1 *Tudor domain containing protein 5-like (Tdrd5l)* identifies a novel germline body and regulates
2 maternal RNAs during oogenesis
3
4
5
6

7 Caitlin Pozmanter[1], Leif Benner[2], Sydney E Kelly[1], Harrison Curnutte[1], and Mark Van Doren[1*]
8
9
10
11
12 [1]Department of Biology, Johns Hopkins University, 3400N Charles Street, Baltimore, MD, 21218
13
14 [2] Section of Developmental Genomics, Laboratory of Biochemistry and Genetics, National
15 Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,
16 MD, USA
17
18
19 [*]Corresponding author: vandoren@jhu.edu

20
21
22
23 Short title: Tdrd5l regulates maternally deposited RNAs
24
25 Key words: Tudor-domain, germ cell, maternal RNA, Drosophila, Tdrd5l, Tdrd5, grk, orb
26
27

Abstract

Tudor domain-containing proteins are conserved across the animal kingdom for their function

in germline development and fertility. Previously, we demonstrated that *Tudor domain-*

containing protein 5-like (Tdrd5l) plays an important role in the germline where it promotes

male identity. However, Tdrd5l is also expressed in both the ovary and testis during later stages

of germline development, suggesting that it plays a role in germline differentiation in both

sexes. We found that Tdrd5l localizes to a potentially novel germline body and plays a role in

post-transcriptional gene regulation. RNA sequencing of *Tdrd5l*-mutant ovaries compared to

wild-type showed that differentially expressed genes were enriched for maternally deposited

RNAs. Additionally, embryos laid by *Tdrd5l*-mutant females exhibited reduced viability and

displayed dorsal appendage defects suggesting a failure of proper dorsal-ventral (D/V)

patterning. As D/V patterning is dependent on *gurken (grk)*, we examined Grk expression

during oogenesis. We observed premature accumulation of Grk protein in nurse cells indicating

that translation is no longer properly repressed during mRNA transport to the oocyte. We also

observed increased nurse cell accumulation of the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element

binding protein Oo18 RNA-Binding Protein (Orb or CPEB), a translational activator of Grk.

Decreasing *orb* function was able to partially rescue the *Tdrd5l*-mutant phenotype, and so

defects in Orb are likely a primary cause of the defects in *Tdrd5l* mutants. Our data indicate that

Tdrd5l is important for translational repression of maternal mRNAs such as *orb*, and possibly

others, following their synthesis in the nurse cells and during their transport to the oocyte.

Introduction

Tudor domain-containing proteins are conserved across the animal kingdom and one important

function of these proteins is germline specification and maintenance (1). Mutations in the

genes for Tudor proteins have been shown to cause infertility in flies and mammals alike (2,3).

While the molecular function of a tudor domain is to promote protein-protein interaction by

binding to dimethylated arginine and lysine residues (4,5), many tudor domain-containing

proteins also have additional domains such as RNA recognition motifs (6). Together this allows

for tudor domains to scaffold larger protein and ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs) such as in

RNA granules.

RNA granules allow for multiple modes of RNA regulation in locally concentrated and

protected membrane-less organelles (7). One germline-specific granule that is enriched for

tudor domain-containing proteins is the nuage (8–10), which produces piRNAs that silence

transposons (11). In addition to the nuage, germ cells have a number of other types of granules

that can also be found in other tissues, the most common of which are the processing bodies

(P-bodies) (12). These granules can take on different functions all centered around post-

transcriptional regulation of mRNAs such as inducing mRNA degradation via removal of the 5'

cap and translational repression via regulation of the poly(A) tail. mRNAs are often targeted to

P-bodies through binding of miRNAs which leads to the formation of a protein complex around

the RNA (13,14). RNA granules are particularly important in the germline where RNAs are often

transcribed at a different time or place from their translation (15). mRNAs can be sent to these

granules for repression until they are needed for translation or to form RNPs that are

translationally repressed and targeted for transport to another location (16).

One special class of germline RNAs are the maternal RNAs generated during oogenesis

and deposited into the oocyte for control of early embryonic development, in particular before

activation of the zygotic genome (17). During *Drosophila* oogenesis, maternal RNAs are

transcribed in nurse cells and then transported into the oocyte where they are later translated.

While in the nurse cells, maternally deposited RNAs stay translationally silenced through

mechanisms that are not totally understood (18). Some of these RNAs become localized to

specific regions of the oocyte and act to establish the body axes of the embryo. One such RNA,

gurken (grk), is translated first at the posterior of the oocyte to specify posterior fate before

localizing to the dorsal-anterior corner of the oocyte to specify dorsal fate (19–21). Other

important RNAs include *oskar (osk),* which localizes to the posterior to help specify posterior

identity and the germline (22) (23), and *bicoid (bcd),* which specifies the anterior (24).

Previous work in our lab identified a novel tudor domain-containing protein, Tdrd5l

(Tudor domain-containing protein 5-like), during a screen for genes involved in germline sex

determination (25). Tdrd5l is homologous to mouse Tdrd5 as well as to another Drosophila

protein, Tejas, both of which are required for fertility (10,26). All of these proteins have a highly

related tudor domain, while Tejas and mouse Tdrd5 also have LOTUS domains that interact

with the RNA helicase Vasa (27). *Drosophila* Tejas and mouse Tdrd5 both localize with Vasa to

the nuage where they act in piRNA synthesis and retrotransposon repression (10,26).

While Tdrd5l is male-specific in the early germline, it is expressed in differentiating germ

cells in both males and females, suggesting that it plays a role in post-transcriptional gene

regulation of both spermatogenesis and oogenesis. In this study we demonstrate that Tdrd5l

localizes to a novel germline body that associates with, but is distinct from, the nuage. Further,

we demonstrate that, in females, *Tdrd5l* is important for post-transcriptional regulation of

maternal RNAs. Embryos from *Tdrd5l*-mutant mothers exhibit defects in dorsal-ventral

patterning and both Grk and Orb (Oo-18 RNA-Binding Protein) prematurely accumulate in nurse

cells instead of being primarily expressed in the oocyte. Thus, one important role for *Tdrd5l* is in

regulation of maternal RNAs as they are being transported from the nurse cells to the oocyte.

Results

Tdrd5l localizes to cytoplasmic bodies in the *Drosophila* germline

Previously, we characterized Tdrd5l expression using an N-terminally tagged exogenous

BAC construct (25). Subsequently, we have used CRISPR/CAS9 to generate both N- and C-

terminally tagged versions of the endogenous *Tdrd5l* locus. Interestingly, both N- and C-

terminally tagged alleles behaved as loss of function alleles, indicating that these regions are

important for *Tdrd5l* function. In particular, the N-terminally tagged allele exhibited localization

to punctae in the germline when wild-type (wt) Tdrd5l protein was present, but not when the

N-terminally tagged allele was the only source of Tdrd5l protein (Fig S1). This indicates that the

N-terminus is important for localization of the Tdrd5l protein, but that there is an independent

mechanism for Tdrd5l localization to punctae dependent on the wt protein. To visualize

localization of functional Tdrd5l protein, we generated internal hemagglutinin (HA) and FLAG

epitope-tagged alleles (Fig S1A), which behaved as wt alleles of *Tdrd5l*. We also generated a

peptide guinea pig polyclonal antibody using amino acids 65-81 of Tdrd5l. This antiserum

yielded a similar staining pattern to the internally tagged *Tdrd5l* alleles and immunostaining

was eliminated in *Tdrd5l* mutants (Figure S2).

Using the internally FLAG-tagged allele, we observed anti-FLAG immunoreactivity in a

mixed population of small and larger cytoplasmic bodies in the pre-meiotic male germline (Fig

1A), in agreement with our previous work using the exogenous HA-tagged BAC construct (25).

In the germline stem cells (GSCs) and gonioblasts (GBs) (Fig 1A white arrow) there was a trend

to have more smaller bodies and the occasional large body. In later spermatogonia and

spermatocytes the granules are almost all large bodies 0.9-1 micron in diameter (Fig 1A yellow

arrow). Interestingly, in the larger bodies Tdrd5l localized in a hollow, spherical pattern

indicating that it formed the periphery of the body (Fig 1G).

In the female germline, Tdrd5l immunostaining was greatly reduced compared to the

male germline when using identical confocal settings (Fig S3A-B), but still localized to

cytoplasmic bodies (Fig 1C). Unlike in the male GSCs (Fig 1B brackets), Tdrd5l expression was

absent from the germline stem cells (GSCs) in females (Fig 1D, brackets). Since Sxl represses

Tdrd5l expression (25), this likely accounts for the lack of Tdrd5l expression in female GSCs

where Sxl is expressed at high levels (28). At later stages in the female germline, we observed

Tdrd5l localizing to bodies in the early differentiating germline of the germarium (Fig 1C), as

well as in the nurse cells (Fig 1E and 1F, white arrow) and oocyte (Fig 1E, yellow arrow) until

stages 6-7 of oogenesis.

