Towards Stewardship of Wild Species and Their Domesticated Counterparts: A Case Study in

Northern Wild Rice (*Zizania palustris* L.)

Lillian McGilp[1], Matthew W. Haas[1], Mingqin Shao[1†], Reneth Millas[1], Claudia Castell-Miller[2],

Anthony J. Kern[3], Laura M. Shannon[4], Jennifer A. Kimball[1*]

[1] Department of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108,

USA;

[2] Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA;

[3] Department of Math, Science and Technology, University of Minnesota, Crookston, MN

56716, USA;

[4] Department of Horticultural Science, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108,

[†] Current address: Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National

Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

* Corresponding Author: jkimball@umn.edu

Key words: Zizania palustris, genetic diversity, genotyping-by-sequencing, spatio-temporal

diversity, selection, domestication

Abstract

Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) is an aquatic, annual grass with

significant ecological, cultural, and economic importance to the Great Lakes region of North

America. In this study, we assembled and genotyped a diverse collection of 839 NWR

individuals using genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) and obtained 5,955 single-nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs). Our collection consisted of samples from 12 wild NWR populations

collected across Minnesota and Western Wisconsin, some of which were collected over two time

points; a representative collection of cultivated NWR varieties and breeding populations; and a

Zizania aquatica outgroup. Using these data, we characterized the genetic diversity, relatedness,

and population structure of this broad collection of NWR genotypes. We found that wild

populations of NWR clustered primarily by their geographical location, with some clustering

patterns likely influenced by historical ecosystem management. Cultivated populations were

genetically distinct from wild populations, suggesting limited gene flow between the semi-

domesticated crop and its wild counterparts. The first genome-wide scans of putative selection

events in cultivated NWR suggest that the crop is undergoing heavy selection pressure for traits

conducive to irrigated paddy conditions. Overall, this study presents a large set of SNP markers

for use in NWR genetic studies and provides new insights into the gene flow, history, and

complexity of wild and cultivated populations of NWR.

Introduction

Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) is an annual, diploid ($2n=2x=30$), aquatic grass native to the Eastern Temperate and Northern Forest ecoregions of North America [1,2]. Predominantly found in shallow, slow-moving waters surrounding the Great Lakes region of North America, NWR's regional significance in these areas is vast and complex [3–8]. To begin, NWR is a natural resource that provides food and substantial habitat for a wide range of wildlife [9,10] as well as important ecosystem services such as anchoring riparian soils and inhibiting algal blooms [11]. For centuries, this nutritious grain has been hand-harvested from regional lakes and rivers by Dakota and Anishinaabe Peoples [2,12], and 'psin' (Dakota) or 'manoomin' (Ojibwe, Anishinaabe) remains an integral component of their cultures and lives today. The species has also become a high-value commodity crop that includes hand-harvested grain from regional waters, and cultivated grain from irrigated paddies, grown primarily in Minnesota (MN) and California (CA) [13]. As a part of the *Oryzae* tribe in the *Poaceae* family, *Zizania* species are also considered crop wild relatives of *Oryza sativa* L. (white rice). Given the many roles described above, we contend that the conservation of NWR serves as an important intersection between our ecosystems, our cultures and food, and our economies.

Worldwide, plant species are experiencing declines and extinction events as a result of human activities altering natural ecosystems. For at least the last century, NWR has been experiencing such declines in its native habitats [14,15], and the species appears to be slowly migrating northward [16]. Recent reports have stated that NWR is at high risk of loss, and the International Union for Conservation of Nature has added NWR to their red list of threatened species [17]. Hydrological changes due to damming and channelization, recreational water activity, shoreline development, and water pollution from industrial activities have all been associated

with the decline of NWR in its natural habitats [6,15]. The species is particularly sensitive to high

levels of sulfates in its water supply and acts as an important indicator species of water quality

[6,18]. In addition to these conservation challenges, NWR seed is intermediately recalcitrant or

desiccation intolerant, which reduces seed longevity in storage to 1-2 years [19] and reduces the

feasibility of preservation in *ex-situ* seed banks. Therefore, the genetic diversity of NWR is

currently only preserved *in-situ* within its natural range (Porter, 2019).

Genetic diversity represents the extent of heritable variation within and among

populations of a species, and its preservation is vital for the maintenance of long-term viability in

the face of continual environmental change [20,21]. A 2008 MN Department of Natural Resources

(MN DNR) report on the health of NWR natural stands concluded that the species' greatest

threat was an overall state-wide decline in genetic diversity [15]. Biologists and conservationists

have widely recognized the value of characterizing genome-wide diversity within a species for

use in conservation efforts [22,23]. However, few such studies have been conducted for NWR, and

molecular studies have heavily relied on obsolete marker systems [5,24,25], which are laborious to

produce and often limited in number [26]. The advent of low-cost high-throughput sequencing,

such as genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS), which provides genome-wide coverage of co-

dominant, single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers, has improved the genetic diversity

characterization of extensive and complex germplasm collections [27]. In 2019, a study with

limited sample size demonstrated the potential for GBS to be applied to NWR [28].

The cultivation of NWR in irrigated man-made paddies, similar to white rice production,

began in the 1950s to create an industry capable of supplying a consistent source of the grain to

agricultural markets. As such, the production of cultivated NWR (cNWR) is a fairly new

endeavor and only ~60 cycles of targeted selection separate cNWR from its wild counterparts.

Breeders of cNWR have focused primarily on adapting the species to agronomic production in

paddies, and on fixing seed-shattering resistance in the crop [2]. However, concerns regarding the

potential impact of gene flow between cNWR and natural stands of NWR have been raised given

the species' outcrossing nature [12,29–31]. The Great Lakes region is the center of both origin and

diversity of *Z. palustris*; therefore, it is important to understand the potential impact of

domesticating and cultivating cNWR in those areas. As plant breeders, we have a responsibility

to be good stewards of our natural and domesticated plants. Thus, an understanding of how

cNWR fits into local landscapes, and particularly, to what extent gene flow is occurring among

natural stands and cNWR is essential to overall germplasm preservation.

In this study, we generated a genome-wide SNP dataset via GBS for a NWR diversity

collection to study the population structure and gene flow within and among wild and cultivated

populations. We aimed to improve our understanding of the genetic variability within the species

and provide new information regarding the selective pressures applied to cNWR germplasm.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials. The diversity collections consisted of wild populations gathered across northern

MN and Wisconsin (WI) (referred to here as the Natural Stand collection); cultivars and

germplasm from the UMN cNWR breeding program (referred to here as the Cultivated

collection); and a population of *Zizania aquatica* L. from the Platte River in MN (referred to here

as the outgroup). A Temporal panel was also generated to look at potential changes in diversity

over time within two natural stand lake populations. In all, a total of 889 individuals were

evaluated in this study, which consisted of 530 Natural Stand and 209 Cultivated samples

collected in 2018, in addition to 100 samples collected in 2010 for the Temporal panel.

The Natural Stand collection was obtained from 10 wild populations across northern

Minnesota (50 samples per lake/river) and 2 wild populations from western Wisconsin (10 from

Mud Hen Lake; 20 from Phantom Lake) (Figure 1; Table S1). An additional 50 *Z. aquatica*

samples, also collected in central Minnesota, were used as an outgroup in this study. Three major

hydrologic unit code (HUC) subbasins were represented in this collection including seven

populations from the Upper Mississippi River (UMR) watershed, three populations from the Red

River of the North (RRN) watershed, and two populations from the St. Croix River (SCR)

watershed (Figure 1; Table S1). A distance (km) matrix for all Natural Stand populations is

available in Table S2.

The Cultivated collection consisted of leaf samples from 209 open-pollinated individuals,

representing 4 cultivars and 10 breeding populations from the UMN cNWR breeding program.

Samples were collected at the UMN North Central Research and Outreach Center (NCROC) in

Grand Rapids, MN in 2018 (Table S1; Figure 1; Figure S1).

Our Temporal collection consisted of 200 natural stand samples collected from Garfield

and Shell Lakes in 2010 and in 2018, with 50 samples collected in each lake at each time point.

GPS coordinates were used to ensure that the same population at the same location were

collected at both time points (Table S1). We would like to note that samples from Garfield and

Shell Lakes collected in 2018 were also a part of the Natural Stand collection (50 samples per

lake).

DNA extraction and sequencing. During collection, approximately 8 cm of leaf tissue was

harvested on ice and then lyophilized using a TissueLyser II (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA).

Genomic DNA was extracted using a Qiagen DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA,

131 USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration was measured with a

132 NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), samples were

133 submitted to the UMN Genomics Center for library preparation and sequencing. The digestion

134 step was performed using two restriction enzymes, *Btg1* (5'-C/CRYGG-3') and *TaqI* (5'-

135 T/CGA-3') (Shao et al., 2019). Afterward, unique barcodes were ligated to DNA fragments for

136 sample identification and pooling. Single-end 150-bp sequencing to a depth of 2.5 million reads

137 per sample was performed on an Illumina NovaSeq machine (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

138 Raw data were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Short Read

139 Archive (NCBI SRA) under accession number PRJNA774842. BioSample accession numbers

140 for individual samples are provided in Table S3.

142 *Read mapping*. Quality control was initially performed on the FASTQ files using fastQC version

143 0.11.7 [32] to check for read quality and adapter contamination. After adapter trimming with

144 Cutadapt version 1.18 [33], reads were mapped to the reference genome v1.0 of cNWR cultivar

145 'Itasca-C12' [34] of BWA-MEM version 0.7.13 [35]. SNPs were called using the 'mpileup' function

146 from BCFtools version 2.3 [36] and sorted with the sort function from samtools version 1.9 [37],

147 resulting in 2,183 variant call format (VCF) files, one for each scaffold of the NWR genome [34].

148 The 17 largest VCF files representing the 15 NWR chromosomes and 2 additional large scaffolds

149 of the NWR reference genome were merged into a single VCF file with the 'concat' function

150 from BCFtools. Filtering of the merged VCF file was carried out using VCFtools [38]. All analyses

151 were done with default parameters. A maximum missing rate of 20% across all samples and a

152 minimum depth of 4 reads per variant site was used to obtain the final SNP set.

