Drosophila Tropomodulin is required for multiple actin-dependent

processes in myofiber assembly and maintenance

Carolina Zapater i Morales[1,2], Peter J. Carman[3,4], David B. Soffar[2], Stefanie E. Windner[2], Roberto

Dominguez[3,4], and Mary K. Baylies[1,2,5].

1. Biochemistry, Cell & Developmental Biology, and Molecular Biology (BCMB) program,

Weill Cornell Graduate School of Medical Sciences, New York, NY 10065

2. Developmental Biology Program, Sloan Kettering Institute, Memorial Sloan Kettering

Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065

3. Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics Graduate Group, Perelman School of Medicine,

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

4. Department of Physiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,

Philadelphia, PA 19104

5. Lead contact (Correspondence: bayliesm@mskcc.org)

Keywords: Skeletal muscle, Tropomodulin (Tmod), *Drosophila*, sarcomere length, myofibril

orientation, tension-mediating proteins, misshapen nuclei.

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Drosophila Tropomodulin knockdown in larval myofibers results in myopathy-related phenotypes. Our findings support that Tmod acts in actin-related processes at different subcellular locales, all critical for muscle integrity and function.

ABSTRACT

Proper muscle contraction requires the assembly and maintenance of sarcomeres and myofibrils. While the protein components of myofibrils are generally known, less is known about the mechanisms by which they individually function and together synergize for myofibril assembly and maintenance. For example, it is unclear how the disruption of actin filament (F-actin) regulatory proteins leads to the muscle weakness observed in myopathies. Here, we show that knockdown of *Drosophila* Tropomodulin (Tmod) results in several myopathy-related phenotypes, including reduction of muscle cell (myofiber) size, increased sarcomere length, disorganization and misorientation of myofibrils, ectopic F-actin accumulation, loss of tension-mediating proteins at the myotendinous junction, and misshaped and internalized nuclei. Our findings support and extend the tension-driven self-organization myofibrillogenesis model. We show that, like its mammalian counterpart, *Drosophila* Tmod caps F-actin pointed-ends, and this activity is critical for cellular processes in different locations within the myofiber that directly and indirectly contribute to the maintenance of muscle function. Our findings provide significant insights to the role of Tmod in muscle development, maintenance, and disease.

INTRODUCTION

Skeletal muscle cells (myofibers) are essential for survival. Myofibers are comprised of myofibrils, each consisting of arrays of repeating units known as sarcomeres, the muscle's fundamental contractile machinery. These contain three main structural elements: thin filaments, thick filaments, and Z-discs [reviewed in Sweeney and Hammers (2018)]. Z-discs are the thin filament attachment site and are composed of many proteins (e.g.: α-actinin and Zasp). Thin filaments are comprised primarily of actin filaments (F-actin) and actin binding proteins, including proteins important for anchorage to the Z-disc (e.g.: CapZ), for contraction (e.g.: troponin and tropomyosin), and for F-actin length regulation (e.g.: nebulin and Tmod) [reviewed in Szikora et al. (2022), Ghosh and Fowler (2021), Fowler and Dominguez (2017)]. Thick filaments are composed primarily of myosin and myosin-associated proteins. Thin filaments sliding past thick filaments brings Z-discs closer together (contraction), generating force in a calcium-regulated manner. While much is known about protein composition and function of sarcomeres and myofibrils, the mechanisms controlling their formation and maintenance remain elusive.

Although myofibrillogenesis is not well understood, the most widely accepted model suggests tension is essential. According to this model, once the myofiber has attached to tendon cells, short F-actin stochastically attach to each other and to the myofiber ends via tension-activated proteins such as β-Integrin and Talin. Through a positive feedback loop, initial tension attracts more tension-activated proteins, leading to further tension and myofibril assembly and maturation along the myofiber's longitudinal axis (Lemke and Schnorrer, 2017, Lemke et al., 2019, Pines et al., 2012, Weitkunat et al., 2014, Sparrow and Schock, 2009). Subsequently, additional growth and organization along myofibrils also require tension (LeGoff and Lecuit, 2015). Several other processes regulate myofibrillogenesis and myofibril maintenance. Firstly, mitochondria influence myofibrillogenesis and vice versa via the mechanical interplay between mitochondrial dynamics and myofibril morphogenesis (Avellaneda et al., 2021). Secondly, myofibrils undergo protein turnover without compromising their organization during contraction and myofibrillogenesis (Sanger and Sanger, 2008, Ono, 2010, Littlefield and Fowler, 2008). Finally, actin regulatory proteins that control monomeric (G-actin) to F-actin ratios in the cell are critical for myofibril assembly and turnover (Littlefield and Fowler, 2008, Deng et al., 2021). While contributing factors to myofibril formation and maintenance have been identified, their interplay and molecular mechanisms are incompletely understood.

Mutations in sarcomere genes are linked to skeletal muscle diseases such as nemaline myopathy

(NM), which presents with muscle weakness (de Winter and Ottenheijm, 2017, Sewry et al.,

2019). NM is associated specifically with mutations in thin filament genes, including ACTA1, NEB,

LMOD3, CFL2, TPM2, TPM3, TNNT1, TNNT3 and MYPN [reviewed in Laitila and Wallgren-

Pettersson (2021), Christophers et al. (2022)]. In addition to the growing list of mutations identified

in human patients, Tmod mutant zebrafish show a NM-like phenotype (Berger et al., 2014).

Studies in model systems have demonstrated that Tmod regulates F-actin length by capping

pointed-ends to inhibit monomer addition/dissociation (Molnar et al., 2014, Berger et al., 2022,

Littlefield et al., 2001). Tmod is related in sequence and structure to Leiomodin (Lmod), an F-actin

nucleator [(Chereau et al., 2008) and reviewed in Fowler and Dominguez (2017), Yamashiro et

al. (2012)], whose deficiency has been linked to NM (Yuen et al., 2014, Cenik et al., 2015). Some

Tmods also have low nucleation activity (Fischer et al., 2006, Yamashiro et al., 2010, Yamashiro

et al., 2014). While humans have four *TMOD* and three *LMOD* genes that cap and nucleate F-

actin, respectively, *Drosophila* has no Lmod, but expresses 18 Tmod splice variants. It is

unknown, however, which Tmod isoforms are most abundant in *Drosophila* muscle and whether

individual isoforms cap or nucleate F-actin. It is also unknown whether and how the disruption of

Tmod isoforms in *Drosophila* would result in the NM-like defects observed in other models.

Previous muscle research focused on the role of Tmod and Lmod in regulating sarcomeric F-

actin. However, similar to other cell types, cytoplasmic F-actin plays several essential roles in

myofibers: maintenance of intracellular structure by tethering myofibrils to the plasma membrane

and extracellular matrix (ECM), organization of myofiber organelles and vesicle trafficking, and

movement and anchoring of myonuclei at the myofiber periphery [reviewed in Davidson and

Cadot (2021), Charvet et al. (2012), Kee et al. (2009)]. The disruption of cytoplasmic F-actin

dynamics could contribute to muscle deterioration and weakness and has not been

comprehensively studied. Here, we analyze the effects of Tmod knockdown (Tmod-KD) in

Drosophila larval muscle. We observe progressive muscle deterioration structurally and

functionally, with defects in muscle size, sarcomere length, myofibril homeostasis, integrin-based

myofibril attachment, and myonuclear shape and activity. Alongside with myofibrillar organization

breakdown, Tmod-KD myofibers show ectopic F-actin accumulations. Transcriptomic analyses

reveal downregulation of proteasomal degradation and upregulation of oxidative metabolism.

Biochemically, *Drosophila* Tmod exclusively acts as an actin capping protein which, in addition to

regulating thin filament length, is involved in establishing tension along the myofiber. The

phenotypes generated by Tmod loss support and extend the leading hypothesis of

myofibrillogenesis and suggest a role for Tmod in myofibril assembly and maintenance. We

propose that Tmod's function as an F-actin capping protein is critical in a variety of locations within

the myofiber that directly and indirectly maintain muscle function.

RESULTS

***Drosophila* Tmod is required for larval muscle integrity**

To identify genes with a critical role in muscle development and disease, we performed a limited screen in *Drosophila melanogaster*. We used a muscle-specific driver (*Dmef2-Gal4*) and available RNAi lines to knock down homologues of human proteins implicated in myofibril/sarcomere structure and function (Schnorrer et al., 2010) (Table S1). We screened for embryonic lethality, muscle defects in late third instar larvae, pupal lethality, and adult flight (Table S2). Expression of *tmod*RNAi resulted in phenotypes that suggested Tmod maintains muscle integrity and function. We confirmed the KD phenotypes using three different RNAi lines and a homozygous *tmod* mutant, and assessed KD levels by qRT-PCR, immunofluorescence, and Western blot (Fig. S1). Based on these results, we selected *tmod* for further study and used one RNAi line, dsRNA-HMS02283 (Perkins et al., 2015), for subsequent KD experiments as it exhibited an intermediate phenotype and degree of mRNA KD.

Drosophila larvae are segmented organisms with three thoracic and eight abdominal body segments (A1-A8), each consisting of ~30 unique body muscles (Bate, 1990). Here, we focused on two ventral longitudinal (VL) muscles, VL3 and VL4 (also named muscles 6 and 7), which are well characterized, easily assessable, flat rectangular cells with nuclei located on one cell surface (Fig. 1A-C) (Windner et al. (2019). Muscle-specific Tmod-KD resulted in a striking phenotype at the end of larval development, with a significant reduction in myofiber size (Fig. 1D,E). While the myofiber length was conserved, the width was reduced by 30-60% (Fig. 1D-F). Furthermore, we observed several distinct morphological features: increased sarcomere length (Fig. 1G), disorganized, misoriented myofibrils (Fig. 1I), ectopic F-actin accumulation (Fig. 1D,E), and misshaped, internalized nuclei (Fig. 1J). Strikingly, intact myofibrils with changes in sarcomere length were restricted to the myofiber's cuticular side. In contrast, the myofiber's visceral surface, which includes the myonuclei, was disorganized (Fig. 1E). These observations supported a crucial function for Tmod in regulating sarcomeric actin and myofibril stability. Furthermore, they suggested additional Tmod role(s) in the regulation of cytoplasmic actin, including nuclear stabilization and other cellular processes.

Tmod-KD myofibers deteriorate in a specific pattern

Drosophila larval development can be divided into four instar stages during which body wall muscles grow dramatically in size (25 to 40-fold): first, second, early third, and late third instars (the last two hours of which is called the "wandering" stage). Over this four-day period, new

sarcomeres and myofibrils are added, while nuclear number remains constant (Demontis and

Perrimon, 2009, Bai et al., 2007, Balakrishnan et al., 2020). While muscle phenotypes were found

in all Tmod-KD late third instars (see also Fig. S1B), not all segments were affected equally.

Instead, we found a gradient of muscle phenotypes along the larval anterior-posterior (AP) axis.

Myofibers in more anterior segments (A1, A2) had severely disrupted myofibrils and nuclei, while

posterior ones (A5, A6) maintained nuclear shape and position and exhibited a milder myofibrillar

phenotype.

We analyzed Tmod-KD phenotypes along the larval AP axis throughout larval development. In

first instars, we observed wildtype (WT)-like muscles, which contained the typical conserved

arrays of sarcomeres. The first observed defects in the Tmod-KD myofibers were diagonal

myofibrils at the myofiber ends of segments A1 and A2 in second instars (Fig. 2A; Fig. S2). In

early third instars, these defects also appeared in mid-segments (A4, A5) and became even more

pronounced in the anterior segments, which displayed ectopic diagonally intersecting myofibrils

(Fig. 2B,D; Fig. S2). In late third instars, the sarcomeric pattern was disrupted almost entirely on

the visceral side of anterior segments and large bundles of F-actin replaced organized myofibrils

(Fig. 2C,F; Fig. S2). Occasionally, interlaced "braided" myofibrils formed at the beginning of late

third instar, and ectopic radial F-actin accumulations arose by the end of late third instar (Fig.

