Discovery, Structure, and Function of Filamentous 3-Methylcrotonyl-CoA Carboxylase

Jason J. Hu[1,2,3,*], Jane K.J. Lee[1,2,4,*], Yun-Tao Liu[1,2,*], Clinton Yu[5], Lan Huang[5], Inna Aphasizheva[6], Ruslan Aphasizhev[6,7], and Z. Hong Zhou[1,2,†]

[1]Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[2]California NanoSystems Institute, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[3]Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[4]Department of Psychology, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[5]Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

[6]Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Boston University Medical Campus (BUMC), Boston, MA 02118, USA

[7]Department of Biochemistry, BUMC, Boston, MA 02118, USA

*Jason J. Hu, Jane K.J. Lee, and Yun-Tao Liu contributed equally to this article. Order was determined alphabetically by last name.

†Corresponding author and lead contact. Contact: hong.zhou@ucla.edu, 310-694-7527

Summary

3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase (MCC) is a biotin-dependent enzyme necessary for leucine catabolism in most organisms. While the crystal structure of recombinant bacterial MCC has been characterized, the structure and potential polymerization of native MCC remain elusive. Here, we discovered that native MCC from *Leishmania tarentolae* (LtMCC) forms filaments and determined its structure at near-atomic resolution using cryoEM. $\alpha_6\beta_6$ LtMCC dodecamers assemble in a twisted-stacks architecture, manifesting as supramolecular rods extending up to approximately 400 nanometers. LtMCCs in filaments bind biotin but are not covalently biotinylated and lack coenzyme A. Filaments elongate by stacking $\alpha_6\beta_6$ LtMCCs onto the exterior α-trimer of the terminal $\alpha_6\beta_6$ dodecamer. This stacking immobilizes the biotin carboxylase domains, sequestering the enzyme in an inactive state within the mitochondrial matrix. Our results support a new model for LtMCC catalysis, termed the dual-swinging-domains model, and cast new light on the functional significance of polymerization in the carboxylase superfamily and beyond.

Keywords

3-methylcrotonyl-CoA, carboxylase, cryo-electron microscopy, filament, leucine catabolism, biotin, mitochondria, Leishmania, atomic modeling, cryoID

Introduction

3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase (MCC) is a biotin-dependent enzyme necessary for the catabolism of leucine (Anderson et al., 1998; Knappe et al., 1961; Nikolau et al., 2003), an essential branched-chain amino acid involved in regulating cellular metabolism (Gondáš et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2010), protein synthesis (Gondáš et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2010), and anabolic signaling (Gran and Cameron-Smith, 2011; Paulussen et al., 2021). MCC belongs to a superfamily of biotin-dependent carboxylases with different substrate preferences, such as acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), geranyl-CoA carboxylase (GCC), propionyl-CoA carboxylase (PCC), and pyruvate carboxylase (PC). In eukaryotes, MCC resides in the mitochondrial matrix (Tong, 2013). Documented in many species (Diez et al., 1994; Gallardo et al., 2001; Höschle et al., 2005), MCC shares high cross-species sequence homology (Figure S1). In humans, upregulated MCC expression often correlates with various cancers (Chen et al., 2021; Dai et al., 2020; Gondáš et al., 2022; He et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2019). On the other hand, MCC deficiency, one of the most common metabolic disorders in newborns (Lee and Hong, 2014), may cause vomiting, seizures, and other neurological abnormalities (Grünert et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2017).

As part of the mitochondrial leucine degradation pathway, MCC catalyzes the conversion of 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA to 3-methylglutaconyl-CoA (Gallardo et al., 2001). Upon covalent biotinylation of the apoenzyme, MCC accelerates two successive reactions: biotin carboxylation and carboxyl group transfer (Lynen et al., 1961; Moss and Lane, 1971). First, biotin is carboxylated at an α-subunit active site with bicarbonate as the carbon dioxide donor upon concomitant ATP hydrolysis (Lynen et al., 1961; Moss

and Lane, 1971; Tong, 2013). Next, the carboxylated biotin is translocated to the

corresponding β-subunit active site, where the carboxyl group is transferred from biotin

to 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA (Lynen et al., 1961; Moss and Lane, 1971; Tong, 2013).

The atomic structure of bacterial MCC has been solved by X-ray crystallography

using recombinant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MCC (PaMCC[rec]) expressed in

Escherichia coli with His-tags to the β-subunits (Huang et al., 2012). PaMCC[rec] subunits

oligomerize into a dodecameric complex with a core of six β-subunits sandwiched by

two α-trimers in an $\alpha_6\beta_6$ architecture (Huang et al., 2012). Whether MCCs may exist in

other forms is unclear. Albeit, their supramolecular assembly was conjectured based on

rod-shaped aggregations of *Achromobacter* IVS MCC observed by negative-stain

electron microscopy (Apitz-Castro et al., 1970). Recent advances in cryo-electron

microscopy (cryoEM) have uncovered unexpected modes of enzyme polymerization

and elucidated the regulatory roles of such architectural forms (Lynch et al., 2017;

Mattei et al., 2020; Maud et al., 2022; Park and Horton, 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Webb

et al., 2017). For example, near-atomic resolution cryoEM structures illuminated the

regulatory functions of several filamentous forms of eukaryotic ACC (Hunkeler et al.,

2018). In the absence of high-resolution structures of the native MCC enzyme, it

remains unsettled whether native MCCs can similarly form supramolecular assemblies.

Here, we used a bottom-up structural proteomics cryoEM approach (Ho et al.,

2020; Pfab et al., 2021) to identify and determine the near-atomic resolution structure of

native *Leishmania tarentolae* MCC (LtMCC) in mitochondria enriched through

streptavidin-coated magnetic beads. We discovered that LtMCC filaments consist of

stacks of LtMCC $\alpha_6\beta_6$ dodecamers. The biotin carboxylase (BC) domains of adjacent

$\alpha_6\beta_6$ dodecamers bind with each other, inhibiting their mobility. In contrast, at the

filament termini, the BC domain is flexible, while the linker between the biotin carboxyl

carrier protein (BCCP) and the BC-CT mediating (BT) domain is rigid. These

observations support a dual-swinging-domains model for LtMCC catalysis and suggest

a regulatory role of LtMCC filamentation in the mitochondrial matrix.

Results

Discovery and identification of LtMCC filaments from mitochondrial lysate

While examining complexes enriched by sedimentation of mitochondrial lysate from

parasitic protist *L. tarentolae* in 10%-30% glycerol gradient (Figure 1A) and affinity

pulldown with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads, we serendipitously discovered

filamentous structures (Figure 1B). We identified the constituents of these filamentous

structures by following the workflow detailed in Figures 1B–1C, which is based on the

cryoID bottom-up structural proteomics approach (Ho et al., 2020). Using single-particle

reconstruction, we obtained two differently centered cryoEM maps of the filament

middle segments, one at 3.4 Å and another at 3.9 Å resolution (Figure 1B). Additionally,

we processed the termini particles and found that the two termini have the same

structure, which was resolved at 7.3 Å resolution (Figure 1B).

To establish the protein identities of the cryoEM maps, we first obtained a partial

model prediction from DeepTracer (Pfab et al., 2021) using the 3.9 Å map. The

sequence of the output model from DeepTracer (Pfab et al., 2021) was then input into

cryoID (Figure 1C). Searching for proteins detected by mass spectrometry (Table S1),

cryoID identified LtMCC α-subunits as a filament component. Upon visual inspection, it

was clear that the filaments also contain LtMCC β-subunits. Indeed, LtMCC α- and β-

subunits produced the most peptide spectral counts of all proteins detected by mass

spectrometry (Table S1). Furthermore, we ruled out GCCs as the filament components

because GCCs are not found in eukaryotes (Waldrop et al., 2012). We built the atomic

model for the filament *de novo*, using an AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021; Mirdita et al.,

2022) predicted model for the α-subunit and the β-subunit as references. We found that

both the 3.4 Å and 3.9 Å maps contain the same subunits but center on the β- and α-

subunits, respectively. After translating one map for half of an $\alpha_6\beta_6$ stack along the

filament and a slight rotation around the filament axis, the two maps match well with one

another (cross-correlation coefficient of 0.74). The slightly better resolution of the map

centered on the β-subunits is probably because the architecture of the β-subunits is

more stable.

