Pan-flavivirus analysis reveals that the insect-specific Kamiti River virus produces a

new subgenomic RNA and high amounts of 3' UTR-derived siRNAs

Benoit Besson[a], Gijs J. Overheul[a] , Michael T. Wolfinger[b,c] Sandra Junglen[d], Ronald P. van*

Rij[a]#

[a]Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences,

Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

[b]Research Group Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Faculty of Computer Science,

University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.

[c]Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.

[d]Institute of Virology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Free

University, Humboldt-University and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany.

Running title: Mosquito RNAi responses to flaviviruses

#Correspondence to Ronald P. van Rij, ronald.vanrij@radboudumc.nl

*Present address: Benoit Besson, Université de Strasbourg, RNA architecture and reactivity

& Insect Models of Innate Immunity, Institut de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, CNRS,

Strasbourg, France.

Benoit Besson and Gijs J Overheul contributed equally to this work. Author order was

determined alphabetically.

Word count abstract: 249

Word count text: 5165 (excluding references and figure legends)

ABSTRACT

Flaviviruses subvert the host RNA decay machinery to produce subgenomic flavivirus RNA (sfRNA), products of the 5'-3' exoribonuclease XRN1/Pacman stalling on secondary RNA structures in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the viral genome. The classical insect-specific flavivirus (cISF) Kamiti River virus (KRV) has a unique 1.2 kb long 3' UTR, of which only 40% is homologous to its closest family member, cell-fusing agent virus (CFAV). We mapped the 5' end of KRV sfRNAs and found that KRV produces high copy numbers of a long, 1017 nt sfRNA1 and a short, 421 nt sfRNA2, corresponding to two predicted XRN1-resistant elements. Furthermore, we identified a new positive and negative sense 1.5 kb subgenomic RNA species that is colinear with the 3' region of the NS5 gene and the viral 3' UTR, which we tentatively named subgenomic cISF RNA (cifRNA). Expression of both sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 was reduced in *Pacman* deficient *Aedes albopictus* mosquito cells, while expression of the longer cifRNA was *Pacman*-independent. Interestingly, a pan-Flavivirus small RNA analysis in *Aedes albopictus* cells revealed that nearly all KRV-derived siRNAs mapped to the 3' UTR region and that these siRNAs are produced in high quantity. 3' UTR-biased siRNA production appeared to be conserved in other cISFs, albeit to a lesser extent, whereas siRNAs were evenly distributed across the viral genome of other representatives of the *Flavivirus* genus. We suggest that cISFs and particularly KRV developed a unique mechanism to produce high amounts of siRNA as a decoy of the antiviral RNAi response.

IMPORTANCE

The *Flavivirus* genus contains diverse mosquito viruses ranging from insect-specific viruses circulating exclusively in mosquito populations to mosquito-borne viruses that cause disease in humans and animals. Studying the mechanisms of virus replication and antiviral immunity

in mosquitoes is important to understand arbovirus transmission and may inform the development of disease control strategies. In insects, RNA interference (RNAi) provides broad antiviral activity, constituting the main immune response against viruses. Comparing the RNAi response across members of the *Flavivirus* genus, we found that all flaviviruses are targeted by RNAi. However, the insect-specific Kamiti River virus was unique in that small interfering RNAs are highly skewed towards its uniquely long 3' untranslated region. Moreover, we found that Kamiti River virus produces a new subgenomic RNA species in addition to subgenomic flavivirus RNAs previously observed for other flaviviruses. These results suggest that mosquito-specific viruses have evolved unique mechanisms for genome replication and immune evasion.

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Flavivirus* constitutes diverse phylogenetic clades of viruses, found in vertebrates

and arthropods including mosquitoes. Mosquito-borne arboviruses are transmitted

horizontally between mosquitoes and vertebrates, whereas insect-specific flaviviruses (ISF)

are thought to be primarily transmitted vertically and restricted to their arthropod hosts (1, 2).

ISFs are further separated into two distinct phylogenetic clades: lineage I or classical ISFs

(cISF), a clade that branches at the base of the *Flavivirus* genus, and lineage II or dual-host

affiliated ISF (dISF) that forms a separate phylogenetic clade embedded in vector-borne

clades (3–5). While the healthcare and economic burden of arboviruses is well established

(6), ISFs have been proposed as modulators of arbovirus transmission and are being explored

for biotechnological applications such as vaccine development (7–9).

Flaviviruses have an ~11 kb long, positive-sense genomic RNA ((+)gRNA), which

circularizes via long range RNA-RNA interactions between their 5' and 3' untranslated

regions (UTR) for RNA translation and replication (10, 11). Asymmetric replication is

mediated via an antigenomic negative-sense RNA intermediate ((-)gRNA), which serves as a

template for replication of the (+)gRNA and is hypothesized to be annealed either to its

template and/or to newly synthesized (+)gRNA (12, 13).

Flaviviruses take advantage of the ability of RNA to form regulatory, evolutionarily

conserved elements to produce a highly structured subgenomic flavivirus RNA (sfRNA) (14–

17). Formation of sfRNA is regulated by exoribonuclease-resistant RNA (xrRNA) structures

in the 3' UTR, which typically encompass three-way junctions (3WJ) or stem-loop (SL)

elements that adopt a particular fold, mediated by a pseudo knot (18). The tight and complex

structure of xrRNAs stalls the 5'-3' exoribonuclease 1 (XRN1, also referred to as Pacman in

mosquitoes) and terminates the degradation of viral RNA (19), resulting in the production of

sfRNA. Flaviviruses may encode multiple xrRNA-like structures (20), each of which can

induce the production of a distinct sfRNA species. While the longest sfRNA generated from

the first xrRNA is generally the most abundant, sfRNA production from individual xrRNAs

may vary between mammalian and mosquito hosts, suggesting viral adaptation to the host

(19, 21–23).

It is well established that sfRNA is essential for flavivirus replication and dissemination (15,

22, 24, 25), for which several mechanisms have been suggested, in some cases with sfRNA

serving as a decoy for the viral genome. For example, sfRNA was shown to inhibit the host

RNA decay pathway (26), to control apoptosis (15, 27), to encode a microRNA (28), and to

inhibit the mosquito Toll pathway (29). Moreover, sfRNA can be a substrate for small

interfering RNA (siRNA) production by Dicer (30) and was proposed to inhibit the RNA

interference (RNAi)-based antiviral immune response (26, 31–33), although this was recently

disputed (27).

Mosquitoes have an RNAi-centered immune response, and deficiency in RNAi leads to

increased sensitivity to virus infections (34–37). Viral double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) is

cleaved by Dicer-2 into 21 nt viral siRNA duplexes (vsiRNAs), which are loaded into the

Argonaute-2-containing RISC complex with the help of RNA-binding proteins Loqs and

R2D2 (37, 38). Upon loading the duplexes, one of the strands (passenger strand) is degraded

and the remaining guide strand is used by Argonaute-2 to recognize and cleave

complementary single-stranded viral RNA.

In addition to the siRNA pathway, the PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA) pathway has been

implicated in antiviral defense in mosquitoes (37, 39, 40). In this pathway, viral single-

stranded RNA is processed into mature 25-30 nt viral piRNAs (vpiRNAs) associating with

the PIWI proteins Piwi5 and Ago3, which amplify the piRNA response in a feedforward

mechanism called the ping-pong amplification loop (41–43). While Piwi5 is required for vpiRNA biogenesis in *Aedes aegypti* (41, 42), only *Piwi4* depletion has thus far been shown to affect arbovirus replication (44, 45) and the importance of the piRNA response during acute viral infections remains to be clarified. Yet, endogenous viral elements (EVE) in the genome of *Aedes* mosquitoes give rise to piRNAs that can target cognate viral RNA and reduce viral RNA levels in ovaries (45–48), underlining the antiviral potential of the piRNA pathway.

Having noted that Kamiti River virus (KRV), a cISF originally identified in *Aedes mcintoshi* mosquitoes (49), has a particularly long 3' UTR, we set out to characterize KRV subgenomic RNA species. We mapped two main sfRNAs and identified a new *Pacman*-independent subgenomic RNA, which we refer to as subgenomic cISF RNA (cifRNA). Small RNA sequencing of *Aedes* mosquito cells infected with mosquito-borne and insect-specific flaviviruses revealed that KRV vsiRNAs predominantly derive from the 3' UTR in an sfRNA independent manner. A similar, but less pronounced trend was observed from two other cISFs, Culex flavivirus (CxFV) and cell-fusing agent virus (CFAV), whereas siRNAs mapped across the whole length of the genome for all other flaviviruses tested. We speculate that KRV and likely other cISFs developed a unique mechanism to evade antiviral RNAi.

RESULTS

KRV has a unique 3' UTR

KRV has a 3' UTR of 1208 nt, much longer than in any other member of the *Flavivirus*

genus (median of 486 nt), but also longer that the 3' UTRs of members of the cISF clade

(median of 663 nt) (Fig. 1A). Structure predictions suggested that KRV 3' UTR is highly

structured, comprising evolutionarily conserved elements, alongside RNA secondary

structures that appear to be unique to KRV (Fig. 1B). Our model predicted the signature

flavivirus regulatory SL at the 3' end of the genome and corroborated the presence of two

cISF xrRNA structures (xrRNA1 and xrRNA2) that are highly conserved between KRV,

CFAV and Aedes Flavivirus (AEFV) (50), and not conserved in the more distant Culex

Flavivirus and Xishuangbanna Aedes Flavivirus (CxFV and XFV, respectively). Moreover,

structure predictions of the KRV 3' UTR suggested the presence of simple and branched

stem-loop elements, as well as several long hairpins, including the internal 3' stem-loop

(i3'SL), previously predicted using a comparative genomics approach (20).

Interestingly, while the 3' terminal 419 nt long sequence of the KRV 3' UTR downstream of

xrRNA2 appears to be conserved with other cISFs (20), the 5' terminal 789 nt sequence

extending from the stop codon to xrRNA2 appears to be unique to KRV (Fig. B). This 5'

sequence of KRV 3' UTR does not seem to share ancestry with AEFV, the cISF with the

second longest 3' UTR (Fig. 1A), nor with other flaviviruses, with the exception of xrRNA1

which is highly conserved both in structure and sequence, and was hypothesized to be the

result of a self-duplication event (51, 52).

KRV produces multiple subgenomic RNA species

Given the long KRV 3' UTR and the observation that flavivirus 3' UTRs give rise to

sfRNAs, we visualized the RNA species produced during KRV infection of *Aedes albopictus*

U4.4 cells by northern blot (Fig. 2A left panel). Two sfRNA (sfRNA1 and sfRNA2) were

detected, likely the product of XRN1/Pacman stalling on xrRNA structures. Both sfRNAs

were visualized only with probes detecting the (+)RNA, displaying strong signals

corresponding to the expected sizes (~1000 and ~400 nt), suggesting that KRV sfRNA1 and

sfRNA2 outnumber KRV (+)gRNA, as observed for other flaviviruses as well (15, 27, 30).

