Development of a fluorescence reporter system to quantify transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 in living cells

Tatsuki Tsuruoka, Emiri Nakayama, Takuya Endo, Shingo Harashima, Rui Kamada, Kazuyasu Sakaguchi,* and Toshiaki Imagawa*

Laboratory of Biological Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

*Corresponding authors.
E-mail addresses: kazuyasu@sci.hokudai.ac.jp (KS), toshiimagawa@nifty.com (TI),

Keywords

p53, cell biology, transcription factor, cell cycle, cell death , signal transduction, fluorescent reporter system, single cell tracking, cellular heterogeneity

Abstract

The tumor suppressor protein p53 plays a central role in the regulation of cellular stress responses by regulating the transcription of multiple target genes. The temporal dynamics of p53 are thought to be important for its function: the temporal dynamics of p53 encode input information and are decoded to induce distinct cellular phenotypes. Although temporal dynamics have attracted attention as a mechanism for robust and efficient signal transduction, it remains unclear to what extent the temporal dynamics of p53 reflect the activity of p53-induced gene expression. In this study, we report a multiplexed reporter system that allows us to visualize the transcriptional activity of p53 at the single cell level. Our reporter system features simple and sensitive observation of the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 relative to the response elements of various p53 target genes. Using this system, we show that the transcriptional activation pattern of p53 exhibits strong cell-to-cell heterogeneity even among genetically identical cells. The transcriptional activation pattern of p53 by etoposide is highly dependent on the cell cycle. Finally, we show that our multiplexed reporter system allows simultaneous visualization of the transcriptional activity of p53 and the cell cycle. Our reporter system can thus be a useful tool for studying biological processes involving the signaling pathway of endogenous p53.

Introduction

The tumor suppressor protein p53 functions as a hub protein for a variety of signaling pathways involved in cellular stress responses (1, 2). The *TP53* gene, which encodes p53, is the most frequently mutated gene in many human malignant tumors, suggesting the potential importance of p53 in suppressing tumorigenesis (3). p53 is known to act as a transcriptional regulator, and to be activated by a variety of post-translational modifications induced by various cellular stresses, including hypoxia, nutrient starvation, and genotoxic stresses such as DNA damage. It is well known that the tetramerization of p53 is essential for p53 activation (4). Activated p53 recognizes and binds to a large number of p53 response elements (*p53RE*s) in the genome, leading to the transcriptional regulation of multiple target genes. In this way, p53 inhibits tumorigenesis by inducing various cellular responses such as apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, and DNA repair (5–7). Previous reports have suggested that there are more than 300 target genes of p53 (8).

In recent years, it has become clear that temporal dynamics plays an important role in intracellular signal transduction (9). In the p53 pathway, the temporal dynamics of p53 is known to play an important role in the regulation of p53-regulated cellular functions. Temporal dynamics of p53 differ depending on the type of cellular stress, inducing distinct cellular responses (10–12). For example, in response to γ-irradiation, p53 shows pulsatile changes in expression levels with a period of about 5 h, resulting in transient cell cycle arrest. On the other hand, UV irradiation causes a sustained upregulation of p53 expression and cell death. It has also been shown that different doses of the same type of stress induce different temporal dynamics of p53 and consequently lead to different cellular phenotypes (11–13). Similar temporal regulation is also observed in other signaling pathways, including the ERK, NF-κB, and NFAT pathways (14–17). Recently, it has been proposed that cells encode input cellular information into the temporal dynamics of signaling molecules and then decode this information into downstream pathways (9). These mechanisms are thought to play a pivotal role in transmitting cellular information

efficiently. However, it has been reported that different cellular responses are induced even though the artificially induced temporal dynamics of p53 expression have the same shape as the natural temporal dynamics (10). This suggests that the expression level of p53 does not necessarily reflect its transcriptional activation level due to other factors such as the post-translational modification (18, 19). Therefore, to understand the decoding process of the p53 signaling pathway, it is highly desirable to develop a system that can visualize the activation status of p53 instead of its expression level in single living cells.

In previous attempts to visualize the activation status of p53 in single living cells, transcriptional assay systems using *p53RE* and endogenous tags based on genome editing technology have been reported (20, 21). The system using tagged target gene products is able to capture actual changes in protein expression. On the other hand, in terms of monitoring the decoding process of signaling factors, transcription reporters have the advantage of directly observing the transcriptional activity in a manner independent of mRNA stability, protein stability, and the translation rate of the protein. The reporter systems that can evaluate p53 tetramer levels, an activated species of p53, have also been reported (22, 23).

In this study, we report a multiplexed reporter system that enables monitoring of the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 at the single cell level with high sensitivity. This reporter system is based on the *p53RE*-based transcriptional activity assay system previously reported in yeast-based functional assay (24, 25). Our reporter system is characterized by an internal standard for the transcriptional activity of p53, and by replacing the *p53RE*, the difference in the *p53RE* in each target gene can be easily and quantitatively evaluated. In addition, by tracking the fluorescence in the nucleus, it is possible to easily observe the temporal change of transcriptional activity for each cell. Analysis using our reporter system revealed that the transcriptional activity of p53 was highly heterogeneous even among genetically identical cells. We also found that the transcriptional activation pattern of p53 under etoposide treatment is cell-cycle dependent. Finally, we demonstrate that the transcriptional activity of p53 can be visualized

simultaneously with other cellular events when used in combination with other reporter systems.

Results

Development of a multiplexed reporter system

We developed a novel reporter system to quantitatively analyze the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 in individual living cells (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1, A and B). This reporter system is based on the underlying mechanism by which p53 recognizes a specific p53 response element (*p53RE*) in the p53 target gene and regulates its transcription. This reporter system enables us to quantify the activation status of p53 by the expression unit of (*p53RE(C3)*)-Cerulean-NLS and the p53-independent constitutive expression unit of (*simian virus 40 promoter (SV40)*)-mCherry-NLS, respectively. The reporter system can also monitor the transcriptional activity of p53 against the endogenous *p53RE* derived from the *CDKN1A* gene by the expression unit of the (*p53RE(CDKN1A)*)-Venus-NLS. We call these reporters (*C3*)-Cerulean, (*SV40*)-mCherry, and (*CDKN1A*)-Venus, respectively. Since a NLS is attached to the C-terminus of the fluorescent protein, the expression level of each reporter can be evaluated by quantifying the fluorescence intensity in the nucleus. These reporter genes were knocked into the *AAVS1* site of human lung adenocarcinoma cell line A549 (p53 wild type) by sequence-specific cleavage with CRISPR/Cas9 and site-specific recombination. The *AAVS1* site was selected as a knock-in site because it is an open chromatin region and is less susceptible to repression of gene expression (26). Another advantage of using the *AAVS1* site is that the copy number of the reporter gene is expected to be the same in all cells. These features of the *AAVS1* site allowed us to quantitatively capture the transcriptional activity of p53. The fluorescent proteins selected for inclusion in the reporters are suitable for precisely monitoring transcriptional activity because of their high brightness and rapid chromophore formation (27–29). The fluorescence spectra of the three fluorescent proteins do not overlap in the observed wavelength range, so there are no effects from leakage into the other fluorescent channels.

