Optogenetic activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons induces brain-wide activation

Hiro Taiyo Hamada[1,3,*], Yoshifumi Abe[2], Norio Takata[2], Masakazu Taira[1], Kenji F. Tanaka[2], Kenji Doya[1,*]

[1] Neural Computation Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Okinawa, Japan
[2] Department of Neuropsychiatry, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan
[3] Research & Development Department, Araya Inc., Tokyo, Japan
* Correspondence to hamada_h@araya.org, doya@oist.jp

Abstract

Serotonin is a neuromodulator that affects multiple behavioral and cognitive functions. Nonetheless, how serotonin causes such a variety of effects through brain-wide projections and various receptors remains unclear. Here we measured brain-wide responses to optogenetic stimulation of serotonin neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) with functional MRI, using an 11.7T scanner with a cryoprobe. Transient activation of DRN serotonin neurons caused brain-wide activation, including the medial prefrontal cortex, the striatum, and the ventral tegmental area. The same stimulation under anesthesia with isoflurane decreased brain-wide activation, including the hippocampal complex. These brain-wide response patterns can be explained by DRN serotonergic projection topography and serotonin receptor expression profiles, with enhanced weights on 5-HT1 receptors. Together, these results provide understanding of the primarily facilitatory effect on brain areas by the DR serotonergic system, which is consistent with recent discoveries of its roles in adaptive behaviors.

Introduction

Serotonin, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), serves crucial behavioral and cognitive functions, including regulation of the awake-sleep cycle, mood, reward and punishment predictions [9, 38], waiting behaviors [43, 45], and learning [23]. Serotonin is also a major target of medications for psychiatric disorders, notably major depression, by selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs). The dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) is a major source of serotonergic projections to brain-wide targets, including the frontal cortex, the basal ganglia, the ventral tegmental area (VTA), and other mid-brain regions [58]. The DRN contains glutamatergic, GABAergic, and dopaminergic neurons, in addition to serotonergic neurons [16]. Optogenetic manipulation of serotonin neuron activities has revealed their roles in reward [31-32] , waiting for delayed rewards [43, 45], anxiety [36, 50], and learning [23, 51]. Nonetheless, the association between brain-wide serotonergic projections and brain responses remains unclear [44, 72].

In order to clarify the global picture of serotonergic control of brain activities, we performed optogenetic functional MRI experiments using Tph2-ChR2(C128S) transgenic mice and an 11.7T MRI scanner with a cryoprobe for high S/N measurements. A previous optogenetic fMRI experiment activated DRN serotonin neurons using the Pet1 promoter under anesthesia and showed widespread suppression of cortical activities [20]. We introduced an acclimation protocol to allow stable fMRI recording in awake mice [2, 75] and found that DRN serotonin activation in an awake state induced a brain-wide activity increase in both cortical and subcortical areas. In contrast, under anesthesia with isoflurane, DRN serotonin activation decreased activities in those areas, consistent with a previous study [20].

We further found that regional BOLD responses can be approximated by a linear model with projection densities of DRN serotonin neurons and expression profiles of different types of serotonin receptors, with negative coefficients for 5-HT1 receptors and positive coefficients for 5-HT2 receptors. These results suggest that serotonergic neurons activate brain-wide regions, and they demand reconsideration of implications of results under anesthesia.

Results

In order to clarify effects of brain-wide serotonergic projections, we employed optogenetic fMRI, combining optogenetic stimulation of serotonergic neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) and measurements of whole-brain activities by functional MRI. We used Tph2-ChR2(C128S) transgenic mice [50, 66] which express step-type channel rhodopsin selectively in serotonin neurons [45, 50]. We used an 11.7T MRI scanner (Bruker BioSpec 117/11) with a cryoprobe for high S/N recording of BOLD signals from the whole brain, except the olfactory bulb and the posterior cerebellum.

Prior to experiments, the transgenic and wild-type (WT) groups (Transgenic group: n = 8; Wild-type: n = 6) underwent surgery to attach a plastic fixation bar and implant an optical cannula in the DRN. Acclimation training in a mock chamber followed (Figure 1. See Methods for details). After fMRI experiments, animals were tested in a reward waiting task [43, 45] to confirm the effectiveness of optogenetic stimulation (Supplementary Figure S1).

DRN serotonin activation induces a brain-wide increase in BOLD signals

We measured BOLD responses under optogenetic stimulation of DRN serotonin neurons in an ON/OFF block design protocol (Figure 1e). Photo-stimulation increased BOLD signals in the DRN (Figure 1f), with a tendency for reduced responses after repeated stimulation. On average, over five stimulations, blue light stimulation induced a significantly higher BOLD response than did yellow light stimulation ($p < 0.05$, correction of multiple comparisons with a false discovery rate (FDR), see 'General Linear Model (GLM) for BOLD signals' in Methods; Figure 1g and Supplementary Figure S5).

A group analysis with a general linear model (GLM) of photo-activated BOLD responses between blue and yellow stimulation from the transgenic group($n = 8$) revealed a brain-wide increase in BOLD responses, significantly in the frontal cortex, the caudate putamen (CPu), temporal cortical regions, the thalamic complex, and the VTA ($p < 0.05$, cluster-wise correction controlling family wise error (FWE) with probabilistic threshold-free cluster enhancement (pTFCE); Figure 2a). Trial-wise BOLD responses also showed consistent brain-wide activation by blue, but not yellow stimulation ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected, Supplementary Figure S2a-b).

We next selected 28 regions of interest (ROIs) from the cortex and subcortical areas that are involved in valence processing, according to the Allen mouse brain atlas (Table 1, see Regions of interest in Methods). We selected components of basal ganglia such as the caudate putamen (CPu), nucleus accumbens (NAc), and globus pallidus (GP) [63]. Furthermore, other subcortical regions, including the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BST) [17], the hippocampus [24], and the lateral habenula (LHb) [68] were also selected. Then, we extracted regional average beta values (regression coefficients) from the GLM. Comparison of regional beta values under blue and yellow stimulation from the transgenic group revealed that BOLD responses were significantly higher in blue illumination sessions in 12 of 14 cortical regions, including the OFC, mPFC and ACC, and 12 of 14 subcortical regions, including the CPu, NAc, GP, VTA, LHb, and DRN (Figure 2b) although no statistical differences were found in the WT group (Supplementary Figure S4). Moreover, there were no significantly higher BOLD responses in vRSC, V1, DG, or CA1.

The week after Session 1, we performed another session under the same stimulation parameters as in Session 1. Patterns of BOLD responses were similar to those in Session 1 (transgenic: $n = 7$, WT: $n = 6$, Supplementary Figure S3). Pearson's correlation of beta values in Sessions 1 and 2 was $r = 0.875$, which was statistically significant ($p < 1e^{-8}$; Supplementary Figure S7.a). We further checked regional differences of responses in peak amplitude and peak timing by extracting regional BOLD signals (Supplementary Figure S5-9). Peak amplitude and peak timing of BOLD responses varied across the 28 ROIs (Supplementary Figure S8.c-d), with the largest responses in the VTA, SNr, and FP. Both amplitude and timing of BOLD responses in Sessions 1 and 2 were significantly correlated under blue light stimulation (amplitude: $r = 0.886$, $p < 1e^{-8}$; timing: $r = 0.679$, $p < 0.005$), but not yellow stimulation (amplitude: $r = -0.06$, $p = 0.766$; $r = 0.037$, $p = 0.855$; Supplementary Figure S8.a, b). These results

