Leptospiral lipopolysaccharide dampens inflammation through upregulation of autophagy adaptor p62 and NRF2 signaling in macrophages

Delphine Bonhomme [a], Ignacio Santecchia [a], Pedro Escoll [b], Stylianos Papadopoulos [a], Frédérique Vernel-Pauillac [a], Ivo G. Boneca [a] & Catherine Werts [a*]

[a] Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR6047, INSERM U1306, Unité de Biologie et Génétique de la Paroi Bactérienne, Paris, France

[b] Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR6047, Unité Biologie des Bactéries Intracellulaires, Paris, France

RUNNING HEAD (54 characters): Leptospires dampen inflammation through p62-NRF2 activation

[*]Corresponding Author: Catherine Werts

Institut Pasteur

28 rue du Dr Roux

Unité BGPB, Microbiology Dpt

Paris 75015 FRANCE

Tel: +33(0)609537030

E-mail: cwerts@pasteur.fr

NUMBER OF TABLES : 2

NUMBER OF FIGURES : 5

WORD COUNT (Introduction- Materials&Methods-Results-Discussion- Acknowledgments): 4705

KEY WORDS: *Leptospira*, LPS, TLRs, Autophagy adapter p62, NRF2

ABSTRACT (247 words)

Leptospira interrogans are pathogenic bacteria responsible for leptospirosis, a worldwide zoonosis. All vertebrates can be infected, and some species like humans are susceptible to the disease whereas rodents such as mice are resistant and become asymptomatic renal carriers. Leptospires are stealth bacteria that are known to escape several immune recognition pathways and resist killing mechanisms. We recently published that leptospires may survive intracellularly and exit macrophages, in part by escaping xenophagy, a pathogen-targeting form of autophagy. Interestingly, autophagy is one of the antimicrobial mechanisms often highjacked by bacteria to evade the host immune response. In this study we therefore explored whether leptospires subvert the key molecular players of autophagy to facilitate the infection. We showed in macrophages that leptospires triggered a specific accumulation of autophagy-adaptor p62 in puncta-like structures, without major alteration of autophagy flux. Unlike active bacterial mechanisms described to date, we demonstrated that leptospires trigger p62 accumulation using a passive mechanism of LPS signaling via TLR4/TLR2. p62 is a central pleiotropic protein, not only involved in autophagy, but also mediating cell stress and death, *via* the translocation of transcription factors. We demonstrated that *Leptospira*-driven accumulation of p62 induced the translocation of transcription factor NRF2. However, NRF2 translocation upon *Leptospira* infection did not result as expected in antioxydant response, but dampened the production of inflammatory mediators such as iNOS/NO, TNF and IL6. Overall, these findings highlight a novel passive bacterial mechanism linked to p62/NRF2 signaling that decreases inflammation and contributes to the stealthiness of leptospires.

INTRODUCTION

Leptospira interrogans are spirochete bacteria and the causative agent of leptospirosis, a neglected worldwide zoonosis whose global impact on human health is increased due to climate change, and causes around 60 000 deaths *per* year worldwide (1). Although all vertebrates can be infected by leptospires, they do not all present the same symptoms and susceptibility to the disease (2). Humans, as sensitive hosts, may suffer from acute leptospirosis ranging from flu-like symptoms to multi-organ

failure in 5-10% cases (1). On the other hand, rodents such as mice and rats are resistant to acute illness

and become chronically colonized upon infection (3). Leptospires establish a stable colonization in the

proximal tubules in the kidneys, that leads to their excretion in the urine throughout the life of the animal,

contributing to the spread of the zoonosis (3, 4).

Upon infection, the host immune defenses rely on humoral and cellular components, such as the

complement system, immunoglobulins, and patrolling phagocytes. Activation of immune cells such as

macrophages is mediated by the sensing of microbial associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) by pattern

recognition receptors (PRRs). Leptospires are agonists of TLR2, through their numerous lipoproteins

(5, 6), and activate the NLRP3 inflammasome (7). However, they are remarkable as stealth pathogens

that escape recognition by NOD receptors (8) and by TLR5 (9) through unique mechanisms.

Furthermore, the leptospiral lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a central virulence factor (10), possesses

structural peculiarities (11) that do not activate human TLR4 (12), whereas they partially activate murine

TLR4 (13). In addition to escaping PRR recognition, leptospires also escape some phagocytic functions.

Although leptospires are mostly extracellular bacteria, we previously reported that they can be found

within macrophages without intracellular replication (14), and are neither targeted by phagocytosis nor

classical microbicidal compounds (14). We further excluded that intracellular leptospires could be

targeted by xenophagy (14), a specific form of autophagy promoting the degradation of intracellular

pathogens (15-17).

Interestingly, among the numerous proteins involved in autophagy, many have pleiotropic roles

that are not restricted to autophagic-degradation and are at the crossroads between autophagy, cell death,

cellular stress and inflammation. For instance, autophagy adaptors p62 and NDP52, which traditionally

bridge the cargo and autophagosome for specific degradation, have been associated with many

xenophagy-independent inflammatory modulations (18, 19). Both p62 and NDP52 have been shown to

mediate nuclear translocation of transcription factors NFκB and NRF2, which are involved in

inflammation and cellular stress, respectively (18, 20).

In this study, we focused our investigations on autophagy adaptors and unexpectedly found in

macrophages infected with leptospires a role of their LPS in dampening of inflammation through a

modulation of the p62/ NRF2 axis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

***Leptospira interrogans* cultures.** *Leptospira interrogans* used (Manilae strain L495, Copenhageni

strain Fiocruz L1-130, Icterohaemorragiae strain Verdun) and *Leptospira biflexa* (Patoc strain Patoc I)

were grown in Ellinghausen-McCullough-Johnson-Harris (EMJH) medium at 28°C without agitation

and diluted weekly (twice a week for *L. biflexa*) to obtain exponential cultures. For infection, cultures

were centrifuged (3250 g, 25 min), resuspended in PBS (Lonza), and enumerated in Petroff-Hauser

chamber. Inactivated leptospires ("heat-killed") were heated at 56 °C for 30 min with mild agitation.

For fluorescent labelling, 10 mL of exponential culture was centrifuged (3250 g, 25 min) and the pellet

was resuspended in the same volume of PBS (Lonza) with addition of 10 μM CFSE (Sigma) for 30 min,

followed by one wash in PBS before counting and infection.

Mice experiments. Adults male and female C57/BL6 mice (Janvier Labs, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France)

were injected *via* intraperitoneal route with 1×10^8 heat-killed leptospires/mouse in PBS. Next, 4h post-

infection mice were euthanized, and peritoneal content was recovered as previously described (21).

Peritoneal cells were plated at 1×10^6 cells/mL and were let to adhere for 1h before fixation and

immunofluorescence staining.

Cell culture. BMDMs were obtained and derived from adults male and female mice of either C57BL/6

WT (Janvier Labs, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France) or TLR2$^{-/-}$ TLR4$^{-/-}$ (from Institut Pasteur) as described

before (13). BMDMs were seeded in plates (TPP) the day before infection at a concentration of 0.8×10^6

cells/mL, in antibiotic-free complete RPMI (RPMIc) (containing glutamine (Lonza), supplemented with

10 % v/v heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (HI-FCS, Gibco), 1 mM non-essential amino acids (NEA,

Gibco) and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (NaPy, Gibco)).

RAW264.7 murine macrophage-like cell line was cultured in antibiotic-free RPMIc. RAW-mLC3-DiFluo (Invivogen) autophagy reporter cells (transfected with LC3-GFP-RFD) were cultured with 100 µg/mL zeocin (Invivogen). Cells were seeded in plates (TPP) the day before infection at a concentration of 0.3×10^6 cells/mL, in antibiotic-free RPMIc.

Human THP-1 monocyte-like cell line, stably transfected with CD14 (THP1-CD14), was cultured in RPMIc and seeded at 1×10^6 cells/mL.

All cell cultures were tested negative for *Mycoplasma* contamination, and all cell cultures used for experiments were maintained under 80 % confluence in order to prevent autophagic stress.

