1 2	Dermal appendage-dependent patterning of zebrafish atoh1a+ Merkel cells
3	Tanya L. Brown <sup>1,†</sup> , Emma C. Horton <sup>1,†</sup> , Evan W. Craig <sup>1</sup> , Madeleine N. Hewitt <sup>2,3,4</sup> , Nathaniel G.
4	Yee <sup>1</sup> , Camille E.A. Goo <sup>1</sup> , Everett T. Fan <sup>1</sup> , Erik C. Black <sup>1,2</sup> , David W. Raible <sup>3,4,5</sup> , Jeffrey P.
5	Rasmussen <sup>1,5</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
8	<sup>2</sup> Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, University of Washington, Seattle, WA,
9	98195, USA
10	<sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Structure, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
11	<sup>4</sup> Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, University of Washington,
12	Seattle, WA 98195, USA
13	<sup>5</sup> Institute for Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle,
14	WA 98109, USA
15	* Equal contribution
16	
17	Corresponding author: JPR (rasmuss@uw.edu)
18	
19	Keywords: somatosensory neuron, epidermis, skin, ectodysplasin, Fgf8

#### 20 ABSTRACT

21

22 Touch system function requires precise interactions between specialized skin cells and 23 somatosensory axons, as exemplified by the vertebrate mechanosensory Merkel cell-neurite 24 complex. Development and patterning of Merkel cells and associated neurites during skin 25 organogenesis remains poorly understood, partly due to the *in utero* development of mammalian 26 embryos. Here, we discover Merkel cells in the zebrafish epidermis and identify Atonal homolog 27 1a (Atoh1a) as a marker of zebrafish Merkel cells. We show that zebrafish Merkel cells derive 28 from basal keratinocytes, express neurosecretory and mechanosensory machinery, extend actin-29 rich microvilli, and complex with somatosensory axons, all hallmarks of mammalian Merkel cells. 30 Merkel cells populate all major adult skin compartments, with region-specific densities and 31 distribution patterns. In vivo photoconversion reveals that Merkel cells undergo steady loss and 32 replenishment during skin homeostasis. Merkel cells develop concomitant with dermal 33 appendages along the trunk, and preventing dermal appendage formation reduces Merkel cell 34 density by affecting both cell differentiation and maintenance. By contrast, altering dermal 35 appendage morphology changes the distribution, but not density, of Merkel cells. Overall, our 36 studies provide insights into touch system maturation during skin organogenesis and establish 37 zebrafish as an experimentally accessible *in vivo* model for the study of Merkel cell biology.

38

39

#### 40 INTRODUCTION

41

42 Skin functions as our primary interface with the physical environment and can distinguish a range 43 of tactile inputs with exquisite acuity. As the skin undergoes organogenesis, the epidermis 44 transforms from a simple, uniform epithelium into a complex, diverse tissue. During these 45 dramatic changes, the skin develops regionally specialized sensory structures and becomes 46 innervated by specific types of somatosensory neurites (reviewed by Jenkins and Lumpkin, 2017). 47 Interactions between somatosensory neurites and cutaneous cell types regulate diverse tactile 48 responses (reviewed by Handler and Ginty, 2021). Altered tactile sensitivity during early 49 mammalian development has been associated with neurodevelopmental disorders (reviewed by 50 Orefice, 2020), underscoring the importance of understanding the cellular and molecular basis of 51 touch system development and function.

52

53 Merkel cells (MCs), a specialized mechanosensory cell type found in the vertebrate epidermis 54 (reviewed by Hartschuh et al., 1986), densely populate many highly sensitive regions of skin 55 (Lacour et al., 1991). MCs have several defining cellular characteristics that distinguish them from 56 other epidermal cell types: they are relatively small, extend actin-rich microvilli, contain 57 cytoplasmic granules reminiscent of synaptic vesicles, and form contacts with somatosensory axons (Hartschuh and Weihe, 1980; Mihara et al., 1979; Smith, Jr, 1977; Toyoshima et al., 1998). 58 59 In mammals, a subset of cutaneous somatosensory axons known as Aß slowly adapting type I 60 low-threshold mechanoreceptors (SAI-LTMRs) innervate MCs, forming the MC-neurite complex. 61 MCs detect mechanical inputs via the cation channel Piezo2 (Ikeda et al., 2014; Maksimovic et 62 al., 2014: Woo et al., 2014) and play an active role in touch sensation by releasing 63 neurotransmitters to activate neighboring neurites (Chang et al., 2016; Chang and Gu, 2020; 64 Hoffman et al., 2018). Genetic ablation of rodent MCs indicates they are required for specific 65 aspects of touch system function, including promoting the static phase of the slowly adapting 66 response of Aß SAI-LTMRs and sensory tasks such as texture discrimination (Maricich et al., 67 2012, 2009).

68

69 Molecular control of MC development has primarily been studied in rodent hairy skin (reviewed 70 by Oss-Ronen and Cohen, 2021). While this system has been useful for understanding many 71 aspects of MC development and function, it also has several significant limitations. First, 72 vertebrates have diverse types of skin and MCs are found in both hairy and glabrous (non-hairy) 73 skin, as well as mucocutaneous regions such as the gingiva and palate (Lacour et al., 1991; 74 Moayedi et al., 2021). Importantly, MC populations within different skin compartments share 75 similar transcriptional profiles (Nguyen et al., 2019). Thus, the establishment of complementary 76 genetic systems in different types of skin could help reveal both shared and divergent principles 77 of MC development. Second, because in utero development of mammalian skin limits access to 78 the developing touch system—combined with technical limitations of imaging intact mammalian 79 skin-the dynamics of MC development and innervation remain essentially unknown. Third, 80 unbiased screens for regulators of MC development would be difficult or impractical in rodents 81 due to the prohibitive cost of animal housing and difficulty of visualizing MCs in situ.

82

Anamniote model systems, such as the genetically tractable zebrafish, provide the potential to overcome these limitations. Interestingly, despite the different tactile environments encountered by terrestrial and aquatic vertebrates, MCs have been described by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in a wide variety of anamniotes, including teleost (ray-finned) fish, lungfish,

and lamprey (Fox et al., 1980; Lane and Whitear, 1977; Whitear and Lane, 1981). Here, we identify and characterize a population of zebrafish epidermal cells that we propose are bona fide MCs. Our studies establish the zebrafish as a promising new model to investigate the developmental and cell biology of MCs.

- 91
- 92

#### 93 **RESULTS**

94

### 95 Ultrastructural identification of presumptive MCs in the adult epidermis

96

97 Given the presence of cells with the ultrastructural characteristics of MCs in several teleosts (Lane 98 and Whitear, 1977), we reasoned that the zebrafish epidermis may contain similar cells. Whitear 99 (1989) defined five ultrastructural criteria for the identification of vertebrate MCs: 1) a relatively 100 small volume of cytoplasm; 2) an association with a nerve fiber; 3) the presence of cytoplasmic 101 granules; 4) desmosomal attachments to neighboring cells; and 5) peripheral microvilli.

102

103 We previously demonstrated that somatosensory axons densely innervate the epidermis above 104 scales (Rasmussen et al., 2018), dermal appendages that cover the adult zebrafish trunk (Figure 105 1A). By TEM, we found that many of the axon endings in the scale epidermis arborize between 106 keratinocyte membranes (Rasmussen et al., 2018). Interestingly, however, we identified 107 additional axon-associated epidermal cells that were distinct from the large, cuboidal 108 keratinocytes that comprise most of the epidermis based on several characteristics. The cells 109 appeared relatively small and spherical with a low cytoplasmic-to-nuclear ratio (Figure 1B,C). 110 contained cytoplasmic vesicles that in some instances localized adjacent to axon contacts (Figure 111 1B') and formed desmosomal-like attachments with neighboring keratinocytes (Figure 1B", B"'). 112 Furthermore, the cells extended spike-like microvillar processes that contacted adjacent cells 113 (Figure 1C,C'). Thus, based on established TEM criteria, we identified presumptive MCs in the 114 adult scale epidermis.

115

#### 116 atoh1a reporters label MCs in the adult epidermis

117

118 To date, a lack of genetically encoded reagents has hindered in-depth study of anamniote MCs.

119 Since the TEM studies of Whitear and colleagues decades ago, molecular markers have been

120 identified that distinguish mammalian MCs from other epidermal cells. For example, expression

121 of Atoh1 uniquely identifies MCs in rodent skin and is necessary and sufficient for MC 122 development (Morrison et al., 2009; Ostrowski et al., 2015; Van Keymeulen et al., 2009). The 123 zebrafish genome contains three genes (atoh1a, atoh1b, and atoh1c) encoding Atoh1 homologs 124 (Chaplin et al., 2010; Kani et al., 2010). To determine if the adult epidermis contained cells 125 expressing an Atoh1 homolog, we focused on characterizing the expression pattern of atoh1a 126 due to the availability of an enhancer trap line that expresses a nuclear localized version of the 127 photoconvertible fluorescent protein Eos (nls-Eos) from the endogenous atoh1a locus 128 (Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)) (Pickett et al., 2018). Confocal imaging of the adult trunk revealed that 129 Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos) labeled hair cells of the posterior lateral line, which formed tight clusters within 130 neuromasts in interscale regions (Figure 1E,E'). In addition to *atoh1a*+ cells of the lateral line, we 131 identified a second, spatially distinct population of atoh1a+ cells dispersed across the scale 132 surface (Figure 1E,E",F). Reconstructed cross-sections showed that this population of atoh1a+ 133 cells resided within the epidermis above scales (Figure 1F), in a similar axial position to the cells 134 we identified by TEM.

135

136 The numerous, actin-rich microvilli that emanate from the MC surface distinguish them from other 137 epidermal cells morphologically (Lane and Whitear, 1977; Toyoshima et al., 1998; Yamashita et 138 al., 1993). To determine whether the dispersed epidermal atoh1a+ cells extended microvilli, we 139 created an *atoh1a* enhancer trap line that expresses Lifeact-EGFP, a reporter for filamentous 140 actin (Riedl et al., 2008). Similar to Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos), Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP) labeled hair 141 cells of the lateral line and inner ear in larvae (Figure 1-figure supplement 1). atoh1a+ cells were 142 notably absent from regions above the larval eye, yolk sac, or caudal fin (Figure 1-figure 143 supplement 1), where neuroepithelial cells (NECs), a morphologically distinct population of 144 sensory cells, have been described in larval skin (Coccimiglio and Jonz, 2012). Confocal 145 microscopy of the scale epidermis in Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP) adults revealed actin-rich microvilli 146 densely decorating atoh1a+ cells in close proximity to neighboring keratinocytes (Figure 1G), 147 further suggesting that the epidermal atoh1a+ cell population shared key characteristics with the 148 candidate MCs identified by TEM. Immunostaining for Sox2, a transcription factor required for 149 murine MC maturation (Bardot et al., 2013; Perdigoto et al., 2014), demonstrated that the 150 epidermal atoh1a+ cells expressed Sox2 (Figure 1—figure supplement 2). Together, these results 151 define molecular and cellular properties of a previously uncharacterized epidermal cell population 152 in zebrafish and identify genetic reagents for the study of this cell type. Anticipating the conclusion 153 of our analysis below, we shall hereafter refer to the epidermal atoh1a+ cells as MCs. 154

# Somatosensory axons innervate zebrafish MCs, which display neurosecretory and mechanosensory characteristics

157

We next sought to determine whether zebrafish MCs displayed other key characteristics of MCs defined in mammals, including innervation by somatosensory axons and expression of neurosecretory and mechanosensory machinery.

