Metabolic diversity in commensal protists regulates intestinal immunity and trans-

kingdom competition

Elias R. Gerrick[1], Soumaya Zlitni[2,3,9], Patrick T. West[2,3,9], Matthew M. Carter[4,9], Claire M.

Mechler[1], Matthew R. Olm[4], Elisa B. Caffrey[4], Jessica A. Li[1], Steven K. Higginbottom[4],

Christopher J. Severyn[2,5], Frauke Kracke[6], Alfred M. Spormann[6,7], Justin L. Sonnenburg[4,8], Ami

S. Bhatt[2,3], Michael R. Howitt[1,4,10,*]

[1]Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[2]Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[3]Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[4]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University School of Medicine,

Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[5]Department of Pediatrics, (Division of Hematology/Oncology/Stem Cell Transplant and

Regenerative Medicine), Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA , 94305, USA

[6]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305,

USA

[7]Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[8]Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[9]These authors contributed equally

[10]Lead contact

*Correspondence: mhowitt@stanford.edu

Keywords

Commensal protist; Tritrichomonas; parabasalid; microbiome; trans-kingdom; mucus; fiber;

microbiota-accessible carbohydrate; metabolites; tuft cell

Summary

The microbiota influences intestinal health and physiology, yet the contributions of commensal

protists to the gut environment have been largely overlooked. Here, we identified several new

rodent- and human-associated parabasalid protists. Genomic and metabolomic analyses of

murine parabasalids from the genus *Tritrichomonas* revealed species-level differences in the

excretion of the metabolite succinate. This metabolic dissimilarity results in distinct small

intestinal immune responses during protist colonization. Metabolic differences between

Tritrichomonas species also determine their ecological niche within the microbiota. By

manipulating dietary fibers and developing *in vitro* protist culture, we show that different

parabasalid species preferentially rely on dietary polysaccharides or mucus glycans. These

polysaccharide preferences create trans-kingdom competition with specific commensal bacteria,

which affects intestinal immunity in a diet-dependent manner. Our findings reveal unappreciated

diversity in commensal parabasalids, elucidate differences in commensal protist metabolism,

and suggest how dietary interventions could regulate their impact on gut health.

Introduction

The gut microbiota is a complex and diverse microbial ecosystem that broadly impacts the development and function of the intestinal immune system[1–5]. This relationship has been studied predominantly with microbial communities, where conserved microbial ligands and metabolites have pleiotropic effects on the host[6–10]. However, some microbial species dominantly influence the immune system independent of other microbiota members[11–13]. For example, segmented filamentous bacteria induce T helper 17 (Th17) cells in the murine small intestine, and *Bacteroides fragilis* stimulates regulatory T cells in the colon[12,13]. While bacteria are the most well-characterized immunologically dominant symbionts, the gut microbiota also contains viruses, fungi, archaea, and protists that interact with the host and alter immune function.

Protists are often overlooked as members of the microbiota, in part due to the historical view of these microbes as universally pathogenic. However, commensal protists, including those in the *Parabasalia* phylum, are present in healthy mammalian guts and can dominantly shape intestinal immunity in mice[14–18]. Parabasalid protists from the genus *Tritrichomonas* are widespread in laboratory mice and dramatically alter the gut mucosa[15,19–21]. In the small intestine, *Tritrichomonas* species drive type 2 immunity through production of the metabolite succinate, which lengthens the gut and promotes tuft and goblet cell hyperplasia in the epithelium[20,21]. In the colon, *Tritrichomonas musculis* (*Tmu*) activates the inflammasome to promote Th1 and Th17 immunity[15,22]. The effects of these tritrichomonads on epithelial and immune functions significantly alter the severity of some enteric infections and several inflammatory diseases in mouse models, and do not induce apparent pathology[15,20–22]. In humans, only two species of commensal parabasalids have been identified, although their effects on intestinal immunity are still unclear. Thus, despite the growing appreciation of how commensal parabasalids impact mucosal tissues, very little is understood about their diversity, metabolism, or microbial ecology.

Fundamental aspects of commensal parabasalid protist biology have been obscured by

a lack of genomic information and their resistance to *in vitro* culture. Consequently, the biology

of commensal *Tritrichomonas* species is largely inferred from studies on the urogenital

pathogenic parabasalids *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Tritrichomonas foetus.* However, these

pathogens infect different hosts and anatomical niches that may not reflect the specific

adaptations of commensal parabasalids in the gut. Additionally, the ecological role of

commensal parabasalids within the mammalian gut microbiota is unexplored. Parabasalid

protists are generally fermentative[21,23–25], but the specific carbohydrate sources used by

commensal species and how their harvesting of these carbohydrates affects the intestinal

environment is unknown. Thus, parabasalid metabolism may contain unidentified diversity that

shapes commensal protists' interactions with both the host and microbiota.

In this work, we identified parabasalids in humans from both industrialized and non-

industrialized populations, revealing large amounts of unappreciated diversity in human-

associated parabasalids. In addition, we identified a novel murine *Tritrichomonas* species called

Tritrichomonas casperi. Using genomic, transcriptomic, and metabolomic approaches, we

determined that fermentative output from *T. casperi* differs from previously characterized murine

tritrichomonads like *Tmu,* which results in divergent immune responses in the small intestine.

Further, we used this metabolic information to create a framework for predicting the production

of immunomodulatory metabolites by parabasalids, including human-associated species, based

only on phylogeny. Next, we demonstrated that these different species' preferences for

fermenting plant polysaccharides or mucus glycans affect trans-kingdom interactions with

bacteria. Finally, through highly controlled studies on carbohydrate utilization, we revealed that

the composition of dietary fiber acts as a lever to control protist-induced type 2 immunity. Our

work provides mechanistic insights into how commensal parabasalids, through their metabolic

differences, act as architects of the intestinal ecosystem and establishes a foundation for further

mechanistic studies of how these protists influence host immunity and microbiome ecology.

RESULTS

Discovery of a new commensal *Tritrichomonas* species in mice

To discover uncharacterized parabasalid protists within laboratory mice, we screened

DNA extracted from stool using pan-parabasalid PCR primers. These primers bind to highly

conserved sequences of parabasalid rRNA loci and generate internally transcribed spacer (ITS)

amplicons sufficient to distinguish parabasalid species using Sanger Sequencing. As expected,

we identified *Tmu* (previously referred to as *T. muris*[19]) in a subset of our mice, but stool from

another group of mice produced mixed signals that indicated the presence of multiple closely

related parabasalid species. Examination of the cecal contents of these mice by light

microscopy revealed protists with two distinct sizes: a large variant consistent in size with *Tmu*

and another variant approximately half the length.

To determine if these two sizes constitute different morphologies of *Tmu* or two separate

species, we purified both sizes of protist by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and

colonized protist-negative specific pathogen free (SPF) mice with either the large or small

variant (Figure 1A). Mice maintained colonization with homogenously small or large protists, as

verified by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), suggesting these were separate species

(Figure 1B and S1A). Ultrastructural examination of both protists revealed an undulating

membrane and recurrent flagellum typical of the *Parabasalia* phylum (Figure 1B)[26]. Additionally,

the new protist, like *Tmu,* had three anterior flagella, suggesting it belongs to the genus

Tritrichomonas. To confirm this phylogenetic relationship, we compared ITS sequences from

both protists, which revealed the smaller species is an uncharacterized murine isolate with

accession number MF375342 that shares 83.4% identity with *Tmu* (Figure S1B). Thus, the

smaller protist is a new species, hereafter referred to as *Tritrichomonas casperi* (*Tc*). Healthy

mice maintained high levels of *Tc* colonization for at least 3 months, indicating that *Tc* is a

stable member of the murine microbiota (Figure S1C).

Characterization of parabasalid diversity in humans

Rodent *Tritrichomonas* species are not known to colonize humans, but two commensal

parabasalid species, *Pentatrichomonas hominis* and *Dientamoeba fragilis*, can be found in the

human gut. However, most studies have used targeted approaches that are unlikely to detect

diverse species and primarily investigate samples from industrialized populations which harbor

less diverse microbiomes[18,27,28]. Therefore, to identify human-associated commensal

parabasalids we created a reference set of 29 parabasalid ITS sequences (Table S1) to query

previously published metagenomes from 1,800 human fecal samples representing 29 different

populations[28]. These metagenomes encompass a wide array of human lifestyles, including

industrial and hunter-gatherer populations, as well as "transitional" populations which have

neither hunter-gatherer nor industrialized lifestyles. Using our parabasalid ITS database as

reference sequences, we assembled ITS sequences from five parabasalids in these human

samples, as well as a novel *Simplicimonas* species from cow feces which we refer to as

*Tritrichomonadida*_sp_1012. While the human-associated sequences included *D. fragilis* and *P.*

hominis, they also contained *Tetratrichomonas buttreyi*, which has only previously been

identified in cattle. We also identified two previously undescribed candidate parabasalid species

in the microbiomes of non-industrialized populations, which we refer to as

*Trichomonadida*_sp_97 and *Parabasalia*_sp_1653 (Figure 1C and Table S1). Phylogenetic

analysis suggested that *Trichomonadida*_sp_97 belongs to the *Trichomonadida* order and

*Parabasalia*_sp_1653 potentially represents a novel *Parabasalia* order (Figure 1C).

The method used to identify these six parabasalids in the metagenomic assemblies

suffers from low sensitivity inherent to assembly-based approaches. This assembly-based

sensitivity issue is further compounded by low sequencing depth in a subset of the

metagenomic datasets which may have limited the number of identified protists. We therefore

analyzed these datasets using a mapping-based approach, which improves sensitivity of

detection but does not enable accurate classification beyond identifying the closest match in our

reference database (Figure S1D and 1E). This mapping-based methodology revealed a strong

effect of sequencing depth on parabasalid identification; we therefore implemented a 5Gbp

minimum sequencing depth threshold for further analysis (Figure S1F). In this curated database

of 557 metagenomes, there was an inverse relationship between industrialization and

parabasalid colonization frequency, with parabasalids identified in more than 22% of samples

from non-industrialized populations but only 3.8% of industrialized samples (Figure 1D, 1E, 1F).

D. fragilis was the most prevalent parabasalid in industrialized and transitional populations, but

not in the hunter-gatherer populations. The microbiomes of non-industrialized individuals

contained many other parabasalid species including *T. buttreyi*, *Trichomonadida*_sp_97, and

*Parabasalia*_sp_1653. Similarly, parabasalids closely related to *T. vaginalis*,

*Tritrichomonadida*_sp_1012, and the murine tritrichomonads *Tmu* and *Tc,* were also present in

some non-industrialized populations (Figure 1D, 1E, and 1F). Together, these results

demonstrate that a large amount of unappreciated diversity exists among human-associated

commensal parabasalids and are consistent with previous studies suggesting that

industrialization is associated with decreased diversity of microeukaryotes in the

microbiome[17,18].

Tmu and _Tc_ stimulate divergent immune responses in the small intestine

D. fragilis belongs to the same phylogenetic order as the murine tritrichomonads *Tmu*

and *Tc*, and we identified even closer relatives of the murine protists in non-industrialized

human populations. Thus, our results reveal new parabasalid diversity within human

microbiomes and reinforce the utility of murine tritrichomonads for understanding the functional

impact of commensal parabasalids on the intestinal environment. All previously characterized

murine commensal tritrichomonads, including *Tmu*, induce tuft cell hyperplasia and type 2

immunity in the distal small intestine (SI) through excretion of the metabolite succinate[19–22].

However, tuft cell hyperplasia, which is used as a readout for SI type 2 immunity[19–21], was

absent in mice colonized with *Tc* (Figure 2A, 2B, 2C, and S2A). Although *Tc* stimulated a subtle

increase in group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s), which are more sensitive to weak type 2

stimuli, this increase was markedly lower than the ILC2 expansion associated with *Tmu* (Figure

2D, 2E, and S2B). Moreover, *Tc* colonization reduced the proportion of small intestinal GATA3$^+$

CD4$^+$ T helper 2 (Th2) cells associated with adaptive type 2 immunity, which contrasted with the

expansion of Th2 cells in *Tmu* colonized mice (Figure 2F and S2C). To determine whether *Tc*

failed to drive type 2 immunity because of colonization deficiencies, we compared *Tc* and *Tmu*

abundance by quantitative PCR. *Tc* was at least as abundant as *Tmu* along the length of the

intestine, including the distal SI (Figure S2D). These results suggest that *Tc* is unique among

known murine commensal tritrichomonads because it does not induce type 2 immunity in the

distal SI.