Cell starvation was induced in EBSS media (Gibco) after 2 washes. Autophagy blockage was induced with 100 nM bafilomycin A1 (BafA1, Sigma-Aldrich) 4h before cell collection. Inflammasome inhibition was triggered using 25 µM glibenclamide (Thermo Fisher) 30 min before infection. Finally, stimulations by leptospiral LPS were performed with 1 µg/mL of LPS of *L. interrogans* L495 extracted from the phenolic phase of the hot water/phenol extraction protocol, as we recently reviewed (22).

Immunofluorescence and High Content (HC) automated microscopy. Cells were seeded and infected on cover glass (18 mm diameter, # 1.5 thickness, Electron Microscopy Science) and were fixed in 4 % v/v *para*-formaldehyde for 10 min, followed by three washes with PBS. Blocking was 1h in PBS + 5% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 2.5 µg/mL anti-CD16-CD32 (FcBlock, Thermo Fisher). Primary antibodies (**Table 1**) or isotypes were incubated overnight at 4 °C in PBS + 1% w/v BSA, 0.05% w/v saponin. Cells were then washed three times with PBS + 0.05% w/v saponin and labeled for 1h with secondary antibodies when necessary (**Table 1**) and 1µg/mL DAPI in PBS + 1% w/v BSA, 0.05% w/v saponin. For NRF2 nuclear staining, permeabilization was performed in PBS + 1% w/v BSA, 0.5% v/v Triton X-100 for 10 min prior to blocking. Image acquisition was performed on Leica SP5 confocal microscope (63x - 1.4 NA, oil immersion). Default settings were used and both UV and argon lasers were used at power between 10-30 %.

For HC automated microscopy, cells were seeded in dark, transparent bottom 96-well plates (Greiner, µClear) at 0.1×10^6 cells/mL. Cells were stained as described, except for RAW-mLC3-DiFluo

that were fixed 10 min in cold ethanol/acetone (1:1). Imaging was performed on Opera Phenix (Perkin

Elmer) using confocal settings and 63X water-immersion objective (NA 1.15). Light source was kept at

20 to 50% power and exposure time was set to obtain intensities 1000-5000. Automated acquisition

allowed analysis of 500-1000 cells/well in technical triplicates for each experiment. Automated image

analysis workflow and puncta quantification was performed using Columbus image data storage and

analysis system (PerkinElmer), with the following steps: *(i)* import data; *(ii)* find nuclei with DAPI

signal; *(iii)* find cytoplasm using target protein signal (p62 / NRF2 / F4/80); *(iv)* select cell population

of interest if relevant (F4/80$^+$ peritoneal cells), *(v)* find spots if relevant (p62); *(vi)* formulate classical

outputs: number of p62 puncta, NRF2 intensity in nucleus/cytoplasm; *(vii)* formulate calculated

outputs: %p62 positive cells, NRF2 ratio, %NRF2 positive cells*; (viii)* save script and run batch analysis

and *(ix)* export data.

SDS-PAGE and Western blot. For Western blot, cells were scrapped and centrifuged (400 g, 10 min).

Pellets were resuspended in 50 µL of ice-cold RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with 1x complete Mini,

EDTA-free proteases inhibition cocktail (Roche). Cells were lysed on ice for 15 min followed by

centrifugation (12000 g, 40 min, 4 °C). Soluble proteins were recovered in the supernatant and dosed

using the Bradford assay and the samples were denatured in Laemli buffer (99 °C, 10 min). SDS-PAGE

was performed at 100 V on 4-15% gradient acrylamide Stain-free gels in Tris-Glycine-SDS buffer

(BioRad), with 5-10 µg of protein. Total proteins were visualized as internal control using stain free

reagent in ChemiDoc (BioRad) with a 5 min exposition time and then transferred on 0.22 µm PVDF

membrane (BioRad) using Mixed MW fast transfer of 1 miniGel (BioRad). Membrane was blocked 1h

in TBS with 0,05% v/v Tween 20 (TBS-T) + 5% w/v BSA. The membrane was probed overnight at

4 °C with primary antibodies (**Table 1**) in TBS-T + 5% w/v BSA. After three washes, membrane was

incubated 1h with secondary anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked (**Table 1**) in TBS-T + 5% w/v BSA. After

three washes, blots were revealed using the Clarity reagent (BioRad) with automatic exposure time.

Small interfering RNA transfection. For siRNA transfection, cells were seeded at confluence of

0.1 x10^6 cells/mL the day before transfection. Transfection was performed using Lipofectamine

siRNA Max reagent (ThermoFisher) OptiMEM media (Gibco) and according to the manufacturer's

instructions. The final concentration of commercial predesigned siRNA targeting *p62* and *nrf2*

(FlexiTube, Qiagen) was 80 nM and the corresponding scramble siRNA (all start negative, Qiagen) was

used as control. The siRNA preparations in lipofectamine were incubated at room temperature for

25 min before delicate transfection of the cells in OptiMEM. After 8 hours, the same volume of RPMIc

was added for overnight incubation. Infection was performed the next day in RPMIc after complete

media removal.

ELISA and Griess reaction. Fresh cell culture supernatants were used 24h post-infection for dosage

of nitric oxide (NO) by the Griess reaction. Cytokine dosage was performed on frozen supernatants by

ELISA using DuoSet kits (R&D Systems), according to the manufacturer's instructions. NO and

cytokine concentrations were plotted both before and after normalization by cell viability.

Viability and LDH release assays. LDH release was measured using the CyQuant LDH Cytotoxicity

Assay kit (fresh supernatant) (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturers' instructions. Viability was

measured using MTT assay: cells were incubated for 2h in 1 mg/mL MTT solution (Sigma) in complete

RPMI. MTT crystals were then dissolved in HCl 1 M, isopropanol (1:24) and absorbance was read at

595 nm. For each technical replicate, the viability value was used to normalize both NO and cytokines

measurements using the following formula: $normalized\ value = \dfrac{NO/cytokine\ value}{MTT\ value}$.

RT-qPCR. RNAs were extracted from frozen cells using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. cDNAs were then obtained by retro-transcription (RT) using SuperScript II

RT (Invitrogen). The equivalent of 20ng of cDNA were used for RT-qPCR with specific primers and

probes (**Table 2**). qPCR was performed using Taqman Universal MasterMix (Applied Biosystems) on

a StepOne Plus Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with the standard protocol. Fold changes

were calculated with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method, using hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPRT)

as internal control.

Statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test with

corresponding *p* values: * for $p < 0.05$; ** for $p < 0.01$ and *** for $p < 0.001$.

RESULTS

***L. interrogans* induces upregulation and specific accumulation of autophagy-adaptor p62 in**
puncta-like structures

To address modulation of autophagy-adaptors upon infection with leptospires, we infected bone marrow

derived macrophages (BMDMs) with *L. interrogans* Manilae strain L495 and analyzed by Western blot

the levels of the different adaptors p62, NDP52 and Optineurin. We observed an accumulation of p62

over time upon infection (**Fig 1A**). We confirmed this phenotype using other pathogenic serotypes of

L. interrogans: Copenhageni strain Fiocruz L1-130 and Icterohaemorrhagiae strain Verdun, and using

the saprophytic *Leptospira biflexa* Patoc strain Patoc I (**Fig 1B**). Epifluorescence analyses of BMDMs

infected 4h with *L. interrogans* further revealed that infection triggers accumulation of p62 in puncta-

like structures (**Fig 1C**). This phenotype seemed specific of p62 since we did not observe accumulation

of the autophagy adaptors (NDP52 & optineurin) upon leptospira infection (**Sup. Fig 2A**).

