Species-specific traits mediate avian demographic responses under past climate change

One-Sentence Summary: Interacting traits influence sensitivity of bird population sizes to

climate warming and cooling over the past million years.

Ryan R Germain[1,2*†], Shaohong Feng[3,4,5†], Guangji Chen[3,6], Gary R. Graves[1,7], Joseph A.
Tobias[8], Carsten Rahbek[1,8,9], Fumin Lei[10], Jon Fjeldså[1,11], Peter A. Hosner[1,9,11], M. Thomas P.
Gilbert[12,13], Guojie Zhang[2,4,5,14,15,16*], David Nogués-Bravo[1*]

[†]equal authorship contributions

[1]Center for Macroecology, Evolution, and Climate, The Globe Institute, University of
Copenhagen; Copenhagen, Denmark.

[2]Villum Centre for Biodiversity Genomics, Section for Ecology and Evolution, Department of
Biology, University of Copenhagen; Copenhagen, Denmark.

[3]BGI-Shenzhen; Shenzhen, China.

[4]Evolutionary & Organismal Biology Research Center, Zhejiang University School of Medicine,
Hangzhou, 310058, China

[5]Liangzhu Laboratory, Zhejiang University Medical Center, 1369 West Wenyi Road, Hangzhou
311121, China

[6]College of Life Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences; Beijing, China.

[7]Department of Vertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian
Institution; Washington, DC, USA.

[8]Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London; Ascot, UK.

[9]Center for Global Mountain Biodiversity, The Globe Institute, University of Copenhagen;
Copenhagen, Denmark.

[10]Key Laboratory of Zoological Systematics and Evolution, Institute of Zoology, Chinese
Academy of Sciences; Beijing, China.

[11]Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen; Copenhagen, Denmark.

[12]Center for Evolutionary Hologenomics, The Globe Institute, University of Copenhagen;
Copenhagen, Denmark.

[13]Department of Natural History, University Museum, Norwegian University of Science and
Technology; Trondheim, Norway.

[14]Women's Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University，1# Xueshi Road, Shangcheng
District, Hangzhou, 310006, China

[15]State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of Zoology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences; Kunming, China.

[16]Center for Excellence in Animal Evolution and Genetics, Chinese Academy of Sciences;
Kunming, China.

*Corresponding authors. Email: ryan.germain@bio.ku.dk, guojie.zhang@bio.ku.dk,
dnogues@sund.ku.dk

Abstract: Anticipating species' responses to environmental change is a pressing mission in

biodiversity conservation. Despite decades of research investigating how climate change may

affect population sizes, historical context is lacking and the traits which mediate demographic

sensitivity to changing climate remain elusive. We use whole-genome sequence data to

reconstruct the demographic histories of 263 bird species over the past one million years and

identify networks of interacting morphological and life-history traits associated with changes in

effective population size (N_e) in response to climate warming and cooling. Our results identify

direct and indirect effects of key traits representing survival, reproduction, and dispersal

processes on long-term demographic responses to climate change and highlight traits most

likely to influence population responses to on-going climate warming.

Human-induced changes to the global environment are affecting biodiversity at an

unprecedented rate, with animal populations having declined drastically since 1970[1–4]. Despite

efforts to quantify contemporary population trends and disentangle the effects of different drivers

of global change, we currently lack historical context as to whether similar declines have

occurred before, and if species-specific traits influence long-term demographic sensitivity to

environmental challenges such as climate change[5–7]. In particular, identifying common

demographic patterns over evolutionary timescales and before the Anthropocene epoch can

reveal how life-history strategies influence population dynamics during periods of wide-spread

climatic stress[8–10]. Elucidating these strategies will aid conservation efforts through identifying

species with characteristics prone to demographic decline under current and future challenges.

Climate change is regarded as a key environmental regulator of demographic change. It

is hypothesized to affect demography via its effects on reproduction, survival/growth, and

dispersal[11,12]. However, responses to climate change can vary dramatically among even closely-

related or co-occurring species because of differing life-history traits and strategies[13–15].