161

162 Our ultrastructural observations suggested that cutaneous axons innervate MCs (Figure 1B). 163 Staining scales with zn-12, a monoclonal antibody that labels several types of peripheral axons 164 (Metcalfe et al., 1990), revealed that >90% of MCs tightly associated with axons (Figure 2A,C). 165 To determine the type of axon(s) innervating MCs, we examined expression of genetically 166 encoded somatosensory axon reporters that we previously characterized in adult scales 167 (Rasmussen et al., 2018). Analysis of reporters for three somatosensory neuron-expressed genes 168 (p2rx3a, p2rx3b, and trpa1b) (Kucenas et al., 2006; Palanca et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2012) 169 demonstrated that somatosensory axons innervated up to 99% of MCs (Figure 2B.C). Consistent 170 with ultrastructural analyses of MCs in the skin of other teleosts (Whitear, 1989), some axons 171 formed ring-like structures that wrapped around MCs and MC-axon contacts frequently contained 172 varicosities or swellings (Figure 2B, inset and 2D-F; Supplemental Video 1). Additionally, we 173 observed examples of axons forming both bouton- and en passant-like contacts with MCs (Figure 174 2G,H).

175

176 Based on our observation that MCs contained cytoplasmic granules (Figure 1B), we postulated 177 that they would display neurosecretory characteristics. We began by staining scales with an 178 antibody against synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2 (SV2), a component of secretory vesicle 179 membranes (Buckley and Kelly, 1985). Essentially all MCs contained SV2-positive structures 180 (Figure 3A), suggesting they express neurosecretory machinery that may contain 181 neurotransmitter(s). Indeed, immunostaining revealed that MCs expressed serotonin (5-182 hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT) (Figure 3B), similar to mammalian MCs (Chang et al., 2016; English et 183 al., 1992; García-Caballero et al., 1989). Both 5-HT and SV2 appeared in a speckled pattern 184 within MCs (Figure 3A,B), consistent with a vesicular localization.

185

186 Do zebrafish MCs exhibit properties consistent with mechanosensory function? To address this 187 question, we began by staining scales with AM1-43, an activity-dependent fluorescent styryl dye 188 that labels a variety of sensory cells, including mammalian MCs (Meyers et al., 2003). Following

189 a short preincubation, AM1-43 robustly stained MC membranes and punctate structures 190 reminiscent of vesicular compartments (Figure 3C), suggestive of ion channel expression in MCs 191 (Meyers et al., 2003). Mammalian MCs express the mechanically activated cation channel Piezo2, 192 which is required for MC mechanosensory responses (lkeda et al., 2014; Maksimovic et al., 2014; 193 Woo et al., 2014). Fluorescent in situ hybridization with an antisense probe against piezo2 194 strongly labeled MCs in adult scales (Figure 3D). Together, these data suggest that 195 somatosensory peripheral axons innervate adult MCs, which possess neurosecretory and 196 mechanosensory properties.

- 197
- 198
- 199

200 What are the precursors of MCs in zebrafish? Analysis of MC progenitors have come to conflicting 201 results in avians and rodents: quail/chick chimeras suggest a neural crest origin for avian MC 202 (Grim and Halata, 2000), whereas Cre-based lineage tracing studies in mouse demonstrate an 203

epidermal origin (Morrison et al., 2009; Van Keymeulen et al., 2009).

MCs arise from basal keratinocyte precursors

204

205 To investigate a possible neural crest origin, we crossed a Cre driver expressed in neural crest 206 progenitors (Tg(sox10:Cre); (Kague et al., 2012)) to a reporter transgene that stably expresses 207 DsRed upon Cre-mediated recombination from a guasi-ubiguitous promoter (Tq(actb2:LOXP-208 BFP-LOXP-DsRed); (Kobayashi et al., 2014)) (Figure 4A). DsRed+ neural crest-derived cell 209 types, such as Schwann cells, appeared along scales, indicative of successful recombination 210 (Figure 4B). However, we observed <0.5% colocalization between the neural crest lineage trace 211 and a MC reporter (Figure 4B',E). Based on these results, we concluded that zebrafish MCs likely 212 derive from a non-neural crest lineage.

213

214 To investigate a possible epidermal origin, we considered basal keratinocytes, an epidermal-215 resident stem cell population, the most likely candidate progenitors. To follow this lineage, we 216 engineered a transgene to express a tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombinase from regulatory 217 sequences of  $\Delta Np63$  (TgBAC( $\Delta Np63$ :Cre-ERT2)), a basal keratinocyte marker (Bakkers et al., 218 2002; Lee and Kimelman, 2002). We crossed this transgene to the Cre reporter transgene and 219 treated embryos with 4-OHT at 1 day post-fertilization (dpf) to induce Cre-ERT2 activity, which 220 resulted in permanent DsRed expression in basal keratinocytes and their derivatives (Figure 221 4C,D; Figure 4-figure supplement 1). 4-OHT-treated animals showed extensive co-labeling

between the basal keratinocyte lineage trace and a MC reporter (Figure 4D',E). These observations strongly support a basal keratinocyte origin of zebrafish MCs.

224

#### 225 MCs continuously turn over in adult skin

226

227 The longevity and turnover of murine MCs is controversial. Several studies concluded that MC 228 numbers fluctuate with hair cycle stages (Marshall et al., 2016; Moll et al., 1996; Nakafusa et al., 229 2006), while another found no correlation (Wright et al., 2017). To determine the turnover rate of 230 zebrafish MCs, we photoconverted small regions of the scale epidermis in *Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)* 231 adults and tracked individual cells over time. Exposure to UV light irreversibly photoconverts nls-232 Eos, allowing us to distinguish pre-existing cells (containing photoconverted nls-Eos) from newly 233 added cells (without photoconverted nls-Eos) (Figure 5A-C). By longitudinally tracking individual 234 fish over the course of 28 days, we found a decrease of ~15% of the photoconverted MCs every 235 7 days (Figure 5D). In addition to the gradual loss of MCs over time, we noted a steady addition 236 of new MCs, resulting in a nearly constant total cell number (Figure 5E). Thus, MCs undergo 237 constant cell loss and renewal in adult skin, albeit at a slower rate than atoh1a-expressing hair 238 cells of the lateral line (Cruz et al., 2015).

239

#### 240 MCs are widely distributed across the body, in compartment-specific patterns

241

242 MCs localize to specific regions of mammalian skin, such as in crescent-shaped touch domes 243 adjacent to hair follicles in hairy skin and at the bottom of rete ridges in glabrous skin (Boot et al., 244 1992; Fradette et al., 1995; Iggo and Muir, 1969; Lacour et al., 1991). To determine the distribution 245 pattern of zebrafish MCs, we used confocal microscopy to survey multiple regions of the adult 246 skin. In addition to the MCs found on the trunk, MCs appeared in the epidermis above the eyes, 247 gill covers (opercula), and fins (Figure 6A-E). While MC morphology was similar across the skin 248 compartments (Figure 6A-E, insets), MC densities and spatial distributions varied across skin 249 compartments (Figure 6F). For example, MCs were distributed uniformly across the eye (Figure 250 6B). By contrast, in the caudal fin, MCs localized specifically to the epidermis above bony rays 251 and in medial regions of the interray epidermis between bony rays (Figure 6E). Along the trunk, 252 MCs appeared in patches, similar to the pattern of dermal scales beneath the epidermis (Figure 253 6D). Altogether, our results demonstrate that MCs are widely distributed across the adult zebrafish 254 skin and localize in specific patterns in each skin compartment.

255

#### 256 Trunk MCs develop concomitant with dermal appendage morphogenesis

257

258 To examine the mechanisms that generate a compartment-specific MC pattern, we focused on 259 the trunk skin because of its molecular and cellular similarities to murine hairy skin (Aman et al., 260 2018; Harris et al., 2008). Both during ontogeny and at post-embryonic stages, murine MCs 261 associate with primary (quard) hairs, a subclass of dermal appendages (Jenkins et al., 2019; 262 Nguyen et al., 2018). Based on these studies in mice, and our previous work showing that 263 epidermal diversification and somatosensory remodeling coincides with scale development in 264 zebrafish (Rasmussen et al., 2018), we postulated that MCs would appear during squamation 265 (scale formation).

266

Zebrafish post-larval development is staged by standard length (SL) in millimeters (mm) (Parichy et al., 2009). Squamation begins at ~9 mm SL (Figure 7A) (Aman et al., 2018; Harris et al., 2008; Sire et al., 1997a). Using reporters that label MCs and scale-forming osteoblasts, we observed only rare MCs in the epidermis prior to the onset of squamation (Figure 7B). By contrast, MC density rapidly increased between 10-15 mm SL, a period of active scale growth (Figure 7C-F). The density and number of MCs positively correlated with scale area (Figure 7G,H). These data indicate that MC development coincides with dermal appendage growth along the trunk.

274

#### 275 Ectodysplasin signaling promotes trunk MC development

276

277 Since appearance of MCs in the trunk epidermis tightly correlated with scale growth, we examined 278 the consequences of blocking dermal appendage morphogenesis on MC development. 279 Ectodysplasin (Eda) signaling regulates the formation of many types of skin appendages, 280 including mammalian hair follicles and zebrafish scales (Biggs and Mikkola, 2014; Harris et al., 281 2008). To determine whether MC development requires Eda-dependent signals, we measured 282 MC density in animals homozygous for a presumptive null allele of eda that do not develop scales (eda<sup>dt1261/dt1261</sup>; hereafter eda<sup>-/-</sup>) (Harris et al., 2008). Immediately prior to squamation, we found 283 284 that there was no difference in MC density between eda mutants and sibling controls (Figure 285 8A,B,G). However, after the onset of squamation, eda mutants had significantly fewer MCs, a 286 difference that persisted into adulthood (Figure 8A-G). In addition to the decrease in cell density, 287 we observed a dramatic change in the spatial distribution of MCs across the epidermis in eda 288 mutants compared to controls (Figure 8H). Specifically, in siblings, MCs appeared in patches 289 corresponding to the location of the underlying scales (Figure 8C,H). By contrast, the few MCs

that developed in *eda* mutants were distributed uniformly across the trunk (Figure 8D,H). Although we found a decrease in MC density in the trunk skin of the mutants, we observed no change in MC density above the eye or operculum (Figure 8I), suggesting that the reduced density was specific to the trunk skin.

294

295 The decreased MC density in *eda* mutant trunk skin could be due to decreased cell addition. 296 increased cell turnover rate, or a combination of the two. Using in vivo photoconversion, we found 297 that the rate of MC addition was significantly reduced in eda mutants compared to siblings (Figure 298 8-figure supplement 1A-D). Additionally, the rate of cell loss was higher in mutants compared to 299 siblings (Figure 8—figure supplement 1E). Thus, our observations indicate that the decrease in 300 MC cell density in eda mutants is likely due to both reduced MC production and increased MC 301 turnover. Together, these data suggest that Eda signaling is required for MC development, 302 maintenance, and distribution along the trunk.

303

#### 304 Altering dermal appendage shape and size redistributes MCs

305

306 Since blocking dermal appendage formation inhibited MC development, we next examined the 307 consequences of altering dermal appendage size and shape on MC patterning. Zebrafish scale 308 morphogenesis is regulated by Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling (Aman et al., 2018; 309 Daane et al., 2016; De Simone et al., 2021; Rohner et al., 2009). To determine whether alterations to scale patterning impacted MC development, we examined animals heterozygous for an allele 310 of hagoromo (hag; fgf8a<sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup>), which results in fgf8a overexpression in the post-embryonic skin 311 312 due to a viral insertion near the faf8a locus (Amsterdam et al., 2009). An independent allele of haq (fqf8a<sup>dhi4000Tg/+</sup>) was previously shown to result in large, disorganized sheets of scale-forming 313 314 osteoblasts during squamation (Aman et al., 2018). fgf8a<sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup> juveniles showed dramatic 315 variability in scale size and shape, with both smaller and larger scales compared to the remarkably 316 uniformly patterned scales observed in sibling controls (Figure 9A-D; Figure 9—figure supplement 317 1A-C). We found no significant differences in MC density between the genotypes (Figure 9— 318 figure supplement 1D,E). Nevertheless, the distribution of MCs tracked with the altered scale size 319 and shape in the mutants, suggesting the MC pattern is not predetermined (Figure 9). Based on these data, we concluded that altering dermal appendage morphogenesis is sufficient to 320 321 redistribute MCs within the trunk skin compartment.