132 indicate that our photo-stimulation of DRN induced consistent activation of DRN
133 serotonergic neurons, which caused distinct spatial and temporal responses in multiple
134 cortical and sub-cortical regions.
135
DRN Serotonin activation induces a brain-wide negative response in BOLD signals
under anesthesia
Contrary to our above results, a previous optogenetic fMRI study reported that DR
serotonin activation evoked negative cortical cerebral blood volume (CBV) responses,
an enhanced proxy of BOLD signals, from the entire cortical region under anesthesia
[20]. There are two critical differences between that study and this one: optogenetic
probes and anesthesia. The previous study used the ePET-Cre mouse line and AAV
harboring Cre-dependent ChR2 and applied 20-Hz photostimulation. On the other hand,
the current study used Tph2-ChR2(C128S) transgenic mice, which express step-type
opsin, to allow continuous activation by a pulse of blue light (1.0 s, 473 nm) at the
beginning and yellow light (1.0 s, 593 nm) at the end (Figure 1e). Another difference is
that the previous study employed isoflurane, a general gas anesthetic with a muscle
relaxant, while this experiment employed no anesthetics.
149
In order to test the possibility that the difference was due to anesthesia, we compared
BOLD responses to DRN serotonin stimulation between anesthetized and awake states
in the same animals. We performed the same ON/OFF stimulation protocol under
anesthesia with 1% isoflurane with the same groups (Transgenic: n = 7, WT: n = 5,
Figure 3a). We also performed another ON/OFF stimulation session the next day in an
awake state in order to eliminate possible confounding by diminished responses after
repetitive photo-stimulation (Figure 3b).
157
Under anesthesia, we observed mostly negative BOLD responses from the entire
cortical region, while BOLD signals in the DRN remained positive (statistical t > 1,
uncorrected; Figure 3a & Supplementary S10a). On the other hand, Session 4 in an
awake state replicated positive BOLD signals from mid-line cortical regions and DRN
(statistical t > 1, uncorrected; Figure 3b & Supplementary Figure S10b). We then
contrasted regional beta values in anesthetized and awake states (Figure 3c). In the
anesthetized state, multiple regions, such as the ACC, dRSC, vRSC, DG and CA1
showed negative beta values, significantly different from those in an awake state (p <
0.05, correction of multiple comparisons with FDR). We further found a statistically
significant difference in peaks of % BOLDs in these regions between anesthetized and
awake states (p < 0.05, Figure 3d; Supplementary Figure S11). Our results support the
hypothesis that general anesthesia induces negative BOLD responses by DR serotonin
photo-activation.
171
Brain-wide BOLD responses are explained by serotonin projection density and
receptor expression profiles
Our results revealed a brain-wide profile of evoked BOLD signals by DR serotonin
activation (Figure 2a). One plausible mechanism underlying such a spatial profile is the
density of the serotonergic projection pattern from the DRN. In order to test such a
possibility, we checked the correlation between intensity of projections of DRN
serotonin neurons and peak levels of BOLD responses. We extracted serotonin-specific

structural projection density from the DRN using the Allen Brain Atlas (Figure 4a and
Supplementary Figure S12).
181
Serotonin projection density was statistically correlated with beta values under blue
stimulation (r = 0.462, p < 0.05, FDR corrected), but not under yellow stimulation (r =
0.132, p = 0.529; Figure 4b). We also found that projection density was significantly
correlated with photo-stimulated BOLD responses in awake and anesthetized states
(Anesthetized (Session 3): r = 0.546, p < 0.05; Awake (Session 4): r = 0.758, p < 0.001,
FDR corrected; Figure 4c).
188
The previous study revealed that the serotonergic structural density was not correlated
with the cortical blood volume (CBV) response of DRN serotonin stimulation under
0.5% isoflurane + 0.2mg/kg/h s.c. medetomidine [20]. We checked whether the
difference originated from our ROI difference. After preprocessing with the current
study, time series of CBV signals were extracted from 28 ROIs (see "Supplementary
Methods"). Pearson correlation between peak intensity of time series from ΔCBV
signals and %BOLD responses under anesthesia (Session 3) showed statistical
significance (r = 0.53, p < 0.01; Supplementary Figure S13&14) although neither was
significantly correlated with serotonergic structural projection density (peak intensity of
CBV signals (Grandjean2019): r = 0.35, p = 0.08; peak intensity of BOLD signals
(Session 3): r = 0.34, 0.09; Supplementary Figure S14).
200
We further investigated whether photo-stimulated BOLD responses are associated
with serotonin synaptic receptors. Gene expression maps for 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, 5-
HT1F, 5-HT2A, and 5-HT2C receptors were obtained from the Allen Brain Atlas
(Supplementary Figure S12&S15). We then constructed a multiple linear regression
model (MLRM; Figure 5a) composed of one dependent variable of the BOLD response
and six independent variables of the projection density and five gene expression
patterns (5-HT1a, 5-HT1b, 5-HT1f, 5-HT2a, and 5-HT2c receptors) after normalization.
The model reproduced the BOLD response with R^2=0.66 (p < 0.01, Table 2). We also
checked the consistency of the model using BOLD responses in different sessions.
Using the fit beta values with Session 1, we found a significant correlation with the beta
value in Session 4 (Awake: r = 0.615, p < 0.01, FDR corrected, Figure 5b), but not in
Session 3 (Anesthetized (Session 3): r = 0.365, p = 0.079, FDR corrected; Figure 5c).
213
We investigated the contribution of each receptor by the estimated regression
coefficients in anesthetized and awake states (Figure 5d, Table 3, and Supplementary
Table 3). For each subject, we estimated regression coefficients from each session. In
the awake state (Sessions 1, 2, and 4), gene expression of 5-HT1 receptors (5-HT1a, 5-
HT1b, and 5-HT1f) showed average negative coefficients, indicating negative
contributions to BOLD responses. On the other hand, gene expression of 5-HT2
receptors showed average positive coefficients, indicating positive contributions to
BOLD responses. We also checked whether expression of each receptor type differed
between awake and anesthetized states using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).
These results revealed that in the anesthetized state (Session 3), weights for 5-HT1f and
5-HT2c receptors were significantly different (p < 0.05, Bonferroni corrected, Table 3).
225

226 These results suggest that photo-activated BOLD responses reflect projection density of
227 serotonin neurons and serotonin receptor expression profiles and that general anesthesia
228 affects responses of 5-HT1 and 5-HT2 receptors differently to induce altered BOLD
229 responses.
230
Discussion
Our opto-fMRI investigation of DRN serotonergic modulations of brain-wide dynamics
yielded three major discoveries. First, DRN serotonergic activation causes brain-wide
positive BOLD responses in an awake state. Second, DRN serotonergic activation under
general anesthesia causes brain-wide negative BOLD responses. Third, the whole-brain
profile of DRN serotonin-activated BOLD signals is associated with the density of DRN
serotonergic projection and expression profiles of 5-HT receptors, with opposite
weights for 5-HT1 and 5-HT2 receptors.
239
The present results, which demonstrate brain-wide activation by DRN serotonergic
activation, provide a means of interpreting recordings and manipulation studies in
humans and animals [14, 23, 33, 42-45, 62, 67]. Previous studies have demonstrated
serotonin's involvement in valance processing [9, 42] through modulation of the ACC
and ventromedial PFC (vmPFC) on learning signals [62], the CPu on short/long-term
prediction of rewards [67], the mPFC and OFC on waiting for delayed rewards [44],
and the BST on anxiety-like behaviors [17, 36]. A reduction of reward sensitivity is a
symptom of major depression. In healthy subjects, 2-week selective SSRI
administration potentiated reward signals in the ACC and vmPFC [62]. VTA-projecting
DR serotonin neurons induce self-stimulating behaviors, an indication of positive
reinforcement [47]. Brain response from brain-wide cortical regions and the VTA may
reflect neural mechanisms underlying recovery of reward sensitivity. High delay
discounting of future rewards is another indication of psychiatric disorders, including
major depression [55]. Various studies have shown that optogenetic activations of DR
serotonergic projections to the orbitofrontal cortex and the mPFC, but not the NAcc,
enhance waiting for delayed rewards [43-44]. Our brain response results demonstrate
that activations of the OFC and mPFC are induced by optogenetic stimulation of DR
serotonin neurons. Anxiety is a general symptom in psychiatric disorders. By increasing
extra-synaptic serotonin levels, SSRI contributes to a reduction of anxiety. However,
simple activation of DR serotonin neurons did not induce antidepressant effects [50].
Antidepressant roles of DR serotonin neurons on anxiety can be region-dependent [17,
57] and work in a time-locked manner [49]. The frontal-cortex- and BST-projecting DR
serotonin subsystem promotes antidepressant effects, whereas the amygdala-projecting
DR serotonin subsystem enhances anxiogenic effects [17, 57]. Nishitani et al. (2018)
showed that optogenetic serotonin neurons increase active coping with inescapable
stress [49]. Our opto-fMRI results support those studies, as those areas were
consistently activated by DRN serotonin stimulation, although such resting-state brain-
wide activation also may blunt effects due to co-activations of specific subsystems,
without time-locked stimulation.
269
In addition, average amplitudes of BOLD responses and peak timing are region-
dependent. This implies that serotonin-activated BOLD responses are coupled with
different regional distributions of receptor densities and serotonin projections, but not

