1 Hydrogen sulfide produced during fermentation improves ethanol production in

2 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* an evolutionarily conserved effect in other yeast species

Emilio Espinoza-Simón[a*], Paola Moreno-Alvarez[a*], Emmanuel Ríos-Castro[b], Salvador Uribe-

Carvajal[c], Francisco Torres-Quiroz[a#]

[a] División de Ciencia Básica, Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Estructural, Instituto de

Fisiología Celular, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Ciudad de México,

México

[b] Unidad de Genómica, Proteómica y Metabolómica, LaNSE, Centro de Investigación y de

Estudios Avanzados del I.P.N., Ciudad de México, México

[c] División de Ciencia Básica, Departamento de Genética Molecular, Instituto de Fisiología Celular,

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Ciudad de México, México

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Address correspondence to Francisco Torres-Quiroz, ftq@ifc.unam.mx

Abstract

Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) is a gas produced endogenously in organisms from the three domains of

life. In mammals, it is involved diverse of physiological processes including regulation of blood

pressure, and plays an important role in memory. In contrast, in unicellular organisms the

physiological role of H_2S has not been studied in detail. In yeast, for example, in the winemaking

industry H_2S is an undesirable byproduct because of its rotten egg smell, however its biological

relevance has never been evaluated. The effect of H_2S in cells is linked to a post-translational

modification in cysteine residues known as S-persulfidation. We evaluated S-persulfidation in the

Saccharomyces cerevisiae proteome. We screened S-persulfidated proteins from cells growing in

fermentable carbon sources and we identified several glycolytic enzymes as S-persulfidation

targets. Pyruvate kinase, catalyzing the last irreversible step of glycolysis, increases its activity in

presence of a H_2S donor. Yeast cells treated with H_2S increased ethanol production; also, mutant

cells that endogenously accumulate H_2S produced more ethanol and ATP during the exponential

growth phase. This mechanism of regulation of metabolism seems to be evolutionarily conserved

in other yeast species because H_2S induces ethanol production in the pre-Whole Genome

Duplication *Kluyveromyces marxianus* and *Meyerozyma guilliermondii*. Our results suggest a new

role of H_2S in regulation of metabolism that could be useful for biotechnological applications.

Introduction

Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) is a gasotransmitter produced endogenously in cells. It has been associated

with diverse physiological processes like vasodilation (1), pain (2) and longevity in animals (3),

plant growth and development (4), bacterial antibiotic resistance (5), and as a byproduct of

alcoholic fermentation in yeast (6). Surprisingly, the biological function of H_2S in yeast is not fully

understood (7), the majority of reports describe how it is produced or how to prevent its production

during fermentation (8–10). In yeast, the main metabolic pathway that produces H_2S is the sulfate

assimilation pathway (11), where inorganic sulfate is transformed to H_2S and used in the synthesis

of methionine and cysteine. This pathway is highly active in the exponential growth phase as sulfite

reductase (6), the principal H_2S producer, is highly active. The synthesis of H_2S takes place in the

first hours of fermentation, and decreases at the final stages when cells reach the stationary phase

(12).

The molecular effect of hydrogen sulfide depends on a posttranslational modification named S-

persulfidation (originally termed sulfhydration) (13). S-persulfidation involves addition of a thiol

group to the cysteine residues (-S-SH) in proteins. This posttranslational modification has been

associated with the activation and inhibition of protein activity (13, 14).

In this work, we evaluated for the first time S-persulfidation of yeast proteins. We report that

hydrogen sulfide is a regulator of glycolysis that increases ethanol production in *S. cerevisiae*. This

was observed using an exogenous donor of hydrogen sulfide or mutant strains that accumulate or

produce less H_2S. This mechanism of regulation was conserved in pre-Whole Genome Duplication

(WGD) species, such as the thermotolerant *Kluyveromyces marxianus* from the KLE clade, and

the oleaginous yeast *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* from the CUG-Ser1 clade. This work provides

an insight on how H_2S regulates glucose metabolism through an evolutionarily conserved

mechanism, constituting an important role of H_2S in fermentation.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains, media, and growth conditions

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains used in this study were S288C-derived laboratory strains

BY4742 (*MATα his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0*), BY4741 (*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0*

ura3Δ0). *Kluyveromyces marxianus* and *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* were isolated from mezcal

producers in Michoacán, México (15). Deletion strains derived from BY4742 were constructed by

PCR-based gene replacement using synthetic oligonucleotides and the kanMx and natMx

disruption modules contained in plasmids pUG6 and pAG25, strains and oligonucleotides are

listed in supplementary table 1. Strains were cultured at 30ºC in liquid YPD medium (1% yeast

extract, 2% dextrose, 2% peptone) or YPG medium (1% yeast extract, 2% galactose, 2% peptone)

until reaching the exponential growth phase (optical density at 660nm [OD_{660}] =0.5-0.6) and cells

were collected then for protein extraction.

Reagents

Sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS), Methyl methanethiosulfonate (MMTS), dithiothreitol (DTT),

antibiotin antibody, neocuproine, deferoxamine and others chemicals were purchased from Sigma-

Aldrich, St Louis MO. N-(6-(biotinamido)hexyl)-3′-(2′-pyridyldithio)-propionamide (HPDP-

biotin), was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Dallas TX.

Modified biotin switch assay

The modified biotin switch assay was performed as described previously (13;16). Briefly, after

yeast cultures reached exponential phase, cells were collected, and intracellular proteins were

extracted with chilled glass-beads in HEN buffer (250 mM HEPES-NaOH, 1 mM EDTA)

supplemented with 1% triton X-100, 0.1 mM neocuproine, 0.1 mM deferoxamine and 1X protease

cocktail inhibitor (Roche, Switzerland). Cell lysates were centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 1 hr at 4ºC,

total extracts (1-2 mg) were blocked in HEN buffer with 2.5% SDS and 20 mM MMTS at 50ºC

for 20 min. The MMTS was removed by acetone precipitation and the protein pellet was

resuspended in HEN buffer with 1% SDS. Protein labeling was performed with 0.8 mM HPDP-

biotin for 3 h at room temperature in the dark. The biotinylated proteins were separated by SDS-

polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and subjected to immunoblot analysis.

