Cell-surface protein YwfG of *Lactococcus lactis* binds to α-1,2-linked mannose

Short title: Lactococcal cell-surface protein binds to α-1,2-linked mannose

Wataru Tsuchiya[1], Zui Fujimoto[1], Noritoshi Inagaki[1], Hiroyuki Nakagawa[1], Miwa Tanaka[2],

Hiromi Kimoto-Nira[3,#a], Toshimasa Yamazaki[1], Chise Suzuki[3,#b]*

[1] Research Center for Advanced Analysis, National Agriculture and Food Research

Organization (NARO), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

[2] Asahi Quality & Innovations, Ltd, Moriya, Ibaraki, Japan

[3] Institute of Livestock and Grassland Science, NARO, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

[#a] Current Address: Institute of Food Research, NARO, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

[#b] Current Address: College of Bioresource Science, Nihon University, Fujisawa, Kanagawa,

Japan

* Corresponding author

E-mail: suzuki.chise@nihon-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Lactococcus lactis strains are used as starter cultures in the production of fermented dairy and vegetable foods, but the species also occurs in other niches such as plant material. *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* G50 (G50) is a plant-derived strain and potential candidate probiotics. Western blotting of cell-surface proteins using antibodies generated against whole G50 cells identified a 120-kDa protein. MALDI-TOF MS analysis identified it as YwfG, a Leu-Pro-any-Thr-Gly cell wall anchor domain–containing protein. Based on a predicted structure, a recombinant protein covering the N-terminal half (aa 28–511) of YwfG ($YwfG_{28-511}$) was crystallized and the crystal structure was determined. The structure consisted of an L-type lectin domain, a mucin-binding protein domain, and a mucus-binding protein repeat. Recombinant proteins containing combinations of these domains were prepared and their interactions with monosaccharides were examined by isothermal titration calorimetry; the only interaction observed was between the L-type lectin domain and D-mannose. Among four mannobioses, α1,2-mannobiose had the highest affinity for the L-type lectin domain (dissociation constant = 34 μM). The L-type lectin domain also interacted with yeast mannoproteins and yeast cells. Soaking of the crystals of $YwfG_{28-511}$ with mannose or α1,2-mannobiose revealed that both sugars bound to the L-type lectin domain in a similar manner, although the presence of the mucin-binding protein domain and the mucus-binding protein repeat within the recombinant protein inhibited the interaction between the L-type lectin domain and mannose. These findings provide new structural and functional insights into the interaction between *L. lactis* and its ecological niche via binding of the cell-surface protein YwfG with mannose.

Introduction

Gram-positive bacteria interact with their environment and other cells via proteins expressed on their cell surface. An important subset of these cell-surface proteins are the adhesins that mediate adhesion to other cells or environmental surfaces. For example, in the initial stages of colonization, pathogenic bacteria use their cell-surface proteins to bind to host cells [1].

In *Staphylococcus aureus*, mucus-binding proteins are anchored to the cell surface by a sortase A–mediated system that recognizes the motif LPXTG (Leu-Pro-any-Thr-Gly) [2]. In this system, the mucus-binding proteins are cleaved between the Thr and Gly of the LPXTG motif by sortase A and then undergo transpeptidation and covalent attachment to peptidoglycan in the bacterial cell wall. Sortase-anchored surface proteins fulfill key functions during the infectious process and thus sortase A is essential for host colonization and for the pathogenesis of invasive diseases. The roles of sortases and proteins containing the LPXTG motifs are well documented in pathogens, but even in nonpathogenic lactic acid bacteria a mechanism that mediates the relationship between cell surface proteins and the host that is analogous to that in pathogenic bacteria has been identified [3, 4].

Lactobacilli are Gram-positive bacteria in order *Lactobacillales*, strains of which are found in the human intestine and also used in the production of fermented foods. The strains that are used as probiotics are mostly of intestinal origin and are reported to adhere to the intestinal mucus layer [5]. Understanding the interaction between lactobacilli and mucins is critical to elucidate the survival strategies of lactobacilli in a constantly changing intestinal environment, as well as to elucidate the mechanisms of their beneficial effects in the intestinal tract. Cell-surface proteins likely play a key role in these strategies and mechanisms by interacting with host receptors, such as the glycans in mucins [3, 5]. Lactobacilli express several adhesins, including moonlighting proteins (multi-functional cytoplasmic proteins) that exert adhesive functions when expressed on the cell surface [6]. Several species of

Lactobacilli are known to possess surface-layers (S-layers) proteins [7] that are involved in

the adhesion of the cells to the host epithelium or to extracellular matrix components and in

the modulating the host immune response [3]. For example, knockout of the S-layer protein A

in *Lactobacillus acidophilus* significantly reduces binding of the mutant to DC-SIGN, a

dendritic cell (DC)–specific receptor, and the S-layer protein A is involved in the modulation

of DC and T-cell functions [8]. Whereas certain strains of lactobacilli bind to epithelial cells

via mucin in order to reside in the intestinal tract, other strains bind yeast cells used in

fermentation processes [9]. In some cases, symbiosis between the yeast and lactobacilli

improves fermentation [10, 11].

Lactococcus is another genus in order *Lactobacillales*. Strains belonging to *Lactococcus*

lactis and *Lactococcus cremoris* are used as fermentation starters in the production of cheese

and other dairy and vegetable fermented products. Originally, it was thought that lactococci

did not reach the intestinal tract alive, but Klijn et al. [12] showed that a fraction of viable

cells of a strain of *L. lactis* was able to survive passage through the human gastrointestinal

tract. Recently, several lactococcal strains have been reported to have beneficial health effects

such as improvement of skin status [13] and activation of human plasmacytoid DCs [14].

There are few studies on lactococcal surface proteins that interact with intestinal epithelium

or DCs

Lactococcus lactis is used extensively in the industrial production of fermented dairy

products. However, *L. lactis* strains have also been isolated from plant material [15, 16].

Lactococcus lactis subsp. *lactis* G50 (G50) was isolated from Napier grass and was

subsequently found to have immunostimulatory activity [17]. *In vitro*, intact G50, but not

heat-treated G50, can induce tumor necrosis factor α expression in the murine macrophage

cell line J774.1, suggesting that a heat-sensitive molecule is involved in this induction [18].

In ovomucoid-sensitized BALB/c mice, oral administration of intact G50 cells reduces total

IgE production [17]. Together, these findings indicate that G50 may have potential uses as a

probiotic.

Analysis of the G50 genome sequence [19] may help reveal the cell-surface proteins that

contribute to the activity of G50. Here, we detected a cell-surface protein by reaction of *L.*

lactis strains with anti-G50 antibody. We used matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization–

time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and X-ray crystallography to

investigate the structure and interactions of this protein.

Materials and Methods

Strains

Five lactococcal strains were used in this study (Table 1). The strains were grown at 30°C for

18 h in M17 (BD Difco, Sparks, MD, USA) broth containing 0.5% (wt/vol) glucose (GM17).

Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used for the expression of

recombinant proteins. Three yeast strains were also used: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* X2180-

1A, *S. cerevisiae* AB9 [MAT a/α *gpi10/gpi10 ura3/URA3 leu2/LEU2*], and *S. cerevisiae*

AB9-2 [MAT a/α *gpi10/gpi10 mnn2/mnn2 ura3/URA3 leu2/LEU2*] [20]. YPD containing 1%

yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose was used for culturing the yeast strains. A

synthetic defined medium (SD-medium) (yeast nitrogen base without amino acids containing

0.17% ammonium sulfate [BD Difco], 2% glucose, 0.13% casamino acids [BD Difco]) was

used for yeast culture for mannoprotein preparation.

Table 1. Lactococcal strains used in this study

Strain	Species	YwfG*	Origin
G50	*Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis*	+	Napier grass [17]

S63	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	-	Kimchi [18]
O29	*Lactococcus cremoris*	+	Kefir [15]
H46	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	+	Kimchi [15]
H54	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	+	Kimchi [15]
DRC1	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* bv. diacetylactis	+	NIRD [21]
N-7	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* bv. diacetylactis	+/-	Commercial cheese starter [21, 22]
CVT8W	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* bv. diacetylactis	+	Commercial cheese starter [23]
CVT3	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* bv. diacetylactis	+	Commercial cheese starter [23]
H59	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* bv. diacetylactis	+	Kimchi [15]
NIAI 527	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	-	Laboratory collection [21, 22]
NIAI 712	*L. cremoris*	-	Laboratory collection [21, 22]
C13	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	-	Cheese [15]
O31	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	-	Kefir [15]
1256	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	-	Raw milk [15]
S01	*L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*	-	Fermented milk [15]

115 *, The presence (+) or absence (-) of 120-kDa band corresponding to YwfG was examined by western
116 blotting.

Preparation of cell-wall proteins

Five lactococcal strains showing high immunostimulatory activity in our previous study [18]

were selected (Table 1). Cell-wall proteins were obtained by trypsin-mediated digestion of

cell envelopes, as reported by Meyrand et al. [24]. Briefly, *L. lactis* cells were cultured to an

optical density (OD_{600}) of 1.2 in 6 mL of GM17 medium at 30°C and centrifuged at $5000 \times g$

for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was washed once with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) and

resuspended in 1 mL of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) containing protease inhibitors (cOmplete,

Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). The cells were disrupted with glass beads

using a FastPrep system (Qbiogene, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) set at 6.0 m s^{-1} for 40 s and

centrifuged at low speed (1000 × g) for 2 min at 4°C to remove the glass beads and any

unbroken bacteria. The supernatant was centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The

pellet containing the bacterial cell envelopes was digested with lysozyme (1 mg L^{-1}) and

mutanolysin (200 U mL^{-1}) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) containing 5 mM MgCl$_2$ for 3 h at

37°C, centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were analyzed by

western blotting.

SDS-PAGE and western blotting

SDS-PAGE was performed in a 5%–20% linear gradient gel (Atto, Tokyo, Japan). Proteins

were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining (CBB) or western blotting. After SDS-

PAGE, proteins were electrotransferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore,

Burlington, MA, USA) using a semi-dry transfer blotter (Atto). Rabbit polyclonal antiserum

to G50 was generated against intact G50 cells and purified by using a recombinant protein A

column (rProtein A Sepharose FF, Cytiva, Tokyo, Japan). The membrane was incubated with

anti-G50 antiserum (1:3000 dilution) and horseradish peroxidase–linked anti-rabbit

immunoglobulin (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA; 1:5000 dilution), and the

blot was developed with an ECL Prime western blotting kit (Cytiva).

Protein identification by MALDI-TOF MS and database

searching

CBB-stained bands corresponding to the bands detected by the anti-G50 antiserum were

excised from the gel and treated by in-gel digestion with a proteomics-grade trypsin (Sigma-

Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). The digested samples were purified by using ZipTip C18

(Merck). The purified peptides were mixed with an equal volume of α-cyano-4-

hydroxycinnamic acid (4-CHCA) (Shimadzu GLC Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) matrix solution

(prepared as 10 mg/mL in 50% acetonitrile containing 0.1% TFA), and an aliquot of the

mixture (0.5 μL) was spotted onto an ABI 4800 MALDI plate (SCIEX, Framingham, MA,

USA). The mass spectrometer, a MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer (4800 Plus TOF/TOF

analyzer, SCIEX) was tuned and calibrated using a calibration standards mixture (Peptide

Calibration Standard II, Bruker Daltonics GmbH & Co. KG, Bremen, Germany) prior to the

measurements. After the spot had been allowed to dry at room temperature, MS and MS/MS

spectra were obtained. Proteins were identified using a Mascot search engine (ver. 2.4.0.;

Matrix Science, London, UK) and the NCBI database.

Protein sequence and structure analysis

162 Protein sequence analysis and domain fold prediction were performed on the Pfam

163 (hhttps://pfam.xfam.org) and the Phyre2 (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.uk/phyre2) servers. Protein

164 structures were compared on the Dali server (http://ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/dali/)[25].

Preparation of YwfG variants

Total bacterial DNA was isolated by using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden,

Germany). Primers were designed using the coding sequence (CDS) of locus tag

LLG50_11005 of the G50 genome sequence (accession number CP025500.1). The following

primers were used for the construction of four recombinant YwfG variants:

Fw28: 5′-CCGCGCGGCAGCCATATGCCCGACTCTTTTAAAATACAACGG-3′

Rv270: 5′-GAGCTCGAATTCGGATCCTCAACCTTGAGCTACCGTATAAGT-3′

Rv336: 5′-GAGCTCGAATTCGGATCCTCAATTTCGAGTATAAACATAGTT-3′

Rv511: 5′-GAGCTCGAATTCGGATCCTCAGTTACGTTTATAAACGACCTT-3′

Fw860: 5′-CCGCGCGGCAGCCATATGCAAGGAACCATTGATGTTACTTAT-3′

Rv1034: 5′-GAGCTCGAATTCGGATCCTCAAGGCACCTTATGATAAACGAC-3′

DNA fragments encoding variants of YwfG (residues 28–270, 28–336, 28–511, and 860–

1034) were amplified by polymerase chain reaction using the primer sets Fw28–Rv270,

Fw28–Rv336, Fw28–Rv511, and Fw860–Rv1034, respectively. The resulting fragments were

inserted in *Nde*I/*Bam*HI-digested pET28b (Merck) by In-Fusion cloning reactions (In-Fusion

HD Cloning Kit, Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan) with an N-terminal His$_6$-tag. The resulting

constructs were transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3). Recombinant YwfG variants were

expressed at 16°C overnight in the presence of 0.2 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside and

purified by affinity chromatography using a nickel-chelating column (HisTrap HP, Cytiva),

followed by gel filtration using a HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 75 pg column (Cytiva) and cation

exchange chromatography using a Mono S 10/100 GL column (Cytiva). The obtained

recombinant YwfG variants were named YwfG$_{28-270}$, YwfG$_{28-336}$, YwfG$_{28-511}$ (numbers

indicate amino acid residues), and MubR4 (residues 860–1034).

Preparation of yeast mannoproteins

gpi10 mutants of *S. cerevisiae* secrete mannoproteins into the culture medium [20].

