Metabolic diversity in commensal protists regulates intestinal immunity and trans-

kingdom competition

Elias R. Gerrick[1], Soumaya Zlitni[2,3,9], Patrick T. West[2,3,9], Claire M. Mechler[1], Jessica A. Li[1],

Steven K. Higginbottom[4], Christopher J. Severyn[2,5], Frauke Kracke[6], Alfred M. Spormann[6,7],

Justin L. Sonnenburg[4,8], Ami S. Bhatt[2,3], Michael R. Howitt[1,4,10,*]

[1]Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[2]Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[3]Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[4]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University School of Medicine,

Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[5]Department of Pediatrics, (Division of Hematology/Oncology/Stem Cell Transplant and

Regenerative Medicine), Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA , 94305, USA

[6]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305,

USA

[7]Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[8]Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[9]These authors contributed equally

[10]Lead contact

*Correspondence: mhowitt@stanford.edu

Keywords

Commensal protist; Tritrichomonas; parabasalid; microbiome; trans-kingdom; mucus; fiber;

microbiota-accessible carbohydrate; metabolites; tuft cell

Summary

Protists in the gut microbiota influence intestinal immunity and epithelial composition, yet the

mechanisms used by these protists to shape the gut environment remain poorly understood.

Here, we identify a new protist species, *Tritrichomonas casperi,* and show that metabolic

differences between closely related commensal protists modulate their effects on host immunity

and determine their ecological niche within the murine microbiota. Genomic and metabolomic

analysis of commensal tritrichomonads reveal species-level differences in excretion of the tuft

cell activating metabolite succinate, leading to differential induction of Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells

in the small intestine. Using defined diets and *in vitro* growth assays, we show that different

tritrichomonad species preferentially rely on dietary polysaccharides or mucus glycans, which

leads to trans-kingdom competition with specific bacteria in the microbiota. Our findings

elucidate differences in commensal tritrichomonad metabolism and suggest how dietary

interventions could regulate the impact of these protists on gut health.

Introduction

The gut microbiota is a complex and diverse microbial ecosystem that broadly impacts

the development and function of the intestinal immune system (Chu and Mazmanian, 2013;

Hooper et al., 2012; Kamada et al., 2013; Littman and Pamer, 2011; Rooks and Garrett, 2016).

This relationship has been studied predominately at the microbial community level, where

conserved microbial ligands and metabolites have pleiotropic effects on the host (Atarashi et al.,

2013; Belkaid and Hand, 2014; Levy et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2019).

However, some microbial species dominantly influence the immune system independent of

other microbiota members (Bae et al., 2022; Ivanov et al., 2009; Mazmanian et al., 2008). For

example, segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) are sufficient to induce T helper 17 (Th17) cells

in the murine small intestine, and *Bacteroides fragilis* stimulates regulatory T cells in the colon

(Ivanov et al., 2009; Mazmanian et al., 2008). While bacteria are the most well-characterized

immunologically dominant members of the gut microbiota, this community also contains viruses,

fungi, archaea, and protists that interact with the host and alter immunological function.

Protists are often overlooked as members of the microbiota, in part due to the historical

view of these microbes as universally pathogenic. However, protists are present in healthy

mammalian guts, including those of mice and humans (Billy et al., 2021; Chudnovskiy et al.,

2016; Clemente et al., 2012; Lukeš et al., 2015; Parfrey et al., 2014). Commensal parabasalid

protists from the genus *Tritrichomonas* are widespread in laboratory mice and dramatically alter

the gut mucosa (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Howitt et al., 2016; Nadjsombati et al., 2018;

Schneider et al., 2018). In the small intestine, *Tritrichomonas* species drive type 2 immunity

through production of the metabolite succinate, which lengthens the gut and promotes tuft and

goblet cell hyperplasia in the epithelium (Nadjsombati et al., 2018; Schneider et al., 2018). In the

colon, *Tritrichomonas musculis* (*Tmu*) activates the inflammasome to promote Th1 and Th17

immunity (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Escalante et al., 2016). These tritrichomonads do not

induce apparent pathology, but they significantly alter host susceptibility to enteric infections and

increase the severity of several inflammatory diseases through their effects on intestinal

epithelial and immune functions (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Escalante et al., 2016; Nadjsombati

et al., 2018; Schneider et al., 2018). Despite the growing appreciation of commensal

tritrichomonads as immunologically dominant species that impact mucosal tissues, very little is

understood about their diversity, metabolism, or microbial ecology.

Answering fundamental questions regarding commensal tritrichomonad protists is

impeded by a lack of genomic information and their resistance to *in vitro* culture. Consequently,

the biology of commensal *Tritrichomonas* species is largely inferred from studies on the

urogenital pathogenic parabasalids *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Tritrichomonas foetus.* However,

these pathogens infect different hosts and anatomical niches that may not reflect the specific

adaptations of commensal tritrichomonads in the gut. In addition, the ecological role of

commensal tritrichomonads within the murine gut microbiota is unexplored. Parabasalid protists

are generally fermentative (Brune, 2014; Muller et al., 2012; Petrin et al., 1998; Schneider et al.,

2018), but the specific carbohydrate sources used by commensal tritrichomonads and how

harvesting of these carbohydrates affects their interactions with the bacterial members of the

microbiota and the host is unknown.

In this work, we use genomic, transcriptomic, and metabolomic approaches to show that

differences in fermentative output between two commensal *Tritrichomonas* species drive

divergent immune responses in the murine intestine. Further, we demonstrate that these

different species' preferences for fermenting plant polysaccharides or mucus glycans affect

trans-kingdom interactions with bacteria. Finally, through highly controlled studies on

carbohydrate utilization, we reveal that dietary manipulation can act as a lever to control protist-

induced immunomodulation. Our work provides mechanistic insights into how commensal

tritrichomonads, through their metabolic differences, act as architects of the intestinal

ecosystem and establishes a foundation for further mechanistic studies of how these protists

influence both host immunity and microbiome ecology.

RESULTS

Discovery of a new commensal *Tritrichomonas* species in mice

To discover uncharacterized parabasalid protists within laboratory mice, we collected

stool from across the Stanford University animal facilities and screened DNA extracted from

these samples using pan-parabasalid PCR primers. These primers bind to highly conserved

sequences of parabasalid rRNA loci and generate internally transcribed spacer (ITS) amplicons

sufficient to distinguish parabasalid species using Sanger Sequencing. As expected, we

identified *Tmu* in a subset of our mice, but stool from another subset of mice produced mixed

signal in specific regions of the ITS sequence. This pattern is consistent with the amplification of

multiple closely related sequences in the PCR reaction, leading us to suspect that these mice

were colonized by more than one species of protist. Examination of the cecal contents of these

mice by light microscopy revealed protists with two distinct sizes: a large variant consistent in

size with *Tmu*, and another variant approximately half the length.

To determine if these two sizes constitute different morphologies of *Tmu* or two separate

species, we purified both sizes of protist by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and

colonized protist-negative specific pathogen free (SPF) mice with either the large or small

variant (Figure 1A). Mice maintained stable colonization with homogenously small or large

protists, as verified by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), suggesting these were indeed

separate species (Figure 1B and 1C). Ultrastructural examination of both protists revealed an

undulating membrane and recurrent flagellum typical of the *Parabasalia* phylum (Figure 1B)

(Cepicka et al., 2010). SEM also suggested that the new protist, like *Tmu,* is a member of the

genus *Tritrichomonas*, based on the presence of three anterior flagella. To confirm this

phylogenetic relationship, we compared ITS sequences from both protists, which revealed the

smaller species as an uncharacterized murine isolate with the accession number MF375342

that shares 83.39% identity with *Tmu* (Figure 1D and S1A). Thus, the smaller protist is a new

species, which we hereafter refer to as *Tritrichomonas casperi* (*Tc*).

