Title: Evolution of constitutive bacteriocin production and release

Abstract

The production of the bacterial anti-competitor toxins, called bacteriocins, is widely described as

spite, because the production of the toxins is costly and often requires cell death for release.

Given these costs, it reasonable to think that bacteriocin production should be induced by the

presence of unrelated competitor strains. Nonetheless, recent evidence in the insect-pathogenic

bacterium *Xenorhabdus koppenhoeffri* showed that bacteriocin production occurs regardless of

the presence of unrelated competitors. Could the constitutive production of bacteriocins be

favored by natural selection? Here we use a mathematical model to examine this question, both

within and between subpopulations. The model assumes that bacteriocin production is

constitutive and costly, and that toxin release only occurs during natural cell death. We found

that constitutive bacteriocin producers can outcompete non-producer sensitive strains within

populations, and that it can spread in a metapopulation provided there is local competition for

patches. Hence, the evolution of bacteriocin production does not require the detection of

competitors or "suicidal" release of toxins.

Introduction

The evolution and maintenance of spiteful behaviors that reduce the fitness of both the actor and the recipient pose a long-standing evolutionary puzzle (Hamilton, 1964, 1970). How can a trait that reduces the fitness of the actor be favored by natural selection? Yet, one of the clearest examples of spite in nature, bacteriocin production, is a trait that is ubiquitously expressed by almost all known lineages within the bacterial kingdom (Klaenhammer, 1988; Riley & Chavan, 2006). Bacteriocins are proteinaceous toxins with diverse mechanisms of action, that can kill closely related local competitors without killing clone-mates (Reeves, 1965; Riley & Chavan, 2006). Bacteriocin production is considered spiteful because these toxins both kill their targets and impose fitness costs of production, thus reducing the fitness of both recipients and actors (Gardner et al., 2004; West et al., 2007). In addition to the costs of DNA replication, maintenance, and protein synthesis, the release of these large, proteinaceous toxins often requires cell lysis, particularly in gram-negative cells that lack a cell wall (Riley & Wertz, 2002; Riley & Chavan, 2006; Wloch-Salamon et al., 2008) .

Theory predicts that the competitive environment is key for the maintenance of costly bacteriocin production (Chao & Levin, 1981; Gardner et al., 2004). Bacteriocin-production is predicted to be most favored when competitors occur at comparable frequencies to the producing lineage (Gardner et al., 2004; Inglis et al., 2009). Further, a recent meta-analysis revealed that bacteriocin production is more likely to be associated with competition-related stressors such as starvation or cellular damage, than abiotic stressors such as heat or osmotic stress(Cornforth & Foster, 2013). This led to the proposition of the 'competition sensing hypothesis,' which posits that bacterial populations have evolved the ability to sense and respond to competition in their

environment by upregulating bacteriocin production (Cornforth & Foster, 2013). However, the

gram negative bacteria, *Xenorhabdus koppenhoeffri*, was found in vitro to produce and release

the same levels of bacteriocins in both the presence and absence of non-self competitors

(Bhattacharya et al., 2018).   Why do *X koppenhoeffri* produce and release bacteriocins in the

absence of competitors?

Here we address this question by building a mathematical model to ask whether the constitutive

production of bacteriocins can be selected in natural bacterial populations. The model examines

competition between bacteriocin-producer and bacteriocin-sensitive cells when bacteriocin

production is both constitutive and costly. We assume that toxins are only released upon natural

cell death. Our results demonstrate that constitutive bacteriocin-producers can outcompete faster

growing sensitive cells within patches, and that they increase in frequency in structured

populations, if there is some degree of local competition.

Model

We develop a model that tracks competition between two pathogenic bacterial strains: a

bacteriocin producing strain and a sensitive strain that is killed by the producer's bacteriocins.

The strains compete for a finite amount of a non-renewable resource within a shared host. We

assume that the production of bacteriocins is constitutive and costly. In other words, we assume

that bacteriocin production is not a plastic response to the presence of competitors and that it

imposes a fitness cost by reducing the birth rate of producers. Finally, we assumed that the

release of bacteriocins only occurs during natural cell death, independent of whether competing

cells are present. Importantly, the producer death rate is fixed and independent of competitor

densities enabling the test of inducibility being necessary for the maintenance of costly

bacteriocin production. First, we ask whether constitutive bacteriocin production could be

favored by selection within hosts. We then examine whether constitutive bacteriocin production

could increase when rare in a metapopulation in which there is between-host competition.