Marker statistics. Summary statistics were calculated for each SNP including their distribution

across chromosomes and scaffolds, their polymorphism information content (PIC) value, and

their transition/transversion (TsTv) ratio. Polymorphism information content (PIC) was

calculated using the *snpReady* R package [39]. The number and type of transitions and

transversions were calculated using VCFtools.

Genetic Diversity Assessments. To assess the structure and distribution of genetic variation

within our collections, we conducted a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). To generate the full

sample PCoA, the VCF file resulting from our SNP calling pipeline was imported into the R

statistical environment [40] using the *vcfR* package [41]. For the individual Natural Stand, Cultivated,

and Temporal PCoAs, the original VCF file was first subsetted to only include the relevant

samples using PLINK version 1.90b6.10 [42]. For all PCoAs, the R package *vegan* [43] was used to

calculate a dissimilarity matrix based on the Jaccard distance using the 'vegdist' function, as well

as eigenvectors and eigenvalues using the 'cmdscale' function. PCoA plots were then generated

using the ggplot2 package [44].

Neighbor-joining trees for the Natural Stand and Cultivated collections as well as the

Temporal collection were created using the R packages *adegenet* [45,46], *ape* [47], *poppr* [48,49], and

vcfR. The temporal cluster analysis was performed similarly to the methods described in

Jacquemyn et al., 2006 [50]. We estimated Prevosti's genetic distance using the unweighted pair

group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm option for the 'aboot' function

(bootstrapped dendrograms) in *poppr* with the 1,000 bootstrap replicates, and a selected cutoff

value of 50.

Population structure and admixture in our Natural Stand and Cultivated collections was

assessed using Bayesian clustering implemented in STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 [51]. Genotypic

data for these individuals and the final bi-allelic SNP set were loaded into STRUCTURE and

analyzed with the admixture model. The Markov Chain Monte Carlo was run from $K=2$ to $K=14$

with a burn-in length of 1,000 followed by 10,000 iterations. Lower K values were used to look

for larger structural diversity patterns, while the higher K values were chosen to test if we were

able to separate each population into their own STRUCTURE-assigned cluster (e.g., area of

collection). Each K value was run 3 times, then compiled into a merged file using the

'clumppExport' function from the *pophelper* R package and subsequently plotted with the

pophelper package [52]. The ideal number of clusters was determined using the DeltaK statistic

from the Structure Harvester web tool version 0.6.94 [53], which uses the Evanno et al. (2005)

method for determining the number of clusters [54].

Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was performed using the 'poppr.amova'

function from the *poppr* R package based on the work of Excoffier et al. (1992) [55]. Groups were

defined based on their collection membership (e.g., their lake/river of origin or cultivar/breeding

line identity), species (*Z. palustris* or *Z. aquatica*), and, more broadly, their germplasm type (e.g.,

Natural Stand or Cultivated). We performed AMOVAs using the "farthest neighbor" algorithm

and the "quasieuclid" (default) method in the *ade4* package using default parameters [56]. The

significance was determined using the 'randtest' function with 999 repetitions.

Correlation between geographic and genetic distances in our Natural Stand collection,

were assessed with a Mantel test using the 'mantel.test' function from the *ape* R package, based

on genetic distances calculated with the 'dist.genpop' function from the *adegenet* R package and

geographic distances calculated using the 'distm' function from the *geosphere* R package [57]. The

regression equation was found by fitting the genetic and geographic distances to a linear model.

Gene flow. Pairwise estimations of genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) [58] between different subgroups

based on geographic origin and germplasm type (cultivated population, natural stand, species)

were calculated using the 'stamppFst' function from the *StAMPP* R package [59]. Dsuite version

0.4 [60] was used to calculate Patterson's *D*-statistics, also known as the ABBA-BABA statistic, in

order to test for introgressions between groups. Since this analysis requires four groups, we

defined the groups based on their membership in STRUCTURE-assigned groups when *K*=4.

This approach split the Natural Stands into two groups, while the Cultivated collection formed a

third group. The fourth group (the outgroup) was *Z. aquatica*.

Genome-wide Scans for Signatures of Selection. We used a series of tests to identify putative

selection events in cultivated NWR. We calculated nucleotide diversity (π) [61], Tajima's D [62], and

F_{ST} [58] for each SNP using VCFtools. Natural Stand and Cultivated collections were analyzed

separately. The results were plotted in the R statistical environment by averaging π values of ~10

Mb/bin. We also used the Cross-Population Composite Likelihood Ratio (XP-CLR) test [63] to test

for large deviations between Natural Stand and Cultivated collections.

Results

Genotyping-by-sequencing. Using GBS technology, 839 *Z. palustris* samples representing the

Natural Stand, Cultivated, and Temporal collections along with 50 samples of *Z. aquatic*a, which

served as an outgroup, were sequenced to a depth of 2.5 million reads per sample. A total of

1,833,504,458 reads were generated with an average of 2,185,345 reads per sample. From these

data, a total of 5,955 SNP markers were identified that met our filtering criteria, 3,005 (50.1%),

of which, reside in genes. Basic marker statistics are shown in Table 1. The SNP density ranged

from 1.15 - 6.37 SNPs/Mb per chromosome with a genome-wide average of 4.34 SNPs/Mb

using 1Mb bins. In genic regions, the SNP density ranged from 10-20 SNPs/Mb per

chromosome. The genome-wide TsTv ratio was 3.15 with a minimum of 1.50 (ZPchr0458) and a

maximum of 4.18 (ZPchr0016) (Table S4). PIC ranged from 0.030 - 0.313, with an average of

0.217 (Table S5).

Genetic Diversity of NWR Collections. To visualize the variation within the diversity collection,

we first performed PCoA on both the Natural Stand and Cultivated collections together (Figure

2a). The first three principal coordinates explained 12.5%, 7.7%, and 7.3% of the variance,

respectively (27.5% total). Within the first coordinate, samples were primarily split into two

clusters, including a cluster of all *Z. aquatica* samples and the majority of Natural Stand samples,

and a second cluster including all Cultivated samples and Bass and Decker Lake populations

from the UMR watershed (Figure 2a). Upper Rice Lake genotypes appeared to blend between

these two main groups but trended more heavily toward the group containing the UMR

watershed genotypes. Within the first two principal coordinates, a large range of continuous

variation can be seen, with samples primarily grouped by their germplasm type and geographic

origin. Overall, these two principal coordinates failed to fully separate individual populations,

though most *Z. aquatica* samples did separate from those of *Z. palustris* (Figure 2a). The

Cultivated collection largely formed its own group with only a small number of samples

overlapping with those from Bass, Upper Rice, Decker, Dahler, and Phantom (WI) Lakes. The

third principal coordinate, in conjunction with coordinate 1, isolated *Z. aquatica* from all *Z.*

palustris samples, Natural Stand and Cultivated collections, while maintaining the separation of

the two main groups defined by coordinates 1 and 2 (Figure S2).

When analyzed separately from the Cultivated collection, the first two coordinates of the

Natural Stand collection explained 19% and 13% of the variance, respectively (Figure 2b). The

two main clusters were consistent with Figure 2a, as was Upper Rice Lake bridging the two

clusters (Figure 2b), while *Z. aquatica* formed a more distinct cluster in principal coordinate 2.

Natural Stand populations from the SCR fell between *Z. aquatica* and the rest of the MN *Z.*

palustris populations, with only one *Z. palustris* sample from Dahler Lake overlapping with each

of these groups. Other populations appear to cluster along a geographical gradient rather than by

watershed. For example, the samples from Clearwater and Ottertail Rivers in the RRN, and

Plantagenet and Shell Lakes in the UMR, which are located near one another, also grouped

closely together (Figure 2b; Table S2). There was also a more defined population structure

among UMR populations with several smaller grouping patterns within the larger two clusters

including Necktie River with Garfield Lake, and Bass Lake with Dahler and Decker Lakes

populations.

Compared to the Natural Stand collection, there was far less genetic structure evident

among genotypes of the 4 cultivars and 10 breeding lines of the Cultivated collection (Figure 2a

and c). When the Cultivation collection was analyzed alone, the first and second principal

coordinates explained 10% and 7% of the variation, respectively (Figure 2c). The most distinct

cluster within the Cultivated collection consisted of samples of 'K2', which is a cNWR cultivar

released in 1972 that has been kept spatially isolated from other cNWR populations for at least

the last 20+ years. While some grouping was present between individuals of a variety or

breeding population, there was an overall lack of population structure among Cultivated

materials.

The UPGMA clustering analysis (Figure S3) was consistent with some of the clustering

observed in the PCoA (Figure 2a-c). Monophyletic clades could be defined for many of the

sampling locations, which included the majority of the samples from those populations. Notably,

all samples from Clearwater River clustered, as did 98% of the samples from Ottertail River and

92% of the samples from Lake Plantagenet. In total, over 80% of samples within individual

populations clustered together except for Upper Rice Lake, Shell Lake and Phantom Lake, which

were distributed throughout the tree. Decker Lake and Bass Lake samples each formed two

separate clusters distributed through the tree.

Four primary clades were identified in the UPGMA tree. To begin, a *Z. aquatica* clade

was identified, which contained the vast majority of the *Z. aquatica* samples but had low

bootstrap support (<50%). An additional 25 samples from 8 different Natural Stand populations

and 2 Cultivated populations did not cluster with anything else and were found at the base of the

tree. The lack of clustering within these samples is likely unknown at this time. The remaining

three clades included a clade with samples from Necktie (UMR), Garfield (UMR), and

Plantagenet (UMR) Lakes; a clade with samples from Upper Rice (RRN), Phantom (SCR),

Dahler (UMR), Decker (UMR) and Bass (UMR) Lakes as well as Cultivated samples; and a

clade with samples from Clearwater River (RRN), Shell Lake (UMR) and Ottertail River

(RRN).

Within the tree, 91% of Cultivated samples grouped together and formed a cluster with

40% of samples from Upper Rice Lake, 14% each from Phantom and Bass Lakes, 8% from

Dahler Lake, 6% each from Shell and Decker Lakes, and a single sample each from Lake

Plantagenet and *Z. aquatica* populations. Consistent with the PCoA, there was minimal structure

within Cultivated samples. However, unlike the PCoA, samples from Shell Lake, Necktie River,

and Lake Plantagenet as well as a single sample of *Z. aquatica* fell within the clade containing

Cultivated samples. A second Cultivated cluster at the base of the tree consisted entirely of a

subset of K2 samples (10/20).

