1 Virological characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2.75

2

Akatsuki Saito^{1-3,32}, Tomokazu Tamura^{4,32}, Jiri Zahradnik^{5,32}, Sayaka Deguchi^{6,32}, 3 Koshiro Tabata^{7,32}, Izumi Kimura^{8,32}, Jumpei Ito^{8,32}, Hesham Nasser^{9,10}, Mako 4 Toyoda¹¹, Kayoko Nagata¹², Keiya Uriu^{8,13}, Yusuke Kosugi^{8,13}, Shigeru Fujita^{8,13}, 5 Daichi Yamasoba^{8,14}, Maya Shofa^{1,2}, MST Monira Begum⁹, Yoshitaka Oda¹⁵, 6 Rigel Suzuki⁴, Hayato Ito⁴, Naganori Nao¹⁶, Lei Wang^{15,17}, Masumi Tsuda^{15,17}, 7 Kumiko Yoshimatsu¹⁸, Yuki Yamamoto¹⁹, Tetsuharu Nagamoto¹⁹, Hiroyuki 8 Asakura²⁰, Mami Nagashima²⁰, Kenji Sadamasu²⁰, Kazuhisa Yoshimura²⁰, 9 Takamasa Ueno¹¹, Gideon Schreiber⁵, Akifumi Takaori-Kondo¹², The Genotype 10 to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium, Kotaro Shirakawa¹², Hirofumi 11 Sawa^{7,16,21}, Takashi Irie²², Kazuo Takayama^{6,23}, Keita Matsuno^{21,24,25}, Shinya 12 Tanaka^{15,17}*, Terumasa Ikeda⁹*, Takasuke Fukuhara^{4,26}*, Kei Sato^{8,13,27-31,33,34}* 13 14 15 ¹ Department of Veterinary Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of

16 Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Japan

² Graduate School of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, University of Miyazaki,

- 18 Miyazaki, Japan
- ³ Center for Animal Disease Control, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Japan
- ⁴ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Hokkaido
 University, Sapporo, Japan.

⁵ Department of Biomolecular Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science,
 Rehovot, Israel

⁶ Center for iPS Cell Research and Application (CiRA), Kyoto University, Kyoto,
 Japan

- ⁷ Division of Molecular Pathobiology, International Institute for Zoonosis Control,
 Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- 28 ⁸ Division of Systems Virology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology,
- 29 The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ⁹ Division of Molecular Virology and Genetics, Joint Research Center for Human
 Retrovirus infection, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan
- ¹⁰ Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University,
 Ismailia, Egypt
- ¹¹ Division of Infection and immunity, Joint Research Center for Human
 Retrovirus infection, Kumamoto University, Japan

36 ¹² Department of Hematology and Oncology, Graduate School of Medicine,

- 37 Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- ¹³ Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- 39 ¹⁴ Faculty of Medicine, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
- 40 ¹⁵ Department of Cancer Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Hokkaido University,

41 Sapporo, Japan

- 42 ¹⁶ Division of International Research Promotion, International Institute for
- 43 Zoonosis Control, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- 44 ¹⁷ Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (WPI-ICReDD),
- 45 Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- 46 ¹⁸ Institute for Genetic Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- 47 ¹⁹ HiLung Inc., Kyoto, Japan
- 48 ²⁰ Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Public Health, Tokyo, Japan
- 49 ²¹ One Health Research Center, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan.
- ²² Institute of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima,
 Japan.
- ²³ AMED-CREST, Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
 (AMED), Tokyo, Japan
- ²⁴ International Collaboration Unit, International Institute for Zoonosis Control,
- 55 Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- ²⁵ Division of Risk Analysis and Management, International Institute for Zoonosis
- 57 Control, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- ²⁶ Laboratory of Virus Control, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka
- 59 University, Suita, Japan.
- 60
- ²⁷ International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, The Institute of Medical
 Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- 63 ²⁸ International Vaccine Design Center, The Institute of Medical Science, The
- 64 University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- 65 ²⁹ Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa,
 66 Japan
- ³⁰ Collaboration Unit for Infection, Joint Research Center for Human Retrovirus
 infection, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan
- ³¹ CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan
- 70 ³² These authors contributed equally
- 71 ³³ Twitter: @SystemsVirology
- 72 ³⁴ Lead Contact
- 73
- 74 *Corresponding authors:
- 75 tanaka@med.hokudai.ac.jp (Shinya Tanaka),
- 76 ikedat@kumamoto-u.ac.jp (Terumasa Ikeda),
- 77 fukut@pop.med.hokudai.ac.jp (Takasuke Fukuhara),
- 78 KeiSato@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp (Kei Sato)

79

- 80 Conflict of interest: Yuki Yamamoto and Tetsuharu Nagamoto are founders
- 81 and shareholders of HiLung, Inc. Yuki Yamamoto is a co-inventor of patents
- 82 (PCT/JP2016/057254; "Method for inducing differentiation of alveolar epithelial

- cells", PCT/JP2016/059786, "Method of producing airway epithelial cells"). The
- 84 other authors declare that no competing interests exist.
- 85
- 86 **Short title**: Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.75 (37/50 characters)
- 87 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Omicron; BA.2.75; transmissibility;
- 88 immune resistance; antiviral drug resistance; pathogenicity

89 Abstract (150/150 words)

90 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2.75 emerged in May 2022. BA.2.75 is a BA.2 91 descendant but is phylogenetically different from BA.5, the currently 92 predominant BA.2 descendant. Here, we showed that the effective reproduction number of BA.2.75 is greater than that of BA.5. While the sensitivity of BA.2.75 93 94 to vaccination- and BA.1/2 breakthrough infection-induced humoral immunity 95 was comparable to that of BA.2, the immunogenicity of BA.2.75 was different 96 from that of BA.2 and BA.5. Three clinically-available antiviral drugs were 97 effective against BA.2.75. BA.2.75 spike exhibited a profound higher affinity to 98 human ACE2 than BA.2 and BA.5 spikes. The fusogenicity, growth efficiency in 99 human alveolar epithelial cells, and intrinsic pathogenicity in hamsters of BA.2.75 were comparable to those of BA.5 but were greater than those of BA.2. 100 101 Our multiscale investigations suggest that BA.2.75 acquired virological 102 properties independently of BA.5, and the potential risk of BA.2.75 to global 103 health is greater than that of BA.5.

104 Introduction

105 By the end of 2021, five SARS-CoV-2 variants-of-concern (VOCs) were 106 classified by the WHO (WHO, 2022). These are the Alpha [also known as 107 lineage B.1.1.7 based on the PANGO classification (https://cov-lineages.org); 108 clade 20I based on the Nextstrain classification (https://nextstrain.org)]. Beta 109 (lineage B.1.351; clade 20H), Gamma (lineage P.1; clade 20J), Delta (lineages 110 B.1.617.2 and AY; clades 21I and 21J), and Omicron (lineages B.1.1.529 and 111 BA; clade 21K) variants. Since these five VOCs are phylogenetically unrelated to 112 each other, SARS-CoV-2 evolution until the end of 2021 was posed by the 113 antigenic shift. At the beginning of 2022, Omicron BA.1 variant (clade 21K) 114 outcompeted the other variants and spread globally. Thereafter, BA.2 (clade 115 21L) and BA.4/5 (clades 22A and 22B) continuously emerged from South Africa, 116 while BA.2.12.1 (clade 22C) emerged in the USA. As of the beginning of August 117 2022, Omicron BA.5 (clade 22B) is the most predominant SARS-CoV-2 variant 118 in the world. In contrast to the five VOCs detected in 2021, the Omicron 119 subvariants are phylogenetically related. Therefore, the evolution of 120 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants since the end of 2021 is posed by the 121 antigenic drift.

122 Newly emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants need to be carefully and rapidly 123 assessed for a potential increase in their growth efficiency in the human population [i.e., relative effective reproduction number (Re)], their evasion from 124 125 antiviral immunity, and their pathogenicity. Resistance to antiviral humoral 126 immunity can be mainly determined by substitutions in the spike (S) protein. For 127 instance, Omicron BA.1 (Cao et al., 2021; Cele et al., 2021; Dejnirattisai et al., 128 2022; Garcia-Beltran et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Meng et al., 2022; Planas et 129 al., 2021; Takashita et al., 2022a; VanBlargan et al., 2022), BA.2 (Bruel et al., 2022; Takashita et al., 2022b; Yamasoba et al., 2022c), and BA.5 (Arora et al., 130 2022; Cao et al., 2022; Gruell et al., 2022; Hachmann et al., 2022; Khan et al., 131 2022; Kimura et al., 2022c; Lyke et al., 2022; Qu et al., 2022; Tuekprakhon et al., 132 133 2022; Wang et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022c) exhibit profound resistance to 134 neutralizing antibodies induced by vaccination, natural SARS-CoV-2 infection, 135 and therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. Particularly, newly spreading SARS-CoV-2 variants tend to be resistant to the humoral immunity induced by 136 137 the infection with prior variant; for instance, BA.2 is resistant to BA.1 breakthrough infection sera (Qu et al., 2022; Tuekprakhon et al., 2022; 138 139 Yamasoba et al., 2022b), and BA.5 is resistant to BA.2 breakthrough infection 140 sera (Hachmann et al., 2022; Kimura et al., 2022c; Wang et al., 2022). Therefore, 141 acquiring immune resistance to previously dominant variant is a key factor in 142 outcompeting previous variants, thereby obtaining relatively increased Re 143 compared to the previously dominant variant. Viral pathogenicity is also closely 144 associated with the phenotype of viral S protein. Particularly, we have proposed

that the fusogenicity of viral S protein in *in vitro* cell cultures is associated with
viral pathogenicity *in vivo* (Kimura *et al.*, 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al.,
2022; Yamasoba *et al.*, 2022b).

148 As mentioned above, major SARS-CoV-2 phenotypes can be defined 149 by the function of the viral S protein. SARS-CoV-2 S protein bears two major 150 domains, receptor binding domain (RBD) and N-terminal domain (NTD) 151 [reviewed in (Harvey et al., 2021; Mittal et al., 2022)]. RBD is crucial for the 152 binding to human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor for the cell 153 attachment and entry, and therefore, this domain has been considered a major 154 target for neutralizing antibodies to block viral infection [reviewed in (Barnes et 155 al., 2020; Harvey et al., 2021; Jackson et al., 2022)]. On the other hand, NTD is an immunodominant domain that can be recognized by antibodies, and some 156 157 antibodies targeting NTD potentially neutralize viral infection (Cerutti et al., 2021; 158 Chi et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Lok, 2021; McCallum et al., 2021; Suryadevara 159 et al., 2021; Voss et al., 2021), despite our limited understanding of its virological 160 function.

161 The Omicron BA.2.75 variant, a new BA.2 subvariant, was first 162 detected in India in May 2022 (WHO, 2022). Because an early preliminary 163 investigation suggested the potential increase in the relative R_e value of BA.2.75 164 compared to BA.5 and the original BA.2 (GitHub, 2022), BA.2.75 has been flagged as the most concerning variant that can potentially outcompete BA.5 and 165 166 be the next predominant variant in the future. In fact, on July 19, 2022, the WHO 167 classified this variant as a VOC lineage under monitoring (VOC-LUM) together 168 with the other BA.2 subvariants, including BA.5, which bear the substitution at 169 the L452 residue in their S proteins (WHO, 2022). On July 23, 2022, Nextstrain 170 (https://nextstrain.org) classified BA.2.75 as a new clade, 22D. Compared to the 171 BA.2 S. BA.4/5 bears four mutations in its S protein (Kimura et al., 2022c; 172 Yamasoba et al., 2022b). On the other hand, the majority of BA.2.75 S bears 173 nine mutations: K147E, W152R, F157L, I210V, and G257S substitutions are 174 located in the NTD, while D339H, G446S, N460K, and R493Q substitutions are 175 located in the RBD. The mutation number in the BA.2.75 S is larger than that in 176 the BA.4/5 S, and notably, some of the substitutions detected in the BA.2.75 S 177 show the signs of convergent evolution (Zahradnik et al., 2022). These notions 178 raise the possibility that the phenotype of BA.2.75 S is critically different from 179 previous BA.2 subvariants. In fact, we have recently revealed that the S protein 180 of BA.2.75 exhibits different sensitivity towards several therapeutic monoclonal 181 antibodies from those of BA.2 and BA.5 (Yamasoba et al., 2022a). However, the 182 virological phenotype of BA.2.75, including its R_e, potential evasion from antiviral 183 humoral immunity, sensitivity to currently recommended antiviral small 184 compounds, virological properties of its S protein, and intrinsic pathogenicity

185 remains unclear. Here, we elucidate the features of newly emerging

186 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2.75 subvariant.

187 Results

188 Epidemics of BA.2.75 in India

189 As of the beginning of August 2022, the Omicron BA.5 variant is predominant in 190 the world and is outcompeting the BA.2 variant. However, a novel BA.2 191 subvariant, BA.2.75, emerged and rapidly spread in India since May 2022. 192 Although BA.2.75 and BA.5 (and BA.4) belong to the BA.2 subvariant clade, 193 BA.2.75 is phylogenetically distinct from the BA.4/5 clade (Figure 1A). 194 Compared to BA.2, BA.2.75 harbors 14 amino acid substitutions, including nine substitutions in the S protein (Figures 1B and S1A). Of these, only one 195 196 revertant mutation (S:R493Q) is shared with BA.5. In India, BA.5 and BA.2.75 197 spread in different regions each other: BA.5 spreads in the south part including Tamil Nadu and Telangana states, while BA.2.75 spreads the other parts 198 199 including Himachal Pradesh, Odisha, Haryana, Rajasthan, and Maharashtra 200 states (Figures 1C and 1D). To compare the relative R_e between BA.5 and 201 BA.2.75 in India with adjusting the regional differences, we constructed a 202 Bayesian hierarchical model that can estimate both state-specific Re values and 203 the value averaged in India (Figures 1E and S1B and Table S1). The Re value 204 of BA.5 is 1.19-fold higher than that of BA.2 [95% credible interval (CI): 205 1.14–1.24] on average in India (Figure 1E). This value is comparative to the 206 relative R_e value of BA.5 in South Africa (1.21) estimated in our recent study 207 (Kimura et al., 2022c). Of note, the Re value of BA.2.75 is 1.34-fold higher than 208 that of BA.2 (95% CI: 1.29–1.38), and the Re value of BA.2.75 is 1.13-fold higher 209 than that of BA.5 (95% CI: 1.06–1.20) (Figures 1E and S1C). Furthermore, in 210 the Indian states analyzed, where both BA.5 and BA.2.75 are dominant, such as 211 Telangana and Tamil Nadu (for BA. 5-dominant states) and Odisha, Haryana, 212 Rajasthan, and Maharashtra (for BA.2.75-dominant states), the R_e value of 213 BA.2.75 was greater than that of BA.5 (Figures S1B and S1C). Together, our 214 data suggest that BA.2.75 bears the potential to spread more rapidly than BA.5 215 and will be predominant in some regions including India in the near future.

216

217 Sensitivity of BA.2.75 to antiviral humoral immunity and antiviral drugs

Recent studies, including ours, showed that newly emerging Omicron 218 219 subvariants such as BA.5 exhibit higher resistance to the humoral immunity 220 induced by vaccination and natural infections with prior SARS-CoV-2 variants 221 including BA.1 and BA.2 (Hachmann et al., 2022; Kimura et al., 2022c; Wang et 222 al., 2022). Additionally, we have recently demonstrated that BA.2.75 is more 223 resistant to a therapeutic monoclonal antibody, bebtelovimab, compared to BA.2 224 and BA.5 (Yamasoba et al., 2022a). To investigate the sensitivity of BA.2.75 to 225 antiviral humoral immunity, we prepared pseudoviruses bearing the S proteins of 226 D614G-bearing ancestral B.1.1, BA.2, BA.5 and BA.2.75. Human sera were 227 collected from vaccinated and infected individuals (listed in Table S2). The

228 2-dose vaccine sera were ineffective against all Omicron subvariants tested, 229 including BA.2.75 (Figure 2A). Although BA.5 was significantly more resistant to 230 3-dose vaccine sera than BA.2, which is consistent with previous studies 231 (Hachmann et al., 2022; Kimura et al., 2022c; Wang et al., 2022), the sensitivity 232 of BA.2.75 to these sera was comparable to that of BA.2 (Figures 2B and 2C). 233 We then assessed the sensitivity of BA.2.75 to the convalescent sera from 234 individuals who were infected with BA.1 and BA.2 after 2-dose or 3-dose 235 vaccination (i.e., breakthrough infection). Similar to the previous reports 236 including ours (Hachmann et al., 2022; Kimura et al., 2022c; Wang et al., 2022), 237 BA.5 exhibited significant resistance to breakthrough infection sera compared to 238 BA.2, while the sensitivity of BA.2.75 to these sera was comparable to that of 239 BA.2 (Figures 2D and 2E). These results suggest that BA.2.75 is not resistant 240 to the humoral immunity induced by vaccination and the infection with prior 241 Omicron subvariants including BA.1 and BA.2. Since the Delta variant emerged 242 and caused a huge surge of infection in India in the middle of 2021 (Mlcochova 243 et al., 2021), it is hypothesized that BA.2.75 evades the immunity induced by 244 Delta. To address this possibility, we used Delta infection sera. However, the 245 sensitivity of all Omicron subvariants tested, including BA.2.75, to Delta infection 246 sera was similar (Figure 2F), implying that previous Delta infection is not 247 associated with the emergence of BA.2.75 in India.