Structure of native eukaryotic LtMCC filaments

LtMCC filaments are predominantly straight (Figure 2A) although some are curved

(Figure 2B), with a maximum observed curvature reaching 3.1 μm^{-1}. With a mean length

of 993 Å, the length distribution resembles a Poisson distribution (Figure 2C), similar to

that of ACC filaments isolated from animal tissues and observed by negative stain

electron microscopy (Kleinschmidt et al., 1969). Most filaments consist of four to six

$\alpha_6\beta_6$ dodecamers, or stacks (Figure 2C). With an architecture similar to that of

PaMCC[rec], each $\alpha_6\beta_6$ stack in the LtMCC filament is characterized by an $\alpha_6\beta_6$ cylindrical

dodecamer exhibiting D3 symmetry (Figure 2D). Measuring to a height of 216 Å and

width of 148 Å, the dodecamer is composed of a core of six β-subunits (β-core)

sandwiched by two layers of trimeric α-subunits (Figure 2D, Video S1). Modeling the

atomic structures of LtMCC $\alpha_6\beta_6$ stacks into our cryoEM reconstruction reveals that the

filament has a twisted-stacks architecture; that is, neighboring $\alpha_6\beta_6$ stacks are related by

a rotation of 23° and a translation of 216 Å (Figure 2D). In the filament, the active sites

are exposed in both α- and β-subunits (indicated by stars in the middle panel of Figure

2D).

Each α-subunit contains the BC, BT, and BCCP domains, and two linkers: the

BC-BT linker and the BT-BCCP linker, the latter of which was unmodeled in PaMCC[rec]

(Figures 2E). A prominent feature of the BC domain is the presence of two large central

β-sheets surrounded by α-helices. The active site of each α-subunit is located inside the

central pocket of the BC domain (Figure 2F) and is responsible for carboxylating BCCP-

bound biotin. In contrast to the BT domain of PaMCC[rec], which contains a central α-helix

accompanied by a seven-stranded antiparallel β-barrel (Huang et al., 2012), the LtMCC

BT domain features eight β-strands (Figure S2). When the dodecameric PaMCC[rec] and

LtMCC are superimposed globally, the BC, BT, and BCCP domains in LtMCC are

rotated with respect to those in PaMCC[rec] (Figure S3A–B). However, when individual

domains are superimposed independently, they fit well with each other (Figure S3C–E),

suggesting that the above observed structural differences between the LtMCC filament

and PaMCC[rec] are likely due to filamentation.

Like in PaMCC[rec], each LtMCC β-subunit possesses an N-carboxyltransferase

(N-CT) domain and a C-carboxyltransferase (C-CT) domain. We have designated two

new domains in LtMCC: the N-dock domain and CT-linker (Figure 2E), located before

and after the N-CT domain, respectively. The N-dock domain was introduced to

facilitate description of interactions between α- and β-subunits in the filament (see

below). Designating the helix-loop-helix-loop fragment between the N-CT and C-CT

domains as a separate domain (CT-linker) renders the N-CT and C-CT domains to have

near-identical backbone folds (Figure S4), despite sharing only 19% sequence identity.

Each of the six β-core active sites is wedged at the N-CT and C-CT interface between a

top and a bottom β-subunit (Figures 2G, 3A) and is responsible for carboxylating 3-

methylcrotonyl-CoA. Similar to that in PaMCC[rec], each LtMCC β-core active site is

roughly 80 Å away from its corresponding α-subunit active site.

LtMCC filaments bind biotin but lack coenzyme A

PaMCC[rec] was crystalized as both a holoenzyme (with bound CoA and covalently-linked

biotin) and an apoenzyme (without CoA and biotin). By contrast, $\alpha_6\beta_6$ stacks in the

LtMCC filament contain non-covalently-bound biotin but lack CoA (Figure 3A). For

LtMCC to perform its two-step catalytic process, covalent biotinylation must occur first.

Although biotin is observed at the expected β-core active sites, it is untethered to

Lys651 in the highly conserved Ala-Met-Lys-Met sequence in the BCCP domain.

Indeed, the carboxyl group of biotin is about 10 Å apart from the ε-amino group of

Lys651 (Figure 3A), which is too far to form a prosthetic group (Moss and Lane, 1971).

The existence of non-biotinylated BCCP domains in LtMCC filaments suggests that the

filamentous LtMCC is inactive. Similarly, inactive forms of PCC also bind biotin without

forming a covalent adduct (Arabolaza et al., 2010; Diacovich et al., 2004).

The bicyclic ring system in biotin consists of an ureido ring and a thiophane ring

(Hu and Cronan, 2020). Carboxylation requires the transfer of the carboxyl group

attached to the N1′ atom of the biotin uredo ring to acyl-CoA (Knowles, 1989).

Therefore, the orientation of the N1′ atom of biotin is important for carboxylation. In

LtMCCs, the N1′ atom in the ureido ring of biotin is proximal to the CoA binding site, but

not in PaMCC[rec] (Figure 3A). This is because the biotin bicyclic ring in LtMCC is

approximately 180° rotated relative to that of PaMCC[rec] (Figure 3B). The spatial

arrangement of LtMCC biotin is consistent with PCC biotin (Huang et al., 2010;

Scheffen et al., 2021) and PC biotin (Fry et al., 1985) (Figure 3B). In contrast, the

arrangement of PaMCC[rec] biotin is consistent with ACC biotin (Huang et al., 2012; Wei

and Tong, 2015) (Figure 3B). Therefore, biotin orientation is variable at the β-core active

site for different carboxylases and carboxylases in different catalytic states.

α-subunits associate more with β-subunits than with intra-trimer α-subunits

Many interactions are observed between an α-subunit and the β-core, with 1,515 $Å^2$ of

buried surface area as calculated by ChimeraX (Goddard et al., 2018) and a binding

affinity of -11.7 kcal mol^{-1} as calculated by PRODIGY (Vangone and Bonvin, 2015; Xue

et al., 2016). Interactions between each α-subunit and the β-core are mainly mediated

by a hook between the central α-helix and β1 strand of one BT domain that curls into a

groove of a β-subunit (yellow segment from Asp494–Thr506 in Figure 4A). This groove

is formed by the N-CT, C-CT, and N-dock domains (Figure S5). Due to its shorter

sequence, the LtMCC hook contains only one hairpin in contrast to two hairpins in the

PaMCC[rec] hook (Figure S2). In both structures, the hook is crucial for interactions with

the β-subunit to reinforce inter-subunit associations (Huang et al., 2012). The additional

structures resolved in our cryoEM map also unveil previously unrecognized associations

among domains of three neighboring subunits: the BT-BCCP linker of an α-subunit, N-

dock domain of a β-subunit, and N-CT domain of another β-subunit (Figure 4B). Here,

the BT-BCCP linker "docks" onto the crescent N-dock domain (Figure 4B).

Fewer interactions are observed between intra-trimer α-subunits, contributing just

579 $Å^2$ of buried surface area and a binding affinity of -6.1 kcal mol^{-1}. Within an α-trimer,

each α-subunit interacts with its neighboring α-subunits through the BC-BC and BC-BT

interfaces. At the BC-BC interface, a loop from one BC domain (Glu34–His36) interacts

with a loop (Phe379–Pro385) and a helix (Arg423–Gln427) from a neighboring BC

domain (Figure 4C). At the BC-BT interface, strand β6 (Gly572–Ala581) from the β-

barrel in the BT domain interacts with a short β-strand (Ala59–Cys61) in the BC domain

through β-sheet augmentation (Harrison, 1996) (Figure 4D).