We characterized the properties of the novel reporter system as follows. Etoposide was used as a genotoxic stressor because etoposide induces DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) by inhibiting

topoisomerase II, which activates the p53-mediated DNA damage responses (12, 30). When the reporter cells were treated with etoposide, the fluorescence intensity of *(C3)*-Cerulean and *(CDKN1A)*-Venus, both of which represent p53-dependent transcriptional activity, significantly increased, while the fluorescence intensity of *(SV40)*-mCherry, which represents p53-independent transcriptional activity, did not change (Fig. 1B). The fluorescence intensity of *(C3)*-Cerulean and *(CDKN1A)*-Venus showed large distribution after the etoposide treatment, whereas the *(SV40)*-mCherry intensity was almost unchanged (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1C). This result indicates that the transcriptional activation of p53 in response to genotoxic stress is heterogeneous even among genetically identical cells. Time-lapse imaging of the reporter cells showed that the fluorescence intensity of *(C3)*-Cerulean and *(CDKN1A)*-Venus increased from 6 h after stimulation, reaching a peak at 27–30 h, while the intensity of *(SV40)*-mCherry did not change at any time point (Fig. 1D). Analysis with the reporter system showed that the fluorescence intensity of (*C3*)-Cerulean and (*CDKN1A*)-Venus increased in a dose-dependent manner with etoposide concentration (Fig. S1D). We found that there were differences in dose dependence between (*C3*)-Cerulean and (*CDKN1A*)-Venus. These differences may result from transcriptional regulation of the *CDKN1A* gene by other factors or differences in affinity between p53 and the p53-binding site (31, 32). The fluorescence intensity of *(SV40)*-mCherry did not change under all dose conditions tested. We also verified the p53-dependent response of the reporter system; a p53-knockout reporter cell line, which was confirmed by genomic PCR and Western blotting (Fig. S2, A and B), showed only slight changes in the fluorescence intensity of *(C3)*-Cerulean and *(CDKN1A)*-Venus following etoposide treatment (Fig. S2C). Taking these results together, we concluded that this reporter system specifically monitors the transcriptional activity of p53. It is unclear why a slight transcriptional activation was observed in the p53-knockout cell line, but this may have been due to the other p53-family proteins, p63 and p73; both of these proteins share considerable amino acid sequence identity with p53 and are known to control the expression of p53-regulated genes (33).

Transcriptional activation of six different p53 target gene reporter cell lines

A notable feature of our reporter system is that an artificial p53 response element, *p53RE(C3)* is used separately from the endogenous *p53RE* to serve as an internal standard for p53 transcriptional activity. Therefore, by replacing the endogenous *p53RE* with that of another target gene, differences in transcriptional regulation between target genes can be quantitatively analyzed by comparison with this internal standard. To demonstrate this, a total of six reporter cell lines (including *CDKN1A*) were established using *p53RE* regions derived from different target genes (*CDKN1A*, *BAX*, *MDM2*, *GADD45*, *RRM2B*, *14-3-3σ*). After 24 h of etoposide treatment, these reporter cell lines showed no significant change in the distribution of *(SV40)*-mCherry fluorescence intensity, which exhibits a constitutive expression level (Fig. 2A). On the other hand, the distribution of *(C3)*-Cerulean fluorescence intensity, an internal standard for p53 activation status, increased in all cell lines, but the distribution did not show any significant differences (Fig. 2B). In contrast, the distribution of *p53RE (each gene)*-Venus fluorescence intensity, which exhibits transcriptional activity against the endogenous *p53RE*, showed a large variation among the different cell lines, with particularly large heterogeneity in transcriptional activity against *p53RE (CDKN1A)* (Fig. 2C). Normalization of the transcriptional activity for each *p53RE* with the internal standard showed that some genes differed greatly in distribution while others did not (Fig. 2D). In particular, the *CDKN1A* gene exhibited strong transcriptional activation under our experimental condition, followed by *14-3-3σ* gene. The other four genes showed a similar distribution, but with a slight increase in activity for *BAX* and a slight decrease in activity for *RRM2B*. Scatter plots of the transcriptional activity of each *p53RE* relative to the internal standard showed differences in this relationship among the genes (Fig. S3). Specifically, for *p53RE(CDKN1A)* and *p53RE(14-3-3σ)*, the degree of increase in transcription activity from the endogenous *p53RE* relative to the internal standard showed a linear relationship, whereas, for the other genes, transcription activity tended to be rapidly increased when the internal standard exceeded a certain level. These differences in activation properties among *p53RE*s may be one means by which cells respond to cellular stress efficiently using specific hub factors.

Tracking the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 in a single living cell

We analyzed how the transcriptional activation pattern of p53 differs from cell to cell when the same stress is applied to a homogenous cell population. The transcriptional activity of p53 was quantified simultaneously with detection of cell death by time-lapse live cell imaging. All subsequent experiments were performed using the reporter cell line with the *p53RE* region of *CDKN1A* because transcriptional activation for endogenous *p53RE* was most heterogeneous in this cell line. The reporter cells were manually tracked, and cell death was determined by cellular morphological changes (Fig. 3A and Fig. S4A; the images in Fig. 3A are related with that in Fig. 3B, cell trace 3). Interestingly, the pattern of p53 transcriptional activation was heterogeneous even within homogeneous cell populations (Fig. 3B). In addition, even between daughter cells derived from the same parental cell, the p53 activation pattern and the cellular response were often different (Fig. 3B). We next classified all cell traces into surviving cells and dead cells, and found that p53 transcriptional activation occurred significantly in cells undergoing cell death (Fig. 3, C and D; data for *(SV40)*-mCherry are shown in Fig. S4, B and C.) Of note, some of the cell populations that did not undergo cell death also showed strong p53 transcriptional activation. We also confirmed that the p53-dependent transcriptional activity had little correlation with the constitutive expression level as measured by *(SV40)*-mCherry, indicating that the basal expression level is unlikely to be related to the transcriptional activity of p53 (Fig. S4D). These results strongly suggest that transcriptional activation of p53 is necessary for the induction of cell death. However, there was considerable overlap in the transcriptional activation of p53 between the cells that caused cell death and those that did not. This overlap again suggests a key role not only for the p53 signaling pathway but also for other signaling pathways involved in cell death by genotoxic stress, as previously reported (34).

We classified all cell traces according to cell division time and found that the transcriptional activity of p53 was remarkably reduced in cells that divided 0 ~ 3 h before stress addition (presumably early G1

phase) compared to cells that divided 9 ~ 12 h before stress addition (presumably late G1 ~ S phase) (Fig. 3E; all data classified by cell division time are shown in Fig. S5, A-C). In addition, the ratio of cell death decreased from 43% to 3% (Fig. 3F, gray area), respectively for these two groups. These results suggest that transcriptional activation of p53 by etoposide is dependent on the phase of the cell cycle, and thereby causes heterogeneous cellular responses. We also compared the fluorescence intensity before and after the stress addition and found no differences between the two groups before stress addition (Fig. 3G). This result indicates that the different patterns of transcriptional activation were not due to the initial activation state of p53. A previous report showed that the major cytotoxic effects of etoposide are exerted mainly in the S/G2 phase, and our observation is consistent with this report (35).

