1 Article

2 **Imbalance of circuit plasticity and consolidation in autism model**
3 **marmosets is adjusted by oxytocin administration**

4
5
6

Jun Noguchi[1*], Satoshi Watanabe[1], Tomofumi Oga[1], Risa Isoda[1], Keiko Nakagaki[1], Kazuhisa

Sakai[1], Kayo Sumida[2], Kohei Hoshino[2], Koichi Saito[2], Izuru Miyawaki[3], Eriko Sugano[4], Hiroshi

Tomita[4], Hiroaki Mizukami[5], Akiya Watakabe[6], Tetsuo Yamamori[6] & Noritaka Ichinohe[1*]

[1] Department of Ultrastructural Research, National Institute of Neuroscience, National Center of

Neurology and Psychiatry, Tokyo, Japan. [2] Environmental Health Science Laboratory, Sumitomo

Chemical Co., Ltd., Konohana-ku, Osaka, Japan. [3] Preclinical Research Laboratories, Sumitomo

Pharma Co., Ltd., Konohana-ku, Osaka, Japan.[4] Laboratory of Visual Neuroscience, Graduate

Course in Biological Sciences, Iwate University, Iwate, Japan. [5] Division of Genetic Therapeutics,

Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan. [6] Laboratory for Molecular Analysis of Higher Brain

Function, Center for Brain Science, RIKEN, Saitama, Japan.

*Correspondence should be addressed to J.N. (jnoguchi@ncnp.go.jp) or N.I.

(nichino@ncnp.go.jp).

Abstract

Impairments in the experience-dependent elaboration of neural circuits are assumed to underlie autism spectrum disorder (ASD). However, the phenotype underlying synaptic plasticity is poorly understood. Here, we used a valproic acid–induced ASD marmoset model and in vivo two-photon microscopy to investigate the structural dynamics of dendritic spines in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, which is involved in ASD core symptoms. In model marmosets compared to controls, spine turnover was upregulated and spines were actively generated in clusters. Clustered emerging spines were predominant in carryover of generated spines in the model marmosets. Presynaptic boutons of local axons, but not long-range commissural axons, showed hyperdynamic turnover. Furthermore, nasal oxytocin administration reduced the clustered emergence of spines. Finally, we confirmed the high molecular conformity of adult animal models with human ASD. Our study suggests that an altered balance between synaptic plasticity and consolidation underlies ASD, and may be a potential therapeutic target.

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a developmental disorder characterized by deficits in social communication and interaction, stereotyped behaviors, and sensory hypo- and hypersensitivity[1, 2]. Individuals with ASD often have learning disabilities and have difficulty learning to recognize verbal or non-verbal social information[3]. Learning impairments in ASD may be associated with the formation of inflexible habits, ritualistic behavior[4], and difficulty in adapting quickly to a temporally changing environment, which may limit sociality[5]. Proper refinement of neural networks during learning is achieved by coordinated synaptic remodeling, including the generation and elimination of dendritic spines[6-8]. In ASD, these synaptic functions are likely maladjusted. ASD-related genes include a substantial number of synapse-related genes and those that regulate these genes' expression. Humans with ASD and ASD model animals exhibit altered dendritic spine morphology[9-11]. In addition to the aberrant synaptic E/I balance[12], accelerations in

spine turnover in motor cortices and the primary sensory area are the dominant phenotype in ASD

model mice[13, 14], including inbred BTBR[15], Fmr1 knock-out[16, 17], and Neurolign-3 R451C knock-

in mice[15], as well as those with 15q11–13 duplications[15]. To understand the pathophysiology of

ASD, it is essential to elucidate the mechanisms of altered features on circuit remodeling in ASD-

related brain structures, especially in the context of synaptic disorders.

Therapeutic approaches for the core symptoms of ASD have not yet been established. Oxytocin

is a potentially effective treatment for these symptoms, and investigation of its mechanism of

action is expected to provide necessary insights into its clinical use. Oxytocin is a neurohormone

that is produced in the hypothalamus and released into the blood by the pituitary gland. The axons

of oxytocin-producing neurons also project to several brain regions, where they release oxytocin

as a neuropeptide[18]. Because oxytocin modifies the social skills of typically developed human

individuals, and improves sociality in mouse ASD models[19-21], its potential as a therapeutic agent

for ASD has long been anticipated. However, the therapeutic benefits of oxytocin in human

clinical trials are currently inconclusive[22-25]. The reported variations in oxytocin efficacy are

thought to be due to a combination of differences in doses, schedules, ASD subpopulations, and

concomitant psychosocial interventions. In order to improve oxytocin treatments for ASD, it is

critical to evaluate the mechanisms of the neurobiological effects of oxytocin administration in

ASD.

The common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*), a small New World monkey, has attracted

significant attention in ASD research due to its rich repertoire of social behaviors, a well-

developed prefrontal cortex (PFC) that supports high-level social ability, and gene expression

networks that are similar to those in humans[26]. Comparative studies on synapse biology showed

that marmosets are more similar to humans than rodents in terms of their synaptic proteome[27].

We previously developed a marmoset model of ASD by orally administrating valproic acid (VPA)

to a pregnant marmoset and obtaining affected offspring[11]. The ASD model marmosets

demonstrated deficits in higher social decision-making, a process that may require a well-

developed PFC[28, 29]. In a reversal learning task that mimicked habit formation, the marmosets

exhibited perseverance[30]. They also showed variations in brain structure and cell biology[11]. In

particular, juvenile VPA-exposed model marmosets reproduced gene expression variations of

human idiopathic ASD involving gene groups (modules) associated with the four major cell types

of the brain: neurons, oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and microglia, all of which are involved in

synaptic plasticity[11, 31]. By contrast, rodent ASD models demonstrated human ASD–like changes

in only some of the modules, and in fewer cell types[11]. Discrepancies in the therapeutic efficacy

of candidate molecules between rodents and primates, including humans, may depend on

differences in receptor molecules and their expression. For instance, differences between rodent

and primate oxytocin systems suggest that primate models are superior for oxytocin research,

which may lead to clinical applications[32]. These results support the high translational value of

VPA-exposed marmosets as an ASD model.

The current study monitored the temporal remodeling of neural circuits using in vivo two-

photon longitudinal imaging in an ASD model involving VPA-exposed adult marmosets. It

investigated synaptic dynamics at 3-day intervals in the apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons in

the primate-specific dorsomedial PFC (dmPFC). The dmPFC is involved in social cognition and

habit formation, and is impaired in ASD[33]. Our study revealed that in VPA-exposed marmosets,

turnover of postsynaptic dendritic spines was upregulated, and spines were actively generated in

clusters but seemed to be rather randomly eliminated. Increased rates of clustered spine generation

during learning have been reported to correlate with learning performance[34, 35]. Clustering of

dynamic spines is also currently of interest in terms of disease pathogenesis and therapeutic

efficacy[36]. A larger fraction of emergent spines survived in model animals than in controls,

especially spines that appeared in clusters. These synaptic characteristics are consistent with the

behavioral characteristics of model animals in a reversal learning paradigm[30]. Furthermore, nasal

administration of oxytocin reduced the clustered emergence of spines without affecting turnover

rate. These results suggest that there is an imbalance in circuit plasticity and consolidation in ASD

and that the regulation of these processes may be a therapeutic target of ASD.

Results

Two-photon imaging of the dendrites of PFC L2/3 pyramidal neurons in living

marmosets

Dendritic spines are the postsynaptic sites of the most excitatory synapses in cortical pyramidal

neurons. Changes in dendritic spine density have been observed in the brains of ASD individuals[9],

and alterations in synaptic plasticity have been reported in ASD animal models[11, 37]. Moreover,

increased generation and elimination rates of cortical dendritic spines have been reported in rodent

ASD models[13-17]. In the present study, we used a marmoset ASD model to investigate spine

dynamics in the dmPFC. We used three adult VPA-exposed marmosets and four adult unexposed

(UE) marmosets (See **Methods**). We inoculated the marmoset dmPFC with adeno-associated

virus (AAV) and expressed fluorescent proteins mainly in layer-2/3 pyramidal neurons[38]. To

obtain sufficiently strong but sparse fluorescent protein expression on pyramidal neurons, we used

the tetracyclin tTA–TRE expression system and the Thy1S promoter[38]. We constructed three

AAV vectors: in one, the tTA component was under the control of the Thy1S promoter (AAV:

Thy1S-tTA), while in the others, tdTomato (left hemisphere of all monkeys; red fluorescent

protein) or mClover (right hemisphere of five monkeys; green fluorescent protein) was under the

control of TRE (AAV:TRE-tdTomato; AAV:TRE-mClover)[38]. These AAV combinations showed

better fluorescent protein brightness than cre-dependent AAV combinations (results not shown).

The fluorescent protein–expressing neurons were locally distributed with an average diameter of

1.81 mm (range: 1.02–2.87) axially and 1.53 mm (range: 0.86–2.21) laterally. Post-experiment

Fig. 1 In vivo two-photon imaging of mature marmoset dendrites. **a** A marmoset was anesthetized for two-photon (2P) imaging. The lower panel shows the experimental schema. **b** Longitudinal 2P imaging from the PFC layer 2/3 pyramidal neuron tuft dendrites. Every 3 days, the same dendrites were imaged in UE (unexposed; control animals) and VPA-exposed (ASD model) marmosets, and the same spines were labeled using the same numbers throughout the period. **c** Mean spine densities of dendrites (mean ± s.e.m.; P = 0.85, Mann-Whitney U test; n = 14 and 12 dendrites in four and three UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively). **d** Three-day spine generation per unit dendrite length (mean ± s.e.m.; P = 0.0404, Mann-Whitney U test; the same dendrites as in (c)), and 3-day elimination (P = 0.0037). **e, f** Cumulative plots of the normalized spine volume distribution (e) (P = 0.72; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; n = 536 and 473 spines in UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively), and cumulative plots of eliminated UE, eliminated VPA-exposed, surviving UE, and surviving VPA-exposed spines during the 6-day observation (f) (P < 0.001; n = 65, 87, 471, and 386 spines, respectively). **g** The population of eliminated and surviving spines during the 6-day observation are shown separately for groups with a spine volume of V < 0.15 and V > 0.15 (V < 0.15; P = 0.001; Fisher's exact test; n = 50, 69, 214, and 146 spines as in (f)) (V > 0.15; P = 0.59; n = 15, 18, 257, and 240 spines as in (f)). ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; n.s., not significant.

immunohistochemistry using antibodies for Iba-1 and GFAP showed no obvious signs of

activation of microglia or astrocytes, respectively (results not shown).