Structure of filament termini reveals mechanism of LtMCC polymerization

To investigate the mechanism of LtMCC polymerization, we reconstructed the filament

termini at 7.3 Å resolution (Figure 5A). We found that both ends of the filament

terminate at α-trimers, with partially resolved densities attributed to the BC domains

(Figure 5A). When displayed at a high threshold, cryoEM densities for the BC, BCCP

domain, and the BC-BT linker disappear, while density for the BT domain and BT-BCCP

linker of the same α-subunit remain visible (Figure 5A). Therefore, the weaker densities

of the BC and BCCP domains are due to their flexibility, rather than low occupancy of

the α-subunit at the termini, which would make all domains of the α-subunit disappear.

The flexibility of the BC and BCCP domains is further supported by limited intra-trimer α-

subunit associations (Figures 4C–D). In contrast, the BT-BCCP linker is mostly rigid as

it interacts extensively with the β-subunits (Figure 4B).

Our observation that LtMCC filaments terminate at α-subunits suggests that the

building block for the filament is an $\alpha_6\beta_6$ stack. Filaments plausibly elongate one stack at

a time, attaching through BC domains to form an α-α interface (Video S2), which is

defined as the associations between two α-trimers from adjacent stacks (Figure 5B).

The α-α interface has a total buried surface area of 1,689 $Å^2$ and a binding affinity of -

11.6 kcal mol^{-1}. At the α-α interface, the BC domain of an α-subunit from the lower α-

trimer interacts with the BC domains of two α-subunits from the upper α-trimer (Figure

5B). Interactions contributing to the α-α interface occur primarily between loops, along a

local twofold axis (right panel of Figure 5B): the first site of interaction is between two

loops (Leu338–Asp344), with its neighboring residues Lys319 and Arg231 also

participating in α-α interactions; the second site of interaction occurs between two loops

connected to two α-helices (His257–Glu261). These interactions at the α-α interface

stabilize the BC domains between neighboring stacks in the LtMCC filament (Figure

5B).

Discussion

This study reveals an important, yet previously unrecognized role of the BC domain in MCC catalysis. During catalysis, the BCCP domain must shuttle biotin between the CT active site (β-subunit) and the BC active site (α-subunit), located approximately 80 Å apart (Figure 5A). However, we observed that the BT-BCCP linker is fixed (Figure 5A). Therefore, the maximum distance that the BCCP domain can translocate by itself is about 60 Å, which is insufficient for the BCCP domain to reach the BC active site (right panel of Figure 5A). As such, for catalysis to occur, both the BCCP and active-site-containing BC domain must move so that BCCP-bound biotin can reach the BC active site. Indeed, at the filament termini, the BC domain is flexible, thus permitting BC domain movement. We term this the dual-swinging-domains model to highlight the difference from the original swinging-domain model (Tong, 2013), in which only the BCCP domain swings. Beyond MCC, both the BCCP and BC domains have been documented to move in pyruvate carboxylase (Maurice et al., 2007; Xiang and Tong, 2008). These observations suggest that carboxylase catalysis in general may involve a dual-swinging domains mechanism.

In LtMCC filaments, the α-α interface fixes the BC domain, thus preventing its swinging. According to our dual-swinging-domains model, immobilization of the BC domain inhibits catalysis. Therefore, filamentation likely sequesters LtMCCs in a quiescent state in the mitochondrial matrix (Figure 5C), which may provide a capacity to reactivate the enzyme in response to environmental signals. Polymerization appears to be a readily deployable and economic mechanism for regulating the activity of abundant mitochondrial matrix enzymes in response to rapid changes in cellular metabolism

requirements. Such capacity is particularly relevant for parasitic protists cycling between

the amino acid-rich gut environment of insect vectors and the high glucose

concentration bloodstream of their hosts.

Our inquiries into MCC polymerization further reflect the diversity of

polymerization in the carboxylase superfamily. It is known that both isoforms of ACC,

ACC1 and ACC2, form filaments (Beaty and Lane, 1983; Hunkeler et al., 2018; Kim et

al., 2010; Kleinschmidt et al., 1969; Meredith and Lane, 1978; Park et al., 2013; Wei

and Tong, 2018). Recently, high-resolution structures of filamentous ACC1 have been

reported (Hunkeler et al., 2018). In contrast to LtMCC, ACC1 assembles into two

filamentous forms: an active single-stranded and inactive double-stranded helical

structure. Eukaryotic MCC and ACC filamentation exhibit different architectures and

regulatory functions, supporting the distinct evolutionary lineages of these enzymes

(Huang et al., 2012). Nonetheless, filament formation may be a general way to regulate

enzymatic activity in the carboxylase superfamily.

Evolutionarily, employing the same gene costs less than "inventing" a new gene

for regulation. Polymerization is a remarkable example of such a cost-effective strategy.

In the case of LtMCC, the stacking of active $\alpha_6\beta_6$ dodecamers can inhibit biotin shuttling

(Figure 5C), thus sequestering enzymes by polymerization. In other enzymes,

polymerization can alter specificity (Kim et al., 2005), increase activity (Polley et al.,

2019), and even introduce non-enzymatic functions such as conducting electrons

between bacterial cells (Wang et al., 2019) and regulating cell curvature (Ingerson-

Mahar et al., 2010). Just like how complex life forms arose by the aggregation and

polymerization of unicellular forms, the diverse functions arising from enzyme

polymerization can be considered as a rudimentary form of evolution.

STAR★Methods

Key resources table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
L. tarentolae TATII/UC strain	(Parrot, 1949)	TATII/UC was isolated from Algerian gecko in 1939 and maintained in axenic culture in various laboratories. Parasite culture used in this study was received from Prof. Larry Simpson laboratory at UCLA in 2004 and transfected with pX based plasmid exoressing RNA editing ligase 1 (KREL1).
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
Complete protease cocktail tablet	Roche	N/A
Software and algorithms		
MotionCorr2	(Zheng et al., 2017)	https://emcore.ucsf.edu/ucsf-software
Gctf	(Zhang, 2016)	https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/research/locally-developed-software/zhang-software/#gctf
RELION 3.1	(Zivanov et al., 2018)	https://www3.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/relion/index.php/Main_Page
ChimeraX	(Goddard et al., 2018)	https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimerax/
Coot	(Emsley et al., 2010)	https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot/
Phenix	(Liebschner et al., 2019)	https://phenix-online.org/
DeepTracer	(Pfab et al., 2021)	https://deeptracer.uw.edu/

cryoID	(Ho et al., 2020)	https://github.com/EICN-UCLA/cryoID
ESPript 3	(Robert and Gouet, 2014)	https://espript.ibcp.fr/ESPript/ESPript/
AlphaFold	(Jumper et al., 2021; Mirdita et al., 2022)	https://github.com/sokrypton/ColabFold
IMOD	(Kremer et al., 1996)	https://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod/
SerialEM 3.8	(Mastronarde, 2005)	https://bio3d.colorado.edu/SerialEM/
ExPASy Translate	(Gasteiger et al., 2003)	https://web.expasy.org/translate/
Clustal Omega	(Sievers and Higgins, 2018)	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/
PRODIGY	(Vangone and Bonvin, 2015; Xue et al., 2016)	https://wenmr.science.uu.nl/prodigy/
ResMap	(Kucukelbir et al., 2014)	http://resmap.sourceforge.net/
Deposited data		
Crystal Structure of PaMCC^{rec} apoenzyme	(Huang et al., 2012)	PDB: 3U9T
Crystal Structure of PaMCC^{rec} holoenzyme	(Huang et al., 2012)	PDB: 3U9S
Crystal Structure of PCC D422-mutant β-subunit	(Arabolaza et al., 2010)	PDB: 3IB9
Crystal Structure of PCC wild-type β-subunit	(Diacovich et al., 2004)	PDB: 1XNY
CryoEM Structure of ACC–Citrate filament	(Hunkeler et al., 2018)	PDB: 6G2D
CryoEM Structure of ACC–BRCT filament	(Hunkeler et al., 2018)	PDB: 6G2I
Crystal structure of ACC holoenzyme	(Wei and Tong, 2015)	PDB: 5CSL
Crystal structure of PCC holoenzyme	(Huang et al., 2010)	PDB: 3N6R

CryoEM map of α-subunit centered LtMCC	This paper	EMDB: XXXX
CryoEM map of β-subunit centered LtMCC	This paper	EMDB: XXXX
CryoEM map of LtMCC termini	This paper	EMDB: XXXX
CryoEM structure of the LtMCC filament	This paper	PDB: XXXX
Other		
MagStrep XT beads	IBA Lifesciences	2-4090-002
Strep-Tactin XT 4Flow High-Capacity agarose resin	IBA Lifesciences	2-5030-002
Zeba™ Spin Desalting Columns	Thermo Fisher Scientific	N/A

Resource availability

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and

will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Hong Zhou (hong.zhou@ucla.edu).