Previous work demonstrated that *Tmu* increases CD4$^+$ T helper 1 (Th1) and T helper 17

(Th17) cells in the cecum and colon[15,22]. Therefore, we examined whether *Tc* stimulates these

same immune responses in the distal SI. IFNγ producing Th1 cells and IL-17 producing Th17

cells, as well as IFNγ and IL17 double positive CD4$^+$ T cells, significantly increased in the distal

SI of *Tc* colonized mice compared to protist-free controls (Figure 2G, 2H, and S2C). Because

Tmu stimulates Th2 cells in the distal SI, we wondered whether co-colonization would alter the

mucosal response to these protists. To address this question, we co-colonized mice with *Tmu*

and *Tc* or singly with each protist. Tuft cell hyperplasia was identical in co-colonized and *Tmu*

singly colonized mice, and *Tc* and *Tmu* abundance was unaffected by co-colonization (Figure

S2E and S2F). This finding suggests that *Tmu* dominates the mucosal immune response when

both species are present simultaneously in the distal SI.

Th1 and Th17 induction is a common tritrichomonad immune response

Although *Tmu* induces Th1 and Th17 cells in the colon and type 2 immunity in the distal

SI, no study has examined the immune response in both intestinal tissues using the same

protist isolate. This leaves some ambiguity regarding whether different strains or species

contribute to these heterogeneous *Tmu*-induced immune responses. We therefore

characterized CD4$^+$ T cell populations induced by *Tmu* and *Tc* in the colon. Both *Tmu* and *Tc*

significantly increased Th1, Th17, and IFNγ-IL17 double positive T cells in SPF and gnotobiotic

mice (Figure 3A, 3B, and S3A). Other T cell responses appeared unaffected by protist

colonization; for example, regulatory T cells did not change with colonization by either species

(Figure S3B).

Microbial adhesion has been proposed as a nonspecific Th17-inducing signal[29], and

previous work identified examples of *Tmu* adhering to colonic epithelial cells[15]. To address the

prevalence of epithelial adhesion by *Tmu* and *Tc,* we developed a polyclonal antibody against

Tmu. This antibody recognizes *Tmu,* and to a lesser extent *Tc,* and stains both trophozoites and

pseudocysts of *Tmu* (Figure S3C and S3D). We gently flushed the colons of *Tmu* colonized

mice with PBS to remove unadhered protists and then searched for adherent protists by

fluorescence microscopy. Adherent protists were extremely rare (0-3 per colonic tissue section)

and thus are unlikely to drive immune responses across the entire tissue (Figure S3E, S3F, and

S3G).

Tmu requires the inflammasome to fully induce colonic Th1 and Th17 cells[15], so we

hypothesized that *Tc* also stimulates Th1 and Th17 immunity by activating the inflammasome.

Mice deficient in the inflammasome component Caspase 1 had partially suppressed colonic

immune induction by *Tmu* (Figure 3A and 3B)[15]. Caspase 1 was similarly important for the

immune response in *Tc* colonized mice, suggesting that both protists stimulate Th1 and Th17

immunity in the colon through a shared effector.

Although *Tmu* and *Tc* induce the same Th1 and Th17 immune responses in the colon,

only *Tc* appears to drive this response in the distal SI. Induction of Th1 and Th17 immunity in

the distal SI by *Tc* demonstrates that the host pathway is present. Therefore, we reasoned that

the lack of Th1 and Th17 induction in the distal SI by *Tmu* may be due to succinate driven type

2 immunity masking this response. The poor cellular viability caused by processing tissue with

active type 2 immunity prevented direct measurement of IFNγ[+] and IL17^{+} T cells in the distal SI

of wild type *Tmu* colonized mice. Instead, we measured Th1 and Th17 induction in *Trpm5*^{-/-}

mice, which lack the tuft cell taste chemosensory component TRPM5 and are insensitive to

succinate-induced type 2 immunity[20,21]. Like *Tc* in wild type mice, *Tmu* stimulated expansion of

IFNγ^{+}, IL17^{+}, and IFNγ-IL17 double positive CD4^{+} T cells in the distal SI of *Trpm5*^{-/-} mice (Figure

3C and 3D). Altogether, these data suggest tritrichomonads can induce Th1 and Th17 immunity

across the intestinal tract, but metabolic production of succinate by *Tmu* masks this response in

the distal SI by driving type 2 immunity.

Metabolic differences in tritrichomonads underly the divergent immune responses

Succinate excreted by *Tmu* stimulates the succinate receptor (GPR91) on tuft cells and

drives type 2 immunity in the distal SI (Figure S4A)[20,21]. Because *Tc* does not stimulate type 2

immunity, we hypothesized that *Tc* does not produce succinate. We directly measured *in vivo*

succinate concentrations in the ceca of *Tmu* and *Tc* colonized mice using liquid

chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). *Tmu* colonization significantly increased the

extracellular concentrations of cecal succinate compared to uncolonized controls, while *Tc*

colonized mice showed no change in succinate levels (Figure 4A).

Two fermentative pathways are currently established in parabasalid protists based on

studies of *T. vaginalis* and *T. foetus* metabolism[24,30]. *T. vaginalis* redox homeostasis converts

pyruvate to lactate through the action of lactate dehydrogenase. In *T. foetus,* and presumably

Tmu, redox balance occurs via conversion of malate to succinate by the sequential activity of

fumarase and fumarate reductase. Lactate or succinate are then excreted as waste products

from the protists. However, as with succinate, *Tc* colonization did not result in an increase in

extracellular lactate in mouse cecal contents (Figure S4B).

To identify the metabolic pathways present in each protist, we sequenced the genomes

of both *Tmu* and *Tc*. Massive numbers of repeat regions in parabasalid genomes make short-

read based genome assemblies challenging[31–33]. Therefore, we used Nanopore long-read

sequencing and short-read error correction to assemble these parabasalid genomes (Figure 4B,

4C, and S4C). As predicted, *Tmu* encodes the succinate production pathway, but not the lactate

pathway (Figure 4D, cyan box). Despite the lack of succinate or lactate excretion by *Tc*, it

encodes both the succinate and lactate production genes (Figure 4D, magenta boxes). To

assess the relative contribution of each pathway to *Tc* metabolism, we performed RNA

sequencing on *Tmu* and *Tc* isolated from the distal SI and ceca of mice. *Tmu* and *Tc* both

expressed genes in the succinate pathway at high levels, whereas lactate dehydrogenase was

less highly expressed in *Tc* (Figure 4E and 4F). Transcriptomics also confirmed that expression

of these fermentative pathways does not vary drastically between the distal SI and cecum.

Together, these data suggest that *Tmu* and *Tc* both use the succinate fermentation pathway,

contrary to our hypothesis based on LC-MS measurements from cecal supernatants and the

lack of type 2 immune induction by *Tc*.

To directly determine the usage of each fermentative pathway, we measured the

intracellular concentrations of both metabolites in lysates from purified protists. Consistent with

the genomic and transcriptomic analyses, cell extracts from purified *Tmu* and *Tc* contained high

levels of succinate and low levels of lactate (Figure 4G and 4H). These intracellular succinate

concentrations suggest that both protists use the succinate pathway to maintain redox

homeostasis, yet cecal LC-MS measurements and the absence of tuft cell hyperplasia suggest

Tc does not excrete this metabolite. Some bacteria can metabolize succinate into short chain

fatty acids such as propionate and butyrate[34,35]. Therefore, we reasoned that *Tc* might also

metabolize succinate in a similar manner. However, short chain fatty acids, including butyrate,

propionate, and acetate, were not more abundant in lysates from *Tc* than *Tmu* (Fig. S4D). We

next attempted to identify other potential succinate derivatives in *Tc* using additional mass

spectrometry methods capable of identifying over 400 microbiota-produced metabolites[36], but of

the 48 metabolites we identified none had significantly higher abundance in *Tc* (Figure S4E).

Altogether, these data indicate that although both protists use succinate production for redox

homeostasis *Tc* does not excrete sufficient succinate into the intestinal lumen to stimulate type

2 immunity. However, the precise metabolic mechanism remains undefined.

We observed that *T. foetus*, *Tmu*, and *Tc* all use the succinate fermentative pathway and

belong to the order *Tritrichomonadida*, whereas *T. vaginalis* uses the lactate pathway and

belongs to the order *Trichomonadida*. This led us to hypothesize that an evolutionary split had

occurred within the *Parabasalia* phylum, with lactate versus succinate production representing a

branch point. To investigate this hypothesis, we analyzed the fermentative metabolism of two

additional intestinal parabasalids: *P. hominis* (a human-associated *Trichomonadida*) and

Monocercomonas colubrorum (a reptile-associated *Tritrichomonadida*). As predicted, *P. hominis*

cultures contained high concentrations of lactate whereas *M. colubrorum* cultures contained

high concentrations of succinate (Figure S4F). These data suggest that the phylogenetic

classification of new and uncharacterized parabasalid species, such as those identified in this

study, can help predict the production of the immunomodulatory metabolites lactate and

succinate (Figure 4I). For example, this predictive framework suggests that the human-

associated parabasalid *Trichomonadida*_sp_97 produces lactate, whereas the novel human-

associated *Tritrichomonas* species likely use the succinate fermentative pathway (Figure 4I).

***Tmu* and *Tc* occupy different nutritional niches within the microbiota**

In addition to the microbiota's metabolic output, the metabolic input of each species also

shapes its interactions with other microbes and the host[37–39]. Plant polysaccharides such as

dietary fibers are the most commonly utilized carbon source by the microbiota, and collectively

are referred to as dietary Microbiota Accessible Carbohydrates (MACs)[40–42]. However, non-

dietary MACs are also prevalent in the intestine. For example, mucus secreted by intestinal

epithelial goblet cells provides a complex and glycan-rich nutrient source for microbes capable

of breaking down its diverse glycosidic linkages[42–44]. To determine whether *Tmu* and *Tc* ferment

dietary MACs, we altered the fiber content of mouse diets using defined chow similar to a

previous study[21]. Fibers in these diets were restricted to inulin, a fermentable fiber that acts as a

dietary MAC, and cellulose, a non-fermentable fiber that acts as a bulking agent and passes

largely undigested through the intestine. Standard mouse chow, which contains a complex

mixture of plant material, was used as a control (complex). *Tmu* abundance was high in mice

fed complex chow and defined chow with inulin and cellulose (def-CI) or inulin alone (def-I)

(Figures 5A and S5A). However, *Tmu* colonization was dramatically reduced when fiber was

removed entirely (def-None) or cellulose was the sole fiber (def-C) (Figures 5A and S5A). In

contrast, *Tc* colonization was completely independent of dietary MACs, as this protist's

abundance did not decrease on any of the defined diets (Figure 5B, S5B). These results

suggest that *Tc* may rely on host-derived carbohydrates as a nutritional source, while *Tmu*

colonization depends on dietary MACs.

The gut microbial ecosystem is a complex and species rich community, with most

nutritional niches occupied by one or more community members competing for limited

resources[37,45,46]. This scarcity of open niches can prevent new microbes from colonizing the gut

and establishing themselves in the community, a phenomenon termed "colonization resistance".

However, commensal tritrichomonads such as *Tmu* and *Tc* are unusual in that they appear

unaffected by colonization resistance. As few as one thousand *Tmu* or *Tc* protists can stably

colonize SPF mice without niche-clearing manipulation. We reasoned that *Tmu* and *Tc*

overcome colonization resistance due to one of two possibilities, (1) *Tmu* and *Tc* occupy unique

and uninhabited niches within the gut ecosystem, or (2) *Tmu* and *Tc* outcompete other microbes

that occupy their preferred niche. Due to the dearth of unoccupied niches, we favored the

second hypothesis. Therefore, identifying microbes eliminated by tritrichomonad colonization

could offer insights into the preferred nutritional niches of these protists.

To investigate trans-kingdom competition between tritrichomonads and bacteria, we

performed 16S rRNA sequencing on the distal SI, cecum, and colon contents from mice with

and without *Tmu* or *Tc* (Figures 5C, S5C, and S5D). In all three regions of the intestine, *Tmu*

colonization dramatically reduced *Bifidobacterium pseudolongum* and *Turicibacter sanguinis*

abundance (Figure 5C, 5D, S5C, and S5D). Alternatively, *Tc* colonization decreased

Akkermansia muciniphila to undetectable levels (Figures 5C, 5D, and S5C-E). *Bifidobacteria* are

prolific fiber degraders and *Turicibacter* abundance is positively correlated with fiber

consumption[47,48], consistent with *Tmu* outcompeting these bacteria for dietary MACs. *A.*

muciniphila is a mucin specialist, suggesting that *Tc* may preferentially consume host mucus

glycans.