All previously characterized commensal tritrichomonads, including *Tmu*, induce tuft cell

hyperplasia and type 2 immunity in the distal small intestine (SI) through excretion of the

metabolite succinate (Escalante et al., 2016; Howitt et al., 2016; Nadjsombati et al., 2018;

Schneider et al., 2018). However, tuft cell hyperplasia was absent in mice colonized with *Tc* as

assessed by both flow cytometry and microscopy (Figure 1E and 1F). Moreover, *Tc* colonization

reduced the proportion of small intestinal GATA3$^+$ CD4$^+$ T helper 2 (Th2) cells associated with

type 2 immunity, which contrasts with the marked expansion of Th2 cells in the lamina propria of

Tmu colonized mice (Figure 1G). To determine whether *Tc* fails to drive type 2 immunity

because of colonization deficiencies, we compared *Tc* and *Tmu* abundance by quantitative

PCR. *Tc* was at least as abundant as *Tmu* in the distal SI, cecum, and colon (Figure S1B).

These results suggest that *Tc* is unique among known murine commensal tritrichomonads for its

lack of type 2 immune induction in the distal SI.

T cell responses to *Tmu* and *Tc* differ in the small intestine but are similar in the colon

Previous work demonstrated that *Tmu* increases CD4$^+$ T helper 1 (Th1) and T helper 17

(Th17) cells in the cecum and colon (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Escalante et al., 2016). We

therefore examined whether *Tc* stimulates these same immune responses in the distal SI. IFNγ

producing Th1 cells and IL-17 producing Th17 cells significantly increased in the distal SI of *Tc*

colonized mice compared to protist-free controls (Figure 2A and 2B). Because *Tmu* instead

stimulates Th2 cells in the distal SI, we wondered whether co-colonization would alter the

mucosal response to these protists. To address this question, we co-colonized mice with *Tmu*

and *Tc* or singly with each protist and compared tuft cell frequencies as a marker of type 2

immunity in the distal SI. Tuft cell hyperplasia was identical in co-colonized and *Tmu* singly

colonized mice, and *Tc* and *Tmu* abundance was unaffected by co-colonization (Figure S2A and

S2B). This finding suggests that *Tmu* has a dominant effect on the mucosal immune response

when both species are present simultaneously in the distal SI.

Although *Tmu* induces Th1 and Th17 cells in the colon and type 2 immunity in the distal

SI, no study has examined the immune response in both intestinal tissues using the same

protist isolate. This leaves some ambiguity concerning the contribution of strain or species

differences to these heterogeneous immune responses. We therefore characterized CD4$^+$ T cell

populations induced by *Tmu* and *Tc* in the colon. Both *Tmu* and *Tc* individually stimulated a

significant increase in the number and proportion of Th1 and Th17 cells (Figure 2C and 2D).

These results suggest that *Tmu* induces regionally specific CD4$^+$ T cell responses, while *Tc*

impacts the T cell compartment homogenously across the gut. Microbial adhesion has been

proposed as a nonspecific Th17-inducing signal in the distal SI (Atarashi et al., 2015), and

previous work has identified examples of *Tmu* adhering to colonic epithelial cells (Chudnovskiy

et al., 2016). To address the prevalence of epithelial adhesion by *Tmu* and *Tc* in the distal SI

and colon, we developed a polyclonal antibody against *Tmu*. This antibody recognizes *Tmu*

and, to a lesser extent, *Tc*, and is capable of staining both trophozoites and pseudocysts of *Tmu*

(Figure S2C and S2D). Although both protists could be found near the epithelial barrier at high

densities, the mucus layer physically separated the protists from the host (Figure 2E and 2F).

To determine if small sub-populations of protists adhere to the epithelium, we gently flushed the

colons of *Tmu*-colonized mice to remove unadhered protists and then searched for adherent

Tmu by microscopy. While we could identify adherent protists, these were extremely rare events

(1-3 per colonic tissue section) and are unlikely to drive immune responses across the entire

tissue (Figure S2E and S2F).

Metabolic differences in tritrichomonads underly divergent immune responses

Succinate excreted by *Tmu* stimulates the succinate receptor (GPR91) on tuft cells and

drives type 2 immunity in the distal SI (Figure S3A) (Nadjsombati et al., 2018; Schneider et al.,

2018). Because tuft cell hyperplasia and type 2 immunity are absent in *Tc* colonized mice, we

hypothesized that *Tc* does not produce succinate as a metabolic waste product. To directly

measure *in vivo* succinate concentrations during *Tmu* and *Tc* colonization, we used liquid

chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) to quantify this metabolite in the extracellular

fraction of mouse cecal contents. *Tmu* colonization led to significantly higher concentrations of

cecal succinate than controls, while *Tc* colonized mice showed no change in succinate levels

(Figure 3A).

Two metabolic paradigms are currently known for parabasalid protists, based on

previous studies of *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Tritrichomonas foetus* (Muller et al., 2012;

Westrop et al., 2017). These species are also anaerobic and generate ATP through glycolysis,

which converts NAD^+ to NADH. Without oxidative respiration, NADH accumulates and must be

converted back to NAD^+ through a fermentative pathway to maintain redox homeostasis. In *T.*

vaginalis this is accomplished by converting pyruvate to lactate through the action of lactate

dehydrogenase. In *T. foetus,* and presumably *Tmu*, NAD^+ regeneration occurs via conversion of

malate to succinate by the sequential activity of fumarase and fumarate reductase. Lactate or

succinate are then excreted as waste products from the protists. However, as with succinate, *Tc*

colonization did not result in an increase in extracellular lactate in mouse cecal contents (Figure

S3B).

To identify the metabolic pathways present in each protist, we sequenced the genomes

of both *Tmu* and *Tc*. Massive numbers of transposable elements and repeat regions in

parabasalid genomes convolute genomic assemblies using traditional Next-Generation

Sequencing approaches (Barratt et al., 2016; Carlton et al., 2007; Palmieri et al., 2021).

Therefore, we used Nanopore long-read sequencing and short-read error correction to

assemble these parabasalid genomes (Figure 3B, 3C, and S3C). We next annotated and mined

the newly assembled *Tmu* and *Tc* genomes to determine the fermentative pathways present in

each species. As predicted, *Tmu* encodes the succinate production pathway, but not the lactate

pathway (Figure 3D, blue box). Despite the lack of succinate or lactate excretion by *Tc*, it

encodes both the succinate and lactate production genes (Figure 3D, red boxes). To assess the

relative contribution of each of these pathways to *Tc* metabolism, we performed RNA

sequencing (RNA-seq) on *Tmu* and *Tc* isolated from the distal SI and ceca of mice. *Tmu* and *Tc*

both expressed genes in the succinate pathway at high levels, whereas lactate dehydrogenase

was less highly expressed in *Tc* (Figure 3E and 3F). Additionally, *Tmu* and *Tc* transcriptomes

confirmed that fermentative pathways leading to succinate or lactate production do not vary

drastically between the distal SI and cecum (Figure 3E and 3F). Together, these genomic and

transcriptomic data suggest that *Tmu* and *Tc* both use the succinate fermentation pathway,

contrary to our hypothesis based on LC-MS measurements from cecal contents and the lack of

type 2 immune induction by *Tc*.