- 322
- 323

#### 324 **DISCUSSION**

325

326 Here, we discover a zebrafish epidermal cell type that we classify as a MC based on ultrastructural 327 criteria (Whitear, 1989). We further present several lines of evidence that suggest zebrafish MCs 328 share molecular, cellular, and lineage properties with mammalian MCs. First, we show that 329 zebrafish MCs express the transcription factors Atoh1a and Sox2, the orthologs of which uniquely 330 mark MCs in mammalian skin (Maricich et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2018; Ostrowski et al., 2015; 331 Van Keymeulen et al., 2009). Second, zebrafish MCs extend numerous short, actin-rich microvilli 332 and complex with somatosensory axons, classic morphological hallmarks of MCs (Mihara et al., 333 1979; Smith, Jr, 1977; Toyoshima et al., 1998). Third, Cre-based lineage tracing revealed that 334 basal keratinocytes give rise to zebrafish MCs, akin to studies in mouse (Morrison et al., 2009; 335 Van Keymeulen et al., 2009). Fourth, we demonstrate that zebrafish MCs contain neurosecretory 336 machinery and express the neurotransmitter serotonin, release of which has been proposed to 337 regulate somatosensory responses to touch (Chang et al., 2016; Chang and Gu, 2020; English 338 et al., 1992). Finally, we show that zebrafish MCs express the cation channel Piezo2, which is 339 cell-autonomously required for MC mechanosensory function (lkeda et al., 2014; Maksimovic et 340 al., 2014; Woo et al., 2014). Importantly, our results extend previous histological studies of MCs 341 in various teleost fish (Hartschuh and Weihe, 1980; Lane and Whitear, 1977; Whitear, 1989; 342 Whitear and Lane, 1981; Zachar and Jonz, 2012) by identifying the first genetically encoded 343 reagents for the study of this cell type in zebrafish.

344

#### 345 Teleost Merkel cells and somatosensory physiology

346

347 In mammalian skin, the MC-neurite complex regulates slowly adapting type I responses to light 348 touch (Iggo and Muir, 1969; Ikeda et al., 2014; Maksimovic et al., 2014; Maricich et al., 2009; Woo 349 et al., 2014). Although physiological studies of somatosensory responses in adult zebrafish have 350 not been reported, extracellular recordings in adult rainbow trout demonstrated that a subset of 351 somatosensory neurons exhibited slowly adapting responses to mechanical skin stimulation 352 (Ashley et al., 2007, 2006; Sneddon, 2003). We postulate that the slowly adapting responses to 353 mechanical skin stimulation in adults requires MCs. Nevertheless, the exact physiological roles 354 of teleost MCs in regulating somatosensory responses and resulting behaviors remain unknown 355 and will require the development of tools to selectively ablate and activate MCs. Interestingly, 356 recordings from zebrafish Rohon-Beard neurons, a transient larval somatosensory population, 357 suggest they have rapidly, but not slowly, adapting mechanosensory responses (Katz et al.,

358 2021). Together these studies correlate with our finding that MCs develop at post-larval stages
 and suggest that the teleost somatosensory system undergoes significant functional maturation
 during the juvenile period.

361

362 What are the subtypes of somatosensory neurons in fish and how do they correspond to MC 363 innervation? Several studies have identified molecularly distinct subsets of somatosensory 364 neurons through mRNA, protein, and transgene expression analysis in larvae (Faucherre et al., 365 2013; Gau et al., 2017, 2013; Kucenas et al., 2006; Palanca et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2012; Patten 366 et al., 2007; Slatter et al., 2005). Adult trout somatosensory neurons have been classified based 367 on their responses to mechanical, chemical, and thermal stimuli (Ashley et al., 2007, 2006; 368 Sneddon, 2003). However, to date, a detailed molecular characterization of the diversity of 369 somatosensory subtypes present in adult fish has not been performed. Our data suggest that 370 somatosensory neurons expressing reporters for p2rx3a, p2rx3b, or trpa1b innervate MCs. 371 Whether these neurons represent a dedicated class of MC-innervating neurons remains 372 unknown. The development of Cre drivers for specific somatosensory subtypes (Bai et al., 2015; 373 Li et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2009; Rutlin et al., 2014; Zylka et al., 2005) and single-cell transcriptional 374 profiling (Sharma et al., 2020; Usoskin et al., 2015; Zeisel et al., 2018) have been fruitful in 375 characterizing the diversity of somatosensory neurons in mammals. The application of these 376 technologies to the teleost somatosensory system is an interesting avenue for further 377 investigation.

378

#### 379 Merkel cell lineage and homeostasis

380

381 The developmental lineage of MCs has been a long-standing question with both epidermal and 382 neural crest origins posited (Hartschuh et al., 1986). Our Cre-based lineage tracing identified 383 basal keratinocytes as MC progenitors. These results extend previous studies in the zebrafish 384 epidermis showing that basal keratinocytes serve as precursors for diverse post-larval cell types, 385 including periderm (superficial epidermis) and immune cells (Lee et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2019). 386 Although previous work in mouse unambiguously identified keratin 14-expressing basal 387 keratinocytes as MC precursors (Morrison et al., 2009; Van Keymeulen et al., 2009), the precise 388 nature of murine MC progenitors varies across skin compartments (Nguyen et al., 2019). Future 389 studies characterizing the molecular properties and cellular behaviors of zebrafish MC precursors 390 will be informative for identifying conserved properties of skin stem cells.

391

392 The turnover of MCs in mammalian skin has been a source of controversy. Several studies 393 reported that MC numbers fluctuate with the natural hair cycle in mouse (Marshall et al., 2016; 394 Moll et al., 1996; Nakafusa et al., 2006). By contrast, Wright et al. (2017) found no evidence for 395 changes in MC density based on stages of the hair cycle and demonstrated that MCs could live 396 for months. These types of analyses in murine skin have relied either on histology, which limits 397 tissue sampling, or required use of advanced (2-photon) microscopy in combination with hair 398 shaving, a mild form of skin injury. Using photoconversion and confocal imaging, we non-399 invasively tracked individual MCs during normal skin homeostasis in vivo for weeks. We found 400 that trunk MCs have a steady turnover in adult animals, with a half-life of approximately 1 month. 401 Additionally, this further distinguishes MCs from hair cells in the adult lateral line, which have a 402 shorter half-life (Cruz et al., 2015). Whether MC turnover varies at different stages of 403 development, across skin compartments, or following skin insults will require further study.

404

#### 405 Merkel cell distribution and patterning

406

407 Regionally specific sensory structures allow our skin to distinguish tactile inputs with remarkable 408 acuity (Corniani and Saal, 2020). For example, MC densities vary greatly across human skin 409 compartments, with the highest numbers found in particularly sensitive regions such as fingertips 410 and lips (Boot et al., 1992; Lacour et al., 1991). We observed that MCs populate several major 411 skin compartments and have regional-specific densities in adult zebrafish, with the highest 412 densities found in the face (above the eye and operculum). We speculate this may bestow the 413 juvenile and adult skin with the ability to detect innocuous tactile inputs across almost the entire 414 body surface, with perhaps the greatest sensitivity along facial structures.

415

Although most studies of MC development have centered on the formation of MC aggregates in the touch domes of murine hairy skin, MCs are found in a range of distribution patterns in other types of skin. For example, MCs are found as dispersed, single cells arrayed across the skin of human toe pads (Boot et al., 1992). Similarly, we found that MCs have a dispersed, rather than clustered, pattern in all skin compartments examined. Few studies have addressed how MCs adopt specific distributions, and zebrafish present a promising model to understand mechanisms of MC pattern formation *in vivo*.

423

#### 424 Dermal appendages and Merkel cell development

425

426 Our developmental analysis showed that trunk MC density rapidly increases during dermal 427 appendage morphogenesis. Previous genetic analysis in mouse hairy skin revealed that MC 428 development requires Eda signaling (Vielkind et al., 1995; Xiao et al., 2016). We show that 429 zebrafish eda mutants have decreased MC density in trunk, but not facial, skin. These 430 observations suggest that MC development in mouse and zebrafish likely share similar genetic 431 pathways, akin to the shared molecular and cellular mechanisms that regulate dermal appendage 432 formation (Aman et al., 2018; Biggs and Mikkola, 2014; Daane et al., 2016; Harris et al., 2008; 433 Rohner et al., 2009). They further support a model whereby MC development requires 434 compartment-specific signals, akin to recent observations on MC development in mouse hairy 435 and glabrous skin (Nguyen et al., 2019). By taking advantage of the ability to image large skin 436 areas in intact zebrafish, we show that eda mutants have altered MC distribution compared to 437 controls. Furthermore, we use *in vivo* photoconversion to demonstrate the reduction in MC density 438 is largely due to decreased production, but also reflects increased turnover. One explanation for 439 these results is that Eda signaling regulates the differentiation of MC progenitors. Alternatively, 440 since eda mutants have decreased epidermal innervation (Rasmussen et al., 2018), MC 441 development may require somatosensory neuron-derived signals.

442

443 We found that a gain-of-function allele of fgf8a leads to a change in the overall size and shape of 444 scales. Intriguingly, the MC distribution modifies to accommodate the altered scale size and shape 445 in the fgf8a mutants but retains the same MC density as sibling controls. This result suggests that 446 the number of MCs per scale is not predetermined, but rather is titrated relative to appendage 447 size. How are MCs able to populate the much larger scales in fgf8a mutants? Does the size of 448 the MC progenitor domain expand with increases in scale size? Are MCs, or their progenitors, 449 able to migrate to their final destination? Distinguishing between these possibilities will require 450 tracking the behaviors of MCs and their progenitors in vivo.

451

#### 452 Summary

453

454 Our results establish a promising new system to investigate MC biology. This model will allow for 455 the identification of deeply conserved mechanisms used to regulate vertebrate MC biology. 456 Furthermore, the advantages of zebrafish—such as non-invasive *in vivo* imaging, genetic and 457 chemical screens, and high regenerative capacity—will complement the strengths of rodent 458 models. Specifically, the ability to track individual cells over time has the potential to answer key 459 and long-standing questions surrounding MC biology, including how the MC-neurite relationship

- 460 is established, how MCs interact with neighboring cell types, and their progenitor dynamics.
- 461 Addressing these questions, as well as potential novel insights provided by the zebrafish system,
- 462 represent exciting directions for future research.

