Testing the ion-current model for flagellar length sensing and IFT regulation

Hiroaki Ishikawa[1], Jeremy Moore[2,†], Dennis R. Diener[3], Markus Delling[4], Wallace F.

Marshall[1*]

1. Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California San Francisco,

San Francisco, CA 94143

2. Kenyon College, Gambier, OH, 43022 and Summer Research Training Program at

University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143

3. Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany 01307

4. Department of Physiology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

94143

*Corresponding authors: Wallace F. Marshall

Address: UCSF Mail Code 2200

Genentech Hall Room N372F

600 16th St.

San Francisco, CA 94143

Phone: (415) 514-4304

Fax: (415) 502-4315

Email: wallace.marshall@ucsf.edu

† Present address

Department of Molecular Cellular and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New

Heaven, CT 06511

Keywords

Cilia, flagella, intraflagellar transport, calcium

Abstract

Eukaryotic cilia and flagella are microtubule-based organelles whose relatively simple

shape makes them ideal for investigating the mechanisms of fundamental size regulation.

Most of the flagellar materials are transported from the cell body via an active transport

process called intraflagellar transport (IFT) because no protein synthesis occurs in the

flagellum. The rate of IFT injection has been shown to negatively correlate with flagellar

length. However, it remains unknown how the cell measures the length of its flagella and

controls IFT injection. One of the most-discussed theoretical models for length sensing to

control IFT is the ion-current model, which posits that there is a uniform distribution of

Ca^{2+} channels along the flagellum and that the Ca^{2+} current from the flagellum into the

cell body increases linearly with flagellar length. In this model, the cell uses the Ca^{2+}

current to negatively regulate IFT injection. The recent discovery that IFT entry into

flagella is regulated by a CDPK has provided further impetus for the ion current model.

To test this model, we measured and manipulated the levels of Ca^{2+} inside of

Chlamydomonas flagella and quantified IFT injection. Although the concentration of Ca^{2+}

inside of flagella was weakly correlated with the length of flagella, we found that IFT

injection was reduced in calcium-deficient flagella, rather than increased as the model

predicted, and that variation in IFT injection was uncorrelated with the occurrence of

flagellar Ca^{2+} spikes. Thus, Ca^{2+} does not work as a negative regulator of IFT injection,

hence it cannot form the basis of a stable length control system.

Introduction

The mechanism by which cells control the size of organelles is a fundamental question in cell biology. Cells control the size of their organelles to efficiently use their energy and space (Chan and Marshall, 2012). However, it is mostly unknown how cells regulate organelle size, in part because the complexity of many organelles makes their size control mechanisms difficult to study. Eukaryotic cilia and flagella (we use these terms interchangeably) are an ideal model system to study size regulation because they consist of a simple, hair-like structure that can change in length while maintaining a constant diameter. Cilia and flagella are microtubule-based organelles that protrude from the cell body and are important for sensing extracellular signals and producing fluid flows (Ishikawa and Marshall, 2011; Reiter and Leroux, 2017). It is known that the length of cilia and flagella is cell type specific. For example, the biflagellate green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* adjusts the length of both flagella to a length suitable for swimming (Bauer et al., 2021; Bottier et al., 2019). If the flagella are too long or too short, the cells cannot swim efficiently. When flagella are removed from a cell, new flagella regenerate to the pre-severing length in around 90 min (Rosenbaum et al., 1969). Flagellar growth slows before they become full-length. This decelerating growth rate suggests that there is some mechanism that regulates the growth rate as a function of length.

Assembly and maintenance of flagella are dependent on an active transport system, intraflagellar transport (IFT). Because no protein synthesis occurs in the flagellum, new materials must be injected into the flagellum by a process called IFT injection. IFT is mediated by huge protein complexes called trains, which have an elongate shape and move on the flagellar axoneme back and forth like a train (Jordan et al., 2018; Pigino et al., 2009). IFT trains are carried to the flagellar tip by a heterotrimeric kinesin-2 motor and returned to the cell body by cytoplasmic dynein 2 (Ishikawa and Marshall, 2011; Rosenbaum and Witman, 2002). It is known that the rate of IFT injection is negatively correlated with the length of flagella during the regeneration of cilia (Engel et al., 2009; Ludington et al., 2013), but it is unknown how cells regulate the rate of IFT

injection. To explain this negative correlation, several theoretical models have been
proposed (Chan and Marshall, 2012; Ludington et al., 2015; Rosenbaum, 2003).

One well-known model for the regulation of IFT injection, which we term the ion-
current model (also known as ciliary-current model) was first proposed by Rosenbaum
(2003) based on a previous electrophysiological study showing that voltage-gated
calcium channels were present in flagella at constant density (Beck and Uhl, 1994), such
that the number of voltage-gated calcium channels in the flagellum increases as the
flagellum elongates. Changes in flagellar calcium concentrations, due to changes in the
number and activity of calcium channels in the elongating flagella, were proposed to affect
calcium-dependent signaling pathways to regulate the rate of IFT injection or microtubule
assembly (Rosenbaum, 2003). In fact, changes in external Ca^{2+} are known to change
flagella length in *Chlamydomonas* (Lefebvre et al., 1978; Quader et al., 1978; Tuxhorn et
al., 1998). The calcium ion-current model was first proposed based on physiological
measurements, but at the time, the molecular identity of the voltage-gated calcium
channel in the flagellar membrane was not known. More recently, several calcium channel
proteins have been shown to localize on the *Chlamydomonas* flagellum (Fujiu et al., 2011,
2009; Huang et al., 2007; Pazour et al., 2005). CAV2 is a subunit of a voltage-gated
calcium channel which resides in the flagellar membrane (Fujiu et al., 2009). The *ppr2*
mutant has a mutation in the CAV2 gene and is defective in the photophobic response
(Fujiu et al., 2009; Matsuda et al., 1998). TRP channels are also found in *Chlamydomonas*
flagella (Fujiu et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2007).

In the original ion-current model, it was not specified how Ca^{2+} contributes to
flagellar length regulation, but a potential molecular mechanism has been proposed by
Pan and colleagues (Liang et al., 2014, 2018), who found that a subunit of heterotrimeric
kinesin that drives IFT anterograde motion, FLA8/KIF3B, is phosphorylated by a calcium-
dependent protein kinase, CDPK1, and this phosphorylation negatively regulates IFT
injection into flagella. Because this work provides a potential missing link in understanding
the ion current model, calcium-mediated regulation of IFT entry has increasingly been
viewed as a likely means of regulating IFT in response to flagellar length (Engelke et al.,
2019; Jiang et al., 2019; Kumari and Ray, 2022; Lechtreck et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2018).

There is thus a pressing need to test whether this model does in fact account for IFT

regulation as a function of length. The ion-current model hinges on two critical

assumptions (Figure 1A), both of which are experimentally testable. First, the amount of

flagellar Ca^{2+} needs to be an increasing function of the flagellar length. This would allow

Ca^{2+} to serve as a length indicator within the ion-current model. Second, for the ion-

current model to work, cellular Ca^{2+} must negatively regulate IFT injection or assembly of

the axoneme. This is because if the amount of flagellar Ca^{2+} increases with the flagellar

length, it must work as a negative regulator to control the length of flagella in order to

have a stable length control system with negative feedback. If Ca^{2+} positively regulates

IFT injection, it could not be part of a stable feedback control loop and would instead

produce positive feedback.

Here, we tested the two underlying assumptions of the ion-current model by

quantitatively measuring the Ca^{2+} concentration inside of *Chlamydomonas* flagella using

a genetically encoded calcium indicator and IFT injection in wild-type and calcium-

deficient flagella. The amount of Ca^{2+} inside of flagella was indeed correlated with the

length of flagella, as the model requires. However, IFT injection was reduced in calcium-

deficient flagella, rather than increased as a model predicted. We then examined

fluctuations of IFT at the time scale of individual injection events and compared these

fluctuations to fluctuations in flagellar Ca^{2+}, and found no correlation, again suggesting

that flagellar Ca^{2+} does not regulate IFT injection. Thus, flagellar Ca^{2+} apparently does

not work as a negative regulator of IFT injection and therefore could not form the basis of

a stable length control system. These observations are thus inconsistent with the ion-

current model.