Mannoprotein of AB9 strain (wild-type) and of AB9-2 strain (*mnn2* mutant lacking α1,2-

mannosyltransferase) were prepared. Yeast cells were cultured in the SD-medium for 6 days

at 30°C. The cultures were centrifuged at 12,000 ×g for 10 min at 4°C to obtain a cell-free

supernatant. The mannoproteins were concentrated using a 10,000 molecular-weight-cut-off

ultrafiltration unit (Centriprep, Merk Millipore, Cork, Ireland), precipitated by adding 2

volumes of ethanol, and dissolved in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5).

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) analysis

A MicroCal iTC200 system (Malvern Panalytical, Almelo, Netherlands) was used to measure enthalpy changes associated with interactions between the YwfG variants and the following yeast cell-wall components: the monosaccharides D-glucose, D-galactose, D-mannose, D-fucose, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc), and 2-(acetylamino)-2-deoxy-D-galactose (GalNAc) (Sigma-Aldrich); α1,2-, α1,3-, α1,4-, and α1,6-mannobiose (Dextra Laboratories, Reading, UK); mannan from *S. cerevisiae* (Sigma-Aldrich); and yeast mannoproteins.

A solution of YwfG variant (100 μM YwfG$_{28–270}$, YwfG$_{28–336}$, YwfG$_{28–511}$, or MubR4 in 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5]) was placed in a 200-μL calorimeter cell, and test solution (10 mM for monosaccharides, 2 mM for monosaccharides and mannobioses, 10 mg mL^{-1} for yeast mannan, OD$_{280}$ 1.0 for mannoproteins) was loaded into the injection syringe. The test solution was titrated into the sample cell as a sequence of 20 injections of 2-μL aliquots each. All experiments were performed at 25°C.

Crystallization, data collection, and structure refinement

Purified YwfG$_{28–511}$ was concentrated to 12.0 mg mL^{-1} and crystallized by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method at 20°C using a precipitant solution consisting of 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 5.0–5.6), 1.3 M Li$_2$SO$_4$, and 2% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 3350 (Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA). We used 50 μL of the reservoir solution and a drop consisting of 0.5 μL of the protein solution and 0.5 μL of the reservoir solution; plate-type crystals appeared within 2–3 weeks.

Diffraction data were collected at two beamlines: BL-5A housed at the Photon Factory and BL-NW12 housed at the Photon Factory Advanced Ring (both at the High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan). Diffraction data were collected using a

Pilatus3 S6M or Pilatus3 S2M detector (Dectris, Philadelphia, PA, USA). Crystals were

collected in a 0.5-mm nylon cryoloop (0.5 mm, Hampton Research), soaked in a precipitant

drop containing 30% (v/v) glycerol, and cryocooled in a nitrogen gas stream to 95 K. Crystals

in complex with D-mannose or α1,2-mannobiose were obtained by adding 0.5 µL 10% D-

mannose or α1,2-mannobiose solution to the crystal drop a few minutes prior to the

diffraction experiment. The data were integrated using the XDS program package [26] and

scaled using the SCALA program [27] within the CCP4 program suite [28].

The ligand-free structure of $YwfG_{28-511}$ was determined by the molecular replacement

method using the Molrep program [29] with the lectin domain (residues 258–492) of SraP

(PDB code 4m01 [30]) as the search model. Sugar complex structures were built using the

ligand-free structure as the starting model. Manual model building and molecular refinement

were performed using Coot [31] and Refmac5 [32]. Data collection and refinement statistics

are shown in Table 2. The stereochemistry of the models was analyzed using the MolProbity

program [33] and structural drawings were prepared using the PyMOL

(https://www.pymol.org/pymol.html) or Cuemol2 program (http://cuemol.osdn.jp/en/).

Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics of the YwfG fragment structures

	Native	D-mannose complex	α1,2-mannobiose complex
PDB code	7YL4	7YL5	7YL6
Data collection			
Space group	*I*222	*I*222	*I*222
Cell parameters (Å)	*a* = 43.2	*a* = 43.3	*a* = 43.5
	b = 272.9	*b* = 273.2	*b* = 276.6
	c = 320.3	*c* = 322.8	*c* = 321.8
X-ray source	PF-AR BL-NW12	PF BL-5A	PF-AR BL-NW12
Wavelength (Å)	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000

Resolution (Å)	48.6–2.50 (2.64–2.50)	48.7–3.00 (3.16–3.00)	43.06–2.95 (3.11–2.95)
No. of reflections	871 880 (127 582)	507 098 (73 143)	546 645 (84 290)
Unique reflections	66 894 (9 601)	39 549 (5 675)	42 029 (6 070)
Completeness (%)	100.0 (100.0)	100.0 (100.0)	99.9 (100.0)
Multiplicity	13.0 (13.3)	12.8 (12.9)	13.0 (13.9)
R-merge	0.093 (0.810)	0.145 (1.053)	0.203 (1.187)
Average I/σ	21.3 (3.4)	16.0 (2.7)	14.0 (2.5)
Refinement			
Resolution (Å)	45.5–2.50 (2.57–2.50)	45.6–3.00 (3.08–3.00)	41.5–2.95 (3.03–2.95)
R-factor	0.246 (0.385)	0.227 (0.365)	0.211 (0.361)
R-free	0.280 (0.395)	0.296 (0.392)	0.268 (0.387)
No. of water molecules	74	33	41
Average B-value (Å^2)	65.1	79.9	65.2
r.m.s.d. from ideals			
lengths (Å)	0.011	0.021	0.014
angles (°)	1.75	2.28	2.03
Ramachandran plot (%) (favored/allowed/disallowed)	94.7 / 5.0 / 0.3	93.7 / 5.5 / 0.8	95.4 / 4.3 / 0.3

242 *Values in parentheses refer to the highest-resolution shell. r.m.s.d., root-mean-square deviation.

244 **Interaction of yeast cells and YwfG variants**

245 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* X2180-1A was cultured in YPD medium to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6. In

246 microplate wells, 50 μL yeast culture, 10 μL each recombinant YwfG protein (10 μM), and

247 40 μL PBS or each mannobiose (10 mM) were mixed and left to settle for 1–2 h, after which

248 aggregation was observed.

Results

Identification of cell-surface proteins that reacted with anti-G50 antibody

Cell-surface proteins from five lactococcal strains (G50, S63, O29, H46, and H54) were prepared and analyzed by western blotting using an antibody generated against live G50 cells (Fig 1). A 37-kDa band was detected in all strains, and a strong 120-kDa band was detected in G50, O29, H46, and H54, but not in S63. Among 11 additional lactococcal strains examined (Table 1), the 120-kDa band was detected in 5 strains (data not shown), suggesting that this protein is not ubiquitous. The CBB-stained 120-kDa and 37-kDa bands were excised, subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion, and analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS and a Mascot search. The obtained peptide fragments from the 120-kDa protein were all aligned to the translated sequence of a CDS（LLG50_11005, GenBank: AUS70730.2) of the G50 genome (Fig 2). This protein consists of 1107 residues and contains mucus-binding protein repeats and the LPXTG motif. The peptide fragments from the 120-kDa protein from strains O29, H46, and H54 were almost the same as those from strain G50. Interestingly, a C-terminal peptide sequence DSKPTDV<u>LPSTG</u>DSQK containing LPSTG was detected in the 120-kDa proteins of strains G50, O29, H46, and H54, suggesting that this LPXTG-motif is not used for anchoring the protein to the cell wall.