Effect of the cell cycle phase for transcriptional activation of p53

We next examined the effect of the cell cycle on the transcriptional activation of p53 in more detail using the reporter cell line. The cells were synchronized in a specific phase of the cell cycle, double thymidine block (DTB) for G1/S phase synchronization and serum starvation (SS) for G1 phase synchronization. The cells were also synchronized at the G2/M phase by release 8 hours after DTB (Fig. 4A). We confirmed that the cell cycle was successfully synchronized under both conditions (Fig. S6, A and B). The reporter cell line was synchronized and then treated with etoposide (Fig. 4B). After 24 h of etoposide treatment, the fluorescence intensity of G1-synchronized cells decreased 0.6-fold for *(C3)*-Cerulean and 0.5-fold for *(CDKN1A)*-Venus compared to that of asynchronous cells. In contrast, the fluorescence intensity of S-synchronized cells increased 1.7-fold for *(C3)*-Cerulean and 2.2-fold for *(CDKN1A)*-Venus. G2-synchronized cells did not show a significant change in transcriptional activity of p53. The level of *(SV40)*-mCherry was slightly decreased in synchronized cells. Although the details are not clear, it has been reported that CDK1 regulates the balance of the overall cell proliferation rate and global protein synthesis rate (36). Therefore, the decrease in *(SV40)*-mCherry may be the result of a change in the protein synthesis rate linked to the cell proliferation rate. We also confirmed the effect of cell cycle

synchronization on the p53 signaling pathway. The treatment of SS did not affect the transcriptional activity of p53 under our condition (Fig. S6C). The treatment of DTB induced slight activation of p53, but there were no differences in the fluorescence intensity of *(C3)*-Cerulean or *(CDKN1A)*-Venus at the time point of drug treatment (Fig. S6D). Hence, we considered that this difference would not have a significant impact on our experiments.

Several reports have shown that the expression level of p53 does not correlate with its transcriptional activity (19, 37). Therefore, we analyzed the expression level of p53 and the level of p53 phosphorylated at Ser15 (p53S15P) after etoposide treatment by immunofluorescence staining using normal A549 cells (Fig. 4, C and D). The obtained images were quantified and compared the distribution of fluorescence intensity (Fig. 4E). The expression levels of both p53 and p53S15P were increased under all conditions, but there were some differences among the conditions. Interestingly, the distribution of the p53 expression level in the S/G2-synchronized cells was almost the same as that in asynchronous cells, but the distribution of the p53 expression decreased in the G1-synchronized cells. On the other hand, the levels of the p53S15P were substantially increased in S-synchronized cells, but decreased in G1/G2-synchronized cells. The shift in the distribution of p53S15P was more significant in G1-synchronized cells, and was only slight in G2-synchronized cells. The distribution of these reporters also showed a shift to a higher expression level in S-synchronized cells and a lower expression level in G1-synchronized cells. The distributions of *(C3)*-Cerulean and *(CDKN1A)*-Venus in G2-synchronized cells indicated an intermediate expression level (Fig. 4E). These results once again indicate that the expression level of p53 does not necessarily reflect the activation state of p53. Our reporter system can directly quantify the transcriptional activity of p53 and may be a useful tool in studies involving the p53 signaling pathway.

Simultaneous monitoring of cell cycle and transcriptional activity of p53 in living cells

Finally, we demonstrated the simultaneous imaging of p53-dependent transcription and cell cycle

progression at the single cell level. We developed a novel reporter stable cell line using the Fucci reporter system for visualization of the cell cycle (Fig. 5A and Fig. S7A). Due to the limitation of available fluorescence channels, we used only the Cdt1 (30-120) fragment, which is stabilized in G1 phase and degraded in S/G2/M phase (38). Hence, this reporter system monitors G1 phase-specific fluorescence by the Fucci-G1-Cerulean cassette, transcriptional activity against *p53RE (CDKN1A)* by Venus, and the constant expression level by mCherry. Time-lapse imaging of the reporter cells showed that the fluorescence intensity of Fucci-G1-Cerulean increases after cell division (Fig. 5B, white arrowhead; the images in Fig. 5B are related with that in Fig. 5D, cell trace 4). The fluorescence intensity of *(CDKN1A)*-Venus increased after etoposide treatment and decreased after removing the drug. To automatically classify these cell traces, we determined the classification rule (Fig. 5C; see the *Experimental procedures* for detail). Using this rule, we classified all cell traces as early G1, late G1, S, and G2 by the cell cycle phase at drug treatment (Fig. 5C). We referred to these groups of cell traces as early G1, late G1, S, and G2-population, respectively. Applying this classification rule to the cell traces of this reporter system, we can simultaneously observe p53-dependent transcriptional activity, the cell cycle phase, and cellular response (Fig. 4D). All cell traces were then classified according to the classification rule and found that the transcriptional activation pattern of p53 had different characteristics that varied in a cell cycle-dependent manner (Fig. 5E; data for *(SV40)*-mCherry are shown in Fig. S7D). On average, the transcriptional activity of p53 in the G1-population was lower than that in S/G2-population. The ratio of cell death in the G1-population was also lower than that in S-population. In late G1-population, some cells showed high transcriptional activity of p53 and cell death. This was probably because the late G1 population contained the boundary population of G1/S phase cells. These cells showed on average high p53 transcriptional activity as well as a high ratio of cell death. Interestingly, the ratio of cell death in S-population was remarkably different from that in G2-population even though these two populations showed similar p53-activation levels. Transcriptional activation of p53 in G2-population occurred more rapidly than that in S-population and stopped at 12 h after drug treatment. These differences in activation

patterns may have affected the final cellular outcome. We note that the pattern of Fucci-G1-Cerulean after drug treatment differed between G1 and S/G2-population. It is well known that etoposide induces mainly G2-arrest, and therefore, the pattern of Fucci-G1-Cerulean indicates that G1-population enter the S phase, and then all population are arrested at the G2 phase after drug treatment. Moreover, we confirmed that after release from etoposide cells failed to show a clear cell cycle re-entry over the next 12 h. Overall, our system proved to be useful for visualizing the transcriptional activity of p53 along with other biological phenomena.

Discussion

In this study, we have developed a reporter system that allows sensitive observation of p53 transcriptional activity in single living cells. We observed p53-independent constitutive expression levels by the *(SV40)*-mCherry and mCherry fluorescence intensity levels remained did not change after the etoposide treatment, indicating that there were no significant changes in general transcription, translation or protein degradation processes under our experimental conditions (Fig. 1, C-F and Fig. 2A). In contrast, the fluorescence intensity of Cerulean and Venus increased after the etoposide treatment (Fig. 1C, Fig. 2, B and C), and this increase was almost completely abolished in p53 knockout cells (Fig. S2). This suggests that our system is able to monitor p53-dependent transcriptional activation. Of note, nuclei were easily detected using the fluorescence of mCherry, and since its fluorescence intensity does not change significantly over time, this allowed us to capture changes in the fluorescence intensity of Cerulean and Venus with high accuracy. In addition, transcriptional activity against *p53RE (C3)*, which consists of only p53-binding sequences, may serve as an indicator to assess the p53 activation status under conditions in which other factors affecting transcription are absent. In fact, no significant differences were observed in the distribution of Cerulean fluorescence intensity after etoposide treatment among cell lines with different endogenous *p53RE* reporter systems, suggesting that the *p53RE(C3)*-dependent change in Cerulean fluorescence intensity accurately reflect the activation status of p53 (Fig. 2B). On the other hand, the fluorescence intensity of endogenous *p53RE*-dependent Venus fluorescence intensity showed a different distribution among cell lines, suggesting that the responsiveness for each *p53RE* is affected by other factors such as the affinity of p53, other regulators binding to *p53RE*, and post-translational modifications of p53 (Fig. 2C). Comparison of the fluorescence intensity of Venus with Cerulean in each cell allowed us to better characterize the responsiveness of endogenous *p53RE*s (Fig. 2D and Fig. S3). However, further detailed studies are needed to determine what causes the differences in responsiveness to endogenous *p53RE*s.