248 To further address the difference in immunogenicity among Omicron 249 subvariants, we used the sera obtained from infected hamsters at 16 days 250 postinfection (d.p.i., i.e., after recovery) (Kimura et al., 2022c; Suzuki et al., 251 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). While BA.1 infection hamster sera were 252 ineffective against BA.2, BA.5 and BA.2.75 (Figure 2G), both BA.5 (17-fold, 253 P=0.031 by Wilcoxon signed-rank test) and BA.2.75 (23-fold, P=0.031 by 254 Wilcoxon signed-rank test) exhibited significant resistance to BA.2 infection 255 hamster sera than BA.2 (Figure 2H). These results suggest that the immunogenicity of BA.5 and BA.2.75 is different from BA.2. Notably, BA.2 256 257 (5.1-fold, P=0.031 by Wilcoxon signed-rank test) and BA.2.75 (12-fold, P=0.031 258 by Wilcoxon signed-rank test) exhibited significant resistance to BA.5 infection 259 hamster sera (Figure 2I). These results suggest that the immunogenicity of BA.5 260 and BA.2.75 is also different. To identify the substitutions responsible for the 261 different immunogenicity of BA.2.75 S from BA.2 S and BA.5 S, we prepared the 262 BA.2 S-based derivatives that bear respective BA.2.75 substitutions. The 263 neutralization assay using BA.2-infected hamster sera showed that the G446S 264 and R493Q substitutions contribute to the resistance of BA.2.75 to BA.2-induced 265 immunity (Figure 2H). Because the R493Q substitution is shared with BA.5 266 (Figures 1B and S1A), it can be suggested that this substitution contributes to 267 the resistance of BA.5 to BA.2-induced immunity (Figure 2H). In the case of 268 BA.5-infected hamster sera, multiple substitutions, including the K147E, W152R,

9

F157L, I210V, G446S and N460K, associated with the resistance of BA.2.75 to
BA.5-induced immunity (Figure 2I).

271 To evaluate the sensitivity of BA.2.75 to three antiviral drugs, 272 Remdesivir, EIDD-1931 (an active metabolite of Molnupiravir) and Nirmatrelvir 273 (also known as PF-07321332), we used a clinical isolate of BA.2.75 (strain 274 TY41-716; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_13969765). As controls, we also used clinical 275 isolates of B.1.1 (strain TKYE610670; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 479681) (Suzuki et 276 al., 2022), BA.2 (strain TY40-385; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 9595859) (Kimura et al., 277 2022c), BA.5 (strain TKYS14631; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_12812500) (Tamura et 278 al., 2022). These viruses were inoculated into human airway organoids (AO), a 279 physiologically relevant model (Sano et al., 2022), and treated with three 280 antiviral drugs. As shown in **Table 1** and **Figure S2A**, Remdesivir had a stronger 281 antiviral effect (EC₅₀=0.63 µM) against B.2.75 than other variants, B.1.1, BA.2 282 and BA.5. EIDD-1931 inhibited BA.2 and BA.2.75 (EC₅₀=0.02 μ M and 0.08 μ M, 283 respectively) more potently than B.1.1 and BA.5 (EC₅₀=0.24 μ M and 0.21 μ M, 284 respectively). For Nirmatrelvir, no differences in antiviral efficacy were observed 285 between four variants (EC₅₀=0.84 μ M, 0.85 μ M, 0.63 μ M and 0.81 μ M for B.1.1, 286 BA.2, BA.5 and BA.2.75, respectively). Altogether, it is suggested that all three 287 drugs exhibit antiviral effects against BA.2.75, and particularly, EIDD-1931 is 288 effective against BA.2.75.

289

290 Virological characteristics of BA.2.75 S in vitro

291 To investigate the virological properties of BA.2.75 S, we measured the 292 pseudovirus infectivity. As shown in Figure 3A, the pseudovirus infectivity of 293 BA.2.75 was significantly (12.5-fold) higher than that of BA.2. To assess the 294 association of TMPRSS2 usage with the increased pseudovirus infectivity of 295 BA.2.75, we used both HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells and HEK293-ACE2 cells, 296 on which endogenous surface TMPRSS2 is undetectable (Yamasoba et al., 297 2022b), as target cells. Consistent with our recent study (Kimura et al., 2022c), 298 the fold increase in pseudovirus infectivity of BA.5 caused by TMPRSS2 299 expression on the target cells was not observed (Figure S3A). Similarly, the 300 infectivity of BA.2.75 pseudovirus was not increased by TMPRSS2 expression 301 (Figure S3A), suggesting that TMPRSS2 is not associated with an increase in 302 pseudovirus infectivity of BA.2.75. To determine the substitutions that are 303 responsible for the increased pseudovirus infectivity of BA.2.75, we used a 304 series of BA.2 derivatives that bears the BA.2.75-specific substitutions. Three 305 substitutions in the NTD, K147E, F157L, and I210V, and two substitutions in the 306 RBD, N460K and R493Q, significantly increased infectivity (Figure 3A). Notably, 307 the N460K substitution increased infectivity by 44-fold (Figure 3A). On the other 308 hand, a substitution in the NTD, W152R, significantly (8.9-fold) decreased 309 infectivity (Figure 3A). The BA.2 derivative bearing the three substitutions in the

NTD in close proximity to each other, K147E, W152R and F157L, exhibited comparable infectivity to BA.2 (**Figure 3A**).

312 To decipher the binding properties of BA.2.75 S RBD to human ACE2 313 and the role of each substitution, we measured the ACE2 binding affinity of the S 314 RBDs of BA.2.75 as well as those of BA.2 derivatives bearing D339H, G446S, 315 N460K and R493Q substitutions by an enhanced surface display system 316 (Zahradnik et al., 2021a). Intriguingly, the BA.2.75 S RBD showed a strongly 317 tight binding with 146 ± 6 pM affinity (Figure 3B). Out of the four BA.2-based derivatives, only the BA.2 N460K substitution exhibited a significantly increased 318 319 binding affinity than BA.2 (Figure 3B). Consistent with the results of pseudovirus 320 assay (Figure 3A), these observations suggest that the N460K substitution is 321 critical to characterize the virological phenotype of BA.2.75 S. To reveal the 322 structural effect of the N460K substitution, we generated a structural model of 323 BA.2.75 S RBD using AlphaFold2 (Mirdita et al., 2022). Calculating the 324 electrostatic potential of this model in comparison with the S RBDs of B.1.1 and 325 BA.2 showed that K460 of BA.2.75 S RBD is positively charged (Figure 3C), 326 and the K460 is complementary to the negative charged binding site on human 327 ACE2 (Figure 3D). These structural observations suggest that N460K 328 substitution contributes to increased electrostatic complementary binding 329 between the BA.2.75 S RBD and human ACE2.

330 Although the N460K substitution significantly increased binding affinity 331 (Figure 3B), the binding affinity of the BA.2 N460K was still 5-fold lower than 332 that of BA.2.75 (Figure 3B). Therefore, the extraordinary tight binding of 333 BA.2.75 cannot be explained by the N460K alone, and it is hypothesized that the 334 additional substitutions conferred negative effects in the BA.2 background. In 335 particular, the D339H substitution requires two nucleotide changes in the codon 336 to occur. Such changes are still relatively rare in the evolution of SARS-CoV-2. 337 reinforcing the importance and corresponding fitness advantage. To analyze the 338 potential impact of this substitution, we additionally prepared the BA.2.75 H339D 339 derivative and measured its affinity. The K_D value of this mutant was significantly 340 (3-fold) lower than that of the parental BA.2.75 (Figure 3B). The structural model 341 computed by AlphaFold2 (Mirdita et al., 2022) suggested that the loss of 342 ion-dipole interaction between the D339 and the N343 allowed for the N343 side 343 chain repositioning (Figure S3B). These data suggest that the D339H 344 substitution potentially influences the position of the linoleic acid binding loop 345 between residues 367–378 (Toelzer et al., 2020) and thereby increases binding 346 affinity to ACE2.

To further reveal the virological property of BA.2.75 S, we performed a cell-based fusion assay (Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura *et al.*, 2022c; Motozono et al., 2021; Saito *et al.*, 2022; Suzuki *et al.*, 2022; Yamasoba *et al.*, 2022b) using Calu-3 cells as target cells. Flow cytometry analysis showed that the surface

expression level of BA.2.75 is comparable to that of BA.2 (Figure 3E).
Consistent with our recent study (Kimura *et al.*, 2022c), the fusogenicity of BA.5
was significantly higher than that of BA.2, and notably, the BA.2.75 S was also
significantly more fusogenic than the BA.2 S (Figure 3F). Altogether, these
results suggest that BA.2.75 S exhibits higher binding affinity to human ACE2
and higher fusogenicity.

357

358 Virological characteristics of BA.2.75 clinical isolate in vitro

359 To evaluate the growth capacity of BA.2.75, a clinical isolate of BA.2.75 (strain 360 TY41-716; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_13969765) was inoculated in a variety of in vitro 361 cell culture systems. As controls, we also used clinical isolates of B.1.1 (strain 362 TKYE610670; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_479681) (Suzuki et al., 2022), Delta 363 (B.1.617.2, strain TKYTK1734; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_2378732) (Saito et al., 364 2022), BA.2 (strain TY40-385; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_9595859) (Kimura et al., 365 2022c) and BA.5 (strain TKYS14631; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_12812500) (Tamura 366 et al., 2022). The growth efficacy of B.1.1 and Delta was significantly higher than that of BA.2 in Vero cells (Figure 4A), VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (Figure 4B), 367 368 HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (Figure 4C), AO-derived air-liquid interface 369 (AO-ALI) model (Figure 4D), human iPS cell (iPSC)-derived airway epithelial 370 cells (Figure 4E) and lung epithelial cells (Figure 4F). BA.5 replicated more 371 efficiently than BA.2 with statistically significant differences in the five cell culture 372 systems except AO-ALI (Figures 4A-4F). The growth efficacy of BA.2.75 was 373 significantly higher than that of BA.2 in Vero cells (Figure 4A), 374 VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (Figure 4B), HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (Figure 375 **4C**), and iPSC-derived lung epithelial cells (**Figure 4F**), while the growth efficacy 376 of BA.2.75 and BA.2 were comparable in the two airway epithelial cell systems 377 (Figures 4D and 4E).

378 To evaluate the effect of BA.2.75 on the airway epithelial and 379 endothelial barriers, airway-on-a-chips (Figure S3C) were used. By measuring 380 the amount of virus that invades from the top channel (airway channel; Figure 381 4G) to the bottom channel (blood vessel channel; Figure 4H), the ability of 382 viruses to disrupt the airway epithelial and endothelial barriers can be evaluated. 383 Notably, the amount of virus that invades to the blood vessel channel of 384 BA.2.75-, BA.5- and B.1.1-infected airway-on-chips was significantly higher than 385 that of BA.2-infected one (Figure 4I). These results suggest that BA.2.75 386 exhibits more severe airway epithelial and endothelial barrier disruption than 387 BA.2.

To further address the fusogenic capacity of BA.2.75, we performed plaque assay using VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells. Consistent with our previous studies using a Delta isolate (Saito *et al.*, 2022) as well as the recombinant SARS-CoV-2 bearing the B.1.1 S (Yamasoba *et al.*, 2022a), BA.2 S (Yamasoba

392 et al., 2022a), and BA.5 S (Kimura et al., 2022c), the plaques formed by the 393 infections of clinical isolates of B.1.1, Delta and BA.5 were significantly bigger 394 than those formed by the infection of BA.2 (Figure 4J). Notably, BA.2.75 395 infection also showed significantly bigger plaques than BA.2 infection (Figure 396 4J). Together with the results of cell-based fusion assay (Figure 3F) and 397 airway-on-a-chip infection experiments (Figures 4G-4I), these observations 398 suggest that BA.2.75 is more fusogenic than BA.2, and the fusogenicity of 399 BA.2.75 is comparable to that of BA.5.

400

401 Virological characteristics of BA.2.75 in vivo

402 As we proposed in our prior studies (Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; 403 Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b), the fusogenicity of the S proteins 404 of SARS-CoV-2 variants is closely associated with the intrinsic pathogenicity in 405 an experimental hamster model. Here we revealed that both BA.5 and BA.2.75 406 are more fusogenic than BA.2 in the in vitro cell culture systems (Figures 3 and 407 4). Given that the recombinant SARS-CoV-2 bearing the BA.5 S (Kimura et al., 408 2022c) as well as a clinical isolate of BA.5 (Tamura et al., 2022) exhibited 409 relatively higher pathogenicity than BA.2 in hamsters, it is hypothesized that 410 BA.2.75 is also intrinsically more pathogenic than BA.2. To address this 411 possibility, we intranasally inoculated a BA.2.75 isolate into hamsters. As 412 controls, we also used clinical isolates of Delta, BA.2 and BA.5. While we 413 followed our established experimental protocol (Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 414 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b), the viral titers of clinical 415 isolates of Omicron subvariants were relatively low. Therefore, we set out to 416 conduct animal experiments in this study with relatively lower titer inoculum 417 $(1,000 \text{ TCID}_{50} \text{ per hamster})$ than our previous studies $(10,000 \text{ TCID}_{50} \text{ per})$ 418 hamster) (Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba 419 et al., 2022b). Nevertheless, consistent with our previous study (Saito et al., 420 2022), the Delta infection exhibited the most severe weight changes among the 421 five groups (Figure 5A). While the body weight of BA.2-infected hamsters was 422 similar to that of uninfected hamsters, those of BA.5- and BA.2.75-infected 423 hamsters were significantly lower than that of uninfected hamsters (Figure 5A).

424 We then quantitatively analyzed the pulmonary function of infected 425 hamsters as reflected by three parameters, enhanced pause (Penh), the ratio of 426 time to peak expiratory follow relative to the total expiratory time (Rpef), and 427 breath per minute (BPM), which are surrogate markers for bronchoconstriction 428 or airway obstruction. Subcutaneous oxygen saturation (SpO₂) was also 429 routinely measured. Although the SpO₂ values were comparable among the five 430 groups, Delta infection resulted in significant differences in the other three 431 respiratory parameters compared to BA.2 (Figure 5A), suggesting that Delta is 432 more pathogenic than BA.2. There were no differences in the values of Penh,

Rpef and BPM between BA.5 and BA.2, and the values of Penh and Rpef of
BA.2.75-infected hamsters were comparable to those of BA.2 (Figure 5A).
However, the BPM value of BA.2.75 was significantly lower than that of BA.2
(Figure 5A), suggesting that BA.2.75 is slightly more pathogenic than BA.2.

437 To address the viral spread in infected hamsters, we routinely 438 measured the viral RNA load in the oral swab. Although the viral RNA loads of 439 the hamsters infected with Delta, BA.2 and BA.5 were comparable, the viral load 440 in the swabs of BA.2.75-infected hamsters was relatively highly maintained by 7 441 d.p.i. and was significantly higher than that of BA.2-infected hamsters (Figure 442 5B). To address the possibility that BA.2.75 more efficiently spread in the 443 respiratory tissues, we collected the lungs of infected hamsters at 2 and 5 d.p.i., 444 and the collected tissues were separated into the hilum and periphery regions. 445 Although the viral RNA loads in both the hilum and periphery of four infection 446 groups were comparable at 2 d.p.i. (Figure 5C, top), those of the hamsters 447 infected with Delta, BA.5 and BA.2.75 were significantly higher than those 448 infected with BA.2 at 5 d.p.i. (Figure 5C, bottom).

449 To further address the virus spread in the respiratory tissues, 450 immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis targeting viral nucleocapsid (N) protein was 451 conducted. Similar to our previous studies (Kimura et al., 2022c; Suzuki et al., 452 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b), epithelial cells in the upper tracheae of infected 453 hamsters were sporadically positive for viral N protein at 2 d.p.i., but there were 454 no significant differences among four viruses including BA.2.75 (Figure S4A). In 455 the alveolar space around the bronchi/bronchioles at 2 d.p.i., the N-positive cells 456 were detected in Delta-infected hamsters. On the other hand, the N proteins 457 strongly remained in the lobar bronchi in BA.5- and BA.2.75-infected hamsters 458 (Figures 5D, top, and S4B). While few N-positive cells were detected in the 459 alveolar space of BA.2- and BA.5-infected hamsters, it was notable that the N 460 positivity spread into the alveolar space in BA.2.75-infected hamsters (Figures 5D, top, and S4B). The quantification of the N-positive area in total of four lung 461 462 lobes at 2 d.p.i. (Figure S4B) showed that the N-positive areas of Delta- and 463 BA.2.75-infected hamsters were significantly greater than that of BA.2-infected hamsters (Figure 5E, top). At 5 d.p.i., although the N-positive cells were hardly 464 detected in the lungs infected with BA.2, a few N-positive cells were detected in 465 466 the peripheral alveolar space in Delta, BA.5, BA.2.75 (Figures 5D, bottom, and 467 S4C). The quantification of the N-positive area in the four lung lobes at 5 d.p.i. 468 (Figure S4C) further showed that the N-positive areas of Delta- and BA.5- and 469 BA.2.75-infected hamsters were significantly greater than that of BA.2-infected 470 hamsters (Figure 5E, bottom). These data suggest that BA.2 targets only a 471 portion of bronchial/bronchiolar epithelium and was less efficiently transmitted to 472 the neighboring epithelial cells. On the other hand, BA.5 and BA.2.75 infections 473 seemed to persist in the bronchial/bronchiolar epithelium, and particularly,

BA.2.75 invaded the alveolar space more efficiently than BA.5 at the early stageof infection. Altogether, the IHC data suggest that among Omicron subvariants,

476 BA.2.75 more efficiently spread into the alveolar space than BA.2 and BA.5, with

477 persistent infection in the bronchi/bronchioles.