Using STRUCTURE analyses from *K=2-14*, evidence of admixture can be seen across

species, geographic origin, and germplasm type (Figures 3 and S4), similar to the results of the

PCoA and UPGMA analyses. Although there was a significant decrease in the DeltaK statistic

between *K=2* and *K=3*, the lowest value was found at *K=5* (Figure S5). Most notably, *Z.*

aquatica separated from the Natural Stand populations for the first time at *K=5*, while the

Cultivated collection formed its own cluster and the Natural Stands grouped similarly to the

PCoA and UPGMA plots (Figure 3). The vast majority of the collections showed limited

admixture (<1%) between different populations with the exceptions of Upper Rice Lake and

Phantom Lake. Upper Rice Lake showed heavy admixture with Decker Lake, Dahler Lake, Bass

Lake, Ottertail River, and Shell Lake populations. Phantom Lake showed an average of 21.43%

admixture with the Cultivated materials. Other population groupings were also informative, for

example at *K=3*, we observed the Cultivated collection separate into its own cluster, while the

other two clusters consisted of the Natural Stand populations and *Z. aquatica* (Figure 3).

Interesting patterns were found at higher *K* values as well. At *K=10*, *Z. aquatica* and the

Cultivated collection remained largely unchanged from *K=5* as did Bass Lake, Clearwater River,

and Necktie River populations (Figure S4). Populations with high admixture including Phantom

and Upper Rice Lakes also remained largely unchanged. However, the Mud Hen Lake

population, which showed high admixture at *K=5*, formed a unique cluster at *K=10*, possibly

owing to its distance from other sampling sites, as one of only two lakes collected in Wisconsin.

Mud Hen Lake was further delineated at *K=14*, splitting into two unique clusters. The results of

K=14 were otherwise consistent with *K=10* (Figure S4).

Analysis of Molecular Variance. Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was conducted

between several different groupings including: 1) Natural Stand populations vs. Natural Stand

populations; 2) Cultivated lines vs. Cultivated lines; 3) Natural Stand populations vs. Cultivated

lines; and 4) *Z. palustris* individuals vs. *Z. aquatica* individuals. The AMOVA results revealed

more variation within rather than between groups (Table 2). All the comparative groups

identified that 3.37%-8.10% of the variation could be attributed to differences among the groups,

rather than within. The highest and lowest variation among groups were identified in the Natural

Stand vs Natural Stand analysis (8.10%) and the Cultivated vs Cultivated analysis (3.37%),

respectively (Table 2).

Gene Flow. F_{ST} values were calculated to compare the genetic differentiation within and among

the Natural Stand and Cultivated collections (Figure 4). Overall, genetic differentiation between

the Natural Stand populations was 0.09, with a range of 0.04 - 0.16. Pairwise comparisons that

included Mud Hen Lake had the highest F_{ST} values, most above 0.13, indicating high

differentiation from other Natural Stand populations. The Mud Hen Lake population was most

similar to Phantom Lake (0.09) and Upper Rice Lake (0.01) populations. Overall, Necktie River

and Garfield Lake (F_{ST} =0.04) and Decker and Upper Rice Lakes (F_{ST} =0.04) were the most

similar populations. As seen with the results of the PCoA, Upper Rice Lake appeared similar to a

number of other Natural Stand populations including Bass, Decker, and Phantom Lake

populations, which all had F_{st} values below 0.05. Although most pairwise comparisons between

Z. aquatica and the Natural Stand populations had F_{st} values above 0.1, Upper Rice Lake and

Phantom Lake values were slightly lower at $F_{st} = 0.08$ and 0.07, respectively.

The pairwise comparisons between Cultivated populations had much lower F_{st} values,

with an average of 0.03 and a range of 0.01 - 0.06, indicating less differentiation than the Natural

Stand populations. For pairwise comparisons between Natural Stand and Cultivated populations,

the average F_{st} value was 0.10, with a range of 0.05 - 0.16. Phantom lake showed the highest

similarity to the Cultivated populations, with F_{st} values below 0.06 for all comparisons except

that with K2 (F_{st}=0.07). Mud Hen Lake comparisons with Cultivated populations had the highest

F_{st} values, all falling above 0.13, similar to its comparisons with other Natural Stand populations.

Z. aquatica pairwise comparisons also had relatively high F_{st} values, with a range of 0.11 - 0.13.

To further examine the basis for the observed genetic differentiation, we used a Mantel

test to look for correlation between genetic and geographic distances of the Natural Stand

collection. A positive correlation between the two was observed ($r^2 = 0.4011$) and the best fit line

yielded a regression equation of $y = 0.1 + 0.0002x$ (Figure S6). Permutation tests showed that the

observed results were unlikely to have occurred by chance (*p*-value < 0.05) (Figure S7). We

were also interested in whether we could detect admixture between our Natural Stand and

Cultivated collections. Using *D*-statistics, we analyzed three groups including one Cultivated

group, two Natural Stand groups based on PCoA and STRUCTURE analyses, along with *Z.*

aquatica, which served as an outgroup, and found that there was no significant admixture

between the groups (Z=1.66, *p*=0.098; Table S6).

The Temporal Collection. We examined the effect of time on the genetic diversity of two Natural

Stand populations collected in 2010 and 2018, using the same approaches used to compare

Natural Stand and Cultivated collections. The first principal coordinate of the PCoA for the

Temporal collection separated Garfield and Shell Lakes, demonstrating population level

differences. Meanwhile, the second coordinate, which explained 7% of the variation, was largely

attributed to the temporal variation between Shell Lake samples collected in 2010 vs 2018

(Figure 2d). Within the first two principal components, the Garfield Lake population did not

separate by time points. The Shell Lake population, on the other hand, demonstrated a wider

range of variation in 2010 than it did in 2018. Additionally, a small unique cluster, not identified

in 2010, was identified in 2018 (Figure 2d). Similar patterns were found in the UPGMA tree with

monophyletic clades defined for both lakes (Figure S8). There was an even distribution of

clustering patterns between Garfield Lake samples collected in 2010 and 2018. For Shell Lake,

we observed one unique cluster consisting of 76% of the 2010 samples and 22% of the 2018

samples. The remaining samples showed minimal clustering with few differences between

samples collected at different time points (Figure S8). The pairwise comparisons of each lake's

genetic differentiation over time further substantiated these findings as Garfield Lake 2010 vs.

2018 comparison had a lower F_{st} value of 0.0004 and Shell Lake in the same two time periods

had a higher value of 0.0125 (Figure 4).

Scanning for Signatures of Selection. To identify genomic regions potentially subjected to

selection or other genetic bottlenecks in cNWR, we calculated genome-wide statistics for

nucleotide diversity (π), F_{st}, and XP-CLR tests (Figure 5). While we observed considerable

similarity between π values of the Natural Stand and Cultivated collections across the genome

(Figure 5a), we identified 25 bins with negative Tajima's D values in the Cultivated collection

(Table S7). The most negative Tajima's D was -0.192 at ~50 Mb on ZPchr0009. Genome-wide

scans of F_{st} values calculated between Natural Stand and Cultivated collections identified 166

individual pairwise comparisons above 0.10; 63 above 0.20; 24 above 0.30; 9 above 0.40; and 3

above 0.50 (Figure 5b). Genomic positions for these hits are listed in Table S7. The top 1% of F_{st}

scores were identified on ZPchr0007, ZPchr0009, and ZPchr0013. Finally, we identified 9

regions of the genome with XP-CLR scores above 40 and 4 regions with scores above 60 (Figure

5c). The top 1% of these scores included 468 SNPs, including 141 hits on ZPchr0005 between

8.5-9.7 Mb, 112 hits on ZPchr0006 between 1.2-1.4 Mb, 22 hits on ZPchr0011 between 1.3-1.4

Mb, and 189 hits on ZPchr0013 between 6.2-6.8Mb (Table S7). Allele frequencies of SNPs in

these regions were all fixed in the Cultivated collection and ~0.50/0.50 in the Natural Stand

collection.

No putative regions of interest were identified across all three tests. However, 11 5-Mb

regions with the top 1% of Tajima's D and F_{st} scores were identified, including 2 regions on

ZPchr0001; 2 regions on ZPchr0002; 2 on ZPchr0008; 2 regions on ZPchr0009; 1 on ZPchr0010;

1 on ZPchr0012; and 1 on ZPchr0015 (Table S7). Two regions, less than 1 Mb each, with

overlapping top 1% of F_{st} and Xp-CLR scores were identified on ZPchr0011 and ZPchr0013.

Discussion

Assessment of Northern Wild Rice via Genotyping-By-Sequencing. Cost-effective sequencing

technologies that are capable of generating robust sets of genome-wide molecular markers, such

as GBS, are providing researchers, especially those working with complex plant genomes and

limited public resources, an avenue for rapid variant detection [64–68]. In this study, we developed a

large genome-wide SNP dataset, aligned to the NWR reference genome, to assess the

relationship between natural and cultivated populations as well as to provide a basis for future

breeding and conservation studies. However, we want to emphasize that this GBS approach,

which is based on *Btg1* and *TaqI* restriction enzymes, may have introduced a bias in allele

frequencies due to polymorphisms in restriction sites [69], which could have led to slightly skewed

inferences regarding the population genetics of NWR.

Relationships within the Zizania Genus. Species of *Zizania* are endemic to North America [70,71]

and split from the Oryzinae subtribe 20-30 million years ago (MYA) [34,72,73]. Following this split,

the Zizaniinae subtribe is hypothesized to have experienced a radiation of speciation across

North America and into eastern Asia as individuals made it over the Bering land bridge, leading

to the speciation of *Zizania latifolia* [74]. Comparison of the *Z. palustris* and *Z. latifolia* genomes

suggest that the two species split 6-8 MYA [34]. Extant North American species split 0.7-1.1

MYA; this split was likely precipitated by increases in the habitat range of the *Zizania* progenitor

species as climatic conditions shifted over the last million years. [71,75]. Evidence suggests that

Zizania texana, an endangered species living in a small stretch of the San Marcos River Valley in

the southern US, is a relic, isolated population of the ancestral *Z. palustris* species [74]. However,

the evolutionary relationship between *Z. aquatica*, a species found from the Great Lakes region

to the east coast of the continental US, and *Z. palustris* is not well understood. This is likely due

to their overlapping range and interspecific crossability [74,76]. In this study, the UPGMA,

STRUCTURE, and principal coordinate analyses showed only moderate support for the

separation of *Z. palustris* and *Z. aquatica*. *Fst* values were primarily affected by geographic

distance, and highest within *Z. palustris* rather than between *Z. palustris* vs *Z. aquatica* (Figure

4). This may be due to the limited sample size in this study and more research is needed to

resolve the complex relationship between these *Zizania* species.

