Leveraging microfluidic dielectrophoresis to distinguish compositional variations of lipopolysaccharide in *E. coli*

Qianru Wang[1][†],[a], Hyungseok Kim[1][†], Tiffany M. Halvorsen[2],[b], and Cullen R. Buie[1][*]

[1]Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA,

USA.

[2]Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of California Santa

Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA.

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

*Correspondence:

Prof. Cullen R. Buie

crb@mit.edu

Keywords: lipopolysaccharide, dielectrophoresis, linear electrokinetics, outer membrane

permeability, colistin susceptibility

Abstract

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is the unique feature that composes the outer leaflet of the Gram-negative

bacterial cell envelope. Variations in LPS structures affect a number of physiological processes,

including outer membrane permeability, antimicrobial resistance, recognition by the host immune

system, biofilm formation, and interbacterial competition. Rapid characterization of LPS properties is

crucial for studying the relationship between these LPS structural changes and bacterial physiology.

However, current assessments of LPS structures require LPS extraction and purification followed by

cumbersome proteomic analysis. This paper demonstrates one of the first high-throughput and

noninvasive strategies to directly distinguish *Escherichia coli* with different LPS structures. Using a

combination of three-dimensional insulator-based dielectrophoresis (3DiDEP) and cell tracking in a

linear electrokinetics assay, we elucidate the effects of structural changes in *E. coli* LPS

---

[a] Present address: Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.
[b] Present address: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA.

oligosaccharides on electrokinetic mobility and polarizability. We show that our platform is

sufficiently sensitive to detect the LPS structural variations at molecular levels. To correlate the

electrokinetic properties of LPS with the outer membrane permeability, we further examined the

effects of LPS structural variations on bacterial susceptibility to colistin, an antibiotic known to

disrupt the outer membrane by targeting LPS. Our results suggest that microfluidic electrokinetic

platforms employing 3DiDEP can be a useful tool for isolating and selecting bacteria based on their

LPS glycoforms. Future iterations of these platforms could be leveraged for rapid profiling of

pathogens based on their surface LPS structural identity.

1   Introduction

Lipopolysaccharide[1] (LPS) is a glycolipid and the distinguishing feature of the outer membrane of

Gram-negative bacteria, comprising over 75% of the cell surface in *E. coli* and *Salmonella*.[2,3] LPS

forms the outer leaflet of the outer membrane and is an efficient permeability barrier to compounds

such as antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). The chemical and structural diversity of LPS molecules is

critical for the survival of pathogenic bacteria, which live similarly diverse lifestyles.[4] Indeed, many

bacteria have evolved the ability to modify LPS in response to changes in environmental conditions,

which leads to adaptive changes in outer membrane permeability, antibiotic resistance, and acts as a

means to evade the human immune response.[2–5] Importantly, by studying LPS structural changes and

their impact on the pathogenic lifestyle, new therapeutic treatments targeting LPS modifying

enzymes have been proposed.[6]

LPS consists of three regions: lipid A, the core oligosaccharide, and a distal polysaccharide

exhibiting the most inter-strain heterogeneity (termed O-antigen)[4] (Figure 1a). Lipid A is an

amphipathic lipid consisting of a hexa-acylated glucosamine diphosphate headgroup that is *bis*-

phosphorylated in *E. coli*. The phosphate substituents of lipid A mediate salt bridge formation with

divalent cations (Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}), which are crucial to stabilize the outer membrane.[4,5] Attached to

lipid A via a 3-deoxy-D-manno-oct-2-ulosonic acid (Kdo) linkage is the core oligosaccharide, which

can be further divided into the inner and outer core regions. In *E. coli*, the inner core region contains

Kdo and heptose.[4,7,8] Due to the presence of phosphate and carboxyl groups decorating these

residues, the inner core is highly negatively charged. The outer core contains glucose and heptose,[7]

and displays more variations in sugar composition and arrangement. Attached to the outer core is the

more structurally diverse O-antigen, a long carbohydrate polymer built from a modal distribution of

repeating short oligosaccharide monomers.[4,9] O-antigen plays important roles in virulence and

resistance to AMPs.[9–11]

Genetically induced chemical modifications can occur within each region of the LPS molecule to

cope with environmental stressors, and are key to the survival and persistence of pathogens in human

hosts.[4] A more complete understanding of these adaptive cell envelope changes would aid in

therapeutic development, because LPS alterations affect small molecular uptake. For example,

phosphorylation and acylation of lipid A are capable of modulating outer membrane

permeability.[3,12,13] Moreover, addition of charged moieties or removal of sugars from the core

oligosaccharide drives resistance to cationic AMPs by disrupting electrostatically-driven membrane

association.[7,12,14] Finally, a large diversity of O-antigen modifications lead to inter- and intra-strain

LPS compositional variations, which is not only an indicator of virulence, but also arms the

pathogens by facilitating colonization and bypassing host defense mechanisms during infection.[3,9,10]

It is important to understand LPS structural versatility because its composition drives AMP resistance

and evasion of the host immune response.[5,9,12] However, a better understanding of LPS structural

adaptability is hindered by the lack of efficient LPS phenotyping approaches. Conventional methods

to characterize LPS, such as gel electrophoresis[15] and mass spectrometry[16], require prerequisite steps

for LPS extraction and purification from cell lysates, which is time-consuming, laborious, and

requires use of hazardous reagents and large sample volumes. Microfluidics is a powerful tool to

overcome this issue as it enables accurate and high-throughput manipulation of small sample

volumes. Previous attempts to characterize LPS with microfluidics have used platforms

functionalized with bio-recognition ligands, such as antibodies,[17] aptamers,[18] or AMPs,[19,20] which

bind specifically to LPS to enable pathotyping. In addition, microchip electrophoresis has been

applied to LPS structural analysis by facilitating LPS detection via fluorescent dye conjugation.[21,22]

However, reproducibility of these approaches relies on high-purity and repeatable LPS extraction and

separation. Although a variety of LPS extraction protocols have been developed[23], each method is

limited by size bias. For instance, the phenol–water extraction method[24] favors smooth LPS over

rough LPS, whereas the ether extraction method[25] favors the opposite. In addition, many of these

LPS extraction methods suffer from impurities, low yield, and contamination with proteins and

nucleic acids, which impede reliable application of the end product to sensitive downstream analysis,

such as molecular and immunological experiments. Moreover, these methods often involve LPS

staining steps, which are time-consuming, toxic, expensive, and likely to induce chemical

modifications of LPS (e.g. silver staining).[23]

Microfluidic dielectrophoresis (DEP)[26–29] systems using DC electric fields allow studies of cell

surface properties exclusively[29–32], and have demonstrated robust efficacy in separating bacteria

based on a variety of surface-related properties, including surface chemical modifications,[32] biofilm

formation capabilities,[30] and antibiotic resistance[29]. Our previous work has shown that three-

dimensional insulator-based DEP (3DiDEP)[26,30,31] provides sensitive and effective distinction of

bacteria with differential expression of c-type outer-membrane cytochromes, which are known to be

responsible for extracellular electron transfer across the cell envelope, in electrochemically active

microorganisms.[31] Our technique offers sub-strain level resolution at low applied voltages, which

diminishes confounding secondary flow effects, such as Joule heating and induced charge

electroosmosis[33]. In addition to DEP, linear electrokinetic behaviors of bacteria are also affected by

cell surface appendages. Duval and Ohshima proposed an electrokinetic theory of diffuse soft

particles, in which the charged cell surface components, such as LPS or outer membrane proteins,

form a soft polyelectrolyte layer around the cell.[34,35] Charge conditions and the spatial arrangement of

polyelectrolyte segments in the soft layer substantially affect cell electrokinetics, suggesting that

whole-cell electrokinetic mobility can be a biomarker to distinguish LPS structural variations. This

model has been applied to distinguish $E.\ coli$ producing different fimbriae and pilus[36] and

$Acidithiobacillus\ ferrooxidans$ grown with different metal ions[37].