Tdrd5l localizes to an unknown body

Since many tudor domain-containing proteins, including the Tdrd5l homolog Tejas, are

known to localize to RNA granules, we next analyzed the "Tdrd5l Body" to determine whether it

corresponds to any previously identified RNA granules.

One prominent germline RNA granule is the perinuclear nuage, which acts as the site of

piRNA production for transposon repression (29–31) and contains other tudor-domain

containing proteins such as the Tdrd5l homolog Tejas in flies, and TDRD5 in mice (8,9,32). One

hallmark of the nuage is the presence of Vasa protein (33). We observed that the Tdrd5l-

containing bodies often associate with, or are imbedded in regions of Vasa immunoreactivity,

but that Vasa staining is not detected within the Tdrd5l bodies themselves (Fig 2A, yellow

arrow). Analysis of the distribution of Tdrd5l bodies revealed that there was an equal number

that exhibited cytoplasmic vs. perinuclear localization, and bodies in both locations were

associated with Vasa immunoreactivity (Fig S4A-B, N= 236 granules). Additionally, we co-

stained for Tdrd5l and either Ago3 (Fig 2C, arrows) or Aub (Fig 2B, arrows), two other

components of the nuage that are involved in piRNA biogenesis. In both cases we saw similar

results as with Vasa, with the proteins enriched next to but not within the Tdrd5l body. These

data indicate that either the Tdrd5l body is distinct from the nuage or forms a sub-

compartment of nuage not marked by piRNA biogenesis factors. Consistent with this, we

observed no changes in transposon expression in *Tdrd5l* mutant gonads of both sexes ((25) and

Fig S5), thus suggesting a function for Tdrd5l distinct from its homolog Tejas.

Two additional RNA granules that are commonly found in many cell types are the P

bodies, sites of post-transcriptional RNA regulation, and U bodies, which are involved in snRNP

maturation (34). A common P body component is Decapping protein 1 (Dcp1) (35) and we

visualized Dcp1 immunofluorescence in combination with Tdrd5l (Fig 2D). We did not observe

co-localization between Dcp1-containing punctae and Tdrd5l, and the Dcp1-containing bodies

were also of much smaller size than Tdrd5l bodies. In 63% of testes, we did observe Dcp1

labeled P-bodies associated with the periphery of Tdrd5l bodies (e.g. Fig 2D arrow, N= 17

testes). This suggests that there may be a relationship between P bodies and Tdrd5l bodies or

exchange of materials between these structures, but these data indicate that the two types of

bodies are distinct. We visualized U bodies using an endogenously tagged allele of Survival

Motor Neuron (SMN) (34) and we failed to observe co-localization between SMN-containing

bodies and Tdrd5l (Fig S4C,). Taken together, these data indicate that the Tdrd5l bodies are

distinct structures from the Vasa positive nuage, P bodies and U bodies.

Tdrd5l genetically interacts with post-transcriptional regulatory factors.

168   Since cytoplasmic bodies are often important for post-transcriptional gene regulation,

we determined whether *Tdrd5l* exhibited genetic interaction with known post-transcriptional

regulation pathways. To ask whether Tdrd5l functions in regulating translation and RNA

stability by influencing poly(A) tail length, we tested for a genetic interaction between *Tdrd5l*

and *twin*, which encodes the CCR4 homolog and major deadenylase in *Drosophila* (36).

Expression of a weak RNAi trigger for *twin* in the germline of wild-type (wt) females (*nos-Gal4*,

UAS-*twin* RNAi) caused no morphological or fertility defects (Fig 3A). Animals heterozygous for

a deletion allele of *Tdrd5l* also exhibit no morphological or fertility defects on their own.

However, when the *twin* RNAi trigger was expressed in the germline of females heterozygous

for a mutation in *Tdrd5l* (*nos-Gal4,* UAS-*twin* RNAi, *Tdrd5l/+*), we observed severely

disorganized ovaries that lacked recognizable egg chambers in 100% of ovaries (Fig 3B, N= 20),

and these animals were completely sterile. Additionally, to test whether Tdrd5l functions in

regulating mRNA stability through removal of the mRNA 5' cap, we tested for a genetic

interaction with *Dcp1,* which encodes one of the major mRNA decapping enzymes in Drosophila

(13). As in the case of the *twin* knockdown, there were no morphological or fertility defects

when our *Dcp1* RNAi line was expressed in the germline of wt females (*nos-Gal4, UAS-Dcp1*

RNAi, Fig 3C). However, when *Dcp1* was knocked down in the germline of females heterozygous

for a mutation in *Tdrd5l,* the ovaries exhibited a severe morphological defect and lacked

germline in 100% of ovaries (Fig 3D, N = 20), and these animals were also completely sterile.

These dramatic, dose-sensitive genetic interactions between *Tdrd5l* and *twin* or *Dcp1* indicate

that Tdrd5l could function in post-transcriptional repression of mRNAs through both the

deadenylation and decapping pathways.

Tdrd5l is important for proper egg development

One place where post-transcriptional gene regulation is particularly important is in the

developing egg chambers of the female germline. Females trans-heterozygous for predicted

null mutant alleles of *Tdrd5l* exhibit a relatively normal ovary morphology but lay reduced

numbers of eggs (Fig S6A and Fig 6C). To determine whether the levels of any mRNAs are

regulated by *Tdrd5l* we conducted RNAseq in wildtype vs *Tdrd5l*-mutant ovaries. Interestingly,

the mRNAs altered in *Tdrd5l* mutants were enriched for genes that are maternally deposited in

the embryo, as defined by mRNAs present in 0-2 hr old embryos (37). While maternal genes

represent 23.3% of all Drosophila genes, they represent 30% of genes altered in *Tdrd5l* mutants

(Fig S7A-B). Further, when the analysis is restricted to genes with a 2-fold or greater change in

mRNA level in *Tdrd5l* mutants, the percentage of maternally-expressed genes rises to 94% (Fig

S7C). Additionally, we compared our RNAseq data to previously published data identifying RNAs

associated with the BicaucalD (BicD)/Egalitarian (Egl) complex involved in transport of RNAs

from nurse cells to the oocyte (38). While mRNAs identified in our RNA-seq analysis represent

10.4% of all genes in the Drosophila genome, they represent 30% of the top 100 mRNAs

associated with the BicD/Egl complex, further suggesting a role for Tdrd5l in regulating mRNAs

that are deposited maternally in the oocyte during oogenesis (Fig S7D).

In *Drosophila,* maternally deposited RNAs are transcribed in the nurse cells where they

are silenced until they get to their proper location in the oocyte and are then translationally

activated at specific times (39). To investigate whether Tdrd5l plays a role in this process we

tested whether the eggs laid by *Tdrd5l*-mutant females exhibited defects in development when

crossed to wildtype males. Indeed, we observed that these eggs were greatly reduced in their

ability to hatch and give rise to viable larvae (Fig 4A). To determine if the decrease in hatch rate

was due to patterning defects, we examined the dorsal appendages (DA) on the offspring, an

indicator of Dorsal/Ventral patterning (40). Offspring from trans-heterozygous *Tdrd5l*-mutant

females exhibited a greatly increased frequency of DA defects (Fig 4B), which ranged from

alterations of DA length (Fig 4D) to a fusion of DA material into a ring (Fig 4E) or a single fused

appendage (Fig 4F). If the defects in dorsal-ventral patterning are the major reason behind the

embryonic defects, then we would expect that the majority of unhatched embryos would

exhibit DA defects. However, when we examined the DA defects specifically of those embryos

that failed to hatch, we did not observe a greater percentage of DA defects than we observed

when examining all embryos. This indicates that, while DA and D/V defects are the probable

cause of death for many of the embryos, other embryos are likely to die for other reasons.

When we attempted to examine the cuticle pattern of unhatched embryos we observed no

discernable cuticle pattern, indicating that these embryos die prior to cuticle deposition.

