Research report

Classification: Biological Sciences (Plant Biology)

Title: Arabidopsis Leucine Rich Repeat-Malectin Receptor Kinases in immunity triggered by cellulose

and mixed-linked glucan oligosaccharides

Short Title: Plant Leucine Rich Repeat-Malectin Receptor Kinases function in immunity triggered by

cellulose and mix-linked glucan derived oligosaccharides

Marina Martín-Dacal[a,b,1], Patricia Fernández-Calvo[a,b,1,2], Pedro Jiménez-Sandoval[c], Gemma López[a], María

Garrido-Arandía[a,b], Diego Rebaque[a,b,3], Irene del Hierro[a], Miguel Ángel Torres[a,b], Varun Kumar[a], Diego

José Berlanga[a,b], Hugo Mélida[a,4], Luis F. Pacios[a,b], Julia Santiago[c], Antonio Molina[a,b,2]

[a]Centro de Biotecnología y Genómica de Plantas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM) - Instituto

Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA/CSIC), Campus de Montegancedo

UPM, 28223-Pozuelo de Alarcón (Madrid), Spain.

[b]Departamento de Biotecnología-Biología Vegetal, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería Agronómica,

Alimentaría y de Biosistemas, UPM, 28040-Madrid, Spain.

[c]University of Lausanne (UNIL), Biophore Building, Département de Biologie Moléculaire Végétale

(DBMV), UNIL Sorge, CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland.

[1]Marina Martin-Dacal and Patricia Fernández-Calvo are co-first authors of this article

[2]Corresponding authors: Antonio Molina and Patricia Fernández-Calvo, Centro de Biotecnología y

Genómica de Plantas, Campus Montegancedo UPM, 28223-Pozuelo de Alarcón (Madrid), Spain

Phone: (34) 910679102; e-mails: antonio.molina@upm.es and patricia.fernandez.calvo@upm.es

[3]Current address: Division of Glycoscience, School of Biotechnology, Royal Institute of Technology

(KTH), Stockholm, Sweden.

[4]Current address: Área de Fisiología Vegetal, Departamento de Ingeniería y Ciencias Agrarias,

Universidad de León, León, Spain.

Significance Statement: New oligosaccharides that trigger plant immunity have been described recently,

but the mechanisms of perception of these glycans are unknown. We describe here three *Arabidopsis*

thaliana receptor kinases (AT1G56130, AT1G56140, and AT1G56145) with Leucine Rich Repeat (LRR)

and Malectin (MAL) domains in their extracellular ectodomains (ECDs), which function as Pattern

Recognition Receptors (PRRs) triggering immune response mediated by oligosaccharides from cellulose

(β-1,4-glucan) and mixed-linked β-1,3/1,4-glucans (MLGs) of plant and microbial cell walls. The ECD-

AT1G56145 binds cellulose oligosaccharides, but not MLGs, supporting its function as a novel receptor

of carbohydrate ligands in plants. Our data indicate that these LRR-MAL-PRRs control a complex

mechanism of oligosaccharides perception and immune activation that differs from that of fungal chitin

oligosaccharides recognition which involves LysM-PRRs.

Authors' specific contributions: M.M.-D.: performed research and generated data, designed some

figures, and review the article; P.F.-C. conceived, designed, and performed research and generated data

and designed some figures, and wrote the article; P.J.S. performed research on ECD purification and

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) assays, generated and analyzed the data, designed some figures,

and review the article; G.L. performed research and generated data; M.G-A. designed and performed

structural *in silico* analyses, designed some figures, and wrote the article; D.R. performed research,

generated data and designed some figures; I.D.H. performed research and assembled *igp* mutants

genomes; M.A.T. and D.J.B. performed research, generated reactive oxygen species production data, and

designed some figures; V.K. performed research, generated data and review the article; H.M. conceived

and designed some experiments, analyzed data, designed some figures and revised the manuscript; L.F.P.

conceived, designed and performed structural *in silico* analyses, designed some figures and wrote the

article; J.S. conceived and designed ECD protein production and ITC binding experiments, analyzed the

data, designed figures and wrote the article; A.M. conceived and designed the research, analyzed the data,

designed figures and wrote the article.

Abstract

Plant immune system perceives through the extracellular ectodomains (ECDs) of Pattern Recognition

Receptors (PRRs) a diversity of carbohydrate ligands from plant and microbial cell walls, which activate

Pattern-Triggered Immunity (PTI). Among these ligands are oligosaccharides derived from mixed-linked

β-1,3/β-1,4-glucans (MLGs, e.g., β-1,4-D-$(Glc)_2$-β-1,3-D-Glc, MLG43) and cellulose (e.g., β-1,4-D-$(Glc)_3$,

CEL3). The mechanisms of perception of carbohydrates by plants are poorly characterized, with the

exception of that determining recognition of fungal chitin oligosaccharides (e.g., β-1,4-D$(GlcNAc)_6$,

CHI6) that involves several PRRs with LysM-ECDs that function as receptor or co-receptors. Here, we

describe the isolation and characterization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants _i_mpaired in _g_lycan _p_erception

(*igp*), which are defective in PTI activation mediated by MLG43 and CEL3, but not CHI6. *igp1-igp4* are

altered in receptor-like kinases [RLKs: AT1G56145 (IGP1), AT1G56130 (IGP2/3), and AT1G56140

(IGP4)] with Leucine-Rich-Repeat (LRR) and Malectin (MAL) domains in their ECDs. *igp4* is a T-DNA

insertional, loss of function mutant whereas *igp1* and the allelic *igp2/igp3* harbour point mutations

(E906K and G773E, respectively) in their kinase domains, which impact their structure and surface

electrostatic potential as revealed by *in silico* structural analyses. Notably, Isothermal Titration

Calorimetry assays with purified ECD-RLKs showed that AT1G56145 binds with high affinity CEL3 (Kd

= 1.19 ± 0.03 μM) and cellopentaose (Kd = 1.40 ± 0.01 μM), but not MLG43, supporting AT1G56145

function as a plant PRR for cellulose oligosaccharides. Our data suggest that these LRR-MAL RLKs are

receptor/co-receptors of a novel mechanism of perception of cellulose and MLG-derived oligosaccharides

and PTI activation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Key Words: *Arabidopsis thaliana*, cellulose, Mixed-Linked Glucans (MLGs), immunity,

oligosaccharides, Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs)

Plants have evolved a very complex immune system that comprises several defence layers and

mechanisms for the recognition of pathogens and pests that cooperatively interact to restrict plant

infection. One of these layers of defence is known as Pattern-Triggered Immunity (PTI), which is based

on the recognition of Damage- and Microbe-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs and MAMPs)

derived from plants or microorganisms, respectively, by plasma membrane-resident Pattern Recognition

Receptors (1). Upon DAMP/MAMP recognition by ectodomains (ECDs) of PRRs functioning as

receptors, additional PRRs are recruited as co-receptors to form PRRs complexes, which trigger the

activation of cytoplasmic protein kinase domains (KD) of PRRs that initiate phosphorylation and

signalling cascades (1, 2). Among early PTI responses are the increase of cytoplasmic concentration of

Ca^{+2} second messenger, the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by NADPH-oxidases (e.g.,

RBOHD), the phosphorylation of Mitogen-activated Protein Kinases (MPKs) and Ca^{2+}-Dependent Protein

Kinases (CDPKs) and the transcriptional reprogramming of gene expression, that ultimately result in

plant restriction of pathogen/pest colonization (2, 3). PTI relevance in plant disease resistance is well-

illustrated by the fact that immune responses and restriction of pathogen colonization are compromised in

plants defective in PRRs (receptors or co-receptors) involved in the perception of DAMPs or MAMPs of

different biochemical nature, including peptides, carbohydrates (oligosaccharides) or fatty acids (1-3).

Many PRR/peptidic DAMP/MAMP pairs triggering PTI responses have been elucidated, like

AtPep1 DAMP and bacterial flg22 MAMP peptides which are directly bound by *Arabidopsis thaliana*

PEPR1/2 and FLS2 PRRs, respectively (2-4). PRR co-receptors for FLS2 and PEPR1/2 involve members

of the SERK family of PRRs, like BAK1, since *bak1* mutant alleles are also impaired in flg22 and AtPep1

perception (2-4). These PRRs are Receptor-Like Kinases (RLKs) with an ECD harbouring Leucine-Rich

Repeats (LRR), a Transmembrane domain (TM) and a cytoplasmic serine/threonine KD. RLKs with

LRR-ECDs represent about 50% of putative plants PRRs that also comprise Receptor-Like Proteins

(RLPs) with ECD and TM but lacking the KD, and Receptor-Proteins (RPs), consisting of an ECD that

can be either attached to the plasma membrane by a Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchor or to be an

extracellular protein (5, 6). *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome has more than 600 genes encoding

RLKs/RLPs/RPs members, that in some cases are clustered in the same plant genome loci illustrating

their recent evolutionary divergence (1, 6, 7).

In contrast to the extended knowledge of peptidic DAMP/MAMP perception, our understanding

of the mechanisms of activation of plant immunity by carbohydrate-based DAMPs/MAMPs is scarce

despite carbohydrates being highly abundant in plant and microbial extracellular layers, like cell walls,

and that several oligosaccharides that trigger PTI in plants have been identified (8). Moreover, about 50%

of RLK/RLP/RP from plant genomes have ECDs that are predicted to bind carbohydrate-based ligands

and are grouped in different families: Lysin Motif (LysM), Lectins (G, L and C-lectins), CRinkly-Like

(CR4L), Wall-Associated Kinases (WAK), LRR-Malectins, Malectin-LRRs, *Catharanthus roseus*

Receptor-Like Kinases 1-like (CrRLK1Ls), and Cysteine-Rich Kinases (CRK/DUF26) (5, 6, 8). Several

carbohydrate-based ligands are perceived by the plant immune system such as oligosaccharides from

chitin (e.g., chitohexaose (β-1,4-D-N-acetylglucosamine)$_6$, CHI6) and β-1,3-glucan of fungal/oomycete

cell walls, peptidoglycan from bacterial walls, and oligosaccharides derived from plant cell wall polymers

like cellulose (β-1,4-glucan), mix-linked glucans (MLGs; β-1,4/β-1,3-glucans), xyloglucan, mannan,

xylan, and homogalacturonan/pectins (oligogalacturonides or OGs) and from other plant glycans, like

fructans (9-20).

Cellulose, a linear polymer of β-1,4-glucosyl residues, is present in all plants, most algae, some

protists and microbial (bacteria, oomycetes) extracellular matrixes or walls, being the most abundant

biomolecule on the earth (21-23). MLGs, consisting of unbranched and unsubstituted chains of β-1,4-

glucosyl residues interspersed by β-1,3-linkages, are widely distributed as matrix polysaccharides in cell

walls of plants from the Poaceae group (cereals) but have also been reported in *Equisetum* spp. and other

vascular plants (24, 25), bryophytes and algae (26, 27), bacteria (28), and fungi and oomycetes (29-31).

MLGs derived oligosaccharides (e.g. MLG43 (β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_2$-β-1,3-D-Glc), MLG443 (β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_3$-β-

1,3-D-Glc) and MLG34 (β-1,3-D-Glc-β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_2$) are perceived with different degree of specificity by

the immune system of several plant species (e.g. *Arabidopsis thaliana*, rice, barley, tomato and pepper)

(31-34). Similarly, cellulose-derived oligosaccharides (e.g., β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_2$ to β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_6$, cellobiose to

cellohexaose, CEL2-CEL6) trigger PTI response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, rice and other plant species (9,

31, 33-36). MLGs (e.g., MLG43, MLG443) and cellulose-derived oligosaccharides (e.g., CEL2-CEL3)

play a critical role as self-alert signals (DAMPs) of plant colonization by pathogens, since they can be

released from plant cell walls by the activity of microbial endoglucanases, like cellulases, secreted during

plant colonization (33, 37). MLGs can be also perceived as MAMPs by those plant species that do not

contain MLGs in their cell walls, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* and Solanaceae species (31, 32).

Our knowledge of the mechanisms of oligosaccharides perception by the mammal immune

system through carbohydrate-recognition domains (CRDs) is quite large (8, 38, 39). In contrast, our

understanding of the structural basis of perception of oligosaccharides by plants PRR is limited and

mainly restricted to PRRs of the LysM family (e.g., CERK1 (Chitin Elicitor Receptor Kinase 1), LYK4

and LYK5), which harbour promiscuous ECDs involved in the activation of PTI mediated by structural

diverse ligands like chitin derived oligosaccharides (e.g., CHI6-CHI8), β-1,3-glucan (laminarihexaose,

LAM6) and MLGs (MLG43/MLG34/MLG443) (15, 19, 31, 33, 40-44). Immune responses triggered by

CHI6, LAM6 and MLG43 are partially impaired in *cerk1* single and *lyk4 lyk5* double mutants (15, 31, 43-

46). However, a direct binding of LAM6 and MLG43 to *Arabidopsis thaliana* CERK1 ECD was

discarded based on *in silico* structural molecular dynamics simulations and Isothermal Titration

Calorimetry (ITC) binding assays performed with purified ECD-CERK1 and LAM6 (6). These data

suggest that CERK1/LYK4/LYK5 might function as a co-receptor or be part of LAM6 perception system.

Notably, rice OsCERK1 seems to bind MLG43 and MLG443, whereas OsCeBIP, the rice chitin receptor,

is required for these ligands' perception, but not for their direct binding (33). In addition to LysM-PRRs,

Arabidopsis WAK receptors (e.g., WAK1 and WAK2) have been described as PRRs required for the

perception of OGs, and the CrRLK1L member FERONIA (FER), which has two MAL domains in its

ECD, has been described to bind homogalacturonans, but the structural bases of these bindings have not

been elucidated yet (47, 48).