In the colon, mucus forms a highly interlinked network that is tightly associated with the

intestinal epithelium and acts as a barrier against microbial encroachment[2]. Mucolytic bacteria,

such as *A. muciniphila*, preferentially colonize the mucus layer. To determine whether *Tc* also

localizes to the colonic mucus layer, we used quantitative imaging to map the location of each

protist along the mucosal-luminal axis of the intestine. *Tmu* was present at both the mucosal

interface and in the lumen of the colon, consistent with a preference for dietary MACs (Figures

5E and 5F). *Tc* instead tightly co-localized with the colonic mucus layer, corroborating its

preference for mucus glycans.

Mucus glycans and fiber are composed of diverse sugar structures that require a large

repertoire of carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes) to catabolize. To investigate the glycan

utilization potential of *Tmu* and *Tc*, we used dbCAN2 to identify CAZymes in the protist

genomes[49]. Additionally, we annotated CAZymes in the genomes of the potential bacterial

competitors *B. pseudolongum*, *T. sanguinis*, and *A. muciniphila.* Consistent with our hypothesis

of nutritional trans-kingdom competition, *B. pseudolongum* and *T. sanguinis* were enriched for

CAZymes active on plant polysaccharides, whereas *A. muciniphila* was enriched for host-active

CAZymes (Figures 5G and S5F). However, *Tmu* and *Tc* each encoded a large number of both

plant and host-active CAZymes, suggesting that these protists are capable of breaking down a

wide variety of carbohydrate substrates. We therefore used the protist transcriptomes to

determine the expression levels of mucus active CAZymes. *Tc* expresses CAZymes with

predicted activity against all of the sugar subunits found on mucus glycans, and these CAZymes

are members of Glycoside Hydrolase (GH) families associated with mucus degradation (Figure

5H)[27,43]. In particular, *Tc* expresses high levels of galactosidases, which can cleave the

abundant galactose residues from mucus glycans. Galactose cannot be directly catabolized and

must be converted to glucose to be oxidized for energy production. Consistent with a galactose-

dependent metabolism, *Tc* also expresses high levels of UDP-galactose 4-epimerase used to

convert galactose to glucose[50]. However, these putatively mucolytic CAZymes identified in *Tc*

are also expressed by *Tmu,* albeit at lower levels of expression, suggesting that *Tmu* may also

be capable of fermenting mucus glycans (Figure 5H).

Dietary MAC deprivation causes *Tmu* to switch to mucolytic metabolism

Although *Tmu* colonization was markedly reduced when mice were fed the def-C diet, a

small number of protists survived in a subset of these mice (Figure 5A and S5A). This

observation, combined with the expression of mucolytic CAZymes*,* suggested that *Tmu* can

utilize mucus glycans to survive dietary MAC deprivation. To investigate this possibility, we

examined the localization of *Tmu* in the colons of mice fed cellulose as the sole fiber. The few

remaining *Tmu* cells in def-C fed mice strongly co-localized with the mucus layer (Figure 6A and

6B).

We next sought to study this metabolic shift in a reductionist model to understand the

effects of specific substrates on protist growth and survival without the inherent complexity of

the intestinal environment. Although some parabasalid species can be propagated *in vitro*,

murine gut commensals such as *Tmu* and *Tc* do not grow with existing culture conditions.

Although previous studies by our group and others have cultured these protists short-term to

eliminate bacteria and fungi with antibiotics[15,19–21], growth does not occur; instead, the protists

slowly die over the course of several days. This method is sufficient to colonize mice with pure

protists, but it does not allow for mechanistic investigation of protist biology. We created a new

culture medium, which we named PBF, that supports the long-term culture of *Tmu* (Figure S6A).

Despite the success of PBF for *Tmu* culture, it did not support the growth of *Tc*. Nevertheless,

adding mucus to PBF medium improved *Tc* survival 5-fold, supporting the conclusion that this

species ferments mucus glycans (Figure S6B).

Next, we cultured *Tmu* axenically with either the simple sugar maltose or with mucus as

the primary carbon source and found that both glycans supported robust protist growth (Figure

6C). To confirm whether the CAZymes expressed by protists *in vivo* (Figure 5H) may support

mucus glycan harvesting, we performed RNA sequencing on *Tmu* grown with either maltose or

mucus (Figure 6D). *Tmu* grown with mucus significantly increased the expression of 16

CAZymes in GH families associated with mucolytic activity relative to protists grown with

maltose. These upregulated CAZymes included the putative mucolytic genes identified above,

supporting the hypothesis that both protists use these CAZymes to metabolize mucus glycans.

In addition, the gene encoding the galactose-utilization enzyme UDP-galactose-4-epimerase

was upregulated in mucus-grown *Tmu,* suggesting a metabolic shift towards fermenting

mucosal sugars.

The discrepancy between *Tmu* survival on mucus *in vivo* (in which the protists barely

survive) and *in vitro* (where the protists thrive) led us to consider what factors might account for

this difference. One major distinction is the absence of bacteria in the *in vitro* cultures. To

determine if mucolytic bacteria outcompete *Tmu* during dietary MAC deprivation *in vivo*, we

treated *Tmu*-colonized mice with antibiotics before switching to the def-C diet. Strikingly,

antibiotic treatment with a cocktail of vancomycin, neomycin, and ampicillin restored *Tmu*

colonization in the absence of dietary MACs (Figure 6E). To narrow down the potential bacterial

competitors, we tested the ability of each antibiotic to restore colonization. Neomycin and

vancomycin were dispensable for *Tmu* survival, whereas ampicillin treatment completely

restored colonization (Figure 6F and S6C). Consistent with ampicillin providing *Tmu*

uncontested access to the mucus niche during fiber deprivation, the protists localized tightly to

the mucus layer (Figure S6D and S6E).

To identify specific bacteria that outcompete *Tmu* during dietary MAC starvation, we

profiled the changes to the bacterial microbiome during treatment with each of the individual

antibiotics. Mice treated with ampicillin had undetectable levels of *Bacteroidetes* and *A.*

muciniphila, known mucolytic members of the microbiota (Figure 6G, 6H, and S6F)[43]. In

contrast, the mice treated with no antibiotics, vancomycin, or neomycin all had high levels of

Bacteroidetes, *A. muciniphila*, or both. These results suggest that *Tmu* switches to a mucolytic

metabolism during dietary MAC starvation but is largely outcompeted by the mucolytic bacteria

Bacteroidetes spp. and *A. muciniphila*.

Diet-protist interactions shape the host immune response

Next, we characterized dietary MAC fermentation by *Tmu* using axenic *in vitro* culture.

Although inulin was sufficient for *Tmu* to colonize mice (Figure 5A), *Tmu* failed to grow in PBF

medium with inulin as the carbon source (Figure 7A). To understand this apparent discrepancy,

we investigated the localization of *Tmu* in mice fed def-CI chow, in which inulin is the sole

dietary MAC. Similar to ampicillin treated mice starved of dietary MACs, protists were tightly

associated with the mucus layer in mice fed def-CI chow (Figure 7B and 7C). This suggests that

although inulin is sufficient for *Tmu* to colonize mice at high abundance, *Tmu* does not directly

utilize inulin and instead ferments mucus glycans when inulin is the sole dietary MAC. Notably,

many *Bacteroidetes* species can ferment both inulin and mucus, suggesting that these bacteria

may utilize inulin when the fiber is present, thereby reducing competition with *Tmu* for mucus

glycans[43,51]. *Bacteroidetes* abundance did not change in *Tmu*-colonized mice fed def-C chow

compared to def-CI chow, whereas *Tmu* levels decreased in def-C chow (Figure 7D and 5A).

This observation is consistent with *Bacteroidetes* outcompeting *Tmu* for mucus glycans when all

dietary MACs, including inulin, are removed. However, this same dietary switch in *Tc*-colonized

mice results in a significant decrease in *Bacteroidetes* abundance, consistent with the ability of

Tc to outcompete mucolytic bacteria for the mucus niche (Figure 7D).

To determine whether *Tmu* can ferment other dietary MACs, we tested a wider array of

fermentable fibers as the carbon source in *Tmu* cultures. While *Tmu* failed to grow in maltose-

free PBF medium supplemented with the fibers arabinan, arabinogalactan, and

fructooligosaccharides (FOS), the protist readily grew on arabinoxylan, galactomannan, and

pectin (Figure 7E and S7A). To identify CAZymes responsible for fiber utilization by *Tmu*, we

performed transcriptomics on *Tmu* cultured with either the simple sugar maltose or the

fermentable fiber arabinoxylan (Figure 7F). We identified 3 CAZymes in GH families associated

with fiber utilization that increased expression during growth on arabinoxylan, suggesting these

CAZymes break down fiber polymers[27,43].

Finally, we wondered whether diet and *Tmu* metabolic input would affect immune

induction by the protist. When *Tmu*-colonized mice were fed def-C chow, and thus protists were

largely depleted from the intestine, type 2 immune induction was completely ablated (Figure

S7B and S7C). However, when *Tmu*-colonized mice were fed def-CI chow, and thus *Tmu*

fermented mucus glycans, type 2 immune induction in the distal SI still occurred but was largely

suppressed (Figure 7G and 7H). This suppression did not appear to be due to decreased

succinate output by *Tmu* on a per-cell basis during mucus feeding, as *Tmu* grown on mucus *in*

vitro showed no decrease in succinate excretion (Figure S7D). However, *Tmu* abundance in the

distal SI of mice fed def-CI chow was substantially lower than in mice fed complex chow,

suggesting that when fermenting mucus, this protist cannot colonize the SI at sufficiently high

levels to induce type 2 immunity (Figure 7I). Altogether, these results demonstrate that

alteration of dietary fiber intake can induce graded type 2 immune responses by *Tmu*.

Discussion

The role of the eukaryotic microbiome, and commensal protists in particular, in shaping

community structure and influencing host physiology is far less understood than their bacterial

counterparts. This study reveals previously unrecognized phylogenetic diversity of commensal

protists in the *Parabasalia* phylum in both mice and humans. Amongst the newly identified

human-associated parabasalids are close relatives of the murine species, *Tmu* and *Tc*.

Although the species found in humans are distinct from the murine isolates, they reinforce the

potential translational impact of functional studies using *Tmu* and *Tc*. We demonstrate

numerous shared features between parabasalids, but importantly, our results also revealed that

even minor phylogenetic differences can have meaningful biological consequences.

Although *Tmu* and *Tc* are closely related species, they differ in the excretion of the

fermentative waste product succinate, and they prefer distinct nutritional niches within the gut

ecosystem. These metabolic differences lead to divergent small intestinal immune responses,

distinct trans-kingdom interactions, and differential reliance on host dietary fiber. For example,

succinate induced type 2 immunity in the SI has been a ubiquitous feature of previously

characterized commensal tritrichomonads[19–21], but *Tc* demonstrates that this is not universal.

Additionally, all commensal parabasalids are assumed to ferment dietary fiber, but *Tmu* and *Tc*

diverge in dietary fiber usage, leading to trans-kingdom competition with fiber or mucus

digesting bacteria, respectively. However, comparing *Tmu* and *Tc* revealed unanticipated

similarities as well. *Tc* increases Th1 and Th17 cells in the distal SI, whereas *Tmu* initiates type

2 immunity by stimulating tuft cells with succinate. However, *Tmu* colonization of mice lacking

the tuft cell response to this metabolite instead exhibited a Th1 and Th17 response in the SI

comparable to *Tc*. This result suggests both protists have the capacity to stimulate Th1 and

Th17 immunity in the small intestine. Additional studies will be required to identify potential

shared factor(s) that promote this immune response and determine the extent of its

conservation in the *Parabasalia* phylum.

Our studies generated new tools and datasets for commensal tritrichomonads, which will

eliminate critical barriers that have stymied mechanistic studies of these protists. The genomes

of *Tmu* and *Tc* combined with metabolomic and transcriptomic datasets form a valuable

foundation for future studies. Furthermore, the ability to grow these tritrichomonads in culture is

an essential step for microbiological investigations. Previous studies, including our own, isolated

tritrichomonads from mice, but the protists did not grow robustly in axenic *in vitro* culture[15,19–21].

