Cellular FLIP Protects KSHV-Transformed Lymphoma Cells from TRAIL-Independent TRAIL

Receptor 1-Mediated Cell Death

Neil Kuehnle[1], Mark Manzano[2], Eva Gottwein[1]

*Corresponding author, email: e-gottwein@northwestern.edu; phone: 312-503-3075

[1]Department of Microbiology-Immunology, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of

Medicine, Tarry 6-735, Chicago, Illinois, 60611, USA

[2]Current Address: Mark Manzano, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of

Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA.

Abstract

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) causes primary effusion lymphoma (PEL).

PEL cell lines require expression of the cellular FLICE inhibitory protein (cFLIP) for survival,

although KSHV encodes a viral homolog of this protein (vFLIP). Cellular and viral FLIP proteins

have several functions, including, most importantly, the inhibition of pro-apoptotic caspase 8 and

modulation of NF-κB signaling. To investigate the essential role of cFLIP and its potential

redundancy with vFLIP in PEL cells, we first performed rescue experiments with human or viral

FLIP proteins known to affect FLIP target pathways differently. The long and short isoforms of

cFLIP and molluscum contagiosum virus MC159L, which are all strong caspase 8 inhibitors,

efficiently rescued the loss of endogenous cFLIP activity in PEL cells. KSHV vFLIP was unable

to fully rescue the loss of endogenous cFLIP and is therefore functionally distinct. Next, we

employed genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 synthetic rescue screens to identify loss of function

perturbations that can compensate for cFLIP knockout. Results from these screens and our

validation experiments implicate the canonical cFLIP target caspase 8 and TRAIL receptor 1

(TRAIL-R1 or TNFRSF10A) in promoting constitutive death signaling in PEL cells. However, this

process was independent of TRAIL receptor 2 or TRAIL, the latter of which is not detectable in

PEL cell cultures. The requirement for cFLIP is also overcome by inactivation of the ER/Golgi

resident chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis and UFMylation pathways, Jagunal homolog

1 (JAGN1) or CXCR4. UFMylation and JAGN1, but not chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan

synthesis or CXCR4, contribute to TRAIL-R1 expression. In sum, our work shows that cFLIP is

required in PEL cells to inhibit ligand-independent TRAIL-R1 cell death signaling downstream of

a complex set of ER/Golgi-associated processes that have not previously been implicated in

cFLIP or TRAIL-R1 function.

Introduction

Primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) is a non-Hodgkin lymphoma comprised of KSHV-transformed

B cells that typically accumulate in body cavities[1, 2]. PEL is primarily seen in HIV/AIDS patients

and has a poor prognosis, with an average survival below two years[3]. Although the etiology of

PEL is incompletely understood, latently expressed KSHV oncoproteins are thought to play

essential roles in the KSHV-mediated transformation of PEL cells, including the latency-

associated nuclear antigen (LANA), viral interferon regulatory factor 3 (vIRF3), a KSHV-

encoded D type cyclin (vCyc), and viral FLICE-inhibitory protein (vFLIP). Indeed, cultured

patient derived PEL cell lines depend on the continued expression of several latency genes [4, 5].

vFLIP is considered a major candidate for a viral driver of KSHV-mediated B cell transformation

in PEL due to its well-documented ability to activate NF-κB survival signaling [6]. A previous study

by our lab explored the dependency of PEL cell lines on cellular genes using genome-wide

CRISPR knockout screens [7]. This study identified a surprising dependency on cellular FLIP

(cFLIP, encoded by *CFLAR*), despite the presence of the KSHV-encoded vFLIP locus. This

study furthermore showed that only two of eight tested PEL cell lines depended on genes

related to NF-κB signaling. These unexpected findings prompted us to further investigate the

role of cFLIP in the context of KSHV-transformed PEL cell lines and to differentiate it from that

of vFLIP.

Canonically, FLIP proteins act as dominant-negative inhibitors of caspase 8 (*CASP8*)-

induced apoptosis by preventing formation of the death-inducing signaling complex (DISC) or

directly inhibiting active CASP8[8, 9, 10, 11]. Non-canonical cFLIP activities have been identified,

including the regulation of NF-κB signaling[6, 12]. While KSHV vFLIP is a strong activator of NF-κB

signaling, it is currently unclear whether vFLIP is a potent inhibitor of CASP8 [13, 14, 15]. CASP8 is a

pro-apoptotic protein in the cysteine protease (caspase) family that typically acts as the initiator

caspase in the extrinsic apoptotic pathway induced by the death ligands tumor necrosis factor α

(TNFα), Fas ligand, or TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) (as reviewed in [16]). The

binding of these ligands to their specific death receptors initiates the formation of the death-

inducing signaling complex (DISC), containing Fas-associated death domain (FADD) protein

and inactive pro-CASP8. Autocatalytic cleavage of pro-CASP8 in DISC generates active

CASP8, which initiates apoptosis. However, additional cellular processes converge on CASP8

to regulate cell death, including necroptosis, pyroptosis, autophagy-dependent cell death, and

hyperactivation of the ER stress pathway/the unfolded protein response (UPR) [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

Here, we sought to clarify the respective roles of cFLIP and vFLIP in PEL. Our results

show that vFLIP is robustly expressed only in a subset of PEL cell lines, which also depend on

genes that function in NF-κB signaling. The tight correlation of vFLIP expression with NF-κB

dependency in PEL cell lines suggests that vFLIP functions in its well-established role as an

activator of the NF-κB pathway in PEL. vFLIP cannot fully compensate for the absence of

cFLIP, pointing to a non-redundant function of cFLIP in PEL cells. Using genetic screening and

validation experiments, we established that cFLIP is required to inhibit ligand-independent

constitutive death signaling by one of the TRAIL receptors, TRAIL-R1 (encoded by

TNFRSF10A).

Results

vFLIP expression is variable in PEL cell lines

We first examined the expression of human cFLIP and cyclin D2 and their viral homologs vFLIP

and vCyc across 8 PEL cell lines and the KSHV-negative B cell line BJAB. As a control, we

analyzed KSHV vIRF3, which is latently expressed in PEL from a distinct KSHV locus[4]. We

observed comparable expression of the major isoforms of cFLIP, cyclin D2, and KSHV vIRF3

across the PEL cell lines (Fig. 1A); In contrast, vFLIP and vCyc expression varied greatly, with

vFLIP expression detected only in BC-1 and BC-3. Importantly, these are the same two PEL cell

lines shown to depend on NF-κB signaling genes in our previous study of cellular gene

dependency in PEL cells (Fig. 1A, bottom)[7]. vCyc expression mirrored the expression of vFLIP,

as expected due to their bicistronic expression[23], with the surprising exception of BC-2. Serial

dilution of BC-3 lysates suggested that our Western protocol is sensitive enough to detect vFLIP

and vCyc expression levels that are ~20-50 fold below those of BC-3 (Fig. S1A, B). The

observed patterns of vFLIP expression and NF-κB dependency are consistent with the

published role of vFLIP in the activation of NF-κB survival signaling [6, 15, 24, 25, 26, 27], in the subset

of PEL cell lines where vFLIP is expressed.

cFLIP is broadly essential in PEL cell lines

We previously validated cFLIP dependency of the PEL cell line BCBL-1[7]. To establish cFLIP

dependency more broadly across PEL cell lines, we performed single guide RNA (sgRNA)-

induced functional inactivation (KO) of cFLIP followed by cumulative growth curve analysis

relative to an sgRNA that targets a safe harbor locus (sgAAVS1) in BCBL-1/Cas9 and 4

additional Cas9-expressing PEL cell lines (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1C). The cFLIP sgRNAs lack

homology to vFLIP and did not affect vFLIP expression in BC-3 cells (Fig. S1D). For BC-1,

which did not show significant depletion of cFLIP-specific sgRNAs in our screens (Fig. 1A, [7]),

this experiment was done in a Cas9 cell clone with improved gene editing compared to the

parental pool. Results showed that in addition to BCBL-1, BC-1, BC-2, and BC-5 require cFLIP

for viability. Thus, BC-1 was false negative for cFLIP dependency in our CRISPR screens. In

contrast, cFLIP single gene KO did not significantly affect the viability of BC-3 cells. Cell death

following cFLIP KO in BCBL-1/Cas9 cells was rescued by lentiviral re-expression of either major

cFLIP isoform (cFLIP-L and S; Figs. 1C, S1E). These data collectively confirm that cFLIP is

essential in the majority of PEL cell lines. The essentiality of cFLIP in BC-1 furthermore

suggests that expression of vFLIP may not be sufficient to overcome the requirement for cFLIP.

cFLIP and vFLIP have distinct roles in PEL cell lines

To further investigate whether vFLIP and cFLIP are redundant, we tested if KSHV vFLIP can

rescue cells from cell death after cFLIP KO. We additionally tested the viral FLIP proteins

encoded by molluscum contagiosum virus (MCV), MC159L or MC160L, given that KSHV vFLIP

and MCV MC159L and MC160L each have distinct functional activities (Fig. 2A). While either

major isoform of cFLIP can inhibit CASP8 and activate NF-κB signaling in ectopic contexts [12, 28,

29, 30], reports of KSHV vFLIP's ability to strongly inhibit CASP8 are mixed or ambiguous.

Conversely, MCV MC159L and MC160L both repress NF-κB signaling and only MC159L

inhibits CASP8 [8, 31, 32, 33]. Thus, this approach allows us to assess the redundancy of vFLIP with

cFLIP and the importance of NF-κB activation and CASP8 inhibition for cFLIP dependency.