Fig 1. Western blot and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of lactococcal cell-surface proteins. (A) Western blot of cell-surface proteins of five Lactococcal strains using anti-G50 antibody. (B) Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of the same samples. The black triangle indicates the thin band of the 120-kDa protein.

Fig 2. Deduced amino acid sequence of LLG50_11005 and peptides obtained by MALDI-

TOF MS analysis. Peptides obtained were aligned to the deduced sequence and are shown

underlined and in bold. Peptides that were detected on both sides of the trypsin cleavage site

are indicated by a triangle.

Amino acid sequence analysis using the Phyre2 (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2) and

Pfam program (http://pfam.xfam.org/) indicated that the 120-kDa protein contained a

leguminous-type (L-type) lectin domain (Lec), a mucin-binding protein domain (Mbp), and

four mucus-binding protein repeats (MubRs), followed by a C-terminal LPXTG motif (Fig

3). From its similarity to the LPXTG cell-wall-anchor-domain–containing protein of *L. lactis*

subsp. *lactis* Il1403 (GenBank: AYV53882.1) [34], we named the protein YwfG, although

the N-terminal 180 amino acid residues of YwfG of G50, including the lectin domain, are

missing from that of Il1403.

Fig 3. Schematic drawing of YwfG and constructions of variants. (Top) Predicted domain

organization of YwfG. (Bottom) Recombinant variants constructed in the present study.

A Mascot search suggested that the 37-kDa protein was a phosphoglycerate kinase

(GenBank: AUS68744.1). Because phosphoglycerate kinases have been reported to be cell-

surface adhesins in *Ligilactobacillus agilis* [35] and Streptococci [36], the present lactococcal

phosphoglycerate kinase could possibly function as a moonlighting protein on the cell

surface.

Interactions of the YwfG variants with monosaccharides and mannobioses

Four recombinant YwfG variants (YwfG$_{28-270}$, Lec domain; YwfG$_{28-336}$, Lec and Mbp; YwfG$_{28-511}$, Lec, Mbp, and MubR1; and MubR4) were expressed and purified (Fig 3). Glycoconjugate microarray analysis revealed that YwfG$_{28-270}$ did not bind to human-type glycan (data not shown). The binding of the four variants with six monosaccharides (D-glucose, D-galactose, D-mannose, D-fucose, GlcNAc, and GalNAc) was examined using ITC. The only combination of variant and monosaccharide that showed an interaction was YwfG$_{28-270}$ with D-mannose; that is, the Lec domain alone could bind to D-mannose.

The binding of the three variants to four mannobioses (α1,2-, α1,3-, α1,4-, and α1,6-mannobiose) was also examined using ITC. The thermodynamic parameters for the interactions observed in the ITC assay are shown in Table 3. No binding of YwfG$_{28-336}$, or YwfG$_{28-511}$ to any mannobiose was observed. YwfG$_{28-270}$ bound to all of the mannobioses, but the binding capacity of α1,2-mannobiose was the greatest; the dissociation constant of α1,2-mannobiose (K_D = 34 μM) was much lower than that of D-mannose (K_D = 500 μM).

Table 3. Thermodynamic parameters from isothermal titration calorimetry assay

Substrate	N (sites)	K_D (μM)	ΔH (cal/mol)	ΔS (cal/mol/deg)
D-mannose*	(1.00)	500 ± 37	−2098 ± 83.59	8.07
α1,2-mannobiose	1.19 ± 0.0104	34.4 ± 0.97	−5236 ± 62.07	2.86
α1,3-mannobiose	0.757 ± 0.148	172 ± 21	−6073 ± 1387	−3.15
α1,4-mannobiose	1.82 ± 0.171	328 ± 91	−2206 ± 309.7	9.55
α1,6-mannobiose	1.21 ± 0.0839	210 ± 13	−4235 ± 372.8	2.62

* Thermodynamic parameters determined with fixed stoichiometry (N = 1).

N, stoichiometry; K_D, dissociation constant; ΔH, enthalpy change; ΔS, entropy change.

Crystal structure of YwfG$_{28-511}$

Next, we elucidated the crystal structure of recombinant YwfG$_{28-511}$. We solved it by the

molecular replacement method at 2.50-Å resolution (Table 2). The crystal belonged to space

group *I*222 with two molecules (I and II) per asymmetric unit. Molecule I was modeled with

continuous residues from 40–511, whereas only residues 41–417 and 446–465 were modeled

in molecule II. The electron density map of N-terminal residues 28–39 could not be modeled

in either molecule. YwfG$_{28-511}$ fragment had an overall elongated shape with an overall

diameter of 40 Å and length of 180 Å, comprised 35 β-sheets and 4 α-helices, and was

formed by head-to-tail tandem connection of the three domains, Lec, Mbp, and MubR1 (Fig

4A, B). Compared with molecule I, molecule II had a more bent structure with a larger angle

between domains (Fig 4C).

Fig 4. Overall structure of YwfG$_{28-511}$. (A) X-ray crystal structure and (B) topology diagram

of YwfG$_{28-511}$ molecule I. The Lec, Mbp, Sub-N, Sub-C domains, and calcium ion are colored

blue, green, orange, red, and black, respectively. (C) Superimposition of the crystal structures

of YwfG$_{28-511}$ molecules I (green) and II (red).

Starting from the N-terminus, the first domain (residues 41–268) had a jelly roll–type β-

sandwich structure comprising 15 β-strands and 3 short α-helices. The core structure

consisted of an antiparallel β-sheet fold formed from two sheets: sheet-I (β1, β3, β5, β10-12,

β14, and β15) and sheet-II (β2, β4, β6-β9, and β13). A calcium-binding site was observed

between sheet-II and the loop connecting the β7 and β8 strands (loop 7–8). One Ca^{2+} ion was

coordinated by Asp152 (Oδ1 and Oδ2) belonging to β7; Tyr154 (O), Asn156 (Oδ1), and

Asp166 (Oδ1) located in loop 7–8; and one water molecule. Structural similarity analysis

using the Dali server [25] revealed that this domain had the highest similarity to *S. aureus*

SraP lectin domain (PDB code 4m01), with a structural alignment Z-score of 35.5 and root-

mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d). of 1.4 Å over 226 aligned residues (Fig 5A).

Fig 5. Comparison of YwfG domain structures. (A) Superimposed ribbon models of the Lec

domain of YwfG (green) and *Staphylococcus aureus* SraP (light blue, PDB code 4m01). (B)

Superimposition of the Mbp domain structures of YwfG (green) and *L. monocytogenes*

Imo0835 (yellow, PDB code 2kvz; pink, PDB code 2kt7). Superimposition of the (C) Sub-N

and (D) Sub-C structures of YwfG MubR1 on the B1 and B2 subdomains, respectively, of *L.*

reuteri MubRV (cyan, PDB code 4mt5) and MubR5 (magenta, PDB code 3i57).