To identify novel PRRs involved in the recognition of glycans DAMPs/MAMPs and to

characterize novel mechanisms of PTI activation by oligosaccharides in plants, we designed genetic

screenings in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to identify mutants *i*mpaired in *g*lycan *p*erception (*igp*). We selected

several mutants (*igp1-igp9*) impaired in the perception of MLG43 and CEL3, but not CHI6, since

cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} elevations and additional PTI responses were partially or fully impaired in *igp1-igp9*

upon treatment with either MLG43 or CEL3. We show here that *IGP1, IGP2/IGP3,* and *IGP4* encode

three previously uncharacterized RLK members (AT1G56145, AT1G56140, and AT1G56130,

respectively) with LRR-MAL in their ECDs, which are required for perception of MLG43 and CEL3 and

PTI activation. We also demonstrate that the ECD of AT1G56145 binds directly to CEL3 and CEL5 with

high affinity, further supporting its function as a plant PRR receptor of cellulose-derived

oligosaccharides. These results expand our knowledge of oligosaccharide perception and immune

activation in plants.

Results

Identification and isolation of Arabidopsis *igp1-igp9* mutants

We generated an ethyl methanesulphonate (EMS) mutagenized population of the *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Aequorin-based Ca^{2+}sensor line (Col-0^{AEQ}: (15, 49, 50) to perform a genetic screening aiming to isolate

mutants *i*mpaired in *g*lycans *p*erception (*igp*). This screening was based on the identification of mutant

lines (*igp)* with defective activation of cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} burst upon application of oligosaccharides in

comparison to Col-0^{AEQ} (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1*A*). Eight-day-old seedlings (about 6,400 individuals) of 13

M2 EMS-mutagenized Col-0^{AEQ} families and of Col-0^{AEQ} control line were grown in microtiter plates,

treated with 100 µM of MLG43 and triggered Ca^{2+} burst determined in a luminometer (*SI Appendix*, Fig.

S1*A*). Several mutants (*igp1* ^{AEQ}-*igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp5*^{AEQ}-*igp9*^{AEQ}) that showed weaker Ca^{2+} burst than that of

Col-0^{AEQ} plants were selected for further genetic characterization (backcrosses and allelism tests: Fig. 1*A*

and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). The specificity of MLG43 perception impairment in *igp* was assessed by

determining Ca^{2+} burst upon their treatment with fungal MAMP CHI6 (50 μM). This analysis revealed

that Ca^{2+} bursts were similar in *igp* mutants and Col-0^{AEQ} plants upon CHI6 treatment, in contrast to the

impaired response of *cerk1*^{AEQ} line, as reported (Figure 1*B* and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2;15), indicating that

the mechanism of perception of CHI6 and MLG43 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* might not be identical, as

suggested previously (31). To further discard that Ca^{2+} burst reduction observed in MLG43-treated *igp*

mutants might be due to mutations in the *Aequorin* transgene, we determined the sequence of *Aequorin*

gene in *igp1*^{AEQ}-*igp3*^{AEQ} lines, and mutations were not found (*SI Appendix* Dataset 1). Moreover, we also

performed Ca^{2+} discharges in *igp1*^{AEQ}-*igp3*^{AEQ} and Col-0^{AEQ} plants to determine total Ca^{2+} in seedling

cells and no differences were observed in endogenous Ca^{2+} levels between the mutants and Col-0^{AEQ}

seedlings (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1*B*), further indicating that the observed lower Ca^{2+} bursts in MLG43

treated *igp1*^{AEQ}-*igp3*^{AEQ} seedlings were due to a defective perception of MLG43.

igp1^{AEQ}, *igp2*^{AEQ} and *igp3*^{AEQ} mutants harbour recessive mutations (Chi-test: $0,7 > P > 0,5$; $0,5 >$

$P > 0,3$ and $0,8 > P > 0,7$, respectively; *SI Appendix,* Dataset 2) and *igp2*^{AEQ} and *igp3*^{AEQ} were allelic

(Chi-test: $P > 0,95$; *SI Appendix,* Dataset 2, Fig. S1*C*). In the progeny of F2 backcrosses of *igp1*^{AEQ},

igp2^{AEQ}, and *igp3*^{AEQ} with Col-0^{AEQ} we selected 50 plants impaired in MLG43 perception, bulk genomic

DNA was extracted from these plants, and Col-0^{AEQ} parental lines and DNA was sequenced and

assembled to identify putative mutations (frequency higher than 0.99 in alignments: *SI Appendix* Dataset

3). We found that *igp1*^{AEQ} has a point mutation in the *AT1G56145* gene, encoding an RLK with an LRR-

MAL ECD, that resulted in E906K amino acid change in its KD (Fig. 2*A*). On the other hand, *igp2*^{AEQ}

and igp3^{AEQ} share the same point mutation in the *AT1G56130* gene, encoding an additional LRR-MAL

RLK, that resulted in G773E amino acid change in its KD (Fig. 2*A*). Notably, these two LRR-MAL RLKs

are in a genomic cluster with two additional LRR-MAL RLK encoding genes, *AT1G56120* and

AT1G56140, which are also members of the LRR-MAL RLK family comprising at least 12 genes in the

Arabidopsis thaliana genome (6; *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3*A*), with a few characterized members, like *RFK1*

(*AT1G29720*) that promotes compatible pollen grain hydration and pollen tube growth (51). Phylogenetic

analyses indicated that these four genes (*AT1G56120/AT1G56130/AT1G56140/AT1G56145)* form part of

a specific clade of the LRR-MAL RLK family, that differs from RLKs with MAL-LRR ECDs (*SI*

Appendix, Fig. S3*A*).

6   We tested by qRT-PCR the expression of these 4 LRR-MAL RLK encoding genes in seedlings

treated with MLG43, CHI6 and other glucans (e.g., CEL3) and we found that the expression of

AT1G56140, but not that of *AT1G56120*, *AT1G56130 and AT1G56145* was up-regulated upon treatment

with these oligosaccharides (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3*B*). We then selected T-DNA insertional mutants of

AT1G56120 and *AT1G56140* genes and tested their perception of MLG43 and CHI6 by determining by

qRT-PCR the upregulation of PTI marker genes *WRK53* and *CYP81F2* (15, 31) in treated seedlings of

these mutants and Col-0 wild-type plants (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3*C*). In the *at1g56140* knock-out mutant a

reduced upregulation of *WRK53* and *CYP81F2* expression upon MLG43 treatment was clearly observed

in comparison to Col-0 lines, whereas in *at1g56120* mutant the upregulation of PTI marker genes upon

MLG43 or CHI6 treatments was very similar to that of Col-0 plants (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3*C*). In both

mutants, up-regulation of *WRK53* and *CYP81F2* expression upon CHI6 treatment was similar to that of

wild-type plants (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3*C*). To further validate the phenotype of the *at1g56140* mutant, it

was crossed with Col-0[AEQ] and the homozygous *at1g56140*[AEQ] line generated was tested for Ca^{2+} burst

upon MLG43 and CHI6 treatment. We found that *at1g56140*[AEQ] was impaired in MLG43, but not CHI6,

perception, similarly to *igp1*[AEQ]-*igp3*[AEQ], and that this defective response was not due to alteration in Ca^{2+}

endogenous levels, as revealed by Ca^{2+} discharge experiments, and accordingly the mutant was named

igp4[AEQ] (Fig. 2*B*). These results indicate that *AT1G56145* (*IGP1*), *AT1G56130* (*IGP2/IGP3*) and

AT1G56140 (*IGP4*) LRR-MAL RLKs are required for MLG43, but not CHI6, perception, and PTI

activation. We assessed the impact of the *igp1-igp4* mutations on plant developmental phenotypes and no

significant differences were observed in the rosette and silique size and morphology of *igp1*[AEQ] and

igp4^{AEQ} plants in comparison to Col-0^{AEQ} or *cerk1-2*^{AEQ}, whereas *igp2*^{AEQ} and *igp3*^{AEQ} plants have rosettes

and siliques slightly smaller than those of Col-0 plants or *cerk1-2*^{AEQ} (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4*A-C*).

LRR-MAL RLKs are also required for the perception of additional cellulose and MLG derived

oligosaccharides

To determine the specificity of PTI responses mediated by this group of LRR-MAL RLKs, we treated

igp1^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp4*^{AEQ} and Col-0^{AEQ} seedlings with different DAMPs (i.e., carbohydrates ligands

CEL3 and OGs (DP10-12), and AtPep1) and the MAMP flg22, and Ca^{2+} bursts were measured.

Remarkably, the three *igp*^{AEQ} lines were almost fully impaired in CEL3-mediated Ca^{+2} burst activation,

suggesting that they are also required for the perception of cellulose-derived oligosaccharides (Fig. 3*A,B*).

In contrast, the elevation of cytoplasmatic Ca^{2+} induced upon flg22, OGs and AtPep1 treatments in the

mutants were similar to those observed in Col-0^{AEQ} (Fig. 3*C-E*). We also tested the response of *igp5*^{AEQ}-

igp9^{AEQ} mutants to CEL3 and we found that they were also impaired in CEL3 perception (*SI Appendix*,

Fig. S5), further supporting that the mechanisms of perception of MLG43 and CEL3 in *Arabidopsis*

thaliana share some components, like the three PRRs with LRR-MAL ECDs. Since additional cellulose

and MLGs derived oligosaccharides, like cellobiose (CEL2), cellotetraose (CEL4), cellopentaose (CEL5)

and MLG34 have been described to trigger PTI in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (31, 35, 36), we also determined

Ca^{2+} burst activated by these glycans in *igp1*^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp4*^{AEQ} and Col-0^{AEQ}. As shown in *SI*

Appendix, Fig. S6, *igp1*^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp4*^{AEQ} showed reduced Ca^{2+} influxes in comparison to Col-0^{AEQ}

upon treatment with CEL4, CEL5 and MLG34, indicating that the three LRR-MAL RLKs are required

for perception of these cellulose and MLGs derived oligosaccharides. Ca^{2+} influxes triggered by CEL2

were very low in comparison to the rest of the active ligands tested, suggesting that this disaccharide has

low immunogenic activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and that CEL3 is the minimal, active cellulose-derived

oligosaccharide putatively recognized by these RLKs (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S6).

To further validate whether the mechanism of perception of cellulose and MLGs oligosaccharides

in *Arabidopsis thaliana* share some PRRs and signalling components, we performed cross-elicitation

experiments by treating 8-day-old Col-0^{AEQ} seedlings first with MLG43 or CEL3, and a few minutes later

with either CEL3 or MLG43. As shown in *SI Appendix* Fig. S7, Ca^{2+} influxes were not observed upon

treatment of Col-0^{AEQ} seedling with MLG43+CEL3, similarly to what was observed in CEL3+CEL3 and

MLG43+MLG43 treatments, indicating that PRRs or components involved in the perception and

downstream activation of Ca^{2+} influxes mediated by MLG43 and CEL3 are shared in *Arabidopsis*

thaliana.

To further confirm the role of this group of LRR-MAL receptors as potential PRRs for cellulose

and MLG oligosaccharides perception in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, we analysed the activation of additional

immunity hallmarks in *igp1*^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp4*^{AEQ} and Col-0 wild-type plants upon treatment with

MLG43, CEL3 and CHI6. We first monitored ROS burst in these genotypes and *rbohD* line, which is

impaired in DAMP/MAMP-triggered ROS production (52). Upon treatment with MLG43, ROS burst was

partially impaired in *igp* mutants in comparison to Col-0 plants, whereas in CEL3-treated *igp* mutants

ROS burst was significantly reduced to a higher extent (Fig. 4*A,B*). In both cases, the reduction of ROS

production was not as noticeable as in the case of *rbohD* (Fig. 4*A,B*). Notably, ROS burst in *igp* mutants

upon CHI6 and flg22 treatment was not altered in comparison to Col-0 plants (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S8*A,B*),

as observed for Ca^{+2} burst (Fig. 3). Next, we tested the phosphorylation of protein kinases

(MPK3/MPK6/MPK4/MPK11) by Western-blot and we found that MPK3- and MPK6-phosphorylation

triggered by MLG43 and CEL3 was reduced in *igp1*^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp4*^{AEQ} in comparison to Col-0

plants, whereas MPK3/MPK6 phosphorylation in CHI6 treated *igp* mutants was similar to that of Col-0

plants (Fig. 4*B*). MPK4/11-phosphorylation was almost not-detectable in either CEL3-treated or MLG43-

treated plants (Fig. 4*B*), as reported previously (31). Last, we studied by qRT-PCR the expression of two

PTI-marker genes up-regulated by CHI6 and MLG43 (*WRKY53* and *CYP81F2;* (15, 31)) in seedlings 30

minutes after treatment with these oligosaccharides. We found that up-regulation of *WRKY53* and

CYP81F2 in MLG43- and CEL3-treated *igp1*[AEQ]*, igp3*[AEQ] and *igp4* [AEQ] seedlings was weaker than in Col-

0 plants (Fig. 4*C*). In contrast, in CHI6-treated *igp* mutants, transcriptional up-regulation of these genes

was similar to that of Col-0 plants (Fig. 4*C*).