Among other mechanisms, autophagy adaptors are constitutively degraded by autophagy upon

autophagosome / lysosome fusion. We hypothesized that p62 accumulation could be triggered by

blockage of autophagy flux by leptospires. However, when we monitored the autophagy hallmark

protein LC3-II in BMDMs by Western blot, no LC3-II accumulation was observed upon *Leptospira*

infection, in contrast to Bafilomycin (BafA1) treatment that blocks the autophagy flux, causing LC3-II

to accumulate (**Sup. Fig 1A**). Thus we conclude that infection with leptospires does not alter the

autophagy flux. Unexpectedly, we observed a mild reduction in LC3-II levels upon infection

(**Sup. Fig 1B**). Using murine macrophages RAW-mLC3-diFluo cells analyzed by automated

microscopy, we were able to confirm that leptospires induce a mild decrease of the number of

autophagosomes without altering the number of autolysosomes, again showing no alteration of the

autophagy flux (**Sup. Fig 1C-D**).

We then analyzed the transcriptional regulation of p62 in BMDMs by RT-qPCR and observed a

significant upregulation of p62 mRNA 24 h post-infection with *L. interrogans* (**Fig 1D**). This

corroborated the idea that p62 accumulation is not mediated by autophagy blockage. The kinetics of the

p62 puncta formation were further characterized in RAW264.7 cells, using automated high content (HC)

confocal microscopy. Results showed time-dependent increase of both the number of p62 puncta *per*

cell and the percentage of p62 positive cells (**Fig 1E & Sup. Fig 2B**). Single cell analysis confirmed an

average of 5-10 puncta *per* cell and highlighted cell-to-cell heterogeneity, with some macrophages

containing up to 60 puncta (**Fig 1F**). Of note, BafA1 that blocks autophagy flux also led to p62

accumulation as expected, but to a much lower extent than infection with leptospires (**Fig 1C, 1E & 1F**).

Altogether, these results confirm our previous results showing that *L. interrogans* do not induce

autophagy in murine macrophages (14), and suggest that leptospires induce a specific accumulation of

p62, not linked to an autophagy blockage.

p62 accumulation is triggered by the leptospiral LPS through TLR4 & TLR2

Many bacteria interfere actively with autophagy molecules *via* secreted effectors or RNA

interference (16, 23-28). To investigate whether such active mechanisms are also used by leptospires,

we analyzed BMDMs and RAW264.7 cells after stimulation with heat-killed (HK) leptospires. We

observed p62 accumulation visible by Western blot (**Fig 2A**) and quantified by automated microscopy

(**Fig 2B & 2C**). These findings exclude an active leptospiral mechanism and suggest a role for the

recognition of leptospiral MAMPs. We therefore stimulated cells for 24h with leptospiral LPS and

observed that such stimulation recapitulates p62 accumulation (**Fig 2A, 2B & 2C**). As p62 accumulation

seemed to be mediated by recognition of the leptospiral LPS, we investigated the roles of TLR4 &

TLR2. In murine cells, these two receptors are respectively activated by the leptospiral lipid A, and the

leptospiral lipoproteins that co-purify with the LPS (5, 12). We infected WT and TLR2/4 dko BMDMs

with *L. interrogans* for 24h, and analyzed by Western blot and automated microsopy. We observed that

p62 accumulation was greatly dampened in TLR2/TLR4 dko cells (**Fig 2D & 2E**). Finally, we analyzed

mRNA levels by RT-qPCR and observed that the increase in p62 mRNA levels observed in WT

BMDMs was abolished in TLR2/4 dko BMDMs (**Fig 2F**). Overall, these data show that p62

accumulation is mediated by TLR2/4 recognition of the leptospiral LPS. To address if such mechanism

was conserved *in vivo*, we injected C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally with 1×10^8 heat-killed

L. interrogans, representative of MAMPs and potent TLR2/TLR4 agonists, that present the advantage

of not replicating nor disseminating upon injection. Interestingly, using automated microscopy we

showed that p62 was also accumulated *in vivo* in peritoneal F4/80$^+$ macrophages (**Fig 2G**).

Finally, we ask whether this mechanism of p62 accumulation could be conserved in human

cells. Indeed, there is a TLR4 host species-specificity of the innate immune recognition of leptospires

(29). Leptospiral lipid A activates mouse-TLR4 but not human-TLR4 (12). However, lipoproteins that

co-purify with the LPS activate both mouse-TLR2 and human-TLR2 in a CD14-dependent manner (12).

We therefore infected human monocytic THP1-CD14 cells with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain

L495. We also observed a specific p62 accumulation and no NDP52 accumulation after infection with

leptospires (**Sup. Fig 2C**), suggesting conserved mechanism of p62 accumulation in human and murine

macrophages, and a prominent role of TLR2 in human cells.

Leptospires have been shown to activate the NLRP3 inflammasome, in humans (30, 31), and in

a TLR2/4-dependent manner in mice (7). Furthermore, NLRP inflammasomes have been shown to

modulate autophagy (32-35). Therefore, we asked whether activation of inflammasome could play a

role in p62 accumulation and LC3-II diminution. We stimulated BMDMs with both live and heat-killed

leptospires in the presence or absence of NLRP3 inhibitor glibenclamide. Efficiency of the treatment

was controlled by measuring IL1β production after 24h (**Sup. Fig 3A**). p62 and LC3-II levels were

analyzed by Western blot. We observed a similar levels of p62 accumulation upon infection with either

live or heat-killed leptospires in both control and glibenclamide treated cells (**Sup. Fig 3B**).

Consistently, the diminution of LC3-II upon infection was visible in both control and glibenclamide

treated cells (**Sup. Fig 3B**). Overall, this suggests that the modulation of autophagy players by

leptospires, although TLR2/4-dependent, is not mediated by activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome.

Leptospires and their LPS trigger translocation of transcription factor NRF2

Stress pathways are induced when autophagy adaptors accumulate in the cell (i.e. in the absence of

functional autophagy or because of specific upregulation). p62 accumulation induces translocation of

stress-responsive nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) (20, 36, 37). Subsequently, NRF2

triggers antioxidant and antiapoptotic programs (20, 36), and promotes p62 upregulation, creating a

loop that counteracts stress in autophagy-deficient conditions (36). We therefore infected BMDMs with

L. interrogans serovar Manilae strain L495 to analyze NRF2 by immunofluorescence 4h post-infection.

Whilst NRF2 staining was barely visible in the non-infected conditions, NRF2 staining in cell nuclei

was clearly evident upon infection (**Fig 3A**). The intensity of NRF2 staining in the nucleus peaked

around 4h post-infection (**Fig 3B**). We next performed single cell analysis of NRF2 fluorescence

intensity in the nucleus of RAW264.7 cells infected for 4h with three different serovars of

L. interrogans, and observed similar NRF2 increase for all the strains (**Fig 3C***, left panel*). Next, we

calculated the ratio of NRF2 intensities [nucleus/cytoplasm] and estimated that cells positive for NRF2

had a ratio > 1.4. We then plotted the percentage of cells with NRF2 ratio superior to 1.4 and observed

that up to 60% of cells were positive after 4h of infection (**Fig 3C***, right panel*). Finally, to understand

the contribution of leptospiral LPS in triggering NRF2, we performed similar analyses on BMDMs

stimulated for 4h with either live, heat-killed leptospires or their purified LPS. All conditions triggered

similar NRF2 translocation (**Fig 3D**), suggesting that the leptospiral LPS, that triggers accumulation of

p62, is responsible for NRF2 activation.

p62 and NRF2 are in a feedback loop upon infection with leptospires

Consequent to p62 accumulation and NRF2 translocation upon infection with *L. interrogans*, we

investigated the potential regulation mechanisms between these two phenotypes. We performed

small-interfering RNA (siRNA) transfection in BMDMs to knock-down specifically p62 or NRF2, and

analyzed NRF2 and p62 4h post-infection with leptospires by automated microscopy. We observed that

the NRF2 ratio [nucleus/cytoplasm] was lower upon infection in the p62 siRNA condition (**Fig 4A***, left*

panel). Conversely, p62 puncta formation was reduced upon infection in NRF2 siRNA transfected

BMDMs (**Fig 4B***, left panel*). Both p62 and NRF2 knocked-down were confirmed and quantified by

automated microscopy (**Fig 4A & 4B,** *right panels*). Overall, these results showed that p62 contributed

to NRF2 translocation, and that, in turn, NRF2 regulated p62 puncta formation, suggesting that p62 and

NRF2 are in a feedback loop upon infection by *L. interrogans*.