Theoretical predictions and empirical evidence suggest that larger-bodied, slower-reproducing

species with limited dispersal capacity are more sensitive to sustained climate change (table

S5), indicating that such species have reduced adaptive potential and/or limited ability to exploit

climate refugia. However, evaluation of the role of traits on demographic sensitivity to climate

change is typically tested only with contemporary data over shorter ecological timescales.

Because additional anthropogenic factors such as land-use change and over-exploitation may

mask or confound demographic responses[9,16–18], the ability to identify relationships between life-

history traits and demographic sensitivity to climate change remains constrained when limited to

contemporary data.

Periods of climate warming and cooling over the Earth's history offer a unique

opportunity to quantify effects of species-specific traits on demographic sensitivity to climate

change in the absence of confounding anthropogenic stressors[5,6]. This approach facilitates

identifying the relative roles of interacting traits that influenced sensitivity to past periods of

climate warming and cooling, providing insights into how these traits may mediate species-

specific responses to climate change. We use whole genome sequence data[19], and Pairwise

Sequential Markovian Coalescent (PSMC) analysis[20] to reconstruct the long-term (one million

years) demographic histories of 263 bird species, representing 39 orders distributed from the

Arctic to the tropics. We then quantify demographic responses to the most recent warming and

cooling periods before widespread human activity, and identify network effects of morphological

and life-history traits related to survival/growth, reproduction, and dispersal which influence

overall demographic sensitivity to climate change.

Results and Discussion:

Effective population sizes (N_e) varied substantially across avian species and over time and

space (table S1, Supplementary Text S1). Demographic clustering revealed seven main

demographic histories, inferred from temporal patterns of N_e over the last one million years (Fig.

1A, table S3), and there was no detectable phylogenic signal of clustering among species (Fig

S6). Passerines (order Passeriformes, which represent more than half of all extant bird species

globally; here n = 123 species) and Non-Passerines (n = 140 species) were unequally

distributed among the demographic clusters (X^2 = 23.81, df = 6, p < 0.001), where Passerines

were most heavily represented in clusters 1, 3, 4, and 7 (demographic peaks in the more recent

upper/middle Pleistocene; Fig 1A; Table S3). In contrast, Non-Passerines were most

represented in clusters 5, 6, and 7, depicting demographic peaks during the more ancient

periods of the middle/lower Pleistocene (Fig 1A, Table S3). These results were further reflected

in lower mean N_e values for Passerines in the more distant past, despite Passerines exhibiting

consistently higher mean N_e than Non-Passerines over the past million years (Fig. 1B). Species

currently classified as "threatened" or "near-threatened" (IUCN Red List; here n = 34 species)

were evenly distributed among the seven main demographic histories (X^2 = 5.77, df = 6, p =

0.45) and exhibited varying demographic trends over time (Fig. 1C), indicating that current

conservation status is unlikely to be the result of long-term demographic variation.

Globally, mean normalized N_e for all 263 species increased from 1mya to ~500-600kya,

after which it steadily declined (Fig 2, 'Global Average'). Across the Earth's major

zoogeographic realms (Supplementary Text S1), species differed somewhat in when they

reached their mean demographic peaks, where most followed similar patterns of higher mean

N_e in more ancient periods and lower N_e values closer to 30kya (fig. S21). Overall, we detected

minimal variation in demographic trends among realms (fig. S21), indicating little effect of

geographic variation on overall patterns of demographic change over time.

We used linear mixed-effect models to first identify the set of morphological and life-

history traits most likely to be associated with overall N_e dynamics in response to climate

change, for use in downstream analyses (i.e. those explicitly considering phylogenetic and trait

interactions, below). For this variable selection step, we designated two periods of relatively

recent warming (~147–123kya) and cooling (~122–65kya), which represent some of the most

dramatic changes in global climate (i.e. Δ~8°C in Global Average Surface Temperature [GAST]

in <60k years) over the past one million years (fig S7), and are within the time window (~30–

200kya) in which PSMC-based estimates of N_e are most precise[20]). We quantified demographic

sensitivity to climate change as the relationship between species-specific N_e and GAST during

these two periods via Pearson correlation coefficients, and designated these relationships as