In this work, we demonstrate the efficacy of 3DiDEP as a high-throughput and noninvasive approach

to probe bacterial LPS structural modifications. Using 3DiDEP and linear electrokinetics, we assayed

several $E.\ coli$ K-12 strains deficient in synthesizing LPS core components, in addition to a parental

strain as a wild type reference and an O-antigen expressing strain. We show that compositional

variations in LPS structures drive changes in electrokinetic properties of the cell surface (i.e.

polarizability and linear electrokinetic mobility), resulting in a measurable metric for predicting cell

surface properties. With further investigations on colistin-mediated outer membrane

permeabilization, we observe a distinct correlation between the electrokinetic properties of $E.\ coli$

cells and their susceptibility to colistin, with colistin sensitivity increasing with cell polarizability.

We demonstrate that cell surface polarizability and electrokinetic mobility can be used as a proxy for

selecting bacteria based on their LPS structures in DEP-based systems, which will open a broader

avenue for downstream molecular and proteomic analysis to elucidate mechanisms underlying LPS

modifications and adaptive resistance to AMPs.

2   Materials and methods

2.1   LPS mutation and plasmid construction

E. coli K-12 LPS *waa* mutations were constructed in the Hayes lab at UCSB following the study by

Halvorsen et al.[38] Briefly, the *waaC* and *waaP* mutant strains were generated by Lambda Red-

mediated recombineering: a kanamycin-resistance cassette flanked by homology arms for the up- and

downstream regions of each gene was first cloned into an intermediate plasmid, then PCR amplified

to generate a linear fragment for recombination. To generate the *waaF* mutant strain, linear fragments

containing a kanamycin resistance gene with homology arms were PCR amplified from the

respective Keio collection deletion strains[39] and used as described above. To create an arabinose-

inducible *waaC* complemented strain, the *waaC* mutant was transformed with plasmid pCH450-

P$_{BAD}$*waaC* or an empty plasmid (pCH450). Expression of these plasmids are suppressed in the

presence of glucose. Because the addition of arabinose caused a growth defect in the *waaC*

complemented strain but removing glucose was sufficient to complement the *waaC* deletion, we

chose to use the latter to complement.

2.2   Bacterial strains

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Following the

study by Halvorsen et al.[38], all bacterial cells were inoculated from frozen stocks, and single colonies

were grown in LB at 37°C overnight, back-diluted 1:100 and grown to mid-log phase before

harvesting for 3DiDEP analysis. Where appropriate, media were supplemented with antibiotics at the

following concentrations: kanamycin (Kan), 50 μg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich); streptomycin (Str), 50

μg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich); spectinomycin (Spm), 100 μg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich); and tetracycline (Tet),

15 μg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich). To achieve a dynamic control of *waaC* expression in the *waaC*-

complementary strain (Δ*waaC* + p*waaC*), both strain Δ*waaC* + EV (control for plasmid burden) and

strain Δ*waaC* + p*waaC* were grown with 0.4% glucose overnight, pelleted at 4000 rpm for 4 minutes,

washed twice with plain LB, and resuspended separately in LB with and without glucose with an

initial OD$_{600}$ of 0.1.

2.3   Sample preparation

Cells grown to mid-log phase were fluorescently labeled using 20 μM SYTO™ BC Green

Fluorescent Nucleic Acid Stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in their growth medium, incubated at

room temperature for at least 30 min without light exposure, and then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 4

min. The cells were rinsed once and well mixed using a vortex mixer to remove excessive dye before

being resuspended in the DEP buffer. The DEP buffer solution (final pH = 6.8) was prepared by

adding DI water to 1× phosphate-buffered saline until the solution conductivity was nearly 100

μS/cm. The DEP buffer also contains from 1 to 2% (v/v) glycerol to match the growth medium

osmolarity.

2.4   Microchannel fabrication and preparation for electrokinetics

Microfluidic devices for electrokinetic mobility measurements and 3DiDEP analysis were fabricated

in laser-cut poly(methyl methacylate) (PMMA) chips using a CNC controlled micro-mill

(Microlution), and bonded using a solvent-assisted bonding process[31] after cleaning with acetone,

methanol, isopropanol and deionized (DI) water in sequence. Both channel ends of the device were

then bonded with electrical-insulating sleeve washers (McMaster-Carr) using a 5-minute two-part

epoxy glue (Gorilla Glue). The sleeve washers serve as fluid reservoirs with a volume ~70 μL to

contain bacterial samples. After bonding, each microchannel was connected to a syringe using Tygon

tubing (McMaster-Carr) to flush and stabilize channel wall ("priming") with the following reagents

and conditions: 0.1 M potassium hydroxide, 1 mL/min, 10 mL; DI water, 1 mL/min, 10 mL; DEP

buffer, 800 μL/min, 7 mL.

2.5   3DiDEP assay and image analysis

3DiDEP trapping and derivation of trapping voltages have been described in our previous

studies[26,30,31]. Cells were resuspended in the DEP buffer at an OD_{600} of ca. 0.05 and quickly added

into the 3DiDEP microchannel via the fluidic reservoirs. A "linear sweep" DC voltage difference

increasing linearly with time at 1 V/s from 5 to 100 V was applied across the channel via an HVS-

448 high-voltage power supply (LabSmith), controlled by a customized LabVIEW program. The

SYTO BC fluorescence intensity increases with time as bacterial cells accumulate near the

constricted region (Supplementary Video 1) and was recorded by time-lapse image sequences

captured at 1 frame/s using a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (CoolSNAP HQ2, Photometrics)

fitted to an inverted fluorescence microscope (Eclipse Ti-U, Nikon). The fluorescent intensity data

(background subtracted) near the constriction versus time (i.e., the applied voltage) were fit into a

polyline with two segments, whose intersection point was taken as a variable optimized using the

least squares method by a customized MATLAB R2015b (MathWorks) code. The applied voltage

corresponding to the best-fit intersection point was extracted as the trapping voltage (Figure 2) during

3DiDEP.

2.6   Linear electrokinetic mobility

To track the location of single cells and measure their linear electrokinetic mobility, a 500 μm × 60

μm × 1 cm (width × depth × length) straight microfluidic channel was designed and fabricated as

described above. Prepared bacterial samples were loaded into the fluid reservoirs of a primed

microchannel and fine-tuned until cell motion induced by gravity or pressure-driven flow was

minimized. A pair of platinum electrodes (LabSmith) was connected to each reservoir of the channel

to apply a constant DC voltage ranging from 10 to 50 V (with a 10 V increment) across the

microchannel using an HVS-448 high-voltage power supply (LabSmith). During the linear

electrokinetics, image sequences capturing cell movement in the microchannel was obtained using an

inverted microscope (Eclipse Ti-U, Nikon) equipped with a sCMOS camera (Andor, Oxford

Instruments) and image acquisition software (NIS-Element, Nikon). To minimize Joule heating

effects, the DC voltage was applied for less than one minute. To automatically extract cell locations

and velocities in the obtained image sequences, a particle tracking algorithm was implemented using

the python package trackpy[40] (Supplementary Figure 1a). First, image sequences were loaded using a

python package PIMS. Next, features of fluorescent single cells were identified and linked across the

entire time frame, creating trace information for each detected cell[41]. Cell traces either appearing in

less than five sequential frames or showing no increments in cell position with time were considered

as noise traces and removed. K-means clustering was applied in noise filtering to exclude cells stuck

to the channel wall. In order to derive linear electrokinetic mobilities and exclude background flow

noise, we used a 3D fitting model to decouple nonlinear effects induced by pressure-driven or

electrothermal flows. Data of single cell velocities, cell locations, and corresponding applied electric

fields were interpreted based on a physical model with an equation,

$$v(E, x) = \mu_{EK} E + cE(x^2 - Hx), \tag{1}$$

where v is the velocity, E is the magnitude of electric field, x is the distance of the cell measured

from the bottom channel wall, μ_{EK} is the electrokinetic mobility, H is the width of the microchannel,

and c is a constant (Supplementary Figure 1a and 1b). The quadratic term in the model equation

represents a parabolic-shaped flow profile depending on the cell location x, as an effort to decouple

the background nonlinear flow effects[33]. Using Equation 1, the best-fit parameter μ_{EK} was computed

as the linear electrokinetic mobility for each strain.