Results

Model for the ion-current model using kinesin phosphorylation

Before testing the calcium-based model experimentally, we first asked whether it could provide a stable length control mechanism, even in theory. We constructed a simplified model for flagellar length dynamics assuming that calcium entry is proportional to flagellar length and that kinesin-2 activity is regulated by phosphorylation mediated by CDPK1 as previously reported (Liang et al., 2014) and summarized in Figure 1B. Details of this model, which integrates IFT mediated transport, Ca^{2+} influx, and CDPK activity modulating IFT entry, are provided in Materials and Methods. As shown in Figure 1C, this model is able to produce a stable length control system, such that when flagella are removed they grow back to the correct length with decelerating kinetics that resemble those seen in actual flagella. Figure 1D further shows that the relative level of phosphorylation of kinesin changes during regeneration, smoothly increasing as the length increases, consistent with the experimental observations reported by Liang et al (2014). We note that this is a highly simplified model, and detailed numerical comparison to experiments is not useful since a number of parameters have unknown values. Nevertheless, this model serves to confirm the intuition that Ca^{2+} influx proportional to flagellar length, combined with CDPK-mediated regulation of IFT injection could, at least in principle, provide a length control mechanism.

Quantitative flagellar Ca^{2+} detection using GCaMP

The first assumption of the ion-current model that we explore is the requirement that Ca^{2+} amount in the flagellum should be an increasing function of flagellar length. To measure the amount of Ca^{2+} in *Chlamydomonas* flagella, we constructed *Chlamydomonas* strains which express GCaMP, a genetically encoded calcium indicator (Nakai et al., 2001), anchored in its flagella. GCaMP was fused to the C-terminus of dynein regulatory complex 4 (DRC4), an axonemal protein (Rupp and Porter, 2003), and DRC4-GCaMP was expressed in the *pf2-4* mutant cells, a loss-of-function mutant of DRC4. DRC4-GCaMP was localized along the length of flagella (Figure 2A) and rescued the defective flagellar

motility phenotype of the *pf2-4* mutant. DRC4 is a component of the Nexin-Dynein
Regulatory Complex, which is a part of the 96-nm axonemal repeat, periodical structures
on the axoneme (Heuser et al., 2009; Rupp and Porter, 2003). Therefore, the amount of
DRC4-GCaMP is constant per unit length, and the total quantity of DRC4-GCaMP
associated with axonemes is determined solely by the length of the flagella. We
previously showed that the amount of several different axonemal proteins, such as FAP20
and RSP3, is constant per unit length using GFP (Ishikawa et al., 2022). This result means
that our system using DRC4-GCaMP should be able to quantitatively detect flagellar Ca^{2+}.

To confirm that axonemal DRC4-GCaMP produces a signal that depends
quantitatively on free Ca^{2+}, we measured the GCaMP intensity using isolated DRC4-
GCaMP axonemes. We isolated flagella from DRC4-GCaMP (or DRC4-mCherry-
GCaMP) cells and removed the membrane from flagella so that Ca^{2+} can access the
axoneme-associated DRC4-GCaMP (or DRC4-mCherry-GCaMP). Isolated axonemes
were observed in various concentrations of free Ca^{2+} solutions (Figure 2B) and the
intensity was quantified (Figure 2C). GCaMP fluorescence showed a sigmoidal curve with
respect to free Ca^{2+} concentration, with an approximately linear response in the range of
free Ca^{2+} from 0.05 to 1 μM. Figure 2C provides a calibration curve that lets us
quantitatively measure Ca^{2+} in *Chlamydomonas* flagella based on DRC4-GCaMP
fluorescence.

The Ca^{2+} influx into the flagellum is correlated with flagellar length
To check whether the amount of Ca^{2+} influx into the flagellum is increased with increasing
flagellar length, we observed DRC4-GCaMP in flagella of living cells using total internal
reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy during flagellar regeneration. *Chlamydomonas*
flagella were amputated with the pH shock method (see Materials and Methods), allowing
us to measure Ca^{2+} influx as a function of flagellar length as the flagella regrow. We
observed intensity fluctuation of GCaMP in live cells indicating the presence of Ca^{2+} influx
(Figure 3A and Movie S1). This intensity fluctuation was not observed when 1 mM EGTA
was added to the media (~150 nM of free Ca^{2+}, which is the same level as the cytosolic

Ca^{2+} concentration, Figure 3A and Movie S3). This result indicated that most of the Ca^{2+}

influx came from outside of the cell.

TIRF movies of DRC4-GCaMP were converted into kymographs to measure the

calcium amount in the flagellum (Figure 3A). The amount of flagellar Ca^{2+} influx was

significantly correlated with the flagellar length, but with a broad distribution of values

around the trend line (Figure 3B, Pearson correlation coefficient $\rho = 0.33$, and coefficient

of determination $r^2 = 0.11$). Longer flagella generally allowed more Ca^{2+} influx but also

had greater variability. This variability is mainly caused by the fact that the Ca^{2+} influx

does not occur consistently. The frequency of flagellar Ca^{2+} influx events (spikes) was not

correlated with the flagellar length (Figure 3C, $\rho = 0.14$, and $r^2 = 0.02$). The pattern of

Ca^{2+} influx events varied from flagellum to flagellum and from time to time and did not

match a Poisson distribution (Figures S1 and S2). Consistent with previous reports, the

Ca^{2+} influx of the flagellum was compartmentalized, such that there was no correlation in

Ca^{2+} influx events between the two flagella from the same cell (Figures 3A, S1, and Movie

S1) (Collingridge et al., 2013).

To reduce the Ca^{2+} influx into the flagellum, we used a calcium channel mutant

strain, *ppr2* (Fujiu et al., 2009; Matsuda et al., 1998). The *ppr2* mutant has an insertion in

the CAV2 gene, which encodes a voltage-gated calcium channel localized to flagella

(Fujiu et al., 2009). In the *ppr2* mutant, we still observed Ca^{2+} influx events, but the amount

of Ca^{2+} influx was no longer correlated with the flagellar length (Figure 3B, $\rho = 0.09$, and

$r^2 = 0.02$) and the magnitude of influx was smaller than control on the average (Figure

3D). Interestingly, the *ppr2* mutant reduced Ca^{2+} influx most strongly at the distal region

of flagella (Figure 3A). This reduction is presumably caused by the loss of CAV2 protein,

which localizes the distal part of the flagellum (Fujiu et al., 2009).

IFT injection was reduced in calcium-deficient flagella

As discussed above, in order for the ion-current model to work, Ca^{2+} would need to be a

negative regulator of IFT injection. Any reduction in Ca^{2+} influx should thus lead to

increased IFT injection. Because the amount of Ca^{2+} influx into flagella was reduced in

the *ppr2* mutant (Figures 3 B and D), IFT injection in the *ppr2* mutant should be increased

compared to control cells if the ion-current model is correct. To test this prediction, we

quantified the IFT injection using kinesin-associated protein (KAP), which is a subunit of

the heteromeric kinesin-2 motor (IFT kinesin), tagged with a green fluorescent protein

(GFP). We focused on the kinesin-2 motor because this is the component of IFT that is

apparently regulated by Ca^{2+} (Liang et al., 2018, 2014). KAP-GFP was expressed in the

fla3 mutant, which has a point mutation in the *FLA3* gene (encodes KAP), and rescues

the phenotype of the *fla3* mutant (Mueller et al., 2005). This *fla3* KAP-GFP strain was also

used in previous studies for measuring IFT injection intensity (Engel et al., 2009; Ishikawa

and Marshall, 2017; Ludington et al., 2013). Movies of KAP-GFP were converted into

kymographs to measure IFT injection (Figure 4A and Movies S4-S6). Similar to previous

reports (Ishikawa and Marshall, 2017; Ludington et al., 2013), injection intensity of KAP-

GFP decreases as flagellar length increases in control cells (Figure 4B). In the *ppr2*

mutant, IFT injection was also negatively correlated with the flagellar length but was

reduced in short flagella compared to control cells (Figure 4B). This is the opposite of the

prediction of the ion-current model. To confirm that flagellar Ca^{2+} is related to this IFT

reduction phenotype, we treated wild-type cells with 1 mM EGTA and measured the

intensity of IFT injection. EGTA treated cells showed reduced, rather than increased, IFT

injection, similar to that seen in *ppr2* mutant cells (Figure 4B). Because reduction in Ca^{2+}

caused a decrease, rather than an increase in IFT injection, these results suggested that

flagellar Ca^{2+} does not work as a negative regulator of IFT injection. Our observations

thus do not support the ion-current model.