72   <u>Within-Host Competition</u>

Let B_t be the "birth" number per sensitive cell at time t. We assumed B_t was both density

dependent and resource dependent, as follows:

$$B_t = b\left[1 - \frac{N_t}{N_t + R_t}\right] \tag{1}$$

where b is the intrinsic number of new cells produced per bacterial cell per time step, N_t is the

total number cells (producer + sensitive) in the population at time t, and R_t is the number of

resource units remaining in the population at time t. The variable b was set to 1 in our

simulations. We also assumed that each cell division requires one unit of the resources available

at time t. Similarly, the death rate, D_t, was also density-dependent and calculated as:

$$D_t = d\left[\frac{N_t}{N_t + R_t}\right] \tag{2}$$

where d is the intrinsic death rate. The number of producer cells at time step, t+1, is then given

by

$$P_{t+1} = P_t[1 + B_t(1 - c) - D_t] \tag{3}$$

where P_t is the number of producer cells at time step t, and c is the birth-rate cost of bacteriocin

production.

Toxin molecules were released only upon the natural death of producer cells. The total

number of toxin molecules in the system at time t+1 was

$$X_{t+1} = (1 - z)X_t + xD_tP_t + S_t[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\beta X_t}{S_t}\right)]$$ (4)

Where, X_t is the number of toxin molecules in the system at time t; z is the loss probability of

toxin molecules; x is the number of toxin molecules released by each dying producer cell; and β

is the contact probability between toxin molecules and sensitive cells, where S_t is the number of

sensitive cells at time t. The first term on the right-hand side (RHS) of eq. (4) thus gives number

of toxin molecules carried over from the previous time step. In the present study we set z equal

to 0.05 to give a 5% decay rate. The second term on the RHS gives the number of new toxin

molecules released into the system resulting from producer deaths. The third term on the RHS of

(4) gives the number of sensitive cells that were killed by contact with toxin, where X_t/S_t gives

the mean number of toxin molecules per sensitive cell. Note that the exponential term

$[\exp(-\frac{\beta X_t}{S_t})]$ gives the probability of the zero class in a Poisson distribution; hence [1 -

$\exp\left(-\frac{\beta X_t}{S_t}\right)]$ gives the probability that a sensitive cell would contact at least one toxin molecule,

thus killing the sensitive cell. Thus, the third term on the RHS gives the number of toxin

molecules removed from the system, assuming each toxin-induced death removes a single toxin

molecule from the system.

The number of sensitive cells at time step t+1 was calculated as:

$$S_{t+1} = S_t[1 + B_t - D_t - (1 - \exp(-\beta X_t/S_t)]$$ (5)

where S_t is the number of sensitive cells at time t. After every time step, the number of resource

units remaining in the system were calculated as:

$$R_{t+1} = R_t - P_tB_t(1 - c) - S_tB_t$$ (6)

where R_t is the number of resource units at time t. The model thus assumes that the birth of

every cell requires one resource unit.

The recursion equations above were iterated using R (R Core Team. 2019). The code is

given in Supplementary Files 1 and 2. The simulation was initiated with 10 producer cells and

90 sensitive cells in a single host containing 10^8 resource units. We ran the simulation for 100

time steps, which was more than enough for the resources to be depleted to zero. The intrinsic

birth rate was set to 1 ($b = 1$), and the intrinsic death rate was set to 0.01 ($d = 0.01$). The cost of

toxin production was set to 0.05 ($c = 0.05$). We ran the model for a range of parameters for β

and x to explore the effects of the contact probability between toxin and sensitive cells, and the

number of bacteriocin particles released following the death of producer cells.

120   <u>Between-Host Competition</u>

For bacteriocin production to be favored by natural selection, producers not only have to "win"

within hosts, but they also require an advantage under some level of between-host competition.