We found that the transcriptional activation pattern of p53 under etoposide treatment differs among genetically identical cells (Fig. 3). It was previously reported that the temporal dynamics of p53 in A549 cells under etoposide treatment showed either "periodic pulsing" or "monotonic induction," with the ratio of cells displaying "monotonic induction" increasing in a dose-dependent manner (11, 12). It has also been reported that the majority of "periodically pulsing" cells exhibit cell cycle arrest, whereas the majority of "monotonic induction" cells result in cell death. In our study, although we did not observe the p53 expression and p53 transcriptional activity at the same time, the characteristics of the transcriptional activation patterns in the cells that caused cell death suggest that cells that showed strong p53 transcriptional activation corresponded with "monotonic induction" cells and cells that did not show strong p53 transcriptional activation corresponded with "periodic pulsing" cells. Previous studies have shown that *p53RE*s found in different p53 target genes had varying affinities for p53 and thus different thresholds for p53 expression levels (20, 39). These differences may result in the separate decoding of a single temporal dynamics of p53 into transcriptional dynamics for each target gene. In addition, it is generally known that the affinity of p53 for *p53RE*s of genes associated with cell death is lower than that for *p53RE*s of genes associated with cell cycle arrest (32). These properties may be a part of the mechanisms that amplify differences in the temporal dynamics of p53 among cells and confer robustness to the signaling pathway.

We also showed that the pattern of transcriptional activation of p53 following treatment with etoposide is highly dependent on phase of the cell cycle. It is well known that the cellular response to etoposide is cell-cycle dependent, and that etoposide is the most cytotoxic during the S/G2 phase (35). Our results are consistent with these conclusions, as the transcriptional activity of p53 tended to be higher in S/G2 cells than in G1 cells (Fig. 4B and 5E). The main reason for this cell-cycle dependency may be the difference in the total amount of DNA damage. Topoisomerase IIα, the primary cellular target of etoposide, is mainly responsible for resolving the topological problems associated with replication and chromosome

segregation in the S/G2 phase (40). In addition, topoisomerase IIα is known to be upregulated during the S/G2 phase (41, 42). Therefore, the amount of DNA damage caused by the same dose is expected to be higher in S/G2 cells. In fact, several reports showed a significant increase of DSBs in S/G2 cells (43, 44). The cell-cycle dependency of p53S15P levels is probably closely related to these changes upstream of the p53 signaling pathway. Another possible factor is the difference in the DNA repair pathway. It has been reported that the primary DNA repair pathway during the G1 phase is non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), while in the S/G2 phase it is homologous recombination (HR). Since repair rate by HR is slower than that by NHEJ (45), this may lead to insufficient repair and accumulation of DSBs in S/G2 cells. The detailed upstream mechanism of the p53 signaling pathway requires further investigation, but it is likely that there are complex effects associated with these factors that result in cell cycle-dependent input to the p53 signaling pathway. In addition, it has been reported that cisplatin, an anticancer drug which, like etoposide, is widely used in clinical practice, shows almost no cell-cycle dependency, and these different characteristics between drugs are clinically important (46).

In our experiments, we did not find marked cell-cycle dependency in the expression level of p53 (Fig. 4, C and E). On the other hand, the level of p53S15P showed a remarkable cell-cycle dependency, which is consistent with the results observed for transcriptional activity (Fig. 4, D and E). A possible mechanism to explain this observation is the contribution of direct transcriptional repression of p53 by MDM2. MDM2 functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase and regulates p53 expression, while MDM2 also directly represses transcription by concealing the transcriptional activation domain of p53 (47, 48). Therefore, the phosphorylation of Ser15 may disassociate p53 from MDM2 and increase the proportion of p53 that is free of MDM2, resulting in cell cycle-dependent transcriptional activation. MDM4, a homolog of MDM2 that does not have E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, but has direct transcriptional repressive activity, may also be involved in this mechanism (48). Additionally, inhibition of p53 tetramer formation by an apoptosis repressor with the caspase recruitment domain (ARC) may also be involved in the cell cycle-dependent

response. ARC is known to be repressed by transcription through p53 and degradation by MDM2 (49, 50). Therefore, the formation of p53 tetramers is inhibited in a cell cycle-dependent manner. These factors would allow cells to regulate the transcriptional activity of p53 in a cell cycle-dependent manner without any marked changes in p53 expression levels.

Although p53 was strongly transcriptionally activated in both the S and G2 phases compared to the G1 phase, almost none of the cells in G2 phase underwent cell death (Fig. 5E). It has been reported that activation of p53 in the G2 phase is sufficient to induce cellular senescence (51, 52). Therefore, most of the cells stressed in the G2 phase are thought to undergo cellular senescence. It is known that p21 plays an important role in the induction of cellular senescence. p21 is rapidly degraded in S-phase cells, which may induce a different response of the G2 phase by predominantly inducing the expression of cell death-related gene products (53). These findings can only be obtained by comparing global regulation at the transcriptional level with the expression of individual target gene products.

In this study, we developed an experimental system that enables us to monitor the activation status of p53 in single living cells. We have also demonstrated that the cell cycle is strongly involved in the heterogeneous response to genotoxic stress in genetically identical cells by single-cell tracking using this system. Our reporter system can be an important tool for providing new insights into the transduction mechanisms regulated by the p53 signaling pathway.

Experimental procedures

Construction of plasmids

Two reporter plasmids, pAAVS1-(*p53RE(C3)*)-Cerulean-NLS-(*SV40*)-mCherry-NLS-2A-Puromycin[R] and pAAVS1-(*p53RE(CDKN1A)*)-Venus-NLS-Neomycin[R], were constructed as shown in Fig. S1, A and B. The former plasmid carried the artificial *p53RE (C3)*, which consists of three tandem repeats of the p53-binding motif (5'-AGACATGTCCGGACATGTCT-3') with a 16 bp spacer (5'-ACTAGCGGCTGTCACT-3'). Using this plasmid, we can estimate the activation status of p53 from the expression level of the cyan fluorescent protein with three tandem repeats of the nuclear localization signal (PKKKRKV×3) from simian virus large T-antigen in the nucleus (Cerulean-NLS). This plasmid also contains the expression unit of (*SV40*)-mCherry-NLS-2A-Puromycin[R]. The 2A peptide sequence (GSGEGRGSLLTCGDVEENPG / P) derived from the *Thosea asigna virus* interrupts the normal peptide bond and leads to ribosomal skipping (54). Thus, the red fluorescent protein (mCherry-NLS) and puromycin-resistance gene product can be separately expressed in a p53-independent manner. The latter plasmid carried the *p53RE* derived from the *CDKN1A (p21)* gene, which was originally reported in *Cancer Res.* (GenBank accession no. U24170, nucleotides 2241-3258) (24). Using this plasmid, a yellow fluorescent protein with an NLS sequence (Venus-NLS) is p53-dependently expressed in the nucleus. Both plasmids contain the left and right homology arm to the AAVS1 genomic integration site derived from the AAVS1 SA-2A-puro-pA donor plasmid (Addgene) (55). The mRNA degradation signal, AU-rich element (ATTTATTT ATTTATTT ATTTA), is inserted in the 3'-noncoding region of Venus-NLS and Cerulean-NLS to monitor the quick response in p53-dependent transcriptional activity (56).