#### 463 MATERIALS AND METHODS

464

#### 465 **Key Resource Table**

466

Reagent type	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Antibody	Rabbit anti- Serotonin	MilliporeSigma	Cat #: S5545	Used at 1:1000
Antibody	Mouse anti-Sv2	DSHB; (Buckley and Kelly, 1985)	Cat #: SV2	Used at 1:50
Antibody	Rabbit anti-Sox2	GeneTex	Cat #: GTX124477	Used at 1:500
Antibody	Sheep Anti- Fluorescein Polyclonal Antibody, POD Conjugated	Roche	Cat# 11426346910, RRID:AB_840257	Used at 1:2000
Antibody	GFP Polyclonal Antibody	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A11122, RRID:AB_221569	Used at 1:1000
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal zn-12	Zebrafish International Resource Center	Cat# zn-12, RRID:AB_100137 61	Used at 1:200
Antibody	Goat anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A32733, RRID:AB_263328 2	Used at 1:500
Antibody	Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A32728, RRID:AB_263327 7	Used at 1:500
Antibody	Goat anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 568	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-11036, RRID:AB_105635 66	Used at 1:500
Antibody	Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor 568	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-11031, RRID:AB_144696	Used at 1:500
Antibody	Goat anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 488	Thermo Fisher ScientificInvitrogen	Cat #: A32731, RRID:AB_263328 0	Used at 1:500
Commerci al assay or kit	TSA Plus Cyanine 5	Akoya Biosciences	NEL705A001KT	Used at 1:50

Other	10x PBS	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: AM9624	
Other	Triton X-100	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: BP337-500	
Other	Tween-20	Promega	Cat #: H5152	
Other	20x SSC	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: AM9763	
Other	Formaldehyde	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: 29806	
Other	Fetal bovine serum	Gibco	Cat #: 10082-139	
Other	Normal goat serum	Abcam	Cat #: ab7481, RRID:AB_271655 3	
Other	ProLong gold antifade mountant	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: P36930	
Sequence- based reagent	<i>piezo2</i> in situ probe (originally referred to as <i>piezo2b</i> )	(Faucherre et al., 2013)	N/A	
Sequence- based reagent	<i>atoh1a</i> gRNA, 5'- GGA GAC TGA ATA AAG TTA TG-3'	(Pickett et al., 2018)	N/A	
Sequence- based reagent	Mbait gRNA, 5'- GGC TGC TGC GGT TCC AGA GGT GG-3'	(Kimura et al., 2014)	N/A	
Chemical compound	DAPI	MilliporeSigma	Cat #: 508741	Used at 5 ng/µl
Chemical compound	AM1-43	Biotinium	Cat #: 70024	Used at 15 µm
Chemical compound	MS-222	MilliporeSigma	Cat #: E10521	
Chemical compound	Alizarin Red S	ACROS Organics	Cat #: 400480250	Used at 0.01%

Chemical compound	Methanol	VWR	Cat #: K977-4L	
Chemical compound	Proteinase K	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: 100005393	Used at 0.1 mg/ml
Chemical compound	Hoechst 3342	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: H3570	Used at 5 ng/µl
Chemical compound	(Z)-4- Hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT)	MilliporeSigma	Cat #: H7904	Used at 10 µM
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	AB (Wild-Type)	Zebrafish International Resource Center	ZIRC Cat# ZL1, RRID:ZIRC_ZL1	
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	Tg(actb2:LOXP- BFP-LOXP- DsRed)	(Kobayashi et al., 2014)	Tg(actb2:LOXP- BFP-LOXP- DsRed) <sup>sd27Tg</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 141111-5
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	Tg(atoh1a:nls- Eos)	(Pickett et al., 2018)	Tg(atoh1a:nls- Eos) <sup>w214Tg</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 190701-2
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio</i> )	Tg(atoh1a:lifeact- EGFP)	This study	Tg(atoh1a:lifeact- EGFP) <sup>w259Tg</sup>	
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	TgBAC(ΔNp63:Cr e-ERT2)	This study	TgBAC(ΔNp63:Cr e-ERT2) <sup>w267Tg</sup>	
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	Tg(sox10:Cre)	(Kague et al., 2012)	Tg(Mmu.Sox10- Mmu.Fos:Cre) <sup>zf384</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 130614-2
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	Gt(ctnna-citrine)	(Trinh et al., 2011)	Gt(ctnna- citrine) <sup>ct3aGt</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB-ALT- 111010-23
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	Tg(sp7:mCherry)	(Singh et al., 2012)	Tg(Ola.Sp7:mCh erry- Eco.NfsB) <sup>pd46Tg</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 120503-4
Strain (Danio rerio)	Tg(p2rx3a>mCher ry)	(Palanca et al., 2013)	Tg(Tru.P2rx3a:LE XA- VP16,4xLEXOP- mCherry) <sup>la207Tg</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 130307-1
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio</i> )	Tg(trpa1b:EGFP)	(Pan et al., 2012)	TgBAC(trpa1b:E GFP) <sup>a129Tg</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 120208-2

Strain (Danio rerio)	Tg(p2rx3b:EGFP)	(Kucenas et al., 2006)	Tg(p2rx3b:EGFP) sl1Tg	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 070117-110
Strain (Danio rerio)	Tg(krt4:DsRed)	(Rieger and Sagasti, 2011)	Tg(krt4:DsRed) <sup>la2</sup> <sup>03Tg</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB- TGCONSTRCT- 120127-5
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	eda <sup>dt1261</sup>	(Harris et al., 2008)	eda <sup>dt1261</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB-ALT- 090324-1
Strain ( <i>Danio</i> <i>rerio)</i>	fgf8a <sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup>	(Amsterdam et al., 2009)	fgf8a <sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup>	ZFIN: ZDB-ALT- 010427-4

467

#### 468 Animals

469

#### 470 Zebrafish

Zebrafish were housed at 26-27°C on a 14/10 h light cycle. See Key Resource Table for strains used in this study. Animals of either gender were used. Zebrafish were staged according to standard length (SL) (Parichy et al., 2009). SL of fish was measured using the IC Measure software (The Imaging Source) on images captured on a Stemi 508 stereoscope (Zeiss) equipped with a DFK 33UX264 camera (The Imaging Source). All zebrafish experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Washington (Protocol: #4439-01).

478

#### 479 Creation of Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP)

Tq(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP)<sup>w259Tg</sup> was generated by CRISPR-mediated knock-in as previously 480 481 described (Kimura et al., 2014). A donor plasmid containing the Mbait, minimal hsp70l promoter, 482 *Lifeact-EGFP*, and *bgh poly(A)* sequences was created using Gibson assembly. The insertion 483 was targeted 372 bp upstream of the endogenous *atoh1a* coding sequence using a previously 484 published guide RNA (gRNA) (Pickett et al., 2018). The Mbait-hsp70l-Lifeact-EGFP plasmid, 485 Mbait and atoh1a gRNAs, and Cas9 protein were prepared and injected into single cell embryos 486 of the AB strain as previously described (Thomas and Raible, 2019). Larvae were screened for 487 Lifeact-EGFP expression at 3 dpf and raised to adulthood. A founder adult was identified and 488 outcrossed to generate a stable transgenic line.

489

#### 490 Creation of TgBAC(ΔNp63:Cre-ERT2) and induction with 4-OHT

491 The  $\Delta Np63:EGFP-2xFYVE$  bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) was created by modifying the 492 previously generated BAC DKEY-263P13-iTol2-amp (Rasmussen et al., 2015). The predicted 493  $\Delta Np63$  start codon was replaced by a Cre-ERT2-pA-KanR cassette that contained a zebrafish 494 codon-optimized Cre-ERT2 (Kesavan et al., 2018) using a previously described protocol (Suster et al., 2011). TgBAC(ΔNp63:Cre-ERT2)<sup>w267Tg</sup> was created by injecting tol2 mRNA, which was 495 496 transcribed from pCS2-zT2TP (Suster et al., 2011), and BAC DNA into one-cell stage embryos 497 and screening adults for germline transmission. To activate Cre-ERT2, 1 dpf embryos were 498 treated with 10 µM 4-OHT for 24 h. 4-OHT was prepared as described (Felker et al., 2016).

499

#### 500 Mutant identification and analysis

501 *eda* mutants and siblings were sorted by visible phenotype starting at 7 mm SL. Mutants were 502 grown separately from siblings.  $fgf8a^{dhiD1Tg/+}$  fish were identified based on altered scale patterning

- 503 and/or pigmentation (Kawakami et al., 2000).
- 504

#### 505 Imaging and photoconversion

506

#### 507 Electron microscopy

Isolated scales were prepared for TEM as described (Sire et al., 1997b), with the following modifications: after dehydration, scales were treated with propylene oxide (PO), infiltrated with PO:Eponate 12, and embedded in Eponate 12. Semithin sections (0.2 µm) stained with toluidine blue were used for orientation. Thin sections (50 nm) were placed on Formvar coated copper slot grids, stained with saturated uranyl acetate and Reynolds' lead citrate, and examined on a JEOL 100CX at 60 kV or a Philips CM100 at 80 kV.

514

#### 515 Confocal image acquisition

516 Confocal z-stacks were collected using a A1R MP+ confocal scanhead mounted on an Ni-E 517 upright microscope (Nikon) using a 16× water dipping objective (NA 0.8) for live imaging or 40× 518 oil immersion objective (NA 1.3) for fixed image acquisition. Images acquired in resonant scanning 519 mode were post-processed using the denoise.ai function in NIS-Elements (Nikon). For live 520 imaging, zebrafish were anesthetized in a solution of 0.006-0.012% MS-222 in system water for 521 5 min. Anesthetized fish were mounted in a custom imaging chamber, partially embedded in 1% 522 agarose and covered with tricaine solution. For Supplemental Video 1, a FLUOVIEW FV3000

scanning confocal microscope (Olympus) equipped with a 100× objective (NA 1.49) was used to
 collect a z-stack and 3D rendered with Imaris (Bitplane).

525

#### 526 Whole animal photoconversion

527 Prior to imaging, *Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)* zebrafish were exposed to light from a UV LED flashlight 528 (McDoer) for 15 min in a reflective chamber constructed from a styrofoam box lined with aluminum 529 foil. A similar lateral region of the trunk was imaged over subsequent days identified by 530 approximate body position below the dorsal fin and relative to underlying pigment stripes.

531

#### 532 Regional photoconversion

533 After anesthetization and mounting as described above, the *Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)* reporter was 534 photoconverted using the stimulation program of NIS-Elements with the 405 nm laser at 14-18% 535 power for 30-45 s within a 500X500 pixel ROI with an area of 67055  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. The same lateral region

of the trunk was imaged over subsequent days identified by body position under the dorsal fin,

537 position relative to underlying pigment stripes, and presence of photoconverted cells.

538

#### 539 Staining

540

#### 541 Alizarin Red S Staining

542 To visualize mineralized bone, live animals were stained for 15 min in a solution of 0.01% (wt/vol)

543 Alizarin Red S dissolved in system water, and subsequently rinsed 3×5 min in system water prior

to imaging as described (Bensimon-Brito et al., 2016).

545

#### 546 Antibody staining

547 Zebrafish were anesthetized in a solution of 0.012% MS-222 in system water for 5 min. Using 548 metal forceps, up to 10 scales were removed from the lateral side of the trunk in the region below 549 the dorsal fin. Scales were fixed in 4% PFA/PBS at 4°C overnight. Scales were washed 4×5 min 550 in 1x PBS + 0.3% triton-X (PBST) at room temperature and then blocked for 1.5 h with PBST 551 containing 5% normal goat serum. Incubation with primary antibodies occurred at 4°C overnight, 552 followed by 4×15 min washes in PBST. Scales were incubated in appropriate secondary 553 antibodies for 2 h at room temperature and washed 4×15 min in PBST. To label nuclei, scales 554 were incubated with DAPI for 5 min at 4°C and washed in PBST 4×5 min at room temperature. 555 Scales were mounted between a microscope slide and coverslip in Prolong gold. All steps were

556 performed on a rotating platform.

557

#### 558 AM1-43 staining

Scales were removed from adult Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos) zebrafish as described above, and placed into the center of a petri dish. 1 mL of L-15 media was added to the dish containing newly plucked scales no longer than 2 min after the scales had been removed. 1.5 µl of 10 mM AM1-43 was added to the dish for a final concentration of 15 µM AM1-43. Scales were incubated for 5 min in this solution to allow for incorporation. Prior to confocal imaging, regional photoconversion of nls-Eos was carried out as described above.