This basic capability is particularly crucial for members of the microbiota because the complexity

of their natural ecosystem confounds the ability to link phenotypes to single members of the

community. In addition, the high degree of strain-level variation and potential for genetic drift

make growth in culture and the ability to freeze/thaw isolates invaluable for increasing

experimental rigor. Further, culture represents the first major hurdle for developing any microbe

into a genetically tractable model.

Only two species of commensal parabasalid, *D. fragilis* and *P. hominis*, have been

identified in the human gut. Previous studies also suggested industrialized microbiomes have

decreased microeukaryotic prevalence[17,18]. Here, we identified multiple new human-associated

commensal parabasalids, which were primarily found in the stool of individuals from non-

industrialized populations. These new parabasalids further emphasize the need to consider the

microbiome of diverse human populations and highlight the importance of understanding how

different parabasalids influence human health. Our discovery that parabasalid production of the

immunomodulatory metabolites succinate and lactate can be predicted based solely on

phylogeny is a powerful tool. For example, this model predicts that *D. fragilis* and the newly

discovered human-associated tritrichomonads produce succinate, and therefore may stimulate

type 2 immunity in the small intestine. However, further work is required to refine this predictive

model to account for alternative metabolic mechanisms, including those that may limit succinate

excretion in protists like *Tc*.

Limitations of the study

An important limitation of this study is that our identification of parabasalids in human

microbiomes likely includes a high rate of false negativity. Despite the high sequencing depth in

some of the metagenomic datasets, read depth was low for parabasalid ITS sequences in most

samples. Thus, the prevalence of human-associated parabasalids identified here likely

underestimates the true prevalence. Parabasalid-specific approaches will help to circumvent

this issue in future studies. A second limitation of this study is that our predictive framework for

fermentative pathways in parabasalids only allows for prediction of utilization of a pathway for

redox homeostasis, and not excretion of the metabolite. Our discovery that *Tc* produces

succinate but does not excrete sufficient quantities to stimulate type 2 immunity highlights the

importance of this distinction. Identification of this metabolic mechanism will facilitate the

prediction of excretion based solely on genomic data.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Howitt lab, John Boothroyd, Markus Lakemeyer, Rebecca Gellman,

and Fatima Enam for their helpful discussions and expertise. We also thank Dylan Maghini, Eli

Moss, Roshni Patel, and Sandra Kong for their NGS expertise. We thank John Perrino and Ruth

Yamawaki at the Stanford Cell Sciences Imaging Facility for expertise and help with sample

processing and scanning electron microscopy. We thank Dr. R. Margolskee, Dr. J. von Moltke,

and Amgen for kindly providing the *Trpm5*$^{-/-}$, SMART13, and *Gpr91*$^{-/-}$ mice, respectively. This

work was supported by grants from the NIH (R01DK128292, K01DK113041, R01AI14862302,

R01AI14375702, 5 T32 AI07290, and T32HL120824), a Jacob Churg award, and Stanford

Pediatric IBD and Celiac Disease Research Program and the Stanford Maternal & Child Health

Research Institute Seed Grant to M.R.H.. E.R.G. is supported by the Stanford Pediatric IBD and

Celiac Disease Research Program and the Stanford Maternal & Child Health Research Institute.

Author contributions

E.R.G, S.Z., P.T.W., M.M.C, M.R.O, A.S.B., and M.R.H. designed the experiments. E.R.G.,

S.Z., P.T.W., M.M.C., M.R.O., E.B.C., C.M.M., J.A.L., S.K.H. performed experiments and

contributed intellectual expertise. J.L.S. and A.S.B., provided intellectual expertise. E.R.G. and

M.R.H. conceived the study and wrote the manuscript, and all authors read and commented on

the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no relevant competing interests.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Identification of novel parabasalids in mice and humans.

(A) FACS purification of the large *T. musculis* (*Tmu*) and small *T. casperi* (*Tc*) protists isolated

from a mouse cecum. FSC, forward scatter. SSC, side scatter. (B) SEM images of *Tmu* (left)

and *Tc* (right). UM: Undulating Membrane, RF: Recurrent Flagellum, AF: Anterior Flagella.

Scale bars are 2µm. (C) Cladogram of parabasalid protists based on ITS sequences, including

novel mouse and human-associated parabasalids. Protists in bold indicate novel parabasalids

discovered in this study. (D-F) Identification of human-associated parabasalids using mapping-

based analysis of metagenomic data. (D) Percentage average nucleotide identity (ANI) of

parabasalid metagenomic reads mapping to the corresponding reference protist sequence.

Imperfect matches indicate that identified parabasalids are relatives of reference protists and

not the same species. First author of the cohort study, country of origin, and population type

("Industrial", "Transitional", Hunter-Gatherer ("H-G")) is indicated. Columns and rows are

hierarchically clustered based on Euclidean distance. Cells corresponding to protists not

identified in a population are colored grey. (E) Percentage prevalence of subjects in human

cohorts with metagenomic reads mapping to reference parabasalids. (F) Prevalence of

parabasalids identified in each population type. Asterisks denote protists with imperfect matches

to the reference sequence, which are therefore relatives of the indicated species (E, F).

(Abbreviations: TZA=Tanzania, NEP=Nepal, MNG=Mongolia, USA=United States of America,

MDG=Madagascar, KAZ=Kazakhstan, SWE=Sweden, HMP=Human Microbiome Project).

Figure 2. T Cell responses to *Tmu* and *Tc* differ in the distal SI.

(A) Tuft cell frequency measured by flow cytometry in the distal SI epithelium of uncolonized

(*Ctrl*) mice or those colonized with *Tmu* or *Tc* for two weeks. (B) Representative flow cytometry

plots of tuft cells. SiglecF marks tuft cells, EpCAM marks epithelial cells. (C) Representative

immunofluorescence microscopy images of tuft cell hyperplasia in the distal SI of mice with or

without each protist for two weeks. Scale bars are 50µm. (D) Frequency of ILC2s in the lamina

propria of the distal SI in *Ctrl* mice or mice colonized with *Tmu* or *Tc* for two weeks. IL13 was

measured using the IL13 reporter Smart13 mice (E) Representative flow cytometry plots of

ILC2s. (F) Frequency of GATA3$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells (Th2 cells) in the lamina propria of the distal SI

after three weeks of colonization. (G) Frequency of IFNγ and IL-17 positive CD4$^+$ T cells in the

distal SI lamina propria after three weeks of *Tc* colonization, or without protist colonization (*Ctrl*).

Cytokines were stimulated *ex vivo* and cells were stained for intracellular cytokines. (H)

Representative flow cytometry plots of Th1 and Th17 cells in the distal SI with and without *Tc*.

Graphs (A, D, F, G) depict mean. Each symbol represents an individual mouse from two to

three pooled experiments (A, D, F, and G). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, NS,

not significant by Student's t test.

Figure 3. Th1 and Th17 induction is the shared tritrichomonad-induced immune

response.

(A) Frequency (top) and absolute abundance (bottom) of IFNγ and IL-17 positive CD4$^+$ T cells in

the colonic lamina propria of WT and *Caspase1$^{-/-}$* mice after three weeks of protist colonization,

or without protist colonization (*Ctrl*). (B) Representative flow cytometry plots of the frequency of

IFNγ and IL17 positivity in colonic lamina propria CD4$^+$ T cells. (C) Frequency and absolute

abundance of IFNγ and IL-17 positive CD4$^+$ T cells in the distal SI lamina propria of *Trpm5$^{-/-}$*

mice after three weeks of *Tmu* colonization, or without protist colonization (*Ctrl*). (D)

Representative flow cytometry plots of the frequency of IFNγ and IL17 positivity in distal SI

lamina propria CD4$^+$ T cells from *Trpm5$^{-/-}$* mice with or without *Tmu*. Cytokines were stimulated

ex vivo and cells were stained for intracellular cytokines. Graphs depict mean. Each symbol

represents an individual mouse from two (C) or three (A) pooled experiments. *p<0.05,

**p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, NS, not significant by Student's t test.

Figure 4. Metabolic differences in tritrichomonads underly divergent immune responses.

(A) Extracellular succinate concentration in the cecal contents of uncolonized mice (*Ctrl*) or mice

colonized with each protist for 3 weeks. (B, C) Genome assemblies of *Tmu* (B) and *Tc* (C).

Outermost rings show position (in Mbp), middle ring shows genome assembly contigs, inner ring

shows GC content in 10kb sliding windows (blue, above genome average; red, below genome

average). Total genome size is at the center of each circle. (D) Schematic of metabolic

pathways in parabasalid protists. Magenta boxes surround fermentative pathways found in *Tc*,

cyan box surrounds the pathway found in *Tmu*. (E and F) Gene expression levels (in transcripts

per million (TPM)) in the cecum vs. distal SI for *Tmu* (E) and *Tc* (F). Transcripts in the lactate

and succinate fermentative pathways are labelled if present. (G and H) Intracellular succinate

(G) and lactate (H) measurements from purified *Tmu* and *Tc* cell lysates. (I) Cladogram of

parabasalids. Green shading shows predicted succinate producers. Purple shading shows

predicted lactate producers. Asterisks signify new metabolic confirmations in this study. "Novel

human *Tritrichomonadida/Trichomonadida*" represent novel human-associated parabasalids

detected in Figure 1, but for which sequence information was not obtainable. Phylogenetic

orders are labelled on the right. Graphs (A, G, H) depict mean with SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, NS,

not significant by Student's t test.

Figure 5. *Tmu* and *Tc* occupy different nutritional niches within the microbiota.

(A) Abundance of *Tmu* and (B) *Tc* in the stool of mice fed diets with defined fiber compositions

for two weeks or fed standard chow (complex) as a control. Fibers in the defined diets are

limited to inulin (I), cellulose (C), or no fiber (None). (C) 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing in the

colon of uncolonized (*Ctrl*) mice or mice colonized with *Tmu* or *Tc* for three weeks. The 15 most

abundant taxa are shown, and data is the average abundance from five mice per group. (D)

Relative abundance of bacteria depleted by *Tmu* and *Tc* colonization in each region of the

intestine, from 16S Sequencing. (E) Representative microscopy showing localization of *Tmu*

and *Tc* in the colons of mice fed complex chow. Scale bars are 100µm. (F) Quantification of

protist localization by microscopy. Higher mucus/lumen protist signal indicates tighter

localization to the colonic mucus layer. (G) Number of CAZymes encoded by protists and

bacterial competitors with predicted activity against host and plant glycans. (H) Abundance (in

TPM) of putative mucus-utilization genes in *Tc* and *Tmu* from transcriptomics data. CAZymes

were identified by dbCAN2. For putative mucolytic CAZymes the glycoside hydrolase family

(GH) is indicated in brackets. Graphs (A, B, D, F) depict mean, error bars depict SD in (D). Each

symbol represents an individual mouse from one to three pooled experiments (A). **p<0.01,

***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test (A, B) or Student's t test (F).

Figure 6. Dietary MAC deprivation causes *Tmu* to switch to a mucolytic metabolism.

(A) Representative images of *Tmu* in the colons of mice fed complex chow (left) and defined

chow with cellulose (def-C) chow (right) for two weeks. Scale bars are 100µm. (B) Quantification

of microscopy on *Tmu* localization to the mucus layer when mice are fed complex chow or def-C

chow. Higher mucus/lumen protist signal indicates tighter localization to the colonic mucus

layer. (C) Growth curves of *Tmu* cultured *in vitro*, using the newly developed PBF medium, with

maltose (cyan) or mucus (green) added as carbon sources, or with no defined carbon source

added (grey). (D) Differential gene expression from *Tmu* grown *in vitro* with either maltose or

mucus as a carbon source. Green data points indicate putative mucus-utilization genes with

increased expression when grown in the presence of mucus. GH families for putative mucolytic

CAZymes are labelled. Dashed lines delineate the fold change cutoff (1.5-fold). (E) *Tmu*

abundance in the stool of mice fed def-CI or def-C chow for two weeks, with or without an

antibiotic cocktail (VNA). Antibiotics used are Vancomycin, V; Neomycin, N; Ampicillin, A. (F)

Tmu abundance in the cecal contents of mice fed cellulose chow for two weeks with different

antibiotic treatments. (G) 16S rRNA sequencing of stool from *Tmu*-colonized mice fed def-C

chow for two weeks with different antibiotic treatments. Each column represents an individual

mouse. (H) Relative abundance of known mucolytic bacteria in mice from each group in (G). LD,

limit of detection. Graphs (B, C, E, F, H) are plotted as mean, error bars depict SD in (C). Each

symbol represents an individual mouse from one to three pooled experiments (B, E, and F).