Since Arabidopsis and rice perception of MLGs have been described to involve LysM-PRRs

(e.g., CERK1, LYK4 and LYK5 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*), we determined MPK3/MPK6 phosphorylation,

ROS production and gene expression upon treatment with MLG43 or CEL3 in seedlings of Col-0, *cerk1-*

2 and *cerk1-2lyk4lyk5* triple mutant, which was generated in this work (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4*A-C*). ROS

kinetics and burst in plants treated with MLG43 were slightly lower in *cerk1-2 and cerk1-2lyk4lyk5*

genotypes than in Col-0, whereas it was not impaired in *cerk1-2 and cerk1-2lyk4lyk5* genotypes treated

with CEL3 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S9*A*). Moreover, a slight reduction of the phosphorylation of MPK3/MPK6

in these mutants compared to Col-0 was observed upon MLG43 and CEL3 treatment, but it was weaker

than that observed in LysM-PRR mutants treated with CHI6 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S9*B*). MLG43-mediated

up-regulation of *WRKY53* and *CYP81F2* was partially affected in *cerk1-2* and *cerk1-2lyk4lyk5,* whereas it

was not altered upon CEL3 treatment (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S9*C*). Together these PTI hallmark analyses

further support the function of the three LRR-MAL RLKs identified as PRRs involved in the perception

of cellulose (either as receptors or co-receptors), and in lower extent, of MLGs derived oligosaccharides.

These data also indicate that MLG43 perception involves CERK1/LYK4/LYK5 LysM-PRRs, as

described previously for MLGs in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rice (31, 33), whereas these LysM-PRRs

have almost no contribution in CEL3 perception.

Model structures of AT1G56130, AT1G56140 and AT1G56145 RLKs point to their function as

PRRs.

Malectin domains (MAL) like those present in ECDs of the LRR-MAL RLK family have been previously

described in animals to bind short glycans based on the NMR structure of malectin from *Xenopus laevis*

in complexes with maltose and nigerose (53). MAL domain is present in at least 2 additional families of

plant RLKs (MAL-LRRs, and CrRLK1Ls), and the ECDs of two CrRLK1Ls members (ANXUR1

(ANX1) and ANXUR2 (ANX2)) which have been crystallized, but no oligosaccharide ligands were

identified in ITC binding experiments (54). We performed phylogenetic analyses of MAL domains of

Arabidopsis thaliana LRR-MAL RLK members (represented by AT1G56145) and determined the

conservation of MAL domain in plants, finding that it is highly conserved in cruciferous and other dicots

species, but orthologs seems to be absent in grasses (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S10). On the other hand, the MAL

domain of AT1G56130/AT1G56140/AT1G56145 were found to be evolutionary divergent from ANX1

and ANX2 and *Xenopus* sp. MAL domains (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S10).

We then performed *in silico* analyses on the predicted AlphaFold LRR-MAL RLK model

structures to understand the impact of *igp1* and *igp2/igp3* point mutations in the KD of AT1G56130 and

AT1G56145. AlphaFold predicts LRR, MAL and KD domains of the three RLKs with confidence *very*

high (> 90%) for LRR and KD, *high* for MAL (70-90) and *low* (50-70%) or *very low* (< 50%) for

transmembrane (TM) and both N- and C-terminal regions, respectively (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S11A-C). In

AlphaFold the spatial arrangement of all these domains does not properly describe the expected ECD

(LRR+MAL)-TM-intracellular KD organization (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S11*D)*. Therefore, we modified

AlphaFold structures to obtain a proper domains organization (see Material and Methods). The new

models (Fig. 5*A*) provide a complete picture of the proteins to be used as initial geometries for further

computational studies (e.g., docking, and molecular dynamics simulations). To assess the models of MAL

domains (which have lower confidence than LRR and KD domains), we selected for MAL domain

structural modeling some of the few entries in PDB (5 plant RLKs from CrRLK1Ls involved in peptide

perception and 9 human and bacteria proteins), including the NMR structures of malectin from *X. laevis*

in complex with maltose (PDB code 2KR2; (53)), *X. laevis* malectin (an apo-form and a complex with

nigerose: (55)), and the crystal structure of tandem malectin-like domains in the ANX1/ANX2 ECDs

from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (PDB code 6FIG; (54)). MAL domains in AlphaFold models of AT1G56130

(202 residues), AT1G56140 (198 residues), and AT1G56145 (196 residues) are extremely similar: the

three pair Combinatorial Extension (CE) structural superpositions included 192 residues with Root-Mean

Square Deviation (RMSD) values 0.730-0.790 Å (Fig. 5*B*). As for MAL experimental structures and

taking AT1G56140 as a reference, the CE superposition with 2KR2 (179 residues) and 6FIG (224

residues) included 146 residues with RMSD 4.341 and 3.837 Å, respectively (Fig. 5*B*). Since these

RMSD values are high because CE works with all structural regions in the superpositions, we used TM-

Align to set a quantitative degree of fold structural similarity through its TM-score defined in a (0-1)

scale, with higher values meaning higher fold similarity (56). Superpositions of AT1G56140 with *X.*

laevis and *Arabidopsis thaliana* malectins gave TM-scores 0.623 and 0.710, respectively, indicating

highly similar folds of AT1G561*nn* MAL domains to animal malectin and to plant malectin-like

structures (Fig. 5*B*).

Similar structural comparison analyses were performed for the KDs of AT1G56130, AT1G56140

and AT1G56145, whose number of residues are 269, 269, and 302, respectively. We narrowed down the

search in the PDB to some kinase crystals-like MPK6 from Arabidopsis (5CI6 (57) and 6DTL (58)). Their

pair CE superpositions included 256 residues in the three cases with RMSD values (Å):

AT1G56130/AT1G56140 = 0.315, AT1G56130/AT1G56145 = 0.578 and AT1G56140/AT1G56145 =

0.622. These values indicate that AT1G56145 kinase domain is noticeably different from that of the two

other RLKs due to the extra loop seen in the intracellular part of its complete structure (indicated by the

arrow in Fig. 5*A*). The local confidence AlphaFold metric reveals a clear difference in AT1G56145 for

which *low* or even *very low* predicted *L*ocal Distance Difference Test (p*l*DDT) values appear in the

middle of its KD (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S11*A-C*), a feature not found in AT1G56130 or AT1G56140 (*SI*

Appendix, Fig. *S11A-C*). This notwithstanding, the catalytic site is nearly indistinguishable in the three

proteins (Fig. 5*C*). Next, we tested *in silico* the possible structural impact of single mutations G773E in

AT1G56130 and E906K in AT1G56145 KDs. Model structures of the KD of wild-type and mutants were

newly generated from the corresponding sequence segments with AlphaFold2 and I-TASSER, to test that

the backbone in fact remained unaltered though we found differences in loop flexible regions, minor in

AT1G56130 and greater in AT1G56145. The conformation of side chains of catalytic residues was

identical in wild-type and mutant structures (Fig. 5*D,E*), a result worth emphasizing as the mutation

positions are near the catalytic site in both proteins. The changes G773E in AT1G56130 and E906K in

AT1G56145 changes had the effect of increasing the surface patch associated with the mutated position

(Fig. 5*D,E*). In the case of AT1G56130, the G773E mutation also brought two amino acids (Q777 and

K783) into a close vicinity of 4 Å from the mutated residue (Fig. 5*D*) whereas in AT1G56145 the E906K

mutation had no significant variations in the neighborhood of the mutated residue (Fig. 5*D*). The major

effect of these single mutations was found in the surface electrostatic potential (Fig 5*F,G*). In wild-type

AT1G56130, there are 11 residues (7 non-polar, 3 polar, and 1 positively charged, K783) at 5 Å from

G773 that confer a weakly positive electrostatic character to a large area around position 773 that

becomes strongly negative upon the G773E mutation (Fig. 5*G*). In wild-type AT1G56145 there are 15

residues (12 non-polar, 2 polar, and 1 positively charged, R911) at 5 Å from E906 that produce a weakly

negative electrostatic character, which is besides neutralized in part by R911 (Fig. 5*G*). In the mutant, the

negative charge is substituted for a positive charge and the new K906 together with R911 give place to a

strongly positive electrostatic potential in a large area around position 906 (Fig. 5*G*). Because of these

local distributions of residues, the electrostatic effects extend over a surface region far larger than that

expected from the small, exposed surface areas of residues 773 (Fig. 5*D*) and 906 (Fig. 5*E*). These

changes in KD domains of IGP1/AT1G56130 and IGP2/IGP3/AT1G56130 LRR-MAL RLKs might

explain their loss of functionality.

The ECD of AT1G56145 directly binds cellulose derived oligosaccharides CEL3 and CEL5

Based on the initial structural models of MAL domains of the three LRR-MAL RLKs and their

similarities with MAL domain from *Xenopus* sp. that binds short glycans ((53) and Fig. 5*B*), we tested

whether ECDs of these LRR-MAL RLKs could be glycan receptors for cellulose and MLG derived

oligosaccharides. We expressed the ECDs of AT1G56145 and AT1G56140 in insect cells and purified

them by affinity chromatography (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S12*A*). Then, ITC experiments (59) were carried out

to test the binding of MLG43 and CEL3 to these ECDs. ITC results proved direct interactions of CEL3

with the ECD of AT1G56145 (Kd = 1.19 ± 0.03 μM; Fig. 6*A*), but not with AT1G56140 (*SI Appendix*,

Fig. S12*B*). Results obtained in ITC clearly indicated that these ECDs did not bind, at least directly, to

MLG43 (Fig. 6*A, B* and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S12*B*). Similar binding experiments were performed with the

ECD of AT1G56145 and CEL5 to determine the specificity of receptor-ligand recognition, and direct

binding was also detected with similar high affinity (Kd = 1.40 ± 0.01 μM: Fig. 6*A*). The binding reaction

measured for CEL3 and CEL5 were exothermic, with a single binding site (N = 1) and very similar values

of ΔH, indicating that extra sugar subunits in the CEL5 oligomer do not improve the detected binding.

These data support the role of the ectodomain of AT1G56145 as a receptor for cellulose-derived

oligosaccharides and suggest that AT1G56140 RLK might function as a co-PRR in the sensing complex

for cellulose and MLG derived oligosaccharides.

DISCUSSION

Plant immunity is activated by a diverse set of ligands (DAMPs/MAMPs) of different biochemical nature

and structure (e.g., peptides and carbohydrates) that are specifically perceived by diverse sets of ECDs

from plant PRRs. The specific and direct recognition of a DAMP/MAMP by the ECD of its PRR

counterpart receptor trigger the formation of a ligand-PRRs complex, that involves additional PRRs that

directly (co-receptors) or indirectly contribute to the functionality of the recognition complex through the

stabilization of ligand-receptor binding or activation of KD of some PRRs from the complex, which in

turn initiates phosphorylation events and PTI-associated responses (1-3). Several new glycoligands

(DAMPs/MAMPs) derived from cell walls or extracellular matrixes from plants or microorganisms that

trigger immune responses in plants have been recently described   ((9-20), (31-34)). These new

carbohydrate-based elicitors include oligosaccharides derived from plant cell wall β-glucans, like MLGs

(e.g., MLG43, MLG34 and MLG443) or cellulose (e.g., CEL2, CEL3, CEL4, CEL5, CEL6), or from

arabinoxylans and mannans polysaccharides (16, 18, 31-33). These glycoligands have been added to the

list of previously characterised oligosaccharides, which include oligoglycans from fungal chitin (e.g.,

CHI6) or plant pectins (e.g., OGs), which are perceived by LysM-PRRs and WAK1/2/FER1-PRRs,

respectively (40, 47, 48). Here, we describe a new group of *Arabidopsis thaliana* RLKs (AT1G56145

(IGP1), AT1G56130 (IGP2/IGP3), and AT1G56140 (IGP4)) with LRR-MAL domains in their ECDs,

which function as PRRs triggering immune responses mediated by oligosaccharides derived from

cellulose (e.g., CEL3-CEL6) and MLGs (e.g., MLG43 and MLG34; Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Remarkably, we

also demonstrate that the ECD of AT1G56145 (IGP1) directly binds CEL3 and CEL5 with high affinity

(Kd = 1.19 ± 0.03 µM, and Kd = 1.40 ± 0.01 µM, respectively), but it does not bind MLG43 (Fig. 6 and

S*I Appendix. Fig. S12*). These data suggest that these LRR-MAL RLKs have a function in *Arabidopsis*

thaliana as either receptor (AT1G56145 for CEL3/CEL5) or components of the PRR complex involved in

the perception of these oligosaccharides or the signaling complex activating PTI responses triggered by

the oligosaccharides (Fig. 4). The function of AT1G56145 RLKs as a receptor for cellulose derived

oligosaccharides has also been recently proposed by Tseng *et al*., (2022; bioRxiv preprint (60)), that

identified the Cellulose Oligosaccharide Receptor Kinase 1 (CORK1) in a similar genetic screening to the

one performed here; but without reporting any binding of ECD-CORK1 to cellulose-derived

oligosaccharides . We now demonstrate that AT1G56145 RLKs is a true PRR receptor for CEL3/CEL5

oligosaccharides further corroborating its function in *Arabidopsis thaliana* immunity. In a previous

independent approach, a Poly(A) ribonuclease (AtPARN, AT1G55870) was found to be also required for

the regulation of the immune responses triggered by CEL3 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, probably through a

mechanism of degradation of polyA tail from specific mRNA (61). However, this regulatory mechanism

of CEL3-mediated responses acts downstream of the CEL3 perception by PRRs at the membrane surface

described here.