478

479 Pathogenicity of BA.2.75

480 To investigate the intrinsic pathogenicity of BA.2.75, the formalin-fixed right 481 lungs of infected hamsters at 2 and 5 d.p.i. were analyzed by carefully identifying 482 the four lobules and main bronchus and lobar bronchi sectioning each lobe 483 along with the bronchial branches. Histopathological scoring was performed 484 according to the criteria described in our previous studies (Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b): (i) 485 486 bronchitis/bronchiolitis (an inflammatory indicator at early stage of infection), (ii) 487 hemorrhage/congestion, (iii) alveolar damage with epithelial apoptosis and 488 macrophage infiltration, (iv) emergence of type II pneumocytes, and (v) 489 hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes were evaluated by certified pathologists and 490 the degree of these pathological findings were arbitrarily scored using four-tiered 491 system as 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), and 3 (severe). Consistent with our previous studies (Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022), all five parameters 492 493 as well as the total score of Delta-infected hamsters were significantly higher 494 than those of BA.2-infected hamsters (Figures 5F and 5G), suggesting that 495 Delta is more pathogenic than BA.2. When we compare the histopathological scores of Omicron subvariants, the scores indicating hemorrhage or congestion 496 497 and total histology scores of BA.5 and BA.2.75 were significantly greater than 498 those of BA.2 (Figures 5F and 5G). Similar to our recent studies (Kimura et al., 499 2022c; Tamura et al., 2022), BA.5 is intrinsically more pathogenic than BA.2, 500 and notably, our results suggest that BA.2.75 exhibits more significant 501 inflammation than BA.2. To clarify the area of pneumonia, the inflammatory area, 502 which is mainly composed of the type II pneumocytes with some inflammatory 503 cell types, such as neutrophils, lymphocytes, and macrophages, is termed the 504 area of type II pneumocytes and was morphometrically analyzed (Figure S4D). As summarized in Figure 5H, at 5 d.p.i., the percentages of the area of type II 505 506 pneumocytes of Delta, BA.5 and BA.2.75 were significantly higher than that of 507 BA.2. Altogether, these findings suggest that BA.2.75 infection intrinsically 508 induces greater inflammation and exhibits higher pathogenicity than BA.2.

509 Discussion

510 Here, we characterized the virological property of the Omicron BA.2.75 variant, 511 such as the growth rate in the human population, resistance to antiviral humoral 512 immunity and antiviral drugs, functions of S protein *in vitro*, and intrinsic 513 pathogenicity.

514 In terms of the emergence geography and phylogeny, BA.5 and 515 BA.2.75 emerged independently. Nevertheless, the results of cell-based fusion 516 assay, airway-on-a-chip assay and plaque assay suggested that both BA.5 and 517 BA.2.75 acquired higher fusogenicity after the divergence from BA.2. Our data 518 including a recent study (Kimura et al., 2022c) suggest that the critical substitution responsible for the higher fusogenicity of BA.5 and BA.2.75 S 519 520 proteins are different: the L452R substitution for BA.5 S, and the D339H/N460K 521 substitution for BA.2.75 S.

522 The higher fusogenicity attributed by the increased binding affinity of 523 the L452R-bearing S RBD to human ACE2 was reported in previous studies 524 focusing on the S proteins of previous SARS-CoV-2 variants including Epsilon 525 (Motozono et al., 2021), Delta (Saito et al., 2022) and Omicron BA.5 (Kimura et 526 al., 2022c) variants. The prominently increased ACE2 binding affinity caused by 527 the N460K substitution was also reported in our previous study (Zahradnik et al., 528 2021b). We also demonstrated that the D339H, which is unique in the BA.2.75 S, 529 contributes to increased ACE2 binding affinity. Our data suggest that the N460K 530 and D339H substitutions cooperatively determine the higher fusogenicity of 531 BA.2.75 S. In our previous studies focusing on Delta (Saito et al., 2022), 532 Omicron BA.1 (Suzuki et al., 2022), BA.2 (Yamasoba et al., 2022b) and BA.5 533 (Kimura et al., 2022c), we proposed a close association between the S-mediated 534 fusogenicity in vitro and the pathogenicity in a hamster model. Consistent with 535 our hypothesis, here we demonstrated that, compared to BA.2, BA.2.75 exhibits higher fusogenicity in vitro and efficient viral spread in the lungs of infected 536 537 hamsters, which leads to enhanced inflammation in the lung and higher 538 pathogenicity in vivo. Moreover, in vitro experiments using a variety of cell 539 culture systems showed that BA.2.75 replicates more efficiently than BA.2 in 540 alveolar epithelial cells but not in airway epithelial cells. Altogether, our results 541 suggest that BA.2.75 exhibits higher fusogenicity and pathogenicity via evolution 542 of its S protein independently of BA.5.

543 Consistent with our previous study (Yamasoba *et al.*, 2022b), 544 neutralization experiments showed that BA.5 was significantly more resistant to 545 the humoral immunity induced by vaccination and breakthrough infections of 546 prior Omicron subvariants. On the other hand, the sensitivity of BA.2.75 to these 547 antisera was comparable to BA.2. More importantly, BA.2.75 was highly 548 resistant to the BA.5-induced immunity. These results suggest that, although 549 both BA.2.75 and BA.5 are descendants of BA.2, their immunogenicity is 550 different from each other. Furthermore, compared to BA.2, the sensitivity of 551 BA.2.75 and BA.5 to therapeutic monoclonal antibodies was also different 552 (Yamasoba et al., 2022a). The G446S was also closely associated with the 553 resistance of BA.2.75 to the antiviral effects of BA.2- and BA.5-infected hamster 554 sera. Because the G446S significantly decreases ACE2 affinity of S RBD, this 555 substitution was acquired to evade antiviral immunity, and the other substitutions 556 in RBD, particularly N460K, contributed to compensate for the decreased ACE2 557 binding affinity by G446S.

558 Another remarkable substitution pattern in the BA.2.75 S is the multiple 559 substitutions in the S NTD. Particularly, three out of the five substitutions in the 560 NTD (K147E, W152R and F157L) are located in a well-studied region, the NTD 561 supersite. Previous studies showed that the mutations in the NTD supersite are 562 responsible for the resistance to antiviral monoclonal antibodies (Cerutti et al., 563 2021; Chi et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Lok, 2021; McCallum et al., 2021; 564 Survadevara et al., 2021; Voss et al., 2021). In fact, our results suggested that 565 these three substitutions in the NTD supersite are closely associated with the evasion from BA.5-induced humoral immunity, in addition to the G446S in RBD. 566 567 In fact, the W152 has been shown as a mutational hot spot of SARS-CoV-2 568 (Kubik et al., 2021). Therefore, BA.2.75 might mutate this specific residue to 569 evade neutralization by sera of convalescent or vaccinated individuals.

570 According to the "COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines" issued by NIH (NIH, 571 2022), the use of Paxlovid (Ritonavir and Nirmatrelvir), Remdesivir and 572 Molnupiravir (a prodrug of EIDD-1931) is highly recommended as treatment of 573 patients who do not require hospitalization or oxygen supplement. Because the 574 evolution of SARS-CoV-2 is unpredictable, timely and accurate testing of the 575 efficacy of currently available antiviral drugs is indispensable to treat patients 576 infected with a new variant. Our results using physiologically relevant human AO 577 demonstrated that BA.2.75 retained the sensitivity to major small-molecule 578 anti-SARS-CoV-2 drugs including Remdesivir, EIDD-1931 and Nirmatrelvir. 579 Interestingly, BA.2.75 was more sensitive to Remdesivir than other stains, and a 580 similar tendency was observed with EIDD-1931. In terms of drug testing, 581 previous studies addressed the antiviral activity of these drugs against BA.2 and 582 BA.5 using immortalized cell lines such as VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (Takashita et 583 al., 2022c), Caco-2-F03 cells (Bojkova et al., 2022) and Calu-3 cells (Carlin et al., 584 2022) but the effects of these antiviral drugs were different each other, and these 585 results were also different from ours (Table 1). In addition, a previous study 586 demonstrated that VeroE6 cells have a low capacity to metabolize Remdesivir, 587 leading to a weak antiviral activity (Pruijssers et al., 2020). These results suggest 588 that the experimental system significantly affects the outcome of antiviral drug 589 efficacy, raising the importance to evaluate the efficacy of antiviral drugs using

590 physiologically relevant systems, such as organoids and organ-on-a-chip 591 systems.

592 Our investigation using the viral genome surveillance data reported 593 from India suggested that BA.2.75 bears the potential to outcompete BA.2 as 594 well as BA.5, the most predominant variant in the world as of August 2022. 595 Following the worldwide spread of BA.5, it is probable that the number of 596 individuals infected with BA.5 will increase. Together with our findings showing 597 the higher resistance of BA.2.75 to the BA.5-induced immunity, there appears to 598 be sufficient plausibility that BA.2.75 evades the BA.5-induced immunity, and 599 this property will confer this variant to more efficient spread in the countries 600 where BA.5 has been widely spreading, such as Australia and Japan. 601 Additionally, here we showed that the intrinsic pathogenicity of BA.2.75 in 602 hamsters is comparable to BA.5 and higher than that of BA.2. Since a recent 603 study showed that the hospitalization risk of BA.5 was significantly higher than 604 that of BA.2 in the once-boosted vaccinated population (Kislaya et al., 2022), it is 605 not unreasonable to infer that the intrinsic pathogenicity in infected hamsters 606 reflects to the severity and outcome in infected humans to a meaningful extent.

607 In summary, our multiscale investigations revealed the growth rate in 608 the human population, fusogenicity and intrinsic pathogenicity of BA.2.75 are 609 greater than BA.2. These features of BA.2.75 suggests the potential risk of this 610 variant to global health. Since BA.2.75 shows significantly higher Re than BA.2 611 and BA.5 in India, this variant will probably transmit to and initiate outcompeting 612 BA.2 and BA.5 in some countries other than India in the near future. To assess 613 the potential risk of BA.2.75 to global health, this variant should be under 614 monitoring carefully and continuously through worldwide cooperation of in-depth 615 viral genomic surveillance.

616	STAR [®] METHODS
617	KEY RESOURCES TABLE
618	RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
619	 Lead Contact
620	 Materials Availability
621	 Data and Code Availability
622	• EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
623	 Ethics Statement
624	\circ Human serum collection
625	○ Cell culture
626	METHOD DETAILS
627	 Viral genome sequencing
628	 Phylogenetic analyses
629	 Modelling the epidemic dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 lineages
630	 Plasmid construction
631	 Neutralization assay
632	 Airway organoids
633	 SARS-CoV-2 preparation and titration
634	 Antiviral drug assay using SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolates and AO
635	 Cytotoxicity assay
636	 Pseudovirus infection
637	 Yeast surface display
638	○ AlphaFold2
639	 SARS-CoV-2 S-based fusion assay
640	• AO-ALI model
641	\circ Preparation of human airway and alveolar epithelial cells from human
642	iPSC
643	 Airway-on-a-chips
644	 Microfluidic device
645	 SARS-CoV-2 infection
646	○ RT–qPCR
647	• Plaque assay
648	 Animal experiments
649	 Lung function test
650	 Immunohistochemistry
651	 H&E staining
652	 Histopathological scoring
653	QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
654	Supplemental Information
655	Additional Supplemental Items are available upon request.
656	

657 Author Contributions

- 658 Akatsuki Saito, Sayaka Deguchi, Izumi Kimura, Hesham Nasser, Mako Toyoda,
- 659 Kayoko Nagata, Keiya Uriu, Yusuke Kosugi, Shigeru Fujita, Daichi Yamasoba,
- 660 Maya Shofa, MST Monira Begum, Takashi Irie, Takamasa Ueno, and Terumasa 661 Ikeda performed cell culture experiments.
- 662 Tomokazu Tamura, Koshiro Tabata, Rigel Suzuki, Hayato Ito, Naganori Nao,
- 663 Kumiko Yoshimatsu, Hirofumi Sawa, Keita Matsuno, and Takasuke Fukuhara 664 performed animal experiments.
- 665 Yoshitaka Oda, Lei Wang, Masumi Tsuda, and Shinya Tanaka performed 666 histopathological analysis.
- 667 Jiri Zahradnik and Gideon Schreiber performed yeast surface display assay.
- 668 Jiri Zahradnik and Yusuke Kosugi performed structural analysis.
- 669 Sayaka Deguchi and Kazuo Takayama prepared AO, AO-ALI and 670 airway-on-a-chip systems.
- 671 Yuki Yamamoto and Tetsuharu Nagamoto performed generation and provision672 of human iPSC-derived airway and alveolar epithelial cells.
- Hiroyuki Asakura, Mami Nagashima, Kenji Sadamasu, Kazuhisa Yoshimuraperformed viral genome sequencing analysis.
- 675 Akifumi Takaori-Kondo and Kotaro Shirakawa contributed clinical sample 676 collection.
- 577 Jumpei Ito performed statistical, modelling, and bioinformatics analyses.
- 578 Jumpei Ito, Kazuo Takayama, Keita Matsuno, Shinya Tanaka, Terumasa Ikeda,
- Takasuke Fukuhara, and Kei Sato designed the experiments and interpreted theresults.
- Jumpei Ito, Terumasa Ikeda, Takasuke Fukuhara and Kei Sato wrote the originalmanuscript.
- 683 All authors reviewed and proofread the manuscript.
- 684 The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium contributed to the 685 project administration.
- 686

687 Conflict of interest

- 688 The authors declare that no competing interests exist.
- 689

690 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all members belonging to The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium. We thank Dr. Kenzo Tokunaga (National Institute for Infectious Diseases, Japan) and Dr. Jin Gohda (The University of Tokyo, Japan) for providing reagents. We also thank National Institute for Infectious Diseases, Japan for providing clinical isolates of BA.2.75 (strain TY41-716; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_13969765) and BA.2 (strain TY40-385; GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_9595859) and Chiba University (Motoaki Seki, Ryoji Fujiki, Atsushi

Kaneda, Tadanaga Shimada, Taka-aki Nakada, Seiichiro Sakao and Takuji Suzuki) for collecting and providing Delta infection sera. We gratefully acknowledge all data contributors, i.e. the Authors and their Originating laboratories responsible for obtaining the specimens, and their Submitting laboratories for generating the genetic sequence and metadata and sharing via the GISAID Initiative, on which this research is based. The super-computing resource was provided by Human Genome Center at The University of Tokyo.

705 This study was supported in part by AMED Program on R&D of new 706 generation vaccine including new modality application (JP223fa727002, to Kei 707 Sato); AMED Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious 708 Diseases (JP21fk0108574, to Hesham Nasser; JP21fk0108465, to Akatsuki 709 Saito; JP21fk0108493, to Takasuke Fukuhara; JP22fk0108617 to Takasuke 710 Fukuhara: JP22fk0108146, to Kei Sato: 21fk0108494 to G2P-Japan Consortium, 711 Kotaro Shirakawa, Takashi Irie, Keita Matsuno, Shinya Tanaka, Terumasa Ikeda, 712 Takasuke Fukuhara, and Kei Sato); AMED Research Program on HIV/AIDS 713 (JP22fk0410033, to Akatsuki Saito; JP22fk0410047, to Akatsuki Saito; 714 JP22fk0410055, to Terumasa Ikeda; 22fk0410034 to Akifumi Takaori-Kondo and 715 Kotaro Shirakawa; and JP22fk0410039, to Kotaro Shirakawa and Kei Sato); 716 AMED CRDF Global Grant (JP22jk0210039 to Akatsuki Saito); AMED Japan 717 Program for Infectious Diseases Research and Infrastructure (JP22wm0325009, 718 to Akatsuki Saito; JP22wm0125008 to Keita Matsuno); AMED CREST 719 (JP22gm1610005, to Kazuo Takayama); JST CREST (JPMJCR20H4, to Kei 720 Sato); JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (22K07089, to 721 Mako Toyoda; 22K07103, to Terumasa Ikeda); JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for 722 Scientific Research B (21H02736, to Takasuke Fukuhara); JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists (22K16375, to Hesham Nasser; 723 724 20K15767, Jumpei Ito); JSPS Core-to-Core Program (A. Advanced Research 725 Networks) (JPJSCCA20190008, to Kei Sato); JSPS Research Fellow DC2 (22J11578, to Keiya Uriu); JSPS Leading Initiative for Excellent Young 726 727 Researchers (LEADER) (to Terumasa Ikeda); World-leading Innovative and 728 Smart Education (WISE) Program 1801 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, 729 Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) (to Naganori Nao); The Tokyo 730 Biochemical Research Foundation (to Kei Sato); Takeda Science Foundation (to 731 Terumasa Ikeda); Shin-Nihon Foundation of Advanced Medical Research (to 732 Mako Toyoda and Terumasa Ikeda); Waksman Foundation of Japan (to 733 Terumasa Ikeda); an intramural grant from Kumamoto University COVID-19 734 Research Projects (AMABIE) (to Terumasa Ikeda).