Interestingly, we observed only partial rescue of cFLIP dependency after overexpression

of KSHV vFLIP (Figs. 2B, C, S2A). In contrast, overexpression of MC159L conferred complete

rescue, while overexpression of MC160L had no effect. Expression of each of the viral FLIP

proteins was comparable to overexpressed cFLIP and did not affect the expression of

endogenous cFLIP (Figs. S2A, B). KSHV vFLIP was furthermore overexpressed well above

levels of the endogenous viral protein in BC-3 (Fig. S2C). Thus, the inability of vFLIP to

efficiently rescue cFLIP expression is not due to lower expression relative to cFLIP or

endogenous vFLIP. Collectively, these results suggest that FLIP proteins that are known potent

inhibitors of CASP8 (cFLIP and MC159L), but not KSHV vFLIP, can efficiently rescue cFLIP

dependency in PEL cells. Therefore, the essential role of cFLIP in PEL cells is substantially

distinct from that of vFLIP.

Genome-wide rescue screens uncover an ER/Golgi/CASP8-dependent death signaling

program repressed by cFLIP

cFLIP has a well-characterized role in protecting cells from the effects of cell death ligands of

the extrinsic apoptosis pathway [9, 11]. Indeed, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-immortalized

lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) depend on cFLIP expression for protection from autocrine TNF-

α signaling [34]. TNF-α is not expressed in PEL cell lines (Fig. S3), however. We therefore sought

to identify mechanisms underlying cFLIP dependency of PEL cell lines in genome-wide

CRISPR/Cas9 rescue screens, outlined in Fig. 3A. In these screens, sgRNAs targeting genes

whose inactivation overcomes cFLIP dependency should be enriched after toxic cFLIP

inactivation and may represent putative components of the cell death process that is inhibited by

cFLIP in PEL cells. We initially chose the background of BCBL-1/Cas9, which has strong cFLIP

dependency and excellent CRISPR/Cas9 editing efficiency. Briefly, we transduced BCBL-

1/Cas9 with the genome-wide Brunello sgRNA library [35] and passaged the cell population to

allow dropout of sgRNAs targeting essential genes. We next challenged the resulting cell pool

with another sgRNA vector targeting either cFLIP or the AAVS1 safe harbor locus and further

passaged the culture until a cFLIP-sgRNA resistant population was obtained (Fig. S4A). In an

independent experiment, we used three separate shRNAs for toxic cFLIP knock-down alongside

two negative control shRNAs targeting Renilla luciferase (RLuc) (Fig. S4B). We finally repeated

the sg-cFLIP resistance screen in BC-2, which has robust cFLIP dependency (Fig. 1A, B). In

each case, resulting cell pools were subjected to next generation sequencing of the Brunello

sgRNA inserts and sgRNA composition was analyzed using MAGeCK's robust ranked

aggregation (RRA) (Table S1). Independent shRNAs targeting either cFLIP or RLuc were

treated as replicates in this analysis. Results from the BCBL-1/Cas9 screens were more robust

than those from the BC-2 screen, which was noisy, most likely due to relatively poor editing in

the BC-2 Cas9 cell pool (Fig. S4C). Within BCBL-1, top hits from the shRNA-based challenge

were statistically more significant but had less dramatic sgRNA fold-changes (Fig. S4C). This is

likely due to the inclusion of three different shRNAs targeting cFLIP, each resulting in less

complete toxicity than the single highly efficient cFLIP sgRNA (Fig. S4A, B). To account for

these differences, we initially compared the BCBL-1 sgRNA and shRNA screens using a rank-

based filtering approach. Intersecting the top 150 hits by RRA score from the sgRNA and

shRNA challenge in BCBL-1 yielded 23 high-confidence hits (Fig. 3B, Table S2).

DAVID pathway analysis [36] shows that these high confidence hits function in three main

pathways (Table S3, Fig. 3C): the extrinsic apoptosis pathway (including CASP8, FADD, and

TNFRSF10A, encoding TRAIL receptor 1, Fig. 3D), protein modification by the ubiquitin-like

modifier UFM1 (UFMylation, Fig. 3E), and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis (Fig. 3F).

Hits were furthermore enriched for genes associated with the ER and Golgi cellular

compartments (Fig. 3C). Specifically, TRAIL-R1 and CXCR4 are transmembrane receptors

which traffic through the ER-Golgi network. The UFMylation pathway mediates ubiquitin-fold

modified 1 (UFM1) conjugation to lysine residues of target proteins at the cytoplasmic surface of

the ER membrane [37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. SRP68 and SRP72 are components of the signal

recognition peptide (SRP) complex, which cooperates with the SEC61A translocon to aid

insertion of nascent transmembrane proteins into the ER [44, 45, 46] (Fig. 3E). The SRP is involved

in translational halting during membrane insertion [46, 47]. ER translocation of translationally

paused nascent peptides requires UFMylation of the 60S ribosomal protein L26 (RPL26), which

has been proposed as the primary target of UFM1 modification [48, 49]. Nine of the 23 high

confidence hits participate in the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis pathway (Fig. 3F), a

process that occurs in the Golgi apparatus and involves the addition of chondroitin sulfate

moieties to transmembrane proteins [50]. Jagunal homolog 1 (JAGN1) is required for vesicular

transport from the ER or Golgi, although the exact step JAGN1 participates in is unclear [51].

Lastly, EHMT2 is a histone methyltransferase which has been implicated in the epigenetic

regulation of the UPR and/or ER homeostasis [52, 53]. A subset of these genes also scored in the

top 150 hits of the BC-2 screen, including CASP8 and TNFRSF10A (extrinsic apoptosis), UBA5

and UFSP2 (UFMylation), and UXS1, B4GALT7, CHST15, CSGALNACT1 (chondroitin sulfate

proteoglycan synthesis), thereby confirming these genetic interactions of cFLIP in a second PEL

cell line (Tables S1 and S2).

Consistent with our screening results, BCBL-1 CASP8 KO pools derived using two

different sgRNAs were completely protected from cell death after subsequent cFLIP sgRNA

challenge (Figs. 4; Fig. S4D). These results confirm that our screens capture CASP8 as a key

component of the cell death pathway that necessitates cFLIP expression in PEL cells.

cFLIP protects PEL cells from ligand-independent TRAIL-R1-induced cell death

In addition to *CASP8, TNFRSF10A,* encoding TRAIL receptor 1 (TRAIL-R1/DR4) scored highly

in our screens. Interestingly, neither the ligand for TRAIL-R1 (i.e. *TNFSF10* encoding TRAIL)

nor *TNFRSF10B*, encoding TRAIL receptor 2 (TRAIL-R2/DR5) or other death receptors were

hits in our screens (Table S1). As for CASP8, we generated TRAIL-R1 KO pools using two

independent sgRNAs (Fig. 5A). Cumulative growth curve analyses following subsequent cFLIP

KO confirmed that TRAIL-R1-deficient cells no longer require cFLIP expression for viability (Fig.

5B, Fig. S5A). TRAIL (ligand) mRNA is not well expressed in RNA-seq data from three different

PEL cell lines, including BCBL-1 (Fig S3). Indeed, TRAIL ELISA on cellular supernatants or

lysates of BCBL-1 and BC-3 cells failed to detect secreted or intracellular TRAIL [54, 55] in either

cell line (Fig. 5C). This assay detected levels of recombinant TRAIL well below the IC50 of

"TRAIL-sensitive" cell lines within the literature [56]. BCBL-1 cells are furthermore TRAIL-resistant,

even to hyper-physiological concentrations as high as 10 μg/mL (Fig. S5B). As additional

controls, we generated TRAIL and TRAIL-R2 KO pools. While NGS-based sequencing of the

targeted loci to characterize indel rates within our KO pools showed that >95% of each locus

contains inactivating indels after editing (Table S4), genetic disruption of neither locus affected

BCBL-1 dependency on cFLIP (Figs. 5B, S5A).

Ligand-independent activation of TRAIL-R2, and in some cases TRAIL-R1, has previously been

described within the context of ER stress-induced cell death[22, 57, 58, 59, 60]. Ligand independent

activation of TRAIL-R1 may furthermore be important in the context of secretory/Golgi stressors

[61]. Ligand independent signaling of TRAIL receptors follows their intracellular accumulation

rather than surface expression [61, 62]. Similarly, TRAIL-R1 in BCBL-1 is exclusively expressed

intracellularly in PEL cells (Figs. 5D, S5C). Based on these findings, we conclude that cFLIP

protects PEL cells from an intracellular, ligand-independent, TRAIL-R1-mediated cell death

program.

UFMylation and JAGN1 promote TRAIL-R1 expression in PEL cells

To extend validation of the resistance screens beyond TRAIL-R1 and CASP8, we chose two

hits from the UFMylation pathway (UFM1, DDRGK1), two genes from the chondroitin sulfate

proteoglycan synthesis pathway (UGDH, CHST15), JAGN1, and CXCR4 for single gene KO by

two independent sgRNAs each. Generation of an SRP68 KO cell line failed due to the

essentiality of this gene in PEL cells, which was unsurprising, since all six subunits of the full

SRP complex are pan-essential based on data from the Cancer Dependency Map (Table S2).

Regardless, we speculate that the screens correctly captured a biologically relevant connection

to the SRP, due to the functional link between the SRP and UFMylation of RPL26. The

essentiality of the SRP complex likely also explains why only 2 of 6 SPR complex members

scored in our screen (Fig. 3B). We did not attempt generating an EHMT2 KO cell pool, since

EHMT2 is also pan-essential and likely essential in PEL cells[7]. Western Blot analysis confirmed

disruption of UFMylation in UFM1 KO pools (Fig. S6A). Interestingly, DDRGK1 KO did not

reduce the most prominent UFM1-modified bands, suggesting that these represent upstream

UFMylation pathway intermediates and/or other DDRGK1-independent UFMylation events. We

furthermore confirmed robust editing in all cell pools by indel sequencing (Table S4). We

obtained significant rescue from lethal cFLIP KO challenge for every hit, as predicted by our

screens (Fig. 6A, Fig. S6B). UFMylation (UFM1 and DDRGK1) and JAGN1 were furthermore

required for expression of TRAIL-R1, a type I transmembrane protein that contains an ER signal

peptide (Fig. 6B). In contrast, KO of CXCR4 and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis

pathway genes (UGDH, CHST15) did not affect total TRAIL-R1 or CASP8 expression (Fig. 6B).