Our results support the addition of LRR-MAL RLK family to the set of plant PRRs that are

involved in the perception of carbohydrate-based DAMPs/MAMPs, as recently hypothesized (6). This set

of PRRs includes members of LysM, WAK and CrRLK1 RLK families (5, 6, 43, 44, 47, 48, 62). The

function of the majority of these proteins in oligosaccharides perception and PTI activation has been

discovered through the isolation and characterization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants (e.g., *cerk1, lyk4*

and *lyk5, wak1* and *wak2, or fer1*), which are generally only partially impaired in PTI responses triggered

by specific DAMPs/MAMPs, due to their redundant functions with additional PRRs. Accordingly, PTI

deficient phenotypes in these PRRs mutants need to be validated in double or triple mutants (40, 43, 44,

47, 62-64). Similarly, *AT1G56145* (*IGP1*), *AT1G56130* (*IGP2/IGP3*) and *AT1G56140* (*IGP4*) described

here were discovered in a screening of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants impaired in glycan perception (*igp*),

that led to the identification of nine (*igp1-igp9*) mutants, that initially were found to be impaired in

MLG43 recognition, and later proved to be also defective in the perception of cellulose-derived

oligosaccharides (CEL3-CEL5: Fig. 3 and *SI Appendix Fig S3*). These results suggested that the

mechanisms of perception of CEL/MLG oligosaccharides are quite similar in *Arabidopsis thaliana,* and

this hypothesis was confirmed by CEL3/MLG43 cross-elicitation experiments (S*I Appendix. Fig. S8*).

Despite the similarities between the mechanisms of perception of CEL and MLG-derived

oligosaccharides in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, some differences in these mechanisms might exist. For

example, we found that LysM-RLKs (i.e., CERK1, LYK4 and LYK5) have a partial contribution in the

perception of MLG43 (*SI Appendix Fig S9*), as described previously (31). In contrast, their role in CEL3

perception is minor since PTI activation was observed to be quite similar in *cerk1-2, cer1-2lyk4lyk5* and

Col-0 plants treated with CEL3 (S*I Appendix. Fig. S9*). The partial requirement of LysM-RLKs for MLG

perception in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is in line with the described function of rice LysM-RLKs members in

the perception of MLG oligosaccharides and immune activation. OsCERK1 has been suggested to be the

PRR receptor of MLG oligosaccharides based on Microscale Thermophoresis analysis performed with

ECD produced in baculovirus, whereas OsCeBiP, the rice receptor for chitin oligosaccharides, has been

proposed to be the MLGs co-receptor based on the lack of binding of its ECD to these ligands (33). K_d

values of ECD-OsCERK1 for MLG43 are in the 1-2 µM range, similar to that found for AT1G56145

(IGP1) in this work (Fig. 6) and to that of ECD-OsCeBiP for chitohexaose (33). It is of note, that

perception of CHI6 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is not altered in *igp1-igp4* impaired in LRR-MAL RLKs nor

in *igp5-igp9* mutants (Fig, 1 and *SI Appendix Fig. S2*), further indicating that IGP1-IGP9 proteins are not

required for CHI6 perception. These data indicate that at least two different mechanisms for the

perception of CHI6 and CEL3/MLG43 oligosaccharides might exist in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The

mechanisms of PTI activation mediated by IGP1, IGP2/IGP3 and IGP4 LRR-MAL RLKs also differ from

that of pectin and OGs perception involving FER1 and WAK1-WAK2 (47, 48, 63), respectively, since

Ca^{2+} burst in $igp1^{AEQ}$-$igp4^{AEQ}$ upon OGs treatment was similar to that of Col-0^{AEQ} plants (Fig. 3).

Similarly, response to other MAMPs/DAMPs in $igp1^{AEQ}$-$igp4^{AEQ}$ seedling is not impaired in comparison

to that in wild-type plants, further supporting the specific function of IGP1, IGP2/IGP3 and IGP4 RLKs

in MLG/CEL oligosaccharide recognition (Fig. 3).

LysM-PRR members (e.g., CERK1/LYK5/LYK4 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* or CeBiP/CERK1 in

rice (44, 62) are the only PRRs from plants that have been demonstrated to directly bind oligosaccharides

(i.e., CHI4-CHI8 or MLGs) either by ITC or Microscale Thermophoresis analysis performed with

purified ECDs or by the generation of crystalized structures ECDs-CERK1/chitin oligosaccharides, which

have revealed the structural based of this recognition (33, 43). Other plant ECD-RLKs, like ANX1 and

ANX2 that harbour two tandem MAL domains, have been also purified and crystalised, but their putative

glycoligand(s) has not been identified (54). Notably, MAL domains like those of ANX1/ANX2 are

present in the ECD of the three IGPs RLKs identified in this work, that also contains a LRR domain in the

N-terminal (Fig. 5). We have generated *de novo* structural models for the three LRR-MAL RLKs, and

these models suggest that AT1G56145 (IGP1), AT1G56130 (IGP2/3) and AT1G56140 (IGP4) have

similar structures, in line with their putative recent evolutionary divergence (*SI Appendix Fig. S3A*), and

that AT1G56145 has an extra loop in its KD (Fig. 5). Remarkably, MAL domains of these RLKs are

structurally very similar to that of *Xenopus* sp. MAL protein, that has been involved in oligosaccharide

binding, and to that of plant ANX1/ANX2 from CrRLK1 family (Fig. 5). We hypothesize that the LRR

domain of ECDs in IGP1/AT1G56145 might be essential for the formation of the structural pocket

involved in CEL3 and CEL5 binding, since glycoligands for ANX1/ANX2 MAL domains have not been

identified (65), though this hypothesis deserve experimental confirmation. In the recent article by Tseng

et al., (60), two Phe residues conserved in all *Arabidopsis thaliana* MAL domains, but not in MAL from

Xenopus sp. (53) has been suggested to be essential for CEL3 perception and PTI activation, but this

hypothesis has not been validated through binding experiments. The obtention of crystalized structures of

CEL3/ECD-AT1G56145 will contribute to decipher the structural bases of CEL3-CEL5 recognition by

LRR-MAL ECD, and to determine if it shows some similarities with the mechanisms of cellulose

polymer binding by cellulases from microorganisms. Despite our recent progresses in the characterization

of the mechanisms of perception of glycoligands by plants PRRs, our current knowledge of innate

immunity activation by oligosaccharides in plants is far away of our understanding of these mechanism in

mammals (8, 38, 39). Several carbohydrate-recognition domains (CRDs) motifs have been characterised

in mammals that binds glycans that are either identical or quite similar to those perceived by plant PRRs

(38, 39). However, CEL3 and MLG43 have not been described to be perceived by mammal innate

immunity system, and structural comparison of CRDs and LRR-MAL ECDs is not feasible.

Interestingly *igp1* and *igp2/igp3* mutants have point mutations in their KDs that clearly impact on

their functionality (Fig. 2). G773E in AT1G56130 and E906K in AT1G56145 do not seem to impair the

catalytic sites of these RLKs which are predicted to be almost identical to that of wild-type KDs (Fig.

5*C*). However, these point mutations are predicted to increase the surface patch associated with the

mutated position (Fig. 5*D,E*), having a major effect on the surface electrostatic potential of the residues

around the mutated positions, that extends over a surface region far larger than that expected from the

small areas corresponding to the exposed surfaces of residues (Fig. 5*F,G*). Since kinase activity can be

altered by mutations at distant residues from the active site (66), we can hypothesize that G773E and

E906K mutations might either affect the catalytic activity of the KDs or the observed changes in the

surface patches and electrostatic potential in the mutated KDs might interfere with the interaction of the

RLKs KDs with other PRRs or additional proteins that form part of PRR recognition complexes.

Additional protein families with diverse domains in their ECDs have been suggested to putatively

function as receptors or co-receptors in the perception of oligosaccharides MAMPs/DAMPs based of their

ECD domains (6), but these proteins (RLKs/RLPs/RPe) are poorly characterized. This myriad of potential

PRRs encoded by plant genomes would be needed to perceive the diversity of oligosaccharides that can

be released from plant and microbial cell walls by the activity of cell wall degrading enzymes secreted by

pathogens during colonization or by plant cells during the interaction with pathogen aiming to degrade

cell wall from pathogens to compromise their survival (67, 68). The relevance of these carbohydrate-

based ligands released during plant-microbe interaction in the regulation of plant disease resistance has

been proved in different plant species that show enhanced disease resistance to different pathogens upon

exogenous application of glycans DAMPs/MAMPs (e.g., MLG43) in comparison to untreated plants (15,

31). This oligosaccharide-mediated priming effect on PTI and disease resistance has driven the

development of sustainable crop protection solutions based on mixtures of active glycans

(DAMPs/MAMPs: (69, 70)). The discovery of counterpart receptors for these active glycans in crops will

accelerate the selection of the corresponding genes in breeding programs to enhance crops disease

resistance. Notably, *AT1G56145 (IGP1), AT1G56130 (IGP2/3)* and *AT1G56140 (IGP4)* genes are in a

cluster of *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome, indicating recent duplication events. These genes form part of a

family of at least 12 members that has not been characterized in detail previously, except for RFK1

protein that has been involved in pollen tube growth (51). Interestingly, orthologs of *AT1G56145 (IGP1),*

AT1G56130 (IGP2/3) and *AT1G56140 (IGP4)* do not seem to be present in grasses, like rice and corn,

whereas they have been identified in other plant species (*SI Appendix Fig. S10*). However, MLG43, and

in a lower extend CEL3, have been described to be perceived by cereals suggesting that additional

receptors to LRR-MAL RLKs (e.g., LysM-RLKs for MLGs in rice) would be involved in these

perception mechanisms (32, 33).

MLG- and cellulose-derived oligosaccharides can be also released upon alteration of plant cell

wall integrity triggered by other stresses (e.g., salt or drought) or during plant development (e.g., cell wall

remodelling: (71, 72)). During these processes plant endogenous enzymes can hydrolase cell wall

polysaccharides, as described recently for a maize GH17 licheninase that releases MLG43 and other

oligosaccharides from MLGs (73). The characterization of the role of glycan-mediated responses in these

additional processes need to be determined to understand their cross-regulation with PTI/disease

resistance responses and their impact on plant fitness. Also, regulation of the homeostasis of cell wall

derived oligosaccharide needs to be characterised. For example, several plant berberine-bridge enzymes

have been identified that control CEL oligosaccharide homeostasis by oxidating the anomeric carbon of

CEL3-CEL6 oligosaccharides and thus reducing both their activity as DAMPs, and their preference use as

a carbon source by fungi (74). In summary, the results describe here contribute to our understanding of

the mechanism of perception of oligosaccharides by the plant immune system and expand the set of

families of PRRs and the ECD structures involved in ligand recognition and immune activation in plants.

Material and Methods

Biological material and growth conditions

All the *Arabidopsis thaliana* lines used in this study were in Columbia-0 (Col-0) background.

Arabidopsis thaliana plants used for cytoplasmatic Ca^{2+} measurements were grown in 96-well

plates (1 seedling per well) and for MAPKs phosphorylation and gene expression analyses were

grown in 24-well plates (~10 seedlings per well). These seedlings were grown under long-day

conditions (14 hours of light) at 19-22ºC in a liquid MS1/2 medium. Plants were also grown in soil-

vermiculite (3:1) mixture under short-day photoperiod (10 hours of ligh-14h ours dark, 21-20 ºC) or

long-day photoperiod (14 hours of light-10 hours dark, 19-22ºC). *Arabidopsis thaliana* lines used in

this work were Col-0[AEQ] (49, 50), *cerk1-2*[AEQ] (50), *rbohD* (52) and *igp*[AEQ] isolated in this work.

cerk1-2lyk4lyk5 triple mutant was generated by crossing *cerk1-2* and *ly4lyk5* (31) double mutant

and selecting the triple mutants with described oligonucleotides (*SI Appendix Data Set 3*). The

at1g56140 (igp4) and the at1g56120 T-DNA mutants were obtained from NASC (SALK_005808

and SALK_043782, respectively).

Carbohydrates used in the experiments

MLG43 (β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_2$-β-1,3-D-Glc, # O-BGTRIB), MLG34 (β-1,3-D-(Glc)$_2$-β-1,4-D-Glc,; # O-

BGTRIA), hexaacetyl-chitohexaose (CHI6; β-1,4-D-(GlcNAc)$_6$; #O-CHI6), CEL3 (β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_3$;

O-CTR), CEL4 (β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_4$; # O-CTE), CEL5 (β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_5$; # O-CPE) were purchased from

Megazyme (Wicklow, Ireland). CEL2 (cellobiose, β-1,4-D-(Glc)$_2$; C7252) was purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich. OGs (Galacturonan oligosaccharides Mixture DP10/DP15; GalAα1-4[GalAα1-4]8

$_{13}$GalA; GAT114) were purchased from Elicityl (Crolles, France). The peptides Flg22 and AtPEP1

were synthesized from EZBiolab (Carmel, USA) and Abyntek (Zamudio, Spain), respectively.

Aequorin luminescence measurements

Arabidopsisthaliana 8-day-old liquid-grown seedlings carrying the calcium reporter aequorin

(*35S::Apoaequo$_{cyt}$* in Col-0 background, Col-0[AEQ]; (31, 49)) were used as described for cytoplasmic

calcium (Ca$^{2+}_{cyt}$) measurements in the genetic screening performed to identify the *igp*s and to test

the specificity of the *igp*s to different compounds, as described previously (31). Negative controls

(water) were included in all the experiments. Aequorin luminescence was recorded with a

Varioskan Lux Reader (Thermo Scientific).