735

736 Consortia

Mai Kishimoto, Marie Kato, Zannatul Ferdous, Hiromi Mouri, Kenji Shishido,
Naoko Misawa, Mai Suganami, Mika Chiba, Ryo Yoshimura, So Nakagawa,

Jiaqi Wu, Yasuhiro Kazuma, Ryosuke Nomura, Yoshihito Horisawa, Yusuke
Tashiro, Yugo Kawai, Ryoko Kawabata, Ryo Shimizu, Otowa Takahashi, Kimiko
Ichihara, Chihiro Motozono, Yuri L. Tanaka, Erika P. Butlertanaka, Rina
Hashimoto, Takao Hashiguchi, Tateki Suzuki, Kanako Kimura, Jiei Sasaki,
Yukari Nakajima, Kaori Tabata

744 References

745 Arora, P., Kempf, A., Nehlmeier, I., Schulz, S.R., Cossmann, A., Stankov, M.V., 746 Jack, H.M., Behrens, G.M.N., Pohlmann, S., and Hoffmann, M. (2022). 747 Augmented neutralisation resistance of emerging omicron subvariants BA.2.12.1, BA.4, and BA.5. Lancet Infect Dis. 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00422-4. 748 749 Barnes, C.O., Jette, C.A., Abernathy, M.E., Dam, K.A., Esswein, S.R., Gristick, 750 H.B., Malyutin, A.G., Sharaf, N.G., Huey-Tubman, K.E., Lee, Y.E., et al. (2020). 751 SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody structures inform therapeutic strategies. 752 Nature 588, 682-687. 10.1038/s41586-020-2852-1. 753 Bojkova, D., Stack, R., Rothenburger, T., Kandler, J.D., Ciesek, S., Wass, M.N., 754 Michaelis, M., and Cinatl, J., Jr. (2022). Synergism of interferon-beta with 755 SARS-CoV-2 antiviral drugs against variants. J Infect.

756 10.1016/j.jinf.2022.07.023.

Bruel, T., Hadjadj, J., Maes, P., Planas, D., Seve, A., Staropoli, I.,
Guivel-Benhassine, F., Porrot, F., Bolland, W.H., Nguyen, Y., et al. (2022).
Serum neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron sublineages BA.1 and BA.2 in
patients receiving monoclonal antibodies. Nat Med.
10.1038/s41591-022-01792-5.

Cao, Y., Wang, J., Jian, F., Xiao, T., Song, W., Yisimayi, A., Huang, W., Li, Q.,
Wang, P., An, R., et al. (2021). Omicron escapes the majority of existing
SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Nature, doi:
<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-41021-03796-41586</u>.

Cao, Y., Yisimayi, A., Jian, F., Song, W., Xiao, T., Wang, L., Du, S., Wang, J., Li,
Q., Chen, X., et al. (2022). BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5 escape antibodies elicited
by Omicron infection. Nature. 10.1038/s41586-022-04980-y.

- Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J.M., and Gabaldon, T. (2009). trimAI: a
 tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses.
 Bioinformatics 25, 1972-1973. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348.
- Carlin, A.F., Clark, A.E., Chaillon, A., Garretson, A.F., Bray, W., Porrachia, M.,
 Santos, A.T., Rana, T.M., and Smith, D.M. (2022). Virologic and Immunologic
 Characterization of COVID-19 Recrudescence after Nirmatrelvir/Ritonavir
 Treatment. Clin Infect Dis. 10.1093/cid/ciac496.
- 776 Cele, S., Jackson, L., Khoury, D.S., Khan, K., Moyo-Gwete, T., Tegally, H., San,
- J.E., Cromer, D., Scheepers, C., Amoako, D., et al. (2021). Omicron extensively
 but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. Nature, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-41021-03824-41585.
- Cerutti, G., Guo, Y., Zhou, T., Gorman, J., Lee, M., Rapp, M., Reddem, E.R., Yu,
 J., Bahna, F., Bimela, J., et al. (2021). Potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing
 antibodies directed against spike N-terminal domain target a single supersite.
- 783 Cell Host Microbe *29*, 819-833 e817. 10.1016/j.chom.2021.03.005.
- 784 Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one

785FASTQpreprocessor.Bioinformatics34,i884-i890.

786 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560.

787 Chi, X., Yan, R., Zhang, J., Zhang, G., Zhang, Y., Hao, M., Zhang, Z., Fan, P.,

788 Dong, Y., Yang, Y., et al. (2020). A neutralizing human antibody binds to the

N-terminal domain of the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. Science *369*, 650-655.
10.1126/science.abc6952.

- 791 Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang le, L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., Land, S.J.,
- Lu, X., and Ruden, D.M. (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the
 effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of
 Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin) *6*, 80-92.
 10.4161/fly.19695.

Deguchi, S., Tsuda, M., Kosugi, K., Sakamoto, A., Mimura, N., Negoro, R., Sano,
E., Nobe, T., Maeda, K., Kusuhara, H., et al. (2021). Usability of
Polydimethylsiloxane-Based Microfluidic Devices in Pharmaceutical Research
Using Human Hepatocytes. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 7, 3648-3657.
10.1021/acsbiomaterials.1c00642.

801 Dejnirattisai, W., Huo, J., Zhou, D., Zahradnik, J., Supasa, P., Liu, C.,

B02 Duyvesteyn, H.M.E., Ginn, H.M., Mentzer, A.J., Tuekprakhon, A., et al. (2022).
B03 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 leads to widespread escape from neutralizing
B04 antibular and a state of the state

antibody responses. Cell *185*, 467-484 e415. 10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.046.
Dolinsky, T.J., Czodrowski, P., Li, H., Nielsen, J.E., Jensen, J.H., Klebe, G.,

Dolinsky, T.J., Czodrowski, P., Li, H., Nielsen, J.E., Jensen, J.H., Klebe, G., and
Baker, N.A. (2007). PDB2PQR: expanding and upgrading automated
preparation of biomolecular structures for molecular simulations. Nucleic Acids
Res 35, W522-525. 10.1093/nar/gkm276.

Ferreira, I., Kemp, S.A., Datir, R., Saito, A., Meng, B., Rakshit, P.,
Takaori-Kondo, A., Kosugi, Y., Uriu, K., Kimura, I., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2

811 B.1.617 mutations L452R and E484Q are not synergistic for antibody evasion. J

812 Infect Dis 224, 989-994. 10.1093/infdis/jiab368.

813 Garcia-Beltran, W.F., Lam, E.C., St Denis, K., Nitido, A.D., Garcia, Z.H., Hauser,

814 B.M., Feldman, J., Pavlovic, M.N., Gregory, D.J., Poznansky, M.C., et al. (2021).

815 Multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants escape neutralization by vaccine-induced

816 humoral immunity. Cell *184*, 2372-2383 e2379. 10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.013.

- 817 GitHub (2022). "BA.2 sublineage with S:K147E, W152R, F157L, I210V, G257S,
- 818 D339H, G446S, N460K, R493Q (73 seq as of 2022-06-29, mainly India) (June

819 21, 2022)". <u>https://github.com/cov-lineages/pango-designation/issues/773</u>.

- Gotoh, S., Ito, I., Nagasaki, T., Yamamoto, Y., Konishi, S., Korogi, Y.,
 Matsumoto, H., Muro, S., Hirai, T., Funato, M., et al. (2014). Generation of
 alveolar epithelial spheroids via isolated progenitor cells from human pluripotent
 stem cells. Stem Cell Reports *3*, 394-403. 10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.07.005.
- Gruell, H., Vanshylla, K., Korenkov, M., Tober-Lau, P., Zehner, M., Münn, F., Janicki, H., Augustin, M., Schommers, P., ErikSander, L., et al. (2022).

826 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron sublineages exhibit distinct antibody escape patterns.

- 827 Cell Host Microbe *in press*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2022.1007.1002</u>.
- 828 Hachmann, N.P., Miller, J., Collier, A.Y., Ventura, J.D., Yu, J., Rowe, M.,
- 829 Bondzie, E.A., Powers, O., Surve, N., Hall, K., and Barouch, D.H. (2022).
- Neutralization Escape by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Subvariants BA.2.12.1, BA.4,
 and BA.5. N Engl J Med 387, 86-88. 10.1056/NEJMc2206576.
- and DA.3. IN English Med 307, 00-00. 10.1030/NESMC2200570.
- 832 Harvey, W.T., Carabelli, A.M., Jackson, B., Gupta, R.K., Thomson, E.C.,
- Harrison, E.M., Ludden, C., Reeve, R., Rambaut, A., Consortium, C.-G.U., et al.
 (2021). SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. Nat Rev
- 835 Microbiol 19, 409-424. 10.1038/s41579-021-00573-0.
- 836 Hashimoto, R., Takahashi, J., Shirakura, K., Funatsu, R., Kosugi, K., Deguchi,
- 837 S., Yamamoto, M., Muraoka, K., Morita, M., Tanaka, M., et al. (2022).
- SARS-CoV-2 disrupts the respiratory vascular barrier by suppressing Claudin-5
 expression. Sci Adv.
- Jackson, C.B., Farzan, M., Chen, B., and Choe, H. (2022). Mechanisms of
 SARS-CoV-2 entry into cells. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 23, 3-20.
 10.1038/s41580-021-00418-x.
- Khan, K., Karim, F., Ganga, Y., Bernstein, M., Jule, Z., Reedoy, K., Cele, S.,
 Lustig, G., Amoako, D., Wolter, N., et al. (2022). Omicron sub-lineages
 BA.4/BA.5 escape BA.1 infection elicited neutralizing immunity. MedRxiv, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1104.1129.22274477.
- Khare, S., Gurry, C., Freitas, L., Schultz, M.B., Bach, G., Diallo, A., Akite, N., Ho,
 J., Lee, R.T., Yeo, W., et al. (2021). GISAID's Role in Pandemic Response.
 China CDC Wkly *3*, 1049-1051. 10.46234/ccdcw2021.255.
- 850 Kimura, I., Kosugi, Y., Wu, J., Zahradnik, J., Yamasoba, D., Butlertanaka, E.P.,
- Tanaka, Y.L., Uriu, K., Liu, Y., Morizako, N., et al. (2022a). The SARS-CoV-2
 Lambda variant exhibits enhanced infectivity and immune resistance. Cell Rep
 38, 110218. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110218.
- Kimura, I., Yamasoba, D., Nasser, H., Zahradnik, J., Kosugi, Y., Wu, J., Nagata,
 K., Uriu, K., Tanaka, Y.L., Ito, J., et al. (2022b). SARS-CoV-2 spike S375F
 mutation characterizes the Omicron BA.1 variant. BioRxiv, doi:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1104.1103.486864</u>.
- Kimura, I., Yamasoba, D., Tamura, T., Nao, N., Suzuki, T., Oda, Y., Mitoma, S.,
 Ito, J., Nasser, H., Zahradnik, J., et al. (2022c). Virological characteristics of the
 novel SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variants including BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5.
 BioRxiv, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1105.1126.493539</u>.
- Kislaya, I., Casaca, P., Borges, V., Sousa, C., Ferreira, B.I., Fernandes, E., Dias,
 C.M., Duarte, S., Almeida, J.P., Grenho, I., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 BA.5
 vaccine breakthrough risk and severity compared with BA.2: a case-case and
 cohort study using Electronic Health Records in Portugal. MedRxiv, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1107.1125.22277996.

Kondo, N., Miyauchi, K., and Matsuda, Z. (2011). Monitoring viral-mediated
membrane fusion using fluorescent reporter methods. Curr Protoc Cell Biol *Chapter 26*, Unit 26 29. 10.1002/0471143030.cb2609s50.

870 Konishi, S., Gotoh, S., Tateishi, K., Yamamoto, Y., Korogi, Y., Nagasaki, T., 871 Matsumoto, H., Muro, S., Hirai, T., Ito, I., et al. (2016). Directed Induction of 872 Functional Multi-ciliated Cells in Proximal Airway Epithelial Spheroids from 873 Pluripotent Cells. Stem Human Stem Cell Reports 6. 18-25. 874 10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.11.010.

- Kubik, S., Arrigo, N., Bonet, J., and Xu, Z. (2021). Mutational Hotspot in the
 SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein N-Terminal Domain Conferring Immune Escape
 Potential. Viruses *13*. 10.3390/v13112114.
- Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences.
 Bioinformatics *34*, 3094-3100. 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191.

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with
Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754-1760.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.

- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,
 Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup
 (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*,
 2078-2079. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- Liu, L., Iketani, S., Guo, Y., Chan, J.F.-W., Wang, M., Liu, L., Luo, Y., Chu, H.,
 Huang, Y., Nair, M.S., et al. (2021). Striking antibody evasion manifested by the
 Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2. Nature, doi:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-41021-03826-41583</u>.
- Liu, L., Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Yu, J., Rapp, M., Wang, Q., Luo, Y., Chan, J.F.,
 Sahi, V., Figueroa, A., et al. (2020). Potent neutralizing antibodies against
 multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike. Nature *584*, 450-456.
 10.1038/s41586-020-2571-7.
- Lok, S.M. (2021). An NTD supersite of attack. Cell Host Microbe 29, 744-746.
 10.1016/j.chom.2021.04.010.
- Lyke, K.E., Atmar, R.L., Islas, C.D., Posavad, C.M., Szydlo, D., Paul Chourdhury,
 R., Deming, M.E., Eaton, A., Jackson, L.A., Branche, A.R., et al. (2022). Rapid
 decline in vaccine-boosted neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2
- 900 Omicron variant. Cell Rep Med, 100679. 10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100679.
- 901 Matsuyama, S., Nao, N., Shirato, K., Kawase, M., Saito, S., Takayama, I.,
- Nagata, N., Sekizuka, T., Katoh, H., Kato, F., et al. (2020). Enhanced isolation of
 SARS-CoV-2 by TMPRSS2-expressing cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*,
- 904 7001-7003. 10.1073/pnas.2002589117.
- 905 McCallum, M., De Marco, A., Lempp, F.A., Tortorici, M.A., Pinto, D., Walls, A.C.,
- 906 Beltramello, M., Chen, A., Liu, Z., Zatta, F., et al. (2021). N-terminal domain
- 907 antigenic mapping reveals a site of vulnerability for SARS-CoV-2. Cell 184,

- 908 2332-2347 e2316. 10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.028.
- 909 Meng, B., Abdullahi, A., Ferreira, I.A.T.M., Goonawardane, N., Saito, A., Kimura,
- 910 I., Yamasoba, D., Gerber, P.P., Fatihi, S., Rathore, S., et al. (2022). Altered
- 911 TMPRSS2 usage by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron impacts tropism and fusogenicity.
- 912 Nature. 10.1038/s41586-022-04474-x.
- 913 Mirdita, M., Schutze, K., Moriwaki, Y., Heo, L., Ovchinnikov, S., and Steinegger,
- M. (2022). ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. Nat Methods *19*,
 679-682. 10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1.
- 916 Mittal, A., Khattri, A., and Verma, V. (2022). Structural and antigenic variations in
- 917 the spike protein of emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. PLoS Pathog *18*, e1010260.
 918 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010260.
- 919 Mlcochova, P., Kemp, S.A., Dhar, M.S., Papa, G., Meng, B., Ferreira, I., Datir, R.,
- 920 Collier, D.A., Albecka, A., Singh, S., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 Delta
 921 variant replication and immune evasion. Nature *599*, 114-119.
 922 10.1038/s41586-021-03944-y.
- 923 Motozono, C., Toyoda, M., Zahradnik, J., Saito, A., Nasser, H., Tan, T.S., Ngare,
- 924 I., Kimura, I., Uriu, K., Kosugi, Y., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 spike L452R
 925 variant evades cellular immunity and increases infectivity. Cell Host Microbe *29*,
 926 1124-1136. 10.1016/j.chom.2021.06.006.
- 927 NIH (2022). "Clinical Management Summary (April 8, 2022)"
 928 <u>https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/management/clinical-management</u>
 929 <u>ent/clinical-management-summary/?utm_source=site&utm_medium=home&utm</u>
 930 campaign=highlights.
- Niwa, H., Yamamura, K., and Miyazaki, J. (1991). Efficient selection for
 high-expression transfectants with a novel eukaryotic vector. Gene *108*, 193-199.
 10.1016/0378-1119(91)90434-d.
- Ozono, S., Zhang, Y., Ode, H., Sano, K., Tan, T.S., Imai, K., Miyoshi, K.,
 Kishigami, S., Ueno, T., Iwatani, Y., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 D614G spike
 mutation increases entry efficiency with enhanced ACE2-binding affinity. Nat
 Commun *12*, 848. 10.1038/s41467-021-21118-2.
- 938 Ozono, S., Zhang, Y., Tobiume, M., Kishigami, S., and Tokunaga, K. (2020).
- Super-rapid quantitation of the production of HIV-1 harboring a luminescent
 peptide tag. J Biol Chem 295, 13023-13030. 10.1074/jbc.RA120.013887.
- 941 Planas, D., Saunders, N., Maes, P., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Planchais, C.,
- Buchrieser, J., Bolland, W.-H., Porrot, F., Staropoli, I., Lemoine, F., et al. (2021).
 Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization.
- 944 Nature, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-41021-03827-41582</u>.
- 945 Pruijssers, A.J., George, A.S., Schafer, A., Leist, S.R., Gralinksi, L.E., Dinnon,
- 946 K.H., 3rd, Yount, B.L., Agostini, M.L., Stevens, L.J., Chappell, J.D., et al. (2020).
- 947 Remdesivir Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in Human Lung Cells and Chimeric SARS-CoV
- 948 Expressing the SARS-CoV-2 RNA Polymerase in Mice. Cell Rep 32, 107940.

