1　**SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2.75 variant may be much more infective than**
2　**preexisting variants**
3

4　　　　　Aki Sugano [a,b], Yutaka Takaoka [b,c,d,e,f,g,] *, Haruyuki Kataguchi [c,d],
5　　Minoru Kumaoka [b,d], Mika Ohta [b,c,d,f], Shigemi Kimura [b], Masatake Araki [g,],
6　　　　　　　　Yoshitomo Morinaga [h], Yoshihiro Yamamoto [i]
7

[a] Center for Clinical Research, Toyama University Hospital, Toyama 930-0194,
Japan
[b] Department of Medical Systems, Kobe University Graduate School of
Medicine, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0017, Japan
[c] Department of Computational Drug Design and Mathematical Medicine,
Graduate School of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of
Toyama, Toyama 930-0194, Japan
[d] Data Science Center for Medicine and Hospital Management, Toyama
University Hospital, Toyama 930-0194, Japan
[e] Center for Advanced Antibody Drug Development, University of Toyama,
Toyama 930-0194, Japan
[f] Life Science Institute, Kobe Tokiwa University, Kobe, Hyogo 653-0838, Japan
[g] Division of Genomics, Institute of Resource Development and Analysis,
Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-0811, Japan
[h] Department of Microbiology, Toyama University Graduate School of Medicine
and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Toyama, Toyama 930-0194, Japan
[i] Department of Clinical Infectious Diseases, Toyama University Graduate
School of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Toyama,
Toyama 930-0194, Japan
27

28　**Running head:** Omicron BA.2.75 may be much more infective than preexisting
29　variants
30

31　***Corresponding author:**
32　Phone: +81-76-434-7311, Fax: +81-76-434-5129
33　*E-mail address*: ytakaoka@med.u-toyama.ac.jp (Y. Takaoka).
34

35　**Abbreviations:** spike protein gene, S gene; angiotensin-converting enzyme 2,

36　ACE2; receptor binding domain, RBD

ABSTRACT

Objectives: In our previous research, we developed a mathematical model via molecular simulation analysis to predict the infectivity of seven SARS-CoV-2 variants. In this report, we aimed to predict the relative risk of the recent new variants of SARS-CoV-2 as based on our previous research.

Methods: We subjected Omicron BA.4/5 and BA.2.75 variants of SARS-CoV-2 to the analysis to determine the evolutionary distance of the spike protein gene (S gene) of the variants from the Wuhan variant so as to appreciate the changes in the spike protein. We performed the molecular docking simulation analyses of the spike proteins with human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) to understand the docking affinities of these variants. We then compared the evolutionary distances and the docking affinities of these variants with those of the seven variants that we had analyzed in our previous research.

Results: The evolutionary distances of the S gene in BA.4/5 and BA.2.75 from the Wuhan variant were longer than those of the other variants. BA.2.75 had the highest docking affinity of the spike protein with ACE2 (ratio per Wuhan variant).

Conclusion: The important results from this analysis are the following: BA.2.75 has both the highest docking affinity and the longest evolutionary distance of the S gene. These results suggest that BA.2.75 infection can spread farther than can infections of preexisting variants.

58 **Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Infectivity; Spike protein; Docking affinity;

59 Mathematical model

1. Introduction

In Japan, as of July 2022, infection with the Omicron BA.5 variant of SARS-CoV-2 has become an epidemic disease. In addition, Omicron BA.2.75 was discovered and is thought to present a particular risk inasmuch as it may cause a coming epidemic. We previously constructed a mathematical model to predict the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 variants—Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1and BA.2 as ratio per Wuhan variant (Takaoka et al., 2022). In this research here, we report the predicted risks for Omicron BA.4/5, and BA.2.75 which were recently recognized as being causes of epidemic diseases. For this purpose, we utilized the analyses of the evolutionary distance and of the docking simulation that we established in our previous research (Takaoka et al., 2022).

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Determination of the evolutionary distances between the Wuhan variant and variant spike protein genes (S genes), and docking affinities of the different spike proteins with ACE2

We analyzed the evolutionary distances of the S gene between the Wuhan variant and Omicron BA.4/5, or BA.2.75 according to our previously reported method (Takaoka et al., 2022). We obtained the sequences of the S gene by

searching the EpiCoV database of GISAID for the complete sequence of the S

gene. Accession identifications were as follows: for Omicron BA.4/5,

EPI_ISL_13410397, and for BA.2.75, EPI_ISL_13438754 (Elbe et al., 2017;

Khare et al., 2021).

We used docking simulation and cluster analysis to investigate the docking

affinity of the receptor binding domain (RBD) of each variant spike protein with

ACE2 (Takaoka et al., 2022). We calculated the docking affinity by means of the

following expression:

$$\frac{A}{A_{Wuhan}}^{\left(\frac{B}{B_{Wuhan}}\right)}$$

where A is the average score of the most stable cluster and B is the score of the

most stable complex in the docking result.

We obtained the amino acid sequences of the spike proteins from the CoVariants

website, which classifies the variants according to Nextstrain clades: 22A

(Omicron, BA.4), 22B (Omicron, BA.5), and 22D (Omicron, BA.2.75) (Hadfield et

al., 2018; Hodcroft 2021). BA.4 and BA.5 have the identical spike proteins, and

thus we grouped the sequence of their S genes or their amino acid sequences

together in this research.