As expected, the (-)gRNA was more difficult to detect than the (+)gRNA, consistent with its

lower abundance. Interestingly, we detected a previously unknown viral RNA species of ~1.5

kb, which we tentatively refer to as cifRNA for subgenomic cISF RNA (Fig. 2A left panel).

Unlike the sfRNAs, cifRNA could be visualized using probes detecting both the (+) and (-)

strands, suggesting that they are produced by different mechanisms.

We next aimed to quantify the different KRV RNA species by RT-qPCR (Fig. 2B) and found

that for each molecule of gRNA, there was a 2.4-fold increase of cifRNA signal. Taking into

account that the primer set detecting cifRNA also detects the gRNA, this would correspond to

similar levels of gRNA and cifRNA, consistent with the northern blot results. A 10-fold

increase of sfRNA1 and 400-fold increase of sfRNA2 relative to gRNA was observed,

confirming the presence and high abundance of the two sfRNAs during KRV infection.

We characterized the 5' start site of the subgenomic RNA species using a 5'-3' ligation

assay. This analysis confirmed that sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 started immediately upstream of

xrRNA1 and xrRNA2, resulting in products of 1017 nt and 421-422 nt, respectively (Fig.

2C). The 5'-3' ligation assay also identified a hotspot around nt 9831 of the KRV genome,

which was found in 60% of the sequenced clones (Fig. 2D). This hotspot would correspond

to a 1545 nt subgenomic RNA, presumably the cifRNA detected by northern blot. Consensus

secondary structure modelling of the genomic region neighboring the putative cifRNA start

within NS5 in KRV, CFAV, and AEFV suggests the presence of a bulged stem-loop element

(Fig. S1). We speculate that this structured RNA could be associated with the production of

cifRNA.

Pacman-dependent biogenesis of KRV sfRNA

To determine whether biogenesis of the subgenomic RNAs is *Pacman*-dependent, we used

CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing to create *Pacman* knockout (KO) U4.4 cell lines. Several putative

Pacman loci are annotated in the genome of *Aedes albopictus*, of which AALFPA_065179,

AALFPA_057530 and AALFPA_079140 contain the conserved 5'-3' exoribonuclease

domain (>98% identity) and AALFPA_052256 only contains the SH3-like domain and is

unlikely to encode a functional Pacman nuclease (Fig. S2A). Guide RNAs were designed to

introduce frameshift mutations leading to premature stop codons in the 5'-3' exoribonuclease

domain. Two *Pacman* KO U4.4 cell clones were obtained (g3#3 and g2#13), which were

compared to a CRISPR control line (CTRL) that was subjected in parallel to the same

treatment without functional guide RNA, and to the wildtype (WT) parental U4.4 cell line.

Pacman mRNAs containing the 5'-3' exoribonuclease domain were unstable in both *Pacman*

KO U4.4 cell clones (Fig. S2B), likely due to nonsense mediated decay induced by the

presence of premature stop codons. KRV replicated to similar levels in *Pacman* KO cells as

in WT and CTRL cells (Fig. S2C).

Using northern blotting, we observed lower signal for KRV sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 in KRV

infected *Pacman* KO cells, confirming that their biogenesis is *Pacman*-dependent (Fig. 2B,

bottom right panel). Interestingly, two different ~800 nt and ~500 nt subgenomic RNAs were

identified in *Pacman* KO cells, which we named sfRNA1' and sfRNA2', likely the products

of redundant 5'-3'exoribonucleases stalling on structures downstream of xrRNA1 (53, 54).

This is reminiscent of the appearance of other RNA species without loss of sfRNA upon

knockdown of XRN1 in human cells (55). The exact 5' start sites of sfRNA1' and sfRNA2'

were determined by 5'-3'end ligation to be at nt 10,533 and 10,830 of the KRV genome,

respectively (Fig. 2C). These sites did not correspond to notable predicted structures or RNA

motifs (Fig. 1C, data not shown). In contrast to sfRNAs, cifRNA appeared to be *Pacman*-

independent, suggesting that it is produced via another mechanism (Fig. 2A, top right panel).

In Zika virus (ZIKV) infected wild-type cells, sfRNA was highly abundant but no cifRNA

was detectable. Moreover, a significant smear appeared *Pacman* KO cells, suggesting

inefficient processing of viral RNA in the absence of Pacman (Fig. S2D).

RNAi response to flavivirus infection in *Aedes* mosquito cells

Given our observation that KRV produces longer subgenomic RNAs than other flaviviruses

and the proposed function of sfRNA as a viral escape mechanism, notably in small RNA

silencing pathways (31, 56), we analyzed viral small RNAs produced during KRV infection

in comparison to other flaviviruses. Representatives of each major clade of mosquito-

associated flaviviruses were selected to provide a pan-flavivirus overview of viral siRNA and

piRNA profiles in *Ae. albopictus* U4.4 cells. *Culex*-associated arbovirus Saint-Louis

encephalitis virus (SLEV, isolate MSI-7) and West Nile virus (WNV), *Aedes*-associated

arbovirus dengue virus (DENV serotype 2) and ZIKV, and the dISF Nounané virus (NOUV),

Culex-associated cISF CxFV and *Aedes*-associated cISF CFAV and KRV were studied (Fig.

3A). Further, the epidemic SLEV-MSI-7 strain was compared to the ancestral strain SLEV-

Pal as representatives for cosmopolitan and epidemic versus enzootic mosquito-borne

flaviviruses (Fig. S4) (57). All tested flaviviruses replicated to similar levels in U4.4 cells

with approximately 10^8 RNA copies/μg of total RNA at 72 hours post infection, except for

CxFV and CFAV, which reached $3\text{-}5.10^6$ copies/μg of total RNA (Fig. S3A).

As observed previously (2, 30, 41, 44, 46, 58, 59), size profiles of viral small RNAs are

characterized by a prominent peak of 21 nt vsiRNA from both positive- and negative-sense

RNA for all tested flaviviruses (Fig. 3B), with a shoulder of predominantly positive-sense

RNAs of 25-30 nt. Given similar viral RNA levels (Fig. S3A), differences in scales suggest

that CxFV and NOUV elicit an overall weaker siRNA response compared to WNV, SLEV-

MSI-7/Pal, DENV or ZIKV, while KRV elicits the strongest siRNA response recorded, and

CFAV induces a strong siRNA response despite its relatively low RNA levels in cells (Fig.

S3B).

The shoulder of 25-30 nt small RNAs in Figure 3B likely represent vpiRNAs associated with

1U-/10A-bias as observed for several tested flavivirus (Fig. S5), although we have not

formally demonstrated PIWI association. Using the gRNA as reference, the flaviviruses

differed from each other in the relative amount of 25-30 nt viral small RNAs (Fig. S3C).

Notably, viral piRNA over siRNA ratios were relatively low for *Aedes*-associated arboviruses

DENV and ZIKV (0.08 and 0.04, respectively), whereas these ratios were higher for NOUV

and CFAV (0.77 and 0.85, respectively) (Fig. 3B).

Asymmetric distribution of vsiRNAs across the KRV genome

The distribution of vsiRNAs across the viral genomes (Fig. 4, S4) showed relative uniform

mapping of vsiRNAs on both the (+)gRNA and (-)gRNA. A notable exception was KRV, for

which most vsiRNAs mapped to the 3' UTR region. This was not due to an artifact, as

presentation of the data on a logarithmic scale indicates that siRNAs, albeit extremely lowly

abundant, also map to other parts of the genome. This pattern is reminiscent of 3' UTR

biased mapping observed for the other cISFs, CxFV, CFAV and AEFV, although the skewed

distribution is much more pronounced for KRV (Fig. 4A-B) (2, 46, 60). About 14% of the

vsiRNAs of CxFV and CFAV and more than 95% of KRV vsiRNAs derived from their 3'

UTRs, in stark contrast to the other flaviviruses for which a median of ~4% of vsiRNA

mapped to the 3' UTR (Fig. 4C).

The distribution of vsiRNAs on the 3' region of both CFAV and KRV, either expressed as a

percentage of the genome-mapping vsiRNAs (Fig. 4D) or as a density of vsiRNA per nt (Fig.

4E), was further investigated. The 3' UTR region of CFAV was clearly associated with a

higher density of vsiRNAs. In contrast, only a negligible amount of KRV vsiRNA derived

from its gRNA-specific regions (<2%), 5% of vsiRNAs mapped to the region specific of

cifRNA, whereas 92% of KRV vsiRNA mapped to the sfRNA region in the 3' UTR. Thus,

the 3' bias characteristic of cISF and especially KRV-derived vsiRNAs correlated highly

with the 3' UTR, yet, the vsiRNA distribution indicates that the substrate for vsiRNA

production is longer than its sfRNAs (Fig. 4B).

In contrast to siRNAs, vpiRNAs mapped to several discrete hotspots on the viral (+)gRNA

(Fig. S6, S4). For each virus analyzed, vpiRNAs mapped to different genome coordinates in a

manner that was highly reproducible in replicate experiments, in agreement with previous

observations (39, 44, 46, 61). It is worth noting that KRV derived piRNAs mapped at several

hotspot across the gRNA and were not enriched at the 3' UTR, which indicates that each

pathway processes a different substrate. Altogether, our data illustrate a general antiviral

siRNA response to flaviviruses and highlight the unique case of cISFs, especially KRV, for

which the skewed distribution of vsiRNA towards the 3' UTR suggests a unique siRNA

response to the infection.

Viral small RNA production in *Pacman* knockout cells

It is unlikely that sfRNAs are the substrate for vsiRNA production, given that the KRV 3'

biased vsiRNA derived from both (+) and (-) RNA in equimolar quantities, whereas sfRNAs

exclusively derive from the (+) RNA strand. To strengthen this conclusion, we explored the

involvement of sfRNAs in the 3' bias of KRV vsiRNAs by comparing vsiRNA profiles in

U4.4 control cells with *Pacman* KO cells, in which two new KRV subgenomic RNAs were

produced (sfRNA1' and 2'; Fig. 2A). We also analyzed ZIKV and found that *Pacman*

knockout did not affect sfRNA production at the resolution of our northern blot, although a

smear of larger RNA fragments was observed (Fig. S2D) and may be specific to mosquito

cells (55).

Interestingly, total siRNA levels were higher in *Pacman* KO cells than in control cells (Fig.

