CRISPR Screens Identify Novel Regulators of cFLIP Dependency and Ligand-Independent,

TRAIL-R1-Mediated Cell Death

Neil Kuehnle[1], Scout Mask Osborne[1], Ziyan Liang[1], Mark Manzano[2], and Eva Gottwein[1]

*Corresponding author, email: e-gottwein@northwestern.edu; phone: 312-503-3075

[1]Department of Microbiology-Immunology, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of

Medicine, Tarry 6-735, Chicago, Illinois, 60611, USA

[2]Current Address: Mark Manzano, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of

Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA.

Abstract

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) causes primary effusion lymphoma (PEL).

PEL cell lines require expression of the cellular FLICE inhibitory protein (cFLIP) for survival,

although KSHV encodes a viral homolog of this protein (vFLIP). Cellular and viral FLIP proteins

have several functions, including, most importantly, the inhibition of pro-apoptotic caspase 8 and

modulation of NF-κB signaling. To investigate the essential role of cFLIP and its potential

redundancy with vFLIP in PEL cells, we first performed rescue experiments with human or viral

FLIP proteins known to affect FLIP target pathways differently. The long and short isoforms of

cFLIP and molluscum contagiosum virus MC159L, which are all strong caspase 8 inhibitors,

efficiently rescued the loss of endogenous cFLIP activity in PEL cells. KSHV vFLIP was unable

to fully rescue the loss of endogenous cFLIP and is therefore functionally distinct. Next, we

employed genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 synthetic rescue screens to identify loss of function

perturbations that can compensate for cFLIP knockout. Results from these screens and our

validation experiments implicate the canonical cFLIP target caspase 8 and TRAIL receptor 1

(TRAIL-R1 or TNFRSF10A) in promoting constitutive death signaling in PEL cells. However, this

process was independent of TRAIL receptor 2 or TRAIL, the latter of which is not detectable in

PEL cell cultures. The requirement for cFLIP is also overcome by inactivation of the ER/Golgi

resident chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis and UFMylation pathways, Jagunal homolog

1 (JAGN1) or CXCR4. UFMylation and JAGN1, but not chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan

synthesis or CXCR4, contribute to TRAIL-R1 expression. In sum, our work shows that cFLIP is

required in PEL cells to inhibit ligand-independent TRAIL-R1 cell death signaling downstream of

a complex set of ER/Golgi-associated processes that have not previously been implicated in

cFLIP or TRAIL-R1 function.

Introduction

Primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) is a non-Hodgkin lymphoma comprised of KSHV-transformed

B cells that typically accumulate in body cavities[1, 2]. PEL is primarily seen in HIV/AIDS patients

and has a poor prognosis, with an average survival below two years[3]. Although the etiology of

PEL is incompletely understood, latently expressed KSHV oncoproteins are thought to play

essential roles in the KSHV-mediated transformation of PEL cells, including the latency-

associated nuclear antigen (LANA), viral interferon regulatory factor 3 (vIRF3), a KSHV-

encoded D type cyclin (vCyc), and viral FLICE-inhibitory protein (vFLIP). Indeed, cultured

patient derived PEL cell lines depend on the continued expression of several latency genes[4, 5].

vFLIP is considered a major candidate for a viral driver of KSHV-mediated B cell transformation

in PEL due to its well-documented ability to activate NF-κB survival signaling[6]. A previous study

by our lab explored the dependency of PEL cell lines on cellular genes using genome-wide

CRISPR knockout screens[7]. This study identified a surprising dependency on cellular FLIP

(cFLIP, encoded by *CFLAR*), despite the presence of the KSHV-encoded vFLIP locus. This

study furthermore showed that only two of eight tested PEL cell lines depended on genes

related to NF-κB signaling. These unexpected findings prompted us to further investigate the

role of cFLIP in the context of KSHV-transformed PEL cell lines and to differentiate it from that

of vFLIP.

Canonically, FLIP proteins act as dominant-negative inhibitors of caspase 8 (*CASP8*)-induced

apoptosis by preventing formation of the death-inducing signaling complex (DISC) or directly

inhibiting active CASP8[8, 9, 10, 11]. Non-canonical cFLIP activities have been identified, including

the regulation of NF-κB signaling[6, 12]. While KSHV vFLIP is a strong activator of NF-κB signaling,

it is currently unclear whether vFLIP is a potent inhibitor of CASP8[13, 14, 15]. CASP8 is a pro-

apoptotic protein in the cysteine protease (caspase) family that typically acts as the initiator

caspase in the extrinsic apoptotic pathway induced by the death ligands tumor necrosis factor α

(TNFα), Fas ligand, or TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) (as reviewed in [16]). The

binding of these ligands to their specific death receptors initiates the formation of the death-

inducing signaling complex (DISC), containing Fas-associated death domain (FADD) protein

and inactive pro-CASP8. Autocatalytic cleavage of pro-CASP8 in DISC generates active

CASP8, which initiates apoptosis. However, additional cellular processes converge on CASP8

to regulate cell death, including necroptosis, pyroptosis, autophagy-dependent cell death, and

hyperactivation of the ER stress pathway/the unfolded protein response (UPR) [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

Here, we sought to clarify the respective roles of cFLIP and vFLIP in PEL. Our results show that

vFLIP is robustly expressed only in a subset of PEL cell lines, which also depend on genes that

function in NF-κB signaling. The tight correlation of vFLIP expression with NF-κB dependency in

PEL cell lines suggests that vFLIP functions in its well-established role as an activator of the

NF-κB pathway in PEL. vFLIP cannot fully compensate for the absence of cFLIP, pointing to a

non-redundant function of cFLIP in PEL cells. Using genetic screening and validation

experiments, we established that cFLIP is required to inhibit ligand-independent, constitutive

death signaling by one of the TRAIL receptors, TRAIL-R1 (encoded by *TNFRSF10A*).

Results

vFLIP expression is variable in PEL cell lines

We first examined the expression of human cFLIP and cyclin D2 and their viral homologs vFLIP

and vCyc across 8 PEL cell lines and the KSHV-negative B cell line BJAB. As a control, we

analyzed KSHV vIRF3, which is latently expressed in PEL from a distinct KSHV locus[4]. We

observed comparable expression of the major isoforms of cFLIP, cyclin D2, and KSHV vIRF3

across the PEL cell lines (Fig. 1A). In contrast, vFLIP and vCyc expression varied greatly, with

vFLIP expression detected only in BC-1 and BC-3. Importantly, these are the same two PEL cell

lines shown to depend on NF-κB signaling genes in our previous study of cellular gene

dependency in PEL cells (Fig. 1A, bottom)[7]. vCyc expression mirrored the expression of vFLIP,

as expected due to their bicistronic expression[23], with the surprising exception of BC-2. Serial

dilution of BC-3 lysates suggested that our Western protocol is sensitive enough to detect vFLIP

and vCyc expression levels that are ~20-50 fold below those of BC-3 (Fig. S1A, B). The

observed patterns of vFLIP expression and NF-κB dependency are consistent with the

published role of vFLIP in the activation of NF-κB survival signaling[6, 15, 24, 25, 26, 27], in the subset

of PEL cell lines where vFLIP is expressed.

cFLIP is broadly essential in PEL cell lines

We previously validated cFLIP dependency of the PEL cell line BCBL-1[7]. To establish cFLIP

dependency more broadly across PEL cell lines, we performed single guide RNA (sgRNA)-

induced functional inactivation (KO) of cFLIP followed by cumulative growth curve analysis

relative to an sgRNA that targets a safe harbor locus (sgAAVS1) in BCBL-1/Cas9 and 4

additional Cas9-expressing PEL cell lines (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1C). sgRNAs against the proteasomal

subunit PSMD1 and the transcription factor IRF4 served as positive controls for well-established

essential genes in PEL cells. The cFLIP sgRNAs lack homology to vFLIP and did not affect

vFLIP expression in BC-3 cells (Fig. S1D). For BC-1, which did not show significant depletion of

cFLIP-specific sgRNAs in our original CRISPR knockout screens (Fig. 1A, [7]), the experiment

was done in a Cas9 cell clone with improved gene editing compared to the original cell pool[7].

Results showed that in addition to BCBL-1, BC-1, BC-2, and BC-5 require cFLIP for viability.

Thus, BC-1 was false negative for cFLIP dependency in our original CRISPR screens[7]. In

contrast, cFLIP single gene KO did not significantly affect the viability of BC-3 cells. Cell death

following cFLIP KO in BCBL-1/Cas9 cells was rescued by lentiviral re-expression of either major

cFLIP isoform (cFLIP-L and S; Figs. 1C, S1E). These data collectively confirm that cFLIP is

essential in the majority of PEL cell lines. The essentiality of cFLIP in BC-1 furthermore

suggests that expression of vFLIP may not be sufficient to overcome the requirement for cFLIP.

cFLIP and vFLIP have distinct roles in PEL cell lines

To further investigate whether vFLIP and cFLIP are redundant, we tested if KSHV vFLIP can

rescue cells from cell death after cFLIP KO. We additionally tested the viral FLIP proteins

encoded by molluscum contagiosum virus (MCV), MC159L or MC160L, given that KSHV vFLIP

and MCV MC159L and MC160L each have distinct functional activities (Fig. 2A). While either

major isoform of cFLIP can inhibit CASP8 and activate NF-κB signaling in ectopic contexts[12, 28,

29, 30], reports of KSHV vFLIP's ability to strongly inhibit CASP8 are mixed or ambiguous.

Conversely, MCV MC159L and MC160L both repress NF-κB signaling and only MC159L inhibits

CASP8[8, 31, 32, 33]. Thus, this approach allows us to assess the redundancy of vFLIP with cFLIP

and the importance of NF-κB activation and CASP8 inhibition for cFLIP dependency.

Interestingly, we observed only partial rescue of cFLIP dependency after overexpression of

KSHV vFLIP (Figs. 2B, C, S2A). In contrast, overexpression of MC159L conferred complete

rescue, while overexpression of MC160L had no effect. Expression of each of the viral FLIP

proteins was comparable to overexpressed cFLIP and did not affect the expression of

endogenous cFLIP (Figs. S2A, B). KSHV vFLIP was furthermore overexpressed well above

levels of the endogenous viral protein in BC-3 (Fig. S2C). Thus, the inability of vFLIP to

efficiently rescue cFLIP expression is not due to lower expression relative to cFLIP or

endogenous vFLIP. Collectively, these results suggest that FLIP proteins that are known potent

inhibitors of CASP8 (cFLIP and MC159L), but not KSHV vFLIP, can efficiently rescue cFLIP

dependency in PEL cells. Therefore, the essential role of cFLIP in PEL cells is substantially

distinct from that of vFLIP.