Five reporter plasmids were also constructed by replacing *p53RE(CDKN1A)* of pAAVS1-(*p53RE(CDKN1A)*)-Venus-NLS-Neomycin[R] to *p53RE(each gene)*; each gene is *BAX, MDM2, GADD45, RRM2B, 14-3-3σ*. The following *p53RE* regions were used for each gene, based on the transcription start point: *BAX*; -896 to -332, *MDM2*; 594 to 801, *GADD45*; 1388 to 1721, *RRM2B*; 1953 to 2584, *14-3-3σ*; -2553 to -1768 (24, 25).

Using the pAAVS1-(*p53RE(CDKN1A)*)-Venus-NLS-Neomycin[R], we also constructed a cell cycle reporter plasmid, p*AAVS1-(p53RE(CDKN1A)*)-Venus-NLS-(*SV40)*-mCherry-NLS-2A-Puromycin[R]-2A-Fucci-G1-Cerulean (Fig. S7A). This plasmid can separately express the mCherry-NLS, puromycin-resistance gene product, and Fucci-G1-Cerulean, which is Cerulean fused to a part of the human Cdt1 of pFucci-G1 Orange (Amalgaam, MBL) (38).

The plasmid pBS-p53Nn-Zeo[R]-p53Cc for making the p53-knockout cell line carried the 974 bp DNA fragment of the 5'-noncoding region in the human p53 gene (*TP53*) from nucleotide number -971 to 4 and the 869 bp DNA fragment of the 3'-noncoding region in *TP53* starting from the stop codon. Using this plasmid, we can replace the p53 coding region with the Zeocin-resistance gene of pcDNA3.1/Zeo (Invitrogen).

The guide RNA expression plasmids for the CRISPR/Cas9 system were constructed using pX330 from Addgene. The pX330-AAVS1-1, -p53N, or -p53C carried the DNA fragment, 5'-GTC CCC TCC ACC CCA CAG TG-3', 5'-GCG GGT CAC TGC CAT GGA GG-3', or 5'-GGA GAA TGT CAG TCT GAG TC-3', between two BbsI sites in pX330, respectively.

Cell line and cell culture

A549 cells (p53 wild type) were obtained from American type tissue culture collection (ATCC) (Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were cultured on a 35 mm dish in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)-high glucose (Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Japan Bioserum), 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Thermo Fisher). For stress addition, cells were treated with 10 μg/ml etoposide (Wako). All cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 at 37ºC.

Establishment of knock-in and knockout cell lines

For the establishment of the reporter cell line, A549 cells were plated on a 35 mm dish for 24 h and

transfected with 0.2 µg of pX330-AAVS1-1 vector and 0.4 µg knock-in donors by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). At 24 h after transfection, the cells were seeded onto a 35 mm dish and treated with 0.5 µg/ml puromycin (Invivogen)/1 mg/ml G418 (Roche) for more than 14 days. The culture medium was changed every 3 ~ 5 days. The selected cell lines were subcloned with a 96-well plate. For the establishment of the p53-knockout cell line, A549[AAVS1](*p53RE(C3)*)-Cerulean-NLS-(*SV40*)-mCherry-NLS / (*p53RE(CDKN1A)*)-Venus-NLS cells were plated on a 35 mm dish for 24 h and transfected with 0.6 µg of pBS-p53Nn-Zeo^R-p53Cc, 0.5 µg of pX330-p53N, and 0.5 µg of pX330–p53C using 4 µl of Lipofectamine 2000, selected with 100 µg/ ml Zeocin (Invivogen), and subcloned with a 96-well plate.

Cell cycle synchronization

A double thymidine block was used for S phase synchronization and serum starvation for G1 phase synchronization (51, 57). For the double thymidine block, A549 reporter cells were treated with 2 mM thymidine for 12 h, released for 12 h, and then treated with 2 mM thymidine for 12 h again before stress addition. For serum starvation, A549 cells were treated with DMEM without FBS for 48 h before stress addition.

Live-cell imaging

Live-cell imaging was performed with BIOREVO BZ-X710 (Keyence) for the data in Fig. 3 and BIOREVO BZ-9000 (Keyence) for other data. The following filter sets were used for observation: for mCherry, TexasRed (excitation filter: 560/40 nm; emission filter: 630/60 nm; dichroic mirror: 595 nm, for BIOREVO BZ-X710) or TRITC (excitation filter: 540/25 nm; emission filter: 605/55 nm; dichroic mirror: 565 nm, for BIOREVO BZ-9000); for Venus, YFP (excitation filter: 500/24 nm; emission filter: 542/27 nm; dichroic mirror: 520 nm); and for Cerulean, CFP (excitation filter: 448/20 nm; emission filter: 482/25 nm; dichroic mirror: 458 nm). All live-cell imaging was performed with a Plan Fluor D 10x /

NA030 objective lens (Nikon).

Image analysis

The transcriptional activity from different promoters was monitored by three fluorescent proteins fused with NLS, so that the transcriptional activity was detected as the fluorescence intensity present in the nucleus. Quantitative analysis of fluorescence intensity were performed as previously described (58). The detailed procedure used for detection and quantification of fluorescent signals with Image Analysis was as follows: 1) The original image is smoothed by applying a Gaussian filter, and then the background signal is subtracted; 2) Based on the fluorescence image of mCherry, areas with values above a set threshold are detected as nuclei and 3) The fluorescence intensity of each reporter is calculated based on the detected nuclear area. Single cells were tracked manually by the phase and fluorescence images. Cell death was determined manually from the changes of cell morphology by phase images (Fig. S4A).