949 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107940.

950 Qu, P., Faraone, J., Evans, J.P., Zou, X., Zheng, Y.M., Carlin, C., Bednash, J.S.,

951 Lozanski, G., Mallampalli, R.K., Saif, L.J., et al. (2022). Neutralization of the

952 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.4/5 and BA.2.12.1 Subvariants. N Engl J Med 386,

953 2526-2528. 10.1056/NEJMc2206725.

Reed, L.J., and Muench, H. (1938). A simple method of estimating fifty percent
endpoints. Am J Hygiene *27*, 493-497.

956 Saito, A., Irie, T., Suzuki, R., Maemura, T., Nasser, H., Uriu, K., Kosugi, Y.,

Shirakawa, K., Sadamasu, K., Kimura, I., et al. (2022). Enhanced fusogenicity
and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Delta P681R mutation. Nature *60*2, 300-306.
10.1038/s41586-021-04266-9.

Sano, E., Suzuki, T., Hashimoto, R., Itoh, Y., Sakamoto, A., Sakai, Y., Saito, A.,
Okuzaki, D., Motooka, D., Muramoto, Y., et al. (2022). Cell response analysis in
SARS-CoV-2 infected bronchial organoids. Commun Biol *5*, 516.
10.1038/s42003-022-03499-2.

Stalls, V., Lindenberger, J., Gobeil, S.M., Henderson, R., Parks, R., Barr, M.,
Deyton, M., Martin, M., Janowska, K., Huang, X., et al. (2022). Cryo-EM
structures of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 spike. Cell Rep *39*, 111009.
10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111009.

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and
post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics *30*, 1312-1313.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033.

971 Suryadevara, N., Shrihari, S., Gilchuk, P., VanBlargan, L.A., Binshtein, E., Zost,
972 S.J., Nargi, R.S., Sutton, R.E., Winkler, E.S., Chen, E.C., et al. (2021).
973 Neutralizing and protective human monoclonal antibodies recognizing the
974 N-terminal domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Cell *184*, 2316-2331 e2315.
975 10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.029.

976 Suzuki, R., Yamasoba, D., Kimura, I., Wang, L., Kishimoto, M., Ito, J., Morioka,
977 Y., Nao, N., Nasser, H., Uriu, K., et al. (2022). Attenuated fusogenicity and
978 pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. Nature.
979 10.1038/s41586-022-04462-1.

980 Takashita, E., Kinoshita, N., Yamayoshi, S., Sakai-Tagawa, Y., Fujisaki, S., Ito,

981 M., Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K., Chiba, S., Halfmann, P., Nagai, H., et al. (2022a).

982 Efficacy of antibodies and antiviral drugs against Covid-19 Omicron variant. N983 Engl J Med. 10.1056/NEJMc2119407.

984 Takashita, E., Kinoshita, N., Yamayoshi, S., Sakai-Tagawa, Y., Fujisaki, S., Ito,

985 M., Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K., Halfmann, P., Watanabe, S., Maeda, K., et al. (2022b).

986 Efficacy of Antiviral Agents against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Subvariant BA.2.

987 N Engl J Med 386, 1475-1477. 10.1056/NEJMc2201933.

988 Takashita, E., Yamayoshi, S., Simon, V., van Bakel, H., Sordillo, E.M., Pekosz,

989 A., Fukushi, S., Suzuki, T., Maeda, K., Halfmann, P., et al. (2022c). Efficacy of

- Antibodies and Antiviral Drugs against Omicron BA.2.12.1, BA.4, and BA.5
 Subvariants. N Engl J Med *387*, 468-470. 10.1056/NEJMc2207519.
- 992 Tamura, T., Yamasoba, D., Oda, Y., Ito, J., Kamasaki, T., Nao, N., Hashimoto,
- 993 R., Fujioka, Y., Suzuki, R., Wang, L., et al. (2022). Comparative pathogenicity of
- SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants including BA.1, BA.2, and BA.5. BioRxiv, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1108.1105.502758.
- Toelzer, C., Gupta, K., Yadav, S.K.N., Borucu, U., Davidson, A.D., Kavanagh
 Williamson, M., Shoemark, D.K., Garzoni, F., Staufer, O., Milligan, R., et al.
 (2020). Free fatty acid binding pocket in the locked structure of SARS-CoV-2
 spike protein. Science *370*, 725-730. 10.1126/science.abd3255.
- Tuekprakhon, A., Nutalai, R., Dijokaite-Guraliuc, A., Zhou, D., Ginn, H.M.,
 Selvaraj, M., Liu, C., Mentzer, A.J., Supasa, P., Duyvesteyn, H.M.E., et al.
 (2022). Antibody escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 from vaccine
- 1003 and BA.1 serum. Cell *185*, 2422-2433 e2413. 10.1016/j.cell.2022.06.005.
- Uriu, K., Cardenas, P., Munoz, E., Barragan, V., Kosugi, Y., Shirakawa, K.,
 Takaori-Kondo, A., Sato, K., Ecuador-Covid19 Consortium, and The Genotype
 to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium (2022). Characterization of the
 immune resistance of SARS-CoV-2 Mu variant and the robust immunity induced
 by Mu infection. Unfect Dis. 10.1003/infdis/iiac053.
- 1008 by Mu infection. J Infect Dis. 10.1093/infdis/jiac053.
- Uriu, K., Kimura, I., Shirakawa, K., Takaori-Kondo, A., Nakada, T.A., Kaneda, A.,
 Nakagawa, S., Sato, K., and The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan)
 Consortium (2021). Neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Mu variant by
 convalescent and vaccine serum. N Engl J Med 385, 2397-2399.
 10.1056/NEJMc2114706.
- 1014 VanBlargan, L.A., Errico, J.M., Halfmann, P.J., Zost, S.J., Crowe, J.E., Jr.,
 1015 Purcell, L.A., Kawaoka, Y., Corti, D., Fremont, D.H., and Diamond, M.S. (2022).
 1016 An infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus escapes neutralization by
 1017 therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. Nat Med. 10.1038/s41591-021-01678-y.
- 1018 Voss, W.N., Hou, Y.J., Johnson, N.V., Delidakis, G., Kim, J.E., Javanmardi, K.,
 1019 Horton, A.P., Bartzoka, F., Paresi, C.J., Tanno, Y., et al. (2021). Prevalent,
 1020 protective, and convergent IgG recognition of SARS-CoV-2 non-RBD spike
 1021 epitopes. Science *372*, 1108-1112. 10.1126/science.abg5268.
- Wang, Q., Guo, Y., Iketani, S., Nair, M.S., Li, Z., Mohri, H., Wang, M., Yu, J.,
 Bowen, A.D., Chang, J.Y., et al. (2022). Antibody evasion by SARS-CoV-2
 Omicron subvariants BA.2.12.1, BA.4, & BA.5. Nature.
 1025 10.1038/s41586-022-05053-w.
- 1026 WHO (2022). "Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants (July 19, 2022)" 1027 <u>https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants</u>.
- Yamamoto, M., Kiso, M., Sakai-Tagawa, Y., Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K., Imai, M.,
 Takeda, M., Kinoshita, N., Ohmagari, N., Gohda, J., Semba, K., et al. (2020).
 The Anticoagulant Nafamostat Potently Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 S

Protein-Mediated Fusion in a Cell Fusion Assay System and Viral Infection In
Vitro in a Cell-Type-Dependent Manner. Viruses *12*. 10.3390/v12060629.

1033 Yamamoto, Y., Gotoh, S., Korogi, Y., Seki, M., Konishi, S., Ikeo, S., Sone, N.,

1034 Nagasaki, T., Matsumoto, H., Muro, S., et al. (2017). Long-term expansion of 1035 alveolar stem cells derived from human iPS cells in organoids. Nat Methods *14*, 1036 1097-1106. 10.1038/nmeth.4448.

Yamasoba, D., Kimura, I., Kosugi, Y., Fujita, S., Uriu, K., Ito, J., Sato, K., and
The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium (2022a).
Neutralization sensitivity of Omicron BA.2.75 to therapeutic monoclonal
antibodies. BioRxiv, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1107.1114.500041</u>.

Yamasoba, D., Kimura, I., Nasser, H., Morioka, Y., Nao, N., Ito, J., Uriu, K.,
Tsuda, M., Zahradnik, J., Shirakawa, K., et al. (2022b). Virological
characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 spike. Cell.
1044 10.1016/j.cell.2022.04.035.

Yamasoba, D., Kosugi, Y., Kimura, I., Fujita, S., Uriu, K., Ito, J., Sato, K., and 1045 1046 The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium (2022c). 1047 Neutralisation sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 omicron subvariants to therapeutic 1048 monoclonal antibodies. Lancet Infect Dis 22, 942-943. 1049 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00365-6.

Yan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Xia, L., Guo, Y., and Zhou, Q. (2020). Structural basis
for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. Science *367*,
1444-1448. 10.1126/science.abb2762.

Zahradnik, J., Dey, D., Marciano, S., Kolarova, L., Charendoff, C.I., Subtil, A.,
and Schreiber, G. (2021a). A Protein-Engineered, Enhanced Yeast Display
Platform for Rapid Evolution of Challenging Targets. ACS Synth Biol *10*,
3445-3460. 10.1021/acssynbio.1c00395.

Zahradnik, J., Marciano, S., Shemesh, M., Zoler, E., Harari, D., Chiaravalli, J.,
Meyer, B., Rudich, Y., Li, C., Marton, I., et al. (2021b). SARS-CoV-2 variant
prediction and antiviral drug design are enabled by RBD in vitro evolution. Nat
Microbiol *6*, 1188-1198. 10.1038/s41564-021-00954-4.

1061 Zahradnik, J., Nunvar, J., and Schreiber, G. (2022). Perspectives: SARS-CoV-2

1062 Spike Convergent Evolution as a Guide to Explore Adaptive Advantage. Front

- 1063 Cell Infect Microbiol *12*, 748948. 10.3389/fcimb.2022.748948.
- 1064

1065

1066 Table 1. Effects of three antiviral drugs against BA.2.75 in AO

	EC ₅₀ (μΜ)				EC ₅₀ ratio				
	B.1.1	BA.2	BA.5	BA.2.75	BA.5/BA.2	BA.2.75/BA.2	BA.2/B.1.1	BA.5/B.1.1	BA.2.75/B.1.1
Remdesivir	1.08	1.89	1.31	0.63	0.70	0.34	1.75	1.21	0.59
EIDD-1931	0.24	0.02	0.21	0.08	8.82	3.53	0.10	0.89	0.36
Nirmatrelvir	0.84	0.85	0.63	0.81	0.74	0.95	1.02	0.75	0.97

1067

1068 Figure legends

1069

1070 Figure 1. Epidemics of BA.2.75 in India

1071 (A) A maximum likelihood tree of Omicron sublineages. Sequences of 1072 BA.1–BA.5 sampled from South Africa and BA.2.75 are included. The mutations 1073 acquired in the S protein of BA.2.75 are indicated in the panel. Note that R493Q 1074 is a reversion [i.e., back mutation from the BA.1–BA.3 lineages (R493) to the 1075 B.1.1 lineage (Q493)]. Bootstrap values, *, ≥ 0.8 ; **, ≥ 0.95 .

- 1076 (B) Amino acid differences among BA.2, BA.2.75, and BA.5. Heatmap color1077 indicates the frequency of amino acid substitutions.
- 1078 (C) Lineage frequencies of BA.5 (left) and BA.2.75 (right) in each Indian state.
 1079 SARS-CoV-2 sequences collected from June 15, 2022, to July 15, 2022, were
 1080 analyzed.
- (D) Epidemic dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 lineages in Indian states. Results for
 BA.2.75 and BA.5 are shown. The observed daily sequence frequency (dot) and
 the dynamics (posterior mean, line; 95% CI, ribbon) are shown. The dot size is
 proportional to the number of sequences.
- 1085 (E) Estimated relative R_e of each viral lineage, assuming a fixed generation time
- of 2.1 days. The R_e value of BA.2 is set at 1. The posterior (violin), posterior
 mean (dot), and 95% CI (line) are shown. The average values across India
 estimated by a Bayesian hierarchical model are shown, and the state-specific R_e
 values are shown in Figure S1B. The dynamics of the top seven predominant
 lineages in India were estimated. BA.5 sublineages are summarized as "BA.5",
- and non-predominant BA.2 sublineages are summarized as "other BA.2".
- 1092 See also Figure S1 and Table S1.

1093

1094 Figure 2. Immune resistance of BA.2.75

Neutralization assays were performed with pseudoviruses harboring the S
proteins of B.1.1 (the D614G-bearing ancestral virus), BA.1, BA.2, BA.2.75.
Delta pseudovirus is included only in the experiment shown in **F**. The BA.2
S-based derivatives are included in **H and I**. The following sera were used.

- 1099 (A–C) BNT162b2 vaccine sera (15 donors) collected at 1 month after 2nd-dose
 1100 vaccination (A), 1 month after 3rd-dose vaccination (B), and 4 months after
 1101 3rd-dose vaccination (C).
- (D) Convalescent sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had been infectedwith BA.1 after full vaccination (16 2-dose vaccinated donors).
- 1104 (E) Convalescent sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had been infected
- with BA.2 after full vaccination (9 2-dose vaccinated and 5 3-dose vaccinated. 14donors in total).
- 1107 (F) Convalescent sera from unvaccinated individuals who had been infected with
- 1108 Delta (18 donors).

1109 (**G**–**I**) Sera from hamsters infected with BA.1 (6 hamsters) (**G**), BA.2 (6 1110 hamsters) (**H**), and BA.5 (6 hamsters) (**I**).

Assays for each serum sample were performed in triplicate to determine the 1111 1112 50% neutralization titer (NT50). Each dot represents one NT50 value, and the 1113 geometric mean and 95% CI are shown. The numbers in the panels indicate the 1114 fold change resistance versus BA.2 (B-E, G and H) or BA.5 (I). The horizontal 1115 dashed line indicates the detection limit (120-fold in other than F, 40-fold in F). 1116 Statistically significant differences were determined by two-sided Wilcoxon 1117 signed-rank tests. The P values versus BA.2 (B-E, G and H) or BA.5 (I) are 1118 indicated in the panels. For the BA.2 derivatives and B.1.1 (H and I), statistically 1119 significant differences versus BA.2 (P < 0.05) are indicated with asterisks.

- 1120 Information on the vaccinated/convalescent donors is summarized in **Table S2**.
- 1121 See also Table S2.
- 1122

1123 Figure 3. Virological features of BA.2.75 S in vitro

(A) Pseudovirus assay. The percent infectivity compared to that of the viruspseudotyped with the BA.2 S protein are shown.

1126 (**B**) Binding affinity of the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S protein to ACE2 by yeast 1127 surface display. The K_D value indicating the binding affinity of the RBD of the 1128 SARS-CoV-2 S protein to soluble ACE2 when expressed on yeast is shown.

(C) Electrostatic potential of B.1.1 S RBD (PDB: 6M17) (Yan et al., 2020), BA.2
S RBD (PDB: 7UB0) (Stalls et al., 2022) and BA.2.75 S RBD. The structure of
BA.2.75 S RBD was prepared using AlphaFold2 (Mirdita *et al.*, 2022).
Electrostatic potential surface depictions calculated by PDB2PQR tool (Dolinsky
et al., 2007) with the positions of BA.2.75 characteristic mutations. The scale bar
shows the electrostatic charge [kT/e].

1135 (D) The binding of BA.2.75 S RBD and human ACE2 (PDB: 6M17) (Yan et al.,

1136 2020). Left, the four substitutions in BA.2.75 S RBD compared to BA.2 S RBD
1137 are highlighted. Right, binding of BA.2.75 S RBD (top) and human ACE2
1120 (bettern) The electrostatic patential surface of human ACE2 is above.

1138 (bottom). The electrostatic potential surface of human ACE2 is shown.

(E and F) S-based fusion assay. (E) S protein expression on the cell surface.
The summarized data are shown. (F) S-based fusion assay in Calu-3 cells. The
recorded fusion activity (arbitrary units) is shown. The dashed green line
indicates the results of BA.2.

1143 Assays were performed in quadruplicate (A and F) or triplicate (B and E), and

1144 the presented data are expressed as the average \pm SD. In **A and B**, the dashed

horizontal lines indicated the value of BA.2. In **A**, **B** and **E**, each dot indicates the

1146 result of an individual replicate. In **A**, **B** and **E**, statistically significant differences

1147 between BA.2 and other variants (*, P < 0.05) were determined by two-sided

1148 Student's *t* tests. In **F**, statistically significant differences between BA.2 and other

- 1149 variants across timepoints were determined by multiple regression. The FWERs
- 1150 calculated using the Holm method are indicated in the figures.