Genetic screening to identify *igp* mutants

Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0^{AEQ} seeds were mutagenized with 0,3% EMS for 17 hours. Seeds were

sown in soil-vermiculite (3:1) to obtain next-generation seeds (e.g., M1 and M2) (75). M2 seedlings

were grown *in vitro* for 8 days, and calcium influxes were evaluated using a luminometer upon

treatment with 100 µM MLG43. The bioluminescence of each seedling was analysed individually

and those with a low response to the treatment were transferred to soil (230 putative mutants out of

6,400 total seedlings screened), self-crossed and then Ca^{2+} burst tested in F1 seedlings to confirm

the impaired response to MLG43. The most interesting (lower response) and validated *igp* mutants

were selected for further characterization and backcrossed with Col-0^{AEQ} (*igp1*^{AEQ}-*igp3*^{AEQ} and

igp5^{AEQ}-*igp9*^{AEQ} described in this work, and *igp10*^{AEQ}-*igp20*^{AEQ}, which are not described here).

Total calcium discharge was performed by treating seedlings with1M CaCl$_2$ and Ca^{2+} burst then was

measured in the Luminometer.

Mapping by whole Genome Sequencing and SNP analysis.

Whole-genome sequencing of F2 *igp*^{AEQ} x Col-0^{AEQ} segregating plants with impaired response to

MLG43 led to the identification of Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNPs) associated with

phenotypes in each single mutant isolated. Tissue from 50 F2 segregant individuals was harvested

and pooled for gDNA sequencing. gDNA from control line Col-0^{AEQ} was also sequenced as a

reference for the SNPs analysis. DNA of the samples were introduced in FastQC v.0.11.9 for

quality report (76) and then whole-genome sequencing (150 bp pair-end reads) was performed in

an Illumina platform to reach a coverage of 30 million reads (Macrogen, Seoul, South Korea).

Reads were aligned with BWA-MEM v.0.7.17 against *Arabidopsis thaliana* TAIR10 genome

release (77). BAM files were obtained with samtools v.1.15.1. Variant Caller 16GT Docker image

was employed to obtain VCF files (78, 79). From these VCF files, with bcftools v.1.15.1

chromosome, position, reference, alternate and allelic depth (AD) fields were extracted and placed

in Excel files. Col-0^{AEQ} SNPs were subtracted from the resulting files to obtain mutant exclusive

SNPs. Frequency was calculated from AD fields as follows (AD-alternate allele / (AD-alternate

allele + AD-reference allele)) and those SNPs with frequency values higher than 0.99 were selected

for further analyses (*SI Appendix Dataset 2*).

Reactive oxygen species determination

Ten-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 or the mutants were grown *in vitro* conditions

as explained above. H_2O_2 production was determined after treatments with MAMPs/DAMPs using

the luminol assay and a microplate luminescence reader (31).

Immunoblot analysis of MAPK activation

Twelve-day-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings grown on liquid MS1/2 medium in 24-well plates

were treated with water (mock) and different oligosaccharides for 0, 10 and 20 minutes, and then

seedlings were harvested in liquid nitrogen and homogenized using FastPrep Bead Beating Systems

(MP Biomedicals) in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10

mM NaF, 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM sodium molybdate, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% (v/v)

Tween-20, 1 mM 1,4-dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and phosphatase inhibitor

cocktail P9599 (Sigma)). Total protein extracts were quantified by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad).

Protein electrophoresis and Western-Blot were performed as described previously using the

Phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) (Thr202/Tyr204) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) (1:1000)

(31). After a membrane stripping process consisting of 5 minutes incubation in a stripping buffer

(50 mM glycine pH=2, 0,1% SDS, and 0,05% Tween 20), followed by 10 washing steps and a one-

hour blocking in TBS-T with 1% non-fat milk, the same membranes were incubated with Anti-

AtMPK3 (1:2500) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (GE-Healthcare)

(1:5000). The membranes developed following such protocol was used as a total protein loading

control.

Gene expression analyses

Gene expression analysis was carried out in 12-day-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings grown on

liquid MS1/2 medium after treatment with oligosaccharides (i.e., 100 µM MLG43, 10 µM CEL3,

50 µM CHI6) or water (mock) solutions for 0- and 30-minutes Total RNA was extracted from 100

mg of frozen and ground tissue from mock and treated seedlings using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit

(Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol (31). qRT-PCR analyses were performed as

previously reported (31). *UBC21* (*AT5G25760*) expression was used to normalize the transcript

level in each reaction. In the case of showing normalized data to mock conditions, the expression

and normalization were determined using the Pfaffl (80). Oligonucleotides used for PCR are

described in *SI Appendix Dataset 4*.

Phylogenetic analysis

The evolutionary history of IGP proteins was inferred using the Minimum Evolution method (81).

The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 1000 replicates is taken to represent the evolutionary

history of the taxa analyzed (82). Branches corresponding to partitions reproduced in less than 50%

bootstrap replicates are collapsed. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa

clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches (82). The

evolutionary distances were computed using the p-distance method (83) and are in the units of the

number of amino acid differences per site. The ME tree was searched using the Close-Neighbor-

Interchange (CNI) algorithm (83) at a search level of 1. The Neighbor-joining algorithm (84) was

used to generate the initial tree. The analysis involved 25 amino acid sequences. All ambiguous

positions were removed for each sequence pair. There were 709 positions in the final dataset.

Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (85).

Structure analyses *in silico*.

Model structures of AT1G56130, AT1G56140 and AT1G56145 were downloaded from the

AlphaFold Protein Structure Database (86). These models present six identifiable domains: N-

terminal containing a signal peptide annotated in Pfam (87), LRR, MAL, TM, KD, and C-terminal

tail. The p*l*DDT metricover value of most of LRR, MAL and KDs is ≥ 90% (*SI Appendix*, Fig.

S11*A-C*) indicative of a reliability *a priori* compared to experiment (88). To achieve the proper

extracellular/TM/intracellular domains separation and taking the AT1G56140 model as benchmark,

we proceeded as follows: 1) Torsions were applied to backbone dihedral angles in the segment

following MAL with UCSF Chimera 1.15 (89); 2) Energy minimization of the extended segment

joining MAL and TM domains was performed with Chimera 1.15 keeping fixed all the remaining

structure; 3) The resulting structure was inserted in a pre-equilibrated model of a bilayer composed

of 256 POPC lipids with an 8 Å-radius pore downloaded from the CHARMM-GUI Archive (90)

and the protein-bilayer system was parametrized using the CHARMM 3.6 force field (91) with

CHARMM-GUI (92); 4) The protein-bilayer system was solvated at 16 Å-margins solvation box

and NaCl 0.150 M salt ions with VMD 1.9.3 (93), and the structure of the whole protein-bilayer-

water-ions system was optimized at 10,000 conjugated gradient energy minimization steps with

NAMD 2.14 (94). The optimized final structure of AT1G56140 was then used as input for

modeling the corresponding structures of AT1G56130 and AT1G56145 with SWISS-MODEL (95)

in "user template mode". The structural comparisons of MAL and KD in the three AlphaFold

models were analyzed with the *Combinatorial Extension* (CE) algorithm (96) implemented in

PyMOL 2.5.1 (PyMOL, (97)). To further assess structural fold similarities, the TM-Align web

server (56) was also used. The structural analysis of mutants AT1G56130-G773E and AT1G56145-

E906K was addressed by modelling separately their KD structures from their sequence segments

with both AlphaFold (88) using ColabFold (98) and with I-TASSER (99). Wild-type KDs of both

proteins were also modelled with the same methodology in order to double-check the possible

structural impact of the mutations.

Calculation of Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) electrostatic potentials (EPs).

PB EPs were computed with the Adaptive Poisson Boltzmann Solver (APBS) 3.0.0 program (100)

through its plug-in in PyMOL 2.5.1 (PyMOL, (97)) using the following settings: nonlinear PB

equation solved in sequential focusing multigrid mode, 3D grids of $161^3 = 4,173,281$ points (~0.5 Å

step size), $T = 298$ K, ionic concentration 0.150 M (NaCl), and dielectric constants 4 for proteins

and 78.54 for water. The numerical output was saved in OpenDX format and the PB EP was then

mapped onto molecular surfaces computed and rendered with PyMOL 2.5.1 (PyMOL, (97)).

Protein expression and purification from insect cells

Codon-optimized synthetic genes corresponding to the ectodomains of AT1G56145 and

AT1G56140 (residues 25 to 630 and 29 to 636, respectively) from Invitrogen GeneArt were cloned

into a modified pFastBac donor vector (Geneva Biotech, Geneva, Switzerland) harboring the

Drosophila BiP (101) or the 30K *Bombyx mori* (102) secretion signal peptides, and with a TEV

(tobacco etch virus protease) cleavable C-terminal StrepII-9xHis tag. Baculovirus vectors were

generated in DH10MultiBac *E.coli* Cells (Geneva Biotech). Virus amplification was carried out in

Spodoptera frugiperda Sf9 cells (Geneart, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and was used to infect

Trichoplusia ni Tnao38 cells (103) for protein expression (virus with a multiplicity of infection

(MOI) of 3). The cells were grown 1 day at 28°C and two days at 22°C at 110 rpm. The secreted

proteins were subjected to tandem affinity purification, using Ni^{2+} (HisTrap excel, GE Healthcare,

equilibrated in 25 mM KPi pH7.8 and 500 mM NaCl) and Strep (Strep-Tactin Superflow high-

capacity, (IBA, Germany) equilibrated in 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA)

columns. Affinity tags were removed using His-tagged TEV protease in a 1:50 ratio at 4 °C

overnight. Separation of cleavage tags and aggregated proteins was performed using size-exclusion

chromatography on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in

20 mM citric acid pH 5.0, 150 mM NaCl. Proteins were analysed for purity and structural

integrity by SDS-PAGE.

Analytical size-exclusion (SEC) chromatography

Analytical size-exclusion experiments were performed on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL

column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 20 mM citric acid pH 5.0, 150 mM NaCl. 400 μg of

protein (aprox. 6 μM) were injected using a loop of 1 mL, sample was eluted with a flow of 0.5

mL/min. UV absorbance at 280 nm was used to monitor the elution of proteins. The peak fractions

were analysed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie blue staining.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

Experiments were performed at 25°C using a MicroCal PEAQ-ITC (Malvern Instruments) with a

200 μL standard cell and a 40 μL titration syringe. Proteins were gel-filtrated into the ITC buffer

(20 mM sodium citrate pH 5.0, 150 mM NaCl). A typical experiment consisted of injecting 3 μL

of potential ligand (CEL3, CEL5 or MLG43) at a concentration range between 135 and 400 μM

into the ITC cell containing AT1G56145 or AT1G56140 protein at 9 μM. A total of 13 injections

were done at 150 s intervals and 500 rpm stirring speed. Dilution heat was corrected using as a

control the thermograph of titration of the ligand into the cell containing only buffer. Experiments

were done in duplicates or triplicates, otherwise specified, and data were analysed using the

MicroCal PEAQ-ITC Analysis Software provided by the manufacturer. All ITC runs used for data

analysis have an N ranging from 0.98 to 1.05. The N values were fitted to 1 in the analysis

ACCESION NUMBERS

The genome assembly data of Col-0[AEQ], *igp1*[AEQ], *igp2*[AEQ] , *igp3*[AEQ] and *igp4* can be retrieved from the

NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject ID PRJNA864842 and Biosample accessions

SAMN30087195, SAMN30087196, SAMN30087197, SAMN30087198 and SAMN30087199,

respectively.

Genes described in this work are: *IGP1/AT1G56145; IGP2/AT1G56130; IGP3/AT1G56130;*

IGP4/AT1G56140; CERK1/AT3G14840; LYK4/AT2G23770; LYK5/AT2G33580; RBOHD/AT5G47910.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Sonsoles Martín-Santamaria and Elena Gómez-Rubio (Centro de Investigaciones

Biológicas Margarita Salas-CSIC, Spain) for their suggestions and advise to understand LRR-MAL

structural ECD and validation of *in silico* calculation. We thank Dr. Jose María Jiménez-Gomez and Dr.

René Toribio (Centro de Biotecnología y Genómica de Plantas (CBGP), Spain)) for their advice to carry

our SNP identification and Western-blot stripping experiments, respectively. This work was supported by

grants RTI2018-096975-B-I00 of Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities, to AM. This

work has been also financially supported by the "Severo Ochoa (SO) Programme for Centres of

Excellence in R&D" from the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) of Spain (grants SEV-2016-0672

(2017-2021) and CEX2020-000999-S (2022-2025) to the CBGP). In the frame of these SO programs

H.M. and P.F.-C. were supported with a postdoctoral fellow and the WALLADAPT Mission project was

financed. M.M.-D, D.J.B. and D.R. were recipients of PhD Fellows PRE2019-088120 and PRE2019-

091276 (SEV-2016-0672) from AEI, and IND2017/BIO-7800 from Madrid Regional Government,

respectively. Research in J.S. lab was financially supported by the University of Lausanne, the European

Research Council (ERC) grant agreement no. 716358 and the Swiss National Science Foundation grant

no. 310030_204526.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest to declare.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article

LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Figure 1. **Identification of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants *i*mpaired in *g*lycans *p*erception (*igp*).**

Cytoplasmic calcium burst upon application of (*A*) 100 µM of MLG43 and (*B*) 50 µM CHI6) was

measured as relative luminescence units (RLU) over the time in 8-day-old seedlings of Col-0^{AEQ}

and *igp*^{AEQ} mutants. *cerk1-2*^{AEQ} line, impaired in CHI6 perception, was included for comparison.

Data represent the mean ± standard error (n = 4 in the case of Col-0^{AEQ} and *cerk1-2*^{AEQ}; and n = 8 in

the case of *igp*^{AEQ} mutants). Data are from one of the four experiments performed that gave similar

results.