We performed time-lapse observation of the dmPFC using a two-photon microscope[38, 39] (Fig.

1a, b). We conducted three imaging sessions every 3 days. We found no significant differences

in dendritic spine density on apical tufts between the VPA-exposed and UE marmosets (Fig. 1c).

Although there is a report of increased postmortem dendritic spine density in humans with ASD[9],

spines on dendritic tufts have not been examined in human tissues. We next compared the spine

generation and elimination rates between the VPA-exposed and UE groups (Fig. 1b, d). We found

that the rates of both spine generation and elimination were approximately two-fold higher in

VPA-exposed than UE marmosets (Fig. 1d). This is consistent with a previous report on the

somatosensory barrel cortex in juvenile ASD mouse models (BTBR mouse, 15q11–13 duplication,

Neuroligin mutant)[15], and the other on the visual cortex in an adult Fragile-X syndrome mouse

model[17], both of which demonstrated increased rates of spine generation and elimination than

wild-type animals.

Smaller spines in the ASD model marmoset were more prone to elimination

We then performed an analysis of spine volume, which is an important measure of synaptic weight.

We calculated the normalized spine volume by dividing each spine's fluorescent intensity with

the dendrite shaft intensity, and pooled the results of all dendrites (See **Methods** section for

details). The results showed no significant difference in the distribution of spine volume between

the VPA-exposed and UE groups (Fig. 1e). There was a significant difference in volume

distribution between spines that were eliminated during the 6-day period and those that survived

in both groups (Fig. 1f). The volume distribution of the VPA-exposed and UE groups did not

differ when the eliminated and surviving groups were analyzed separately (Fig. 1f); however, for

the smaller spines, the proportion of eliminated spines was significantly larger in the VPA-

exposed group (Fig. 1g). As in previous studies[40, 41], spine elimination was more likely in smaller

spines in UE animals (Fig. 1f). Similarly, in the VPA-exposed animals, smaller spines were

associated with spine elimination, and the rate of the elimination was twice as high as that in UE

animals (Fig. 1g).

Newly generated spines clustered more frequently in VPA-exposed marmosets than in controls

The generation of spines in close proximity, or clustered spine generation, is considered to have functional significance, especially in learning and memory[7, 14, 34, 35]. We next examined whether the generated and eliminated spines in the VPA-exposed and UE marmosets were clustered or not (Fig 2a). We chose a 3-µm window for our analyses, since a number of biochemical, physiological, and structural studies have suggested that a 3- to 10-µm distance between spines facilitates sharing of resources, spine co-activation, and learning-induced structural plasticity[42-47]. Clustered spine generation occurred much more frequently (4.4-fold) in the VPA-exposed animals than in the UE animals (Fig. 2b), even though the total number of spine occurrences in the VPA-exposed marmosets was only about twice as large as in the UE marmoset (Fig. 1d). Clustered spine elimination was also more common in VPA-exposed marmosets than in UE marmosets, although not statistically significant (Fig. 2b). The difference in the clustered spine elimination was only 2.5-fold, which is approximately the same magnitude (2-fold) as for overall spine elimination (Fig. 1d). Next, we created a cumulative plot of the distance between generated spines and between eliminated spines. As shown in Fig. 2c and 2d, there was a significant difference in the distribution of interspine distances between the VPA-exposed and UE groups in both generated and eliminated spines. Again, the difference between the VPA-exposed and UE animals in terms of the probability of clustered spine generation was large (2.8 fold; Fig. 2c), while that of clustered spine elimination was small (1.4 fold; Fig. 2d). These results suggest the existence of a mechanism by which dendritic spines actively appear in clusters in the animals exposed to VPA. Therefore, we conducted a Monte Carlo simulation experiment to determine whether there was more clustering bias in VPA-exposed marmosets than in the hypothetical uniform random spine distribution (Fig. 2e). The distribution of the probability of cluster formation after 30,000 simulation trials is shown in Fig. 2f–i by the gray connected lines. The actual clustering probabilities are indicated by the arrows. The clustering probability of the

generated spine pair was approximately twice as high in the VPA-exposed group (57%) than in the UE group (30%). In addition, the clustering probability of the generated spine pairs was greater than the 95th percentile of the simulation distribution in the VPA-exposed group (Fig. 2g), but remained below the 95th percentile in the UE group (Fig. 2f). The clustering probabilities of the eliminated spine pairs did not exceed the 95th percentile in either the UE group (Fig. 2h) or the VPA-exposed group (Fig. 2i). We conclude that the clustering of newly generated spines, but

Fig. 2 Dendritic spine clustered generation is more predominant than clustered elimination in VPA-exposed marmosets. a Schematic drawing of clustered spine generation (left panel) and elimination (right panel). Pairs of newly generated or eliminated spines were considered to be clustered if they occurred within 3 μm of each other. Spines colored magenta or gray represent clustered and non-clustered spines, respectively. **b** Comparison of the density of clustered generated spines between UE and VPA-exposed animals (mean ± s.e.m.; $P = 0.016$, Mann–Whitney U test; $n = 14$ and 12 dendrites in four and three UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively; left panel), and the density of clustered eliminated spines (right panel). **c, d** Distribution of inter-spine distances between newly generated spines (c) ($P = 0.0009$; Kolmogorov–Smirnov test; n = 45 and 85 spine pairs in UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively) and between newly eliminated spines (d) ($P = 0.013$; n = 69 and 101 spine pairs in UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively). Magenta-shaded areas indicate inter-spine distances shorter than 3 μm, and numbers indicate the probabilities of clustering within 3 μm. To prevent underestimation of the inter-spine distance, a resampling method was used. See Methods for details. **e** Validation of clustering bias by Monte Carlo simulation. In the simulation, the new spine positions were randomly determined with a uniform distribution without changing the spine number or length and number of each dendrite. Clustering probabilities for all inter-spine distances were calculated for each simulation, and the distributions of the clustering probability from 30,000 iterations are shown in (f)-(i). f-i Circles connected with gray lines represent probability plots of clustering events from 30,000 simulations; the actual numbers of spine clusters are represented by arrows ($P = 0.30$ and 0.006; $n = 23$ and 65 newly generated spine pairs in 14 and 12 dendrites in UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively) ($P = 0.0503$ and 0.37; $n = 43$ and 78 newly eliminated spine pairs in 14 and 12 dendrites in UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively). Dotted red lines show 95th percentiles. See Methods for details. ***$P < 0.001$; **$P < 0.01$; *$P < 0.05$; n.s., not significant.

not of eliminated spines, in the dendrites of VPA-exposed marmosets is enhanced rather than

randomly distributed.

The carryover fraction of newly generated spines was higher in VPA-exposed

marmosets than in controls

The neuronal turnover rate supposedly represents the pace of change in the neural circuitry.

However, the turnover rate alone does not provide information on how long the effects of circuitry

changes will persist. Three consecutive imaging sessions allowed us to monitor the fate of pre-

existing and newly generated spines over 3 days[16]. The carryover fraction was defined as the

fraction of newly generated spines that survived to the last session (Fig. 3a, b, GS). The carryover

fraction was two times higher in VPA-exposed marmosets than in UE marmosets (Fig. 3c). This

suggests that experience-induced changes in spines may be more likely to persist in VPA-exposed

marmosets. We further divided the newly generated spines into clustered and non-clustered spines

and computed their carryover fractions. The carryover fraction of clustered spines in VPA-

exposed marmosets was six times higher than that in UE marmosets (Fig. 3d). On the other hand,

there was no difference in the carryover fraction of non-clustered spines with or without VPA

treatment (Fig. 3d). Thus, clustered emerging spines were predominant in the carryover of

generated spines in the model marmosets.

Having analyzed the collective nature of spines, we next analyzed the temporal stability of

individual spines. Spine stability was defined as the percent ratio of newly generated or pre-

existing spines that survived to the last session. Both in the VPA-exposed and UE groups, the

spine stability of newly generated spines (~50%) was considerably lower than that of pre-existing

spines (~90%) (Fig. 3e). However, the spine stability of these two types of spines did not differ

between the VPA-exposed and UE groups (Fig. 3e). Furthermore, spine stability in both the VPA-

exposed and UE groups was independent of the presence or absence of clustering (Fig. 3f). The

fact that spine stability was the same regardless of clustering suggests that interactions among

newly formed spines have little effect on spine stability after a sufficient time has elapsed since

spine generation. In summary, clustered carryover spines comprised a much higher proportion of

total spines in the VPA-exposed marmosets than in the UE animals, while the stability of

individual spines was equivalent in the two groups.