The second domain (residues 271–336) comprised six β-strands folded in a β-sandwich

composed of a mixed β-sheet formed from β16, β17, β19, and β21 and an antiparallel β-sheet

consisting of β18 and β20. This structure is similar to the Mbp domains of *Listeria*

monocytogenes mucin-binding and putative peptidoglycan-binding protein Imo0835 (PDB

codes 2kt7 and 2kvz, respectively) with a structural alignment Z-score of 7.7–8.2 and r.m.s.d.

of 1.6–1.9 Å over 64–66 Cα atoms (Fig 5B).

The third domain (residues 337–511) had a structure in which two subdomains were

connected by a three-stranded β-sheet. The N-terminal subdomain (Sub-N, residues 337–409)

had a structure called a ubiquitin-like β-grasp fold, similar to that found in proteins in the

immunoglobulin-binding superfamily, formed from six β-strands (β22–β27) and one α-helix

(α4). The C-terminal subdomain (Sub-C, residues 410–511) consisted of a second domain-

like fold formed from six β-strands (β28, β29, β32–β35) and a twisted antiparallel β-sheet

(β28, β30, β31) located between the subdomains. The modeling of Sub-C in molecule (II)

was incomplete because the electron density map was unclear. Structural comparison analysis

revealed that the third YwfG domain showed high similarity with MubR folds of a mucus-binding protein from *Limosilactobacillus reuteri*, Mub-RV (PDB code 4mt5) [4] and Mub-R5 (PDB code 3i57) [37], with a Z-score of 12.3–14.5 and r.m.s.d. of 3.3–6.3 Å over 155–165 aligned residues. The Sub-N and Sub-C domains of YwfG were very similar to the B1 and B2 domains of the *L. reuteri* MubRs, respectively, although the β-sheet of the third domain of YwfG is more bent (Figure 5C, D).

Substrate recognition mechanism

A structural analysis of the substrate-binding complex was performed to elucidate the substrate recognition mechanism of YwfG for the glycoconjugates with which an interaction was confirmed by the ITC assay. To obtain crystals of substrate-bound YwfG, ligand-free crystals were soaked in a solution containing D-mannose or α1,2-mannobiose. The structures of the D-mannose– and α1,2-mannobiose–$YwfG_{28-511}$ complexes were determined at 3.00 Å and 2.95 Å, respectively. In each complex, a single substrate molecule was bound to the recognition site, which was located in the groove-like region between loop 7–8 and loop 13–14 on the $YwfG_{28-270}$ surface and partially overlapped with the calcium-binding site.

In the Man–$YwfG_{28-511}$ complex, the recognition of D-mannose by the Lec domain was the result of a hydrogen bond network involving six amino acid residues (Fig 6A). The D-mannose-OH6 group was recognized by the main-chain N of Gly250 and Phe252 and the main-chain O of Phe252 in loop 13–14. The main-chain amide of Ala251 also formed a hydrogen bond with D-mannose-O5. The D-mannose-OH4 group interacted with the sidechain of Asn156 (Nδ2) coordinating with the calcium ion and sidechain of Asp117 (Oδ1) in the β6 strand. Furthermore, the D-mannose-OH3 group interacted indirectly with the main-chain N of Gly136 in loop 6–7 via a water molecule. In addition, the aromatic sidechain of Tyr154 formed a CH-π stacking interaction with the hydrophobic face of D-mannose.

Fig 6. Substrate recognition by the YwfG Lec domain. (A) D-mannose and (B) α1,2-

mannobiose binding mechanism. The sugar substrate molecules and the YwfG residues that

interact with these are indicated by the yellow and green ball-and-stick models, respectively.

Calcium ions are shown as blue-white spheres.

The crystal structure of the α1,2-mannobiose–YwfG$_{28–511}$ complex showed a wider range

of substrate interactions than was seen for the recognition of mannose (Fig 6B). The OH4

group of the non-reducing terminal mannose residue (mannose-1) formed a hydrogen bond

with the sidechain of the Ser81 (Oγ) in loop 3–4. The reducing terminal mannose residue

(mannose-2) was recognized in a manner similar to that of the monosaccharide mannose. The

mannose-2-OH1 group was not involved in the recognition mechanism and was oriented

toward the open space outside the ligand-binding pocket.

Interaction of YwfG domains with yeast mannoproteins

Yeast *gpi10* mutants secrete mannoproteins into the culture media [20]. Here, secreted

mannoproteins were concentrated from the culture filtrate by ultrafiltration and ethanol

precipitation. Interaction of YwfG$_{28–270}$ with mannoproteins was examined using ITC.

Thermal energetic changes, which indicate an interaction, were observed for mannoproteins

obtained from AB9 and AB9-2 and for the commercial yeast mannan. Yeast mannoproteins

are highly glycosylated cell-wall proteins consisting of 20% protein and 80% D-mannose.

Mannoprotein from AB9 is wild-type high-mannose glycan, whereas that from AB9-2 is

high-mannose glycan lacking α1,2-linked mannose. Consistent with the observed binding

capacity to α1,2-mannobiose (Table 2), the interactions of YwfG$_{28–270}$ with mannoprotein

416   from AB9 and yeast mannan were more intense than that with the mannoprotein from AB9-2

417   (Fig 7).

419   **Fig 7. Interaction of YwfG$_{28-270}$ with yeast mannan proteins.** Isothermal titration of

420   YwfG$_{28-270}$ against (A) AB9 (wild type), (B) AB9-2 (mnm2 mutant) mannoprotein, (C) SD-

421   medium, and (D) yeast mannan.

Interaction of YwfG domains with yeast cells

The interactions of the four recombinant domains (YwfG$_{28-270}$, YwfG$_{28-336}$, YwfG$_{28-511}$, and

MubR4) with yeast X2180-1A, which expresses high-mannose glycans on its cell surface,

were investigated (Fig 8). When yeast X2180-1A and 1 μM of each recombinant protein were

added to the well of a round-bottomed titer plate, cells were distributed uniformly at the

bottom of the wells in the presence of YwfG$_{28-270}$, YwfG$_{28-336}$, YwfG$_{28-511}$, but not in the

presence of MubR4 (Figure 8A). Such a uniform distribution is observed in yeasts that

aggregate [38]. The aggregation induced by YwfG$_{28-336}$ and YwfG$_{28-511}$ but not by YwfG$_{28-}$

$_{270}$ was inhibited by the addition of 1 mM D-mannose (data not shown). Similarly, when 1

mM mannobiose was added, the aggregation induced by YwfG$_{28-336}$ and YwfG$_{28-511}$ but not

by YwfG$_{28-270}$ was inhibited in the presence of α1,2- or α1,3-mannobiose (Fig 8B).

Fig 8. Interaction of YwfG variants with yeast cells and mannobioses. (A) Yeast cells in

the presence (+) or absence (−) of 1 μM of each variants (270 [YwfG$_{28-270}$], 366 [YwfG$_{28-366}$],

511 [YwfG$_{28-511}$], MR [MubR4]). (B) Yeast cells in the presence of 1 μM of each variant and

1 mM each mannobiose (α1,2, α1,3, α1,4, α1,6-mannobiose).