565

#### 566 Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

567 piezo2 antisense RNA was transcribed in vitro from a previously generated plasmid (Faucherre 568 et al., 2013) using SP6 and fluorescein-dUTP. The FISH protocol for adult zebrafish scales was 569 previously described (Lin et al., 2019). Briefly, scales from Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP) adults were 570 plucked and fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C then washed three times with 1x PBS + 0.1% 571 Tween-20 (PBSTw). Scales were dehydrated in sequential washes of 75% PBSTw:25% methanol 572 (MeOH), 50% PBSTw:50% MeOH, 25% PBSTw:75% MeOH, then placed in 100% MeOH at -20°C 573 overnight. Scales were rehydrated in sequential washes of 25% PBSTw:75% MeOH, 50% 574 PBSTw:50% MeOH, 75% PBSTw:25% MeOH, then washed 3x in PBSTw. Scales were treated 575 with 0.1mg/ml proteinase K for 5 min, then re-fixed in 4% PFA for 20 min. Scales were washed 576 once in PBSTw, washed once in 50% PBSTw:50% hybridization buffer, then incubated in 577 hybridization buffer for 2 h at 65°C. Scales were incubated in hybridization buffer with probe (~1 578 ng/µl) overnight at 65°C. Scales were sequentially washed at 65°C in 75% hybridization 579 buffer:25% 2xSSC + 0.1%Tween20 (SSCT), 50% hybridization buffer:50% 2x SSCT, 25% 580 hybridization buffer:75% 2xSSCT, followed by 3 washes at room temperature in 2x SSCT, 581 followed by 3 washes in 0.2x SSCT. Scales were then washed 3x in 1x PBS + 0.2% Triton X-100 582 (PBSTr), then blocked for 2 h in PBSTr + 5% FBS. Scales were incubated in blocking buffer with 583 anti-fluorescein POD fragments (1:2000) overnight at 4°C. Scales were washed 6x in PBSTr, 584 followed by staining with TSA Plus Cyanine 5 (1:50 dilution) for 10 min.

Following FISH, scales were incubated in PBSTr + 10%NGS for 2 h at room temperature. Scales were stained with an anti-GFP antibody (1:1000) in PBSTr + 10% NGS overnight at 4°C. Scales were washed in PBSTr, then incubated in secondary antibodies (1:200) for 2 h at room temperature. Scales were washed in PBSTr, stained with Hoechst (3.24 nM) for 10 min at room temperature, washed in PBSTr, mounted under coverslips in ProLong Gold, and imaged.

590

#### 591 Image analysis

592

#### 593 Axon contact quantification

Innervation of Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)-expressing cells was scored using a custom ImageJ macro. A cell was scored as innervated if an axon passed within a sphere (created using the "3D project" function) centered around the nuclear center of mass that was 10% larger than the maximum nuclear diameter. In some cases, the Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos) reporter was photoconverted prior to image acquisition as described above.

599

#### 600 Cell density analysis

601 Maximum intensity projections of confocal z-stacks were converted to 8-bit images and 602 thresholded in ImageJ. Cell density was guantified using the "Analyze particles" function of ImageJ. For low magnification quantification of MC cell density across the trunk of faf8a<sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup> 603 604 and siblings, tiled images were collected that included multiple scales per region. Cell density was 605 quantified as described above using ImageJ. For high magnification cell density quantification in 606 the epidermis directly above scales, a small region centered in the epidermis of each full scale in 607 view and positioned based on scale lobe was quantified. For scales with multiple lobes, a density 608 measurement was collected from the center of each lobe and averaged.

609

#### 610 Statistical analysis

- 611 Statistical tests used are listed in individual figure legends. Plots were created using R or Python.
- 612

#### 613 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

614

We thank the LSB Aquatics staff for animal care; Wai Pang Chan and Marianne Cilluffo for TEM support; the labs of Ajay Dhaka, Jacqueline Lees, and Alvaro Sagasti for sharing zebrafish stocks; the lab of Chris Joplin for sharing the *piezo2* plasmid. The authors are grateful to all members of

- the Rasmussen lab for discussion, technical assistance, and support.
- 619
- 620

#### 621 CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

622 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

- 623
- 624

#### 625 FUNDING

- 626
- This work was funded in part by a Postdoctoral Fellowship (#2011008) from the National Science
- 628 Foundation to TLB, a Graduate Research Fellowship (DGE-2140004) from the National Science
- 629 Foundation to EWC, R01HD107108 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child
- 630 Health and Human Development to JPR, A153025 from the University of Washington Research
- 631 Royalty Fund to JPR, and a New Investigator Award from the University of Washington/Fred
- 632 Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Cancer Consortium, which is supported by the NIH/NCI
- 633 Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA015704, to JPR. JPR is a Washington Research Foundation
- 634 Distinguished Investigator.

#### 635 **REFERENCES**

- 636
- Aman AJ, Fulbright AN, Parichy DM. 2018. Wnt/β-catenin regulates an ancient signaling network
   during zebrafish scale development. *eLife* **7**. doi:10.7554/eLife.37001
- Amsterdam A, Lai K, Komisarczuk AZ, Becker TS, Bronson RT, Hopkins N, Lees JA. 2009.
   Zebrafish Hagoromo mutants up-regulate fgf8 postembryonically and develop neuroblastoma. *Mol Cancer Res MCR* 7:841–850. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-08-0555
- Ashley PJ, Sneddon LU, McCrohan CR. 2007. Nociception in fish: stimulus-response properties
   of receptors on the head of trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. *Brain Res* 1166:47–54.
   doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2007.07.011
- Ashley PJ, Sneddon LU, McCrohan CR. 2006. Properties of corneal receptors in a teleost fish.
   *Neurosci Lett* **410**:165–168. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2006.08.047
- Bai L, Lehnert BP, Liu J, Neubarth NL, Dickendesher TL, Nwe PH, Cassidy C, Woodbury CJ,
   Ginty DD. 2015. Genetic Identification of an Expansive Mechanoreceptor Sensitive to Skin
   Stroking. *Cell* 163:1783–1795. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.060
- 650Bakkers J, Hild M, Kramer C, Furutani-Seiki M, Hammerschmidt M. 2002. Zebrafish DeltaNp63651is a direct target of Bmp signaling and encodes a transcriptional repressor blocking neural652specification in the ventral ectoderm. Dev Cell 2:617–627. doi:10.1016/s1534-6535807(02)00163-6
- Bardot ES, Valdes VJ, Zhang J, Perdigoto CN, Nicolis S, Hearn SA, Silva JM, Ezhkova E. 2013.
   Polycomb subunits Ezh1 and Ezh2 regulate the Merkel cell differentiation program in skin stem cells. *EMBO J* 32:1990–2000. doi:10.1038/emboj.2013.110
- 657Bensimon-Brito A, Cardeira J, Dionísio G, Huysseune A, Cancela ML, Witten PE. 2016. Revisiting658in vivo staining with alizarin red S--a valuable approach to analyse zebrafish skeletal659mineralization during development and regeneration. BMC Dev Biol 16:2.660doi:10.1186/s12861-016-0102-4
- Biggs LC, Mikkola ML. 2014. Early inductive events in ectodermal appendage morphogenesis.
   *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 25–26:11–21. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.01.007
- 663Boot PM, Rowden G, Walsh N. 1992. The distribution of Merkel cells in human fetal and adult664skin. Am J Dermatopathol 14:391–396. doi:10.1097/00000372-199210000-00003
- Buckley K, Kelly RB. 1985. Identification of a transmembrane glycoprotein specific for secretory
   vesicles of neural and endocrine cells. *J Cell Biol* **100**:1284–1294.
   doi:10.1083/jcb.100.4.1284
- Chang W, Gu JG. 2020. Effects on tactile transmission by serotonin transporter inhibitors at
   Merkel discs of mouse whisker hair follicles. *Mol Pain* **16**:1744806920938237.
   doi:10.1177/1744806920938237
- Chang W, Kanda H, Ikeda R, Ling J, DeBerry JJ, Gu JG. 2016. Merkel disc is a serotonergic
   synapse in the epidermis for transmitting tactile signals in mammals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113:E5491-5500. doi:10.1073/pnas.1610176113
- Chaplin N, Tendeng C, Wingate RJT. 2010. Absence of an external germinal layer in zebrafish
   and shark reveals a distinct, anamniote ground plan of cerebellum development. J
   *Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* **30**:3048–3057. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6201-09.2010
- 677 Coccimiglio ML, Jonz MG. 2012. Serotonergic neuroepithelial cells of the skin in developing
   678 zebrafish: morphology, innervation and oxygen-sensitive properties. *J Exp Biol* 215:3881–
   679 3894. doi:10.1242/jeb.074575
- Corniani G, Saal HP. 2020. Tactile innervation densities across the whole body. *J Neurophysiol* 124:1229–1240. doi:10.1152/jn.00313.2020
- 682 Cruz IA, Kappedal R, Mackenzie SM, Hailey DW, Hoffman TL, Schilling TF, Raible DW. 2015.
   683 Robust regeneration of adult zebrafish lateral line hair cells reflects continued precursor
   684 pool maintenance. *Dev Biol* **402**:229–38. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.03.019
- Daane JM, Rohner N, Konstantinidis P, Djuranovic S, Harris MP. 2016. Parallelism and Epistasis

- in Skeletal Evolution Identified through Use of Phylogenomic Mapping Strategies. *Mol Biol Evol* 33:162–173. doi:10.1093/molbev/msv208
- De Simone A, Evanitsky MN, Hayden L, Cox BD, Wang J, Tornini VA, Ou J, Chao A, Poss KD,
   Di Talia S. 2021. Control of osteoblast regeneration by a train of Erk activity waves. *Nature* 590:129–133. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-03085-8
- English KB, Wang Z-Z, Stayner N, Stensaas LJ, Martin H, Tuckett RP. 1992. Serotonin-like
   immunoreactivity in Merkel cells and their afferent neurons in touch domes from the hairy
   skin of rats. *Anat Rec* 232:112–120. doi:10.1002/ar.1092320112
- Faucherre A, Nargeot J, Mangoni ME, Jopling C. 2013. piezo2b regulates vertebrate light touch
   response. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 33:17089–17094.
   doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0522-13.2013
- Felker A, Nieuwenhuize S, Dolbois A, Blazkova K, Hess C, Low LWL, Burger S, Samson N,
  Carney TJ, Bartunek P, Nevado C, Mosimann C. 2016. In vivo performance and properties
  of tamoxifen metabolites for CreERT2 control. *PloS One* **11**:e0152989.
  doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152989
- Fox H, Lane E, Whitear M. 1980. Sensory nerve endings and receptors in fish and amphibians
   In: Spearman R, Riley P, editors. The Skin of Vertebrates, Linnean Society Symposium
   Series. Academic Press. pp. 271–281.
- Fradette J, Godbout MJ, Michel M, Germain L. 1995. Localization of Merkel cells at hairless and
   hairy human skin sites using keratin 18. *Biochem Cell Biol Biochim Biol Cell* **73**:635–639.
   doi:10.1139/o95-070
- García-Caballero T, Gallego R, Rosón E, Basanta D, Morel G, Beiras A. 1989. Localization of
   serotonin-like immunoreactivity in the Merkel cells of pig snout skin. *Anat Rec* 225:267–
   271. doi:10.1002/ar.1092250402
- Gau P, Curtright A, Condon L, Raible DW, Dhaka A. 2017. An ancient neurotrophin receptor code;
   a single Runx/Cbfβ complex determines somatosensory neuron fate specification in
   zebrafish. *PLoS Genet* 13:e1006884. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006884
- Gau P, Poon J, Ufret-Vincenty C, Snelson CD, Gordon SE, Raible DW, Dhaka A. 2013. The
   zebrafish ortholog of TRPV1 is required for heat-induced locomotion. *J Neurosci* 33:5249–
   5260. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5403-12.2013
- Grim M, Halata Z. 2000. Developmental origin of avian Merkel cells. Anat Embryol (Berl) 202:401–
   10. doi:10.1007/s004290000121
- Handler A, Ginty DD. 2021. The mechanosensory neurons of touch and their mechanisms of
   activation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 22:521–537. doi:10.1038/s41583-021-00489-x
- Harris MP, Rohner N, Schwarz H, Perathoner S, Konstantinidis P, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 2008.
   Zebrafish eda and edar mutants reveal conserved and ancestral roles of ectodysplasin signaling in vertebrates. *PLoS Genet* **4**:e1000206. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000206
- Hartschuh W, Weihe E. 1980. Fine structural analysis of the synaptic junction of Merkel cell-axon complexes. J Invest Dermatol **75**:159–65. doi:10.1111/1523-1747.ep12522555
- Hartschuh W, Weihe E, Reinecke M. 1986. The Merkel Cell In: Bereiter-Hahn J, Matoltsy AG,
   Richards KS, editors. Biology of the Integument: 2 Vertebrates. Berlin, Heidelberg:
   Springer Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 605–620. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-00989-5\_30
- Hoffman BU, Baba Y, Griffith TN, Mosharov EV, Woo S-H, Roybal DD, Karsenty G, Patapoutian
   A, Sulzer D, Lumpkin EA. 2018. Merkel Cells Activate Sensory Neural Pathways through
   Adrenergic Synapses. *Neuron* 100:1401-1413.e6. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.034
- Iggo A, Muir AR. 1969. The structure and function of a slowly adapting touch corpuscle in hairy
   skin. *J Physiol* 200:763–796. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1969.sp008721
- Ikeda R, Cha M, Ling J, Jia Z, Coyle D, Gu JG. 2014. Merkel cells transduce and encode tactile
   stimuli to drive Aβ-afferent impulses. *Cell* 157:664–675. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.026
- 735Jenkins BA, Fontecilla NM, Lu CP, Fuchs E, Lumpkin EA. 2019. The cellular basis of736mechanosensoryMerkel-cellinnervationduringdevelopment.*eLife*8.