Purification of biotinylated proteins

After biotin switch assay, labeled extracts were subjected to streptavidin-based affinity precipitation with magnetic beads. Labeled extracts were incubated with 3X volumes of neutralization buffer (20 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.7, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% triton) and 25 μl of streptavidin magnetic beads (Pierce) with agitation, overnight at 4ºC. Magnetic beads were collected and washed with wash buffer as indicated by manufacturer's instructions, biotinylated proteins were eluted with IP-MS elution buffer and analyzed using LS-MS or SDS-PAGE.

Immunoblot analysis

Protein extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore-Merck, Germany). Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk and incubated with a specific anti-biotin antibody overnight at 4ºC. Proteins were detected with chemiluminescence using horse-radish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). Before to immunoblot, membranes were stained using Ponceau red (Millipore-Merck, Germany) as protein loading control.

Quantification of intracellular ATP concentration

NaHS was added to cells cultures at specific timepoints, then cells were centrifuged, and intracellular ATP was measured using the ATP Bioluminescent Assay Kit HS II (Roche, Switzerland). Cell samples were prepared by diluting treated cells to a final concentration of 3.7×10^9 cells·ml^{-1} in 500 μl with a buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8 and 4 mM EDTA. After 2 min incubation, samples were immersed in boiling water for 2 minutes, and the resulting

112 cell extracts were incubated for 5 minutes at 4ºC, cell debris were removed by centrifugation at

113 14000 rpm for 5 min and supernatants were used to measure the amount of intracellular ATP using

114 an ATP calibration curve prepared each time, as indicated by the manufacturer. Bioluminescence

115 was detected in a POLARstar Omega luminometer (BGM LABTECH, Offenburg, Germany).

Detection of H_2S production

118 H_2S production by yeast strains colonies was detected through the generation of a visible black

119 precipitate indicating that the hydrogen sulfide gas has reacted with lead nitrate (17). Yeast strains

120 were diluted, and cell density normalized to $3x10^7$ cells/ml. Cells were spotted in solid media

121 (3.2% dextrose, 0.4% yeast extract, 0.24% peptone, 0.016% ammonium sulfate, 0.08% lead

122 nitrate, 1.6% agar) and plates were kept at 30ºC for 5-7 days.

Fermentation assays

BY4742 *wt* and derived mutants were grown in YPD media under temperature-controlled

conditions. Cells precultured under agitation for 24h at 30ºC, were inoculated in fresh media with

an initial $DO_{660}=0.2$. When cells reached $DO_{660}=0.5$, a pulse of NaHS was added. After 7h of

NaHS addition, aliquots of 2 ml were obtained, DO_{660} was measured and cells centrifuged at 14000

rpm for 1 min. Supernatants were stored at -20ºC for subsequent ethanol quantification. For mutant

and *wt* strains, aliquots were taken every hour after cells were inoculated, supernatants were stored

at -20ºC. Ethanol production was evaluated through enzymatic activity of alcohol dehydrogenase

(ADH), that oxidize ethanol to acetaldehyde using NAD^+ as cofactor and subsequently reducing it

to form NADH. Supernatants were incubated in buffer (114 mM K_2HPO_4 pH7.6), 1.8 mM NAD^+,

$39\ \mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$ ADH for 30 min at 30ºC with vigorous agitation (18). Produced NADH was monitored

by the increase in absorbance at 340 nm. The results are reported as mM ethanol per 1×10^7 cells.

Enzymes activity assays

GAPDH (13), pyruvate kinase (PK) (19) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) (20) activities were

measured by specific reaction assays and monitored spectrophotometrically at 340 nm, recording

the rate of NAD to NADH reduction. Cells cultures were exposed to NaHS at different times,

protein extracts were quantified and 10 μg of protein were incubated in assay buffer as follows:

for GAPDH (20 mM Tris-HCl pH7.8, 100 mM NaCl, $0.1\ mg \cdot mL^{-1}$ BSA, 2 mM NAD^+, 10 mM

sodium pyrophosphate, 20 mM sodium arsenate, 500 mM DTT buffer, PBS 1X, 27.3 mM

glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate [G3P]). For PK (50 mM Imidazole·HCl, 120 mM KCl, 62 mM

$MgSO_4$ pH7.6, 45 mM ADP, 6.6 mM NADH, 45 mM phosphoenolpyruvate [PEP], $1.3\ KU \cdot mL^{-1}$

LDH). For ADH (114 mM K_2HPO_4 pH7.6), 1.8 mM NAD^+, $39\ \mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$ ADH, 16.4 mM ethanol).

Sample preparation and LC-MALDI-MS/MS

Biotinylated proteins were digested with 250 ng of trypsin mass spectrometry grade (Sigma-

Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in 50 mM of ammonium bicarbonate (ABC). Resulting tryptic peptides

were desalted using ZipTip C18 (Millipore) and concentrated to an approximated volume of 10

μL. Afterward, 9 μL were loaded into ChromXP Trap Column C18-CL precolumn (Eksigent,

Redwood City CA); 350 μm X 0.5 mm, 120 Aº pore size, 3 μm particle size and desalted with

0.1% TFA in H_2O at a flow of $5\ \mu L\ min^{-1}$ for 10 min. Then, peptides were loaded and separated

on a 3C18-CL-120 column (Eksigent, Redwood City CA); 75 μm X 150 mm, 120 Aº pore size, 3

μm particle size, in a HPLC Ekspert nanoLC 425 (Eksigent, Redwood City CA) using as a mobile

phase A, 0.1% TFA in H_2O and mobile phase B 0.1% TFA in ACN under the following lineal

gradient: 0-3 min 10% B, 60 min 60% B, 61-64 min 90 % B, 65 to 90 min 10% B at a flow of 250

nL min^{-1}. Eluted fractions were automatically mixed with a solution of 2 $mg·mL^{-1}$ of CHCA in

0.1%TFA and 50% ACN as a matrix, spotted in an Opti-TOF plate of 384 spots using a MALDI

Ekspot (Eksigent, Redwood City CA) with a spotting velocity of 20 s per spot at a matrix flow of