To further characterize the involvement of NRF2 in p62 activation, we analyzed BMDMs

transfected with NRF2 siRNA and infected with *L. interrogans* by Western blot and RT-qPCR 24h

post-infection, allowing us to measure both the protein and mRNA levels of p62. Interestingly, we

observed that NRF2 silencing prevented p62 protein accumulation (**Fig 4C**) but also reduced mRNA

upregulation (**Fig 4D**), suggesting that NRF2 is a transcriptional regulator of p62 activation.

NRF2 translocation prevents inflammation upon infection

NRF2 is a stress response transcription factor that promotes antioxidant and antiapoptotic programs

upon activation (20, 36). Therefore, we monitored the transcription of targets genes involved in fighting

oxidative stress in macrophages in response to infection. We infected BMDMs with *L. interrogans* and

analyzed the levels of glutathione S-reductase, catalase and heme oxygenase 1 mRNA by RT-qPCR at

4 h and 24 h post-infection. Interestingly, we observed no upregulation of these transcripts

(**Sup. Fig 4A, 4B & 4C**), suggesting that no antioxidant program is activated upon infection with

L. interrogans.

NRF2 has also been shown to have repressor functions and to dampen inflammation by

inhibiting the transcription of cytokines (38, 39), hence conferring resistance to inflammatory disease

such as sepsis (38). We therefore investigated the role of NRF2 translocation in inflammation upon

infection by leptospires. We transfected RAW264.7 cells with siRNA targeted against NRF2 and then

infected them with *L. interrogans*. As expected, considering that NRF2 is known to prevent cell death,

we observed a decrease in macrophage viability only upon infection of NRF2-silenced cells

(**Fig 5A**, *left panel*). Interestingly, this enhanced loss of viability was not associated with lactate

dehydrogenase (LDH) release from the cytosol, illustrating that no membrane damage or cell lysis

occurred (**Fig 5A**, *right panel*). We then analyzed the mRNA levels of several cytokines and enzymes,

namely iNOS (nitric oxide inducible synthase), IL6, TNF and IL10. Upon infection, all mRNA levels

were higher in NRF2-silenced cells than in control cells (**Fig 5B**), suggesting that NRF2 does play a role

in repressing the expression of inflammatory targets. Finally, to address whether this transcriptional

regulation was strong enough to alter cytokines levels, we analyzed nitric oxide (NO), IL6, TNF and

IL10 production in cell supernatant. Consistent with the mRNA analyses, we observed higher NO and

TNF upon infection of NRF2-silenced RAW264.7 (**Fig 5C**). These findings were even more striking

after normalizing cytokine production against cell viability, as measured using MTT

(**Fig 5C***, grey areas*). Increased levels of IL6 were observed after normalizing for cell viability, whereas

IL10 was not increased under any condition (**Fig 5C***, grey areas*). Overall, our results show that NRF2

plays a repressor role to dampen production of inflammatory mediators such as NO, TNF and IL6 in

mouse macrophages upon infection with *L. interrogans*.

DISCUSSION

Our results evidenced an accumulation of the autophagy adaptor p62 in puncta-like structures in

response to infection with both pathogenic *L. interrogans* and saprophytic *L. biflexa*. Classically,

autophagy adaptor p62 accumulates on the surface of intracellular bacteria, such as *Salmonella (16)*,

Listeria or *Shigella* (40) to target them to autophagosomes and it is associated with active modulation

of the autophagy flux through effectors (15, 16, 23, 27, 28). However, in the case of *Leptospira*, we

have previously published that leptospires actively enter but do not remain inside macrophages and are

not targeted by xenophagy (14). Moreover, the accumulation of p62 is also visible in response to

stimulation with extracellular heat-killed leptospires or with purified LPS through TLR2/TLR4, hence

excluding that only intracellular leptospires actively promote the formation of p62 puncta. This

hypothesis is consistent with the fact that leptospires do not possess traditional secretion systems (41)

used by other bacterial pathogens to modulate autophagy. Furthermore, our results showed that

leptospires do not alter autophagy flux in macrophages. These findings are in accordance with previous

studies from our laboratory showing that leptospires escape different innate immune

autophagy-activating pathways (15, 42), such as NOD-like receptors (8) and TLR4-TRIF (13). Overall,

we conclude that the leptospires behave differently from other pathogenic bacteria that actively

modulate autophagy, and for which p62 accumulates on the surface of intracellular bacteria.

We further demonstrated that p62 accumulation was induced by leptospiral LPS signaling

through TLR2/TLR4. The unique leptospiral LPS, the best characterized virulence factor (10), plays an

important role in leptospiral host-pathogen interactions. We previously showed that leptospiral LPS

avoids human TLR4 and mouse TLR4-TRIF activation (13). Recently, leptospiral LPS has also been

shown to prevent cell death by pyroptosis (43). Therefore, it was not surprising to find the leptospiral

LPS responsible for the p62-puncta phenotype. Interestingly, the LPS of *L. biflexa* has been shown to

be a more potent TLR4 agonist than the LPS of pathogenic *L. interrogans* (13), consistent with our

results showing greater p62 accumulation observed after infection with the saprophytic strain. Overall,

these findings are consistent with other studies reporting that p62 accumulates *via* TLR signaling (44),

directly through NFκB-mediated transcriptional regulation. We believe this could be the case upon

infection with leptospires because: *(i)* our results show an upregulation of p62 mRNA upon infection

and *(ii)* p62 levels upon infection are much higher than upon autophagy blockage with BafA1,

suggesting that the accumulation of p62 is not mediated by a dysregulation of autophagy.

TLR-induced accumulation of p62 has been shown to trigger the translocation of the

transcription factor NRF2 (44). Under physiological conditions, NRF2 is classically targeted for

degradation by the proteasome *via* interaction with its inhibitor Keap1 (37, 45). The accumulation and

direct binding of p62 to Keap1, leads to its sequestration and subsequent release from NRF2, which then

can translocate in the nucleus (20, 36, 37, 45). Our results confirmed that p62 induces translocation of

NRF2 upon infection with leptospires, and illustrated that the feedback loop between p62 and NRF2,

described in the literature (36), is activated upon *L. interrogans* infection. Interestingly, this loop has

few negative regulators, and it is hypothesized that its main regulator would be autophagy, through

degradation of p62 (36). Therefore, we hypothesize that since infection with leptospires does not trigger

autophagy, the feedback loop between p62 and NRF2 remains active, explaining why p62 puncta did

not disappear even at 24h post-infection. Overall, out data indicate that leptospires are potent activators

of the p62/NRF2 axis in macrophages.

Interestingly, NRF2 has also been shown to have transcription inhibition properties. In

BMDMs, NRF2 was described as an inhibitor of transcription for pro-inflammatory targets such as IL6,

IL1β and IL12 (39, 46). Our results showed that this is also the case upon infection with *L. interrogans*. NRF2 inhibits polymerase III recruitment and hence prevents transcription of cytokines in response to stimulation (39). Consequently, NRF2 was shown to downregulate neutrophils activation and migration (47). Interestingly, although neutrophils are abundant in the blood of mice and humans infected with leptospires, they are barely observed in the kidneys, the niche occupied by leptospires during chronic infection (48, 49). Whether *Leptospira* modulate NRF2 translocation in neutrophils *via* their LPS to favor survival in the kidneys remains to be studied. In addition, we showed that the accumulation of p62 observed in murine macrophages was conserved in human cells. Interestingly, human cells do not sense leptospiral lipid A through TLR4 (12), leading us to hypothesize that TLR2 alone could be responsible for sensing leptospires and inducing p62 accumulation in THP1 cells. Although we could not address NRF2 translocation in human cells due to lack of specific tools, we speculate that inflammation dampening might be conserved in human macrophages.