5A), perhaps due to the higher processing of mRNA by the siRNA pathway when the RNA

decay pathway was impaired. In contrast, vsiRNA levels decreased slightly for KRV and

ZIKV in the absence of Pacman (Fig. 5B, S2C). Moreover, in the segment differentiating

KRV sfRNA1 from the *Pacman* KO associated sfRNA1' and 2' (nt 10361-10533), no

difference in vsiRNA distribution was observed (Fig. 5C, 5E). Similarly, no major

differences were observed for vsiRNA profiles of ZIKV between *Pacman* KO and control

cells (Fig. 5D, 5F). These results further strengthen the conclusion that KRV 3' biased

vsiRNAs are not produced from its sfRNA species.

DISCUSSION

Within the *Flavivirus* genus, cISFs constitute a unique clade of viruses that evolved independently, only infecting invertebrate hosts in which they are not associated with known symptoms (62). As such, cISFs represent a prime resource to better understand viral infection and antiviral immunity in mosquitoes. In this study, we explored the potential role of the exceptionally long and unique 3' UTR of KRV. We found that KRV produces high quantities of two sfRNAs as well as a putative new subgenomic RNA referred as cifRNA. In a pan-flavivirus small RNA analysis, we found that vsiRNAs generally mapped across the viral genome for most mosquito-specific and mosquito-borne viruses, while there was a strong vsiRNA bias toward the 3' UTR of KRV, the production of which was independent of sfRNAs.

Unique RNAi response toward classical insect specific flaviviruses is sfRNA-independent

RNAi is a cornerstone of mosquito immunity comparable to the importance of the interferon response in mammalian systems, as its deficiency leads to increased sensitivity to viral infections (35, 63–65). Our pan-flavivirus analysis strengthens previous observations in *Anopheles* (66), *Culex* (58, 67) and *Aedes* (41, 63) that mosquito RNAi raises a broad and uniform antiviral response against all assessed mosquito-borne flaviviruses. Yet, cISFs seem to have evolved to produce a unique RNAi response with vsiRNAs biased towards the 3' UTR of the viral genome, which was particularly strong for KRV but also detectable for CxFV, CFAV, and previously for AEFV (2). Interestingly, we did not observe a 3' vsiRNA bias for the dISF NOUV or for the sylvatic SLEV-Pal (57), indicating that the biased vsiRNA production is not required for a mosquito restricted transmission cycle.

The homogeneous distribution across the genome and the absence of a strand bias of

vsiRNAs is consistent with processing of flaviviruses dsRNA formed by (+) and (-) gRNA

hybrids (35). The 3' vsiRNA bias of KRV and other cISFs suggest a correlation with viral

RNA species produced specifically by cISFs, which remain to be elucidated. A 3' vsiRNA

bias has previously been suggested to be related to sfRNA and RNA structure of the region

(2), but our data do not support such hypothesis. First, KRV vsiRNAs derive equally from

sfRNA1 or sfRNA2 regions, while more vsiRNAs would be expected toward the 3' end due

to the high abundance of both sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 (22, 27, 30). Second, vsiRNA patterns

are not affected in *Pacman*-knockout cells, whereas sfRNA production is affected in those

cells. Third, the vsiRNA 3' bias starts upstream of the 3' UTR and the sfRNAs, and, fourth,

both (+) and (-) sense vsiRNA are present at equimolar levels, whereas sfRNA is a (+) sense

RNA. Thus, the mechanism underlying the 3' bias of vsiRNAs remains to be understood and

may be multi-factorial. Perhaps the double-stranded cifRNA is a substrate of vsiRNA

biogenesis, but other hypotheses should be considered as well. For example, sfRNAs could

bind the 5' end of (-)gRNA as a competitive regulator of RNA replication (68–70), which

would generate a double-stranded Dicer substrate.

331   KRV produces a putative novel subgenomic RNA

As ISFs infect only insect hosts, they evolved to adapt to a single organism compared to

vector-borne flaviviruses and present specific features such as the *fairly interesting Flavivirus*

ORF derived from a ribosomal frameshift in the NS2A-NS2B coding sequence (71). Here,

based on northern blot analyses and end-to-end ligation, we propose that KRV and possibly

other cISFs encode a previously unknown subgenomic RNA species, which we named

subgenomic cifRNA. The presence of both (+) and (-) sense cifRNA at similar levels with

gRNA suggests that cifRNA biogenesis involved negative strand synthesis by the NS5

polymerase (12). Different models have been described for the formation of subgenomic RNA through an (-) RNA intermediate, including long range RNA-RNA interactions as for members of the order *Nidovirales* (72–74), premature termination of replication or internal promoters as for tombusviruses and alphaviruses (75, 76). If similar mechanisms are responsible for cifRNA production remains to be investigated. The evolutionary conserved, predicted RNA structure in the immediate proximity of the 5' end cifRNA would be an interesting candidate to investigate as a potential regulator of cifRNA production.

The generation of cifRNA raises questions about its possible function(s) in cISF replication and vertical transmission in mosquito populations (77, 78). It could potentially be used as a template for new unidentified proteins: the longest predicted ORF could produce a ~10 kDa peptide in frame with the C-terminal region of NS5, shorter than its last functional RdRp domain. Alternatively, cifRNA could serve as a regulator of viral RNA replication, for example by sequestering NS5 or other proteins required for viral genome replication.

Conclusion

As part of the constant arms race between viruses and their hosts (79), cISFs and especially KRV have evolved unique ways to maintain themselves in mosquito populations. KRV's strikingly long 3' UTR representing 10% of its total gRNA, combined with the expression of two highly abundant sfRNAs, a subgenomic cifRNA species, as well as the strong 3' bias of vsiRNAs makes KRV an intriguing model to study the biology of cISFs and the mechanisms of mosquito antiviral immunity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Pascal Miesen for preliminary analysis of small RNA sequencing data. We thank Andrea Gamarnik (Fundación Instituto Leloir, Buenos Aires, Argentina) for advice on the 5'-3' ligation method. We thank Beate Kümmerer (University of Bonn) for providing DENV2 strain 16681 and M. Niedrig (Robert-Koch-Institute, Berlin) for providing WNV strain NY-99. CFAV (isolate Rio Piedras), CxFV (isolate Uganda08), KRV (isolate SR-75) and ZIKV isolate H/PF/2013 were provided by Xavier de Lamballerie (Aix Marseille Université) through the European Virus Archive (EVAg), funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 programme. This study was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within Priority Programme SPP1596 [grant number JU 2857/1-1 and JU2857/1-2 to SJ and grant number VA 827/1-1 and RI 2381/1-2 to RPvR] and by a European Research Council Consolidator Grant under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme [grant number ERC CoG 615680 to RPvR]. Small RNA sequencing was performed by the GenomEast platform, a member of the "France Génomique" consortium (ANR-10-INBS-0009).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cells and viruses

Aedes albopictus C6/36 cells (ECACC General Cell Collection, #89051705) and U4.4 cells

(kindly provided by G.P. Pijlman, Wageningen University, the Netherlands) were cultured at

25°C in Leibovitz L15 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal calf

serum (Sigma), 2% tryptose phosphate broth solution (Sigma), 1x MEM non-essential amino

acids (Gibco), and 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco).

CFAV (isolate Rio Piedras), CxFV (isolate Uganda08), KRV (isolate SR-75) and ZIKV

(isolate H/PF/2013) were obtained from the European Virus Archive. DENV2 (strain 16681)

was provided by Beate Kümmerer, University of Bonn. SLEV (strain MSI-7) was obtained

from the National Collection of Pathogenic Viruses, Porton Down, Salisbury, United

Kingdom and WNV (strain NY-99) was kindly provided by M. Niedrig, Robert-Koch-

Institute, Berlin, Germany. SLEV (Palenque) and NOUV (isolate B3) were previously

characterized (57, 80). Reference sequences are listed in Table S1.

Plasmids

Plasmids used as standard to normalize the qPCR data were obtained by blunt end ligation of

the flavivirus qPCR products into pGEM-3Z (Promega), using SmaI (NEB) and T4 DNA

ligase (Roche). For KRV RNA species quantification, plasmid pUC57-KRV-9000-11375

containing part of NS5 gene and the 3' UTR of KRV (nt 9000-11375) was synthesized by

GenScript.

The pAc-Cas9-AalbU6.2 plasmid was generated by replacing the *D. melanogaster U6*

promoter in the pAc-sgRNA-Cas9 (gifted by Ji-Long Liu, Addgene plasmid #49330) (81)

with the *Ae. albopictus U6.2* promoter for AALFPA_045636 and by introducing XbaI

restriction sites using In-Fusion cloning (Takara) on 4 fragments amplified by the primers in

Table S2, according to the manufacturer's instructions. sgRNA sequences targeting *Pacman*

were cloned directly after the U6 promoter using SapI restriction, followed by ligation using

T4 DNA ligase (Roche) and annealed and phosphorylated complementary oligos (Table S2),

replacing the 5'-GGAAGAGCGAGCTCTTCC-3' sequence that was used as negative control

for CRISPR/Cas9 knockouts.

CRISPR/Cas9

To obtain the CRISPR control (CTRL) and *Pacman*-knockouts, U4.4 cells were transfected

with the pAc-Cas9-AalbU6.2 plasmid. It expresses 3xFLAG-tagged Cas9 with N- and C-

terminal SV40 nuclear localization signals, followed by a viral 2A ribosome self-cleavage

site and the puromycin N-acetyltransferase coding sequence, driven by the *D. melanogaster*

Actin5c promoter. U4.4 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate and transfected the next day with

500 ng of plasmid, using X-tremeGENE HP transfection reagent (Roche) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. At 2 days after transfection, puromycin (InvivoGen) was added

to the culture medium at a concentration of 20 µg/ml and 4 days later, the cells were

transferred to a new plate in a 1:2 dilution, again with 20 µg/ml puromycin. The other half of

the cells was used for gDNA isolation (Zymo Research #D3024) and PCR (Promega

#M7806) using primers flanking the sequence targeted by the sgRNA (Table S2) to assess

editing efficiency. Multiple sgRNA constructs were initially constructed and the constructs

with the highest editing efficiency were selected, assessed by size heterogeneity of the PCR

products on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel. Cells transfected with these sgRNA

constructs (g2 and g3) were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of a single cell per well in

supplemented L15 medium in the absence of puromycin. After 3 weeks, gDNA was isolated

from the single-cell clones, followed by PCR and Sanger sequencing of the targeted *Pacman*

locus. Based on the sequencing results U4.4 clones g2#13 and g3#3, both containing only

out-of-frame deletions in the *Pacman* coding region, were selected for further analyses.