Genome-wide rescue screens uncover an ER/Golgi/CASP8-dependent death signaling

program repressed by cFLIP

cFLIP has a well-characterized role in protecting cells from the effects of cell death ligands of

the extrinsic apoptosis pathway[9, 11]. Indeed, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-immortalized

lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) depend on cFLIP expression for protection from autocrine TNF-

α signaling[34]. TNF-α is not expressed in PEL cell lines (Fig. S3), however. We therefore sought

to identify mechanisms underlying cFLIP dependency of PEL cell lines in genome-wide

CRISPR/Cas9 rescue screens, outlined in Fig. 3A. In these screens, sgRNAs targeting genes

whose inactivation overcomes cFLIP dependency should be enriched after toxic cFLIP

inactivation and may represent putative components of the cell death process that is inhibited by

cFLIP in PEL cells. We initially chose the background of BCBL-1/Cas9, which has strong cFLIP

dependency and excellent CRISPR/Cas9 editing efficiency. Briefly, we transduced BCBL-

1/Cas9 with the genome-wide Brunello sgRNA library[35] and passaged the cell population to

allow dropout of sgRNAs targeting essential genes. We next challenged the resulting cell pool

with another sgRNA vector targeting either cFLIP or the AAVS1 safe harbor locus and further

passaged the culture until a cFLIP-sgRNA resistant population was obtained (Fig. S4A). In an

independent experiment, we used three separate shRNAs for toxic cFLIP knock-down alongside

two negative control shRNAs targeting Renilla luciferase (RLuc) (Fig. S4B). We finally repeated

the sg-cFLIP resistance screen in BC-2, which has robust cFLIP dependency (Fig. 1A, B). In

each case, resulting cell pools were subjected to next generation sequencing of the Brunello

sgRNA inserts and sgRNA composition was analyzed using MAGeCK's robust ranked

aggregation (RRA) (Table S1). Independent shRNAs targeting either cFLIP or RLuc were

treated as replicates in this analysis. Results from the BCBL-1/Cas9 screens were more robust

than those from the BC-2 screen, which was noisy, most likely due to relatively poor editing in

the BC-2 Cas9 cell pool (Fig. S4C). Within BCBL-1, top hits from the shRNA-based challenge

were statistically more significant but had less dramatic sgRNA fold-changes (Fig. S4C). This is

likely due to the inclusion of three different shRNAs targeting cFLIP, each resulting in less

complete toxicity than the single highly efficient cFLIP sgRNA (Fig. S4A, B). To account for

these differences, we initially compared the BCBL-1 sgRNA and shRNA screens using a rank-

based filtering approach. Intersecting the top 150 hits by RRA score from the sgRNA and

shRNA challenge in BCBL-1 yielded 23 high-confidence hits (Fig. 3B, Table S2).

DAVID pathway analysis[36] shows that these high confidence hits function in three main

pathways (Table S3, Fig. 3C): the extrinsic apoptosis pathway (including CASP8, FADD, and

TNFRSF10A, encoding TRAIL receptor 1, Fig. 3D), protein modification by the ubiquitin-like

modifier UFM1 (UFMylation, Fig. 3E), and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis (Fig. 3F).

Hits were furthermore enriched for genes associated with the ER and Golgi cellular

compartments (Fig. 3C). Specifically, TRAIL-R1 and CXCR4 are transmembrane receptors

which traffic through the ER-Golgi network. The UFMylation pathway mediates ubiquitin-fold

modified 1 (UFM1) conjugation to lysine residues of target proteins at the cytoplasmic surface of

the ER membrane[37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. SRP68 and SRP72 are components of the signal

recognition peptide (SRP) complex, which cooperates with the SEC61A translocon to aid

insertion of nascent transmembrane proteins into the ER[44, 45, 46] (Fig. 3E). The SRP is involved

in translational halting during membrane insertion[46, 47]. ER translocation of translationally

paused nascent peptides requires UFMylation of the 60S ribosomal protein L26 (RPL26), which

has been proposed as the primary target of UFM1 modification[48, 49]. Nine of the 23 high

confidence hits participate in the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis pathway (Fig. 3F), a

process that occurs in the Golgi apparatus and involves the addition of chondroitin sulfate

moieties to transmembrane proteins[50]. Jagunal homolog 1 (JAGN1) is required for vesicular

transport from the ER or Golgi, although the exact step JAGN1 participates in is unclear[51].

Lastly, EHMT2 is a histone methyltransferase which has been implicated in the epigenetic

regulation of the UPR and/or ER homeostasis[52, 53]. A subset of these genes also scored in the

top 150 hits of the BC-2 screen, including CASP8 and TNFRSF10A (extrinsic apoptosis), UBA5

and UFSP2 (UFMylation), and UXS1, B4GALT7, CHST15, CSGALNACT1 (chondroitin sulfate

proteoglycan synthesis), thereby confirming these genetic interactions of cFLIP in a second PEL

cell line (Tables S1 and S2).

Consistent with our screening results, BCBL-1 CASP8 KO pools derived using two different

sgRNAs were completely protected from cell death after subsequent cFLIP sgRNA challenge

(Figs. 4, Fig. S4D). These results confirm that our screens capture CASP8 as a key component

of the cell death pathway that necessitates cFLIP expression in PEL cells.

cFLIP protects PEL cells from ligand-independent TRAIL-R1-induced cell death

In addition to *CASP8, TNFRSF10A,* encoding TRAIL receptor 1 (TRAIL-R1/DR4) scored highly

in our screens. Interestingly, neither the ligand for TRAIL-R1 (i.e. *TNFSF10* encoding TRAIL)

nor *TNFRSF10B*, encoding TRAIL receptor 2 (TRAIL-R2/DR5), or other death receptors were

hits in our screens (Table S1). As for CASP8, we generated TRAIL-R1 KO pools using two

independent sgRNAs (Fig. 5A). Cumulative growth curve analyses following subsequent cFLIP

KO confirmed that TRAIL-R1-deficient cells no longer require cFLIP expression for viability (Fig.

5B, Fig. S5A). TRAIL (ligand) mRNA is not well expressed in RNA-seq data from three different

PEL cell lines, including BCBL-1 (Fig S3). Indeed, TRAIL ELISA on cellular supernatants or

lysates of BCBL-1 and BC-3 cells failed to detect secreted or intracellular TRAIL[54, 55] in either

cell line (Fig. 5C). This assay detected levels of recombinant TRAIL well below the IC50 of

"TRAIL-sensitive" cell lines within the literature[56]. BCBL-1 cells are furthermore TRAIL-resistant,

even to hyper-physiological concentrations as high as 10 µg/mL (Fig. S5B). As additional

controls, we generated TRAIL and TRAIL-R2 KO pools. While NGS-based sequencing of the

targeted loci to characterize indel rates within our KO pools showed that >95% of each locus

contains inactivating indels after editing (Table S4), genetic disruption of neither locus affected

BCBL-1 dependency on cFLIP (Figs. 5B, S5A).

Ligand-independent activation of TRAIL-R2, and in some cases TRAIL-R1, has previously been

described within the context of Golgi and ER stress-induced cell death[22, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61]. Ligand

independent signaling of TRAIL receptor was proposed to follow the intracellular accumulation

of TRAIL-R1 in the Golgi or TRAIL-R2 in the ER-Golgi intermediary compartment (ERGIC) [61, 62].

Similarly, TRAIL-R1 in BCBL-1 is exclusively expressed intracellularly in PEL cells (Figs. 5D,

S5C). TRAIL-R1 in BCBL-1/Cas9-sgAAVS1 colocalized with the ER marker ERp72 and the cis-

Golgi marker Giantin, but much less extensively with the ERGIC marker ERGIC53 (Fig. 5E-F,

S5E). A recent study in HCT116 cells has shown that a misfolded mutant of myelin protein zero

(MPZ-DelS63) binds to TRAIL-R2 in the ERGIC and consequently triggers TRAIL-independent

cell death, while MPZ-DelS63-EctoYtoE misfolds but does not interact with TRAIL-R2[62]. TRAIL-

R1 did not colocalize with either form of MPZ in BCBL-1 after their doxycycline (DOX)-inducible

expression with a C-terminal mCherry tag (Fig. 5F, S5D). Neither protein furthermore impaired

the viability of BCBL-1 cells over the course of several days (not shown). Based on these

findings, we conclude that cFLIP protects PEL cells from an intracellular, ligand-independent,

TRAIL-R1-mediated cell death program originating within the Golgi or ER.

224 UFMylation and JAGN1 promote TRAIL-R1 expression in PEL cells

To extend validation of the resistance screens beyond TRAIL-R1 and CASP8, we chose two

hits from the UFMylation pathway (UFM1, DDRGK1), two genes from the chondroitin sulfate

proteoglycan synthesis pathway (UGDH, CHST15), JAGN1, and CXCR4 for single gene KO by

two independent sgRNAs each. Generation of an SRP68 KO cell line failed due to the

essentiality of this gene in PEL cells, which was unsurprising since all six subunits of the full

SRP complex are pan-essential based on data from the Cancer Dependency Map (Table S2).

Regardless, we speculate that the screens correctly captured a biologically relevant connection

to the SRP, due to the functional link between the SRP and UFMylation of RPL26. The

essentiality of the SRP complex likely also explains why only 2 of 6 SPR complex members

scored in our screen (Fig. 3B). We did not attempt generating an EHMT2 KO cell pool, since

EHMT2 is also pan-essential and likely essential in PEL cells[7]. Western Blot analysis confirmed

disruption of UFMylation in UFM1 KO pools (Fig. S6A). Interestingly, DDRGK1 KO did not

reduce the most prominent UFM1-modified bands, suggesting that these represent upstream

UFMylation pathway intermediates and/or other DDRGK1-independent UFMylation events. We

furthermore confirmed robust editing in all cell pools by indel sequencing (Table S4). We

obtained significant rescue from lethal cFLIP KO challenge for every hit, as predicted by our

screens (Figs. 6A, Fig. S6B).

UFMylation (UFM1 and DDRGK1) and JAGN1 were furthermore required for expression of

TRAIL-R1, a type I transmembrane protein that contains an ER signal peptide (Fig. 6B). In

contrast, KO of CXCR4 and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis pathway genes (UGDH,

CHST15) did not affect total TRAIL-R1 or CASP8 expression (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, none of

the KOs we tested led to a redistribution of TRAIL-R1 to the cell surface from its typical

intracellular location in PEL cells, in contrast to the recently reported redistribution of TNFRSF17

after SEC61A inhibition by others (Fig. S6C)[63]. TRAIL-R1 mRNA levels in the knockout cell

lines with reduced TRAIL-R1 protein levels were unchanged, indicating that reduced TRAIL-R1

expression is not due to changes in transcription or mRNA abundance (Fig. S6D).

While CXCR4 is best known for its role as a chemokine receptor and well expressed in PEL

RNA-seq data, expression of its ligand, CXCL12, is not detectable in BC-1, BC-3, or BCBL-1

cells (Fig. S7A). To establish whether CXCR4 signaling is involved in the rescue phenotype, we

tested if CXCR4 inhibitor (AMD3100) treatment overcomes the dependency on cFLIP, using

BCBL-1 cells with Dox-inducible Cas9 expression[64]. In these experiments, CXCR4 inhibitor

treatment failed to recapitulate the genetic CXCR4 KO effect (Fig S7B-C). This result and the

lack of endogenous CXCL12 expression suggest that the role of CXCR4 in triggering TRAIL-R1-

dependent cell death in PEL is unrelated to its normal signaling activity.