1151 See also **Figure S3**.

1152

1153 Figure 4. Growth capacity of BA.2.75 in vitro

1154 (A-I) Growth kinetics of B.1.1, Delta, BA.2, BA.5 and BA.2.75. Clinical isolates of 1155 B.1.1 (strain TKYE610670; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 479681), Delta (B.1.617.2, 1156 strain TKYTK1734; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 2378732), BA.2 (strain TY40-385; 1157 GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_9595859), BA.5 (strain TKYS14631; GISAID ID: 1158 EPI_ISL_12812500), and BA.2.75 (strain TY41-716; GISAID ID: 1159 EPI ISL 13969765) were inoculated into Vero cells (A), VeroE6/TMPRSS2 1160 cells (B), HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (C), AO-ALI (D), iPSC-derived airway 1161 epithelial cells (E), iPSC-derived lung epithelial cells (F), and an 1162 airway-on-a-chip system (G and H; the scheme of experimental system is 1163 illustrated in Figure S3C). The copy numbers of viral RNA in the culture 1164 supernatant (A-C), the apical sides of cultures (D-F), the top (G) and bottom (H)1165 channels of an airway-on-a-chip were routinely quantified by RT-qPCR. The 1166 dashed green line in each panel indicates the results of BA.2. In I, the 1167 percentage of viral RNA load in the bottom channel per top channel at 6 d.p.i. 1168 (i.e., % invaded virus from the top channel to the bottom channel) is shown.

(J) Plaque assay. VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells were used for the target cells.
Representative panels (left) and a summary of the recorded plaque diameters
(20 plaques per virus) (right) are shown.

1172 Assays were performed in quadruplicate, and the presented data are expressed 1173 as the average \pm SD. In **A**–**H**, statistically significant differences between BA.2 1174 and the other variants across timepoints were determined by multiple regression. 1175 The FWERs calculated using the Holm method are indicated in the figures. In **I** 1176 **and J (right)**, statistically significant differences versus BA.2 (*, *P* < 0.05) were 1177 determined by two-sided Mann–Whitney *U* tests. Each dot indicates the result of 1178 an individual replicate.

1179 See also **Figure S3**.

1180

1181Figure 5. Virological characteristics of BA.2.75 in vivo

Syrian hamsters were intranasally inoculated with Delta, BA.2, BA.5 and BA.2.75. Six hamsters at the same age were intranasally inoculated with saline (uninfected). Six hamsters per each group were used to routinely measure respective parameters (**A and B**). Four hamsters per each group were euthanized at 2 and 5 d.p.i and used for virological and pathological analysis (**C-G**).

1188 (A) Body weight, Penh, Rpef, BPM, and SpO_2 values of infected hamsters (n = 6 1189 per infection group).

1190 (**B**) Viral RNA loads in the oral swab (n = 6 per infection group).

1191 (C) Viral RNA loads in the lung hilum (left) and lung periphery (right) of infected

hamsters (n = 4 per infection group) at 2 d.p.i. (top) and 5 d.p.i. (bottom).

1193 (D and E) IHC of the viral N protein in the lungs at 2 d.p.i. (top) and 5 d.p.i.

1194 (bottom) of all infected hamsters. (D) Representative figures. (E) Percentage of

1195 N-positive cells in whole lung lobes (n = 4 per infection group). The raw data are

shown in **Figure S4B and S4C**.

1197 (F and G) (F) H&E staining of the lungs of infected hamsters. Representative

- 1198 figures are shown. Uninfected lung alveolar space and bronchioles are also
- shown. (G) Histopathological scoring of lung lesions (n = 4 per infection group).
 Representative pathological features are reported in our previous studies
 (Kimura *et al.*, 2022c; Saito *et al.*, 2022; Suzuki *et al.*, 2022; Yamasoba *et al.*,
 2022b).
- (H) Type II pneumocytes in the lungs of infected hamsters. The percentage of
 the area of type II pneumocytes in the lung at 5 d.p.i. is summarized. The raw
 data are shown in Figure S4D.
- 1206 In A–C, E, G and H, data are presented as the average ± SEM. In C, E and H,
 1207 each dot indicates the result of an individual hamster.
- 1208 In **A**, **B** and **G**, statistically significant differences between BA.2 and other 1209 variants across timepoints were determined by multiple regression. In **A**, the 0 1210 d.p.i. data were excluded from the analyses. The FWERs calculated using the 1211 Holm method are indicated in the figures.

1212 In **C**, **E** and **G**, the statistically significant differences between BA.2 and other 1213 variants were determined by a two-sided Mann–Whitney *U* test.

- 1214 In **D** and **F**, each panel shows a representative result from an individual infected 1215 hamster. Scale bars, 500 μ m (**D**); 200 μ m (**F**).
- 1216 See also Figure S4.
- 1217
- 1218 **Table S1.** Estimated relative R_e values of viral lineages in India, related to 1219 **Figure 1**
- 1220
- 1221 Table S2. Human sera used in this study, related to Figure 2
- 1222

1223 Table S3. Primers used for the construction of SARS-CoV-2 S expression1224 plasmids, related to Figures 2 and 3

1225

1226 Table S4. Summary of unexpected amino acid mutations detected in the
1227 working virus stocks, related to Figures 4 and 5 and Table 1

1228

1229 Figure S1. Epidemic dynamics of BA.2.75 in India, related to Figure 1

(A) Amino acid differences in B.1.1, Delta, BA.2, BA.5 and BA.2.75 compared to
the SARS-CoV-2 A lineage. Heatmap color indicates the frequency of amino
acid mutations.

1233 (**B**) Estimated relative R_e of each viral lineage, assuming a fixed generation time 1234 of 2.1 days. The R_e value of BA.2 is set at 1. The posterior (violin), posterior 1235 mean (dot), and 95% CI (line) are shown. The R_e values for respective Indian 1236 states are shown. The dynamics of the top seven predominant lineages in India 1237 were estimated. BA.5 sublineages are summarized as "BA.5", and 1238 non-predominant BA.2 sublineages are summarized as "other BA.2". Raw data 1239 are summarized in **Table S1**.

1240 (**C**) Fold change in R_e values between BA.2.75 and BA.5. Posterior mean (dot) 1241 and 95% CI (line) are shown. Red indicates that the 95% CI does not overlap 1242 with the value of 1.

1243

1244 Figure S2. Effects of antiviral drugs in AO, related to Table 1

(A) Antiviral effects of the three drugs in AO culture. The assay of each antiviral
drugs was performed in quadruplicate, and the 50% effective concentration
(EC₅₀) was calculated. The data are summarized in **Table 1**.

(B) Cytotoxic effects of the three drugs in AO culture. The assay of each antiviral
drugs was performed in quadruplicate, and the 50% cytotoxic concentration
(CC₅₀) was calculated. The CC₅₀ values are indicated in the panels.

1251

Figure S3. Virological features of BA.2.75 *in vitro*, related to Figures 3 and4

1254 (A) Fold increase in pseudovirus infectivity based on TMPRSS2 expression.

- (B) The structural effect of the D339H substitution in the BA.2.75 S RBD. The
 BA.2 S RBD (PDB: 7UB0) (Stalls *et al.*, 2022) and an AlphaFold2 structural
 model of BA.2.75 S RBD (bottom) are shown. The residues 339 and 343 are
 indicated in stick. The squared regions are enlarged in the right panel. A dashed
 line in the top panel indicates ion-dipole interaction between the D339 and the
 N343 residues.
- 1261 (C) A scheme of airway-on-a-chip system.
- 1262

Figure S4. Histological observations in infected hamsters, related toFigure 5

(A) IHC of the viral N protein in the middle portion of the tracheas of all infected
hamsters at 2 d.p.i (4 hamsters per infection group). Each panel shows a
representative result from an individual infected hamster.

(B and C) IHC of the SARS-CoV-2 N protein in the lungs of infected hamsters at
2 d.p.i. (B) and 5 d.p.i (C) (4 hamsters per infection group). In each panel, IHC
staining (top) and the digitalized N-positive area (bottom, indicated in red) are

- 1271 shown. The red numbers in the bottom panels indicate the percentage of the
- 1272 N-positive area. Summarized data are shown in **Figure 5E**.
- 1273 (D) Type II pneumocytes in the lungs of infected hamsters (4 hamsters per
- 1274 infection group). H&E staining (top) and the digitalized inflammatory area with
- 1275 type II pneumocytes (bottom, indicated in red) are shown. The red numbers in
- 1276 the bottom panels indicate the percentage of inflammatory area with type II
- 1277 pneumocytes. Summarized data are shown in **Figure 5H**.
- 1278 Scale bars, 1 mm (**A**); 5 mm (**B–D**).

1279 STAR METHODS

1280

1281 KEY RESOURCES TABLE

1282 **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

1283 Lead Contact

1284 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed 1285 will Kei to and be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Sato 1286 (KeiSato@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp).

1287

1288 Materials Availability

All unique reagents generated in this study are listed in the Key Resources
Table and available from the Lead Contact with a completed Materials Transfer
Agreement.

1292

1293 Data and Software Availability

All databases/datasets used in this study are available from GISAID database
(https://www.gisaid.org) and GenBank database (<u>https://www.gisaid.org;</u>
EPI_SET ID: EPI_SET_220804hy).

1297 The computational codes used in the present study, the raw data of 1298 virus sequences, and the GISAID supplemental table for EPI_SET ID: 1299 EPI_SET_220804hy are available in the GitHub repository 1300 (https://github.com/TheSatoLab/Omicron_BA.2.75).

1301

1302 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

1303 Ethics statement

1304 All experiments with hamsters were performed in accordance with the Science 1305 Council of Japan's Guidelines for the Proper Conduct of Animal Experiments. 1306 The protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use 1307 Committee of National University Corporation Hokkaido University (approval ID: 1308 20-0123 and 20-0060). All experiments with mice were also performed in 1309 accordance with the Science Council of Japan's Guidelines for the Proper 1310 Conduct of Animal Experiments. All protocols involving specimens from human 1311 subjects recruited at Kyoto University were reviewed and approved by the 1312 Institutional Review Boards of Kyoto University (approval ID: G1309) and Chiba 1313 University (approval ID: HS202103-03). All human subjects provided written 1314 informed consent. All protocols for the use of human specimens were reviewed 1315 and approved by the Institutional Review Boards of The Institute of Medical 1316 Science, The University of Tokyo (approval IDs: 2021-1-0416 and 1317 2021-18-0617), Kyoto University (approval ID: G0697), Kumamoto University (approval IDs: 2066 and 2074), and University of Miyazaki (approval ID: 1318 1319 O-1021).

1320

1321 Human serum collection

1322 Vaccine sera of fifteen individuals who had BNT162b2 vaccine 1323 (Pfizer/BioNTech) (average age: 38, range: 24–48; 53% male) (Figures 2A–2C) 1324 were obtained at one month after the second dose, one month after the third 1325 dose, and four months after the third dose. The details of the vaccine sera are 1326 summarized in Table S2.

1327 Convalescent sera were collected from the following donors: fully 1328 vaccinated individuals who had been infected with BA.1 (16 2-dose vaccinated. 1329 10-27 days after testing; average age: 48, range: 20-76, 44% male) (Figure 1330 2D), fully vaccinated individuals who had been infected with BA.2 (9 2-dose 1331 vaccinated and 5 3-dose vaccinated. 11–61 days after testing. n=14 in total; 1332 average age: 47, range: 24-84, 64% male) (Figure 2E), and unvaccinated 1333 individuals who had been infected with Delta (6-55 days after testing. n=18 in 1334 total; average age: 50, range: 22-67, 78% male) (Figure 2F). The SARS-CoV-2 1335 variants were identified as previously described (Kimura et al., 2022c; 1336 Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Sera were inactivated at 56°C for 30 minutes and 1337 stored at -80°C until use. The details of the convalescent sera are summarized 1338 in Table S2.

1339

1340 Cell culture

1341 HEK293T cells (a human embryonic kidney cell line; ATCC, CRL-3216), 1342 HEK293 cells (a human embryonic kidney cell line; ATCC, CRL-1573) and 1343 HOS-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (HOS cells stably expressing human ACE2 and 1344 TMPRSS2) (Ferreira et al., 2021; Ozono et al., 2021) were maintained in DMEM 1345 (high glucose) (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# 6429-500ML) containing 10% fetal bovine 1346 (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich Cat# 172012-500ML) and 1% serum 1347 penicillin-streptomycin (PS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# P4333-100ML).

HEK293-ACE2 cells (HEK293 cells stably expressing human ACE2) (Motozono *et al.*, 2021) were maintained in DMEM (high glucose) containing 10% FBS, 1
µg/ml puromycin (InvivoGen, Cat# ant-pr-1) and 1% PS.

HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (HEK293 cells stably expressing human ACE2
and TMPRSS2) (Motozono *et al.*, 2021) were maintained in DMEM (high
glucose) containing 10% FBS, 1 µg/ml puromycin, 200 ng/ml hygromycin
(Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 09287-84) and 1% PS.

Vero cells [an African green monkey (*Chlorocebus sabaeus*) kidney cell line;
JCRB Cell Bank, JCRB0111] were maintained in Eagle's minimum essential
medium (EMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# M4655-500ML) containing 10% FBS and
1% PS.

VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (VeroE6 cells stably expressing human TMPRSS2;
JCRB Cell Bank, JCRB1819) (Matsuyama et al., 2020) were maintained in

1361 DMEM (low glucose) (Wako, Cat# 041-29775) containing 10% FBS, G418 (1 1362 mg/ml; Nacalai Tesque, Cat# G8168-10ML) and 1% PS.

1363 Calu-3/DSP₁₋₇ cells (Calu-3 cells stably expressing DSP₁₋₇) (Yamamoto et al.,

1364 2020) were maintained in EMEM (Wako, Cat# 056-08385) containing 20% FBS1365 and 1% PS.

Human airway and alveolar epithelial cells derived from human induced
pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) were manufactured according to established
protocols as described below (see "Preparation of human airway and alveolar
epithelial cells from human iPSCs" section) and provided by HiLung Inc.

1370 Airway organoids (AO) and AO-derived air-liquid interface model (AO-ALI) were

- 1371 generated according to established protocols as described below (see "Airway1372 organoids" and "AO-ALI model" sections).
- 1373

1374 METHOD DETAILS

1375 Viral genome sequencing

1376 Viral genome sequencing was performed as previously described (Meng et al., 2022; Motozono et al., 2021; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba et 1377 1378 al., 2022b). Briefly, the virus sequences were verified by viral RNA-sequencing 1379 analysis. Viral RNA was extracted using a QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, 1380 Cat# 52906). The sequencing library employed for total RNA sequencing was prepared using the NEB next ultra RNA library prep kit for Illumina (New England 1381 1382 Biolabs, Cat# E7530). Paired-end 76-bp sequencing was performed using a 1383 MiSeq system (Illumina) with MiSeq reagent kit v3 (Illumina, Cat# MS-102-3001). 1384 Sequencing reads were trimmed using fastp v0.21.0 (Chen et al., 2018) and 1385 subsequently mapped to the viral genome sequences of a lineage B isolate 1386 (strain Wuhan-Hu-1; GenBank accession number: NC 045512.2) (Matsuyama et al., 2020) using BWA-MEM v0.7.17 (Li and Durbin, 2009). Variant calling, 1387 1388 filtering, and annotation were performed using SAMtools v1.9 (Li et al., 2009) 1389 and snpEff v5.0e (Cingolani et al., 2012).

1390

1391 Phylogenetic analyses

1392 To construct an ML tree of Omicron lineages (BA.1–BA.5) sampled from South 1393 Africa and BA.2.75 (shown in Figure 1A), the genome sequence data of 1394 SARS-CoV-2 and its metadata were downloaded from the GISAID database 1395 (https://www.gisaid.org/) (Khare et al., 2021) on July 23, 2022. We excluded the 1396 data of viral strains with the following features from the analysis: i) a lack 1397 collection date information; ii) sampling from animals other than humans, iii) >2% 1398 undetermined nucleotide characters, or iv) sampling by quarantine. From each 1399 viral lineage, 30 sequences were randomly sampled and used for tree 1400 construction, in addition to an outgroup sequence, EPI_ISL_466615, 1401 representing the oldest isolate of B.1.1 obtained in the UK. The viral genome

1402 sequences were mapped to the reference sequence of Wuhan-Hu-1 (GenBank 1403 accession number: NC 045512.2) using Minimap2 v2.17 (Li, 2018) and 1404 subsequently converted to a multiple sequence alignment according to the 1405 GISAID phylogenetic analysis pipeline 1406 (https://github.com/roblanf/sarscov2phylo). The alignment sites corresponding to 1407 the 1-265 and 29674-29903 positions in the reference genome were masked 1408 (i.e., converted to NNN). Alignment sites at which >50% of sequences contained 1409 a gap or undetermined/ambiguous nucleotide were trimmed using trimAl v1.2 1410 (Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009). Phylogenetic tree construction was performed 1411 via a three-step protocol: i) the first tree was constructed; ii) tips with longer 1412 external branches (Z score > 4) were removed from the dataset; iii) and the final 1413 tree was constructed. Tree reconstruction was performed by RAxML v8.2.12 1414 (Stamatakis, 2014) under the GTRCAT substitution model. The node support 1415 value was calculated by 100 times bootstrap analysis.