Tdrd5l is required for repression of Grk expression in nurse cells

The D/V and anterior/posterior (A/P) axes in the developing oocytes are set up by

localized translation of maternally deposited RNAs. These RNAs are transcribed in the nurse

cells and post-transcriptionally silenced during transport to the oocyte (39). Three of the classic

maternally deposited RNAs are *gurken (grk)*, which regulates D/V patterning (20,21), *bicoid*

(bcd) which determines anterior fate (24), and *oskar (osk)* which specifies posterior identity and

the germ plasm (22). To determine if *Tdrd5l* regulates maternally deposited RNAs we

immunostained for the protein products of these three mRNAs in wildtype and *Tdrd5l*-mutant

ovaries. Both Grk and Osk protein staining were altered in *Tdrd5l*-mutant ovaries (Fig 5A-H),

whereas no Bcd staining was observed in the mutant ovaries, similar to wt (data not shown). In

wt ovaries, Grk immunoreactivity is normally observed in the dorsal-anterior corner of the

oocyte (Fig 5A, arrowhead) and is absent from the nurse cells of developing egg chambers (Fig

5C). However, in *Tdrd5l* mutant ovaries we observed Grk staining in the nurse cells of mid-stage

egg chambers of 80% of ovaries tested (Fig 5D, outlined and inset, N = 25 ovaries), suggesting

the *grk* mRNA is no longer translationally repressed in the nurse cells. In addition, we still

observed Grk immunoreactivity at the dorsal-anterior corner of developing oocytes (Fig 5B) in

Tdrd5l mutants.

In wt ovaries, Osk immunostaining is normally restricted to the posterior pole of

developing oocytes beginning at stage 10 (Fig 5E, arrowhead) (22). In stage 10 *Tdrd5l*-mutant

egg chambers we still observed Osk protein correctly localized to the posterior pole (Fig 5F).

However, in 77% of stage 9 *Tdrd5l*-mutant egg chambers we observed premature staining for

Osk, and in these cases Osk protein was localized to the middle of the oocyte rather than the

posterior end (Fig 5H, N = 25 ovaries). However, unlike with Grk, we did not observe Osk

protein expressed in the nurse cells of *Tdrd5l* mutants (Fig 5H).

To determine if the ectopic expression of Grk and Osk proteins was due to defects in

RNA transport from the nurse cells or RNA localization in the oocyte, we conducted fluorescent

in situ hybridization (FISH) to visualize the *grk* and *osk* mRNAs (Fig 5I-L). We observed no

changes in *grk* mRNA localization between wildtype and *Tdrd5*-mutant ovaries (Fig 5I-J).

Contrary to what we observed with the *grk* RNA, we observed altered *osk* mRNA localization in

Tdrd5l-mutant oocytes (Fig 5L). In *Tdrd5l* mutant oocytes, *osk* mRNA was not tightly localized to

the posterior and was instead observed throughout the oocyte in a 31% of ovaries (Fig 5L, N=

15 ovaries).

Grk is first required at the posterior of the oocyte to specify the posterior follicle cells,

which are then required for proper microtubule orientation and localization of *osk* RNA and

protein to the posterior pole (41). Thus, it is possible that the mis-localization of *osk* we

observed could be secondary to defects in *grk* function. To test whether the microtubule

network is properly oriented in *Tdrd5l* mutants, we used Kinesin-LacZ (Kin-LacZ) to mark the

plus ends of the microtubules (21). In wt ovaries the microtubule network in the oocyte is

polarized with plus ends at the posterior, therefore Kin-LacZ should be localized tightly to the

posterior by stages 9 and 10 of egg chamber development (Fig S8A white arrow, and Fig S8B

yellow arrow, quantified in Fig S8E). In *Tdrd5l*-mutant ovaries we detected a range of Kin-LacZ

localization: some oocytes had tight posterior localization, while others had some posterior

localization of Kin-LacZ with plumes of staining extending away from the posterior pole. In the

most extreme cases we observed diffuse Kin-LacZ localization in the center of the oocyte (Fig

S8C white arrow and Fig S8D yellow arrow, quantified in Fig S8E). These data indicate that the

defects in *osk* posterior localization may be caused by loss of *grk* function rather than defects in

the direct regulation of *osk* itself.

Tdrd5l represses Orb protein expression in nurse cells

276   Work by other labs has shown that Grk translation in the oocyte is activated by Oo18

RNA binding protein (Orb) (42–44). Orb is a cytoplasmic polyadenylation element binding

protein (CPEB) that is highly expressed in the oocyte where it recruits Wispy to lengthen the *grk*

poly(A) tail to activate its translation (43). It has also been shown that ectopic expression of Orb

in the nurse cells is sufficient to prematurely activate Grk translation (44). To test whether

ectopic expression of Orb in the nurse cells could be the cause of Grk translation in *Tdrd5l*-

mutant nurse cells, we stained for Orb protein in *Tdrd5l*-mutant ovaries. As previously

described, in all wt ovaries we observed Orb immunofluorescence primarily in the oocyte and it

was largely absent from the nurse cells of later egg chambers, except the nurse cell closest to

the oocyte (Fig 6A, squared region). In 100 % of *Tdrd5l*-mutant ovaries we saw an expansion of

Orb protein expression into almost all the nurse cells suggesting Orb translation had been de-

repressed in *Tdrd5l* mutants (Fig 6B, squared region, N= 26).

288   To test whether the phenotype observed in *Tdrd5l* mutants is due to increased Orb

expression, we determined whether reduction of *orb* function could suppress the *Tdrd5l*-

mutant phenotype. *Tdrd5l*-mutant females that were also heterozygous for an *orb* loss of

function allele (*Tdrd5l-/Tdrd5l-; orbF343/+* females) laid significantly more eggs than *Tdrd5l-*

mutant females, and a similar number of eggs to wt control females (Fig 6C). However, being

heterozygous for an *orb* mutant allele did not rescue the egg hatching defect observed in

Tdrd5l-mutants (not shown). This suggests that the defects observed in *Tdrd5l* mutants are

partially due to the increased Orb expression observed in the nurse cells, but other defects may

be due to misregulation of other RNAs or other functions of Tdrd5l.

Discussion

Work from a number of labs has demonstrated the importance of RNA granules for

proper germ cell identity and function. Here we have extended our study of Tdrd5l and shown

that it localizes to the periphery of a novel germline structure, the "Tdrd5l body", that does not

exhibit the characteristics of any previously identified germline granule. While we previously

demonstrated that Tdrd5l expression is highly male-biased in the undifferentiated germline, we

show here that Tdrd5l bodies are also present during germline differentiation in females. *Tdrd5l*

does not regulate transposon expression, like other Tdrd5 family members, but instead

regulates the expression of maternal RNAs that need to be silenced in nurse cells as they are

transported to the oocyte.

Analysis of the "Tdrd5l body"

Previous work studying expression of the Tdrd5l protein used a genomic BAC with an N-

terminal epitope tag that we have subsequently found interferes with *Tdrd5l* function.

Therefore, we used an internal epitope-tag placed into the endogenous *Tdrd5l* locus that

retains full *Tdrd5l* function, as well as a peptide polyclonal antibody generated against native

Tdrd5l, to characterize the localization of Tdrd5l. We were able to verify that Tdrd5l is more

highly expressed overall in the male germline than the female germline, and is expressed in

male GSCs but is not observed in female GSCs (Fig 1). This is consistent with *Sex lethal* being a

repressor of Tdrd5l expression as we previously found (25). However, we also describe the

expression of Tdrd5l in the female germline, where it is found in germ cells that have begun to

differentiate in the germarium (Fig 1C-D), and in nurse cells and oocytes in the developing egg

chambers (Fig 1E-F). Interestingly, in both male and female germ cells, the punctae of Tdrd5l

expression appear "hollow" indicating that Tdrd5l resides on the surface of the structures it

identifies.

Our analysis also indicates that the Tdrd5l bodies are not representative of any type of

previously described germline granule in *Drosophila*. The most prominent germline granule is

the perinuclear nuage which contains the helicase Vasa and other factors important for piRNA

biogenesis, like Aub and Ago3. While the Tdrd5l bodies are often observed in a perinuclear

location, they notably lack Vasa and are not enriched for Aub or Ago3 (Fig 2). Thus, while Tdrd5l

bodies may interact with the nuage, they appear to be distinct entities. A large granule, the

piRNA nuage giant body, has been described that is associated with the nuage (45). However,

unlike the Tdrd5l body, this body contains Vasa and appears only in primary spermatocytes, and

so is distinct from the Tdrd5l body. The localization of the Tdrd5l body is also not consistent

with it being part of the germ plasm or sponge bodies found in the oocyte (46–49). The Tdrd5l

body appears to be distinct from RNA granules found more commonly in different cell types,

such as P bodies, U bodies and stress granules. P bodies characteristically contain Dcp1 and are

much smaller in size than Tdrd5l bodies. Our previous work suggested some overlap in

localization between Tdrd5l and Dcp1 (25) but the more detailed analysis described here

reveals that smaller, Dcp1-positive bodies can be seen associating with Tdrd5l bodies, but that

Dcp1 is not present in the Tdrd5l bodies themselves (Fig 2). We also do not see overlap

between Tdrd5l and the U body protein Smn (Fig S4). Lastly, the Tdrd5l bodies are observed

constitutively in the germline and are not affected by stresses such as starvation or changes in

temperature (C.P. unpublished data) indicating that they are not stress granules.