Axonal boutons had a higher turnover rate in VPA-exposed marmosets than in

controls

Deficits in projection-specific connectivity or cortical interaction have often been discussed in

ASD, as exemplified by local overconnectivity and long-range underconnectivity[48, 49]. Spine

turnover may depend on which neuron the coupled axons originate from[15]. We next analyzed

marmoset axons that were transfected with differently colored fluorescent proteins depending on

the dmPFC hemisphere from which they projected (Fig. 4a). We expressed red fluorescent protein

in neurons ipsilateral to the observation window in the PFC, and green fluorescent protein in

Fig. 3 The surviving fraction of newly generated spines (carryover spines) was much larger in VPA-exposed marmosets than in controls, although the survival rate of each spine did not differ. a Representative dendrite images taken every 3 days are presented as in Fig. 1b. **b** A diagram showing four patterns of spine generation and elimination. The red dot in the legend indicates the carryover spines labeled with a red dot in Figs. 1b and 3a. **c** The ratio of the surviving fraction of newly formed spines (carryover spines) to the total spines was larger in VPA-exposed animals than in UE animals. (P = 0.046; Pearson's Chi-square test; n = 13, 448, 21, and 357 for UE carryover spines, UE total spines, VPA-exposed carryover spines, and VPA-exposed total spines, respectively). **d** Among clustered spines, the ratio of the carryover spine fraction to the total spines was much larger in VPA-exposed animals than in UE animals (P = 0.0018; Pearson's Chi-square test; n = 3, 448, 14, and 357 for UE carryover, UE total, VPA-exposed carryover, and VPA-exposed total spines, respectively). By contrast, the carryover spine fraction was not significantly different among non-clustered spines (P = 0.79; Pearson's chi-square test; n = 10, 448, 7, and 357 for UE carryover, UE total, VPA-exposed carryover, and VPA-exposed total spines, respectively). **e** The survival rate of each newly generated and pre-existing spine was not significantly different between UE and VPA-exposed animals (mean ± s.e.m.; Mann-Whitney U test; n = 11 and 10 (newly generated), and 13 and 11 (pre-existing) dendrites from three and three UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively). f The survival rate of each newly formed spine was not significantly different between the clustered and non-clustered spines (P > 0.99; Fisher's exact test; n = 3,10, 3, and 7 for clustered GS, non-clustered GS, clustered GL, and non-clustered GL spines, respectively, in UE animals) (P > 0.99; Fisher's exact test; n = 14,7, 14, and 7, respectively, in VPA-exposed animals). **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; n.s., not significant.

neurons on the contralateral side of the brain (same axial position, and same distance from the

midline; See **Methods** section; Fig. 4a). Therefore, we were able to observe red dendrites and red

axons from local neurons, as well as green axons from contralateral neurons (Fig. 4b). In the

magnified images, varicosities called presynaptic boutons were seen on the axons; new generation

and elimination of these varicosities were seen on each of the 3 observation days (Fig. 4c).

Previous studies have shown that these boutons constitute pre-synapses[50], although some

synapses apparently lack boutons. Most boutons in our sample were en passant boutons, and there

were relatively few terminal boutons with a neck between a varicosity and a parental axon shaft

(Fig. 4c). We considered the boutons to represent the existence of pre-synapses in the present

study. We first measured the axonal bouton density and found a significant difference between

the mean values of the ipsilateral and contralateral UE marmoset axons. By contrast, the

difference between the ipsilateral and contralateral axons in VPA-exposed marmosets was not

significant (Fig. 4d). Next, we calculated the bouton size under the assumption that it was

proportional to the maximum fluorescence intensity, and found that the distribution of bouton size

was almost identical between the VPA-exposed and UE groups (Fig. 4e). We then calculated the

3-day bouton generation and elimination rates. Consistent with the rates of spine generation and

elimination, those of bouton generation and elimination were higher in VPA-exposed animals

(Fig. 4f). Detailed analysis of each type of axon showed a significant difference in ipsilateral

bouton gain between the two groups, whereas there was no significant difference in contralateral

bouton gain (Fig. 4g). This suggested that the difference in the generation and elimination of

synapses between the VPA-exposed and UE groups may have been caused by the alteration of

ipsilateral axons. The 3-day bouton turnover rate was much larger than the spine turnover rate

(spine: 10.2% and 12.6% for VPA-exposed group, 5.2% and 7.8% for UE group, for generation

and elimination, respectively). We set the bouton threshold as twice the thickness (brightness) of

the axon shaft. Since we do not detect axonal synapses below the bouton threshold, the

subthreshold boutons can be misidentified as newly generated boutons when they enlarge and

exceed the threshold. As a consequence, the number of newly generated boutons can be

a Coverslip / Neocortex / In vivo axon 2P imaging

b 10 μm

c Day-0, Day-3, Day-6 — 2 μm
▲: Generated ▲: Eliminated
▲: Transient

d Bouton Density (/μm) — UE (*), VPA (n.s.); Ipsi, Contra

e Cumulative probability (%) vs Bouton size (a.u.); UE, VPA (n.s.)

f 3-day Bouton generation / elimination (/μm); Generation (*), Elimination (*); UE, VPA

g 3-day Bouton Gen. (%); Ipsi (* P = 0.018), Contra (P = 0.49); UE, VPA

h 3-day Bouton Eli. (%); Ipsi (P = 0.093), Contra (P = 0.28); UE, VPA

overestimated. Likewise, the number of boutons that are eliminated can be also overestimated.

Although including such overestimations, the results presented here are the first results showing

that synaptic turnover varies with the cortical origin of the input. Abnormalities of diverse brain

connectivity in ASD have been demonstrated by functional brain imaging techniques such as

functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and magnetoencephalography (MEG) /

electroencephalography (EEG), using the degree of brain activity coherence as an indicator[1, 48, 49].

Our finding of divergent plasticity in local and long-distance connections in VPA-exposed

animals introduces a new dimension to projection-specific variation in ASD. Mismatch in

plasticity control involving local and long-range connections may contribute to autonomous local

Fig. 4 Two-photon in vivo axon imaging in ASD model marmosets. a AAV vectors expressing different colored fluorescent proteins were inoculated into each hemisphere of the dmPFC. **b** Axons from the contralateral hemisphere had green fluorescence, and axons from the ipsilateral hemisphere and dendrites had red fluorescence. **c** A representative axon from a VPA-exposed animal (the axon surrounded by the yellow rectangle in (b)) was imaged every 3 days. **d** Mean bouton densities are significantly larger in ipsilateral axons than in contralateral axons in UE animals ($P = 0.035$, Mann-Whitney U test; $n = 28$ and 21 ipsilateral and contralateral axons, respectively, from three animals). They are not significantly different between ipsilateral and contralateral axons in VPA-exposed animals ($P = 0.36$, Mann-Whitney U test; $n = 22$ and 25 ipsilateral and contralateral axons, respectively, from two animals). The mean densities of terminal boutons were much lower than those of en passant boutons in our sample (mean terminal bouton density: 0.023, 0.012, 0.021, and 0.010 boutons/µm for UE ipsilateral, UE contralateral, VPA-exposed ipsilateral, and VPA-exposed contralateral axons, respectively). **e** The bouton size distribution between these animals ($P = 0.57$; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; $n = 149$ and 107 boutons and 49 and 47 axons in three and two UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively). **f** There is a significant difference in the mean bouton generation per unit length between these animals ($P = 0.012$, Mann-Whitney U test; $n = 49$ and 47 axons in three and two UE and VPA-exposed animals, respectively), and also in 3-day bouton elimination ($P = 0.043$). **g, h** Three-day mean bouton generation (g) and elimination (h) percentages for each axon type are shown (mean ± s.e.m.; Mann-Whitney U test) ($n = 28$, 22, 21, and 25 for UE ipsilateral, VPA-exposed ipsilateral, UE contralateral, and VPA-exposed contralateral axons, respectively). The mean bouton turnover rates were higher than those of spines (5.2%, 10.2%, 7.8%, and 12.6% for UE generation, VPA-exposed generation, UE elimination, and VPA-exposed elimination of spines, respectively). *$P < 0.05$; n.s., not significant.

circuit remodeling without regard for the highly contextualized information computed in the

brain-wide global network.

Oxytocin nasal administration modified dendritic spine clustering

Clinical trials on oxytocin in humans are currently inconclusive[22-25]. In order to better understand

the clinical applications of oxytocin, it is therefore important to investigate its mechanism of

action on synaptic phenotypes, which were characterized in this study in VPA-exposed

marmosets. Thus, we next examined how the aforementioned properties of cortical synapses

changed after the administration of oxytocin, which has been reported in some studies to be

effective in treating the core symptoms of ASD. Marmosets were administered saline and then

oxytocin intranasally, in the manner described in the **Methods** section (Fig. 5a). The average

results from all marmosets failed to show a significant effect of oxytocin on spine generation and

elimination, although a monotonically increasing trend of non-clustered spine generation and

elimination was observed (Fig. 5b, c). Thus, we next examined the effect of oxytocin

Fig. 5 Oxytocin modifies the proximity of spine generation in the model marmosets. a A schema of oxytocin nasal administration and two-photon (2P) imaging. Saline was given to marmosets in the green-shaded period, while oxytocin was given in the magenta-shaded period (See Methods for details). **b, c** Mean values of 3-day spine generation (b) and elimination (c) are not significantly different before and after oxytocin administration. (mean ± s.e.m.; $P = 0.25$ and $P = 0.62$ for spine generation and elimination, respectively, Friedman test; $n = 12$ dendrites in three VPA-exposed animals). The blue numbers indicate the ratio of clustered to non-clustered spines. **d–i** Effects of oxytocin on clustering bias of newly generated (d–f) and eliminated (g–i) spines. The graphs are shown as in Figs. 2f-i. ($n = 32$, 32, and 28 newly generated spine pairs and n = 34, 27, and 37 newly eliminated spine pairs during the D0–3, D3–6, and D6–9 periods, respectively, in three VPA-exposed animals). **j** The P-values expressed in logarithm from (d)–(i) are indicated. The red dotted line indicates 0.05. **$P < 0.01$; n.s., not significant.

 administration on spine clustering (Fig. 5d–j). Unexpectedly, the proximity between generated

 spines observed in VPA-exposed animals (Fig. 2g) during saline administration, was alleviated

Fig. 6 Oxytocin does not significantly modify axonal bouton generation or elimination. a, b Mean bouton density values of ipsilateral (a) and contralateral (b) axons in VPA-exposed animals are not significantly different before and after oxytocin administration. (mean ± s.e.m.; $P = 0.997$ and $P = 0.898$ for ipsilateral and contralateral axons, respectively, Kruskal-Wallis test; $n = 17$ and 21 for ipsilateral and contralateral axons, respectively) **c, d** Three-day bouton generation (c) and elimination (d) during the D0–3, D3–6, and D6–9 periods for each axon type are shown (mean ± s.e.m.; $P = 0.85$ and $P = 0.96$ for bouton generation and elimination, respectively, Kruskal-Wallis test; n = 38 axons in two VPA-exposed animals). **e, f** Three-day bouton generation (e) and elimination (f) during the D0–3, D3–6, and D6–9 periods for the total axons in the VPA-exposed animals are shown as in (c, d) (mean ± s.e.m.; $P = 0.85$ and $P = 0.97$ for ipsilateral and contralateral bouton generation, respectively, $P = 0.99$ and $P = 0.91$ for ipsilateral and contralateral bouton elimination, respectively, Kruskal-Wallis test; $n = 17$ and 21 ipsilateral and contralateral axons in two VPA-exposed animals). n.s., not significant.