"*Climate Warming*" and "*Climate Cooling*" responses. Of eight initial traits (body mass, brain-

body ratio, tarsus length, bill length, egg mass, clutch size, incubation duration, and hand-wing

index [HWI – a metric of dispersal ability]) expected to influence population responses to climate

change (Supplementary Text S2), six were identified as key potential influencers (Table 1) and

retained in subsequent analysis. Of these six traits, longer incubation durations and larger clutch

sizes were most closely associated with increasing N_e during *Climate Warming*, whereas shorter

incubation duration, lower HWI, and longer bill lengths were most closely associated with

increasing N_e during *Climate Cooling* (Table 1). While goodness-of-fit for these models were

relatively low, given the low expectation of variation in a single trait directly influencing N_e

responses to climate over evolutionary time scales[21], these results clearly reveal a suite of key

traits likely to be associated with long-term demographic variation under climate change.

Using these six key traits, we further characterize the phylogenetically-explicit,

interacting trait network of influences on demographic sensitivity to climate change. We

categorized each species by their combined *Climate Warming* and *Climate Cooling* responses,

and used Phylogenetic Path Analysis (PPA). PPA is a hypothesis-driven framework for

assessing direct and indirect effects of each trait on differentiating defined species categories,

independent of their phylogenetic relationships (fig. S4). Species exhibiting decreasing N_e under

Climate Cooling and increasing N_e under *Climate Warming* were categorized as "Warming

Positive" (Fig 2, table S5). Those exhibiting increasing N_e under *Climate Cooling* and decreasing

N_e under *Climate Warming* were categorized as "Warming Negative", a scenario expected for

many temperate and cold-adapted species during the 21st century. We quantified the network of

trait effects on differentiating Warming Positive species from all other species in our analysis,

and repeated this process for Warming Negative species. Further, we evaluated species which

exhibited overall sensitivity to climate warming or cooling (i.e. Warming Positive + Warming

Negative responses) versus those with consistent N_e increases or decreases, and those which

exhibited consistent decreases in N_e for both the *Climate Warming* and *Climate Cooling*

responses versus remaining species (table S5).

PPA revealed that Warming Positive species were best differentiated by direct effects of

reproductive, survival/growth, and dispersal traits (table S6; Core Model D in fig. S10).

Averaging the best-performing models from this comparison indicates that N_e of species with

larger body masses, lower HWI, and smaller egg masses were more likely to increase under

Climate Warming and decrease under *Climate Cooling* (Fig. 3a). Interestingly, body mass also

exhibited indirect effects on differentiating Warming Positive species from remaining species via

its significant positive influence on egg mass and its indirect correlation with a lower HWI (Fig.

3A). These results highlight potential trade-offs between the influences of reproductive,

survival/growth, and dispersal traits on changes in population size under climate warming,

where the positive effects of larger body mass are offset by the associated increase in egg

mass or decrease in HWI. Warming Negative species were also best differentiated via the direct

effects of reproductive, survival/growth and dispersal traits, but in the opposite directions

compared to what we found in Warming Positive species (table S6; Core Model D in fig S10).

Model averaging further revealed that smaller-bodied species with larger eggs, longer

incubation durations, and greater HWI were more likely to exhibit decreasing N_e under *Climate*

Warming and increasing N_e under *Climate Cooling*, and again highlighted potential trade-offs

between body mass and HWI (Fig 3B). Trait network effects on differentiating all Climate

Sensitive species were less defined, where no traits were found to have significant, direct

effects on differentiating both Warming Positive and Warming Negative species from remaining

species (Fig. 2C). However, species with consistently decreasing N_e tendency for both the

Climate Warming and *Climate Cooling* responses were differentiated by smaller clutch sizes

and tended to have shorter incubation durations than remaining species (Fig 3D), providing

additional evidence for the directional role of these key traits in mediating demographic

responses under climate change.