with the distance of the activation site. In spite of indirect evidence, our linear
approximation of serotonin-activated BOLD responses supports such a possibility. A
subpopulation of DR serotonin projections to the cortex is also expressed with the
vesicular glutamate transporter Vglut3 (Slc17a8). Co-release of glutamate has recently
been reported [57-58]. Our results may reflect the influence of serotonin as well as
glutamate co-release on downstream circuitry.
279
Serotonergic modulation in short-term windows using optogenetics can avoid
limitations of long-term windows, such as pharmacological manipulation [5, 61] and
chemogenetic manipulation with DREADD (designed receptors exclusively activated
by designed drugs) [19]. Multiple pharmacological studies have applied SSRIs to
manipulate the serotonin system. The therapeutic benefits of SSRI, including alleviation
of depressed mood and psychic anxiety, arise from chronic SSRI administration [8].
Chronic SSRI administration normalizes aberrant activity in the amygdala and ACC
during major depressive episodes [6], as well as in brain networks associated with
obsessive-compulsive disorder [26]. In healthy individuals, Scholl et al. (2017) found
that chronic SSRI administration amplifies both reward and effort signals, fostering
learning processes in the ACC, amygdala, mPFC, and striatum [62]. The brain-wide
positive response from the ACC, mPFC, and striatum with optogenetic activations in an
awake state may partly imitate chronic SSRI administration. On the other hand, acute
systemic SSRI administration causes brain-wide inhibitory modulation [5, 61].
However, the influence of acute SSRI administration on brain activity can be
confounded by the administration period, due to receptor sensitivity [15, 27, 61]. A
previous study stimulated the serotonin system with specifically designed drugs under
fMRI [19] and revealed brain-wide positive responses in the somatosensory cortex and
subcortical regions, including the hippocampus, the hypothalamus, and the cerebellum.
That study did not reveal BOLD responses of mid-line cortical regions, such as the
OFC, mPFC and ACC. A possible explanation for the difference between their results
and ours is that BOLD responses cause different time scales, depending on receptor
types. Our optogenetic fMRI approach revealed an influence on motivation-related
regions at different timings in a transient time window (Supplementary Figure S5, S6
and S8). Further studies using different methodologies, including optogenetics and
chemogenetics, will uncover neural mechanisms underlying different time scales of
serotonin modulation.
307
The mechanism underlying different serotonin modulation between awake and
anesthetized states remains unknown. One possible mechanism is a reduction of
glutamate transmission via serotonin in target regions [69]. Previous studies have shown
that isoflurane suppresses neural activity via inhibition of glutamatergic transmission
[69, 71]. We found that isoflurane reduced photo-stimulated BOLD signals where they
were potentiated in an awake state. Our results also showed that some regions show
potentiated negative BOLD responses. In addition to reduced glutamatergic
transmission, isoflurane also enhances sensitivity of GABA neurons [10, 73]. Such
elevated responses of GABA neurons and reduced responses of glutamatergic neurons
may result in diminished positive BOLD signals and potentiated negative BOLD
signals. It is interesting to ask whether different anesthetics, like NMDA antagonists,
induce different effects on receptor responses while neuron-specific distributions of

serotonin receptors are unclear. Serotonin receptors in the prefrontal cortex are
differentially distributed [54]. 5-HT1A and 2A receptors are enriched on glutamatergic
pyramidal neurons in the PFC [4], whereas 5-HT3A receptors are located on
GABAergic interneurons [53]. Since different anesthetics influence different neuron
types in various ways, reactivity of serotonin receptors may induce different responses.
In addition, anesthetics may change effects of serotonin receptors. Some studies showed
that isoflurane changes binding affinities of 5-HT_{2B} receptors [39] *in vitro* and 5-HT_{1A}
receptors [74] *in vivo*. Anesthetics can influence ligand binding to specific subtypes of
serotonin receptors.
329
It is important to consider whether the negative brain-wide response is caused by the
neuronal response. Granjean et al. (2019) revealed that DRN serotonin activation
reduces the amplitude of local field potentials (LFPs) and burst frequency with
multiunit activity (MUA) from a variety of cortical regions including M1, M2, S1, and
FA under 0.5% isoflurane + 0.2mg/kg/h s.c. medetomidine [20]. That study also
examined the association between the brain response to DRN serotonin activation and
delta power/burst frequency. This study revealed that a negative brain-wide response is
caused by a reduction of cortical neuronal activity under isoflurane & medetomidine.
Another study also showed that the negative BOLD response by activation of medial
spiny neurons (MSNs) is associated with decreased neuronal activity under isoflurane
0.3-0.7% [28]. There is also the possibility that the negative brain-wide response is due
to an increase in cerebral blood flow (CBF), which subsequently reduces neuronal
activity under isoflurane. It is well established that isoflurane affects blood vessels and
amplifies CBF. Abe et al. (2021) showed that an increase in CBF in the striatum doesn't
alter neuronal activity [3]. Furthermore, increasing isoflurane dosages elevates BOLD
signal levels [1]. Thus, these studies suggest that the decrease in BOLD signals isn't due
to increased CBF. Overall, a brain-wide negative response can be induced by decreased
neuronal activity rather than increased CBF.
348
Furthermore, our results showed a negative BOLD response in dorsal hippocampus
under anesthesia despite a lack of projections to the hippocampus from the DRN. One
potential cause is indirect influence of the downstream circuitry of DR serotonin
neurons. For example, DR serotonin neurons have dense projections to the PFC, which
interacts with the hippocampus [13, 35]. Reduction of the BOLD response in the
hippocampus under anesthesia can be indirectly caused by activation of DR
serotonergic neurons through their downstream circuitry, although the influence is not
observable in awake states. The brain-wide response by activation of DR serotonin
neurons under anesthesia may have implications for sleep state. The similarity of brain
states between general anesthesia and non-rapid-eye-movement (NREM) sleep is
implicated [52]. Previous studies also revealed that DR serotonin neurons mediate the
sleep/wake cycle [29, 64]. Optogenetic activation of DR serotonin neurons prolonged
wakefulness and decreased NREM sleep while their inactivation prevented arousal from
CO_2-induced sleep [64]. Similarly, optogenetic and chemogenetic activation induced
arousal from isoflurane [29]. The negative response under anesthesia may reflect a brain
response associated with arousal. Future studies are needed to clarify the neural
mechanism underlying BOLD signals under anesthesia.
366

We also demonstrated that expression of different types of 5-HT genes are associated
with photo-activated BOLD responses. Distinctive contributions of serotonin receptor
types influence neural activities, mostly at metabotropic receptors [41]. 5-HT1 receptors
are inhibitory metabotropic receptors that suppress neural activity by modulating the
cellular signal cascade, whereas 5-HT2 receptors are excitatory metabotropic receptors
that activate neural activity [48, 56]. Our MLRM analysis revealed that regression
coefficients of gene expression of 5-HT1 receptors and 5-HT2 receptors are negative
and positive, respectively. The correspondence between functions of receptor types and
signs of coefficients implies that BOLD responses by DRN serotonin photo-activation
can be influenced by different receptor distributions. Localization of 5-HT receptors is
subtype-dependent. 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{1B} receptors are pre-synaptically expressed, either
on somato-dendrites or within axon terminals of DR serotonin neurons [7, 59], whereas
5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors are predominantly expressed on postsynaptic neurons [11,
18]. However, whether 5-HT_{1F} receptors are pre- or post-synaptically expressed is
unclear, although they are enriched in cortical layers (layer IV and V) and the caudate
putamen [34]. Nonetheless, specifically how 5-HT receptors react to serotonin
transmission under general anesthetics is unclear. It is also plausible that general
anesthetics change binding affinity of 5-HT receptors [39, 74]. As another potential
mechanism, serotonin transmission can be influenced by general anesthetics. Some
studies revealed that isoflurane lessens spontaneous serotonin levels and spontaneous
firing [37, 70]. These changes of serotonin transmission and receptor binding affinity
may influence responses of 5-HT receptors under anesthesia.
389