280   by nasal oxytocin administration (Fig. 5d–f, j). By contrast, oxytocin had a less pronounced effect

281   on the proximity between eliminated spines (Fig. 5g–i, j).

283   We next analyzed the effect of oxytocin on axons. The bouton density, generation, and

284   elimination of each axon species were not significantly different before and after oxytocin

285   administration (Fig. 6a–f).

Gene expression analysis in adult ASD model marmosets

To explore the molecular mechanisms of abnormal spine dynamics in VPA-exposed marmosets and to assess the validity of adult VPA-exposed marmosets as a model of idiopathic ASD, we performed transcriptome analysis of the cerebral cortex using custom-made marmoset microarrays. Among 9,296 genes expressed in the cortical tissues, there were 2,484 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with an adjusted p-value for multiple comparison (P_{adj}) of < 0.05. First, we compared the DEGs in the cortical regions associated with social behavior between VPA-exposed marmosets and the postmortem brains of humans with ASD, and found a significant positive correlation between the two groups[31] (Fig. 7a). This was similar to the property we had previously reported in juvenile VPA-exposed marmosets[11]. In addition, the modulation of gene expression in adult marmoset models and in human ASD was compared in each gene module that was previously configured by weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) for typically developed and ASD human samples[11, 31]. In both the marmoset model and human ASD, the majority of genes in modules associated with neurons and oligodendrocytes were downregulated (Fig. 7b), while genes in modules associated with astrocytes and microglia were upregulated (Fig. 7b). The direction of gene expression modulation of adult VPA-exposed marmosets was significantly similar to that of human ASD samples in 10 modules, one module greater than that of juvenile VPA-exposed animals[11] (Fig. 7c). Note that the human ASD transcriptomes that were analyzed were derived from individuals of various ages, from children to adults. The high similarity between VPA-exposed marmosets and human ASD contrasts with the representative rodent monogenic ASD models, which replicated human modulations only in limited gene modules and cell types (Fig. 7c). One explanation for this may be that these transgenic mice are a model of a specific type of ASD in which one gene contributes particularly strongly, which is not the case in idiopathic ASD. We further confirmed that the correlation between the transcriptome of the macaque MeCP2-Tg model and that of human ASD was low (Fig. 7d).

We also analyzed DEGs in each cell type. This analysis confirmed the presence of a VPA-exposed marmoset–specific phenotype across the four cell types, as well as in vascular endothelial cells in the brain (Fig. 7e). Pathway analysis of cell type–specific DEGs showed pathway abnormalities in each of these cell types. For example, microglial modules exhibited abnormalities associated with inflammation, which fits well with the inflammation hypothesis of ASD[51].

Fig. 7 Gene expression modulations in adult marmoset social neocortical areas reflect those in human ASD as determined by microarray analysis. a, b, d Relationship of gene expression modulation between adult marmosets and postmortem samples from humans with ASD (a, b), and between a MeCP2-Tg macaque model and human ASD (d). As a measure of gene expression modulation, log fold-change (logFC) values were computed between ASD model animals and control animals, and then compared with human ASD data. Modulated genes with $P_{adj} < 0.1$ are plotted. Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) and the P-values for the correlation coefficient (P_r) was shown in (a) and (d). As a measure of concordance in the direction of gene expression modulation, two-sided P-values for concordance (P_c) are shown in (b). The magenta-shaded areas represent the first and third quadrants, indicating that the two elements have changed in the same positive or negative direction. The red line in (a) is the linear regression. See Methods section and Supplementary Methods file for detailed procedures. **c** Concordance of gene expression modulations across human modules. The color indicates concordance between animal model and human ASD modules with at least eight genes in common. Asterisks represent P-values determined using the one-sided binomial test: $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$. Precise information for obtaining the concordance between human and model animals other than the adult VPA-exposed marmosets were described in Supplementary Methods. For the rat VPA-exposed model and BTBR mice, genes with $P_{adj} < 0.05$ were selected; for other models and human ASD, those with $P_{adj} < 0.1$ were selected. **e** Enriched pathways for each cell type. The genes most closely associated with neurons, astrocytes, microglia, oligodendrocytes, and endothelial cells were analyzed. The color of the bars represents the direction of regulation of the pathway based on the logFC values. The p-values of enrichment were provided by the IPA software. The red dotted line represents the significance threshold ($P = 0.05$).

Discussion

In this study, we used in vivo two-photon imaging to monitor synaptic dynamics in the apical

tufts of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in the dmPFC of VPA-exposed marmosets, an improved

model of idiopathic ASD. This study resulted in several important findings (Fig. 8a). (1) Synaptic

turnover was enhanced in VPA-exposed marmosets. (2) Newly generated spines actively formed

clusters in the model marmosets. (3) These animals also had a higher carryover of generated

spines. The difference was particularly large for clustered spines. These results suggest that there

are maladjustments of synaptic updating and inter-synaptic interaction in VPA-exposed

marmosets. Finally, (4) nasal administration of oxytocin to model marmosets reduced the

tendency of spines to cluster without affecting their turnover rate. These synaptic effects of

oxytocin may underlie its therapeutic potential in individuals with ASD.

ASD model mice that have thus far been examined by in vivo two-photon microscopy showed

higher spine dynamics as a common phenotype in motor cortices and early sensory areas, which

Reversal Learning (Nakagami et al. (2022) Front. Psychiatry)

are less associated with ASD core symptoms than the PFC[13-17]. These mice comprise diverse

genetic alteration models and inbred BTBR strains. Accumulating evidence, including the results

of the current study, suggests that ASD-related genes and environmental factors may converge

across species and result in the phenotype of increased spine dynamics, suggesting ongoing

enhanced remodeling of neural circuits. In human adults with ASD, the PFC tends to have

elevated levels of N-acetyl aspartate (NAA), a metabolite indicating neural activity[52]. This

phenotype could be related to the increased spine turnover that is associated with neural activity.

Fig. 8 Schematic drawing of the effects of spine dynamics in VPA-exposed model marmosets. a An overview diagram illustrating how redundantly generated spines may provide circuit stability. In VPA-exposed marmosets, there were approximately two times more newly generated spines (magenta) than in UE marmosets. More generated spines were clustered (asterisk; 4.4 fold) and survived for 3 days (blue; carryover spines; 5.9 fold) in the VPA-exposed animals than in the UE animals. The clustered generated spines are expected to form functionally redundant neural circuits (likely with local axons) that are resistant to random spine erasure ("C" in the figure shows the conserved circuits). This model suggests that learning effects may last longer in VPA-exposed marmosets, and may be difficult to erase. The clustering was alleviated by oxytocin administration, which may increase the flexibility of the circuit. The elimination of pre-existing spines and axons are omitted from the diagram for clarity. The figure key is shown in the upper left. **b** The above model for circuit reconfiguration in VPA-exposed marmosets may explain the following features of reversal learning in the ASD-model animals. In the previous study, our group performed an operant task and its reversal paradigm (30). In the first discrimination phase of the paradigm, a marmoset learned to obtain a hidden reward, which is consistently placed on the left or right side of two options every 3 days. Once the success rate reached the specified criterion, the reward was moved to the opposite side beginning in the next session, and the marmoset continued to engage in the task. The VPA-exposed marmosets learned faster in the first phase and slower in the reversal and re-reversal phases than the UE animals.

In our study, the newly generated spines in the basal state tended to cluster, and these clustered

spines exhibited a high carryover rate in the ASD model marmosets. The clustering in synaptic

plasticity is often discussed in the context of learning and memory[35, 53]. CCR5 KO and MeCP2

duplication mice commonly exhibit elevated spine turnover and clustering, as well as higher

learning efficiency[14, 34]. On the other hand, FMR1 KO mice show lower learning efficiency with

increased spine turnover but no clustering of generated spines[16, 54]. Consistent with these findings,

our group previously showed that VPA-exposed marmosets learned faster than UE marmosets

during the first discrimination phase of a spatial reversal learning task (Fig. 8b)[30].

The process by which co-activated spines are formed in proximity to each other during an

operant task was recently elucidated using the combination of a behavioral task and functional

two-photon imaging[7]. According to an electron microscopy study, adjacent spines often serve as

postsynaptic targets of single axons[55]. In layer 2/3 pyramidal neuron dendrites of the ferret visual

cortex, neighboring spines tend to have similar visual representations[56]. Clustered generated

spines, due to their redundant involvement in the circuit, may help stabilize the formed circuit and

accelerate learning[57]. At the same time, however, they may overstabilize the circuit and thereby

compromise its flexibility. This is more likely in VPA-exposed marmosets, which exhibited no

significant clustering tendency in terms of spine disappearance (Fig. 8a). Interestingly, the VPA-

treated marmosets in the study[30] had a slower learning rate during the reversal phase of the

reversal learning task (i.e., after paradigm reversal) (Fig. 8b). Note that in the particular reversal

learning task for analyzing mid-term (days to weeks) cognitive inflexibility, the training interval

between sessions was set at ~3 days, which was close to the interval used in our two-photon

microscopy experiments[30] (Fig. 8b). We propose that a similar phenotype of spine dynamics

might contribute to the superior memory of individuals with ASD and their adherence to habits

formed without apparent training. However, further research is needed to test this hypothesis.