To directly determine the usage of each fermentative pathway in *Tmu* and *Tc*, we next

measured the intracellular concentrations of both metabolites in purified protists. Consistent with

the genomic and transcriptomic analyses, cell extracts from purified *Tmu* and *Tc* had high levels

of succinate and low levels of lactate (Figure 3G and 3H). These intracellular succinate

concentrations suggest that both protists use the succinate pathway to maintain metabolic redox

homeostasis, yet cecal LC-MS measurements and the absence of tuft cell hyperplasia suggest

Tc does not release this metabolite into the intestinal space. Some bacteria can metabolize

succinate into short chain fatty acids such as propionate and butyrate (Ferreyra et al., 2014;

Fischbach and Sonnenburg, 2011). Therefore, we reasoned that *Tc* might also metabolize

succinate in a similar manner. However, the short chain fatty acids butyrate, propionate, and

acetate were unchanged in the cecal contents of *Tc* colonized mice compared with uncolonized

controls (Fig. S3D). Altogether, these data indicate that both protists use succinate production to

maintain redox homeostasis, but *Tmu* excretes succinate as a waste product whereas *Tc* may

shunt succinate into an alternative metabolic pathway.

We observed that *T. foetus*, *Tmu*, and *Tc* all use the succinate pathway for redox

balance and belong to the class *Tritrichomonadea*. *T. vaginalis* alternatively uses the lactate

pathway for redox balance and belongs to the class *Trichomonadea*. This led us to hypothesize

that an evolutionary split had occurred within the *Parabasalia* phylum, with lactate versus

succinate production representing a branch point. To investigate this hypothesis, we analyzed

the fermentative metabolism of two additional intestinal parabasalids: *Pentatrichomonas*

hominis (a human-associated *Trichomonadea*) and *Monocercomonas colubrorum* (a reptile-

associated *Tritrichomonadea*) (Figure 3I). As predicted, *P. hominis* cultures contained high

concentrations of lactate whereas *M. colubrorum* cultures contained high concentrations of

succinate (Figure 3I and S3E). These data suggest that the phylogenetic classification of new

and uncharacterized parabasalid species can help predict their production of the

immunomodulatory metabolites lactate and succinate.

Tmu and Tc occupy different nutritional niches within the microbiota

245   Although the microbiota's metabolic output dramatically affects the gut ecosystem, the

metabolic input of each species also shapes its interactions with other microbes and the host

(Coyte and Rakoff-Nahoum, 2019; Park et al., 2022; Tuncil et al., 2017). Plant polysaccharides

that are undigested by host enzymes in the proximal intestine, such as dietary fibers, are the

most commonly utilized carbon source by the microbiota, and collectively these glycans are

termed dietary Microbiota Accessible Carbohydrates (MACs) (Porter, Nathan T and Martens,

Eric C, 2017; Sonnenburg and Sonnenburg, 2014; Wardman et al., 2022). However, non-dietary

MACs are also prevalent in the intestine. For example, mucus secreted by goblet cells in the

intestinal epithelium provides a complex and glycan-rich nutrient source for microbes capable of

breaking down its diverse glycosidic linkages (Desai et al., 2016; Tailford et al., 2015; Wardman

et al., 2022). To determine whether *Tmu* and *Tc* ferment dietary MACs, we altered the fiber

content of mouse diets using defined chow similar to a previous study (Schneider et al., 2018).

Fibers in these diets were restricted to inulin, a fermentable fiber that acts as a dietary MAC,

and cellulose, a non-fermentable fiber that acts as a bulking agent and passes largely

undigested through the intestine. *Tmu* abundance was high in mice fed defined chow (inulin and

cellulose) and inulin-only diets (Figure 4A and S4A). However, when fiber was removed entirely

or cellulose was the sole fiber, *Tmu* colonization was dramatically reduced (Figure 4A and S4A).

Thus, *Tmu* colonization depends on dietary MACs. In contrast, *Tc* colonization was completely

independent of these dietary glycans, as protist abundance was unaffected on any of the four

diets (Figure 4B, S4B). These results suggest that *Tc* may rely on host-derived carbohydrates

as a nutritional source.

The gut microbial ecosystem is a complex and species rich community, with most

nutritional niches occupied by one or more community members competing for limited resources

(Coyte et al., 2015; Coyte and Rakoff-Nahoum, 2019; Patnode et al., 2019). This scarcity of

open niches occludes new microbes from colonizing the gut and establishing themselves in the

community, a phenomenon termed "colonization resistance". However, commensal

tritrichomonads such as *Tmu* and *Tc* are unusual compared to many commensal bacteria in that

they appear unaffected by colonization resistance. As few as one thousand *Tmu* or *Tc* protists

can stably colonize SPF mice without antibiotic pre-treatment or other niche-clearing

manipulation. We reasoned that *Tmu* and *Tc* could overcome colonization resistance due to one

of two possibilities, (1) *Tmu* and *Tc* occupy unique niches that no other member of the

microbiota inhabits, or (2) *Tmu* and *Tc* are adept at outcompeting other microbes that occupy

their preferred niche. Due to the dearth of unoccupied niches in the gut ecosystem, we favored

the second hypothesis that these tritrichomonads displace other members of the microbiota to

establish colonization. Therefore, identifying microbes eliminated by tritrichomonad colonization

could offer insights into the nutritional niches occupied by *Tmu* and *Tc*.

To investigate trans-kingdom competition between tritrichomonads and bacteria, we

analyzed the effects of *Tmu* and *Tc* on the bacterial compartment of the microbiome using 16S

rRNA sequencing (Figure 4C and S4C). Colonization by both *Tmu* and *Tc* was associated with

small but significant decreases in total bacterial abundance, supporting the hypothesis that

these protists displace bacterial competitors (Figure S4D). However, *Tmu* colonization did not

change bacterial alpha-diversity, and *Tc* colonization increased alpha-diversity, suggesting that

these protists engage in complex trans-kingdom interactions that are not universally

antagonistic (Figure S4E). We performed LEfSe analysis to identify differentially abundant

bacterial clades influenced by tritrichomonad colonization (Segata et al., 2011). Unlike the

microbiota of *Tmu* colonized mice, *Tc* colonization was associated with a dramatic reduction in

the relative abundance of just two bacteria: an undefined member of the *Bacteroidales* order,

and *Akkermansia muciniphila* (Figure 4D and Figure S4F). Many *Bacteroidetes* ferment mucus

glycans, and *A. muciniphila* is a well-documented mucus specialist that uses mucus glycans as

its primary source of carbohydrates (Derrien et al., 2004; Desai et al., 2016; Martens et al.,

2008; Sonnenburg, 2005). To confirm that *Tc* colonization displaces *A. muciniphila*, we verified

the dramatic decrease of this species by qPCR (Figure 4E). Taken together, these dietary and

trans-kingdom competition experiments suggest that *Tmu* ferments dietary MACs, while *Tc*

instead ferments host-derived carbohydrates from mucin glycoproteins.