The substantial reduction of magnitude of brain-wide responses between the first,
second, and third sessions is noteworthy, despite consistent activation patterns.
Repeated optogenetic stimulation of DR serotonin neurons and repeated stress
potentially account for this reduction. Persistent changes in spontaneous behaviors by
repeated optogenetic activation of DR serotonin neurons have been reported [12].
Serotonin induces synaptic plasticity, and repeated stimulation may cause plasticity
changes. In addition, repeated restraint stress also may change responses to optogenetic
stimulation of DR serotonin neurons, although the habituation protocol to awake fMRI
is introduced to prevent restraint stress. Restraint stress increases serotonin release in
the amygdala [46]. Molecular and neuronal mechanisms underlying such diminutions
are needed to understand long-term effects of serotonin stimulation.
401

It is also worth mentioning limitations of the relationship between BOLD response and
behavioral variability in the present study. Although the present study showed
variability of evoked BOLD response by serotonin stimulation, its behavioral
relationship remains unclear. The serotonin system has complementary distinct
subsystems [17, 36, 44, 57]. Ren et al. showed that amygdala-projecting DRN serotonin
neurons enhance anxiety-like behaviors, whereas frontal-cortex-projecting DRN
serotonin neurons enhance anxiolytic behaviors [57]. Miyazaki et al. demonstrated that
OFC-projecting serotonin neurons, but not mPFC-projecting serotonin neurons
contribute to waiting for delayed rewards [44]. BST-projecting serotonin neurons
promote anxiety-related behaviors [17, 36, 57]. Neural mechanisms underlying different
serotonin functions of behaviors also need to be clarified.
413

While our results replicated negative cortical responses under anesthesia and found the
association with the CBV response identified in a previous study [20], there are several
important differences in methodology and results between previous studies and this one.
First, one previous study utilized cerebral blood volume (CBV) signals with a contrast
agent to enhance influence of serotonin modulation, although the current study
employed BOLD signals. Although CBV signals are an enhanced proxy of BOLD
signals, the methodological difference in imaging may show different responses from
various brain regions. Second, we employed a transgenic group targeting the tryptophan
hydroxylase 2(Tph2) promoter [50, 66] although the previous study employed the
ePET-Cre line, targeting transcription factor Pet1 [20]. While expression of ChR2 by
Tph2 is selective for serotonergic neurons [47], Pet1 promoters are also expressed in
non-serotonergic neurons [32, 40]. While CA1 and DG were significantly deactivated
by serotonin photo-activation in our study, responses in temporal regions were not
found in the previous study [20]. Additionally, our results reveal an association between
5HT projection density and BOLD responses, but the previous study did not find this
association. One critical methodological difference is that we employed different ROIs
from those of the previous study. In the current study, 28 ROIs from the Allen brain
atlas included other sources of serotonin and dopamine, the MRN and VTA. In contrast,
the previous study used 38 ROIs from the Australian Mouse Brain Mapping Consortium
(AMBMC, https://www.imaging.org.au/AMBMC) with multiple ROI hippocampal
formations and midbrain regions. Our analysis revealed an association between BOLD
responses and CBV responses with our selected 28 ROIs. The ROI difference may yield
different results in the association between structural connectivity and responses to
optogenetic serotonin activation. Beside the aforementioned methodological difference,
different anesthetics (isoflurane 1% vs. 0.5% isoflurane + 0.2mg/kg/h s.c.
medetomidine), canula locations (horizontal vs. vertical insertion), stimulation power
(473nm laser with 4 mW vs. 4-40 mW), and scanner difference (an 11.7T MRI scanner
with a cryoprobe vs. a 7□T Pharmascan scanner) may also cause distinct brain
responses. Further research is required to dissect differences between the two sets of
experimental results.
444
Testing acute and chronic SSRI treatments on serotonin modulation is important for
clinical modulation of the serotonin system. For example, a study observed an effect of
fluoxetine, an SSRI with acutely stressed mice under anesthesia [20]. That study
showed enhanced negative responses from brain regions such as the CPu and mPFC. It
is unclear whether such effects remain under awake, long-term SSRI administration. It
is also important to examine whether reactions of serotonin levels and 5-HT receptors
show different dynamics under acute and chronic SSRI administration. A meta-analysis
showed that serotonin levels in each region change along the time course of SSRI
administration [15]. Salvan et al. demonstrated that acute SSRI administration may
change regulation of receptor responses [60]. Further studies on physiological and
molecular mechanisms under SSRI administrations are required.
456
In conclusion, we reported brain-wide responses of DRN serotonin activation via opto-
fMRI for the first time in awake animals. We found serotonergic modulation of
widespread cortical and sub-cortical targets at different timing as a key controller of
brain-wide information transmission. We also showed different modulation by the

serotonin system in awake and anesthetized states. We further showed that serotonergic
structural projections and gene expression profiles of 5-HT receptors are associated with
BOLD responses. Further research is required to understand complex serotonin
modulation of brain-wide dynamics, given its neural and molecular mechanisms, such
as interactions with neural populations and receptors. Our findings reveal a new
physiological basis for understanding serotonergic control of brain-wide dynamics and
functions.
468

Materials and Methods

470 All experimental procedures were performed under protocols approved by the Okinawa
471 Institute of Science and Technology Experimental Animal Committee.
472

Animals

Serotonin neuron-specific channelrhodopsin 2 variant (C128S) mice were generated by
crossing Tph2-tTA mice with tetO-ChR(C128S)-EYFP knock-in mice under control of
the tryptophan hydroxylase 2 (Tph2) promoter [50, 66]. Eight bi-genic and six wild-
type (WT) C57BL/6J male mice (age > 12 w.o.) were used in this study. Mice were
housed at 24 ∘C on an inverted dark:light cycle (lights on 10:00-22:00, GMT+9). After
stereotaxic surgery, mice underwent habituation training [75], MRI experiments, and a
reward delay task in turn.
481

Stereotaxic surgery

Mice were initially sedated with 1-3% isoflurane, and were given three types of mixed
anesthetic agents (1 (mg/mL) of medetomidine, 5.0 (mg/mL) of midaloram, and 5.0
(mg/mL) of butorphanol, i.p.) [25]. Cranial skins were removed with a sterilized
surgical knife, and a plastic bar (3x3x27mm) for head-fixation in the MRI environment
and an optic fiber (480 µm diameter, 4 mm length, Doric Lenses) were fixed to their
skulls with dental acrylic cement. The optical fiber was inserted horizontally toward the
DRN from the cerebellum in each mouse. Mice were housed separately after surgery
and underwent at least a 1-week recovery period. Mice were habituated to the MRI
environment for at least another week. They subsequently underwent imaging sessions.
492

Acclimation

In order to habituate awake mice to the MRI imaging protocol, an acclimation protocol
was employed after the surgeries for head-fixation [2, 75] . Mice were fixed in a mock
MRI environment and exposed to MRI imaging sounds and blue illumination for 2 h to
habituate them to a leak of illumination during scanning (2.0 Hz). Acclimation to
sounds and illumination was repeated 7 days prior to the first imaging session.
Following imaging sessions, at least 3 days acclimation were permitted.
500

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)

Each animal was placed in the MRI animal bed. T2-weighted structural imaging and
three T2* functional imaging sessions were performed. MRI images were acquired with
an 11.7-tesla MRI scanner for small animals (Biospec 117/11 system, Bruker Biospin,
EmbH, Ettlingen, Germany) with a cryogenic quadrature RF surface probe (Cryoprobe,
Bruker BioSpin AG, Fällanden, Switzerland). We performed T2-weighted structural
imaging with a RARE sequence with the following parameters: 140 x 140 matrix, 13.5

x 13.5 mm^2 field-of-view, repetition time (TR)/effective echo time (TE) 4000/18.75
(ms), 31 coronal slices, slice thickness: 300 µm.