Figure 2. **Identification of casual mutations in *igp1-igp4* mutants.**

(*A*) Representation of protein domains of AT1G56130, AT1G56140 and AT1G56145 LRR-MAL

RLKs*:* LRR (blue), MAL (green), phosphorylase kinase (orange) and phophotransferase (yellow) of

KD, regions connecting such domains and N- and C-terminal domains (black lines), and TM (grey).

Red arrows indicate the position of the mutations in the coding regions of *igp1*^{AEQ}, *igp2*^{AEQ} and

igp3^{AEQ}, and the red triangle the insertion of the T-DNA sequence in *igp4*. Whole genome

sequencing and single polymorphism analysis led to the identification of a C to T changes in DNA

sequences of *AT1G56130* and *AT1G56145* genes (*SI Appendix Data Set 1*), that led to G to E, and E

to K amino acid changes in the indicated amino acids of RLK KDs. (*B*) Calcium burst measured as

relative luminescence units (RLU) over the time in 8-day-old Col-0^{AEQ}, *igp1*^{AEQ}, *igp2*^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ},

and *igp4*/*at1g56140*^{AEQ} seedlings upon treatment with 100 µM MLG43. Data represent the mean ±

standard error (n=4 in the case of Col-0^{AEQ} and n=8 in the case of *igp*^{AEQ} mutants). After recording

the elicitor induced Ca^{2+} kinetics, the total Ca^{2+} was discharged by the addition of 1mM CaCl$_2$ to the

wells. The kinetic areas after both treatments were integrated and their values used for the

calculation of the total Ca^{2+} % induced by the treatment compared with the total calcium discharge

(graph at the top right). This is one of the three experiments performed that gave similar results.

Figure 3. Cytoplasmic calcium burst triggered by CEL3 is impaired in *igp*^{AEQ} mutants.

Ca^{2+} burst measured as relative luminescence units (RLU) over the time in 8-day-old Col-0^{AEQ} in

igp1^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp4*^{AEQ} seedlings after treatment with (*A*) 10 µM CEL3, (*B*) 100 µM MLG43,

(*C*) 0,5 mg/ml OGs, (*D*) 1 µM flg22, and (*E*) 1 µM AtPep1. Data represent the mean ± standard

error (n=4 in the case of Col-0^{AEQ} and n=8 in the case of *igp*^{AEQ} mutants). Data are from one of the

three experiments performed that gave similar results.

Figure 4. Activation of PTI hallmarks by MLG43, CEL3 and CHI6 in *igp*^{AEQ} mutants.

(*A,B*) Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) production was monitored as H$_2$O$_2$ production over a period

of 50 minutes by luminol-assays and measured as relative luminescence units (RLU) in the

indicated genotypes. Oligosaccharides were added 5 minutes after the transfer of the plate with

seedling and luminol reagents to the luminometer: (*A*) 100 µM MLG43 and (*B*) 10 µM CEL3. Data

represent mean ± standard error (n = 8). Comparison to Col-0 assessed by Student t-test (n = 24) at

the time of Col-0 peak shows statistically significant differences for *igp1*^{AEQ} and *igp3*^{AEQ} ($P < 0.01$)

and *igp4*[AEQ] ($P < 0.001$) in response to MLG43. Likewise, all three mutants show statistical

differences at $P < 0.001$ compared to Col-0 in response to CEL3. *rbohD* plants impaired in ROS

production showed statistically significant differences ($P < 0.001$) with both treatments. These

results are from one representative experiment out of the three performed that gave similar results.

(*C*) Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) phosphorylation was analyzed in seedlings of Col-0

and *igp1*[AEQ], *igp3*[AEQ] and *igp4*[AEQ] treated with 100 µM MLG43, 10 µM CEL3, 50 µM CHI6 or

water (mock). Western-Blot using anti-pTEpY antibody (anti p42/44) for phosphorylated MAPK

moieties was performed with samples harvested at different time points (0, 10 and 20 minutes).

Black arrows indicate the position of phosphorylated MPK6 (top), MPK3 (middle) and MPK4/11

(bottom). Anti-MPK3 was used as total protein control to show the loading of each gel. These

results are from one representative experiment out of the two performed that gave similar results.

(*D*)-(*E*) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis in 12-day-old seedlings of the indicated genotypes.

Expression levels of immune marker genes (*D*) *CYP81F2* and (*E*) *WRKY53*, relative to the

Arabidopsis thaliana housekeeping gene *UBC21* (*AT5G25769*) at 30 min after mock treatment or

application of the oligosaccharides are shown. Data represent mean ± standard error of three

technical replicates out of three independent biological replicates (n=3). Statistically significant

differences between MLG43, CEL3 or CHI6 treated *igp*[AEQ] *versus* treated Col-0 according to

Student's t-test (*$P < 0.05$, ** $0.01 < P > 0.001$, *** $P < 0.001$).

Figure 5. Model structures of AT1G56130 (IGP2/IGP3), AT1G56140 (IGP4) and AT1G56145

(IGP1) LRR-MAL RLKs.

(*A*) Structures obtained from AlphaFold models as explained in Material and Methods to suit the

extracellular/membrane/intracellular organization. Domains colored as follows: N-terminal, orange;

LRR, slate blue; MAL, yellow; segment containing transmembrane helix, cyan; KD, green with

catalytic residues shown as black sticks; C-terminal, red. The bilayer modelled by 256 POPC lipids

is shown as sticks for carbons and spheres for P, O, and N atoms in polar heads. The arrow in

AT1G56145 indicates an extra loop in its KD. (*B*) Left: structural alignment of MAL domains of

AT1G56130 (light blue), AT1G56140 (blue) and AT1G56145 (cyan) models with experimental

structures of MAL domain from *X. laevis* (yellow; NMR structure PDB code 2KR2) in complex

with maltose (sticks with white carbons) and MAL-like domain of ANX1 ECD from *Arabidopsis*

thaliana (deep green; crystal structure PDB code 6FIG). Secondary structure elements are shown as

opaque cartoons and loops are drawn as partially transparent ribbons to highlight the conserved β-

sandwich fold. Right: segments of the three AT1G561*nn* proteins presumably involved in glycan-

binding as predicted by selecting residues at 4 Å from maltose in the structural superposition at the

left. Labels indicate the sequence positions of those segments in the three proteins. (*C*) Left:

Structural alignment of kinase domains of AT1G56130 (deep green), AT1G56140 (marine blue)

and AT1G56145 (pale green) models with experimental structures of mitogen-activated protein

kinase 3 (MPK3) from *L. donovani* (pale cyan; crystal structure PDB code 4O2Z) and MPK6 from

A. thaliana (orange; crystal structure PDB code 5CI6). Same depiction of structural elements as in

(*B*) to highlight the overall fold of MPK3/6 fold. Right: catalytic site in the three AT1G561*nn*

proteins (sticks with carbons in same colors as in the left image) showing the nearly

indistinguishable geometry of side chains of conserved residues VHRDVKASN. (*D*) Molecular

surface of wild-type (green) and G773E mutation (*igp2/igp3*, pink) structures of KD of

AT1G56130. Surface patches of the catalytic site and position 773 are colored black and yellow,

respectively. The insets show the catalytic site (black) and residue G773 (yellow) in wild-type

(green transparent cartoon) and in mutant (pink transparent cartoon) structures. Q777 and K783

residues within 4 Å from E773 are also shown in the mutant. (*E*). Molecular surface of wild-type

(pale green) and E906K mutant (*igp2/igp3*, purple) structures of KD of AT1G56145. Surface

patches of the catalytic site and position E906 are colored black and yellow, respectively. The insets

show the catalytic site (black) and residue 906 (yellow) in wild-type (pale green transparent

cartoon) and in mutant (K906, purple transparent cartoon) structures. (*F*) KD of AT1G56130

comparing the Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) electrostatic potential (EP) mapped onto the protein surface

at orientations shown in above cartoons colored green for wild-type and pink for G773E mutant.

(*G*) Comparison for KD of AT1G56145 with cartoons colored green for wild-type and purple for

E906K mutant. (*F, G*). Arrow indicates the positions of the mutations and the corresponding residue

is shown as yellow sticks. Kinase site VHRDVKASN (815-823 in AT1G56130 and 835-843 in

AT1G56145) is shown as black sticks. Green circles indicate surface regions at which the mutation

provokes a significant electrostatic change. Bar gives the color code for the PB EP in *kT*/*e* units (*k*:

Boltzmann constant, *T*: absolute temperature, *e*: electron charge unit).

Figure 6. AT1G56145 ECD directly binds CEL3 and CEL5 oligosaccharides.

(*A*) Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments of AT1G56145 ECD vs. CEL3, MLG43 and

CEL5. (*B*) ITC table summaries of AT1G56145 and AT1G56140 vs CEL3, MLG43 and CEL5

oligosaccharides. The binding affinities of AT1G56145 ECD and the corresponding glycans are

reported as K_d (dissociation constant, in micromoles), N indicates the reaction stoichiometry (N = 1

for a 1:1 interaction) and ΔH indicates the enthalpy variation. Values indicated in the table are

means $\pm$ S.D. of independent experiments (n = 2). n.d indicates no binding detected.

Appendix SI Information

Supplemental Figure 1. Forward genetic screen using Col-0^{AEQ} was used as tool to discover

new PRRs and proteins involved in oligosaccharide perception and PTI activation.

(*A*) *Arabidopsis thaliana* seeds carrying the *Apoaequorin* transgene (*35S::Apoaequo$_{cyt}$*, Col-0^{AEQ}),

encoding a calcium cytoplasmatic sensor, were mutagenized with 0,3% (v/v) EMS for 17 hours. T2

seedlings were grown *in vitro* for 8 days, and calcium influxes were evaluated using a luminometer

upon oligosaccharide treatment. Seedlings with a low response to the treatments were transferred to

soil and backcrossed with Col-0^{AEQ}. Whole-genome sequencing of F2 segregating plant for this

backcross led to the identification of SNPs associated with the phenotype of each single mutant

isolated (*SI Appendix Data Set 2*). (*B*) Total calcium of the *igp1-igp3*^{AEQ} was discharged by the

addition of 1mM CaCl$_2$ to the wells with the seedlings. The kinetic areas after CaCl$_2$ treatment were

integrated and their values used for the calculation of the total Ca^{2+} % produced which was similar

in all genotypes tested. (*C*) Elevations of cytoplasmatic calcium concentration over time, measured

as relative luminescence units (RLU), after treatment with 100 µM of MLG43 of eight-day old

seedlings of Col-0^{AEQ} and F1 *igp2*^{AEQ}*igp3*^{AEQ} cross. Lack of response to MLG43 in F1 seedlings of

igp2^{AEQ}*igp3*^{AEQ} cross indicates that both mutations are allelic. Data represent the mean ± standard

error (n=6 in Col-0^{AEQ} and n=12 in F1 of *igp2*^{AEQ}*igp3*^{AEQ}). (*B.C*) Data from one of the three

experiments performed that gave similar results.

Supplemental Figure 2. **Identification of additional *igp* (*igp5-igp9*) mutants impaired in**

MLG43 perception.

Calcium burst was measured as relative luminescence units (RLU) over time in eight-day-old

seedlings of Col-0^{AEQ} in comparison with *igp5*^{AEQ}-*igp9*^{AEQ} mutants after treatment with (*A*) 100 µM

of MLG43 or (*B*) 100 µM of CHI6. Data represent the mean ± standard error (n=3 in the case of

Col-0^{AEQ} and n=12 in the case of *igp*^{AEQ} mutants).

Supplemental Figure 3. LRR-MAL RLK family in *Arabidopsis thaliana* includes additional

members, such as IGP4/AT1G56140, that is also required for MLG43 perception.

(*A*) Phylogenetic tree of the LRR-MAL RLKs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The full-length sequence of

Arabidopsis thaliana proteins predicted to contain a MAL domain in their ECDs (6) were aligned

using the ClustalW algorithm (see Material and Methods). The evolutionary history was inferred

using the Minimum Evolution method. The analysis involved 25 protein sequences. All ambiguous

positions were removed for each sequence pair. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6.

(*B*) Endogenous expression of the four genes (*AT1G56120, AT1G56130 (IGP2/3), AT1G56140,*

AT1G56145 (IGP1)) of the *IGP* clade family members in Col-0 wild-type plants determined by RT-

qPCR in seedling treated (30 minutes) with water (mock), MLG43 (100 µM), CEL3 (10 µM) or

CHI6 (50 µM). Gene expression values are relative to the housekeeping gene *UBC21*

(*AT5G25769*), and to the expression levels in mock-treated. (*C*) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of

the immunity marker genes *CYP81F2* and *WRKY53* in T-DNA lines of LRR-MAL RLKs members

of the same clade (*at1g56120* and *at1g56140/igp4)* and Col-0 seedlings after treatment with

MLG43 (100 µM). Gene expression values are relative to the housekeeping gene *UBC21*

(*AT5G25769*) and are normalized to Col-0 (value of 1). Data represent the mean ± standard error of

three technical replicates out of three independent biological replicates (n=3). Statistically

significant differences were calculated according to Student's t-test (*$*P$ <0.05, ** 0.01< P <0.001).

Supplemental Figure 4. Developmental phenotypes of *igp* plants and LysM-PRR mutants.

(*A*) Mature siliques and pedicels from 50-day-old plants of the indicated genotypes. (*B,C*) Rosettes

in 23 day-old plants grown under short-day (*B*) photoperiod (10:14 hours light: dark). and 28 day-

old plants grown long-day (*C*) photoperiod (14:10 hours light:dark). *c1/l4/l5* is the abbreviation of

the triple mutant *cerk1-2lyk4lyk5* generated in this work.