Other microbes such as Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) or parasite *Leshmania major* have been shown to activate the p62/NRF2 axis (50, 51), hence showing an important role of NRF2 in response to pathogens. However, to date, the role of NRF2 translocation in response to pathogens remains unclear. Among others, NRF2 is involved in the induction of antioxidant program upon infection (36). We were therefore surprised to observe no modulation in the mRNA levels of antioxidant targets upon infection. Of note, pathogenic leptospires are equipped to fight against oxidative stress with inducible catalase, a virulent factor, peroxidase, and peroxiredoxin (52). Interestingly, active repression of these NRF2-dependent antioxidant targets was previously shown upon infection with live *Leshmania major* parasite (51, 53). Whether leptospires could also actively prevent upregulation of NRF2 antioxidant targets remains to be addressed.

In summary, we have demonstrated that leptospires passively subvert the p62-NRF2 axis through LPS activation of TLR signaling and this leads to a reduction of inflammatory mediators. This original mechanism might play a key role in the discretion of leptospires in hosts, evasion of immune cell recruitment, and could potentially contribute to the establishment of chronic infections.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Nathalie Aulner and Anne Danckaert (Institut Pasteur) as well as the Photonic BioImaging Unit of Technology and Service, a member of the France-BioImaging infrastructure, for support in conducting this study. We thank Richard Wheeler (Institut Pasteur) for critical reading of the manuscript and English editing.

STATEMENT OF ETHICS

All protocols were undertaken in compliance with EU Directive 2010/63 EU and the French regulation on the protection of laboratory animals issued on February 1st, 2013. They are part of project number # 2014-0049 and HA0036, which were approved by the Institut Pasteur ethics committee for animal experimentation (Comité d'Ethique en Expérimentation Animale CETEA registered under #89) and was authorized under #8562 by the French Ministry of Research, the French Competent Authority.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

FUNDING SOURCES

This work was funded by Institut Pasteur grant PTR2017-66 to CW and by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID to IGB. DB was funded by Université Paris Cité (formerly Université Paris Diderot) through Doctoral school FIRE (ED FIRE474). IS was part of the Pasteur-Paris University (PPU) International PhD program. This program received funding from the Institut Carnot Pasteur Microbes & Santé, and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 665807. IS additionally benefited from a scholarship "Fin de thèse de science" number FDT201805005258 granted by "Fondation pour la recherche médicale (FRM)". SP was supported by Université Paris Cité (formerly Université Paris Descartes) through doctoral school BioSPC (ED BIOSPC). The PE research was funded by the DARRI-Institut Carnot-*Microbe et santé* (grant number INNOV-SP10-19) and the ANR grant ANR-21-CE15-0038-01. France-BioImaging infrastructure network is supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR-10–INSB–04, Investments for the future). The use of the Opera Phenix system in the PBI core facility was made possible by the kind financial support of the Institut Pasteur (Paris) and the Région Ile-de-France (program DIM1Health).

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception, administration, and supervision of the project: CW.

Investigation: DB, IS, SP and CW.

Methodology: IS, DB and PE.

Data analysis: DB, IS, PE and CW.

Visualization: DB.

Validation: IS, DB, SP and CW.

Resources: FVP.

Funding acquisition: CW and IGB.

DB and IS wrote the original draft, under CW's supervision.

All authors contributed to the review of the manuscript and approved it for submission.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article. Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

1. Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-Silveira MS, et al. Global Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(9):e0003898.

2. Adler B. History of leptospirosis and *Leptospira*. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2015;387:1-9.

3. Ko AI, Goarant C, Picardeau M. Leptospira: the dawn of the molecular genetics era for an emerging zoonotic pathogen. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7(10):736-47.

4. Ratet G, Veyrier FJ, Fanton d'Andon M, Kammerscheit X, Nicola MA, Picardeau M, et al. Live imaging of bioluminescent *Leptospira interrogans* in mice reveals renal colonization as a stealth escape from the blood defenses and antibiotics. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8(12):e3359.

5. Werts C, Tapping RI, Mathison JC, Chuang TH, Kravchenko V, Saint Girons I, et al. Leptospiral lipopolysaccharide activates cells through a TLR2-dependent mechanism. Nat Immunol. 2001;2(4):346-52.

6. Hsu SH, Hung CC, Chang MY, Ko YC, Yang HY, Hsu HH, et al. Active Components of *Leptospira* Outer Membrane Protein LipL32 to Toll-Like Receptor 2. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):8363.

7. Lacroix-Lamande S, d'Andon MF, Michel E, Ratet G, Philpott DJ, Girardin SE, et al. Downregulation of the Na/K-ATPase pump by leptospiral glycolipoprotein activates the NLRP3 inflammasome. J Immunol. 2012;188(6):2805-14.

8. Ratet G, Santecchia I, Fanton d'Andon M, Vernel-Pauillac F, Wheeler R, Lenormand P, et al. LipL21 lipoprotein binding to peptidoglycan enables *Leptospira interrogans* to escape NOD1 and NOD2 recognition. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(12):e1006725.

9. Holzapfel M, Bonhomme D, Cagliero J, Vernel-Pauillac F, Fanton d'Andon M, Bortolussi S, et al. Escape of TLR5 Recognition by *Leptospira spp.*: A Rationale for Atypical Endoflagella. Front Immunol. 2020;11:2007.

10. Murray GL, Srikram A, Henry R, Hartskeerl RA, Sermswan RW, Adler B. Mutations affecting *Leptospira interrogans* lipopolysaccharide attenuate virulence. Mol Microbiol. 2010;78(3):701-9.

11. Que-Gewirth NL, Ribeiro AA, Kalb SR, Cotter RJ, Bulach DM, Adler B, et al. A methylated phosphate group and four amide-linked acyl chains in *Leptospira interrogans* lipid A. The membrane anchor of an unusual lipopolysaccharide that activates TLR2. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(24):25420-9.

12. Nahori MA, Fournie-Amazouz E, Que-Gewirth NS, Balloy V, Chignard M, Raetz CR, et al. Differential TLR recognition of leptospiral lipid A and lipopolysaccharide in murine and human cells. J Immunol. 2005;175(9):6022-31.

13. Bonhomme D, Santecchia I, Vernel-Pauillac F, Caroff M, Germon P, Murray G, et al. Leptospiral LPS escapes mouse TLR4 internalization and TRIF-associated antimicrobial responses through O antigen and associated lipoproteins. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16(8):e1008639.

14. Santecchia I, Bonhomme D, Papadopoulos S, Escoll P, Giraud-Gatineau A, Moya-Nilges M, et al. Alive Pathogenic and Saprophytic Leptospires Enter and Exit Human and Mouse Macrophages With No Intracellular Replication. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2022;12:936931.

15. Travassos LH, Carneiro LA, Girardin S, Philpott DJ. Nod proteins link bacterial sensing and autophagy. Autophagy. 2010;6(3):409-11.

16. Birmingham CL, Smith AC, Bakowski MA, Yoshimori T, Brumell JH. Autophagy controls *Salmonella* infection in response to damage to the Salmonella-containing vacuole. J Biol Chem. 2006;281(16):11374-83.

17. Gutierrez MG, Master SS, Singh SB, Taylor GA, Colombo MI, Deretic V. Autophagy is a defense mechanism inhibiting BCG and Mycobacterium tuberculosis survival in infected macrophages. Cell. 2004;119(6):753-66.

18. Miyashita H, Oikawa D, Terawaki S, Kabata D, Shintani A, Tokunaga F. Crosstalk Between NDP52 and LUBAC in Innate Immune Responses, Cell Death, and Xenophagy. Front Immunol. 2021;12:635475.

19. Moscat J, Diaz-Meco MT, Wooten MW. Of the atypical PKCs, Par-4 and p62: recent understandings of the biology and pathology of a PB1-dominated complex. Cell Death Differ. 2009;16(11):1426-37.

20. Komatsu M, Kurokawa H, Waguri S, Taguchi K, Kobayashi A, Ichimura Y, et al. The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor NRF2 through inactivation of Keap1. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12(3):213-23.