1.6 μL min^{-1}. The generated spots were analyzed by a MALDI-TOF/TOF 4800 Plus mass

spectrometer (ABSciex, Framingham MA). Each MS Spectrum was acquired by an accumulation

of 1000 shots in a mass range of 850-4000 Th with a laser intensity of 3800. The 100 more intense

ions with a minimum signal-noise (S/N) of 20 were programmed to fragmenting. The MS/MS

spectra were obtained by fragmentation of selected precursor ions using Collision-Induced

Dissociation (CID) and acquired by 3000 shots with a laser intensity of 4300. Generated MS/MS

spectrums were compared using Protein Pilot software v. 2.0.1 (ABSciex, Framingham MA)

against *Saccharomyces cerevisiae,* strain ATCC 204508/S288c database (downloaded from

Uniprot, 6049 protein sequences) using Paragon algorithm. Search parameters were: Not constant

modifications in cysteines, trypsin as a cutter enzyme, all the biological modifications and amino

acids substitution set by the algorithm (including carbamidomethylated cysteine as a variable

modification); as well as phosphorylation emphasis and Gel-based ID as special factors. The

detection threshold was considered in 1.3 to acquire 95% of confidence; additionally, the identified

proteins showed a local FDR of 5% or less. Identified proteins were grouped by ProGroup

algorithm in the software to minimize redundancy.

Results

S-Persulfidation of yeast proteins growing on a fermentable carbon source.

Protein S-persulfidation was detected using the modified biotin switch method (21). In order to

validate the method in yeast, we performed the assay in either a poor producer (*met5Δmet10Δ*) or

an accumulator (*met17Δ*) strain of H_2S and compared them to the *wt* (Figure 1A). Cells grew using

glucose as carbon source and at exponential phase, when H_2S is produced (6), protein was

extracted. S-Persulfidated proteins are accumulated in *met17Δ* in comparison to *met5met10Δ* strain

and *wt* as expected (Figure 1B).

In yeast, H_2S is produced during fermentation, however S-persulfidation target proteins are not

known. We decided to analyze by mass spectrometry the S-persulfidated proteins in cells growing

at exponential phase in two different fermentable carbon sources: glucose and galactose. Glucose

is the preferred fermentable carbon source of yeast, while galactose needs to be isomerized to enter

to the glycolytic pathway. We found 42 S-persulfidated proteins, 21 were specific to glucose-

grown cells, 4 specific to galactose-grown cells, and 17 proteins were found in both conditions

(Supplementary Table 2). Among the generally expressed 17 proteins, 15 where reported before

as proteins with a redo- regulated cysteine (22), which is a feature of cysteines susceptible of post-

translational modifications (21). Cytoplasmic translation (7 proteins) and glycolysis (7 proteins)

where the biological processes the most represented in cells growing in either condition.

Interestingly, Pdc1, a key enzyme in alcoholic fermentation, and Adh1-the major enzyme

responsible of ethanol synthesis- were also found, suggesting a possible role of S-persulfidation in

fermentation. The identity of some glycolytic enzymes GAPDH, enolase and triosephosphate

isomerase (Tdh3, Eno2 and Tpi1, respectively) was confirmed using specific antibodies (Figure

2). We also tested cystathionine beta-synthase (Cys4), which was described before as a possible

S-persulfidated protein (13, 23) and even if it must be considered that in our mass spectrometry

analysis it did not pass the threshold (unused score of 1.04, coverage of 43.98%), we did find that

Cys4 is a target of S-persulfidation.

H$_2$S increases glycolytic enzymes activities

Among the first effects of S-persulfidation described is the increase of GAPDH activity (13).

Considering that Tdh3 (GAPDH) was one of the glycolytic enzymes targeted for S-persulfidation,

we decided to test the effect of NaHS (a donor of H$_2$S) on GAPDH activity. Cells were stimulated

with 0.1 mM or 0.25 mM NaHS for two and seven hours. Then, protein was extracted and GAPDH

activity was measured. We found that after two hours of stimulation NaHS both at 0.1 mM and

0.25 mM increase GAPDH activity 1.4 times (Figure 3A) and the effect was lost at seven hours

(Figure 3B). Another protein identified by mass spectrometry was pyruvate kinase (PK) that

catalyzes the last irreversible step of glycolysis. We measured the activity of the pyruvate kinase

at two hours of treatment with 0.1 mM or 0.25 mM NaHS finding that NaHS increased PK activity

2.39 times (Figure 3C, Supplementary Table 3). Finally, we subjected alcohol dehydrogenase

(ADH) to the same treatment and we did not find any significant difference between the treated

and untreated cells, i.e., at these concentrations NaHS did not affected ADH activity (Supplemental

Figure 1).

H₂S stimulates fermentation

The glycolytic enzymes GAPDH and PK increased their activity in response to H_2S. In addition, mass spectrometry data indicated that these and other enzymes from glycolysis where S-persulfidated. Thus, we decided to test if H_2S has an effect on synthesis of ethanol. Exponential-phase grown cells were treated with 0.1 mM NaHS and after seven hours, the supernatant was collected. It was observed that treated cells increased ethanol production as compared to the control (Figure 4A).

In order to test the effect of endogenous H_2S in fermentation, we decided to compare ethanol production in the two isogenic lab strains BY4741 and BY4742. The only difference between these two strains is that BY4741 has a deletion of *MET17* and strain BY4742 has a deletion of *LYS2*. As mentioned before a *met17Δ* strain accumulates endogenously H_2S because *MET17* codifies for the enzyme using H_2S and O-acetyl homoserine to synthetize homocysteine. An overnight preculture of each strain was diluted to an OD_{600}=0.2, supernatants were collected 24 h after, and ethanol was quantified. The strain accumulating H_2S endogenously, BY4741 *met17Δ*, produced more ethanol than the strain BY4742 *MET17* (Figure 4B).

Ethanol is the main product of fermentation. Additionally, during glycolytic fermentation two ATP molecules are synthesized. Thus, we decided to quantify the ATP after the treatment with NaHS. Exponential-phase cells were treated with the same quantities of NaHS used before and ATP was quantified two and four hours after treatment. After two hours, treated cells produced more ATP than the untreated cells; this effect was lost four hours after treatment (Figure 4C). These results suggest that H_2S stimulates glycolysis and that fermentation is enhanced to produce both ATP and ethanol.