2E,G). Additionally, once the first diagonally misoriented myofibrils were observed, perpendicular

myofibrils were found adjacent to the myotendinous junction (MTJ) at the posterior myofiber end

(Fig. 2B). These perpendicular myofibrils also later developed at the anterior end of the myofibers

(Fig. 2C). Nuclear irregularities appeared in anterior segments during late third instar stage and,

together with ectopic F-actin structures, were only observed in anterior segments. These data

indicated Tmod-KD myofibers experience a specific temporal and spatial pattern of structural

changes, which appeared in parallel with larval growth, with the most severe disruptions occurring

in dramatically growing third instar larvae.

Tmod's role as a pointed-end capping protein is conserved in *Drosophila*

To understand how Tmod loss generates these phenotypes, we studied the biochemical activity

of *Drosophila* Tmod using purified proteins *in vitro*. Tmod's canonical role in vertebrates is filament

pointed-end capping (Dye et al., 1998, Weber et al., 1994, Gregorio et al., 1995, Mardahl-

Dumesnil and Fowler, 2001, Fowler and Dominguez, 2017). However, some Tmods also have

limited actin filament nucleation activity (Fischer et al., 2006, Yamashiro et al., 2010, Yamashiro

et al., 2014), mimicking the activity of Tmod's structural homolog Lmod that has strong nucleation

activity (Chereau et al., 2008). Lmod is not found in *Drosophila*. Nonetheless, while mammals
express only four Tmod isoforms, *Drosophila* expresses 18 different splice variants. Moreover,
several *Drosophila* Tmod isoforms differ substantially in sequence from their mammalian
counterparts, displaying N- and/or C-terminal extensions reminiscent of those present in
mammalian Lmods (Fig. S3F). These observations led us to ask whether *Drosophila* Tmod
isoforms capped pointed-ends or nucleated filaments.
190
We chose two isoforms for *in vitro* analysis, the selection of which was based on several criteria:
the RNAi target sites, the abundance of the transcript in control muscle, the degree of KD,
sequence analysis, and the unique structures of the isoforms (Fig. S3A-F, Table S3). Based on
these factors, isoforms Q, O, and K, representing two different N-terminal subgroups, were
chosen for in-depth biochemical analysis (note that isoforms O and K have identical protein
sequences). We expressed and purified TmodQ, TmodO/K, and human TMOD3 (a control for
capping activity) in *E. coli* and monitored the effect of TmodQ and TmodO/K on actin assembly
using the pyrene-actin polymerization assay (Doolittle et al., 2013). Because the concentration of
actin monomers (1.5 μM) is higher than the critical concentration for monomer addition at the
pointed-end (~0.6 μM), pointed-end capping under these conditions would be observed as a
decrease in fluorescence with increasing Tmod concentrations, resulting from inhibition of
monomer addition at the pointed-end. In contrast, if Tmod nucleated filaments from monomers
we would observe an increase in fluorescence (i.e. polymerization), resulting from the formation
and elongation of new barbed-ends. Similar to human TMOD3, TmodQ and TmodO/K reduced
polymerization in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3A; Fig. S3G,H). These results
suggested that the two representative *Drosophila* Tmod isoforms analyzed function as *bona fide*
pointed-end cappers like their mammalian Tmods, and not nucleators like mammalian Lmods.
Therefore, the observed KD phenotypes are likely due to a deficiency in pointed-end capping.
209

Tmod regulates thin filament and sarcomere length
Previous work has shown that Tmod regulates sarcomere length by capping the pointed-end of
sarcomeric F-actin [reviewed in Littlefield and Fowler (2008), Ghosh and Fowler (2021)]. To
assess the effects of Tmod-KD in *Drosophila* larval myofibers, we quantified muscle length, Z-
disc number, and sarcomere lengths in second and late third instars. At both timepoints, Tmod-
KD myofibers had fewer Z-discs than controls with the same length (Fig. 3D; Fig. S4A,B). Z-disc
numbers were reduced by 15% in the second instar and by 26% in late third instar, indicating that
sarcomere size increased in growing Tmod-KD myofibers. Accordingly, the distance between Z-

discs revealed a significant 22% and 31% increase in sarcomere length in Tmod-KD myofibers at

the second and late third instar stages, respectively (Fig. 3C). To confirm that Tmod regulates

sarcomere size via its effect on thin filaments, we quantified sarcomeric F-actin length in late third

instars. Thin filament length increased by 35% in Tmod-KD myofibers. Interestingly, the proportion

of thin filament length to sarcomere length was similar to control, whereby thin filaments account

for 25% of sarcomere length (Fig. 3B,F). Together, these data suggested that capping of

sarcomeric F-actin by Tmod is required to prevent increases in sarcomere size during myofiber

growth. The same thin filament to sarcomere length ratio in Tmod-KD and control myofibers

indicates that independent mechanisms maintain sarcomere proportions.

Sarcomere size is highly conserved for optimal contraction, and changes in its size have been

linked to reduced muscle function (Fernandes and Schock, 2014, Molnar et al., 2014, Spletter et

al., 2018, Spletter et al., 2015). To assess the functional consequences of muscle-specific Tmod

KD, we performed larval locomotion assays. We analyzed larval velocity throughout larval

development and myofiber function significantly decreased, starting at second instar stage and

over larval development (Fig. 3E). These data suggested Tmod-KD caused myofiber weakness

even before obvious muscle deterioration, and that changes in thin filament length could be the

first effect of Tmod KD to reduce muscle function.

Tmod stabilizes core components of the muscle attachment site

The current model of myofibrillogenesis suggests that tension is crucial for this process.

Specifically, the muscle attachment sites at the MTJs play a fundamental role in generating

tension within a myofiber. The MTJ represents an analogous structure to focal adhesion sites

(Atherton et al., 2016, Martino et al., 2018, Pardo et al., 1983, Quach and Rando, 2006,

Mohammad et al., 2012), which are composed of the Vinculin-Talin-Integrin complex tethering the

actin cytoskeleton to the ECM, or in the case of the myofiber, the myofibrils to the muscle

attachment site. We hypothesized that Tmod functions at the MTJs to stabilize myofibril

attachment during myofiber growth.

In agreement with this hypothesis, we detected Tmod localized at the ends of control myofibers,

adjacent to myofibrillar F-actin and co-localizing with β_{PS}-Integrin (Mys) (Fig. 4A,C). We next

evaluated protein enrichment of the tension-mediating proteins β_{PS}-Integrin and its binding partner

Talin (Rhea) in Tmod-KD MTJs. β_{PS}-Integrin and Talin were significantly decreased in Tmod-KD

anterior segments (Fig. 4E,F; Fig. S5A-C), however we did not detect myofiber detachment. To

determine whether the strong decrease in signal was due to mislocalization or an overall decrease

in protein levels, we performed Western blot analysis. Talin levels did not decrease in Tmod-KD

wandering larvae muscle-enriched lysates (Fig. 4B), suggesting that Talin is mislocalized. Upon

further examination, we observed pools of β_{PS}-Integrin and Talin in ectopic areas (Fig. 4F). These

data suggested Tmod's F-actin capping function is required for establishing the proper anchorage

sites at the myofiber ends by stabilizing the interaction between F-actin and tension-mediating

proteins.

To address whether mislocalization of β_{PS}-Integrin and Talin correlated with tension loss at the

MTJ, we assessed phospho-tyrosine (pTyr), a marker of active cytoskeletal tension sites

(Chrzanowska-Wodnicka and Burridge, 1994, Martino et al., 2018, Parsons et al., 2010). pTyr

was significantly decreased in Tmod-KD MTJs (Fig. 4F; Fig. S5D), indicating reduced tension.

Together, these experiments suggested a decrease in mechansensory proteins and a reduction

of tension at the muscle ends. A lack of tension could cause myofibrillar disorganization and

impaired myofibrillogenesis in the Tmod-KD myofibers.

Tmod regulates myonuclear morphology, positioning, and function

Cytoplasmic F-actin is found in and around the nucleus (Davidson and Cadot, 2021, Caridi et al.,

2019). These filaments play roles in gene expression, in the cell's response to mechanical stimuli,

and in nuclear positioning in several systems (Farina et al., 2016, Verheyen and Cooley, 1994,

Chang et al., 2015). We hypothesized Tmod-KD could affect cytoplasmic F-actin to generate the

nuclear phenotypes that we observed. Control *Drosophila* larvae displayed multiple, evenly

spaced, round disc-shaped nuclei on the myofiber's visceral side. In contrast, many Tmod-KD

nuclei were elongated, with an increased perimeter compared to control (Fig. 5A-C). Along the

AP axis, the most irregular-shaped nuclei were located towards the middle of the myofiber (Fig.

5D). It is possible Tmod-KD directly affected F-actin dynamics that stabilize nuclear shape and

position. Unfortunately, imaging of cytoplasmic and/or nuclear F-actin is obstructed by the bright

signal of the underlying sarcomeres, which prevented the further analysis of direct effects of

Tmod-KD on cytoskeleton and nucleoskeleton in this study.

Stable radial microtubule (MT) arrays around the nuclei maintain positioning and nuclear integrity

during myofiber contraction (Zheng et al., 2020, Becker et al., 2020, Manhart et al., 2018, Metzger

et al., 2012). In addition, MTs physically interact with actin (Farina et al., 2016, Dogterom and

Koenderink, 2019), which raises the possibility that actin-MT crosstalk supports nuclear shape

and position. To assess if perinuclear MTs were affected in Tmod-KD muscles, we quantified the
number of intact radial MT arrays in control and Tmod-KD myofibers. We observed a significant
decrease in intact perinuclear MT arrays in Tmod-KD muscles and noted a decrease in
longitudinal MT-tracks (Fig. 5E; Fig. S6A). These data indicated that Tmod affects critical MT
networks in myofibers, by mediating the interactions of the MT cytoskeleton with actin filaments
in/around the nuclei. A loss of perinuclear MTs could subsequently result in destabilization of
nuclear shape and position.

To test if nuclear activity was affected by nuclear morphology, we analyzed the transcriptional
and translational potential of Tmod-KD myonuclei. To assess transcription, we quantified H3K9ac,
a marker of open chromatin and transcriptionally active regions in the DNA (Kharchenko et al.,
2011). Nuclei with the most irregular shapes (roundness<0.6) exhibited decreased H3K9ac levels,
whereas WT-shaped nuclei (roundness>0.6) showed similar H3K9ac levels to control (Fig. 5F,H;
Fig. S6B). To assess translation, we quantified nuclear intensity of Fibrillarin (Fib), a nucleolar
protein that plays an essential role in ribosome biogenesis and is a commonly used readout for
protein synthetic ability (Gillery et al., 1996, Lefevre et al., 2001). Misshaped nuclei
(roundness<0.6) exhibited similar Fib intensity levels to control, while WT-shaped nuclei
(roundness>0.6) showed higher levels than control (Fig. 5G,I; Fig. S6C). These data suggested
that loss of nuclear shape and position in Tmod-KD myofibers reduced transcriptional output but
increased translational potential. While deformed nuclei are functionally compromised, WT-
shaped nuclei in the Tmod-KD muscle appeared to compensate for this deficiency by increasing
translation.

Tmod knockdown alters actin isoforms, degradation, and oxidative metabolism
We analyzed the transcriptome of Tmod-KD larvae to better understand the mechanisms behind
the observed phenotypes and uncover additional consequences. Consistent with the
morphological features found in Tmod-KD muscle, we detected misregulation of actin genes.
Sarcomeric actin isoforms (Act57B, Act87B) (Roper et al., 2005, Fyrberg et al., 1983) were
upregulated (Fig. 6; Table S4), consistent with the observed ectopic F-actin bundles (Fig. 2F-G;
Fig. 6E). In contrast, cytoplasmic actin isoforms (Act5C, Act42A) were downregulated in Tmod-
KD myofibers (Fig. 6E; Table S4), consistent with the alterations in nuclear shape detected in
Tmod-KD.