21. Santecchia I, Vernel-Pauillac F, Rasid O, Quintin J, Gomes-Solecki M, Boneca IG, et al. Innate immune memory through TLR2 and NOD2 contributes to the control of *Leptospira interrogans* infection. PLoS Pathog. 2019;15(5):e1007811.

22. Bonhomme D, Werts C. Purification of LPS from *Leptospira*. Methods Mol Biol. 2020;2134:53-65.

23. Birmingham CL, Canadien V, Gouin E, Troy EB, Yoshimori T, Cossart P, et al. *Listeria monocytogenes* evades killing by autophagy during colonization of host cells. Autophagy. 2007;3(5):442-51.

24. Case ED, Chong A, Wehrly TD, Hansen B, Child R, Hwang S, et al. The *Francisella* O-antigen
mediates survival in the macrophage cytosol via autophagy avoidance. Cell Microbiol.
2014;16(6):862-77.

25. Kreibich S, Emmenlauer M, Fredlund J, Ramo P, Munz C, Dehio C, et al. Autophagy Proteins
Promote Repair of Endosomal Membranes Damaged by the Salmonella Type Three Secretion
System 1. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;18(5):527-37.

26. Chen Z, Wang T, Liu Z, Zhang G, Wang J, Feng S, et al. Inhibition of Autophagy by MiR-30A
Induced by *Mycobacteria tuberculosis* as a Possible Mechanism of Immune Escape in Human
Macrophages. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2015;68(5):420-4.

27. Choy A, Dancourt J, Mugo B, O'Connor TJ, Isberg RR, Melia TJ, et al. The *Legionella* effector
RavZ inhibits host autophagy through irreversible Atg8 deconjugation. Science.
2012;338(6110):1072-6.

28. Ogawa M, Yoshimori T, Suzuki T, Sagara H, Mizushima N, Sasakawa C. Escape of intracellular
Shigella from autophagy. Science. 2005;307(5710):727-31.

29. Bonhomme D, Werts C. Host and Species-Specificities of Pattern Recognition Receptors Upon
Infection With *Leptospira interrogans*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:932137.

30. Li S, Wang M, Ojcius DM, Zhou B, Hu W, Liu Y, et al. Corrigendum to "*Leptospira interrogans*
infection leads to IL-1beta and IL-18 secretion from a human macrophage cell line through reactive
oxygen species and cathepsin B mediated-NLRP3 inflammasome activation" [Microbe Infect
(2018) 254-260]. Microbes Infect. 2021;23(1):104756.

31. Li S, Wang M, Ojcius DM, Zhou B, Hu W, Liu Y, et al. *Leptospira interrogans* infection leads to
IL-1beta and IL-18 secretion from a human macrophage cell line through reactive oxygen species
and cathepsin B mediated-NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Microbes Infect. 2018;20(4):254-60.

32. Wlodarska M, Thaiss CA, Nowarski R, Henao-Mejia J, Zhang JP, Brown EM, et al. NLRP6
inflammasome orchestrates the colonic host-microbial interface by regulating goblet cell mucus
secretion. Cell. 2014;156(5):1045-59.

33. Jounai N, Kobiyama K, Shiina M, Ogata K, Ishii KJ, Takeshita F. NLRP4 negatively regulates
autophagic processes through an association with beclin1. J Immunol. 2011;186(3):1646-55.

34. Deng Q, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Li M, Li D, Huang X, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Triggers
Macrophage Autophagy To Escape Intracellular Killing by Activation of the NLRP3
Inflammasome. Infect Immun. 2016;84(1):56-66.

35. Lai M, Yao H, Shah SZA, Wu W, Wang D, Zhao Y, et al. The NLRP3-Caspase 1 Inflammasome
Negatively Regulates Autophagy via TLR4-TRIF in Prion Peptide-Infected Microglia. Front Aging
Neurosci. 2018;10:116.

36. Jain A, Lamark T, Sjottem E, Larsen KB, Awuh JA, Overvatn A, et al. p62/SQSTM1 is a target
gene for transcription factor NRF2 and creates a positive feedback loop by inducing antioxidant
response element-driven gene transcription. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(29):22576-91.

37. Lau A, Wang XJ, Zhao F, Villeneuve NF, Wu T, Jiang T, et al. A noncanonical mechanism of Nrf2
activation by autophagy deficiency: direct interaction between Keap1 and p62. Mol Cell Biol.
2010;30(13):3275-85.

38. Kong X, Thimmulappa R, Craciun F, Harvey C, Singh A, Kombairaju P, et al. Enhancing Nrf2
pathway by disruption of Keap1 in myeloid leukocytes protects against sepsis. Am J Respir Crit
Care Med. 2011;184(8):928-38.

39. Kobayashi EH, Suzuki T, Funayama R, Nagashima T, Hayashi M, Sekine H, et al. Nrf2 suppresses macrophage inflammatory response by blocking proinflammatory cytokine transcription. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11624.

40. Mostowy S, Sancho-Shimizu V, Hamon MA, Simeone R, Brosch R, Johansen T, et al. p62 and NDP52 proteins target intracytosolic *Shigella and Listeria* to different autophagy pathways. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(30):26987-95.

41. Abby SS, Cury J, Guglielmini J, Neron B, Touchon M, Rocha EP. Identification of protein secretion systems in bacterial genomes. Sci Rep. 2016;6:23080.

42. Xu Y, Jagannath C, Liu XD, Sharafkhaneh A, Kolodziejska KE, Eissa NT. Toll-like receptor 4 is a sensor for autophagy associated with innate immunity. Immunity. 2007;27(1):135-44.

43. Bonhomme D, Hernandez-Trejo V, Papadopoulos S, Pigache R, Fanton d'Andon M, Outlia A, et al. *Leptospira interrogans* prevents macrophage cell death and pyroptotic IL1ß release through atypical lipopolysaccharide. J Immunol. 2023;210:1-16.

44. Yin S, Cao W. Toll-Like Receptor Signaling Induces Nrf2 Pathway Activation through p62-Triggered Keap1 Degradation. Mol Cell Biol. 2015;35(15):2673-83.

45. Jiang T, Harder B, Rojo de la Vega M, Wong PK, Chapman E, Zhang DD. p62 links autophagy and Nrf2 signaling. Free Radic Biol Med. 2015;88(Pt B):199-204.

46. Macoch M, Morzadec C, Genard R, Pallardy M, Kerdine-Romer S, Fardel O, et al. Nrf2-dependent repression of interleukin-12 expression in human dendritic cells exposed to inorganic arsenic. Free Radic Biol Med. 2015;88(Pt B):381-90.

47. Helou DG, Braham S, De Chaisemartin L, Granger V, Damien MH, Pallardy M, et al. Nrf2 downregulates zymosan-induced neutrophil activation and modulates migration. PLoS One. 2019;14(8):e0216465.

48. Fanton d'Andon M, Quellard N, Fernandez B, Ratet G, Lacroix-Lamande S, Vandewalle A, et al. *Leptospira Interrogans* induces fibrosis in the mouse kidney through Inos-dependent, TLR- and NLR-independent signaling pathways. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8(1):e2664.

49. Raffray L, Giry C, Vandroux D, Kuli B, Randrianjohany A, Pequin AM, et al. Major Neutrophilia Observed in Acute Phase of Human Leptospirosis Is Not Associated with Increased Expression of Granulocyte Cell Activation Markers. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0165716.

50. Gilardini Montani MS, Santarelli R, Granato M, Gonnella R, Torrisi MR, Faggioni A, et al. EBV reduces autophagy, intracellular ROS and mitochondria to impair monocyte survival and differentiation. Autophagy. 2019;15(4):652-67.

51. Bichiou H, Rabhi S, Ben Hamda C, Bouabid C, Belghith M, Piquemal D, et al. *Leishmania* Parasites Differently Regulate Antioxidant Genes in Macrophages Derived From Resistant and Susceptible Mice. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:748738.

52. Zavala-Alvarado C, Sismeiro O, Legendre R, Varet H, Bussotti G, Bayram J, et al. The transcriptional response of pathogenic *Leptospira* to peroxide reveals new defenses against infection-related oxidative stress. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16(10):e1008904.