Small RNA library preparation and analysis

U4.4 cells were seeded at a density of $2x10^6$ cells per well in 6-well plates and infected the

following day with the designated flaviviruses at an MOI of 0.1. Cells were harvested at 72

hours post infection (hpi) in RNA-Solv reagent (Omega Biotek R630-02) for total RNA

isolation. 1 µg of RNA was used as input for library preparation using the NEBNext

Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB E7560S), according to the

manufacturer's recommendations. The libraries were size-selected on 6% polyacrylamide/1x

TBE gels, quantified using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer System, and pooled for sequencing

on an Illumina HiSeq4000 machine by the GenomEast Platform (Strasbourg, France). Viral

small RNA sequences were mapped to the designated genome (Table S1) using Bowtie

(Galaxy Tool Version 1.1.2) (82) allowing 1 mismatch. The genome distribution of the viral

small RNAs was obtained by plotting the 5' ends of mapping reads on the viral genome

sequence. Nucleotide biases were plotted using the WebLogo 3 program (Galaxy Tool

Version 0.4). All reads were normalized by library size as reads per million. The small RNA

sequencing datasets have been deposited at the Sequence Read Archive under accession

number PRJNA830662.

Reverse transcription and quantitative PCR

For RT-qPCR, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed in a 20 µl reaction using Taqman

RT Reagents (ThermoFisher Scientific) with hexamers at 48°C for quantification of viral

RNA copies or SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) with primer

5'-AGCGCATTTATGGTATAGAAAAGA-3' at 60°C for quantification of specific KRV

RNA species . Quantitative PCR was performed using the GoTaq qPCR SYBR mastermix

(Promega) on a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). A standard curve of plasmids

containing the corresponding viral sequence was used to convert Ct values to relative viral

RNA copy numbers. *Pacman* mRNA levels were normalized to house-keeping gene

ribosomal protein L5. For qPCR primer sequences, see Table S2.

Northern Blot

5 µg of total RNA was separated on a 1X MOPS, 5% formaldehyde, 0.8% agarose gel for 5

h, transferred on a Hybond NX nylon membrane (Amersham) and cross-linked in the Gene

linker UV chamber (Bio-Rad). Viral RNAs were detected with DNA oligonucleotides (Table

S2) end-labelled with [^{32}P] γ-adenosine-triphosphate (Perkin Elmer) using T4 polynucleotide

kinase (Roche). Hybridization to the oligonucleotide probes was performed overnight at 42°C

in Ultra-hyb Oligo hybridization buffer (Ambion). Membranes were then washed three times

at 42°C with decreasing concentrations of SDS (0.2 to 0.1%) and exposed to X-ray films

(Carestream).

5' to 3' end ligation

The 5' ends of KRV RNA species were determined by 5' to 3' end ligation using a method

adapted from (10, 83). C6/36 cells were seeded at a density of $4x10^6$ cells per T75 flask and

infected the following day with KRV at an MOI of 10. Cells were harvested at 48 hpi and

RNA was isolated using RNA-Solv reagent and 10 µg of total RNA was treated with T4

RNA ligase (Epicenter). Ligated RNAs were revere transcribed with Taqman Multiscribe

(Applied Biosystem) using random hexamers. The 5'-3' junction region was amplified by

PCR using a forward primer at the end of the 3' UTR and a reverse primer in the 5' part of

KRV RNA species (Table S2), cloned into pGEM-3Z (Promega) using In-Fusion technology

(Takara) and Sanger sequenced by the in-house sequencing facility.

RNA structure prediction and bioinformatics

RNA secondary structure predictions of the KRV, CFAV, CxFV, and XFV 3'UTR regions

was performed with the ViennaRNA Package v.2.5.1 (84). Evolutionarily conserved

elements were identified with the help of the viRNA GitHub repository

(https://github.com/mtw/viRNA), and used as constraints for RNA structure prediction.

Locally stable RNA structures were predicted with RNALfold from the ViennaRNA

Package, allowing for a maximal base pair span of 100 nt.

A consensus secondary structure of the genomic region upstream of the 3'UTR was

computed from a structural LocARNA (85) alignment of the respective regions with

RNAalifold from the ViennaRNA Package (86). Consensus xrRNA structure predicted from

CFAV SHAPE data (50) was visualized using VARNA-v3.93 (87).

Multiple sequence alignments of whole genome and 3' UTR sequences were generated with

MAFFT (88), curated with BMGE (89) and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was

built with PhyML (90) using NGPhylogeny.fr (91) using default settings. Phylogenetic trees

were visualized on iTOL (92). Percentage identities were determined with Mview (93).

Viral reference sequences are listed in Table S3.

Statistical analysis

Graphical representation and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism v7

software. Differences were tested for statistical significance using one- or two-way ANOVA

and Fisher's LSD test.

LEGENDS

Figure 1. KRV has a long and unique 3' UTR

(A) Length of the 3' UTR of all members of the *Flavivirus* genus with a RefSeq, a complete

coding genome and a 3' UTR of at least 200 nt. Viruses belong to the clades indicated: cISF,

classical insect specific flaviviruses; dISF, dual-host affiliated insect specific flaviviruses;

MBF, mosquito-borne flaviviruses; NKV, no known vector; TBF, tick-borne flaviviruses. For

virus name and accession numbers, see Table S1. **(B)** Secondary structure prediction of the

3'UTR of four insect-specific flaviviruses. Maximum likelihood phylogenic tree and

alignment of 3' UTR of listed viruses with conserved regions as described in (94). Branch

lengths are proportional to the number of substitutions per site. Evolutionarily conserved

RNA elements are highlighted in colour, indicating that elements depicted in the same colour

are structurally homologous. Elements without colour represent locally stable RNA structures

from single-sequence RNA structure predictions. Exoribonuclease-resistant structures

(xrRNA) in KRV, CFAV and AEFV are shown in blue, including reported pseudoknot

interactions (16) with sequence regions downstream of the three-way junction structures.

Repeat elements a (Ra), and b (Rb) (20) are depicted in olive and orange, respectively. 3'

stem-loop elements (3'SL) are shown in dark green. The internal 3'SL element of KRV is

predicted to adopt a longer closing stem, which lacks evolutionary support in other viruses.

The same applies for the extended closing stems of Ra elements in XFV. Percent nucleotide

identities of each virus to KRV are indicated for the region between xrRNA2 and the 3' SL.

Lengths of the 3' UTRs are indicated on the right.

Figure 2. KRV produces high quantities of subgenomic RNA species

(A) Northern blot of positive-sense (POS) or negative-sense (NEG) viral RNA in wildtype

(WT) or *Pacman* knockout (KO) U4.4 cells mock infected (-) or infected with KRV (+) at an

MOI of 0.1 for 72 h. Viral RNA was detected using a pool of oligonucleotide probes for the

3' UTR of KRV, between positions 10,361 and 11,375. All images were captured from the

same northern blot. For uncropped images, see Fig. S7. **(B)** Relative RT-qPCR quantification

of KRV RNA in U4.4 cells infected for 72 h at an MOI of 0.1. Data are expressed relative to

gRNA copy numbers and bars indicate means and standard deviation of four replicates. * $p <$

0.05; ** $p < 0.01$ by one-Way ANOVA and Fisher's LSD test. **(C)** Position of 5' ends of

KRV sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 defined by 5' to 3' end ligation and sequencing, displayed on the

consensus xrRNA structure predicted from SHAPE data for CFAV xrRNA1, which is 90%

identical to KRV xrRNA1 and xrRNA2 (50). **(D)** Position of 5' ends of KRV cifRNA

identified by 5' to 3' end ligation. Positions are indicated relative to the genome sequence.

Figure 3. Comparison of flavivirus-derived small RNAs in U4.4 cells

(A) Maximum likelihood phylogenic tree based on whole genome sequences of the indicated

viruses. Branch lengths are proportional to the number of substitutions per site. MBF,

mosquito-borne flavivirus; ISF, insect-specific flavivirus. **(B)** Size profiles of flavivirus-

derived small RNAs in read per million (RPM) from U4.4 cells infected for 72 h at an MOI

of 0.1. The results are the average of two experiments for all flaviviruses, except for CxFV (n

= 1), CFAV (n = 3, of which 2 in WT U4.4 cells and 1 in CRISPR CTRL U4.4 cells), and

KRV (n = 3). Error bars are the standard deviation between replicates. Positive-sense RNAs

are shown in red, negative-sense RNA in blue. Ratios of viral piRNAs over siRNAs are

indicated for each virus.

Figure 4. KRV vsiRNAs are strongly biased towards the 3' UTR

(A) Distribution of flavivirus-derived vsiRNAs across the genome of each virus in reads per

million (RPM) from U4.4 cells infected at a MOI of 0.1 for 72h. Start and end of the 3' UTRs

are indicated by dashed vertical lines. **(B)** Distribution of KRV vsiRNAs on a logarithmic

scale with positions of the cifRNA, 3' UTR, and sfRNAs indicated. **(C)** Percentage of

vsiRNAs mapping to the 3' UTR compared to the whole genome sequence for the indicated

flaviviruses. The dashed horizontal line indicates the median of 3' UTR derived vsiRNA

from non-cISFs. **(D-E)** Percentage of vsiRNAs compared to the whole genome sequence **(D)**

and average density of vsiRNAs per nucleotide (E) in the indicated regions of the genome of

CFAV (left) or KRV (right). The size of each region is indicated in italic as a percentage of

the genome size. For CFAV, a start position of 9800 was assumed for the putative cifRNA.

(A-E) The results are the average of two experiments for all flaviviruses, except for CxFV (n

= 1) and KRV (n = 3). Error bars are the standard deviation between replicates for each

individual nucleotide. Positive-strand RNAs are shown in red, negative-strand RNAs in blue.

$* p < 0.05$; $**** p < 0.0001$ by two-way ANOVA and Fisher's LSD test.

Figure 5. Pacman knockout does not affect vsiRNA profiles

(A-B) Quantification of total siRNAs (A) and sense (+) and antisense (-) vsiRNAs (B) in

wild-type (WT), *Pacman* control (CTRL) or *Pacman* knockout (KO) U4.4 cell lines infected

with ZIKV at an MOI of 0.1 or KRV at an MOI of 10 for 72 h. Viral siRNA levels were

normalized to viral gRNA levels. Errors bars represent the standard deviation from two

independent cell lines. ns, non-significant; *, $p < 0.05$ by two-way ANOVA and Fisher's

LSD test. **(C-D)** Distribution of (+) and (-) vsiRNAs across the 3' end of the genomes of

KRV (C) or ZIKV (D) (from nt 9000 onwards) in control and *Pacman* KO cells. Top panels

show (+) vsiRNAs and lower panels show (-) vsiRNAs. Boundaries of subgenomic RNAs

and 3' UTRs are indicated by dashed vertical lines. The results are the average from two

independent cell lines. **(E-F)** Percentage of vsiRNAs derived from the indicated regions

compared to the entire gRNA. The size of each region is indicated in italic as a percentage of

the viral genome size. Errors bars represent the standard deviation from two independent cell

lines. (C-F) Blue, (+) vsiRNA; red, (-) vsiRNA; darker, WT and CTRL cells; lighter,

Pacman-KO cells.

