Clostridioides difficile **PCR ribotype 151 is polyphyletic and includes pathogenic isolates from**

cryptic clade C-II with mono-toxin pathogenicity loci that can escape routine diagnostics

Quinten R. Ducarmon[a,b], Tjomme van der Bruggen[c], Céline Harmanus[a], Ingrid M.J.G. Sanders[a], Laura

G.M. Daenen[d], Ad C. Fluit[c], Rolf H.A.M. Vossen[e], Susan L. Kloet[e], Ed J. Kuijper[a,b,f], Wiep Klaas

Smits[a,b,g#]

[a]Department of Medical Microbiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

[b]Center for Microbiome Analyses and Therapeutics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The

Netherlands.

[c]Department of Medical Microbiology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

[d]Department of Haematology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

[e]Leiden Genome Technology Center, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

[f]Center for Infectious Disease Control, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment,

Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

[g]Centre for Microbial Cell Biology, Leiden, The Netherlands.

[#]Address correspondence to w.k.smits@lumc.nl

ABSTRACT

We report a patient case with pseudomembranous colitis associated with a mono-toxin producing

Clostridioides difficile belonging to the very rarely diagnosed PCR ribotype (RT) 151. The infection

was difficult to diagnose, since the isolate and the feces sample tested negative for toxin-encoding

genes using a routine commercial test. This prompted us to sequence n = 11 RT151s from various

geographical regions to study their genomic characteristics and relatedness. By including whole

genome sequence data from other sources, we could further place these isolates into the

phylogenetic tree of *C. difficile* and assign them to their respective clades. These analyses revealed

that 1) RT151s are polyphyletic with isolates falling into clades 1, and cryptic clades C- I and C- II 2)

RT151 contains both non-toxigenic and toxigenic isolates and 3) RT151 C-II isolates contained mono-

toxin pathogenicity loci (PaLoc). Additional analysis with PacBio circular consensus sequencing

revealed that the isolate from our patient case report contains a novel PaLoc insertion site, lacked

tcdA and a had significantly divergent *tcdB* sequence that might explain the failure of the diagnostic

test. The study is noteworthy as 1) RT151 encompasses both typical and cryptic clades and 2)

conclusive evidence for CDI due to clade C-II isolates was hitherto lacking.

KEYWORDS: *Clostridioides difficile*, cryptic clade, PaLoc

INTRODUCTION

Clostridioides difficile is a Gram-positive, spore-forming anaerobic bacterium and the leading cause of antibiotic-associated diarrhea, both in healthcare facilities and the community[1]. *C. difficile* can produce several clostridial toxins (toxin A or TcdA, toxin B or TcdB and the binary toxin CDT) and these are responsible for *C. difficile* infection (CDI), symptoms of which can range from mild and self-limiting diarrhea to pseudomembranous colitis, toxic megacolon and ultimately death[1]. Phylogenetic analyses have revealed that most *C. difficile* isolates associated with human and animal disease fall within 5 clades[2]. In recent years, reports have appeared on (mainly environmental) isolates that are phylogenetically distinct from these 5 clades, but rather fall within at least 3 so-called cryptic clades (C-I, C-II and C-III) that can be considered a separate genomospecies[2-5]. Though cryptic clade isolates can contain toxin genes[2, 4, 6], knowledge about the occurrence and clinical significance of these isolates is limited.

Within clades 1-5, the pathogenicity locus harboring the the *tcdA* and/or *tcdB* genes is generally located at a consistent location in the *C. difficile* genome (*cdu1/cdd1*) and further includes several other accessory genes (*tcdR*, *tcdC* and *tcdE*) (6, 7). Importantly, isolates belonging to the cryptic clades C-I and C-III can have divergent pathogenicity locus (PaLoc) sequences and atypical PaLoc insertion sites that can impact diagnostic tests[2, 4, 6, 8].

Here, we report a case of human CDI caused by a RT151 clade C-II isolate for which initial diagnostic tests using Cepheid Xpert *C. difficile* BT assay were negative, even though *C. difficile* could be cultured from patient feces and clinical symptoms corresponded to a CDI case. We show that the cryptic C-II isolate cultured from this patient contains a mono-toxin B PaLoc at a novel location, and that other RT151 isolates fall in phylogenetically divergent clades 1, C-I and C-II.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clostridioides difficile **culturing, ribotyping and toxin testing**

RT151 isolates analysed as part of this study were derived from various national and international

studies in which the Dutch National Expertise Center for *C. difficile* at Leiden University Medical

Center (LUMC) participated and had isolates available. The isolates originate from Greece, Malta,

The Netherlands and Spain between 2011 and 2021. Detailed information on all RT151 isolates,

including relevant accession numbers, sequence types (STs), clade and metadata is provided in

Supplemental Table S1.

67   Isolates were cultured on TSS plates (Tryptic Soy Agar with 5% sheep blood, bioMérieux, The

Netherlands) or CLO plates (selective *C. difficile* medium containing cefoxitin, amphotericin B and

cycloserine, bioMérieux, The Netherlands). Capillary electrophoresis PCR ribotyping was performed

at the Dutch Expertise Centre for *C. difficile*, according to standard procedures(9). Feces samples and

C. difficile isolates were analyzed by the Xpert *C. difficile* BT assay (Cepheid), that targets *tcdB*, *cdtA*

and a variant *tcdC* gene associated with epidemic strains, according to the manufacturer's

instructions. They were further characterized using an in-house multiplex PCR targeting the 16S

rRNA gene, *gluD*, and the genes encoding the large clostrididal toxins and binary toxin(10) or a PCR

targeting *tcdB* alone(11). Toxin status was additionally assessed using a toxin neutralization assay

using 0.4 µM filter-sterilized culture supernatant from a 48-h culture in Brain-Heart Infusion (BHI)

broth on VeroE6 cells, using purified toxins (SML1153-2UG, Lot No SLBT4085, Sigma) and

neutralizing anti-TcdA/B antitoxin (T1000, Lot No 1015235, Techlab) (12). Supernatants from 48-h

BHI cultures were also analyzed using the VIDAS® *C. difficile* Toxin A & B (Biomérieux), according to

the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA extraction and whole-genome sequencing

A pure culture from a TSS plate was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (Media Products

Groningen) to 3 McFarland, and 24 µl of lysozyme (50 g L^{-1}) was added to 800 µl of this suspension.