- 737 doi:10.7554/eLife.42633
- Jenkins BA, Lumpkin EA. 2017. Developing a sense of touch. *Dev Camb Engl* 144:4078–4090.
   doi:10.1242/dev.120402
- Kague E, Gallagher M, Burke S, Parsons M, Franz-Odendaal T, Fisher S. 2012. Skeletogenic
   fate of zebrafish cranial and trunk neural crest. *PloS One* 7:e47394.
   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047394
- Kani S, Bae Y-K, Shimizu T, Tanabe K, Satou C, Parsons MJ, Scott E, Higashijima S, Hibi M.
   2010. Proneural gene-linked neurogenesis in zebrafish cerebellum. *Dev Biol* 343:1–17.
   doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.03.024
- Katz HR, Menelaou E, Hale ME. 2021. Morphological and physiological properties of Rohon Beard neurons along the zebrafish spinal cord. *J Comp Neurol* 529:1499–1515.
   doi:10.1002/cne.25033
- Kawakami K, Amsterdam A, Shimoda N, Becker T, Mugg J, Shima A, Hopkins N. 2000. Proviral insertions in the zebrafish hagoromo gene, encoding an F-box/WD40-repeat protein, cause stripe pattern anomalies. *Curr Biol CB* **10**:463–466. doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(00)00444-9
- Kesavan G, Hammer J, Hans S, Brand M. 2018. Targeted knock-in of CreER T2 in zebrafish
   using CRISPR/Cas9. *Cell Tissue Res* 372:41–50. doi:10.1007/s00441-018-2798-x
- Kimura Y, Hisano Y, Kawahara A, Higashijima S. 2014. Efficient generation of knock-in transgenic
   zebrafish carrying reporter/driver genes by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering.
   *Sci Rep* 4:6545. doi:10.1038/srep06545
- Kobayashi I, Kobayashi-Sun J, Kim AD, Pouget C, Fujita N, Suda T, Traver D. 2014. Jam1a-Jam2a interactions regulate haematopoietic stem cell fate through Notch signalling. *Nature* 512:319–23. doi:10.1038/nature13623
- Kucenas S, Soto F, Cox JA, Voigt MM. 2006. Selective labeling of central and peripheral sensory
   neurons in the developing zebrafish using P2X(3) receptor subunit transgenes.
   *Neuroscience* 138:641–652. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.11.058
- Lacour JP, Dubois D, Pisani A, Ortonne JP. 1991. Anatomical mapping of Merkel cells in normal
   human adult epidermis. *Br J Dermatol* **125**:535–42. doi:10.1111/j.1365 2133.1991.tb14790.x
- Lane EB, Whitear M. 1977. On the occurrence of Merkel cells in the epidermis of teleost fishes.
   *Cell Tissue Res* 182:235–46. doi:10.1007/BF00220592
- Lee H, Kimelman D. 2002. A dominant-negative form of p63 is required for epidermal proliferation
   in zebrafish. *Dev Cell* 2:607–616. doi:10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00166-1
- Lee RTH, Asharani PV, Carney TJ. 2014. Basal keratinocytes contribute to all strata of the adult
   zebrafish epidermis. *PloS One* **9**:e84858. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084858
- Li L, Rutlin M, Abraira VE, Cassidy C, Kus L, Gong S, Jankowski MP, Luo W, Heintz N, Koerber
   HR, Woodbury CJ, Ginty DD. 2011. The functional organization of cutaneous low threshold mechanosensory neurons. *Cell* **147**:1615–1627. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.11.027
- Lin X, Zhou Q, Zhao C, Lin G, Xu J, Wen Z. 2019. An Ectoderm-Derived Myeloid-like Cell
   Population Functions as Antigen Transporters for Langerhans Cells in Zebrafish
   Epidermis. *Dev Cell* 49:605-617.e5. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.03.028
- Luo W, Enomoto H, Rice FL, Milbrandt J, Ginty DD. 2009. Molecular identification of rapidly
   adapting mechanoreceptors and their developmental dependence on ret signaling.
   *Neuron* 64:841–856. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.003
- Maksimovic S, Nakatani M, Baba Y, Nelson AM, Marshall KL, Wellnitz SA, Firozi P, Woo S-H,
   Ranade S, Patapoutian A, Lumpkin EA. 2014. Epidermal Merkel cells are
   mechanosensory cells that tune mammalian touch receptors. *Nature* 509:617–621.
   doi:10.1038/nature13250
- Maricich SM, Morrison KM, Mathes EL, Brewer BM. 2012. Rodents rely on Merkel cells for texture
   discrimination tasks. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 32:3296–300.

- 788 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5307-11.2012
- Maricich SM, Wellnitz SA, Nelson AM, Lesniak DR, Gerling GJ, Lumpkin EA, Zoghbi HY. 2009.
   Merkel cells are essential for light-touch responses. *Science* 324:1580–2.
   doi:10.1126/science.1172890
- Marshall KL, Clary RC, Baba Y, Orlowsky RL, Gerling GJ, Lumpkin EA. 2016. Touch receptors
   undergo rapid remodeling in healthy skin. *Cell Rep* **17**:1719–1727.
   doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.10.034
- Metcalfe WK, Myers PZ, Trevarrow B, Bass MB, Kimmel CB. 1990. Primary neurons that express
   the L2/HNK-1 carbohydrate during early development in the zebrafish. *Dev Camb Engl* 110:491–504.
- Meyers JR, MacDonald RB, Duggan A, Lenzi D, Standaert DG, Corwin JT, Corey DP. 2003.
   Lighting up the senses: FM1-43 loading of sensory cells through nonselective ion channels. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 23:4054–4065.
- Mihara M, Hashimoto K, Ueda K, Kumakiri M. 1979. The specialized junctions between Merkel
   cell and neurite: an electron microscopic study. *J Invest Dermatol* **73**:325–34.
   doi:10.1111/1523-1747.ep12550322
- Moayedi Y, Michlig S, Park M, Koch A, Lumpkin EA. 2021. Somatosensory innervation of healthy
   human oral tissues. *J Comp Neurol* 529:3046–3061. doi:10.1002/cne.25148
- Moll I, Paus R, Moll R. 1996. Merkel cells in mouse skin: intermediate filament pattern,
   localization, and hair cycle-dependent density. *J Invest Dermatol* 106:281–286.
   doi:10.1111/1523-1747.ep12340714
- Morrison KM, Miesegaes GR, Lumpkin EA, Maricich SM. 2009. Mammalian Merkel cells are
   descended from the epidermal lineage. *Dev Biol* 336:76–83.
   doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.09.032
- Nakafusa J, Narisawa Y, Shinogi T, Taira K, Tanaka T, Inoue T, Misago N. 2006. Changes in the
   number of Merkel cells with the hair cycle in hair discs on rat back skin. *Br J Dermatol* 155:883–889. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2006.07441.x
- Nguyen MB, Cohen I, Kumar V, Xu Z, Bar C, Dauber-Decker KL, Tsai P-C, Marangoni P, Klein
   OD, Hsu Y-C, Chen T, Mikkola ML, Ezhkova E. 2018. FGF signalling controls the
   specification of hair placode-derived SOX9 positive progenitors to Merkel cells. *Nat Commun* 9:2333. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04399-y
- Nguyen MB, Valdes VJ, Cohen I, Pothula V, Zhao D, Zheng D, Ezhkova E. 2019. Dissection of
   Merkel cell formation in hairy and glabrous skin reveals a common requirement for
   FGFR2-mediated signalling. *Exp Dermatol* 28:374–382. doi:10.1111/exd.13901
- 822Orefice LL. 2020. Peripheral Somatosensory Neuron Dysfunction: Emerging Roles in Autism823SpectrumDisorders.Neuroscience445:120–129.824doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.01.039
- Oss-Ronen L, Cohen I. 2021. Epigenetic regulation and signalling pathways in Merkel cell
   development. *Exp Dermatol* **30**:1051–1064. doi:10.1111/exd.14415
- Ostrowski SM, Wright MC, Bolock AM, Geng X, Maricich SM. 2015. Ectopic Atoh1 expression
   drives Merkel cell production in embryonic, postnatal and adult mouse epidermis. *Dev Camb Engl* 142:2533–2544. doi:10.1242/dev.123141
- Palanca AMS, Lee S-L, Yee LE, Joe-Wong C, Trinh LA, Hiroyasu E, Husain M, Fraser SE,
   Pellegrini M, Sagasti A. 2013. New transgenic reporters identify somatosensory neuron
   subtypes in larval zebrafish. *Dev Neurobiol* **73**:152–167. doi:10.1002/dneu.22049
- Pan YA, Choy M, Prober DA, Schier AF. 2012. Robo2 determines subtype-specific axonal
   projections of trigeminal sensory neurons. *Development* 139:591–600.
   doi:10.1242/dev.076588
- Parichy DM, Elizondo MR, Mills MG, Gordon TN, Engeszer RE. 2009. Normal table of postembryonic zebrafish development: staging by externally visible anatomy of the living fish. *Dev Dyn Off Publ Am Assoc Anat* 238:2975–3015. doi:10.1002/dvdy.22113