**$p<0.01$, ****$p<0.0001$, NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test (E, F) or Student's t test (B).

Figure 7. Diet-protist interactions shape the host immune response.

(A) *In vitro* growth curve of *Tmu* cultured in PBF medium with maltose or inulin added as

carbon sources, or with no defined carbon source added. (B) Representative images of *Tmu* in

the colons of mice fed complex chow or defined chow with cellulose and inulin (def-CI) for three

weeks. Scale bars are 50µm. (C) Quantification of microscopy on *Tmu* localization to the colonic

mucus layer when mice are fed complex chow or def-CI chow. Higher mucus/lumen protist

signal indicates tighter localization to the colonic mucus layer. (D) Relative abundance of

Bacteroidetes bacteria in the stool of mice colonized by *Tmu* or *Tc*, with the fermentable fiber

inulin or the non-fermentable fiber cellulose as the only fiber sources in the diet. (E) Maximum

Tmu culture density when grown *in vitro* with different dietary MACs added as carbon sources to

PBF medium. All statistical comparisons are relative to culture with no defined carbon source

added. (F) Differential expression of *Tmu* transcripts grown *in vitro* with either maltose or

arabinoxylan as a carbon source. Cyan data points represent upregulated CAZymes with

potential activity towards arabinoxylan. Dashed lines delineate the fold change cutoff (1.5-fold).

(G and H) Epithelial tuft cell (G) and lamina propria Th2 (H) frequency in the distal SI of mice

colonized with *Tmu* or no protist (*Ctrl*) and fed complex or def-CI chow for 3 weeks. (I) *Tmu*

abundance in the distal SI of mice fed complex chow and def-CI chow for 3 weeks. Data is

plotted as mean with SD (A and E). Each symbol represents an individual mouse from two or

three pooled experiments (C, D, G, H, and I). *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, NS,

not significant by Mann-Whitney test (D, I) or Student's t test (C, E, G, H).

STAR METHODS

Key Resources Table

[See separate document]

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents may be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the lead contact, Michael Howitt (mhowitt@stanford.edu).

Materials availability

Mouse and microbial strains used in this study will be made available upon request addressed

to the lead contact.

Data and code availability

Raw sequencing files and genome assemblies have been deposited at Stanford Digital

Repository and are publicly available as of the date of publication (links to each dataset can be

found in Key Resources Table). Any additional information required to reanalyze the data

reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

Animals

C57BL/6 WT and *Caspase1*$^{-/-}$ mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. Mice from

Jackson Laboratory are protist-negative, as verified by PCR on the stool and examination of

cecal contents. *Tprm5*$^{-/-}$ and *Gpr91*$^{-/-}$ mice were generously provided by Dr. Robert Margolskee

and Amgen, respectively, under materials transfer agreements. The IL13 reporter mouse line

(SMART13 mice)[54] were generously provided by Dr. Jakob von Moltke. All mice were used at 6-

12 weeks of age. Experiments were performed using age and gender matched groups. All

animal procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee (IACUC) at Stanford School of Medicine.

All mice were housed in individually ventilated cage systems and maintained at specific

pathogen free (SPF) health status, unless stated otherwise. Colonies of protist-negative and

protist-colonized mice were maintained and handled separately. Protist colonization status was

routinely verified by PCR on stool and examination of cecal contents by light microscopy. Cage

bottoms were covered with autoclaved bedding and enrichment material. Mice were handled

within HEPA filtered air exchange stations, and all surfaces and tools were sanitized using

Virkon^{TM} S disinfectant before and after handling. All cage changes were performed by lab staff,

who were required to wear personal protection equipment (lab coats, gloves, disposable

sleeves) to handle mice used in this study.

Custom diets for *in vivo* fiber experiments were obtained from Research Diets, Inc. The

diets were the same as those used previously[21], with diet IDs D11112201 (Defined chow with

cellulose and inulin), D11112229 (no fiber), D17030102 (cellulose), D11112226 (inulin). For

antibiotic treatment experiments, mice were given antibiotics in the drinking water, which was

changed every 3 days. Antibiotics and concentrations used were: vancomycin (0.5g/mL),

neomycin (1g/mL), ampicillin (1g/mL). For succinate treatment, disodium succinate (100mM)

was added to the drinking water and changed every 3 days.

Method details

<u>Identification of protists in mouse stool</u>

Mice at Stanford University animal facilities were screened for commensal protists by extracting

DNA from stool using the DNeasy Powersoil Pro Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer

instructions. Samples were then used as input for PCR using pan-parabasalid primers (forward:

5'-CCACGGGTAGCAGCA-3', reverse: 5'-GGCAGGGACGTATTCAA-3'). These primers

generate an approximately 1.1kb amplicon of the parabasalid internally transcribed spacer (ITS)

sequence, which was sequenced by Sanger Sequencing for phylogenetic classification.

Phylograms were generated using MAFFT[55].

<u>Isolation of *Tritrichomonas spp.* from mice</u>

Protists were purified as described previously[19], with modifications. Briefly, cecal contents were

harvested from WT C57BL/6 mice and passed over a 40µm strainer. Contents were then

washed three times in PBS and purified at the interface of a 40%/80% Percoll (GE healthcare)

gradient, after centrifugation at 1000xg for 10minutes with no brake. The number of viable

protists was quantified using a hemocytometer, and $1x10^6$ trophozoites were inoculated per mL

of PBF growth medium. The PBF medium was supplemented with 1x Penicillin/Streptomycin

(Caisson Labs), 2.5µg/mL Amphotericin B (Sigma Aldrich), 100µg/mL Vancomycin (Alfa Aesar),

12.5µg/mL Chloramphenicol (Sigma). Protists were then cultured overnight in an anaerobic

chamber (Coy Labs) at 37˚C.

<u>Colonization of mice with protists</u>

Mice were colonized with commensal parabasalid protists as described previously[19] with slight

modifications. Briefly, protists were resuspended in PBS and mice were colonized with $1x10^5$

protists per mouse by oral feeding. Colonization was verified after 7 days by qPCR on the stool

using *Tmu* or *Tc* specific primers. For *Tc* colonization of mice, protists were isolated directly

from mouse ceca one day prior to colonization. For *Tmu* colonization of mice, protists were

cultured *in vitro* for no more than 20 passages prior to colonization of mice.

<u>Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)</u>

SEM was performed on *Tmu* and *Tc* as described previously[19]. Briefly, protists were isolated

from the ceca of SPF mice as described above and adhered to poly-lysine coated coverslips.

Samples were then fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate pH 7.2. Samples were then

rinsed and post-fixed for 30min in 1% OsO_4 in 0.1M cacodylate buffer and dehydrated in a

graded series of ethanol prior to critical point drying with liquid CO_2. Protists were then sputter-

coated with 5nm platinum and examined with a Zeiss Sigma scanning electron microscope.

<u>Collating previously published human gut metagenomic samples</u>

Prevalence of parabasalid species across lifestyle was characterized using a curated collection

of 1,800 metagenomes including samples from "industrial", "transitional" and "hunter-gatherer"

populations that were reported previously[28]. Due to the sensitivity of this analysis to read depth,

we removed studies that had a median read depth lower than 5 giga base pairs.

Discovery of putative novel ITS sequences

We compiled a set of Parabasalid internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences derived in this

study or from public databases. We used these sequences as "bait" to mine for novel ITS

sequences in the contigs of metagenome assemblies of samples derived from Tanzania, Nepal

or California[28]. The comparison between bait and assembly contigs was performed by

mashmap[56]. A phylogenetic tree of these putative novel ITS sequences, along with our bait ITS

sequences, was created using FastTree[57].

Species prevalence analysis

All reads were mapped against a database of Parabasalid ITS sequences derived in this study

or from public databases (Bowtie2)[58]. Resulting mappings were processed using inStrain profile

(v1.2.14)[59] and CoverM v0.4.0 (https://github.com/wwood/CoverM). Species with more than one

read aligning to the ITS sequence at a breadth greater than 20% were considered present and

prevalence was calculated as the percentage of metagenomes in which the species was

present.

High molecular weight DNA extraction and Nanopore Sequencing

To obtain pure protist DNA for genome sequencing, *Tc*-colonized mice were treated with an

antibiotic and antifungal cocktail in the drinking water (0.5g/L Vancomycin, 1g/L Neomycin, 1g/L

Ampicillin, 0.2g/L Amphotericin B) for 6 days. *Tc* was then purified from the cecal contents as

described above, with the modification of two rounds of Percoll purification, instead of one. For

Tmu, protists were instead axenically cultured. High molecular weight DNA from each protist

was then isolated by gently resuspending pelleted protists in lysis buffer (PBS+0.5% sodium

dodecyl sulfate), using a wide-bore pipet tip. Lysed cells were transferred to DNA LoBind tubes

(Eppendorf) and incubated with Monarch RNase A (NEB) for 2 hours at 37˚C. Next, Proteinase

K (Qiagen) was added, and samples were incubated for 2 hours at 50˚C. Nucleic acid was then

isolated using two rounds of extraction with Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl alcohol (Sigma). To

avoid pipetting during transfer steps, vacuum grease (Dow Corning) was added as a phase-lock

gel. Protein contaminants were then precipitated using 2M Ammonium Acetate, and then DNA

was precipitated overnight in ethanol. Next, DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 8000xg, and

DNA pellets were washed three times with 70% ethanol. DNA was then resuspended in water

and used for library preparation and sequencing using the Nanopore Ligation Sequencing Kit

and Minion Flow Cell (Oxford Nanopore).

<u>Genome assembly and annotation</u>

Genome assemblies for both *T. casperi* and *T. musculis* were constructed using Flye[60], v. 2.9-

b1768 with default parameters. Short read libraries were then mapped against the genome

assemblies with Bowtie2[58], v. 2.4.4 and resulting alignments were used for assembly polishing

with pilon[61]. Genes were predicted with MetaEuk[62], v. 5.34c21f2 with Uniref90 as the reference

protein dataset. Genome completeness was assessed with BUSCO[63], v5.0.0 in protein mode

with Eukaryota ODB10 lineage marker gene set. Genome diagrams were generated using

DNAPlotter[64], v. 18.2.0.

<u>RNA-Sequencing</u>

RNA-Sequencing was performed on *Tmu* and *Tc in vivo* by first isolating the luminal contents

from the distal small intestine and cecum of 3 mice per group. Contents were strained over

40µm filters, then washed with ice-cold PBS to remove debris. To minimize potential for sample

processing to affect transcriptomes, samples were then immediately resuspended in Qiazol

(Qiagen). RNA was purified using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research). RNA-Seq

libraries were then generated using the KAPA Stranded RNA-Seq kit with poly-dT enrichment of

mRNA transcripts, and libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq instrument.

Transcriptomics on the *in vitro* grown *Tmu* samples was performed on protists grown for

3 days in the condition of interest. Cultures (3 biological replicates per condition) were pelleted

at 700xg for 5min at 4˚, then resuspended in Qiazol. RNA was then isolated using the Direct-zol

RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research), as above. Library preparation and sequencing was

performed at Azenta Life Sciences (South Plainfield, NJ). Libraries were constructed using the

TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina), with poly-dT enrichment of mRNA transcripts. Samples

were sequenced on a HiSeq instrument (Illumina).

Analysis of all transcriptomics datasets was performed using alignment-based analysis,

using the newly sequenced *Tmu* and *Tc* genomes. Briefly, Illumina adapters were trimmed and

low-quality sequence was removed using Trimmomatic[65]. Reads were then aligned to the

respective genome using Burrows Wheeler Aligner[66]. Samtools[67] was used to convert

corresponding files to binary alignment and map (bam) files and sort the reads by position. Next,

featureCounts[68] was used to quantify read depth of each genome feature. Differential

expression analysis was performed using DESeq2[69].

<u>Protist enumeration</u>

Enumeration of protists from the small intestinal tissue was performed as described

previously[19], with modifications. Briefly, the distal 10cm of small intestine was removed and

flushed with ice-cold sterile PBS using a 19-gauge feeding needle. The contents were then

pelleted and the supernatant was aspirated. Genomic DNA was isolated using the Powersoil

Pro Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer instructions. To detect and enumerate protists,

quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied

Biosystems). For *T. musculis*, the following primers specific to the 28S rRNA gene were used:

5'-GCTTTTGCAAGCTAGGTCCC-3'and 5'- TTTCTGATGGGGCGTACCAC-3'[19]. For *T. casperi*

detection, primers were designed that specifically recognized this protist: 5'-

AGGTTACTGAATCATACATGCGT-3' and 5'-GCAGGAGTTGCTTTCATTGTG-3'. qPCRs were

run on a QuantStudio 3 Real Time PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher). To convert qPCR values

into protist numbers, protists were isolated and counted using a hemocytometer before

extracting genomic DNA and analyzing a dilution series by qPCR. These results were used to

create a standard curve, and regression analysis was used to convert Ct values to protist

numbers, as has been described previously[15,19].