The solution was incubated for 20 min at 37°C and 15 µl of proteinase K (20 g L^{-1}l; Roche) was added,

followed by a 20 min incubation at 37°C. DNA was extracted on the QiaSymphony platform (Qiagen,

The Netherlands), using the Qiasymphony Virus/Pathogen Midi Kit according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Isolated total DNA was submitted for sequencing to Genomescan (Leiden, The

Netherlands) on the Illumina Novaseq6000 platform with read length 150 bp in paired-end mode.

To generate complete genomes, three isolates (LUMCMM21 0001/0003, LUMCMM19 2333

and LUMCMM16 0013) were submitted for long read sequencing on the Pacific Biosciences (PacBio)

Sequel platform at the Leiden Genome Technology Center. To prepare high molecular weight total

DNA, isolates were cultured overnight in 10 mL BHI at 37°C. Cells from 5 mL of culture were pelleted

and processed using the Qiagen Genomic-tip 100/G, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PacBio sequencing libraries were generated as follows. Genomic DNA was sheared with the

Megaruptor 3 system (Diagenode) using 35-40 cycles. SMRTbell® libraries were generated according

to the following manufacturer's procedure & checklist: Preparing whole genome and metagenome

libraries using SMRTbell® prep kit 3.0, PN 102-166-600 APR2022. To retain DNA corresponding to

plasmids <10 kb, no size-selection was applied. The libraries were sequenced on a PacBio Sequel II

platform with a 30 h movie time using Binding Kit v2.2, Sequencing Primer v5 and Sequencing Kit

v2.0.

C. difficile **genome retrieval**

Four additional RT151 genome sequences were obtained from the laboratory collection of the UK

Anaerobic Reference Unit (Supplemental Table S1); these were processed and sequenced previously

as part of their routine surveillance and typing activities but raw Illumina reads were processed in

parallel with the other RT151 genomes reported here for the purpose of this study, as described

below.

To assess the phylogenetic relationship of the eleven RT151 in the context of the previously

established clades of *C. difficile*, we downloaded additional isolate sequence data: we included two

isolates of each of the three cryptic clades (C-I, C-II and C-III)(2), 4 isolates of clade 1 (as this is the

largest group) and two isolates of clade 2-5 from the Leiden-Leeds collection(13, 14) (Supplemental

Table S1).

Data processing, assembly and quality control

Raw Illumina sequence data was cleaned and trimmed using fastp (v0.23.2)(15) and sequence

quality was inspected using Fastqc (v0.11.9) and Multiqc (v1.8)(16, 17). Cleaned reads were

assembled by using SKESA (v2.4.0) and SPAdes (v3.15.3) with "–untrusted-contigs" and "–isolate"

parameters(18, 19). Only contigs with length 500 >= bp were kept. Assembly quality and general

characteristics of the assemblies were assessed using QUAST (v5.0.2)(20). The scaffolds obtained

from SPAdes were used for downstream analyses. Default parameters were used unless stated

otherwise.

Raw PacBio sequence data from LUMCMM16 0013 (RT151, clade C-I), LUMCMM21 0001

(RT151, clade C-II) and LUMCMM19 2333 (RT151, clade C-II) was assembled using Flye (v2.9)(21)"

and the start position was fixed using Circlator and the "fixstart" parameter(22). Assembly quality

was subsequently inspected using available output metrics from Flye, QUAST and completeness

using BUSCO (v5.3.2, dataset clostridia_odb10 creation date 2020-03-06)(23). Analysis of m4C and

m6A methylation was performed using PacBio SMRT® Link Software (v11.0.0.146107).

***C. difficile* genome annotations and sequence typing**

Prokka (v1.14.6) was used for rapid genome annotation and to obtain protein sequences, with the "–

kingdom Bacteria", "--genus Clostridioides" and "–species difficile" parameters(24). For pan-genome

and core-genome analyses, Roary (v3.13.0) was ran on the PROKKA-annotated scaffolds with the "-e

mafft" parameters(25, 26).

The accessory genome was subsequently visualized in R (v4.2.0) using the ComplexHeatmap

package (v2.12.0)(27). To construct a phylogenetic tree based on the core genes as identified by

Roary, we used IQTree (v2.2.0) with the "-B 2500" and "-m MFP" parameters for 2500 bootstrap

replicates and automated model selection(28, 29). The best model was chosen based on the

minimized BIC score and was identified to be UNREST+F0+I+G4. The obtained phylogenetic tree was

subsequently visualized using iTOL v6 and the tree was rooted at midpoint(30).

Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) was performed using mlst (v2.19.0) with the PubMLST *C.*

difficile database updated to October 21st 2021(31). To assign clades to each of our sequence types,

we used the information contained in Knight et al, which includes a clade assignment for sequence

types(2). Novel loci (sodA-116, recA-82 and glyA-140) were identified and their respective sequences

obtained by mlst using the "-q –novel parameters" and submitted to the pubmlst database. Based

on this, three isolates were assigned new sequence types (ST946, ST947 and ST948) through

PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/). Lastly, pairwise average nucleotide identities (ANIs) between the

genomes were calculated using the fastANI tool (v1.33)(32).

Available metadata for all isolates, including newly assigned sequence types, are listed in

Supplemental Table 1.

Data availability

Raw sequence data is available at the European Nucleotide Archive under BioProject PRJEB52887.

Associated metadata can be found in Supplemental Table S1. All bioinformatic tools used for the

analyses are freely available through the references provided. Complete chromosomes for PacBio-

sequenced isolates can be found as accession numbers GCA_945861085 (LUMCMM21 0003),

GCA_945909465 (LUMCMM19 2333) and GCA_945909635 (LUMCMM16 0013). For PaLoc alignment

and insertion analyses, the corresponding regions were extracted from the complete genome

sequence of the reference strain *C. difficile* 630 (AM180355)(33). The pHSJD-312 sequence was

obtained from accession MG973074(4). The patient provided written informed consent for the

anonymized materials and results to be used for publication.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Case description and identification of a RT151 isolate from a CDI patient

In 2021, a 46-year-old female patient was diagnosed with acute myeloid leukemia and treated with

two courses of induction chemotherapy followed by allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell

transplantation (allo-HSCT) at the University Medical Center Utrecht (UMCU), a tertiary care center

in the Netherlands. Due to primary graft failure, the patient received a second allo-HSCT two months

later. Between the first allo-HSCT and engraftment after the second allo-HSCT, the patient was

neutropenic for a prolonged time. This period was complicated by candidemia with *Candida kefyr*,

treated with anidulafungin followed by fluconazole, two bacteraemia episodes with a multidrug

resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* treated with imipenem/cilistatin and probable pulmonary

aspergillosis for which the patient received antifungal treatment. The post-transplantation period

was complicated by early reactivation of cytomegalovirus treated with foscarnet and later letermovir

prophylaxis, and mild graft-versus-host disease of the skin for which the patient received topical

steroids.