In this study, oxytocin reduced the clustering of emerging spines without altering spine

turnover in ASD model marmosets (Fig. 8a). Although there are studies on the effects of oxytocin

on synaptic plasticity[18, 20], its impact on spine clustering is unexpected, indicating the possibility

that spine turnover and clustering can be controlled separately. This effect of oxytocin could

restrain excessively prolonged circuit stability and mitigate behavioral perseverance, as reported

in human ASD[58]. In fact, oxytocin reduced the levels of metabolites of excitatory

neurotransmitters in the PFC of humans with ASD[59]. A mouse model of major depression also

demonstrated a relationship between treatment effects on symptoms and changes in clustered

spine dynamics[36]. It remains to be tested whether oxytocin can improve the low performance in

the reversal phase in VPA-exposed marmosets (Fig. 8b). Differences in oxytocin systems between

rodents and primates may significantly affect therapeutic efficiency, which underscores the

importance of primates in translational research on oxytocin[32]. For instance, oxytocin receptors

are rarely expressed in the primate cortex[32, 60]. This contrasts with rodents, in which oxytocin

receptors are enriched in various cortices, including the medial PFC. In the PFC, oxytocin may

preferentially bind to vasopressin receptors, which are relatively abundant in the primate cortex[32,

[60]. Another characteristic of primates is that the cholinergic system in the basal forebrain, which

projects throughout the cortex, is enriched in oxytocin receptors, a feature not found in rodents[60].

The pathway by which oxytocin influences cortical synaptic dynamics in VPA-exposed

marmosets remains an important open question.

To identify therapeutic targets, it would be valuable to determine the molecular mechanisms[61]

that govern synaptic turnover and spine clustering in VPA-exposed marmosets. The spine

clustering in the MeCP2 duplication mouse model was suppressed by an inhibitor of Ras–ERK

signaling without altering the spine generation rate[62]. Ras, like other proteins such as Rho, Rac,

and cofilin, has been reported to diffuse from activated spines to neighboring spines via dendrites

and is thought to alter the plasticity of neighboring spines[7, 43, 45, 63]. Interestingly, transcriptome

analysis of our model marmosets showed two-fold higher upregulation of TrkB (NTRK2), which

is upstream of the Ras–ERK pathway. Synaptic plasticity involves not only neurons but also

diverse glial cells. We found that microglia demonstrated activation of signals related to viral

infection, inflammation, and reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton (Fig. 7d). Suppression of

excessive microglial activation with minocycline or other matrix metalloproteinase-9 inhibitors

has been reported to suppress excessive spine turnover in fragile-X model mice[17]. Our

transcriptomic analysis also revealed significant down-regulation of diverse myelin-related genes

(such as *MBP*, *PLP1*, and *MOBP*) that suppress heightened cortical plasticity, even during the

critical period of the rodent visual cortex development (See **Supplementary Data 1**).

On the other hand, enhanced synaptic remodeling may be caused not only by alterations in the

innate properties of central nervous tissues, but also by aspects of the brain environment. For

example, it has been shown that the stress hormone corticosterone increases synaptic turnover in

mice[64]. Indeed, as in mouse ASD strains[65] and humans with ASD[66], our ASD model marmosets

have aberrant cortisol responses[67], suggesting that anxiety and disorders of the endocrine system

could be responsible for the increase in synaptic remodeling.

In this study, we demonstrate novel features of spine dynamics in adult ASD model marmosets,

and suggest these features as a potential therapeutic target in ASD. Our previous study has shown

that an imbalance between circuit remodeling and consolidation also occurs in young VPA-

exposed marmosets, including changes in long-term depression (LTD) and reduced expression of

critical period–related genes[11]. However, we also acknowledge that particular phenotypes

associated with synapse and related gene expression domains continue to change as individuals

grow. For example, LTD abnormalities in infant marmosets are far less evident in more grown

child marmosets[11]. Atypical gene expression in model marmosets is concordant with that in

human ASD in a higher number of modules in their adulthood than in childhood (Fig. 7c). A more

detailed comparative study of the ASD model marmosets in childhood and adulthood should

provide deeper insights into age-dependent precision medicine.

Methods

Animals

All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Research Committee of the National

Center of Neurology and Psychiatry. Common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*) were housed in

captivity at the National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry under a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle

and were fed food (CMS-1; Clare Japan) and water ad libitum. Temperature was maintained at

27–30°C and humidity at 40–50%.

Marmoset ASD model

Serum progesterone levels in female marmosets were regularly measured to determine the date

of fertilization. Four percent VPA dissolved in 10% glucose solution was administered

intragastrically to pregnant marmosets at 200 mg/kg/day daily for 7 days starting on gestation day

60. No doses were given to control mothers. The obtained ASD model offspring from VPA-

administered mothers and control offspring from UE mothers were kept in their home cages until

the day of inoculation with AAV vector. We used four UE marmosets (monkeys KR (f, 1.3), TR

(f, 3.2), VR (m, 2.2), and BH (m, 1.3)) and three VPA-exposed marmosets (monkeys PR (f, 1.5),

SH (f, 1.4), and MS (m, 1.3)); f or m and numbers in parentheses indicate sex and age in years at

the time of AAV inoculation, respectively.

AAV vector inoculation of the marmoset neocortex

Each experimental marmoset was pretreated with the antibiotic cefovecin sodium (8 mg/kg body

weight, intramuscular (i.m.); Zoetis), prednisolone (2 mg/kg body weight; i.m.), the analgesic

ketoprofen (2 mg/kg body weight, i.m.), and atropine (0.15 mg/kg body weight, i.m.). It was then

anesthetized with ketamine (15 mg/kg body weight, i.m.; Daiichi-Sankyo) and xylazine (1.2

mg/kg body weight, i.m.; Bayer), which were supplemented with sevoflurane inhalation (2–4%).

The marmoset was then fixed to a stereotaxic instrument (SR-6C-HT; Narishige, Tokyo, Japan).

During all surgical procedures, marmosets were also supplied with humidified oxygen as needed,

and warmed to 37–39 °C with a heating pad (FST-HPS; Fine Science Tools, North Vancouver,

Canada). Body temperature, SpO_2, heart rate, cardiac electrogram, respiratory rate, and actual

concentration of oxygen and sevoflurane were measured using a biomonitor (BSM-5132;

Nihonkoden, Tokyo). After incision of the skin at the midline, the skull was exposed and a 1-mm-

diameter hole was made bilaterally above the PFC Brodmann area 8 (11.5 mm anterior to the

interaural line, 3 mm lateral to the midline) using a dental drill.

A puller (PC-100; Narishige) was used to create a micropipette (tip diameter 30–50 μm) from a

micro glass tube (1.0-mm diameter; TW100F-4; WPI, USA). The pulled micropipette was then

beveled using a micro grinder (EG-402; Narishige) and was sterilized by overnight exposure to

UV light or ethylene oxide. The pipette was set to a glass microsyringe (Model 1701,10 μL;

Hamilton, USA) using a Priming kit (55750-01; Hamilton), and the microsyringe was back-filled

with silicon oil and attached to a microsyringe pump (LEGATO 130; WPI) fixed to the

manipulator of a stereotaxic instrument (SM-15R; Narishige). The micropipette was then tip filled

with AAV vector suspension and slowly inserted through the dura and into the neocortex,

avoiding large blood vessels. The total 0.5-μL volume of AAV vector was then inoculated at 0.1

μL/min at a depth of 0.8 mm from the surface. The viral preparations were adjusted to the

following final concentrations: 1×10^9 vg/mL for rAAV1-Thy1S-tTA and 1×10^{12} vg/mL for

AAV1-TRE3-tdTomato, expressing red fluorescence protein; 5×10^9 vg/mL for rAAV2/1-Thy1S-

tTA and 1×10^{12} vg/mL for rAAV2/1-TRE3-mClover, expressing green fluorescence protein.

After the 5-min incubation period, the micropipette was retracted, a silicon plug was stuffed into

the cranial hole, and the skin incision was closed with sutures. After recovery from anesthesia,

the marmoset was returned to its home cage.

Imaging window preparation

Each experimental marmoset was pretreated with the antibiotic cefovecin sodium, prednisolone,

the analgesic ketoprofen, and atropine. It was then anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine,

which were supplemented with sevoflurane inhalation (2–4%). The marmoset was then fixed to

a stereotaxic instrument. The marmoset was also supplied with humidified oxygen and warmed

up to 37–39 °C. After skin incision at the midline, the skull was exposed and a 4-mm-diameter

hole was made at the point where the AAV was inoculated using a dental drill fixed to a

stereotaxic manipulator (SM-15R; Narishige). The dura mater was carefully removed using

microsurgical ophthalmic scissors, fine forceps, and a microhook to minimize any pressure

applied to the surface of the brain. A piece of coverslip, consisting of three laminated circular

coverslips (5-mm diameter + 3-mm diameter × 2), was used to cover the exposed brain surface[38].

The coverslip was fixed using dental acrylic (Fuji-Lute; GC Corp., Tokyo, Japan) to create an

imaging window in the skull. A custom-made stainless-steel recording chamber (ICM, Tsukuba,

Japan) was then attached to the skull using dental resin (Bistite II; Tokuyama Dental, Japan) such

that the imaging window was in the center of the chamber. After the imaging window was covered

with an acrylic lid, the marmoset was allowed to recover from the anesthesia in the monkey ICU

room and was then returned to its home cage.

In vivo two-photon excitation microscopy

In vivo two-photon imaging of neurites was performed using an upright microscope (BX61WI;

Olympus) equipped with a laser scanning microscope system (FV1000; Olympus) and a water-

immersion objective lens (XLPlanN, 25×, NA 1.05; Olympus). The system included mode-locked,

femtosecond-pulse Ti:sapphire lasers (MaiTai; Spectra Physics) set at a wavelength of 980 nm.