Structure of Northern Wild Rice Populations is Tied to Geography and a Complex History of

Ecosystem Management. Previous genetic analyses of wild populations of NWR have found

limited gene flow between populations, and a lack of data to support a correlation between

population structure and geographical location [5,24,74]. In this study, we did not find evidence of

significant gene flow among wild populations of NWR, with populations by and large clustering

according to their lake or river of origin (Figures 2, 3, and S3). This level of differentiation was

also evidenced by the moderate *Fst* values (0.05-0.15)[77] found between Natural Stand

populations (Figure 4). However, the majority of our analyses, including the Mantel test (Figure

S6), suggest a geographic basis for population structure in NWR. For example, Garfield Lake

and Necktie River, the two closest populations in our study, displayed a high level of similarity

with one another (Figure 2b; Figure 3). Therefore, it appears that while gene flow is limited in

NWR, it likely occurs between populations in close proximity and more research is needed to

understand the spatial dynamics of NWR populations, whose aquatic habitats are often discrete

and fragmented. While the primary drivers of gene flow in NWR are not well understood, Lu et

al., 2005 found the area and size of a NWR population, along with its degree of isolation, were

major factors affecting the genetic variability and gene flow among the NWR populations tested.

Additionally, recent pollen travel studies found that most pollen is dispersed within the first 7 m

for *Z. palustris* [78] and 1.5 m for *Z. texana* [79], limiting the likelihood of high levels of gene flow

via wind-pollination in the genus.

The historical management and development of lakes across NWR's natural range have

likely contributed to the population structure identified in this study. Efforts to establish new

stands of NWR as well as to address declining population sizes have resulted in reseeding efforts

across the species' natural range [80,81]. For example, Upper Rice Lake (RRN), which is known to

have undergone extensive reseeding efforts since the 1930s (Dr. Kimball, *personal*

communication), clustered primarily with several UMR populations while showing limited

overlap with other RRN populations (Figure 2b; Figure 3). Upper Rice Lake also showed heavy

admixture with a number of lakes in STRUCTURE analyses (Figure 3). Taken together, these

results suggest that human intervention may have altered the genetic variability and population

structure of the Upper Rice Lake population assessed in this study. Additionally, Phantom Lake

of the SCR watershed displayed heavy admixture with Cultivated materials. These results were

surprising as Phantom Lake is one of the most geographically distant Natural Stand populations

from cNWR production in this study, and closer populations displayed little to no admixture with

Cultivated materials (Figure 3). However, Phantom Lake is part of the Crex Meadows State

Wildlife Area and was artificially created in the 1950s, when a series of levee systems were

installed. NWR restoration in this area began in 1991, with 500 lbs (227 kg) of seed sown over

the course of three years [82]. We hypothesize that at least a portion of the seed utilized in these

efforts came from cNWR production, further highlighting the complexity of population genetic

studies in NWR, as well as the importance of documenting seed sources used in reseeding

efforts. We also suggest that future reseeding efforts should not use Phantom Lake populations

as a seed source based on the recommendations of the Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife

Commission [83].

The data presented here for 12 wild populations of NWR likely represents only a small

fraction of the species' genetic diversity. However, even with our small sample size, we were

able to identify unique genetic variation within many of the populations in the Natural Stand

collection. This indicates that for conservation efforts, it is important to consider populations of

NWR individually as they may harbor unique alleles and may be more or less adapted to

environmental change. Further studies, using a broader range and more even distribution of

sampling locations, will increase our knowledge about the population structure and genetic

relationship between wild NWR populations and aid with decision making for future reseeding

and other conservation-based efforts.

Spatio-Temporal Genetic Diversity Analyses Can Aid in Conservation Efforts. Comprehensive

monitoring of the spatio-temporal genetic diversity of a species can provide a better

understanding of the evolutionary change a species undergoes over time and help to identify

targets for conservation efforts. Although present-day genetic diversity studies are available for a

wide array of plant species, the majority of spatio-temporal diversity assessments have focused

on large agricultural commodity crops [84–86]. Few studies have focused on natural populations [87,88]

and many, only theoretically [89,90]. As NWR is an important target for conservation, monitoring

the spatio-temporal diversity of wild populations would provide impactful data for resource

managers and environmental agencies interested in the health and preservation of NWR

populations across the species natural range.

As a preview of what a more extensive study on the spatio-temporal genetic diversity of

NWR could provide, we evaluated two populations, Garfield and Shell Lakes, in 2010 and 2018.

Comparing these two time points, we identified a reduction of diversity in samples collected

from Shell Lake in 2018 compared to those collected in 2010, while observing limited change in

the Garfield Lake population (Figure 2d). This may suggest that Shell Lake has experienced a

loss of genetic diversity during the eight years between collection times, while Garfield Lake has

not. However, more data is needed to confirm this hypothesis. A wide array of factors could have

contributed to this reduction in diversity in Shell Lake, including the 3 - 5 year boom and bust

cycles of NWR [91], or environmental conditions favorable for specific genotypes in the

population's seed bank. It is also possible that shoreline development and recreation stemming

from campgrounds and resorts on Shell Lake could have impacted the health of its native NWR

population [15].

Cultivated Northern Wild Rice is Distinct from Natural Stand Populations. Gene flow between

domesticated crops and their wild counterparts can have significant impacts on both natural

ecosystems and agricultural production systems. Genetic contamination, loss of identity and

genetic diversity, and increased weediness are all potential consequences of gene flow [92]. For

these reasons, the extent of gene flow between crops and their wild cohorts has been evaluated in

numerous species and found to be dependent on a variety of factors including, but not limited to,

mating system (i.e. out-crossing vs selfing), the type and frequency of pollination (i.e. insect vs

wind), the selective (dis)advantage of particular domesticated traits (i.e. seed shattering

resistance reducing seed dispersal), genetic drift, and genotype $\times$ environment interactions [92–95].

Some studies, such as those in soybean (*Glycine max*), have identified limited gene flow, with

domesticated and wild samples separating into monophyletic clades [96,97]. Other studies have

identified significant historical gene flow during domestication, such as Emmer wheat (*Triticum*

dicoccon) [98], as well as on-going gene flow between crop-weed complexes, such as those in

cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) [99], pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)[100], and species in

the *Sorghum* genus [101,102].

519    Given the out-crossing nature of NWR and that cNWR production occurs within the

520 centers of origin and diversity of *Z. palustris*, it is important to understand the extent of gene

521 flow between cultivated and wild populations. This study found that Natural Stand and

522 Cultivated collections are genetically distinct from one another (Figure 2a; Figure 3; Figure S4),

523 indicating minimal gene flow between these two groups and corroborating the results of previous

524 diversity studies in NWR using different marker systems [5,24,25]. However, based on the 1st

525 principal coordinate from Figure 2a, we identified more similarities between the Cultivated

526 collection and Bass, Decker, and Dahler Lakes than other Natural Stand populations. These lakes

527 are geographically close to the UMN cNWR paddy complex in Grand Rapids, MN and could

528 suggest gene flow. However, it is more likely that this is due to a shared ancestral relationship, as

529 neither STRUCTURE analysis (Figure 3) nor *D*-statistics (Table S6) suggest recent gene flow

530 between the two populations. Importantly, the cultivated germplasm in use today is all descended

531 from natural stand samples originally collected from this geographical region within the UMR

532 watershed. Cultivation and domestication of NWR began in Aitkin, MN and several small

533 enterprises likely gathered seeds from local populations to build their germplasm bases [13].

535 *Domestication and Stewardship of Cultivated Northern Wild Rice.* As domestication is a process

536 rather than a specific event, species exhibit varying levels of domestication [103]. In cereals and

537 other major agricultural crops, seed retention and size, seed dormancy and germination, plant

538 growth habit, and plant size are domestication traits commonly targeted for selection [104]. The

539 presence of these common traits across multiple taxa is known as the domestication syndrome,

540 which differentiates domesticated species from their wild counterparts. While many of today's

541 largest agricultural commodity crops have undergone mass selection for thousands of years, the

advent of new technologies, such as genomic sequencing, provide today's plant breeders with

new opportunities for the rapid, targeted domestication of new crops [105]. Additionally, these

technologies afford researchers the opportunity to study the domestication process in real-time

[106].

To begin exploring the domestication process of cNWR, we evaluated changes in

nucleotide diversity levels and allele frequency distributions between Natural Stand and cNWR

populations using Tajima's D, F_{st}, and XP-CLR tests. No significant overlap was identified

between the three tests, suggesting there is limited evidence for selective sweeps in cNWR.

However, two 1-Mb regions on ZPchr0011 and ZPchr0013 had overlapping top 1% of F_{st} and

Xp-CLR scores suggesting there is some evidence of genetic changes in cNWR compared to the

Natural Stands (Figure 5). A preliminary scan of genes in these two regions identified 5 putative

genes whose functions in other species, mainly white rice, are related to drought and salt stresses

as well as abscisic acid (ABA) signaling. These included a *60S ribosomal protein kinase 32-like*

gene [107]; a *CBL-interacting protein kinase 32-like* gene [108]; a E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RZFP34

isoform X2 [109,110]; and two copies of *ras-related protein RABC2a* [111]. Unlike wild populations of

NWR, cNWR is grown in man-made irrigated paddies, which are drained shortly after flowering

(Principal Phenological Stage 6)[112] to allow for mechanical harvesting of the grain. Therefore,

cNWR experiences conditions similar to upland crops, for which standing water is not available

during the development of fruit, ripening, and senescence. These results may suggest that stress-

related genes, particularly drought-related genes, were heavily selected for in cNWR germplasm

to adapt to this drastic change in environmental conditions compared with its natural habitats.