Germline granules have been most well-studied in C. elegans, and a number of distinct

regions of the nuage have been described in this species (50,51). At many stages of germline

development, P granules are associated with the nuclear periphery and, like Drosophila nuage,

contain Vasa-class helicases (the GLH's) as well as Ago proteins (52–54). However, associated

with the P granules are other perinuclear regions known as the Mutator foci, SIMR foci and the

Z granules, that have distinct protein components (51). One possibility is that the Tdrd5l body

represents a similar sub-structure associated with the nuage in *Drosophila*. In addition to

studying the function of *Tdrd5l*, identifying other proteins and possibly RNAs that are present in

the Tdrd5l body will be an important next step.

Function of the Tdrd5 proteins

There are many types of Tudor domain containing proteins and they can be classified

into sub-families based on the homology of their Tudor domains. In diverse animal species,

including mouse and humans, there is a single Tdrd5 protein. Interestingly, flies have two such

proteins, Tdrd5l and Tejas (10,25). Many Tdrd5 proteins, like mouse TDRD5 and *Drosophila*

Tejas, also contain an N-terminal LOTUS domain which is known to bind Vasa-type helicases

(27), but Drosophila Tdrd5l lacks this domain. However, the N-terminus of Tdrd5l must be

important for its function since placing even a small epitope tag in this position compromises

Tdrd5l function. Consistent with its Vasa-binding LOTUS domain, Tejas associates with the Vasa-

positive nuage where it acts in the piRNA pathway to repress germline transposon expression

(10). In contrast, we did not observe Tdrd5l co-localizing with the nuage (Fig 2A), and we have

observed no change in transposon expression in *Tdrd5l* mutants (Fig S5). Instead, we find that

Tdrd5l exhibits potent genetic interaction with pathways that repress mRNAs at the post-

transcriptional level, including the mRNA decapping and deadenylation pathways (Fig 3). This is

also consistent with a role for Tdrd5l in regulating mRNA repression in nurse cells (see below).

Thus, it may be that Tejas is more specific for transposon regulation while Tdrd5l is involved in

post-transcriptional regulation of germline mRNAs.

Mouse TDRD5 associates with the Chromatoid Body, a nuage-related structure. In

addition, mice mutant for *Tdrd5* exhibit defects in transposon regulation and spermatogenesis

(26), similar to Drosophila *tejas* (10). Interestingly, *Tdrd5*-mutant mice also exhibit defects in

regulation of pachytene piRNAs (55,56), which are proposed to regulate mRNAs important for

spermatogenesis rather than to repress transposons. One intriguing hypothesis is that the role

of the single TDRD5 protein in mice in regulating both transposon repression and mRNA

expression has been divided between the two Tdrd5 proteins in Drosophila, Tejas and Tdrd5l. In

addition, both Drosophila proteins have functions in oogenesis in addition to spermatogenesis

(10,25, this work). Similarly, knock down of a Tdrd5 homolog in Locust also affects both

oogenesis and spermatogenesis (57). While a role for mouse TDRD5 in the female germline has

not been described, consortium data indicate that *Tdrd5* is expressed in the mouse ovary (58),

making this an interesting place to look for additional functions of TDRD5 in the mouse.

Regulation of Maternally deposited RNAs

Prior work from our lab demonstrated that *Tdrd5l* promotes male identity in the

germline. Consistent with this, Tdrd5l is expressed in male GSCs but is repressed in female GSCs

by the action of *Sxl* (25). However, Tdrd5l is also expressed in differentiating germ cells in both

males and females ((25), and Fig 1). This suggests that Tdrd5l might promote male identity in

early germ cells and germline stem cells but also regulate aspects of germline differentiation in

both sexes. One process in the female germline that relies heavily on post-transcriptional

regulation of RNAs is the production and transport of maternal RNAs from nurse cells into the

oocyte.

Maternal contribution of RNAs, proteins, and organelles is conserved from flies through

vertebrates (59,60), and supports embryonic patterning and embryogenesis prior to activation

of the zygotic genome and beyond. In *Drosophila*, maternal RNAs are transcribed in the nurse

cells, and are post-transcriptionally silenced to prevent their translation during transport to the

oocyte and prior to the specific time they should be activated. The cytoplasmic polyadenylation

element binding protein (CPEB) Orb is known to activate translation of maternal RNAs such as

osk and *grk* in the oocyte (43,44,61). Like other maternal RNAs, *orb* is transcribed in nurse cells

and transported to the oocyte where it is translated. Interestingly, Orb/CPEB homologs are

critical for regulating translation of maternal RNAs in vertebrate systems as well (62).

We observed defects in expression of Orb, Grk and Osk proteins in *Tdrd5l* mutants (Fig 5

and Fig 6). We saw ectopic accumulation of both Orb and Grk in nurse cells (Fig 5D and Fig 6B),

while Osk protein was sometimes observed in the middle of the oocyte instead of its normal

location at the posterior pole (Fig 5H). We also observed maternal-effect lethality of embryos

from *Tdrd5l*-mutant mothers that included dorsal appendage defects consistent with Grk's role

in dorsal-ventral patterning. One possible explanation for all of these phenotypes is a failure of

Orb to be properly repressed in nurse cells. Ectopic Orb translation in nurse cells can cause

improper activation of Grk translation in these cells (44), and this might also lead to insufficient

translation of Grk in the oocyte. Early Grk expression at the posterior pole of the oocyte is

necessary for proper posterior determination and defects in this process can lead to disruption

of *osk* mRNA and protein localization to the posterior pole (19,21) as observed in *Tdrd5l*

mutants (Fig 5L). Further, impaired Grk expression in the dorsal-anterior corner of the oocyte

can cause problems in specification of dorsal follicle cells, and defects in dorsal appendage

formation and dorsal-ventral patterning of the embryo. Thus, one primary defect in *Tdrd5l*

mutants could be a failure to properly repress *orb* translation in the nurse cells. In agreement

with this possibility we see a partial rescue of the *Tdrd5l* egg-laying defect when *orb* function is

compromised (Fig 6).

Other factors have been implicated in repressing *orb* translation in nurse cells, including

FMR1, the Drosophila homolog of Fragile X mental retardation protein (63), and Cup, a

translational repressor (64). Mutations in both *FMR1* and *cup* cause Orb accumulation in nurse

cells similar to what we observe in *Tdrd5l* mutants. One intriguing hypothesis is that the Tdrd5l

body in nurse cells is a site where mRNAs such as *orb* get marked for translational repression by

factors such as FMR and Cup. Since the nuage and nuage-related material in flies, worms and

mice all function in small RNA regulatory pathways, the marking of mRNAs like *orb* in the Tdrd5l

granule may also involve such regulatory RNAs.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks and CRISPR tagging

Fly stocks used in this paper were obtained from the Bloomington stock center unless

otherwise noted. *Nos*-gal4 (65), *twin* RNAi(BDSC# 32901), *Dcp1* RNAi(BDSC# 67874), *mcherry*

RNAi(BDSC# 35785), *orb* RNAi(BDSC# 43143), Vasa-Cas9(BDSC# 56552), piggyBac (BDSC#

32070), Kin-LacZ (T. Schupbach) . *Tdrd5l* mutant alleles were generated previously by our lab

(25). *Tdrd5l*:GFP and *Tdrd5l*:FLAG alleles were generated using CRISPR as described by the fly

CRISPR group (66). The GFP sequence followed by a linker sequence was inserted in place of the

Tdrd5l start codon. The internal flag construct contains a 3x FLAG sequence flanked by linkers in

the middle of exon 3 following K225. The GFP and FLAG donor plasmids and pU6 gRNA plasmid

were obtained from the *Drosophila* genetics resources center and were stock numbers 1365,

1367, 1363 respectively.

Immunofluorescence and antibody generation

All gonads were dissected, and fixed, and stained as previously published (67). All images were

taken on a Zeiss LSM 700, LSM 800 with airyscan detector (when noted), or LSM 980 with

airyscan detector (when noted). Primary antibodies used were Guinea pig anti-Tdrd5l 1:10,000

(this paper), rat anti-HA 1:100 (Roche Cat # 3F10), rabbit anti- Vasa 1:10,000 (R, Lehman),

Guinea pig anti-TJ 1:1000 (M. Van Doren), mouse anti Armadillo 1:100 (DSHB, N2 7A1), Mouse

anti Orb 1:40 (DSHB, 6H4), mouse anti- Gurken 1:20 (DSHB, 1D12), rabbit anti-oskar 1:1000 (A.