Supplementary figure 1. Consensus structure prediction of KRV, CFAV and AEFV

region neighboring the putative cifRNA start within NS5.

A. Structural alignment of homologous regions in KRV, CFAV, and AeFV represented with

the RNA dot-bracket annotation, with unpaired nucleotides as dots and base pairs as brackets.

The RNAalifold color scheme overlay indicates observed structure conservation supported by

covariation levels of each base pair. Allowed pairs: A-U, G-C and U-G. Red asterisk,

putative cifRNA start. Gray bar scale, sequence homology. **B.** Predicted consensus secondary

structure.

Supplementary figure 2. Characterization of flavivirus infection of *Pacman*-KO U4.4

cells

(A) *Pacman* KO U4.4 cell lines were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 technology, amplified from

single clones, and the edited sites in exon 4 were Sanger sequenced. Sequencing identified

three small deletions that all induced out-of-frame mutations in the exoribonuclease domain

in both *Pacman* KO clones. Gene structure, conserved domains and primer sets used in (B)

are indicated. **(B)** Relative quantification by RT-qPCR of *Pacman* mRNA in *Pacman* CTRL

and KO cells infected with KRV at a MOI of 1 for 96h. *Pacman* mRNA levels were

normalized to house-keeping gene *ribosomal protein L5* and *Pacman* mRNA in WT cells.

(C) Quantification of ZIKV or KRV RNA in cells and culture supernatants of the indicated

U4.4 cells at 72 h post infection at an MOI of 0.1 or 10. **(D)** Northern blot of ZIKV RNA in

wildtype (WT) and *Pacman* KO U4.4 cells infected at a MOI of 0.1 (+) or mock infected (-)

for 72 h. Probes detecting the 3' UTR sequence were used.

Supplementary figure 3. Relative quantification of gRNA, vsiRNA and vpiRNA.

(A) Quantification of viral gRNA copies, **(B)** total vsiRNA over gRNA copy ratios, and **(C)**

(+) vpiRNA over gRNA copy ratios in the samples analyzed in Figures 3-4 and S6. U4.4

cells were infected with the indicated virus at a MOI of 0.1 for 72h. Error bars are the

standard deviation of at least 2 independent experiments. ns, non-significant by one-way

ANOVA and Fisher's LSD test.

Supplementary figure 4. Comparison of viral small RNA profiles of ancestral SLEV-Pal

with the pandemic SLEV-MSI-7.

Small RNA profile **(A)**, distribution of vsiRNAs **(B)** and vpiRNAs **(C)** over the genome of

SLEV-Pal comparison to SLEV-MSI-7 (data from Figures 3-4 and S6) showed no major

differences between the ancestral and pandemic strains. U4.4 cells were infected with the

indicated virus at a MOI of 0.1 for 72h. The results are the average of two experiments. Error

bars are the standard deviation between replicates for each individual nucleotide. Positive-

sense RNAs are shown in red, negative-sense RNAs in blue.

Supplementary figure 5. Sequence logos of flavivirus vpiRNAs

Sequence logos of flavivirus derived 25-30 nt vpiRNAs. The 1U-bias characteristic of PIWI

protein-associated small RNAs is detectable for vpiRNAs of CFAV, KRV, DENV and

SLEV. 10A-bias on the positive strand characteristic of ping-pong piRNA amplification is

detectable for vpiRNAs of CFAV, KRV, DENV.

Supplementary figure 6. Viral piRNA profiles of flavivirus infected U4.4 cells

(A) Distribution of flavivirus derived 25-30 nt vpiRNAs on the genome of each virus in reads

per million (RPM) from U4.4 cells infected for 72h at a MOI of 0.1. **(B)** Percentage of

vpiRNAs mapping to the 3' UTR compared to the entire gRNA for the indicated flaviviruses.

(C) Percentage of vpiRNAs mapping to the indicated regions compared to the whole gRNA

and **(D)** average density of vpiRNAs per nucleotide in different regions of the genome of

CFAV (left) or KRV (right). The size of each region is indicated in italic as a percentage of

the gRNA size. In (C) and (D), only (+) vpiRNAs are shown. **(A-D)** The results are the

average of two experiments for all flaviviruses, except for CxFV (n=1) and KRV (n=3). Error

bars are the standard deviation between replicates. Positive-strand RNAs are shown in red,

negative-strand RNA in blue.

Supplementary figure 7. Uncropped northern blot images

Supplementary table 1. List of viruses used in pan-flavivirus small RNA analysis

Supplementary table 2. List of oligonucleotides for northern blots, qPCR and cloning

Supplementary table 3. List of genome references used for 3'UTR analysis

REFERENCES

1. Saiyasombat R, Bolling BG, Brault AC, Bartholomay LC, Blitvich BJ. 2011. Evidence of Efficient Transovarial Transmission of Culex Flavivirus by Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 48:1031–1038.

2. Frangeul L, Blanc H, Saleh M-C, Suzuki Y. 2020. Differential Small RNA Responses against Co-Infecting Insect-Specific Viruses in Aedes albopictus Mosquitoes. Viruses 12:468.

3. Blitvich B, Firth A. 2015. Insect-Specific Flaviviruses: A Systematic Review of Their Discovery, Host Range, Mode of Transmission, Superinfection Exclusion Potential and Genomic Organization. Viruses 7:1927–1959.

4. Moureau G, Cook S, Lemey P, Nougairède A, Forrester NL, Khasnatinov M, Charrel RN, Firth AE, Gould EA, de Lamballerie X. 2015. New Insights into Flavivirus Evolution, Taxonomy and Biogeographic History, Extended by Analysis of Canonical and Alternative Coding Sequences. PLoS One 10:e0117849--30.

5. Hall RA, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, McLean BJ, O'Brien CA, Colmant AMG, Piyasena TBH, Harrison JJ, Newton ND, Barnard RT, Prow NA, Deerain JM, Mah MGKY, Hobson-Peters J. 2016. Commensal Viruses of Mosquitoes: Host Restriction, Transmission, and Interaction with Arboviral Pathogens. Evolutionary Bioinformatics 12s2:EBO.S40740.

6. Pierson TC, Diamond MS. 2020. The continued threat of emerging flaviviruses. Nature Microbiology 5:796–812.

7. Porier DL, Wilson SN, Auguste DI, Leber A, Coutermarsh-Ott S, Allen IC, Caswell CC, Budnick JA, Bassaganya-Riera J, Hontecillas R, Weger-Lucarelli J, Weaver SC,

Auguste AJ. 2021. Enemy of My Enemy: A Novel Insect-Specific Flavivirus Offers a

Promising Platform for a Zika Virus Vaccine. Vaccines (Basel) 9:1142.

8.   Hobson-Peters J, Harrison JJ, Watterson D, Hazlewood JE, Vet LJ, Newton ND,

Warrilow D, Colmant AMG, Taylor C, Huang B, Piyasena TBH, Chow WK, Setoh YX,

Tang B, Nakayama E, Yan K, Amarilla AA, Wheatley S, Moore PR, Finger M, Kurucz

N, Modhiran N, Young PR, Khromykh AA, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, Suhrbier A, Hall RA.

2019. A recombinant platform for flavivirus vaccines and diagnostics using chimeras

of a new insect-specific virus. Science Translational Medicine 11.

9.   Vet LJ, Setoh YX, Amarilla AA, Habarugira G, Suen WW, Newton ND, Harrison JJ,

Hobson-Peters J, Hall RA, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H. 2020. Protective Efficacy of a

Chimeric Insect-Specific Flavivirus Vaccine against West Nile Virus. Vaccines (Basel)

8:258.

10. Varjak M, Donald CL, Mottram TJ, Sreenu VB, Merits A, Maringer K, Schnettler E,

Kohl A. 2017. Characterization of the Zika virus induced small RNA response in Aedes

aegypti cells. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 11:e0006010.

11. De Falco L, Silva NM, Santos NC, Huber RG, Martins IC. 2021. The Pseudo-Circular

Genomes of Flaviviruses: Structures, Mechanisms, and Functions of Circularization.

Cells 10:642.

12. Mazeaud C, Freppel W, Chatel-Chaix L. 2018. The Multiples Fates of the Flavivirus

RNA Genome During Pathogenesis. Frontiers in Genetics 9.

13. Fajardo T, Sanford TJ, Mears H V., Jasper A, Storrie S, Mansur DS, Sweeney TR.

2020. The flavivirus polymerase NS5 regulates translation of viral genomic RNA.

Nucleic Acids Research 48:5081–5093.

14.   Trettin KD, Sinha NK, Eckert DM, Apple SE, Bass BL. 2017. Loquacious-PD facilitates

Drosophila Dicer-2 cleavage through interactions with the helicase domain and

dsRNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114:E7939–E7948.

15.   Pijlman GP, Funk A, Kondratieva N, Leung J, Torres S, van der Aa L, Liu WJ,

Palmenberg AC, Shi PY, Hall RA, Khromykh AA. 2008. A Highly Structured,

Nuclease-Resistant, Noncoding RNA Produced by Flaviviruses Is Required for

Pathogenicity. Cell Host and Microbe 4:579–591.

16.   MacFadden A, O'Donoghue Z, Silva PAGCGC, Chapman EG, Olsthoorn RC, Sterken

MG, Pijlman GP, Bredenbeek PJ, Kieft JS. 2018. Mechanism and structural diversity

of exoribonuclease-resistant RNA structures in flaviviral RNAs. Nature

Communications 9:119.

17.   Slonchak A, Khromykh AA. 2018. Subgenomic flaviviral RNAs: What do we know

after the first decade of research. Antiviral Research

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2018.09.006.

18.   Vicens Q, Kieft JS. 2021. Shared properties and singularities of exoribonuclease-

resistant RNAs in viruses. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal

19:4373–4380.

19.   Kieft JS, Rabe JL, Chapman EG. 2015. New hypotheses derived from the structure of

a flaviviral Xrn1-resistant RNA: Conservation, folding, and host adaptation. RNA

Biology 12:1169–1177.