Experimental model and subject details

L. tarentolae cells were grown at 27 °C in brain heart infusion and harvested during late-

exponential growth phase at ~2×10^8 cell/ml.

Method details

Preparation of mitochondrial lysate

Mitochondrial fraction was enriched by hypotonic cell lysis and sequential separation of

membrane-containing fraction on RenoCal76 density gradients (Pelletier et al., 2007).

Mitochondrial pellets (0.5-0.8 g wet weight) were lysed in 1 ml of pH 7.3, 50 mM

HEPES, 150 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1%NP40, and 50 µl of 20x Complete protease

cocktail by sonication at 24W for 15 seconds and centrifuged at 30,000 RPM in an

SW55 rotor for 15 minutes. The supernatant was recovered and separated on a

continuous 10-30% gradient glycerol in pH 7.3, with 20 mM HEPES, 100 mM KCl, and 1

mM EDTA and prepared in SW28/32 Setton clear tubes for 15 hours at 24,000 RPM.

Glycerol gradient fractions of 1.5 ml were collected and those corresponding to 20S-40S

region were combined.

Purification of LtMCC by streptavidin affinity pulldown

Glycerol gradient fractions were supplemented octylglucoside to 2 mM and incubated

on Strep-Tactin®XT magentic beads in a Binding Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100

mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM OG) for 1 hour at 4 °C on a nutating mixer. Beads were

washed with 5 ml of Binding Buffer twice. For elution, the beads were incubated in 0.2

ml of Elution Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM OG, 100

mM biotin) at 4 °C for 10 minutes. The concentrated sample was buffer exchanged to

0.2 ml uL of Sample Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 60 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM

DTT, 5 mM OG) using Zeba™ Spin Desalting Columns, 7K MWCO (0.5 ml). The

sample was then centrifuged at 15,000 RPM for 10 minutes and the supernatant was

stored on ice before grid preparation.

Protein identification by LC-MS/MS

Affinity-purified complexes were sequentially digested with LysC peptidase and trypsin.

LC-MS/MS was carried out by nanoflow reversed phase liquid chromatography (RPLC)

using an UltiMate 3000 RSLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled on-line to an Orbitrap

Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A cycle of full FT scan

mass spectrum (m/z 375-1500, resolution of 60,000 at m/z 400) was followed by

MS/MS spectra acquired in the linear ion trap for 3 seconds at top speed with

normalized collision energy (HCD, 30%). Following data extraction to MGF format using

MSConvert from ProteoWizard (Chambers et al., 2012), resultant peak lists for each

LC-MS/MS experiment were submitted to Protein Prospector (UCSF) for database

searching (Fang et al., 2012). We mapped the protein interaction network of the human

COP9 signalosome complex using a label-free QTAX strategy (Fang et al., 2012). Each

project was searched against a normal form concatenated with the random form of the

L. tarentolae Parrot Tar II from the TriTrypDB database (Amos et al., 2022). The mass

accuracy for parent ions and fragment ions were set as ± 10 ppm and 0.6 Da,

respectively. Trypsin was set as the enzyme, with a maximum of two missed cleavages

allowed. Cysteine carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification, and protein N-

terminal acetylation, methionine oxidation, and N-terminal conversion of glutamine to

pyroglutamic acid were selected as variable modifications.

CryoEM sample preparation

Lacey carbon cryoEM grids with a 2 nm continuous carbon film (Ted Pella) were first

glow discharged for 45 seconds with a target current of 15 mA, using PELCO

easiGlowTM. Then a 2.5 µL sample was applied to the grids. After waiting for 5

seconds, the grids were blotted for 4 seconds with blot force 0, at 100% humidity and

4°C temperature; after blotting, the grids were plunge-frozen into liquid ethane using an

FEI Mark IV Vitrobot (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The grids were stored in a liquid

nitrogen dewar before imaging.

CryoEM image acquisition

The cryoEM grids were loaded and imaged using a Titan Krios (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) operated at 300 kV, with a Gatan K3 camera and a Gatan Imaging Filter

Quantum LS. Movies were recorded with SerialEM (Mastronarde, 2005) by electron

counting in super-resolution mode at a pixel size of 0.55 Å/pixel. The exposure time was

2 seconds and fractionated to 40 frames. The defocus range was between -1.5 to -2.5

µm. The total dosage was approximately 40 electrons/Å2. A total of 3,328 movies were

collected.

CryoEM image processing

The movies were processed with MotionCor2 (Zheng et al., 2017), leading to dose-

weighted and drift-corrected electron micrographs with a calibrated pixel size of 1.1 Å.

We discarded the first frame due to severe drift of this frame. The defocus of the

micrographs was determined by Gctf (Zhang, 2016). Using IMOD (Kremer et al., 1996),

the micrographs were binned by a factor of 10 to make a stack for particle picking. After

directly observing filaments on the micrographs, we manually picked the start and end

of each filament using the 3dmod command in IMOD (Kremer et al., 1996). A total of

4,496 filaments were picked. To derive the constituents of the filaments, we coded a

Python script to subdivide the filaments into equally spaced segments of 87 Å. The

coordinates were then converted through a Bash script to RELION format. A total of

51,322 particles were extracted in total through RELION 3.1, with a box size of 384.

After extraction, we performed reference-free 2D class averaging on the particles

with RELION 3.1 (Zivanov et al., 2018). We chose the best classes and proceeded to

3D classification. We made an initial 3D model from the best 3D class averages through

RELION 3.1. The 3D classification was done with D3 symmetry and yielded α- and β-

centered 3D class averages. After removing duplicates, the α- and β-centered classes

contained 7,582 and 13,250 particles, respectively. We did 3D refinement for the α- and

β-centered map independently with D3 symmetry using RELION 3D refinement. We

then performed CTF refinement for both maps independently using RELION 3.1. We

used CTF refinement (Zivanov et al., 2018) to improve the accuracy of the defocus for

each particle and to correct beam tilt for the dataset. After performing CTF refinement,

we performed 3D refinement for both maps again, independently. At the end of all

processing, based on RELION's gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC) at the

0.143 criterion, we obtained α- and β-centered maps at 3.9 and 3.4 Å resolution,

respectively (Figure S6). The resolution towards the center of the map is better than the

edge of the map (Figure S6).

For the filament termini map, we used RELION 3.1 to extract the previously

picked filament start-and-end points at a box size of 384 pixels. We calculated the in-

plane rotation angle using the direction of vectors from the start to end of the filament.

We used a Python code to include the in-plane rotation angle information in the RELION

star file for the particles. We performed 2D classification for these particles without local

search around the precalculated in-plane rotation angle. To generate an initial 3D

model, we used the IMOD clip function (Kremer et al., 1996) to change the center of the

β-centered map. The best particles were selected for 3D reconstruction with C3

symmetry. We performed 3D classification and removed bad particles. During 3D

classification, we set the "limit_tilt" parameter to 85 to keep side-view particles. We

proceeded to 3D refinement with 3,380 particles. We obtained a final reconstruction with

C3 symmetry at 7.3 Å resolution based on the gold-standard Fourier shell correlation at

the 0.143 criterion.