We also performed an over-representation analysis (ORA) to determine if a set of shared genes

was differentially expressed based on Gene Onthology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes

and Genomes (KEGG) gene sets. These data indicated that degradation-related genes

(particularly proteasomal genes) and/or pathways were downregulated, while metabolism-linked

genes (particularly oxidative metabolism genes linked to mitochondrial ATP production) and/or

pathways were upregulated in Tmod-KD muscles (Fig. 6A,B). To test whether ATP production

was indeed altered in Tmod-KD muscles, we performed a functional ATPase assay. Consistent

with our RNAseq data, ATP levels significantly increased in Tmod-KD myofibers (Fig. 6C).

Together, these data suggested a role for Tmod, either directly or indirectly, in gene expression.

We speculate that these changes reflect a compensatory mechanism to promote growth in a

degenerating muscle.

DISCUSSION

Tmod function has been studied using various cell types (Fowler, 1997). Here we investigated the effects of Tmod KD in *Drosophila* larval myofibers during development using a combination of detailed *in vivo* analyses, *in vitro* experiments, and transcriptomic approaches. Our studies suggest that through its capping function, Tmod affects actin dynamics in different locations within the myofiber and is ultimately essential for muscle function.

We propose the following model for Tmod's pleiotropic effects in muscle cells (Fig. 7). In the sarcomere, the barbed end of F-actin is capped by CapZ and anchored to the Z-disc, while the pointed-end is capped by Tmod. However, due to Tmod's low affinity for F-actin (Weber et al., 1994), there are yet opportunities for monomer addition and therefore, the pointed-end remains suitable for sarcomere growth. When Tmod is knocked-down, thin filaments grow in length. We suggest this additional polymerization causes sufficient imbalance to favor the production of muscle-specific larval G-actin isoforms (Act57B, Act 87E); this increased G-actin availability and continuously growing sarcomeric F-actin would result in ectopic F-actin bundles and radial structures. In the cytoplasm, F-actin growth occurs through the canonical pathway, which is, generally, by the addition of G-actin monomers at the barbed end and depolymerization at the pointed-end. When Tmod is reduced, excessive depolymerization occurs, due to loss of Tmod's capping activity. This excess of G-actin monomers decreases the production of cytoplasmic-specific actin isoforms (Act5C, Act42A). Loss of cytoplasmic perinuclear and nuclear F-actin would contribute to the loss of nuclear organization and directly or indirectly affect nuclear output. At the MTJ, myofibrils are anchored to the muscle ends via cytoplasmic F-actin. In the absence of Tmod, lack of stable attachments via F-actin impairs tension along the myofibrils, leading to myofibril disorganization and deterioration, and defects in myofibrillogenesis. Protein degradation and metabolism would be affected similarly by destabilization of required structures for myofiber organization or via transcriptional changes. Together, loss of Tmod at these different sites leads to impaired muscle function.

While every myofiber experiences the same Tmod-KD effect and follows the same pattern of deterioration, not every myofiber is affected equally. Along the larval AP axis, we see that the *tmod* phenotypes appear first and are most severe in anterior abdominal segments which contain larger muscles than posterior segments, suggesting that growth and/or myofiber size may be a contributing factor. However, we observe that some muscles at the same axis position are preferentially affected, which suggests a more complex situation. Freely moving *Drosophila* larvae

constantly pivot and sweep their heads, which are supported by muscles of segments A1-A3
(Lahiri et al., 2011); hence, muscle usage may affect muscle deterioration in our model. In parallel,
the timing and distribution of muscle pathology in many human muscle diseases, as well as animal
models, follow specific patterns (Barohn et al., 2014, Sewry et al., 2019). Studies in vertebrate
models suggest that muscle fiber type might play a role [reviewed in Talbot and Maves (2016)].
The factor(s) that make specific muscles more susceptible to deterioration remain unknown. The
Drosophila larval musculature, consisting only of one fiber type, provides a useful system for
further studies in this context.

Alternative splicing results in a total of 18 Tmod isoforms. Why the fly produces so many different
isoforms is unclear. We show that isoforms Q and O/K display Tmod's characteristic F-actin
capping function, despite having N-terminal extensions comparable to human LMOD. We did not
find biochemical evidence of F-actin nucleation. It is possible that one of the remaining Tmod
isoforms (most notably the isoforms with C-terminal proline-rich extensions) may possess
nucleation activity like that of human LMODs. However, the extremely low levels of such isoforms
in our RNAseq data suggest they are not expressed in myofibers, or at the tested developmental
stages.

Tmod is suggested to define thin filament and sarcomere length in a variety of model systems
(Szikora et al., 2022). In adult *Drosophila*, Tmod overexpression results in shorter sarcomeres
and thin filaments (Molnar et al., 2014, Mardahl-Dumesnil and Fowler, 2001). In vertebrate
systems, such as mouse Tmod-null mutants, thin filament length increases (Littlefield and Fowler,
2008). *In vitro* rat cardiomyocytes show increased or decreased Tmod levels resulting in shorter
or longer sarcomeric actin filaments, respectively (Sussman et al., 1998). Our data demonstrate
that Tmod-KD in *Drosophila* larval myofibers increases thin filament and sarcomere length. Thin
filament to sarcomere length ratio was maintained in Tmod-KD myofibers. This ratio was similar
to measurements in frog sartorius (26%) and human pectoralis (30%) (Gokhin and Fowler, 2013).
This indicates that mechanisms that regulate sarcomere proportions are conserved and regulated
independently of Tmod function. We further find sarcomere size increases throughout larval
growth, indicating that the uncapped pointed-ends of sarcomeric actin filaments do not respect a
specified size, exhibiting continuous polymerization in Tmod's absence. In healthy muscle,
sarcomere length is fixed to optimize muscle contraction, forcing growing cells to add sarcomeres
rather than increasing their length (Balakrishnan et al., 2020, Williams and Goldspink, 1971, Dix
and Eisenberg, 1990, Goldspink, 1983, Haas, 1950). We show an inverse relationship between

sarcomere number and length in Tmod-KD myofibers, suggesting that myofibrils could grow by
increasing their length via sarcomere growth. Consistent with recently published work, our data
indicates that muscle length and sarcomere number are regulated independently of one another
(Brooks et al., 2022). Loss of this adaptive behavior is associated with myopathy progression
(Ottenheijm et al., 2009, Winter et al., 2016). Moreover, the decrease in myofiber size is
suggestive of compromised myofibril addition to increase muscle mass, which further supports
that mechanisms of muscle growth (addition of new sarcomeres and hypertrophy) are affected in
Tmod-KD larvae. Our analyses show locomotive defects (first instar) before discernable changes
in myofiber structure (second instar). While technical limitations prevented the detailed analysis
of first instar sarcomeres, we suggest that changes in thin filament length might be the first effects
of Tmod KD that directly affect muscle function. In parallel with disruption of sarcomeres and
myofibrils, Tmod-KD myofibers display accumulation of ectopic and disorganized F-actin. The
location of these ectopic bundled and radial F-actin accumulations near disorganized myofibrils
indicates that continuously growing thin filaments could contribute to these ectopic structures.
Whether the ectopic disorganized F-actin filaments were intended to serve as a myofibril template
(Fenix et al., 2018, Dlugosz et al., 1984) or they result from deteriorating myofibrils remains
unclear.

The currently favored hypothesis in the field describes sarcomere and myofibril formation as a
tension-driven self-organizing process, whereby tension generated through muscle attachment at
the MTJs is used to orient and longitudinally assemble sarcomeres and myofibrils (Lemke and
Schnorrer, 2017). Here, we show that Tmod-KD results in mislocalization of two core
mechanosensitive proteins and a reduction in tension at the muscle ends. According to the
hypothesis, lack of tension at the muscle ends affects addition of new sarcomeres as well as
homeostasis along the entire myofibril. This is consistent with our Tmod-KD data showing reduced
sarcomere number and aberrant myofibril organization and stability. Previous studies using *tmod*
mutant zebrafish also reported defects in myofibril organization and muscle weakness (Berger et
al., 2022, Berger et al., 2014), but a role for Tmod in sarcomere addition had not been described.
Interestingly, we observe perpendicular myofibrils exclusively localized at the myofiber ends,
which demonstrate sarcomeric organization albeit incorrect orientation. This raises the possibility
that due to the lack of longitudinal stability in this growth zone, the forming sarcomeres and
growing myofibrils orient towards the next best structure that provides resistance, connecting to
the MTJ, existing myofibrils or the visceral plasma membrane. A similar phenotype with
misoriented myofibrils was observed in *Drosophila* larval muscle expressing the constitutively

active form of C3G, a Rap1 activator (Shirinian et al., 2010). Importantly, Rap1 has been

demonstrated to recruit Talin to the cell membrane (Shattil et al., 2010, Lee et al., 2009). We find

ectopic localization of mechanosensitive proteins along the plasma membrane of Tmod-KD

myofibers, which could lead to ectopic F-actin accumulations. This could result in local changes

in tension throughout the myofiber and contribute to myofibril misalignment. Together, our study

suggests that Tmod is crucial for maintaining alignment, stability, and growth of myofibrils. Our

data are consistent with the tension-driven self-organizing myofibrillogenesis hypothesis and adds

a role for Tmod in tension mediation.

Our data implicates Tmod in nuclear positioning in the Z-axis and maintaining nuclear

morphology. Mispositioned and deformed nuclei are common in many myopathies and

laminopathies (Romero, 2010, Steele-Stallard et al., 2018, Ross et al., 2019), highlighting the

relevance of correct nuclear positioning for proper muscle function. Actin plays a structural role in

the nucleus (Bettinger et al., 2004); hence Tmod, through its capping function, may stabilize nuclear

and/or perinuclear actin to directly support nuclear integrity. We did not detect nuclear or

perinuclear actin filaments, possibly due to the strong F-actin signal of the adjacent thin filaments.

However, we found defects in the MT networks surrounding the nuclei of Tmod-KD myofibers.

MTs interact with actin filaments and are crucial in myonuclear positioning and integrity. Thus,

Tmod-KD likely has indirect effects on myonuclei by disrupting actin-MT interactions. In addition,

defects in nuclear positioning and morphology could be indirectly affected by the loss myofibril

structure and orientation. In Tmod-KD myofibers, nuclei are initially located at the periphery, but

subsequently sink as myofibril structure is lost. Force exertion on the internalized nuclei could

then lead to deformation of nuclear shape. These nuclei also show defects in transcriptional

activity. Interestingly, WT-shaped nuclei in Tmod-KD myofibers display increased translation makers,

consistent with a compensatory mechanism. In addition to the effects of nuclear morphology, it is

possible that Tmod regulates transcription and/or translation via its effect on actin dynamics. G-actin

has many important functions in the nucleus and nucleolus and directly regulates gene transcription

(Visa and Percipalle, 2010, Bettinger et al., 2004). More research is needed to determine how

deviation from normal positioning in 3 dimensions (X,Y,Z) results in impaired muscle function. To

this end, future studies focused on the distribution of gene products throughout the myofiber when

nuclear positioning is aberrant would be informative.