Furthermore, none of the KOs we tested led to a redistribution of TRAIL-R1 to the cell surface

from its typical intracellular location in PEL cells, in contrast to the recently reported

redistribution of TNFRSF17 after SEC61A inhibition by others (Fig. S6C) [63]. In sum, our data

suggest that UFMylation and JAGN1 are required for TRAIL-R1 expression, while CXCR4 and

the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis pathway may promote TRAIL-R1 signaling or

affect cFLIP essentiality by other mechanisms.

Discussion

Here we have distinguished the roles of KSHV vFLIP and human cFLIP in KSHV-transformed

PEL cell lines. While cFLIP is required for its canonical function to inhibit CASP8 downstream of

a death receptor signal, vFLIP was surprisingly not detected in all PEL cell lines and could not

fully compensate for loss of cFLIP expression. Moreover, detectable vFLIP expression

correlated with requirements for NF-κB-related genes, consistent with the well characterized

role of KSHV vFLIP as an activator of NF-κB signaling. Thus, our data demonstrate that vFLIP

and cFLIP have only limited functional overlap in a physiological context. Our results suggest

that vFLIP has either low affinity to CASP8 or cannot effectively inhibit CASP8 once bound.

Since we achieved very high levels of vFLIP overexpression in our rescue experiments, vFLIP

sequestration from CASP8 by NEMO is less likely, although possible.

We identified TRAIL-R1 as the critical death receptor that triggers CASP8-induced cell death in

the absence of cFLIP. Unlike in EBV-transformed LCLs [34], however, this process appears

entirely ligand-independent. Interestingly, such a ligand-independent process has been

described in response to ER stress-induced cell death, mainly for TRAIL-R2. A ligand

independent role for TRAIL-R1 has also been reported, but primarily in the context of Golgi

and/or secretory stressors, rather than general ER stress [22, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61]. Ligand independent

cell death signaling by TRAIL receptors involves their intracellular accumulation and, similarly,

TRAIL-R1 is detected exclusively intracellularly in PEL cells. As reported in cells treated with

Golgi stressors [61], we observe no involvement of TRAIL-R2. Importantly, however, our results

were obtained without the addition of ER/Golgi stress inducing agents and instead represent a

constitutively active TRAIL-R1 death signaling process in robustly growing cells. Importantly,

PEL cells are of post-germinal center B cell origin and terminal B cell differentiation is typically

accompanied by a broad expansion and alteration of the ER and Golgi. However, PEL cell lines

typically lack B cell surface marker and immunoglobulin expression and the status of the

ER/Golgi in PEL cell lines has not been investigated in depth. Interestingly, KSHV has been

reported to activate the UPR and dampen its downstream transcriptional response during lytic

reactivation and ER stress has been shown to trigger KSHV reactivation in latently infected cells

[64, 65].

In addition to TRAIL-R1, our work has implicated several other ER/Golgi resident processes in

cFLIP dependency. High confidence hits in our cFLIP resistance screens included most genes

of the UFMylation pathway. Inactivation of UFM1 or DDRGK1 reduced TRAIL-R1 expression,

which is likely to explain their detection in our screens. Compared to ubiquitin, UFM1 modifies

only few proteins. The 60S ribosomal subunit RPL26 has been proposed as the primary UFM1

target, where UFM1 modification promotes the processing of translationally stalled polypeptides

at the ER [48, 49]. While we did not directly test the role of UFM1 or RPL26 in the translation of

TRAIL-R1, UFMylation of RPL26 may explain the requirement for UFM1/DDRGK1 for TRAIL-R1

expression. UFMylation is induced in response to cellular stressors, directing nascent peptides

to the ER for degradation [40, 48, 49, 66, 67] and, accordingly, disruption of UFMylation has been

reported to induce ER stress and increase cell death [66, 68, 69]. Of note, genes in the UFMylation

pathway do not score as essential in our original PEL screens and their essentiality within the

Cancer Dependency Map is mixed [7, 70]. Thus, it is plausible that UFMylation could similarly allow

translation of pro-apoptotic proteins, like TRAIL-R1, to proceed. A varied requirement for

UFMylation for cellular survival is further supported by findings that deletion of DDRGK1 in

mouse plasma cells did not result in increased cell death [71].

Like UFMylation, we observed that JAGN1 promotes expression of TRAIL-R1. While little is

known about this gene, JAGN1 has been shown to participate in the secretory pathway in

myeloid cells and antibody-producing B cells [51, 72]. As with UFMylation, JAGN1 is increased in

response to ER stress and its depletion has been linked to increased apoptosis in granulocytes

[51, 73]. Importantly, our PEL CRISPR screens and establishment of a JAGN1 KO cell line suggest

that JAGN1 is non-essential in PEL cells. Similarly, the Cancer Dependency Map indicates that

JAGN1 is not broadly required for cellular survival [7, 70].

Finally, our data suggest that chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan biosynthesis and CXCR4 are

involved in the ligand-independent activity of TRAIL-R1. Since inactivation of neither pathway

affected TRAIL-R1 expression or cause re-distribution of TRAIL-R1 to the cell surface, the exact

mechanism underlying their link to TRAIL-R1 signaling and cFLIP dependency remains unclear.

Interestingly, both CXCR4 and its primary ligand, CXCL12, have been identified as targets of

chondroitin sulfate moieties, although the roles of these modifications remain unclear [74, 75].

Additionally, there is a report of CXCR4-induced apoptosis, but this process was independent of

CASP8 and could not be inhibited by MC159L, unlike the TRAIL-R1 dependent process that is

inhibited by cFLIP in PEL cells [76].

In sum, our data strongly support a role for TRAIL-R1 in incorporating multiple ER/Golgi-

associated signals in PEL cells into a constitutive, ligand-independent cell death process that

must be inhibited by cFLIP to allow for cellular viability.

Materials and Methods

Cloning

Sequences for oligonucleotides and synthetic DNA fragments can be found in Supplementary

Table 5A and 5B. All new vectors were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (ACGT, Inc.).

pLenti SpBsmBI sgRNA Hygro (Addgene, 62205) constructs were cloned by ligating annealed

oligonucleotides specified in Supplemental Table 5C with the BsmBI-digested vector [77].

For rescue experiments, human C-terminally 3X-FLAG tagged FLIP long and short isoforms and

KSHV vFLIP were ordered as codon-optimized dsDNA gene fragment blocks (Integrated DNA

Technologies). This initial codon optimization introduced resistance to cFLIP sg1, while cFLIP

sg2 resistance was introduced via two overlapping PCRs centered on the sg2 target site using

the synthetic gene fragment as a template (primers 4672/4673 and 4674/4323). PCR products

were then inserted between the AgeI and BamHI sites of vector pLC-IRES-HYG [78] using Gibson

assembly. KSHV vFLIP was cloned from a codon-optimized gene fragment block containing the

entire vCyclin/vFLIP locus using primers 4317/4318 and Gibson assembled with 3X-FLAG PCR

products amplified from the cFLIP-S gene fragment (primers 4319/4323). PCR products

containing MC159L (primers 4338/4339) and MC160L (primers 4340/4341) were amplified from

pBabe-based vectors kindly provided by Dr. Joanna Shisler (UIUC) and Gibson assembled with

3X-FLAG PCR products amplified from the cFLIP-S gene fragment (primers 4342/4323 for

MC159L or 4343/4323 for MC160L).

vCyc and vFLIP expression constructs for transfection into 293T in Fig. S1A were cloned into

pMSCVpuro (Takara, Catalog # 631461) by PCR, using primers 3646/3647 (vCyc) and

3648/3649 (vFLIP), followed by Gibson assembly with the EcoRI/XhoI digested vector.

LentiGuide-Puro expressing cFLIP sg2 was cloned by ligation of annealed oligos 4532/4533

with BsmBI-linearized vector as described [7].

The parental shR-miR expression vector pZIP-ZsGreen-T2A-Hyg-shNT4 was cloned by

replacing the EcoRI-IRES-PuroR-NotI cassette of shERWOOD-UltramiR Lentiviral shRNA NT

control #4 in pZIP-hCMV-ZsGreen-Puro (Transomic) with a PCR product containing a T2A-

HygR cassette that was amplified using primers 3551/3552 and template lenti MS2-P65-

HSF1_Hygro (Addgene Plasmid #61426). The initial control insert shRNA NT control #4 was

replaced by digesting pZIP-ZsGreen-T2A-Hyg-shNT4 with NotI and MluI and inserting miR-30-

based shRNAs for cFLIP (sh1, shM, shN) or negative control shRNAs targeting Renilla

Luciferase (REN.308 and Ren.713) using Gibson Assembly. These shRNAs were designed

using SplashRNA and/or previously published [79]. dsDNA fragments specified in Table S5 were

ordered and used for Gibson Assembly, either directly (shM, N) or after PCR-amplification with

primers 4594/4607 (sh1, Ren.308, Ren.713).