Effect of Ca^{2+} on flagellar regeneration and disassembly

The preceding results were based on quantifying KAP entry into flagella. As an alternative

indicator of IFT based on IFT function, we note that IFT is thought to drive flagellar

elongation, such that increased IFT injection should lead to faster flagellar growth, while

decreased IFT injection should lead to slower growth. Flagellar growth can thus be used

as an indicator of functionally altered IFT injection. To confirm our result that flagellar Ca^{2+}

does not inhibit IFT injection as predicted by the ion-current model, we performed flagellar

regeneration assays while altering Ca^{2+} concentrations. *Chlamydomonas* cells were

treated with low-pH media to remove their flagella and cultured with TAP media with or

without 1 mM EGTA (free Ca^{2+} in the two types of media is 149.3 nM and 170 μM,

respectively). 150 nM free Ca^{2+} is comparable to the cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration but was

enough to eliminate detectable flagellar Ca^{2+} influx (Figure 3A and Movie S3). 1 mM

EGTA treated cells showed slower flagellar regeneration than control cells but could

eventually reach the original length of flagella (Figure 5A, orange triangles). This

observation is consistent with previous studies (Liang and Pan, 2013) but is contrary to

the prediction of the ion-current model, in which reduction of calcium should lead to faster

regeneration due to increased IFT injection.

These findings suggested that at least a cytoplasmic concentration of Ca^{2+} is

enough for flagellar regeneration, and further Ca^{2+} influx into the flagellum is not essential.

Interestingly, the *ppr2* mutant cells showed slower flagellar regeneration and shorter final

length than 1 mM EGTA treated cells even though the *ppr2* mutants still have some Ca^{2+}

influx events (Figure 5A, red square). In any case, Ca^{2+} influx through CAV2 is apparently

not necessary for assembly of flagella, and CAV2 might have another role for flagellar

assembly or maintenance. To investigate whether extracellular Ca^{2+} can promote flagellar

assembly, we added 1 mM $CaCl_2$ to TAP media after pH shock. Flagellar regeneration

kinetics in $CaCl_2$ added media did not change relative to normal TAP media (Figure 5A,

green diamonds). The extra amount of extracellular Ca^{2+} does not help assembly of

flagella, again contrary to the ion-current model.

While flagellar Ca^{2+} does not seem to affect either IFT injection or flagellar

assembly in the way expected from the ion channel model, an alternative would be that

flagellar Ca^{2+} might promote flagellar disassembly, such that longer flagella would

experience a faster disassembly rate. We therefore tested how flagellar Ca^{2+} affects

flagellar disassembly using the temperature-sensitive *fla10* mutant cells (Huang et al.,

1977). The *fla10* mutant cells gradually reduce their flagellar length at the restrictive

temperature (Huang et al., 1977; Marshall et al., 2005). The *ppr2* mutation had no clear

effect on disassembly rates, and EGTA treated cells showed faster disassembly kinetics

than untreated cells in both control and *ppr2* mutant cells (Figure 5B), rather than the

slower kinetics that would be predicted if flagellar Ca^{2+} normally promoted disassembly.

Overall, our results suggest that flagellar Ca^{2+} does not inhibit IFT injection or flagellar
assembly or promote flagellar disassembly.

Flagellar Ca^{2+} does not change IFT injection even at short timescales

Our analyses suggested that flagellar Ca^{2+} helps flagellar assembly and maintenance
rather than flagellar disassembly and does not inhibit IFT injection. However, this
conclusion is based on separate measurements of Ca^{2+} and IFT and a comparison of
population averages as a function of length, leaving open the possibility that there could
be correlations between Ca^{2+} influx and IFT injection over short periods of time. Such a
short time scale correlation might be important given that calcium shows spiking dynamics
and could play a role in reducing flagellar length fluctuations. To ask how flagellar Ca^{2+}
might contribute to flagellar length control or IFT injection over short time scales in
individual flagella, we performed dual-channel live-cell imaging of Ca^{2+} and IFT using
DRC4-GCaMP and mScarlet-IFT54 (Figure 6A and Movie S7). IFT injection measured in
dual-channel imaging was negatively correlated with flagellar length, similar to our KAP-
GFP analysis (Figure 6B). Both frequency and speed of anterograde mScarlet-IFT54
showed a similar distribution with KAP-GFP (Figures 4C, 4D, 6C, and 6D). Likewise,
GCaMP intensity measured in dual-channel imaging also showed a similar distribution as
previously seen in our single-wavelength imaging (Figure 6E). However, there was no
obvious correlation between Ca^{2+} influx and IFT injection (Figure 6F), consistent with our
results above comparing separate single-channel imaging data.

To further investigate the potential effect of Ca^{2+} influx on IFT behavior on a short
time scale, we asked whether a burst of Ca^{2+} influx might cause a transient change in IFT
behavior. We selected kymograph regions in which there was no observed Ca^{2+} influx for
at least 10 s before a Ca^{2+} burst and analyzed successive 5 s intervals (Figure 7A). In
this analysis, we did not find a statistically significant difference in intensity or frequency
of IFT injection during the time windows before or after Ca^{2+} influx (Figure 7 B and C).
However, both anterograde and retrograde IFT speeds appeared to increase during Ca^{2+}
influx. In fact, most IFT trains did not show altered speed. The increase in average speed
was caused by the fact that paused IFT trains, which had an initial speed of zero, became

re-activated during the Ca^{2+} bursts, consistent with a previous report (Collingridge et al.,

2013; Fort et al., 2021). In experiments in which cells were treated with 1 mM EGTA, a

slowdown of IFT trains was also observed (Figure 4D). Moreover, the background

intensity of the mScarlet-IFT54 channel decreased after Ca^{2+} influx. This means that IFT

trains, which paused and accumulated during prolonged absence of Ca^{2+} influx, restarted

with Ca^{2+} influx. Because most of the pausing IFT trains were retrograde IFT, the intensity

of the returning IFT was increased after Ca^{2+} influx (Figure 7C). This result showed that

Ca^{2+} bursts can clear out the accumulated retrograde IFT trains from flagella. Because a

portion of IFT trains, after returning to the base, can be reused as new anterograde IFT

trains (Wingfield et al., 2017), we expected that IFT injection might increase after a burst

of Ca^{2+} influx, due to the resulting release of paused retrograde trains. However, IFT

injection did not obviously increase after the returning IFT increased in this time range

(Figure 7B). Together, these results indicate that Ca^{2+} influx can restart paused IFT trains

and increase the amount of returning IFT trains to the base of flagella, but that IFT

injection is not controlled by Ca^{2+} influx and is not triggered by a transient increase in

arrival of retrograde trains.

Discussion

The role of Ca²⁺ dependent phosphorylation in IFT

The work of Liang et al. (2014) has clearly shown that the IFT kinesin is regulated by a calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK1). Why then in our hands do we not observe the expected effect of calcium channel modulation and Ca^{2+} depletion on length? For one thing, it has not been shown directly that this kinase requires Ca^{2+} for its activity in *Chlamydomonas*. Furthermore, it is important to note that regulation does not have to imply feedback control. Although we modeled the phosphorylation of kinesin as occurring in response to Ca^{2+} entering through the flagellar membrane, consistent with the original ion channel model (Rosenbaum, 2003), it is also possible that the phosphorylation is regulated by cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} fluctuations, which could be independent of the flagellum, thus constituting a separate regulatory input, but one that is not part of a feedback loop because it is not sensitive to flagellar length. This case may therefore be similar to the length-regulating kinase LF4 in *Chlamydomonas*. Null mutants in *lf4* have flagella that are approximately double normal length, but their length is still regulated in the sense that length variation is constrained (Bauer et al., 2021). Since length is still regulated in a null mutant of *lf4*, the kinase cannot be part of a feedback loop essential for length control. Instead, it is likely to carry information from other signaling pathways to alter the set-point of flagellar length. We speculate that the calcium-dependent regulation of kinesin entry into flagella during IFT may provide a way for cellular state, such as cell cycle or metabolic state, to influence flagellar length, and not as a feedback control loop necessary for length regulation *per se*. Liang and Pan (2013) also showed that another calcium-dependent protein kinase, CDPK3, helps flagellar assembly at low Ca^{2+} concentration. CDPK1 and CDPK3 may have opposite regulation over length control downstream of Ca^{2+}.