Our transcriptome analyses suggest that Tmod KD affects two main processes, proteasomal

degradation and mitochondrial metabolism, both critical for myofiber growth (Schiaffino et al.,

2013). However, the decrease in degradation and increase in mitochondrial metabolism detected
in Tmod-KD myofibrils is inconsistent with the observed impaired muscle growth and muscle
weakness. We suggest that this transcriptomic profile indicates a compensatory attempt of the
myofibers to grow, counteracting the lack of tension along the myofiber and structural
deterioration. It is possible that actin inside the nucleus causes these changes through structural
and/or transcriptional changes (Chase et al., 2013, Visa and Percipalle, 2010). However, similar
myofiber phenotypes and changes in metabolism have been observed in *Drosophila TRIM32*
(*thin*) mutant larval muscles (LaBeau-DiMenna et al., 2012, Bawa et al., 2020). Loss of *Drosophila*
TRIM32, an E3-ubiquitin ligase, resulted in a decrease in glycolytic intermediates, indicating that
TRIM32 switches metabolism from oxidative to glycolytic. The mechanisms by which TRIM32 and
Tmod are connected remain to be elucidated, yet the similarities in phenotype and transcriptome
suggest they might be interacting genetically or biochemically. This would indicate a role for Tmod
in dampening oxidative metabolism and, therefore, ATP production. Strikingly, structural
components involved in these pathways, such as mitochondria, are located in high densities at
the visceral muscle surface and around myofibrils; hence, they could be affected indirectly via the
destabilization of the underlying myofibrils.
484
Myopathies are often devastating disorders characterized by impaired muscle function that are
frequently associated with sarcomeric mutations. The molecular details underpinning this
relationship are poorly understood. Our study shows a series of phenotypes associated with KD
of one critical thin filament component, Tmod, that reach far beyond the sarcomere. Our findings
have important implications, as they suggest a key role for Tmod in several cellular processes,
including the regulation of actin isoform expression, the stabilization of tension-mediating proteins,
and nuclear integrity and positioning, therefore providing additional insight to the pathogenesis of
myopathies. The mechanisms directly linking Tmod and critical myofiber processes, including
proteasomal degradation and oxidative metabolism, remain to be fleshed out. Furthermore, our
findings support and strengthen the tension-driven self-organizing myofibrillogenesis model.
Taken together, this study adds significantly to the understanding of Tmod in muscle
development, maintenance, and disease, making it a potential target for new therapeutics that
address muscle weakness.
498

METHODS

***Drosophila* husbandry, stocks and crosses**

Drosophila stocks and experimental crosses were grown on standard cornmeal medium at 25ºC

in 12:12 Light:Dark conditions under humidity control. The Gal4-UAS system (Brand and

Perrimon, 1993) was used for RNA interference studies. Details on the screen that lead to our

analysis of *tmod* are found in Table S1 and S2. For muscle-specific expression and RNAi

potentiation we tested different driver lines (*UAS-dicer2;;Mhc-Gal4,Zasp66::GFP*, *UAS-*

dicer2;;Dmef2-Gal4,Zasp66::GFP/Tm6,Hu,Tb and *UAS-dicer2;;Dmef2-Gal4/Tm6,Hu,Tb*) in

combination with *3 UAS-tmod-RNAi* lines (BDSC#41718, BDSC #31534 and VDRC #108389) to

validate on-target effects and explore KD levels. The driver lines were crossed with *UAS-*

mCherryRNAi (BDSC #35785) as the *control RNAi.* In all instances, larvae displaying a Tb

phenotype (indicatory of balancer chromosome) were excluded from the F_1 analyzed. The mutant

stock *tmod*^{MI02468} (BDSC #36446) was also used for phenotype validation with *yw* as control.

Except where specified, we used a Dmef2-Gal4 driver and one *UAS-tmodRNAi* line

(BDSC#41718) for analyzing Tmod-KD phenotypes (the line is referred to as "*tmod*"). Table S5

contains details on fly stocks.

Larval staging

For all larval experiments, larvae were kept at 25ºC and both male and female larvae were

analyzed. Staging was performed on apple juice agar plates, either in the embryo (see Viability

assay), or by selecting larvae hatched within a 2h period. First instars intended for experiments

were maintained in an apple juice agar plate with yeast paste for ease of manipulation. Larvae for

experiments at later stages (locomotion, muscle analysis) were transferred to standard food vials.

Larvae were removed from food vials using 30% sucrose solution at second instar stage (~24h

after transfer), early third instar (~48h after transfer), late third instar (~96h after transfer) and

wandering (~118h after transfer) stage. Myofiber structure time-course analysis was performed

on all four distinct larval stages, in VI1 or VL3/VL4 muscles of anterior segments (A1 and A2). For

the RNAseq experiment, late third instars (~90h after transfer) were processed for dissection. For

all other experiments at late third instar stage (~3.5 days), staging was confirmed by using

developmental landmarks such as mouth hooks, and spiracle morphologies (Bodenstein, 1950).

qRT-PCR

Ten third instar wandering larvae were dissected and rinsed in ice cold HL3.1 medium (Feng et

al., 2004) as described in (Brent et al., 2009). Total RNA was extracted from the muscle enriched

larval fillets using TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher #15596026), followed by cleanup with TURBO
DNA-free Kit (Ambion #AM1907). The SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR
(Invitrogen #18080-051) was used to synthesize cDNA and qRT-PCR reactions were performed
on the BioRad CFX96 Real-Time PCR system using the SYBR Select Master Mix for CFX
(Applied Biosystems #4472937). Three independent mRNA preparations per genotype were
collected and were run in triplicate. Fold changes were calculated based on values obtained using
the delta-delta Ct method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001, Schmittgen and Livak, 2008). Product
size and uniformity was assessed via melt curve analysis. *Rpl32* was used as a normalization
control. Table S3 contains details on the oligonucleotides used.

Western Blot
Seven to ten late third instar wandering larvae were dissected (See Immunolabeling and Imaging)
and transferred into larval lysis buffer (50mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150mM NaCl, 0.5% NP40, 0.1%
SDS, 2mM DTT) supplemented with Complete mini protease inhibitor cocktail [one tablet in 10mL
of lysis buffer (Roche #11836153001)]. Lysates were homogenized and protein concentrations
were determined using the Bradford assay. Equal amounts of protein (20 µg) were run on a 5%
(Talin) or 10% (Tmod) polyacrylamide gel and then transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane
(ThermoScientific #88018). Membranes were blocked with either 5% milk or 5% BSA in TBST
(Tris-Buffered Saline +0.1% Tween) for 1h at room temperature. Table S5 contains details on
primary and secondary antibodies. Immunoreactions were visualized in a KwikQuant Imager
(Kindle Biosciences, LLC #D1001) using 1-Shot Digital-ECL (Kindle Biosciences, LLC #R1003)
and intensities were quantified using Fiji (NIH). Protein expression was normalized to GAPDH
(loading control) within each sample. Figures and quantifications are representative of 3
experiments.

Larval tracking
Larvae were staged and extracted from food vials (see Larval staging) at first instar, second instar,
early third instar, and late third instar. One larva at a time was placed at the center of an apple
juice agar plate (8.5cm diameter) and recorded for 45 seconds (Samsung Galaxy S8+). Movies
were converted into image sequences (1 image per second) and each larva was tracked using
the Manual Tracking plug-in on Fiji. Average velocity was calculated as in (Balakrishnan et al.,
2021). For each genotype, we performed three replicates with ~10 larvae each. Larval length was
equivalent in both phenotypes for each developmental stage.

Plasmids

The cDNA for TmodO/K (NCBI Reference Sequences: NP_001247373.1 / NP_001189319.1) was

acquired from DNAsu clone DmCD00765633 (Tempe, AZ, USA). The cDNA for TmodQ (NCBI

Reference Sequence: NP_001263112.1) was synthesized by GeneWiz (South Plainfield, NJ,

USA). Each cDNA was PCR-amplified and ligated between the SacI and KpnI sites of

pRSF_Duet1 (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA) with an N-terminal 6x histidine tag and a C-

terminal Strep-II tag.

Protein expression and purification

Tmod isoforms in pRSF_Duet1 were expressed in ArcticExpress(DE3) RIL cells (Agilent

Technologies), grown in Terrific Broth (TB) medium for 6 h at 37 °C to an optical density of ~1.5

at 600 nm (OD600), followed by 24 h at 10°C in with 0.4 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG).

Cells were harvested by centrifugation (4,000g), resuspended in nickel buffer (20 mM HEPES pH

7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 1 mM PMSF), and lysed using a microfluidizer apparatus

(Microfluidics). Proteins were first purified on nickel-NTA resin, washed with 20 column volumes

of nickel buffer, and eluted using nickel buffer supplemented with 300mM imidazole. Elution

fractions containing the Tmod proteins were then loaded onto Streptactin XT resin (IBA Life

Sciences, Göttingen, Germany) and washed with 20 column volumes of strep buffer (20 mM

HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA). Proteins were eluted using strep buffer

supplemented with 40mM biotin, and further purified through a SD200HL 26/600 gel filtration

column (GE Healthcare) in strep buffer supplemented with 1 mM DTT. Human CapZ (Rao et al.,

2014), rabbit skeletal muscle actin (Pardee et al., 1982), and rabbit skeletal muscle tropomyosin

(Smillie, 1982) were purified as described.

Pointed-end capping assay

Capping assays were carried out as described previously (Rao et al., 2014, Kumari et al., 2020).

Briefly, 1.5 μM of pre-formed F-actin seeds, coated with 1 μM Tropomyosin and capped at the

barbed end with saturating amounts of CapZ (25 nM), were incubated and assayed alone or with

4 different concentrations (25, 100, 400, 800 nM). Upon addition of 1.5 μM G-actin (6% pyrene-

labeled), reactions were monitored as the fluorescence increase resulting from the incorporation

of pyrene-actin monomers at the pointed-end. Pyrene fluorescence (excitation 365 nm, emission

407 nm) was detected using a plate reader (BioTek Cytation 5), and for each Tmod concentration

four replicates were recorded. Maximum polymerization rates were determined by taking the first

derivative of each curve, identifying the time interval corresponding to the greatest slope, and

performing a linear regression on the original data within that time interval.

Immunostaining and confocal imaging

Larvae were dissected in ice cold HL3.1 medium as described in (Brent et al., 2009) and fixed

with 10% formalin (Sigma-Aldrich #HT501128) for 20 minutes. Larval filets were blocked with

PBT-BSA [1x PBS supplemented with 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich #X100) and 0.1% BSA

(Sigma-Aldrich #A7906)] for 30 minutes. Larval filets were then incubated with primary antibody

(Table S5) overnight at 4ºC, followed by washes in PBT-BSA. Incubation with Alexa Fluor-

conjugated secondary antibodies (Table S5), Phalloidin and/or Hoechst 3342 were performed at

room temperature for 2 hours, followed by washes in PBT. Subsequently, larval filets were

mounted in ProLong Gold (Invitrogen #P36930) and slides were cured at room temperature for

24h. Z-stacks were acquired using a SP5 laser-scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems) with

either dry objectives (HC PL APO CS 10x/0.40 and HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75) or oil-immersion

objectives (HC PL APO CS 20x/0.70, HCX PL APO CS 40x/1.25-0.75, HCX PL APO CS 63x/1.40-

0.60, and HCX PL APO CS 100x/1.46). All samples intended for direct comparison were imaged

using the same confocal settings. Maximum intensity projections of confocal Z stacks were

rendered using Fiji. Approximately 7 larvae (range: 5-10) of each genotype were dissected and

experiments were repeated at least twice.

Quantification of muscle defects per segment

Muscle defects in second instar, early third instar, and late third instar larvae were scored based

on ectopic and abnormal actin structures using a confocal microscope. A score was given for

each VL3/4 muscle pair: 3 – WT-like, 2 – mild structural defects (diagonal myofibrils) and 1 –

severe defects in muscle structure. We evaluated muscles in both hemisegments of the larva in

abdominal segments 1-6. This analysis was performed in triplicate with 3-4 larvae per replicate.

Sarcomere and thin filament size/count analysis

Larvae expressing a Zasp::GFP protein trap were fixed by brief (~5 seconds) submersion in 65°C

water and mounted on a slide with halocarbon oil. Z-stacks of the cuticular side of the VL3 or VL4

muscles from abdominal segment 2 were acquired using a SP5 laser-scanning microscope (Leica

Microsystems) with either a HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 (late third instars) or HCX PL APO CS

40x/1.25-0.75 (second instars) oil immersion objective.

Muscle length, sarcomere size and number were quantified as described in step 32 of
(Balakrishnan et al., 2021). For accuracy and to assess potential regional differences, sarcomere
size was also quantified by an alternative method. Z-stacks were opened in Fiji and a line that
spanned six Z-discs (five sarcomeres) was made using the line tool. Subsequently, the line
intensity plot was obtained, and the X-coordinates (microns) of the peaks were acquired with the
multi-point tool. The distance in microns between Z-disks was obtained by calculating the
difference between the X-coordinates of the six peaks. This analysis was done in triplicate. In
each replicate, six muscles were analyzed, each belonging to an individual larva. Three lines were
traced per muscle: in the anterior fraction of the muscle, in the medial region and in the posterior
end, with a total of ~90 sarcomere size measurements per replicate (Fig. S4C).