Our observations of trait-network influences on long-term demographic sensitivity to

climate change correspond with theoretical expectations that larger-bodied, slower-reproducing

species with limited dispersal capacity are likely to respond strongly to changing climate (Fig 2),

but also suggest that such traits may not necessarily lead to population declines under warming

climate conditions, as predicted from some empirical observations in contemporary

populations[12,13,15,22–24] (table S11). A lower HWI typical of species with limited dispersal ability

was the only predictor found to significantly influence both un-networked (Table 1) and

networked (Fig. 2A, B) responses to climate change, where such species tended to increase in

abundance under *Climate Cooling* and decrease under *Climate Warming*. However, we found

that larger body mass exhibited direct and indirect effects on increasing N_e under *Climate*

Warming and decreasing N_e under *Climate Cooling* (Fig. 2A, B), contrary to results from

contemporary studies (Table S11).

If morphological and life-history traits play a central role in demographic responses to

climate change, as determined from our historical N_e analyses, they may also be reflected in the

contemporary global distributions of species. Specifically, trait effects leading to increasing N_e

tendency under the *Climate Warming* period may be similar to those of species found in tropical

locations today, under the assumption that such species are adapted to warmer climates. Using

all available mean trait and breeding/resident range information for 2,745 avian species

distributed globally, we found that species in tropical latitudes tend to have longer incubation

durations and longer bills, but smaller clutch sizes, smaller eggs, lower HWIs, and lower body

mass (Supplementary Text S3; fig S23, S24). Thus, our findings of historical responses to

periods of climate warming do partially explain contemporary biodiversity patterns. Specifically,

tropical species exhibit lower HWIs, in concordance with species exhibiting such traits showing

increasing N_e tendency under *Climate Warming* (n = 108 ; fig S10,S11). However, the opposing

findings of smaller clutch sizes among tropical species and the tendency towers larger clutch

sizes among *Climate Warming* responses (averaged model, fig S11), as well as the remaining

traits having no significant direct effect among *Climate Warming* responses indicate that

historical responses to climate change alone are not fully indicative of contemporary

distributions.

Our study reveals seven main demographic histories in birds over the last one million

years, and a key trait-adaptive network associated with population responses to long-term

climate change in the absence of additional human impacts. Unlike short-term responses where

single traits dictate adaptive functions under strong natural selection[12,15], long-term adaptation

(i.e. over evolutionary timescales) is influenced by overall genomic variation within species,

where the effects of individual traits become saturated and diminish over time[21,22,25,26]. This is

reflected both in the relatively low goodness-of-fit associated with individual trait effects (i.e. un-

networked) models (Table 1) and in trade-offs among several traits in PPA analysis of

responses to periods of climate warming and cooling (Fig 3, figs S10, S11). Over hundreds of

thousands of years, interacting trait networks and trade-offs among survival/growth and

reproductive traits may develop which potentially mask direct trait effects identified in studies of

contemporary populations. Reconstructing long-term population dynamics from genomic data is

thus a crucial component of revealing how past climatic events influenced the genetic makeup

of contemporary populations over time, and for providing demographic baselines before the

Anthropocene[5,6]. Such analyses across the tree of life will provide unique insight into the natural

variability of long-term demography, and help direct conservation efforts towards species more

sensitive to broad-scale global environmental change.

Table 1 - Parameter estimates, standard errors (SE), and upper and lower 95% confidence

intervals (UCI, LCI) from averaged linear mixed models (random effect = Passerine/Non-

passerine) evaluating the relative effects of morphological and life-history traits on demographic

responses to climate warming and cooling (measured as correlation coefficient between N_e

change and climate change). For each response, we ran 256 models involving all possible

combinations of eight predictor variables (body mass [g], ratio of brain size to body mass, tarsus

length [mm], hand-wing index [HWI], bill length [mm], egg mass [g], incubation duration [days],

and clutch size; all z-scaled to remove the effects of measurement scale), selected a 'best

models' subset (ΔAIC ≤ 5 from the best-fitting model) and averaged parameter estimates within

this subset. R^2 represents the goodness-of-fit of the global model (including all explanatory

variables) for each response. Values marked in bold highlight statistically significant predictors

(i.e. CIs do not overlap zero).