The role of flagellar Ca²⁺ influx in flagellar length control

The ion-current model assumed that the Ca^{2+} influx was stable. However, the amount of Ca^{2+} influx was highly dispersed around the trend line although on average it is correlated with flagellar length. This variability came from mostly the randomness of the Ca^{2+} influx

events. However, the Ca^{2+} influx events did not match a Poisson distribution, consistent

with the idea of Ca^{2+} bursting. Ca^{2+} influx may itself be regulated by some other process.

A previous study found that increased Ca^{2+} influx is evoked in the trailing flagellum during

gliding motility (Collingridge et al., 2013), and because our cells are also mounted on

surfaces, this could also be one source of variation in our experiments. The same group

also found that poly-lysine-coated coverslips can increase Ca^{2+} influx events in flagella

(Fort et al., 2021). These findings suggested that a mechanical stimulus on the flagellum

is one of the triggers of Ca^{2+} influx. The difference in Ca^{2+} influx between the two flagella

might also be related to the phototactic behavior of *Chlamydomonas*. To turn towards the

light source, *Chlamydomonas* cells can change beat frequency and beat pattern of each

flagellum (Rüffer and Nultsch, 1991), and they are controlled by Ca^{2+} (Kamiya and

Witman, 1984). This phototactic response is mediated by the signal transduction from the

photoreceptor, which is located at the eyespot (Harz and Hegemann, 1991). Because

these kinds of Ca^{2+} response are dependent on the specific local environment in which

the cell might find itself, it is unlikely to produce a stable signal, making it less useful as

an indicator of flagellar length.

The flagellar regeneration kinetics of 1 mM EGTA treated cells (Figure 5A) were

similar to results reported in rapamycin treated *Chlamydomonas* cells. Rapamycin

treated cells also showed slower flagella regeneration kinetics, but the length of the

flagellum eventually reached its original length before deflagellation (Yuan et al., 2012).

Rapamycin inhibits the mTOR pathway (Wullschleger et al., 2006), and it is known that

intracellular Ca^{2+} is necessary for the activation of mTOR pathway (Gulati et al., 2008; Li

et al., 2016). The slow regeneration kinetics of EGTA treated cells might be caused by

the inhibition of the cytoplasmic mTOR pathway.

Taken together, our experimental test of the ion-current model for flagella length

control appears to rule out an ion channel model based on Ca^{2+} influx into flagella. It is

formally possible that some other ion might serve as a length sensor, but thus far Ca^{2+} is

the only candidate ion for which a plausible mechanistic link to IFT regulation has been

reported.

We previously provided experimental evidence against two other potential length

control models - an "initial bolus" model (Ludington et al., 2015) in which IFT particles are

initially injected into a newly formed flagellum but then recycle without being able to leave,

as well as a "time-of-flight" model (Ishikawa and Marshall, 2017; Ludington et al., 2015)

in which IFT particles contain a molecular timer that tracks the time spent inside the

flagellum as a proxy for flagellar length. Here we rule out a calcium-based ion-current

model for length sensing as well. Of the models we have considered, the remaining model

for length-dependent IFT regulation is one based on diffusive return of the IFT kinesin

(Hendel et al., 2018). The experiments presented here do not address this diffusion-

based model, which is thus far the only model for which there is no opposing experimental

evidence.

Return of re-activated retrograde trains

When anterograde IFT trains reach the tip, they remodel into a different number of

retrograde trains (Chien et al., 2017). Much less is known about possible remodeling of

retrograde trains at the basal body in order to re-inject them. One possibility might be that

some of returning IFT particles are immediately packaged into anterograde trains and re-

injected back into the same flagellum (Wingfield et al., 2017).

We observed re-activation of large paused retrograde trains by Ca^{2+} spikes, which

has been reported to occur due to an ability of Ca^{2+} influx to break the link between IFT

and membrane proteins and thus restart paused retrograde IFT trains (Fort et al., 2021).

We note in addition that many cytoplasmic dynein cargo adaptors contain EF hand

domains (Reck-Peterson et al., 2018), and at least in the case of CRACR2a it has been

shown that increased cellular calcium can activate dynein motility (Wang et al., 2019).

Regardless of the mechanism, the re-activation of these paused trains results in the

sudden return of a large quantity of IFT particles to the base, as visualized by a strong

retrograde trace. However, when we then looked at the anterograde trains entering the

flagellum in a short time period after the return of these trains, no significant increase in

IFT injection was observed (Figure 7). This observation implies that returning IFT particles

are not directly re-injected. This may indicate that the remodeling/recycling of retrograde

IFT trains into anterograde IFT trains simply needs more time, or it might be because IFT

injection is controlled by some other process that determines the timing of new particle

injection, regardless of instantaneous retrograde return events.

Materials and methods

***Chlamydomonas* strains and culture condition**

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii strains, wild-type 137c (CC-125), the *fla3-1* mutant expressing KAP-GFP (CC-4296)(Mueller et al., 2005), the *fla10-1* mutant (CC-1919)(Huang et al., 1977), and the *pf2-4* mutant (CC-4404)(Rupp and Porter, 2003) were obtained from the Chlamydomonas Resource Center (University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN). The *ppr2* mutant strain was provided by Kenjiro Yoshimura (Fujiu et al., 2009; Matsuda et al., 1998). The *ift54-2* mutant strain expressing mScarlet-IFT54 was provided by Karl Lechtreck (Fort et al., 2021; Wingfield et al., 2017). Generation of *pf2-1* DRC4-GCaMP has been described (Nievergelt et al., 2021). To confirm the presence of mutant alleles by PCR. *pf2-1* DRC4-GCaMP was crossed with the *pf2-4* mutant. We crossed the *pf2-4* DRC4-GCaMP strain with the *ppr2* strain to generate *ppr2 pf2-4* double mutant strain expressing DRC4-GCaMP. We generated the *ppr2* mutant expressing KAP-GFP by crossing the *ppr2* mutant with the KAP-GFP strain. For dual-channel imaging of Ca^{2+} and IFT, we crossed the *pf2-4* DRC4-GCaMP strain with *ift54-2* mScarlet-IFT54 strain to generate the *pf2-4 ift54-2* double mutant strain expressing both DRC4-GCaMP and mScarlet-IFT54. For flagellar disassembly assays, the *fla10-1* mutant strain was crossed with the *ppr2* strain to generate *fla10-1 ppr2* double mutant strain. *Chlamydomonas* cells were grown in liquid Tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) medium media (20 mM Tris HCl, 3.5 mM NH_4Cl, 0.2 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.17 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM K_3PO_4, and 1000× diluted Hutner's trace elements, titrated to pH 7.0 with glacial acetic acid) (Harris et al., 2009) at room temperature with constant aeration in light.

Flagellar regeneration and disassembly assays

Flagellar regeneration assays were performed in the same manner as previously described (Ishikawa and Marshall, 2017). Briefly, media of *Chlamydomonas* cell culture were adjusted to pH 4.5 with 0.5 M acetic acid and incubated for 1 min to amputate flagella, and then the pH was returned to pH 7.0 with 0.5 M KOH. The pH shocked cells were pelleted by a centrifuge and resuspended with fresh TAP media with or without 1

mM EGTA (free Ca^{2+} is 149.3 nM and 170 μM, respectively). For flagellar disassembly

assay, we used the *fla10* temperature-sensitive kinesin mutant. The *fla10* mutant and

fla10 ppr2 double mutant cells were cultured with TAP media at room temperature for one

day and then 1 mM EGTA was added, after which the cultures were transferred to a 33°C

incubator with continuous illumination. Cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde before

and at time points after pH shock or temperature shift. Fixed cells were imaged by

differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy using an inverted microscope

(AxioVert 200M, Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with an air objective (Plan Apo 40x/NA 0.75,

Zeiss) and a CCD camera (Axiocam MRm, Zeiss). The lengths of 20 biflagellated cells at

each time point and each sample were measured using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

We often observed the *fla10* mutant and *fla10 ppr2* double mutant cells with a single

flagellum after the temperature shift but measured only the cells with two flagella. We did

not observe any *fla10 ppr2* double mutant cells with two flagella at 300 min after the

temperature shift.