- Patten SA, Sihra RK, Dhami KS, Coutts CA, Ali DW. 2007. Differential expression of PKC isoforms
   in developing zebrafish. *Int J Dev Neurosci Off J Int Soc Dev Neurosci* 25:155–64.
   doi:10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2007.02.003
- Perdigoto CN, Bardot ES, Valdes VJ, Santoriello FJ, Ezhkova E. 2014. Embryonic maturation of
   epidermal Merkel cells is controlled by a redundant transcription factor network. *Dev Camb Engl* 141:4690–6. doi:10.1242/dev.112169
- Pickett SB, Thomas ED, Sebe JY, Linbo T, Esterberg R, Hailey DW, Raible DW. 2018. Cumulative
   mitochondrial activity correlates with ototoxin susceptibility in zebrafish mechanosensory
   hair cells. *eLife* 7. doi:10.7554/eLife.38062
- Rasmussen JP, Sack GS, Martin SM, Sagasti A. 2015. Vertebrate epidermal cells are broad specificity phagocytes that clear sensory axon debris. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 35:559–70. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3613-14.2015
- Rasmussen JP, Vo N-T, Sagasti A. 2018. Fish scales dictate the pattern of adult skin innervation
   and vascularization. *Dev Cell* 46:344-359.e4. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.06.019
- Riedl J, Crevenna AH, Kessenbrock K, Yu JH, Neukirchen D, Bista M, Bradke F, Jenne D, Holak
   TA, Werb Z, Sixt M, Wedlich-Soldner R. 2008. Lifeact: a versatile marker to visualize F actin. *Nat Methods* 5:605–607. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1220
- Rieger S, Sagasti A. 2011. Hydrogen peroxide promotes injury-induced peripheral sensory axon
   regeneration in the zebrafish skin. *PLoS Biol* **9**:e1000621.
   doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000621
- Rohner N, Bercsényi M, Orbán L, Kolanczyk ME, Linke D, Brand M, Nüsslein-Volhard C, Harris
   MP. 2009. Duplication of fgfr1 permits Fgf signaling to serve as a target for selection during
   domestication. *Curr Biol CB* 19:1642–1647. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.07.065
- Rutlin M, Ho C-Y, Abraira VE, Cassidy C, Bai L, Woodbury CJ, Ginty DD. 2014. The cellular and
   molecular basis of direction selectivity of Aδ-LTMRs. *Cell* 159:1640–1651.
   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.038
- Sharma N, Flaherty K, Lezgiyeva K, Wagner DE, Klein AM, Ginty DD. 2020. The emergence of
   transcriptional identity in somatosensory neurons. *Nature* 577:392–398.
   doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1900-1
- Singh SP, Holdway JE, Poss KD. 2012. Regeneration of amputated zebrafish fin rays from de
   novo osteoblasts. *Dev Cell* 22:879–86. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.03.006
- Sire J-Y, Allizard F, Babiar O, Bourguignon J, Quilhac A. 1997a. Scale development in zebrafish
   (Danio rerio). *J Anat* 190 (Pt 4):545–561. doi:10.1046/j.1469-7580.1997.19040545.x
- Sire J-Y, Quilhac A, Bourguignon J, Allizard F. 1997b. Evidence for participation of the epidermis
  in the deposition of superficial layer of scales in zebrafish (Danio rerio): A SEM and TEM
  study. *J Morphol* 231:161–174. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4687(199702)231:2<161::AID-</li>
  JMOR5>3.0.CO;2-H
- Slatter CAB, Kanji H, Coutts CA, Ali DW. 2005. Expression of PKC in the developing zebrafish,
   Danio rerio. *J Neurobiol* 62:425–38. doi:10.1002/neu.20110
- 878 Smith, Jr KR. 1977. The haarscheibe. *J Invest Dermatol* **69**:68–74. doi:10.1111/1523-879 1747.ep12497883
- Sneddon LU. 2003. Trigeminal somatosensory innervation of the head of a teleost fish with
   particular reference to nociception. *Brain Res* 972:44–52. doi:10.1016/s0006 8993(03)02483-1
- Suster ML, Abe G, Schouw A, Kawakami K. 2011. Transposon-mediated BAC transgenesis in zebrafish. *Nat Protoc* 6:1998–2021. doi:10.1038/nprot.2011.416
- 885Thomas ED, Raible DW. 2019. Distinct progenitor populations mediate regeneration in the<br/>zebrafish lateral line. *eLife* **8**:e43736. doi:10.7554/eLife.43736
- Toyoshima K, Seta Y, Takeda S, Harada H. 1998. Identification of Merkel cells by an antibody to
   villin. J Histochem Cytochem Off J Histochem Soc 46:1329–34.
   doi:10.1177/002215549804601113

- 890 Trinh LA, Hochgreb T, Graham M, Wu D, Ruf-Zamojski F, Jayasena CS, Saxena A, Hawk R, 891 Gonzalez-Serricchio A, Dixson A, Chow E, Gonzales C, Leung H-Y, Solomon I, Bronner-892 Fraser M, Megason SG, Fraser SE. 2011. A versatile gene trap to visualize and interrogate 893 function of the vertebrate proteome. Genes Dev **25**:2306–2320. the 894 doi:10.1101/gad.174037.111
- Usoskin D, Furlan A, Islam S, Abdo H, Lönnerberg P, Lou D, Hjerling-Leffler J, Haeggström J,
   Kharchenko O, Kharchenko PV, Linnarsson S, Ernfors P. 2015. Unbiased classification of
   sensory neuron types by large-scale single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nat Neurosci* 18:145–
   153. doi:10.1038/nn.3881
- Van Keymeulen A, Mascre G, Youseff KK, Harel I, Michaux C, De Geest N, Szpalski C, Achouri
   Y, Bloch W, Hassan BA, Blanpain C. 2009. Epidermal progenitors give rise to Merkel cells
   during embryonic development and adult homeostasis. *J Cell Biol* 187:91–100.
   doi:10.1083/jcb.200907080
- Vielkind U, Sebzda MK, Gibson IR, Hardy MH. 1995. Dynamics of Merkel cell patterns in developing hair follicles in the dorsal skin of mice, demonstrated by a monoclonal antibody to mouse keratin 8. Acta Anat (Basel) 152:93–109. doi:10.1159/000147688
- 906 Whitear M. 1989. Merkel cells in lower vertebrates. Arch Histol Cytol 52 Suppl:415–22.
- Whitear M, Lane EB. 1981. Fine structure of Merkel cells in lampreys. *Cell Tissue Res* 220:139–
   51. doi:10.1007/BF00209973
- Woo S-H, Ranade S, Weyer AD, Dubin AE, Baba Y, Qiu Z, Petrus M, Miyamoto T, Reddy K,
   Lumpkin EA, Stucky CL, Patapoutian A. 2014. Piezo2 is required for Merkel-cell
   mechanotransduction. *Nature* 509:622–626. doi:10.1038/nature13251
- Wright MC, Logan GJ, Bolock AM, Kubicki AC, Hemphill JA, Sanders TA, Maricich SM. 2017.
   Merkel cells are long-lived cells whose production is stimulated by skin injury. *Dev Biol* 422:4–13. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.12.020
- Xiao Y, Thoresen DT, Miao L, Williams JS, Wang C, Atit RP, Wong SY, Brownell I. 2016. A
   cascade of wnt, eda, and shh signaling is essential for touch dome merkel cell
   development. *PLoS Genet* 12:e1006150. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006150
- Yamashita Y, Toida K, Ogawa H. 1993. Observation of Merkel cells with scanning electron
   microscopy. *Neurosci Lett* **159**:155–158. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(93)90822-3
- Zachar PC, Jonz MG. 2012. Confocal imaging of Merkel-like basal cells in the taste buds of
   zebrafish. *Acta Histochem* 114:101–115. doi:10.1016/j.acthis.2011.03.006
- Zeisel A, Hochgerner H, Lönnerberg P, Johnsson A, Memic F, van der Zwan J, Häring M, Braun
  E, Borm LE, La Manno G, Codeluppi S, Furlan A, Lee K, Skene N, Harris KD, HjerlingLeffler J, Arenas E, Ernfors P, Marklund U, Linnarsson S. 2018. Molecular Architecture of
  the Mouse Nervous System. *Cell* **174**:999-1014.e22. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.021
- Zylka MJ, Rice FL, Anderson DJ. 2005. Topographically distinct epidermal nociceptive circuits
   revealed by axonal tracers targeted to Mrgprd. *Neuron* 45:17–25.
   doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.015

#### 929 FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS



930 931

#### 932 Figure 1. The adult scale epidermis contains *atoh1a*+ Merkel cells.

933 (A) Illustration of the adult zebrafish trunk anatomy showing the organization of epidermis, scales, 934 and dermis. Scales are flat bony discs arranged in an overlapping, imbricated pattern and coated 935 on their external surface by epidermis. (B) TEM of a presumptive MC from the scale epidermis. 936 Dotted boxes indicate regions of magnification in B'-B". (B') Magnification of B showing 937 cytoplasmic granules (g, brackets) juxtaposed to a putative axon (a) contact containing a 938 mitochondrion (m). (B", B") Magnifications of B showing desmosomal-like (d, arrows) 939 attachments between keratinocytes (B") and between a presumptive MC and keratinocyte (B"). 940 (C, C') TEM of a presumptive MC from the scale epidermis showing a microvillar process (p, 941 arrowhead). (D) Illustration of a cross section of the scale epidermis based on TEM observations. 942 Superficial periderm cells (dark blue) are in the uppermost epidermal stratum and basal 943 keratinocytes (light blue) are in the lowermost epidermal stratum. MC containing cytoplasmic 944 granules, extending microvillar processes, and contacting axons localize between keratinocytes. 945 (E) Lateral confocal micrograph of the trunk epidermis in an adult expressing reporters for 946 keratinocytes (Tq(actb2:LOXP-BFP-LOXP-DsRed)) and atoh1a-expressing cells (Tq(atoh1a:nls-947 Eos)). Dotted boxes indicate areas of magnification in E' and E''. (E') Magnification of E showing 948 atoh1a+ hair cells (HCs) and progenitors within neuromasts (nm) of the posterior lateral line. (E") 949 Magnification of E showing atoh1a+ MCs scattered throughout the scale epidermis. (F) Lateral 950 and reconstructed cross sectional confocal micrographs of the trunk in an adult expressing 951 reporters for keratinocytes (Tg(actb2:LOXP-BFP-LOXP-DsRed)) and atoh1a-expressing cells 952 (Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)) and stained with Alizarin Red S (ARS) to label the mineralized scale matrix. 953 Note that atoh1a+ MCs localize to the epidermis above scales (arrowhead). (G) Lateral confocal 954 micrograph of the scale epidermis in an adult expressing reporters for keratinocytes 955 (Tg(krt4:DsRed)) and F-actin within atoh1a+ MCs (Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP)). Note that all atoh1a+ MCs extend multiple microvilli. (G') Magnification of G with arrowheads indicating 956 957 individual microvillar processes on the surface of MCs. (G") Reconstructed cross section along 958 the yellow line in G. MCs localize to the upper epidermal strata as diagrammed in D. Note that 959 Tg(krt4:DsRed) (blue) preferentially labels keratinocytes in the upper epidermal strata, but not in 960 the basal cell layer. Scale bars: 1 µm (B,C), 0.1 µm (B'-B"), 0.5 µm (C'), 50 µm (E-E",F), 10 µm (G) and 5 µm (G',G"). 961



962 963

964 Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Characterization of *atoh1a* reporter transgenes in larvae.

965 Confocal micrographs of 3 dpf larvae expressing the indicated transgenes. Dotted boxes in A and

E indicate areas of magnification for panels below. Arrows indicate expression by hair cells of the

967 inner ear. Scale bars: 300  $\mu m$  (A,E) and 100  $\mu m$  (B-D,F-H).



968 969

#### 970 Figure 1—figure supplement 2. MCs in the adult epidermis express Sox2.

971 (A-B') Lateral confocal micrographs of the scale epidermis showing anti-Sox2 immunostaining of
 972 MCs labeled by either *Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)* (A,A') or *Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP)* (B,B'). Arrows
 973 indicate examples of Sox2+/*atoh1a*- cells. DAPI labels epidermal nuclei. (C) Quantification of the
 974 overlap between *atoh1a*+ MCs and Sox2 immunostaining. 99% of *atoh1a:nls-Eos*+ MCs
 975 expressed Sox2 (769/774 cells from *N*=5 fish). Scale bars: 5 µm.