Discussion

Here, we found that YwfG is a cell-surface protein that reacts with a strain-specific antibody against *L. lactis* G50. This protein was presumed to be covalently bound to the cell wall via the LPXTG motif by sortase, but we found no evidence of cleavage at the motif or binding of the protein to the cell wall. Cleavage by sortase occurs between the Thr and Gly of the LPXTG motif. If YwfG is covalently attached to the cell wall by the sortase, the C-terminal amino acid sequence after the Thr residue should not be detected. However, a peptide sequence including LPSTG (DSKPTDV<u>LPSTG</u>DSQK) was detected by MALDI-TOF MS not only in YwfG of strain G50 but also in that of the other strains examined, indicating that at least part of YwfG is present on the cell surface without being anchored to the cell wall.

Structural analysis revealed that $YwfG_{28-511}$ is composed of three different domains: Lec, Mbp, and MubR. These domains have structural features of many gram-positive bacterial cell wall adhesins, suggesting that YwfG has a similar function [4, 37, 39, 40]. Adhesins are considered to function most effectively when their substrate-binding sites protrude as far as possible from the bacterial surface [4]. *Lactococcus lactis* G50 YwfG has a Lec domain at the tip of an approximately 600–650-Å long column consisting of one Mbp and four MubRs extending from the cell wall, suggesting that the structure is suitable for binding to distant target glycans.

The Lec domains of YwfG and *S. aureus* SraP have similar structures, although their substrate specificities differ markedly. The legume (L)-type lectin–like module of SraP specifically binds to *N*-acetylneuraminic acid and may mediate adhesion of *S. aureus* to host sialylated receptors and interaction of *S. aureus* with host epithelial cells [30]. In contrast, the ITC analysis in the present study revealed that $YwfG_{28-270}$ exhibits high affinity for D-mannose and mannobiose, especially α1,2-mannobiose. Crystallographic analysis of the YwfG–substrate complexes provided structural evidence of this substrate specificity. In the

recognition mechanism of α1,2-mannobiose by YwfG, mannose-2 (the reducing terminal

mannose residue) was involved in multiple interactions, whereas only one hydrogen bond

was observed for mannose-1 (the non-reducing terminal mannose residue), indicating that

mannose-2 and mannose-1 are the major and auxiliary recognition sites, respectively.

Furthermore, the mannose-2-OH1 group is not involved in the interaction and is oriented

toward the outside of the substrate-binding pocket. Since the OH1 group contributes to the

polymerization of sugars, we hypothesize that the Lec domain of YwfG will also bind to

longer-chain sugars possessing the mannose-α1,2- mannose structure. This difference in the

sugar-binding affinities of the Lec domains of lactococcal YwfG and the L-type lectin-like

modules of staphylococcal SraP may explain the different host specificities, with the former

interacting with plant substrates or yeast cells and the latter interacting with intestinal

epithelium.

Because YwfG was recognized by an anti-G50 antibody that was raised against intact

G50 cells, YwfG was recognized as epitopes and should interact with host immune cells. *L.*

reuteri mucus-binding protein repeats, Mub-R5 and Mub-RV, which have high structural

similarity to the MubR domain of YwfG, have been reported to bind not only to mucin

glycans but also to mammalian secretory Ig such as IgA, IgM, and IgG at the mucosal surface

of the digestive tract [37]. These interactions of the *L. reuteri* MubRs are considered to

contribute to the promotion of bacterial retention in the host mucosal epithelium and the

modulation of host immune responses [37]; MubR of YwfG may have similar functions.

Mannose-specific adhesin (Msa) of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* WCSF-1 also contains a

MubR and an L-type lectin domain, as does YwfG, and its mannose-specific binding function

is considered an essential factor for the probiotic properties of *L. plantarum* WCSF-1 [39].

The lectin domains of Msa and YwfG, which contribute to mannose-specific binding, have

only 37% amino acid identity. Mannose binding may be necessary for *L. lactis* to bind to

plant substrates or yeast cells. Similarly, it may play an important role in interactions with

mannose-containing receptors and other factors in the gastrointestinal tract. Further studies

are required to elucidate the role of *L. lactis* YwfG in the host–probiotic interaction and the

host response when bacterial adhesins adhere to intestinal epithelial cells or immune cells.

Blastp search [41] showed that at least 55 lactococcal strains have YwfG homologs with

more that 90% identity and query cover. YwfG occurs with or without an N-terminal Lec

domain and with various numbers of MubRs. The plant-derived *L. lactis* KF147 has a CDS of

YwfG (GenBank: ADA65975.1) with complete Lec, Mbp, and two MubR domains.

Lactococcus cremoris MG1363, a plasmid-free progeny of the dairy starter strain NCDO712,

has a CDS of YwfG (GenBank: CAL99029.1) with complete Lec, Mbp, and three MubR

domains. The complete set of amino acid residues involved in mannose and calcium binding

(D117, D152, D166, N156, Y154, and A251) are conserved in the Lec domains of YwfG of

strains KF147, MG1363, and G50. In contrast, *L. lactis* Il1403 has a CDS of YwfG

(GenBank: AYV53882.1) lacking half (120 amino acid residues) of the N-terminal Lec

domain of YwfG, suggesting that this protein does not bind mannose residues. The YwfG of

Il1403 has been confirmed to be a cell-wall-associated protein that is present in the wild-type

strain and absent in the sortase A mutant [34], suggesting that those proteins are likely

substrates of sortase A. Since this previous study did not report peptide sequences obtained

by MALDI-TOF MS, it is unknown whether the LPXTG motif of IL1403 is cleaved and used

for binding to the cell wall.

Strains of *L. lactis* are widely used in the production of fermented vegetables and various

fermented dairy products, and these strains are frequently isolated from non-dairy niches,

including soil and plant substrates [42]. The plant-derived *L. lactis* KF147 has gene sets for

degrading complex plant polymers and is adapted to grow on substrates derived from plant

cell walls [43]. Some plant cell walls contain galactomannans and glucomannans, which are

polymers consisting primarily of mannose (α-D-mannosides). Degradation of mannans to D-

mannose can be followed by conversion to D-fructose by mannose isomerase. In the present

study, a 35-kb region (1462553 to 1497747) of the G50 genome showed more than 98%

identity with 100% coverage with more than 40 *Lactococcus* strains including KF147 and

Il1403. This region encodes various proteins involved in glycan degradation and transport,

such as fructokinase, β-glucosidase, endo-β-*N*-acetylglucosaminidase, glucohydrolase, lacto-

N-biosidase, sugar transporters, α-mannosidases, and xylose operon. These proteins therefore

may contribute to the degradation of mannans and/or plant substrates and uptake of

monosaccharides for the growth of the strains Anchoring lectins that bind to mannose-

containing glycans distributed in plant cell walls to the cell-surface layer may be a necessary

strategy for degrading plant substrates.

Here, we experimentally clarified the structure and function of the lactococcal cell surface

protein YwfG. Categorized as a mucus-binding protein, this protein is homologous to the

Staphylococcus aureus SraP lectin, but unlike the lectins of the pathogenic bacteria, YwfG

bound mannose. These findings provide new structural and functional insights into the

interaction between *L. lactis* and its ecological niche, showing that these interactions are

distinct from the bacteria–host interactions of pathogenic bacteria.

Acknowledgements

534 This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI grant number 17K07741 (to C. S.).

535 The authors thank Ms. Tomoko Sato for her outstanding technical support in the MALDI-

536 TOF MS analysis.

References

1.   Chan JM, Gori A, Nobbs AH, Heyderman RS. Streptococcal serine-rich repeat proteins in
colonization and disease. Front Microbiol. 2020;11:593356. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.593356.