Based on these results we decided to compare if the endogenous H_2S has an influence on the onset

of ethanol synthesis. We measured the ethanol production in 48 hours precultures of the poor H_2S

producer strain *met5Δmet10Δ*, the H_2S accumulator *met17Δ* strain, and the *wt*. Cells from a 48

hours preculture were resuspended in fresh media and aliquots from the supernatant were collected

every hour. Strain *met17Δ* strain initiated ethanol production at five hours, *wt* strain at six hours

and *met5Δmet10Δ* after seven hours, respectively (Figure 5A). This result shows that cells with

high endogenously accumulated H_2S began ethanol production before than the cells with lower

H_2S concentration.

Finally, in each of these strains we quantified the ATP at exponential or stationary phase. We

found that the endogenously H_2S accumulator strain produced the most ATP at exponential phase,

wile no differences in the ATP concentration at the stationary phase between the *wt* and mutant

strains (Figure 5B). These results support our proposal that H_2S stimulates ethanol and ATP

production.

H_2S stimulates ethanol production in *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* and *Kluyveromyces*

marxianus.

Ethanol synthesis is more robust in Crabtree positive yeast species, this phenomenon is associated

with the WGD (24). Evidence suggests that the WGD event arose from an interspecies

hybridization between a strain from the KLE clade (genera Kluyveromyces, Lachancea and

Eremothecium) and a strain from the ZT clade (Zygosaccharomyces and Torulaspora) (25). The

CUG-Ser1 clade first appeared approximately 117 million years before the WGD event; the CUG-

Ser1 clade is characterized by a change in codon usage (26). Considering this, we decided to test

the effect of H$_2$S during ethanol synthesis on the KLE clade strain, *K. marxianus* and in *M.*

guilliermondii from the CUG-Ser1 clade. *K. marxianus* exponential-phase cells were stimulated

with NaHS. Treatment with the H$_2$S donor in *K. marxianus* increased ethanol synthesis as in *S.*

cerevisiae (Figure 5a). In *M. guilliermondii,* it was noted that ethanol synthesis takes longer than

24 h when glucose is the carbon source (27), for this reason exponential-phase cells were treated

with NaHS and treated again 24 h later. As observed in *K. marxianus*, ethanol synthesis increased

after the H$_2$S donor treatment on *M. guilliermondii* (Figure 5b) confirming that there is an effect

of H$_2$S on the fermentation activity of these two species of yeast.

Discussion

Hydrogen sulfide is produced endogenously in yeast and it is considered a fermentation byproduct,

however its biological role is unknown. The biological effects of H$_2$S are linked to a cysteine

posttranslational modification termed S-persulfidation (13). In this work, we analyzed S-

persulfidated proteins on the yeast proteome. We identified several glycolytic enzymes as S-

persulfidation targets, as reported previously in tissues such as brain, heart and liver (23), in

hepatocytes (13), a pancreatic beta cell line (28), HEK293 cells (23), plant (29, 30) and bacteria

(31). Interestingly, Fu and collaborators reported six S-persulfidated glycolytic enzymes

(ALDOA, GAPDH, PGK1, ENO1, PKM and LDHA) when they evaluated S-persulfidated

proteins in cells overexpressing the H$_2$S producer enzyme cystathionine gamma-lyase (CSE) (23).

In a previous report in pancreatic beta cells, metabolites were measured and H$_2$S was associated

to an increased glycolytic metabolic flux of cells under a chronic stress. Curiously, all these reports

agreed that several glycolytic enzymes are S-persulfidated, even when the activity of GAPDH is

the only one that has been measured (13). In *S. cerevisiae*, we found that GAPDH increases its activity with the H_2S donor NaHS two hours after the treatment, and the effect is lost at seven hours. It is important to note that H_2S is released from NaHS just a few seconds after the sodium salt is dissolved (32), hence the effect of NaHS two hours after treatment may be attributed to a chemical modification on the enzymes such as S-persulfidation. The thioredoxin system eliminates this post-translational modification (33, 34), which is consistent with the idea that cellular mechanisms maintain protein S-persulfidation homeostasis (35). Seven hours after stimulation there is no effect on GAPDH activity probably due to loss of S-persulfidation by the protein. Furthermore, the cells are no longer at an exponential phase seven hours after the OD_{600} reaches 0.5 and yeast metabolism changes to aerobic at the diauxic shift. Enzymes catalyzing the irreversible steps regulates the glycolytic pathway (36); and we found that the enzyme involved in the last irreversible step –pyruvate kinase– increases its activity when stimulated with NaHS. The increase in pyruvate kinase activity may have at least two important consequences: feeding the Krebs cycle and/or stimulating synthesis of ethanol. Considering that yeast synthetizes H_2S during fermentation, we decided to test if NaHS increases ethanol production. We found that cells treated with H_2S donor produce more ethanol. In order to confirm our observations, we measured the ethanol production in the isogenic strains BY4741 and BY4742. These strains have almost the same selection markers and they differ only in one of them, BY4741 accumulates H_2S because is *met17Δ* and BY4742 is *lys2Δ,* and thus it does not accumulate H_2S. We observed that BY4741 produces more ethanol than BY4742, suggesting that endogenous H_2S levels increase ethanol synthesis. Previously, it was reported that BY4742 fermenting activity is slower than in BY4741; it would be very interesting to analyze the role of H_2S in this system (37). Considering these results, we proposed that if H_2S stimulates fermentation, then mutants accumulating H_2S would begin

ethanol production before strains producing less H_2S. We tested this hypothesis by comparing

ethanol production between the lesser producer of H_2S strain *met5Δmet10Δ,* the accumulator strain

met17Δ and the *wt*. We found that *met17Δ* strain starts producing ethanol before the *wt* strain, and

in turn the *met5Δmet10Δ* strain production of ethanol is delayed even longer. Results confirmed

that endogenous concentrations of H_2S affects ethanol synthesis. Finally, we measured ATP

production in all strains, and we found that at logarithmic phase the *met17Δ* strain produces more

ATP than the others. This result supports the idea that in addition to increasing an early synthesis

of ethanol, H_2S and also enhances ATP production at the exponential phase of growth.