Mucus proteins are decorated with diverse glycan structures that require a large

repertoire of carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes) to digest. To investigate the glycan

utilization potential of *Tmu* and *Tc*, we used dbCAN2 to identify CAZymes in the protist

transcriptomes (Zhang et al., 2018). Consistent with *Tc* fermenting mucus glycans, we identified

highly expressed CAZymes with putative mucolytic activity in *Tc* (Figure 4F) (Lombard et al.,

2014; Smits et al., 2017; Wardman et al., 2022). *Tc* expresses CAZymes with predicted activity

against all of the sugar subunits found on mucus glycans, and these CAZymes are members of

Glycoside Hydrolase (GH) families associated with mucus degradation (Figure 4F) (Desai et al.,

2016; Smits et al., 2017). In particular, *Tc* expresses high levels of galactosidases, which can

cleave the abundant galactose residues from mucus glycans. Unlike glucose, no direct catabolic

pathway exists for galactose utilization, and thus this monosaccharide must be converted to

glucose to be oxidized for energy production. Consistent with a galactose-dependent

metabolism, *Tc* also expresses high levels of the enzyme UDP-galactose 4-epimerase, which is

essential for galactose conversion to glucose (Holden et al., 2003). However, these putatively

mucolytic CAZymes identified in *Tc* are also found in *Tmu*, albeit at lower relative abundance,

suggesting that *Tmu* may also be capable of fermenting mucus glycans (Figure 4F).

Dietary MAC deprivation causes *Tmu* to switch to mucolytic metabolism

Although *Tmu* colonization was markedly reduced when mice were fed the cellulose diet,

a small number of protists survived in a subset of these mice (Figure 4A and S4A). This

observation, combined with the expression of putative mucolytic CAZymes, suggested that *Tmu*

can utilize mucus glycans to survive dietary MAC deprivation. To investigate this possibility, we

examined the localization of *Tmu* in the intestines of mice fed cellulose as the sole fiber. As

expected, dramatically fewer *Tmu* were visible in the colons of cellulose-fed mice than mice fed

normal mouse chow (complex chow), which contains a wide variety of dietary MACs (Figure

5A). The few remaining *Tmu* cells in cellulose-fed mice strongly co-localized with the mucus

layer (Figure 5A, right), supporting our hypothesis that *Tmu* survives dietary MAC deprivation by

fermenting mucus glycans.

We next sought to study this metabolic shift in a reductionist model to understand the

effects of specific substrates on protist growth and survival without the inherent complexity of

the intestinal environment. Although some parabasalid species can be propagated *in vitro*,

murine gut commensals such as *Tmu* and *Tc* do not grow with existing culture conditions.

Although previous studies by our group and others have cultured these protists short-term to

eliminate bacteria and fungi with antibiotics (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Howitt et al., 2016;

Nadjsombati et al., 2018; Schneider et al., 2018), growth does not occur; instead, the protists

slowly die over the course of several days. This method is sufficient to colonize mice with pure

protists, but it does not allow for the investigation of protist biology. We therefore attempted to

develop *in vitro* culture conditions for *Tmu* and *Tc,* which would allow for mechanistic

interrogation of their metabolisms. We successfully modified existing parabasalid growth

formulations to create new culture conditions, which we named PBF medium, that allow for

long-term propagation of *Tmu* (Figure S5A). Despite the success of PBF medium for *Tmu*

culture, this new medium did not support the growth of *Tc*. Nevertheless, adding mucus to PBF

medium improved *Tc* survival 5-fold, supporting the hypothesis that this species ferments

mucus glycans (Figure S5B).

Using the newly developed PBF medium, we cultured *Tmu* axenically with either the

simple sugar maltose or with mucus as the primary carbon source, and found that both glycans

supported robust growth of the protist (Figure 5B). We next used this reductionist system to

confirm whether the CAZymes identified above (Figure 4F) are involved in mucus glycan

harvesting by *Tmu* and *Tc* by performing transcriptomics on *Tmu* grown with either maltose or

mucus (Figure 5C). Differential expression analysis revealed *Tmu* grown with mucus

significantly increased expression of 16 CAZymes in GH families associated with mucolytic

activity relative to protists grown in maltose cultures. This upregulated set of CAZymes included

the putative mucolytic genes identified above, supporting the hypothesis that both protists use

these CAZymes to metabolize mucus glycans. In addition, the gene encoding the galactose-

utilization enzyme UDP-galactose-4-epimerase was upregulated in mucus-grown *Tmu,*

suggesting a metabolic shift towards fermentation of mucosal sugars.

The discrepancy between *Tmu* survival on mucus *in vivo* (in which the protists barely

survive) and *in vitro* (where the protists thrive) led us to consider what factors might account for

this difference. One major distinction between these two systems is the absence of bacteria in

the *in vitro* cultures. To determine if mucolytic bacteria outcompete *Tmu* during dietary MAC

deprivation *in vivo*, we treated *Tmu*-colonized mice with antibiotics before switching to the

cellulose diet. Strikingly, antibiotic treatment with a cocktail of vancomycin, neomycin, and

ampicillin restored *Tmu* colonization in the absence of dietary MACs (Figure 5D and S5C). To

narrow down the potential bacterial competitors, we tested the ability of each individual antibiotic

to restore colonization in mice fed cellulose diets. Neomycin and vancomycin were dispensable

for *Tmu* survival, whereas ampicillin treatment alone completely restored colonization (Figure

5E and S5D).

We next sought to identify specific bacteria that outcompete *Tmu* during dietary MAC

starvation by profiling the changes to the bacterial microbiota during treatment with each of the

individual antibiotics. Mice treated with ampicillin had undetectable levels of *Bacteroidetes* and

Akkermansia muciniphila, known mucolytic members of the microbiota, which were also the

groups outcompeted by *Tc* (Figure 5F, 5G, and S5E). In contrast, the mice treated with no

antibiotics, vancomycin, or neomycin all had high levels of *Bacteroidetes*, *Akkermansia*, or both

bacteria (Figure 5F, 5G, and S5E). These results suggest that *Tmu* switches to a mucolytic

metabolism during dietary MAC starvation, but is largely outcompeted by the mucolytic bacteria

Bacteroides spp. and *A. muciniphila*.

Diet-protist interactions shape the host immune response

Next, we characterized dietary MAC fermentation by *Tmu* using axenic *in vitro* culture.

Dietary MACs appear to be the preferred fermentative substrate of this species, and inulin

specifically was sufficient for *Tmu* to colonize mice (Figure 4A). However, *Tmu* failed to grow in

PBF medium when inulin was provided as the carbon source (Figure 6A). To understand this

apparent discrepancy, we compared the spatial localization of *Tmu* in mice fed either normal

mouse chow, which has a varied, undefined mixture of dietary MACs (complex chow), and the

defined mouse chow with inulin as the sole dietary MAC. As expected, we found *Tmu* both at

the mucosal interface and dispersed throughout the lumen in mice fed complex chow (Figure

6B, left). However, when mice were fed defined chow, the protists were tightly associated with

the mucus layer and largely absent from the intestinal lumen (Figure 6B, middle). This

enrichment of *Tmu* near the mucus layer was similar to *Tmu* in cellulose-fed mice (Figure 6B,

right), although the overall abundance of protists was markedly higher with the inulin-containing

defined chow. This suggests that although the addition of inulin is sufficient for *Tmu* to colonize

mice at high abundance, *Tmu* does not directly utilize inulin and instead ferments mucus

glycans when inulin is the sole dietary MAC. Notably, many *Bacteroidetes* species can ferment

both inulin and mucus, suggesting that these bacteria may utilize inulin when the fiber is

present, thereby reducing competition with *Tmu* for mucus glycans (Desai et al., 2016;

Sonnenburg et al., 2010). *Bacteroidetes* abundance did not change in *Tmu*-colonized mice fed

cellulose chow compared to defined chow, whereas *Tmu* levels decreased in cellulose chow

(Figure 6C, left and 4A). This observation is consistent with *Bacteroidetes* outcompeting *Tmu* for

mucus glycans when all dietary MACs, including inulin, are removed. However, this same

dietary switch in *Tc*-colonized mice results in a significant decrease in *Bacteroidetes*

abundance, consistent with the ability of *Tc* to outcompete these bacteria for the mucus niche

(Figure 6C).