Such an advantage likely depends on the degree of soft selection and migration. Here we used

Frank's "scale-of-competition" method to examine bacteriocin evolution in a metapopulation

(Frank, 19**). The method uses the variable 'a' to represent the probability of competition with

individuals derived from the same patch. The variable can also be seen as a combination of soft

selection (s) and migration (m)(van Dyken, 2010), where

$a = s + (1 - s)(1 - m)^2$ (7)

The model assumes a metapopulation comprising of a large number of hosts where each host is

colonized by a fixed initial number of bacteria ($N = 100$). One host in the metapopulation is

colonized by a mixture of 10 producer cells and 90 sensitive cells. This is called the "local" host.

All remaining hosts are colonized by 100 sensitive cells.

133   The relative fitness of bacteriocin producers (w_{prod}) was calculated as (Frank, 1998):

$$W_{\text{prod}} = \frac{W_{\text{prod}}}{a\,\overline{W}_{\text{local}} + (1-a)\overline{W}_{\text{global}}}$$ (7)

where, W_{prod} is the number of producer cells at time t (P_t) divided by the number of colonizing

producer cells $(W_{\text{prod}} = P_t/P_1)$ where $P_1 = 10$; $\overline{W}_{\text{local}}$ is the number of cells per colonizing cell

in the local host (i.e., $(P_t + S_t)/100$) containing the producer cells; and $\overline{W}_{\text{global}}$ is the number of

cells per colonizing cell in the non-focal hosts at dispersal, i.e., $S_{\text{tot}}/100$, where S_{tot} is the total

number of sensitive bacteria in non-local hosts at dispersal.

Results

144   Within-Host Competition

The model tracks the densities of bacteriocin-producer cells, bacteriocin-sensitive cells, and

resource units within the host under varying contact probability between toxin molecules and

sensitive cells, β, and varying levels of the number of bacteriocin particles released per dying

producer cell, X (Figure 1). Each simulation begins with 10 producer cells and 90 sensitive cells

within a shared host. When X is set to 0 (Fig 1 a-c) the densities of bacteriocin producers never

exceed the densities of bacteriocin sensitive competitors, demonstrating that producers are

unable to outcompete sensitive cells. However, bacteriocin-producing cells outcompete

bacteriocin-sensitive competitors when X is non-zero (Fig 1 e-i). Producers outcompete sensitive

cells faster at higher levels of contact probability, β, and with higher numbers of bacteriocin

particles released per dying producer cell, X (Fig 1).

<center>Between-Host Competition</center>

Relative fitness of bacteriocin producers is examined across a metapopulation of hosts under

initial conditions of $\beta = 0.1$, varying levels of X, and three difference scales of competition (Fig

2). Relative fitness measures greater than 1 indicate invasion of the metapopulation by

producers despite being rare initially. Our results demonstrate that the relative fitness of

bacteriocin producers can exceed 1 under many conditions (Fig 2 d-i) despite conservative

assumptions about bacteriocin potency ($\beta = 0.1$; Fig 2). Invasion occurs faster and is favored

more strongly at more local scales of competition i.e., higher values of 'a', and with higher

numbers of bacteriocin particles released per dying producer cell, X.

167 Discussion

Bacteriocin production is an example of spiteful behavior, meaning that both the actor and the

recipient pay fitness costs (Riley & Chavan, 2006). Bacteriocin production is frequently

considered a 'suicide mission' where producer cells sacrifice themselves to deploy these anti-

competitor toxins (West et al., 2007; Nedelcu et al., 2010). Inducible mechanisms that allow

bacteriocin production to be increased by the competitive environment are predicted to be

favored by selection (Gardner et al., 2004; Cornforth & Foster, 2013). Yet, contrary to

expectations, bacteriocin production in *X koppenhoeffri* was found to occur even in the absence

of non-self competitor cells (Bhattacharya et al., 2018). Our goal here was to understand why.

We built a mathematical model to examine if and how, despite considerable costs of production

and release, bacteriocin-producing lineages like *X. koppenhoeffri* persist.

179  Our results demonstrate that a bacteriocin-producing lineage can outcompete a faster

180 growing sensitive lineage, if bacteriocins are released only upon natural cell death (Fig 1 and 2).

181 The time taken for the producing lineage to outcompete the sensitive lineage decreases with

182 increasing transmission efficiency, β, and higher numbers of bacteriocin particles released per

183 dying producer cell, x (Fig 1). These results challenge the assumption that producer cells must

184 incur additional cell deaths by 'suicide' to be effective competitors, and they suggest that natural

185 cell death may suffice to confer a competitive advantage to bacteriocin production.