It is accepted that the origin of *S. cerevisiae* comes from a WGD event, probably by the interspecies

hybridization between a strain from the KLE clade and a strain from the ZT clade (33). WGD

species have a more pronounced Crabtree effect that non-WGD species (24), so we decided to test

if H_2S has an effect on yeast from the parental KLE clade that originated *S. cerevisiae* and a

Crabtree-negative species from the CUG-Ser1 clade. The origin of this clade is estimated between

178 and 248 million years ago (mya), and this event occurred before WGD, estimated between 82

and 105 mya (26). We found that both species increase ethanol production after the NaHS

treatment suggesting that i) H_2S is a positive regulator of fermentation and ii) this effect is

evolutionarily conserved.

Overall, H_2S is considered as a fermentation byproduct on yeast even when its biological effect is

unknown. Here, we proposed a very different picture that will change our vision of how H_2S

regulates cell metabolism. Ethanol synthesis occupies a central position in the wine and beer

industry and it is a renewable energy source when used as bioethanol. In fact, that we found that

H_2S stimulates ethanol synthesis suggesting that the use of H_2S donors could be desirable for

industrial applications such as the biotechnology of biofuels production. In conclusion, our data

demonstrated that H_2S is a regulator of energetic metabolism. These results fill a major gap in the

understanding of H_2S and its control of ethanol production, which is evolutionarily conserved

among yeast species. Finally, our work provides the foundation for a mechanistic understanding

of the effects of H_2S.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Programa de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación e Innovación

Tecnológica from the Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Academico of the Universidad

Nacional Autonoma de Mexico (FTQ: UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT IA200315, IA202217 and

IN209219; SUC: IN208821) and from the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología,

Convocatoria de Ciencia Básica to FTQ (CONACyT-CB-238681).

Authors acknowledge Dr. Antonio Peña and Dr. Norma Silvia Sanchez for the *Kluyveromyces*

marxianus and *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* strains provided and Dr. Natalia Chiquete-Félix for

technical assistance.

References

1. Yang G, Wu L, Jiang B, Yang W, Qi J, Cao K, Meng Q, Mustafa AK, Mu W, Zhang S,
Snyder SH, Wang R. 2008. H2S as a physiologic vasorelaxant: hypertension in mice with
deletion of cystathionine gamma-lyase. Science 322:587–590.

2. Kawabata A, Ishiki T, Nagasawa K, Yoshida S, Maeda Y, Takahashi T, Sekiguchi F, Wada
T, Ichida S, Nishikawa H. 2007. Hydrogen sulfide as a novel nociceptive messenger. Pain
132:74–81.

3. Hine C, Harputlugil E, Zhang Y, Ruckenstuhl C, Lee BC, Brace L, Longchamp A, Treviño-
Villarreal JH, Mejia P, Ozaki CK, Wang R, Gladyshev VN, Madeo F, Mair WB, Mitchell
JR. 2015. Endogenous hydrogen sulfide production is essential for dietary restriction
benefits. Cell 160:132–144.

4. Dooley FD, Nair SP, Ward PD. 2013. Increased growth and germination success in plants
following hydrogen sulfide administration. PLoS One 8:e62048.

5. Shatalin K, Shatalina E, Mironov A, Nudler E. 2011. H2S: a universal defense against
antibiotics in bacteria. Science 334:986–990.

6. Jiranek V, Langridge P, Henschke PA. 1995. Regulation of hydrogen sulfide liberation in
wine-producing Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains by assimilable nitrogen. Appl Environ
Microbiol 61:461–467.

7. Huang C-W, Walker ME, Fedrizzi B, Gardner RC, Jiranek V. 2017. Hydrogen sulfide and its
roles in Saccharomyces cerevisiae in a winemaking context. FEMS Yeast Res 17.

8. Huang C, Roncoroni M, Gardner RC. 2014. MET2 affects production of hydrogen sulfide
during wine fermentation. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98:7125–7135.

9. Boudreau TF, Peck GM, O'Keefe SF, Stewart AC. 2017. The interactive effect of fungicide
residues and yeast assimilable nitrogen on fermentation kinetics and hydrogen sulfide
production during cider fermentation. J Sci Food Agric 97:693–704.

10. Wang C, Liu M, Li Y, Zhang Y, Yao M, Qin Y, Liu Y. 2018. Hydrogen sulfide synthesis in
native Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains during alcoholic fermentations. Food Microbiol
70:206–213.

11. Mendoza-Cózatl D, Loza-Tavera H, Hernández-Navarro A, Moreno-Sánchez R. 2005. Sulfur
assimilation and glutathione metabolism under cadmium stress in yeast, protists and plants.
FEMS Microbiol Rev 29:653–671.

12. Oka K, Hayashi T, Matsumoto N, Yanase H. 2008. Decrease in hydrogen sulfide content
during the final stage of beer fermentation due to involvement of yeast and not carbon
dioxide gas purging. J Biosci Bioeng 106:253–257.

13. Mustafa AK, Gadalla MM, Sen N, Kim S, Mu W, Gazi SK, Barrow RK, Yang G, Wang R,
Snyder SH. 2009. H2S signals through protein S-sulfhydration. Sci Signal 2:ra72.

14. Krishnan N, Fu C, Pappin DJ, Tonks NK. 2011. H2S-Induced sulfhydration of the
phosphatase PTP1B and its role in the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. Sci Signal
4:ra86.

15. Estrada-Ávila AK, González-Hernández JC, Calahorra M, Sánchez NS, Peña A. 2022.
Xylose and yeasts: A story beyond xylitol production. Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj
1866:130154.

16. Paul BD, Snyder SH. 2015. Protein sulfhydration. Methods Enzymol 555:79–90.

17. Cost GJ, Boeke JD. 1996. A useful colony colour phenotype associated with the yeast
selectable/counter-selectable marker MET15. Yeast 12:939–941.

18. Peña A, Sánchez NS, González-López O, Calahorra M. 2015. Mechanisms involved in the
inhibition of glycolysis by cyanide and antimycin A in Candida albicans and its reversal by
hydrogen peroxide. A common feature in Candida species. FEMS Yeast Res 15:fov083.

19. Sakai H, Suzuki K, Imahori K. 1986. Purification and properties of pyruvate kinase from
Bacillus stearothermophilus. J Biochem 99:1157–1167.