After T2-weighted structural imaging was performed, a functional MRI session was
then executed. A functional MRI session was composed of two imaging runs, a blue-
yellow-light stimulation run and a yellow-light stimulation run. All functional imaging
was performed using a GE-EPI sequence with the following parameters: 67 x 67 matrix,
13.5 x 13.5 mm^2 field-of-view, TR/TE 1000/10.7 (ms), flip angle: 50◦, bandwidth:
333k(Hz), 31 coronal slices and slice thickness: 300 µm, and 690 repetitions.

ON/OFF stimulation paradigm
A stimulation protocol was composed of ON/OFF stimulation patterns (Figure 1d). In
each activation session, stimulation was initiated 90 volumes after the onset of an
imaging session. DRN serotonin neurons were stimulated with blue illumination (490
nm, 3.0 mW, 1.0 sec), and stimulation subsequently ceased with yellow illumination
(630 nm, 3.0 mW, 1.0 sec) 20 seconds after the onset of blue illumination. This
stimulation was repeated 5 times for 120 sec after the onset of blue illumination. For the
control session, instead of blue illumination, only yellow illumination was applied in
stimulation sessions.

Preprocessing and de-noising
The first 10 volume images of each session were removed from subsequent analysis due
to initial imaging instability caused by motion and non-steady-state image quality. 10-
fold magnification of images was done to process images with Statistical Parametric
Mapping 12 (SPM12), which is designed to process human brain images. Therefore, the
voxel size was 2 x 2 x 3 mm in analytical steps. Pre-processing, including motion
correction, realignment, co-registration, normalization to a C57BL6/J template [22], and
spatial smoothing (kernel with 4 x 4 x 6 mm) was executed with SPM12. Since each
slice of a 3D brain image was taken at a different time, slice timing correction was
applied to correct slice-timing differences by temporal interpolation. Next, realignment
of 3D brain images was conducted to correct motion-related changes of brain position.
Then, co-registration was executed to overlay functional T2* images onto structural T2
images and to save coordination changes of T2* images for normalization. During
normalization, T2 structural images were first warped to fit the average C57BL6/J
template [22]. Then T2* functional images were further warped to the template using
the co-registered coordination change of the images. In spatial smoothing, voxel signals
were spatially smoothed using a gaussian kernel (4 x 4 x 6 mm). After pre-processing
steps, the general linear model in SPM12 was executed to map spatial activation
patterns.

Regions of Interest
The Allen brain institute provides a parcellation of the C57BL6 mouse brain. In order to
extract BOLD signals from anatomically defined brain regions, this parcellation was
utilized for subsequent analyses. Parcellation was first warped to the MRI template
using Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTs), and 28 regions of interest (ROIs) were
selected from the warped parcellation. 28 ROIs were selected with the following three
criteria. First, primary cortical regions, especially mid-line regions, were selected.

Second, primary reward- and punishment-related sub-cortical regions, including basal
ganglia, VTA, and DRN should were included. Third, ventro-lateral cortical and sub-
cortical regions, such as the amygdala complex were not selected due to its sensitivity to
artifacts near air cavities by the ear canals [30].

General Linear Model (GLM) for BOLD signals
A general linear model (GLM) was performed to map beta values of parameter
estimation of optogenetic stimulation onto the mouse brain template with SPM12. For
statistical functional mapping of optogenetic stimulation, each voxel under an
uncorrected P value < 0.001 was thresholded, and cluster-wise multiple comparisons
were subsequently executed with family-wise error (FWE) by thresholding pFWE $<$
0.05 with probabilistic threshold-free cluster enhancement (pTFCE) [65]. Statistical
second-level, voxel-wise analysis was finally executed to compare functional maps of
blue and yellow illumination from transgenic mice. For Session 1, all procedures were
executed accordingly. For Sessions 2, 3, and 4, none of the voxels under uncorrected P
values < 0.001 survived the thresholding.

Beta values from BOLD responses
Furthermore, regional beta values were extracted based on ROIs. Statistical significance
of regional beta values was subsequently tested with Student's t tests with multiple
comparisons with the false discovery rate (FDR; p value (FDR) < 0.05).

Extraction of regional BOLD signal changes
Each regional BOLD signal change (%) is calculated by averaging regional time series
on voxels, which are scaled with the mean of time series during the no-stimulation
period and followed with multiplication by 100.

Structural density and RNA expression maps
The structural density map of serotonin connections was obtained from the mouse brain
database of the Allen Brain Institute (Experimental IDs: 480074702) [21]. As with the
structural density map, gene expression of serotonin receptors was obtained from the
mouse brain database of Allen Brain Institute (Experimental IDs: 79556616 (5HT1a),
583 (5HT1b), 69859867 (5HT1f), 81671344 (5HT2a), and 73636098 (5HT2c)).
Intensity of the structural density and gene expression was normalized ranging from 0 to
100. Normalized energy intensity was extracted from the normalized atlas. Multiple
linear model analysis of ROIs between betas from GLM analyses and gene expressions
was performed.

Multivariable Linear Regression Model (MLRM)
Beta values from each session were obtained based on 28 ROIs. Structural density and
RNA expression of 5HT1a, 1b, 1f, 2a, and 2c was also extracted based on 28 ROIs.
Multivariable linear models were used to estimate weights of structural and gene
expression of 5HT receptors; where beta values from each session were the dependent
variables, and intensity of structural and gene expression was the explanatory variable.
Results of statistical values for fitting are described in Table 2.

One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) on Receptor Contribution

Regression coefficients of structural density and RNA expression of 5-HT receptors were calculated for each subject for all sessions. One-way ANOVA was applied to regression coefficients of each receptor type in order to test whether receptor expression is statistically different between awake (Sessions 1, 2, &4) and anesthetized states (Session 3). For analysis, data from all sessions were concatenated (total data: n=29, session 1 (awake): n=8; Session 2(awake): n=7, Session 3 (anesthetized): n=7, Session 4 (awake): n=7). p values from one-way ANOVA were further corrected with the Bonferroni method. Results of ANOVA are described in Supplementary Table ST3.

A reward delay task

After imaging sessions, some mice from both groups (Transgenic: n=3, WT: n=2) subsequently performed a waiting experiment for a delayed reward. A sequential tone-food waiting task was utilized as a free operant task [43, 45] (Supplementary Figure S1a). After MRI sessions, individual subjects were trained and tested in an operant-conditioning box (Med-Associates, 12.7x12.7 cm). In short, subjects were required to initiate trials by nose-poking into a tone site after 500 (ms). The reward-delay task was composed of reward trials (75%) and omission trials (25%). We adopted two types of stimulation protocols (Supplementary Figure S1b): fixed-delay and random-delay protocols. At the onset of reward and omission trials, either blue or yellow illumination was delivered. In reward trials, blue/yellow light stimulation was delivered at the onset of each trial until nose-poking was performed, and yellow light was delivered when subjects waited for 6.0 (s) for the fixed protocol and 2, 6, or 10 (s) for the random delay protocol. However, yellow illumination was also given when subjects failed to wait for the delay. On the other hand, during omission trials, yellow stimulation was given when mice gave up waiting for delayed rewards while light stimulation was delivered in the same manner as in the reward trials.

Data and code availability

Raw data including functional and structural images will be available soon. Codes and pre-processed data are also available from https://github.com/Taiyou/OptofMRI_5HT. All software used in this study is also available (Supplementary Table ST1)

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Koji Toda (Keio University) for his comments on awake optogenetic stimulation, Dr. Keigo Hikishima for his comments on fMRI, and Mr. Yoshiaki Ohba for creation of acclimation tools, and DMD. We thank Jiafu Zeng for animal support for MRI acquisition. We also acknowledge Prof. Grandjean for his support in replicating results from a CBV fMRI dataset.