Quantification of protists in the stool was performed similarly. Stool pellets were

collected from mice and weighed. A fraction of the stool was dried and used to calculate the

water content of the stool, while the rest was used for DNA extraction. Quantification was

performed using the same qPCR primers as above. Protists were quantified in the cecum by

weighing the cecal luminal contents, then resuspending in PBS. Live trophozoites were then

counted using a hemocytometer and normalized to cecal weight.

<u>Isolation of Epithelial Cells for Flow Cytometry</u>

Ileal epithelial cells were isolated as described previously[19]. Briefly, the terminal 10cm of the

small intestine was removed and trimmed of fat. Luminal contents were gently flushed with

10mL of ice-cold PBS, then the tissue was opened longitudinally. Peyer's Patches were

removed and the tissue was incubated on ice in PBS, 5mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2% heat-inactivated

fetal bovine serum (iFBS), 1mM DTT. Tissue was then transferred to pre-warmed PBS, 5mM

HEPES, 2% iFBS, 5mM EDTA and shaken at 200rpm for 15 minutes at 37˚, followed by

vigorous shaking. This was repeated and epithelial cells from both fractions were combined and

washed with PBS. Tissue was then digested in DMEM containing 10% iFBS, 0.5U/mL Dispase

II (StemCell Technologies), 50µg/mL DNase (Roche) for 10 minutes at 37˚C. The cells were

then filtered over 40µm strainers and washed with PBS, 2% iFBS, 1mM EDTA. Cells were then

incubated for 10 minutes with anti-CD16/CD32 (clone 93, Biolegend) and then stained with the

following antibodies: PacBlue-conjugated anti-CD45 (clone 30-F11, Biolegend), PE/Cy7-

conjugated anti-EpCam (clone G8.8, Biolegend), and Alex647-conjugated anti-SiglecF (clone

E50-2440, BD Biosciences). Cell viability was assessed by propidium iodide staining

(Biolegend). Cells were then analyzed on a BD FACSCanto II.

<u>Lamina propria cell isolation and flow cytometry</u>

The distal 10cm of small intestine, or the entire colon, were collected and epithelial cells were

removed as described above. The remaining tissue was then minced and digested in RPMI

10% iFBS, 0.1 units/mL Dispase II (StemCell Technologies), 50μg/mL DNase (Roche),

collagenase A (Roche) (0.25mg/mL for ilea, 0.5mg/mL for colons). Tissue was digested for 30

minutes followed by a second digestion for 40 minutes. Digested tissue was passed through a

40μm strainer, and the cells were counted using a Cellometer Auto T4 (Nexcelom Bioscience).

For cytokine measurements, cells were stimulated in RPMI supplemented with 10% iFBS,

Pen/Strep, PMA, Ionomycin, and GolgiPlug. Cells were incubated at 37° for 4 hours to allow

stimulation to occur before being harvested. Cells were then incubated with anti-CD16/32 (clone

92, BioLegend) before surface staining. For T cell analysis, cells were stained with PacBlue-

conjugated anti-CD45 (clone 30-F11, BioLegend), FITC-conjugated anti-CD4 (clone GK1.5,

BioLegend), and PerCP/Cy5.5-conjugated anti-CD3 (clone 17A2, BioLegend). For ILC2

analysis, cells were stained with PacBlue-conjugated anti-CD45 (clone 30-F11, BioLegend),

PerCP/Cy5.5-conjugated anti-CD127 (clone A7R34, BioLegend), PE/Cy7-conjugated anti-

KLRG1 (clone 2F1, BioLegend), PE-conjugated anti-human CD4/Smart13 (clone RPA-T4,

BioLegend), and a custom FITC conjugated lineage cocktail of antibodies against NK1.1 (clone

PK136, BioLegend), CD19 (clone 6D5, BioLegend), CD4 (clone GK1.5, BioLegend), CD8 (clone

53-6.7, BioLegend), CD64 (clone S18017D, BioLegend), CD11b (clone M1/70, BioLegend), and

TER119 (clone TER-119, BioLegend). Cells were then stained with the viability dye Zombie-NIR

(BioLegend) according to manufacturer instructions prior to fixation with the Foxp3 Transcription

factor staining buffer set (eBioscience). For T cell analysis, fixed cells were permeabilized and

stained with PE-conjugated anti-IL17 (clone 9B10, Biolegend) and APC-conjugated anti-IFNγ

(clone XMG1.2, eBioscience), or with Alexa647-conjugated anti-GATA3 (clone L50 823, BD) or

Alexa647-conjugated anti-FOXP3 (clone MF-14, BioLegend). Cells were then analyzed on a BD

FACSCanto II.

Tissue preparation and staining for immunofluorescence

Tissue sections of interest were removed and fixed in methacarn fixative (Fisher Scientific) for

48 hours. Tissue was then washed three times in 100% ethanol, followed by three washes in

Histo-Clear II (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Fixed tissue was embedded in paraffin wax prior

to sectioning (4µm) using a microtome. For immunofluorescence, tissue was first deparaffinized

as has been described previously[70], then blocked with blocking buffer (PBS supplemented with

3% BSA, 0.1% Saponin, 2% goat serum). Sections were then stained with the anti-*Tmu*

antibody (generated by Rockland Scientific) and anti-E Cadherin (clone 36/E) overnight. After 3

washes in PBS, sections were stained with secondary antibodies fluorescently conjugated to

Alexa488 (*Tmu*) and Alexa660 (E Cadherin). DAPI (Sigma Aldrich) and rhodamine-conjugated

Ulex Europaeus Agglutinin I (Vector Laboratories) were added during the secondary staining

step as well. After 3 PBS washes, tissue was mounted with Vectashield (Vector Labs) and

imaged on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope.

Microscopy quantification of protist localization to the colonic mucus layer

One to three images were taken for each mouse for quantification. A 40µm tall mucosal region

of interest (ROI) was drawn at the luminal edge of the inner colonic mucus layer. An ROI of

identical size was placed in a representative region of the lumen, a minimum of 100µm away

from the mucus layer. Fluorescence signal from the protist channel was measured, and the ratio

of the signal from the mucosal ROI to the luminal ROI was calculated. The average of the ratios

from each image per mouse was then calculated as the mucus/lumen signal.

Metabolite measurements

For intracellular metabolite analysis, mice were treated with an antibiotic cocktail in the drinking

water (0.5g/L Vancomycin, 1g/L Neomycin, 1g/L Ampicillin, 0.2g/L Amphotericin B) for 6 days.

Protists were then isolated as described above and lysed in 1mL 80% methanol. Cellular debris

was removed through centrifugation, followed by filtration using 0.22µm filters, snap frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80˚. Prior to liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC/MS)

analysis, intracellular extracts (800 µL) were dried in a vacuum concentrator at room

temperature for approximately 4 hours then resuspended in 50 µL of HPLC-grade water spiked

with ^{13}C-labeled metabolites as an internal standard.

For metabolite measurements from cecal supernatants, cecal contents were squeezed

into tubes and weighed, prior to gentle resuspension in sterile saline solution (0.15M NaCl).

Samples were then pelleted at 500xg for 5min at 4˚, and supernatants were transferred to clean

tubes. Samples were then pelleted at 2000xg for 10min at 4˚, and then supernatants were

filtered over 0.22µm filters, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80˚. Prior to LC/MS

analysis, cecal extracts were diluted in HPLC-grade water spiked with ^{13}C-labeled metabolites

as an internal standard.

For organic acid measurements, samples (5 µL) were run in reverse phase on a 2.1 mm

x 150 mm Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column with 1.7 µm packing. The column was fitted

with a Vanguard pre-column of the same composition. Analytes were separated on an Agilent

1290 Infinity II UPLC (binary pumps) and detected using an Agilent 6545 LC/MS Quadrupole

Time-of-Flight (qTOF) instrument equipped with a dual jet stream electrospray ionization source

(ESI) operating under extended dynamic range (EDR 1700 m/z) in the negative (ESI-) ionization

mode. The mobile phase solvents consisted of 20 mM ammonium formate pH 2.9 (A) and

acetonitrile (B). The separation began with 99.5% A and 0.5% B for 4 min at 0.4 mL/min, then B

was increased to 99.5% at 0.5 mL/min and held for 1.5 min, at which time the flow rate was

increased to 0.8 mL/min. At 11.5 min, solvent B was reduced to 0.5% and the flow rate was

reduced to 0.5 mL/min for 2.5 min, at which time it was further reduced to 0.4 mL/min. The

separation ended at 14 min. Specific ion source parameters included: Drying gas temperature

was 150˚C at 12L/min, sheath gas temperature was 325˚C at 12 L/min, Nebulizer was at 45

psig, capillary voltage was 2000 V, nozzle voltage was 0V, fragmentor voltage was 90 V,

skimmer voltage was at 35 V, octopole RF was 750V. For quantitation purposes, standard

curves of all analytes were prepared in HPLC water spiked with ^{13}C-labeled metabolites as an

internal standard. Metabolite levels were determined by manually integrating the area under

each chromatographic peak and their concentrations in the samples determined by interpolating

the peak area for each compound on the corresponding standard curve.

For short chain fatty acid measurements, a LC-MS-based SCFA/OA quantification

method was adapted[71]. Briefly, cell pellets diluted in extraction buffer containing: 80% HPLC-

grade water (Fisher), 20% HPLC-grade acetonitrile (ACN; Fisher), and labeled isotopes of each

SCFA/OA measured (2.5 µM d3-acetic acid [Sigma-Aldrich], 1 µM propionic-3,3,3-d3 acid [CDN

Isotopes], and 0.5 µM butyric-4,4,4-d3 acid [CDN Isotopes]). Acid-washed beads were added to

the samples and the samples were subsequently shaken at 30 Hz for 10 minutes. The

supernatants were then derivatized in a combination of 200 mM 3-nitrophenylhydrazine

hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich; dissolved in 50% ACN and 50% water) and 120 mM 1-ethyl-3-(3-

dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (Pierce; dissolved in 47% ACN, 47% water,

and 6% HPLC-grade pyridine [Sigma-Aldrich]). The reaction was incubated at 37°C for 30 min

and subsequently diluted 50X prior to mass spectrometry analysis.

Analyses were carried out using an Agilent 6470 triple-quadrupole LC-MS and a Waters

Acquity ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) ethylene-bridged hybrid (BEH) C18

column (100-mm length, 2.1-mm inner diameter, 130-Å pore size, 1.7-µm particle size). The

mobile phases were water-formic acid (100:0.01, vol/vol; solvent A) and acetonitrile-formic acid

(100:0.01, vol/vol; solvent B). Quantification of analytes was done by standard isotope dilution

protocols. In brief, serial dilutions of a SCFA/OA standard solution (10 mM, 1 mM, 0.1 mM,

0.01 mM, 0.001 mM, and 0 mM) were derivatized as described above and included in each run

to verify that sample concentrations were within linear ranges. For samples within linear range,

analyte concentration was calculated as the product of the paired internal standard

concentration and the ratio of analyte peak area to internal standard peak area.

Untargeted LC-MS metabolomics was performed as described previously[36] using C18+

and C18- modes.

<u>Dietary fiber experiments</u>

For experiments involving manipulation of dietary fiber, mice (fed normal complex chow) were

colonized by 1×10^5 protists between 4-6 weeks of age. Ten days later, the diets were changed

to chows with defined fiber compositions. Stool was collected for protist quantification two

weeks later, and mice were euthanized for collection of tissue for additional analyses. For

experiments involving manipulation of dietary fiber and antibiotic treatment, mice were colonized

by protists as above. Ten days later, mice were started on the indicated antibiotic treatment,

followed by diet switch three days after the start of antibiotics.