As XP-CLR is more robust than F_{st} for identifying recent selection events [63], we looked at the

two additional XP-CLR regions that contained the top 1% of the statistic's empirical distribution,

including a region on ZPchr0005 between 8.5-9.7 Mb and a region on ZPchr0006 between 1.2-1.4 Mb. Within these regions, we identified a *calcium-dependent protein kinase family protein* associated with drought and salt tolerance in white rice [113,114]; a *2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-independent phosphoglycerate mutase-like* gene involved with chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthesis in white rice [115]; a *CTD nuclear envelope phosphatase 1 homolog* associated with seed shattering resistance in white rice [116]; a *KH domain-containing protein SPIN1-like* associated with flowering time in white rice [117]; and a *pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein At1g11900 isoform X1* associated with male sterility in *Petunia* [118]. Two paralogs of *cytochrome P450 714D1-like* were identified on both ZPchr0005 and ZPchr0006 regions of interest. In white rice, this gene is associated with seed dormancy and flowering time [119,120]. While not in the scope of our current study, we think these regions merit further investigation. Given the significance of NWR to a wide range of stakeholders, it's important to understand the potential impact of gene flow from cNWR to wild NWR populations. Therefore, while understanding the domestication process in cNWR is important for the plant breeding process, it can also be used to monitor the genetic diversity of natural stands, allowing for better stewardship of these vital populations.

Domestication indices that account for varying levels of domestication have been proposed for several species and typically include: the extent of phenotypic differentiation between the domesticated species and its wild counterparts; the length of a species' domestication history; whether major genetic changes to the domesticated species have been identified; whether the species has been adapted to agricultural settings through targeted breeding efforts; and the extent of the species' cultivation [121–123]. Cultivated NWR is somewhat phenotypically distinct from wild NWR, mainly in its growth habit and seed retention

characteristics, which have been made possible through breeding efforts. While the species has a

short history of cultivation, its production has expanded to California, which is outside the

species' natural range. For these reasons, we suggest that cNWR should be classified as semi-

domesticated.

Conclusions.

Northern Wild Rice is a species with ecological, cultural, and economic importance to the Great

Lakes region of North America. Results suggest that wild NWR populations are genetically

distinct from each other, and their population structure is influenced by their geographic

distribution and possibly, human intervention, such as reseeding efforts. Based on the

preliminary temporal data found in this study, we believe it would be beneficial to monitor for

shifts in the genetic diversity of NWR populations across both temporal and geographical scales.

We also found that wild and cultivated NWR are genetically distinct and that gene flow between

the two groups is limited. With the exception of the spatially isolated 'K2' breeding population,

cNWR germplasm has little population structure and, relative to other commercial crops, appears

to be only semi-domesticated. Nevertheless, we found putative selection signals that may be

associated with traits that are unique to cultivated NWR including drought tolerance and the

bottlebrush panicle type. As the plant breeding process continues, loci with heavy domestication

signatures can be used to monitor gene flow between wild and cultivated populations of NWR to

expand upon the current conservation and stewardship practices for wild populations.

Code and Data Availability. All data generated from this project have been deposited at the

NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA774842. All code for the analyses

described can be found at https://github.com/UMNKimballLab/WildRiceGeneticDiversity2022.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions. Lillian McGilp, Matthew Haas, Laura Shannon, and Jennifer Kimball

contributed to data analysis, and manuscript preparation and editing. Mingqin Shao managed

sequencing. Reneth Millas and Claudia Castell-Miller contributed to data analysis and

manuscript preparation. Anthony J. Kern collected leaf tissue samples from natural stands for

sequencing and manuscript preparation and editing.

Funding. This work was supported by the State of Minnesota, Agricultural Research, Education,

Extension and Technology Transfer program.

References

1. Elliott, W. A. Wild Rice. in *Hybridization of crop plants* (eds. Fehr, W. R. & Hadley, H. H.) 721–731 (American Society of Agronomy Madison, WI, 1980).

2. Grombacher, A. W., Porter, R. A. & Everett, L. A. Breeding Wild Rice. in *Plant Breeding Reviews* 237–265 (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1997). doi:10.1002/9780470650073.CH8

3. de Wet, J. M. J. & Oelke, E. A. Domestication of American wild rice (Zizania aquatica L., Gramineae). *J. d'agriculture Tradit. Bot. appliquée* **25**, 67–84 (1978).

4. Drewes, A. D. & Silbernagel, J. Setting up an integrative research approach for sustaining wild rice (Zizania palustris) in the Upper Great Lakes Region of North America. in *From Landscape Research to Landscape Planning: Aspects of Integration, Education, and Application* (eds. Tress, B., Tress, G., Fry, G. & Opdam, P.) **12**, 377–386 (Springer, 2005).

5. Lu, Y., Waller, D. M. & David, P. Genetic variability is correlated with population size and reproduction in American wild-rice (Zizania palustris var. palustris, Poaceae) populations. *Am. J. Bot.* **92**, 990–997 (2005).

6. Myrbo, A. *et al.* Sulfide Generated by Sulfate Reduction is a Primary Controller of the Occurrence of Wild Rice (*Zizania palustris*) in Shallow Aquatic Ecosystems. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **122**, 2736–2753 (2017).

7. Dean Biesboer, D. Thematic Section: Opinions about Aquatic Ecology in a Changing World. *Acta Limnol. Bras.* **31**, 102 (2019).

8. Desmarais, S. Returning The Rice to the Wild: Revitalizing Wild Rice in the Great Lakes Region Through Indigenous Knowledge Governance and Establishing a Geographical Indication. *Lakehead Law J.* **3**, 36–51 (2019).

9. Moyle, J. B. Wild Rice in Minnesota. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **8**, 177 (1944).

10. Fannucchi, W. *Wildlife use of wild rice beds and the impact of rice harvesting on wildlife in east central Minnesota*. (University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, College of Natural Resources, 1983).

11. Rogosin, A. *An ecological history of wild rice*. (Minnesota Department of Conservation, Division of Game and Fish, 1954).

12. Matson, L. *et al.* Transforming research and relationships through collaborative tribal-university partnerships on Manoomin (wild rice). *Environ. Sci. Policy* **115**, 108–115 (2021).

13. Oelke, E. A. *et al.* Wild rice production in Minnesota. *Agricultural Extension Service Bulletin* **464**, 4–5 (1982).

14. Norrgard, R. An overview of threats to the future of wild rice conservation and management. in *Manoomin Niikaanisag Wild Rice Coalition Building and Conference* 8–11 (2006).

15. Hansen, D. Natural wild rice in Minnesota. *A Wild Rice Study Doc. Submitt. to Minnesota Legis. by Minnesota Dep. Resour.* (2008).

16. Terrell, E. E., Peterson, P. M., Reveal, J. L. & Duvall, M. R. Taxonomy of north american

species of zizania (poaceae). *SIDA, Contrib. to Bot.* **17**, 533–549 (1997).

17.   Maiz-Tome, L. Zizania aquatica. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* (2016).
Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-
1.RLTS.T64326324A67731287.en. (Accessed: 3rd August 2023)

18.   Fort, D. J. *et al.* Toxicity of sulfate and chloride to early life stages of wild rice (*Zizania*
palustris). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **33**, 2802–2809 (2014).

19.   Probert, R. J. & Longley, P. L. Recalcitrant Seed Storage Physiology in Three Aquatic
Grasses (*Zizania palustris*, *Spartina anglica* and *Porteresia coarctata*). *Ann. Bot.* **63**, 53–
63 (1989).

20.   Frankel, S. O. H. Genetic conservation of plants useful to man. *Biol. Conserv.* **2**, 162–168
(1970).

21.   Ellegren, H. & Galtier, N. Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **17**,
422–433 (2016).

22.   Sgrò, C. M., Lowe, A. J. & Hoffmann, A. A. Building evolutionary resilience for
conserving biodiversity under climate change. *Evol. Appl.* **4**, 326–337 (2011).

23.   Santamaría, L. & Méndez, P. F. Evolution in biodiversity policy – current gaps and future
needs. *Evol. Appl.* **5**, 202–218 (2012).

24.   Kahler, A. L., Kern, A. J., Porter, R. A. & Phillips, R. L. Maintaining food value of wild
rice (Zizania palustris L.) using comparative genomics. in *Genomics of Plant Genetic*
Resources **2**, 233–248 (Springer Netherlands, 2014).

25.   Diller, S. N., McNaught, A. S., Swanson, B. J., Dannenhoffer, J. M. & Ogren, S. Genetic
Structure and Morphometric Variation among Fragmented Michigan Wild Rice
Populations. *Wetlands* **38**, 793–805 (2018).

26.   Collard, B. C. Y., Jahufer, M. Z. Z., Brouwer, J. B. & Pang, E. C. K. An introduction to
markers, quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping and marker-assisted selection for crop
improvement: The basic concepts. *Euphytica* **142**, 169–196 (2005).

27.   Elshire, R. J. *et al.* A Robust, Simple Genotyping-by-Sequencing (GBS) Approach for
High Diversity Species. *PLoS One* **6**, e19379 (2011).

28.   Shao, M., Haas, M., Kern, A. & Kimball, J. Identification of single nucleotide
polymorphism markers for population genetic studies in Zizania palustris L. *Conserv.*
Genet. Resour. **12**, 451–455 (2019).

29.   Streiffer, R. An Ethical Analysis of Ojibway Objections to Genomics and Genetics
Research On Wild Rice. *Number* **12**, (2005).

30.   Raster, A. & Hill, C. G. The dispute over wild rice: an investigation of treaty agreements
and Ojibwe food sovereignty. *Agric. Human Values* **34**, 267–281 (2017).

31.   Gross, L. W. The Resolution by the White Earth Anishinaabe Nation to Protect the
Inherent Rights of Wild Rice. in *Clan and Tribal Perspectives on Social, Economic and*
Environmental Sustainability 131–140 (Emerald Publishing Limited, 2021).

32.   Andrews, S. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. (2010).

33. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet.journal **17**, 10 (2011).