2.7 Cell segmentation and polarizability

Cell cultures grown to mid-log phase were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 4 minutes, and concentrated

to reach an OD_{600} of ~ 3. SYTO™ BC Green Fluorescent Nucleic Acid Stain (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) was added to the cell samples with a final concentration of 0.1% v/v, and cells were

stained in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. To immobilize the cells during imaging, 2 µL of

the cell suspension was placed at the center of a 24×50 mm^2 coverslip and covered by a thin 1%

agarose pad $(1 \times 10 \times 10$ mm$^3)$[42]. Images were taken using the inverted microscope (Eclipse Ti-U,

Nikon) with a 100X or 150X objective.

With the obtained images, cell segmentation was performed using Coli-Inspector[43], an add-on project

to an image analysis software (ImageJ) and its plugin (ObjectJ). At least 400 single cells were

identified to measure their length and diameter (i.e. long and short axis). The measurements were

used to calculate the Perrin friction factor (ξ) and cell shape factor[31] (Figure 3c, Supplementary

Information). Average cell shape factor and DEP mobility for each strain were used to derive the

Clausius-Mossotti factor, a measure of the cell polarizability (Figure 3b, Supplementary Figure 2).

2.8 Colistin susceptibility and growth

E. coli strains varying in LPS composition were investigated for susceptibility to colistin. Each strain

was grown overnight (see section "Bacterial strains" in Methods) and diluted to OD_{600} ~0.1. To

prepare a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay, colistin was added to 150 µL LB

containing streptomycin (replaced by spectinomycin for the O-antigen expressing strain) per strain to

reach a final concentration ranging from 0.0156 to 2 µg/mL (with a two-fold increment) in a clear

flat-bottom 96-well plate (Corning). Then, aliquots of 1.5 µL bacterial samples were added to the

multiwell plate and covered with a sealing film (Breathe-Easy). Cell abundance in the multiwell was

measured every 10 minutes by optical density at 600 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer

(Epoch 2, Biotek). Each microplate was incubated at 37°C for 20 hours in shaking mode. Three

biological samples were repeated for each strain and colistin concentration. Based on the bacterial

abundance at the final time measurement, MIC of colistin was determined by strain turbidity, and cell

growth was analyzed by a function of x µg/mL colistin concentration with the following equation,

232
$$\text{Growth ratio (\%)} = \frac{\text{Final cell abundance at colistin } x \text{ μg/mL}}{\text{Final cell abundance without colistin}} \times 100\%. \qquad (2)$$

A maximal growth rate was calculated based on the time-series abundance. First, local growth rates
were computed as the time derivatives of the natural logs of cell OD_{600} using data points collected
every three timepoints. Then the maximum local growth rate calculated within the entire 20-hour
time window was obtained for each studied strain.

2.9   Outer membrane permeability assay

A 1-N-phenylnaphthylamine (NPN) uptake assay was performed to compare the effects of
differential LPS structures on colistin-mediated permeabilization of outer membranes in the studied
strains using a protocol adapted from MacNair et al.[44] In brief, bacterial cells in mid-log phase were
washed twice with 5 mM HEPES buffer (pH ~7.2, Sigma-Aldrich) containing 20 mM glucose and
adjusted to have their OD_{600} reach about 0.4. One hundred microliters of cells were added to 100 μL
HEPES buffer containing 20 μM NPN and a varying concentration of colistin (ranging from 0.39 to
200 μg/mL, two-fold of the final concentrations) in a black clear flat-bottomed 96-well plate. After
allowing the microplate to sit for an hour at room temperature without light exposure, NPN
fluorescence levels were read using a Varioskan Flash plate reader (Thermo Scientific) with an
excitation and emission wavelengths of 355 ± 5 nm and 420 ± 5 nm, respectively. Three biological
replicates were repeated for each strain and colistin concentration. The NPN uptake factor was
calculated as the ratio of background-subtracted fluorescence (subtracted by the fluorescence levels
in the absence of NPN) values of the bacterial suspension and of the suspending medium.[45] Then, the
NPN uptake factor was normalized by a cell abundance factor to exclude the fluorescence increase
induced by cell growth. The cell abundance factor was estimated using the maximal growth rate
derived from the colistin susceptibility assay as described above (see section "Colistin susceptibility
and growth" in Methods). The cell abundance factor is larger than 1 for cases exhibiting a positive
growth rate where colistin concentration was lower than the MIC. Finally, fractional changes of
normalized NPN uptake (Figure 5) for the O-antigen expressing strain and each core mutant strain
relative to the parental strain were calculated as

258
$$\text{Relative difference in NPN uptake (\%)} = \frac{\text{NPN uptake of mutant - NPN uptake of parental strain}}{\text{NPN uptake of parental strain}} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

259 to analyze the effects of LPS structural variations on outer membrane permeability to colistin.

260 **3   Results and Discussion**

3.1 LPS compositional variations drive changes in *E. coli* electrokinetic properties

Due to the presence of LPS and other external appendages, bacteria moving in electrolyte solutions act as so-called soft particles, i.e. hard particles covered by an ion-permeable polyelectrolyte layer (PEL),[34,46] in which both the distribution of charge density and the arrangement of LPS monomers can substantially affect cell electrokinetic properties.[35] Not only the spatial details of phosphate groups in Lipid A and LPS inner core but also electroneutral sugar residues in the outer core and O-antigen (Figure 1a) confer an inhomogeneous distribution of polyelectrolyte segments within the PEL. According to the electrokinetic theory of diffuse soft particles by Duval and Ohshima,[34,35] electrophoretic mobility of a soft particle increases with an increasing volumetric charge density (ρ_{PEL}) or a decreasing δ, where δ represents the degree of inhomogeneity measured by the width of distribution of uncharged segments near the front edge of the PEL. In addition, PEL segments can be considered as resistance centers exerting Stoke's drags on the liquid flowing within the PEL with a frictional coefficient $\gamma \sim \eta/(1/\lambda)^2$, η being the viscosity of the electrolyte solution.[34,35,46] The electrophoretic softness, $1/\lambda$, is a characteristic penetration length representing how easily the fluid can flow through the PEL. Especially for the low electrolyte concentration used in this study, electrokinetic behaviors of PEL become increasingly sensitive to the spatial distribution of the polyelectrolyte segments.[34]

We hypothesize that LPS structural differences in bacteria can be manifested in their PEL volumetric charge density (ρ_{PEL}), inhomogeneous distribution of polymer segments (δ), and electrophoretic softness ($1/\lambda$), thereby leading to distinguishable electrokinetic behaviors. To test the hypothesis, we studied several *E. coli* K-12 strains carrying differential LPS structures. In addition to a wild type control (Parental strain in Figure 1), a K-12 strain with restored O-antigen synthesis[38,47] was selected to model PEL with decreased charge density and enhanced polymer segment inhomogeneity. This strain was established by transforming *E. coli* W3110 with pMF19, which carries the *wbbL* gene and restores expression of O16 polysaccharide.[47] In addition, three "deep-rough" LPS mutants ($\Delta waaC$, $\Delta waaF$, and $\Delta waaP$)[38] were chosen to model scenarios with reduced PEL thickness and altered charge conditions, as they are unable to synthesize the full LPS core (Figure 1a). WaaC[48] is the heptose I transferase that acts prior to WaaF and WaaP during core biosynthesis. Deletion of *waaC* results in a loss of the majority of the LPS core including the inner core phosphates. WaaF transfers a heptose II to the inner core,[48] and its deletion causes a severely truncated LPS core but keeps the heptose I phosphates in the inner core. WaaP is a kinase that phosphorylates heptose I and is also

required for subsequent phosphorylation of Heptose II and addition of Heptose III to the inner core.[1,8]

Loss of *waaP* removes Heptose III and strips all the phosphate groups off the inner core.

In order to detect these LPS structural variations by measuring whole-cell electrokinetic mobility, we

developed a particle tracking algorithm (see Methods) to extract the dependence of cell migration on

the applied electric field. The algorithm captures velocity, position within the microchannel, and the

corresponding applied voltages for each individual cell, and fits them using a 3D regression model to

decouple the confounding effects of pressure-driven flow and nonlinear electrokinetic flow

(Supplementary Figure 1a and 1b). Cell motion in the straight microchannel is driven by both the

background electroosmotic flow and electrophoresis of the cell. However, the background

electroosmotic flow is constant given the same counterion concentration and applied electric field at

the microchannel/medium interface,[49] leaving the linear electrokinetic mobility purely a function of

cell electrophoresis.