In sum, our data suggest that UFMylation and JAGN1 are required for TRAIL-R1 expression,

while CXCR4 and the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis pathway may promote TRAIL-

R1 signaling or affect cFLIP essentiality by other mechanisms.

Discussion

Here we have distinguished the roles of KSHV vFLIP and human cFLIP in KSHV-transformed

PEL cell lines. While cFLIP is required for its canonical function to inhibit CASP8 downstream of

a death receptor signal, vFLIP was surprisingly not detected in all PEL cell lines and could not

fully compensate for loss of cFLIP expression. Moreover, detectable vFLIP expression

correlated with requirements for NF-κB-related genes, consistent with the well characterized

role of KSHV vFLIP as an activator of NF-κB signaling. Thus, our data demonstrate that vFLIP

and cFLIP have only limited functional overlap in a physiological context. Our results suggest

that vFLIP has either low affinity to CASP8 or cannot effectively inhibit CASP8 once bound.

Since we achieved very high levels of vFLIP overexpression in our rescue experiments, vFLIP

sequestration from CASP8 by NEMO is less likely, although possible. These possibilities should

be tested in future studies.

We identified TRAIL-R1, but not TRAIL-R2, as the critical death receptor that triggers CASP8-

induced cell death in the absence of cFLIP. Unlike in EBV-transformed LCLs[34], this process

appears entirely ligand independent. Interestingly, such a ligand-independent process has been

described in response to ER stress-induced cell death, mainly for TRAIL-R2. A ligand-

independent role for TRAIL-R1 has also been reported, but primarily in the context of added

Golgi and/or secretory stressors rather than general ER stress[22, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61]. Ligand

independent cell death signaling by TRAIL receptors involves their intracellular accumulation

and, similarly, TRAIL-R1 is detected within the ER and Golgi in PEL cells.

Additionally, our results were obtained without the use of ER/Golgi stress-inducing agents and

instead represent a constitutively active TRAIL-R1 death signaling process in robustly growing

cells. Importantly, PEL cells are of post-germinal center B cell origin and terminal B cell

differentiation is typically accompanied by a broad expansion and alteration of the ER and Golgi.

However, PEL cell lines typically lack B cell surface marker and immunoglobulin expression and

the status of the ER/Golgi in PEL cell lines has not been investigated in depth. Interestingly,

KSHV has been reported to activate the UPR and dampen its downstream transcriptional

response during lytic reactivation and ER stress has been shown to trigger KSHV reactivation in

latently infected cells[65, 66]. However, we did not detect colocalization of TRAIL-R1 with MPZ-

DelS63 in latently infected BCBL-1, as has been reported for TRAIL-R2 in the context of cell

death during the UPR in HCT116[62].

In addition to TRAIL-R1, our work has implicated several other ER/Golgi resident processes in

cFLIP dependency. High confidence hits in our cFLIP resistance screens included most genes

of the UFMylation pathway. Inactivation of UFM1 or DDRGK1 reduced TRAIL-R1 expression,

which is likely to explain their detection in our screens. Compared to ubiquitin, UFM1 modifies

only few proteins. The 60S ribosomal subunit RPL26 has been proposed as the primary UFM1

target, where UFM1 modification promotes the processing of translationally stalled polypeptides

at the ER[48, 49]. While we did not directly test the role of UFM1 or RPL26 in the translation of

TRAIL-R1, UFMylation of RPL26 may explain the requirement for UFM1/DDRGK1 for TRAIL-R1

expression. UFMylation is induced in response to cellular stressors, directing nascent peptides

to the ER for degradation[40, 48, 49, 67, 68] and, accordingly, disruption of UFMylation has been

reported to induce ER stress and increase cell death[67, 69, 70]. Of note, genes in the UFMylation

pathway do not score as essential in our original PEL screens and their essentiality within the

Cancer Dependency Map is mixed[7, 71]. Thus, it is plausible that UFMylation could similarly allow

translation of pro-apoptotic proteins, like TRAIL-R1, to proceed. A varied requirement for

UFMylation for cellular survival is further supported by findings that deletion of DDRGK1 in

mouse plasma cells did not result in increased cell death[72].

Like UFMylation, we observed that JAGN1 promotes expression of TRAIL-R1. While little is

known about this gene, JAGN1 has been shown to participate in the secretory pathway in

myeloid cells and antibody-producing B cells [51, 73]. As with UFMylation, JAGN1 is increased in

response to ER stress and its depletion has been linked to increased apoptosis in

granulocytes[51, 74]. Importantly, our PEL CRISPR screens and establishment of a JAGN1 KO cell

line suggest that JAGN1 is non-essential in PEL cells. Similarly, the Cancer Dependency Map

indicates that JAGN1 is not broadly required for cellular survival[7, 71].

Finally, our data suggest that chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan biosynthesis and CXCR4 are

involved in the ligand-independent activity of TRAIL-R1. Since inactivation of neither pathway

affected TRAIL-R1 expression or cause re-distribution of TRAIL-R1 to the cell surface, the exact

mechanism underlying their link to TRAIL-R1 signaling and cFLIP dependency remains unclear.

Interestingly, both CXCR4 and its primary ligand, CXCL12, have been identified as targets of

chondroitin sulfate moieties, although the roles of these modifications remain unclear[75, 76].

However, CXCL12 is not endogenously expressed in PEL cells and TRAIL-R1-dependent cell

death in PEL cells is not overcome by chemical CXCR4 inhibition. While there is a report of

CXCR4-induced apoptosis, but this process was independent of CASP8 and could not be

inhibited by MC159L, unlike the TRAIL-R1-dependent process that is inhibited by cFLIP in PEL

cells[77]. Recent work indicates that misfolded integral membrane proteins, such as MPZ, can act

as non-canonical ligands for TRAIL-R2 activity during ER stress-induced cell death[62]. Notably,

rhodopsin, a member of the 7-pass transmembrane protein family to which CXCR4 belongs,

also demonstrated this activity[62]. Future work should therefore test whether misfolding of

endogenous CXCR4 serves as a trigger of ligand-independent TRAIL-R1 signaling in PEL cells.

In sum, our data strongly support a role for TRAIL-R1 in incorporating multiple ER/Golgi-

associated signals in PEL cells into a constitutive, ligand-independent cell death process that

must be inhibited by cFLIP to allow for cellular viability.

Materials and Methods

Cloning

Sequences for oligonucleotides and synthetic DNA fragments can be found in Supplementary

Tables S5A and S5B. All new vectors were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (ACGT, Inc.).

pLenti SpBsmBI sgRNA Hygro (Addgene, 62205) constructs were cloned by ligating annealed

oligonucleotides specified in Table S5C with the BsmBI-digested vector [78].

For rescue experiments, human C-terminally 3X-FLAG tagged FLIP long and short isoforms and

KSHV vFLIP were ordered as codon-optimized dsDNA gene fragment blocks (Integrated DNA

Technologies). This initial codon optimization introduced resistance to cFLIP sg1, while cFLIP

sg2 resistance was introduced via two overlapping PCRs centered on the sg2 target site using

the synthetic gene fragment as a template (primers 4672/4673 and 4674/4323). PCR products

were then inserted between the AgeI and BamHI sites of vector pLC-IRES-HYG [64] using Gibson

assembly. KSHV vFLIP was cloned from a codon-optimized gene fragment block containing the

entire vCyclin/vFLIP locus using primers 4317/4318 and Gibson assembled with 3X-FLAG PCR

products amplified from the cFLIP-S gene fragment (primers 4319/4323). PCR products

containing MC159L (primers 4338/4339) and MC160L (primers 4340/4341) were amplified from

pBabe-based vectors kindly provided by Dr. Joanna Shisler (UIUC) and Gibson assembled with

3X-FLAG PCR products amplified from the cFLIP-S gene fragment (primers 4342/4323 for

MC159L or 4343/4323 for MC160L).

vCyc and vFLIP expression constructs for transfection into 293T in Fig. S1A were cloned into

pMSCVpuro (Takara, Catalog # 631461) by PCR, using primers 3646/3647 (vCyc) and

3648/3649 (vFLIP), followed by Gibson assembly with the EcoRI/XhoI digested vector.

LentiGuide-Puro expressing cFLIP sg2 was cloned by ligation of annealed oligos 4532/4533

with BsmBI-linearized vector as described [7].

The parental shR-miR expression vector pZIP-ZsGreen-T2A-Hyg-shNT4 was cloned by

replacing the EcoRI-IRES-PuroR-NotI cassette of shERWOOD-UltramiR Lentiviral shRNA NT

control #4 in pZIP-hCMV-ZsGreen-Puro (Transomic) with a PCR product containing a T2A-

HygR cassette that was amplified using primers 3551/3552 and template lenti MS2-P65-

HSF1_Hygro (Addgene Plasmid #61426). The initial control insert shRNA NT control #4 was

replaced by digesting pZIP-ZsGreen-T2A-Hyg-shNT4 with NotI and MluI and inserting miR-30-

based shRNAs for cFLIP (sh1, shM, shN) or negative control shRNAs targeting Renilla

Luciferase (Ren.308 and Ren.713) using Gibson Assembly. These shRNAs were designed

using SplashRNA and/or as previously published [79]. dsDNA fragments specified in Table S5

were ordered and used for Gibson Assembly, either directly (shM, N) or after PCR-amplification

with primers 4594/4607 (sh1, Ren.308, Ren.713).

To clone cFLIP sg1 for DOX-inducible gene inactivation (Fig. S7B, C), we replaced the

sgAAVS1 sequence within pLX-sgAAVS1[64] using overlap PCR. PCR fragment 1 was amplified

using the forward primer 2430 and the sgRNA-specific reverse primer 5313. PCR fragment 2

was amplified using the sgRNA-specific forward primer 5312 and reverse primer 2431.

Fragments 1 and 2 were joined using overlap PCR with primers 2430 and 2431, cut with XhoI

and NheI and ligated with XhoI/NheI-digested pLX-sgRNA vector using T4 DNA ligase.

To clone the parental DOX-inducible lentiviral vector pCW-MCS-Zeo, we used Gibson assembly

of a PCR fragment allowing for zeocin resistance (generated using primers 5327 and 5328) and

SbfI/BamHI-digested pCW-MCS-PGK-P2A[80]. To clone pCW-Zeo-mCherry, pCW-Zeo-MPZ-

S63Del-mCherry, and pCW-Zeo-MPZ-S63Del-EctoYtoE-mCherry, we performed Gibson

Assembly of the AgeI-MluI vector fragment of pCW-MCS-Zeo with a PCR product containing

mCherry (primers 5320/5321), gBlocks 5324 and 5322 (DelS63), or gBlocks 5326 and 5322

(DelS63/EctoYtoE).

Western blotting

Cells were pelleted, washed in ice-cold PBS, in some cases stored at -80°C, and lysed for 30

minutes in ice-cold RIPA buffer containing 1X protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog

P8340). Lysates were then subjected to five cycles (30 seconds on/30 seconds off) of

sonication at 4°C on high intensity in a Bioruptor Sonication System (Diagenode) and

subsequently cleared by centrifugation at 14,000g for 15 minutes. Lysates were diluted 5- to 10-

fold and quantified by Pierce BCA Protein Assay (ThermoFisher Scientific, 23225). Lysates

were denatured by heating at 70°C for 10 minutes in 1X LDS buffer (ThermoFisher, NP0008)

containing a final concentration of 2.5% beta-mercaptoethanol and loaded onto Bolt 4-12% Bis-

Tris gels (ThermoFisher Scientific; NW0412) at equivalent concentrations (15-20 µg for cFLIP

knockout validation based on available lysates; 50 µg for vCyc/vFLIP, and 20-30 µg for all other

proteins). SDS-PAGE was performed in 1X MES buffer and proteins were transferred onto

0.2 μm nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, 1620112).