Sequence determination

We re-sequenced the α-subunit gene with the same strain of *L. tarentolae* as used in

cryoEM sample preparation. The amino acid sequence for the LtMCC β-subunit was

obtained by analyzing the genome sequencing data of *L. tarentolae* Parrot Tar II (Goto

et al., 2020), since the putative, shotgun LtMCC β-subunit sequence on the NCBI

protein database was notably shorter than MCCs from other organisms, deviating from

the high sequence homogeneity observed across MCC sequences. A frameshift occurs

near the middle of the sequence, likely caused by a sequencing error. The frameshift is

not present in the previous genome sequencing data of *L. tarentolae* Parrot Tar II

(Raymond et al., 2012). Since MCC plays an essential role in the catabolism of leucine

across many species, it is unlikely that MCC exhibits a highly different sequence in *L.*

tarentolae.

Atomic modeling

An initial AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021) model prediction was generated from

ColabFold (Mirdita et al., 2022) using the LtMCC α-subunit and β-subunit sequences.

Atomic model building was conducted de novo in regions of low sequence conservation.

First, an individual α-subunit and β-subunit were modeled into the cryoEM map in Coot

(Emsley et al., 2010) and then real-space refined in Phenix (Liebschner et al., 2019).

The α-subunit was modeled using the α-centered map, and respectively for the β-

subunit. Biotin was modeled de novo into its observed density. Then, the subunits were

duplicated according to D3 symmetry, fitted into the cryoEM maps, and refined

iteratively using Phenix (Liebschner et al., 2019) and Coot (Emsley et al., 2010).

Model analysis

406   Buried surface area and map cross-correlation coefficient were calculated in ChimeraX

407   (Goddard et al., 2018). Binding affinity calculations were done using the PRODIGY web

408   server (Vangone and Bonvin, 2015; Xue et al., 2016). Sequence alignments and

409   similarity calculations were performed using Clustal Omega (Sievers and Higgins, 2018)

410   from the EMBL-EBI web server and visualized with ESPript 3 (Robert and Gouet, 2014).

Data and code availability

CryoEM maps of the α-subunit centered, β-subunit centered, and filament termini map

have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank under accession numbers

EMD-XXXX, EMD-XXXX, and EMD-XXXX, respectively. The coordinates of LtMCC

have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession number XXXX. All

aforementioned deposited data are publicly available as of the date of publication and

accession numbers are also listed in the key resources table. This paper does not

report original code. DOIs are listed in the key resources table. This paper analyzes

existing, publicly available data. These accession numbers for the datasets are listed in

the key resources table. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data

reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

Acknowledgments

Our research was supported in part by grants from the U.S. National Institutes of Health

(R01GM071940 to Z.H.Z. and R01AI101057 to R.A.). J.J.H. gratefully acknowledges

support from STROBE NSF Science & Technology Center Grant DMR-1548924, as well

as the Stone, Irving & Jean Award and the Rose Gilbert, in Memory of Maggie Gilbert

Award granted by the UCLA Honors Programs. We acknowledge the use of resources

at the Electron Imaging Center for Nanomachines of UCLA supported by U.S. NIH

(S10RR23057 and S10OD018111) and U.S. NSF (DMR-1548924 and DBI-133813).

We thank James Zhen for his advice in manuscript writing. We thank Shiqing Liao and

Samuel Liu for their help with selecting curved filaments.

Author contributions

Z.H.Z. and R.A. initiated and supervised the project. I.A. prepared the sample. C.Y. and

L.H. conducted mass spectrometry. Y-T.L. carried out cryoEM imaging and data

processing with assistance from J.K.J.L. and J.J.H. J.K.J.L. and J.J.H. built the atomic

models. J.K.J.L., J.J.H., and Y-T.L. analyzed the data. J.J.H., J.K.J.L., and Y-T.L. made

illustrations and wrote the original draft. Z.H.Z., Y-T.L., J.J.H., and J.K.J.L. finalized the

manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the paper.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures

446
Figure 1. Discovery of filamentous 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase from
mitochondrial lysate of *L. tarentolae*
(A) Sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of the
glycerol gradient fractions obtained from mitochondrial lysate. The gel was stained by
Sypro Ruby.
(B) Workflow for cryoEM imaging and reconstruction. Filaments observed in cryoEM
micrographs were visually identified, partitioned into segments of equal length,
classified in 2D and 3D. Refinement of 3D reconstructions yielded an α-centered and β-
centered cryoEM map. The filament ends were picked and reconstructed similarly,
converging into a single map for both ends of the filament (i.e., the termini map).
(C) Workflow for protein identification and atomic modeling. From an input cryoEM map,
DeepTracer (Pfab et al., 2021) generated an initial model with predicted amino acid
sequence, which was input into cryoID (Ho et al., 2020) together with mass
spectrometry data. CryoID predicted that the filaments contained LtMCC. Finally, de
novo atomic modeling of LtMCC was performed in Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) using an
AlphaFold predicted model as a reference (Jumper et al., 2021; Mirdita et al., 2022).

463
Figure 2. Structure of the filamentous LtMCC
(A-C) CryoEM images of a straight (A) and curved (B) LtMCC filament, and distribution
of filament lengths (C).
(D-E) CryoEM map and atomic models of the LtMCC filament. The composite cryoEM
map of the filament (left column in D) is composed of three copies of the α-centered
map, three copies of the β-centered map, and one copy of the termini map, with
overlapping subunits. The atomic models (middle and right columns in D) of an $\alpha_6\beta_6$
dodecamer and its trimeric stacks are shown in various views as ribbons, colored by
domains according to the scheme in (E). The view directions are indicated by arrows,
planes, and the camera. The dashed lines in the right column demarcate the boundaries
between neighboring subunits (β-core is two-layered).
(F-G) Atomic model of an α-subunit (F) and a β-subunit (G) of LtMCC shown as ribbons
colored by domains with active sites labeled. Insets: example cryoEM densities
superimposed with the atomic model.

478
Figure 3. Presence of non-covalently bound biotin and lack of CoA in the LtMCC
filament
(A) Close-up view of the middle column of Figure 2D, showing the biotin-containing site
surrounded by the CT linker (purple), the BCCP (red), N-CT (turquoise), and C-CT
(green) domains. The conserved Lys651 (red) and the non-covalently bound biotin
(black) are shown as sticks, superimposed with their cryoEM densities (semi-
transparent gray). The distance between the carboxyl group of biotin and the ε-amino
group of Lys651 is about 10 Å. Notably, the CoA binding site (pink circle region) lacks
cryoEM density, indicating absence of CoA.
(B) Comparison of biotin and CoA orientations documented in various carboxylases (Fry
et al., 1985; Huang et al., 2010, 2012; Scheffen et al., 2021; Wei and Tong, 2015). The
red star indicates the location of the N1′ atom of biotin.

491
Figure 4. Subunit interactions in the filamentous LtMCC
Interacting regions are boxed and examined in close-up views (A-D) with their interfacial
residues labeled. Interactions between α- and β-subunits (A-B) are more extensive than
interactions among α-subunits (C-D).

Figure 5. Filament formation stabilizes flexible BCCP and BT domains in LtMCC
(A) Structure of the filament termini. The left and middle panels show the 7.3 Å cryoEM map of the filament termini at a low and high density threshold, respectively. The α-subunit is colored by domain according to the scheme in Figure 2E and the entire β-core is turquoise. Note, while visible at low threshold, the BCCP and BC domain

densities disappear at high threshold. The right panel shows the atomic model of the
filament terminus with the rigid and flexible domains in harder and softer shades,
respectively.
(B) Structure of the α-α interface in the LtMCC filament. The cryoEM map (left panel) is
colored as in (A) but the atomic models (middle panel) of the interfacing α-subunits are
colored differently from each other to delineate individual α-subunits. Close-up views
(right panel) of the two boxed areas in the middle panel show interfacing residues at the
α-α interface. The ellipse in the top right panel denotes the local two-fold symmetry axis.
(C) Proposed model of filament formation and stabilization. Blurry domains indicate their
flexibility.