186  Further, the results show that bacteriocin producers when rare can invade a

187 metapopulation of sensitive cells, provided some degree of local competition (Figure 2).

188 Consistent with previous work on the scale of competition in general (Durrett & Levin, 1997;

189 Gardner & West, 2004; Gardner et al., 2004), our results show that increasing local competition

190 favors the invasion of bacteriocin production (Figure 2b). These results demonstrate that, despite

191 cell deaths being necessary for bacteriocin release, inducible or higher-than-natural rates of cell

192 death for 'suicidal' bacteriocin release are not required for bacteriocin-producing lineages to

193 persist and increase in frequency when rare.

194  The assumptions about costs of bacteriocin production and bacteriocin potency (number

195 of particles released per dying cell) in our models are probably conservative. The cost of

196 bacteriocin production is set at 5% reduction in fitness (c = 0.05), which imposes a considerable

197 growth disadvantage on the producer strain relative to the sensitive competitor. It has been

198 previously argued that the fitness costs of bacteriocin production may be much less steep than

199 commonly assumed (Dykes & Hastings, 1997). Empirical estimates in *E coli* that produce the

200 most well-studied group of bacteriocins called colicins, suggest that every lysing producer cell is

201 capable of releasing bacteriocin particles on the order of thousands of particles per cell (Gordon

& Riley, 1999). Our model examines a wide range of this parameter ranging from 0-1000

particles of bacteriocin per dying producer cell, remaining highly conservative. Thus, our results

demonstrate that the competitive benefits of bacteriocin production may be realized without

invoking inducible mechanisms or 'suicide' despite high costs and low potencies of toxins

produced.

It is important to note that these results do not preclude the possibility of plastic

bacteriocin production or release. Indeed, recent work in *E coli* (Mavridou et al., 2018; Granato

& Foster, 2020) provide evidence for increased toxin production in response to attack from a

neighboring competitor. However, bacteriocin production in *E coli* has also been reported to

occur in the absence of competitors, typically at late growth stages (Eraso et al., 1996).

Additionally, recent work using models to compare various mechanisms of bacteriocin

production and release found that toxin sensitivity to be the preferred mode of bacteriocin

production and release over unregulated mechanisms (Niehus et al., 2021) . Our goal here is not

to claim that bacteriocin production cannot be inducible, rather to demonstrate that non-inducible

mechanisms of bacteriocin release can maintain bacteriocin production. We aim to caution

against the generalization of bacteriocin production as a 'suicide' mission and to encourage

additional direct investigation of the inducibility of this spiteful, yet ubiquitous bacterial trait.

To summarise, the model described in this study demonstrates, using conservative

assumptions, that inducible mechanisms of bacteriocin expression aren't necessary to explain the

maintenance of bacteriocin production in nature. Further, these results challenge the common

assumption that bacteriocin production and release is a 'suicide mission.' Our models provide an

alternative mechanism to explain the maintenance of spiteful bacteriocin production through

canalized, constitutive expression instead of inducible production. We do not claim that

canalized spite is the only, or even the most common, mechanism of bacteriocin production.

However, we do offer a previously underexamined mechanism to explain the early evolution of

bacteriocin production, and how spiteful bacteriocin production may be maintained in some

species.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing interests.

Bibliography

Bhattacharya, A., Pak, H.T. & Bashey, F. 2018. Plastic responses to competition: Does

bacteriocin production increase in the presence of nonself competitors? Ecology and

Evolution 8: 6880–6888. Wiley Online Library.

Chao, L. & Levin, B.R. 1981. Structured habitats and the evolution of anticompetitor toxins in

bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78: 6324–8.

Cornforth, D.M. & Foster, K.R. 2013. Competition sensing: the social side of bacterial stress

responses. Nature Reviews Microbiology 11: 285–93. Nature Publishing Group.

Durrett, R. & Levin, S. 1997. Allelopathy in Spatially Distributed Populations. Journal of

Theoretical Biology 185: 165–171.

Dykes, G.A. & Hastings, J.W. 1997. Selection and fitness in bacteriocin-producing bacteria.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 264: 683–7.

Eraso, J.M., Chidambaram, M. & Weinstock, G.M. 1996. Increased production of colicin E1 in

stationary phase. J Bacteriol 178: 1928–35. American Society for Microbiology (ASM).