Author contributions

HTH and KD conceived the experiments. HTH, AY, NT designed and prepared experiments, HTH performed MRI experiments. MT conducted behavioral experiments. HTH analysed results, and HTH wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

646
Figure 1. (a) Surgical setup. A fixation bar was mounted on the mouse head with dental cement and an
optical cannula was inserted into the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) (b) Acclimation protocol. A mouse was
exposed daily to recorded MRI scanning sounds for 2 h for 7 days prior to fMRI sessions. (c) Location of
an optical cannula. An optical cannula was inserted horizontally into the DRN from the cerebellum. A
cyan window indicates the imaging window for T2* sessions. (d) Experimental schedule. After surgery
and 7 days acclimation, the first fMRI recording session was performed. A replication session was also
performed a few weeks later. Finally, experiments for anesthesia effects were performed. On the 1st day,
an fMRI recording with general anesthesia using isoflurane 1% was performed, and an fMRI recording
without anesthesia was conducted thereafter (e) Stimulation protocol. In an fMRI session, optogenetic
stimulation was initiated 90 s after an imaging session began. Blue illumination (1.0 s; 473 nm) was
applied at the onset of stimulation, and yellow illumination (1.0 second; 593 nm) followed the offset of
stimulation 20 s after blue illumination. (f) Average time course of % BOLD signals in DRN. Time

series of % BOLD signals were individually extracted from the DRN in Sessions 1 and 2, and time series were averaged across transgenic mice (n = 8). Each cyan window indicates the stimulation period during a session for 20 s. (g) Alignment of average % BOLD responses in the DRN. % BOLD responses were aligned at the time of blue illumination (1.0 s) in session 1. Blue and yellow lines indicate % BOLD responses in the DRN from blue and yellow stimulation sessions from the transgenic group (n = 8), respectively. A cyan window indicates stimulation duration during a session for 20 s.

690
Figure 2. (a) Functional map of optogenetic illumination. A functional map was calculated by contrasting
BOLD responses between blue and yellow illumination in the transgenic (n = 8) group (p < 0.05, cluster-
corrected controlling family-wise error (FWE) with probabilistic threshold-free cluster enhancement
(pTFCE, see 'General Linear Model (GLM) for BOLD signals' in the Method section)). Magenta color
indicates the t value. (b) Comparison of beta values in multiple ROIs. Beta values were obtained from
GLM analysis in Session 1, and were extracted and averaged based on ROIs from the Allen Brain Atlas
(Table 1). Differences of beta values between blue and yellow illumination sessions were contrasted in
the transgenic group. * indicates p<0.05 (multiple comparison was corrected with false discovery rate
(FDR)). Cyan and magenta colors indicate blue and yellow illumination, respectively. (c) Alignment of
average % BOLD responses in the mPFc, OFC, CPu, and VTA. % BOLD responses were aligned at the
time of blue illumination (1.0 s) in Session 1. Blue illumination (1.0 s; 473 nm) was applied at the onset
of stimulation, and yellow illumination (1.0 second; 593 nm) followed 20 s the offset of the stimulation
after blue illumination. A cyan window indicates stimulation duration during a session for 20 s. Blue and
yellow lines indicate % BOLD responses in the mPFc, OFC, CPu, and VTA from blue and yellow
illumination from transgenic groups (n = 8), respectively. A cyan window indicates stimulation duration
during a session for 20 s.

Figure 3. (a) Optogenetic fMRI session with general anesthesia in Session 3. General anesthesia, 1% isoflurane, was applied to the transgenic group (n=7) during optogenetic fMRI sessions. GLM analysis following optogenetic stimulation was executed and visualized (T > 1, uncorrected). Magenta and cyan

colors indicate positive and negative statistical t values. (b) An optogenetic fMRI session in the awake
state in Session 4. As in Session 1, no anesthesia was applied to the transgenic group during optogenetic
fMRI sessions. As on day 1, GLM analysis was executed and visualized (T > 1, uncorrected). (c)
Comparison between beta values of Sessions 3 and 4 in multiple ROIs. Beta values of blue illumination
sessions in Session 3 and Session 4 were statistically contrasted using Student's t test. * indicates $p < 0.05$,
FDR-corrected. (d) Alignment of average % BOLD responses in vRSC, CA1, DG, and DRN in Session 3
from transgenic mice. Blue illumination (1.0 s; 473 nm) was applied at the onset of stimulation, and
yellow illumination (1.0 second; 593 nm) followed the offset of stimulation 20 s after the blue
illumination. A cyan window indicates stimulation duration for 20 seconds. * indicates $p < 0.05$, FDR
corrected.

Figure 4. (a) Structural density map of serotonergic projections. A structural density map of serotonergic
projections was obtained from the Allen Brain Atlas. The left color bar indicates beta values of BOLD
responses corresponding to Figure 2a. The right color bar indicates normalized intensity of structural
density ranging from 0-50. (b) Correlation between projection density and regional beta values. The
correlation between regional structural density and average regional beta values across transgenic groups
was calculated from Session 1 (blue stimulation: $r = 0.462$, $p < 0.05$; yellow stimulation: $r = 0.132$, $p =$
0.529, FDR corrected). Blue and yellow colors indicate data from blue and yellow illumination sessions,
respectively. (c) Correlation between projection density and regional beta values. The correlation
between regional structural density and average regional beta values across transgenic groups was
calculated (Anesthetized (Session3): $r = 0.546$, $p < 0.05$; Awake (Session4): $r = 0.758$, $p < 0.001$, FDR
corrected). Magenta and blue colors indicate data from blue illumination sessions in Sessions 3 and 4,
respectively.

762
Figure 5. (a) Procedure to make a model of predicted beta values in Session 1 of blue illumination.
Independent variables, including regional structural densities and regional gene expression of 5ht1a,
5ht1b, 5ht1f, 5ht2a, and 5ht2c were fit with a linear regression model to beta values of multiple ROIs
from blue illumination sessions. The right color bar indicates normalized intensity of mRNA expression
of 5-HT receptors and intensity of structural density for projections. The color bar ranges from 0-80. (b)
Correlation between modeled beta values from Session 1 and beta values from Session 3 under
anesthesia (r = 0.365, p = 0.079, FDR corrected). (c) Correlation between modeled beta values from

Session 1 and beta values from Session 4 (Awake: r = 0.615, p < 0.01, FDR corrected). (d) Weights of fitted models from Session 1, Session 2, and Session 3 under anesthesia, and Session 4 without anesthesia (mean ± SD). Cyan, black, magenta, and green colors indicate weights of expression profiles from Session 1 (n=8), Session 2 (n=7), Session 3 (n=7) and Session 4 (n=7), respectively.

ROI id	Area	ROI name	Abbreviations
1	Cortical regions	Frontal polar area	FP
2		Orbital area	OFC
3		Medial prefrontal cortex	mPFC
4		Secondary motor area	M2
5		Anterior cingulate cortex	ACC
6		Primary motor area	M1
7		Supplementary somatosensory area	SS
8		Ventral retrosplenial cortex	vRSC
9		Dorsal retrosplenial cortex	dRSC
10		Temporal association area	TAA
11		Primary auditory area	A1
12		Primary somatosensory area	S1
13		Posterior parietal association area	PPA
14		Primary visual area	V1
15	Sub-cortical regions	Nucleus accumbens	NAc
16		Caudate putamen	CPu
17		Bed nucleus of steria terminalis	BST
18		Globus pallidus external part	GPe
19		Globus pallidus internal part	GPi
20		Thalamic reticular nucleus	RT
21		Ventral pallidus	VP
22		Dentate gyrus of hippocampus	DG
23		CA1 of hippocampus	CA1
24		Lateral habenula	LHb
25		Substantia nigra pars reticulata	SNr
26		Ventral tegmental area	VTA
27		Medial raphe nucleus	MRN
28		Dorsal raphe nucleus	DRN

818
819   Table1. Regions of interest (ROIs) and their abbreviations. ROIs are ordered from anterior to posterior for
820   cortical and subcortical areas.
821
822

Model Statistics (Session 1)				
	R^2 statistic	F-statistic	P value (uncorrected)	Estimate of the error variance
Session 1	0.6603	5.5063	0.0025	0.0643

Table 2. Model with Parameter Estimate of Session 1. Multiple linear regression was performed to fit parameter estimates from Session 1 (blue stimulation) with the density of serotonergic projection and expression of 5-HT receptors.