Western blotting

Cells were pelleted, washed in ice-cold PBS, in some cases stored at -80°C, and lysed for 30

minutes in ice-cold RIPA buffer containing 1X protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog

P8340). Lysates were then subjected to five cycles (30 seconds on/30 seconds off) of

sonication at 4 °C on high intensity in a Bioruptor Sonication System (Diagenode) and

subsequently cleared by centrifugation at 14,000g for 15 minutes. Lysates were diluted 5 to 10-

fold and quantified by Pierce BCA Protein Assay (ThermoFisher Scientific, 23225). Lysates

were denatured by heating at 70°C for 10 minutes 1X LDS buffer (ThermoFisher, NP0008)

containing a final concentration of 2.5% beta mercaptoethanol and loaded onto Bolt 4-12% Bis-

Tris gels (ThermoFisher Scientific; NW0412) at equivalent concentrations (15-20 µg for cFLIP

knockout validation based on available lysates; 50 µg for vCyc/vFLIP, and 20-30 µg for all other

proteins). SDS-PAGE was performed in 1X MES buffer and proteins were transferred onto

0.2 µm nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, 1620112).

Membranes were blocked at room temperature for 1 hour and probed overnight at 4 °C with

primary antibodies at the concentrations indicated in Table S5D. Images were captured on an

Odyssey FC (LI-COR Biosciences) after incubation with IRDye 800CW secondary antibodies

(LI-COR Biosciences) at a 1:15000 concentration. In some cases, anti-rat HRP (Santa Cruz) at

a 1:5000 concentration was used for detection and developed using SuperSignal West Femto

Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific, 34094) following manufacturer

protocol. Contrast/brightness was dynamically adjusted as needed using ImageStudio. Bands

were quantified in ImageStudio Lite version 5.2 (LI-COR Biosciences). Original Western Data

are included as one supplemental file.

Cell culture

PEL and/or BJAB cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 with L-glutamine (Corning,

MT10040CM) supplemented with 10% (BC-1, BCBL-1) or 20% (BC-2, BC-3, BC-5, BJAB)

Serum Plus-II (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number 14009C, lots 15H243 and 21C421), 10 µg/mL

gentamycin (ThermoFisher, 15710064) and 0.05 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich,

M3148-25ML). HEK293T cells were maintained in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, D5796)

supplemented with 10% Serum Plus-II and 10 mg/mL gentamycin. PEL cells were counted by

trypan exclusion and split every second day to approximately $2x10^5$ cells/mL (or $3x10^5$ for BC-

5). 293T cells were maintained at visually sub-confluent densities and split every 2 days. PEL

cell lines expressing lentiCas9-Blast (Addgene, 52962) were previously generated and validated

by STR profiling [7]. The BC-1/Cas9 clonal cell line utilized in Fig. 1B was subcloned and selected

for editing efficiency compared to the parental Cas9 BC-1 pool utilized in the screens. This BC-

1/Cas9 clone was re-confirmed by STR profiling.

For cellular growth curves and functional titration of lentivirus other than pZIP-based vectors

(see below), cells were counted by flow cytometry using spike-in of a known amount of

AccuCount Blank Particles 5-5.9 μm (Spherotech, ACBP-50-10) as previously described [7].

Cumulative cellular growth curves

Importantly, all lentiviral vectors used for growth curves analyses were titrated in naïve parental

cell lines to ensure comparable titers between control sgRNAs and toxic sgRNAs. For growth

curves, cells were plated at a density of $3x10^5$ cells/mL and transduced at the MOIs indicated in

the text or figure legends. Medium was exchanged one day after transduction and cells were

selected with 1.2 μg /ml puromycin for 2 days; 200 μg /ml hygromycin for 3 days (titrations) or 5-

7 days (stable cell line production). Every second day, cells were counted by flow cytometry as

described above and then split to a density of $2x10^5$ cells/ml. This process was repeated until

cells that had received toxic sgRNAs were too sparse to split or for up to 10 days. Cumulative

cell counts were calculated by taking the measured cell concentration at a given timepoint

multiplied by the product of all previous dilution factors and normalized to cumulative cell counts

obtained for the sgAAVS1 control.

Statistical testing for reduced cellular viability was performed using one-sided, one-sample t-

testing (H_0: P(μ=1) with H_A: P(μ<1)). For rescue growth curve experiments (i.e. in the context of

ectopic FLIP expression or a knockout cell pool) an additional one-sided, independent two-

sample t-test was performed, comparing the relative cumulative cell counts for each guide

between the experimental cell line and the control line (i.e. sgAAVS1-Hygro or ZsGreen-Hygro).

In the case of ZsGreen or KSHV vFLIP expression for rescue experiments, where n= 3-6 was

obtained for up to day 10 (Fig. 2C), ordinary least squares regression lines were fit. Cumulative

cell counts relative to cell-line matched sgAAVS1 were used as the singular dependent variable

with one or two predictor variables. To measure depletion, data was subset on the indicated cell

line (ZsGreen or vFLIP expression) and sgRNA (sgPSM1 or cFLIP sg1) with the timepoint (>0

days after transduction) as a singular predictor variable. To measure rescue, data was subset

on a particular sgRNA (sgPSMD1 or cFLIP sg1) with the cell line (ZsGreen or vFLIP) as an

additional categorical predictor variable besides the timepoint (2-8 days post-transduction). A

one-sided t-test was then used to determine whether the coefficients for day (depletion) or cell

line (rescue) were < or > 0, respectively.

Lentiviral production, infection, and titration

Except for pZIP-based vectors, lentivirus was produced using packaging plasmids psPAX2,

pMD2.G, and transfer vectors at 1.3:0.72:1.64 molar ratios. HEK293T Cells were seeded at a

density of 1.25×10^7 per 15-cm dish and transfected with 30 µg of DNA using a 1:3 to 1:3.5 ratio

of µg of DNA to µL PEI. Media were replaced after ~6 hours and cell supernatants containing

viral vectors were collected 48-72 hours later and filtered through 450nm pore size filters. Viral

vector preparations were used as is or concentrated by ultracentrifugation or using Amicon Ultra

Centrifugal Filter Units (Millipore, UFC910024) following manufacturer protocol. In some cases,

lentivirus was stored at -80°C.

Lentiviral vector titers were measured using functional titration of serially diluted viral stocks.

The multiplicity of infection (MOI) of each dilution point was estimated using the percentage of

live cells relative to an untreated control and a resulting viral concentration (transducing

units/mL) was calculated from the average of multiple dilution points assuming a Poisson

distribution, $P(x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda x}}{x!}$, to estimate the mean event rate λ (MOI) assuming P(x=0) is the

percentage of uninfected cells.

Transductions were carried out at 3x10^5 cells/ml and the indicated MOIs under normal culture

conditions supplemented with 5 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich, TR-1003-G). Culture medium

was replaced ~24 hours after transduction and antibiotic resistance selection was carried out

using 1.2 µg/ml puromycin for 2 days; 200 µg /ml hygromycin for 3 days (titrations) or 5-7 days

(stable cell line production and CRISPR screens).

Procedures for pZIP-ZsGreen-T2A-Hygro shRNA vectors are described below.

CRISPR-based single-gene knockout

CRISPR knockout was achieved by transduction of published Cas9-expressing cell pools or

clones and published lentiviral single guide RNA constructs for AAVS1, PSMD1 sg1, IRF4 sg1,

cFLIP sg1. A new cFLIP sg2 was cloned for this paper since sg2 from the previous study

performed sub-optimally. The new cFLIP sg2 corresponds to sgRNA 2 (by rule set 2 score, on

target activity) from the Brunello library. sgRNAs in pLentiGuide-Puro (Addgene, 52963) were

used for cumulative growth curve knockout, while long-term single-gene knockout cell lines

were generated using sgRNAs in pLenti SpBsmBI sgRNA Hygro (Addgene, 62205) containing a

hygromycin resistance cassette. Sequences of all sgRNAs can be found in Table S5C.

CRISPR-based synthetic rescue screens with sgRNA challenge

Library virus was generated and titrated as described above using the Brunello sgRNA pool

library in lentiGuide-Puro (Addgene, 73178). In all cases, approximately 9.2×10^7 to 1.5×10^8 cells

were transduced at an MOI of 0.3 to result in a single sgRNA per cell at a coverage of 300-500X

cells per guide. Transduced cells were selected using 1.2 μg/ml puromycin, and dropout was

allowed to proceed for 12 days with at least 3.6×10^7 cells maintained to preserve library

complexity.

The resulting cell pool was then challenged with either cFLIP sg1 or the negative control

sgAAVS1 in pLenti SpBsmBI sgRNA Hygro at an MOI < 0.5. Cells were selected with

hygromycin and cultured until resistance to cFLIP knockout was apparent by cellular

proliferation, i.e. until day 12 after sgRNA transduction. At this point, approximately $3-7 \times 10^8$ cells

(500-1000X coverage) were harvested, washed in ice-cold PBS, and snap frozen.

shRNA-based synthetic rescue screens

pZIP transfer vectors were packaged in Lenti-X 293T cells (Takara) using molar ratios of 0.2

transfer vector to 0.51 psPax2 to 0.29 pMD2.G. Briefly, 2.1×10^7 pLenti-X 293T cells/15 cm dish

were transfected with ~48.5 μg total plasmid and supernatant was harvested 48 hours later

without replacing media. Supernatants were filtered through 450nm pore size filters and

concentrated by ultracentrifugation (Beckman SW32Ti, 25,000 rpm, 90 min), resuspend in

OptiMEM on a platform shaker for at least 60 min at 4°C and followed by pipetting up and down

20 times, aliquoted, and snap frozen at -80°C. Virus aliquots were thawed at 37°C and cleared

by centrifugation at 400 g for 5 min before use. Titration of the negative control vectors was

performed based on ZsGreen expression and flow cytometry in BCBL1/Cas9 clone C2. Titration

of the toxic cFLIP shRNAs was performed in 293T cells lacking Dicer (293T/NoDice) [80], relative

to a negative control with known titer in BCBL1/Cas9.