As shown in Figure 1b, the linear electrokinetic mobility of all the tested strains is negative, which

agrees with the electric properties of the charged groups (e.g. carboxyl and phosphate groups) in the

cell outer membrane and the LPS structures.[50,51] O-antigen restoration results in a significantly less

negative ($p = 0.00024$) electrokinetic mobility compared to the control strain lacking O-antigen. This

observation is consistent with our hypothesis that the longer electroneutral oligosaccharide on this

strain may decrease electrokinetic mobility by not only increasing the PEL thickness, thereby

lowering the volume charge density, but also by enhancing inhomogeneity of the polyelectrolyte

segment distribution in the PEL.

In contrast, the truncated LPS core present in the Δ*waaF* and Δ*waaP* mutants enhances cell

electrokinetic mobility relative to the parental strain by 48.7% ($p = 0.0023$) and 22.7% ($p = 0.012$),

respectively (Figure 1b). This is consistent with the increased electrophoretic mobility of bacteria due

to LPS removal after an EDTA treatment observed previously.[37] These results indicate that truncation

of LPS core components can lead to an increased PEL charge density, and thus a higher

electrokinetic mobility to a differential extent. For instance, the Δ*waaF* mutant carries additional

phosphate groups with shorter LPS length compared to Δ*waaP*, which is predicted to cause a stronger

elevation of charge density and a lower inhomogeneity of polyelectrolyte segment distribution in the

PEL relative to the control strain. Correspondingly, the Δ*waaF* mutant exhibits the strongest

electrokinetic mobility among tested strains (Figure 1b). In contrast, a *waaP*-deficiency is predicted

to cause a lower extent of increase in charge density relative to the control due to the loss of both

phosphates and Heptose III residues.

Compared to mutants $\Delta waaF$ and $\Delta waaP$, deletion of $waaC$ causes a more drastic LPS truncation

(Figure 1a), and correspondingly shows a significant decrease in electrokinetic mobility relative to

the other two mutants ($p = 0.007$ and 0.003, respectively). Interestingly, despite truncating the largest

LPS core in our mutant screen, the $\Delta waaC$ mutant exhibits an electrokinetic mobility comparable to

that of the parental strain with an intact core ($p = 0.45$). We hypothesize that a $waaC$-deficiency

generates an increased charge density but a decreased electrophoretic softness ($1/\lambda$), resulting in

stronger frictional forces exerted on the liquid flowing within the PEL, which could be compensatory

to each other in terms of their effects on the electrokinetic mobility. Comparable electrophoretic

mobilities have been reported previously between $E.\ coli$ constitutively producing the long (10~100

μm) type-F pili and Ag43 proteins,[36] suggesting that cell electrokinetic mobility is affected both by

the length of cell surface appendages and the interplay between electrophoretic softness and spatial

arrangement of charged groups of the PEL. In deep-rough LPS mutants, physiological alterations

may also contribute to strain-specific signatures that are differentiable by 3DiDEP. For instance,

$\Delta waaC$ and $\Delta waaF$ mutations have been reported to cause a lower abundance of OmpC porin in the

outer membrane, whereas the $waaP$ mutant expresses wild-type levels of OmpC.[38,52] OmpC

leverages negatively charged residues in its extracellular loops to induce cation selectivity.[53] The

lower abundance of OmpC in $\Delta waaC$ and $\Delta waaF$ cell outer membrane may have partially

compensated for the effect of LPS core truncation on charge density of surface soft layers, thereby

lowering their baseline values of electrokinetic mobility. It also reveals that characterizing LPS

properties on whole cells is beneficial for capturing physiological changes that are difficult to

observe when studying LPS alteration using purified LPS alone.

3.2   Trapping $E.\ coli$ based on LPS structures using 3DiDEP

In addition to studying cell electrokinetic mobility, a property induced by cell surface charge, we next

investigated cell polarizability, which is the tendency of electric dipoles to form at the cell surface

(not necessarily charged) when subjected to externally applied electric fields. Cell polarizability has

been correlated with the extracellular electron transfer capability in electrochemically active bacteria

in our previous study[31], and has been proven to be a sensitive label-free biomarker to detect

variations in cell outer membrane components[30].

To measure cell polarizability, we used a microfluidic 3DiDEP trapping technique, incorporating

linear sweep analysis, in which the applied electric field increases linearly with time across the

microchannel (Figure 2a). The microchannel features a 3D hourglass shaped constriction at the

middle, which bridges two main channels. The 3D constriction has a cross-sectional area 100 times

smaller than that of the main channel, which creates a strong electric field gradient to enable a high

DEP force for immobilizing bacteria near the constriction[27,29,32,54,55]. In response to the linear sweep

voltage, bacteria flowing down the main channel start to slow down and gradually accumulate near

the constriction when DEP balances cell motion due to linear electrokinetics along the electric field

direction (Supplementary Video 1). The threshold voltage for the onset of cell immobilization,

termed the 'trapping voltage', is a measure of the comparison between cell DEP mobility and the

counteracting linear electrokinetic mobility. The criterion for 3DiDEP immobilization of a single cell

can be expressed as[26,28,29,31,55]

364
$$\mu_{EK}\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E} + \mu_{DEP}(\nabla\vec{E}^2) \cdot \vec{E} = 0 \qquad (4)$$

365　where μ_{EK} is the linear electrokinetic mobility, $\vec{E}$ is the local electric field corresponding to the

366　measured 3DiDEP trapping voltage, and μ_{DEP} is the DEP mobility. The studied *E. coli* K-12 strains

367　are rod shaped and can be modeled as ellipsoidal particles with semi-axes $a > b = c$[56] (Supplementary

368　Figure 2). Thus, the DEP mobility μ_{DEP} can be expressed as

369
$$\mu_{DEP} = \frac{b^2 \varepsilon_m \kappa_{CM}}{3\eta\xi} \qquad (5)$$

where ε_m and η are the permittivity and viscosity of the surrounding medium, respectively, and ξ is

the Perrin friction factor (see Supporting information for a detailed derivation). The Clausius-

Mossotti factor, κ_{CM}, is a measure of the relative polarizability of the cell compared to the

surrounding medium, and can be estimated from the experimentally determined 'trapping voltage'

required for initiating 3DiDEP cell trapping.

Our 3DiDEP measurement reports an inverse relationship between the length of *E. coli* K-12 LPS

and the trapping voltage for 3DiDEP cell immobilization (Figure 2b). The O-antigen expressing

strain requires a 55% lower trapping voltage relative to the parental strain ($p = 0.025$), and

correspondingly this strain expresses longer LPS with distal O-antigen repeats. In addition to the

lower electrokinetic mobility resulting from the O-antigen expression (Figure 1b), this can be

explained by a higher polarizability thereby a lower trapping voltage required to counteract with

linear electrokinetics, which will be shown in the following section. In contrast, the *waaC* mutant

strain requires the highest trapping voltage for 3DiDEP immobilization ($p = 0.025$, Figure 2b). To

confirm this relationship, we then measured trapping voltages of a *waaC* mutant strain complemented

with an empty vector (Δ*waaC* + EV) and a *waaC* mutant strain complemented with pCH450-*waaC*

grown with (Δ*waaC* + *pwaaC*, Glc+) or without glucose (Δ*waaC* + *pwaaC*, Glc-). Removal of

glucose in the growth medium complements *waaC* expression by de-repression of P$_{BAD}$, which is

expected to result in high levels of *waaC* expression and restore wild type levels of core synthesis

(Figure 1a). As expected, when grown with glucose (Glc+ in Figure 2b), the Δ*waaC* + *pwaaC* strain

shows a comparable trapping voltage ($p = 0.077$) relative to the isogenic control (Δ*waaC* + EV).

Removal of glucose (Glc-) in the growth medium restores the full-length LPS core, which results in a

65.7% drop in the trapping voltage ($p < 0.05$), further indicating that cells lacking *waaC* require a

stronger trapping voltage for 3DiDEP immobilization. The Δ*waaC* + EV strain ($p = 0.18$) and the

Δ*waaC* + *pwaaC* strain grown with glucose ($p = 0.65$) show comparable trapping voltage relative to

the parental strain, suggesting that the introduction of the vector pCH450 does not significantly affect

the cell polarizability. Furthermore, deletion of *waaF* and *waaP* lead to a 24.3% increase ($p = 0.025$)

and a 10.5% decrease ($p = 0.053$) in trapping voltage relative to the parental strain, respectively.