Live-cell imaging

All live-cell imaging was performed during regeneration of flagella. *Chlamydomonas*

flagella were amputated by the pH shock method as described above. #1 (22 x 22 mm)

coverslips were coated with 0.1% poly-L-lysine solution (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 10 min

and washed three times with deionized water. Culture of flagella-regenerating cells was

placed on a poly-L-lysine-coated coverslip for 3 min and removed most of media. Then,

the coverslip was mounted on glass slides with 2% agarose/TAP with or without 1 mM

EGTA and sealed with VALAP (1:1:1 Vaseline, lanolin, and paraffin). Images were

acquired on an inverted microscope (Eclipse Ti-E, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) configured for

TIRF microscopy using an oil objective (Apo TIRF 100 ×/NA 1.49; Nikon), MLC400 laser

combiner with solid-state lasers of 405, 488, 561, and 647nm (Keysight, Santa Rosa, CA)

and an electron-multiplying CCD (EM-CCD; iXon Ultra 897, Andor, Belfast, UK) camera

controlled with Nikon Elements Software (v5.20.00 b1423). Image sequences were

continuously acquired using triggered acquisition using the 488 nm and/or 561 nm lasers

(4.0 mW and 1.6 mW, respectively) and single band-pass (ET525/50m and ET600/60m,

Chroma, Bellows Falls, VT) or dual band-pass emission filters (59012m, Chroma) with a

camera exposure of 50 ms and an EM gain of 300 used for both channels.

Quantifying axonemal DRC4-GCaMP

To quantify the GCaMP reactivity, axonemes were isolated from the *pf2-4* DRC4-GCaMP

or *pf2-4* DRC4-mCherry-GCaMP strains. Flagellar isolation and demembranation were

performed as previously described (Wakabayashi and Kamiya, 2015). For Ca^{2+} titrations,

GCaMP axonemes were washed and resuspended with 30 mM MOPS, pH 7.2, 100 mM

KCl with either 10 mM EGTA (zero free Ca^{2+}) or 10 mM CaEGTA (39 μM free Ca^{2+}) from

the calcium calibration buffer kit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) and then observed on

the TIRF microscope (Eclipse Ti-E, Nikon). GCaMP intensity was measured using

ImageJ. Each axoneme was traced by hand using the Segmented line tool with 7-pixel

line width, analyzed by the Plot Profile function, and then calculated average intensity for

pixel. At least 13 axonemes were analyzed on each calcium concentration.

Imaging analysis

For GCaMP intensity analysis, kymographs were generated from movies of DRC4-

GCaMP using ImageJ. GCaMP kymographs were analyzed on MATLAB (MathWorks,

Natick, MA). The kymographs were smoothed using a 2D Gaussian filter and adjusted

intensity to minimize the effect of photobleaching. The peak of Ca^{2+} influx was detected

using the findpeaks function on MATLAB. The intensity of Ca^{2+} influx was calculated as

the sum of the peak area of Ca^{2+} influx divided by imaging time.

For quantitative analysis of IFT injection and behavior, kymographs were

generated from movies of KAP-GFP or mScarlet-IFT54 using Kymograph Clear (Mangeol

et al., 2016). IFT trajectories were detected using KymoButler, a deep learning-based

software (Jakobs et al., 2019), and extracted as coordinates. IFT kymographs and

coordinates of IFT trajectories were analyzed on MATLAB. IFT trajectories were

connected gaps and removed overlaps. The IFT intensity was calculated as the total

intensity of IFT trajectories per unit length and unit time. IFT velocity and frequency were

calculated from extracted IFT trajectories. The intensities of IFT injection and returning

IFT were calculated as the sum of average intensity of injecting or returning IFT

trajectories during the image of time.

For analysis of dual-channel imaging, dual-channel movies were converted to

kymographs by channel. The mScarlet channel showed slight bleed-through to the

GCaMP channel because we used the dual band-pass emission filter for imaging. To

remove the weak bleed-through mScaret-IFT54 signals from DRC4-GCaMP

kymographs, 20% intensity of the mScaret-IFT54 kymograph was subtracted from the

GCaMP kymograph. The intensity of Ca^{2+} influx was calculated in the same manner as

described above. To investigate the short-term effect of Ca^{2+} influx on IFT, we selected

the kymographs without Ca^{2+} influx for more than 10 s before the bundle of Ca^{2+} influx

that has more than 2,000 intensities. We calculated IFT injection, velocity, and frequency

for 10 s and 15 s each before and after the start of the Ca^{2+} influx in these kymographs.

The intensities of IFT injection and returning IFT were calculated as the sum of the

intensity of IFT trajectories that started from or returned to the base within the time range.

We also calculated the background intensity from the kymographs which removed both

anterograde and retrograde IFT trajectories. All calculations were performed using

custom-written routines in MATLAB.

For statistical analyses and graph generation, we used GraphPad Prism

(GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Statistical significance of Ca^{2+} influx between control and

the *ppr2* mutant was tested using unpaired t test. Statistical significances of IFT behaviors

were tested using paired t test.

Computational model

We constructed a computational model of flagellar length control based on the general

concept proposed by Rosenbaum (2003) and based on the biochemical work of Liang et

al on CDPK1 mediated phosphorylated of kinesin-2 (Liang et al., 2014). This model is

based on the following assumptions. First, as first proposed by Rosenbaum (Rosenbaum,

2003) based on the measurements of Beck and Uhl (Beck and Uhl, 1994), we assume

that the quantity of calcium entering the flagellum per unit time is on average proportional

to the length of the flagellum. Second, we assume that CDPK1 activity depends on the

instantaneous calcium concentration according to a saturable binding relation with some

dissociation constant that is an adjustable parameter of the model. In effect, this

assumption means that the kinetics of allosteric regulation of CDPK1 activity by calcium

occur on a much faster time scale than the other events of our model. Third, we assume

that phosphorylation of kinesin by CDPK1, as well as subsequent dephosphorylation of

kinesin, both take place on a fast timescale relative to the timescale of flagellar length

change, such that the fraction of active kinesin is at a quasi-state in the model. Given this

assumption, we represent the fraction of phosphorylated kinesin as a function of the

relative activity of CDPK1 according to a Michaelis-Menten equation. Fourth, based on

the results of Liang et al (Liang et al., 2014), we assume that the number of IFT particles

entering the flagellum per unit time is proportional to the fraction of non-phosphorylated

kinesin-2 proteins. Fifth, we assume that the occupancy of IFT particles for tubulin is

proportional to the quantity of tubulin available in the cytoplasm, which is equivalent to

saying that binding is not saturated. This was the assumption used in previous models

(Marshall et al., 2005) and is consistent with observations on the factional occupancy of

tubulin during flagellar assembly (Craft et al., 2015; Wemmer et al., 2020).

559   With these assumptions, we iteratively compute the net flagellar growth rate as the

difference between an assembly term and a disassembly term, where the disassembly

term is a length-independent constant (Marshall et al., 2005). The assembly term is

proportional to the size of the unused precursor pool (P-2L) where P is the total precursor

(tubulin) pool and L is the length of each of the two flagella as well as to the fraction of

non-phosphorylated kinesins. The net growth rate is used to update the length using the

Euler method with a timestep of 0.02 seconds. Output values are stored every 50

timesteps (1 second of simulation). Source codes of the model and data analyses used

in this work can be found here: https://github.com/ishikawaUCSF/temp

Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the Marshall laboratory as well as Joel Rosenbaum and Xin Xiang for helpful discussions; DeLaine Larsen, Kari Herrington, SoYeon Kim and the Nikon Imaging Center at UCSF for microscopy resources and assistance; and Karl Lechtreck (University of Georgia) and Kenjiro Yoshimura (Shibaura Institute of Technology) for generously sharing *Chlamydomonas* strains. This work was supported by NIH grants R35GM130327 (W.F.M.) and R01GM130908 (M.D).