BCBL1/Cas9 Brunello library transduction was performed at MOI 0.3 in eleven 15 cm dishes at

$5x10^5$ cells/ml and 50ml/dish, ~920x theoretical sgRNA coverage. Cells were selected using 1.2

μg/ml puromycin from days 1 to 4 after transduction and expanded. On Day 9 after Brunello

transduction, seven 15 cm dishes of cells ($3x10^5$ cells/ml and 50 ml/dish) were challenged with

each shRNA vector at MOI 0.5. The next day, cells were collected by centrifugation and

resuspended in fresh medium containing 200μg/ml Hygromycin. Cell pools were maintained at

coverage and optimal cell density with hygromycin for one week and at least $4x10^7$

cells/condition were harvested on day 12 into the shRNA challenge.

CRISPR library preparation and next-generation sequencing

Genomic DNA was isolated following a previously described protocol and library preparation

was performed as previously described for sgRNA and shRNA screens in BCBL-1 [7]. Resulting

libraries were quantified by Qubit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Q33231) prior to further QC and

quantification by Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and Kapa qPCR (Roche). Multiplexing of sgRNA

challenge screens in BCBL-1 was performed utilizing 6-bp indexes and sequenced on a single

lane of a HiSeq4000 (Illumina) using 50bp single-end (SE) reads with 10% PhiX spike-in as

previously described [7]. For sgRNA challenge screens in BC-2, sequencing was performed on a

single flow-cell of an NextSeq 500 (Illumina) using 75bp SE reads with 10% PhiX spike-in due to

the discontinuation of the HiSeq4000 platform. For these runs, library preparation was modified

slightly to combine genomic amplification and addition of adapters/barcode into a single PCR

step with custom 8bp indexes (sequences can be found in Supplementary Table 5E). The

shRNA-based screens were prepped and sequenced twice as a quality control using both

approaches/platforms and reads were subsequently merged for further analysis. Demultiplexed

reads have been deposited on GEO (accession GSE210445).

5' adapters were trimmed from raw CRISPR sequencing reads and trimmed to length (20 bp)

from the 3' end using Cutadapt v4.1 [81]. Reads were then aligned using Bowtie v1.1.2 9 [82].

Guide-level counts were obtained using MAGeCK v0.5.9 and statistical testing was performed

using MAGeCK's robust rank aggregation (RRA) algorithm using median normalization [83].

CRISPR Knockout Pools Indel Sequencing

A 200-300 bp region around each indicated guide was amplified from genomic DNA using the

primers indicated in Supplemental Table 5F and sent to Massachusetts General Hospital CCIB

DNA Core for low depth sequencing. Raw FASTQ files were assembled into contigs by MGH

and the resulting effect on the coding sequence was determined using custom alignment and

classification script built using Biopython [84] to estimate indel, frameshift, and early stopping

incidence. Briefly, assembled contigs were aligned to the coding sequences targeted by each

amplicon to determine optimal positioning of the contig in reference to the full coding sequence.

All alignments utilized a simple pairwise scoring matrix (+1 for matches, +0 for mismatches, -.99

for gap opening, and -0 for gap extensions) chosen by iterative manual assessment of the

collective results for the most abundant contig for each gene. The resulting, fully assembled

coding sequence was then classified as an indel or non-indel for all sequences. Indels were

further characterized as in-fame or frameshifted based on the number of nucleotides lost or

gained across all alignment gaps. Early stopping events for all variants were predicted by *in*

silico translation of predicting coding sequences. Raw FASTQ output was also analyzed using

CRISPResso2 [85] to obtain estimates of the number of modified and frameshifted reads.

mRNA Sequencing

A frozen BC1 lysate in Trizol was submitted to BGI Group (Shenzhen, China) for single-ended,

polyA-enriched RNA sequencing. Un-trimmed reads were aligned to the Gencode 41 annotation

of GRCh38.p13 with STAR v2.7.10. Raw sequencing data has been made available on GEO

(accession GSE210446).

Cellular staining and flow cytometry

Cells were washed twice in ice-cold PBS and APC Annexin V (BD Biosciences, 550475) and 7-

AAD (BD Biosciences, 559925) staining was performed on 1×10^5 in 100 μL of 1X Annexin V

binding buffer (BD Biosciences, 556454) following manufacturer recommended guidelines,

using 5 μL of each stain for 15 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Necrotic cells were

generated for Annexin V and 7-AAD compensation controls using a 30-minute heat shock at

95°C. Stained cells were diluted with 400 μL 1x Annexin V binding buffer and flow cytometry

was performed on a BD FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) within 1 hour.

For TRAIL-R1 staining, cells were harvested and washed twice in ice-cold PBS and

resuspended in PBS at a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL. 500 μL of cells were then fixed in

PBS containing 4% w/v PFA for 10 minutes followed by two more PBS washes. On the final

wash, cells were split into two and each resuspended in 250 μL PBS containing 1% w/v bovine

serum albumin (Sigma, 10735078001) with or without the addition of 0.1% w/v saponin (Sigma-

Aldrich, 47036). Cells were pre-incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature with 250 μL

PBS/1% BSA with or without 0.1% w/v saponin, containing 1:400 of Human BD Fc Block

(ThermoFisher Scientific, BDB564219) per condition, followed by addition of 1:100 (2.5 μL in

250 μL) of FITC-conjugated Anti-TRAIL receptor 1 (Abcam, ab59047; specific to the

extracellular domain of TRAIL-R1) for 30 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Cells were

then washed 3X with PBS/1% BSA with or without 0.1% w/v saponin followed by a final re-

suspension in 500 μL PBS containing .01% PFA.

Stained cells were stored for up to 2 days at 4 °C in the dark (TRAIL-R1) and flow cytometry

was performed on a BD FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences). Raw data were exported to FlowJo

v10.8.1 for analysis and plotting.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Anti-TRAIL ELISA was performed using a Human TRAIL/TNFSF10 Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D

Systems, DTRL00) following manufacturer-provided protocols. Briefly, 50 μL of cell supernatant

or cellular lysate obtained using manufacturer provided buffers and instructions were added to

each well. Cell densities at time of harvesting were $8x10^6$ to $1.2x10^7$ PEL cells, while cells were

lysed at a concentration of $1x10^7$ cells/mL as per manufacturer guidelines. The included

recombinant human TRAIL standard (R&D Systems, 892375) was serially diluted in 2-fold steps

from 1000 to 15.6 pg/mL. Absorbance was read on a VICTOR Nivo Plate reader at 450 nm. A

blank measurement taken from a well containing clean dilution buffer was subtracted from all

other wells and least squares regression was performed on the measured values across all

replicates to determine effective concentrations.

In vitro TRAIL treatment

BCBL-1/Cas9 cells containing pLenti SpBsmBI Guide Hygro (AAVS1 or TRAIL-R1 #1/2) were

treated with the indicated serial dilutions of recombinant human TRAIL (Sigma-Aldrich, T9701)

and absolute cell numbers were measured 24 hours later by flow cytometry as described above

for cumulative growth curves.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical testing/modeling was conducted in Python using SciPy 1.6.0[86] (simple t tests) or

Statsmodels 0.13.2 (ordinary least squares) unless otherwise indicated. Vertical bar graphs

were generated using Prism 9.4.1 (GraphPad), all other figures were generated using Seaborn

0.11.2 [87]. Full code for computational and statistical analyses was made available online on

Github (https://github.com/Gottwein-Lab).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Joanna Shisler for sharing MCV FLIP protein expression vectors and

Dr. Ethel Cesarman for sharing a control aliquot of BC-3 cells.

Competing Interests The authors do not have competing interests.

Author Contributions Study Design NK, MM, and EG. MM performed exploratory resistance

screens in BC-3, which were not included in the final manuscript due to very low cFLIP

dependency of this cell line. NK performed all experiments, except shRNA vector cloning and

shRNA resistance screens until harvest of cell pellets, which were performed by EG.

Computational and statistical analyses were performed by NK. NK put together figures, which

were edited by EG. NK and EG wrote the manuscript. EG supervised all aspects of the work. All

authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics Statement This study did not require ethical approval.

Funding This work was supported by NCI R01 CA247619 and R01 CA247619-01A1S1 to E.G.;

MM was supported by K22 CA241355.

Data Availability CRISPR screening and RNAseq data were deposited on GEO (accessions

GSE210445, GSE210446). All cell lines and plasmids are available on request.

References

1. Cesarman E, Chang Y, Moore PS, Said JW, Knowles DM. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-related body-cavity-based lymphomas. *N Engl*

J Med 1995, **332**(18)**:** 1186-1191.

2. Nador RG, Cesarman E, Chadburn A, Dawson DB, Ansari MQ, Sald J*, et al.* Primary

effusion lymphoma: a distinct clinicopathologic entity associated with the Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpes virus. *Blood* 1996, **88**(2)**:** 645-656.

3.   Lurain K, Polizzotto MN, Aleman K, Bhutani M, Wyvill KM, Goncalves PH*, et al.* Viral,

immunologic, and clinical features of primary effusion lymphoma. *Blood* 2019, **133**(16)**:**

1753-1761.

4.   Wies E, Mori Y, Hahn A, Kremmer E, Sturzl M, Fleckenstein B*, et al.* The viral interferon-

regulatory factor-3 is required for the survival of KSHV-infected primary effusion

lymphoma cells. *Blood* 2008, **111**(1)**:** 320-327.

5.   Godfrey A, Anderson J, Papanastasiou A, Takeuchi Y, Boshoff C. Inhibiting primary

effusion lymphoma by lentiviral vectors encoding short hairpin RNA. *Blood* 2005, **105**(6)**:**

2510-2518.

6.   Chaudhary PM, Jasmin A, Eby MT, Hood L. Modulation of the NF-kappa B pathway by

virally encoded death effector domains-containing proteins. *Oncogene* 1999, **18**(42)**:**

5738-5746.