Ephrussi), rat anti-Ago3 1:500 (T. Kai), mouse anti-Aub 1:1000 (MC. Siomi). Secondary

antibodies were used at 1:500 (Goat anti-rabbit alexa-fluor 546 Cat # A11011, Goat anti-rabbit

alexa-fluor 488 Cat # A11034, Goat anti-mouse alexa-fluor 488 Cat # A11029, Goat anti-mouse

alexa-fluor 546 Cat # A11004, Goat anti-mouse alexa-fluor 633 Cat # A21050, Goat anti-rat

alexa-fluor 488 Cat # A11006. Goat anti-rat alexa-fluor 633 Cat # A21094, Goat anti-guinea pig

alexa-fluor 488 Cat # A11073, Goat anti-guinea pig alexa-fluor 633 Cat # A21105). Samples were

stained in DAPI solution and mounted in DABCO.

Quantification of nuage association

To quantify the association of Tdrd5l granules with Vasa, we calculated the number of Tdrd5l

granules in the 8 and 16 cell cysts that localized either to the nuclear periphery (perinuclear) or

to the cytoplasm. For each group we then calculated the number of Tdrd5l granules that are

directly adjacent to Vasa granules, co-localize with Vasa, or do not associate with Vasa at all

Egg lay assays

Trans-heterozygous *Tdrd5l* mutant females and control females were aged 7days with *OregonR*

males. Individual females and males were placed in condos on grape juice plates with wet yeast

paste for 24hrs, and then taken off the plate. The number of eggs laid and those with dorsal

appendage defects were counted for each female. At 48hrs these counts were done again to

count how many had hatched.

Genetic interaction assays

UAS-RNAi lines were crossed to Nos-gal4 for controls or *Tdrd5l^{Q5};;nos-gal4* for mutants. *twin*

and *Dcp1* were knocked down using a UASp-shRNAi driven in the germline by nos-gal4 in a

wildtype background and in a *Tdrd5l-* background. female progeny were heterozygous (*Tdrd5l/*

+), Progeny were aged 5-10days then dissected immunostained as described above using anti-

vasa, anti-TJ, anti-Arm and DAPI.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

FISH for *gurken* and *oskar* were conducted as published by the Berg lab (68). cDNA clones 2169

and 7305 for probes were obtained from the Drosophila Genetics Resource Center.

RNA sequencing

For testis RNA sequencing, Testes were dissected from 5d old males, RNA was prepped using

RNA-Bee(Tel-test) 3 biological replicated were used for each genotype. Libraries were prepped

using a previously established protocol (69). 100bp paired end sequencing was conducted by

the Johns Hopkins Genetics Resources Core facility

For ovary RNA sequencing, ovaries were dissected from virgin females, and 3 biological

replicates were dissected per genotype. RNA was prepped using The Direct-zol RNA micro prep

kit (Zymogen). Library construction and 100bp paired end sequencing was conducted by the

Johns Hopkins Genetics Resources Core facility.

Read quality for both the ovary and testis RNAseq data was determined using the fastQC kit.

Reads were mapped using Tophat and HTSeq to the *Drosophila* genome using Ensemble release

BDGP6. Differential gene expression analysis was conducted using DESeq.

Differential transposon analysis was carried out as previously (70). Briefly, RNAseq reads were

mapped to the FlyBase r6.46 genome using Hisat2 (-k 1 --rna-strandness RF --dta) (PMID:

488 35266522, PMID: 25751142). Read counts for transposons were determined based on their

489 genomic locations according to the UCSC RepeatMasker track and read counts were analyzed

490 with HTSeq-count (PMID: 29106570, PMID: 25260700). Differential expression analysis of

491 transposons was determined with DESeq2 (pAdjustMethod = 'fdr')(PMID: 25516281).

493 Strains and plasmids are available upon request. The authors affirm that all data necessary for

494 confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables.

497 **Acknowledgements**
498

499 We thank the *Drosophila* community, the Bloomington stock center, DRGC, and Developmental

500 Studies Hybridoma Bank for stocks and reagents. We would also like to thank the Chou lab for

501 Dcp1-YFP flies, as well as Trudi Schupbach and Liz Gavis for reagents and helpful discussion on

502 this work. We thank members of the Johns Hopkins community; the Johnston lab, and John Kim

503 for helpful discussion as well as Fred Tan for help with bioinformatics. This work was supported

504 by NIH grant R21HD101781 to MVD.

505
506

508 **Figure legend**

509 **Figure 1: Tdrd5l localizes to cytoplasmic bodies in the *Drosophila* germline**
510 (A-G) immunofluorescence of adult gonads using an endogenously FLAG-tagged allele of *Tdrd5l*.
511 Panels B, D and F are higher magnification images of panels A, C and E, respectively. Antibodies
512 used are as indicated in the figure. Scale bars = 5 microns. A,B) Adult testes. Note that Tdrd5l

localizes to punctae or "bodies" in germ cells. Examples of small bodies are marked by white
arrow heads and examples of large bodies are marked by yellow arrowheads. GSCs are
indicated by brackets. C,D) Adult ovaries. A germarium is indicated by the dashed line in C.
Tdrd5l localizes to bodies in the germline similar to those observed in testes but are not
observed in female GSCs (brackets). E,F) Stage 7 egg chamber. Tdrd5l localizes to cytoplasmic
bodies in both nurse cells (white arrow) and oocyte (yellow arrow). G) Immunofluorescence of
an adult testis using an LSM 980 with an airyscan detector. Note the localization of FLAG-Tdrd5l
to the periphery of the body.
521
Figure 2: Tdrd5l localizes to a novel germline body
(A-D) Immunofluorescence of adult testes using endogenously FLAG-tagged *Tdrd5l* compared to
markers for known RNA granules as indicated in figure. Examples of Tdrd5l bodies are marked
with yellow arrows. A) Immunostaining of gonads for Vasa-positive nuage shows that Vasa
staining is observed adjacent to, but not within, Tdrd5l bodies. Scale bar = 0.5 micron. (B,C)
Immunostaining for Aub (B) or Ago3 (C) and Tdrd5l shows a similar relationship as Tdrd5l and
Vasa, with Nuage factors being adjacent to, but not within, Tdrd5l bodies. D) Immunostaining of
endogenously YFP-tagged Dcp1 and Tdrd5l bodies similarly shows punctae of Dcp1-YFP
adjacent to, but not within, Tdrd5l bodies. Scale bars in B-D equal 1 micron.
531
Figure 3: *Tdrd5l* genetically interacts with post-transcriptional gene regulatory factors
(A-D) Immunofluorescence of adult ovaries with genotypes and antibodies as indicated in
figure. Fertility status of flies is marked in the top right corner of each panel. (A,B) *nos>twin*
RNAi in the germline of wildtype (A) or *Tdrd5l*$^{\Delta Q5/+}$ heterozygous (B) females. *nos>twin* females
(A) have normal ovaries and are fertile while germline development and egg chamber
production is severely compromised in *nos>twin, Tdrd5l*$^{\Delta Q5/+}$ females (B) and these animals are
sterile. (C,D) *nos>Dcp1* RNAi in the germline of wildtype (C) or *Tdrd5l*$^{\Delta Q5/+}$ heterozygous (D)
females. *nos>dcp1* RNAi females have normal ovaries and are fertile while germ cells are almost
completely absent from *nos>Dcp1, Tdrd5l*$^{\Delta Q5/+}$ females (D) and these animals are sterile.
541
Figure 4: *Tdrd5l* is important for proper egg development
(A) Quantification of hatch rate of eggs laid during single female fecundity assays. Eggs laid by
control females (Cas9 ^{iso}) are represented by blue dots and eggs laid by *Tdrd5l*-mutant females
(Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$) are represented by orange dots. B) Quantification of dorsal appendage defects
observed in eggs laid during single female fecundity assays. Eggs laid by control females
(Cas9^{iso}) are represented by blue dots, and eggs laid by *Tdrd5l*-mutant (*Tdrd5l*$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$) females
are indicated by orange dots. (C-F) Examples of dorsal appendages quantified in B. (C) Example
of an egg laid by a *Tdrd5l* mutant female with normal dorsal appendages. (D-F) Examples of
eggs laid by *Tdrd5l*- females with dorsal appendage defects.
551
552
Figure 5: *Tdrd5l* mutants exhibit premature accumulation of maternal proteins.
(A-H) Immunofluorescence of proteins produced by maternally deposited RNAs using
antibodies as indicated in wildtype (Cas9^{iso}) and *Tdrd5l*- (*Tdrd5l*$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$) ovaries. (A-D)