Membranes were blocked at room temperature for 1 hour and probed overnight at 4 °C with

primary antibodies at the concentrations indicated in Table S5D. Images were captured on an

Odyssey FC (LI-COR Biosciences) after incubation with IRDye 800CW secondary antibodies

(LI-COR Biosciences) at a 1:15000 concentration. In some cases, anti-rat HRP (Santa Cruz) at

a 1:5000 concentration was used for detection and developed using SuperSignal West Femto

Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific, 34094) following manufacturer

protocol. Contrast/brightness was dynamically adjusted as needed using ImageStudio. Bands

were quantified in ImageStudio Lite version 5.2 (LI-COR Biosciences). Original Western Data

are included as one supplemental file.

Cell culture

PEL and/or BJAB cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 with L-glutamine (Corning,

MT10040CM) supplemented with 10% (BC-1, BCBL-1) or 20% (BC-2, BC-3, BC-5, BJAB)

Serum Plus-II (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number 14009C, lots 15H243 and 21C421), 10 μg/mL

gentamycin (ThermoFisher Scientific, 15710064) and 0.05 mM beta-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-

Aldrich, M3148-25ML). HEK293T cells were maintained in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, D5796)

supplemented with 10% Serum Plus-II and 10 mg/mL gentamycin. PEL cells were counted by

trypan exclusion and split every second day to approximately $2x10^5$ cells/mL (or $3x10^5$ for BC-

5). 293T cells were maintained at visually sub-confluent densities and split every 2 days. PEL

cell lines expressing lentiCas9-Blast (Addgene, 52962) were previously generated and validated

by STR profiling[7]. The BC-1/Cas9 clonal cell line utilized in Fig. 1B was subcloned and selected

for editing efficiency compared to the parental Cas9 BC-1 pool utilized in the screens. This BC-

1/Cas9 clone was re-confirmed by STR profiling. A stable BCBL-1 cell clone allowing for DOX-

inducible Cas9 expression (BCBL-1/pCW-Cas9) was reported previously[64].

For cellular growth curves and functional titration of lentivirus other than pZIP-based vectors

(see below), cells were counted by flow cytometry using spike-in of a known amount of

AccuCount Blank Particles 5-5.9 μm (Spherotech, ACBP-50-10) as previously described[7].

Cumulative cellular growth curves

Importantly, all lentiviral vectors used for growth curves analyses were titrated in naïve parental

cell lines to ensure comparable titers between control sgRNAs and toxic sgRNAs. For growth

curves, cells were plated at a density of 3×10^5 cells/mL and transduced at the multiplicities of

infection (MOIs) indicated in the text or figure legends. Medium was exchanged one day after

transduction and cells were selected with 1.2 μg/mL puromycin for 2 days; 200 μg/mL

hygromycin for 3 days (titrations) or 5-7 days (stable cell line production). Every second day,

cells were counted by flow cytometry as described above and then split to a density of 2×10^5

cells/mL. This process was repeated until cells that had received toxic sgRNAs were too sparse

to split or for up to 10 days. Cumulative cell counts were calculated by taking the measured cell

concentration at a given timepoint multiplied by the product of all previous dilution factors and

normalized to cumulative cell counts obtained for the sgAAVS1 control.

Statistical testing for reduced cellular viability was performed using one-sided, one-sample t-

testing (H_0: P(μ=1) with H_A: P(μ<1)). For rescue growth curve experiments (i.e. in the context of

ectopic FLIP expression or a knockout cell pool) an additional one-sided, independent two-

sample t-test was performed, comparing the relative cumulative cell counts for each guide

between the experimental cell line and the control line (i.e. sgAAVS1-Hygro or ZsGreen-Hygro).

In the case of ZsGreen or KSHV vFLIP expression for rescue experiments, where n= 3-6 were

obtained for up to day 10 (Fig. 2C), ordinary least squares regression lines were fit. Cumulative

cell counts relative to cell-line matched sgAAVS1 were used as the singular dependent variable

with one or two predictor variables. To measure depletion, data was subset on the indicated cell

line (ZsGreen or vFLIP expression) and sgRNA (sgPSM1 or cFLIP sg1) with the timepoint (>0

days after transduction) as a singular predictor variable. To measure rescue, data was subset

on a particular sgRNA (sgPSMD1 or cFLIP sg1) with the cell line (ZsGreen or vFLIP) as an

additional categorical predictor variable besides the timepoint (2-8 days post-transduction). A

one-sided t-test was then used to determine whether the coefficients for day (depletion) or cell

line (rescue) were < or > 0, respectively.

Lentiviral production, infection, and titration

Except for pZIP-based vectors, lentivirus was produced using packaging plasmids psPAX2,

pMD2.G, and transfer vectors at 1.3:0.72:1.64 molar ratios. HEK293T Cells were seeded at a

density of 1.25×10^7 per 15-cm dish and transfected with 30 µg of DNA using a 1:3 to 1:3.5 ratio

of µg of DNA to µL PEI. Media were replaced after ~6 hours and cell supernatants containing

viral vectors were collected 48-72 hours later and filtered through 450nm pore size filters. Viral

vector preparations were used as is or concentrated by ultracentrifugation or using Amicon Ultra

Centrifugal Filter Units (Millipore, UFC910024) following manufacturer protocol. In some cases,

lentivirus was stored at -80°C.

Lentiviral vector titers were measured using functional titration of serially diluted viral stocks.

The multiplicity of infection (MOI) of each dilution point was estimated using the percentage of

live cells relative to an untreated control and a resulting viral concentration (transducing

units/mL) was calculated from the average of multiple dilution points assuming a Poisson

distribution, $P(x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda x}}{x!}$, to estimate the mean event rate λ (MOI) assuming P(x=0) is the

percentage of uninfected cells.

Transductions were carried out at 3×10^5 cells/mL and the indicated MOIs under normal culture

conditions supplemented with 5 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich, TR-1003-G). Culture medium

was replaced ~24 hours after transduction and antibiotic resistance selection was carried out

using 1.2 µg/mL puromycin for 2 days; 200 µg/mL hygromycin for 3 days (titrations) or 5-7 days

(stable cell line production and CRISPR screens).

Procedures for pZIP-ZsGreen-T2A-Hygro shRNA vectors are described below.

CRISPR-based single-gene knockout

CRISPR knockout was achieved by transduction of published Cas9-expressing cell pools or

clones and published lentiviral single guide RNA constructs for AAVS1, PSMD1 sg1, IRF4 sg1,

cFLIP sg1. A new cFLIP sg2 was cloned for this paper since sg2 from the previous study

performed sub-optimally. The new cFLIP sg2 corresponds to sgRNA 2 (by rule set 2 score, on

target activity) from the Brunello library. sgRNAs in pLentiGuide-Puro (Addgene, 52963) were

used for cumulative growth curve knockout, while long-term single-gene knockout cell lines

were generated using sgRNAs in pLenti SpBsmBI sgRNA Hygro (Addgene, 62205) containing a

hygromycin resistance cassette. Sequences of all sgRNAs can be found in Table S5C.

CRISPR-based synthetic rescue screens with sgRNA challenge

Library virus was generated and titrated as described above using the Brunello sgRNA pool

library in lentiGuide-Puro (Addgene, 73178). In all cases, approximately 9.2×10^7 to 1.5×10^8 cells

were transduced at an MOI of 0.3 to result in a single sgRNA per cell at a coverage of 300-500X

cells per guide. Transduced cells were selected using 1.2 µg/mL puromycin, and dropout was

allowed to proceed for 12 days with at least 3.6×10^7 cells maintained to preserve library

complexity.

The resulting cell pool was then challenged with either cFLIP sg1 or the negative control

sgAAVS1 in pLenti SpBsmBI sgRNA Hygro at an MOI < 0.5. Cells were selected with

hygromycin and cultured until resistance to cFLIP knockout was apparent by cellular

proliferation, i.e. until day 12 after sgRNA transduction. At this point, approximately $3\text{-}7\times10^8$ cells

(500-1000X coverage) were harvested, washed in ice-cold PBS, and snap frozen.

shRNA-based synthetic rescue screens

pZIP transfer vectors were packaged in Lenti-X 293T cells (Takara) using molar ratios of 0.2

transfer vector to 0.51 psPax2 to 0.29 pMD2.G. Briefly, 2.1×10^7 pLenti-X 293T cells/15 cm dish

were transfected with ~48.5 µg total plasmid and supernatant was harvested 48 hours later

without replacing media. Supernatants were filtered through 450nm pore size filters and

concentrated by ultracentrifugation (Beckman SW32Ti, 25,000 rpm, 90 min), resuspend in

OptiMEM on a platform shaker for at least 60 min at 4°C and followed by pipetting up and down

20 times, aliquoted, and snap frozen at -80°C. Virus aliquots were thawed at 37°C and cleared

by centrifugation at 400 g for 5 min before use. Titration of the negative control vectors was

performed based on ZsGreen expression and flow cytometry in BCBL-1/Cas9 clone C2.

Titration of the toxic cFLIP shRNAs was performed in 293T cells lacking Dicer (293T/NoDice)[81],

relative to a negative control with known titer in BCBL-1/Cas9.

BCBL-1/Cas9 Brunello library transduction was performed at MOI 0.3 in eleven 15 cm dishes at

5×10^5 cells/mL and 50mL/dish, ~920x theoretical sgRNA coverage. Cells were selected using

1.2 µg/mL puromycin from days 1 to 4 after transduction and expanded. On Day 9 after Brunello

transduction, seven 15 cm dishes of cells (3×10^5 cells/mL and 50 mL/dish) were challenged with

each shRNA vector at MOI 0.5. The next day, cells were collected by centrifugation and

resuspended in fresh medium containing 200 µg/mL Hygromycin. Cell pools were maintained at

coverage and optimal cell density with hygromycin for one week and at least 4×10^7

cells/condition were harvested on day 12 into the shRNA challenge.

CRISPR library preparation and next-generation sequencing

Genomic DNA was isolated following a previously described protocol and library preparation

was performed as previously described for sgRNA and shRNA screens in BCBL-1[7]. Resulting

libraries were quantified by Qubit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Q33231) prior to further QC and

quantification by Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and Kapa qPCR (Roche). Multiplexing of sgRNA

challenge screens in BCBL-1 was performed utilizing 6-bp indexes and sequenced on a single

lane of a HiSeq4000 (Illumina) using 50bp single-end (SE) reads with 10% PhiX spike-in as

previously described[7]. For sgRNA challenge screens in BC-2, sequencing was performed on a

single flow-cell of an NextSeq 500 (Illumina) using 75bp SE reads with 10% PhiX spike-in due to

the discontinuation of the HiSeq4000 platform. For these runs, library preparation was modified

slightly to combine genomic amplification and addition of adapters/barcode into a single PCR

step with custom 8bp indexes (sequences can be found in Table S5E). The shRNA-based

screens were prepped and sequenced twice as a quality control using both

approaches/platforms and reads were subsequently merged for further analysis. Demultiplexed

reads have been deposited on GEO (accession GSE210445).