1416

1417 Modelling the epidemic dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 lineages

1418 To quantify the spread rate of each SARS-CoV-2 lineage in the human 1419 population in India, we estimated the relative R_e of each viral lineage according 1420 to the epidemic dynamics, calculated on the basis of viral genomic surveillance 1421 The data were downloaded GISAID data. from the database 1422 (https://www.gisaid.org/) on August 1, 2022. We excluded the data of viral 1423 strains with the following features from the analysis: i) a lack of collection date 1424 information; ii) sampling in animals other than humans; or iii) sampling by 1425 guarantine. We analyzed the datasets of the ten states of India, where ≥20 1426 sequences of either BA.2.75 or BA.5 are reported (i.e., Himachal Pradesh, 1427 Odisha, Harvana, Rajasthan, and Maharashtra, Gujarat, West Bengal, Delhi, 1428 Tamil Nadu, and Telangana). BA.5 sublineages are summarized as "BA.5", and 1429 BA.2 sublineages with ≤400 sequences are summarized as "other BA.2". 1430 Subsequently, the dynamics of the top seven predominant lineages in India were 1431 estimated from April 24, 2022, to August 1, 2022, were analyzed. The number of 1432 viral sequences of each viral lineage collected on each day in each country was 1433 counted, and the count matrix was constructed as an input for the statistical 1434 model below.

1435 We constructed a Bayesian hierarchical model to represent relative 1436 lineage growth dynamics with multinomial logistic regression as described in our 1437 previous study (Yamasoba *et al.*, 2022b). In brief, we incorporated a hierarchical 1438 structure into the slope parameter over time, which enabled us to estimate the 1439 global average relative R_e of each viral lineage in India as well as the average 1440 value for each country. Arrays in the model index over one or more indices: L = 7 1441 viral lineages *l*; S = 10 states *s*; and T = 100 days *t*. The model is:

 $\beta_{ls} \sim \text{Student}_{t}(6, \beta_{l}, \sigma_{l})$

$$\mu_{lst} = \alpha_{ls} + \beta_{ls}t$$

$$\theta_{.st} = \text{softmax}(\mu_{.st})$$

$$y_{lst} \sim \text{Multinomial}\left(\sum_{l} y_{lst}, \theta_{.st}\right)$$

1442 The explanatory variable was time, t, and the outcome variable was y_{lst} , which 1443 represented the count of viral lineage l in state s at time t. The slope 1444 parameter of lineage l in state s, β_{ls} , was generated from a Student's t 1445 distribution with hyperparameters of the mean, β_l , and the standard deviation, 1446 σ_l . As the distribution generating β_{ls} , we used a Student's t distribution with six 1447 degrees of freedom instead of a normal distribution to reduce the effects of 1448 outlier values of β_{ls} . In the model, the linear estimator μ_{st} , consisting of the 1449 intercept α_s and the slope β_s , was converted to the simplex θ_{st} , which 1450 represented the probability of occurrence of each viral lineage at time t in state 1451 s, based on the softmax link function defined as:

softmax(x) =
$$\frac{\exp(x)}{\sum_{i} \exp(x_i)}$$

1452 y_{lst} is generated from θ_{st} and the total count of all lineages at time *t* in state *s* 1453 according to a multinomial distribution.

1454 The relative R_e of each viral lineage in each county (r_{ls}) was calculated 1455 according to the slope parameter β_{ls} as:

$$\mathbf{r}_{ls} = \exp(\gamma \beta_{ls})$$

1456 where average viral (2.1 γ is the generation time days) (http://sonorouschocolate.com/covid19/index.php?title=Estimating_Generation_ 1457 1458 Time_Of_Omicron). Similarly, the global average relative R_e of each viral lineage 1459 was calculated according to the slope hyperparameter β_l as:

$$r_l = \exp(\gamma \beta_l)$$

For parameter estimation, the intercept and slope parameters of the BA.2 variant were fixed at 0. Consequently, the relative R_e of BA.2 was fixed at 1, and those of the other lineages were estimated relative to that of BA.2.

1463 Parameter estimation was performed via the MCMC approach 1464 implemented in CmdStan v2.28.1 (https://mc-stan.org) with CmdStanr v0.4.0 (https://mc-stan.org/cmdstanr/). Noninformative priors were set for all 1465 1466 parameters. Four independent MCMC chains were run with 1,000 and 2,000 steps in the warmup and sampling iterations, respectively. We confirmed that all 1467 1468 estimated parameters showed <1.01 R-hat convergence diagnostic values and 1469 >200 effective sampling size values, indicating that the MCMC runs were 1470 successfully convergent. The above analyses were performed in R v4.1.3 1471 (https://www.r-project.org/). Information on the relative R_e estimated in the 1472 present study is summarized in Table S1.

1473

1474 Plasmid construction

1475 Plasmids expressing the codon-optimized SARS-CoV-2 S proteins of B.1.1 (the 1476 parental D614G-bearing variant), BA.2 and BA.5 were prepared in our previous 1477 studies (Kimura et al., 2022a; Ozono et al., 2021; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 1478 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Plasmids expressing the codon-optimized S 1479 proteins of BA.2.75 and the BA.2 S-based derivatives were generated by 1480 site-directed overlap extension PCR using the primers listed in Table S3. The 1481 resulting PCR fragment was digested with KpnI and NotI and inserted into the 1482 corresponding site of the pCAGGS vector (Niwa et al., 1991). Nucleotide 1483 sequences were determined by DNA sequencing services (Eurofins), and the 1484 sequence data were analyzed by Sequencher v5.1 software (Gene Codes 1485 Corporation).

1486

1487 **Neutralization assay**

1488 Pseudoviruses were prepared as previously described (Kimura et al., 2022a: 1489 Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Motozono et al., 2021; Uriu et al., 1490 2022; Uriu et al., 2021; Yamasoba et al., 2022a; Yamasoba et al., 2022b; 1491 Yamasoba et al., 2022c). Briefly, lentivirus (HIV-1)-based, luciferase-expressing 1492 reporter viruses were pseudotyped with the SARS-CoV-2 S proteins. HEK293T 1493 cells (1,000,000 cells) were cotransfected with 1 µg psPAX2-IN/HiBiT (Ozono et 1494 al., 2020), 1 µg pWPI-Luc2 (Ozono et al., 2020), and 500 ng plasmids 1495 expressing parental S or its derivatives using PEI Max (Polysciences, Cat# 1496 24765-1) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Two days posttransfection, 1497 the culture supernatants were harvested and centrifuged. The pseudoviruses 1498 were stored at -80°C until use.

1499 Neutralization assay (Figure 2) was prepared as previously described (Kimura et al., 2022a; Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 1500 1501 2022; Uriu et al., 2022; Uriu et al., 2021; Yamasoba et al., 2022a; Yamasoba et 1502 al., 2022b; Yamasoba et al., 2022c). Briefly, the SARS-CoV-2 S pseudoviruses 1503 (counting ~20,000 relative light units) were incubated with serially diluted 1504 (120-fold to 87,480-fold dilution at the final concentration) heat-inactivated sera at 37°C for 1 hour. Pseudoviruses without sera were included as controls. Then, 1505 a 40 µl mixture of pseudovirus and serum/antibody was added to 1506 1507 HOS-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (10,000 cells/50 µl) in a 96-well white plate. At 2 1508 d.p.i., the infected cells were lysed with a One-Glo luciferase assay system 1509 (Promega, Cat# E6130) or a Bright-Glo luciferase assay system (Promega, Cat# 1510 E2650), and the luminescent signal was measured using a GloMax explorer 1511 multimode microplate reader 3500 (Promega) or CentroXS3 (Berthhold 1512 Technologies). The assay of each serum was performed in triplicate, and the 1513 50% neutralization titer (NT_{50}) was calculated using Prism 9 software v9.1.1 1514 (GraphPad Software).

1515

1516 Airway organoids

Airway organoids (AO) model was generated according to our previous report 1517 1518 (Sano et al., 2022). Briefly, normal human bronchial epithelial cells (NHBE, Cat# 1519 CC-2540, Lonza) were used to generate AO. NHBE were suspended in 10 1520 mg/ml cold Matrigel growth factor reduced basement membrane matrix 1521 (Corning). 50 µl of cell suspension was solidified on pre-warmed cell-culture 1522 treated multi-dishes (24-well plates; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37 °C for 10 1523 min, and then 500 µl of expansion medium was added to each well. AO were 1524 cultured with AO expansion medium for 10 days. To mature the AO, expanded 1525 AO were cultured with AO differentiation medium for 5 days. In experiments evaluating the antiviral drugs (see "Antiviral drug assay using SARS-CoV-2 1526 1527 clinical isolates and AO" section below), AO were dissociated into single cells, 1528 and then were seeded into 96-well plates.

1529

1530 SARS-CoV-2 preparation and titration

1531 The working virus stocks of SARS-CoV-2 were prepared and titrated as 1532 previously described (Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Meng et al., 2022; Motozono et al., 2021; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba et 1533 1534 al., 2022b). In this study, clinical isolates of B.1.1 (strain TKYE610670; GISAID 1535 ID: EPI_ISL_479681) (Suzuki et al., 2022), Delta (B.1.617.2, strain TKYTK1734; 1536 GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_2378732) (Saito et al., 2022), BA.2 (strain TY40-385; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 9595859) (Kimura et al., 2022c) and BA.5 (strain 1537 1538 TKYS14631; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 12812500) (Tamura et al., 2022), and 1539 BA.2.75 (strain TY41-716; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 13969765) were used. In brief, 1540 20 µl of the seed virus was inoculated into VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (5,000,000 cells in a T-75 flask). One h.p.i., the culture medium was replaced with DMEM 1541 1542 (low glucose) (Wako, Cat# 041-29775) containing 2% FBS and 1% PS. At 3 1543 d.p.i., the culture medium was harvested and centrifuged, and the supernatants 1544 were collected as the working virus stock.

The titer of the prepared working virus was measured as the 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID₅₀). Briefly, one day before infection, VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (10,000 cells) were seeded into a 96-well plate. Serially diluted virus stocks were inoculated into the cells and incubated at 37°C for 4 days. The cells were observed under microscopy to judge the CPE appearance. The value of TCID₅₀/ml was calculated with the Reed–Muench method (Reed and Muench, 1938).

To verify the sequences of SARS-CoV-2 working viruses, viral RNA was extracted from the working viruses using a QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Cat# 52906) and viral genome sequences were analyzed as described above (see "Viral genome sequencing" section). Information on the unexpected

substitutions detected is summarized in Table S4, and the raw data are
deposited in the GitHub repository
(https://github.com/TheSatoLab/Omicron BA.2.75).

1559

1560 Antiviral drug assay using SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolates and AO

1561 Antiviral drug assay (Table 1 and Figure S2A) was performed as previously 1562 described (Meng et al., 2022). Briefly, one day before infection, AO (10,000 1563 cells) was dissociated, and then seeded into a 96-well plate. The cells were infected with either B.1.1, BA.2, BA.5 or BA.2.75 isolate (100 TCID₅₀) at 37 °C 1564 1565 for 2 hours. The cells were washed with DMEM and cultured in DMEM 1566 supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% PS and the serially diluted Remdesivir (Clinisciences, Cat# A17170), EIDD-1931 (an active metabolite of Molnupiravir; 1567 1568 Cell Signalling Technology, Cat# 81178S), or Nirmatrelvir (PF-07321332; 1569 MedChemExpress, Cat# HY-138687). At 24 hours after infection, the culture 1570 supernatants were collected, and viral RNA was quantified using RT-qPCR (see 1571 "RT-qPCR" section below). The assay of each compound was performed in guadruplicate, and the 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}) was calculated using 1572 1573 Prism 9 software v9.1.1 (GraphPad Software).

1574

1575 Cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxicity of Remdesivir, EIDD-1931 or Nirmatrelvir (Figure S2B) was 1576 1577 performed as previously described (Meng et al., 2022). Briefly, one day before the assay, AO (10,000 cells) was dissociated and then seeded into a 96-well 1578 1579 plate. The cells were cultured with the serially diluted antiviral drugs for 1580 24 hours. The cell counting kit-8 (Dojindo, Cat# CK04-11) solution (10 µl) was 1581 added to each well, and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 90 min. 1582 Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using the Multiskan FC (Thermo Fisher 1583 Scientific). The assay of each compound was performed in guadruplicate, and the 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀) was calculated using Prism 9 software 1584 1585 v9.1.1 (GraphPad Software).

1586

1587 **Pseudovirus infection**

Pseudovirus infection (Figure 3A) was performed as previously described 1588 1589 (Ferreira et al., 2021; Kimura et al., 2022a; Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Motozono et al., 2021; Uriu et al., 2022; Uriu et al., 2021; Yamasoba et 1590 1591 al., 2022a; Yamasoba et al., 2022b; Yamasoba et al., 2022c). Briefly, the 1592 amount of pseudoviruses prepared was quantified by the HiBiT assay using 1593 Nano Glo HiBiT lytic detection system (Promega, Cat# N3040) as previously 1594 described (Ozono et al., 2021; Ozono et al., 2020), and the same amount of 1595 pseudoviruses (normalized to the HiBiT value, which indicates the amount of 1596 p24 HIV-1 antigen) was inoculated into HOS-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells,

HEK293-ACE2 cells or HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 and viral infectivity was
measured as described above (see "Neutralization assay" section). To analyze
the effect of TMPRSS2 for pseudovirus infectivity (Figure S3A), the fold change
of the values of HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 to HEK293-ACE2 was calculated.

1601

1602 Yeast surface display

1603 Yeast surface display (Figure 3B) was performed as previously described 1604 (Dejnirattisai et al., 2022; Kimura et al., 2022a; Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Motozono et al., 2021; Yamasoba et al., 2022b; Zahradnik et al., 1605 1606 2021b). Briefly, the RBD genes ["construct 3" in (Zahradnik et al., 2021b), 1607 covering residues 330-528] in pJYDC1 plasmid were cloned by restriction 1608 enzyme-free cloning and transformed into the EBY100 Saccharomyces 1609 cerevisiae. Primers are listed in **Table S3**. The expression media 1/9 (Zahradnik 1610 et al., 2021a) was inoculated (OD 1) by overnight (220 rpm, 30°C, SD-CAA 1611 media) grown culture and cultivated for 24 hours at 20°C. The media was 1612 supplemented by 10 mM DMSO solubilized bilirubin (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# 14370-1G) for expression co-cultivation labeling (pJYDC1, eUnaG2 reporter 1613 1614 holo-form formation, green/yellow fluorescence (Ex. 498 nm, Em. 527 nm). Cells 1615 (100 ul aliquots) were collected by centrifugation (3000 g, 3 minutes), washed in 1616 ice-cold PBSB buffer (PBS with 1 g/L BSA), and resuspended in an analysis 1617 solution with a series of CF®640R succinimidyl ester labeled (Biotium, USA, 1618 Cat# 92108) ACE2 peptidase domain (residues 18-740) concentrations. The 1619 reaction volume was adjusted (1-100 ml) to avoid the ligand depletion effect, 1620 and the suspension was incubated overnight in a rotator shaker (10 rpm, 4°C). 1621 Incubated samples were washed by PBSB buffer, transferred into 96 well plates 1622 (Thermo, USA, Nunc, Cat# 268200), and analyzed by the CytoFLEX S Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter, USA, Cat#, N0-V4-B2-Y4) with the gating strategy 1623 described previously (Zahradnik et al., 2021b). The eUnaG2 signals were 1624 1625 compensated by the instrument CytExpert software (Beckman Coulter). The 1626 mean binding signal (FL4-A) values of RBD expressing cells, subtracted by 1627 signals of non-expressing populations, were subjected for the determination of 1628 binding constant KD, YD by non-cooperative Hill equation fitted by nonlinear 1629 least-squares regression using Python v3.7 fitted together with two additional 1630 parameters describing titration curve (Zahradnik et al., 2021b).

1631

1632 AlphaFold2

1633 To generate the structure model of BA.2.75 S RBD (**Figures 3C, 3D and S3B**), 1634 the AlphaFold2 structural prediction was performed using ColabFold (Mirdita *et al.*, 2022) using the BA.2 S RBD template (PDB: 7UB0) (Stalls *et al.*, 2022). The 1636 MMseqs2 and HHsearch parameters were set as default. The models were 1637 manually inspected, and those exhibiting poor parameters and models that did

1638 not adopt the classical RBD interface conformation were eliminated. The two 1639 highest score models were analyzed in detail. Three-dimensional visualization 1640 and analyses were performed using PyMOL v2.1.1 (Schrödinger, 1641 https://pymol.org/2/). In Figure 3D, the wild-type SARS-CoV-2 S RBD of the 1642 crystal structure of RBD-ACE2 complex (PDB:6M17) (Yan et al., 2020) was 1643 replaced with the BA.2.75 S RBD structure generated by AlphaFold2.

1644

1645 SARS-CoV-2 S-based fusion assay

1646 SARS-CoV-2 S-based fusion assay (Figures 3E and 3F) was performed as 1647 previously described (Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Motozono et al., 1648 2021; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Briefly, on day 1, effector cells (i.e., S-expressing cells) and target cells (Calu-3/DSP₁₋₇ 1649 cells) were prepared at a density of $0.6-0.8 \times 10^6$ cells in a 6-well plate. On day 1650 1651 2, to prepare effector cells, HEK293 cells were cotransfected with the S 1652 expression plasmids (400 ng) and pDSP₈₋₁₁ (Kondo et al., 2011) (400 ng) using 1653 TransIT-LT1 (Takara, Cat# MIR2300). On day 3 (24 hours posttransfection), 16,000 effector cells were detached and reseeded into 96-well black plates 1654 1655 (PerkinElmer, Cat# 6005225), and target cells were reseeded at a density of 1656 1,000,000 cells/2 ml/well in 6-well plates. On day 4 (48 hours posttransfection), 1657 target cells were incubated with EnduRen live cell substrate (Promega, Cat# E6481) for 3 hours and then detached, and 32,000 target cells were added to a 1658 1659 96-well plate with effector cells. Renilla luciferase activity was measured at the indicated time points using Centro XS3 LB960 (Berthhold Technologies). To 1660 measure the surface expression level of S protein, effector cells were stained 1661 1662 with rabbit anti-SARS-CoV-2 S S1/S2 polyclonal antibody (Thermo Fisher 1663 Scientific, Cat# PA5-112048, 1:100). Normal rabbit IgG (SouthernBiotech, Cat# 0111-01, 1:100) was used as negative controls, and APC-conjugated goat 1664 1665 polyclonal antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, anti-rabbit IgG Cat# 1666 111-136-144, 1:50) was used as a secondary antibody. Surface expression level 1667 of S proteins (Figure 3E) was measured using FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences) 1668 and the data were analyzed using FlowJo software v10.7.1 (BD Biosciences). To calculate fusion activity, Renilla luciferase activity was normalized to the MFI of 1669 1670 surface S proteins. The normalized value (i.e., Renilla luciferase activity per the 1671 surface S MFI) is shown as fusion activity.

