagel W.** 1995. Gene disruption in Escherichia coli:
TcR and KmR cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the
antibiotic-resistance determinant. Gene **158:**9-14.10.1016/0378-1119(95)00193-
a: 10.1016/0378-1119(95)00193-a

79.   **Boudjemaa R, Cabriel C, Dubois-Brissonnet F, Bourg N, Dupuis G, Gruss**
A, Leveque-Fort S, Briandet R, Fontaine-Aupart MP, Steenkeste K. 2018.
Impact of Bacterial Membrane Fatty Acid Composition on the Failure of
Daptomycin To Kill Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
62.10.1128/AAC.00023-18: 10.1128/AAC.00023-18

80.   **Cabriel C, Bourg N, Dupuis G, Leveque-Fort S.** 2018. Aberration-accounting
calibration for 3D single-molecule localization microscopy. Opt Lett **43:**174-
177.10.1364/OL.43.000174: 10.1364/OL.43.000174
81.   **Herzberg C, Weidinger LA, Dörrbecker B, Hübner S, Stülke J, Commichau
FM.** 2007. SPINE: a method for the rapid detection and analysis of protein-
protein interactions in vivo. Proteomics **7:**4032-4035.10.1002/pmic.200700491:
10.1002/pmic.200700491
82.   **Müller VS, Jungblut PR, Meyer TF, Hunke S.** 2011. Membrane-SPINE: an
improved method to identify protein-protein interaction partners of membrane
proteins in vivo. Proteomics **11:**2124-2128.10.1002/pmic.201000558:
10.1002/pmic.201000558
83.   **Sutherland BW, Toews J, Kast J.** 2008. Utility of formaldehyde cross-linking
and mass spectrometry in the study of protein-protein interactions. J Mass
Spectrom **43:**699-715.10.1002/jms.1415: 10.1002/jms.1415
84.   **Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK.** 2015.
limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and
microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res **43:**e47.10.1093/nar/gkv007:
10.1093/nar/gkv007
949

FIGURES

Figure 1. Cell localization patterns of HflC and QmcA. A and **C**: Epifluorescence
microscopy of cells expressing HflC-mCherry (A) or QmcA-GFP (C). Arrowheads
indicate polar or punctate localization foci. **B** and **D**: Super-resolution microscopy of cells
expressing HflC-mCherry (B) or QmcA-GFP (D). *Left panels*: lack of subcellular
localization in WT cells. *Center panels*: 3D images-artificial colors (red for HflC-
mCherry, green for QmcA-gfp). *Right panels*: 3D localization of HflC-mCherry and
QmcA-GFP, with colors corresponding to depth location along the Z axis, 0-500 nm, with
0 nm expressed in red, and 500 nm expressed in deep blue. Scales are indicated as white
bars.

Figure 2. QmcA and HflC localize to the inner membrane. SDS-PAGE and immunodetection analyses of whole-cell extracts, cytosolic fractions, and inner (IM) or outer membrane (OM) fractions prepared from cells expressing QmcA-GFP (**A**) and HflC-mCherry (**B**). Anti-GFP and anti-mCherry antibodies were used to detect the presence of QmcA-GFP and HflC-mCherry, respectively. An anti-ExbB antibody were used to detect the inner membrane- (IM) marker ExbB and anti-TolC antibodies to detect the outer membrane- (OM) marker TolC.

Figure 3. The localization pattern and membrane topology of full-length, domain

swapped or truncated versions of QmcA and HflC. (**A**) GFP fusion derivatives of

QmcA and (**B**) mCherry fusion derivatives of HflC. The representative images are shown

in each strain with the frequencies of cells showing punctate (**A**) or polar localization (**B**).

In membrane topology, helical structures represent transmembrane (TM) domains; silver,

native TM domain of QmcA; pink, Pf3 domain; green, native TM domain of HflC; black,

Cmi domain. Scale bars are 2 μm.

Figure 4. Alteration of QmcA and HflC cell localization in *E. coli* cardiolipin and isoprenoid pathway mutants. Two-dimensional super-resolution microscopy images of WT, Δ*clsABC*, and Δ*idi* strains expressing QmcA-GFP (**A**) and HflC-mCherry (**B**) in stationary phase.

Figure 5. Phenotypic analysis of *E. coli* SPFH mutants. **A**: Bacterial growth curve of
WT and SPFH gene deletion mutants in LB medium. **B**: Biolog bacterial growth curve
of WT and ΔSPFH in the presence of tobramycin and paraquat. **C**: Minimum inhibitory
concentration (MIC) for tobramycin of *E. coli* WT and indicated mutants. **D**: Minimum
inhibitory concentration (MIC) for paraquat of *E. coli* WT and indicated mutants. *$p <$
0.05, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, ***$p < 0.0001$ compared with WT.

999
1000

Figure 6. Localization of YajC-GFP and susceptibility of a Δ*yajC* mutant to tobramycin and paraquat. **A:** Epifluorescence microscopic images of cells expressing both YajC-GFP and HflC-mCherry in exponential phase with high and low magnifications. **B** and **C:** Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for tobramycin (B) and paraquat (C) of *E. coli* WT and indicated mutants. WT, *ΔSPFH*, and *ΔhflC* strains are duplicated from Fig. 5C and D and presented here for the comparison. **p* < 0.01, ***p* < 0.001, ****p* < 0.0001 compared with WT.