Sarcomere length was obtained from Zasp::GFP heat-fixed larvae, which was performed by a
direct method from Z-disc to Z-disc distance. Due to technical challenges in some areas of the
muscles, two confirmatory methods were also employed. First, measurements were confirmed by
comparing the heat-fixed samples with a formalin fixed sample. Second, we performed a
sarcomere length average by taking the muscle length divided by the total number of Z-discs.

Thin filament length was assessed in formalin fixed samples that displayed a clear striation pattern
and a clear M-line (therefore, we conclude the muscles were in a stretched configuration). Zasp
was used as a marker for Z-discs, we traced a line spanning three contiguous Z-discs. The line
intensity plot of Phalloidin was obtained and was normalized by calculating deviation from the
mean to account for background noise; those with values above zero were used to calculate thin
filament length.

Intensity quantification at the muscle ends (MTJs)
Maximum intensity projections of confocal z-stacks of β_{PS}-Integrin, Talin and pTyr
immunostainings were generated in Fiji. A line spanning the MTJ and three contiguous
sarcomeres (as a landmark reference) was drawn with the line tool. Line intensity plots were
obtained with the intensity value for each pixel in the line. These values were split into five bins
(each bin encompassing 20% of the intensity values) along the anterior-posterior axis and an
average was calculated for each bin. Normalization was accomplished by calculating the deviation
from the mean value. We traced two lines per MTJ per larva (16-20 measurements per genotype
from 4-5 different larvae) and per genotype. This analysis was done in duplicate.

Nuclear analysis

All images were processed and analyzed with Fiji. 2D quantifications of VL3 and VL4 muscles were performed with maximum intensity projections (as previously described in Windner et al. (2019)). Muscle areas were traced by hand with the polygon tool. Automated thresholding of fluorescence intensities of anti-Lamin and/or Hoechst was used to generate binary images of VL nuclei. The number, size (area), position (X and Y coordinates) and shape descriptors (roundness and circularity) of all nuclei within each cell was obtained and the binary masks were used to quantify Hoechst and H3K9ac pixel intensity (mean gray value). Automated thresholding of anti-Fibrillarin labeling was used to generate binary images of nucleoli and measure nucleolar sizes (areas) and to quantify Fibrillarin pixel intensity (mean gray value). The 3D rendering of nuclei was processed with Imaris.

RNA sequencing analysis

Eight to ten late third instar larvae were dissected as described above per genotype in each replicate. The experiment was done in triplicate. The muscle-enriched larval filets were transferred to TRIzol and homogenized. The lysates were submitted to the MSKCC's Integrated Genomics Operations (IGO) core for further total RNA extraction, quality assessment and Illumina next-generation sequencing. The sequencing libraries were constructed using SMARTerAmpSeq after the mRNAs were enriched by oligo-dT-mediated purification. The libraries were then sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 in a PE50 run. Over 40 million reads were generated per library. FASTQ data was read into R with the ShortRead package (Morgan et al., 2009) and QC was performed with the Rfastp package (Wang et al., 2022). Reads were mapped and aligned to the *Drosophila* genome using Rsubread (Liao et al., 2019). Next, the reads were counted with the GenomicAlignments package (Lawrence et al., 2013). Gene differential expression tests were performed using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). Finally, enrichment analysis was performed through goseq (Young et al., 2010) using both Gene Ontology and KEGG databases. Plots were drawn using R with the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2016).

ATP assay

An ATP calibration curve was performed using the stabilized ATP standard stocks provided in the kit ATP Bioluminescence Assay Kit HS II (Millipore Sigma #11699709001) and following the supplied protocol. Five to ten late third instar wandering larvae from each genotype were dissected (See Immunolabeling and Imaging) and transferred into the cell lysis reagent provided with the kit. Lysates were homogenized and protein concentrations were determined using the

Bradford assay. Equal amounts of protein (10 μg) were used for bioluminescence measurements.

Blank measurements were subtracted from the raw data and ATP concentrations were calculated

from the standard curve data. The experiment was done in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined by either student's unpaired t-test (comparisons between

two groups), one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA (comparisons among multiple groups) in the

GraphPad Prism software. Data are presented as mean$\pm$SD, asterisks are used to denote

significance. Graphs were made using either GraphPad Prism, Microsoft Excel or R.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Baylies lab members, F. Schnorrer, G. Tanentzapf, A. Beggs and V. Gupta for

helpful discussions and H. Bellen and F. Schöck for providing antibodies. We thank the members

of the Integrated Genomics Operation (IGO) and the Bioinformatics Cores at MSKCC.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

FUNDING

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant R01 GM073791 to R.D., a

Blavatnik Family Foundation predoctoral fellowship to P.J.C., National Institutes of Health grants

R01 AR068128 and R35 GM141877 to M.K.B., and the National Cancer Institute P30 CA 008748

to Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC).

DATA AVAILABILITY

RNA-seq data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the accession

number GSE210507.

 FIGURES

Fig. 1: Tmod is required for larval muscle integrity.

(A) Third instar *Drosophila* larval filet, abdominal segments (A2-6) and body wall muscles (red,

phalloidin) with Z-discs (green, Zasp::GFP) and nuclei (blue, Hoechst); anterior, left.

(B) VL3 and VL4 muscles showing MTJs and zoomed-in panel of a sarcomere (Z-stack

projection).

(C) VL4 myofiber longitudinal cross-section with nuclei on the cell surface.

(D) Overview of anterior musculature in control (*mCherryRNAi*) and Tmod-KD (*tmodRNAi*) larvae

(top). Higher magnification of VL3 muscle merge, F-actin and Zasp::GFP (bottom 3 panels). Cyan

arrow indicates width reduction of the myofiber. Yellow arrowhead: ectopic F-actin. Yellow arrow:

remaining myofibril.

(E) Visceral view of VL3 and VL4 Tmod-KD myofibers showing disorganized myofibrils and

ectopic F-actin (top), cuticular view showing conserved sarcomeres (middle) and cross-sectional

diagram showing integration of both visceral and cuticular sides in a myofiber (bottom).

(F) VL3 and VL4 myofiber areas (A2) in control and Tmod-KD wandering late third instars. Graph

represents one experiment (N>3 replicates, each with n_{VL3}=7 myofibers and n_{VL4}=7 myofibers per

genotype; p_{VL3}<0.0001 and p_{VL4}=0.0006, two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test). Mean $\pm$ SD.

(G) Sarcomere length in control and Tmod-KD myofibers. Yellow line spans six Z-discs.

(H) Perpendicular sarcomeres in Tmod-KD myofibers. Yellow line spans four Z-discs.

(I) Disorganized, misoriented myofibrils. Green arrow indicates diagonal myofibrils.

(J) Optical cross-section of Tmod-KD myofiber displaying internalized and misshapen nuclei.

Scale bars: 200μm (A), 100μm (top panels in D), 25μm (B, C, bottom 3 panels in D, E, and I) and

10μm (G, H, and J).

Figure 2

Fig. 2: Structural patterned deterioration of Tmod-KD myofibers throughout *Drosophila* larval stages.

(A) Posterior end of VI1 myofiber from A1 segment and full length VL3 and VL4 myofibers from A2 segment in control and Tmod-KD second instar larvae (red, phalloidin; green, Zasp::GFP and blue, Hoechst) (left). F-actin channel only (gray, phalloidin) (right). Yellow arrowhead: diagonally misoriented myofibril in VI1 from A1. Yellow arrow: perpendicular misoriented myofibrils in VI1 from A1.

(B) Worsening myofibers from A1 and A2 segment in Tmod-KD early third instars (bottom), controls (top). Cyan arrowheads: diagonally misoriented myofibrils. Cyan arrow: perpendicular myofibrils in posterior end of VL3 muscle from A2.

(C) Worsening myofibers from A1 and A2 in Tmod-KD late third instars (middle panels) and wandering late third instar (bottom panel), control (top panel). Magenta arrowheads: worsening of diagonally misoriented myofibrils from A1 and A2. Magenta arrow: perpendicular myofibrils in anterior end of VL3 muscle from A2. Magenta circles: ectopic F-actin structures that replace stereotypical myofibrillar pattern. Quantifications across the A-P axis in Supplemental Fig2D.

(D) Intersecting grouped myofibrils in early third instar.

(E) Interlaced or "braided" myofibrils in late third instar.

(F and G) Ectopic F-actin bundles and radial actin structures, respectively, that lack myofibrillar pattern in wandering late third instar.

N=3 replicates, each with n=5 larvae per stage

Scale bars: 25μm (A-F) and 10μm (G).

Fig. 3: *Drosophila* Tmod is a capping protein and Tmod-KD myofibers display longer thin filaments and sarcomeres.

(A) Pyrene-labeled actin polymerization assays at the pointed-end with human TMOD3, *Drosophila* TmodO/K and TmodQ at multiple concentrations (N=4 per isoform per concentration).

(B) Cuticular sarcomeres from control and Tmod-KD myofibers of late third instars in A2 (red, phalloidin, and green, Zasp) (left). Middle panel: Zasp channel; right panel: phalloidin channel.

(C) Sarcomere length in control and Tmod-KD VL3 myofibers from A2 in second instars and late third instars. Each dot is one experiment (N=3 replicates, each with $n_{2nd\ instars}$=75-135, $n_{late\ 3rd\ instars}$=45-105 sarcomeres [from 3-8 different larvae] per genotype; $p_{2nd\ instars}$=0.0076, $p_{3rd\ instars}$=0.0009, $p_{mCherryRNAi}$=0.9703, $p_{tmodRNAi}$=0.1843, ordinary 2-way ANOVA multiple comparisons). Mean ± SD.

(D) Scatterplots and regression lines (dotted) comparing Z-disc number in relationship to muscle length (in microns) in control (blue) and Tmod-KD (orange) VL3 myofibers from A2 in second instars and late third instars (N=3 experiments, each with $n_{2nd\ instars}$=19-20, $n_{late\ 3rd\ instars}$=16-17 myofibers per genotype). R^2 are indicated.

(E) Crawling velocities from each larval stage in control (blue) and Tmod-KD (orange). Each dot is one experiment (N=3 replicates, $n_{1st\ instars}$=10, $n_{2nd\ instars}$=10, $n_{early\ 3rd\ instars}$=5-10, $n_{late\ 3rd\ instars}$= 3-10, $n_{wandering}$=3-4 larvae per genotype and experiment; $p_{1st\ instars}$=0.245, $p_{2nd\ instars}$=0.0326, $p_{early\ 3rd\ instars}$=0.0182, $p_{late\ 3rd\ instars}$=0.0433, $p_{wandering}$=0.0507, two-tailed paired Student's t-test). Mean ± SD.

(F) Intensities of phalloidin (red) and Zasp::GFP (green) normalized as the deviation from the mean and plotted across the length of two sarcomeres in A2 of late third instars. Thin filament length is obtained from the length under the center peak divided in half (n=4-6 muscles from different larva per genotype). Mean ± SD.

Scale bars: 5μm (B).

Fig. 4: Tmod-KD muscles exhibit Tmod decrease and loss of mechanosensory protein enrichment and tension at the MTJ.

(A) VL3 and VL4 myofibers in A2 from late third instars in control and Tmod-KD larvae. In control, Tmod (green) displays a stereotypical pattern in the sarcomeres and its colocalization with β_{PS}-

integrin (red) at the MTJ (top panel). In Tmod-KD myofibers, Tmod is not present at the same

intensity levels at the sarcomeres nor the MTJ (bottom panel).

(B) Talin Western blot and quantification in late third instar muscle-enriched lysates.

Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), loading control. Each dot is an

experiment (N=3 replicates, each with n=5-10 larval carcasses per genotype, p=0.7846, two-

tailed paired Student's t-test). Mean $\pm$ SD.