<u>16S Bacterial Profiling</u>

For 16S bacterial profiling, mice were colonized by 1×10^5 protists between 4-6 weeks of age,

and stool was collected 3 weeks later for microbiome analysis. DNA was extracted using the

Powersoil Pro Kit (Qiagen). The DNA samples were prepared for targeted sequencing at Zymo

Research (Irvine, CA). Libraries were made using the *Quick*-16S NGS Library Prep Kit using

Primer Set V3-V4 (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). Samples were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq

with a v3 reagent kit. Unique amplicon sequences were inferred from raw reads using the

Dada2 pipeline[72]. Chimeric sequences were removed, and taxonomy assignment was

performed using Uclust from Qiime2[73]. Taxonomy was assigned with the Zymo Research 16S

Database. Alpha-diversity and composition analyses were performed with Qiime.

Absolute abundance quantification was performed using qPCR. A standard curve was

made with plasmid DNA containing one copy of the 16S gene, prepared in 10-fold serial

dilutions. The primers used were the same as those used in targeted library preparation. The

equation generated by the plasmid DNA standard curve was used to calculate the number of

gene copies in the reaction for each sample. The PCR input volume was used to calculate the

number of gene copies in each DNA sample. The number of genome copies per microliter of

DNA in each sample was calculated by dividing the gene copy number by an assumed number

of gene copies per genome. The value used for 16S copies is 4. The amount of DNA per

microliter of sample was calculated using an assumed genome size of 4.64×10^6 bp, the genome

size of *Escherichia coli*. The mass of the stool sample used for DNA extraction was then used to

calculate the number of genome copies per milligram of stool.

<u>Bacterial relative abundance measurements by qPCR</u>

Akkermansia muciniphila and *Bacteroidetes* quantification was performed using DNA isolated

from stool as described above. Relative abundance of bacterial groups were then quantified

using the following primers: *Akkermansia muciniphila* 5'-CAGCACGTGAAGGTGGGGAC-3', 5'-

CCTTGCGGTTGGCTTCAGAT-3'[74]. *Bacteroidetes* 5'-GTTTAATTCGATGATACGCGAG-3', 5'-

TTAASCCGACACCTCACGG-3'[75]. Universal 16S 5'-AAACTCAAAKGAATTGACGG-3', 5'-

CTCACRRCACGAGCTGAC-3'[75]. qPCRs were performed using PowerUp SYBR Green Master

Mix (Applied Biosystems) on a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR machine (Thermo Fisher). Ct

values for bacterial groups of interest were then normalized to total 16S for relative abundance

analysis.

<u>Quantification and Statistical Analysis</u>

Groups were compared using Prism v9 software (GraphPad) using the two-tailed unpaired

Student's t test or Mann-Whitney test where appropriate. Differences were considered

statistically significant when $p \leq 0.05$.

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

References

1. Chu, H. & Mazmanian, S. K. Innate immune recognition of the microbiota promotes host-microbial symbiosis. *Nat. Immunol.* **14**, 668–675 (2013).

2. Hooper, L. V., Littman, D. R. & Macpherson, A. J. Interactions between the microbiota and the immune system. *Science* **336**, 1268–1273 (2012).

3. Kamada, N., Seo, S. U., Chen, G. Y. & Núñez, G. Role of the gut microbiota in immunity and inflammatory disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **13**, 321–335 (2013).

4. Littman, D. R. & Pamer, E. G. Role of the Commensal Microbiota in Normal and Pathogenic Host Immune Responses. *Cell Host Microbe* **10**, 311–323 (2011).

5. Rooks, M. G. & Garrett, W. S. Gut microbiota, metabolites and host immunity. *Nat. Publ. Group* **16**, 341–352 (2016).

6. Atarashi, K. *et al.* Treg induction by a rationally selected mixture of Clostridia strains from the human microbiota. *Nature* **500**, 232–236 (2013).

7. Belkaid, Y. & Hand, T. W. Role of the Microbiota in Immunity and Inflammation. *Cell* **157**, 121–141 (2014).

8. Levy, M. *et al.* Microbiota-Modulated Metabolites Shape the Intestinal Microenvironment by Regulating NLRP6 Inflammasome Signaling. *Cell* **163**, 1428–1443 (2015).

9. Smith, P. M. *et al.* The Microbial Metabolites, Short-Chain Fatty Acids, Regulate Colonic Treg Cell Homeostasis. *Science* **341**, 569–573 (2013).

10. Zheng, Y. *et al.* Bile acid metabolites control TH17 and Treg cell differentiation. *Nature* **576**, 143–148 (2019).

11. Bae, M. *et al.* Akkermansia muciniphila phospholipid induces homeostatic immune responses. *Nature* 1–6 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04985-7.

12. Ivanov, I. I. *et al.* Induction of Intestinal Th17 Cells by Segmented Filamentous Bacteria. *Cell* **139**, 485–498 (2009).

13. Mazmanian, S. K., Round, J. L. & Kasper, D. L. A microbial symbiosis factor prevents

intestinal inflammatory disease. *Nature* **453**, 620–625 (2008).

14. Billy, V. *et al.* Blastocystis Colonization Alters the Gut Microbiome and, in Some Cases,

Promotes Faster Recovery From Induced Colitis. *Front. Microbiol.* **12**, 641483 (2021).

15. Chudnovskiy, A. *et al.* Host-Protozoan Interactions Protect from Mucosal Infections through

Activation of the Inflammasome. *Cell* **167**, 444-456.e14 (2016).

16. Clemente, J. C., Ursell, L. K., Parfrey, L. W. & Knight, R. The Impact of the Gut Microbiota

on Human Health: An Integrative View. *Cell* **148**, 1258–1270 (2012).

17. Lukeš, J., Stensvold, C. R., ková, K. J.-P. i & Parfrey, L. W. Are Human Intestinal

Eukaryotes Beneficial or Commensals? **11**, e1005039 (2015).

18. Parfrey, L. W. *et al.* Communities of microbial eukaryotes in the mammalian gut within the

context of environmental eukaryotic diversity. *Front. Microbiol.* **5**, 429 (2014).

19. Howitt, M. R. *et al.* Tuft cells, taste-chemosensory cells, orchestrate parasite type 2

immunity in the gut. *Science* **351**, 1329–1333 (2016).

20. Nadjsombati, M. S. *et al.* Detection of Succinate by Intestinal Tuft Cells Triggers a Type 2

Innate Immune Circuit. *Immunity* **49**, 33-41.e7 (2018).

21. Schneider, C. *et al.* A Metabolite-Triggered Tuft Cell-ILC2 Circuit Drives Small Intestinal

Remodeling. *Cell* **174**, 271-284.e14 (2018).

22. Escalante, N. K. *et al.* The common mouse protozoa Tritrichomonas muris alters mucosal T

cell homeostasis and colitis susceptibility. *J. Exp. Med.* **213**, 2841–2850 (2016).

23. Brune, A. Symbiotic digestion of lignocellulose in termite guts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **12**, 168–

180 (2014).

24. Muller, M. *et al.* Biochemistry and Evolution of Anaerobic Energy Metabolism in Eukaryotes.

Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **76**, 444–495 (2012).

25. Petrin, D., Delgaty, K., Bhatt, R. & Garber, G. Clinical and Microbiological Aspects

ofTrichomonas vaginalis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **11**, 300–317 (1998).

26. Cepicka, I., Hampl, V. & Kulda, J. Critical Taxonomic Revision of Parabasalids with

Description of one New Genus and three New Species. *Protist* **161**, 400–433 (2010).

27. Smits, S. A. *et al.* Seasonal cycling in the gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers of

Tanzania. *Science* **357**, 802–806 (2017).

28. Carter, M. M. *et al.* Ultra-deep sequencing of Hadza hunter-gatherers recovers vanishing

gut microbes. *Cell* **186**, 3111-3124.e13 (2023).

29. Atarashi, K. *et al.* Th17 Cell Induction by Adhesion of Microbes to Intestinal Epithelial Cells.

Cell **163**, 367–380 (2015).

30. Westrop, G. D. *et al.* Metabolomic profiling and stable isotope labelling of Trichomonas

vaginalis and Tritrichomonas foetus reveal major differences in amino acid metabolism

including the production of 2-hydroxyisocaproic acid, cystathionine and S-methylcysteine.

PLOS ONE **12**, e0189072 (2017).

31. Barratt, J., Gough, R., Stark, D. & Ellis, J. Bulky Trichomonad Genomes: Encoding a Swiss

Army Knife. *Trends Parasitol.* **32**, 783–797 (2016).

32. Carlton, J. M. *et al.* Draft genome sequence of the sexually transmitted pathogen

Trichomonas vaginalis. *Science* **315**, 207–212 (2007).

33. Palmieri, N., Ramires, M. de J., Hess, M. & Bilic, I. Complete genomes of the eukaryotic

poultry parasite Histomonas meleagridis: linking sequence analysis with virulence /

attenuation. *BMC Genomics* **22**, 753 (2021).

34. Ferreyra, J. A. *et al.* Gut microbiota-produced succinate promotes C. Difficile infection after

antibiotic treatment or motility disturbance. *Cell Host Microbe* **16**, 770–777 (2014).

35. Fischbach, M. A. & Sonnenburg, J. L. Eating for two: How metabolism establishes

interspecies interactions in the gut. *Cell Host Microbe* **10**, 336–347 (2011).

36. Han, S. *et al.* A metabolomics pipeline for the mechanistic interrogation of the gut

microbiome. *Nature* **595**, 415–420 (2021).

37. Coyte, K. Z. & Rakoff-Nahoum, S. Understanding Competition and Cooperation within the

Mammalian Gut Microbiome. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, R538–R544 (2019).

38. Park, S.-Y. *et al.* Strain-level fitness in the gut microbiome is an emergent property of

glycans and a single metabolite. *Cell* **185**, 513-529.e21 (2022).

39. Tuncil, Y. E. *et al.* Reciprocal Prioritization to Dietary Glycans by Gut Bacteria in a

Competitive Environment Promotes Stable Coexistence. *mBio* **8**, e01068-17 (2017).

40. Porter, Nathan T & Martens, Eric C. The Critical Roles of Polysaccharides in Gut Microbial

Ecology and Physiology. (2017).

41. Sonnenburg, E. D. & Sonnenburg, J. L. Starving our Microbial Self: The Deleterious

Consequences of a Diet Deficient in Microbiota-Accessible Carbohydrates. *Cell Metab.* **20**,

779–786 (2014).

42. Wardman, J. F., Bains, R. K., Rahfeld, P. & Withers, S. G. Carbohydrate-active enzymes

(CAZymes) in the gut microbiome. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 1–15 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41579-

022-00712-1.

43. Desai, M. S. *et al.* A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus

Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility. *Cell* **167**, 1339-1353.e21 (2016).

44. Tailford, L. E., Crost, E. H., Kavanaugh, D. & Juge, N. Mucin glycan foraging in the human

gut microbiome. *Front. Genet.* **6**, 81 (2015).

45. Coyte, K. Z., Schluter, J. & Foster, K. R. The ecology of the microbiome: Networks,

competition, and stability. *Science* **350**, 663–666 (2015).

46. Patnode, M. L. *et al.* Interspecies Competition Impacts Targeted Manipulation of Human Gut

Bacteria by Fiber-Derived Glycans. *Cell* **179**, 59-73.e13 (2019).

47. Wu, G. *et al.* Gastrointestinal Tract and Dietary Fiber Driven Alterations of Gut Microbiota

and Metabolites in Durco × Bamei Crossbred Pigs. *Front. Nutr.* **8**, 806646 (2022).

48. Milani, C. *et al.* Bifidobacteria exhibit social behavior through carbohydrate resource sharing

in the gut. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 15782 (2015).

49. Zhang, H. *et al.* dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme

annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, gky418 (2018).

50. Holden, H. M., Rayment, I. & Thoden, J. B. Structure and Function of Enzymes of the Leloir

Pathway for Galactose Metabolism*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 43885–43888 (2003).

51. Sonnenburg, E. D. *et al.* Specificity of Polysaccharide Use in Intestinal Bacteroides Species

Determines Diet-Induced Microbiota Alterations. *Cell* **141**, 1241–1252 (2010).

52. Bellali, S. *et al.* Running after ghosts: are dead bacteria the dark matter of the human gut

microbiota? *Gut Microbes* **13**, 1–12 (2021).

53. Nayfach, S., Shi, Z. J., Seshadri, R., Pollard, K. S. & Kyrpides, N. C. New insights from

uncultivated genomes of the global human gut microbiome. *Nature* **568**, 505–510 (2019).

54. Liang, H.-E. *et al.* Divergent expression patterns of IL-4 and IL-13 define unique functions in

allergic immunity. *Nat. Immunol.* **13**, 58–66 (2012).

55. Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J. & Yamada, K. D. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence

alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* **20**, 1160–1166

(2019).