immunostaining for Grk protein. Grk protein localizes to the dorsal anterior corner of both
wildtype (A) and *Tdrd5l-* (B) oocytes in stage 9 egg chambers as marked by the white
arrowheads. Grk protein is absent from wildtype nurse cells (C) but is present in *Tdrd5l*-mutant
nurse cells (D). Examples of individual Stage 7-8 egg chambers are outlined and enlarged in
insets. (E-H) Immunostaining for Osk protein. Osk protein is localized to the posterior of both
wild type (E) and *Tdrd5l-* (F) Stage 9-10 oocytes as marked by yellow arrowheads. (G) Osk
protein is absent from stage 7 wildtype nurse cells and oocytes. However, Osk protein is
observed in the center of 77% stage 7 *Tdrd5l-* oocytes. Oocytes are outlined by dashed yellow
line in F and G. (I-L) FISH for maternally deposited RNAs as indicated in wildtype (Cas9^{iso}) and
Tdrd5l mutant (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) ovaries. (I,J) FISH for *grk* mRNA. *grk* mRNA is localized to the
dorsal anterior corner of oocytes in stage 9 egg chambers in both wildtype (I) and *Tdrd5l*
mutants (J). The dorsal anterior corner is marked by an asterix. (K,L) FISH for *osk* mRNA. *osk*
mRNA is localized to the posterior of wildtype stage 7-8 oocytes (K) but is localized throughout
the oocyte in 31% of *Tdrd5l* mutants. Oocytes are marked by white arrowheads
570
571
Figure 6: Tdrd5l represses Orb translation
(A-B) Immunofluorescence of Orb in wildtype (Cas9^{iso}) and *Tdrd5l* mutant (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*)
ovaries. Scale bars = 5 microns. A) Orb protein expression is detected at high levels in wildtype
oocytes. (A') boxed area is enlarged in (A''). Orb protein expression is detected at high levels in
both oocytes and nurse cells of *Tdrd5l-* ovaries(B). B') boxed area is enlarged in (B''). C)
Quantification of egg laid by females from an *Orb/Tdrd5l* genetic interaction assay. Fewer eggs
are laid by *Tdrd5l-* (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) females than by *Tdrd5l-* mutant females transheterozygous
for an *orb*^{F343} allele (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$;; Orb$^{F343/+}$*) . Note that eggs laid by transheterozygous flies are
at a similar level to eggs laid by wildtype (OregonR) flies. P-value $\leq$ 0.01 is denoted by **. P-
value $\leq$ 0.001 is denoted by ***.
582
Supplemental Figure 1: Tdrd5l localization to cytoplasmic bodies in the *Drosophila* germline is
dependent on the N-terminus.
(A) Schematic of the *Tdrd5l* gene locus, insertion sites for endogenous tags are marked as N-
Term tag, Internal tag, and C-Term tag. Antibody was raised against the epitope marked by the
purple line. (B-D) Immunofluorescence of adult gonads expressing endogenous Tdrd5l N-
terminally tagged by GFP. Antibodies used as indicated. (B) Tdrd5l-GFP expression in ovaries
heterozygous for *GFP-Tdrd5l/untagged-Tdrd5l*. Note the localization of GFP-Tdrd5l to
cytoplasmic bodies. (C) GFP expression in ovaries homozygous for GFP-Tdrd5l. Note the lack of
GFP signal. (D) GFP expression in males hemizygous for GFP-Tdrd5l (*GFP-Tdrd5l/Y*). Note the
lack of GFP signal. The testis is outlined by a white dotted line in (D').
593
594 **Supplemental Figure 2: Validation of Tdrd5l antibody**
595   (A-B) Immunofluorescence of the anti-Tdrd5l antibody in adult testes using antibodies as
596 indicated. Tdrd5l expression is detected in wildtype testes (Oregon R) where the antibody
597 recognizes Tdrd5l bodies (A). Tdrd5l expression was not detected in *Tdrd5l-* (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4}$*) testes
598 (B).
599

Supplemental Figure 3: Tdrd5l expression is higher in males than females

A-B) Immunofluorescence of internal FLAG-Tdrd5l using the same confocal settings to image male and female gonads. FLAG-Tdrd5l is expressed at higher levels in testes (A) compared to Tdrd5l-FLAG staining in ovaries (B) when imaged with the same confocal settings. Note the localization of Tdrd5l to cytoplasmic bodies in both ovaries and testes.

Supplemental Figure 4: Tdrd5l localizes to an unknown body

(A) Quantification of Tdrd5l body association with Vasa stained nuage. Red bars represent cytoplasmic Tdrd5l bodies while blue bars represent perinuclear Tdrd5l bodies. Bodies were classified as localizing adjacent to Vasa as in (B), overlapping with Vasa, or having no association with Vasa. (B) Example of a cytoplasmic Tdrd5l body visualized using an internal FLAG tagged allele of Tdrd5l localized next to Vasa-positive granules. (C) Immunofluorescence of FLAG-Tdrd5l and HA-SMN. Tdrd5l bodies shown in green and marked by a white arrowhead. SMN positive U-bodies are shown in magenta and marked by a yellow arrowhead. Note Tdrd5l bodies do not co-localize with SMN positive U-bodies.

Supplemental Figure 5: Tdrd5l does not repress transposon expression

(A-B) Differential expression analysis of transposons in *Tdrd5l*- gonads (Females = *Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*, Males = *Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4}$/Y*) compared to wt. Each dot signifies a single transposon gene. Blue dotes are down-regulated genes, red dotes are upregulated-genes, and black dots are transposons with no change in expression levels. A) RNA sequencing of *Tdrd5l* mutant ovaries compared to wildtype (Cas9^{iso}) ovaries showed no de-repression of transposon expression. B) RNA sequencing of *Tdrd5l* mutant testes compared to wildtype (Cas9^{iso}) testes showed no global changes in transposon expression.

Supplemental Figure 6: Tdrd5l is important for proper egg development

(A-B) Quantification of fecundity assays performed using single female egg lay assays. (A) Eggs laid per *Tdrd5l*- (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) female (orange dots) compared to control (Cas9^{iso}) females (blue dots). Note the decreased number of eggs laid by *Tdrd5l*-mutants. (B) Number of unhatched embryos with dorsal appendage defects per *Tdrd5l*- (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) female (orange dots) compared to wt control (Cas9^{iso}, blue dots). Note that the % of unhatched embryos with Dorsal Appendage defects is similar to the % of ALL embryos with Dorsal Appendage defects (Fig 4B), indicating that other defects in addition to D/V patterning defects affect viability of embryos from *Tdrd5l*-mutant mothers.

Supplemental figure 7: Tdrd5l regulates maternally deposited RNAs

(A-C) Quantification of changes in maternal RNA expression in *Tdrd5l*- (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) ovaries compared to control (Cas9^{iso}) ovaries. Maternally deposited RNAs are defined as RNAs found in 0-2hr embryos from modENCODE. In each pie chart the share of maternal RNAs is shown in magenta, while the share of non-maternal RNAs is shown in yellow. (A) 23% of all genes in the genome are maternally deposited. (B) 30% of genes differentially expressed in *Tdrd5l*-mutant (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) ovaries are maternally deposited. (C) 90% of genes with a greater than 2-fold

643 change in gene expression in *Tdrd5l*-mutant (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) ovaries are maternally deposited.
644 (D) 25% of RNAs pulled down in a previously published BicD/Egl RIP-seq data set are
645 upregulated in *Tdrd5l*-mutant (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$*) ovaries.
646
647

Supplemental figure 8: Kinesin mis-localizes in *Tdrd5l*-mutant oocytes
(A-D) Immunofluorescence of a Kin-LacZ reporter in developing ooctyes. Kin-LacZ expression is
localized to the poster of the oocyte in wildtype (Kin-LacZ) stage 9 egg chambers (A) as marked
by a white arrowhead and in wildtype (Kin-LacZ) stage 10 egg chambers (B) as marked by a
yellow arrowhead. Kin-LacZ expression is not tightly localized to the posterior of the oocyte in
Tdrd5l-mutant (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$* ;; *Kin-LacZ*) stage 9 egg chambers (C) as marked by a white
arrowhead, or in *Tdrd5l*-mutant (*Tdrd5l$^{\Delta M4/\Delta Q5}$* ;; *Kin-LacZ*) stage 10 egg chambers (D) as marked
by a yellow arrowhead. (E) Quantification of observations in A-D. Quantifications of stage 9 egg
chambers are in the yellow portion of the graph. Quantifications of stage 10 egg chambers are
in the pink portion of the graph. *Tdrd5l* mutants are represented by orange bars, and controls
are represented by blue bars. Each bar represents the percentage of egg chambers analyzed for
that stage. N is noted inside each bar.
660
661

662 **Supplemental table 1: Male RNAseq**
663
664 **Supplemental table 2: Female RNAseq**
665
666

References

1. Pek JW, Anand A, Kai T. Tudor domain proteins in development. Development. 2012 Jul
1;139(13):2255–66.

2. Boswell RE, Mahowald AP. tudor, a gene required for assembly of the germ plasm in
Drosophila melanogaster. Cell. 1985 Nov 1;43(1):97–104.