(C and D) Analysis of protein localization (magenta, phalloidin; yellow, Zasp::GFP; green, Tmod;

and red, β_{PS}-integrin) relative to one another at the MTJ (A2-3) in control and Tmod-KD in second

and late third instar larvae.

(E) β_{PS}-integrin (white) strong enrichment at the MTJ (A2-3) in second instar control compared to

decreased signal in Tmod-KD. Quantification in Supplemental Fig 5A.

(F) β_{PS}-integrin (left), Talin (white, middle) and pTyr (white, right) strong enrichment at the MTJ

(A2-3) in wandering third instar control compared to absence of signal in Tmod-KD.

Quantifications in Supplemental Fig 5B. Yellow arrows: ectopic pools of protein.

Scale bars: 5μm (C, D) and 25μm (A, E, and F).

Fig. 5: Tmod-KD myofibers display misshapen and dysfunctional nuclei in late third instars.

(A) VL3 and VL4 myofibers (red, phalloidin) with nuclei (white, Lamin) in A2 in control (top) and

Tmod-KD larvae (bottom).

(B) High magnification of control myonuclei (white, Lamin) and misshapen and internalized Tmod-

KD nuclei. See supplemental Movie 1 and 2.

(C) Quantification of two shape descriptors: circularity and roundness in VL3 and VL4 myofibers

in A2 from control and Tmod-KD. Graph represents one experiment, each dot is one myofiber per

larva (n_{VL3}= 15-20, n_{VL4}=8-11 myonuclei per myofiber and genotype; circularity p_{VL3}<0.0001,

circularity p_{VL4}<0.0001; roundness p_{VL3}<0.0001, roundness p_{VL4}<0.0001, ordinary one-way

ANOVA multiple comparisons). Experiment repeated N>3. Mean$\pm$SD.

(D) Distribution of nuclear roundness along the AP axis of the myofiber from control (blue) and

Tmod-KD (orange) myofibers. Polynomial trendline shown by curved dotted lines.

(E) MT staining (white, α-tubulin; blue, nuclei) showing radial perinuclear arrays and longitudinal

tracks in control and a decrease of these in Tmod-KD.

(F-G) H3K9ac and Fib staining (white) indicating active transcription sites and nucleolus

respectively in myonuclei both in control and Tmod-KD myofibers.

(H and I) Scatterplots showing H3K9ac and Fib pixel intensity levels (mean gray value) in

relationship to roundness levels. Each graph represents one experiment, each dot is one

myonucleus (n_{H3K9ac}=178-190 myonuclei per genotype, from 7 VL3 and VL4 muscles sets, in 7

larvae; n_{Fib}=308-396 myonuclei per genotype, from 12-15 VL3 and VL4 muscle sets, in 7-8

larvae). (H) Q3 indicates Tmod-KD misshaped nuclei (roundness<0.6) with decreased H3K9ac

intensity when compared to control in Q1. (I) Q1 indicates Tmod-KD WT-like nuclei

(roundness>0.6) with increased Fib intensity when compared to control in Q4. Q3 indicate Tmod-

KD misshaped nuclei (roundness<0.6) with a similar Fib intensity when compared to control.

Experiments repeated N>2 unless specified otherwise.

Scale bars: 50μm (A, E and F), 25μm (B) and 10μm (F and G).

Fig. 6: Tmod-KD late third instar larvae show differential gene expression (DEG).

(A and B) Top 10 downregulated and upregulated Gene Ontology gene sets in Tmod-KD vs

control by ORA.

(C) ATP concentration in muscle-enriched larval lysates. Each dot is one experiment (N=3

replicates, each with n=5-10 larval carcasses per genotype, p=0.0285, two-tailed unpaired

Student's t-test). Mean ± SD.

(D) Normalized counts for the different *Drosophila* actin genes in control larvae. Mean ± SD.

(E) Log2 fold change of actin genes isoforms in Tmod-KD vs control (p_{Act57B}=0.0053,

p_{Act87E}<0.0001, p_{Act5E}= 0.0011, p_{Act42A}<0.0001). Mean±SE. RNAseq data was used for plots A, B,

D, and E (N=3 replicates, each with n=7-10 larval carcasses per genotype).

Fig. 7: Summary of Tmod's diverse roles in *Drosophila* larva myofibers.

(1) Sarcomere size: Tmod regulates sarcomere and thin filament length.

(2) Myofibril anchorage: Tmod establishes tension along the longitudinal axis by stabilizing the interaction of β_{PS}-integrin/Talin at the MTJ.

(3) Nuclear stabilization: Tmod maintains nuclear integrity either through MT-actin interactions or through nuclear F-actin.

(4) Transcription/translation: Tmod regulates nuclear output, through actin as a transcription factor in the nucleus or by actin's role in nuclear architecture and chromatin organization.

(5) Energy/Protein turnover: Upon Tmod-KD, we observed an increase in energy production genes and a decrease of proteasome genes. This change in transcriptome could be due to Tmod's role in nuclear stabilization or as compensation to generate myofiber growth.

Grey dashed arrows and question marks indicate potential consequences.

REFERENCES

ATHERTON, P., STUTCHBURY, B., JETHWA, D. & BALLESTREM, C. 2016. Mechanosensitive components of integrin adhesions: Role of vinculin. *Exp Cell Res,* 343**,** 21-27.

AVELLANEDA, J., RODIER, C., DAIAN, F., BROUILLY, N., RIVAL, T., LUIS, N. M. & SCHNORRER, F. 2021. Myofibril and mitochondria morphogenesis are coordinated by a mechanical feedback mechanism in muscle. *Nat Commun,* 12**,** 2091.

BAI, J., HARTWIG, J. H. & PERRIMON, N. 2007. SALS, a WH2-domain-containing protein, promotes sarcomeric actin filament elongation from pointed ends during Drosophila muscle growth. *Dev Cell,* 13**,** 828-42.

BALAKRISHNAN, M., SISSO, W. J. & BAYLIES, M. K. 2021. Analyzing muscle structure and function throughout the larval instars in live Drosophila. *STAR Protoc,* 2**,** 100291.

BALAKRISHNAN, M., YU, S. F., CHIN, S. M., SOFFAR, D. B., WINDNER, S. E., GOODE, B. L. & BAYLIES, M. K. 2020. Cofilin Loss in Drosophila Muscles Contributes to Muscle Weakness through Defective Sarcomerogenesis during Muscle Growth. *Cell Rep,* 32**,** 107893.

BAROHN, R. J., DIMACHKIE, M. M. & JACKSON, C. E. 2014. A pattern recognition approach to patients with a suspected myopathy. *Neurol Clin,* 32**,** 569-93, vii.

BATE, M. 1990. The embryonic development of larval muscles in Drosophila. *Development,* 110**,** 791-804.

BAWA, S., BROOKS, D. S., NEVILLE, K. E., TIPPING, M., SAGAR, M. A., KOLLHOFF, J. A., CHAWLA, G., GEISBRECHT, B. V., TENNESSEN, J. M., ELICEIRI, K. W. & GEISBRECHT, E. R. 2020. Drosophila TRIM32 cooperates with glycolytic enzymes to promote cell growth. *Elife,* 9.

BECKER, R., LEONE, M. & ENGEL, F. B. 2020. Microtubule Organization in Striated Muscle Cells. *Cells,* 9.

BERGER, J., BERGER, S., MOK, Y. S. G., LI, M., TARAKCI, H. & CURRIE, P. D. 2022. Genetic dissection of novel myopathy models reveals a role of CapZalpha and Leiomodin 3 during myofibril elongation. *PLoS Genet,* 18**,** e1010066.

BERGER, J., TARAKCI, H., BERGER, S., LI, M., HALL, T. E., ARNER, A. & CURRIE, P. D. 2014. Loss of Tropomodulin4 in the zebrafish mutant trage causes cytoplasmic rod formation and muscle weakness reminiscent of nemaline myopathy. *Dis Model Mech,* 7**,** 1407-15.

BETTINGER, B. T., GILBERT, D. M. & AMBERG, D. C. 2004. Actin up in the nucleus. *Nat Rev*
Mol Cell Biol, 5**,** 410-5.

BODENSTEIN, D. 1950. The Postembryonic Development of Drosophila. *In:* M, D. (ed.)
Biology of Drosophila. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

BRAND, A. H. & PERRIMON, N. 1993. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell
fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development,* 118**,** 401-15.

BRENT, J. R., WERNER, K. M. & MCCABE, B. D. 2009. Drosophila larval NMJ dissection. *J*
Vis Exp.

BROOKS, D., BAWA, S., BONTRAGER, A., STETSIV, M., GUO, Y. & GEISBRECHT, E. R.
2022. Independent pathways control muscle tissue size and sarcomere remodeling. *Dev*
Biol, 490**,** 1-12.

CARIDI, C. P., PLESSNER, M., GROSSE, R. & CHIOLO, I. 2019. Nuclear actin filaments in
DNA repair dynamics. *Nat Cell Biol,* 21**,** 1068-1077.

CENIK, B. K., GARG, A., MCANALLY, J. R., SHELTON, J. M., RICHARDSON, J. A., BASSEL-
DUBY, R., OLSON, E. N. & LIU, N. 2015. Severe myopathy in mice lacking the
MEF2/SRF-dependent gene leiomodin-3. *J Clin Invest,* 125**,** 1569-78.

CHANG, W., ANTOKU, S., OSTLUND, C., WORMAN, H. J. & GUNDERSEN, G. G. 2015.
Linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex-mediated actin-dependent
nuclear positioning orients centrosomes in migrating myoblasts. *Nucleus,* 6**,** 77-88.

CHARVET, B., RUGGIERO, F. & LE GUELLEC, D. 2012. The development of the
myotendinous junction. A review. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J,* 2**,** 53-63.

CHASE, P. B., SZCZYPINSKI, M. P. & SOTO, E. P. 2013. Nuclear tropomyosin and troponin
in striated muscle: new roles in a new locale? *J Muscle Res Cell Motil,* 34**,** 275-84.

CHEREAU, D., BOCZKOWSKA, M., SKWAREK-MARUSZEWSKA, A., FUJIWARA, I.,
HAYES, D. B., REBOWSKI, G., LAPPALAINEN, P., POLLARD, T. D. & DOMINGUEZ, R.
2008. Leiomodin is an actin filament nucleator in muscle cells. *Science,* 320**,** 239-43.

CHRISTOPHERS, B., LOPEZ, M. A., GUPTA, V. A., VOGEL, H. & BAYLIES, M. 2022.
Pediatric Nemaline Myopathy: A Systematic Review Using Individual Patient Data. *Journal*
of Child Neurology, 37**,** 652-663.

CHRZANOWSKA-WODNICKA, M. & BURRIDGE, K. 1994. Tyrosine phosphorylation is
involved in reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton in response to serum or LPA
stimulation. *J Cell Sci,* 107 (Pt 12)**,** 3643-54.

DAVIDSON, P. M. & CADOT, B. 2021. Actin on and around the Nucleus. *Trends Cell Biol,* 31**,**
211-223.

DE WINTER, J. M. & OTTENHEIJM, C. A. C. 2017. Sarcomere Dysfunction in Nemaline
Myopathy. *J Neuromuscul Dis,* 4**,** 99-113.

DEMONTIS, F. & PERRIMON, N. 2009. Integration of Insulin receptor/Foxo signaling and
dMyc activity during muscle growth regulates body size in Drosophila. *Development,* 136**,**
983-93.

DENG, S., SILIMON, R. L., BALAKRISHNAN, M., BOTHE, I., JUROS, D., SOFFAR, D. B. &
BAYLIES, M. K. 2021. The actin polymerization factor Diaphanous and the actin severing
protein Flightless I collaborate to regulate sarcomere size. *Dev Biol,* 469**,** 12-25.

DIX, D. J. & EISENBERG, B. R. 1990. Myosin mRNA accumulation and myofibrillogenesis at
the myotendinous junction of stretched muscle fibers. *J Cell Biol,* 111**,** 1885-94.