56. Jain, C., Koren, S., Dilthey, A., Phillippy, A. M. & Aluru, S. A fast adaptive algorithm for

computing whole-genome homology maps. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i748–i756 (2018).

57. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood

Trees for Large Alignments. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e9490 (2010).

58. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**,

357–359 (2012).

59. Olm, M. R. *et al.* inStrain profiles population microdiversity from metagenomic data and

sensitively detects shared microbial strains. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 727–736 (2021).

60. Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y. & Pevzner, P. A. Assembly of long, error-prone reads

using repeat graphs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 540–546 (2019).

61. Walker, B. J. *et al.* Pilon: An Integrated Tool for Comprehensive Microbial Variant Detection

and Genome Assembly Improvement. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e112963 (2014).

62. Karin, E. L., Mirdita, M. & Söding, J. MetaEuk—sensitive, high-throughput gene discovery,

and annotation for large-scale eukaryotic metagenomics. *Microbiome* **8**, 48 (2020).

63. Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M. & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO: Assessing Genomic

Data Quality and Beyond. *Curr. Protoc.* **1**, e323 (2021).

64. Carver, T., Thomson, N., Bleasby, A., Berriman, M. & Parkhill, J. DNAPlotter: circular and

linear interactive genome visualization. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 119–120 (2009).

65. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence

data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

66. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).

67. Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* **10**, giab008

(2021).

68. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

69. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

70. Earle, K. A. *et al.* Quantitative Imaging of Gut Microbiota Spatial Organization. *Cell Host*

Microbe **18**, 478–488 (2015).

71. Pensinger, D. A. *et al.* Butyrate Differentiates Permissiveness to Clostridioides difficile

Infection and Influences Growth of Diverse C. difficile Isolates. *Infect. Immun.* **91**, e00570-22

(2023).

72. Callahan, B. J. *et al.* DADA2: High resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data.

Nat. Methods **13**, 581–583 (2016).

73. Bolyen, E. *et al.* Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science

using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 852–857 (2019).

74. Schneeberger, M. *et al.* Akkermansia muciniphila inversely correlates with the onset of

inflammation, altered adipose tissue metabolism and metabolic disorders during obesity in

mice. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 16643 (2015).

75. Yang, Y.-W. *et al.* Use of 16S rRNA Gene-Targeted Group-Specific Primers for Real-Time

PCR Analysis of Predominant Bacteria in Mouse Feces. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **81**, 6749–

6756 (2015).

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

 Supplemental figure legends

 Figure S1. Discovery of novel human- and mouse-associated parabasalids, related to

 Figure 1.

 (A) Length of *Tmu* and *Tc* trophozoites based on SEM images. (B) Alignment of partial ITS

 sequences from *Tmu* and *Tc*. (C) *Tc* abundance in the stool of mice colonized for 3 weeks or 3

 months. Data is plotted as mean and each symbol represents an individual mouse.

 ****$p<0.0001$, NS, not significant by Students T test. (D) Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) of

 the parabasalid reads in human metagenomic samples from (E). Imperfect ANI to the specified

 reference sequence indicates a relative of the reference protist. First author of the cohort study,

 country of origin, and population type ("Industrial", "Transitional", Hunter-Gatherer ("H-G") is

 indicated. Columns and rows are hierarchically clustered based on Euclidean distance. Cells

 corresponding to protists not identified in a population are colored grey. (E) Prevalence of

 parabasalids in human metagenomics datasets using mapping-based analysis, without

 exclusion criteria based on sequencing depth. Parabasalids with imperfect matches in (D)

 represent relatives of the reference protist species. (F) Percentage prevalence of *D. fragilis* as a

 function of sequencing depth, separated by industrialization status. (Abbreviations:

 TZA=Tanzania, NEP=Nepal, MNG=Mongolia, USA=United States of America,

 MDG=Madagascar, KAZ=Kazakhstan, SWE=Sweden, HMP=Human Microbiome Project,

 IND=Indonesia, ISR=Israel, FJI=Fiji, ETH=Ethiopia, THA=Thailand, PER=Peru,

 CMR=Cameroon).

 Figure S2. *Tmu* dominates tuft cell responses in the distal SI, related to Figure 2

 A) Gating strategy for the identification of tuft cells in the epithelium of the distal SI by flow

 cytometry (Live CD45⁻ EpCAM⁺ SiglecF⁺). (B) Gating strategy for the identification of ILC2s in

 the lamina propria (LP) of the distal SI by flow cytometry in SMART13 mice (Live CD45⁺ CD127⁺

 KLRG1⁺ IL-13⁺). (C) Gating strategy for the identification of Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells in the LP

by flow cytometry: Live CD45$^+$CD3$^+$CD4$^+$ cells that are IFNγ $^+$ (Th1), GATA3$^+$ (Th2), or IL-17$^+$

(Th17). (D) Protist quantification in each region of the intestine by qPCR, in SPF mice singly

colonized with each protist. (E) Tuft cell frequency in the epithelium of mice colonized by each

protist individually or colonized by both species (Co-col). (F) Protist quantification from *Tmu* and

Tc co-colonized mice in each region of the intestine. Data points are average of at least 5 mice

per group (D, F). Error bars show standard deviation (D, F). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, NS,

not significant by Mann-Whitney test (D, F) or Student's t test (E).

1245

Figure S3: *Tmu* and *Tc* are sufficient to stimulate Th1 and Th17 immunity through an

adhesion-independent manner, related to Figure 3.

(A) Frequency (top) and absolute abundance (bottom) of IFNγ, IL17, and IFNy-IL17 double

positive cells in the colonic lamina propria of gnotobiotic mice monocolonized with each protist

for three weeks, or left germ free (GF). (B) Abundance of regulatory T cells in the colonic lamina

propria of wild type SPF mice colonized with each protist, or uncolonized mice (*Ctrl*). (C)

Western Blot of *Tmu* and *Tc* lysates stained with the anti-*Tmu* polyclonal antibody. (D)

Representative image of a *Tmu* trophozoite (left) and pseudocyst (right). Protists stained with

anti-*Tmu* antibody (cyan), nuclei stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars are 5µm. (E)

Representative image of a *Tmu* cell adhered to the colonic epithelium. Scale bar is 10µm. (F, G)

Representative image of a flushed colon from *Tmu* (F) and *Tc* (G) colonized mice. *Tmu* and *Tc*

stained with anti-*Tmu* antibody (cyan or magenta, respectively), mucus stained with UEA1

(green), nuclei stained with DAPI (blue), epithelium stained with E-Cadherin (white). Scale bars

are 150µm. Each symbol represents an individual mouse (A, B). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001,

****p<0.0001, NS, not significant by Students t test.

1261

Figure S4: Characterization of fermentative metabolic pathways in parabasalids, related

to Figure 4.

(A) Tuft cell frequency in the epithelium of the distal small intestine in WT or $Gpr91^{-/-}$ mice

colonized by each protist or fed succinate in the drinking water. (B) Quantification of

extracellular lactate in the cecal contents of mice colonized with each protist for two weeks. (C)

Genome assembly statistics for *Tmu* and *Tc* draft genomes. (D) Short chain fatty acid

quantification in purified protist lysates (E) Heatmap showing the abundance of 48 metabolites

identified in *Tmu* and *Tc* lysates using LC-MS methodologies. Values are plotted as $\log_2$ of the

relative metabolite abundance. (F) Succinate (left) and lactate (right) quantification in culture

supernatants of *P. hominis* (order *Trichomonadida*) and *M. colubrorum* (order

Tritrichomonadida). Data is plotted as mean with SD in B and F. Each symbol represents an

individual mouse pooled from two experiments (A). Error bars represent standard deviation (B,

F). *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, NS, not significant by Student's t test.

1275

Figure S5. *Tmu* and *Tc* occupy different nutritional niches within the microbiota, related

to Figure 5.

(A) Abundance of *Tmu* and (B) *Tc* in the cecal contents of mice fed diets with different fiber

compositions for two weeks. Fibers in the defined diets are limited to inulin (I), cellulose (C), or

no fiber (None). (C and D) Relative abundance, from 16S rRNA Sequencing, of the 15 most

abundant operational taxonomic units in the distal SI (C) or cecum (D) of mice with or without

each protist. Averages of five mice per group are shown. (E) Relative abundance of *A.*

muciniphila, as measured by qPCR, in the stool of mice colonized with or without each protist

for three weeks. (F) Abundance of genes encoding CAZymes in glycoside hydrolase (GH)

families associated with activity on host glycans or plant glycans. White indicates no genes in

the indicated GH family, black indicates greater than or equal to 20 genes. Data is plotted as

mean in (A, B, and E), with each symbol representing an individual mouse. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$,

NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test.

1289

Figure S6. Fiber deprivation causes *Tmu* to switch to a mucolytic metabolism, related to

Figure 6.

(A) Serial passaging of *Tmu* in PBF medium. Drops in culture density show when *Tmu* was

subcultured into fresh media. (B) Survival of *Tc* in PBF medium after 24 hours, with maltose or

mucus added as the carbon source. (C) *Tmu* abundance in the stool of mice after two weeks of

feeding on def-C chow and different antibiotic treatments. (D) Representative image of *Tmu* in

the colon of a mouse fed def-C chow and fed ampicillin in the drinking water. *Tmu* is stained

with anti-*Tmu* antibody (cyan), mucus is stained with UEA1 (green), nuclei are stained with

DAPI (blue). Scale bar is 100µm. (E) Quantification of *Tmu* localization to the mucus layer in the

colons of mice fed complex chow or def-C chow with ampicillin treatment. Higher mucus/lumen

ratio indicates tighter localization to the colonic mucus layer. (F) Quantification of total bacterial

abundance in the stool of *Tmu*-colonized mice fed def-C chow and given different antibiotic

treatments in the drinking water (mice from Figure 6G). Graphs (A, B, C, and D) depict mean.

Error bars represent standard deviation (B). Each symbol represents an individual mouse (C, E,

F) *p<0.05, **p<0.01, NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test (C, F) or Students t test (B, E).

1305

Figure S7. *Tmu* utilizes specific dietary fibers as a carbon source, Related to Figure 7

(A) *Tmu in vitro* growth curves with different dietary fibers in PBF medium. Dashed lines show

maximum growth over 5 days in PBF medium without a supplemented carbon source. (B) Tuft

cell frequency and (C) Th2 cell frequency in the distal SI of mice colonized with *Tmu* and fed

def-C chow for three weeks. (D) Succinate quantification in the culture supernatants of *Tmu*

cultured with maltose or mucus as a carbon source. Graphs show mean with SD (A, D). Each

symbol represents an individual mouse (B, C). NS, not significant by Student's t test.

1313

1314 **Table S1: Reference parabasalid ITS sequences used for identification of parabasalids in**

1315 **human metagenomic datasets, related to Figure 1.**

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A — nmol succinate/mg cecal content; Ctrl, Tmu, Tc; NS; **

B — *Tmu* 157.8Mbp

C — *Tc* 64.3Mbp

D

Glucose → P-enolpyruvate → (PEP carboxykinase) → Oxaloacetate → (Malate Dehydrogenase) → Malate → ATP

Malate → Pyruvate → (Lactate Dehydrogenase) → **Lactate** + NAD⁺

Malate → (Fumarase) → Fumarate → (Fumarate Reductase) → **Succinate** + NAD⁺

E — *T. musculis*; SI log$_2$(TPM) vs Cecum log$_2$(TPM); fumarase, fumarate reductase

F — *T. casperi*; SI log$_2$(TPM) vs Cecum log$_2$(TPM); fumarate reductase, fumarase, lactate dehydrogenase

G — pmol succinate/protist (normalized to cell size); Tmu, Tc; NS

H — pmol lactate/protist (normalized to cell size); Tmu, Tc; NS

I

Succinate pathway (green); Lactate pathway (purple)

Tritrichomonadida
- *Tritrichomonas_casperi**
- *Tritrichomonas_musculis**
- *Tritrichomonas_foetus*
- *Simplicimonas_similis*
- *Tritrichomonadida*_sp_1012
- *Monocercomonas_colubrorum**
- *Dientamoeba_fragilis*
- *Histomonas_meleagridis*

Novel human *Tritrichomonadida*

*Parabasalia*_sp_1643

Trichomonadida
- *Pentatrichomonas_hominis**
- *Tetratrichomonas_buttreyi*
- *Trichomonas_gallinae*
- *Trichomonas_vaginalis*
- *Trichomonadida*_sp_97

Novel human *Trichomonadida*

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7