5' adapters were trimmed from raw CRISPR sequencing reads and trimmed to length (20 bp)

from the 3' end using Cutadapt v4.1 [82]. Reads were then aligned using Bowtie v1.1.2 9 [83].

Guide-level counts were obtained using MAGeCK v0.5.9 and statistical testing was performed

using MAGeCK's robust rank aggregation (RRA) algorithm using median normalization [84].

CRISPR Knockout Pools Indel Sequencing

A 200-300 bp region around each indicated guide was amplified from genomic DNA using the

primers indicated in Table S5F and sent to Massachusetts General Hospital CCIB DNA Core for

low depth sequencing. Raw FASTQ files were assembled into contigs by MGH and the resulting

effect on the coding sequence was determined using custom alignment and classification script

built using Biopython [85] to estimate indel, frameshift, and early stopping incidence. Briefly,

assembled contigs were aligned to the coding sequences targeted by each amplicon to

determine optimal positioning of the contig in reference to the full coding sequence. All

alignments utilized a simple pairwise scoring matrix (+1 for matches, +0 for mismatches, -.99 for

gap opening, and -0 for gap extensions) chosen by iterative manual assessment of the

collective results for the most abundant contig for each gene. The resulting, fully assembled

coding sequence was then classified as an indel or non-indel for all sequences. Indels were

further characterized as in-frame or frameshifted based on the number of nucleotides lost or

gained across all alignment gaps. Early stopping events for all variants were predicted by *in*

silico translation of predicting coding sequences. Raw FASTQ output was also analyzed using

CRISPResso2[86] to obtain estimates of the number of modified and frameshifted reads.

mRNA Sequencing

A frozen BC-1 lysate in Trizol was submitted to BGI Group (Shenzhen, China) for single-ended,

polyA-enriched RNA sequencing. Un-trimmed reads were aligned to the Gencode 41 annotation

of GRCh38.p13 with STAR v2.7.10. Raw sequencing data has been made available on GEO

(accession GSE210446).

RNA Purification and Reverse Transcription

5×10^6 Cells were washed in ice-cold PBS, pelleted, and resuspended in 300 uL TRIzol

(Invitrogen, 15596026). RNA was isolated using the Zymo Research Direct-zol RNA Miniprep

Kit (Fisher Scientific, NC1057004) following the manufacturer's protocol. Purified RNA was

treated with RQ1 RNAse-free DNAse (Promega, M6101) using 1 µL DNase per 5 µL RNA in a

final volume of 10 µL volume. Poly-dT primed reverse transcription was performed using the

SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, 11904018) using 1 µg of RNA as

template in a 10 µL reaction, including water-only reactions as non-template controls, following

manufacturer protocols including optional RNAse digestion after reverse transcription. Samples

were then diluted to 100 ng calculated input RNA/4.5 µL prior to TaqMan assay.

TaqMan Assay

Real-time PCR was performed by standard TaqMan Assay on either the QuantStudio 7 or

Quantstudio 5 Real-Time PCR platform (Applied Biosystems). Briefly, a mastermix of 1 µL

TaqMan probe (Applied Biosystems, Hs00269492_m1 or Hs00984230_m1) to 10 µL TaqMan

Universal Master Mix II (Applied Biosystems, 4440043) was prepared for each probe. 5.5 µL of

mastermix was added per well, followed by 4.5 µL (equivalent to 100 ng calculated input RNA)

cDNA template. Three technical replicates were included, and $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ was calculated relative to

the endogenous B2M control and sgAAVS1-transduced cell line for each biological replicate[87].

Biological replicates represent independently harvested cell pellets of the indicated cell lines.

Statistical testing for differences between samples was performed using one-way analysis of

variance. Post-hoc testing was performed using Dunnett's method and sgAAVS1 as the control

group.

Inducible CRISPR/Cas9 Assay and AMD3100 Treatment

For data shown in Fig. 7B-C, we transduced BCBL-1 with stably integrated DOX-inducible Cas9

(BCBL-1/pCW-Cas9[64]) with pLX-sgRNA-lentiviral vectors expressing sgRNAs targeting AAVS1,

PSMD1, or cFLIP sg1 at ~MOI 2 and selected transduced cells with 7.5 µg/ml Blasticidin S

(Sigma-Aldrich, SBR00022). We induced Cas 9 expression by treatment with 1 µg/ml

Doxycycline hyclate (DOX, Sigma-Aldrich, D9891-1G) or left the cultures uninduced. At the

same time, we further treated each cell line and DOX-treatment group with DMSO or 25 µM

AMD3100 (Selleckchem, S8030). Cells were counted by trypan exclusion every 2-3 days,

pelleted, and re-suspended to $2x10^5$ cells/mL in fresh medium containing the specified drugs.

Cellular staining and flow cytometry

Cells were washed twice in ice-cold PBS and APC Annexin V (BD Biosciences, 550475) and 7-

AAD (BD Biosciences, 559925) staining was performed on $1x10^5$ cells in 100 µL of 1X Annexin

V binding buffer (BD Biosciences, 556454) following manufacturer recommended guidelines,

using 5 µL of each stain for 15 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Necrotic cells were

generated for Annexin V and 7-AAD compensation controls using a 30-minute heat shock at

95°C. Stained cells were diluted with 400 µL 1x Annexin V binding buffer and flow cytometry

was performed on a BD FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) within 1 hour.

For TRAIL-R1 staining, cells were harvested and washed twice in ice-cold PBS and

resuspended in PBS at a concentration of $1x10^6$ cells/mL. 500 µL of cells were then fixed in

PBS containing 4% w/v formaldehyde (FA, ThermoFisher Scientific, PI28908) for 10 minutes

followed by two more PBS washes. On the final wash, cells were split into two and each

resuspended in 250 µL PBS containing 1% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma,

10735078001) with or without the addition of 0.1% w/v saponin (Sigma-Aldrich, 47036). Cells

were pre-incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature with 250 µL PBS/1% BSA with or

without 0.1% w/v saponin, containing 1:400 of Human BD Fc Block (ThermoFisher Scientific,

BDB564219) per condition, followed by addition of 1:100 (2.5 µL in 250 µL) of FITC-conjugated

anti-TRAIL receptor 1 (Abcam, ab59047; specific to the extracellular domain of TRAIL-R1) for

30 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Cells were then washed 3X with PBS/1% BSA with

or without 0.1% w/v saponin followed by a final re-suspension in 500 µL PBS containing 0.01%

FA.

Stained cells were stored for up to 2 days at 4°C in the dark (TRAIL-R1) and flow cytometry was

performed on a BD FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences). Raw data were exported to FlowJo v10.8.1

for analysis and plotting.

BCBL-1 cell lines expressing MPZ-Del63-mCherry constructs

To allow for inducible expression of mCherry, MPZ-Del63-mCherry, or MPZ-DelS63-EctoYtoE-

mCherry, we transduced naïve BCBL-1 cells at MOI <1. We selected transduced cells with 175

µg/ml zeocin (Life Technologies, R25005) and induced protein expression by treatment with 1

µg/ml DOX. Results in Figs. 5E-F and S5D-E are from day 3 after induction and three

independent inductions.

Immunofluorescence and colocalization analysis

Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed with PBS, and resuspended in PBS at 1×10^6

cells/mL. 650 µL/24 well or 3ml/6 well were spun onto 1.5mm thick, uncoated glass coverslips in

swing bucket rotors at 650 g for 5 minutes. Coverslips were fixed for 15 minutes with 4%

formaldehyde (FA)–PBS, rinsed three times with PBS, and blocked for 1 hour in PBS containing

0.1% w/v saponin-3% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma Aldrich, A7906). Samples were again

rinsed three times with PBS and incubated with primary antibodies as indicated in Table S5D,

diluted in PBS containing 0.1% w/v saponin-3% BSA for 1 hour at 37°C in a humidified

chamber. Coverslips were rinsed three times and incubated with the appropriate species IgG

(H+L) highly cross-adsorbed secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (TRAIL-R1

staining) or 568 (all others) diluted 1:1000 in PBS containing 0.1% w/v saponin-3% BSA for

1 hour at 37°C in a humidified chamber. Coverslips were washed with PBS, incubated with 4′,6-

diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) as directed (Invitrogen, D1306), and washed with PBS. Finally,

coverslips were mounted onto glass slides using ProLong Gold antifade mountant (Invitrogen,

P36934), and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 24 hours.

Confocal images were acquired on a Nikon W1 Dual Cam Spinning Disk Confocal microscope

with Plan Apo λ 60x Oil objective. Widefield images were acquired in Z-stacks on a Nikon Ti-2

Widefield microscope with S Plan Fluor ELWD 40x Ph2 ADM air objective and analyzed with

Imaris software for 3D colocalization of the top 10% brightest voxels per channel.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Anti-TRAIL ELISA was performed using a Human TRAIL/TNFSF10 Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D

Systems, DTRL00) following manufacturer-provided protocols. Briefly, 50 µL of cell supernatant

or cellular lysate obtained using manufacturer provided buffers and instructions were added to

each well. Cell densities at time of harvesting were 8×10^6 to 1.2×10^7 PEL cells, while cells were

lysed at a concentration of 1×10^7 cells/mL as per manufacturer guidelines. The included

recombinant human TRAIL standard (R&D Systems, 892375) was serially diluted in 2-fold steps

from 1000 to 15.6 pg/mL. Absorbance was read on a VICTOR Nivo Plate reader at 450 nm. A

blank measurement taken from a well containing clean dilution buffer was subtracted from all

other wells and least squares regression was performed on the measured values across all

replicates to determine effective concentrations.

In vitro TRAIL treatment

BCBL-1/Cas9 cells containing pLenti SpBsmBI Guide Hygro (AAVS1 or TRAIL-R1 #1/2) were

treated with the indicated serial dilutions of recombinant human TRAIL (Sigma-Aldrich, T9701)

and absolute cell numbers were measured 24 hours later by flow cytometry as described above

for cumulative growth curves.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical testing/modeling was conducted in Python using SciPy 1.6.0[88] (simple t tests, one-

way ANOVA) or Statsmodels 0.13.2 (ordinary least squares) unless otherwise indicated.

Vertical bar graphs were generated using Prism 9.4.1 (GraphPad), all other figures were

generated using Seaborn 0.11.2 [89]. For groups of tests with similar hypotheses, i.e. similar

comparisons in the same figure panel, false-discovery adjusted p-values were calculated using

the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure implemented in Statsmodels. For two-sample t-tests, equal

variances were assumed. Specific tests are indicated in the figure legends. Full code for

computational and statistical analyses was made available online on Github

(https://github.com/Gottwein-Lab).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Joanna Shisler for sharing MCV FLIP protein expression vectors and

Dr. Ethel Cesarman for sharing a control aliquot of BC-3 cells. Imaging work was performed at

the Northwestern University Center for Advanced Microscopy generously supported by NCI

CCSG P30 CA060553 awarded to the Robert H Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center.