20. Bergmeyer HV, Gawhn K, Grassel M. 1974. Alcohol dehydrogenase, p. 428–429. *In*
Methods of Enzymatic Analysis, 2nd ed. Academic Press, New York.

21. Alcock LJ, Perkins MV, Chalker JM. 2018. Chemical methods for mapping cysteine
oxidation. Chem Soc Rev 47:231–268.

22. Marino SM, Li Y, Fomenko DE, Agisheva N, Cerny RL, Gladyshev VN. 2010.
Characterization of surface-exposed reactive cysteine residues in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
Biochemistry 49:7709–7721.

23. Fu L, Liu K, He J, Tian C, Yu X, Yang J. 2020. Direct Proteomic Mapping of Cysteine
Persulfidation. Antioxid Redox Signal 33:1061–1076.

24. Dashko S, Zhou N, Compagno C, Piškur J. 2014. Why, when, and how did yeast evolve
alcoholic fermentation? FEMS Yeast Res 14:826–832.

25. Marcet-Houben M, Gabaldón T. 2015. Beyond the Whole-Genome Duplication:
Phylogenetic Evidence for an Ancient Interspecies Hybridization in the Baker's Yeast
Lineage. PLoS Biol 13:e1002220.

26. Shen X-X, Opulente DA, Kominek J, Zhou X, Steenwyk JL, Buh KV, Haase MAB,
Wisecaver JH, Wang M, Doering DT, Boudouris JT, Schneider RM, Langdon QK, Ohkuma
M, Endoh R, Takashima M, Manabe R-I, Čadež N, Libkind D, Rosa CA, DeVirgilio J,
Hulfachor AB, Groenewald M, Kurtzman CP, Hittinger CT, Rokas A. 2018. Tempo and
Mode of Genome Evolution in the Budding Yeast Subphylum. Cell 175:1533-1545.e20.

27. Fabricio MF, Valente P, Záchia Ayub MA. 2019. Oleaginous yeast Meyerozyma
guilliermondii shows fermentative metabolism of sugars in the biosynthesis of ethanol and
converts raw glycerol and cheese whey permeate into polyunsaturated fatty acids. Biotechnol
Prog 35:e2895.

28. Gao X-H, Krokowski D, Guan B-J, Bederman I, Majumder M, Parisien M, Diatchenko L,
Kabil O, Willard B, Banerjee R, Wang B, Bebek G, Evans CR, Fox PL, Gerson SL, Hoppel
CL, Liu M, Arvan P, Hatzoglou M. 2015. Quantitative H2S-mediated protein sulfhydration
reveals metabolic reprogramming during the integrated stress response. Elife 4:e10067.

29. Aroca Á, Serna A, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2015. S-sulfhydration: a cysteine posttranslational modification in plant systems. Plant Physiol 168:334–342.

30. Aroca A, Benito JM, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2017. Persulfidation proteome reveals the regulation of protein function by hydrogen sulfide in diverse biological processes in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 68:4915–4927.

31. Peng H, Zhang Y, Palmer LD, Kehl-Fie TE, Skaar EP, Trinidad JC, Giedroc DP. 2017. Hydrogen Sulfide and Reactive Sulfur Species Impact Proteome S-Sulfhydration and Global Virulence Regulation in Staphylococcus aureus. ACS Infect Dis 3:744–755.

32. Li L, Whiteman M, Guan YY, Neo KL, Cheng Y, Lee SW, Zhao Y, Baskar R, Tan C-H, Moore PK. 2008. Characterization of a novel, water-soluble hydrogen sulfide-releasing molecule (GYY4137): new insights into the biology of hydrogen sulfide. Circulation 117:2351–2360.

33. Ju Y, Wu L, Yang G. 2016. Thioredoxin 1 regulation of protein S-desulfhydration. Biochem Biophys Rep 5:27–34.

34. Wedmann R, Onderka C, Wei S, Szijártó IA, Miljkovic JL, Mitrovic A, Lange M, Savitsky S, Yadav PK, Torregrossa R, Harrer EG, Harrer T, Ishii I, Gollasch M, Wood ME, Galardon E, Xian M, Whiteman M, Banerjee R, Filipovic MR. 2016. Improved tag-switch method reveals that thioredoxin acts as depersulfidase and controls the intracellular levels of protein persulfidation. Chem Sci 7:3414–3426.

35. Paul BD, Filipovic MR. 2021. Editorial: Molecular Mechanisms of Thiol-Based Redox Homeostasis and Signaling in the Brain. Front Aging Neurosci 13:771877.

36. Campbell-Burk SL, Shulman RG. 1987. High-resolution NMR studies of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Annu Rev Microbiol 41:595–616.

37. Harsch MJ, Lee SA, Goddard MR, Gardner RC. 2010. Optimized fermentation of grape juice by laboratory strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res 10:72–82.

38. Wolfe KH. 2015. Origin of the Yeast Whole-Genome Duplication. PLoS Biol 13:e1002221.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. H$_2$S productivity correlates with S-persulfidated proteins levels. (A) H$_2$S

productivity by *wt*, *met5Δmet10Δ* and *met17Δ*. Cells were incubated at 30°C on YPDL plates for

4 days. (B) S-persulfidated proteins in *wt*, *met5Δmet10Δ* and *met17Δ* strains. Whole cell extracts

from exponential phase cultures were subjected to the modified biotin switch assay with antibody

against biotin (α-Biotin Ab) to detect S-persulfidation.

Figure 2. Confirmation of S-persulfidated proteins by streptavidin beads precipitation.

Whole cell extracts from exponential phase cultures were subjected to the modified biotin switch

assay, precipitated with streptavidin beads and detected with antibodies specific to each protein

Eno2, Tdh3, Cys4 and Tpi1. HPDP-B: (N-[6-(biotinamido)hexyl]-3'-(2'-

pyridyldithio)propionamide), TCL: Total cell lysate, PP STREP: Streptavidin precipitation.

Figure 3. H$_2$S increase the activity of GAPDH and Pyruvate Kinase two hours after

stimulation. (A) Yeast cell cultures at exponential phase were treated with NaHS 0.1 and 0.25

mM. Two hours later whole cell extracts were used to measure GAPDH activity *in vitro* at 37°C.