Abbreviations

CDPK: calcium-dependent protein kinase

DIC: differential interference contrast

DRC: dynein regulatory complex

GFP: green fluorescent protein

IFT: intraflagellar transport

KAP: kinesin-associated protein

TIRF: total internal reflection fluorescence

References

References

Bauer D, Ishikawa H, Wemmer KA, Hendel NL, Kondev J, Marshall WF. 2021. Analysis

of biological noise in the flagellar length control system. *iScience* **24**:102354.

doi:10.1016/j.isci.2021.102354

Beck C, Uhl R. 1994. On the localization of voltage-sensitive calcium channels in the

flagella of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *J Cell Biol* **125**:1119–1125.

Bottier M, Thomas KA, Dutcher SK, Bayly PV. 2019. How Does Cilium Length Affect

Beating? *Biophys J* **116**:1292–1304. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2019.02.012

Chan Y-HM, Marshall WF. 2012. How cells know the size of their organelles. *Science*

337:1186–1189. doi:10.1126/science.1223539

Chien A, Shih SM, Bower R, Tritschler D, Porter ME, Yildiz A. 2017. Dynamics of the

IFT machinery at the ciliary tip. *eLife* **6**:e28606. doi:10.7554/eLife.28606

Collingridge P, Brownlee C, Wheeler GL. 2013. Compartmentalized calcium signaling in

cilia regulates intraflagellar transport. *Curr Biol* **23**:2311–2318.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.09.059

Craft JM, Harris JA, Hyman S, Kner P, Lechtreck KF. 2015. Tubulin transport by IFT is

upregulated during ciliary growth by a cilium-autonomous mechanism. *J Cell Biol*

208:223–237. doi:10.1083/jcb.201409036

Engel BD, Ludington WB, Marshall WF. 2009. Intraflagellar transport particle size scales

inversely with flagellar length: revisiting the balance-point length control model. *J*

Cell Biol **187**:81–89. doi:10.1083/jcb.200812084

Engelke MF, Waas B, Kearns SE, Suber A, Boss A, Allen BL, Verhey KJ. 2019. Acute

inhibition of heterotrimeric kinesin-2 function reveals mechanisms of

intraflagellar transport in mammalian cilia. *Curr. Biol.* **29**:1137-1148. e4.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.02.043

Fort C, Collingridge P, Brownlee C, Wheeler G. 2021. Ca2+ elevations disrupt

interactions between intraflagellar transport and the flagella membrane in

Chlamydomonas. *J Cell Sci* **134**:jcs253492. doi:10.1242/jcs.253492

Fujiu K, Nakayama Y, Iida H, Sokabe M, Yoshimura K. 2011. Mechanoreception in
motile flagella of Chlamydomonas. *Nat Cell Biol* **13**:630–632.
doi:10.1038/ncb2214

Fujiu K, Nakayama Y, Yanagisawa A, Sokabe M, Yoshimura K. 2009. Chlamydomonas
CAV2 encodes a voltage- dependent calcium channel required for the flagellar
waveform conversion. *Curr Biol* **19**:133–139. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.11.068

Gulati P, Gaspers LD, Dann SG, Joaquin M, Nobukuni T, Natt F, Kozma SC, Thomas
AP, Thomas G. 2008. Amino acids activate mTOR complex 1 via Ca2+/CaM
signaling to hVps34. *Cell Metab* **7**:456–465. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2008.03.002

Harris EH, Stern DB, Witman GB, editors. 2009. Chapter 8 - Chlamydomonas in the
Laboratory The Chlamydomonas Sourcebook (Second Edition). London:
Academic Press. pp. 241–302. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-370873-1.00008-3

Harz H, Hegemann P. 1991. Rhodopsin-regulated calcium currents in Chlamydomonas.
Nature **351**:489–491. doi:10.1038/351489a0

Hendel NL, Thomson M, Marshall WF. 2018. Diffusion as a Ruler: Modeling Kinesin
Diffusion as a Length Sensor for Intraflagellar Transport. *Biophys J* **114**:663–674.
doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2017.11.3784

Heuser T, Raytchev M, Krell J, Porter ME, Nicastro D. 2009. The dynein regulatory
complex is the nexin link and a major regulatory node in cilia and flagella. *J Cell*
Biol **187**:921–933. doi:10.1083/jcb.200908067

Huang B, Rifkin MR, Luck DJ. 1977. Temperature-sensitive mutations affecting flagellar
assembly and function in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *J Cell Biol* **72**:67–85.

Huang K, Diener DR, Mitchell A, Pazour GJ, Witman GB, Rosenbaum JL. 2007.
Function and dynamics of PKD2 in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii flagella. *J Cell*
Biol **179**:501–514. doi:10.1083/jcb.200704069

Ishikawa H, Marshall WF. 2017. Testing the time-of-flight model for flagellar length
sensing. *Mol Biol Cell* **28**:3447–3456. doi:10.1091/mbc.E17-06-0384

Ishikawa H, Marshall WF. 2011. Ciliogenesis: building the cell's antenna. *Nat Rev Mol*
Cell Biol **12**:222–234. doi:10.1038/nrm3085

Ishikawa H, Tian JL, Yu JE, Marshall WF, Qin H. 2022. Biosynthesis of Linear Protein

Nanoarrays Using the Flagellar Axoneme. *ACS Synth Biol* **11**:1454–1465.

doi:10.1021/acssynbio.1c00439

Jakobs MA, Dimitracopoulos A, Franze K. 2019. KymoButler, a deep learning software

for automated kymograph analysis. *eLife* **8**:e42288. doi:10.7554/eLife.42288

Jiang YY, Maier W, Baumeister R, Minevich G, Joachimiak E, Wloga D, Ruan Z, Kannan N,

Bocarro S, Bahraini A, Vasudevan KK, Lechtreck K, Orias E, Gaertig J. 2019.

LF4/MOK and a CDK-related kinase regulate the number and length of cilia in

Tetrahymena. *PLoS Genet.* **15**:e1008099 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008099

Jordan MA, Diener DR, Stepanek L, Pigino G. 2018. The cryo-EM structure of

intraflagellar transport trains reveals how dynein is inactivated to ensure

unidirectional anterograde movement in cilia. *Nat Cell Biol* **20**:1250–1255.

doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0213-1

Kamiya R, Witman GB. 1984. Submicromolar levels of calcium control the balance of

beating between the two flagella in demembranated models of Chlamydomonas.

J Cell Biol **98**:97–107. doi:10.1083/jcb.98.1.97

Kumari D, Ray K. 2022. Phosphoregulation of kinesins involved in long-range

intracellular transport. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* **10**:873164.

doi:10.3389/fcell.2022.873164

Lechtreck KF, Van De Weghe JC, Harris JA, Liu P. 2017. Protein transport in growing

and steady-state cilia. *Traffic* **18**:277–286. doi:10.1111/tra.12474

Lefebvre PA, Nordstrom SA, Moulder JE, Rosenbaum JL. 1978. Flagellar elongation

and shortening in Chlamydomonas. IV. Effects of flagellar detachment,

regeneration, and resorption on the induction of flagellar protein synthesis. *J Cell*