Competing Interests The authors do not have competing interests.

Author Contributions Study Design NK, SO, MM and EG. MM performed exploratory

resistance screens in BC-3, which were not included in the final manuscript due to very low

cFLIP dependency of this cell line. NK performed most experiments. EG performed shRNA

cloning, pLX and pCW cell line construction, and shRNA resistance screens until harvest of cell

pellets. SMO performed all immunofluorescence staining and analyses. ZL performed pLX and

pCW vector cloning. Computational and statistical analyses were performed by NK. NK and SO

put together figures, which were edited by EG. NK, SO, and EG wrote the manuscript. EG

supervised all aspects of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics Statement This study did not require ethical approval.

Funding This work was supported by NCI R01 CA247619 and R01 CA247619-01A1S1 to E.G.;

MM was supported by K22 CA241355.

Data Availability CRISPR screening and RNAseq data were deposited on GEO (accessions

GSE210445, GSE210446). All cell lines and plasmids are available on request.

References

1.   Cesarman E, Chang Y, Moore PS, Said JW, Knowles DM. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-related body-cavity-based lymphomas. *N Engl*

J Med 1995, **332**(18)**:** 1186-1191.

2.   Nador RG, Cesarman E, Chadburn A, Dawson DB, Ansari MQ, Sald J*, et al.* Primary

effusion lymphoma: a distinct clinicopathologic entity associated with the Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpes virus. *Blood* 1996, **88**(2)**:** 645-656.

3.   Lurain K, Polizzotto MN, Aleman K, Bhutani M, Wyvill KM, Goncalves PH*, et al.* Viral,

immunologic, and clinical features of primary effusion lymphoma. *Blood* 2019, **133**(16)**:**

1753-1761.

4.   Wies E, Mori Y, Hahn A, Kremmer E, Sturzl M, Fleckenstein B*, et al.* The viral interferon-

regulatory factor-3 is required for the survival of KSHV-infected primary effusion

lymphoma cells. *Blood* 2008, **111**(1)**:** 320-327.

5.   Godfrey A, Anderson J, Papanastasiou A, Takeuchi Y, Boshoff C. Inhibiting primary

effusion lymphoma by lentiviral vectors encoding short hairpin RNA. *Blood* 2005, **105**(6)**:**

2510-2518.

6.   Chaudhary PM, Jasmin A, Eby MT, Hood L. Modulation of the NF-kappa B pathway by

virally encoded death effector domains-containing proteins. *Oncogene* 1999, **18**(42)**:**

5738-5746.

7.   Manzano M, Patil A, Waldrop A, Dave SS, Behdad A, Gottwein E. Gene essentiality

landscape and druggable oncogenic dependencies in herpesviral primary effusion

lymphoma. *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**(1)**:** 3263.

8.   Hu S, Vincenz C, Buller M, Dixit VM. A novel family of viral death effector domain-

708   containing molecules that inhibit both CD-95- and tumor necrosis factor receptor-1-

709   induced apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**(15)**:** 9621-9624.

711   9.   Irmler M, Thome M, Hahne M, Schneider P, Hofmann K, Steiner V*, et al.* Inhibition of

712   death receptor signals by cellular FLIP. *Nature* 1997, **388**(6638)**:** 190-195.

714   10.   Thome M, Schneider P, Hofmann K, Fickenscher H, Meinl E, Neipel F*, et al.* Viral

715   FLICE-inhibitory proteins (FLIPs) prevent apoptosis induced by death receptors. *Nature*

716   1997, **386**(6624)**:** 517-521.

718   11.   Krueger A, Schmitz I, Baumann S, Krammer PH, Kirchhoff S. Cellular FLICE-inhibitory

719   protein splice variants inhibit different steps of caspase-8 activation at the CD95 death-

720   inducing signaling complex. *J Biol Chem* 2001, **276**(23)**:** 20633-20640.

722   12.   Kataoka T, Budd RC, Holler N, Thome M, Martinon F, Irmler M*, et al.* The caspase-8

723   inhibitor FLIP promotes activation of NF-kappaB and Erk signaling pathways. *Curr Biol*

724   2000, **10**(11)**:** 640-648.

726   13.   Chugh P, Matta H, Schamus S, Zachariah S, Kumar A, Richardson JA*, et al.*

727   Constitutive NF-κB activation, normal Fas-induced apoptosis, and increased incidence of

728   lymphoma in human herpes virus 8 K13 transgenic mice. *Proceedings of the National*

729   *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2005, **102**(36)**:** 12885-12890.

14.   Bélanger C, Gravel A, Tomoiu A, Janelle ME, Gosselin J, Tremblay MJ*, et al.* Human

herpesvirus 8 viral FLICE-inhibitory protein inhibits Fas-mediated apoptosis through

binding and prevention of procaspase-8 maturation. *J Hum Virol* 2001, **4**(2)**:** 62-73.

15.   Tolani B, Matta H, Gopalakrishnan R, Punj V, Chaudhary PM. NEMO is essential for

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-encoded vFLIP K13-induced gene expression

and protection against death receptor-induced cell death, and its N-terminal 251

residues are sufficient for this process. *J Virol* 2014, **88**(11)**:** 6345-6354.

16.   Galluzzi L, Vitale I, Aaronson SA, Abrams JM, Adam D, Agostinis P*, et al.* Molecular

mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell

Death 2018. *Cell Death & Differentiation* 2018, **25**(3)**:** 486-541.

17.   Fritsch M, Gunther SD, Schwarzer R, Albert MC, Schorn F, Werthenbach JP*, et al.*

Caspase-8 is the molecular switch for apoptosis, necroptosis and pyroptosis. *Nature*

2019, **575**(7784)**:** 683-687.

18.   Newton K, Wickliffe KE, Maltzman A, Dugger DL, Reja R, Zhang Y*, et al.* Activity of

caspase-8 determines plasticity between cell death pathways. *Nature* 2019, **575**(7784)**:**

679-682.

19.   Yu L, Alva A, Su H, Dutt P, Freundt E, Welsh S*, et al.* Regulation of an ATG7-beclin 1

program of autophagic cell death by caspase-8. *Science* 2004, **304**(5676)**:** 1500-1502.

20.   Bell BD, Leverrier S, Weist BM, Newton RH, Arechiga AF, Luhrs KA*, et al.* FADD and

caspase-8 control the outcome of autophagic signaling in proliferating T cells. *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci U S A 2008, **105**(43)**:** 16677-16682.

21.   Jimbo A, Fujita E, Kouroku Y, Ohnishi J, Inohara N, Kuida K*, et al.* ER stress induces

caspase-8 activation, stimulating cytochrome c release and caspase-9 activation. *Exp*

Cell Res 2003, **283**(2)**:** 156-166.

22.   Munoz-Pinedo C, Lopez-Rivas A. A role for caspase-8 and TRAIL-R2/DR5 in ER-stress-

induced apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ* 2018, **25**(1)**:** 226.

23.   Grundhoff A, Ganem D. Mechanisms governing expression of the v-FLIP gene of

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. *J Virol* 2001, **75**(4)**:** 1857-1863.

24.   Liu L, Eby MT, Rathore N, Sinha SK, Kumar A, Chaudhary PM. The human herpes virus

8-encoded viral FLICE inhibitory protein physically associates with and persistently

activates the Ikappa B kinase complex. *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**(16)**:** 13745-13751.

25.   Field N, Low W, Daniels M, Howell S, Daviet L, Boshoff C*, et al.* KSHV vFLIP binds to

IKK-gamma to activate IKK. *J Cell Sci* 2003, **116**(Pt 18)**:** 3721-3728.

26. Sun Q, Matta H, Chaudhary PM. The human herpes virus 8-encoded viral FLICE

inhibitory protein protects against growth factor withdrawal-induced apoptosis via NF-

kappa B activation. *Blood* 2003, **101**(5)**:** 1956-1961.

27. Bagneris C, Rogala KB, Baratchian M, Zamfir V, Kunze MB, Dagless S*, et al.* Probing

the Solution Structure of IkappaB Kinase (IKK) Subunit gamma and Its Interaction with

Kaposi Sarcoma-associated Herpes Virus Flice-interacting Protein and IKK Subunit beta

by EPR Spectroscopy. *J Biol Chem* 2015, **290**(27)**:** 16539-16549.

28. Hu WH, Johnson H, Shu HB. Activation of NF-kappaB by FADD, Casper, and caspase-

8. *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**(15)**:** 10838-10844.

29. Kataoka T, Tschopp J. N-terminal fragment of c-FLIP(L) processed by caspase 8

specifically interacts with TRAF2 and induces activation of the NF-kappaB signaling

pathway. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004, **24**(7)**:** 2627-2636.

30. Baratchian M, Davis CA, Shimizu A, Escors D, Bagneris C, Barrett T*, et al.* Distinct

Activation Mechanisms of NF-kappaB Regulator Inhibitor of NF-kappaB Kinase (IKK) by

Isoforms of the Cell Death Regulator Cellular FLICE-like Inhibitory Protein (cFLIP). *J Biol*

Chem 2016, **291**(14)**:** 7608-7620.

31. Shisler JL, Moss B. Molluscum contagiosum virus inhibitors of apoptosis: The MC159 v-

FLIP protein blocks Fas-induced activation of procaspases and degradation of the

related MC160 protein. *Virology* 2001, **282**(1)**:** 14-25.

32.   Nichols DB, Shisler JL. The MC160 protein expressed by the dermatotropic poxvirus

802   molluscum contagiosum virus prevents tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced NF-kappaB

803   activation via inhibition of I kappa kinase complex formation. *J Virol* 2006, **80**(2)**:** 578-

804   586.

806   33.   Biswas S, Smith GL, Roy EJ, Ward B, Shisler JL. A comparison of the effect of

807   molluscum contagiosum virus MC159 and MC160 proteins on vaccinia virus virulence in

808   intranasal and intradermal infection routes. *J Gen Virol* 2018, **99**(2)**:** 246-252.

810   34.   Ma Y, Walsh MJ, Bernhardt K, Ashbaugh CW, Trudeau SJ, Ashbaugh IY*, et al.*

811   CRISPR/Cas9 Screens Reveal Epstein-Barr Virus-Transformed B Cell Host

812   Dependency Factors. *Cell Host Microbe* 2017, **21**(5)**:** 580-591.e587.

814   35.   Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M, Hegde M, Vaimberg EW, Donovan KF*, et al.*

815   Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of

816   CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Biotechnol* 2016, **34**(2)**:** 184-191.

818   36.   Sherman BT, Hao M, Qiu J, Jiao X, Baseler MW, Lane HC*, et al.* DAVID: a web server

819   for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update).

820   *Nucleic Acids Res* 2022, **50**(W1)**:** W216-221.

822   37.   Komatsu M, Chiba T, Tatsumi K, Iemura S, Tanida I, Okazaki N*, et al.* A novel protein-

823   conjugating system for Ufm1, a ubiquitin-fold modifier. *Embo j* 2004, **23**(9)**:** 1977-1986.