Although the consequence of *waaF* deletion should result in only one less phosphorylated heptose

when compared to a mutant lacking *waaC*, the Δ*waaF* mutant leads to a trapping voltage ~20% lower

than that of the Δ*waaC* mutant. Comparison between the trapping voltages of Δ*waaC* and Δ*waaF*

strains suggests that 3DiDEP trapping is sensitive enough to detect a single heptosylation event.

These data indicate that 3DiDEP can be used to selectively immobilize bacteria based on their LPS

length.

3.3   LPS structural variations affect cell DEP mobility and polarizability

To determine the cell polarizability, for each trapping voltage measurement, we performed additional

particle image velocimetry (PIV) analysis[31] for cell motion in the corresponding main channel region

(where the electric field is uniform). Electrokinetic mobilities measured by this PIV analysis show a

high consistency with the results measured using our cell tracking algorithm (Supplementary Figure

1c). Incorporating the electrokinetic mobility and the corresponding threshold electric field

numerically computed from the measured trapping voltages (Equation 4), we derived the DEP

mobilities for all the tested strains (Figure 3a and Supplementary Figure 3). To decouple the effects

of cell size/shape, we computed the Clausius-Mossotti factor (κ_{CM}) as a measure of cell polarizability

(Equation 5, Supplementary Figure 2) using cell shape parameters (b and ξ) measured for all the

tested strains using high magnification microscope images (see Methods).

Our results show that the O-antigen expressing strain possesses a higher DEP mobility (by 66.8%, p

= 0.025, Figure 3a and Supplementary Figure 3) and a higher κ_{CM} (by 98.1%, p = 0.00024, Figure

3b) but a lower electrokinetic mobility (by 27.8%, p = 0.00024) relative to the parental strain (Figure

2b), suggesting that the presence of O-antigen not only lowers the negative charge density but also

enhances the polarizability of the cell surface. In contrast, although knocking out $waaC$ does not

significantly affect cell electrokinetic mobility (Figure 1b), its removal decreases the DEP mobility

by 34.2% (p = 0.025) and polarizability by 16.4% (p = 0.015). As discussed above, the presence of

LPS adds a charged soft PEL layer around a bacterium. Ion transport in this soft PEL layer and

electrical double layer jointly contribute to the induced dipole moment coefficient. As demonstrated

in our previous study,[57] fibrillated strains with a thicker PEL have a higher induced dipole moment

coefficient and thereby are more polarizable than unfibrillated strains in the low electrolyte

concentration regime, which agrees with our observation that long LPS chains resulting from O-

antigen expression leads to a higher polarizability but truncating most of the LPS core via $waaC$

deletion results in a significantly lower polarizability (Figure 3a and 3b). Interestingly, deletion of

$waaP$ increases all three parameters that we tested, showing an enhanced DEP mobility (by 41.6%, p

= 0.025), polarizability (by 57.6%, p = 0.00076), and electrokinetic mobility (by 22.7%, p = 0.012).

By comparison, the mutant strain lacking $waaF$ exhibits the strongest increase in cell electrokinetic

mobility (p = 0.0023) among all of the examined LPS mutations, a 47.3% increase in polarizability (p

= 0.0013), but small changes in DEP mobility (p = 0.18). These results indicate that not only the PEL

thickness but also the polyelectrolyte segment distribution drive changes in cell polarizability. The

polar to nonpolar composition of the LPS chains affects the conductivity distribution in the PEL,

thereby influencing cell polarizability, which is consistent with the discrepancies observed between

parental strain and mutants lacking $waaF$ and $waaP$ (Figure 3b). It is interesting to note that the three

core mutants exhibit altered morphology relative to the parental strain (Figure 3c). Because cell shape

can affect both the drag force via the Perrin friction factor (ξ)[58] and the DEP force via the short semi-

axis (b) of the cell, the ratio ξ/b^2 (Figure 3c) represents how much the ellipsoidal cell shape

influences the drag force versus the DEP force.[31] Note that deletion of $waaF$ does not affect DEP

mobility significantly but drastically increases polarizability, indicating that the enhanced

polarizability can also be attributed to the morphological change induced by this mutant.

3.4 Outer membrane permeability increases with cell polarizability

After characterizing the differential electric and dielectric properties of *E. coli* strains conferred by their LPS structural variations, we next sought to examine whether changes in polarizability and electrokinetic mobility are associated with variations of outer membrane permeability against membrane-disrupting reagents, such as antibiotics. We hypothesize that cells capped with thick PEL layers with small electrophoretic softness, i.e. less penetrable by liquid flow, carry less permeable outer membranes, and thereby are distinguishable by an increased cell polarizability and altered electrokinetic properties. To test this hypothesis, colistin was chosen as the antibiotic agent, as it is known to interact with the bacterial outer membrane by destabilizing LPS.[59] The activity of colistin starts with electrostatic interaction between the positive charges carried by colistin and the negatively charged phosphates in LPS, which is believed to displace divalent cations (Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}) that are important to bridge and stabilize the LPS layer.[60]

To determine cell susceptibility to colistin, we measured minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and cell growth (cell abundance normalized by values when colistin is absent) across a varying colistin level for each strain (Figure 4). As expected, *E. coli* expressing O-antigen shows the highest MIC (Figure 4a) and the highest growth ratio at all colistin levels (Figure 4b) among the tested strains. The parental strain shows an MIC of 0.33 μg/mL and a cell abundance decreased by 80% when the colistin concentration reaches 0.25 μg/mL, whereas the O-antigen expressing strain shows a two-fold increase in MIC compared to the parental strain, and keeps a high abundance (99%) at 0.25 μg/mL colistin. The enhanced resistance to colistin suggests that the presence of O-antigen facilitates the barrier function of the outer membrane against colistin, which is consistent with the high polarizability and low electrokinetic mobility observed for this strain. Electrostatic interactions between the cationic groups in colistin and phosphate groups in Lipid A play important roles in peptide binding.[44] The presence of O-antigen decreases cell electrokinetic mobility (Figure 1b) and increases polarizability (Figure 3b), which indicates a lower charge density and/or a higher friction factor in the soft PEL layer, and thereby may have shielded the electrostatic effects and impeded colistin activity. In contrast, mutants lacking genes *waaC*, *waaF* or *waaP* exhibited a significantly lower growth in response to colistin compared to the parental strain (p = 4.0E-7, 3.8E-7, and 0.0006 respectively, two-way ANOVA), which can be explained by the absence of outer core oligosaccharides. Among these deep-rough LPS mutants, the Δ*waaC* strain shows the lowest MIC followed by the Δ*waaF* and Δ*waaP* strains (Figure 4a, p = 0.0005). A similar trend was found in colistin-mediated cell lysis of the Δ*waaC*, Δ*waaF* and Δ*waaP* strains (Figure 4b) as well as in their

DEP mobilities and polarizabilities (Figure 3a and 3b). These results suggest a correlation between

cell susceptibility to colistin and LPS composition, which is distinguishable by their polarizability

and electrokinetic properties.

To further investigate the correlation between cell electrokinetic properties and outer membrane

permeability, we measured the uptake of 1-N-phenylnaphthylamine (NPN), a nonpolar probe that

fluoresces under a phospholipid condition inside of the outer membrane,[45] for each strain in the

presence of colistin (Figure 5). An intact outer membrane prevents NPN from entering the

phospholipid layer and the subsequent fluorescence.[44] To examine if cells that differ in polarizability

and electrokinetic mobility can be distinguished by their differential outer membrane permeability,

we treated the *E. coli* strains with NPN and various concentrations of colistin, and measured the

fluorescence after one hour of incubation. To decouple the possible effects of increased cell

abundance (due to low colistin concentrations, Figure 4b) on NPN fluorescing, NPN uptake values

were normalized by a cell abundance factor estimated from the maximal growth rate (Supplementary

Figure 4, see Methods).