Imaging sessions were conducted every 3 days. A marmoset was pretreated with atropine and

anesthetized with sevoflurane inhalation (3–5%). The marmoset was laid in the prone position

and its head was fixed using the imaging chamber and brass posts (Fig. 1a). In each case, the

imaging location was identified based on blood vessel morphology. Tuft dendritic branches (<

100 μm depth) of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons were used for two-photon imaging experiments.

An objective lens correction collar was manually set just before imaging so as to minimize

spherical aberrations (i.e., to acquire the brightest image possible). The reciprocal scan mode was

used to scan each xy-image (256 by 256 pixels; 65 msec/frame). Three-dimensional fluorescent

images with 51 xy-images, each separated by 0.5 μm, were obtained at each imaging site.

Dendritic spine and axonal bouton analysis

We applied a 2-pixel spatial Gaussian filter and then registered the obtained xyz-images using the

StackReg or Multi-StackReg plug-in function of Image-J (Fiji)[68]. Spines were identified as

protrusions from dendrites with an apparent head structure. Filopodial protrusions (which have

no apparent spine head) were excluded from the analysis. Two spines observed during different

sessions were considered to represent the same spine if the initial position subsequently exhibited

the same distances from adjacent landmarks. A spine was interpreted as lost if it temporarily

disappeared. The minimum spine length was set at 0.4 μm. Snowman-shaped spines (shaped like

two spheres stuck together) were interpreted as two separate spines. Only spines that appeared

laterally were included in the analysis (this underestimated the spine density). Dendrites that were

visible in all sessions were used in the analysis.

We also analyzed the axons that appeared in the same images as the dendrites. For axon analysis,

we used five marmosets that expressed mClover in the right hemisphere and tdTomato in the left

hemisphere (UE monkeys: TR, VR, BH; VPA-exposed monkeys: SH, MS). We applied a 2-pixel

spatial Gaussian filter to the *xyz*-images and obtained *z*-substacked average images for a

predetermined z number for each axon. By combining images obtained at the optimal depths, we

created montage images for each axon, avoiding dendrites and other axons present at other

imaging depths. We then examined the brightness along the axon shaft using the Plot-profile

function of Image-J[68]. The ratio of bouton brightness to neighboring axon shaft brightness was

then calculated. We did not see axons that were much thicker than the diffraction limit of our two-

photon imaging, and discarded areas that overlapped with other dendrites and axons. Boutons

were detected as bright swellings along axons, with intensity values at least two-fold higher than

that of the flanking axon backbone[69]. The 3-day bouton generation and elimination for unit axon

length were obtained by dividing the number of boutons generated and eliminated over a 3-day

period by the axon length. The 3-day bouton generation and elimination as a percentage were

calculated by dividing the 3-day bouton generation and elimination for unit axon length by the

mean bouton density. We did not exclude varicosities of transfer vesicles. In the next session,

boutons located within 1 μm of the expected point were interpreted as identical to those in the

previous session.

The percentage of newly formed spines or boutons was calculated as the number present at time

point 2 but not at time point 1, divided by the total number present at time point 1 and multiplied

by 100. The percentage of eliminated spines or boutons was calculated as the number present at

time point 1 but not at time point 2, divided by the total number present at time point 1 and

multiplied by 100.

To prevent underestimation of the inter-spine distances between the newly generated/eliminated

spines, inter-spine distances were measured after concatenating all measured dendrites into one

long dendrite in Figs. 2c and 2d. The concatenation was repeated 500 times, each time randomly

rearranging the order of the dendrites; the average plots of all 500 trials are shown in Figs. 2c and

2d.

Monte Carlo simulation of newly generated or eliminated dendritic spines

Monte Carlo simulation was performed to determine whether a clustering bias exists in the

process of spine generation/elimination[34]. We used values obtained from actual measurement for

the dendrite number and lengths, and the number of generated/eliminated spines in the simulation.

The positions of the generated/eliminated spines on the dendrites were then regenerated with a

uniform   random   distribution   using   Excel   software.   The   distance   between   the

generated/eliminated spines was computed for all dendrites and the percentage of clustered events

was calculated (Fig. 2e). We set the clustering threshold at 3 μm, based on the diffusion distance

of calcium ions and molecules along the dendrite shaft[45, 47, 63], and the inter-spine interaction

distance of spine plasticity[42]. The above operations were performed 30,000 times to obtain the

distribution of clustering events, and the results are shown in Figs. 2f–i and 5d–i by connected

gray lines.

Dendritic spine volume measurement

We calculated the spine-head volume by partially summing the fluorescence values of five

sequential z-images by taking the moving average of the image stack along the z-plane. This was

done to avoid summing other dendrites or axonal fibers present in different imaging planes.

Because the thickness of dendritic spines is near the diffraction limit of a two-photon microscope,

partially summed values (2 μm range in the z-direction) can be used to reflect spine volumes.

Thus, the maximum value of z-moving average images allowed us to obtain good approximations

of the total z-summed stacked images. The normalized spine volume was obtained by dividing

the spine fluorescence intensity by the fluorescence intensity of the adjacent dendrite shaft.

Nasal oxytocin administration to VPA-exposed marmosets

New World monkey oxytocin (Pro-8 oxytocin[70]) was custom synthesized (GenScript, Piscataway,

NJ, USA) and was dissolved in 0.5 mg/mL of 0.9% NaCl solution. The oxytocin solution was

typically administered 2.5 h before the two-photon imaging and at approximately same time on

days without two-photon imaging. Oxytocin solution was applied to the nasal cavities of each

marmoset at a dose of 150 μg/kg body weight as in a previous study[70], using a micropipette

equipped with a soft silicon tube on the tip. Oxytocin was administered every day (monkey MS)

or every other day (monkeys PR and SH) starting on day −4 (Fig. 5a). Verification of oxytocin

delivery to the cerebral interstitial fluid was verified by measuring the plasma Pro8-oxytocin

concentration using a sandwich competitive chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA)

(ASKA Pharma Medical, Fujisawa, Japan). The Pro8-oxytocin concentration in all blood samples

was monotonically increased 30 min after nasal oxytocin administration (mean ± s.d. = 30.6 ±

14.8 pg/mL; $n = 2$ males and 3 females) but not 30 min after nasal saline administration (mean ±

s.d. = 9.9 ± 1.7 pg/mL; $n = 2$ males and 3 females); thus, we concluded that Pro8-oxytocin was

successfully delivered to the interstitial fluid of the neocortex.

Microarray gene expression analysis

Microarray analysis of marmoset gene expression was performed using brain samples from three

adult VPA-exposed and three UE marmosets, as previously described[11]. To increase data

reliability, we pooled the data from the social brain areas (area 12 and area TE) that are affected

in humans with ASD. Tissue sampling and GeneChip analysis were conducted as previously

reported[11]. Briefly, marmosets were anesthetized with ketamine hydrochloride (50 mg/kg, i.m.)

and sodium pentobarbital (90–230 mg/kg, intraperitoneal; Kyoritsu Seiyaku). After reflections

had completely disappeared, the animals were transcardially perfused with diethyl pyrocarbonate-

treated phosphate-buffered saline, and the cortical tissue was isolated and immersed in RNAlater

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA

integrity was assessed using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies), and samples with RNA

integrity number values >7 were evaluated. Biotin-labeled cRNA probes were prepared using the

GeneChip 3'IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix). The probes were hybridized to a custom-made

microarray (Marmo2a520631F) using the GeneChip Hybridization, Wash, and Stain Kit

(Affymetrix). Microarrays were scanned using a GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix) and

processed using MAS5, and the reliability of probe detection was examined. Data were

normalized using GCRMA. Genes with log2 expression values greater than 5 were considered to

be expressed in brain tissue. The log fold-change (logFC) value was used as a measure of gene

expression modulation and evaluated using Welch's t-test with Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment

(P_{adj}). For affected genes with multiple probes, the data from the probe with the lowest P_{adj} was

used. The precise methods for the comparison of logFC values between human ASDs and animal

models were described in **Supplementary Methods**. Pathway analysis of marmoset genes was

conducted using the IPA software (Qiagen, Summer Release 2020) and the precise methods were

described also in **Supplementary Methods**.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean ± s.e.m (n = dendrite or axon numbers) unless otherwise stated.

The Mann-Whitney rank sum test was used to analyze the data shown in Figs. 1c, 1d, 2b, 3e, 4d,

and 4f–h. The difference in probability distribution between cumulative plots was calculated

using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, as shown in Figs. 1e, 1f, 2c, 2d and 4e. The differences

between means were analyzed using the Friedman test, as shown in Figs. 5b, 5c, and the Kruskal-

Wallis test in Figs. 6a–f. The Pearson's chi-square test was used to examine the frequency of the

carryover spines and that of the total spines, with results shown in Figs. 3c and 3d. Fisher's exact

test was also used to examine the spine survival rates for each condition in Fig. 1g, 3f. The P-

values in Fig. 5j were obtained from the distribution of the simulation. The r and P-values in Figs.

7a and 7d show Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) and their P-values (P_r) against zero. The

concordance values (c) and their P-values (P_c) in Fig. 7b show the number of genes exhibiting

concordant changes between the animal model and human ASD divided by the total number of

genes, and the probability was calculated using the one-sided binomial test. Other statistical tests

are specified in the text or figure legends or elsewhere in the **Methods** section. Quantification,

simulation, and statistical analysis were performed using Microsoft Excel, GraphPad Prism 9.3.1.,

and Real Statistics software (for Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; www.real-statistics.com.).   Data

collection and analysis were not performed in a blinded manner, and data were not randomized

for analysis. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, although our sample

sizes are similar to those previously reported[17, 38].

Data availability

The microarray data generated in this study have been deposited at NCBI GEO under accession

number GSE199560. All other data supporting the findings of this study are in **Supplementary**

Data 1-2. All public domain data used in this study are listed in the **Methods** section and

Supplemental Methods file.

References

1.   Geschwind, D.H. & Levitt, P. Autism spectrum disorders: developmental
disconnection syndromes. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **17**, 103-111 (2007).