DLUGOSZ, A. A., ANTIN, P. B., NACHMIAS, V. T. & HOLTZER, H. 1984. The relationship
between stress fiber-like structures and nascent myofibrils in cultured cardiac myocytes.
J Cell Biol, 99**,** 2268-78.

DOGTEROM, M. & KOENDERINK, G. H. 2019. Actin-microtubule crosstalk in cell biology. *Nat*
Rev Mol Cell Biol, 20**,** 38-54.

DOOLITTLE, L. K., ROSEN, M. K. & PADRICK, S. B. 2013. Measurement and analysis of in
vitro actin polymerization. *Methods Mol Biol,* 1046**,** 273-93.

DYE, C. A., LEE, J. K., ATKINSON, R. C., BREWSTER, R., HAN, P. L. & BELLEN, H. J. 1998.
The Drosophila sanpodo gene controls sibling cell fate and encodes a tropomodulin
homolog, an actin/tropomyosin-associated protein. *Development,* 125**,** 1845-56.

FARINA, F., GAILLARD, J., GUERIN, C., COUTE, Y., SILLIBOURNE, J., BLANCHOIN, L. &
THERY, M. 2016. The centrosome is an actin-organizing centre. *Nat Cell Biol,* 18**,** 65-75.

FENG, Y., UEDA, A. & WU, C. F. 2004. A modified minimal hemolymph-like solution, HL3.1,
for physiological recordings at the neuromuscular junctions of normal and mutant
Drosophila larvae. *J Neurogenet,* 18**,** 377-402.

FENIX, A. M., NEININGER, A. C., TANEJA, N., HYDE, K., VISETSOUK, M. R., GARDE, R.
J., LIU, B., NIXON, B. R., MANALO, A. E., BECKER, J. R., CRAWLEY, S. W., BADER,
D. M., TYSKA, M. J., LIU, Q., GUTZMAN, J. H. & BURNETTE, D. T. 2018. Muscle-specific
stress fibers give rise to sarcomeres in cardiomyocytes. *Elife,* 7.

FERNANDES, I. & SCHOCK, F. 2014. The nebulin repeat protein Lasp regulates I-band
architecture and filament spacing in myofibrils. *J Cell Biol,* 206**,** 559-72.

FISCHER, R. S., YARMOLA, E. G., WEBER, K. L., SPEICHER, K. D., SPEICHER, D. W.,
BUBB, M. R. & FOWLER, V. M. 2006. Tropomodulin 3 binds to actin monomers. *J Biol*
Chem, 281**,** 36454-65.

FOWLER, V. M. 1997. Capping actin filament growth: tropomodulin in muscle and nonmuscle
cells. *Soc Gen Physiol Ser,* 52**,** 79-89.

FOWLER, V. M. & DOMINGUEZ, R. 2017. Tropomodulins and Leiomodins: Actin Pointed End
Caps and Nucleators in Muscles. *Biophys J,* 112**,** 1742-1760.

FYRBERG, E. A., MAHAFFEY, J. W., BOND, B. J. & DAVIDSON, N. 1983. Transcripts of the
six Drosophila actin genes accumulate in a stage- and tissue-specific manner. *Cell,* 33**,**
115-23.

GHOSH, A. & FOWLER, V. M. 2021. Tropomodulins. *Curr Biol,* 31**,** R501-R503.

GILLERY, P., GEORGES, N., RANDOUX, A., LEFEVRE, F., MAQUART, F. X. & BOREL, J.
P. 1996. Modulation of protein synthesis by extracellular matrix: potential involvement of
two nucleolar proteins, nucleolin and fibrillarin. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun,* 228**,** 94-
9.

GOKHIN, D. S. & FOWLER, V. M. 2013. A two-segment model for thin filament architecture in
skeletal muscle. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* 14**,** 113-9.

GOLDSPINK, G. 1983. Alterations in Myofibril Size and Structure During Growth, Exercise,
and Changes in Environmental Temperature. *Comprehensive Physiology.*

GREGORIO, C. C., WEBER, A., BONDAD, M., PENNISE, C. R. & FOWLER, V. M. 1995.
Requirement of pointed-end capping by tropomodulin to maintain actin filament length in
embryonic chick cardiac myocytes. *Nature,* 377**,** 83-6.

HAAS, J. N. 1950. Cytoplasmic growth in the muscle fibers of larvae of Drosophila
melanogaster. *Growth,* 14**,** 277-94.

KEE, A. J., GUNNING, P. W. & HARDEMAN, E. C. 2009. Diverse roles of the actin
cytoskeleton in striated muscle. *J Muscle Res Cell Motil,* 30**,** 187-97.

KHARCHENKO, P. V., ALEKSEYENKO, A. A., SCHWARTZ, Y. B., MINODA, A., RIDDLE, N.
C., ERNST, J., SABO, P. J., LARSCHAN, E., GORCHAKOV, A. A., GU, T., LINDER-
BASSO, D., PLACHETKA, A., SHANOWER, G., TOLSTORUKOV, M. Y., LUQUETTE, L.
J., XI, R., JUNG, Y. L., PARK, R. W., BISHOP, E. P., CANFIELD, T. K., SANDSTROM,
R., THURMAN, R. E., MACALPINE, D. M., STAMATOYANNOPOULOS, J. A., KELLIS,
M., ELGIN, S. C., KURODA, M. I., PIRROTTA, V., KARPEN, G. H. & PARK, P. J. 2011.
Comprehensive analysis of the chromatin landscape in Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature,*
471**,** 480-5.

KUMARI, R., JIU, Y., CARMAN, P. J., TOJKANDER, S., KOGAN, K., VARJOSALO, M.,
GUNNING, P. W., DOMINGUEZ, R. & LAPPALAINEN, P. 2020. Tropomodulins Control

the Balance between Protrusive and Contractile Structures by Stabilizing Actin-Tropomyosin Filaments. *Curr Biol,* 30**,** 767-778 e5.

LABEAU-DIMENNA, E. M., CLARK, K. A., BAUMAN, K. D., PARKER, D. S., CRIPPS, R. M. & GEISBRECHT, E. R. 2012. Thin, a Trim32 ortholog, is essential for myofibril stability and is required for the integrity of the costamere in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 109**,** 17983-8.

LAHIRI, S., SHEN, K., KLEIN, M., TANG, A., KANE, E., GERSHOW, M., GARRITY, P. & SAMUEL, A. D. 2011. Two alternating motor programs drive navigation in Drosophila larva. *PLoS One,* 6**,** e23180.

LAITILA, J. & WALLGREN-PETTERSSON, C. 2021. Recent advances in nemaline myopathy. *Neuromuscul Disord,* 31**,** 955-967.

LAWRENCE, M., HUBER, W., PAGES, H., ABOYOUN, P., CARLSON, M., GENTLEMAN, R., MORGAN, M. T. & CAREY, V. J. 2013. Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. *PLoS Comput Biol,* 9**,** e1003118.

LEE, H. S., LIM, C. J., PUZON-MCLAUGHLIN, W., SHATTIL, S. J. & GINSBERG, M. H. 2009. RIAM activates integrins by linking talin to ras GTPase membrane-targeting sequences. *J Biol Chem,* 284**,** 5119-27.

LEFEVRE, F., GARNOTEL, R., GEORGES, N. & GILLERY, P. 2001. Modulation of collagen metabolism by the nucleolar protein fibrillarin. *Exp Cell Res,* 271**,** 84-93.

LEGOFF, L. & LECUIT, T. 2015. Mechanical Forces and Growth in Animal Tissues. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol,* 8**,** a019232.

LEMKE, S. B. & SCHNORRER, F. 2017. Mechanical forces during muscle development. *Mech Dev,* 144**,** 92-101.

LEMKE, S. B., WEIDEMANN, T., COST, A. L., GRASHOFF, C. & SCHNORRER, F. 2019. A small proportion of Talin molecules transmit forces at developing muscle attachments in vivo. *PLoS Biol,* 17**,** e3000057.

LIAO, Y., SMYTH, G. K. & SHI, W. 2019. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 47**,** e47.

LITTLEFIELD, R., ALMENAR-QUERALT, A. & FOWLER, V. M. 2001. Actin dynamics at pointed ends regulates thin filament length in striated muscle. *Nat Cell Biol,* 3**,** 544-51.

LITTLEFIELD, R. S. & FOWLER, V. M. 2008. Thin filament length regulation in striated muscle sarcomeres: pointed-end dynamics go beyond a nebulin ruler. *Semin Cell Dev Biol,* 19**,** 511-9.

LIVAK, K. J. & SCHMITTGEN, T. D. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using
real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods,* 25**,** 402-8.
LOVE, M. I., HUBER, W. & ANDERS, S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol,* 15**,** 550.
MANHART, A., WINDNER, S., BAYLIES, M. & MOGILNER, A. 2018. Mechanical positioning
of multiple nuclei in muscle cells. *PLoS Comput Biol,* 14**,** e1006208.
MARDAHL-DUMESNIL, M. & FOWLER, V. M. 2001. Thin filaments elongate from their pointed
ends during myofibril assembly in Drosophila indirect flight muscle. *J Cell Biol,* 155**,** 1043-
53.
MARTINO, F., PERESTRELO, A. R., VINARSKY, V., PAGLIARI, S. & FORTE, G. 2018.
Cellular Mechanotransduction: From Tension to Function. *Front Physiol,* 9**,** 824.
METZGER, T., GACHE, V., XU, M., CADOT, B., FOLKER, E. S., RICHARDSON, B. E.,
GOMES, E. R. & BAYLIES, M. K. 2012. MAP and kinesin-dependent nuclear positioning
is required for skeletal muscle function. *Nature,* 484**,** 120-4.
MOHAMMAD, I., ARORA, P. D., NAGHIBZADEH, Y., WANG, Y., LI, J., MASCARENHAS, W.,
JANMEY, P. A., DAWSON, J. F. & MCCULLOCH, C. A. 2012. Flightless I is a focal
adhesion-associated actin-capping protein that regulates cell migration. *FASEB J,* 26**,**
3260-72.
MOLNAR, I., MIGH, E., SZIKORA, S., KALMAR, T., VEGH, A. G., DEAK, F., BARKO, S.,
BUGYI, B., ORFANOS, Z., KOVACS, J., JUHASZ, G., VARO, G., NYITRAI, M.,
SPARROW, J. & MIHALY, J. 2014. DAAM is required for thin filament formation and
Sarcomerogenesis during muscle development in Drosophila. *PLoS Genet,* 10**,**
e1004166.
MORGAN, M., ANDERS, S., LAWRENCE, M., ABOYOUN, P., PAGES, H. & GENTLEMAN,
R. 2009. ShortRead: a bioconductor package for input, quality assessment and
exploration of high-throughput sequence data. *Bioinformatics,* 25**,** 2607-8.
ONO, S. 2010. Dynamic regulation of sarcomeric actin filaments in striated muscle.
Cytoskeleton (Hoboken), 67**,** 677-92.
OTTENHEIJM, C. A., WITT, C. C., STIENEN, G. J., LABEIT, S., BEGGS, A. H. & GRANZIER,
H. 2009. Thin filament length dysregulation contributes to muscle weakness in nemaline
myopathy patients with nebulin deficiency. *Hum Mol Genet,* 18**,** 2359-69.
PARDEE, J. D., SIMPSON, P. A., STRYER, L. & SPUDICH, J. A. 1982. Actin filaments
undergo limited subunit exchange in physiological salt conditions. *J Cell Biol,* 94**,** 316-24.

PARDO, J. V., SILICIANO, J. D. & CRAIG, S. W. 1983. A vinculin-containing cortical lattice in

skeletal muscle: transverse lattice elements ("costameres") mark sites of attachment

between myofibrils and sarcolemma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 80**,** 1008-12.

PARSONS, J. T., HORWITZ, A. R. & SCHWARTZ, M. A. 2010. Cell adhesion: integrating

cytoskeletal dynamics and cellular tension. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* 11**,** 633-43.