Immunofluorescence

A549 cells were plated on a 35 mm dish and their cell cycles were synchronized (the detailed schedules are shown in Fig. 4A), and then etoposide stimulation was performed for 24 h. Cells were fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin solution (Wako) for 15 min, washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 / PBS for 15 min, and blocked with 10% FBS/PBS for 1 h. After that, cells were incubated with the first antibody/1% FBS/PBS for 16 h at 4°C and incubated with the 2nd antibody/DAPI (Wako)/1% FBS/PBS for 30 min at room temperature. The first antibody was mouse monoclonal anti-p53/DO-1 (SC-126) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for determination of the p53 expression level and mouse monoclonal anti-p53(Ser15)/16G8 (9286) (Cell Signaling) for determination of the p53 Ser15 phosphorylation level. The second antibody was AlexaFluoro488 anti-mouse IgG (A-11001) (Invitrogen). Cells were imaged on a BIOREVO BZ-9000 fluorescent microscope (Keyence). The following filter sets were used for observation: for AlexaFluoro488, GFP (excitation filter: 470/40

nm; emission filter: 535/50 nm; dichroic mirror: 495 nm); and for DAPI, DAPI (excitation filter: 360/40 nm; emission filter: 460/50 nm; dichroic mirror: 400 nm). Nuclei were detected by DAPI images and the relative expression levels of proteins in the nuclear region were quantified.

Cell cycle classification

Cell traces were classified automatically by the cell cycle phase at drug treatment based on the expression pattern of Fucci-G1-Cerulean and the time of cell division (Fig. 5C). For cells classified to G1 phase, those that were treated within the first 6 h after cell division and those that were treated after the first 6 h following cell division were further classified as early G1 and late G1 cells, respectively. For cells classified to S/G2 phase, those that were treated within the first 6 h after the peak and those that were treated after the first 6 h following the peak were further classified as S and G2 cells, respectively. The time window of each cell cycle phase was decided by the measured parameters of cell cycle length and cell cycle population of A549 cells (Fig. S7, B and C) (cell cycle length: 21.9±0.2 h; cell cycle population: G1 (51.4±2.4%), S (35.2±1.7%), G2 (12.3±0.3%)). Specifically, we calculated the length of the S phase and obtained the value of 7.4 h, and therefore we separated cells that were treated first 6 h after the peak or not were classified S or G2 cells, respectively.

Data availability

All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Supporting information

This article contains supporting information.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part through Hokkaido University, Global Facility Center (GFC), Pharma Science Open Unit (PSOU), found by MEXT under "Support Program for Implementation of New Equipment Sharing System".

Funding and additional information

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research(B) (20H02873 to K.S.) and the Photo-excitonix Project at Hokkaido University (to K.S.).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Bieging, K. T., and Attardi, L. D. (2012) Deconstructing p53 transcriptional networks in tumor suppression. *Trends Cell Biol.* **22**, 97–106

2. Kastenhuber, E. R., and Lowe, S. W. (2017) Putting p53 in Context. *Cell.* **170**, 1062–1078

3. Olivier, M., Hollstein, M., and Hainaut, P. (2010) TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **2**, a001008

4. Kamada, R., Toguchi, Y., Nomura, T., Imagawa, T., and Sakaguchi, K. (2016) Tetramer formation of tumor suppressor protein p53: Structure, function, and applications. *Biopolymers.* **106**, 598–612

5. Appella, E., and Anderson, C. W. (2001) Post-translational modifications and activation of p53 by genotoxic stresses. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **268**, 2764–2772

6. Meek, D. W. (2009) Tumour suppression by p53: a role for the DNA damage response? *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* **9**, 714–723

7. Horn, H. F., and Vousden, K. H. (2007) Coping with stress: multiple ways to activate p53. *Oncogene.* **26**, 1306–1316

8. Fischer, M. (2017) Census and evaluation of p53 target genes. *Oncogene.* **36**, 3943–3956

9. Purvis, J. E., and Lahav, G. (2013) Encoding and decoding cellular information through signaling dynamics. *Cell.* **152**, 945–956

10. Purvis, J. E., Karhohs, K. W., Mock, C., Batchelor, E., Loewer, A., and Lahav, G. (2012) p53 dynamics control cell fate. *Science.* **336**, 1440–1444

11. Chen, X., Chen, J., Gan, S., Guan, H., Zhou, Y., Ouyang, Q., and Shi, J. (2013) DNA damage strength modulates a bimodal switch of p53 dynamics for cell-fate control. *BMC Biol.* **11**, 73

12. Yang, R., Huang, B., Zhu, Y., Li, Y., Liu, F., and Shi, J. (2018) Cell type-dependent bimodal p53 activation engenders a dynamic mechanism of chemoresistance. *Sci Adv.* **4**, eaat5077

13. Paek, A. L., Liu, J. C., Loewer, A., Forrester, W. C., and Lahav, G. (2016) Cell-to-Cell Variation in p53 Dynamics Leads to Fractional Killing. *Cell.* **165**, 631–642

14. Marshall, C. J. (1995) Specificity of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling: transient versus sustained extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. *Cell.* **80**, 179–185

15. Nelson, D. E., Ihekwaba, A. E. C., Elliott, M., Johnson, J. R., Gibney, C. A., Foreman, B. E., Nelson, G., See, V., Horton, C. A., Spiller, D. G., Edwards, S. W., McDowell, H. P., Unitt, J. F., Sullivan, E., Grimley, R., Benson, N., Broomhead, D., Kell, D. B., and White, M. R. H. (2004) Oscillations in NF-kappaB signaling control the dynamics of gene expression. *Science.* **306**, 704–708

16. Lee, T. K., Denny, E. M., Sanghvi, J. C., Gaston, J. E., Maynard, N. D., Hughey, J. J., and Covert, M. W. (2009) A noisy paracrine signal determines the cellular NF-kappaB response to lipopolysaccharide. *Sci. Signal.* **2**, ra65

17. Yissachar, N., Sharar Fischler, T., Cohen, A. A., Reich-Zeliger, S., Russ, D., Shifrut, E., Porat, Z., and Friedman, N. (2013) Dynamic response diversity of NFAT isoforms in individual living cells. *Mol. Cell.* **49**, 322–330

18. Murray-Zmijewski, F., Slee, E. A., and Lu, X. (2008) A complex barcode underlies the heterogeneous response of p53 to stress. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 702–712

19. Loewer, A., Batchelor, E., Gaglia, G., and Lahav, G. (2010) Basal dynamics of p53 reveal transcriptionally attenuated pulses in cycling cells. *Cell.* **142**, 89–100

20. Harton, M. D., Koh, W. S., Bunker, A. D., Singh, A., and Batchelor, E. (2019) p53 pulse modulation differentially regulates target gene promoters to regulate cell fate decisions. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **15**, e8685

21. Stewart-Ornstein, J., and Lahav, G. (2016) Dynamics of CDKN1A in Single Cells Defined by an Endogenous Fluorescent Tagging Toolkit. *Cell Rep.* **14**, 1800–1811

22. Gaglia, G., Guan, Y., Shah, J. V., and Lahav, G. (2013) Activation and control of p53 tetramerization in individual living cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 15497–15501

23. Gaglia, G., and Lahav, G. (2014) Constant rate of p53 tetramerization in response to DNA damage controls the p53 response. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **10**, 753

24. Shimada, A., Kato, S., Enjo, K., Osada, M., Ikawa, Y., Kohno, K., Obinata, M., Kanamaru, R., Ikawa, S., and Ishioka, C. (1999) The Transcriptional Activities of p53 and Its Homologue p51/p63: Similarities and Differences. *Cancer Res.* **59**, 2781–2786

25. Kato, S., Han, S.-Y., Liu, W., Otsuka, K., Shibata, H., Kanamaru, R., and Ishioka, C. (2003) Understanding the function-structure and function-mutation relationships of p53 tumor suppressor protein by high-resolution missense mutation analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **100**, 8424–8429