38.   Kang SH, Kim GR, Seong M, Baek SH, Seol JH, Bang OS*, et al.* Two novel ubiquitin-

fold modifier 1 (Ufm1)-specific proteases, UfSP1 and UfSP2. *J Biol Chem* 2007, **282**(8)**:**

5256-5262.

39.   Tatsumi K, Sou YS, Tada N, Nakamura E, Iemura S, Natsume T*, et al.* A novel type of

E3 ligase for the Ufm1 conjugation system. *J Biol Chem* 2010, **285**(8)**:** 5417-5427.

40.   Lemaire K, Moura RF, Granvik M, Igoillo-Esteve M, Hohmeier HE, Hendrickx N*, et al.*

Ubiquitin fold modifier 1 (UFM1) and its target UFBP1 protect pancreatic beta cells from

ER stress-induced apoptosis. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(4)**:** e18517.

41.   Chen C, Itakura E, Weber KP, Hegde RS, de Bono M. An ER complex of ODR-4 and

ODR-8/Ufm1 specific protease 2 promotes GPCR maturation by a Ufm1-independent

mechanism. *PLoS Genet* 2014, **10**(3)**:** e1004082.

42.   Cai Y, Pi W, Sivaprakasam S, Zhu X, Zhang M, Chen J*, et al.* UFBP1, a Key Component

of the Ufm1 Conjugation System, Is Essential for Ufmylation-Mediated Regulation of

Erythroid Development. *PLoS Genet* 2015, **11**(11)**:** e1005643.

43.   Wu J, Lei G, Mei M, Tang Y, Li H. A novel C53/LZAP-interacting protein regulates

stability of C53/LZAP and DDRGK domain-containing Protein 1 (DDRGK1) and

modulates NF-kappaB signaling. *J Biol Chem* 2010, **285**(20)**:** 15126-15136.

44.   Jiang Y, Cheng Z, Mandon EC, Gilmore R. An interaction between the SRP receptor and

the translocon is critical during cotranslational protein translocation. *J Cell Biol* 2008,

180(6)**:** 1149-1161.

45.   Song W, Raden D, Mandon EC, Gilmore R. Role of Sec61alpha in the regulated transfer

of the ribosome-nascent chain complex from the signal recognition particle to the

translocation channel. *Cell* 2000, **100**(3)**:** 333-343.

46.   Mason N, Ciufo LF, Brown JD. Elongation arrest is a physiologically important function of

signal recognition particle. *Embo j* 2000, **19**(15)**:** 4164-4174.

47.   Walter P, Blobel G. Translocation of proteins across the endoplasmic reticulum III.

Signal recognition protein (SRP) causes signal sequence-dependent and site-specific

arrest of chain elongation that is released by microsomal membranes. *J Cell Biol* 1981,

91(2 Pt 1)**:** 557-561.

48.   Walczak CP, Leto DE, Zhang L, Riepe C, Muller RY, DaRosa PA*, et al.* Ribosomal

protein RPL26 is the principal target of UFMylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019,

116(4)**:** 1299-1308.

49.   Wang L, Xu Y, Rogers H, Saidi L, Noguchi CT, Li H*, et al.* UFMylation of RPL26 links

translocation-associated quality control to endoplasmic reticulum protein homeostasis.

Cell Res 2020, **30**(1)**:** 5-20.

50.   García-Suárez O, García B, Fernández-Vega I, Astudillo A, Quirós LM. Neuroendocrine

tumors show altered expression of chondroitin sulfate, glypican 1, glypican 5, and

syndecan 2 depending on their differentiation grade. *Front Oncol* 2014, **4:** 15.

51.   Boztug K, Järvinen PM, Salzer E, Racek T, Mönch S, Garncarz W*, et al.* JAGN1

deficiency causes aberrant myeloid cell homeostasis and congenital neutropenia. *Nat*

Genet 2014, **46**(9)**:** 1021-1027.

52.   Hwang S, Kim S, Kim K, Yeom J, Park S, Kim I. Euchromatin histone methyltransferase

II (EHMT2) regulates the expression of ras-related GTP binding C (RRAGC) protein.

BMB Rep 2020, **53**(11)**:** 576-581.

53.   Xu L, Gao X, Yang P, Sang W, Jiao J, Niu M*, et al.* EHMT2 inhibitor BIX-01294 induces

endoplasmic reticulum stress mediated apoptosis and autophagy in diffuse large B-cell

lymphoma cells. *J Cancer* 2021, **12**(4)**:** 1011-1022.

54.   Sheard MA, Asgharzadeh S, Liu Y, Lin TY, Wu HW, Ji L*, et al.* Membrane-bound TRAIL

supplements natural killer cell cytotoxicity against neuroblastoma cells. *J Immunother*

2013, **36**(5)**:** 319-329.

55.   Mirandola P, Ponti C, Gobbi G, Sponzilli I, Vaccarezza M, Cocco L*, et al.* Activated

human NK and CD8+ T cells express both TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand

(TRAIL) and TRAIL receptors but are resistant to TRAIL-mediated cytotoxicity. *Blood*

2004, **104**(8)**:** 2418-2424.

56.   Chen JJ, Knudsen S, Mazin W, Dahlgaard J, Zhang B. A 71-gene signature of TRAIL

sensitivity in cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2012, **11**(1)**:** 34-44.

57.   Sullivan GP, O'Connor H, Henry CM, Davidovich P, Clancy DM, Albert ML*, et al.* TRAIL

Receptors Serve as Stress-Associated Molecular Patterns to Promote ER-Stress-

Induced Inflammation. *Developmental Cell* 2020, **52**(6)**:** 714-730.e715.

58.   Dufour F, Rattier T, Constantinescu AA, Zischler L, Morle A, Ben Mabrouk H*, et al.*

TRAIL receptor gene editing unveils TRAIL-R1 as a master player of apoptosis induced

by TRAIL and ER stress. *Oncotarget* 2017, **8**(6)**:** 9974-9985.

59.   Lu M, Lawrence DA, Marsters S, Acosta-Alvear D, Kimmig P, Mendez AS*, et al.*

Opposing unfolded-protein-response signals converge on death receptor 5 to control

apoptosis. *Science* 2014, **345**(6192)**:** 98-101.

60.   Iurlaro R, Puschel F, Leon-Annicchiarico CL, O'Connor H, Martin SJ, Palou-Gramon D*,*

et al. Glucose Deprivation Induces ATF4-Mediated Apoptosis through TRAIL Death

Receptors. *Mol Cell Biol* 2017, **37**(10).

61.   van Raam BJ, Lacina T, Lindemann RK, Reiling JH. Secretory stressors induce

intracellular death receptor accumulation to control apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis* 2017,

8(10)**:** e3069.

62. Lam M, Marsters SA, Ashkenazi A, Walter P. Misfolded proteins bind and activate death

receptor 5 to trigger apoptosis during unresolved endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Elife*

2020, **9**.

63. Ramkumar P, Abarientos AB, Tian R, Seyler M, Leong JT, Chen M*, et al.* CRISPR-

based screens uncover determinants of immunotherapy response in multiple myeloma.

Blood Adv 2020, **4**(13)**:** 2899-2911.

64. Manzano M, Gunther T, Ju H, Nicholas J, Bartom ET, Grundhoff A*, et al.* Kaposi's

Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Drives a Super-Enhancer-Mediated Survival Gene

Expression Program in Primary Effusion Lymphoma. *mBio* 2020, **11**(4).

65. De Leo A, Chen HS, Hu CC, Lieberman PM. Deregulation of KSHV latency conformation

by ER-stress and caspase-dependent RAD21-cleavage. *PLoS Pathog* 2017, **13**(8)**:**

e1006596.

66. Johnston BP, Pringle ES, McCormick C. KSHV activates unfolded protein response

sensors but suppresses downstream transcriptional responses to support lytic

replication. *PLoS Pathog* 2019, **15**(12)**:** e1008185.

67. Azfer A, Niu J, Rogers LM, Adamski FM, Kolattukudy PE. Activation of endoplasmic

reticulum stress response during the development of ischemic heart disease. *Am J*

Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2006, **291**(3)**:** H1411-1420.

68.   Zhang Y, Zhang M, Wu J, Lei G, Li H. Transcriptional regulation of the Ufm1 conjugation

system in response to disturbance of the endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis and

inhibition of vesicle trafficking. *PLoS One* 2012, **7**(11)**:** e48587.

69.   Liu J, Wang Y, Song L, Zeng L, Yi W, Liu T*, et al.* A critical role of DDRGK1 in

endoplasmic reticulum homoeostasis via regulation of IRE1α stability. *Nature*

Communications 2017, **8**(1)**:** 14186.

70.   Hu X, Pang Q, Shen Q, Liu H, He J, Wang J*, et al.* Ubiquitin-fold modifier 1 inhibits

apoptosis by suppressing the endoplasmic reticulum stress response in Raw264.7 cells.

Int J Mol Med 2014, **33**(6)**:** 1539-1546.

71.   Tsherniak A, Vazquez F, Montgomery PG, Weir BA, Kryukov G, Cowley GS*, et al.*

Defining a Cancer Dependency Map. *Cell* 2017, **170**(3)**:** 564-576.e516.

72.   Zhu H, Bhatt B, Sivaprakasam S, Cai Y, Liu S, Kodeboyina SK*, et al.* Ufbp1 promotes

plasma cell development and ER expansion by modulating distinct branches of UPR.

Nature Communications 2019, **10**(1)**:** 1084.

73.   Hagelkruys A, Wirnsberger G, Stadlmann J, Wohner M, Horrer M, Vilagos B*, et al.* A

crucial role for Jagunal homolog 1 in humoral immunity and antibody glycosylation in

mice and humans. *J Exp Med* 2021, **218**(1).

74.   Nosak C, Silva PN, Sollazzo P, Moon KM, Odisho T, Foster LJ, *et al.* Jagn1 Is Induced

968   in Response to ER Stress and Regulates Proinsulin Biosynthesis. *PLoS One* 2016,

969   **11**(2)**:** e0149177.

971   75.   Charnaux N, Brule S, Hamon M, Chaigneau T, Saffar L, Prost C, *et al.* Syndecan-4 is a

972   signaling molecule for stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1)/ CXCL12. *Febs j* 2005,

973   **272**(8)**:** 1937-1951.

975   76.   Farzan M, Babcock GJ, Vasilieva N, Wright PL, Kiprilov E, Mirzabekov T, *et al.* The Role

976   of Post-translational Modifications of the CXCR4 Amino Terminus in Stromal-derived

977   Factor 1α Association and HIV-1 Entry*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2002, **277**(33)**:**

978   29484-29489.

980   77.   Kremer KN, Peterson KL, Schneider PA, Meng XW, Dai H, Hess AD, *et al.* CXCR4

981   chemokine receptor signaling induces apoptosis in acute myeloid leukemia cells via

982   regulation of the Bcl-2 family members Bcl-XL, Noxa, and Bak. *J Biol Chem* 2013,

983   **288**(32)**:** 22899-22914.

985   78.   Pham H, Kearns NA, Maehr R. Transcriptional Regulation with CRISPR/Cas9 Effectors

986   in Mammalian Cells. *Methods Mol Biol* 2016, **1358:** 43-57.