Biol **78**:8–27. doi:10.1083/jcb.78.1.8

Li R-J, Xu J, Fu C, Zhang J, Zheng YG, Jia H, Liu JO. 2016. Regulation of mTORC1 by

lysosomal calcium and calmodulin. *eLife* **5**:e19360. doi:10.7554/eLife.19360

Liang Y, Pan J. 2013. Regulation of flagellar biogenesis by a calcium dependent protein
kinase in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *PloS One* **8**:e69902.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069902
Liang Y, Pang Y, Wu Q, Hu Z, Han X, Xu Y, Deng H, Pan J. 2014. FLA8/KIF3B
phosphorylation regulates kinesin-II interaction with IFT-B to control IFT entry
and turnaround. *Dev Cell* **30**:585–597. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.07.019
Liang Y, Zhu X, Wu Q, Pan J. 2018. Ciliary Length Sensing Regulates IFT Entry via
Changes in FLA8/KIF3B Phosphorylation to Control Ciliary Assembly. *Curr Biol*
28:2429-2435.e3. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.069
Ludington WB, Ishikawa H, Serebrenik YV, Ritter A, Hernandez-Lopez RA,
Gunzenhauser J, Kannegaard E, Marshall WF. 2015. A systematic comparison
of mathematical models for inherent measurement of ciliary length: how a cell
can measure length and volume. *Biophys J* **108**:1361–1379.
doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2014.12.051
Ludington WB, Wemmer KA, Lechtreck KF, Witman GB, Marshall WF. 2013.
Avalanche-like behavior in ciliary import. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**:3925–
3930. doi:10.1073/pnas.1217354110
Mangeol P, Prevo B, Peterman EJG. 2016. KymographClear and KymographDirect: two
tools for the automated quantitative analysis of molecular and cellular dynamics
using kymographs. *Mol Biol Cell* **27**:1948–1957. doi:10.1091/mbc.E15-06-0404
Marshall WF, Qin H, Rodrigo Brenni M, Rosenbaum JL. 2005. Flagellar length control
system: testing a simple model based on intraflagellar transport and turnover.
Mol Biol Cell **16**:270–278. doi:10.1091/mbc.E04-07-0586
Matsuda A, Yoshimura K, Sineshchekov OA, Hirono M, Kamiya R. 1998. Isolation and
characterization of novel Chlamydomonas mutants that display phototaxis but not
photophobic response. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* **41**:353–362.
doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0169(1998)41:4<353::AID-CM7>3.0.CO;2-0
Mueller J, Perrone CA, Bower R, Cole DG, Porter ME. 2005. The FLA3 KAP subunit is
required for localization of kinesin-2 to the site of flagellar assembly and

processive anterograde intraflagellar transport. *Mol Biol Cell* **16**:1341–1354.

doi:10.1091/mbc.E04-10-0931

Nakai J, Ohkura M, Imoto K. 2001. A high signal-to-noise Ca(2+) probe composed of a

single green fluorescent protein. *Nat Biotechnol* **19**:137–141. doi:10.1038/84397

Nievergelt AP, Zykov I, Diener D, Buchholz T-O, Delling M, Diez S, Jug F, Štěpánek L,

Pigino G. 2021. Intraflagellar transport trains can turn around without the ciliary

tip complex. doi:10.1101/2021.03.19.436138

Ou G, Scholey JM. 2022. Motor Cooperation During Mitosis and Ciliogenesis. *Annu Rev*

Cell Dev Biol. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-121420-100107

Pazour GJ, Agrin N, Leszyk J, Witman GB. 2005. Proteomic analysis of a eukaryotic

cilium. *J Cell Biol* **170**:103–113. doi:10.1083/jcb.200504008

Pigino G, Geimer S, Lanzavecchia S, Paccagnini E, Cantele F, Diener DR, Rosenbaum

JL, Lupetti P. 2009. Electron-tomographic analysis of intraflagellar transport

particle trains in situ. *J Cell Biol* **187**:135–148. doi:10.1083/jcb.200905103

Quader H, Cherniack J, Filner P. 1978. Participation of calcium in flagellar shortening

and regeneration in Chlamydomonas reinhardii. *Exp Cell Res* **113**:295–301.

Reck-Peterson SL, Redwine WB, Vale RD, Carter AP. 2018. The cytoplasmic dynein

transport machinery and its many cargoes. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **19**:382–398.

doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0004-3

Reiter JF, Leroux MR. 2017. Genes and molecular pathways underpinning ciliopathies.

Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **18**:533–547. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.60

Rosenbaum J. 2003. Organelle size regulation: length matters. *Curr Biol* **13**:R506-507.

Rosenbaum JL, Moulder JE, Ringo DL. 1969. Flagellar elongation and shortening in

Chlamydomonas. The use of cycloheximide and colchicine to study the synthesis

and assembly of flagellar proteins. *J Cell Biol* **41**:600–619.

Rosenbaum JL, Witman GB. 2002. Intraflagellar transport. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **3**:813–

825. doi:10.1038/nrm952

Rüffer U, Nultsch W. 1991. Flagellar photoresponses of Chlamydomonas cells held on

micropipettes: II. Change in flagellar beat pattern. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton*

18:269–278. doi:10.1002/cm.970180404

Rupp G, Porter ME. 2003. A subunit of the dynein regulatory complex in

Chlamydomonas is a homologue of a growth arrest-specific gene product. *J Cell*

Biol **162**:47–57. doi:10.1083/jcb.200303019

Tuxhorn J, Daise T, Dentler WL. 1998. Regulation of flagellar length in

Chlamydomonas. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* **40**:133–146. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-

0169(1998)40:2<133::AID-CM3>3.0.CO;2-G

Wakabayashi K, Kamiya R. 2015. Axonemal motility in Chlamydomonas. *Methods Cell*

Biol **127**:387–402. doi:10.1016/bs.mcb.2014.12.002

Wang Y, Huynh W, Skokan TD, Lu W, Weiss A, Vale RD. 2019. CRACR2a is a calcium-

activated dynein adaptor protein that regulates endocytic traffic. *J Cell Biol*

218:1619–1633. doi:10.1083/jcb.201806097

Wemmer K, Ludington W, Marshall WF. 2020. Testing the role of intraflagellar transport

in flagellar length control using length-altering mutants of Chlamydomonas.

Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci **375**:20190159. doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0159

Wingfield JL, Mengoni I, Bomberger H, Jiang Y-Y, Walsh JD, Brown JM, Picariello T,

Cochran DA, Zhu B, Pan J, Eggenschwiler J, Gaertig J, Witman GB, Kner P,

Lechtreck K. 2017. IFT trains in different stages of assembly queue at the ciliary

base for consecutive release into the cilium. *eLife* **6**:e26609.

doi:10.7554/eLife.26609

Wullschleger S, Loewith R, Hall MN. 2006. TOR signaling in growth and metabolism.

Cell **124**:471–484. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.016

Yuan S, Li J, Diener DR, Choma MA, Rosenbaum JL, Sun Z. 2012. Target-of-

rapamycin complex 1 (Torc1) signaling modulates cilia size and function through

protein synthesis regulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**:2021–2026.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1112834109

 Figure legends

 Figure 1 Schematic diagram and modeling of the ion-current model.

 (A) The ion-current model assumes the ion channels are uniformly distributed along the

 length of flagella and ions entering the flagellum are proportional to the flagellar length.

 Flagellar Ca^{2+} negatively regulates IFT injection into flagella to control the flagellar length.

 The longer flagellum can intake more ions into flagella and these ions inhibits IFT injection

 such that the further assembly of flagella is suppressed. (B) The schema of kinesin-2

 inactivation by Ca^{2+} and CDPK1 based on Liang et al. (2014). In a Ca^{2+}-dependent

 manner, CDPK1 phosphorylates FLA8, a subunit of heterotrimeric kinesin-2.

 Phosphorylated kinesin-2 loses the activity to bind IFT proteins. (C, D) Simulated result

 of the ion-current model based on kinesin-2 phosphorylation by CDPK1 (Liang et al.,

 2014), as detailed in Materials and methods. Flagellar length (C) and the ratio of

 phosphorylated kinesin (D) were plotted against time.

 Figure 2 DRC4-GCaMP quantitatively detects free Ca^{2+}.

 (A) DIC and fluorescent images of DRC4-GCaMP cells. DRC4-GCaMP localizes to the

 entire length of flagella. Scale bar: 10 μm. (B) Fluorescent images of isolated DRC4-

 mCherry-GCaMP axonemes. Axonemes were isolated from DRC4-mCherry-GCaMP

 cells and were treated with various concentration of free Ca^{2+}. GCaMP intensity was

 increased as free Ca^{2+} concentration increased. Scale bar: 10 μm. (C) Semilogarithmic

 plot of GCaMP and mCherry intensities. GCaMP and mCherry intensities were measured

 and plotted. The blue dashed line shows equation of sigmoidal curve which is calculated

 from data.

 Figure 3 Quantification of Ca2+ influx as a function of flagellar length.

 (A) Representative DRC4-GCaMP kymographs of *Chlamydomonas* flagella in control

 (*pf2* DRC4-GCaMP), *ppr2* mutant (*pf2 ppr2* DRC4-GCaMP), and 1 mM EGTA treated

 (*pf2* DRC4-GCaMP) cells. These kymographs were assembled from Movies S1-3.