20.   Ochsenreiter R, Hofacker I, Wolfinger M. 2019. Functional RNA Structures in the

3′ UTR of Tick-Borne, Insect-Specific and No-Known-Vector Flaviviruses. Viruses

11:298.

21.   Villordo SM, Filomatori C V, Sánchez-Vargas I, Blair CD, Gamarnik A V. 2015. Dengue

Virus RNA Structure Specialization Facilitates Host Adaptation. PLoS Pathogens

11:e1004604--22.

22.   Filomatori C V., Carballeda JM, Villordo SM, Aguirre S, Pallarés HM, Maestre AM,

Sánchez-Vargas I, Blair CD, Fabri C, Morales MA, Fernandez-Sesma A, Gamarnik A V.

2017. Dengue virus genomic variation associated with mosquito adaptation defines

the pattern of viral non-coding RNAs and fitness in human cells. PLOS Pathogens

13:e1006265.

23.   Funk A, Truong K, Nagasaki T, Torres S, Floden N, Balmori Melian E, Edmonds J,

Dong H, Shi PY, Khromykh AA. 2010. RNA structures required for production of

subgenomic flavivirus RNA. J Virol 84:11407–11417.

24.   Pallarés HM, Costa Navarro GS, Villordo SM, Merwaiss F, de Borba L, Gonzalez

Lopez Ledesma MM, Ojeda DS, Henrion-Lacritick A, Morales MA, Fabri C, Saleh MC,

Gamarnik A V. 2020. Zika Virus Subgenomic Flavivirus RNA Generation Requires

Cooperativity between Duplicated RNA Structures That Are Essential for Productive

Infection in Human Cells. Journal of Virology 94.

25.   Yeh S-C, Tan W-L, Chowdhury A, Chuo V, Kini RM, Pompon J, Garcia-Blanco MA.

2021. The anti-immune dengue subgenomic flaviviral RNA is found in vesicles in

mosquito saliva 2 and associated with increased infectivity. bioRxiv

https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.02.433543.

26.   Moon SL, Anderson JR, Kumagai Y, Wilusz CJ, Akira S, Khromykh AA, Wilusz J. 2012.

A noncoding RNA produced by arthropod-borne flaviviruses inhibits the cellular

exoribonuclease XRN1 and alters host mRNA stability. RNA 18:2029–2040.

27.   Slonchak A, Hugo LE, Freney ME, Hall-Mendelin S, Amarilla AA, Torres FJ, Setoh YX,

736   Peng NYG, Sng JDJ, Hall RA, van den Hurk AF, Devine GJ, Khromykh AA. 2020. Zika

737   virus noncoding RNA suppresses apoptosis and is required for virus transmission by

738   mosquitoes. Nature Communications 11:2205.

739   28.   Hussain M, Torres S, Schnettler E, Funk A, Grundhoff A, Pijlman GP, Khromykh AA,

740   Asgari S. 2012. West Nile virus encodes a microRNA-like small RNA in the 3'

741   untranslated region which up-regulates GATA4 mRNA and facilitates virus

742   replication in mosquito cells. Nucleic Acids Research 40:2210–2223.

743   29.   Pompon J, Manuel M, Ng GK, Wong B, Shan C, Manokaran G, Soto-Acosta R,

744   Bradrick SS, Ooi EE, Missé D, Shi PY, Garcia-Blanco MA. 2017. Dengue subgenomic

745   flaviviral RNA disrupts immunity in mosquito salivary glands to increase virus

746   transmission. PLoS Pathogens 13:e1006535--27.

747   30.   Göertz GP, Fros JJ, Miesen P, Vogels CBF, van der Bent ML, Geertsema C, Koenraadt

748   CJM, van Rij RP, van Oers MM, Pijlman GP. 2016. Noncoding Subgenomic Flavivirus

749   RNA Is Processed by the Mosquito RNA Interference Machinery and Determines

750   West Nile Virus Transmission by Culex pipiens Mosquitoes. J Virol 90:10145–10159.

751   31.   Moon SL, Dodd BJT, Brackney DE, Wilusz CJ, Ebel GD, Wilusz J. 2015. Flavivirus

752   sfRNA suppresses antiviral RNA interference in cultured cells and mosquitoes and

753   directly interacts with the RNAi machinery. Virology 485:322–329.

754   32.   Phoo WW, Li Y, Zhang Z, Lee MY, Loh YR, Tan YB, Ng EY, Lescar J, Kang C, Luo D.

755   2016. Structure of the NS2B-NS3 protease from Zika virus after self-cleavage. Nature

756   Communications 1–8.

757   33.   Schnettler E, Sterken MG, Leung JY, Metz SW, Geertsema C, Goldbach RW, Vlak JM,

758   Kohl A, Khromykh AA, Pijlman GP. 2012. Noncoding Flavivirus RNA Displays RNA

Interference Suppressor Activity in Insect and Mammalian Cells. Journal of Virology

86:13486–13500.

34.   Cirimotich CM, Scott JC, Phillips AT, Geiss BJ, Olson KE. 2009. Suppression of RNA

interference increases alphavirus replication and virus-associated mortality in Aedes

aegypti mosquitoes. BMC Microbiology 9:49.

35.   Bronkhorst AW, van Rij RP. 2014. The long and short of antiviral defense: small RNA-

based immunity in insects. Current Opinion in Virology 7:19–28.

36.   Marques JT, Imler J-L. 2016. The diversity of insect antiviral immunity: insights from

viruses. Current Opinion in Microbiology 32:71–76.

37.   Rosendo Machado S, van der Most T, Miesen P. 2021. Genetic determinants of

antiviral immunity in dipteran insects – Compiling the experimental evidence.

Developmental & Comparative Immunology 119:104010.

38.   Elrefaey AME, Hollinghurst P, Reitmayer CM, Alphey L, Maringer K. 2021. Innate

Immune Antagonism of Mosquito-Borne Flaviviruses in Humans and Mosquitoes.

Viruses 13:2116.

39.   Miesen P, Joosten J, van Rij RP. 2016. PIWIs Go Viral: Arbovirus-Derived piRNAs in

Vector Mosquitoes. PLOS Pathogens 12:e1006017.

40.   Blair CD. 2019. Deducing the Role of Virus Genome-Derived PIWI-Associated RNAs

in the Mosquito–Arbovirus Arms Race. Frontiers in Genetics 10.

41.   Miesen P, Ivens A, Buck AH, van Rij RP. 2016. Small RNA Profiling in Dengue Virus 2-

Infected Aedes Mosquito Cells Reveals Viral piRNAs and Novel Host miRNAs. PLOS

Neglected Tropical Diseases 10:e0004452.

42.   Miesen P, Girardi E, van Rij RP. 2015. Distinct sets of PIWI proteins produce arbovirus

and transposon-derived piRNAs in Aedes aegypti mosquito cells. Nucleic Acids

Research 43:6545–6556.

43.   Joosten J, Miesen P, Ta\c sköprü E, Pennings B, Jansen PWTC, Huynen MA,

Vermeulen M, van Rij RP. 2019. The Tudor protein Veneno assembles the ping-pong

amplification complex that produces viral piRNAs in Aedes mosquitoes. Nucleic

Acids Res 47:2546–2559.

44.   Varjak M, Donald CL, Mottram TJ, Sreenu VB, Merits A, Maringer K, Schnettler E,

Kohl A. 2017. Characterization of the Zika virus induced small RNA response in Aedes

aegypti cells. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 11:e0006010.

45.   Tassetto M, Kunitomi M, Whitfield ZJ, Dolan PT, Sánchez-Vargas I, Garcia-Knight M,

Ribiero I, Chen T, Olson KE, Andino R. 2019. Control of RNA viruses in mosquito cells

through the acquisition of vDNA and endogenous viral elements. Elife 8.

46.   Suzuki Y, Baidaliuk A, Miesen P, Frangeul L, Crist AB, Merkling SH, Fontaine A,

Lequime S, Moltini-Conclois I, Blanc H, van Rij RP, Lambrechts L, Saleh M-C. 2020.

Non-retroviral Endogenous Viral Element Limits Cognate Virus Replication in Aedes

aegypti Ovaries. Current Biology 30:3495-3506.e6.

47.   Palatini U, Miesen P, Carballar-Lejarazu R, Ometto L, Rizzo E, Tu Z, van Rij RP,

Bonizzoni M. 2017. Comparative genomics shows that viral integrations are

abundant and express piRNAs in the arboviral vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes

albopictus. BMC Genomics 18:512.

48.   Crava CM, Varghese FS, Pischedda E, Halbach R, Palatini U, Marconcini M, Gasmi L,

Redmond S, Afrane Y, Ayala D, Paupy C, Carballar-Lejarazu R, Miesen P, Rij RP,

Bonizzoni M. 2021. Population genomics in the arboviral vector Aedes aegypti

reveals the genomic architecture and evolution of endogenous viral elements.

Molecular Ecology 30:1594–1611.

49. Crabtree MB, Sang RC, Stollar V, Dunster LM, Miller BR. 2003. Genetic and

phenotypic characterization of the newly described insect flavivirus, Kamiti River

virus. Archives of Virology 148:1095–1118.

50. MacFadden A, O'Donoghue Z, Silva PAGC, Chapman EG, Olsthoorn RC, Sterken MG,

Pijlman GP, Bredenbeek PJ, Kieft JS. 2018. Mechanism and structural diversity of

exoribonuclease-resistant RNA structures in flaviviral RNAs. Nature Communications

9:119.

51. Göertz GP, van Bree JWM, Hiralal A, Fernhout BM, Steffens C, Boeren S, Visser TM,

Vogels CBF, Abbo SR, Fros JJ, Koenraadt CJM, van Oers MM, Pijlman GP. 2019.

Subgenomic flavivirus RNA binds the mosquito DEAD/H-box helicase ME31B and

determines Zika virus transmission by Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences 116:19136–19144.

52. Gritsun DJ, Jones IM, Gould EA, Gritsun TS. 2014. Molecular archaeology of

Flaviviridae untranslated regions: duplicated RNA structures in the replication

enhancer of flaviviruses and pestiviruses emerged via convergent evolution. PLoS

One 9:e92056.

53. Łabno A, Tomecki R, Dziembowski A. 2016. Cytoplasmic RNA decay pathways -

Enzymes and mechanisms. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell

Research 1863:3125–3147.

54. Chen Y-S, Fan Y-H, Tien C-F, Yueh A, Chang R-Y. 2018. The conserved stem-loop II

structure at the 3' untranslated region of Japanese encephalitis virus genome is

required for the formation of subgenomic flaviviral RNA. PLoS One 13:e0201250--17.