To determine whether *Tmu* can ferment dietary MACs, we tested a wider array of

fermentable fibers as the carbon source in *Tmu* cultures. While *Tmu* failed to grow in maltose-

free PBF medium supplemented with the fibers arabinan, arabinogalactan, and

fructooligosaccharides (FOS), the protist readily grew on arabinoxylan, galactomannan, and

pectin (Figure 6D and S6A). Thus, similar to the bacterial fiber-consuming members of the

microbiota, *Tmu* ferments dietary MACs but can only utilize specific glycan structures. To

identify the CAZymes responsible for fiber utilization by *Tmu*, we performed transcriptomics on

Tmu cultured with either the simple sugar maltose or the fermentable fiber arabinoxylan (Figure

6E). We identified 3 CAZymes in GH families associated with fiber utilization that exhibited

increased expression during growth on arabinoxylan, suggesting that *Tmu* uses these CAZymes

to break down fiber polymers (Figure 6E) (Desai et al., 2016; Smits et al., 2017).

Finally, we wondered whether diet and *Tmu* metabolic input would affect immune

induction by the protist. When *Tmu*-colonized mice were fed the defined chow, and thus *Tmu*

fermented mucus glycans, type 2 immune induction was suppressed (Figure 6F). This

suppression did not appear to be due to decreased succinate output by *Tmu* on a per-cell basis

during mucus feeding, as *Tmu* grown on mucus *in vitro* showed no decrease in succinate

excretion (Figure S6B). However, *Tmu* abundance in the distal SI of mice fed defined chow was

substantially lower, suggesting that when fermenting mucus, this protist cannot colonize the SI

at sufficiently high levels to induce type 2 immunity (Figure 6G).

Discussion

Parabasalid protists, including tritrichomonads, are relatively common in the

microbiomes of both feral and laboratory mice and can be found in the microbiomes of healthy

humans (Lukeš et al., 2015; Parfrey et al., 2014, 2011; Stark et al., 2016). In animal studies,

commensal tritrichomonads powerfully influence mucosal immunity and intestinal epithelial

differentiation, resulting in significant protection from co-infections with enteric pathogens, but

with exacerbation of certain chronic inflammatory diseases (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Escalante

et al., 2016; Schneider et al., 2018). Despite their emergence as immunodominant members of

the microbiota, little is known about the biology of these protists. Our discovery of an

undescribed species, *Tritrichomonas casperi* (*Tc*), allowed us to compare this organism with

Tritrichomonas musculis (*Tmu*), which revealed unexpected metabolic diversity within this

genus. We show that succinate excretion as a fermentation waste product diverges between

Tmu and *Tc* metabolism, leading to markedly altered immune responses in the small intestine.

We also reveal that these protists rely on different carbohydrate sources in the gut, with *Tc*

functioning as a mucin-specialist and *Tmu* as a mucin-generalist that preferentially ferments

dietary MACs. Changing the composition of MACs in the diet shifts the competitive balance

between *Tmu* and bacteria, which alters host immunity. Our studies highlight the importance of

commensal protist metabolism in mediating diverse and complex interactions between diet,

intestinal immunity, and the microbiota.

A ubiquitous feature of previous studies on commensal tritrichomonads has been type 2

immunity in the small intestine resulting from succinate stimulation of tuft cells. Although

succinate may originate from multiple physiological sources during tritrichomonad colonization,

it has been attributed to commensal *Tritrichomonas* metabolism based on inferred evolutionary

conservation of fermentative pathways with the urogenital pathogen *T. foetus* (Muller et al.,

2012). Here, we report *Tc* as the first commensal tritrichomonad to robustly colonize the small

intestine yet not induce a type 2 immune response. Because succinate is critical for protists to

initiate type 2 immunity, we examined parabasalid metabolism in more detail. We first

sequenced both *Tmu* and *Tc* genomes to genetically reconstruct their core carbohydrate

metabolisms. As predicted, *Tmu* encodes the genes required to produce succinate, and we

measured high levels of succinate in axenic *Tmu* cultures and the cecal contents of *Tmu*-

colonized mice. In contrast, *Tc* colonized mice had low levels of cecal succinate, but surprisingly

Tc expressed genes to produce this metabolite and contained high levels of intracellular

succinate. We hypothesize that *Tc* converts intracellular succinate to other unidentified

metabolic byproducts which do not induce small intestinal type 2 immunity.

All parabasalids must maintain cellular redox balance to sustain anaerobic energy

production and cell growth. *Tmu*, *Tc*, and *T. foetus* all belong to the class *Tritrichomonadea* and

maintain redox balance through intracellular succinate production, while *T. vaginalis* belongs to

the class *Trichomonadea* and generates lactate for the same metabolic purpose. This

observation led us to identify a phylogenetic split between the parabasalid classes

Trichomonadea and *Tritrichomonadea*, in which the former generates lactate and the latter

generates succinate. This discovery aids the prediction of fermentative pathways in

parabasalids based only on the ITS sequence. We successfully used this new phylogenetic

information to predict that the human-associated commensal parabasalid *P. hominis* produces

lactate but not succinate. Because of this finding, we expect that *P. hominis* does not induce

type 2 immunity in humans, but this commensal may stimulate alternative host effects through

lactate excretion, such as epithelial stem cell proliferation or antigen sampling by immune cells

(Iraporda et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2018; Morita et al., 2019). The richness of parabasalid species

in the human microbiota remains poorly characterized as the microbiomes of many global

populations are grossly understudied. Consequently, we anticipate that sequencing datasets

acquired from more diverse human populations will identify new species of parabasalids, and

our phylogenetic-based predictive framework will be a powerful tool to predict the production of

immunomodulatory metabolites by these protists. It also bypasses technically challenging

methods such as isolating and culturing new protists. However, further work is required to refine

this predictive model. For example, the basis for succinate production without excretion in *Tc* is

still unknown and thus cannot be predicted from phylogenetic information.

Our studies generated several new tools and datasets for commensal tritrichomonads

which will eliminate critical barriers that have stymied mechanistic studies of these protists. The

complete genomes of *Tmu* and *Tc* combined with targeted metabolomics and multiple

transcriptomic datasets form a valuable foundation for future studies, but the seemingly simple

ability to grow these tritrichomonads in culture is an essential step for microbiological

investigations. Previous studies, including our own, isolated tritrichomonads from mice and

cultured these organisms to remove other microbes for experimental colonization of naïve

animals (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Howitt et al., 2016; Nadjsombati et al., 2018; Schneider et

al., 2018). However, these protists did not robustly grow in axenic *in vitro* culture. This basic

capability is particularly crucial for members of the microbiota because the complexity of their

natural ecosystem confounds the ability to link phenotypes to single members of the community.