Figure 5a and 5b show the relative differences of NPN uptake calculated for each tested strain

compared to the parental strain. As expected, the O-antigen expressing strain shows a strong decrease

in its NPN uptake, by a factor of 15.7% to 53.3% compared to the parental strain, across all colistin

concentrations (Figure 5a), indicating that the presence of O-antigen prevents NPN from entering the

outer membrane. Conversely, mutants harboring truncated LPS show an increased NPN uptake even

in the absence of colistin, indicating a compromised outer membrane with enhanced permeability to

NPN. In addition, it has been reported that mutants expressing severely truncated LPS cores fail to

insert many outer membrane proteins and consequently have to fill the "void" in the outer membrane

with phospholipids,[61] which also explains why their NPN fluorescence is stronger than the control

even without colistin exposure (Figure 5b). Moreover, we observed a lower tolerance for colistin-

mediated membrane disruption in the *waa* mutants compared to the parental strain, and the

membrane disruption dynamics vary at different colistin levels. For instance, at a colistin

concentration of 0.195 µg/mL, a stronger increase of NPN uptake was observed by ~two-fold for

Δ*waaC* and Δ*waaF*, and 67.5% for Δ*waaP*, respectively. The enhancement of NPN uptake caused by

these mutants gradually decreases when colistin concentration increases up to 1 µg/mL (Figure 5b).

This agrees with the distinct colistin susceptibility between the parental and mutant strains shown in

Figure 4b. For example, cells are completely depleted at 0.031, 0.063, and 0.125 µg/mL colistin for

$\Delta waaC$, $\Delta waaF$, and $\Delta waaP$, respectively, suggesting rapid cell lysis. However, cell depletion of the

parental strain initiates at 0.063 μg/mL colistin and a complete cell clearance occurs at a

concentration higher than 1 μg/mL (Figure 4b). As a result, at a low colistin level (0.195 μg/mL in

Figure 5b), the three core mutants are hypersensitive to colistin and are immediately lysed, whereas

the parental strain keeps an intact outer membrane to resist NPN, leading to the strongest NPN

uptake discrepancy between mutants and the parental strain among the tested colistin levels (Figure

5b). At an intermediate colistin level (0.195 to 0.781 μg/mL in Figure 5b), because the rate at which

cell lysis occurs for the parental strain increases (Figure 4b) while the mutants remain hypersensitive

to colistin, the NPN uptake discrepancy between the mutants and the parental strain decreases with

an increasing colistin concentration. When colistin exceeds 1 μg/mL, discrepancies between the NPN

uptake for the mutants ($\Delta waaC$, $\Delta waaF$, and $\Delta waaP$) and the parental strain are small (Figure 5b),

indicating that both parental and mutant strains are lysed quickly at this colistin level, and

consequently exposing comparable amount of phospholipids to NPN. The stronger increase of NPN

uptake observed in $\Delta waaC$ and $\Delta waaF$ but not $\Delta waaP$ is consistent with the amount of intact core

residues in each mutant (Figure 1a). Figure 5c compares the changes of cell electrokinetic properties

and outer membrane permeability induced by LPS structural variations. It suggests that increased

outer membrane permeability has opposite effects on cell linear electrokinetic mobility and

polarizability. In addition, the trend of 3DiDEP trapping voltage matches the trend of NPN uptake,

indicating the potential of using 3DiDEP to distinguish cells based on their outer membrane

permeability. Together, *E. coli* outer membrane permeability and small molecule uptake strongly

correlate with LPS compositional variations, thereby are distinguishable by cell polarizability and

electrokinetic mobility (Figure 5c).

4   Conclusion

Using microfluidic electrokinetic approaches, we've shown that *E. coli* carrying various LPS

structures can be quickly distinguished by their linear electrokinetic mobility and cell polarizability.

The presence of O-antigen in LPS leads to a decrease in the magnitude of linear electrokinetic

mobility and an increased polarizability, which creates a less negatively charged but more polarizable

cell surface. Correspondingly, O-antigen expression drives a strong resistance to colistin and low

outer membrane permeability, which was observed in this study and elsewhere[62]. In contrast,

removing most of the core oligosaccharide by *waaC* deletion does not significantly affect cell

electrokinetic mobility, but decreases cell polarizability and leaves cells highly susceptible to colistin.

Truncating core oligosaccharides but retaining charged groups (e.g. phosphates) in the core by *waaF* deletion results in a strong negative electrokinetic mobility, a significantly increased polarizability, and an intermediate susceptibility and outer membrane permeability to colistin. A less drastic core alteration mediated by deletion of the heptosyltransferase *waaP* leads to a slightly more negative electrokinetic mobility, a strikingly higher polarizability, and a minor decrease in the bacterial resistance to colistin. Importantly, mutations in the *waa* region have been reported to cause reduced outer membrane protein abundance compared to wild-type cells, which may also drive changes in electrokinetic properties of bacteria. For instance, Δ*waaC* and Δ*waaF* mutations have been reported to cause the loss of flagella[52] and a reduced abundance of outer membrane porins, OmpC and BamA[38], compared to the wild-type condition. In contrast, the Δ*waaP* mutant expresses higher levels of flagella and wild-type levels of OmpC and BamA.[38,52] These unanticipated changes to the cell surface may alter charge density and surface conductance of the soft layers around the cell and thus affect electrokinetic properties. Therefore, further proteomic analysis of membrane protein isolates will be needed to disentangle direct effects of LPS changes and porin abundance on these cell surface charge and dielectric properties.

In brief, our study finds relationships between *E. coli* LPS glycoforms, cell electrokinetic and dielectric properties, and outer membrane permeability. By depleting LPS biosynthetic enzymes WaaC, WaaF, and WaaP in *E. coli*, we show that core oligosaccharide changes drive changes in cell surface properties that can be detected in microfluidic electrokinetic devices. These results facilitate the development of high-throughput label-free platforms for screening or isolating bacteria based on their LPS structures using microfluidic electrokinetics. In this study, we used genetically induced LPS mutants as models to demonstrate the feasibility and efficacy of our approach, which paves the way for extended applications to identify and profile clinically relevant strains that differ by a variety of LPS modifications, such as modifications in lipid A and O-antigen,[1,10,11,63,64] or capsule expression.

5   Acknowledgments

We Acknowledge Dr. Chris Hayes at UCSB for supplying the *E. coli* strains studied in this work.

6   Funding

The work was supported by the Department of Energy's Genome Sciences Program grant SCW1039
and the National Science Foundation award number 1150615. H.K. was partly supported by the
Kwanjeong Educational Foundation.

7 Author contributions

QW and CRB conceived the study. QW and HK designed and performed the experiments. TMH
provided cell strains and guided the cell cultivation. QW, HK, and TMH interpreted the data. All
authors wrote the paper.

8 Conflict of interests

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

9   Figures

Figure 1. Truncating *E. coli* LPS core components affects cell electrokinetic mobility. (a) Schematic of the expected LPS structures of *E. coli* strains examined in this study. The O-antigen expressing strain is a K-12 strain with restored O16 polysaccharide synthesis. The parental strain expresses full-length LPS without O-antigen. Compared to the parental strain, the Δ*waaC* and Δ*waaF* mutants synthesize shorter LPS without the outer core, leaving only the Kdo_2-lipid A and Hep-Kdo_2-lipid A moieties of the inner core, respectively. The Δ*waaP* strain lacks phosphoryl substituents on HepI and HepII, and is deficient in adding HepIII. The *waaC*-complementary strain (Δ*waaC* + *pwaaC*) carries the plasmid pCH450-*waaC*, whose expression is suppressed in the presence of glucose[38]. Abbreviations: Kdo, 3-Deoxy-D-manno-octulosonic Acid; Hep, L-glycero-D-manno-heptose; Glc, glucose; Gal, galactose. (b) Linear electrokinetic mobilities measured for *E. coli* strains using cell tracking analysis in 60 μm deep straight microchannels and PIV analysis in the main channels of 3DiDEP devices (corresponding to Figure 2a). Results from the two approaches are consistent (Supplementary Figure 1c). Statistical difference for data in this study was analyzed using Kruskal-wallis tests unless otherwise mentioned. Asterisks and error bars in (b) indicate statistical difference (*$p < 0.02$, **$p < 0.005$, and ***$p < 0.001$, NS: not significant) and standard deviations, respectively.