		Estimate	SE	z-value	p	LCI	UCI
Climate Warming (R^2 = 0.06)	Intercept	0.0003	0.07				
	Incubation duration	0.15	0.08	1.92	0.06	-0.003	0.30
	Clutch size	0.13	0.07	1.91	0.06	-0.004	0.27
	Egg mass	0.12	0.08	1.61	0.11	-0.03	0.27
	HWI	-0.09	0.07	1.34	0.18	-0.22	0.04
	Body mass	0.05	0.07	0.82	0.41	-0.08	0.19
Climate Cooling (R^2 = 0.05)	Intercept	-0.0005	0.08				
	Incubation duration	-0.14	0.07	1.93	0.05	-0.28	0.002
	HWI	-0.14	0.07	2.00	**0.05**	**-0.28**	**-0.003**
	Bill length	0.05	0.07	0.70	0.49	-0.09	0.18

A)

B)

C)

Fig. 1. Demographic histories of 263 avian species from 30,000 to 1 million years ago (all

x-axes presented on the log$_{10}$ scale). A) Cluster analysis of normalized N_e values reveal

seven main demographic histories over the Upper/Middle/Lower(L) Pleistocene. Clusters

designating Groups 1–7 (see table S1 for species included in each group) were based on

overall similarity of long-term N_e patterns, but can be distinguished by when the majority of

species reached their relative peak (see table S3). B) Mean log effective population size (N_e)

values (±SD) of Passerine (violet) and Non-Passerine (green) species. Passerines exhibited

consistently higher N_e values (mean sample difference = 9.21) throughout the entire time period

investigated (paired t-test, t_{119} = 27.9, p <0.0001). C) Examples of differing demographic

histories of species currently designated as "threatened" under IUCN Red List status, where

species arriving at similar N_e values at ~30kya follow differing demographic patterns over time

(illustrations by JF).

Fig 2. Mean change in normalized N_e from 30,000 to 1 million years ago (30–1000kya) for 263 avian species, summarized by zoogeographic realm (top panel, following Holt et al.[27]). For each panel, the number of species representing each realm is given in parenthesis. Colored dots depict mean normalized N_e at 120 equally-spaced (loglinear) time points from 30–1000kya, while shaded area depicts SD at each point. Full demographic curves of each species are provided in the background of each panel (grey) to show overall variation within each realm.

Fig. 3. Network effects of key morphological and life-history traits on demographic responses to climate warming and climate cooling, shown as directed acyclic graphs (left) and corresponding standardized regression coefficients (±SE; right) for averaged best performing models. A) Comparison of "Warming Positive" responses (n = 33) versus all remaining species (n = 230) reveals that larger body masses, smaller egg masses, and lower HWI are associated with demographic increases during *Climate Warming* and decreases during *Climate Cooling* (statistical significance of trait effects in all panels assessed by whether SEs overlap zero). B) Comparison of "Warming Negative" responses (n = 29) versus all remaining species (n = 234) reveals that smaller body masses, larger egg masses, greater HWI, and longer incubation durations are significantly associated with demographic increases during *Climate Cooling* and decreases during *Climate Warming*. C) Comparison of all species which exhibited sensitivity to *Climate Warming* or *Cooling* (n = 33 + 29) versus those with consistent N_e increases or decreases (n = 98 + 55) reveals no traits significantly influenced overall species sensitivity to changing climate conditions. D) Comparison of species with consistent N_e decreases during *Climate Warming* and *Climate Cooling* (n = 98) versus all remaining species (n = 165) again revealed no significant influences, although species with smaller clutch sizes, higher HWI, and shorter incubation durations did tend to exhibit demographic decrease during both *Climate Warming* and *Climate Cooling*.

References

1. Butchart, S. H. M. *et al.* Global Biodiversity: Indicators of Recent Declines. *Science* **328**, 1164–1168 (2010).

2. Dirzo, R. *et al.* Defaunation in the Anthropocene. *Science* **345**, 401–406 (2014).

3. IPBES. *Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.* (IPBES secretariat, 2019).

4. Rosenberg, K. V. *et al.* Decline of the North American avifauna. *Science* **366**, 120–124 (2019).

5. Fordham, D. A. *et al.* Using paleo-archives to safeguard biodiversity under climate change. *Science* **369**, eabc5654 (2020).

6. Nogués-Bravo, D. *et al.* Cracking the Code of Biodiversity Responses to Past Climate Change. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **33**, 765–776 (2018).