One-way ANOVA ** $P<0.0001$. (B) Yeast cell cultures at exponential phase were treated with

NaHS 0.1 and 0.25 mM. Seven hours later whole cell extracts were used to measure GAPDH

activity *in vitro* at 37°C. (C) Yeast cell cultures at exponential phase were treated with NaHS 0.1

and 0.25 mM. Two hours later whole cell extracts were used to measure Pyruvate Kinase activity

in vitro at 37°C. One-way ANOVA * $P<0.01$. Closed circles, untreated cells; closed squares, NaHS

0.1 mM; closed triangles, NaHS 0.25 mM

Figure 4. Exogenous and endogenous H₂S on yeast cells induce ethanol production and ATP synthesis. (A) BY4742 yeast cell cultures were treated with NaHS 0.1 mM and seven hour later supernatants were collected. Ethanol production was measured *in vitro* at 37°C. Closed circles, untreated cells; closed squares, NaHS 0.1 mM. Unpaired t * P=0.04. (B) Yeast cell cultures of the strains BY4741 and BY4742 supernatants were collected at 24 h. Ethanol production was measured *in vitro* at 37°C. Closed squares, BY4741; open squares, BY4742. Unpaired t * P=0.02 (C) Yeast cell cultures at exponential phase were treated with NaHS 0.1 and 0.25 mM. Two and four hours later whole cell extracts were lysated and ATP was quantified. ATP production was measure *in vitro* at 37°C. Closed circles, untreated cells; closed squares, NaHS 0.1 mM; closed triangles, NaHS 0.25 mM. One-way ANOVA ** P<0.001.

Figure 5. Yeast mutants that accumulate H₂S synthesize ethanol faster and more ATP than lower endogenously accumulated H₂S. (A) 48 hours precultures of yeast cell of the strains *wt*, *met5Δmet10Δ* and *met17Δ* were resuspended in fresh media and supernatants were collected every hour. Ethanol production was measured *in vitro* at 37°C. (D) Yeast cell cultures at exponential and stationary phase of the strains *wt*, *met5Δmet10Δ* and *met17Δ* were lysated and ATP was quantified. ATP production was measure *in vitro* at 37°C. One-way ANOVA * P<0.05, ** P<0.01. Open circles, *wt*; closed squares, *met5Δmet10Δ*; closed diamonds, *met17Δ*.

Figure 6. Exogenous H₂S induce ethanol production in *K. marxianus* and *M. guilliermondi.* (A) *K. marxianus* yeast cell cultures were treated with NaHS 0.1 mM and seven hour later

supernatants were collected. Ethanol production was measured *in vitro* at 37°C. Unpaired t **

P=0.002. (B) *M. guilliermondi* yeast cell cultures were treated with NaHS 0.1 mM and 24 h later

cells were treated again with same concentration of NaHS. Seven hour later supernatants were

collected. Ethanol production was measured *in vitro* at 37°C. Unpaired t * P=0.04. Closed circles,

untreated cells; closed squares, NaHS 0.1 mM.

Supplementary Figure 1. H$_2$S does not increase the Alcohol dehydrogenase activity two hours

after stimulation. Yeast cell cultures at exponential phase were treated with NaHS 0.1 and 0.25

mM. Two hours later whole cell extracts were used to measure Alcohol dehydrogenase activity *in*

vitro at 37°C.

Figure 1

A

WT   *Δmet5/10*   *Δmet17*

B

α-Biotin Ab

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A   **Ethanol production in BY4742**

B   **Ethanol production**

C   **ATP production in BY4742**

Figure 5

Figure 6

A   *K. marxianus*

mM EtOH / 10^7 cells

1.5

1.0   **

0.5

0.0

Control   NaHS 0.1mM

B   *M. guilliermondi*

mM EtOH / 10^7 cells

0.3

0.2   *

0.1

0.0

Control   NaHS 0.1mM

Supplemental Figure 1

Supplementary Table 1

Yeast strains

Name	Genotype	Source
BY4741	*MAT**a**; his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0; met15Δ 0; ura3Δ*	Euroscarf
BY4742	*MATα; his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0; lys2Δ 0; ura3Δ*	Euroscarf
GNO13	*MATα; his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0; lys2Δ 0; ura3Δ; met5Δ:KanMx; met10Δ:ClonNAT*	This study
PM01	*MATα; his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0; lys2Δ 0; ura3Δ; met17Δ:KanMx*	This study
Kluyveromyces marxianus	Isolated from mezcal production	Estrada-Ávila 2022
Meyerozyma guilliermondii	Isolated from mezcal production	Estrada- Ávila 2022

Oligonucleotide primers

Name	Secuence
met5F	ATAAAGTAACAGTAGGGAACGGGAACCAGAGAAAAACAAAAGATTGGCGAcagctgaagcttcgtacgc
met5R	AATGTGGGAAGAAAACCCAATAGTATGTCCTACTATGTCATATGCTATCAcataggccactagtggatc
met10F	ATAGTTTTTTTCAACTGCTTTTCCTCGAGGTCACCCAAATATACAACGAGcagctgaagcttcgtacgc
met10R	TTCAAGACAGGTTCAATAAATAGATATTTAGTTTTTATTACTATATTAATcataggccactagtggatc
met17F	TACAGGGTCGTCAGATACATAGATACAATTCTATTACCCCCATCCATACAcagctgaagcttcgtacgc
met17R	TTGTGAGAGAAAGTAGGTTTATACATAATTTTACAACTCATTACGCACACcataggccactagtggatc