In the week prior to the second allo-HSCT, the patient developed diarrhea (day 0) and feces

was positive for norovirus and sapovirus as tested by PCR. The commonly used molecular test for

Clostridioides difficile (Cepheid Xpert *C. difficile* BT) was negative on a stool sample at day 0. Despite

the use of loperamide, diarrhea persisted in the following weeks although subsequent PCRs for

noro- and sapovirus became negative. PCRs for rotavirus, enterovirus, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Yersinia*,

Campylobacter, *Plesiomonas*, *Giardia, Cryptosporidium, Dientamoeba fragilis, Blastocystis hominis*,

Entamoeba histolytica were also negative. The Xpert *C. difficile* BT assay was repeatedly negative on

day 43, day 45 and day 49. Colon biopsies taken on day 53 showed no signs of graft-versus-host

disease or pseudomembranous colitis. Around day 50 to 55, diarrhea seemed to diminish, but

around day 65 it started to intensify with up to ten watery stools per day in the ensuing weeks.

Repeated stool tests for viral, bacterial and parasitic infection were negative, including microscopy

for helminth eggs, cysts and *Cyclospora* and the Xpert *C. difficile* BT (on day 66, day 80, day 84 and

day 86). However, macroscopic findings during colonoscopy performed on day 82 were suggestive of

pseudomembranous colitis, confirmed by microscopy of colon biopsies while there were no signs of

graft-versus-host disease. These findings prompted treatment with oral vancomycin starting on day

84 using a taper-and-pulse regimen. After 4-5 days, the patient started to improve considerably with

stools becoming less frequent, lower stool volumes and a more solid consistency. Ethanol-treated

fecal material obtained on day 84 was culture-positive for *C. difficile* on cycloserine cefetoxin

fructose agar after 48h anaerobic incubation, as confirmed by matrix-assisted laser

desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF score of 2.09, database BDAL-

8468, Bruker Daltonics, Germany). On day 159 (35 days after completion of anti-CDI treatment), the

patient developed a mild episode of diarrhea with abdominal cramps at home, with negative Xpert

C. difficile BT test on stool but again a positive culture of *C. difficile* from feces (MALDI-TOF score of

2.05) that was later confirmed to belong to RT151. The patient spontaneously recovered without

antibiotic treatment within the two weeks that followed. Both isolates tested negative in the Xpert

C. difficile BT assay, but positive when using an in–house developed PCR for *C. difficile* toxin B(11).

Both isolates were then sent to the Dutch Expertise Centre for *C. difficile* at the LUMC, with the first

isolate receiving the identification number LUMCMM21 0001 and the second isolate LUMCMM21

0003. Both isolates were characterized by capillary PCR ribotyping at the LUMC and were shown to

be RT151.

RT151 isolates have different toxin profiles

To obtain a better insight into the prevalence and characteristics of RT151, we retrieved all isolates

from the collection of the Dutch Expertise Center for *C. difficile*, that encompasses > 22000 isolates

collected since 2005. Seven isolates had been typed as RT151 using either agarose-gel based

ribotyping or capillary electrophoresis-based PCR ribotyping, demonstrating that this is a very rarely

diagnosed PCR ribotype (<0.035%).

Within this collection of RT151 isolates, five were non-toxigenic *C. difficile* (NTCD) and two

were toxigenic on the basis of a multiplex PCR. The isolate obtained from the case description from

Utrecht (LUMCMM21 0001) was positive for the gene encoding toxin B, but not the gene encoding

toxin A (A^-B^+), while an isolate obtained from a patient in Malta in 2019 (LUMCMM19 2333) had a

mono-toxin A (A^+B^-) PaLoc and neither isolate contained the binary toxin locus according to PCR

results.

These results are in line with findings of the Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust and

University of Leeds that report a prevalence for RT151 of <0.03% with varying toxin status in a cell

cytotoxicity and neutralization assay (CCNA; personal communication K.A. Davies and J. Freeman). In

addition, the global *C. difficile* analysis carried out by Knight and colleagues also found a prevalence

of 0.033% for ST205, based on a collection of 12,098 genomes[2].

We also assessed toxigenicity using a diagnostic enzyme-linked fluorescent assay (VIDAS®,

bioMérieux) and a VeroE6-based CCNA. The result showed that only the *tcdB*-positive LUMCMM21

0001/0003 tested positive for toxin production (Supplemental Table S1). No toxin production could

be demonstrated for the *tcdA*-containing isolate (LUMCMM19 2333) under the conditions tested.

Core genome phylogeny places some RT151 isolates in cryptic clade C-I and C-II

To determine the relatedness between our RT151 isolates and to understand their placement in the

phylogenetic tree of *C. difficile*, we performed Illumina short-read whole genome sequencing (WGS).

In addition to the seven RT151 isolates obtained from our in-house collection, the UK Anaerobic

Reference Unit provided WGS data from four additional RT151 isolates. From a detailed analysis it

became clear that the two isolates isolated from the patient described above (LUMCMM21 0001 and

LUMCMM21 0003) are identical (data not shown).

By placing the eleven RT151 isolates into a phylogenetic tree with representative isolates

from cryptic clades I - III clades and all 'classical' clades to ensure genetic diversity in our tree, we

assigned the RT151 isolates to their respective clades based on 1158 core genes in our analysis

(Figure 1). This analysis revealed that the majority (n = 8) of our RT151 isolates belonged to Clade 1

and MLST typing revealed these to be ST205 (or highly related ST type(s); ST205-like) (Supplemental

Table 1 and Figure 1). All of the RT151 isolates obtained from the UK Anaerobic Reference Unit fell

into ST205 (or ST205-like) groups and were therefore not further analyzed. Interestingly, three of the

isolates were classified into cryptic clades, with two independent isolates belonging to clade C-II

(LUMCMM21 0001/0003 and LUMCMM19 2333) and one isolate to clade C-I (LUMCMM16 0013). To

complement the phylogenetic analysis based on core genes, we next computed ANIs of our cryptic

C-II isolates versus all other genomes (Fig 1B and C). This analysis shows that our isolates have ANIs

of > 98.7% against all other C-II isolates, but that ANI values to other clades fall well below the 96%

that has been named as a cut-off for the same species(34).