34. Haas, M. *et al.* Whole Genome Assembly and Annotation of Northern Wild Rice, Zizania
palustris L., Supports a Whole Genome Duplication in the Zizania Genus. *Zizania Genus*
2, 3 (2021).

35. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
(2013).

36. Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping
and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **27**,
2987–2993 (2011).

37. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,
2078–2079 (2009).

38. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158
(2011).

39. Granato, I. S. C. *et al.* snpReady: a tool to assist breeders in genomic analysis. *Mol. Breed.*
38, 1–7 (2018).

40. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (2021).

41. Knaus, B. J. & Grünwald, N. J. <scp>vcfr</scp>□: a package to manipulate and visualize
variant call format data in R. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **17**, 44–53 (2017).

42. Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007).

43. Oksanen, J. *et al.* vegan: Community ecology package. (2022).

44. Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. (2016).

45. Jombart, T. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
Bioinformatics **24**, 1403–1405 (2008).

46. Jombart, T. & Ahmed, I. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP
data. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 3070–3071 (2011).

47. Paradis, E. & Schliep, K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and
evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 526–528 (2019).

48. Kamvar, Z. N., Tabima, J. F. & Gr□unwald, N. J. Poppr: An R package for genetic
analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ*
2014, 1–14 (2014).

49. Kamvar, Z. N., Brooks, J. C. & Grünwald, N. J. Novel R tools for analysis of genome-
wide population genetic data with emphasis on clonality. *Front. Genet.* **6**, 208 (2015).

50. Jacquemyn, H., Honnay, O., Van Looy, K. & Breyne, P. Spatiotemporal structure of
genetic variation of a spreading plant metapopulation on dynamic riverbanks along the
Meuse River. *Heredity (Edinb).* **96**, 471–478 (2006).

51. Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of Population Structure Using
Multilocus Genotype Data. *Genetics* **155**, 945–959 (2000).

52. Francis, R. M. pophelper: an R package and web app to analyse and visualise population
structure. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **17**, 27–32 (2017).

53. Earl, D. A. & vonHoldt, B. M. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for
visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conserv. Genet.*
Resour. **4**, 359–361 (2012).

54. Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals
using the software structure: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* **14**, 2611–2620 (2005).

55. Excoffier, L., Smouse, P. E. & Quattro, J. M. Analysis of molecular variance inferred
from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA
restriction data. *Genetics* **131**, 479–491 (1992).

56. Dray, S. & Dufour, A. B. The ade4 package: Implementing the duality diagram for
ecologists. *J. Stat. Softw.* **22**, 1–20 (2007).

57. Hijmans, R. geosphere: Spherical trigonometry. (2022).

58. Weir, B. S. & Cockerham, C. C. Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population
Structure. *Evolution (N. Y).* **38**, 1358–1370 (1984).

59. Pembleton, W., Cogan, N. O. I. & Forster, J. W. StAMPP: an R package for calculation of
genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*
13, 946–952 (2013).

60. Malinsky, M., Matschiner, M. & Svardal, H. Dsuite □ Fast *D* □statistics and related
admixture evidence from VCF files. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **21**, 584–595 (2021).

61. Nei, M. *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. *Columbia University Press* (Columbia
University Press, 1987). doi:doi:10.7312/nei-92038

62. Tajima, F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA
polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**, 585–595 (1989).

63. Chen, H., Patterson, N. & Reich, D. Population differentiation as a test for selective
sweeps. *Genome Res.* **20**, 393–402 (2010).

64. Delfini, J. *et al.* Population structure, genetic diversity and genomic selection signatures
among a Brazilian common bean germplasm. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 2964 (2021).

65. Tuttle, H. K., Del Rio, A. H., Bamberg, J. & Shannon, L. M. Potato soup: analysis of
cultivated potato gene bank populations reveals high diversity and little structure. *Front.*
Plant Sci. **15**, 1429279 (2024).

66. Migicovsky, Z. *et al.* Genomic consequences of apple improvement. *Hortic. Res.* **8**, 9
(2021).

67. Bredeson, J. V *et al.* Sequencing wild and cultivated cassava and related species reveals
extensive interspecific hybridization and genetic diversity. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 562–570
(2016).

68. García-Abadillo, J. *et al.* Dissecting the complex genetic basis of pre- and post-harvest
traits in Vitis vinifera L. using genome-wide association studies. *Hortic. Res.* **11**, uhad283
(2024).

69. Arnold, B., Corbett-Detig, R. B., Hartl, D. & Bomblies, K. RADseq underestimates
diversity and introduces genealogical biases due to nonrandom haplotype sampling. *Mol.*
Ecol. **22**, 3179–3190 (2013).

70. Xu, X., Ke, W., Yu, X., Wen, J. & Ge, S. Preliminary study on population genetic
structure and phylogeography of the wild and cultivated *Zizania latifolia* (Poaceae) based
on Adh1a sequences. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **116**, 835–843 (2008).

71. Xu, X. *et al.* Phylogeny and biogeography of the eastern Asian–North American disjunct
wild-rice genus (*Zizania* L., Poaceae). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **55**, 1008–1017 (2010).

72. Guo, L. *et al.* A host plant genome (Zizania latifolia) after a century-long endophyte
infection. *Plant J.* **83**, 600–609 (2015).

73. Tang, L. *et al.* Phylogeny and biogeography of the rice tribe (Oryzeae): Evidence from
combined analysis of 20 chloroplast fragments. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **54**, 266–277
(2010).

74. Xu, X. W. *et al.* Comparative phylogeography of the wild-rice genus Zizania (Poaceae) in
eastern Asia and north America. *Am. J. Bot.* **102**, 239–247 (2015).

75. Walker, S. A. DNA sequence diversity in North American Zizania species. *Purdue*
University ProQuest Dissertations Publishing (ProQuest Dissertations Publishing, 2011).

76. Duvall, M. R. & Biesboer, D. D. Anatomical distinctions between the pistillate spikelets
of the species of wild-rice (Zizania poaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* **75**, 157–159 (1988).

77. Wright, S. The Interpretation of Population Structure by F-Statistics with Special Regard
to Systems of Mating. *Evolution (N. Y).* **19**, 395–420 (1965).

78. Gietzel, C., Duquette, J., McGilp, L. & Kimball, J. Recessive male floret color for
tracking gene flow in cultivated northern wild rice (*Zizania palustris* L.). *Crop Sci.* **62**,
157–166 (2022).

79. Oxley, F. M., Echlin, A., Power, P., Tolley-Jordan, L. & Alexander, M. L. Travel of
pollen in experimental raceways in the endangered texas wild rice (Zizania texana).
Southwest. Nat. **53**, 169–174 (2008).

80. Brandes, H. Like Gold to Us: Native American Nations Struggle to Protect Wild Rice.
Sierra: The Magazine of the Sierra Club (2019). Available at:
https://www.sierraclub.org/sierra/gold-us-native-american-nations-struggle-protect-wild-
rice.

81. Porter, R. Wildrice (Zizania L.) in North America: Genetic resources, conservation, and
use. in *North American Crop Wild Relatives: Important Species* **2**, 83–97 (Springer
International Publishing, 2019).

82. Thompson, A. & Luthin, C. S. Wild Rice Community Restoration. in *Wetland Restoration*
Handbook for Wisconsin Landowners 117–122 (Bureau of Science Services - Wisconsin
DNR, 2010).

83. David, P., David, L., Stark, H. K., Fahrlander, S. N. A. & Schlender, J. M. Manoomin,
Version 1.0. *Gt. Lakes Indian Fish Wildl. Comm. [Preprint].(Accessed December 3, 2020)*
(2019).

84. Le Clerc, V. *et al.* Indicators to assess temporal genetic diversity in the French Catalogue: no losses for maize and peas. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **113**, 1197–1209 (2006).

85. Deu, M. *et al.* Spatio-temporal dynamics of genetic diversity in Sorghum bicolor in Niger. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **120**, 1301–1313 (2010).

86. Arya, L., Verma, M., Singh, S. & Verma, R. Spatio-temporal genetic diversity in Indian barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) varieties based on SSR markers. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* **57**, 545–552 (2019).

87. Ozbek, O., Millet, E., Anikster, Y., Arslan, O. & Feldman, M. Spatio-temporal genetic variation in populations of wild emmer wheat, Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccoides, as revealed by AFLP analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **115**, 19–26 (2007).

88. Fačkovcová, Z. *et al.* Spatio-temporal formation of the genetic diversity in the Mediterranean dwelling lichen during the Neogene and Quaternary epochs. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **144**, 106704 (2020).

89. Gray, A. Genetic diversity and its conservation in natural populations of plants. *Biodivers. Lett.* **3**, 71–80 (1996).

90. González-Megías, A., Gómez, J. M. & Sánchez-Piñero, F. Spatio-temporal change in the relationship between habitat heterogeneity and species diversity. *Acta Oecologica* **37**, 179–186 (2011).

91. Waheed, A. Manoomin (wild rice) and environmental change at a significant river system of the Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa. *University of Minnesota Digital Conservancy* (University of Minnesota, 2021).

92. Gepts, P. & Papa, R. Possible effects of (trans)gene flow from crops on the genetic diversity from landraces and wild relatives. *Environ. Biosaf. Res* **2**, 89–103 (2003).

93. Sonnante, G., Stockton, T., Nodari, R. O., Becerra Velásquez, V. L. & Gepts, P. Evolution of genetic diversity during the domestication of common-bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **89**, 629–635 (1994).

94. Evans, M. M. S. & Kermicle, J. L. Teosinte crossing barrier1, a locus governing hybridization of teosinte with maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **103**, 259–265 (2001).

95. Gepts, P. A Comparison between Crop Domestication, Classical Plant Breeding, and Genetic Engineering. *Crop Sci.* **42**, 1780–1790 (2002).

96. Li, Y.-H. *et al.* Genetic diversity in domesticated soybean (Glycine max) and its wild progenitor (Glycine soja) for simple sequence repeat and single-nucleotide polymorphism loci. *New Phytol.* **188**, 242–253 (2010).

97. Jeong, S.-C. *et al.* Genetic diversity patterns and domestication origin of soybean. **132**, 1179–1193 (2019).

98. Luo, M. C. *et al.* The structure of wild and domesticated emmer wheat populations, gene flow between them, and the site of emmer domestication. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **114**, 947–959 (2007).