5-ht1a		SS	df	MS	F-statistic	p value
	Group	0.00111	1	0.00111	0	0.945
	Error	6.20697	27	0.22989		
	Total	6.20808	28			
5-ht1b						
	Group	1.6679	1	1.66795	5.07	0.0327
	Error	8.8794	27	0.32886		
	Total	10.5473	28			
5-ht1f						
	Group	4.6674	1	4.66744	12.95	**0.0013***
	Error	9.7293	27	0.36034		
	Total	14.4967	28			
5-ht2a						
	Group	0.40786	1	0.40786	3.43	0.075
	Error	3.21128	27	0.11894		
	Total	3.61914	28			
5-ht2c						
	Group	10.103	1	10.103	31.08	**0.0019***
	Error	8.779	27	0.3251		
	Total	18.8809	28			
Structural density						
	Group	0.18596	1	0.18596	1.73	0.1999
	Error	2.90782	27	0.1077		
	Total	3.0938	28			
Intercept						
	Group	0.1135	1	0.11348	0.26	0.6171
	Error	11.9762	27	0.44356		
	Total	12.0896	28			

Table 3. Results of one-way ANOVA for 5-HT receptor type and structural intensity. One-way ANOVA was applied to regression coefficients from each factor to test whether each factor showed statistically different expressions between awake state and anesthetized states. SS, df, and MS indicate Sum of Squares, Degrees of freedom, and Mean Squares, respectively. * indicates statistical significance after multiple correction with the Bonferroni method ($p < 0.05$).

836   References

1.   Abe, Y., T. Tsurugizawa, and D. Le Bihan, *Water diffusion closely reveals*
neural activity status in rat brain loci affected by anesthesia. PLoS Biol, 2017.
15(4): p. e2001494.
2.   Abe, Y., et al., *Diffusion functional MRI reveals global brain network functional*
abnormalities driven by targeted local activity in a neuropsychiatric disease
mouse model. Neuroimage, 2020. **223**: p. 117318.
3.   Abe, Y., et al., *Optical manipulation of local cerebral blood flow in the deep*
brain of freely moving mice. Cell Rep, 2021. **36**(4): p. 109427.
4.   Amargos-Bosch, M., et al., *Co-expression and in vivo interaction of serotonin1A*
and serotonin2A receptors in pyramidal neurons of prefrontal cortex. Cereb
Cortex, 2004. **14**(3): p. 281-99.
5.   Anderson, I.M., et al., *Assessing human 5-HT function in vivo with*
pharmacoMRI. Neuropharmacology, 2008. **55**(6): p. 1029-37.
6.   Arnone, D., *Functional MRI findings, pharmacological treatment in major*
depression and clinical response. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry,
2019. **91**: p. 28-37.
7.   Boschert, U., et al., *The mouse 5-hydroxytryptamine1B receptor is localized*
predominantly on axon terminals. Neuroscience, 1994. **58**(1): p. 167-82.
8.   Boschloo, L., et al., *The complex clinical response to selective serotonin*
reuptake inhibitors in depression: a network perspective. Transl Psychiatry,
2023. **13**(1): p. 19.
9.   Boureau, Y.L. and P. Dayan, *Opponency revisited: competition and cooperation*
between dopamine and serotonin. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2011. **36**(1): p.
74-97.
10.   Caraiscos, V.B., et al., *Selective enhancement of tonic GABAergic inhibition in*
murine hippocampal neurons by low concentrations of the volatile anesthetic
isoflurane. J Neurosci, 2004. **24**(39): p. 8454-8.
11.   Cornea-Hebert, V., et al., *Cellular and subcellular distribution of the serotonin*
5-HT2A receptor in the central nervous system of adult rat. J Comp Neurol,
1999. **409**(2): p. 187-209.
12.   Correia, P.A., et al., *Transient inhibition and long-term facilitation of*
locomotion by phasic optogenetic activation of serotonin neurons. Elife, 2017.
6.
13.   Eichenbaum, H., *Prefrontal-hippocampal interactions in episodic memory.* Nat
Rev Neurosci, 2017. **18**(9): p. 547-558.
14.   Fonseca, M.S., M. Murakami, and Z.F. Mainen, *Activation of dorsal raphe*
serotonergic neurons promotes waiting but is not reinforcing. Curr Biol, 2015.
25(3): p. 306-315.
15.   Fritze, S., R. Spanagel, and H.R. Noori, *Adaptive dynamics of the 5-HT systems*
following chronic administration of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: a
meta-analysis. J Neurochem, 2017. **142**(5): p. 747-755.
16.   Fu, W., et al., *Chemical neuroanatomy of the dorsal raphe nucleus and adjacent*
structures of the mouse brain. J Comp Neurol, 2010. **518**(17): p. 3464-94.

17.   Garcia-Garcia, A.L., et al., *Serotonin inputs to the dorsal BNST modulate*
881   *anxiety in a 5-HT(1A) receptor-dependent manner.* Mol Psychiatry, 2018.
882   **23**(10): p. 1990-1997.
883   18.   Giorgetti, M. and L.H. Tecott, *Contributions of 5-HT(2C) receptors to multiple*
884   *actions of central serotonin systems.* Eur J Pharmacol, 2004. **488**(1-3): p. 1-9.
885   19.   Giorgi, A., et al., *Brain-wide Mapping of Endogenous Serotonergic*
886   *Transmission via Chemogenetic fMRI.* Cell Rep, 2017. **21**(4): p. 910-918.
887   20.   Grandjean, J., et al., *A brain-wide functional map of the serotonergic responses*
888   *to acute stress and fluoxetine.* Nat Commun, 2019. **10**(1): p. 350.
889   21.   Harris, J.A., et al., *Anatomical characterization of Cre driver mice for neural*
890   *circuit mapping and manipulation.* Front Neural Circuits, 2014. **8**: p. 76.
891   22.   Hikishima, K., et al., *In vivo microscopic voxel-based morphometry with a brain*
892   *template to characterize strain-specific structures in the mouse brain.* Sci Rep,
893   2017. **7**(1): p. 85.
894   23.   Iigaya, K., et al., *An effect of serotonergic stimulation on learning rates for*
895   *rewards apparent after long intertrial intervals.* Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p.
896   2477.
897   24.   Izquierdo, I., C.R. Furini, and J.C. Myskiw, *Fear Memory.* Physiol Rev, 2016.
898   **96**(2): p. 695-750.
899   25.   Kawai, S., et al., *Effect of three types of mixed anesthetic agents alternate to*
900   *ketamine in mice.* Exp Anim, 2011. **60**(5): p. 481-7.
901   26.   Kim, M., et al., *The effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors on brain*
902   *functional networks during goal-directed planning in obsessive-compulsive*
903   *disorder.* Sci Rep, 2020. **10**(1): p. 20619.
904   27.   Klomp, A., et al., *Lasting effects of chronic fluoxetine treatment on the late*
905   *developing rat brain: age-dependent changes in the serotonergic*
906   *neurotransmitter system assessed by pharmacological MRI.* Neuroimage, 2012.
907   **59**(1): p. 218-26.
908   28.   Lee, H.J., et al., *Activation of Direct and Indirect Pathway Medium Spiny*
909   *Neurons Drives Distinct Brain-wide Responses.* Neuron, 2016. **91**(2): p. 412-24.
910   29.   Li, A., et al., *Dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons promote arousal from*
911   *isoflurane anesthesia.* CNS Neurosci Ther, 2021. **27**(8): p. 941-950.
912   30.   Li, R., et al., *Restoring susceptibility induced MRI signal loss in rat brain at 9.4*
913   *T: A step towards whole brain functional connectivity imaging.* PLoS One,
914   2015. **10**(4): p. e0119450.
915   31.   Li, Y., et al., *Serotonin neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus encode reward*
916   *signals.* Nat Commun, 2016. **7**: p. 10503.
917   32.   Liu, Z., et al., *Dorsal raphe neurons signal reward through 5-HT and glutamate.*
918   Neuron, 2014. **81**(6): p. 1360-1374.
919   33.   Lottem, E., et al., *Activation of serotonin neurons promotes active persistence in*
920   *a probabilistic foraging task.* Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p. 1000.
921   34.   Lucaites, V.L., et al., *[3H]LY334370, a novel radioligand for the 5-HT1F*
922   *receptor. II. Autoradiographic localization in rat, guinea pig, monkey and*
923   *human brain.* Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol, 2005. **371**(3): p. 178-84.
924   35.   Malik, R., et al., *Top-down control of hippocampal signal-to-noise by prefrontal*
925   *long-range inhibition.* Cell, 2022. **185**(9): p. 1602-1617 e17.