In addition, the high degree of strain-level variation and potential for genetic drift make growth in

culture and the ability to freeze/thaw isolates invaluable for increasing experimental rigor.

Further, culture represents the first major hurdle for developing any microbe into a genetically

tractable model.

Commensal tritrichomonads are unaffected by colonization resistance, which our results

suggest is due to an ability to outcompete bacteria for their preferred nutritional niche. *Tc* readily

excludes the mucolytic bacteria *A. muciniphila* and *Bacteroidetes* spp., whereas these same

bacteria outcompete *Tmu* during dietary MAC deprivation. However, *Tmu* coexists with these

bacteria when inulin is the sole dietary MAC, even though *Tmu* cannot directly metabolize this

fiber. Many *Bacteroidetes* species can ferment both inulin and mucus glycans but prioritize fiber

over mucus (Desai et al., 2016; Lynch and Sonnenburg, 2012; Sonnenburg et al., 2010).

Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron's preference for fiber over mucus occurs via direct suppression of

mucus CAZymes by fiber-derived monosaccharides. An inulin-based diet may alleviate

competition for mucus, enabling *Tmu* to capitalize on this relatively open niche. Importantly,

Tmu's flexible metabolic strategy appears well adapted to the dietary patterns of wild mice.

These rodents are opportunistic omnivores but preferentially consume plant-based foods such

as grains and seeds (Morris et al., 2012). Thus, while the types of plant polysaccharides may

fluctuate in the murine diet, their presence is relatively stable, which would allow *Tmu* a constant

supply of either dietary MACs or mucus derived glycans.

Commensal parabasalids are rare in the microbiotas of humans in industrialized

countries, despite extremely high prevalence throughout the animal kingdom (Brune, 2014;

Céza et al., 2015; Hampl et al., 2007; Malik et al., 2011). Human diets were historically high in

dietary MACs throughout evolution, but the Western diet contains far less of these key microbial

nutrients. This dietary shift, along with changes to sanitation and antibiotic usage, has been

associated with many consequences to health and microbiome diversity (Ferreyra et al., 2014;

Smits et al., 2017; Sonnenburg et al., 2016; Zinöcker and Lindseth, 2018), and our results

suggest that loss of commensal parabasalids may be another consequence of an industrialized

lifestyle. The absence of an entire phylum of microbes from the microbiota likely has wide-

ranging impacts on host health, particularly given the dramatic effects these protists have on

host intestinal immunity, the epithelium, and numerous diseases. Our results also reveal that

lack of colonization with certain commensal tritrichomonads is associated with decreased

microbiome diversity, suggesting a possible butterfly effect of downstream consequences to the

microbiome community. Furthermore, our data demonstrate that although fiber supplementation

rescues *Tmu* colonization, the types of dietary MACs present can drastically alter the host

response elicited by the protist. Thus, to accurately predict how colonization with individual

parabasalid species may impact human health, the interplay of microbial metabolism, trans-

kingdom interactions, and diet must be taken into consideration.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Howitt lab, John Boothroyd, Markus Lakemeyer, Rebecca Gellman,

and Fatima Enam for their helpful discussions and expertise. We also thank Dylan Maghini, Eli

Moss, Roshni Patel, and Sandra Kong for their NGS expertise. We thank John Perrino and Ruth

Yamawaki at the Stanford Cell Sciences Imaging Facility for expertise and help with sample

processing and scanning electron microscopy. We thank Amgen for kindly providing the *Gpr91$^{-/-}$*

mice. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (R01DK128292, K01DK113041,

R01AI14862302, R01AI14375702, 5 T32 AI07290, and T32HL120824), and a Jacob Churg

award to M.R.H.. E.R.G. is supported by the Stanford Pediatric IBD and Celiac Disease

Research Program and the Stanford Maternal & Child Health Research Institute.

Author contributions

E.R.G, S.Z., P.T.W., A.S.B., and M.R.H. designed the experiments. E.R.G., S.Z., P.T.W.,

C.M.M., J.A.L., F.K., S.K.H. performed experiments and contributed intellectual expertise.

A.M.S., J.L.S., A.S.B., provided intellectual expertise. E.R.G. and M.R.H. conceived the study

and wrote the manuscript, and all authors read and commented on the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no relevant competing interests.

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Identification of a novel gut protist in mice

(A) FACS purification of the large *T. musculis* (*Tmu*) and small *T. casperi* (*Tc*) protists isolated

from a mouse cecum. FSC, forward scatter. SSC, side scatter. (B) SEM images of *Tmu* (left)

and *Tc* (right). UM: Undulating Membrane, RF: Recurrent Flagellum, AF: Anterior Flagella.

Scale bars are 2µm. (C) *Tmu* and *Tc* cell body lengths, measured by SEM. (D) Phylogram of

parabasalid protists based on ITS sequences. *Tmu* and *Tc* are marked with blue and red text,

respectively. (E) Tuft cell frequency measured by flow cytometry in the distal SI epithelium of

uncolonized (Ctrl) mice or those colonized with *Tmu* or *Tc* (F) Representative

immunofluorescence microscopy images of tuft cell hyperplasia in the distal SI epithelium of Ctrl

mice (left), *Tmu* colonized mice (middle), and *Tc* colonized mice (right). Tuft cells stained with

Dclk1, green. Epithelial cell membranes stained with E-cadherin, red. Nuclei stained with DAPI,

blue. Scale bars are 50µm. (G) Frequency of GATA3$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells (Th2 cells) in the lamina

propria of the distal SI of mice colonized by each protist, measured by flow cytometry. Graphs

(C, E, G) depict mean. Error bars (C) depict SD. Each symbol represents an individual mouse

from 3 pooled experiments (E and G). ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, NS, not significant by Student's

t test.

Figure 2. T Cell responses to *Tmu* and *Tc* differ in the small intestine but are similar in

the colon

(A) Frequency of IFNγ and IL-17 producing CD4$^+$ T cells (Th1 and Th17 cells) in the distal SI

lamina propria of mice colonized by *Tc*. (B) Representative flow cytometry plots of the frequency

of IFNγ and IL-17 positivity in CD4$^+$ T cells isolated from the lamina propria of the distal SI. (C)

Frequency (top) and absolute abundance (bottom) of IFNγ and IL-17 positive CD4$^+$ T cells in the

colonic lamina propria. (D) Representative flow cytometry plots of the frequency of IFNγ and

IL17 positivity in colonic lamina propria CD4$^+$ T cells of *Tmu* and *Tc* colonized mice. (E and F)

Representative immunofluorescence microscopy images of *Tmu* (E) and *Tc* (F) in the colon.

Protists stained with anti-*Tmu* antibody, green. Epithelial cells stained with E-Cadherin, white.

Nuclei stained with DAPI, blue. Mucus layer stained with UEA1, red. Scale bars are 50µm.

Graphs depict mean. Each symbol represents an individual mouse from two (A) or three (C)

pooled experiments. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, NS, not significant by Student's t test.