These data demonstrate that *C. difficile* ribotype 151 is polyphyletic with isolates from the

same ribotype falling into two different cryptic clades as well as a 'regular' clade, which has not been

reported before. Though there is good concordance between PCR ribotype and MLST-based

approaches(13, 35, 36), these findings underscore that PCR ribotyping is insufficiently discriminatory

for phylogenetic placement.

A pan-genome analysis suggests that accessory genes are conserved within ST205

It is conceivable that despite divergent core genomes, RT151 share a common accessory genome.

Therefore, we next investigated the accessory genes. We identified a total of 14,297 accessory genes

and these were clustered using the complete linkage method with Euclidian distances in the

ComplexHeatmap package(27) to identify potential similarities in the accessory genome of RT151 or

ST205 (Figure 2). This analysis largely confirms the core genome phylogeny (Figure 1), as a clear

clustering based on accessory genes is seen within RT151 isolates belonging to ST205, while the

cryptic clade isolates from RT151 have divergent accessory genomes. In addition, isolates from the

same clade also generally cluster together, with the exception of clade 2 isolates falling within the

larger clade 1 cluster.

Cryptic clade C-II RT151 isolates can have a mono-toxin pathogenicity locus at a novel insertion

site

On the basis of our initial Illumina-sequenced genomes we were unable to recover PaLoc sequences

on a single contig for all isolates and, importantly, genomic neighborhoods around the PaLoc could

not be fully resolved. In particular, for the *tcdB*-positive C-II isolate from the Netherlands

(LUMCMM21 0003), *tcdB* was contained on a 18-kb contig lacking flanking chromosomal regions.

Additionally, we noted limited homology to the pHSJD-312 plasmid (Supplemental Figure S2A)(8),

which carries a similar PaLoc. However, in contrast to the PaLoc on this plasmid, the LUMCMM21

0003 PaLoc lacked the binary toxin genes, *cdtA* and *cdtB*.

To identify the PaLoc insertion site and to establish whether it is carried on plasmid, we

generated complete genomes for the three cryptic clade RT151 isolate using PacBio circular

consensus sequencing (Table 1). The non-toxigenic C-I isolate (LUMCMM16 0013) was found to

contain extrachromosomal elements (ECEs) of 132 kb and 60 kb, that are classified in a PHASTER

prediction as possible and putative phage, respectively. The *tcdB* positive C-II isolate (LUMCMM21

0003) showed only a single contig, demonstrating that the toxin gene is not carried on a plasmid.

The *tcdA*-positive C-II isolate (LUMCMM19 2333) harbors a 13-kb ECE plasmid but this plasmid does

not contain toxin genes. Thus, in contrast to previous reports from C-I strains(4, 8), the cryptic RT151

isolates appear to carry their toxins on the chromosome. To further classify our toxin genes, we used

DiffBase(37) and this revealed LUMCMM21 0003 to have tcdB subtype B12, while LUMCMM19 2333

had toxin subtype A7.

PacBio sequencing also allows us to identify motifs associated with m4C and m6A

methylation events (Supplemental Table S2). Methylation has been reported to affect virulence

characteristics of *C. difficile*(38). Notably, all strains contain the CamA-dependent modification of

CAAAA**A** sequences (modified residue in bold)(38, 39), indicating that the action of this

methyltransferase is conserved in the RT151 C-I and C-II strains. We also observed m6A methylation

on a subset of CAAA**A**AASNV motifs in the C-II strains, but not the C-I strain, suggesting it may be

specific for clade C-II. Finally, we observed m4C modification on a subset of **C**TATTATCW motifs in

the C-II strains, that may be conserved in C-I strains (CWATTATCW). This motif has not previously

been identified by others(38).

Next, we investigated the genomic location of the PaLoc's of the RT151 isolates by aligning

the observed insertion sites to those known ones from literature (Figure 1A)(4, 6), using the genome

sequence of the RT012 reference strain 630 and the sequence of plasmid pHSJD-312as a reference

(Figure 3)(4, 33). This analysis reveals that the PaLoc in the Dutch C-II isolate is inserted at a location

that has not previously been described, between genes homologous to *cd0628* and *cd0629* of strain

630 (Figure 3C).

The PaLoc of LUMCMM21 0003, as well as the *cdu1-cdd1* insertion site that normally

harbors the PaLoc, is reminiscent of what has been described for a toxin A-negative toxin B-positive

toxinotype XXXII strain, 173070(5). Similar to our isolate, the *cdu1-cdd1* locus contains a multi-gene

insertion interrupted by an IS256 insertion element and the PaLoc has a *tcdR-tcdB-tcdE* architecture,

lacks the binary toxin genes but has a divergently transcribed transcriptional regulator gene

upstream from *tcdR* (presumably this is *cdtR*). By downloading raw reads (SRR1514909) and

performing ANI analyses on the assembled genome, we confirmed that 173070 was also a cryptic C-

II isolate (data not shown). This is in line with the SLO148 ribotype reported in the manuscript(5) to

be highly similar to that of RT151 (data not shown) and the reported ST (ST200) is classified as C-II in

other studies(2, 40).

The PaLoc in the Maltese C-II isolate (LUMCMM19 2333) is inserted between *spoVAE* and

cd0776, as previously described for isolate RA09-70(6) (Figure 1A, Supplemental Figure S2). RA09-70

was classified as Clade 5 but the phylogenetic analysis by the authors of the manuscript included

only strains from clade 1-5 and C-I. We therefore downloaded the respective genome assembly

(GCF_001299495.1) and confirmed through ANI analyses that RA09-70 is, in fact, a clade C-II isolate

that was misclassified as clade 5 for lack of reference (data not shown).