	α-subunit centered map	β-subunit centered map	Termini map
Data collection and processing			
Magnification	81,000	81,000	81,000
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300
Electron exposure (e$^-$/Å^2)	40	40	40
Defocus range (μm)	-1.5 to -2.5	-1.5 to -2.5	-1.5 to -2.5
Pixel size (Å)	1.1	1.1	1.1
Symmetry imposed	D3	D3	C3
Initial particle images (no.)	51,322	51,322	8,992
Final particle images (no.)	7,582	13,250	3,380
Map resolution (Å)	3.9	3.4	7.3
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143
Estimated resolution range (Å)	3-5	3-5	6-8
Map sharpening B factor (Å^2)	-112	-85	-153
Model refinement			
Model-to-map fit, mask CC	0.47	0.62	0.02
Model composition			
Non-hydrogen atoms	57846	57846	57846
Protein residues	7464	7464	7464
Ligands	BTI: 6	BTI: 6	BTI: 6
Mean isotropic B factor (Å^2)			
Protein	70.80	70.80	70.80
Ligand	150.43	150.43	150.43
R.M.S. deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.006	0.006	0.006
Bond angles (°)	1.089	1.088	1.089
Validation			
MolProbity score	2.35	2.35	2.35

Clashscore	21.26	21.31	21.29
Rotamer outliers (%)	0.39	0.39	0.39
Ramachandran statistics			
Outliers (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Allowed (%)	9.40	9.38	9.38
Favored (%)	90.60	90.62	90.62

513 **Table 1. CryoEM map and model statistics.**

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1. Multiple sequence alignment from *ESPript 3.0* (Robert and Gouet, 2014).

Left panel compares LtMCC α-subunit with α-subunits from *Arabidopsis thaliana* MCC (AtMCC), *Dictyostelium discoideum* MCC (DdMCC), *Homo sapien* MCC (HsMCC), and *Mus Musculus* MCC (MmMCC). Right panel compares LtMCC β-subunit with β-subunits from AtMCC, DdMCC, HsMCC, and MmMCC. Boxes with and without solid red background indicate identical residues and similar residues, respectively. Dots on top of the residues demarcate intervals of ten residues.

524
525 **Figure S2. Structural comparison of the BT domain from LtMCC and PaMCCrec**
526 The LtMCC BT domain exhibits an 8-stranded β-sheet fold while the PaMCCrec BT
527 domain contains a 7-stranded β-sheet fold. The PaMCCrec BT domain possesses a
528 hook with two hairpins. The LtMCC BT domain possesses a hook with only one hairpin.

529
Figure S3. Structural comparison of PaMCC^{rec} and LtMCC
(A-B) Mismatched domain structures when the PaMCC^{rec} and LtMCC $\alpha_6\beta_6$ dodecamers
are superimposed globally. PaMCC^{rec} apoenzyme (A) and holoenzyme (B) are globally
superimposed with the LtMCC dodecamer. In (A), the BC and BT domains are rotated
in LtMCC compared to the PaMCC^{rec} apoenzyme, as indicated by arrows. The BCCP
domain is unmodeled in the PaMCC^{rec} apoenzyme. The entire PaMCC^{rec} apoenzyme is
shown as a gray surface. In (B), the BCCP domain in LtMCC is rotated relative to the
BCCP domain in the PaMCC^{rec} holoenzyme, as indicated by arrows.
(C-E) Matched domain structures when individual domains from PaMCC^{rec} and LtMCC
are superimposed locally. BC (C), BCCP (D), and BT (E) domains from the two
dodecamers are treated as individual models and superimposed independent from the
global dodecameric structure.

C-CT

N-CT

542
543 **Figure S4. The N-CT and C-CT domain local alignment for LtMCC**
544 The N-CT and C-CT domains are homologous, despite low sequence similarity.

545
546 **Figure S5. Close-up view of the α-subunit BT hook interacting with the domains**
547 **that make up the β-subunit groove**
548 The BT hook is colored yellow. The N-CT, C-CT, and N-dock domains from the same β-
549 subunit make up the β-subunit groove. The N-CT domain is colored in light blue, the C-
550 CT domain is colored in green, and the N-dock domain is colored in dark blue.

Figure S6. Resolution evaluation of cryoEM reconstruction for LtMCC filaments

(A) Local resolution evaluation by ResMap (Kucukelbir et al., 2014) for the α-centered and β-centered cryoEM maps of LtMCC filaments. The color key between the two maps shows their coloring by resolution.

(B) FSC curves of the final α-centered, β-centered, and filament termini cryoEM maps.

References

References

Amos, B., Aurrecoechea, C., Barba, M., Barreto, A., Basenko, E.Y., Bażant, W., Belnap, R.,
Blevins, A.S., Böhme, U., Brestelli, J., et al. (2022). VEuPathDB: the eukaryotic pathogen,
vector and host bioinformatics resource center. Nucleic Acids Research *50*, D898–D911.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab929.

Anderson, M.D., Che, P., Song, J., Nikolau, B.J., and Wurtele, E.S. (1998). 3-Methylcrotonyl-
Coenzyme A Carboxylase Is a Component of the Mitochondrial Leucine Catabolic Pathway in
Plants. Plant Physiology *118*, 1127–1138. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.118.4.1127.

Apitz-Castro, R., Rehn, K., and Lynen, F. (1970). β-Methylcrotonyl-CoA-Carboxylase. European
Journal of Biochemistry *16*, 71–79. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1970.tb01055.x.

Arabolaza, A., Shillito, M.E., Lin, T.-W., Diacovich, L., Melgar, M., Pham, H., Amick, D.,
Gramajo, H., and Tsai, S.-C. (2010). Crystal structures and mutational analyses of acyl-CoA
carboxylase beta subunit of Streptomyces coelicolor. Biochemistry *49*, 7367–7376.
https://doi.org/10.1021/bi1005305.

Beaty, N.B., and Lane, M.D. (1983). Kinetics of activation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase by citrate.
Relationship to the rate of polymerization of the enzyme. Journal of Biological Chemistry *258*,
13043–13050. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)44077-4.

Chambers, M.C., Maclean, B., Burke, R., Amodei, D., Ruderman, D.L., Neumann, S., Gatto, L.,
Fischer, B., Pratt, B., Egertson, J., et al. (2012). A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry
and proteomics. Nat Biotechnol *30*, 918–920. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2377.

Chen, Y.-Y., Zhang, X.-N., Xu, C.-Z., Zhou, D.-H., Chen, J., Liu, Z.-X., sun, Y., Huang, W., and
Qu, L.-S. (2021). MCCC2 promotes HCC development by supporting leucine oncogenic
function. Cancer Cell Int *21*, 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-020-01722-w.

Dai, W., Feng, H., and Lee, D. (2020). MCCC2 overexpression predicts poorer prognosis and
promotes cell proliferation in colorectal cancer. Experimental and Molecular Pathology *115*,
104428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.104428.

Diacovich, L., Mitchell, D.L., Pham, H., Gago, G., Melgar, M.M., Khosla, C., Gramajo, H., and
Tsai, S.-C. (2004). Crystal structure of the beta-subunit of acyl-CoA carboxylase: structure-
based engineering of substrate specificity. Biochemistry *43*, 14027–14036.
https://doi.org/10.1021/bi049065v.

Diez, T.A., Wurtele, E.S., and Nikolau, B.J. (1994). Purification and Characterization of 3-
Methylcrotonyl-Coenzyme-A Carboxylase from Leaves of Zea mays. Archives of Biochemistry
and Biophysics *310*, 64–75. https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1994.1141.

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of
Coot. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr *66*, 486–501.
https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493.

Fang, L., Kaake, R.M., Patel, V.R., Yang, Y., Baldi, P., and Huang, L. (2012). Mapping the
Protein Interaction Network of the Human COP9 Signalosome Complex Using a Label-free

QTAX Strategy. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics *11*, 138–147.
https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M111.016352.