1672

1673 AO-ALI model

AO-ALI model (**Figure 4D**) was generated according to our previous report (Sano *et al.*, 2022). To generate AO-ALI, expanding AO were dissociated into single cells, and then were seeded into Transwell inserts (Corning) in a 24-well plate. To promote their maturation, AO-ALI were cultured with AO differentiation medium for 5 days. AO-ALI were infected with SARS-CoV-2 from the apical side.

1679

1680 Preparation of human airway and alveolar epithelial cells from human iPSC

The air-liquid interface culture of airway and alveolar epithelial cells (Figures 4E 1681 1682 and 4F) were differentiated from human iPSC-derived lung progenitor cells as 1683 previously described (Gotoh et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2022c; Konishi et al., 1684 2016; Tamura et al., 2022; Yamamoto et al., 2017). Briefly, lung progenitor cells 1685 were stepwise induced from human iPSCs referring a 21-days and 4-steps 1686 protocol (Yamamoto et al., 2017). At day 21, lung progenitor cells were isolated 1687 with specific surface antigen carboxypeptidase M and seeded onto upper 1688 chamber of 24-well Cell Culture Insert (Falcon, #353104), followed by 28-day 1689 and 7-day differentiation of airway and alveolar epithelial cells, respectively. 1690 Alveolar differentiation medium supplemented with dexamethasone 1691 (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# D4902), KGF (PeproTech, Cat# 100-19), 8-Br-cAMP 1692 (Biolog, Cat# B007), 3-Isobutyl 1-methylxanthine (IBMX) (FUJIFILM Wako, Cat# 1693 095-03413), CHIR99021 (Axon Medchem, Cat# 1386), and SB431542 1694 (FUJIFILM Wako, Cat# 198-16543) was used for induction of alveolar epithelial 1695 cells. PneumaCult ALI (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat# ST-05001) 1696 supplemented with heparin (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 17513-96) and Y-27632 (LC 1697 Laboratories, Cat# Y-5301) hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# H0135) was 1698 used for induction of airway epithelial cells.

1699

1700 Airway-on-a-chips

1701 Airway-on-a-chips (Figure S3C) were prepared as previously described 1702 (Hashimoto et al., 2022). Human lung microvascular endothelial cells 1703 (HMVEC-L) were obtained from Lonza (Cat# CC-2527) and cultured with 1704 EGM-2-MV medium (Lonza, Cat# CC-3202). To prepare the airway-on-a-chip, first, the bottom channel of a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) device was 1705 1706 pre-coated with fibronectin (3 µg/ml, Sigma, Cat# F1141). The microfluidic 1707 device was generated according to our previous report (Deguchi et al., 2021). 1708 HMVEC-L were suspended at 5,000,000 cells/ml in EGM2-MV medium. Then, 1709 10 µl suspension medium was injected into the fibronectin-coated bottom 1710 channel of the PDMS device. Then, the PDMS device was turned upside down 1711 and incubated for 1 h. After 1 hour, the device was turned over, and the 1712 EGM2-MV medium was added into the bottom channel. After 4 days, AO were 1713 dissociated and seeded into the top channel. The AO was generated according 1714 to our previous report (Sano et al., 2022). AO were dissociated into single cells 1715 and then suspended at 5,000,000 cells/ml in the AO differentiation medium. Ten 1716 microliter suspension medium was injected into the top channel. After 1 hour, the 1717 AO differentiation medium was added to the top channel. In the infection 1718 experiments (Figures 4G-4I), the AO differentiation medium containing either 1719 B.1.1, Delta, BA.2, BA.5 or BA.2.75 isolate (500 TCID₅₀) was inoculated from the

top channel (Figure S3C). At 2 h.p.i., the top and bottom channels were washed
and cultured with AO differentiation and EGM2-MV medium, respectively. The
culture supernatants were collected, and viral RNA was quantified using
RT–qPCR (see "RT–qPCR" section above).

1724

1725 Microfluidic device

1726 The microfluidic device was generated according to our previous report (Deguchi 1727 et al., 2021). Briefly, the microfluidic device consisted of two layers of microchannels separated by a semipermeable membrane. The microchannel 1728 1729 layers were fabricated from PDMS using a soft lithographic method. PDMS 1730 prepolymer (SYLGARD 184, Dow Corning) at a base to curing agent ratio of 10:1 was cast against a mold composed of SU-8 2150 (MicroChem) patterns 1731 1732 formed on a silicon wafer. The cross-sectional size of the microchannels was 1 1733 mm in width and 330 µm in height. To introduce solutions into the microchannels, 1734 access holes were punched through the PDMS using a 6-mm biopsy punch (Kai 1735 Corporation). Two PDMS layers were bonded to a PET membrane containing 3.0 µm pores (Cat# 353091, Falcon) using a thin layer of liquid PDMS 1736 1737 prepolymer as the mortar. PDMS prepolymer was spin-coated (4000 rpm for 60 sec) onto a glass slide. Subsequently, both the top and bottom channel layers 1738 1739 were placed on the glass slide to transfer the thin layer of PDMS prepolymer 1740 onto the embossed PDMS surfaces. The membrane was then placed onto the 1741 bottom layer and sandwiched with the top layer. The combined layers were left at room temperature for 1 day to remove air bubbles and then placed in an oven 1742 1743 at 60°C overnight to cure the PDMS glue. The PDMS devices were sterilized by 1744 placing them under UV light for 1 hour before the cell culture.

1745

1746 SARS-CoV-2 infection

1747 One day before infection, Vero cells (10,000 cells), VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells 1748 (10,000 cells), and HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells were seeded into a 96-well 1749 plate. SARS-CoV-2 [1,000 TCID₅₀ for Vero cells (Figure 4A); 100 TCID₅₀ for 1750 VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (Figure 4B) and HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells 1751 (Figure 4C)] was inoculated and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. The infected cells 1752 were washed, and 180 µl culture medium was added. The culture supernatant 1753 (10 µl) was harvested at the indicated timepoints and used for RT-qPCR to 1754 quantify the viral RNA copy number (see "RT-qPCR" section below). In the 1755 infection experiments using human iPSC-derived airway and alveolar epithelial 1756 cells (Figures 4E and 4F), working viruses were diluted with Opti-MEM (Thermo 1757 Fisher Scientific, 11058021). The diluted viruses (1,000 TCID₅₀ in 100 □µl) were 1758 inoculated onto the apical side of the culture and incubated at $37 \square \circ C$ for $1 \square$ hour. 1759 The inoculated viruses were removed and washed twice with Opti-MEM. To 1760 collect the viruses, 100 µI Opti-MEM was applied onto the apical side of the

culture and incubated at 37□°C for 10□minutes. The Opti-MEM was collected
and used for RT–qPCR to quantify the viral RNA copy number (see "RT–qPCR"
section below). The infection experiments using an airway-on-a-chip system
(Figures 4G–4I) was performed as described above (see "Airway-on-a-chips"

1765 section).

1766

1767 **RT–qPCR**

1768 RT-aPCR was performed as previously described (Kimura et al., 2022b: Kimura 1769 et al., 2022c; Meng et al., 2022; Motozono et al., 2021; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki 1770 et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Briefly, 5 µl culture supernatant was mixed 1771 with 5 µl 2 × RNA lysis buffer [2% Triton X-100 (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 35501-15), 50 mM KCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 40% glycerol, 0.8 U/µl recombinant 1772 1773 RNase inhibitor (Takara, Cat# 2313B)] and incubated at room temperature for 1774 10 min. RNase-free water (90 μ I) was added, and the diluted sample (2.5 μ I) was 1775 used as the template for real-time RT-PCR performed according to the 1776 manufacturer's protocol using One Step TB Green PrimeScript PLUS RT-PCR 1777 kit (Takara, Cat# RR096A) and the following primers: Forward N. 5'-AGC CTC 1778 TTC TCG TTC CTC ATC AC-3': and Reverse N, 5'-CCG CCA TTG CCA GCC 1779 ATT C-3'. The viral RNA copy number was standardized with a SARS-CoV-2 1780 direct detection RT-gPCR kit (Takara, Cat# RC300A). Fluorescent signals were 1781 acquired using QuantStudio 1 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1782 QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), CFX Connect Real-Time 1783 1784 PCR Detection system (Bio-Rad), Eco Real-Time PCR System (Illumina), 1785 gTOWER3 G Real-Time System (Analytik Jena) Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time 1786 System III (Takara) or 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

1787

1788 Plaque assay

1789 Plaque assay (Figure 4J) was performed as previously described (Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Suzuki et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). 1790 1791 Briefly, one day before infection, VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (100,000 cells) were 1792 seeded into a 24-well plate and infected with SARS-CoV-2 (0.5, 5, 50 and 500 1793 TCID₅₀) at 37°C for 1 hour. Mounting solution containing 3% FBS and 1.5% 1794 carboxymethyl cellulose (Wako, Cat# 039-01335) was overlaid, followed by 1795 incubation at 37°C. At 3 d.p.i., the culture medium was removed, and the cells 1796 were washed with PBS three times and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde 1797 phosphate (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 09154-85). The fixed cells were washed with 1798 tap water, dried, and stained with staining solution [0.1% methylene blue 1799 (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 22412-14) in water] for 30 minutes. The stained cells 1800 were washed with tap water and dried, and the size of plaques was measured 1801 using Fiji software v2.2.0 (ImageJ).

1802

1803 Animal experiments

Animal experiments (Figure 5) were performed as previously described (Kimura 1804 1805 et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Tamura 1806 et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Syrian hamsters (male, 4 weeks old) were 1807 purchased from Japan SLC Inc. (Shizuoka, Japan). For the virus infection 1808 experiments, hamsters were euthanized by intramuscular injection of a mixture of 0.15 mg/kg medetomidine hydrochloride (Domitor[®], Nippon Zenyaku Kogyo), 1809 2.0 mg/kg midazolam (Dormicum[®], FUJIFILM Wako Chemicals) and 2.5 mg/kg 1810 butorphanol (Vetorphale[®], Meiji Seika Pharma) or 0.15 mg/kg medetomidine 1811 hydrochloride, 4.0 mg/kg alphaxaone (Alfaxan[®], Jurox) and 2.5 mg/kg 1812 1813 butorphanol. The Delta, BA.2, BA.5 and BA.2.75 (1,000 TCID₅₀ in 100 µl), or 1814 saline (100 µl) were intranasally inoculated under anesthesia. Oral swabs were 1815 collected at indicated timepoints. Body weight was recorded daily by 7 d.p.i. 1816 Enhanced pause (Penh), the ratio of time to peak expiratory follow relative to the 1817 total expiratory time (Rpef), and BPM were measured every day until 7 d.p.i. (see below). Subcutaneous oxygen saturation (SpO₂, see below) was monitored 1818 1819 at 0, 1, 3, 5, and 7 d.p.i. Lung tissues were anatomically collected at 2 and 5 d.p.i. 1820 Viral RNA load in the oral swabs and respiratory tissues were determined by 1821 RT-qPCR. These tissues were also used for IHC and histopathological analyses 1822 (see below).

1823

1824 Lung function test

1825 Lung function test (Figure 5A) was routinely performed as previously described 1826 (Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 1827 2022; Tamura et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). The three respiratory 1828 parameters (Penh, Rpef and BPM) were measured by using a whole-body 1829 plethysmography system (DSI) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In 1830 brief, a hamster was placed in an unrestrained plethysmography chamber and 1831 allowed to acclimatize for 30 seconds, then, data were acquired over a 1832 2.5-minute period by using FinePointe Station and Review softwares 1833 v2.9.2.12849 (STARR). The state of oxygenation was examined by measuring 1834 SpO₂ using pulse oximeter, MouseOx PLUS (STARR). SpO₂ was measured by 1835 attaching a measuring chip to the neck of hamsters sedated by 0.25 mg/kg 1836 medetomidine hydrochloride.

1837

1838 Immunohistochemistry

1839 Immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Figures 5D, S4A–S4C) was performed as
1840 previously described (Kimura *et al.*, 2022b; Kimura *et al.*, 2022c; Saito *et al.*,
1841 2022; Suzuki *et al.*, 2022; Tamura *et al.*, 2022; Yamasoba *et al.*, 2022b) using an
1842 Autostainer Link 48 (Dako). The deparaffinized sections were exposed to

1843 EnVision FLEX target retrieval solution high pH (Agilent, Cat# K8004) for 20 1844 minutes at 97°C to activate, and mouse anti-SARS-CoV-2 N monoclonal 1845 antibody (clone 1035111, R&D systems, Cat# MAB10474-SP, 1:400) was used 1846 as a primary antibody. The sections were sensitized using EnVision FLEX 1847 (Agilent) for 15 minutes and visualized by peroxidase-based enzymatic reaction 1848 with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Dako, Cat# DM827) as substrate 1849 for 5 minutes. The N protein positivity (Figures 5E, S4A and S4B) was 1850 evaluated by certificated pathologists as previously described (Kimura et al., 1851 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Tamura et al., 1852 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Images were incorporated as virtual slide by 1853 NDP.scan software v3.2.4 (Hamamatsu Photonics). The N-protein positivity was 1854 measured as the area using Fiji software v2.2.0 (ImageJ).

1855

1856 H&E staining

H&E staining (Figures 5F and S4D) was performed as previously described
(Kimura *et al.*, 2022b; Kimura *et al.*, 2022c; Saito *et al.*, 2022; Suzuki *et al.*,
2022; Tamura *et al.*, 2022; Yamasoba *et al.*, 2022b). Briefly, excised animal
tissues were fixed with 10% formalin neutral buffer solution, and processed for
paraffin embedding. The paraffin blocks were sectioned with 3 μm-thickness and
then mounted on MAS-GP-coated glass slides (Matsunami Glass, Cat# S9901).
H&E staining was performed according to a standard protocol.

1864

1865 Histopathological scoring

Histopathological scoring (Figure 5G) was performed as previously described 1866 1867 (Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 1868 2022; Tamura et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Pathological features including (i) bronchitis or bronchiolitis, (ii) hemorrhage with congestive edema, 1869 1870 (iii) alveolar damage with epithelial apoptosis and macrophage infiltration, (iv) hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes, and (v) the area of the hyperplasia of large 1871 1872 type II pneumocytes were evaluated by certified pathologists and the degree of 1873 these pathological findings were arbitrarily scored using four-tiered system as 0 1874 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), and 3 (severe). The "large type II pneumocytes" are the hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes exhibiting more than 1875 10-µm-diameter nucleus. We described "large type II pneumocytes" as one of 1876 1877 the remarkable histopathological features reacting SARS-CoV-2 infection in our 1878 previous studies (Kimura et al., 2022b; Kimura et al., 2022c; Saito et al., 2022; 1879 Suzuki et al., 2022; Tamura et al., 2022; Yamasoba et al., 2022b). Total 1880 histology score is the sum of these five indices.

To measure the inflammation area in the infected lungs (**Figures 5H** and **S4D**), four hamsters infected with each virus were sacrificed at 5 d.p.i., and all four right lung lobes, including upper (anterior/cranial), middle, lower

(posterior/caudal), and accessory lobes, were sectioned along with their bronchi.
The tissue sections were stained by H&E, and the digital microscopic images
were incorporated into virtual slides using NDP.scan software v3.2.4
(Hamamatsu Photonics). The inflammatory area including type II pneumocyte
hyperplasia in the infected whole lungs was morphometrically analyzed using Fiji
software v2.2.0 (ImageJ).

1890

1891 QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical significance was tested using a two-sided Mann–Whitney *U*-test, a
two-sided Student's *t*-test or a two-sided paired *t*-test unless otherwise noted.
The tests above were performed using Prism 9 software v9.1.1 (GraphPad
Software).

1896 In the time-course experiments (Figures 3F, 4A–4H, 5A, 5B, and 5G), 1897 a multiple regression analysis including experimental conditions (i.e., the types 1898 of infected viruses) as explanatory variables and timepoints as qualitative control 1899 variables was performed to evaluate the difference between experimental conditions thorough all timepoints. The initial time point was removed from the 1900 1901 analysis. P value was calculated by a two-sided Wald test. Subsequently, 1902 familywise error rates (FWERs) were calculated by the Holm method. These 1903 analyses were performed in R v4.1.2 (https://www.r-project.org/).

1904 In **Figures 5D, 5F and S4**, photographs shown are the representative 1905 areas of at least two independent experiments by using four hamsters at each 1906 timepoint.