53. Vivarini AC, Calegari-Silva TC, Saliba AM, Boaventura VS, Franca-Costa J, Khouri R, et al. Systems Approach Reveals Nuclear Factor Erythroid 2-Related Factor 2/Protein Kinase R Crosstalk in Human Cutaneous Leishmaniasis. Front Immunol. 2017;8:1127.

FIGURES & LEGENDS

587   **Figure 1.**

588

Figure 1. *L. interrogans* **induces upregulation and specific accumulation of autophagy-adaptor p62 in puncta-like structures**

A) WB of p62 in BMDMs 1h, 4h and 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Gel stain free is presented as loading control of total proteins. **B)** WB of p62 in BMDMs 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495, serovar Copenhageni strain Fiocruz L1-130, serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae strain Verdun, and *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I, at MOI 100. **C)** Epifluorescence analyses of p62 in BMDMs 4h post-infection with fluorescently-labeled *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 10, or 4h upon starvation induction in EBSS medium, with or without 100nM of bafilomycin A1 (BafA1). **D)** RT-qPCR analyses of p62 mRNA levels in BMDMs 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Bars correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=4). **E)** Automated confocal microscopy analyses of the number of p62 puncta *per* cell and the percentage of p62 positive cells in RAW264.7 cells 1h, 4h and 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100, or stimulation with 100nM of BafA1. Dots correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3). **F)** Single cell microscopy analysis of the number of p62 puncta *per* cell 24h post-infection of RAW264.7 cells with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100, or stimulation with 100nM of BafA1. Dashed lines correspond to median. **A-F)** Data presented are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test with corresponding *p* values:* for $p < 0.05$ and *** for $p < 0.001$.

Figure 2.

A

NI | HK *L.i* | NI | *L.i* LPS

1h 4h 24h | 1h 4h 24h | 24h | 24h

p62
Stain free

(BMDMs)

B

Single cell analysis of p62 puncta
(RAW264.7, 24h pi)

Number of puncta / cell

***

NI | Live | HK | LPS | BafA1
L. interrogans

C

Kinetics of p62 puncta formation
(RAW264.7)

Number of puncta/cell — Time (hours)

% of cells with p62 puncta — Time (hours)

○ NS
● NI
● **Live**
● **HK**   *L.i*
● **LPS**
● BafA1

***
***
***

***
***
***

D

WT | TLR2/4 dko

NI EBSS *L.i* | NI EBSS *L.i*

p62
Actin

(BMDMs)

E

Single cell analysis of p62 puncta
(BMDMs, 24h pi)

Number of puncta/cell

***

NI | L495 Fiocruz Verdun | NI | L495 Fiocruz Verdun
L. interrogans | *L. interrogans*
WT | TLR2/4 dko

F

p62 mRNA levels
(BMDMs, 24h pi)

Fold change

**

NI | *L.i* | NI | *L.i*
WT | TLR2/4 dko

In vivo peritoneal macrophages

G

Confocal microscopy (peritoneal cells, 4h pi)

NI | *L.interrogans* (heat-killed)

DAPI
F4/80
p62

p62 puncta formation *in vivo*
(peritoneal F4/80⁺ macrophages, 4h post IP injection)

Number of puncta / cell

*

NI | *L.i* (heat-killed)

% cells with p62 puncta

**

NI | *L.i* (heat-killed)

Figure 2. p62 accumulation is triggered by the leptospiral LPS through TLR4 & TLR2

A) WB of p62 in BMDMs 1h, 4h and 24h post-stimulation with heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100 or 24 h post-stimulation with 1 µg/mL of the corresponding purified leptospiral LPS. Gel stain free is presented as a loading control of total proteins. **B)** Single cell microscopy analysis of the number of p62 puncta *per* cell 24h post-infection of RAW264.7 cells with either live or heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100, stimulation with 1 µg/mL of the corresponding purified leptospiral LPS, or stimulation with 100nM of bafilomycin A1 (BafA1). Dashed lines correspond to median. **C)** Automated confocal microscopy analyses of the number of p62 puncta *per* cell and the percentage of p62 positive cells 1h, 4h and 24h post-stimulation of RAW264.7 cells with MOI 100 of either live or heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495, stimulation with 1 µg/mL of the corresponding purified leptospiral LPS, or stimulation with 100nM of BafA1. Dots correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3). **D)** WB of p62 in WT and TLR2/4 dko BMDMs 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100, or upon starvation induction in EBSS medium. WB of actin is presented as loading control. **E)** Single cell microscopy analysis of the number of p62 puncta *per* cell 24h post-infection of WT and TLR2/4 dko BMDMs with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495, serovar Copenhageni strain Fiocruz L1-130 or serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae strain Verdun at MOI 100. Dashed lines correspond to median. **F)** RT-qPCR analyses of p62 mRNA levels in WT and TLR2/4 dko BMDMs 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Bars correspond to mean of independent experiments (*n*=3). **G)** Automated confocal microscopy images and analyses of the number of p62 puncta *per* cell and the percentage of p62 positive cells in adherent F4/80$^+$ peritoneal cells 4h post intra-peritoneal injection of 1 x10^8 heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 in C57BL/6J mice. Dots correspond to individual mice from 3 independent experiments (round symbols = females / triangle symbols = males). **A-C, F, G)** Data presented are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. **D-E)** Data presented are representative of 2 independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test with corresponding *p* values: * for $p < 0.05$; ** for $p < 0.01$ and *** for $p < 0.001$.

Figure 3.

Figure 3. Leptospires and their LPS trigger translocation of transcription factor NRF2

A) Confocal microscopy analyses of NRF2 in BMDMs 4h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar

Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. **B)** Automated confocal microscopy analyses of NRF2 intensity 0.5h,

1h, 2h, 4h and 24h post-infection of BMDMs with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI

100. Fluorescence values of infected cells were normalized by non-infected cells. Bars correspond to

mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3). **C) Left panel.** Single cell microscopy analysis of NRF2

intensity in the nucleus 4h post-infection of RAW264.7 cells with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain

L495, serovar Copenhageni strain Fiocruz L1-130 or serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae strain Verdun at MOI

100. Fluorescence is expressed in arbitrary units (AU). Dashed lines correspond to median. **Right panel.**

Automated confocal microscopy analysis of the number of cells with NRF2 intensity ratio

[nucleus/cytoplasm] > 1.4 4h post-infection of RAW264.7 cells with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae

strain L495, serovar Copenhageni strain Fiocruz L1-130 or serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae strain Verdun

at MOI 100. Lines correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3). **D) Left panel.** Single cell

microscopy analysis of NRF2 intensity in the nucleus 4h post-infection of RAW264.7 cells with MOI

100 of either live or heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 or

stimulation with 1 µg/mL of the corresponding purified leptospiral LPS. Fluorescence is expressed in

arbitrary units (AU). Dashed lines correspond to median. **Right panel.** Automated confocal microscopy

analysis of the number of cells with NRF2 intensity ratio [nucleus/cytoplasm] > 1.4 4h post-infection of

RAW264.7 cells with MOI 100 of either live or heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar

Manilae strain L495 or stimulation with 1 µg/mL of the corresponding purified leptospiral LPS. Lines

correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3). **A-D)** Data presented are representative of at

least 2 independent experiments.

Figure 4.

Figure 4. p62 and NRF2 are in a feedback loop upon infection with leptospires

A) Left panel. Automated confocal microscopy analysis of NRF2 intensity ratio [nucleus/cytoplasm] in

BMDMs transfected with scramble (scrbl) or p62 siRNA and infected for 4h with *L. interrogans* serovar

Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Lines correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3).

Right panel. Corresponding controls are microscopy analyses and quantification of p62 intensity in the

same transfection and infection conditions. Lines correspond to mean +/- SD of technical

replicates (*n*=3). **B) Left panel.** Automated confocal microscopy analysis of p62 puncta formation in

BMDMs transfected with scrbl or NRF2 siRNA and infected for 4h with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae

strain L495 at MOI 100. Lines correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3). **Right panel.**

Corresponding controls are microscopy analyses and quantification of NRF2 intensity in the same

transfection and infection conditions. Lines correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3).