Fry, D.C., Fox, T., Lane, M.D., and Mildvan, A.S. (1985). NMR studies of the exchange of the
amide protons of d-biotin and its derivatives. Ann N Y Acad Sci *447*, 140–151.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1985.tb18434.x.

Gallardo, M.E., Desviat, L.R., Rodríguez, J.M., Esparza-Gordillo, J., Pérez-Cerdá, C., Pérez, B.,
Rodríguez-Pombo, P., Criado, O., Sanz, R., Morton, D.H., et al. (2001). The Molecular Basis of
3-Methylcrotonylglycinuria, a Disorder of Leucine Catabolism. The American Journal of Human
Genetics *68*, 334–346. https://doi.org/10.1086/318202.

Gasteiger, E., Gattiker, A., Hoogland, C., Ivanyi, I., Appel, R.D., and Bairoch, A. (2003).
ExPASy: The proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis. Nucleic Acids Res
31, 3784–3788. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg563.

Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Pettersen, E.F., Couch, G.S., Morris, J.H., and Ferrin,
T.E. (2018). UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. Protein
Sci *27*, 14–25. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3235.

Gondáš, E., Kráľová Trančíková, A., Baranovičová, E., Šofranko, J., Hatok, J., Kowtharapu,
B.S., Galanda, T., Dobrota, D., Kubatka, P., Busselberg, D., et al. (2022). Expression of 3-
Methylcrotonyl-CoA Carboxylase in Brain Tumors and Capability to Catabolize Leucine by
Human Neural Cancer Cells. Cancers *14*, 585. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14030585.

Goto, Y., Kuroki, A., Suzuki, K., and Yamagishi, J. (2020). Draft Genome Sequence of
Leishmania tarentolae Parrot Tar II, Obtained by Single-Molecule Real-Time Sequencing.
Microbiology Resource Announcements *9*, e00050-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00050-20.

Gran, P., and Cameron-Smith, D. (2011). The actions of exogenous leucine on mTOR signalling
and amino acid transporters in human myotubes. BMC Physiol *11*, 10.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6793-11-10.

Grünert, S.C., Stucki, M., Morscher, R.J., Suormala, T., Bürer, C., Burda, P., Christensen, E.,
Ficicioglu, C., Herwig, J., Kölker, S., et al. (2012). 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase deficiency:
Clinical, biochemical, enzymatic and molecular studies in 88 individuals. Orphanet J Rare Dis *7*,
31. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-7-31.

Harrison, S.C. (1996). Peptide–Surface Association: The Case of PDZ and PTB Domains. Cell
86, 341–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80105-1.

He, J., Mao, Y., Huang, W., Li, M., Zhang, H., Qing, Y., Lu, S., Xiao, H., and Li, K. (2020).
Methylcrotonoyl-CoA Carboxylase 2 Promotes Proliferation, Migration and Invasion and Inhibits
Apoptosis of Prostate Cancer Cells Through Regulating GLUD1-P38 MAPK Signaling Pathway.
OTT *Volume 13*, 7317–7327. https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S249906.

Ho, C.-M., Li, X., Lai, M., Terwilliger, T.C., Beck, J.R., Wohlschlegel, J., Goldberg, D.E.,
Fitzpatrick, A.W.P., and Zhou, Z.H. (2020). Bottom-up structural proteomics: cryoEM of protein
complexes enriched from the cellular milieu. Nat Methods *17*, 79–85.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0637-y.

Höschle, B., Gnau, V., and Jendrossek, D. 2005 (2005). Methylcrotonyl-CoA and geranyl-CoA
carboxylases are involved in leucine/isovalerate utilization (Liu) and acyclic terpene utilization
(Atu), and are encoded by liuB/liuD and atuC/atuF, in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiology
151, 3649–3656. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.28260-0.

Hu, Z., and Cronan, J.E. (2020). The primary step of biotin synthesis in mycobacteria.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *117*, 23794–23801.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2010189117.

Huang, C.S., Sadre-Bazzaz, K., Shen, Y., Deng, B., Zhou, Z.H., and Tong, L. (2010). Crystal
structure of the α6β6 holoenzyme of propionyl-coenzyme A carboxylase. Nature *466*, 1001–
1005. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09302.

Huang, C.S., Ge, P., Zhou, Z.H., and Tong, L. (2012). An unanticipated architecture of the 750-
kDa α6β6 holoenzyme of 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase. Nature *481*, 219–223.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10691.

Hunkeler, M., Hagmann, A., Stuttfeld, E., Chami, M., Guri, Y., Stahlberg, H., and Maier, T.
(2018). Structural basis for regulation of human acetyl-CoA carboxylase. Nature *558*, 470–474.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0201-4.

Ingerson-Mahar, M., Briegel, A., Werner, J.N., Jensen, G.J., and Gitai, Z. (2010). The metabolic
enzyme CTP synthase forms cytoskeletal filaments. Nat Cell Biol *12*, 739–746.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2087.

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool,
K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction
with AlphaFold. Nature *596*, 583–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2.

Kim, C.-W., Moon, Y.-A., Park, S.W., Cheng, D., Kwon, H.J., and Horton, J.D. (2010). Induced
polymerization of mammalian acetyl-CoA carboxylase by MIG12 provides a tertiary level of
regulation of fatty acid synthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *107*, 9626–
9631. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001292107.

Kim, S.-Y., Kim, Y.-W., Hegerl, R., Cyrklaff, M., and Kim, I.-S. (2005). Novel type of enzyme
multimerization enhances substrate affinity of oat β-glucosidase. Journal of Structural Biology
150, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2004.07.007.

Kim, Y.-M., Seo, G.H., Kim, G.-H., Yoo, H.-W., and Lee, B.H. (2017). Novel heterozygous
MCCC1 mutations identified in a patient with 3-methylcrotonyl-coenzyme A carboxylase
deficiency. J Genet Med *14*, 23–26. https://doi.org/10.5734/JGM.2017.14.1.23.

Kleinschmidt, A.K., Moss, J., and Lane, D.M. (1969). Acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase:
filamentous nature of the animal enzymes. Science *166*, 1276–1278.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.166.3910.1276.

Knappe, J., Schlegel, H.G., and Lynen, F. (1961). [On the biochemical function of biotin. I. The
participation of beta-methyl-crotonyl-carboxylase in the formation of beta-hydroxy-beta-methyl-
glutaryl-CoA from beta-hydroxy-isovaleryl-CoA]. Biochem Z *335*, 101–122. .

Knowles, J.R. (1989). The Mechanism of Biotin-Dependent Enzymes. Annual Review of
Biochemistry *58*, 195–221. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.58.070189.001211.

Kremer, J.R., Mastronarde, D.N., and McIntosh, J.R. (1996). Computer Visualization of Three-
Dimensional Image Data Using IMOD. Journal of Structural Biology *116*, 71–76.
https://doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013.

Kucukelbir, A., Sigworth, F.J., and Tagare, H.D. (2014). Quantifying the local resolution of cryo-
EM density maps. Nat Methods *11*, 63–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2727.

Lee, S.H., and Hong, Y.H. (2014). Asymptomatic maternal 3-methylcrotonylglycinuria detected
by her unaffected baby's neonatal screening test. Korean J Pediatr *57*, 329.
https://doi.org/10.3345/kjp.2014.57.7.329.

Liebschner, D., Afonine, P.V., Baker, M.L., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V.B., Croll, T.I., Hintze, B.,
Hung, L.-W., Jain, S., McCoy, A.J., et al. (2019). Macromolecular structure determination using
X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. Acta Cryst D *75*, 861–877.
https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798319011471.

Liu, Y., Yuan, Z., and Song, C. (2019). Methylcrotonoyl-CoA carboxylase 2 overexpression
predicts an unfavorable prognosis and promotes cell proliferation in breast cancer. Biomark Med
13, 427–436. https://doi.org/10.2217/bmm-2018-0475.

Lynch, E.M., Hicks, D.R., Shepherd, M., Endrizzi, J.A., Maker, A., Hansen, J.M., Barry, R.M.,
Gitai, Z., Baldwin, E.P., and Kollman, J.M. (2017). Human CTP synthase filament structure
reveals the active enzyme conformation. Nat Struct Mol Biol *24*, 507–514.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3407.