976 977

#### 978 Figure 2. Somatosensory axons innervate MCs in the adult epidermis.

979 (A) Lateral confocal micrograph of the scale epidermis from an adult expressing a MC reporter 980 immunostained for peripheral axons (zn-12). (B) Lateral confocal micrograph of the scale 981 epidermis showing that somatosensory peripheral axons (Tq(p2rx3b:EGFP)) innervate MCs. 982 Inset of dotted region shows axonal varicosities adjacent to a MC (arrowheads). (C) Quantification 983 of MC innervation with various axon markers. Each dot represents measurements from an 984 individual scale. Innervation frequencies: zn-12, 91% (284/311 cells; N=3 adults); 985 *Tg(p2rx3a>mCherry)*, 86% (196/228 cells; *N*=4 adults); *Tg(p2rx3b:EGFP)*, 99% (225/228 cells; N=4 adults); Tq(trpa1b:EGFP), 96% (217/225 cells; N=9 adults). (D-F) High-magnification 986 987 confocal micrographs showing examples of somatosensory axons forming extended, ring-like 988 contacts with MCs within the scale epidermis. (G) Three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of an 989 axon (zn-12 immunostaining, arrowheads) forming a bouton-like ending (asterisk) that terminates 990 near a MC. DAPI staining labels epidermal nuclei. (H) 3D reconstruction of a single 991 somatosensory axon ( $T_g(p2rx3a > mCherry)$ ) that forms en passant-like contacts (asterisks) with 992 multiple MCs. Scale bars: 10 µm (A, B), 5 µm (D-H).



993 994

Figure 3. MCs in the adult epidermis express neurosecretory and mechanosensory
 machinery.

997 (A,B) Anti-SV2 (A-A") or anti-5-HT (B-B") immunostaining of the scale epidermis from an adult 998 expressing a MC reporter. Note the punctate localization of SV2 and 5-HT staining in MCs, 999 consistent with a vesicular localization. 96% of MCs (178/185) were SV2+. 98% of MCs (326/332) 1000 were 5-HT+. Cells analyzed from n=3 scales from N=2 adults (25-27 mm SL). DAPI labels 1001 epidermal nuclei. (C) Scale epidermis from an adult expressing a MC reporter stained with AM1-1002 43. 98% of MCs (90/92) were AM1-43+. Cells analyzed from n=6 scales from N=2 adults. Inset 1003 of dotted region shows puncta within a MC labeled by AM1-43 (arrowheads). (D) Fluorescent in 1004 situ hybridization with an antisense probe against piezo2. 99% of MCs (246/248) were piezo2+. 1005 Cells analyzed from n=3 individual scales from N=2 adults (23-27 mm SL). Scale bars: 5  $\mu$ m.



 $\begin{array}{c} 1006 \\ 1007 \end{array}$ 

#### 1008 Figure 4. Merkel cells derive from the basal keratinocyte lineage.

1009 (A) Schematic of Cre-based neural crest lineage tracing strategy. (B) Confocal micrograph of the 1010 scale epidermis in an adult expressing neural crest lineage (Tg(sox10:Cre); Tg(actb2:LOXP-BFP-1011 LOXP-DsRed)) and MC (Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP)) reporters. Brackets denote Schwann cells 1012 associated with a nerve along a scale radius. (C) Schematic of Cre-based basal keratinocyte 1013 lineage tracing strategy. (D) Confocal micrograph of the scale epidermis in an adult expressing 1014 basal keratinocyte lineage ( $TgBAC(\Delta Np63:Cre-ERT2)$ ; Tg(actb2:LOXP-BFP-LOXP-DsRed)) and 1015 MC (Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP)) reporters, which was treated with 4-OHT at 1 dpf. Arrowheads 1016 indicate MCs labeled by the basal keratinocyte lineage reporter. Note that recombination is not 1017 complete, therefore not all MCs express the lineage reporter. (E) Boxplots of the percentage of 1018 MCs expressing the lineage tracing reporters diagrammed in panels A and C. Each dot represents 1019 an individual scale. Overall percentage of MCs expressing lineage trace reporters: sox10/Lifeact, 1020 0.3% (1/323 cells; N=6 adults); ΔNp63/Lifeact, 29.7% (299/1005 cells; N=6 adults); ΔNp63/nls-1021 Eos, 32.3% (386/1195 cells; N=4 adults). Scale bars: 20 μm.





**Figure 4—figure supplement 1. Validation of basal keratinocyte lineage tracing strategy.** (A,B) Schematics of the experimental design. (C-C",D-D") Lateral confocal micrographs of the caudal fin of  $TgBAC(\Delta Np63:Cre-ERT2)$ ; Tg(actb2:LOXP-BFP-LOXP-DsRed) larvae treated as indicated. Gt(Ctnna-Citrine) labels keratinocyte membranes. (C"',D"') Reconstructed cross sections along the dashed yellow line in C" or D". Arrowheads indicate examples of basal keratinocytes that have undergone Cre recombination as evidenced by DsRed expression. Scale bar: 100 µm.







1034 (A) Illustration of the photoconversion experiment showing the epidermis (blue), non-converted 1035 MCs (green), and converted MCs (magenta) after exposure of a region of the scale epidermis to 1036 UV light. (B,C) Representative images of MCs labeled by Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos) at 0 (B) or 7 (C) 1037 days post-conversion (dpc) from a single adult. Cyan dotted box indicates the photoconverted region. White dotted box indicates the area magnified in B', C'. (B',C') Numbers label examples 1038 1039 of individual cells present at 0 and 7 dpc. Arrows indicate examples of newly added cells, which 1040 appear green due to the presence of non-converted nls-Eos (green) and absence of converted 1041 nls-Eos (magenta). (D) Boxplots of the percent of photoconverted MCs remaining compared to 0 1042 dpc. Each dot represents an individual fish. (E) Boxplots of the total number of MCs (converted + 1043 non-converted) present at each day compared to 0 dpc. Each dot represents an individual fish. 1044 Scale bars: 50 µm.



1045 1046

1047 Figure 6. MCs are widely distributed across the skin, in compartment-specific patterns.

1048 **(A)** Illustration indicating the regions imaged in adult zebrafish. **(B-E)** Lateral confocal 1049 micrographs of MCs in the regions from animals expressing the indicated reporters. The regions 1050 imaged are indicated in A. Insets show MCs expressing Tg(atoh1a:Lifeact-EGFP) have a similar 1051 morphology across skin compartments. nm, neuromasts of the posterior lateral line. **(F)** 1052 Quantification of MC densities in the specified regions. Each dot represents an individual fish (27-1053 29 mm SL). Scale bars: 50 µm (B-E), 5 µm (B-E, insets).



1054 1055



1057 (A) Abbreviated zebrafish developmental timeline showing standard length (SL) in millimeters. 1058 Developing scales are drawn in magenta below the approximate corresponding stage. (B-E) 1059 Representative lateral confocal micrographs of MCs and osteoblasts along the trunk at the 1060 indicated stages. Note that MCs increase in number and density as scale-forming osteoblasts 1061 develop below the epidermis. nm, neuromast of the posterior lateral line. (F) Quantification of MC 1062 density according to SL. Each dot represents an individual fish. (G,H) Quantification of the number 1063 (G) or density (H) of MCs relative to scale area. Each dot represents an individual scale. Dot 1064 colors represent animal SL as indicated in the legend. Shading indicates a 95% confidence 1065 interval around the linear regression lines in F-H. Correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ): 0.33 (F), 0.73 (G), 1066 0.31 (H). Scale bars: 50 µm.



#### 1067

1068

1069Figure 8. Preventing dermal appendage development decreases MC density in trunk, but1070not facial, skin.

1071 (A-F) Representative confocal images of MCs in juveniles of the indicated genotypes at the 1072 indicated stages. Dotted yellow lines indicate posterior scale boundaries. nm, neuromasts of the 1073 posterior lateral line. (G) Quantification of MC density in the trunk skin relative to standard length. 1074 Grey shading indicates a 95% confidence interval around the linear regression lines. The 1075 difference between genotypes was significant above 12.5 mm SL (P < 0.05, Johnson-Neyman 1076 Technique). Each dot represents an individual animal. (H) Histograms of the distribution of trunk 1077 MCs along a rectangular segment encompassing 3 scales in a sibling and an identically sized 1078 region in an eda mutant (18-19 mm SL). (I) Boxplots of MC densities in the epidermis above the 1079 eve or operculum in animals of the indicated genotypes. ns, not significant (eve, P=0.21; 1080 operculum, P=0.14; Mann-Whitney test). Scale bars: 50 µm (A-F).



 $\begin{array}{c} 1081\\ 1082 \end{array}$ 

### Figure 8—figure supplement 1. *eda* mutants exhibit decreased MC addition and increased MC loss.

1085 (A) Schematic of experimental approach. Following whole animal photoconversion, densities of 1086 converted and non-converted MCs were quantified at 4 dpc. (B-C") Representative lateral 1087 confocal micrographs of MCs at 4 dpc in adults of the indicated genotypes. Numbers label newly 1088 added cells, distinguishable by the absence of photoconverted nls-Eos (magenta). (D) Boxplots 1089 of MC addition at 4 dpc in the indicated genotypes. 1-3 independent regions were analyzed per animal. eda mutants show a significantly lower rate of MC cell addition (Mann-Whitney test). (E) 1090 1091 Boxplots of photoconverted MC density in animals of the indicated genotypes. Average 1092 percentage cell density loss between 0 and 4 dpc is listed above the boxplots for each genotype. 1093 Scale bars: 20 µm (B,C).



1094 1095

1096 Figure 9. Altering dermal appendage patterning redistributes MCs.

1097 (A-D) Representative images of juvenile of the indicated genotypes expressing a MC reporter and stained with ARS to visualize scales (A,B) or co-expressing MC and keratinocyte 1098 1099 (Tg(krt4:DsRed)) reporters (C,D). Dotted lines indicate scale boundaries. nm, neuromasts of the 1100 posterior lateral line. (E-H) Tracings of scale outlines (E,F) and density plots of MC position (G,H) 1101 from juvenile animals (11.6-14.7 mm SL) of the indicated genotypes. Scales tracings were aligned 1102 at the dorsal-ventral midpoint of the posterior scale margin. Note the variability in scale shape and size and corresponding increased spread of MC position in fgf8a<sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup> juveniles compared to 1103 1104 sibling controls. Scale bars: 100 µm (A-D), 200 µm (E-H).



#### 1105 1106

## Figure 9—figure supplement 1. *fgf8a<sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup>* juveniles show altered dermal appendage size and shape, but not MC density.

1109 (A-C) Violin plots of scale area, aspect ratio, and Feret's diameter from juveniles (11.6-14.7 mm 1110 SL) of the indicated genotypes. P-values (Mann-Whitney test), listed above each plot, indicate a 1111 significant difference between the genotypes for the scale aspect ratio, but not scale area or 1112 Feret's diameter. Data represent n=42 scales from N=13 fish (fgf8a<sup>+/+</sup>) and n=32 scales from N=9fish (fgf8a<sup>dhiD1Tg/+</sup>). Insets illustrate the various measurements. (D,E) Boxplots of MC density 1113 1114 across the trunk epidermis (D) or the epidermis directly above individual scales (E) as indicated 1115 by the dotted boxes in juveniles expressing a MC reporter (Tg(atoh1a:nls-Eos)). Dot colors represent animal SL as indicated in the legend. Total fish analyzed: fgf8a+/+ (N=13); fgf8adhiD1Tg/+ 1116 1117 (N=9). P-values (Mann-Whitney test) are listed above each plot.

#### 1118 SUPPLEMENTAL VIDEO LEGENDS

1119

#### 1120 Supplemental Video 1.

- 1121 3D rotation of somatosensory axons (green) and photoconverted MCs (green and magenta) in
- 1122 the adult scale epidermis. Arrows indicate axonal varicosities in close proximity to MCs.