The role of C-II isolates in CDI

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to conclusively link a clade C-II isolate to

human disease, although the above sentences indicate that clade C-II isolates could be more

common than hitherto assumed. Nevertheless, diagnosis of CDI due to LUMCMM21 0001/0003 was

hampered by the fact that fecal samples, as well as *C. difficile* isolates, tested negative in the Xpert *C.*

difficile BT assay, used by many laboratories worldwide. Toxin gene sequences of cryptic clade

isolates can differ significantly from those of regular clade 1-5 isolates which can lead to false

negative results(4, 5). Due to the proprietary nature of the Xpert *C. difficile* BT assay, we could not

confirm that this failure is due to mismatches between the primers used in the assay and the C-II

tcdB sequence but we have informed the company and provided the WGS data to investigate this

further. We do note that positive identifications were made using the ECDC-endorsed multiplex PCR

(relating to little or no mismatches in the primer sequence compared to the genome). A recent

report investigating *C. difficile* isolates from environmental locations showed several atypical PaLoc

locations in cryptic clade isolates and *in silico* PCR further suggested that routine diagnostic assays

would return highly variable results if such isolates would be encountered in the clinic(3), which is in

line with what we found in the current study. This underscores the potential of these isolates to be

OneHealth pathogens. Toxin-based assays (CCNA and VIDAS®) demonstrated toxin production for

the mono-toxin B isolate (LUMCMM21 0001/0003), but not the mono-toxin A isolate (LUMCMM19

2333). Though the latter was isolated from a hospitalized patient, lack of metadata did not allow us

to establish whether the patient had symptoms consistent with CDI and it remains to be established

if this isolate is pathogenic. Recently, it has been reported that toxin A-positive toxin B-negative C-III

strains cannot be identified on ChromID agar due to their esculin-negative nature(41). We found,

however, that all RT151 strains – including those belonging to clades C-I and C-II, were esculin-

positive (Supplemental Table S1).

Altogether, reports of cryptic clade isolates that cause human CDI are still very rare. With

the increasing rate of community-onset CDI(42) and the discovery that cryptic clade isolates with

atypical PaLoc configurations are widespread in the environment (3), it is very likely that other CDI

cases caused by isolates from this cryptic clade occur but are missed by standard diagnostics if only

PCR is used.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank K. Galea (L-Università ta' Malta); J. Freeman and K. Davies (University of Leeds) for

sharing unpublished data; Michael Perry and Trefor Morris (Anaerobic Reference Unit, Cardiff) for

providing RT151 whole genome sequence information; I. Sidorov for implementation of clinker; and

members of the Experimental Bacteriology Group at the LUMC for helpful discussions.

Funding

No external funding was received for this study.

Conflict of interest

EJK holds an unrestricted research grant from Vedanta Biosciences. All other authors: none to

declare.

Author contributions

QRD: software, validation, formal analysis, data curation, writing - original draft, visualisation; JTvdB:

writing – original draft; CH: investigation ; IMJGS: investigation; LD: resources; ACF: investigation;

RHAMV: investigation, formal analysis; SLK: resources, supervision; EJK: conceptualization, writing -

original draft; WKS: conceptualization, formal analysis, writing - original draft, visualization,

supervision. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript, and approved the final version.

LEGENDS

Figure 1. RT151 isolates are part of clade 1, cryptic clade C-I and C-II and belong to various

sequence types. **A.** The tree was rooted at midpoint in iTOL version 6. Detailed information,

including the depicted classifications, are available in Supplemental Table S1. **B.** ANI calculations of

LUMCMM21 0001/0003 versus all other included isolate genomes. **C.** ANI calculations of

LUMCMM19 2333 versus all other included isolate genomes.

Figure 2. No clustering of RT151 isolates based on the accessory genome (n = 14,297 genes). Black

denotes the presence of a gene, white denotes the absence of a gene. Clustering of accessory genes

was performed using the complete linkage method with Euclidian distances in the ComplexHeatmap

package(27). Detailed information, including the depicted classifications, are available in

Supplemental Table S1. Coloring is the same as in Figure 1.

Figure 3. Structure of the mono-toxin pathogenicity locus and PaLoc insertion sites of two cryptic clade-II RT151 strains. A. Overview of known PaLoc insertion sites (above the lines)(4, 6) and the novel PaLoc insertion site (below the line) identified in the present study. Three sites are located on the chromosome (nomenclature from RT012 reference strain 630)(33), and one is located on a plasmid (nomenclature from clade C-I strain strain HSJD-312)(4, 8). **B**. Structure of the PaLoc in the A-B+ RT151 clade C-II strain (LUMCMM21 0001/0003) showing all open reading frames predicted by Prokka(24). Genes involved in transposition or recombination are indicated in red, hypothetical genes with homology to the PaLoc on pHSJD-312 are indicated in gray, genes encoding toxin regulators are indicated in green, the toxin gene is in orange and the holin gene in purple. **C**. Alignment of the chromosomal region incorporating the *cd0628-cd0629* insertion site for RT151 isolates in comparison with the RT012 reference strain 630(33). RT151 clade 1 is LUMCMM11 0004, RT151 clade C-I is LUMCMM16 0013, and RT151 clade C-II are LUMCMM21 0001/0003 (The Netherlands) and LUMCMM19 2333 (Malta). Figure generated by clinker(43)and centered on *cd0628*. Colored arrows indicate similar genes; links are drawn between similar genes on neighboring clusters and are shaded based on sequence identity (0% white, 100% black, identity threshold for visualization 0.35).

401   **Table 1. Genome characteristics of the cryptic clade RT151 isolates**

Isolate	Clade	Size (bp)	%GC	#ORFs	GenBank
LUMCMM16 0013	C-I	4169505 (chromosome)	28.8%	3747	GCA_945909635
		132414 (possible phage)	26.3%	174	
		59572 (putative phage)	26.6%	79	
LUMCMM21 0003	C-II	4108918 (chromosome)	28.7%	3688	GCA_945861085
LUMCMM19 2333	C-II	4424808 (chromosome)	28.7%	4020	GCA_945909465
		12730 (putative plasmid)	25.9%	17	