Lynen, F., Knappe, J., Lorch, E., Juetting, G., Ringelmann, E., and Lachance, J.P. (1961). [On
the biochemical function of biotin. II. Purification and mode of action of beta-methyl-crotonyl-
carboxylase]. Biochem Z *335*, 123–167. .

Mastronarde, D.N. (2005). Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction
of specimen movements. Journal of Structural Biology *152*, 36–51.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.07.007.

Mattei, S., Ban, A., Picenoni, A., Leibundgut, M., Glockshuber, R., and Boehringer, D. (2020).
Structure of native glycolipoprotein filaments in honeybee royal jelly. Nat Commun *11*, 6267.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20135-x.

Maud, H., Noé, P.T., Pauline, D., Sandra, S., Violette, M., Anne-Lise, S., Bell Jason, C.,
Emmanuel, M., Stephen, K., Le Cam, E., et al. (2022). Assembly mechanism and cryoEM
structure of RecA recombination nucleofilaments from *Streptococcus pneumoniae*
(Microbiology).

Maurice, M.St., Reinhardt, L., Surinya, K.H., Attwood, P.V., Wallace, J.C., Cleland, W.W., and
Rayment, I. (2007). Domain Architecture of Pyruvate Carboxylase, a Biotin-Dependent
Multifunctional Enzyme. Science *317*, 1076–1079. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1144504.

Meredith, M.J., and Lane, M.D. (1978). Acetyl-CoA carboxylase. Evidence for polymeric filament
to protomer transition in the intact avian liver cell. Journal of Biological Chemistry *253*, 3381–
3383. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)34809-3.

Mirdita, M., Schütze, K., Moriwaki, Y., Heo, L., Ovchinnikov, S., and Steinegger, M. (2022).
ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. Nat Methods *19*, 679–682.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1.

Moss, J., and Lane, M.D. (1971). The Biotin-Dependent Enzymes. In Advances in Enzymology
and Related Areas of Molecular Biology, (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd), pp. 321–442.

Nikolau, B.J., Ohlrogge, J.B., and Wurtele, E.S. (2003). Plant biotin-containing carboxylases.
Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics *414*, 211–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-
9861(03)00156-5.

Park, C.K., and Horton, N.C. (2019). Structures, functions, and mechanisms of filament forming
enzymes: a renaissance of enzyme filamentation. Biophys Rev *11*, 927–994.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s12551-019-00602-6.

Park, S., Hwang, I.-W., Makishima, Y., Perales-Clemente, E., Kato, T., Niederländer, N.J., Park,
E.Y., and Terzic, A. (2013). Spot14/Mig12 heterocomplex sequesters polymerization and
restrains catalytic function of human acetyl-CoA carboxylase 2. Journal of Molecular
Recognition *26*, 679–688. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmr.2313.

Parrot, L. (1949). Sur quelques souches de Leishmania. Archives de l'Institut Pasteur d'Algerie
1949, 106–109. .

Paulussen, K.J.M., McKenna, C.F., Beals, J.W., Wilund, K.R., Salvador, A.F., and Burd, N.A.
(2021). Anabolic Resistance of Muscle Protein Turnover Comes in Various Shapes and Sizes.
Front. Nutr. *8*, 615849. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.615849.

Pelletier, M., Read, L.K., and Aphasizhev, R. (2007). Isolation of RNA Binding Proteins Involved
in Insertion/Deletion Editing. In Methods in Enzymology, (Elsevier), pp. 75–105.

Pfab, J., Phan, N.M., and Si, D. (2021). DeepTracer for fast de novo cryo-EM protein structure
modeling and special studies on CoV-related complexes. Proceedings of the National Academy
of Sciences *118*, e2017525118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017525118.

Polley, S., Lyumkis, D., and Horton, N.C. (2019). Mechanism of Filamentation-Induced Allosteric
Activation of the SgrAI Endonuclease. Structure *27*, 1497-1507.e3.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2019.08.001.

Raymond, F., Boisvert, S., Roy, G., Ritt, J.-F., Légaré, D., Isnard, A., Stanke, M., Olivier, M.,
Tremblay, M.J., Papadopoulou, B., et al. (2012). Genome sequencing of the lizard parasite
Leishmania tarentolae reveals loss of genes associated to the intracellular stage of human
pathogenic species. Nucleic Acids Research *40*, 1131–1147. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr834.

Robert, X., and Gouet, P. (2014). Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
ENDscript server. Nucleic Acids Research *42*, W320–W324. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku316.

Scheffen, M., Marchal, D.G., Beneyton, T., Schuller, S.K., Klose, M., Diehl, C., Lehmann, J.,
Pfister, P., Carrillo, M., He, H., et al. (2021). A new-to-nature carboxylation module to improve
natural and synthetic CO2 fixation. Nat Catal *4*, 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-020-
00557-y.

Sievers, F., and Higgins, D.G. (2018). Clustal Omega for making accurate alignments of many
protein sequences. Protein Sci *27*, 135–145. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3290.

Tong, L. (2013). Structure and function of biotin-dependent carboxylases. Cell Mol Life Sci *70*,
863–891. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-012-1096-0.

Vangone, A., and Bonvin, A.M. (2015). Contacts-based prediction of binding affinity in protein–
protein complexes. ELife *4*, e07454. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.07454.

Waldrop, G.L., Holden, H.M., and Maurice, M.St. (2012). The enzymes of biotin dependent CO_2
metabolism: What structures reveal about their reaction mechanisms: Biotin Dependent
Enzymes. Protein Science *21*, 1597–1619. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2156.

Wang, F., Gu, Y., O'Brien, J.P., Yi, S.M., Yalcin, S.E., Srikanth, V., Shen, C., Vu, D., Ing, N.L.,
Hochbaum, A.I., et al. (2019). Structure of Microbial Nanowires Reveals Stacked Hemes that
Transport Electrons over Micrometers. Cell *177*, 361-369.e10.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.03.029.

Webb, B.A., Dosey, A.M., Wittmann, T., Kollman, J.M., and Barber, D.L. (2017). The glycolytic
enzyme phosphofructokinase-1 assembles into filaments. J Cell Biol *216*, 2305–2313.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201701084.

Wei, J., and Tong, L. (2015). Crystal structure of the 500-kDa yeast acetyl-CoA carboxylase
holoenzyme dimer. Nature *526*, 723–727. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15375.

Wei, J., and Tong, L. (2018). How Does Polymerization Regulate Human Acetyl-CoA
Carboxylase 1? Biochemistry *57*, 5495–5496. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00881.

Xiang, S., and Tong, L. (2008). Crystal structures of human and Staphylococcus aureus
pyruvate carboxylase and molecular insights into the carboxyltransfer reaction. Nat Struct Mol
Biol *15*, 295–302. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1393.

Xue, L.C., Rodrigues, J.P., Kastritis, P.L., Bonvin, A.M., and Vangone, A. (2016). PRODIGY: a
web server for predicting the binding affinity of protein–protein complexes. Bioinformatics *32*,
3676–3678. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw514.

Yang, J., Chi, Y., Burkhardt, B.R., Guan, Y., and Wolf, B.A. (2010). Leucine metabolism in
regulation of insulin secretion from pancreatic beta cells: Nutrition Reviews©, Vol. 68, No. 5.
Nutrition Reviews *68*, 270–279. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00282.x.

Zhang, K. (2016). Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. J Struct Biol *193*, 1–12.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2015.11.003.

Zheng, S.Q., Palovcak, E., Armache, J.-P., Verba, K.A., Cheng, Y., and Agard, D.A. (2017).
MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron
microscopy. Nat Methods *14*, 331–332. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4193.

Zivanov, J., Nakane, T., Forsberg, B.O., Kimanius, D., Hagen, W.J., Lindahl, E., and Scheres,
S.H. (2018). New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in
RELION-3. ELife 7, e42166. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.42166.