26. Sadelain, M., Papapetrou, E. P., and Bushman, F. D. (2011) Safe harbours for the integration of new DNA in the human genome. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* **12**, 51–58

27. Rizzo, M. A., Springer, G. H., Granada, B., and Piston, D. W. (2004) An improved cyan fluorescent protein variant useful for FRET. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **22**, 445–449

28. Shaner, N. C., Campbell, R. E., Steinbach, P. A., Giepmans, B. N. G., Palmer, A. E., and Tsien, R. Y. (2004) Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **22**, 1567–1572

29. Nagai, T., Ibata, K., Park, E. S., Kubota, M., Mikoshiba, K., and Miyawaki, A. (2002) A variant of yellow fluorescent protein with fast and efficient maturation for cell-biological applications. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **20**, 87–90

30. Nitiss, J. L. (2009) Targeting DNA topoisomerase II in cancer chemotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* **9**, 338–350

31. Gartel, A. L., and Radhakrishnan, S. K. (2005) Lost in transcription: p21 repression, mechanisms, and consequences. *Cancer Res.* **65**, 3980–3985

32. Weinberg, R. L., Veprintsev, D. B., Bycroft, M., and Fersht, A. R. (2005) Comparative binding of p53 to its promoter and DNA recognition elements. *J. Mol. Biol.* **348**, 589–596

33. Levrero, M., De Laurenzi, V., Costanzo, A., Gong, J., Wang, J. Y., and Melino, G. (2000) The p53/p63/p73 family of transcription factors: overlapping and distinct functions. *J. Cell Sci.* **113 (Pt 10)**, 1661–1670

34. Roos, W. P., Thomas, A. D., and Kaina, B. (2016) DNA damage and the balance between survival and death in cancer biology. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* **16**, 20–33

35. Hainsworth, J. D., and Greco, F. A. (1995) Etoposide: twenty years later. *Ann. Oncol.* **6**, 325–341

36. Haneke, K., Schott, J., Lindner, D., Hollensen, A. K., Damgaard, C. K., Mongis, C., Knop, M., Palm, W., Ruggieri, A., and Stoecklin, G. (2020) CDK1 couples proliferation with protein synthesis. *J. Cell Biol.* 10.1083/jcb.201906147

37. Loffreda, A., Jacchetti, E., Antunes, S., Rainone, P., Daniele, T., Morisaki, T., Bianchi, M. E., Tacchetti, C., and Mazza, D. (2017) Live-cell p53 single-molecule binding is modulated by C-terminal acetylation and correlates with transcriptional activity. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 313

38. Sakaue-Sawano, A., Kurokawa, H., Morimura, T., Hanyu, A., Hama, H., Osawa, H., Kashiwagi, S., Fukami, K., Miyata, T., Miyoshi, H., Imamura, T., Ogawa, M., Masai, H., and Miyawaki, A. (2008)

Visualizing spatiotemporal dynamics of multicellular cell-cycle progression. *Cell*. **132**, 487–498

39. Wu, M., Ye, H., Tang, Z., Shao, C., Lu, G., Chen, B., Yang, Y., Wang, G., and Hao, H. (2017) p53 dynamics orchestrates with binding affinity to target genes for cell fate decision. *Cell Death Dis.* **8**, e3130

40. Nitiss, J. L. (2009) DNA topoisomerase II and its growing repertoire of biological functions. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*. **9**, 327–337

41. Hsiang, Y. H., Wu, H. Y., and Liu, L. F. (1988) Proliferation-dependent regulation of DNA topoisomerase II in cultured human cells. *Cancer Res.* **48**, 3230–3235

42. Goswami, P. C., Roti Roti, J. L., and Hunt, C. R. (1996) The cell cycle-coupled expression of topoisomerase IIalpha during S phase is regulated by mRNA stability and is disrupted by heat shock or ionizing radiation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16**, 1500–1508

43. Tammaro, M., Barr, P., Ricci, B., and Yan, H. (2013) Replication-dependent and transcription-dependent mechanisms of DNA double-strand break induction by the topoisomerase 2-targeting drug etoposide. *PLoS One*. **8**, e79202

44. Muslimović, A., Nyström, S., Gao, Y., and Hammarsten, O. (2009) Numerical analysis of etoposide induced DNA breaks. *PLoS One*. **4**, e5859

45. Karanam, K., Kafri, R., Loewer, A., and Lahav, G. (2012) Quantitative live cell imaging reveals a gradual shift between DNA repair mechanisms and a maximal use of HR in mid S phase. *Mol. Cell*. **47**, 320–329

46. Granada, A. E., Jiménez, A., Stewart-Ornstein, J., Blüthgen, N., Reber, S., Jambhekar, A., and Lahav, G. (2020) The effects of proliferation status and cell cycle phase on the responses of single cells to chemotherapy. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. **31**, 845–857

47. Oliner, J. D., Pietenpol, J. A., Thiagalingam, S., Gyuris, J., Kinzler, K. W., and Vogelstein, B. (1993) Oncoprotein MDM2 conceals the activation domain of tumour suppressor p53. *Nature*. **362**, 857–860

48. Karni-Schmidt, O., Lokshin, M., and Prives, C. (2016) The Roles of MDM2 and MDMX in Cancer. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* **11**, 617–644

49. Li, Y.-Z., Lu, D.-Y., Tan, W.-Q., Wang, J.-X., and Li, P.-F. (2008) p53 initiates apoptosis by transcriptionally targeting the antiapoptotic protein ARC. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **28**, 564–574

50. Foo, R. S.-Y., Nam, Y.-J., Ostreicher, M. J., Metzl, M. D., Whelan, R. S., Peng, C.-F., Ashton, A. W., Fu, W., Mani, K., Chin, S.-F., Provenzano, E., Ellis, I., Figg, N., Pinder, S., Bennett, M. R., Caldas, C., and Kitsis, R. N. (2007) Regulation of p53 tetramerization and nuclear export by ARC. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 20826–20831

51. Johmura, Y., Shimada, M., Misaki, T., Naiki-Ito, A., Miyoshi, H., Motoyama, N., Ohtani, N., Hara, E., Nakamura, M., Morita, A., Takahashi, S., and Nakanishi, M. (2014) Necessary and sufficient role for a mitosis skip in senescence induction. *Mol. Cell*. **55**, 73–84

52. Krenning, L., Feringa, F. M., Shaltiel, I. A., van den Berg, J., and Medema, R. H. (2014) Transient activation of p53 in G2 phase is sufficient to induce senescence. *Mol. Cell*. **55**, 59–72

53. Bornstein, G., Bloom, J., Sitry-Shevah, D., Nakayama, K., Pagano, M., and Hershko, A. (2003) Role of the SCFSkp2 Ubiquitin Ligase in the Degradation of p21Cip1 in S Phase*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 25752–25757

54. Szymczak, A. L., Workman, C. J., Wang, Y., Vignali, K. M., Dilioglou, S., Vanin, E. F., and Vignali, D. A. A. (2004) Correction of multi-gene deficiency in vivo using a single "self-cleaving" 2A

peptide-based retroviral vector. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **22**, 589–594