3. Chuma S, Hosokawa M, Kitamura K, Kasai S, Fujioka M, Hiyoshi M, et al. Tdrd1/Mtr-1, a
tudor-related gene, is essential for male germ-cell differentiation and nuage/germinal
granule formation in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006 Oct 24;103(43):15894–9.

4. Brahms H, Meheus L, De Brabandere V, Fischer U, Lührmann R. Symmetrical dimethylation
of arginine residues in spliceosomal Sm protein B/B⊠ and the Sm-like protein LSm4, and
their interaction with the SMN protein. RNA. 2001 Nov;7(11):1531–42.

5. Friesen WJ, Massenet S, Paushkin S, Wyce A, Dreyfuss G. SMN, the Product of the Spinal
Muscular Atrophy Gene, Binds Preferentially to Dimethylarginine-Containing Protein
Targets. Mol Cell. 2001 May 25;7(5):1111–7.

6.   Handler D, Olivieri D, Novatchkova M, Gruber FS, Meixner K, Mechtler K, et al. A
systematic analysis of Drosophila TUDOR domain-containing proteins identifies Vreteno
and the Tdrd12 family as essential primary piRNA pathway factors. EMBO J. 2011 Oct
5;30(19):3977–93.

7.   Tauber D, Tauber G, Parker R. Mechanisms and Regulation of RNA Condensation in RNP
Granule Formation. Trends Biochem Sci. 2020 Sep;45(9):764–78.

8.   Bardsley A, McDonald K, Boswell RE. Distribution of tudor protein in the Drosophila
embryo suggests separation of functions based on site of localization. Dev Camb Engl.
1993 Sep;119(1):207–19.

9.   Chuma S, Hiyoshi M, Yamamoto A, Hosokawa M, Takamune K, Nakatsuji N. Mouse Tudor
Repeat-1 (MTR-1) is a novel component of chromatoid bodies/nuages in male germ cells
and forms a complex with snRNPs. Mech Dev. 2003 Sep 1;120(9):979–90.

10.   Patil VS, Kai T. Repression of Retroelements in Drosophila Germline via piRNA Pathway by
the Tudor Domain Protein Tejas. Curr Biol. 2010 Apr 27;20(8):724–30.

11.   Brennecke J, Aravin AA, Stark A, Dus M, Kellis M, Sachidanandam R, et al. Discrete Small
RNA-Generating Loci as Master Regulators of Transposon Activity in Drosophila. Cell. 2007
Mar 23;128(6):1089–103.

12.   Balagopal V, Parker R. Polysomes, P bodies and stress granules: states and fates of
eukaryotic mRNAs. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2009 Jun 1;21(3):403–8.

13.   Behm-Ansmant I, Rehwinkel J, Doerks T, Stark A, Bork P, Izaurralde E. mRNA degradation
by miRNAs and GW182 requires both CCR4:NOT deadenylase and DCP1:DCP2 decapping
complexes. Genes Dev. 2006 Jul 15;20(14):1885–98.

14.   Liu J, Valencia-Sanchez MA, Hannon GJ, Parker R. MicroRNA-dependent localization of
targeted mRNAs to mammalian P-bodies. Nat Cell Biol. 2005 Jul;7(7):719–23.

15.   Dodson AE, Kennedy S. Phase Separation in Germ Cells and Development. Dev Cell. 2020
Oct 12;55(1):4–17.

16.   Nakamura A, Amikura R, Hanyu K, Kobayashi S. Me31B silences translation of oocyte-
localizing RNAs through the formation of cytoplasmic RNP complex during Drosophila
oogenesis. Development. 2001 Sep 1;128(17):3233–42.

17.   Johnston DS, Nüsslein-Volhard C. The origin of pattern and polarity in the Drosophila
embryo. Cell. 1992 Jan 24;68(2):201–19.

18.   Becalska AN, Gavis ER. Lighting up mRNA localization in Drosophila oogenesis. Dev Camb
Engl. 2009 Aug;136(15):2493–503.

19.   González-Reyes A, Elliott H, St Johnston D. Polarization of both major body axes in
Drosophila by gurken-torpedo signalling. Nature. 1995 Jun;375(6533):654–8.

20.   Neuman-Silberberg FS, Sch T. The Drosophila Dorsoventral Patterning Gene gurken
Produces a Dorsally Localized RNA and Encodes a TGF(-like Protein. 1993;10.

21.   Roth S, Neuman-Silberberg FS, Barcelo G, Schüpbach T. cornichon and the EGF receptor
signaling process are necessary for both anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral pattern
formation in Drosophila. Cell. 1995 Jun 16;81(6):967–78.

22.   Ephrussi A, Dickinson LK, Lehmann R. oskar organizes the germ plasm and directs
localization of the posterior determinant nanos. Cell. 1991 Jul 12;66(1):37–50.

23.   Wang C, Lehmann R. Nanos is the localized posterior determinant in Drosophila. Cell. 1991
Aug;66(4):637–47.

24.   Driever W, Nüsslein-Volhard C. The bicoid protein determines position in the Drosophila
embryo in a concentration-dependent manner. Cell. 1988 Jul 1;54(1):95–104.

25.   Primus S, Pozmanter C, Baxter K, Doren MV. Tudor-domain containing protein 5-like
promotes male sexual identity in the Drosophila germline and is repressed in females by
Sex lethal. PLOS Genet. 2019 Jul 22;15(7):e1007617.

26.   Yabuta Y, Ohta H, Abe T, Kurimoto K, Chuma S, Saitou M. TDRD5 is required for
retrotransposon silencing, chromatoid body assembly, and spermiogenesis in mice. J Cell
Biol. 2011 Mar 7;192(5):781–95.

27.   Jeske M, Müller CW, Ephrussi A. The LOTUS domain is a conserved DEAD-box RNA helicase
regulator essential for the recruitment of Vasa to the germ plasm and nuage. Genes Dev.
2017 May 1;31(9):939–52.

28.   Bopp D, Horabin JI, Lersch RA, Cline TW, Schedl P. Expression of the Sex-lethal gene is
controlled at multiple levels during Drosophila oogenesis. :16.

29.   Lim AK, Kai T. Unique germ-line organelle, nuage, functions to repress selfish genetic
elements in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2007 Apr 17;104(16):6714–9.

30.   Pane A, Wehr K, Schüpbach T. zucchini and squash encode two putative nucleases
required for rasiRNA production in the Drosophila germline. Dev Cell. 2007 Jun;12(6):851–
62.

31.   Soper SFC, van der Heijden GW, Hardiman TC, Goodheart M, Martin SL, de Boer P, et al.
Mouse maelstrom, a component of nuage, is essential for spermatogenesis and
transposon repression in meiosis. Dev Cell. 2008 Aug;15(2):285–97.

32.   Pan J, Goodheart M, Chuma S, Nakatsuji N, Page DC, Wang PJ. RNF17, a component of the
747   mammalian germ cell nuage, is essential for spermiogenesis. Development. 2005 Sep
748   15;132(18):4029–39.

749   33.   Liang L, Diehl-Jones W, Lasko P. Localization of vasa protein to the Drosophila pole plasm is
750   independent of its RNA-binding and helicase activities. Development. 1994 May
751   1;120(5):1201–11.

752   34.   Liu JL, Gall JG. U bodies are cytoplasmic structures that contain uridine-rich small nuclear
753   ribonucleoproteins and associate with P bodies. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2007 Jul
754   10;104(28):11655–9.

755   35.   Parker R, Sheth U. P Bodies and the Control of mRNA Translation and Degradation. Mol
756   Cell. 2007 Mar 9;25(5):635–46.

757   36.   Morris JZ, Hong A, Lilly MA, Lehmann R. twin, a CCR4 homolog, regulates cyclin poly(A) tail
758   length to permit Drosophila oogenesis. Development. 2005 Mar 15;132(6):1165–74.

759   37.   Graveley BR, Brooks AN, Carlson JW, Duff MO, Landolin JM, Yang L, et al. The
760   Developmental Transcriptome of Drosophila melanogaster. Nature. 2011 Mar
761   24;471(7339):473–9.

762   38.   Vazquez-Pianzola P, Schaller B, Colombo M, Beuchle D, Neuenschwander S, Marcil A, et al.
763   The mRNA transportome of the BicD/Egl transport machinery. RNA Biol. 2017 Jan
764   2;14(1):73–89.

765   39.   Johnstone O, Lasko P. Translational regulation and RNA localization in Drosophila oocytes
766   and embryos. Annu Rev Genet. 2001;35:365–406.

767   40.   Berg CA. The Drosophila shell game: patterning genes and morphological change. Trends
768   Genet. 2005 Jun 1;21(6):346–55.