C) WB of p62 in BMDMs transfected with scrbl or NRF2 siRNA and infected for 24h with

L. interrogans serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 10 or 100. **D)** RT-qPCR analyses of p62 mRNA

levels in RAW264.7 cells transfected with scrbl or NRF2 siRNA and infected for 24h with

L. interrogans serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Bars correspond to mean of independent

experiments (*n*=2). **A-B)** Data presented are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. **C-D)**

Data presented are representative of 2 independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed

using Student's *t*-test with corresponding *p* values: *** for $p < 0.001$.

Figure 5.

A

B

C

Figure 5. NRF2 translocation prevents inflammation upon infection

A) Cell viability (measured by MTT assay) and LDH release (measured by CyQuant assay) in

RAW264.7 cells transfected with scramble (scrbl) or NRF2 siRNA and infected for 24h with

L. interrogans serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100 or stimulated with positive control for lytic cell

death: LPS of *E. coli* 1µg/mL + ATP 5mM. Bars correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates

(*n*=4). **B)** RT-qPCR analyses of iNOS, IL6, TNF and IL10 mRNA levels in RAW264.7 cells transfected

with scrbl or NRF2 siRNA and infected for 10h with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at

MOI 100. Bars correspond to mean of independent experiments (*n*=3). **C)** NO dosage by Griess reaction

and, IL6, TNF and IL10 dosages by ELISA in RAW264.7 cells transfected with scrbl or NRF2 siRNA

and infected for 24h with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Bars correspond to

mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=4). **A-C)** Data presented are representative of at least 3

independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test with

corresponding *p* values: * for $p < 0.05$; ** for $p < 0.01$ and *** for $p < 0.001$.

TABLES

  Table 1. Antibodies list for immunofluorescence and Western blot analyses

Application*	Target	Clonality**	Conjugated	Host	Dilution	Reference	Supplier
IF	**p62**	mAb	No	Rabbit	1:500	ab109012	Abcam
	Rabbit IgG	pAb	AlexaFluor 488	Goat	1:1.000	A-11034	ThermoFisher
IF	**Nrf2**	mAb	No	Rat	1:250	#14596	CST
	Rat IgG	pAb	AlexaFluor 647	Goat	1:1.000	A-21247	ThermoFisher
IF	**F4/80**	mAb	APC-Cyanine7	Rat	1:250	123117	BioLegend
WB	**LC3**	pAb	Purified	Rabbit	1:1.000	L7543	Sigma
	p62	mAb	Purified	Mouse	1:1.000	ab109012	Abcam
	OPTN	pAb	Purified	Rabbit	1:1.000	ab23666	Abcam
	NDP52	pAb	Purified	Rabbit	1:1.000	GTX115378	GeneTex
	Rabbit IgG	pAb	HRP	Goat	1:10.000	7074S	CST

  * IF: immunofluorescence, WB: Western blot, ** mAb: monoclonal antibody, pAb: polyclonal antibody

  Table 2. Primers and probe list for RT-qPCR analyses

Target	Forward primer sequence	Reverse primer sequence	Probe sequence
p62	5'-ATGTGGAACATGGAGGGAAGAG-3'	5'-TTCTGTGCCTGTGCTGGAACT-3'	5'-CCGCCTGACACCCACTACCCCA-3'
lc3	5'-GCCCCACCCCTGAAAGG-3'	5'-TGCAGAGGAAATGACCACAGAT-3'	5'-TGGCTCCCCTTGTCCTGACTCGG-3'
iNOS	5'-CATGACTGCTAATGTCAGAG-3'	5'-TCCTAGTCCATCCGGATAAA-3'	5'-GAAATCTGAGTTTGGCCTGAGG-3'
tnf	5'-CATCTTCTCAAAATTCGAGTGACAA-3'	5'-TGGGAGTAGACAAGGTACAACCC-3'	5'-CACGTCGTAGCAAACCACCAAGTGGA-3'
il6	5'-CTCCAGCTTATCTGTTAGGA-3'	5'-CTTCAACCAAGAGGTAAAAGA-3'	5'-AAATTGGGGTAGGAAGGACTATTTTATG-3'
il10	5'-GGCGCTGTCATCGATTTCTC-3'	5'-GACACCTTGGTCTTGGAGCTTATTAA-3'	5'-AAAATAAGAGCAAGGCAGTGGAGCAGGTG-3'
hprt	5'-CTGGTGAAAAGGACCTCTCGA-3'	5'-TGCCCTTGACTATAATGAGTACTTCA-3'	5'-TGTTGGATACAGGCCAGACTTTGTTGGAT-3'
cat	Pre-designed: Mm00437992_m1 (ThermoFisher)		
gsr	Pre-designed: Mm01197925_m1 (ThermoFisher)		
hprt	Pre-designed: Mm03024075_m1 (ThermoFisher)		

Sup. Figures 1-4

Sup. Figure 1. *L. interrogans* **does not induce autophagy in murine macrophages and reduces the number of autophagosomes**

A) WB of LC3 in BMDMs 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100 with or without addition of bafilomycin A1 (BafA1) 4h prior to cell collection. **B)** WB and quantification of LC3 in BMDMs 1h, 4h or 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Quantifications represent the ratio of LC3-II in stimulated cells over non-stimulated cells, and after gel stain free normalization. Each dot corresponds to the quantification of a WB from an independent experiment. **C-D)** Automated confocal microscopy images and quantifications of RAW-mLC3-DiFluo cells 4h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100, or post starvation induction in EBSS medium, with or without BafA1 addition 2h before cell fixation. Of note, RAW-mLC3-DiFluo cells are stably transfected with LC3 tagged with tandem GFP-RFP. Both GFP and RFP are fluorescent under basal conditions, however, GFP fluorescence is quenched during acidification of autophagosomes upon fusion with lysosomes. Total LC3 fluorescence was measured based on RFP signal. Autophagosomes were quantified as RFP$^+$/GFP$^+$ punctas (upper white arrow) whereas autolysosomes were quantified as GFP$^-$/RFP$^+$ punctas (lower white arrow). Lines and bars correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=4). **A-D)** Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test with corresponding *p* values: * for $p < 0.05$; ** for $p < 0.01$ and *** for $p < 0.001$.

Sup. Figure 2. Specific p62 accumulation is observed in murine and human cells

A) WB of NDP52 and OPTN in RAW264.7 cells 1h, 2h and 4h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100, or upon starvation induction in EBSS medium. **B)** Automated microscopy analyses of the number of p62 puncta *per* cell and the percentage of p62 positive cells 1h, 4h and 24h post-infection of RAW264.7 cells with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 or *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I, at MOI 100. Dots correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3). Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test with corresponding *p* values: * for $p < 0.05$; ** for $p < 0.01$ and *** for $p < 0.001$. **C)** WB of p62 and NDP52 in human THP1-CD14 cells 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100.

A IL1β production 24h post infection
(BMDMs)

B BMDMs, 24h pi

Sup. Figure 3. p62 accumulation induced by leptospires does not rely on NLRP3 inflammasome

A) IL1β dosage by ELISA in BMDMs 24h post-infection with either live or heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100 with or without 25 µM glibenclamide (inflammasome inhibitor). Bars correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=4). Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test with corresponding *p* values: * for *p* < 0.05. **B)** WB of LC3 and p62 in BMDMs 24h post-infection with either live or heat-killed (56°C, 30 min) *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100, or upon autophagy induction with 500 mM rapamycin (Rap) with or without 25 µM glibenclamide.

Sup. Figure 4. Infection by leptospires does not trigger transcription of NRF2 antioxidant targets

A-B) RT-qPCR analyses of gluthatione S-reductase, catalase and heme oxygenase 1 mRNA levels in RAW264.7 cells 4h or 24h post-infection with *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae strain L495 at MOI 100. Bars correspond to mean +/- SD of technical replicates (*n*=3).