Figure 2. Immobilizing LPS mutants using 3D insulator-based dielectrophoresis (3DiDEP). (a)
Schematic of a microfluidic device with an array of 3DiDEP microchannels. A DC potential
difference increasing linearly with time at 1 V/s was applied across the channel. Magnified view of
the 3D constricted region depicts the 3DiDEP trapping principle: bacteria near the constriction are
immobilized when the DEP force balances drag forces due to background electroosmotic (EOF) flow
and electrophoresis (EP). Electric field intensity is illustrated in the background color scale (dark red
indicates higher values). (b) Measured trapping voltage (threshold voltage at the onset of cell
trapping) for each strain. Error bars indicate standard deviations. Asterisks indicate statistical
difference (*$p < 0.05$, NS: not significant).

Figure 3. Strong correlation between *E. coli* LPS structural variations, DEP mobilities, and polarizability. (a) Plot of measured DEP mobilities against linear electrokinetic mobilities for each strain indicates that *E. coli* expressing O-antigen (diamond, left) and core synthesis mutants (triangles, right) clearly deviate from the parental strain (circle). Error bars indicate standard deviations. Statistical difference of DEP mobilities is shown in Supplementary Figure 3. (b) Cell polarizability, as measured by Clausius-Mossotti factor (κ_{CM}) of each strain. Asterisks indicate statistical difference (*$p < 0.02$, **$p < 0.005$, and ***$p < 0.001$, NS: not significant). (c) Cell shape factor, ξ/b^2, of studied strains, where ξ is the Perrin friction factor[58] and b is the short semi-axis of

612   the cell. The shape factor represents how much the ellipsoidal cell shape influences the drag force

613   versus the DEP force. See Supplementary Information for a detailed explanation.

Figure 4. Variations in *E. coli* LPS structures affect bacterial response to colistin. (a) Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin tested for *E. coli* strains expressing different LPS structures ($n = 3$, error bars indicate standard error). (b) Growth inhibition of *E. coli* O-antigen expressing strain (diamonds), parental strain (circles), and mutants with truncated core oligosaccharide (triangles) exposed to varying colistin concentrations. Shaded areas indicate standard errors of three replicates.

Figure 5. Effects of *E. coli* LPS structural variations on colistin-mediated outer-membrane disruption. (a) A heat map showing the mean relative differences of N-Phenyl-1-naphthylamine (NPN) uptake compared to the parental strain for the *E. coli* O-antigen expressing strain and mutants with truncated core oligosaccharide (as computed by Equation 3 in Methods). NPN uptake represents the ratio of background (absence of NPN) subtracted fluorescence values of the bacterial suspension to that of the suspending medium normalized by the cell abundance (details in Methods). (b) Bar plot showing the relative differences of NPN uptake for the O-antigen expressing strain and LPS core mutants at low (0 to 1.563 µg/mL) and high (100 µg/mL) colistin concentrations. The zero line corresponds to the NPN uptake level of the parental strain. Error bars indicate standard errors of three replicates. (c) A table comparing expressed LPS components, electrokinetic properties, and outer

632 membrane permeability of each strain. The '+' / '-' signs indicate the presence/absence of a

633 corresponding LPS component. Percentages indicate the deviation of mean values relative to that of

634 the parental strain.

Reference

1. Raetz, C. R. H. & Whitfield, C. Lipopolysaccharide endotoxins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **71**, 635–700 (2002).

2. Klein, G. & Raina, S. Regulated Assembly of LPS, Its Structural Alterations and Cellular Response to LPS Defects. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **20**, (2019).

3. Simpson, B. W. & Trent, M. S. Pushing the envelope: LPS modifications and their consequences. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 403–416 (2019).

4. Caroff, M. & Novikov, A. LPS Structure, Function, and Heterogeneity. in *Endotoxin Detection and Control in Pharma, Limulus, and Mammalian Systems* (ed. Williams, K. L.) 53–93 (Springer International Publishing, 2019).

5. Needham, B. D. & Trent, M. S. Fortifying the barrier: the impact of lipid A remodelling on bacterial pathogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **11**, 467–481 (2013).

6. Harris, T. L. *et al.* Small molecule downregulation of PmrAB reverses lipid A modification and breaks colistin resistance. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **9**, 122–127 (2014).

7. Wang, Z., Wang, J., Ren, G., Li, Y. & Wang, X. Influence of Core Oligosaccharide of Lipopolysaccharide to Outer Membrane Behavior of Escherichia coli. *Mar. Drugs* **13**, 3325–3339 (2015).

8. Yethon, J. A., Heinrichs, D. E., Monteiro, M. A., Perry, M. B. & Whitfield, C. Involvement of waaY, waaQ, and waaP in the Modification of Escherichia coliLipopolysaccharide and Their Role in the Formation of a Stable Outer Membrane*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 26310–26316 (1998).

9. Lerouge, I. & Vanderleyden, J. O-antigen structural variation: mechanisms and possible roles in animal/plant-microbe interactions. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **26**, 17–47 (2002).

10. Bogomolnaya, L. M., Santiviago, C. A., Yang, H.-J., Baumler, A. J. & Andrews-Polymenis, H. L. 'Form variation' of the O12 antigen is critical for persistence of Salmonella Typhimurium in the murine intestine. *Mol. Microbiol.* **70**, 1105–1119 (2008).

11. Kim, M. L. & Slauch, J. M. Effect of acetylation (O-factor 5) on the polyclonal antibody response to Salmonella typhimurium O-antigen. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* **26**, 83–92 (1999).

12. Gunn, J. S. Bacterial modification of LPS and resistance to antimicrobial peptides. *J. Endotoxin*

Res. **7**, 57–62 (2001).

13.　Raetz, C. R. H., Reynolds, C. M., Trent, M. S. & Bishop, R. E. Lipid A modification systems in
gram-negative bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **76**, 295–329 (2007).

14.　Reynolds, C. M., Kalb, S. R., Cotter, R. J. & Raetz, C. R. H. A phosphoethanolamine transferase
specific for the outer 3-deoxy-D-manno-octulosonic acid residue of Escherichia coli
lipopolysaccharide. Identification of the eptB gene and Ca2+ hypersensitivity of an eptB
deletion mutant. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 21202–21211 (2005).

15.　Tsai, C. M. & Frasch, C. E. A sensitive silver stain for detecting lipopolysaccharides in
polyacrylamide gels. *Anal. Biochem.* **119**, 115–119 (1982).

16.　Kilár, A., Dörnyei, Á. & Kocsis, B. Structural characterization of bacterial lipopolysaccharides
with mass spectrometry and on- and off-line separation techniques. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **32**, 90–
117 (2013).

17.　Tokel, O. *et al.* Portable microfluidic integrated plasmonic platform for pathogen detection. *Sci.*
Rep. **5**, 9152 (2015).

18.　Niu, J. *et al.* Femtomolar Detection of Lipopolysaccharide in Injectables and Serum Samples
Using Aptamer-Coupled Reduced Graphene Oxide in a Continuous Injection-Electrostacking
Biochip. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 2360–2367 (2019).

19.　Yoo, J. H., Woo, D. H., Chang, M.-S. & Chun, M.-S. Microfluidic based biosensing for
Escherichia coli detection by embedding antimicrobial peptide-labeled beads. *Sens. Actuators B*
Chem. **191**, 211–218 (2014).

20.　Mannoor, M. S., Zhang, S., Link, A. J. & McAlpine, M. C. Electrical detection of pathogenic
bacteria via immobilized antimicrobial peptides. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 19207–
19212 (2010).

21.　Kilár, A., Farkas, V., Kovács, K., Kocsis, B. & Kilár, F. Novel quantitative electrophoretic
analysis of endotoxins on microchips. *Electrophoresis* **29**, 1713–1722 (2008).

22.　Makszin, L. *et al.* Quantitative microfluidic analysis ofS- andR-type endotoxin components with
chip capillary electrophoresis. *Electrophoresis* **33**, 3351–3360 (2012).

23.　Wang, X., Zhang, C., Shi, F. & Hu, X. Purification and Characterization of Lipopolysaccharides.
in *Endotoxins: Structure, Function and Recognition* (eds. Wang, X. & Quinn, P. J.) 27–51

693 (Springer Netherlands, 2010).

694 24. Hickman, J. & Ashwell, G. Isolation of a bacterial lipopolysaccharide from Xanthomonas
695   campestris containing 3-acetamido-3,6-dideoxy-D-galactose and D-rhamnose. *J. Biol. Chem.*
696   **241**, 1424–1428 (1966).