Supplemental Figure 5. Cytoplasmic calcium burst in response to CEL3 is impaired in *igp5-*

***igp9* mutants.**

Ca^{2+} influx measured as relative luminescence units (RLU) over time in 8-day-old *Arabidopsis* Col-

0^{AEQ} and *igp5*^{AEQ}-*igp9*^{AEQ} seedlings after treatment with 10 µM CEL3. Data represent the mean ±

standard error (n=3 in the case of Col-0 ^{AEQ} and n=12 in the case of *igp*^{AEQ}). Data are from one of

the three experiments performed that gave similar results.

Supplemental Figure 6. Calcium burst in response to MLG34 and cellulose oligosaccharides is

impaired in *igp* mutants.

Ca^{2+} burst measured as relative luminescence units (RLU) over the time in 8-day-old Col-0^{AEQ},

igp1^{AEQ}, *igp3*^{AEQ} and *igp4*^{AEQ} seedlings after treatment with (*A*) 100 µM MLG34, (*B*) 10 µM CEL4,

(*C*) 10 µM CEL5 and (*D*) 10 µM CEL2. Data represent the mean ± standard error (n=3 in the case

of Col-0 ^{AEQ} and n=12 in the case of *igp*^{AEQ}). Data are from one of the three experiments performed

that gave similar results.

Supplemental Figure 7. Cross-elicitation during the refractory period of calcium burst

triggered by MLG43 or CEL3.

Data show cytoplasmic calcium burst measured as relative luminescence units (RLU) over time in

8-day-old Col-0^{AEQ} seedlings after sequentially treatments with (*A*) 50µM MLG43 and 50µM

MLG43, (*B*) 10µM CEL3 and 10µM CEL3, and (*C*) 50µM MLG43 and 10µM CEL3 (blue) and 10

µM CEL3 and 50 µM MLG43 (red). Arrow indicates the application time of the second elicitor

within the refractory period of the first elicitation. Data represent the average RLU values of 4

seedlings (n=4) ± standard deviation. Data are from one of the three experiments performed that

gave similar results.

Supplemental Figure 8. ROS production triggered by flg22 and CHI6 in *igp1-igp4* mutants.

Luminol-assay in 10-day-old seedlings of $igp1^{AEQ}$-$igp4^{AEQ}$ to monitor H_2O_2 production over a

period of 50 minutes, measured as relative luminescence units (RLU). Col-0 and *rbohD* genotypes

were included as controls. MAMPs/DAMPs were added 5 minutes after incubation of the seedling

in plates in the Luminometer: (*A*) 1 μM flg22 and (*B*) 100 μM CHI6. Data represent mean ±

standard error (n=24). Student t-test showed statistically significant differences ($P < 0.001$) only in

the comparison of Col-0 and *rbohD* in both treatments Data are from one of the three experiments

performed that gave similar results.

Supplemental Figure 9. Activation of PTI hallmarks by MLG43, CEL3 and CHI6 in LysM-

PRR mutants.

(*A-C*) Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) production was monitored as H_2O_2 production over a period

of 50 minutes in luminol-assays, measured as relative luminiscence units (RLU), in seedlings of the

indicated genotypes. Col-0 and *rbohD* were included as controls. Oligosaccharides were added 5

minutes after incubation of the seedling in plates in the Luminometer: (*A*) 100 μM MLG43; (*B*) 10

μM CEL3; (*C*) 100 μM CHI6. Comparison to Col-0 assessed by Student t-test at the time of the

Col-0 peak shows statistically significant differences for *cerk1-2lyk4lyk5* and *cerk1-2* ($P < 0.001$)

only in response to CHI6 (*C*), whereas *rbohD* showed statistically significant differences ($P <$

0.001) in all treatments. Data represent mean ± standard error (n=24). Data are from one of the three

experiments performed that gave similar results. (*D*) Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK)

phosphorylation was analyzed in 12-day-old seedlings of Col-0, *cerk1-2* and *cerk1-2lyk4lyk5*

(c1l4l5) treated with either 100 μM MLG43, 10 μM CEL3, 50 μM CHI6 or water (mock). Western-

Blot using anti-pTEpY antibody (anti p42/44) for phosphorylated MAPK moieties of seedling

samples harvested at different time points (0, 10 and 20 minutes) was performed. Black arrows

indicate the position of phosphorylated MPK6 (top), MPK3 (middle) and MPK4/11 (bottom). Anti-

MPK3 was used as total protein control to show the loading of each gel. These results are from one

representative experiment out of the two performed that gave similar results. (*E,F*) Quantitative RT-

PCR analysis in 12-days-old seedlings of Col-0, *cerk1-2* and *cerk1-2lyk4lyk5 (c1l4l5)* genotypes.

Expression levels of immune marker genes (*E*) *CYP81F2* and (*F*) *WRKY53* relative to housekeeping

gene *UBC21* (*AT5G25769*) at 30 min after application of oligosaccharide100 µM MLG43, 10 µM

CEL3, 50 µM CHI6, or water (mock) are shown. Data represent mean ± standard error of three

technical replicates out of three independent biological replicates (n=3). Statistically significant

differences between MLG43, CEL3 or CHI6 treated *cerk1-2* and *cerk1-2lyk4lyk5 (c1l4l5) versus*

treated Col-0 seedlings according to Student's t-test (* P <0.05, ** $0.01 < P > 0.001$, *** $P <$

0.001).

Supplemental Figure 10. Phylogenetic analysis of LRR-MAL RLKs in Arabidopsis and other

plant species.

Phylogenetic tree of the LRR-MAL RLKs family members in selected plant species. The LRR-

MAL ECD domain of IGP1/AT1G56145 was blasted against Uniprot protein database to retrieve a

total of 248 protein ECD sequences that are evolutionary related to AT1G56145. A representative

subset of 25 sequences in different plant species was selected to build up this phylogenetic tree. In

addition, the outgroup protein ANX1, a member of a distinct clade of plant malectin RLKs, and the

X. laevis malectin protein Q6INX3 were included in the tree for comparison. The evolutionary

history was inferred using the Minimum Evolution method. Evolutionary analyses were conducted

in MEGA6 (see Material and Methods).

Supplemental Figure 11. AlphaFold predictions for AT1G56130, AT1G56140 and

AT1G56145 proteins.

(*A*) Plot of p*l*DDT (predicted *l*ocal Distance Difference Test) for AT1G56130. AlphaFold uses this metric to give a per-residue confidence score between 0 and 100. Horizontal lines and the corresponding colors follow the AlphaFold guidelines to consider the model confidence *very high* (p*l*DDT > 90, blue), *high* (90 > p*l*DDT > 70, light blue), *low* (70 > p*l*DDT > 50, light orange), and *very low* (p*l*DDT < 50, deep orange). According to AlphaFold, regions with p*l*DDT < 50 may be unstructured in isolation. Vertical lines separate the domains. Regions shaded grey correspond to short segments not assigned to any domain. (*B*) Same plot for AT1G56140. (*C*) Same plot for AT1G56145. (*D*) AlphaFold structure predicted for AT1G56140 protein. The domains are correctly predicted but their spatial organization is not consistent with the extracellular (LRR + MAL) – transmembrane (TM) – intracellular (KD) separation. The short loop region enclosed in the magenta box is the segment used to modify the structure for obtaining the proper spatial organization as explained in Methods.

Supplemental Figure 12. Purification of ECDs of AT1G56140 and AT1G56145 and ITC of AT1G56140 binding of MLG43 and CEL3.

(*A*) Analytical size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and SDS-PAGE of the corresponding proteins purified by SEC and used in the Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) binding assays. (*B*) ITC experiments of AT1G56140 ECD vs. CEL3 and MLG43.

REFERENCES

1. B. P. M. Ngou, P. Ding, J. D. G. Jones, Thirty years of resistance: Zig-zag through the plant immune system. *The Plant Cell* **34**, 1447-1478 (2022).
2. F. Boutrot, C. Zipfel, Function, Discovery, and Exploitation of Plant Pattern Recognition Receptors for Broad-Spectrum Disease Resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **55**, 257-286 (2017).
3. J. Bigeard, J. Colcombet, H. Hirt, Signaling mechanisms in pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). *Molecular Plant* **8**, 521-539 (2015).
4. D. Tang, G. Wang, Receptor Kinases in Plant-Pathogen Interactions: More Than Pattern Recognition. *The Plant Cell* **29**, 618-637 (2017).
5. K. Bellande, J. J. Bono, B. Savelli, E. Jamet, H. Canut, Plant Lectins and Lectin Receptor-Like Kinases: How Do They Sense the Outside? *International journal of molecular sciences* **18** (2017).
6. I. del Hierro, H. Mélida, C. Broyart, J. Santiago, A. Molina, Computational prediction method to decipher receptor–glycoligand interactions in plant immunity. *The Plant Journal* **105**, 1710-1726 (2021).
7. C. Franck, J. Westermann, A. Boisson-Dernier, Plant Malectin-Like Receptor Kinases: From Cell Wall Integrity to Immunity and Beyond. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **69** (2018).
8. L. Bacete, H. Mélida, E. Miedes, A. Molina, Plant cell wall-mediated immunity: cell wall changes trigger disease resistance responses. *The Plant Journal* **93**, 614-636 (2018).
9. O. Klarzynski *et al.*, Linear beta-1,3 glucans are elicitors of defense responses in tobacco. *Plant Physiology* **124**, 1027-1038 (2000).
10. A. Aziz *et al.*, Elicitor and resistance-inducing activities of beta-1,4 cellodextrins in grapevine, comparison with beta-1,3 glucans and alpha-1,4 oligogalacturonides. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **58**, 1463-1472 (2007).
11. H. Kaku *et al.*, Plant cells recognize chitin fragments for defense signaling through a plasma membrane receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**, 11086-11091 (2006).
12. A. A. Gust *et al.*, Bacteria-derived peptidoglycans constitute pathogen-associated molecular patterns triggering innate immunity in Arabidopsis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **282**, 32338-32348 (2007).
13. C. Denoux *et al.*, Activation of defense response pathways by OGs and Flg22 elicitors in Arabidopsis seedlings. *Molecular Plant* **1**, 423-445 (2008).
14. J. Claverie *et al.*, The Cell Wall-Derived Xyloglucan Is a New DAMP Triggering Plant Immunity in Vitis vinifera and Arabidopsis thaliana. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9** (2018).
15. H. Mélida *et al.*, Non-branched β-1,3-glucan oligosaccharides trigger immune responses in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* **93**, 34-49 (2018).

16. H. Mélida *et al.*, Arabinoxylan-Oligosaccharides Act as Damage Associated Molecular Patterns in Plants Regulating Disease Resistance. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**, 1210 (2020).

17. A. Voxeur *et al.*, Oligogalacturonide production upon Arabidopsis thaliana-Botrytis cinerea interaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **116**, 19743-19752 (2019).

18. H. Zang *et al.*, Mannan oligosaccharides trigger multiple defence responses in rice and tobacco as a novel danger-associated molecular pattern. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **20**, 1067-1079 (2019).

19. A. Wanke *et al.*, Plant species-specific recognition of long and short β-1,3-linked glucans is mediated by different receptor systems. *The Plant Journal.* **102**, 1142-1156 (2020).

20. M. Versluys, E. Toksoy Öner, W. Van den Ende, Fructan oligosaccharide priming alters apoplastic sugar dynamics and improves resistance against Botrytis cinerea in chicory. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **73**, 4214-4235 (2022).

21. R. A. Burton, G. B. Fincher, (1,3;1,4)-beta-D-glucans in cell walls of the poaceae, lower plants, and fungi: a tale of two linkages. *Molecular Plant* **2**, 873-882 (2009).

22. J. L. Morgan, J. Strumillo, J. Zimmer, Crystallographic snapshot of cellulose synthesis and membrane translocation. *Nature* **493**, 181-186 (2013).

23. B. Kloareg, Y. Badis, J. M. Cock, G. Michel, Role and Evolution of the Extracellular Matrix in the Acquisition of Complex Multicellularity in Eukaryotes: A Macroalgal Perspective. *Genes* **12** (2021).

24. S. C. Fry, B. Nesselrode, J. G. Miller, B. R. Mewburn, Mixed-linkage (1-->3,1-->4)-beta-D-glucan is a major hemicellulose of Equisetum (horsetail) cell walls. *New Phytologist* **179**, 104-115 (2008).

25. I. Sørensen *et al.*, Mixed-linkage (1-->3),(1-->4)-beta-D-glucan is not unique to the Poales and is an abundant component of Equisetum arvense cell walls. *The Plant Journal : for cell and molecular biology* **54**, 510-521 (2008).

26. Z. A. Popper, S. C. Fry, Primary cell wall composition of bryophytes and charophytes. *Annals of Botany* **91**, 1-12 (2003).

27. A. A. Salmeán *et al.*, Insoluble (1□→□3), (1□→□4)-β-D-glucan is a component of cell walls in brown algae (Phaeophyceae) and is masked by alginates in tissues. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 2880 (2017).

28. D. Pérez-Mendoza *et al.*, Novel mixed-linkage β-glucan activated by c-di-GMP in Sinorhizobium meliloti. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**, 757-765 (2015).

29. T. Fontaine *et al.*, Molecular organization of the alkali-insoluble fraction of Aspergillus fumigatus cell wall. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **275**, 27594-27607 (2000).

30. F. Pettolino *et al.*, Hyphal cell walls from the plant pathogen Rhynchosporium secalis contain (1,3/1,6)-beta-D-glucans, galacto- and rhamnomannans, (1,3;1,4)-beta-D-glucans and chitin. *The FEBS journal* **276**, 3698-3709 (2009).