988   79.   Pelossof R, Fairchild L, Huang CH, Widmer C, Sreedharan VT, Sinha N, *et al.* Prediction

989   of potent shRNAs with a sequential classification algorithm. *Nat Biotechnol* 2017, **35**(4)**:**

990   350-353.

992   80.   Patil A, Manzano M, Gottwein E. CK1alpha and IRF4 are essential and independent

993   effectors of immunomodulatory drugs in primary effusion lymphoma. *Blood* 2018, **132**(6)**:**

994   577-586.

996   81.   Bogerd HP, Whisnant AW, Kennedy EM, Flores O, Cullen BR. Derivation and

997   characterization of Dicer- and microRNA-deficient human cells. *Rna* 2014, **20**(6)**:** 923-

998   937.

1000   82.   Martin M. CUTADAPT removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing

1001   reads. *EMBnetjournal* 2011, **17**.

1002

1003   83.   Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment

1004   of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology* 2009, **10**(3)**:** R25.

1005

1006   84.   Li W, Xu H, Xiao T, Cong L, Love MI, Zhang F*, et al.* MAGeCK enables robust

1007   identification of essential genes from genome-scale CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screens.

1008   *Genome Biol* 2014, **15**(12)**:** 554.

1009

1010   85.   Cock PJA, Antao T, Chang JT, Chapman BA, Cox CJ, Dalke A*, et al.* Biopython: freely

1011   available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics.

1012   *Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**(11)**:** 1422-1423.

1013

1014   86.   Clement K, Rees H, Canver MC, Gehrke JM, Farouni R, Hsu JY, *et al.* CRISPResso2

1015   provides accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. *Nature Biotechnology*

1016   2019, **37**(3)**:** 224-226.

1017

1018   87.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time

1019   quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 2001, **25**(4)**:** 402-408.

1020

1021   88.   Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D, *et al.*

1022   Author Correction: SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python.

1023   *Nature Methods* 2020, **17**(3)**:** 352-352.

1024

1025   89.   Waskom M. seaborn: statistical data visualization. *JOSS* 2021, **6**(60)**:** 3021.

1026

1027   90.   Zhou F, Shimoda M, Olney L, Lyu Y, Tran K, Jiang G, *et al.* Oncolytic Reactivation of

1028   KSHV as a Therapeutic Approach for Primary Effusion Lymphoma. *Mol Cancer Ther*

1029   2017, **16**(11)**:** 2627-2638.

1030

Figures

1032

Fig. 1 cFLIP is broadly essential in PEL cell lines. **A.** Top: Cell lysates from the indicated PEL
cell lines or KSHV-negative BJAB cells were analyzed by Western blotting for the indicated
proteins. The cFLIP splice variants cFLIP-L and cFLIP-S are marked. Bottom: Heatmap of
depletion for sgRNAs targeting the indicated genes, using data from Manzano, et al. 2018.
Lower FDR-adjusted *p*-values of depletion indicate significant sgRNA depletion in the screens,
suggesting essential or fitness roles. **B.** Cas9-expressing PEL cell lines were transduced with
the indicated sgRNAs at MOI 3 and selected with puromycin. Graphs show the endpoints (day
8-10) of cumulative cell growth curves relative to an sgRNA targeting the safe harbor locus
AAVS1 (see Material and Methods). sgRNAs targeting PSMD1 and IRF4 are controls for other
essential genes. Error bars represent SD (n = 4-6 independent repeats). For Western Blot
control, see Fig. S1C. **C.** BCBL-1/Cas9 expressing ZsGreen, sgRNA-resistant cFLIP-L, or
sgRNA-resistant cFLIP-S were challenged with the indicated sgRNAs. Error bars represent SD
(n = 3 independent repeats). For Western Blot control, see Fig. S1E. In panels B and C,
statistical significance for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1 was analyzed using one-
sided, one-sample t-testing (* denotes FDR-adjusted p <= 0.05), FDR-adjusted p-values are
listed in Table 6. Rescue in panel C was significant as determined using a one-sided,
independent two-sample t-test, with FDR-adjusted p-values listed in Table S6.

1050

1051

Fig. 2 cFLIP and KSHV vFLIP are functionally distinct in PEL cell lines. **A.** Schematic representation of human CASP8, the cFLIP-L/S splice variants, KSHV vFLIP, and the MCV FLIP proteins MC159L and MC160L. Also shown are the characterized activities of each protein towards CASP8/the extrinsic apoptosis pathway and the NF-κB pathway. **B.** BCBL-1/Cas9 expressing ZsGreen, KSHV vFLIP, MCV MC159L, or MCV MC160L were challenged with the indicated sgRNAs. Analyses of cumulative growth curve experiments on day 6 after sgRNA transduction are shown. Error bars represent SD (n = 3 independent repeats). For Western Blot controls, see Fig. S2. Statistical significance for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1 was analyzed using one-sided, one-sample t-testing (* denotes FDR-adjusted p <= 0.05). Rescue by expression of KSHV vFLIP and MCL159L was significant as determined using a one-sided, independent two-sample t-test. FDR-adjusted p-values are listed in Table S6. **C.** Extended growth curves for the ZsGreen and KSHV vFLIP data in panel B show that vFLIP rescue is significant, but not efficient. For details on statistical analysis see Table S6 and Material and Methods section.

Fig. 3. Genome-wide synthetic rescue screens uncover components of the death program repressed by cFLIP in PEL cells. **A.** Experimental design for CRISPR-based synthetic rescue screening. **B.** Results of the BCBL-1 resistance screens. Shown are the gene-level ranks output by MAGeCK-RRA based on library sgRNA enrichment after the toxic cFLIP shRNA challenge (y-axis) or the toxic cFLIP sgRNA-challenge (x-axis) relative to matched negative control perturbations. For clarity, only the top 500 hits in each screen are displayed. UFL1 was highlighted as a UFMylation pathway gene but does not pass our analysis cutoff. **C.** DAVID pathway analysis of the 23 high confidence hits obtained by intersecting the top 150 hits each from the BCBL-1 sgRNA and shRNA screens. Numbers at right show number of hits from each

pathway. For complete DAVID output, see Table S3. **D.** Diagram of canonical death signaling
via TRAIL through TRAIL receptors. Hits are colored as in panel B. **E.** Diagram of the
UFMylation and ER-associated translation pathways, screening hits are colored as in panel B.
UFM1 is cytoplasmic, but the UFM1 conjugation machinery is anchored on the cytoplasmic face
of the ER membrane by adaptors ODR4 and DDRGK1 (UFBP1). RPL26 is the main
characterized substrate for UFMylation and impacts translational pausing during ER-associated
degradation. The SRP complex is involved in protein translocation and initiates translational
pausing. **F.** Diagram of the Chondroitin sulfate (CS) proteoglycan synthesis pathway, screen
hits are colored as in panel B.

1085

Fig. 4 Cellular FLIP protects PEL cells from CASP8-induced cell death. **A.** BCBL-1/Cas9 cells
were transduced with the indicated sgRNAs, selected with hygromycin, and knockout of CASP8
was confirmed by Western blot. **B.** The cell lines from panel A were challenged with puromycin
resistant lentiviral sgRNA vectors at equal MOI and cumulative growth curve analyses were
performed as previously. Error bars represent SD from 3 independent repeats. For Western Blot
controls, see Fig. S4D. Statistical significance for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1
was analyzed using one-sided, one-sample t-testing (* denotes FDR-adjusted p <= 0.05).
Rescue by CASP8 KO was significant as determined using a one-sided, independent two-
sample t-test. FDR-adjusted p-values are listed in Table S6. **C.** Cells were challenged with
control or cFLIP sgRNAs as in panel B, but not selected with puromycin, and stained with
Annexin V 48 hours after transduction (n=2 independent repeats, error bars indicate range).

1099

Fig. 5. Cellular FLIP protects PEL cells from a ligand-independent, intracellular TRAIL-R1-mediated cell death program. **A.** BCBL-1/Cas9 cells were transduced with the indicated sgRNAs, selected with hygromycin, and knockout of TRAIL-R1 was confirmed by Western blot. **B.** Cell lines depicted in panel A were challenged with puromycin resistant lentiviral sgRNA vectors at equal MOI and cumulative growth curve analyses were performed as previously. Error bars represent SD from 3 independent repeats. For Western Blot control, see Fig. S5A. Statistical significance for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1 was analyzed using one-sided, one-sample t-testing (* denotes p<= 0.05). Rescue by TRAIL-R1 KO, but not TRAIL-R2 or TRAIL KO, was significant as determined using one-sided, independent two-sample t-tests. FDR-adjusted p-values are listed in Table S6. **C.** BC-3 or BCBL-1 cells were plated at 2×10^5 cells/mL, and supernatants or lysates were harvested 3 days later and used for anti-TRAIL ELISA assay. Known concentrations of recombinant human TRAIL confirm sensitivity down to the ~10 pg/mL range. **D.** Knockout cell lines were fixed in 4% FA and stained for TRAIL-R1 with or without permeabilization by saponin. Shown at left are unstained control cells. Quantification over 3 independent repeats can be found in Fig. S6C. **E.** Representative images to establish colocalization of TRAIL-R1 with itself, using a positive control antibody directed against a

different epitope, the ERGIC marker ERGIC53, the ER marker ERp72, and the Golgi marker
Giantin. TRAIL-R1 is shown in green with other markers in magenta to depict overlapping signal
as white. See Fig. S5E for results from a second ERGIC53 antibody and TRAIL-R1 KO cells.
Scale bar = 10µm. Mse and Rb indicate antibodies raised in mice or rabbits, respectively. **F.** 3D
colocalization of TRAIL-R1 with the indicated proteins was quantified using Pearson's
correlation coefficients (PCCs, n=3 independent repeats, except n=2 for mouse anti-ERGIC53).
Representative images are shown in Figs. 5E, S5D-E. We quantified an average of ~1800 cells
per replicate. Statistical significance of the difference from TRAIL-R1 self-colocalization
detected with two different TRAIL-R1-specific antibodies was determined by two-sided,
independent two-sample t-test (* <=0.05). All markers other than ERp72 and Giantin (indicated
by ns) were significantly less colocalized with TRAIL-R1 than TRAIL-R1 self-colocalization.

1127

Figure 6. Knockdown of genes that participate in UFMylation, the chondroitin sulfate synthesis
pathway, or of JAGN1 and CXCR4 overcome cFLIP dependency in PEL cells. **A.** Single-gene
knockout pools were generated as previously for two genes each in the UFMylation and
chondroitin sulfate biosynthesis pathway along with CXCR4 and JAGN1 and these cell lines
were used to perform cumulative growth curves following transduction with a second sgRNA as
previously. Statistical significance of rescue from cFLIP sg1 induced cell death was determined
using one-sided, independent two-sample t-tests (* denotes FDR-adjusted p <= 0.05). FDR-
adjusted p-values and statistical significance of loss of viability are listed in Table S6. Western
controls are in Fig. S6B. **B.** Single-gene KO pools were analyzed for TRAIL-R1 and pro-
caspase 8 expression by Western blotting.

1138