55. Kotin, R. M., Linden, R. M., and Berns, K. I. (1992) Characterization of a preferred site on human chromosome 19q for integration of adeno-associated virus DNA by non-homologous recombination. *EMBO J.* **11**, 5071–5078

56. Chen, C. Y., and Shyu, A. B. (1995) AU-rich elements: characterization and importance in mRNA degradation. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **20**, 465–470

57. Bostock, C. J., Prescott, D. M., and Kirkpatrick, J. B. (1971) An evaluation of the double thymidine block for synchronizing mammalian cells at the G1-S border. *Experimental Cell Research.* **68**, 163–168

58. Imagawa, T., Terai, T., Yamada, Y., Kamada, R., and Sakaguchi, K. (2009) Evaluation of transcriptional activity of p53 in individual living mammalian cells. *Anal. Biochem.* **387**, 249–256

Abbreviations and nomenclature

The abbreviations used are: *p53RE*, p53 responsive element; *TP53*, tumor protein 53; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; NF-κB, nuclear factor-kappa B; NFAT, Nuclear factor of activated T-cells; *AAVS1*, Adeno-associated virus integration site 1; NLS, nuclear localization signal; *SV40*, simian virus 40 promoter; Puromycin^R, puromycin resistance; *CDKN1A*, cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 1A; Neomycin^R, neomycin resistance; *BAX*, Bcl-2-associated X protein; *MDM2*, murine double minute 2; *GADD45*, growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible protein; *RRM2B*, ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase subunit M2 B; Fucci, fluorescent ubiquitination-based cell cycle indicator; Cdt1, chromatin licensing and DNA replication factor 1; Zeo^R, zeocin resistance; CRISPR, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; FBS, fetal bovine serum; TRITC, tetramethylrhodamine; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein; CFP, cyan fluorescent protein; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DSB, double-strand break; DTB, double thymidine block; SS, serum starvation; CDK1, cyclin-dependent kinase 1; p53S15P, p53 phosphorylated at Ser15; NHEJ, non-homologous end joining; HR, homologous recombination; ARC, apoptosis repressor with the caspase recruitment domain.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Development of the fluorescence reporter system for monitoring the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53.

A) Schematic representation of the reporter system.

B) Microscope images of the reporter cell line derived from A549 cells (p53 wild type) after the addition of 10 µg/ml etoposide. Scale bar: 50 µm

C) Distribution of the fluorescence intensity at 0 h (left) and 24 h (right) after 10 µg/ml etoposide treatment. Horizontal lines and crosses indicate the medians and means of distributions, respectively. Boxes and whiskers include the values between the 25th and 75th and the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. More than 2,500 cells were analyzed under each condition.

D) Temporal changes of the fluorescence intensity after the addition of 10 µg/ml etoposide. The plot shows the mean fluorescence intensity and S.D. from three independent experiments. More than 500 cells were used for each experiment. Statistical analysis was performed by using the fluorescence intensity at 0 h and 24 h. n.s.= not significant ($p > 0.05$). $**p < 0.005$, $***p < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test.

Figure 2 Transcriptional activation of six different p53 target gene reporter cell lines.

A)-C) Distribution of the fluorescence intensity at 0 h (left) and 24 h (right) of the mCherry (A), Cerulean (B), and Venus (C) in reporter cell lines with *p53REs* derived from six different target genes after 10 µg/ml etoposide treatment. Horizontal lines and crosses indicate the medians and means of distributions, respectively. Boxes and whiskers include the values between the 25th and 75th and the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. More than 4,000 cells were analyzed under each condition.

D) Distribution of Venus / Cerulean fluorescence intensity ratio of individual cell at 24 h after 10 µg/ml etoposide treatment for each reporter cell line. Horizontal lines and crosses indicate the

medians and means of distributions, respectively. Boxes and whiskers include the values between the 25th and 75th and the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. Outliers (data with Venus / Cerulean ratios greater than 10 or less than 0.1) are excluded.

Figure 3 Quantification of the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 at the single cell level.

A) Time-lapse microscope images of the reporter cell line after 10 μg/ml etoposide treatment. Scale bar: 50 μm.

B) Representative single cell traces of fluorescence intensity.

C) Single cell traces (Cerulean, Venus) of all cells. Red dots indicate cell death. The gray or colored lines show the traces of surviving cells (n=160) and dead cells (n=59), respectively.

D) Fold changes of fluorescence intensity (Cerulean, Venus) at 12 h compared with that at 0 h after 10 μg/ml etoposide treatment. Horizontal lines indicate the mean with S.E.M. P values were calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. n.s.=not significant ($p > 0.05$).

E) Single cell traces classified by the time of cell division before 10 μg/ml etoposide treatment. Cells that underwent cell division 12–9 h (n=30) and 3–0 h (n=33) before etoposide treatment are shown in red lines and blue lines, respectively.

F) Heatmaps of the single cell traces shown in (E). Gray traces indicate cells that had undergone cell death. White areas indicate that the cells were not traced.

G) Distribution of fluorescence intensity at 0 h and 12 h after 10 μg/ml etoposide treatment. Horizontal lines indicate the mean with S.E.M. P values were calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. n.s.= not significant ($p > 0.05$).

Figure 4 Effect of the cell cycle phase on the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53.

A) Experimental outlines of the cell cycle-specific treatment by cell cycle synchronization. Cells were synchronized at the G1 phase by serum starvation and S phase by double thymidine block (thymidine concentration: 2 mM). Cells were also synchronized at G2 by culturing 8 h after removing thymidine.

B) Fluorescence intensity at 24 h after 10 µg/ml etoposide treatment. Horizontal lines indicate the means with S.D. from three independent experiments (more than 500 cells were used in each experiment). P values were calculated by an unpaired t-test. n.s.= not significant ($p > 0.05$).

C) p53 expression level at 0 h (left panel) and 24 h (right panel) after 10 µg/ml etoposide treatment. In each panel, left and right images show the immunofluorescence for p53 and nuclear area by DAPI staining, respectively.

D) p53 Ser15P level at 0 h (left panel) and 24 h (right panel) after 10 µg/ml etoposide treatment. In each panel, left and right images show immunofluorescence for p53 Ser15P and nuclear area by DAPI staining, respectively.

E) Distribution of the total fluorescence intensity after 10 µg/ml etoposide treatment. The panels show results of the p53 expression level and p53 Ser15P level quantified by immunofluorescence using normal A549 cells, *(C3)*-Cerulean intensity and *(CDKN1A)*-Venus intensity quantified by A549 reporter cells.

Figure 5 Simultaneous monitoring of the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 and the cell cycle phase.

A) Schematic representation of the reporter system used to quantify the transcriptional activity of p53 and the cell cycle.

B) Time-lapse microscope images of the reporter cell line. Scale bar: 50 µm.

C) Methods used to distinguish the cell cycle phase from the time course data of Fucci-G1-Cerulean.

D) Representative single cell traces of fluorescence intensity.

E) Single cell traces (upper panel: Cerulean, lower panel: Venus) of all cells classified by the cell cycle phase at the time point of stress addition. Black and red dots indicate cell division and cell death, respectively. The black line is a trace of the average value.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5