7.   Manzano M, Patil A, Waldrop A, Dave SS, Behdad A, Gottwein E. Gene essentiality

landscape and druggable oncogenic dependencies in herpesviral primary effusion

lymphoma. *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**(1)**:** 3263.

8.   Hu S, Vincenz C, Buller M, Dixit VM. A novel family of viral death effector domain-

containing molecules that inhibit both CD-95- and tumor necrosis factor receptor-1-

induced apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**(15)**:** 9621-9624.

9.   Irmler M, Thome M, Hahne M, Schneider P, Hofmann K, Steiner V*, et al.* Inhibition of

death receptor signals by cellular FLIP. *Nature* 1997, **388**(6638)**:** 190-195.

10.   Thome M, Schneider P, Hofmann K, Fickenscher H, Meinl E, Neipel F*, et al.* Viral

FLICE-inhibitory proteins (FLIPs) prevent apoptosis induced by death receptors. *Nature*

1997, **386**(6624)**:** 517-521.

11.   Krueger A, Schmitz I, Baumann S, Krammer PH, Kirchhoff S. Cellular FLICE-inhibitory

protein splice variants inhibit different steps of caspase-8 activation at the CD95 death-

inducing signaling complex. *J Biol Chem* 2001, **276**(23)**:** 20633-20640.

12.   Kataoka T, Budd RC, Holler N, Thome M, Martinon F, Irmler M*, et al.* The caspase-8

inhibitor FLIP promotes activation of NF-kappaB and Erk signaling pathways. *Curr Biol*

2000, **10**(11)**:** 640-648.

13.   Chugh P, Matta H, Schamus S, Zachariah S, Kumar A, Richardson JA*, et al.*

Constitutive NF-κB activation, normal Fas-induced apoptosis, and increased incidence of

lymphoma in human herpes virus 8 K13 transgenic mice. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2005*,* **102**(36)**:** 12885-12890.

14.   Bélanger C, Gravel A, Tomoiu A, Janelle ME, Gosselin J, Tremblay MJ*, et al.* Human

herpesvirus 8 viral FLICE-inhibitory protein inhibits Fas-mediated apoptosis through

binding and prevention of procaspase-8 maturation. *J Hum Virol* 2001, **4**(2)**:** 62-73.

15.   Tolani B, Matta H, Gopalakrishnan R, Punj V, Chaudhary PM. NEMO is essential for

630   Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-encoded vFLIP K13-induced gene expression

631   and protection against death receptor-induced cell death, and its N-terminal 251

632   residues are sufficient for this process. *J Virol* 2014, **88**(11)**:** 6345-6354.

634   16.   Galluzzi L, Vitale I, Aaronson SA, Abrams JM, Adam D, Agostinis P*, et al.* Molecular

635   mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell

636   Death 2018. *Cell Death & Differentiation* 2018, **25**(3)**:** 486-541.

638   17.   Fritsch M, Gunther SD, Schwarzer R, Albert MC, Schorn F, Werthenbach JP*, et al.*

639   Caspase-8 is the molecular switch for apoptosis, necroptosis and pyroptosis. *Nature*

640   2019, **575**(7784)**:** 683-687.

642   18.   Newton K, Wickliffe KE, Maltzman A, Dugger DL, Reja R, Zhang Y*, et al.* Activity of

643   caspase-8 determines plasticity between cell death pathways. *Nature* 2019, **575**(7784)**:**

644   679-682.

646   19.   Yu L, Alva A, Su H, Dutt P, Freundt E, Welsh S*, et al.* Regulation of an ATG7-beclin 1

647   program of autophagic cell death by caspase-8. *Science* 2004, **304**(5676)**:** 1500-1502.

649   20.   Bell BD, Leverrier S, Weist BM, Newton RH, Arechiga AF, Luhrs KA*, et al.* FADD and

650   caspase-8 control the outcome of autophagic signaling in proliferating T cells. *Proc Natl*

651   *Acad Sci U S A* 2008, **105**(43)**:** 16677-16682.

21.   Jimbo A, Fujita E, Kouroku Y, Ohnishi J, Inohara N, Kuida K*, et al.* ER stress induces

caspase-8 activation, stimulating cytochrome c release and caspase-9 activation. *Exp*

Cell Res 2003, **283**(2)**:** 156-166.

22.   Munoz-Pinedo C, Lopez-Rivas A. A role for caspase-8 and TRAIL-R2/DR5 in ER-stress-

induced apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ* 2018, **25**(1)**:** 226.

23.   Grundhoff A, Ganem D. Mechanisms governing expression of the v-FLIP gene of

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. *J Virol* 2001, **75**(4)**:** 1857-1863.

24.   Liu L, Eby MT, Rathore N, Sinha SK, Kumar A, Chaudhary PM. The human herpes virus

8-encoded viral FLICE inhibitory protein physically associates with and persistently

activates the Ikappa B kinase complex. *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**(16)**:** 13745-13751.

25.   Field N, Low W, Daniels M, Howell S, Daviet L, Boshoff C*, et al.* KSHV vFLIP binds to

IKK-gamma to activate IKK. *J Cell Sci* 2003, **116**(Pt 18)**:** 3721-3728.

26.   Sun Q, Matta H, Chaudhary PM. The human herpes virus 8-encoded viral FLICE

inhibitory protein protects against growth factor withdrawal-induced apoptosis via NF-

kappa B activation. *Blood* 2003, **101**(5)**:** 1956-1961.

27.   Bagneris C, Rogala KB, Baratchian M, Zamfir V, Kunze MB, Dagless S*, et al.* Probing

the Solution Structure of IkappaB Kinase (IKK) Subunit gamma and Its Interaction with

Kaposi Sarcoma-associated Herpes Virus Flice-interacting Protein and IKK Subunit beta

by EPR Spectroscopy. *J Biol Chem* 2015, **290**(27)**:** 16539-16549.

28.   Hu WH, Johnson H, Shu HB. Activation of NF-kappaB by FADD, Casper, and caspase-

8. *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**(15)**:** 10838-10844.

29.   Kataoka T, Tschopp J. N-terminal fragment of c-FLIP(L) processed by caspase 8

specifically interacts with TRAF2 and induces activation of the NF-kappaB signaling

pathway. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004, **24**(7)**:** 2627-2636.

30.   Baratchian M, Davis CA, Shimizu A, Escors D, Bagneris C, Barrett T*, et al.* Distinct

Activation Mechanisms of NF-kappaB Regulator Inhibitor of NF-kappaB Kinase (IKK) by

Isoforms of the Cell Death Regulator Cellular FLICE-like Inhibitory Protein (cFLIP). *J Biol*

Chem 2016, **291**(14)**:** 7608-7620.

31.   Shisler JL, Moss B. Molluscum contagiosum virus inhibitors of apoptosis: The MC159 v-

FLIP protein blocks Fas-induced activation of procaspases and degradation of the

related MC160 protein. *Virology* 2001, **282**(1)**:** 14-25.

32.   Nichols DB, Shisler JL. The MC160 protein expressed by the dermatotropic poxvirus

molluscum contagiosum virus prevents tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced NF-kappaB

activation via inhibition of I kappa kinase complex formation. *J Virol* 2006, **80**(2)**:** 578-

586.

33. Biswas S, Smith GL, Roy EJ, Ward B, Shisler JL. A comparison of the effect of

molluscum contagiosum virus MC159 and MC160 proteins on vaccinia virus virulence in

intranasal and intradermal infection routes. *J Gen Virol* 2018, **99**(2)**:** 246-252.

34. Ma Y, Walsh MJ, Bernhardt K, Ashbaugh CW, Trudeau SJ, Ashbaugh IY*, et al.*

CRISPR/Cas9 Screens Reveal Epstein-Barr Virus-Transformed B Cell Host

Dependency Factors. *Cell Host Microbe* 2017, **21**(5)**:** 580-591.e587.

35. Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M, Hegde M, Vaimberg EW, Donovan KF*, et al.*

Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of

CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Biotechnol* 2016, **34**(2)**:** 184-191.

36. Sherman BT, Hao M, Qiu J, Jiao X, Baseler MW, Lane HC*, et al.* DAVID: a web server

for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update).

Nucleic Acids Res 2022, **50**(W1)**:** W216-221.

37. Komatsu M, Chiba T, Tatsumi K, Iemura S, Tanida I, Okazaki N*, et al.* A novel protein-

conjugating system for Ufm1, a ubiquitin-fold modifier. *Embo j* 2004, **23**(9)**:** 1977-1986.

38. Kang SH, Kim GR, Seong M, Baek SH, Seol JH, Bang OS*, et al.* Two novel ubiquitin-

fold modifier 1 (Ufm1)-specific proteases, UfSP1 and UfSP2. *J Biol Chem* 2007, **282**(8)**:**

5256-5262.

39.   Tatsumi K, Sou YS, Tada N, Nakamura E, Iemura S, Natsume T, *et al.* A novel type of

E3 ligase for the Ufm1 conjugation system. *J Biol Chem* 2010, **285**(8)**:** 5417-5427.

40.   Lemaire K, Moura RF, Granvik M, Igoillo-Esteve M, Hohmeier HE, Hendrickx N, *et al.*

Ubiquitin fold modifier 1 (UFM1) and its target UFBP1 protect pancreatic beta cells from

ER stress-induced apoptosis. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(4)**:** e18517.

41.   Chen C, Itakura E, Weber KP, Hegde RS, de Bono M. An ER complex of ODR-4 and

ODR-8/Ufm1 specific protease 2 promotes GPCR maturation by a Ufm1-independent

mechanism. *PLoS Genet* 2014, **10**(3)**:** e1004082.