36.   Marcinkiewcz, C.A., et al., *Serotonin engages an anxiety and fear-promoting*
circuit in the extended amygdala. Nature, 2016. **537**(7618): p. 97-101.
37.   Massey, C.A., et al., *Isoflurane abolishes spontaneous firing of serotonin*
neurons and masks their pH/CO(2) chemosensitivity. J Neurophysiol, 2015.
113(7): p. 2879-88.
38.   Matias, S., et al., *Activity patterns of serotonin neurons underlying cognitive*
flexibility. Elife, 2017. **6**.
39.   Matsunaga, F., et al., *Molecular interactions between general anesthetics and*
the 5HT2B receptor. J Biomol Struct Dyn, 2015. **33**(1): p. 211-8.
40.   McDevitt, R.A., et al., *Serotonergic versus nonserotonergic dorsal raphe*
projection neurons: differential participation in reward circuitry. Cell Rep,
2014. **8**(6): p. 1857-1869.
41.   Mengod, G., et al., *5-HT receptors in mammalian brain: receptor*
autoradiography and in situ hybridization studies of new ligands and newly
identified receptors. Histochem J, 1996. **28**(11): p. 747-58.
42.   Meyniel, F., et al., *A specific role for serotonin in overcoming effort cost.* Elife,
2016. **5**.
43.   Miyazaki, K., et al., *Reward probability and timing uncertainty alter the effect*
of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons on patience. Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p.
2048.
44.   Miyazaki, K., et al., *Serotonergic projections to the orbitofrontal and medial*
prefrontal cortices differentially modulate waiting for future rewards. Sci Adv,
2020. **6**(48).
45.   Miyazaki, K.W., et al., *Optogenetic activation of dorsal raphe serotonin*
neurons enhances patience for future rewards. Curr Biol, 2014. **24**(17): p. 2033-
40.
46.   Mo, B., et al., *Restraint stress increases serotonin release in the central nucleus*
of the amygdala via activation of corticotropin-releasing factor receptors. Brain
Res Bull, 2008. **76**(5): p. 493-8.
47.   Nagai, Y., et al., *The Role of Dorsal Raphe Serotonin Neurons in the Balance*
between Reward and Aversion. Int J Mol Sci, 2020. **21**(6).
48.   Nichols, D.E. and C.D. Nichols, *Serotonin receptors.* Chem Rev, 2008. **108**(5):
p. 1614-41.
49.   Nishitani, N., et al., *Manipulation of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons*
modulates active coping to inescapable stress and anxiety-related behaviors in
mice and rats. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2019. **44**(4): p. 721-732.
50.   Ohmura, Y., et al., *Optogenetic activation of serotonergic neurons enhances*
anxiety-like behaviour in mice. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol, 2014. **17**(11): p.
1777-83.
51.   Ohmura, Y., et al., *Disruption of model-based decision making by silencing of*
serotonin neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus. Curr Biol, 2021. **31**(11): p. 2446-
2454 e5.
52.   Oikonomou, G., et al., *The Serotonergic Raphe Promote Sleep in Zebrafish and*
Mice. Neuron, 2019. **103**(4): p. 686-701 e8.
53.   Puig, M.V., et al., *In vivo excitation of GABA interneurons in the medial*
prefrontal cortex through 5-HT3 receptors. Cereb Cortex, 2004. **14**(12): p.
1365-75.

54.   Puig, M.V. and A.T. Gulledge, *Serotonin and prefrontal cortex function:*
974   *neurons, networks, and circuits.* Mol Neurobiol, 2011. **44**(3): p. 449-64.
975   55.   Pulcu, E., et al., *Temporal discounting in major depressive disorder.* Psychol
976   Med, 2014. **44**(9): p. 1825-34.
977   56.   Pytliak, M., et al., *Serotonin receptors - from molecular biology to clinical*
978   *applications.* Physiol Res, 2011. **60**(1): p. 15-25.
979   57.   Ren, J., et al., *Anatomically Defined and Functionally Distinct Dorsal Raphe*
980   *Serotonin Sub-systems.* Cell, 2018. **175**(2): p. 472-487 e20.
981   58.   Ren, J., et al., *Single-cell transcriptomes and whole-brain projections of*
982   *serotonin neurons in the mouse dorsal and median raphe nuclei.* Elife, 2019. **8**.
983   59.   Riad, M., et al., *Somatodendritic localization of 5-HT1A and preterminal axonal*
984   *localization of 5-HT1B serotonin receptors in adult rat brain.* J Comp Neurol,
985   2000. **417**(2): p. 181-94.
986   60.   Salvan, P., et al., *Serotonin regulation of behavior via large-scale*
987   *neuromodulation of serotonin receptor networks.* Nat Neurosci, 2023. **26**(1): p.
988   53-63.
989   61.   Schaefer, A., et al., *Serotonergic modulation of intrinsic functional connectivity.*
990   Curr Biol, 2014. **24**(19): p. 2314-8.
991   62.   Scholl, J., et al., *Beyond negative valence: 2-week administration of a*
992   *serotonergic antidepressant enhances both reward and effort learning signals.*
993   PLoS Biol, 2017. **15**(2): p. e2000756.
994   63.   Sesack, S.R. and A.A. Grace, *Cortico-Basal Ganglia reward network:*
995   *microcircuitry.* Neuropsychopharmacology, 2010. **35**(1): p. 27-47.
996   64.   Smith, H.R., et al., *Dorsal Raphe Serotonin Neurons Mediate CO(2)-Induced*
997   *Arousal from Sleep.* J Neurosci, 2018. **38**(8): p. 1915-1925.
998   65.   Spisak, T., et al., *Probabilistic TFCE: A generalized combination of cluster size*
999   *and voxel intensity to increase statistical power.* Neuroimage, 2019. **185**: p. 12-
1000   26.
1001   66.   Tanaka, K.F., et al., *Expanding the repertoire of optogenetically targeted cells*
1002   *with an enhanced gene expression system.* Cell Rep, 2012. **2**(2): p. 397-406.
1003   67.   Tanaka, S.C., et al., *Serotonin differentially regulates short- and long-term*
1004   *prediction of rewards in the ventral and dorsal striatum.* PLoS One, 2007.
1005   **2**(12): p. e1333.
1006   68.   Trusel, M., et al., *Punishment-Predictive Cues Guide Avoidance through*
1007   *Potentiation of Hypothalamus-to-Habenula Synapses.* Neuron, 2019. **102**(1): p.
1008   120-127 e4.
1009   69.   Wang, H.Y., et al., *Frequency-Dependent Block of Excitatory*
1010   *Neurotransmission by Isoflurane via Dual Presynaptic Mechanisms.* J Neurosci,
1011   2020. **40**(21): p. 4103-4115.
1012   70.   Whittington, R.A. and L. Virag, *Isoflurane decreases extracellular serotonin in*
1013   *the mouse hippocampus.* Anesth Analg, 2006. **103**(1): p. 92-8, table of contents.
1014   71.   Winegar, B.D. and M.B. MacIver, *Isoflurane depresses hippocampal CA1*
1015   *glutamate nerve terminals without inhibiting fiber volleys.* BMC Neurosci, 2006.
1016   **7**: p. 5.
1017   72.   Xu, S., et al., *Dorsal Raphe Serotonergic Neurons Control Intertemporal Choice*
1018   *under Trade-off.* Curr Biol, 2017. **27**(20): p. 3111-3119 e3.

1019   73.   Ying, S.W., et al., *Isoflurane modulates excitability in the mouse thalamus via*
1020   *GABA-dependent and GABA-independent mechanisms.* Neuropharmacology,
1021   2009. **56**(2): p. 438-47.
1022   74.   Yokoyama, C., et al., *Marmoset Serotonin 5-HT1A Receptor Mapping with a*
1023   *Biased Agonist PET Probe 18F-F13714: Comparison with an Antagonist Tracer*
1024   *18F-MPPF in Awake and Anesthetized States.* Int J Neuropsychopharmacol,
1025   2016. **19**(12).
1026   75.   Yoshida, K., et al., *Physiological effects of a habituation procedure for*
1027   *functional MRI in awake mice using a cryogenic radiofrequency probe.* J
1028   Neurosci Methods, 2016. **274**: p. 38-48.
1029