 Horizontal bar: 5 s; vertical bar: 5 μm. (B) The intensity of Ca^{2+} influx into flagella was

calculated from kymographs and plotted against flagellar length. Different length flagella

were obtained by imaging flagella during regeneration. Control (blue circles, n = 198,

Pearson correlation coefficient $\rho = 0.33$, and coefficient of determination $r^2 = 0.11$) and

the *ppr2* mutant (red squares, n = 182, $\rho = 0.14$, and $r^2 = 0.02$). (C) The frequency of Ca^{2+}

influx was plotted against flagellar length. No obvious correlation was detected in either

the control (blue circles, $\rho = 0.14$, and $r^2 = 0.02$) or the *ppr2* mutant cells (red squares, ρ

$= 0.004$, and $r^2 = 0.00002$). (D) A box-and-whisker plot shows the mean difference of Ca^{2+}

influx intensity from the control regression line. Significance was determined by an

unpaired two-tailed t test (*** $P < 0.001$).

Figure 4 Quantification of IFT injection as a function of flagellar length.

(A) Representative KAP-GFP kymographs of *Chlamydomonas* flagella in control (*fla3*

KAP-GFP), *ppr2* mutant (*fla3 ppr2* KAP-GFP), and 1 mM EGTA treated (*fla3* KAP-GFP)

cells. These kymographs were assembled from Movies S4-6. Horizontal bar: 5 s; vertical

bar: 5 μm. (B) The mean KAP-GFP intensity of each flagellum was calculated from

kymographs and plotted against flagellar length. Control (blue circles, n = 196, $\rho = -0.73$,

and $r^2 = 0.54$), *ppr2* (red squares, n = 192, $\rho = -0.52$, and $r^2 = 0.27$), and EGTA (orange

triangles, n = 162, $\rho = -0.52$, and $r^2 = 0.28$). (C) The frequency of KAP-GFP was plotted

against flagellar length. Control (blue circles, $\rho = 0.09$, and $r^2 = 0.008$), *ppr2* (red squares,

$\rho = 0.51$, and $r^2 = 0.26$), and EGTA (orange triangles, $\rho = 0.14$, and $r^2 = 0.02$). (D) The

velocity of KAP-GFP was plotted against flagellar length. Control (blue circles, $\rho = 0.63$,

and $r^2 = 0.40$), *ppr2* (red squares, $\rho = 0.81$, and $r^2 = 0.64$), and EGTA (orange triangles,

$\rho = 0.69$, and $r^2 = 0.47$).

Figure 5 The kinetics of flagellar regeneration and disassembly.

(A) Flagella were removed by the pH shock method. Mean flagellar length of control (wild-

type CC-125, blue circles), *ppr2* mutant (red squares), 1 mM EGTA treated (orange

triangle), and 1 mM $CaCl_2$ treated (green diamonds) cells was plotted against time after

pH shock. (B) The *fla10* mutant strains were transferred to the restrictive temperature

(33°C). Mean flagellar length of control (*fla10*, blue circles), *fla10 ppr2* mutant (red

triangle), 1 mM EGTA treated *fla10* (orange diamonds), and 1 mM EGTA treated *fla10*

ppr2 (magenta inverted triangles) cells was plotted against time after temperature shift.

20 biflagellated cells were measured per strain and time point.

Figure 6 Dual-channel imaging of DRC4-GCaMP and mScarlet-IFT54.

(A) Representative kymographs and quantified data. The DRC4-GCaMP kymograph (top)

was generated from Movie S7. Quantified intensity of Ca^{2+} influx is shown at the bottom

of the kymograph. The mScarlet-IFT54 kymograph (middle) was generated from Movie

S7. Quantified anterograde IFT injection is shown at the bottom of the kymograph. The

merged kymograph (bottom) of DRC4-GCaMP (green) and mScarlet-IFT54 (magenta).

Horizontal bar: 5 s; vertical bar: 5 μm. (B) The mScarlet-IFT54 intensity of each flagellum

was calculated from kymographs and plotted against flagellar length (n = 116, and

Pearson correlation coefficient ρ = -0.47). Non-linear regression is indicated by a solid

line (r^2 = 0.21). (C) The frequency of anterograde IFT was plotted against flagellar length

(ρ = 0.53, and r^2 = 0.28). (D) The velocity of anterograde IFT was plotted against flagellar

length (ρ = 0.76, and r^2 = 0.58). (E) The intensity of Ca^{2+} influx into flagella was calculated

from kymographs and plotted against flagellar length (ρ = 0.33, and r^2 = 0.11). (F) The

mean mScarlet-IFT54 intensity was plotted against the intensity of Ca^{2+} influx (ρ = -0.08,

and r^2 = 0.007).

Figure 7 Quantification of IFT behavior after Ca^{2+} influx.

(A) Overview of the short-time analysis of IFT behavior after a burst of Ca^{2+} influx using

dual-channel imaging. Analyzed areas were colored on the representative kymographs

of DRC4-GCaMP and mScarlet-IFT54. Intervals of each area are 5 s. Bursts of Ca^{2+}

influx in which a previous Ca^{2+} influx was observed at least 10 s before the Ca^{2+} influx

were selected. The "c" area was set at the start of the burst of Ca^{2+} influx. The areas "a"

and "b" were set to 10 s and 5 s before the burst of Ca^{2+} influx at the "c" area. The "c"

area was set at the start of the burst of Ca^{2+} influx. The areas "d" and "e" were set to 5 s

and 10 s after the start of the Ca^{2+} influx. Horizontal bar: 5 s; vertical bar: 5 μm. (B-G) IFT

behaviors before and after the burst of Ca^{2+} influx were plotted against each area (n =

29). (B) The intensity of IFT injection. (C) The intensity of returning IFT. (D) The frequency

of anterograde IFT. (E) The frequency of retrograde IFT. (F) The velocity of anterograde

IFT. (G) The velocity of anterograde IFT. (H) The sum of the Ca^{2+} influx before and after

the burst of Ca^{2+} influx. (I) The background intensity of mScarlet-IFT54. Significance

between each area was determined by paired t test (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$

and **** $P < 0.0001$).

Supporting Information

Figure S1

Example DRC4-GCaMP kymographs of *Chlamydomonas* flagella (control, *pf2* DRC4-

GCaMP). The pair of Flagellum 1 and Flagellum 2 are flagella from the same cells. The

pattern of Ca^{2+} influx events varied from flagellum to flagellum and from time to time.

Horizontal bar: 5 s; vertical bar: 5 μm.

Figure S2

Individual Ca^{2+} influx events are not independent. Histogram of Ca^{2+} spikes distribution

every 5 s. Blue line shows the DRC4-GCaMP (control) data from Figure 3. Red dashed

line shows calculated data to fit with Poisson distribution. Blue line does not fit with red

line (Chi-square goodness-of-fit test, $p < 0.0001$). Similar results were obtained at other

time intervals.

Movie S1

Movie of DRC4-GCaMP in control (*fla3* KAP-GFP) cell. This movie was taken at 20

frames per second (fps) and plays in real time.

Movie S2

Movie of DRC4-GCaMP in the *ppr2* mutant (*fla3 ppr2* KAP-GFP) cell. This movie was

taken at 20 fps and plays in real time.

Movie S3

Movie of DRC4-GCaMP in 1 mM EGTA treated (*fla3* KAP-GFP) cell. This movie was taken at 20 fps and plays in real time.

Movie S4

Movie of KAP-GFP in control (*fla3* KAP-GFP) cell. This movie was taken at 20 fps and plays in real time.

Movie S5

Movie of KAP-GFP in the *ppr2* mutant (*fla3 ppr2* KAP-GFP) cell. This movie was taken at 20 fps and plays in real time.

Movie S6

Movie of KAP-GFP in 1 mM EGTA treated (*fla3* KAP-GFP) cell. This movie was taken at 20 fps and plays in real time.

Movie S7

Movie of DRC4-GCaMP and mScarlet-IFT54 in the *pf2 ift54* DRC4-GCaMP mScarlet-IFT54 cell. DRC4-GCaMP is shown in green, and mScarlet-IFT54 is shown in magenta. This movie was taken at 10 fps and plays in real time.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

B

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure S1

Figure S2