PERKINS, L. A., HOLDERBAUM, L., TAO, R., HU, Y., SOPKO, R., MCCALL, K., YANG-

ZHOU, D., FLOCKHART, I., BINARI, R., SHIM, H. S., MILLER, A., HOUSDEN, A., FOOS,

M., RANDKELV, S., KELLEY, C., NAMGYAL, P., VILLALTA, C., LIU, L. P., JIANG, X.,

HUAN-HUAN, Q., WANG, X., FUJIYAMA, A., TOYODA, A., AYERS, K., BLUM, A.,

CZECH, B., NEUMULLER, R., YAN, D., CAVALLARO, A., HIBBARD, K., HALL, D.,

COOLEY, L., HANNON, G. J., LEHMANN, R., PARKS, A., MOHR, S. E., UEDA, R.,

KONDO, S., NI, J. Q. & PERRIMON, N. 2015. The Transgenic RNAi Project at Harvard

Medical School: Resources and Validation. *Genetics,* 201**,** 843-52.

PINES, M., DAS, R., ELLIS, S. J., MORIN, A., CZERNIECKI, S., YUAN, L., KLOSE, M.,

COOMBS, D. & TANENTZAPF, G. 2012. Mechanical force regulates integrin turnover in

Drosophila in vivo. *Nat Cell Biol,* 14**,** 935-43.

QUACH, N. L. & RANDO, T. A. 2006. Focal adhesion kinase is essential for costamerogenesis

in cultured skeletal muscle cells. *Dev Biol,* 293**,** 38-52.

RAO, J. N., MADASU, Y. & DOMINGUEZ, R. 2014. Mechanism of actin filament pointed-end

capping by tropomodulin. *Science,* 345**,** 463-7.

ROMERO, N. B. 2010. Centronuclear myopathies: a widening concept. *Neuromuscul Disord,*

20**,** 223-8.

ROPER, K., MAO, Y. & BROWN, N. H. 2005. Contribution of sequence variation in Drosophila

actins to their incorporation into actin-based structures in vivo. *J Cell Sci,* 118**,** 3937-48.

ROSS, J. A., LEVY, Y., RIPOLONE, M., KOLB, J. S., TURMAINE, M., HOLT, M., LINDQVIST,

J., CLAEYS, K. G., WEIS, J., MONFORTE, M., TASCA, G., MOGGIO, M., FIGEAC, N.,

ZAMMIT, P. S., JUNGBLUTH, H., FIORILLO, C., VISSING, J., WITTING, N., GRANZIER,

H., ZANOTELI, E., HARDEMAN, E. C., WALLGREN-PETTERSSON, C. & OCHALA, J.

2019. Impairments in contractility and cytoskeletal organisation cause nuclear defects in

nemaline myopathy. *Acta Neuropathol,* 138**,** 477-495.

SANGER, J. M. & SANGER, J. W. 2008. The dynamic Z bands of striated muscle cells. *Sci*

Signal, 1**,** pe37.

SCHIAFFINO, S., DYAR, K. A., CICILIOT, S., BLAAUW, B. & SANDRI, M. 2013. Mechanisms

regulating skeletal muscle growth and atrophy. *FEBS J,* 280**,** 4294-314.

SCHMITTGEN, T. D. & LIVAK, K. J. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative
C(T) method. *Nat Protoc,* 3**,** 1101-8.

SCHNORRER, F., SCHONBAUER, C., LANGER, C. C., DIETZL, G., NOVATCHKOVA, M.,
SCHERNHUBER, K., FELLNER, M., AZARYAN, A., RADOLF, M., STARK, A.,
KELEMAN, K. & DICKSON, B. J. 2010. Systematic genetic analysis of muscle
morphogenesis and function in Drosophila. *Nature,* 464**,** 287-91.

SEWRY, C. A., LAITILA, J. M. & WALLGREN-PETTERSSON, C. 2019. Nemaline myopathies:
a current view. *J Muscle Res Cell Motil.*

SHATTIL, S. J., KIM, C. & GINSBERG, M. H. 2010. The final steps of integrin activation: the
end game. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* 11**,** 288-300.

SHIRINIAN, M., POPOVIC, M., GRABBE, C., VARSHNEY, G., HUGOSSON, F., BOS, H.,
REHMANN, H. & PALMER, R. H. 2010. The Rap1 guanine nucleotide exchange factor
C3G is required for preservation of larval muscle integrity in Drosophila melanogaster.
PLoS One, 5**,** e9403.

SMILLIE, L. B. 1982. Preparation and identification of alpha- and beta-tropomyosins. *Methods*
Enzymol, 85 Pt B**,** 234-41.

SPARROW, J. C. & SCHOCK, F. 2009. The initial steps of myofibril assembly: integrins pave
the way. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* 10**,** 293-8.

SPLETTER, M. L., BARZ, C., YEROSLAVIZ, A., SCHONBAUER, C., FERREIRA, I. R.,
SAROV, M., GERLACH, D., STARK, A., HABERMANN, B. H. & SCHNORRER, F. 2015.
The RNA-binding protein Arrest (Bruno) regulates alternative splicing to enable myofibril
maturation in Drosophila flight muscle. *EMBO Rep,* 16**,** 178-91.

SPLETTER, M. L., BARZ, C., YEROSLAVIZ, A., ZHANG, X., LEMKE, S. B., BONNARD, A.,
BRUNNER, E., CARDONE, G., BASLER, K., HABERMANN, B. H. & SCHNORRER, F.
2018. A transcriptomics resource reveals a transcriptional transition during ordered
sarcomere morphogenesis in flight muscle. *Elife,* 7.

STEELE-STALLARD, H. B., PINTON, L., SARCAR, S., OZDEMIR, T., MAFFIOLETTI, S. M.,
ZAMMIT, P. S. & TEDESCO, F. S. 2018. Modeling Skeletal Muscle Laminopathies Using
Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Carrying Pathogenic LMNA Mutations. *Front*
Physiol, 9**,** 1332.

SUSSMAN, M. A., BAQUE, S., UHM, C. S., DANIELS, M. P., PRICE, R. L., SIMPSON, D.,
TERRACIO, L. & KEDES, L. 1998. Altered expression of tropomodulin in cardiomyocytes
disrupts the sarcomeric structure of myofibrils. *Circ Res,* 82**,** 94-105.

SWEENEY, H. L. & HAMMERS, D. W. 2018. Muscle Contraction. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect*
Biol, 10.

SZIKORA, S., GOROG, P. & MIHALY, J. 2022. The Mechanisms of Thin Filament Assembly
and Length Regulation in Muscles. *Int J Mol Sci,* 23.

TALBOT, J. & MAVES, L. 2016. Skeletal muscle fiber type: using insights from muscle
developmental biology to dissect targets for susceptibility and resistance to muscle
disease. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol,* 5**,** 518-34.

VERHEYEN, E. M. & COOLEY, L. 1994. Profilin mutations disrupt multiple actin-dependent
processes during Drosophila development. *Development,* 120**,** 717-28.

VISA, N. & PERCIPALLE, P. 2010. Nuclear functions of actin. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol,*
2**,** a000620.

WANG, W., LUO, J. & CARROLL, T. 2022. Rfastp: An Ultra-Fast and All-in-One Fastq
Preprocessor (Quality Control, Adapter, low quality and polyX trimming) and UMI
Sequence Parsing). R package version 1.6.0. ed.

WEBER, A., PENNISE, C. R., BABCOCK, G. G. & FOWLER, V. M. 1994. Tropomodulin caps
the pointed ends of actin filaments. *J Cell Biol,* 127**,** 1627-35.

WEITKUNAT, M., KAYA-COPUR, A., GRILL, S. W. & SCHNORRER, F. 2014. Tension and
force-resistant attachment are essential for myofibrillogenesis in Drosophila flight muscle.
Curr Biol, 24**,** 705-16.

WICKHAM, H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.: Springer-Verlag New York.

WILLIAMS, P. E. & GOLDSPINK, G. 1971. Longitudinal growth of striated muscle fibres. *J Cell*
Sci, 9**,** 751-67.

WINDNER, S. E., MANHART, A., BROWN, A., MOGILNER, A. & BAYLIES, M. K. 2019.
Nuclear Scaling Is Coordinated among Individual Nuclei in Multinucleated Muscle Fibers.
Dev Cell, 49**,** 48-62 e3.

WINTER, J. M., JOUREAU, B., LEE, E. J., KISS, B., YUEN, M., GUPTA, V. A., PAPPAS, C.
T., GREGORIO, C. C., STIENEN, G. J., EDVARDSON, S., WALLGREN-PETTERSSON,
C., LEHTOKARI, V. L., PELIN, K., MALFATTI, E., ROMERO, N. B., ENGELEN, B. G.,
VOERMANS, N. C., DONKERVOORT, S., BONNEMANN, C. G., CLARKE, N. F.,
BEGGS, A. H., GRANZIER, H. & OTTENHEIJM, C. A. 2016. Mutation-specific effects on
thin filament length in thin filament myopathy. *Ann Neurol,* 79**,** 959-69.

YAMASHIRO, S., GOKHIN, D. S., KIMURA, S., NOWAK, R. B. & FOWLER, V. M. 2012.
Tropomodulins: pointed-end capping proteins that regulate actin filament architecture in
diverse cell types. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken),* 69**,** 337-70.

YAMASHIRO, S., GOKHIN, D. S., SUI, Z., BERGERON, S. E., RUBENSTEIN, P. A. &
FOWLER, V. M. 2014. Differential actin-regulatory activities of Tropomodulin1 and
Tropomodulin3 with diverse tropomyosin and actin isoforms. *J Biol Chem,* 289**,** 11616-
11629.
YAMASHIRO, S., SPEICHER, K. D., SPEICHER, D. W. & FOWLER, V. M. 2010. Mammalian
tropomodulins nucleate actin polymerization via their actin monomer binding and filament
pointed end-capping activities. *J Biol Chem,* 285**,** 33265-33280.
YOUNG, M. D., WAKEFIELD, M. J., SMYTH, G. K. & OSHLACK, A. 2010. Gene ontology
analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biol,* 11**,** R14.
YUEN, M., SANDARADURA, S. A., DOWLING, J. J., KOSTYUKOVA, A. S., MOROZ, N.,
QUINLAN, K. G., LEHTOKARI, V. L., RAVENSCROFT, G., TODD, E. J., CEYHAN-
BIRSOY, O., GOKHIN, D. S., MALUENDA, J., LEK, M., NOLENT, F., PAPPAS, C. T.,
NOVAK, S. M., D'AMICO, A., MALFATTI, E., THOMAS, B. P., GABRIEL, S. B., GUPTA,
N., DALY, M. J., ILKOVSKI, B., HOUWELING, P. J., DAVIDSON, A. E., SWANSON, L.
C., BROWNSTEIN, C. A., GUPTA, V. A., MEDNE, L., SHANNON, P., MARTIN, N., BICK,
D. P., FLISBERG, A., HOLMBERG, E., VAN DEN BERGH, P., LAPUNZINA, P.,
WADDELL, L. B., SLOBODA, D. D., BERTINI, E., CHITAYAT, D., TELFER, W. R.,
LAQUERRIERE, A., GREGORIO, C. C., OTTENHEIJM, C. A., BONNEMANN, C. G.,
PELIN, K., BEGGS, A. H., HAYASHI, Y. K., ROMERO, N. B., LAING, N. G., NISHINO, I.,
WALLGREN-PETTERSSON, C., MELKI, J., FOWLER, V. M., MACARTHUR, D. G.,
NORTH, K. N. & CLARKE, N. F. 2014. Leiomodin-3 dysfunction results in thin filament
disorganization and nemaline myopathy. *J Clin Invest,* 124**,** 4693-708.
ZHENG, Y., BUCHWALTER, R. A., ZHENG, C., WIGHT, E. M., CHEN, J. V. & MEGRAW, T.
L. 2020. A perinuclear microtubule-organizing centre controls nuclear positioning and
basement membrane secretion. *Nat Cell Biol,* 22**,** 297-309.
1209