31. D. Rebaque *et al.*, Cell wall-derived mixed-linked β-1,3/1,4-glucans trigger immune responses and disease resistance in plants. *The Plant Journal* **106**, 601-615 (2021).

32. S. Barghahn *et al.*, Mixed Linkage β-1,3/1,4-Glucan Oligosaccharides Induce Defense Responses in Hordeum vulgare and Arabidopsis thaliana. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12** (2021).

33. C. Yang *et al.*, Poaceae-specific cell wall-derived oligosaccharides activate plant immunity via OsCERK1 during Magnaporthe oryzae infection in rice. *Nature Communications* **12**, 2178 (2021).

34. C. Yang *et al.*, Addendum: Poaceae-specific cell wall-derived oligosaccharides activate plant immunity via OsCERK1 during Magnaporthe oryzae infection in rice. *Nature Communications* **12**, 3945 (2021).

35. C. A. Souza, S. Li, A. Z. Lin, Cellulose-Derived Oligomers Act as Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns and Trigger Defense-Like Responses. *Plant Physiol.* **173**, 2383-2398 (2017).

36. F. Locci *et al.*, An Arabidopsis berberine bridge enzyme-like protein specifically oxidizes cellulose oligomers and plays a role in immunity. *The Plant Journal : for cell and molecular biology* **98**, 540-554 (2019).

37. F. M. Gámez-Arjona *et al.*, Impairment of the cellulose degradation machinery enhances Fusarium oxysporum virulence but limits its reproductive fitness. *Science Advances* **8**, eabl9734 (2022).

38. M. Taylor *et al.*, "Discovery and Classification of Glycan-Binding Proteins " in Discovery and Classification of Glycan-Binding Proteins C. R. In Varki A, Esko JD, et al., editors, Ed. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press Cold Spring Harbor (NY), 2022).

39. R. D. Cummings, Schnaar, R.L., Esko, J.D., Woods, R.J., Drickamer, K., Taylor, M.E. , *Principles of Glycan Recognition* (ed. In Varki A, Cummings RD, Esko JD, et al., editors., 2022).

40. A. Miya *et al.*, CERK1, a LysM receptor kinase, is essential for chitin elicitor signaling in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104**, 19613-19618 (2007).

41. R. Willmann *et al.*, Arabidopsis lysin-motif proteins LYM1 LYM3 CERK1 mediate bacterial peptidoglycan sensing and immunity to bacterial infection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **108**, 19824-19829 (2011).

42. Y. Desaki *et al.*, OsCERK1 plays a crucial role in the lipopolysaccharide-induced immune response of rice. *New Phytologist* **217**, 1042-1049 (2018).

43. T. Liu *et al.*, Chitin-induced dimerization activates a plant immune receptor. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **336**, 1160-1164 (2012).

44. Y. Cao, Y. Liang, K. Tanaka, The kinase LYK5 is a major chitin receptor in Arabidopsis and forms a chitin-induced complex with related kinase CERK1. *eLife* **3** (2014).

45. T. Shimizu *et al.*, Two LysM receptor molecules, CEBiP and OsCERK1, cooperatively regulate chitin elicitor signaling in rice. *The Plant Journal : for cell and molecular biology* **64**, 204-214 (2010).

46. C. Yang, E. Wang, J. Liu, CERK1, more than a co-receptor in plant–microbe interactions. *New Phytologist* **234**, 1606-1613 (2022).

47. A. Brutus, F. Sicilia, A. Macone, F. Cervone, G. De Lorenzo, A domain swap approach reveals a role of the plant wall-associated kinase 1 (WAK1) as a receptor of oligogalacturonides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 9452-9457 (2010).

48. W. Tang *et al.*, Mechano-transduction via the pectin-FERONIA complex activates ROP6 GTPase signaling in Arabidopsis pavement cell morphogenesis. *Current Biology* **32**, 508-517.e503 (2022).

49. M. R. Knight, A. K. Campbell, S. M. Smith, A. J. Trewavas, Transgenic plant aequorin reports the effects of touch and cold-shock and elicitors on cytoplasmic calcium. *Nature* **352**, 524-526 (1991).

50. S. Ranf, L. Eschen-Lippold, P. Pecher, J. Lee, D. Scheel, Interplay between calcium signalling and early signalling elements during defence responses to microbe- or damage-associated molecular patterns. *The Plant Journal* **68**, 100-113 (2011).

51. H. K. Lee, D. R. Goring, Two subgroups of receptor-like kinases promote early compatible pollen responses in the Arabidopsis thaliana pistil. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **72**, 1198-1211 (2021).

52. J. Morales, Y. Kadota, C. Zipfel, A. Molina, M. A. Torres, The Arabidopsis NADPH oxidases RbohD and RbohF display differential expression patterns and contributions during plant immunity. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**, 1663-1676 (2016).

53. T. Schallus, K. Fehér, U. Sternberg, V. Rybin, C. Muhle-Goll, Analysis of the specific interactions between the lectin domain of malectin and diglucosides. *Glycobiology* **20**, 1010-1020 (2010).

54. S. Moussu, S. Augustin, A. O. Roman, C. Broyart, J. Santiago, Crystal structures of two tandem malectin-like receptor kinases involved in plant reproduction. *Acta crystallographica. Section D, Structural biology* **74**, 671-680 (2018).

55. T. Schallus *et al.*, Malectin: a novel carbohydrate-binding protein of the endoplasmic reticulum and a candidate player in the early steps of protein N-glycosylation. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **19**, 3404-3414 (2008).

56. Y. Zhang, J. Skolnick, TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. *Nucleic Acids Research* **33**, 2302-2309 (2005).

57. B. Wang *et al.*, Analysis of crystal structure of Arabidopsis MPK6 and generation of its mutants with higher activity. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 25646 (2016).

58. A. Putarjunan, J. Ruble, A. Srivastava, Bipartite anchoring of SCREAM enforces stomatal initiation by coupling MAP kinases to SPEECHLESS. *Nat. Plants* **5**, 742-754 (2019).

59. P. J. Sandoval, J. Santiago, In Vitro Analytical Approaches to Study Plant Ligand-Receptor Interactions. *Plant Physiology* **182**, 1697-1712 (2020).

60. Y.-H. Tseng *et al.*, CORK1, a LRR-Malectin Receptor Kinase for Cellooligomer Perception in Arabidopsis thaliana. *bioRxiv* 10.1101/2022.04.29.490029, 2022.2004.2029.490029 (2022).

61. J. M. Johnson *et al.*, A Poly(A) Ribonuclease Controls the Cellotriose-Based Interaction between Piriformospora indica and Its Host Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **176**, 2496-2514 (2018).

62. S. Liu *et al.*, Molecular Mechanism for Fungal Cell Wall Recognition by Rice Chitin Receptor OsCEBiP. *Structure* **24**, 1192-1200 (2016).

63. K. Dünser *et al.*, Extracellular matrix sensing by FERONIA and Leucine-Rich Repeat Extensins controls vacuolar expansion during cellular elongation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *The EMBO journal* **38** (2019).

64. H. Guo *et al.*, FERONIA Receptor Kinase Contributes to Plant Immunity by Suppressing Jasmonic Acid Signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Current Biology* **28**, 3316-3324.e3316 (2018).

65. S. Moussu *et al.*, Structural basis for recognition of RALF peptides by LRX proteins during pollen tube growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 7494-7503 (2020).

66. C. L. McClendon, A. P. Kornev, M. K. Gilson, S. S. Taylor, Dynamic architecture of a protein kinase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111**, E4623-4631 (2014).

67. J. Wan *et al.*, Cell wall associated immunity in plants. *Stress Biology* **1**, 3 (2021).

68. D. Pontiggia, M. Benedetti, S. Costantini, G. De Lorenzo, F. Cervone, Dampening the DAMPs: How Plants Maintain the Homeostasis of Cell Wall Molecular Patterns and Avoid Hyper-Immunity. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11** (2020).

69. C. Chaliha, R. A. Field, E. Kalita, "Glycans as Plant Defense Priming Agents Against Filamentous Pathogens" in Plant Defence: Biological Control*, J.-M. Mérillon, K. G. Ramawat, Eds. (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020), 10.1007/978-3-030-51034-3_4, pp. 99-118.

70. P. Lemke, L. Jünemann, B. M. Moerschbacher, Synergistic Antimicrobial Activities of Chitosan Mixtures and Chitosan-Copper Combinations. *International journal of molecular sciences* **23**, 3345 (2022).

71. L. Bacete *et al.*, THESEUS1 modulates cell wall stiffness and abscisic acid production in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **119** (2022).

72. N. Gigli-Bisceglia, E. van Zelm, W. Huo, J. Lamers, C. Testerink, Arabidopsis root responses to salinity depend on pectin modification and cell wall sensing. *Development (Cambridge, England)* **149** (2022).

73. F. J. Kraemer *et al.*, A mixed-linkage (1,3;1,4)-β-D-glucan specific hydrolase mediates dark-triggered degradation of this plant cell wall polysaccharide. *Plant Physiology* **185**, 1559-1573 (2021).

74. M. Benedetti *et al.*, Four Arabidopsis berberine bridge enzyme-like proteins are specific oxidases that inactivate the elicitor-active oligogalacturonides. *The Plant Journal : for cell and molecular biology* **94**, 260-273 (2018).

75. S. Ranf *et al.*, Defense-related calcium signaling mutants uncovered via a quantitative high-throughput screen in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Molecular plant* **5**, 115-130 (2012).

76. S. Andrews (2010) FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.

77. H. Li (2013) Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2.

78. R. Luo, M. Schatz, S. & Salzberg, 16GT: a fast and

sensitive variant caller using a 16-genotype probabilistic model. *GigaScience* **6(7)** 1-4 (2017).

79.   P. Danecek *et al.*, Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* **10** (2021).

80.   M. W. Pfaffl, A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research* **29**, e45 (2001).

81.   A. Rzhetsky, M. Nei, A Simple Method for Estimating and Testing Minimum-Evolution Trees. *Molecular biology and evolution* **9**, 945-945 (1992).

82.   J. Felsenstein, CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON PHYLOGENIES: AN APPROACH USING THE BOOTSTRAP. *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution* **39**, 783-791 (1985).

83.   M. Nei, S. Kumar, *Molecular evolution and phylogenetics* (Oxford University Press, USA, 2000).

84.   N. Saitou, M. Nei, The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular biology and evolution* **4**, 406-425 (1987).

85.   K. Tamura, G. Stecher, D. Peterson, A. Filipski, S. Kumar, MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Molecular biology and evolution* **30**, 2725-2729 (2013).

86.   K. Tunyasuvunakool, J. Adler, Highly accurate protein structure prediction for the human proteome. **596**, 590-596 (2021).

87.   J. Mistry *et al.*, Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**, D412-d419 (2021).

88.   J. Jumper, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. **596**, 583-589 (2021).

89.   E. F. Pettersen *et al.*, UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **25**, 1605-1612 (2004).

90.   S. Jo, T. Kim, W. Im, Automated builder and database of protein/membrane complexes for molecular dynamics simulations. *PloS one* **2**, e880 (2007).

91.   J. Huang, S. Rauscher, G. Nawrocki, T. Ran, M. Feig, CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. **14**, 71-73 (2017).

92.   S. Jo, T. Kim, V. G. Iyer, W. Im, CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user interface for CHARMM. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **29**, 1859-1865 (2008).

93.   W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, K. Schulten, VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of molecular graphics* **14**, 33-38, 27-38 (1996).

94.   J. C. Phillips, D. J. Hardy, Scalable molecular dynamics on CPU and GPU architectures with NAMD. **153**, 044130 (2020).

95.   A. Waterhouse *et al.*, SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **46**, W296-w303 (2018).

96.   I. N. Shindyalov, P. E. Bourne, Protein structure alignment by incremental combinatorial extension (CE) of the optimal path. *Protein Engineering* **11**, 739-747 (1998).

97.   Schrödinger, The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.5. Schrödinger, LLC, New York, USA. (2020).

98.   M. Mirdita, K. Schütze, ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. **19**,
679-682 (2022).
99.   J. Yang, Y. Zhang, I-TASSER server: new development for protein structure and
function predictions. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43**, W174-181 (2015).
100.   E. Jurrus *et al.*, Improvements to the APBS biomolecular solvation software suite.
Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society **27**, 112-128 (2018).
101.   E. Smakowska-Luzan *et al.*, An extracellular network of Arabidopsis leucine-rich
repeat receptor kinases. *Nature* **553**, 342-346 (2018).
102.   Soejima Y. *et al.*, Comparison of signal peptides for efficient protein secretion in
the baculovirus-silkworm system. *Open Life Sciences* **8** (2013).
103.   Y. Hashimoto, S. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. R. Chen, G. W. Blissard, Correction: BTI-
Tnao38, a new cell line derived from Trichoplusia ni, is permissive for AcMNPV
infection and produces high levels of recombinant proteins. *BMC Biotechnology* **12**,
12 (2012).
15

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

AT1G56130   AT1G56140   AT1G56145

Figure 5

A

AT1G56145 vs cellotriose   **AT1G56145 vs cellopentaose**   **AT1G56145 vs MLG43**

B

Ligand (syringe)	Protein (cell)		K_d (uM)	ΔH (kcal/mol)	N
cellotriose	AT1G56145	(IGP1)	1.19 ± 0.03	-13.60 ± 0.30	1
cellopentaose	AT1G56145	(IGP1)	1.40 ± 0.01	-12.50 ± 0.15	1
MLG43	AT1G56145	(IGP1)	n.d.		
cellotriose	AT1G56140	(IGP4)	n.d.		
MLG43	AT1G56140	(IGP4)	n.d.		

Figure 6