42.   Cai Y, Pi W, Sivaprakasam S, Zhu X, Zhang M, Chen J, *et al.* UFBP1, a Key Component

of the Ufm1 Conjugation System, Is Essential for Ufmylation-Mediated Regulation of

Erythroid Development. *PLoS Genet* 2015, **11**(11)**:** e1005643.

43.   Wu J, Lei G, Mei M, Tang Y, Li H. A novel C53/LZAP-interacting protein regulates

stability of C53/LZAP and DDRGK domain-containing Protein 1 (DDRGK1) and

modulates NF-kappaB signaling. *J Biol Chem* 2010, **285**(20)**:** 15126-15136.

44.   Jiang Y, Cheng Z, Mandon EC, Gilmore R. An interaction between the SRP receptor and

the translocon is critical during cotranslational protein translocation. *J Cell Biol* 2008,

180(6)**:** 1149-1161.

45.   Song W, Raden D, Mandon EC, Gilmore R. Role of Sec61alpha in the regulated transfer

of the ribosome-nascent chain complex from the signal recognition particle to the

translocation channel. *Cell* 2000, **100**(3)**:** 333-343.

46.   Mason N, Ciufo LF, Brown JD. Elongation arrest is a physiologically important function of

signal recognition particle. *Embo j* 2000, **19**(15)**:** 4164-4174.

47.   Walter P, Blobel G. Translocation of proteins across the endoplasmic reticulum III.

Signal recognition protein (SRP) causes signal sequence-dependent and site-specific

arrest of chain elongation that is released by microsomal membranes. *J Cell Biol* 1981,

91(2 Pt 1)**:** 557-561.

48.   Walczak CP, Leto DE, Zhang L, Riepe C, Muller RY, DaRosa PA*, et al.* Ribosomal

protein RPL26 is the principal target of UFMylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019,

116(4)**:** 1299-1308.

49.   Wang L, Xu Y, Rogers H, Saidi L, Noguchi CT, Li H*, et al.* UFMylation of RPL26 links

translocation-associated quality control to endoplasmic reticulum protein homeostasis.

Cell Res 2020, **30**(1)**:** 5-20.

50.   García-Suárez O, García B, Fernández-Vega I, Astudillo A, Quirós LM. Neuroendocrine

tumors show altered expression of chondroitin sulfate, glypican 1, glypican 5, and

syndecan 2 depending on their differentiation grade. *Front Oncol* 2014, **4:** 15.

51.   Boztug K, Järvinen PM, Salzer E, Racek T, Mönch S, Garncarz W, *et al.* JAGN1

771   deficiency causes aberrant myeloid cell homeostasis and congenital neutropenia. *Nat*

772   *Genet* 2014, **46**(9)**:** 1021-1027.

774   52.   Hwang S, Kim S, Kim K, Yeom J, Park S, Kim I. Euchromatin histone methyltransferase

775   II (EHMT2) regulates the expression of ras-related GTP binding C (RRAGC) protein.

776   *BMB Rep* 2020, **53**(11)**:** 576-581.

778   53.   Xu L, Gao X, Yang P, Sang W, Jiao J, Niu M, *et al.* EHMT2 inhibitor BIX-01294 induces

779   endoplasmic reticulum stress mediated apoptosis and autophagy in diffuse large B-cell

780   lymphoma cells. *J Cancer* 2021, **12**(4)**:** 1011-1022.

782   54.   Sheard MA, Asgharzadeh S, Liu Y, Lin TY, Wu HW, Ji L, *et al.* Membrane-bound TRAIL

783   supplements natural killer cell cytotoxicity against neuroblastoma cells. *J Immunother*

784   2013, **36**(5)**:** 319-329.

786   55.   Mirandola P, Ponti C, Gobbi G, Sponzilli I, Vaccarezza M, Cocco L, *et al.* Activated

787   human NK and CD8+ T cells express both TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand

788   (TRAIL) and TRAIL receptors but are resistant to TRAIL-mediated cytotoxicity. *Blood*

789   2004, **104**(8)**:** 2418-2424.

791   56.   Chen JJ, Knudsen S, Mazin W, Dahlgaard J, Zhang B. A 71-gene signature of TRAIL

792   sensitivity in cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2012, **11**(1)**:** 34-44.

794   57.   Sullivan GP, O'Connor H, Henry CM, Davidovich P, Clancy DM, Albert ML, *et al.* TRAIL

795   Receptors Serve as Stress-Associated Molecular Patterns to Promote ER-Stress-

796   Induced Inflammation. *Developmental Cell* 2020, **52**(6)**:** 714-730.e715.

798   58.   Dufour F, Rattier T, Constantinescu AA, Zischler L, Morle A, Ben Mabrouk H, *et al.*

799   TRAIL receptor gene editing unveils TRAIL-R1 as a master player of apoptosis induced

800   by TRAIL and ER stress. *Oncotarget* 2017, **8**(6)**:** 9974-9985.

802   59.   Lu M, Lawrence DA, Marsters S, Acosta-Alvear D, Kimmig P, Mendez AS, *et al.*

803   Opposing unfolded-protein-response signals converge on death receptor 5 to control

804   apoptosis. *Science* 2014, **345**(6192)**:** 98-101.

806   60.   Iurlaro R, Puschel F, Leon-Annicchiarico CL, O'Connor H, Martin SJ, Palou-Gramon D,

807   *et al.* Glucose Deprivation Induces ATF4-Mediated Apoptosis through TRAIL Death

808   Receptors. *Mol Cell Biol* 2017, **37**(10).

810   61.   van Raam BJ, Lacina T, Lindemann RK, Reiling JH. Secretory stressors induce

811   intracellular death receptor accumulation to control apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis* 2017,

812   **8**(10)**:** e3069.

814   62.   Lam M, Marsters SA, Ashkenazi A, Walter P. Misfolded proteins bind and activate death

815   receptor 5 to trigger apoptosis during unresolved endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Elife*

816   2020, **9**.

818   63.   Ramkumar P, Abarientos AB, Tian R, Seyler M, Leong JT, Chen M*, et al.* CRISPR-

819   based screens uncover determinants of immunotherapy response in multiple myeloma.

820   *Blood Adv* 2020, **4**(13)**:** 2899-2911.

822   64.   De Leo A, Chen HS, Hu CC, Lieberman PM. Deregulation of KSHV latency conformation

823   by ER-stress and caspase-dependent RAD21-cleavage. *PLoS Pathog* 2017, **13**(8)**:**

824   e1006596.

826   65.   Johnston BP, Pringle ES, McCormick C. KSHV activates unfolded protein response

827   sensors but suppresses downstream transcriptional responses to support lytic

828   replication. *PLoS Pathog* 2019, **15**(12)**:** e1008185.

830   66.   Azfer A, Niu J, Rogers LM, Adamski FM, Kolattukudy PE. Activation of endoplasmic

831   reticulum stress response during the development of ischemic heart disease. *Am J*

832   *Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2006, **291**(3)**:** H1411-1420.

834   67.   Zhang Y, Zhang M, Wu J, Lei G, Li H. Transcriptional regulation of the Ufm1 conjugation

835   system in response to disturbance of the endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis and

836   inhibition of vesicle trafficking. *PLoS One* 2012, **7**(11)**:** e48587.

838   68.   Liu J, Wang Y, Song L, Zeng L, Yi W, Liu T*, et al.* A critical role of DDRGK1 in

839   endoplasmic reticulum homoeostasis via regulation of IRE1α stability. *Nature*

840   *Communications* 2017, **8**(1)**:** 14186.

69.   Hu X, Pang Q, Shen Q, Liu H, He J, Wang J*, et al.* Ubiquitin-fold modifier 1 inhibits

apoptosis by suppressing the endoplasmic reticulum stress response in Raw264.7 cells.

Int J Mol Med 2014, **33**(6)**:** 1539-1546.

70.   Tsherniak A, Vazquez F, Montgomery PG, Weir BA, Kryukov G, Cowley GS*, et al.*

Defining a Cancer Dependency Map. *Cell* 2017, **170**(3)**:** 564-576.e516.

71.   Zhu H, Bhatt B, Sivaprakasam S, Cai Y, Liu S, Kodeboyina SK*, et al.* Ufbp1 promotes

plasma cell development and ER expansion by modulating distinct branches of UPR.

Nature Communications 2019, **10**(1)**:** 1084.

72.   Hagelkruys A, Wirnsberger G, Stadlmann J, Wohner M, Horrer M, Vilagos B*, et al.* A

crucial role for Jagunal homolog 1 in humoral immunity and antibody glycosylation in

mice and humans. *J Exp Med* 2021, **218**(1).

73.   Nosak C, Silva PN, Sollazzo P, Moon KM, Odisho T, Foster LJ*, et al.* Jagn1 Is Induced

in Response to ER Stress and Regulates Proinsulin Biosynthesis. *PLoS One* 2016,

11(2)**:** e0149177.

74.   Charnaux N, Brule S, Hamon M, Chaigneau T, Saffar L, Prost C*, et al.* Syndecan-4 is a

signaling molecule for stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1)/ CXCL12. *Febs j* 2005,

272(8)**:** 1937-1951.

75.   Farzan M, Babcock GJ, Vasilieva N, Wright PL, Kiprilov E, Mirzabekov T*, et al.* The Role

of Post-translational Modifications of the CXCR4 Amino Terminus in Stromal-derived

Factor 1α Association and HIV-1 Entry*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2002, **277**(33)**:**

29484-29489.

76.   Kremer KN, Peterson KL, Schneider PA, Meng XW, Dai H, Hess AD*, et al.* CXCR4

chemokine receptor signaling induces apoptosis in acute myeloid leukemia cells via

regulation of the Bcl-2 family members Bcl-XL, Noxa, and Bak. *J Biol Chem* 2013,

288(32)**:** 22899-22914.