Supplementary Table 2

YPGal-Control			
Accession	Protein Name	Unused Score	Coverage (%)
ACAC_YEAST	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase	59.56	44.42
PYC1_YEAST	Pyruvate carboxylase 1	30.46	46.10
SYEC_YEAST	Glutamate--tRNA ligase cytoplasmic	16.73	47.18
ARC1_YEAST	tRNA-aminoacylation cofactor ARC1	10.27	39.10
RS17B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S17-B	8.00	75.00
PYC2_YEAST	Pyruvate carboxylase 2	2.00	42.03
YJ41B_YEAST	Transposon Ty4-J Gag-Pol polyprotein	2.00	16.75
RS25B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S25-B	2.00	55.56
YPGal- SSH			
Accession	Protein Name	Unused Score	Coverage (%)
ARC1_YEAST	tRNA-aminoacylation cofactor ARC1	18.28	37.23
ADH1_YEAST	Alcohol dehydrogenase 1	14.00	27.01
ALF_YEAST	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	12.01	30.36
EF1A_YEAST	Elongation factor 1-alpha	11.53	29.69
ENO2_YEAST	Enolase 2	10.63	47.60
HSP71_YEAST	Heat shock protein SSA1	10.20	40.81
PGK_YEAST	Phosphoglycerate kinase	8.02	37.50
KPYK1_YEAST	Pyruvate kinase 1	8.01	40.00
RL27B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L27-B	4.54	38.24
RS3A1_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S1-A	4.48	38.04
ACAC_YEAST	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase	4.38	15.63
RS8B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S8-B	4.15	38.99
TPIS_YEAST	Triosephosphate isomerase	4.13	29.44
RL10_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L10	4.00	53.39
GAL1_YEAST	Galactokinase	4.00	16.67
G3P3_YEAST	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 3	4.00	28.92
PDC1_YEAST	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1	4.00	11.90
PMG1_YEAST	Phosphoglycerate mutase 1	4.00	27.13
RL3_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L3	2.00	39.02
VDAC1_YEAST	Mitochondrial outer membrane protein porin 1	2.00	32.86
RS4B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S4-B	2.00	19.92
RL6A_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L6-A	2.00	26.70
HSP74_YEAST	Heat shock protein SSA4	1.46	33.02

Supplementary Table 2

YPD-Control

Accession	Protein Name	Unused Score	Coverage (%)
ACAC_YEAST	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase	132.24	70.67
PYC1_YEAST	Pyruvate carboxylase 1	48.95	70.08
SYEC_YEAST	Glutamate--tRNA ligase cytoplasmic	47.71	70.20
ARC1_YEAST	tRNA-aminoacylation cofactor ARC1	31.70	76.60
DUR1_YEAST	Urea amidolyase	21.94	54.55
RS17B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S17-B	14.00	95.59
COPA_YEAST	Coatomer subunit alpha	11.51	46.63
PYC1_YEAST	Pyruvate carboxylase 1	6.35	56.53
RL37A_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L37-A	4.18	86.36
RL17A_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L17-A	4.07	81.52
RL37B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L37-B	4.01	87.50
RS16B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S16-B	3.21	94.41
RL35B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L35-B	2.34	71.67
RL43B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L43-B	2.13	69.57
RS30B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S30-B	2.01	87.30
RS15_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S15	2.00	57.04
RS19B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S19-B	2.00	66.67
IRC5_YEAST	Uncharacterized ATP-dependent helicase IRC5	1.96	32.94
RGT1_YEAST	Glucose transport transcription regulator RGT1	1.42	18.38
RL13B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L13-B	1.33	80.40

YPD-SSH

Accession	Protein Name	Unused Score	Coverage (%)
ARC1_YEAST	tRNA-aminoacylation cofactor ARC1	26.13	67.02
KPYK1_YEAST	Pyruvate kinase 1	24.17	63.40
EF1A_YEAST	Elongation factor 1-alpha	24.03	55.90
ADH1_YEAST	Alcohol dehydrogenase 1	18.61	54.60
ACAC_YEAST	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase	18.51	43.48
ALF_YEAST	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	14.03	37.88
ENO2_YEAST	Enolase 2	12.09	55.38
RS11B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S11-B	11.05	83.33
HSP71_YEAST	Heat shock protein SSA1	10.59	32.87
G3P3_YEAST	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 3	8.06	49.10
PGK_YEAST	Phosphoglycerate kinase	8.00	54.09
HSP75_YEAST	Heat shock protein SSB1	6.58	32.79
RL3_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L3	6.15	60.98
RL27B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L27-B	6.10	76.47
RS8B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S8-B	6.09	53.50
RS16B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S16-B	6.03	70.63
PDC1_YEAST	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1	6.00	22.56
PST2_YEAST	Protoplast secreted protein 2	6.00	36.36
RL20B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L20-B	5.70	56.40
RL6B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L6-B	4.80	38.07
RS3_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S3	4.70	63.33
RS4B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S4-	4.63	60.54
EF2_YEAST	Elongation factor 2	4.48	31.71
RL10_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L10	4.11	44.80
RS3A2_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S1-B	4.09	52.16

Supplementary Table 2

TKT1_YEAST	Transketolase 1	4.03	31.32
RL4A_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L4-A	4.00	67.68
PMG1_YEAST	Phosphoglycerate mutase 1	4.00	54.25
RS20_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S20	4.00	71.07
TPIS_YEAST	Triosephosphate isomerase	4.00	25.81
CYPH_YEAST	Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase	4.00	25.31
RL7A_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L7-A	3.50	72.54
RL13B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L13-B	2.89	70.35
RL15B_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L15-B	2.86	76.47
PYR1_YEAST	Protein URA2	2.50	21.54
RL30_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L30	2.16	50.48
MPG1_YEAST	Mannose-1-phosphate guanyltransferase	2.11	30.75
RS24B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S24-B	2.05	68.15
RS19B_YEAST	40S ribosomal protein S19-B	2.01	64.44
RL6A_YEAST	60S ribosomal protein L6-A	2.00	47.16
CG13_YEAST	G1/S-specific cyclin CLN3	2.00	18.79
YCP4_YEAST	Flavoprotein-like protein YCP4	2.00	34.01
HAL9_YEAST	Halotolerance protein 9	1.34	13.20

Supplementary Table 3

Protein activity values

GAPDH 2 h

NaHS mM	Media ± SD
0	0.2364 ± 0.01
0.1	0.3324 ± 0.03
0.25	0.3505 ± 0.02

GAPDH 7 h

NaHS mM	Media ± SD
0	0.2832 ± 0.05
0.1	0.3716 ± 0.02
0.25	0.2837 ± 0.02

PK 2 h

NaHS mM	Media ± SD
0	0.1216 ± 0.02
0.1	0.2909 ± 0.02
0.25	0.3398 ± 0.09