99. Coulibaly, S., Pasquet, R. S., Papa, R. & Gepts, P. AFLP analysis of the phenetic organization and genetic diversity of Vigna unguiculata L. Walp. reveals extensive gene

flow between wild and domesticated types. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **104**, 358–366 (2002).

100.   Mariac, C. *et al.* Genetic diversity and gene flow among pearl millet crop/weed complex:
A case study. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **113**, 1003–1014 (2006).

101.   Arriola, P. E. & Ellstrand, N. C. Crop-to-weed gene flow in the genus *Sorghum* (Poaceae):
Spontaneous interspecific hybridization between johnsongrass, *Sorghum halepense* , and
crop sorghum, *S. bicolor*. *Am. J. Bot.* **83**, 1153–1159 (1996).

102.   Sagnard, F. *et al.* Genetic diversity, structure, gene flow and evolutionary relationships
within the Sorghum bicolor wild-weedy-crop complex in a western African region. *Theor.*
Appl. Genet. **123**, 1231–1246 (2011).

103.   Zeder, M. A., Emshwiller, E., Smith, B. D. & Bradley, D. G. Documenting domestication:
The intersection of genetics and archaeology. *Trends in Genetics* **22**, 139–155 (2006).

104.   Stalker, H. T., Warburton, M. L. & R, H. J. The Dynamics of Domestication. in *Harlan's*
Crops and Man (eds. Stalker, H. T., Warburton, M. L. & R, H. J.) 147–170 (American
Society of Agronomy, Inc. and Crop Science Society of America, Inc., 2021).
doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/9780891186342.ch6

105.   Zhang, C. *et al.* Genome design of hybrid potato. *Cell* **184**, 3873-3883.e12 (2021).

106.   Ekar, J. M. *et al.* Domestication in Real Time: The Curious Case of a Trigenomic
Sunflower Population. *Agronomy* **9**, (2019).

107.   Ji, W. *et al.* Quantitative proteomics reveals an important role of GsCBRLK in salt stress
response of soybean. *Plant Soil* **402**, 159–178 (2016).

108.   Hu, D. *et al.* Overexpression of MdSOS2L1, a CIPK protein kinase, increases the
antioxidant metabolites to enhance salt tolerance in apple and tomato. *Physiol. Plant.* **156**,
201–214 (2016).

109.   Ding, S., Zhang, B. & Qin, F. Arabidopsis RZFP34/CHYR1, a Ubiquitin E3 Ligase,
Regulates Stomatal Movement and Drought Tolerance via SnRK2.6-Mediated
Phosphorylation. *Plant Cell* **27**, 3228–3244 (2015).

110.   Shu, K. & Yang, W. E3 Ubiquitin Ligases: Ubiquitous Actors in Plant Development and
Abiotic Stress Responses. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **58**, 1461–1476 (2017).

111.   Mérida-García, R. *et al.* High Resolution Melting and Insertion Site-Based Polymorphism
Markers for Wheat Variability Analysis and Candidate Genes Selection at Drought and
Heat MQTL Loci. *Agron. 2020, Vol. 10, Page 1294* **10**, 1294 (2020).

112.   Duquette, J. & Kimball, J. A. Phenological stages of cultivated northern wild rice
according to the BBCH scale. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **176**, 350–356 (2020).

113.   Campo, S. *et al.* Overexpression of a Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase Confers Salt and
Drought Tolerance in Rice by Preventing Membrane Lipid Peroxidation. *Plant Physiol.*
165, 688–704 (2014).

114.   Wei, S. *et al.* A rice calcium-dependent protein kinase OsCPK9 positively regulates
drought stress tolerance and spikelet fertility. *BMC Plant Biol.* **14**, 1–13 (2014).

115.   Lin, D. *et al.* Mutation of the rice 12 gene encoding 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-independent
phosphoglycerate mutase affects chlorophyll synthesis, photosynthesis and chloroplast

development at seedling stage at low temperatures. *Plant Biol.* **21**, 585–594 (2019).

116. Yang, L. *et al.* GsCBRLK, a calcium/calmodulin-binding receptor-like kinase, is a
positive regulator of plant tolerance to salt and ABA stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* **61**, 2519–2533
(2010).

117. Vega-Sánchez, M. E., Zeng, L., Chen, S., Leung, H. & Wang, G.-L. SPIN1, a K
Homology Domain Protein Negatively Regulated and Ubiquitinated by the E3 Ubiquitin
Ligase SPL11, Is Involved in Flowering Time Control in Rice. *Plant Cell* **20**, 1456–1469
(2008).

118. Bentolila, S., Alfonso, A. A. & Hanson, M. R. A pentatricopeptide repeat-containing gene
restores fertility to cytoplasmic male-sterile plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99**,
10887–10892 (2002).

119. Nakagawa, M., Shimamoto, K. & Kyozuka, J. Overexpression of RCN1 and RCN2, rice
TERMINAL FLOWER 1/CENTRORADIALIS homologs, confers delay of phase
transition and altered panicle morphology in rice. *Plant J.* **29**, 743–750 (2002).

120. He, P. *et al.* Identification of a fungal cytochrome P450 with steroid two-step ordered
selective hydroxylation characteristics in Colletotrichum lini. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol.*
Biol. **220**, 106096 (2022).

121. Clement, C. R. 1492 and the loss of amazonian crop genetic resources. I. The relation
between domestication and human population decline. *Econ. Bot.* **53**, 188–202 (1999).

122. Dempewolf, H., Rieseberg, L. H. & Cronk, Q. C. Crop domestication in the Compositae:
A family-wide trait assessment. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* **55**, 1141–1157 (2008).

123. Hammer, K. & Khoshbakht, K. A domestication assessment of the big five plant families.
Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. **62**, 665–689 (2015).

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Summary marker statistics for 5,955 Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.)

bi-allelic single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers generated via genotyping-by-

sequencing (GBS).

Chr/Scaffold	Size (Mbp)	SNPs (#)	% of all SNPs	SNPs in genic regions (#)	% of SNPs in genic regions/ Chr
ZPchr0001	95.4	483	8.11	74	15.32
ZPchr0002	103.4	435	7.30	56	12.87
ZPchr0003	58.8	302	5.07	37	12.25
ZPchr0004	98.7	491	8.25	24	4.89
ZPchr0005	66.6	350	5.88	41	11.71
ZPchr0006	118.0	598	10.04	126	21.07
ZPchr0007	42.6	181	3.04	66	36.46
ZPchr0008	75.7	367	6.16	38	10.35
ZPchr0009	95.1	481	8.08	25	5.20
ZPchr0010	111.4	568	9.54	75	13.20
ZPchr0011	63.2	285	4.76	41	14.39
ZPchr0012	105.9	410	6.88	86	20.98
ZPchr0013	111.3	583	9.79	66	11.32
ZPchr0014	24.0	91	1.53	28	30.77
ZPchr0015	39.1	237	3.98	12	5.06
ZPchr0016*	13.8	88	1.48	6	6.82
ZPchr0458*	4.3	5	0.08	3	60.00

931 * Additional unaligned scaffolds from the NWR reference assembly v1.0 (Haas et al., 20201)

Table 2. Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) of a Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) diversity collection grouped by germplasm and species type based on 5,955 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers generated via genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS).

Grouping	Source of variation	df	MS	Sigma	% of total	Probability
Natural stand vs. Natural stand	Variation among populations	12	7440.64	133.71	8.10	0.001
	Variation within populations	567	1516.08	1516.08	91.90	0.001
	Total of variation	579	1638.87	1649.78	100.00	0.001
Cultivated vs. Cultivated	Variation among populations	13	1592.63	18.31	1.33	0.001
	Variation within populations	172	1360.34	1360.34	98.67	0.001
	Total of variation	185	1376.66	1378.65	100.00	0.001
Natural stand vs. Cultivated	Variation among populations	1	20,955.05	68.42	4.09	0.001
	Variation within populations	765	1604.18	1604.17	95.91	0.001
	Total of variation	766	1629.44	1672.60	100.00	0.001
Z. palustris vs. *Z. aquatica*	Variation among populations	1	9517.98	86.37	5.05	0.001
	Variation within populations	578	1625.24	1625.23	94.95	0.001
	Total of variation	579	1638.87	1711.61	100.00	0.001

df, degrees of freedom; MS, mean of squares

Figure Legends

Figure 1. A Hydrological Unit Code-8 (HUC-8) watershed map of Minnesota and western Wisconsin indicating areas of sample collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) and *Zizania aquatica* L. GPS coordinates can be found in Table S1.

Figure 2. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) showing the differentiation of the 1st and 2nd principal coordinates of a.) the Natural Stand and Cultivated collections of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.); b.) the Natural Stand collection; c.) the Cultivated collection; and d.) the Temporal collection.

Figure 3. Population structure analysis of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) Natural Stand and Cultivated collections using the program STRUCTURE with 10,000 reps and a burn-in length of 1,000 for *K=2-5*.

Figure 4. Fixation index (F_{ST}) values derived using the weighted Weir and Cockerham method (Weir and Cockerham, 1984) for a Natural Stand collection and a Cultivated collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.).

Figure 5. Genome-wide scans of a.) nucleotide diversity (π; Nei, 1987) of a Natural Stand collection (blue) and a Cultivated collection (red) of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.); b.) Fixation index (F_{ST}) values; and c.) XP-CLR scores.

Supporting Tables

Table S1. List of samples in the diversity collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) genotyped with 5,955 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers generated via genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS). HUC 8 watershed designations include Upper Mississippi River (UMR), Red River of the North (RRN), and St. Croix River (SCR) basins. Samples were collected in 2010 and 2018.