3. Results

3.1. Evolutionary distances for S gene variants and results of docking of the RBD with ACE2 protein

Table 1 shows the S gene evolutionary distances between the Wuhan variant and each of the other variants, as well as the docking affinities of the RBD of the spike protein with ACE2 (ratio per Wuhan variant), which we determined from the docking simulation. The variants with longer evolutionary distances from the Wuhan variant had a tendency toward causing more epidemics. The Omicron BA.2.75 variant had the highest docking affinity of the spike protein with the ACE2 protein.

In comparison with BA.2, BA.4/5 had a longer S gene evolutionary distance but showed a lower docking affinity. BA.2.75 had not only a longer evolutionary distance but also a much higher docking affinity than did BA.2.

4. Discussion

For this report, we analyzed the evolutionary distance of the S gene and the docking affinity with ACE2 of the recent new Omicron variants, BA.4/5 and BA.2.75. We focused on only the S gene sequence to calculate the evolutionary distance and did not use the genome sequence, which are used for the phylogeny

provided by Nextstrain (Hadfield et al., 2018).

Our analyses is based on the following two factors, which play important roles in

the infectivity of the virus variants: (1) the ability of the virus to enter human cells

and (2) the effect of a neutralizing antibody in humans or the effect of vaccines.

The first is demonstrated by the docking affinity of each RBD in the spike protein

with ACE2, that is, which docking affinity is greater. The second is shown by the

evolutionary distance of the S gene from the Wuhan variant: the longer the

distance is, the weaker the effect of vaccines. It is because the currently available

vaccines were developed on the basis of the Wuhan variant (Hassine 2022).

However, the risk for exacerbation of SARS-CoV-2 cannot be appreciated via the

methods for the two factors.

Omicron BA.2.75 showed the highest docking affinity of the spike protein with the

ACE2 protein compared with the other seven variants (ratio per Wuhan variant).

In addition, the S gene evolutionary distance of BA.2.75 from the Wuhan variant

was the longest of the variants. Therefore, BA.2.75 has a superior ability to enter

human cells, and also the current vaccines are much less effective against this

variant. These results suggest that the BA.2.75 infection can spread farther than

can infections of preexisting variants. In addition, our results indicate the need for

a great caution in managing BA.2.75 because the number of severely ill patients

or sufferers will be increased along with the increased number of infected

individuals even if this variant has low risk for exacerbation.

5. Conclusion

We demonstrated here that the Omicron BA.2.75 variant of SARS-CoV-2 has the

longest results with regard to the evolutionary distance of the S gene from the

Wuhan variant and the highest results of the docking simulation for spike protein

with ACE2. Our results indicate that Omicron BA.2.75 poses a greater risk to

global health than other variants and that we must pay close attention to the

Omicron BA.2.75 infection trends.

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding

author upon reasonable request, except publicly available data source.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research [grant numbers 21K12110 to Y.T. and 22K12261 to A.S].

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge all data contributors, i.e., the Authors and their Originating laboratories responsible for obtaining the specimens, and their Submitting laboratories for generating the genetic sequence and metadata and sharing via the GISAID Initiative and all data provided by CoVariants, on which this research is based.

Author contributions

Y.T. conceived and designed this research. Y.T. and A.S. preformed the analyses and acquired the data. Y.T., A.S., and M.O. interpreted the data. Y.T. and A.S. wrote the draft, and all authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Ethical approval statement

181   This research is not applicable because we performed computer analyses by

182   using sequence data obtained from public database.

References

Elbe S, Buckland-Merrett G. Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's innovative contribution to global health. Global Challenges 2017; 1:33-46. DOI: 10.1002/gch2.1018PMCID: 31565258.

Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, Huddleston J, Potter B, Callender C, et al. Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics. 2018;34(23):4121-4123. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty407. Available from: https://nextstrain.org/. [Accessed August 24, 2022].

Hassine IH. Covid-19 vaccines and variants of concern: a review. Rev Med Virol 2022;32(4): e2313. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2313.

Hodcroft EB. CoVariants: SARS-CoV-2 Mutations and Variants of Interes. 2021. Available from: https://covariants.org/. [Accessed August 24, 2022].

Khare S, Gurry C, Freitas L, Schultz MB, Bach G, Diallo G, et al. GISAID's Role in Pandemic Response. China CDC Weekly 2021;3(49): 1049-1051. doi:10.46234/ccdcw2021.255. Available from: https://gisaid.org/. [Accessed August 24, 2022].

Takaoka Y, Sugano A, Morinaga Y, Ohta, M, Miura K, Kataguchi H, et al. Prediction of infectivity of SARS-CoV2: Mathematical model with analysis of docking simulation for spike proteins and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. Microb Risk Anal 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2022.100227.

Table 1 The evolutionary distance of the S gene and the docking affinity of spike protein with ACE2 (ratio per Wuhan variant)

	Wuhan	Alpha	Beta	Gamma	Delta	Omicron BA.1	Omicron BA.2	Omicron BA.4/5	Omicron BA.2.75
Evolutionary Distance of S gene (from Wuhan) x 10^-3	-	4.2	4.5	10.8	12.1	14.3	25.6	41.2	46.8
Docking affinity (Ratio per Wuhan)	1	1.15	1.23	1.32	2.40	3.00	7.91	4.82	21.05