769   41.   Zimyanin V, Lowe N, St Johnston D. An Oskar-Dependent Positive Feedback Loop
770   Maintains the Polarity of the Drosophila Oocyte. Curr Biol. 2007 Feb 20;17(4):353–9.

771   42.   Chang JS, Tan L, Wolf MR, Schedl P. Functioning of the Drosophila orb gene in gurken
772   mRNA localization and translation. Development. 2001 Aug 15;128(16):3169–77.

773   43.   Norvell A, Wong J, Randolph K, Thompson L. Wispy and Orb cooperate in the cytoplasmic
774   polyadenylation of localized gurken mRNA. Dev Dyn. 2015;244(10):1276–85.

775   44.   Davidson A, Parton RM, Rabouille C, Weil TT, Davis I. Localized Translation of gurken/TGF-
776   α mRNA during Axis Specification Is Controlled by Access to Orb/CPEB on Processing
777   Bodies. Cell Rep. 2016 Mar 15;14(10):2451–62.

45.   Kibanov MV, Egorova KS, Ryazansky SS, Sokolova OA, Kotov AA, Olenkina OM, et al. A
novel organelle, the piNG-body, in the nuage of Drosophila male germ cells is associated
with piRNA-mediated gene silencing. Mol Biol Cell. 2011 Jul 20;22(18):3410–9.

46.   Hay B, Ackerman L, Barbel S, Jan LY, Jan YN. Identification of a component of Drosophila
polar granules. :16.

47.   Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Schwarz H, Nüsslein-Volhard C. A Sponge-like Structure Involved in
the Association and Transport of Maternal Products during Drosophila Oogenesis. J Cell
Biol. 1997 Nov 3;139(3):817–29.

48.   Mahowald AP. Fine structure of pole cells and polar granules in Drosophila melanogaster. J
Exp Zool. 1962;151(3):201–15.

49.   Lasko PF, Ashburner M. Posterior localization of vasa protein correlates with, but is not
sufficient for, pole cell development. Genes Dev. 1990 Jun;4(6):905–21.

50.   Ouyang JPT, Seydoux G. Nuage condensates: accelerators or circuit breakers for sRNA
silencing pathways? RNA N Y N. 2022 Jan;28(1):58–66.

51.   Sundby AE, Molnar RI, Claycomb JM. Connecting the Dots: Linking Caenorhabditis elegans
Small RNA Pathways and Germ Granules. Trends Cell Biol. 2021 May;31(5):387–401.

52.   Gruidl ME, Smith PA, Kuznicki KA, McCrone JS, Kirchner J, Roussell DL, et al. Multiple
potential germ-line helicases are components of the germ-line-specific P granules of
Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1996 Nov 26;93(24):13837–42.

53.   Batista PJ, Ruby JG, Claycomb JM, Chiang R, Fahlgren N, Kasschau KD, et al. PRG-1 and
21U-RNAs interact to form the piRNA complex required for fertility in C. elegans. Mol Cell.
2008 Jul 11;31(1):67–78.

54.   Wang G, Reinke V. A C. elegans Piwi, PRG-1, regulates 21U-RNAs during spermatogenesis.
Curr Biol CB. 2008 Jun 24;18(12):861–7.

55.   Ding D, Liu J, Midic U, Wu Y, Dong K, Melnick A, et al. TDRD5 binds piRNA precursors and
selectively enhances pachytene piRNA processing in mice. Nat Commun. 2018 Jan
9;9(1):127.

56.   Sun YH, Jiang F, Li XZ. Disruption of Tdrd5 decouples the stepwise processing of long
precursor transcripts during pachytene PIWI-interacting RNA biogenesis. Biol Reprod. 2018
Oct 1;99(4):684–5.

57.   Deng S, Wang J, Ma E, Zhang J, Xing S. TDRD5 Is Required for Spermatogenesis and
Oogenesis in Locusta migratoria. Insects. 2022 Feb 24;13(3):227.

58.　Bult CJ, Blake JA, Smith CL, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Mouse Genome Database Group.
Mouse Genome Database (MGD) 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Jan 8;47(D1):D801–6.

59.　Hutchison CA, Newbold JE, Potter SS, Edgell MH. Maternal inheritance of mammalian
mitochondrial DNA. Nature. 1974 Oct;251(5475):536–8.

60.　Wang QT, Piotrowska K, Ciemerych MA, Milenkovic L, Scott MP, Davis RW, et al. A
Genome-Wide Study of Gene Activity Reveals Developmental Signaling Pathways in the
Preimplantation Mouse Embryo. Dev Cell. 2004 Jan 1;6(1):133–44.

61.　Castagnetti S, Ephrussi A. Orb and a long poly(A) tail are required for efficient oskar
translation at the posterior pole of the Drosophila oocyte. Development. 2003 Mar
1;130(5):835–43.

62.　Richter JD. CPEB: a life in translation. Trends Biochem Sci. 2007 Jun;32(6):279–85.

63.　Costa A, Wang Y, Dockendorff TC, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Schedl P, et al. The
Drosophila Fragile X Protein Functions as a Negative Regulator in the orb Autoregulatory
Pathway. Dev Cell. 2005 Mar 1;8(3):331–42.

64.　Wong LC, Schedl P. Cup Blocks the Precocious Activation of the Orb Autoregulatory Loop.
PLOS ONE. 2011 Dec 2;6(12):e28261.

65.　Doren MV, Williamson AL, Lehmann R. Regulation of zygotic gene expression in Drosophila
primordial germ cells. Curr Biol. 1998 Feb 12;8(4):243–6.

66.　Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, et al. Highly
specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila.
Genetics. 2014 Apr;196(4):961–71.

67.　Gonczy P, Matunis E, DiNardo S. bag-of-marbles and benign gonial cell neoplasm act in the
germline to restrict proliferation during Drosophila spermatogenesis. Development. 1997
Nov 1;124(21):4361–71.

68.　Zimmerman SG, Peters NC, Altaras AE, Berg CA. Optimized RNA ISH, RNA FISH and protein-
RNA double labeling (IF/FISH) in Drosophila ovaries. Nat Protoc. 2013 Nov;8(11):2158–79.

69.　Zhang Z, Theurkauf WE, Weng Z, Zamore PD. Strand-specific libraries for high throughput
RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) prepared without poly(A) selection. Silence. 2012 Dec
28;3(1):9.

70.　Benner L, Castro EA, Whitworth C, Venken KJT, Yang H, Fang J, et al. Drosophila
Heterochromatin Stabilization Requires the Zinc-Finger Protein Small Ovary. Genetics.
2019 Nov;213(3):877–95.

Figure 1

FLAG:Tdrd5l VASA DAPI

Figure 3

wildtype   Tdrd5l-/+

twin RNAi

A   Fertile   B   Sterile

dcp1 RNAi

C   Fertile   D   Sterile

TJ Vasa Arm DAPI

Figure 4

A   **Hatch rate**

percent of eggs hatched

2.997e-007

Control   tdrd5l-

N = 16   N = 17

Genotype

B   **Dorsal appendage defects**

% of eggs with defects

1.257e-012

control   tdrd5l-

N = 17   N = 17

Genotype

C   D   E   F

Figure 5

Figure 6

wildtype

A A′ A″

Orb Orb

tdrd5l mutants

B B′ B″

Orb Orb

orb DAPI

C

**

***

ns ns ns

Number of eggs laid per female

Tdrd5l^{M4}/Tdrd5l^{Q5}
Tdrd5l^{M4}/Tdrd5l^{Q5} ;;TM6b/+
Tdrd5l^{M4}/Tdrd5l^{Q5} ;;Orb^{F343}/+
Oregon R
Orb^{F343}/TM3ser

Supplemental Figure 1

A

N-Term
tag

internal
tag

C-term
tag

Tudor domain   Antibody epitope

GFP-Tdrd5l/+

B   B′

GFP-Tdrd5l/
GFP-Tdrd5l

C   C′

GFP-Tdrd5l/Y

D   D′

GFP-Tdrd5l Vasa DAPI

Tdrd5l, DAPI

FLAG:Tdrd5l VASA DAPI

Supplementary figure 4

A

Eggs laid by single females

B **unhatched with Dorsal appendage defects**

Supplementary Figure 7

Whole genome

Differentially
Expressed

Genes that changed
> 2fold

A

B

C

maternal
nonmaternal

Total=17488

Total=1825

Total=428

D   Top 100 from BicD/Egl RIP

up
down
no change

Total=100

Supplementary 8

Stage 9   Stage 10

Wildtype ovary

tdrd5l- ovary

Kin-LacZ DAPI

E

kin-LacZ localization

number of egg chambers per ovary

- Control
- Mutant

phenotype