2.   Schneewind O, Missiakas D. Sortases, surface proteins, and their roles in *Staphylococcus aureus*
disease and vaccine development. Microbiol Spectr. 2019;7(1). doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.PSIB-0004-2018.

3.   Muscariello L, De Siena B, Marasco R. *Lactobacillus* cell surface proteins involved in interaction
with mucus and extracellular matrix components. Curr Microbiol. 2020;77(12):3831-3841. doi:
10.1007/s00284-020-02243-5.

4.   Etzold S, Kober OI, Mackenzie DA, Tailford LE, Gunning AP, Walshaw J, et al. Structural basis for
adaptation of lactobacilli to gastrointestinal mucus. Environ Microbiol. 2014;16(3):888-903. doi: 10.1111/1462-
2920.12377.

5.   Nishiyama K, Sugiyama M, Mukai T. Adhesion properties of lactic acid bacteria on intestinal mucin.
Microorganisms. 2016;4(3). doi: 10.3390/microorganisms4030034.

6.   Savijoki K, Nyman TA, Kainulainen V, Miettinen I, Siljamäki P, Fallarero A, et al. Growth mode and
carbon source Impact the surfaceome dynamics of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. Front Microbiol.
2019;10:1272. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01272.

7.   Hynönen U, Palva A. Lactobacillus surface layer proteins: structure, function and applications. Appl
Microbiol Biotechnol. 2013;97(12):5225-5243. doi: 10.1007/s00253-013-4962-2.

8.   Konstantinov SR, Smidt H, de Vos WM, Bruijns SC, Singh SK, Valence F, et al. S layer protein A of
Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM regulates immature dendritic cell and T cell functions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2008;105(49):19474-19479. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0810305105.

9.   Yamasaki-Yashiki S, Sawada H, Kino-Oka M, Katakura Y. Analysis of gene expression profiles of
Lactobacillus paracasei induced by direct contact with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* through recognition of yeast
mannan. Biosci Microbiota Food Health. 2017;36(1):17-25. doi: 10.12938/bmfh.BMFH-2016-015.

10.   Hirayama S, Furukawa S, Ogihara H, Morinaga Y. Yeast mannan structure necessary for co-
aggregation with Lactobacillus plantarum ML11-11. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2012;419(4):652-655.
doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.02.068.

11.   Nejati F, Junne S, Neubauer P. A Big World in Small Grain: A Review of Natural Milk Kefir
Starters. Microorganisms. 2020;8(2). doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8020192.

12.   Klijn N, Weerkamp AH, de Vos WM. Genetic marking of *Lactococcus lactis* shows its survival in the
human gastrointestinal tract. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1995;61(7):2771-2774. doi: 10.1128/aem.61.7.2771-
2774.1995.

13.   Kimoto-Nira H. New lactic acid bacteria for skin health via oral intake of heat-killed or live cells.
Anim Sci J. 2018;89(6):835-842. doi: 10.1111/asj.13017.

14.   Sugimura T, Jounai K, Ohshio K, Tanaka T, Suwa M, Fujiwara D. Immunomodulatory effect of
Lactococcus lactis JCM5805 on human plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Clin Immunol. 2013;149(3):509-518. doi:
10.1016/j.clim.2013.10.007.

15.   Nomura M, Kobayashi M, Narita T, Kimoto-Nira H, Okamoto T. Phenotypic and molecular

characterization of *Lactococcus lactis* from milk and plants. J Appl Microbiol. 2006;101(2):396-405. doi:

10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02949.x.

16.   Fallico V, McAuliffe O, Fitzgerald GF, Ross RP. Plasmids of raw milk cheese isolate Lactococcus

lactis subsp. lactis biovar diacetylactis DPC3901 suggest a plant-based origin for the strain. Appl Environ

Microbiol. 2011;77(18):6451-6462. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00661-11.

17.   Kimoto H, Mizumachi K, Okamoto T, Kurisaki J. New *Lactococcus* strain with immunomodulatory

activity: enhancement of Th1-type immune response. Microbiol Immunol. 2004;48(2):75-82. doi:

10.1111/j.1348-0421.2004.tb03490.x.

18.   Suzuki C, Kimoto-Nira H, Kobayashi M, Nomura M, Sasaki K, Mizumachi K. Immunomodulatory

and cytotoxic effects of various *Lactococcus* strains on the murine macrophage cell line J774.1. Int J Food

Microbiol. 2008;123(1-2):159-165. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.12.022.

19.   Nakano K, Minami M, Shinzato M, Shimoji M, Ashimine N, Shiroma A, et al. Complete genome

sequence of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. lactis G50 with immunostimulating activity, isolated from Napier grass.

Genome Announc. 2018;6(8). doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00069-18.

20.   Tanaka M, Odani T, Yuuki T, Ohtake Y, Shimma Y-I, Yoko-O T, et al. Yeast strain releasing

mannan protein and method of producing mannan protein WO2006025295A1 2005.

21.   Nomura M, Kobayashi M, Okamoto T. Rapid PCR-based method which can determine both

phenotype and genotype of Lactococcus lactis subspecies. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002;68(5):2209-2213. doi:

10.1128/AEM.68.5.2209-2213.2002.

22.   Nomura M, Kimoto H, Someya Y, Suzuki I. Novel characteristic for distinguishing Lactococcus

lactis subsp. lactis from subsp. cremoris. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1999;49 Pt 1:163-166. doi: 10.1099/00207713-49-

1-163.

23.   Kimoto H, Nomura M, Suzuki I. Growth energetics of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* biovar

diacetylactis in cometabolism of citrate and glucose. International Dairy Journal. 1999;9(12):857-863.

24.   Meyrand M, Guillot A, Goin M, Furlan S, Armalyte J, Kulakauskas S, et al. Surface proteome

analysis of a natural isolate of *Lactococcus lactis* reveals the presence of pili able to bind human intestinal

epithelial cells. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2013;12(12):3935-3947. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M113.029066.

25.   Holm L, Rosenstrom P. Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38(Web

Server issue):W545-549. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq366.

26.   Kabsch W. XDS. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010;66(Pt 2):125-132. doi:

10.1107/S0907444909047337.

27.   Evans P. Scaling and assessment of data quality. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2006;62(Pt

1):72-82. doi: 10.1107/S0907444905036693.

28.   Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, et al. Overview of the CCP4

suite and current developments. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2011;67(Pt 4):235-242. doi:

10.1107/S0907444910045749.

29.   Vagin A, Teplyakov A. Molecular replacement with MOLREP. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr.

2010;66(Pt 1):22-25. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909042589.

30.   Yang YH, Jiang YL, Zhang J, Wang L, Bai XH, Zhang SJ, et al. Structural insights into SraP-
mediated *Staphylococcus aureus* adhesion to host cells. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10(6):e1004169. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1004169.

31.   Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D Biol
Crystallogr. 2004;60(Pt 12 Pt 1):2126-2132. doi: 10.1107/S0907444904019158.

32.   Murshudov GN, Skubak P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, et al. REFMAC5 for
the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2011;67(Pt 4):355-
367. doi: 10.1107/S0907444911001314.