Figure 3. Metabolic differences in tritrichomonads underly divergent immune responses

(A) Extracellular succinate concentration in the cecal contents of protist-colonized mice. (B, C)

Genome assemblies of *Tmu* (B) and *Tc* (C). Outermost rings show position (in Mbp), middle ring

shows genome assembly contigs, inner ring shows GC content in 10kb sliding windows. Total

genome size (in Mbp) is at the center of each circle. (D) Schematic of metabolic pathways in

parabasalid protists. The two fermentative pathways found in parabasalids are in boxes. Red

boxes surround pathways found in *Tc*, blue box surrounds the pathway found in *Tmu*. (E and F)

Gene expression levels (in transcripts per million (TPM)) in the cecum vs. distal SI for *Tmu* (E)

and *Tc* (F). Transcripts in the lactate and succinate fermentative pathways are labelled if

present. (G and H) Intracellular succinate (G) and lactate (H) measurements from purified *Tmu*

and *Tc* cell lysates. (I) Phylogenetic tree of parabasalids. Species in purple are confirmed

lactate producers, species in green are confirmed succinate producers. Asterisks signify new

metabolic confirmations in this study. Lines on the right indicate phylogenetic classes. Graphs

(A, G) depict mean with SD. **p<0.01, NS, not significant by Student's t test.

Figure 4. *Tmu* and *Tc* occupy different nutritional niches within the microbiota

(A) Abundance of *Tmu* and (B) *Tc* in the stool of mice fed diets with defined fiber compositions

for two weeks. Inulin and cellulose are the only fibers present in the defined chow. (C) 16S

rRNA amplicon sequencing in the stool of uncolonized (Ctrl) mice or mice colonized with *Tmu* or

Tc for 3 weeks. Data shown is average of 5 mice per group. (D) Linear Discriminant Analysis

scores showing bacterial microbiome composition changes associated with *Tc* colonization.

OTUs in green have higher relative abundance with *Tc* colonization, OTUs in red have lower

relative abundance with *Tc* colonization. (E) qPCR quantification of *A. muciniphila* relative

abundance in the stool of mice colonized with each protist. (F) Abundance (in TPM) of putative

mucus-utilization genes in *Tc* and *Tmu*. For putative mucolytic CAZymes the glycoside

hydrolase family (GH) is indicated in brackets. Graphs (A, B, E) depict mean, error bars depict

SD in (E). Each symbol represents an individual mouse from three pooled experiments.

**p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test.

Figure 5. Dietary MAC deprivation causes *Tmu* to switch to a mucolytic metabolism

(A) Representative images of *Tmu* in the colons of mice fed complex chow (left) and cellulose

chow (right) for two weeks. *Tmu* stained with anti-*Tmu* antibody, green. Mucus stained with

UEA1, red. Nuclei stained with DAPI, blue. Scale bar is 100µm. (B) Growth curves of *Tmu*

cultured *in vitro*, using the newly developed PBF medium, with maltose (blue) or mucus (green)

added as carbon sources, or with no defined carbon source added (grey). (C) Differential gene

expression from *Tmu* grown *in vitro* with either maltose or mucus as a carbon source. Green

data points indicate putative mucus-utilization genes with increased expression when grown in

the presence of mucus. GH families for putative mucolytic CAZymes are labelled. Dashed lines

delineate the fold change cutoff (1.5-fold). (D) *Tmu* abundance in the stool of mice fed defined

chow or cellulose chow for two weeks, with or without antibiotics. Antibiotics used are

Vancomycin, V; Neomycin, N; Ampicillin, A. (E) *Tmu* abundance in the cecal contents of mice

fed cellulose chow for two weeks with different antibiotic treatments. (F) 16S rRNA amplicon

sequencing of *Tmu*-colonized mice fed cellulose for two weeks with different antibiotic

treatments. (G) Relative abundance of known mucolytic bacteria in mice from each group in (F).

LD, limit of detection. Graphs (B, D, E, G) are plotted as mean, error bars depict SD in (B). Each

symbol represents an individual mouse from three pooled experiments (D and E). **p<0.01,

****p<0.0001, NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test.

Figure 6. Diet-protist interactions shape the host immune response

(A) *In vitro* growth curve of *Tmu* cultured with maltose (blue) or inulin (purple) added as carbon

sources, or with no defined carbon source added (grey). (B) Representative images of *Tmu* in

mice fed complex chow (varied dietary MAC composition), defined chow (inulin and cellulose),

or cellulose chow. *Tmu* stained with anti-*Tmu* antibody, green. Mucus stained with UEA1, red.

Nuclei stained with DAPI, blue. Scale bar is 50μm. (C) Relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes*

bacteria in the stool of mice colonized by *Tmu* (left) and *Tc* (right), with the fermentable fiber

inulin or the non-fermentable fiber cellulose as the only fiber sources in the diet. (D) Maximum

Tmu culture density when grown *in vitro* with different dietary MACs added as carbon sources to

PBF medium. All statistical comparisons are relative to culture with no defined carbon source

added. (E) Differential expression of *Tmu* grown *in vitro* with either maltose or arabinoxylan as a

carbon source. Cyan data points represent upregulated CAZymes with potential activity towards

arabinoxylan. Dashed lines delineate the fold change cutoff (1.5-fold). (F) Tuft cell frequency in

the distal SI epithelium of mice fed complex chow (varied dietary MACs) and defined chow

(inulin and cellulose). (G) *Tmu* abundance in the distal SI of mice fed complex chow and defined

chow. Data is plotted as mean with SD (A and D). Each symbol represents an individual mouse

from two (C) or three (F, G) pooled experiments. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001,

NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test (G) or Student's t test (C, F).

STAR METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents may be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the lead contact, Michael Howitt (mhowitt@stanford.edu).

Materials availability

Mouse and microbial strains used in this study will be made available upon request addressed

to the lead contact.

Animals

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. Mice from Jackson Laboratory are

protist negative, as verified by PCR on the stool and examination of cecal contents. $Gpr91^{-/-}$

mice were generously provided by Amgen under a materials transfer agreement. All mice were

used at 6-12 weeks of age. Experiments were performed using age and gender matched

groups. All animal procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care

and Use Committee (IACUC) at Stanford School of Medicine.

Mice were housed in individually ventilated cage systems and maintained at specific

pathogen free (SPF) health status. Colonies of protist-negative and protist-colonized mice were

maintained and handled separately. Protist colonization status was routinely verified by PCR on

stool and examination of cecal contents by light microscopy. Cage bottoms were covered with

autoclaved bedding and enrichment material. Mice were handled within HEPA filtered air

exchange stations, and all surfaces and tools were sanitized using Virkon™ S disinfectant

before and after handling. All cage changes were performed by lab staff, who were required to

wear personal protection equipment (lab coats, gloves, disposable sleeves) to handle mice used

in this study.

Custom diets for *in vivo* fiber experiments were obtained from Research Diets, Inc. The

diets were the same as those used previously (Schneider et al., 2018), with diet IDs D11112201

(Defined chow with cellulose and inulin), D11112229 (no fiber), D17030102 (cellulose),

D11112226 (inulin). For antibiotic treatment experiments, mice were given antibiotics in the

drinking water, which was changed every 3 days. Antibiotics and concentrations were:

vancomycin (0.5g/mL), neomycin (1g/mL), ampicillin (1g/mL). For succinate treatment, disodium

succinate (100mM) was added to the drinking water and changed every 3 days.

Method details

<u>Identification of protists in mouse stool</u>

Mice at Stanford University animal facilities were screened for commensal protists by extracting

DNA from stool using the Powersoil Pro Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer instructions.