REFERENCES

1. Smits WK, Lyras D, Lacy DB, Wilcox MH, Kuijper EJ. Clostridium difficile infection. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2016;2:16020.
2. Knight DR, Imwattana K, Kullin B, Guerrero-Araya E, Paredes-Sabja D, Didelot X, et al. Major genetic discontinuity and novel toxigenic species in Clostridioides difficile taxonomy. eLife. 2021;10.
3. Williamson CHD, Stone NE, Nunnally AE, Roe CC, Vazquez AJ, Lucero SA, et al. Identification of novel, cryptic Clostridioides species isolates from environmental samples collected from diverse geographical locations. Microbial genomics. 2022;8(2).
4. Ramírez-Vargas G, López-Ureña D, Badilla A, Orozco-Aguilar J, Murillo T, Rojas P, et al. Novel Clade C-I Clostridium difficile strains escape diagnostic tests, differ in pathogenicity potential and carry toxins on extrachromosomal elements. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):13951.
5. Janezic S, Marín M, Martín A, Rupnik M. A new type of toxin A-negative, toxin B-positive Clostridium difficile strain lacking a complete tcdA gene. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2015;53(2):692-5.
6. Monot M, Eckert C, Lemire A, Hamiot A, Dubois T, Tessier C, et al. Clostridium difficile: New Insights into the Evolution of the Pathogenicity Locus. Scientific reports. 2015;5:15023.
7. Braun V, Hundsberger T, Leukel P, Sauerborn M, von Eichel-Streiber C. Definition of the single integration site of the pathogenicity locus in Clostridium difficile. Gene. 1996;181(1-2):29-38.
8. Ramírez-Vargas G, Rodríguez C. Putative Conjugative Plasmids with tcdB and cdtAB Genes in Clostridioides difficile. Emerging infectious diseases. 2020;26(9):2287-90.
9. Fawley WN, Knetsch CW, MacCannell DR, Harmanus C, Du T, Mulvey MR, et al. Development and validation of an internationally-standardized, high-resolution capillary gel-based electrophoresis PCR-ribotyping protocol for Clostridium difficile. PloS one. 2015;10(2):e0118150.
10. Control ECfDPa. Laboratory procedures for diagnosis and typing of human *Clostridium difficile* infection. Stockholm: ECDC; 2018.
11. Wolfhagen MJ, Fluit AC, Torensma R, Poppelier MJ, Verhoef J. Rapid detection of toxigenic Clostridium difficile in fecal samples by magnetic immuno PCR assay. Journal of clinical microbiology. 1994;32(7):1629-33.
12. van den Berg RJ, Bruijnesteijn van Coppenraet LS, Gerritsen HJ, Endtz HP, van der Vorm ER, Kuijper EJ. Prospective multicenter evaluation of a new immunoassay and real-time PCR for rapid diagnosis of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea in hospitalized patients. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2005;43(10):5338-40.
13. Baktash A, Corver J, Harmanus C, Smits WK, Fawley W, Wilcox MH, et al. Comparison of Whole-Genome Sequence-Based Methods and PCR Ribotyping for Subtyping of Clostridioides difficile. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2022;60(2):e0173721.
14. Knetsch CW, Terveer EM, Lauber C, Gorbalenya AE, Harmanus C, Kuijper EJ, et al. Comparative analysis of an expanded Clostridium difficile reference strain collection reveals genetic diversity and evolution through six lineages. Infect Genet Evol. 2012;12(7):1577-85.
15. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2018;34(17):i884-i90.
16. Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom; 2010.
17. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2016;32(19):3047-8.
18. Souvorov A, Agarwala R, Lipman DJ. SKESA: strategic k-mer extension for scrupulous assemblies. Genome biology. 2018;19(1):153.
19. Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, Lapidus A, Korobeynikov A. Using SPAdes De Novo Assembler. Current protocols in bioinformatics. 2020;70(1):e102.
20. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2013;29(8):1072-5.

21.   Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat
graphs. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(5):540-6.
22.   Hunt M, Silva ND, Otto TD, Parkhill J, Keane JA, Harris SR. Circlator: automated circularization
of genome assemblies using long sequencing reads. Genome biology. 2015;16:294.
23.   Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, Simão FA, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO Update: Novel and
Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for Scoring of
Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. Molecular biology and evolution. 2021;38(10):4647-54.
24.   Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England).
2014;30(14):2068-9.
25.   Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, et al. Roary: rapid large-scale
prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(22):3691-3.
26.   Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence
alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic acids research. 2002;30(14):3059-66.
27.   Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in
multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2016;32(18):2847-9.
28.   Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. UFBoot2: Improving the
Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Molecular biology and evolution. 2018;35(2):518-22.
29.   Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, et al. IQ-
TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era.
Molecular biology and evolution. 2020;37(5):1530-4.
30.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree
display and annotation. Nucleic acids research. 2021;49(W1):W293-w6.
31.   Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb
software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 2018;3:124.
32.   Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. High throughput ANI
analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. Nature Communications.
2018;9(1):5114.
33.   Sebaihia M, Wren BW, Mullany P, Fairweather NF, Minton N, Stabler R, et al. The multidrug-
resistant human pathogen Clostridium difficile has a highly mobile, mosaic genome. Nature genetics.
2006;38(7):779-86.
34.   Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species
definition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(45):19126-31.
35.   Zhao H, Nickle DC, Zeng Z, Law PYT, Wilcox MH, Chen L, et al. Global Landscape of
Clostridioides Difficile Phylogeography, Antibiotic Susceptibility, and Toxin Polymorphisms by Post-
Hoc Whole-Genome Sequencing from the MODIFY I/II Studies. Infect Dis Ther. 2021;10(2):853-70.
36.   Seth-Smith HMB, Biggel M, Roloff T, Hinic V, Bodmer T, Risch M, et al. Transition From PCR-
Ribotyping to Whole Genome Sequencing Based Typing of Clostridioides difficile. Front Cell Infect
Microbiol. 2021;11:681518.
37.   Mansfield MJ, Tremblay BJ, Zeng J, Wei X, Hodgins H, Worley J, et al. Phylogenomics of 8,839
Clostridioides difficile genomes reveals recombination-driven evolution and diversification of toxin A
and B. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16(12):e1009181.
38.   Oliveira PH, Ribis JW, Garrett EM, Trzilova D, Kim A, Sekulovic O, et al. Epigenomic
characterization of Clostridioides difficile finds a conserved DNA methyltransferase that mediates
sporulation and pathogenesis. Nat Microbiol. 2020;5(1):166-80.
39.   van Eijk E, Anvar SY, Browne HP, Leung WY, Frank J, Schmitz AM, et al. Complete genome
sequence of the Clostridium difficile laboratory strain 630Δerm reveals differences from strain 630,
including translocation of the mobile element CTn5. BMC Genomics. 2015;16(1):31.
40.   Imwattana K, Knight DR, Kullin B, Collins DA, Putsathit P, Kiratisin P, et al. Clostridium difficile
ribotype 017 - characterization, evolution and epidemiology of the dominant strain in Asia. Emerg
Microbes Infect. 2019;8(1):796-807.

41.   Shivaperumal N, Knight DR, Imwattana K, Androga GO, Chang BJ, Riley TV. Esculin hydrolysis
negative and TcdA-only producing strains of clostridium (Clostridiodes) difficile from the
environment in Western Australia. Journal of applied microbiology. 2022.
42.   Turner NA, Grambow SC, Woods CW, Fowler VG, Jr., Moehring RW, Anderson DJ, et al.
Epidemiologic Trends in Clostridioides difficile Infections in a Regional Community Hospital Network.
JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(10):e1914149.
43.   Gilchrist CLM, Chooi Y-H. clinker & clustermap.js: automatic generation of gene cluster
comparison figures. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2021;37(16):2473-5.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Table S1. Characteristics of RT151 isolates and reference sequences analyzed in this

study.