77.   Pham H, Kearns NA, Maehr R. Transcriptional Regulation with CRISPR/Cas9 Effectors

in Mammalian Cells. *Methods Mol Biol* 2016, **1358:** 43-57.

78.   Manzano M, Gunther T, Ju H, Nicholas J, Bartom ET, Grundhoff A*, et al.* Kaposi's

Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Drives a Super-Enhancer-Mediated Survival Gene

Expression Program in Primary Effusion Lymphoma. *mBio* 2020, **11**(4).

79.   Pelossof R, Fairchild L, Huang CH, Widmer C, Sreedharan VT, Sinha N*, et al.* Prediction

of potent shRNAs with a sequential classification algorithm. *Nat Biotechnol* 2017, **35**(4)**:**

350-353.

80.   Bogerd HP, Whisnant AW, Kennedy EM, Flores O, Cullen BR. Derivation and

characterization of Dicer- and microRNA-deficient human cells. *Rna* 2014, **20**(6)**:** 923-

937.

81.   Martin M. CUTADAPT removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing

reads. *EMBnetjournal* 2011, **17**.

82.   Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment

of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology* 2009, **10**(3)**:** R25.

83.   Li W, Xu H, Xiao T, Cong L, Love MI, Zhang F*, et al.* MAGeCK enables robust

identification of essential genes from genome-scale CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screens.

Genome Biol 2014, **15**(12)**:** 554.

84.   Cock PJA, Antao T, Chang JT, Chapman BA, Cox CJ, Dalke A*, et al.* Biopython: freely

available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics.

Bioinformatics 2009, **25**(11)**:** 1422-1423.

85.   Clement K, Rees H, Canver MC, Gehrke JM, Farouni R, Hsu JY*, et al.* CRISPResso2

provides accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. *Nature Biotechnology*

2019, **37**(3)**:** 224-226.

86.   Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D*, et al.*

Author Correction: SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python.

Nature Methods 2020, **17**(3)**:** 352-352.

87.   Waskom M. seaborn: statistical data visualization. *JOSS* 2021, **6**(60)**:** 3021.

88.　Zhou F, Shimoda M, Olney L, Lyu Y, Tran K, Jiang G*, et al.* Oncolytic Reactivation of

KSHV as a Therapeutic Approach for Primary Effusion Lymphoma. *Mol Cancer Ther*

2017, **16**(11)**:** 2627-2638.

Figures and Figure Legends

Fig. 1 cFLIP is broadly essential in PEL cell lines. **A.** Top: Cell lysates from the indicated PEL

cell lines or KSHV-negative BJAB cells were analyzed by Western blotting for the indicated

proteins. The cFLIP splice variants cFLIP-L and cFLIP-S are marked. Bottom: Heatmap of

depletion for sgRNAs targeting the indicated genes, using data from Manzano, et al. 2018.

Lower FDR-adjusted *p*-values of depletion indicate significant sgRNA depletion in the screens,

suggesting essential of fitness roles. **B.** Cas9-expressing PEL cell lines were transduced with

the indicated sgRNAs at equal MOI 3 and selected with puromycin. Graphs show the endpoints

(day 8-10) of cumulative cell growth curves relative to an sgRNA targeting the safe harbor locus

AAVS1 (see Material and Methods). sgRNAs targeting PSMD1 and IRF4 are controls for other

essential genes. Error bars represent SD (n = 4-6 independent repeats). For Western Blot

control, see Fig. S1C. **C.** BCBL-1/Cas9 expressing ZsGreen, sgRNA-resistant cFLIP-L, or

sgRNA-resistant cFLIP-S were challenged with the indicated sgRNAs. Error bars represent SD

(n = 3 independent repeats). For Western Blot control, see Fig. S1E. In panels B and C,

statistical significance for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1 was analyzed using one-

sided, one-sample t-testing (* <= 0.05). Rescue in panel C was significant as determined using

a one-sided, independent two-sample t-test. *p* values are listed in Table S6.

Fig. 2 cFLIP and KSHV vFLIP are functionally distinct in PEL cell lines. **A.** Schematic

representation of human CASP8, the cFLIP-L/S splice variants, KSHV vFLIP, and the MCV

FLIP proteins MC159L and MC160L. Also shown are the characterized activities of each protein

towards CASP8/the extrinsic apoptosis pathway and the NF-κB pathway. **B.** BCBL-1/Cas9

expressing ZsGreen, KSHV vFLIP, MCV MC159L, or MCV MC160L were challenged with the

indicated sgRNAs. Analyses of cumulative growth curve experiments on day 6 after sgRNA

transduction are shown. Error bars represent SD (n = 3 independent repeats). For Western Blot

controls, see Fig. S2. Statistical significance for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1 was

analyzed using one-sided, one-sample t-testing (* <= 0.05). Rescue by expression of KSHV

vFLIP and MCL159L was significant as determined using a one-sided, independent two-sample

t-test. *p* values are listed in Table S6. **C.** Extended growth curves for the ZsGreen and KSHV

vFLIP data in panel B show that vFLIP rescue is significant, but not efficient. For details on

statistical analysis see Table S6 and Material and Methods section.

Fig. 3. Genome-wide synthetic rescue screens uncover components of the death program repressed by cFLIP in PEL cells. **A.** Experimental design for CRISPR-based synthetic rescue screening. **B.** Results of the BCBL-1 resistance screens. Shown are the gene-level ranks output by MAGeCK-RRA based on library sgRNA enrichment after the toxic cFLIP shRNA challenge (y-axis) or the toxic cFLIP sgRNA-challenge (x-axis) relative to matched negative control

perturbations. For clarity, only the top 500 hits in each screen are displayed. UFL1 was

highlighted as a UFMylation pathway gene but does not pass our analysis cutoff. **C.** DAVID

pathway analysis of the 23 high confidence hits obtained by intersecting the top 150 hits each

from the BCBL-1 sgRNA and shRNA screens. Numbers at right show number of hits from each

pathway. For complete DAVID output, see Table S3. **D.** Diagram of canonical death signaling

via TRAIL through TRAIL receptors. Hits are colored as in panel B. **E.** Diagram of the

UFMylation and ER-associated translation pathways, screening hits are colored as in panel B.

UFM1 is cytoplasmic, but the UFM1 conjugation machinery is anchored on the cytoplasmic face

of the ER membrane by adaptors ODR4 and DDRGK1 (UFBP1). RPL26 is the main

characterized substrate for UFMylation and impacts translational pausing during ERAD. The

SRP complex is involved in protein translocation and initiates translational pausing. **F.** Diagram

of the Condroitin sulfate (CS) proteoglycan synthesis pathway, screen hits are colored as in

panel B.

Fig. 4 Cellular FLIP protects PEL cells from CASP8-induced cell death. **A.** BCBL-1/Cas9 cells
were transduced with the indicated sgRNAs, selected with hygromycin, and knockout of CASP8
was confirmed by Western blot. **B.** The cell lines from panel A were challenged with puromycin
resistant lentiviral sgRNA vectors at equal MOI and cumulative growth curve analyses were
performed as previously. Error bars represent SD from 3 independent repeats. For Western Blot
controls, see Fig. S4D. Statistical significance for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1
was analyzed using one-sided, one-sample t-testing (* <= 0.05). Rescue by CASP8 KO was
significant as determined using a one-sided, independent two-sample t-test. *p* values are listed
in Table S6. **C.** Cells were challenged with control or cFLIP sgRNAs as in panel B, but not
selected with puromycin, and stained with Annexin V 48 hours after transduction (n=2
independent repeats, error bars indicate range).

Fig. 5. Cellular FLIP protects PEL cells from a ligand-independent TRAIL-R1-mediated cell

death program. **A.** BCBL-1/Cas9 cells were transduced with the indicated sgRNAs, selected

with hygromycin, and knockout of TRAIL-R1 was confirmed by Western blot. **B.** Cell lines

depicted in panel A were challenged with puromycin resistant lentiviral sgRNA vectors at equal

MOI and cumulative growth curve analyses were performed as previously. Error bars represent

SD from 3 independent repeats. For Western Blot control, see Fig. S5A. Statistical significance

for loss of cell viability compared to sgAAVS1 was analyzed using one-sided, one-sample t-

testing (* denotes p<= 0.05). Rescue by TRAIL-R1 KO, but not TRIAL-R2 or TRAIL KO, was

significant as determined using one-sided, independent two-sample t-tests. *p* values are listed in

Table S6. **C.** BC-3 or BCBL-1 cells were plated at 2×10^5 cells/mL, and supernatants or lysates

were harvested 3 days later and used for anti-TRAIL ELISA assay. Known concentrations of

recombinant human TRAIL confirm sensitivity down to the ~10 pg/mL range. **D.** Knockout cell

lines were fixed in 4% PFA and stained for TRAIL-R1 with or without permeabilization by

saponin. Shown at left are unstained control cells. Quantification over 3 independent repeats

can be found in Fig. S6C.

1000

Figure 6. Knockdown of genes that participate in UFMylation, the chondroitin sulfate synthesis pathway, or of JAGN1 and CXCR4 overcome cFLIP dependency in PEL cells. **A.** Single-gene knockout pools were generated as previously for two genes each in the UFMylation and chondroitin sulfate biosynthesis pathway along with CXCR4 and JAGN1 and these cell lines were used to perform cumulative growth curves following transduction with a second sgRNA as previously. Statistical significance of rescue from sg-cFLIP induced cell death was determined using one-sided, independent two-sample t-tests (* denotes $p <= 0.05$). *p* values and statistical significance of loss of viability are listed in Table S6. Western controls are in Fig. S6B. **B.** Single-gene KO pools were analyzed for TRAIL-R1 and pro-caspase 8 expression by Western blotting.