697 25. Galanos, C., Lüderitz, O. & Westphal, O. A new method for the extraction of R
698   lipopolysaccharides. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **9**, 245–249 (1969).

699 26. Braff, W. A., Pignier, A. & Buie, C. R. High sensitivity three-dimensional insulator-based
700   dielectrophoresis. *Lab Chip* **12**, 1327–1331 (2012).

701 27. Hawkins, B. G., Smith, A. E., Syed, Y. A. & Kirby, B. J. Continuous-flow particle separation by
702   3D Insulative dielectrophoresis using coherently shaped, dc-biased, ac electric fields. *Anal.*
703   *Chem.* **79**, 7291–7300 (2007).

704 28. Lapizco-Encinas, B. H. On the recent developments of insulator-based dielectrophoresis: A
705   review. *Electrophoresis* **40**, 358–375 (2019).

706 29. Jones, P. V. *et al.* Biophysical separation of Staphylococcus epidermidis strains based on
707   antibiotic resistance. *Analyst* **140**, 5152–5161 (2015).

708 30. Braff, W. A., Willner, D., Hugenholtz, P., Rabaey, K. & Buie, C. R. Dielectrophoresis-based
709   discrimination of bacteria at the strain level based on their surface properties. *PLoS One* **8**,
710   e76751 (2013).

711 31. Wang, Q., Jones, A.-A. D., 3rd, Gralnick, J. A., Lin, L. & Buie, C. R. Microfluidic
712   dielectrophoresis illuminates the relationship between microbial cell envelope polarizability and
713   electrochemical activity. *Sci Adv* **5**, eaat5664 (2019).

714 32. Crowther, C. V., Sanderlin, V., Hayes, M. A. & Gile, G. H. Effects of surface treatments on
715   trapping with DC insulator-based dielectrophoresis. *Analyst* **144**, 7478–7488 (2019).

716 33. Wang, Q., Dingari, N. N. & Buie, C. R. Nonlinear electrokinetic effects in insulator-based
717   dielectrophoretic systems. *Electrophoresis* **38**, 2576–2586 (2017).

718 34. Duval, J. F. L. & Ohshima, H. Electrophoresis of diffuse soft particles. *Langmuir* **22**, 3533–3546
719   (2006).

720 35. Ohshima, H. Electrokinetic phenomena of soft particles. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **18**,
721   73–82 (2013).

36. Francius, G. *et al.* Bacterial surface appendages strongly impact nanomechanical and
electrokinetic properties of Escherichia coli cells subjected to osmotic stress. *PLoS One* **6**,
e20066 (2011).

37. Chandraprabha, M. N., Modak, J. M. & Natarajan, K. A. Soft-particle model analysis of effect of
LPS on electrophoretic softness of Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans grown in presence of different
metal ions. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **69**, 1–7 (2009).

38. Halvorsen, T. M. *et al.* Lipidation of Class IV CdiA Effector Proteins Promotes Target Cell
Recognition during Contact-Dependent Growth Inhibition. *MBio* e0253021 (2021).

39. Baba, T. *et al.* Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants:
the Keio collection. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2**, 2006.0008 (2006).

40. Allan, D. B., Caswell, T., Keim, N. C., van der Wel, C. M. & Verweij, R. W. *soft-*
matter/trackpy: Trackpy v0.5.0. (2021). doi:10.5281/zenodo.4682814.

41. Crocker, J. C. & Grier, D. G. Methods of Digital Video Microscopy for Colloidal Studies. *J.*
Colloid Interface Sci. **179**, 298–310 (1996).

42. Skinner, S. O., Sepúlveda, L. A., Xu, H. & Golding, I. Measuring mRNA copy number in
individual Escherichia coli cells using single-molecule fluorescent in situ hybridization. *Nat.*
Protoc. **8**, 1100–1113 (2013).

43. Vischer, N. O. E. *et al.* Cell age dependent concentration of Escherichia coli divisome proteins
analyzed with ImageJ and ObjectJ. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 586 (2015).

44. MacNair, C. R. *et al.* Overcoming mcr-1 mediated colistin resistance with colistin in
combination with other antibiotics. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 458 (2018).

45. Helander, I. M. & Mattila-Sandholm, T. Fluorometric assessment of gram-negative bacterial
permeabilization. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **88**, 213–219 (2000).

46. Ashrafizadeh, S. N., Seifollahi, Z., Ganjizade, A. & Sadeghi, A. Electrophoresis of spherical soft
particles in electrolyte solutions: A review. *Electrophoresis* **41**, 81–103 (2020).

47. Feldman, M. F. *et al.* The Activity of a Putative Polyisoprenol-linked Sugar Translocase (Wzx)
Involved in Escherichia coli O Antigen Assembly Is Independent of the Chemical Structure of
the O Repeat*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 35129–35138 (1999).

48. Gronow, S., Brabetz, W. & Brade, H. Comparative functional characterization in vitro of

heptosyltransferase I (WaaC) and II (WaaF) from Escherichia coli. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **267**, 6602–6611 (2000).

49. Kirby, B. J. & Hasselbrink, E. F., Jr. Zeta potential of microfluidic substrates: 2. Data for polymers. *Electrophoresis* **25**, 203–213 (2004).

50. Liang, X. *et al.* E. coli Surface Properties Differ between Stream Water and Sediment Environments. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**, 1732 (2016).

51. Adams, P. G., Lamoureux, L., Swingle, K. L., Mukundan, H. & Montaño, G. A. Lipopolysaccharide-induced dynamic lipid membrane reorganization: tubules, perforations, and stacks. *Biophys. J.* **106**, 2395–2407 (2014).

52. Nakao, R., Ramstedt, M., Wai, S. N. & Uhlin, B. E. Enhanced biofilm formation by Escherichia coli LPS mutants defective in Hep biosynthesis. *PLoS One* **7**, e51241 (2012).

53. Kojima, S. & Nikaido, H. High Salt Concentrations Increase Permeability through OmpC Channels of Escherichia coli*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 26464–26473 (2014).

54. Pysher, M. D. & Hayes, M. A. Electrophoretic and dielectrophoretic field gradient technique for separating bioparticles. *Anal. Chem.* **79**, 4552–4557 (2007).

55. Moncada-Hernandez, H., Baylon-Cardiel, J. L., Pérez-González, V. H. & Lapizco-Encinas, B. H. Insulator-based dielectrophoresis of microorganisms: theoretical and experimental results. *Electrophoresis* **32**, 2502–2511 (2011).

56. Khoshmanesh, K. *et al.* On-chip separation of Lactobacillus bacteria from yeasts using dielectrophoresis. *Microfluid. Nanofluidics* **12**, 597–606 (2012).

57. Dingari, N. N. & Buie, C. R. Theoretical investigation of bacteria polarizability under direct current electric fields. *Langmuir* **30**, 4375–4384 (2014).

58. Koenig, S. H. Brownian motion of an ellipsoid. A correction to Perrin's results. *Biopolymers* **14**, 2421–2423 (1975).

59. Sabnis, A. *et al.* Colistin kills bacteria by targeting lipopolysaccharide in the cytoplasmic membrane. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

60. Velkov, T., Thompson, P. E., Nation, R. L. & Li, J. Structure--activity relationships of polymyxin antibiotics. *J. Med. Chem.* **53**, 1898–1916 (2010).

61. Nikaido Hiroshi. Molecular Basis of Bacterial Outer Membrane Permeability Revisited.

Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **67**, 593–656 (2003).

62. Moffatt, J. H., Harper, M. & Boyce, J. D. Mechanisms of Polymyxin Resistance. in *Polymyxin*
Antibiotics: From Laboratory Bench to Bedside (eds. Li, J., Nation, R. L. & Kaye, K. S.) 55–71
(Springer International Publishing, 2019).

63. Meredith, T. C. *et al.* Modification of Lipopolysaccharide with Colanic Acid (M-antigen)
Repeats in Escherichia coli. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 7790–7798 (2007).

64. Gulati, S. *et al.* Enhanced factor H binding to sialylated Gonococci is restricted to the sialylated
lacto-N-neotetraose lipooligosaccharide species: implications for serum resistance and evidence
for a bifunctional lipooligosaccharide sialyltransferase in Gonococci. *Infect. Immun.* **73**, 7390–
7397 (2005).