PCR RT151 isolates

Name	Clade	RT	RT151	ST	ST205*	Accession_Illumina_reads	Accession_Pacbio	Accession_Assembly	Sample_alias	Identifier	Reference	Country_Isolation	State_city	Source	Year_Isolation	Collection	Xpert_Cdifficile_BT	Toxin_neutralization_assay	VIDAS	LUMC_multiplex	UMCU_tcdB_RTPCR	ChromID
R18152B	1		151 Yes	948	ST205-like	ERR9902328			CdifF_RT151_1	R18152B	This_study	Germany	Freiburg		2003	UK Anaerobic Reference Unit						positive
R18284B	1		151 Yes	205	Yes	ERR9902329			CdifF_RT151_2	R18284B	This_study	USA	Arizona		2003	UK Anaerobic Reference Unit						positive
R20189B	1		151 Yes	205	Yes	ERR9902330			CdifF_RT151_3	R20189B	This_study	USA	Arizona		2004	UK Anaerobic Reference Unit						positive
R54230B	1		151 Yes	205	Yes	ERR9902331			CdifF_RT151_4	R54230B	This_study	Wales	Newport		2019	UK Anaerobic Reference Unit						positive
LUMCMM11 0004	1		151 Yes	205	Yes	ERR9902332			CdifF_RT151_5	104875-001-011	This_study	Netherlands	Nijmegen		2011	LUMC	negative	negative	negative	13000	ND	positive
LUMCMM16 0013	C-I		151 Yes	759	No	ERR9902333	ERR9902350	GCA_945909635	CdifF_RT151_6	104875-001-012	This_study	Netherlands	Nijmegen		2016	LUMC	negative	negative	equivalent	11000	ND	positive
LUMCMM21 0001 / LUMC-II			151 Yes	946	No	ERR9902334	ERR9902351	GCA_945861085	CdifF_RT151_7	104875-001-013	This_study	Netherlands	Utrecht	CDI_patient	2021	LUMC	negative	negative	weak¹	positive²	13010 positive	positive
LUMCMM19 2333	C-II		151 Yes	947	No	ERR9902335	ERR9902352	GCA_945909465	CdifF_RT151_8	104875-001-016	This_study	Malta			2019	LUMC	negative	negative	equivalent	11100	ND	positive
LUMCMM19 2334	1		151 Yes	205	Yes	ERR9902336			CdifF_RT151_9	104875-001-017	This_study	Greece			2019	LUMC	negative	negative	equivalent	13000	ND	positive
LUMCMM16 0014	1		151 Yes	205	Yes	ERR9902337			CdifF_RT151_10	104875-001-018	This_study	Spain		Animal	2016	LUMC	negative	negative	negative	13000	ND	positive
LUMCMM16 0015	1		151 Yes	205	Yes	ERR9902338			CdifF_RT151_11	104875-001-019	This_study	Spain		Animal	2016	LUMC	negative	negative	equivalent	13000	ND	positive

Reference sequences

Clade	RT	RT151	ST	ST205*	Accession_Illumina_reads	Identifier	Reference
C-III	Unknown	No	369	No	ERR2215981	ERR2215981	10.1128/JCM.01987-17
C-III	Unknown	No	343	No	ERR2216003	ERR2216003	10.1128/JCM.01987-17
C-II	Unknown	No	200	No	SRR3654506		10.1371/journal.pone.0182307
C-II	Unknown	No	637	No	ERR3296451		10.1038/s41598-019-43464-4
C-I	Unknown	No	178	No	ERR232399		10.1093/gbe/evz204
C-I	Unknown	No	314	No	SRR3629659		10.1371/journal.pone.0182307
1		No	1	No	SRR1519369	001-LL-SRR1519369	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
1		No	12	No	SRR1519379	012-LL-SRR1519379	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
2		No	16	No	SRR1519382	016-LL-SRR1519382	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
4		No	17	No	SRR1519383	017-LL-SRR1519383	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
3		No	23	No	SRR1519388	023-LL-SRR1519388	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
1		No	26	No	SRR1519390	026-LL-SRR1519390	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
2		No	27	No	SRR1519391	027-LL-SRR1519391	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
4		No	40	No	SRR1519398	040-LL-SRR1519398	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
1		No	57	No	SRR1519410	057-LL-SRR1519410	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
3		No	58	No	SRR1519411	058-LL-SRR1519411	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
5		No	66	No	SRR1519416	066-LL-SRR1519416	10.1128/JCM.01737-21
5		No	78	No	SRR1519423	078-LL-SRR1519423	10.1128/JCM.01737-21

Abbreviations: RT = PCR ribotype, ST = (7-gene multilocus) sequence type
* classifier used in Figures 1 and 2
¹ "weak" indicates a partial cytopathic effect, only observed with undiluted culture supernatant, on VeroC6 cells after 48h
² "positive" corresponds to a value of 0.99
³ Numbers indicate positive (1) or negative (0) for 16S, gluD, tcdA, tcdB and cdt (in that order). For reference see main manuscript.

Supplemental Table S2. Overview of modified base motifs of the cryptic clade RT151 isolates.