2.   Bourgeron, T. From the genetic architecture to synaptic plasticity in autism
spectrum disorder. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **16**, 551-563 (2015).

3.   Webb, S.J., Neuhaus, E. & Faja, S. Face perception and learning in autism
spectrum disorders. *Q J Exp Psychol (Hove)* **70**, 970-986 (2017).

4.   Esbensen, A.J., Seltzer, M.M., Lam, K.S. & Bodfish, J.W. Age-related
differences in restricted repetitive behaviors in autism spectrum disorders. *J
Autism Dev Disord* **39**, 57-66 (2009).

5.   Djerassi, M., Ophir, S. & Atzil, S. What Is Social about Autism? The Role of
Allostasis-Driven Learning. *Brain Sci* **11** (2021).

6.   Xu, T. *et al.* Rapid formation and selective stabilization of synapses for enduring
motor memories. *Nature* **462**, 915-919 (2009).

7.   Hedrick, N.G. *et al.* Learning binds new inputs into functional synaptic clusters
via spinogenesis. *Nat Neurosci* **25**, 726-737 (2022).

8.   Chen, J.L. & Nedivi, E. Neuronal structural remodeling: is it all about access?
Curr Opin Neurobiol **20**, 557-562 (2010).

9.   Penzes, P., Cahill, M.E., Jones, K.A., VanLeeuwen, J.E. & Woolfrey, K.M.
Dendritic spine pathology in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat Neurosci* **14**, 285-
293 (2011).

10. Phillips, M. & Pozzo-Miller, L. Dendritic spine dysgenesis in autism related
disorders. *Neurosci Lett* **601**, 30-40 (2015).

11. Watanabe, S. *et al.* Functional and molecular characterization of a non-human
primate model of autism spectrum disorder shows similarity with the human
disease. *Nat Commun* **12**, 5388 (2021).

12.   Antoine, M.W., Langberg, T., Schnepel, P. & Feldman, D.E. Increased
Excitation-Inhibition Ratio Stabilizes Synapse and Circuit Excitability in Four
Autism Mouse Models. *Neuron* **101**, 648-661 e644 (2019).

13.   Fenelon, K. *et al.* The pattern of cortical dysfunction in a mouse model of a
schizophrenia-related microdeletion. *J Neurosci* **33**, 14825-14839 (2013).

14.   Ash, R.T., Park, J., Suter, B., Zoghbi, H.Y. & Smirnakis, S.M. Excessive
Formation and Stabilization of Dendritic Spine Clusters in the MECP2-
Duplication Syndrome Mouse Model of Autism. *eNeuro* **8** (2021).

15.   Isshiki, M. *et al.* Enhanced synapse remodelling as a common phenotype in
mouse models of autism. *Nat Commun* **5**, 4742 (2014).

16.   Pan, F., Aldridge, G.M., Greenough, W.T. & Gan, W.B. Dendritic spine
instability and insensitivity to modulation by sensory experience in a mouse
model of fragile X syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 17768-17773
(2010).

17.   Nagaoka, A. *et al.* Abnormal intrinsic dynamics of dendritic spines in a fragile X
syndrome mouse model in vivo. *Sci Rep* **6**, 26651 (2016).

18.   Marlin, B.J. & Froemke, R.C. Oxytocin modulation of neural circuits for social
behavior. *Dev Neurobiol* **77**, 169-189 (2017).

19.   Penagarikano, O. *et al.* Exogenous and evoked oxytocin restores social behavior
in the Cntnap2 mouse model of autism. *Sci Transl Med* **7**, 271ra278 (2015).

20.   Sgritta, M. *et al.* Mechanisms Underlying Microbial-Mediated Changes in
Social Behavior in Mouse Models of Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Neuron* **101**,
246-259 e246 (2019).

21.   Hara, Y. *et al.* Oxytocin attenuates deficits in social interaction but not
recognition memory in a prenatal valproic acid-induced mouse model of autism.
Horm Behav **96**, 130-136 (2017).

22.   Parker, K.J. *et al.* Intranasal oxytocin treatment for social deficits and
biomarkers of response in children with autism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**,
8119-8124 (2017).

23.   Sikich, L. *et al.* Intranasal Oxytocin in Children and Adolescents with Autism
699   Spectrum Disorder. *N Engl J Med* **385**, 1462-1473 (2021).

700   24.   Martins, D., Paduraru, M. & Paloyelis, Y. Heterogeneity in response to repeated
701   intranasal oxytocin in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders: A meta-
702   analysis of variance. *Br J Pharmacol* **179**, 1525-1543 (2022).

703   25.   Yamasue, H. *et al.* Effect of a novel nasal oxytocin spray with enhanced
704   bioavailability on autism: a randomized trial. *Brain* (2022).

705   26.   Boroviak, T. *et al.* Single cell transcriptome analysis of human, marmoset and
706   mouse embryos reveals common and divergent features of preimplantation
707   development. *Development* **145** (2018).

708   27.   Koopmans, F. *et al.* Comparative Hippocampal Synaptic Proteomes of Rodents
709   and Primates: Differences in Neuroplasticity-Related Proteins. *Front Mol*
710   *Neurosci* **11**, 364 (2018).

711   28.   Yasue, M. *et al.* Indifference of marmosets with prenatal valproate exposure to
712   third-party non-reciprocal interactions with otherwise avoided non-reciprocal
713   individuals. *Behav Brain Res* **292**, 323-326 (2015).

714   29.   Yasue, M., Nakagami, A., Nakagaki, K., Ichinohe, N. & Kawai, N. Inequity
715   aversion is observed in common marmosets but not in marmoset models of
716   autism induced by prenatal exposure to valproic acid. *Behav Brain Res* **343**, 36-
717   40 (2018).

718   30.   Nakagami, A. *et al.* Reduced childhood social attention in autism model
719   marmosets predicts impaired social skills and inflexible behavior in adulthood.
720   *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **13** (2022).

721   31.   Parikshak, N.N. *et al.* Integrative functional genomic analyses implicate specific
722   molecular pathways and circuits in autism. *Cell* **155**, 1008-1021 (2013).

723   32.   Freeman, S.M. & Young, L.J. Comparative Perspectives on Oxytocin and
724   Vasopressin Receptor Research in Rodents and Primates: Translational
725   Implications. *J Neuroendocrinol* **28** (2016).

33. Watanabe, T. *et al.* Diminished medial prefrontal activity behind autistic social
judgments of incongruent information. *PLoS One* **7**, e39561 (2012).

34. Frank, A.C. *et al.* Hotspots of dendritic spine turnover facilitate clustered spine
addition and learning and memory. *Nat Commun* **9**, 422 (2018).

35. Fu, M., Yu, X., Lu, J. & Zuo, Y. Repetitive motor learning induces coordinated
formation of clustered dendritic spines in vivo. *Nature* **483**, 92-95 (2012).

36. Moda-Sava, R.N. *et al.* Sustained rescue of prefrontal circuit dysfunction by
antidepressant-induced spine formation. *Science* **364** (2019).

37. Mullins, C., Fishell, G. & Tsien, R.W. Unifying Views of Autism Spectrum
Disorders: A Consideration of Autoregulatory Feedback Loops. *Neuron* **89**,
1131-1156 (2016).

38. Sadakane, O. *et al.* In Vivo Two-Photon Imaging of Dendritic Spines in
Marmoset Neocortex. *eNeuro* **2** (2015).

39. Santisakultarm, T.P. *et al.* Two-photon imaging of cerebral hemodynamics and
neural activity in awake and anesthetized marmosets. *J Neurosci Methods* **271**,
55-64 (2016).

40. Holtmaat, A., Wilbrecht, L., Knott, G.W., Welker, E. & Svoboda, K.
Experience-dependent and cell-type-specific spine growth in the neocortex.
Nature **441**, 979-983 (2006).

41. Yasumatsu, N., Matsuzaki, M., Miyazaki, T., Noguchi, J. & Kasai, H. Principles
of long-term dynamics of dendritic spines. *J Neurosci* **28**, 13592-13608 (2008).

42. Noguchi, J. *et al.* Bidirectional in vivo structural dendritic spine plasticity
revealed by two-photon glutamate uncaging in the mouse neocortex. *Sci Rep* **9**,
13922 (2019).

43. Harvey, C.D., Yasuda, R., Zhong, H. & Svoboda, K. The spread of Ras activity
triggered by activation of a single dendritic spine. *Science* **321**, 136-140 (2008).

44. Harvey, C.D. & Svoboda, K. Locally dynamic synaptic learning rules in
pyramidal neuron dendrites. *Nature* **450**, 1195-1200 (2007).

45.   Murakoshi, H., Wang, H. & Yasuda, R. Local, persistent activation of Rho
755   GTPases during plasticity of single dendritic spines. *Nature* **472**, 100-104
756   (2011).

757   46.   Takahashi, N. *et al.* Locally synchronized synaptic inputs. *Science* **335**, 353-356
758   (2012).

759   47.   Santamaria, F., Wils, S., De Schutter, E. & Augustine, G.J. The diffusional
760   properties of dendrites depend on the density of dendritic spines. *Eur J Neurosci*
761   **34**, 561-568 (2011).

762   48.   Belmonte, M.K. *et al.* Autism and abnormal development of brain connectivity.
763   *J Neurosci* **24**, 9228-9231 (2004).

764   49.   Ouyang, M., Kang, H., Detre, J.A., Roberts, T.P.L. & Huang, H. Short-range
765   connections in the developmental connectome during typical and atypical brain
766   maturation. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **83**, 109-122 (2017).

767   50.   Holderith, N. *et al.* Release probability of hippocampal glutamatergic terminals
768   scales with the size of the active zone. *Nat Neurosci* **15**, 988-997 (2012).

769   51.   Meltzer, A. & Van de Water, J. The Role of the Immune System in Autism
770   Spectrum Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **42**, 284-298 (2017).

771   52.   Aoki, Y. *et al.* Absence of age-related prefrontal NAA change in adults with
772   autism spectrum disorders. *Transl Psychiatry* **2**, e178 (2012).