55.   Akiyama BM, Laurence HM, Massey AR, Costantino DA, Xie X, Yang Y, Shi PY, Nix

830   JC, Beckham JD, Kieft JS. 2016. Zika virus produces noncoding RNAs using a multi-

831   pseudoknot structure that confounds a cellular exonuclease. Science (1979)

832   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah3963.

833   56.   Göertz GP, van Bree JWMM, Hiralal A, Fernhout BM, Steffens C, Boeren S, Visser

834   TM, Vogels CBFF, Abbo SR, Fros JJ, Koenraadt CJMM, van Oers MM, Pijlman GP.

835   2019. Subgenomic flavivirus RNA binds the mosquito DEAD/H-box helicase ME31B

836   and determines Zika virus transmission by Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the

837   National Academy of Sciences 116:19136–19144.

838   57.   Kopp A, Gillespie TR, Hobelsberger D, Estrada A, Harper JM, Miller RA, Eckerle I,

839   Müller MA, Podsiadlowski L, Leendertz FH, Drosten C, Junglen S. 2013. Provenance

840   and Geographic Spread of St. Louis Encephalitis Virus. mBio 4.

841   58.   Göertz G, Miesen P, Overheul G, van Rij R, van Oers M, Pijlman G. 2019. Mosquito

842   Small RNA Responses to West Nile and Insect-Specific Virus Infections in Aedes and

843   Culex Mosquito Cells. Viruses 11:271.

844   59.   Saiyasombat R, Bolling BG, Brault AC, Bartholomay LC, Blitvich BJ. 2011. Evidence of

845   Efficient Transovarial Transmission of Culex Flavivirus by Culex pipiens (Diptera:

846   Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 48:1031–1038.

847   60.   Rozen-Gagnon K, Gu M, Luna JM, Luo J-D, Yi S, Novack S, Jacobson E, Wang W, Paul

848   MR, Scheel TKH, Carroll T, Rice CM. 2021. Argonaute-CLIP delineates versatile,

849   functional RNAi networks in Aedes aegypti, a major vector of human viruses. Cell

850   Host & Microbe 29:834-848.e13.

851   61.   Wang Y, Jin B, Liu P, Li J, Chen X, Gu J. 2018. piRNA Profiling of Dengue Virus Type

852   2-Infected Asian Tiger Mosquito and Midgut Tissues. Viruses 10:213.

853   62.   Bolling B, Weaver S, Tesh R, Vasilakis N. 2015. Insect-Specific Virus Discovery:

854   Significance for the Arbovirus Community. Viruses 7:4911–4928.

855   63.   Qiu Y, Xu Y-P, Wang M, Miao M, Zhou H, Xu J, Kong J, Zheng D, Li R-T, Zhang R-R,

856   Guo Y, Li X-F, Cui J, Qin C-F, Zhou X. 2020. Flavivirus induces and antagonizes

857   antiviral RNA interference in both mammals and mosquitoes. Science Advances 6.

858   64.   Blair C, Olson K. 2015. The Role of RNA Interference (RNAi) in Arbovirus-Vector

859   Interactions. Viruses 7:820–843.

860   65.   Lee W-S, Webster JA, Madzokere ET, Stephenson EB, Herrero LJ. 2019. Mosquito

861   antiviral defense mechanisms: a delicate balance between innate immunity and

862   persistent viral infection. Parasites & Vectors 12:165.

863   66.   Colmant AMG, Hobson-Peters J, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, van den Hurk AF, Hall-

864   Mendelin S, Chow WK, Johansen CA, Fros J, Simmonds P, Watterson D, Cazier C,

865   Etebari K, Asgari S, Schulz BL, Beebe N, Vet LJ, Piyasena TBH, Nguyen H-D, Barnard

866   RT, Hall RA. 2017. A New Clade of Insect-Specific Flaviviruses from Australian

867   Anopheles Mosquitoes Displays Species-Specific Host Restriction. mSphere 2.

868   67.   Rückert C, Prasad AN, Garcia-Luna SM, Robison A, Grubaugh ND, Weger-Lucarelli J,

869   Ebel GD. 2019. Small RNA responses of Culex mosquitoes and cell lines during acute

870   and persistent virus infection. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 109:13–23.

871   68.   Fan Y-H, Nadar M, Chen C-C, Weng C-C, Lin Y-T, Chang R-Y. 2011. Small Noncoding

872   RNA Modulates Japanese Encephalitis Virus Replication and Translation in trans.

873   Virol J 8:492.

874   69.   Alvarez DE, Lodeiro MF, Ludueña SJ, Pietrasanta LI, Gamarnik A V. 2005. Long-

875   Range RNA-RNA Interactions Circularize the Dengue Virus Genome. Journal of

876   Virology 79:6631–6643.

877   70.   Roby J, Pijlman G, Wilusz J, Khromykh A. 2014. Noncoding Subgenomic Flavivirus

878   RNA: Multiple Functions in West Nile Virus Pathogenesis and Modulation of Host

879   Responses. Viruses 6:404–427.

880   71.   Firth AE, Blitvich BJ, Wills NM, Miller CL, Atkins JF. 2010. Evidence for ribosomal

881   frameshifting and a novel overlapping gene in the genomes of insect-specific

882   flaviviruses. Virology 399:153–166.

883   72.   Pasternak AO, Spaan WJM, Snijder EJ. 2006. Nidovirus transcription: how to make

884   sense...? Journal of General Virology 87:1403–1421.

885   73.   Sztuba-Solińska J, Stollar V, Bujarski JJ. 2011. Subgenomic messenger RNAs:

886   Mastering regulation of (+)-strand RNA virus life cycle. Virology 412:245–255.

887   74.   Slonchak A, Khromykh AA. 2018. Subgenomic flaviviral RNAs: What do we know

888   after the first decade of research. Antiviral Research 159:13–25.

889   75.   Jiwan SD, White KA. 2011. Subgenomic mRNA transcription in Tombusviridae. RNA

890   Biology 8:287–294.

891   76.   Hertz JM, Huang H V. 1992. Utilization of heterologous alphavirus junction

892   sequences as promoters by Sindbis virus. Journal of Virology 66:857–864.

893   77.   Halbach R, Junglen S, van Rij RP. 2017. Mosquito-specific and mosquito-borne

894   viruses: evolution, infection, and host defense. Current Opinion in Insect Science

895   22:16–27.

896   78.   Lutomiah JJL, Mwandawiro C, Magambo J, Sang RC. 2007. Infection and Vertical

897   Transmission of Kamiti River Virus in Laboratory Bred Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes.

898   Journal of Insect Science 7:1–7.

79.   Clarke DK, Duarte EA, Elena SF, Moya A, Domingo E, Holland J. 1994. The red queen

reigns in the kingdom of RNA viruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences 91:4821–4824.

80.   Junglen S, Kopp A, Kurth A, Pauli G, Ellerbrok H, Leendertz FH. 2009. A New

Flavivirus and a New Vector: Characterization of a Novel Flavivirus Isolated from

Uranotaenia Mosquitoes from a Tropical Rain Forest. Journal of Virology 83:4462–

4468.

81.   Bassett AR, Tibbit C, Ponting CP, Liu J-L. 2014. Mutagenesis and homologous

recombination in Drosophila cell lines using CRISPR/Cas9. Biology Open 3:42–49.

82.   Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Čech M, Chilton J, Clements

D, Coraor N, Grüning BA, Guerler A, Hillman-Jackson J, Hiltemann S, Jalili V, Rasche

H, Soranzo N, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Blankenberg D. 2018. The Galaxy

platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018

update. Nucleic Acids Research 46:W537–W544.

83.   de Borba L, Villordo SM, Marsico FL, Carballeda JM, Filomatori C V., Gebhard LG,

Pallarés HM, Lequime S, Lambrechts L, Sánchez Vargas I, Blair CD, Gamarnik A V.

2019. RNA Structure Duplication in the Dengue Virus 3′ UTR: Redundancy or Host

Specificity? mBio 10.

84.   Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Höner zu Siederdissen C, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF,

Hofacker IL. 2011. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms for Molecular Biology 6:26.

85.   Will S, Reiche K, Hofacker IL, Stadler PF, Backofen R. 2007. Inferring Noncoding RNA

Families and Classes by Means of Genome-Scale Structure-Based Clustering. PLoS

Computational Biology 3:e65.

86.   Bernhart SH, Hofacker IL, Will S, Gruber AR, Stadler PF. 2008. RNAalifold: improved

923   consensus structure prediction for RNA alignments. BMC Bioinformatics 9:474.

924   87.   Darty K, Denise A, Ponty Y. 2009. VARNA: Interactive drawing and editing of the

925   RNA secondary structure. Bioinformatics

926   https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp250.

927   88.   Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version

928   7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution

929   30:772–780.

930   89.   Criscuolo A, Gribaldo S. 2010. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): a

931   new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple

932   sequence alignments. BMC Evolutionary Biology 10:210.

933   90.   Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New

934   Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing

935   the Performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology 59:307–321.

936   91.   Lemoine F, Correia D, Lefort V, Doppelt-Azeroual O, Mareuil F, Cohen-Boulakia S,

937   Gascuel O. 2019. NGPhylogeny.fr: new generation phylogenetic services for non-

938   specialists. Nucleic Acids Research 47:W260–W265.

939   92.   Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for

940   phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 49:W293–W296.

941   93.   Brown NP, Leroy C, Sander C. 1998. MView: a web-compatible database search or

942   multiple alignment viewer. Bioinformatics 14:380–381.