Sample identification	Number of individuals/population	Collection Type	GPS Coordinates Latitud Longitud	Watershed
Bass Lake	50	Natural Stand	47.28716 - 93.63132	UMR
Clearwater River	50	Natural Stand	47.51838 - 95.46291	RRN
Dahler Lake	50	Natural Stand	46.71888 - 93.97281	UMR
Decker Lake	50	Natural Stand	47.63515 - 94.40492	UMR
Garfield Lake	50	Natural Stand/Temporal	47.21361 - 94.74380	UMR
Mud Hen Lake	10	Natural Stand	45.77005 - 92.47173	SCR
Necktie River	50	Natural Stand	47.29363 - 94.74724	UMR
Ottertail River	50	Natural Stand	46.38005 - 95.86430	RRN
Phantom Lake	20	Natural Stand	45.81839 - 92.65074	SCR
Lake Plantagenet	50	Natural Stand	47.36758 - 94.91755	UMR
Shell Lake	50	Natural Stand/Temporal	46.94510 - 95.48486	UMR
Upper Rice Lake	50	Natural Stand	47.40030 - 95.28659	RRN
Zizania aquatica	50	Natural Stand	45.94473 - 94.24821	UMR
Garfield Lake 2010	50	Temporal	47.21361 - 94.74380	UMR
Shell Lake 2010	50	Temporal	46.94510 - 95.48486	UMR
Dwarf	7	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
Dwarf x PM3E	3	Cultivated	n/a	n/a

Barron	25	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
Itasca-C12	46	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
Itasca-C20	11	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
FY-C20	29	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
GP-1	3	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
GP-2	8	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
K2	29	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
K2 x Dwarf x Barron	9	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
GP-3	4	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
PM3E x K2	3	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
PM3E	23	Cultivated	n/a	n/a
Barron x K2	6	Cultivated	n/a	n/a

Table S2. Geographic distance (km) matrix of lakes and rivers where Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) and *Zizania aquatica* leaf tissue samples were collected. HUC-8-based watershed designations for the Upper Mississippi River (UMR), Red River of the North (RRN), and St. Croix River (SCR) are included.

Natural Population (Watershed)	*Z. aquatica*	Bass Lake	Clearwater River	Dahler Lake	Decker Lake	Garfield Lake	Mud Hen Lake	Necktie River	Ottertail Lake	Phantom Lake	Lake Plantagenet	Shell Lake	Upper Rice Lake
Z. aquatica (UMR)		156.7	198.2	88.7	188.6	146.3	139.1	154.9	133.7	124.6	166.4	146.3	180.4
Bass Lake (UMR)	156.7		140.4	68.4	69.9	84.5	190.8	84.3	197.8	179.9	97.5	145.5	125.5
Clearwater River (RRN)	198.2	140.4		143.7	80.5	64.0	300.2	59.4	130.3	286.3	44.4	63.8	18.7
Dahler Lake (UMR)	88.7	68.4	143.7		107.1	80.4	156.6	86.9	149.6	142.8	101.7	117.9	125.2
Decker Lake (UMR)	188.6	69.9	80.5	107.1		53.4	254.7	45.9	178.3	242.5	48.7	112.0	71.3
Garfield Lake (UMR)	146.3	84.5	64.0	80.4	53.4		236.9	8.9	126.1	223.2	21.6	63.6	45.9
Mud Hen Lake (SCR)	139.1	190.8	300.2	156.6	254.7	236.9		243.2	270.6	14.9	258.2	265.9	281.6
Necktie River (UMR)	154.9	84.3	59.4	86.9	45.9	8.9	243.2		132.6	229.6	15.3	68.0	42.4
Ottertail Lake (RRN)	133.7	197.8	130.3	149.6	178.3	126.1	270.6	132.6		255.8	131.4	69.3	121.8
Phantom Lake (SCR)	124.6	179.9	286.3	142.8	242.5	223.2	14.9	229.6	255.8		244.5	251.2	267.6
Lake Plantagenet (UMR)	166.4	97.5	44.4	101.7	48.7	21.6	258.2	15.3	131.4	244.5		63.7	28.1
Shell Lake (UMR)	146.3	145.5	63.8	117.9	112.0	63.6	265.9	68.0	69.3	251.2	63.7		52.8
Upper Rice Lake (RRN)	180.4	125.5	18.7	125.2	71.3	45.9	281.6	42.4	121.8	267.6	28.1	52.8	

Table S3. List of samples in the diversity collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) sorted according to the National Center for Biotechnology Information Short Read Archive (NCBI SRA) BioSample accession numbers. The BioProject ID for the collection is PRJNA774842.

See External Excel File 'Table S3'

Table S4. Marker statistics including the-transition/transversion (TsTv) ratios for 5,955 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers generated via genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) using the diversity collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.)

	ZPchr0001	ZPchr0002	ZPchr0003	ZPchr0004	ZPchr0005	ZPchr0006	ZPchr0007	ZPchr0008	ZPchr0009
Scaffold (bp)	95,470,783	103,377,072	58,865,324	98,769,966	66,616,710	118,006,097	42,614,780	75,690,682	95,187,837
SNP #	483	435	302	491	350	598	181	367	481
SNPs/Mb	5.06	4.21	5.13	4.97	5.25	5.07	4.25	4.85	5.05
A/C	40	33	30	38	17	60	23	29	44
A/G	169	171	108	205	147	197	57	128	181
A/T	22	16	12	18	17	26	10	13	16
C/G	19	19	17	19	13	34	17	16	17
C/T	197	174	109	178	131	233	58	152	196
G/T	36	22	26	33	25	48	16	29	27
Ts	366	345	217	383	278	430	115	280	377
Tv	117	90	85	108	72	168	66	87	104
TsTv ratio	3.13	3.83	2.55	3.55	3.86	2.56	1.74	3.22	3.63
	ZPchr0010	ZPchr0011	ZPchr0012	ZPchr0013	ZPchr0014	ZPchr0015	ZPchr0016	ZPchr0458	Genome-wide
Scaffold (bp)	111,429,323	63,217,741	105,879,435	111,260,184	24,058,870	39,125,943	13,817,767	4,333,358	1,227,721,872
SNP #	568	285	410	583	91	237	88	5	5955
SNPs/Mb	5.10	4.51	3.87	5.24	3.78	6.06	6.37	1.15	4.85
A/C	49	21	25	43	7	15	6	0	480
A/G	213	109	149	234	30	92	40	2	2232
A/T	25	18	21	23	3	9	1	1	251
C/G	31	8	28	28	4	10	4	0	284
C/T	210	114	154	212	39	98	31	1	2287
G/T	40	15	33	43	8	13	6	1	421
Ts	423	223	303	446	69	190	71	3	4519
Tv	145	62	107	137	22	47	17	2	1436
TsTv ratio	2.92	3.60	2.83	3.26	3.14	4.04	4.18	1.50	3.15

Table S5. Polymorphic Information Content (PIC) values for 5,955 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers generated via genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) using the diversity collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.)

	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum	Standard Deviation
Barron	0.1649	0.1557	0.0216	0.3125	0.1043
FY-C20	0.1639	0.1557	0.0199	0.3125	0.1052
Itasca-C12	0.1531	0.1379	0.0166	0.3125	0.1064
Itasca-C20	0.1840	0.1829	0.0449	0.3125	0.0981
K2	0.1803	0.1829	0.0261	0.3125	0.1053
PM3E	0.1774	0.1829	0.0292	0.3125	0.1041
Bass Lake	0.1484	0.1287	0.0010	0.3125	0.1093
Clearwater River	0.1523	0.1369	0.0113	0.3125	0.1084
Dahler Lake	0.1541	0.1373	0.0142	0.3125	0.1079
Decker Lake	0.1540	0.1395	0.0091	0.3125	0.1111
Garfield Lake	0.1471	0.1263	0.0091	0.3125	0.1090
Mud Hen Lake	0.1924	0.1999	0.0493	0.3125	0.0982
Necktie River	0.1500	0.1260	0.0113	0.3125	0.1108
Ottertail River	0.1528	0.1353	0.0010	0.3125	0.1104
Phantom Lake	0.1637	0.1702	0.0248	0.3125	0.1049
(Lake) Plantagenet	0.1534	0.1353	0.0010	0.3125	0.1105
Shell Lake	0.1477	0.1221	0.0010	0.3125	0.1116
Upper Rice Lake	0.1420	0.1141	0.0010	0.3125	0.1088
Zizania aquatica	0.1496	0.1313	0.0010	0.3125	0.1107

Table S6. *D*-statistics (ABBA-BABA) results for a diversity collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.).

P1	P2	P3	ABBA[a]	BABA[a]	BBAA[a]	D	*p*-value	F4-ratio	Z[b]
Cultivated	Natural Stands I	Natural Stands II	348.181	336.828	367.406	0.017	0.098	0.193	1.655

[a] Number of ABBA and BABA sites. *Z. aquatica* was used as an outgroup for comparisons.
[b] Z: Z-score

Table S7. Significant values for Tajima's D, F_{ST}, and XP-CLR scores for a diversity collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) based on 5,955 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers generated via genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS).

See External Excel File 'Table S7'

Supporting Figures

Figure S1. A county-level map of Minnesota and western Wisconsin showing where leaf tissue samples of the Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) diversity collection were collected and highlighting counties with significant production of cultivated NWR. Colors and shapes correspond to those featured in the principal component analysis (PCA) plots (Figure 2a-b).

Figure S2. Principal coordinate (PCo) analysis (PCoA) showing the differentiation of the 1st and 3rd PCos of the Natural Stand and Cultivated collections of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.).

Figure S3. Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averaging (UPGMA) cluster analysis with bootstrapping of the Natural Stands and Cultivated collections of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.).

Figure S4. Population structure analysis of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.) Natural Stand and Cultivated collections using the program STRUCTURE with 10,000 reps and a burn-in length of 1,000 for *K=5*, *10*, and *14*.

Figure S5. A plot from STRUCTURE HARVESTER performed with the Evanno method based on 5,955 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers generated via genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) using the diversity collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.).

Figure S6. A Mantel test plot showing the correlation between geographic distance (x-axis) and genetic distance (y-axis) for the Natural Stand collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.). The regression line, y = 0.1 + 0.0002x, was also plotted.

Figure S7. A histogram of the frequency of simulated correlation tests resulting from permutation testing for the Mantel test analysis of the Natural Stand collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris*). The black diamond with a vertical line beneath it shows the actual correlation value from the Mantel (Figure S6) test using real data. This signifies that results are unlikely to have been reached by chance.

Figure S8. Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averaging (UPGMA) cluster analysis with bootstrapping of the Temporal collection of Northern Wild Rice (NWR; *Zizania palustris* L.).