773   53.   Kastellakis, G., Cai, D.J., Mednick, S.C., Silva, A.J. & Poirazi, P. Synaptic
774   clustering within dendrites: an emerging theory of memory formation. *Prog*
775   *Neurobiol* **126**, 19-35 (2015).

776   54.   Reiner, B.C. & Dunaevsky, A. Deficit in motor training-induced clustering, but
777   not stabilization, of new dendritic spines in FMR1 knock-out mice. *PLoS One*
778   **10**, e0126572 (2015).

779   55.   Bloss, E.B. *et al.* Single excitatory axons form clustered synapses onto CA1
780   pyramidal cell dendrites. *Nat Neurosci* **21**, 353-363 (2018).

781   56.   Wilson, D.E., Whitney, D.E., Scholl, B. & Fitzpatrick, D. Orientation selectivity
782   and the functional clustering of synaptic inputs in primary visual cortex. *Nat*
783   *Neurosci* **19**, 1003-1009 (2016).

784   57.   Hiratani, N. & Fukai, T. Redundancy in synaptic connections enables neurons to
785   learn optimally. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, E6871-E6879 (2018).

786   58.   Yamasue, H. *et al.* Effect of intranasal oxytocin on the core social symptoms of
787   autism spectrum disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *Mol Psychiatry* **25**, 1849-
788   1858 (2020).

789   59.   Benner, S. *et al.* Neurochemical evidence for differential effects of acute and
790   repeated oxytocin administration. *Mol Psychiatry* **26**, 710-720 (2021).

791   60.   Schorscher-Petcu, A., Dupre, A. & Tribollet, E. Distribution of vasopressin and
792   oxytocin binding sites in the brain and upper spinal cord of the common
793   marmoset. *Neurosci Lett* **461**, 217-222 (2009).

794   61.   Sahin, M. & Sur, M. Genes, circuits, and precision therapies for autism and
795   related neurodevelopmental disorders. *Science* **350** (2015).

796   62.   Ash, R.T. *et al.* Inhibition of Elevated Ras-MAPK Signaling Normalizes
797   Enhanced Motor Learning and Excessive Clustered Dendritic Spine
798   Stabilization in the MECP2-Duplication Syndrome Mouse Model of Autism.
799   *eNeuro* **8** (2021).

800   63.   Noguchi, J. *et al.* State-dependent diffusion of actin-depolymerizing
801   factor/cofilin underlies the enlargement and shrinkage of dendritic spines. *Sci*
802   *Rep* **6**, 32897 (2016).

803   64.   Liston, C. & Gan, W.B. Glucocorticoids are critical regulators of dendritic spine
804   development and plasticity in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 16074-16079
805   (2011).

806   65.   Frye, C.A. & Llaneza, D.C. Corticosteroid and neurosteroid dysregulation in an
807   animal model of autism, BTBR mice. *Physiol Behav* **100**, 264-267 (2010).

66. Lorsung, E., Karthikeyan, R. & Cao, R. Biological Timing and
Neurodevelopmental Disorders: A Role for Circadian Dysfunction in Autism
Spectrum Disorders. *Front Neurosci* **15**, 642745 (2021).

67. Nakamura, M. *et al.* Prenatal valproic acid-induced autism marmoset model
exhibits higher salivary cortisol levels. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* **16**
(2022).

68. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis.
Nat Methods **9**, 676-682 (2012).

69. Grillo, F.W. *et al.* Increased axonal bouton dynamics in the aging mouse cortex.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **110**, E1514-1523 (2013).

70. Cavanaugh, J., Huffman, M.C., Harnisch, A.M. & French, J.A. Marmosets
treated with oxytocin are more socially attractive to their long-term mate. *Front*
Behav Neurosci **9**, 251 (2015).
821
822

Acknowledgments

We thank H. Kasai of The University of Tokyo for helpful discussion and suggestions about two-

photon imaging, and H. Yamasue of Hamamatsu University School of Medicine and T.

Minamimoto of QST, Japan, for careful reading of the manuscript. We are also grateful to T.

Araki and M. Iwasaki of the National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry (NCNP) for helpful

discussion about experiments and marmoset surgery, respectively. We also thank A. Tsuchiya,

W. Suzuki, A. Mishima, K. Mimura, and N. Miyakawa of our lab for supporting primate

experiments, and R. Saito, Y. Katakai, and other members of the NCNP primate facility for caring

for VPA-exposed and UE marmosets. A. Sawatari and S. Ikeda of Iwate University assisted with

spine volume analysis. This work was supported by Intramural Research Grants for Neurological

and Psychiatric Disorders from the NCNP (3-5, J.N. and 29-6, N.I.), a Novartis Research Grant

2019 (S.W.), JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18K06497 and JP22K07363 (J.N.), and AMED

Grant Number JP21dm0207066 (N.I.).

Author contributions

J.N. and N.I. designed the study; J.N., S.W., R.I., and K.S. performed the in vivo two-photon

imaging; K.N. created the autism model marmosets; J.N. and R.I. conducted image analyses; E.

S., H.T., H.M., A.W., and T.Y. prepared the AAV vectors.; S.W., T.O., K.S., K.H., K.S., and I.M.

conducted the microarray analysis.; J.N. and N.I. wrote the manuscript. All authors agreed to the

manuscript of the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Additional information

Supplementary information   **Supplementary Methods** (attached at the end of this

manuscript), **Supplementary Data 1** and **2** (omitted)

Correspondence and requests for data should be addressed to J.N. or N.I.

Supplementary Methods

Comparison of log fold change (logFC) values between human autism spectrum disorder (ASD)

and animal models, as determined by the coexpression modules.

To examine gene expression changes in the ASD group compared to the typically developed

human group or in the ASD model animals compared to the controls, logFC values were

calculated for the genes analyzed by the gene chip. The concordance values were then calculated

for each gene module[1, 2] to show consistency in the direction of gene expression changes between

human ASD and the animal models (Fig. 7c). Practically, the concordance value was the

percentage of genes that changed in a common direction (first and third quadrants in Fig. 7a, b,

d) in human ASD and the model animal. For modules with at least 8 common genes between the

animal model and human ASD, the concordance values were shown by the color in Fig. 7c. The

logFC values of VPA rats[3] (35–day), BTBR mice[4] (4–month), FMR1 mice[5] (8-14–week),

MeCP2 heterozygous mice[6] (5–week), Tcf4 mice[7] (60-80–day), 15q13 mice[8] (10-22–week), and

Pten[m3m4] mice[9] (6–week) were obtained from the literatures previously published; The numbers

in parentheses show their age. To compare gene expression modulations in the marmoset model

with those in human ASD of postmortem samples[2], commonly modulated genes with $P_{adj} < 0.1$

were used. For the rat VPA models and BTBR mice, the genes with $P_{adj} < 0.05$ were selected; for

other models and human ASD, $P_{adj} < 0.1$ were selected. To compare gene expression modulations

in the rodent models with those in human ASD, gene symbols were converted using HomoloGene

(NCBI, https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/homologene, release 68). Due to the small number of

genes that are commonly affected, mouse models of maternal immune activation[10] and Shank3

knockout[11] were not included in the list.

Signaling pathway estimation of marmoset genes

Pathway analysis of marmoset genes was conducted using the IPA software (Qiagen, Summer

Release 2020). Brain cell type (neuron, astrocyte, microglia, oligodendrocyte, and endothelial

cell) specific genes were selected from genes with mean logFC gene enrichment values > 2, in

the top ranked cell type-enriched genes based on the human single cell analysis data in the

previous report[12].

References

1. Parikshak, N.N. *et al.* Integrative functional genomic analyses implicate specific

molecular pathways and circuits in autism. *Cell* **155**, 1008-1021 (2013).

2. Parikshak, N.N. *et al.* Genome-wide changes in lncRNA, splicing, and regional

gene expression patterns in autism. *Nature* **540**, 423-427 (2016).

3. Zhang, R. *et al.* Transcriptional and splicing dysregulation in the prefrontal cortex

in valproic acid rat model of autism. *Reprod Toxicol* **77**, 53-61 (2018).

4. Mizuno, S. *et al.* Comprehensive Profiling of Gene Expression in the Cerebral

Cortex and Striatum of BTBRTF/ArtRbrc Mice Compared to C57BL/6J Mice.

Front Cell Neurosci **14**, 595607 (2020).

5. Rogers, T.D. *et al.* Effects of a social stimulus on gene expression in a mouse

model of fragile X syndrome. *Mol Autism* **8**, 30 (2017).

6. Zhao, D. *et al.* Transcriptome analysis of microglia in a mouse model of Rett

syndrome: differential expression of genes associated with microglia/macrophage

activation and cellular stress. *Mol Autism* **8**, 17 (2017).

7. Phan, B.N. *et al.* A myelin-related transcriptomic profile is shared by Pitt-Hopkins

syndrome models and human autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Neurosci* **23**, 375-

385 (2020).

8.   Gordon, A. *et al.* Transcriptomic networks implicate neuronal energetic
903   abnormalities in three mouse models harboring autism and schizophrenia-
904   associated mutations. *Mol Psychiatry* **26**, 1520-1534 (2021).

905   9.   Tilot, A.K. *et al.* Neural transcriptome of constitutional Pten dysfunction in mice
906   and its relevance to human idiopathic autism spectrum disorder. *Mol Psychiatry*
907   **21**, 118-125 (2016).

908   10.   Smith, S.E., Li, J., Garbett, K., Mirnics, K. & Patterson, P.H. Maternal immune
909   activation alters fetal brain development through interleukin-6. *J Neurosci* **27**,
910   10695-10702 (2007).

911   11.   Qin, L. *et al.* Social deficits in Shank3-deficient mouse models of autism are
912   rescued by histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibition. *Nat Neurosci* **21**, 564-575
913   (2018).

914   12.   McKenzie, A.T. *et al.* Brain Cell Type Specific Gene Expression and Co-
915   expression Network Architectures. *Sci Rep* **8**, 8868 (2018).
916