7. Foden, W. B. *et al.* Climate change vulnerability assessment of species. *WIREs Climate Change* **10**, e551 (2019).

8. Chattopadhyay, B., Garg, K. M., Ray, R. & Rheindt, F. E. Fluctuating fortunes: genomes and habitat reconstructions reveal global climate-mediated changes in bats' genetic diversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **286**, 20190304 (2019).

9. Chen, L. *et al.* Large-scale ruminant genome sequencing provides insights into their evolution and distinct traits. *Science* **364**, (2019).

10. Peart, C. R. *et al.* Determinants of genetic variation across eco-evolutionary scales in pinnipeds. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 1095–1104 (2020).

11. Jenouvrier, S. Impacts of climate change on avian populations. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 2036–2057 (2013).

12. Pacifici, M. *et al.* Species' traits influenced their response to recent climate change | Nature

Climate Change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **7**, 205–208 (2017).

13. Foden, W. B. *et al.* Identifying the World's Most Climate Change Vulnerable Species: A

Systematic Trait-Based Assessment of all Birds, Amphibians and Corals. *PLOS ONE* **8**,

e65427 (2013).

14. Telenský, T., Klvaňa, P., Jelínek, M., Cepák, J. & Reif, J. The influence of climate variability

on demographic rates of avian Afro-palearctic migrants. *Sci Rep* **10**, 17592 (2020).

15. Jiguet, F., Gadot, A.-S., Julliard, R., Newson, S. E. & Couvet, D. Climate envelope, life

history traits and the resilience of birds facing global change. *Glob. Change Biol.* **13**, 1672–

1684 (2007).

16. Lorenzen, E. D. *et al.* Species-specific responses of Late Quaternary megafauna to climate

and humans. *Nature* **479**, 359–364 (2011).

17. Hung, C.-M. *et al.* Drastic population fluctuations explain the rapid extinction of the

passenger pigeon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 10636–10641 (2014).

18. Feng, S. *et al.* The Genomic Footprints of the Fall and Recovery of the Crested Ibis. *Curr.*

Biol. **29**, 340-349.e7 (2019).

19. Feng, S. *et al.* Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative genomics.

Nature **587**, 252–257 (2020).

20. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome

sequences. *Nature* **475**, 493–496 (2011).

21. Bay, R. A. *et al.* Genomic signals of selection predict climate-driven population declines in a

migratory bird. *Science* **359**, 83–86 (2018).

22. Parmesan, C. Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change. *Annual*

Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics **37**, 637–669 (2006).

23. Angert, A. L. *et al.* Do species' traits predict recent shifts at expanding range edges? *Ecol*

Lett **14**, 677–689 (2011).

24. McCain, C. M. & King, S. R. B. Body size and activity times mediate mammalian responses

to climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* **20**, 1760–1769 (2014).

25. Barrett, R. D. H. & Schluter, D. Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends Ecol Evol*

23, 38–44 (2008).

26. Hancock, A. M. *et al.* Adaptation to Climate Across the Arabidopsis thaliana Genome.

Science **334**, 83–86 (2011).

27. Holt, B. G. *et al.* An Update of Wallace's Zoogeographic Regions of the World. *Science* **339**,

74–78 (2013).

Acknowledgments

This work was made possible by the generous efforts of field biologists and museum staff who

contributed samples to the B10k project. **Funding:** This work was supported by a National

Natural Science Foundation of China grant (no. 31901214) to S.F., an Independent Research

Fund Denmark grant to D.N-B. and G.Z., and grants to G.Z. from the Strategic Priority Research

Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, International Partnership Program of Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Carlsberg Foundation, and Villum Foundation. **Author contributions:**

R.R.G., S.F., G.Z. and D.N-B. designed the study and wrote the paper. R.R.G., S.F., and G.C.

carried out analyses. All authors contributed towards the assembly of genomic and

morphological/life-history data, discussion of results, and reviewing and editing the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests. **Data and materials**

availability: All data and code underlying these analyses are available in the Dryad Digital

Repository (URL upon acceptance).

Supplemental Materials

Materials and Methods

Supplementary Text S1 to S3

Tables S1 to S12

Figures S1 to S22

References (*28–91*)