Frank, S.A. 1998. Foundations of Social Evolution. Princeton University Press.

Gardner, A. & West, S.A. 2004. Spite and the scale of competition. J Evol Biol 17: 1195–203.

Gardner, A., West, S.A. & Buckling, A. 2004. Bacteriocins, spite and virulence. Proceedings of

the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271: 1529–35.

Gordon, D.M. & Riley, M.A. 1999. A theoretical and empirical investigation of the invasion

dynamics of colicinogeny. Microbiology (N Y) 145: 655–661.

Granato, E.T. & Foster, K.R. 2020. The Evolution of Mass Cell Suicide in Bacterial Warfare.

Current Biology 30: 2836-2843.e3. Cell Press.

Hamilton, W.D. 1970. Selfish and spiteful behaviour in an evolutionary model. Nature 228:

1218–1220.

Hamilton, W.D. 1964. The genetical evolution of social behaviour. J Theor Biol 7: 1–16.

Inglis, R.F., Gardner, A., Cornelis, P. & Buckling, A. 2009. Spite and virulence in the bacterium

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106: 5703–

5707.

Klaenhammer, T.R. 1988. Bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria. Biochimie 70: 337–49.

Mavridou, D.A.I., Gonzalez, D., Kim, W., West, S.A. & Foster, K.R. 2018. Bacteria use

collective behavior to generate diverse combat strategies. Current Biology 28: 1–11.

Nedelcu, A.M., Driscoll, W.W., Durand, P.M., Herron, M.D. & Rashidi, A. 2010. On the

paradigm of altruistic suicide in the unicellular world. Evolution (N Y) 65: 3–20.

Niehus, R., Oliveira, N.M., Li, A., Fletcher, A.G. & Foster, K.R. 2021. The evolution of strategy

in bacterial warfare via the regulation of bacteriocins and antibiotics. Elife 10. eLife

Sciences Publications Ltd.

Reeves, P. 1965. The Bacteriocins. Bacteriol Rev 29: 24–45.

Riley, M.A. & Chavan, M.A. 2006. Bacteriocins: Ecology and Evolution. Springer.

Riley, M.A. & Wertz, J.E. 2002. Bacteriocin diversity: ecological and evolutionary perspectives.

Biochimie 84: 357–364.

van Dyken, J.D. 2010. The components of kin competition. Evolution (N Y) 64: 2840–2854.

West, S.A., Griffin, A.S. & Gardner, A. 2007. Social semantics: Altruism, cooperation,

mutualism, strong reciprocity and group selection. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 20:

415–432.

Wloch-Salamon, D.M., Gerla, D., Hoekstra, R.F. & de Visser, J.A.G.M. 2008. Effect of dispersal

and nutrient availability on the competitive ability of toxin-producing yeast. Proceedings of

the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 275: 535–41.

Figure 1

Figure 1: Within-host competitive dynamics of bacteriocin producing cells (red) and bacteriocin

sensitive competitors (blue). Red and blue lines represent the number of producer or sensitive

bacterial cells, respectively, relative to the initial number of cells of each type. Variable

X represents the number of bacteriocin particles released by every dying producer cell. Variable

β represents the contact probability between bacteriocin particles and sensitive cells. Black

dashed lines represent the total number of unused bacteriocin particles in the environment.

Panels a-c represent competitive dynamics when no bacteriocin is not effective at killing

sensitive cells. Here sensitive cells outcompete producer cells every time and the slower

producer growth represents the growth cost of bacteriocin production incurred by producer cells.

Producer cells can outcompete sensitive cells when $X > 0$ and do so faster with increasing

contact probability β between bacteriocins and sensitive cells (panels e – i) .

Figure 2

Figure 2: Within-host dynamics and relative fitness of bacteriocin producer cells across the

metapopulation of hosts. Fig 2 a, e and i represent the within-host growth of bacteriocin

producers and sensitive competitors for varying number of bacteriocin particles released per

dying producer cell (X). Panels 2 b-d, f-h, j-l show the relative fitness of producer cells across

the metapopulation of hosts for varying values of scale of competition, 'a' (Frank, 1994). Scale

of competition, a can range from completely local (a = 1) to completely global (a =0).