LUMCMM16 0013 modified base motifs

Motif	Modified Position	Modification Type	% of Motifs Detected	# of Motifs Detected	# of Motifs in Genome	Mean QV	Mean Coverage	Partner Motif	Mean IPD ratio	Group Tag	Objective Score
CAAAAA	6	m6A	99.7%	7,75	7,767	323.6	107.5		4.2	CAAAAA	2,502,921.2
CTKMAG	5	m6A	70.1%	3,785	5,398	359.9	109.2	CTKMAG	5.1	CTKMAG	989,662.4
VNCYSVNYAD	3	m4C	22.3%	1,897	8,475	61.9	111.3		1.7	VNCYSVNYAD	30,515.8
GNGGYVSCRN₂D	3	unknown	51.7%	118	228	60.6	115.8		1.8	GNGGYVSCRNNNNND	3,950.7
CGN₂TNGNGACNNANC	11	unknown	96.0%	24	25	68.6	126.3		1.8	CGNNTNGNGACNNANC	1,586.6
DN₃ACTGAAGR	9	m6A	24.5%	52	212	94.2	109.8		2.2	DNNNNNNNACTGAAGR	1,227.8
GGNCGTN₂CNCTN₄T	4	unknown	100.0%	14	14	82.5	125.3		1.9	GGNCGTNNCNCTNNNNT	1,155.0
HTTATACNWN₄C	3	unknown	15.2%	117	769	51.9	105.7		1.6	HTTATACNWNNNNC	1,115.4
TN₂CNGANCNCNCN₂TNA	4	unknown	95.8%	23	24	49.9	126.7		1.6	TNNCNGANCNCNCNNTNA	1,103.9
CWATTATCW	1	m4C	17.2%	93	538	50.8	109.7		1.6	CWATTATCW	972.7
GGN₃CGAMATT	2	unknown	78.5%	22	28	45.8	126.6		1.6	GGNNNCGAMATT	810.5
AN₂TCGNCTNANCNC	8	unknown	100.0%	13	13	59.0	125.0		1.6	ANNTCGNCTNANCNC	767.0
TN₂CGGNGGN₂GTA	9	unknown	86.6%	13	15	59.6	124.8		1.7	TNNNCGGNGGNNGTA	681.3
CGNCCTN₃CAN₂GC	5	m4C	100.0%	12	12	53.5	126.8		1.6	CGNCCTNNNCANNNGC	642.0

LUMCMM21 0003 modified base motifs

Motif	Modified Position	Modification Type	% of Motifs Detected	# of Motifs Detected	# of Motifs in Genome	Mean QV	Mean Coverage	Partner Motif	Mean IPD ratio	Group Tag	Objective Score
CAAAAA	6	m6A	98.2%	7,668	7,807	478.2	185.5		4.1	CAAAAA	3,608,910.0
VNCYGRNCRC	3	unknown	55.1%	70	127	74.3	251.6		1.6	VNCYGRNCRC	3,042.6
CAAAAAASNV	5	m6A	16.5%	102	617	148.9	185.8		2.1	CAAAAAASNV	3,006.4
HN₂GCVDGCNHC	5	m4C	40.5%	73	180	62.7	226.2		1.6	HNNGCVDGCNHC	2,032.4
AN₂CGNCTNMNCAC	8	unknown	100.0%	24	24	68.3	314.3		1.6	ANNNCGNCTNMNCAC	1,639.0
CTATTATCW	1	m4C	28.4%	84	295	58.8	179.5		1.6	CTATTATCW	1,593.6
GTCN₃ACANCN₅CG	1	unknown	100.0%	12	12	53.6	314.0		1.5	GTCNNNACANCNNNNNCG	643.0

LUMCMM19 2333 modified base motifs

Motif	Modified Position	Modification Type	% of Motifs Detected	# of Motifs Detected	# of Motifs in Genome	Mean QV	Mean Coverage	Partner Motif	Mean IPD ratio	Group Tag	Objective Score
CAAAAA	6	m6A	99.5%	8,244	8,285	415.2	165.7		4.0	CAAAAA	3,407,425.2
CCAAAT	5	m6A	100.0%	3,912	3,912	489.2	166.0		4.3	CCAAAT	1,913,557.0
TAGN₅CTG	2	m6A	100.0%	1,175	1,175	597.9	172.2	CAGNNNNNCTA	5.7	CAGNNNNNCTA/TAGNNNNNCTG	702,564.0
CAGN₅CTA	2	m6A	100.0%	1,175	1,175	588.2	172.2	TAGNNNNNCTG	5.6	CAGNNNNNCTA/TAGNNNNNCTG	691,190.0
RGAKCT	3	m6A	12.7%	554	4,36	75.7	167.9		1.9	RGAKCT	6,547.8
CAAAAASNV	5	m6A	15.0%	98	652	142.6	167.4		2.1	CAAAAASNV	2,537.9
CTATTATCW	1	m4C	23.4%	77	329	53.1	164.9		1.6	CTATTATCW	1,107.4
CN₃TNAAN₂GCGGC	13	unknown	100.0%	13	13	83.8	243.3		1.7	CNNNTNAANNGCGGC	1,089.0
TN₂TN₃GGCNCANCA	11	unknown	86.3%	19	22	68.2	234.8		1.6	TNNNTNNNGGCNCANCA	1,013.4

Supplemental Table S3. Overview of MLST profiles of all RT151 isolates

Name	Clade	RT	ST	adk	atpA	dxr	glyA	recA	sodA	tpi	Closest_ST	Number_Identical_Alleles_MLST_to_closest_ST
R18152B	1	151	948	1	3	13	1	5	116	1	205	6
R18284B	1	151	205	1	3	13	1	5	23	1		
R20189B	1	151	205	1	3	13	1	5	23	1		
R54230B	1	151	205	1	3	13	1	5	23	1		
LUMCMM11 0004	1	151	205	1	3	13	1	5	23	1		
LUMCMM16 0013	C-I	151	759	13	18	22	105	63	32	28		
LUMCMM21 0001 / LUMCMM21 0003	C-II	151	946	15	21	28	38	82	106	30	200	5
LUMCMM19 2333	C-II	151	947	53	21	58	140	48	36	30	600	1
LUMCMM19 2334	1	151	205	1	3	13	1	5	23	1		
LUMCMM16 0014	1	151	205	1	3	13	1	5	23	1		
LUMCMM16 0015	1	151	205	1	3	13	1	5	23	1		

521   **Supplemental Figure S1. PCR RT banding profiles of all RT151 isolates.**

LUMCMM11 0004
LUMCMM16 0013
LUMCMM21 0001
LUMCMM21 0002
LUMCMM21 0003
LUMCMM19 2333
LUMCMM19 2334
LUMCMM16 0014
LUMCMM16 0015

Supplemental Figure S2. Alignment of previously characterized PaLoc insertion sites for RT151

isolates in comparison with the RT012 reference strain 630(33). **A**. Extrachromosomal element

pHSJD-312. **B**. *cdu1-cdd1*. **C**. *spoVAE-cd0776*)(6). RT151 clade 1 is LUMCMM11 0004, RT151 clade C-I

is LUMCMM16 0013, and RT151 clade C-II are LUMCMM21 0001/0003 (The Netherlands) and

LUMCMM19 2333 (Malta). Figure generated by clinker(43). Colored arrows indicate similar genes;

links are drawn between similar genes on neighboring clusters and are shaded based on sequence

identity (0% white, 100% black, identity threshold for visualization 0.30).

530