33.   Chen VB, Arendall WB, 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, et al. MolProbity:
all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr.
2010;66(Pt 1):12-21. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909042073.

34.   Dieye Y, Oxaran V, Ledue-Clier F, Alkhalaf W, Buist G, Juillard V, et al. Functionality of sortase A
in *Lactococcus lactis*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76(21):7332-7337. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00928-10.

35.   Kinoshita H, Ohuchi S, Arakawa K, Watanabe M, Kitazawa H, Saito T. Isolation of lactic acid
bacteria bound to the porcine intestinal mucosa and an analysis of their moonlighting adhesins. Biosci
Microbiota Food Health. 2016;35(4):185-196. doi: 10.12938/bmfh.16-012.

36.   Amblee V, Jeffery CJ. Physical features of intracellular proteins that moonlight on the cell surface.
PLoS One. 2015;10(6):e0130575. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130575.

37.   MacKenzie DA, Tailford LE, Hemmings AM, Juge N. Crystal structure of a mucus-binding protein
repeat reveals an unexpected functional immunoglobulin binding activity. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(47):32444-
32453. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.040907.

38.   Suzuki C, Shimma YI. P-type ATPase spf1 mutants show a novel resistance mechanism for the killer
toxin SMKT. Mol Microbiol. 1999;32(4):813-823. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01400.x.

39.   Pretzer G, Snel J, Molenaar D, Wiersma A, Bron PA, Lambert J, et al. Biodiversity-based
identification and functional characterization of the mannose-specific adhesin of *Lactobacillus plantarum*. J
Bacteriol. 2005;187(17):6128-6136. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.17.6128-6136.2005.

40.   von Ossowski I, Satokari R, Reunanen J, Lebeer S, De Keersmaecker SC, Vanderleyden J, et al.
Functional characterization of a mucus-specific LPXTG surface adhesin from probiotic *Lactobacillus
rhamnosus* GG. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77(13):4465-4472. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02497-10.

41.   Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+: architecture
and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10:421. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.

42.   Yanagida F, Chen YS, Shinohara T. Searching for bacteriocin-producing lactic acid bacteria in soil. J
Gen Appl Microbiol. 2006;52(1):21-28. doi: 10.2323/jgam.52.21.

43.   Siezen RJ, Starrenburg MJ, Boekhorst J, Renckens B, Molenaar D, van Hylckama Vlieg JE. Genome-
scale genotype-phenotype matching of two *Lactococcus lactis* isolates from plants identifies mechanisms of
adaptation to the plant niche. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008;74(2):424-436. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01850-07.

Supporting information

S1_raw_Fig 1 image. Two gels loaded with the same samples, one for CBB staining and one for western blotting, were simultaneously subjected to SDS-PAGE. Proteins were visualized by CBB staining (Left panel, Fig 1B) or western blotting visualizing by exposure with ECL regent (Right panel, Fig 1A). The middle panel is the PVDF membrane after exposure with ECL, visualizing the protein on which the ECL reagent bound.

7YL4_D_1300030883_val-report-full_P1. A PDB Summary Validation Report for 7YL4, the ligand-free structure of YwfG$_{28-511}$.

7YL5_D_1300030884_val-report-full_P1. A PDB Summary Validation Report for 7YL5, the D-mannose complex structure of YwfG$_{28-511}$.

7YL6_D_1300030939_val-report-full_P1. A PDB Summary Validation Report for 7YL6, the α 1,2-mannobiose complex structure of YwfG$_{28-511}$.

Fig 1

MNKKSSALLTM**GTLTLLAGGGVVLTNLPDSFKIQR**VYAATSRDITVYPK**DFLTYFQRNGS** 60

AAGFDYDLATYTQTLTPNKASQAGNVTLKTKVDMSQNFTFTGKINLGDKAQNAGGADGVG 120

FLFHPGDTNVVGAPGGAAGIGGVNGAFGFKLDTYYNGVGENSFTPDPSNFK**GKPFGAFVD** 180

GLNGQAKTIASSAQSISEPSNNNFVDFTMSYNGATKVMSVTYGGQTWTQDVSSFVGTNQA 240

MSFSIAASTGAFMNLQQLRNVNFTYTVAQGTVIANYVDEQGNTIAQQETTSGDIDTPYVT 300

SQK**TIPGYTFKASNGAATSGNYAANDQTVNYVYTRNQGSIDVTYIDQTTGQTLSKK**DLSG 360

GTGDSSNYTTADTIKSYTDAGYELVSDNYPSGGTVFTDTAQHYVVNLK**QKLVVSSEQKQV** 420

NETIQYVYEDGSKAADDYNAPPLNFTRSVTTNQVTGEKTYGDWQAQNGDSFGEVVSPTIK 480

GETADQLK**IDAISGITANSADIQK**KVVYKR**NQGTIDVTYIDETTGQVLTKKDLSGGTDDP** 540

SNYTTADDIKSYTAK**GYELVSDDYPSGGTVFTDEPQHYVVK**LK**HGLTESTDKKAVNQVIH** 600

YVYEGGGEAATDHNATVDFSRTITTDRVTNDKTYGDWTADNGDSFASVTSPVIDGYTADQ 660

LK**VSEMTGITADTEDISVTVTYTR**NQGTIDITYIDQTTGQTLSEKDLSGGTGDDSGYTTA 720

DTIK**SYTDKGYELVSNDYPEDGTKFADDPQHYIVRLKHGLTEVTENK**TVNQVIHYVYEGG 780

GEAATDHNATVVFSQTITTDKVTGEKTYSDWTADNGDSFASVTSPVIDGYTADQLKVSEM 840

TGITVDTEDISVTVTYTR**NQGTIDVTYIDETTGK**ILTTK**DLSGGTGDDSGYTTADTIKSY** 900

TDKGYELVSNDYPEDGTKFADDPQHYIVRLKHGTVVETENKSVNEVIHYVYDNGDKAADN 960

YKATIVFSRTITTDKVTGEKTYSDWTADNGGRFAAVLSPIIKDYIASQLK**IDEMTGITVD** 1020

TADIERIVVYHKVPAGIIVPPVHPDKPSQPSNNQSKTPTAKAVK**DSKPTDV**LPSTG**DSQK** 1080

SQIVLTLLGIMAVIISPLALLLRRRKQ 1107

Fig 2

Fig 3

A

Lec

Mbp

Sub-N

Sub-C

MubR1

180 Å

B

Sheet-I   Sheet-II

β12 β10 β14 α2 β3 β4 β6 β8

β2

β11 β15 α1 β13 β7 β9

β5 β1

N α3

β16 β18

β17 β20

β21

β19

β22 β24

β27 β26

β23 β25 α4

β31 β28

β30 β34 β32

β35

β29 β33

C

C

(I) (II)

Fig 4

Fig 5

Fig 6

Fig 7

A YwfG variant 270 366 511 MR
1 μM

+

−

B YwfG variant − 270 366 511 MR
1 μM

mannobiose
α1,2 +

α1,3 +

α1,4 +

α1,6 +

Fig 8

Western blotting (Figure 1A)

Blot membrane after exposure

CBB staining (Figure 1B)

S1_raw_Fig 1 image