943   94.   Nicholson J, Ritchie SA, Van Den Hurk AF. 2014. Aedes albopictus (Diptera:

944   Culicidae) as a Potential Vector of Endemic and Exotic Arboviruses in Australia.

945   Journal of Medical Entomology 51:661–669.

A

B

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. S1

Fig. S2

Fig. S3

Fig. S4

Fig. S5

Fig. S6

Fig. S7

Supplementary table 1. List of viruses used in pan-flavivirus small RNA analysis

Virus	Strain/Isolate	Start CDS	End CDS	3'UTR start	End	Reference
CFAV	RioPiedras	104	10129	10127	10682	NC_001564.2
CxFV	Uganda08	92	10183	10181	10837	NC_008604.2
DENV2	16881	97	10272	10270	10723	NC_001474.2
KRV	SR-75	97	10170	10168	11375	NC_005064.1
NOUV	B3	80	10408	10406	10755	NC_033715.1
SLEV	Palenque	99	10391	10389	10938	JQ957869.1
SLEV	MSI-7	99	10391	10389	10939	MSI-7(incomplete): DQ359217.1; Hubbard (closest complete): EU566860.1, Refseq: NC_007580.2
WNV	NY99	97	10398	10396	11029	NC_009942.1
ZIKV	H/PF/2013	108	10379	10377	10807	KJ776791.2

Supplementary table 2. List of oligonucleotides for northern blots, qPCR and cloning

Use	Virus	Probe sequence	Position	Notes
Northern Blot Probes	KRV (+)RNA	CAGGGGACTCGGGGGAGCGGGT	10392, 10988	-
		GAGCGGGTGCGTCASGCCCGACAC	10972	-
		TATCTTTTCTATACCATARATGC	11350	-
	KRV (-)RNA	ACCCGCTCCCCCGAGTCCCCTG	10392, 10988	-
		CTGGTTCTCGCAACTCCAGTCGAA	10599	-
		GTGTCGGGCSTGACGCACCCGCTC	10972	-
		TATCTTTTCTATACCATARATGC	11350	-
	CFAV (+)RNA	TGCCCGACATTTTCATGCCCTGCT	10188	-
		GCCCGACACCAATGTGCCCTGCTC	10273	-
		CGCATCTATGGTATAGAAAAGATA	10671	-
	ZIKV (+)RNA	TGTGGCTGACTAGCAGGCCTGACA	10396	-
		TGCCATGGCGTTCTCGGCCTGACT	10480	-
		AGACCCATGGATTTCCCCACACCG	10784	-
qPCR primers	KRV gRNA For	GGTCAATGAGACCGAACGA	7602	-
	KRV gRNA Rev	GTGTATCCATACACAGACGAC	7758	-
	KRV cifRNA For	AATGTGGCAGTCTTATTGGTTC	10001	-
	KRV cifRNA Rev	AATGACGTCATTCCCCTTCC	10148	-
	KRV sfRNA1 For	GGTGACCTGTCTCATACATG	10503	-
	KRV sfRNA1 Rev	ACATTGCTGATCCTTGTTCC	10637	-
	KRV sfRNA2 For	GTCATAGGCACCTGACCTG	11073	-
	KRV sfRNA2 Rev	TCCGTCCGGTTTTGAAAGC	11232	-
	Aalb pacman KO For	GCAACTCGGGCCGAACAAAC	1321	Sanger sequencing of Cas9 edited region
	Aalb pacman KO Rev	CTGATCGCGATAAATTGCCG	1975	
	Aalb pacman Set1 For	GGGCTACCAGGACTATAATG	1299	AALFPA-065179 / 057530 / 079140
	Aalb pacman Set1 Rev	TCCCGTTGAGATCGGTTTCG	1639	
	Aalb pacman Set2 For	CAGAGGAAGCACGAGTTGGT	3568	AALFPA-052256 /

Aalb pacman Set2 Rev	ATGTGGACGGCACTTGTGAT	3802	057530 / 079140
Aalb pacman Set3 For	GCGCTGAAAACTCTGAAGCC	2431	AALFPA-057530 / 079140
Aalb pacman Set3 Rev	CCAGATTGGGTTCCTCGTGT	2602	
RPL5 For	TCGCTTACGCCCGCATTGAGGGTGAT	-	Housekeeping gene
RPL5 Rev	TCGCCGGTCACATCGGTACAGCCA	-	
WNV NS5 For	TGAAGAGCCCCAACTAGTGC	8010	-
WNV NS5 Rev	TTCAAGGACCCGAATCGTCC	8159	
SLEV-MSI7 NS5 For	GAGAGAAGGGCGTCTCACAG	7802	-
SLEV-MSI7 NS5 Rev	GAACATGCTTCAGGGTTGCG	7943	
SLEV-Pal NS5 For	CACGTCCAAGAGGTGAAGGG	7956	-
SLEV-Pal NS5 Rev	TCACAGCTCGGGTTTGACTC	8115	
DENV2 NS5 For	GTAGTGGACCTCGGTTGTGG	7798	-
DENV2 NS5 Rev	GTGTCACACTTTTCTGGCGG	7975	
ZIKV NS3 For	GAGAGAGTCATTCTGGCTGGA	5925	-
ZIKV NS3 Rev	TCCCTCAATGGCTGCTACTT	6154	
NOUV NS5 For	AAGCCTACACGAAAGGAGGC	7992	-
NOUV NS5 Rev	ACGACTCCCCAATGTCACAC	8122	
CxFV NS1 For	GATCCGGAGGGTTTGTGTGG	2265	-
CxFV NS1 Rev	GCATTGTAGGACATCCTCAC	2400	
CFAV NS1 For	GCAGCGGCGCTTTTGTGTGG	2265	-
CFAV NS1 Rev	GCACTGCAAGGCATCCTCAC	2400	
KRV NS5 For	ATCCACAGCTGTAGGCCTTG	7515	-
KRV NS5 Rev	CAACCCGTCCGTTTGGTTTC	7680	
cifRNA For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC-AACCAATAAGACTGCCACATTC	1126	-
cifRNA Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	10000	
sfRNA1 For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC-GTATGAGACAGGTCACCACT	1126	-
sfRNA1 Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	10500	
sfRNA2 For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC-TAAGGCGCCACTCTTATCC	1126	-
sfRNA2 Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	11092	
sfRNA1' and 2' For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC-ATGGCGTTTTCAATGAGATAGG	1126	-
sfRNA1' and 2' Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	10933	

Row labels (vertical, left margin):
- Cloning of viral sequences as standards for qPCR analysis and qPCR primers
- Cloning of KRV end-to-end ligations for sequencing

Cloning of guide RNA for CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing	Amp till tracrRNA (XbaI) For	TCCTTCGGTCCTCCGATCGTTG	-	In-Fusion #1
	Amp till tracrRNA (XbaI) Rev	TGCTTTTTTTCTAGAAGATCTGGAAAAATGATGTG		
	tracrRNA till Aalb pU6 (XbaI) For	TCTAGAAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTG	-	In-Fusion #2
	tracrRNA till Aalb pU6 (XbaI) Rev	GACGGCAATGAAATGGAAGAGCGAGCTCTTCC		
	Aalb pU6-2 till pAc (XbaI) For	CATTTCATTGCCGTCGCTTC	-	In-Fusion #3
	Aalb pU6-2 till pAc (XbaI) Rev	CGAGATCTGTCTAGACTCAGCTCGAGTGTGGTCTTAG		
	pAc Fw (XbaI) For	TCTAGACAGATCTCGCTGCCTGTTATG	-	In-Fusion #4
	pAc Fw (XbaI) Rev	GCCAAGAATGGAGCGATCGC		
	Aalb pacman guide #2.1 For	AATGTGGTGTCCCATCCTGGGCT	-	-
	Aalb pacman guide #2.1 Rev	AACAGCCCAGGATGGGACACCAC		
	Aalb pacman guide #3.1 For	AATGCGTGTGGTAAGCACTGGGC	-	-
	Aalb pacman guide #3.1 Rev	AACGCCCAGTGCTTACCACACGC		

Supplementary table 3. List of genome references used for 3'UTR analysis

RefSeq	Clade	Full name	Abbreviation	5'UTR size (nt)	CDS size (nt)	3'UTR size (nt)
NC_012932.1	ISFV	Aedes flavivirus	AEFV	96	10026	942
NC_001564.2	ISFV	Cell fusing agent virus	CFAV	103	10026	553
NC_008604.2	ISFV	Culex flavivirus	CxFV	91	10092	654
NC_005064.1	ISFV	Kamiti River virus	KRV	96	10074	1208
NC_027819.1	ISFV	Mercadeo virus	MECDV	88	10212	638
NC_021069.1	ISFV	Mosquito flavivirus	MSFV	111	10080	674
NC_027817.1	ISFV	Parramatta River virus	PaRV	109	10155	629
NC_012671.1	ISFV	Quang Binh virus	QBV	112	10080	673
NC_017086.1	ISFV	Chaoyang virus	CHAOV	99	10308	326
NC_016997.1	ISFV	Donggang virus	DONV	113	10335	343
NC_027999.1	ISFV	Paraiso Escondido virus	EPEV	119	10326	316
NC_040610.1	ISFV	Nanay virus	NANV	106	10299	399
NC_034017.1	ISFV	Xishuangbanna aedes flavivirus	XFV	90	10245	549
NC_009026.2	MBFV	Aroa virus	AROAV	104	10290	421
NC_001477.1	MBFV	Dengue virus 1	DENV1	94	10179	462
NC_001474.2	MBFV	Dengue virus 2	DENV2	96	10176	451
NC_001475.2	MBFV	Dengue virus 3	DENV3	94	10173	440
NC_002640.1	MBFV	Dengue virus 4	DENV4	101	10164	384
NC_012533.1	MBFV	Kedougou virus	KEDV	106	10227	390
NC_001437.1	MBFV	Japanese encephalitis virus	JEV	95	10299	582
NC_000943.1	MBFV	Murray Valley encephalitis virus	MVEV	95	10305	614
NC_007580.2	MBFV	Saint Louis encephalitis virus	SLEV	98	10293	549
NC_009942.1	MBFV	West Nile virus	WNV lin.1	96	10302	631
NC_001563.2	MBFV	West Nile virus	WNV lin.2	96	10293	573
NC_009029.2	MBFV	Kokobera virus	KOKV	83	10233	558
NC_012534.1	MBFV	Bagaza virus	BAGV	94	10281	566
NC_009028.2	MBFV	Ilheus virus	ILHV	92	10275	388
NC_040776.1	MBFV	Rocio virus	ROCV	92	10278	424
NC_034151.1	MBFV	T'Ho virus	THOV	97	10284	556
NC_035889.1	MBFV	Zika virus	ZIKV/2015	107	10272	429
NC_012532.1	MBFV	Zika virus	ZIKV/1947	106	10260	428
NC_008719.1	MBFV	Sepik virus	SEPV	116	10218	459
NC_012735.1	MBFV	Wesselsbron virus	WESSV	118	10218	478
NC_002031.1	MBFV	Yellow fever virus 17D	YFV17D	118	10236	508
NC_005039.1	NKV	Yokose virus	YOKV	150	10278	429
NC_003635.1	NKV	Modoc virus	MODV	109	10125	366
NC_004119.1	NKV	Montana myotis leukoencephalitis virus	MMLV	108	10125	460
NC_003690.1	TBFV	Langat virus	LGTV	130	10245	568

NC_001809.1	TBFV	Louping ill virus	LIV	129	10245	500
NC_005062.1	TBFV	Omsk hemorrhagic fever virus	OHFV	132	10245	410
NC_003687.1	TBFV	Powassan virus	POWV	111	10248	480
NC_027709.1	TBFV	Spanish goat encephalitis virus	SGEV	132	10245	493
NC_001672.1	TBFV	Tick-borne encephalitis virus	TBEV	132	10245	764
					Median	493