Samples were then used as input for PCR using pan-parabasalid primers (forward: 5'-

CCACGGGTAGCAGCA-3', reverse: 5'-GGCAGGGACGTATTCAA-3'). These primers generate

an approximately 1.1kb amplicon of the parabasalid internally transcribed spacer (ITS)

sequence, which was sequenced by Sanger Sequencing for phylogenetic classification.

Phylograms were generated using phylogeny.fr (Lemoine et al., 2019).

<u>Isolation of *Tritrichomonas spp.* from mice</u>

Protists were purified as described previously (Howitt et al., 2016), with modifications. Briefly,

cecal contents were harvested from WT C57BL/6 mice and passed over a 40μm strainer.

Contents were then washed three times in PBS and purified at the interface of a 40%/80%

Percoll (GE healthcare) gradient, after centrifugation at 1000xg for 10minutes with no brake.

The number of viable protists was quantified using a hemocytometer, and 1×10^6 trophozoites

were inoculated per mL of PBF growth medium (recipe below). The PBF medium was

supplemented with 1x Penicillin/Streptomycin (Caisson Labs), 2.5μg/mL Amphotericin B (Sigma

Aldrich), 100µg/mL Vancomycin (Alfa Aesar), 12.5µg/mL Chloramphenicol (Sigma). Protists

were then cultured overnight in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Labs) at 37˚C.

<u>Colonization of mice with protists</u>

Mice were colonized with commensal parabasalid protists as described previously (Howitt et al.,

2016) with slight modifications. Briefly, protists were resuspended in PBS and mice were

colonized with 1×10^5 protists per mouse by oral feeding. Colonization was verified after 7 days

by qPCR on the stool using *Tmu* or *Tc* specific primers. For *Tc* colonization of mice, protists

were isolated directly from mouse ceca one day prior to colonization. For *Tmu* colonization of

mice, protists were cultured *in vitro* for no more than 20 passages prior to colonization of mice.

<u>Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)</u>

SEM was performed on *Tmu* and *Tc* as described previously (Howitt et al., 2016). Briefly,

protists were isolated from the ceca of SPF mice as described above and adhered to poly-lysine

coated coverslips. Samples were then fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate pH 7.2.

Samples were then rinsed and post-fixed for 30min in 1% OsO_4 in 0.1M cacodylate buffer and

dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol prior to critical point drying with liquid CO_2. Protists

were then sputter-coated with 5nm platinum and examined with a Zeiss Sigma scanning

electron microscope.

<u>High molecular weight DNA extraction and Nanopore Sequencing</u>

To obtain pure protist DNA for genome sequencing, *Tc*-colonized mice were treated with an

antibiotic and antifungal cocktail in the drinking water (0.5g/L Vancomycin, 1g/L Neomycin, 1g/L

Ampicillin, 0.2g/L Amphotericin B) for 6 days. *Tc* was then purified from the cecal contents as

described above, with the modification of two rounds of Percoll purification, instead of one. For

Tmu, protists were instead axenically cultured. High molecular weight DNA from each protist

was then isolated by gently resuspending pelleted protists in lysis buffer (PBS+0.5% sodium

dodecyl sulfate), using a wide-bore pipet tip. Lysed cells were transferred to DNA LoBind tubes

(Eppendorf) and incubated with Monarch RNase A (NEB) for 2 hours at 37˚C. Next, Proteinase

K (Qiagen) was added, and samples were incubated for 2 hours at 50˚C. Nucleic acid was then

isolated using two rounds of extraction with Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl alcohol (Sigma). To

avoid pipetting during transfer steps, vacuum grease (Dow Corning) was added as a phase-lock

gel. Protein contaminants were then precipitated using 2M Ammonium Acetate, and then DNA

was precipitated overnight in ethanol. Next, DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 8000xg, and

DNA pellets were washed three times with 70% ethanol. DNA was then resuspended in water

and used for library preparation and sequencing using the Nanopore Ligation Sequencing Kit

and Minion Flow Cell (Oxford Nanopore).

<u>Genome assembly and annotation</u>

Genome assemblies for both *T. casperi* and *T. musculis* were constructed using Flye

(Kolmogorov et al., 2019), v. 2.9-b1768 with default parameters. Short read libraries were then

mapped against the genome assemblies with Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), v. 2.4.4

and resulting alignments were used for assembly polishing with pilon (Walker et al., 2014).

Genes were predicted with MetaEuk (Karin et al., 2020), v. 5.34c21f2 with Uniref90 as the

reference protein dataset. Genome completeness was assessed with BUSCO (Manni et al.,

2021), v5.0.0 in protein mode with Eukaryota ODB10 lineage marker gene set. Genome

diagrams were generated using DNAPlotter (Carver et al., 2009), v. 18.2.0.

<u>RNA-Sequencing</u>

RNA-Sequencing was performed on *Tmu* and *Tc in vivo* by first isolating the luminal contents

from the distal small intestine and cecum of 3 mice per group. Contents were strained over

40µm filters, then washed with ice-cold PBS to remove debris. To minimize potential for sample

processing to affect transcriptomes, samples were then immediately resuspended in Qiazol

(Qiagen). RNA was purified using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research). RNA-Seq

libraries were then generated using the KAPA Stranded RNA-Seq kit with poly-dT enrichment of

mRNA transcripts, and libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq instrument.

Transcriptomics on the *in vitro* grown *Tmu* samples was performed on protists grown for

3 days in the condition of interest. Cultures (3 biological replicates per condition) were pelleted

at 700xg for 5min at 4˚, then resuspended in Qiazol. RNA was then isolated using the Direct-zol

RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research), as above. Library preparation and sequencing was

performed at Azenta Life Sciences (South Plainfield, NJ). Libraries were constructed using the

TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina), with poly-dT enrichment of mRNA transcripts. Samples

were sequenced on a HiSeq instrument (Illumina).

Analysis of all transcriptomics datasets was performed using alignment-based analysis,

using the newly sequenced *Tmu* and *Tc* genomes. Briefly, Illumina adapters were trimmed and

low-quality sequence was removed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014). Reads were then

aligned to the respective genome using Burrows Wheeler Aligner (Li and Durbin, 2009).

Samtools (Danecek et al., 2021) was used to convert corresponding files to binary alignment

and map (bam) files and sort the reads by position. Next, featureCounts (Liao et al., 2014) was

used to quantify read depth of each genome feature. Differential expression analysis was

performed using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014).

<u>Protist enumeration</u>

Enumeration of protists from the small intestinal tissue was performed as described previously

(Howitt et al., 2016), with modifications. Briefly, the distal 10cm of small intestine was removed

and flushed with ice-cold sterile PBS using a 19-gauge feeding needle. The contents were then

pelleted and the supernatant was aspirated. Genomic DNA was isolated using the Powersoil

Pro Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer instructions. To detect and enumerate protists,

quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied

Biosystems). For *T. musculis*, the following primers specific to the 28S rRNA gene were used:

5'-GCTTTTGCAAGCTAGGTCCC-3'and 5'- TTTCTGATGGGGCGTACCAC-3' (Howitt et al.,

2016). For *T. casperi* detection, primers were designed that specifically recognized this protist:

5'-AGGTTACTGAATCATACATGCGT-3' and 5'-GCAGGAGTTGCTTTCATTGTG-3'. qPCRs

were run on a QuantStudio 3 Real Time PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher). To convert qPCR

values into protist numbers, protists were isolated and counted using a hemocytometer before

extracting genomic DNA and analyzing a dilution series by qPCR. These results were used to

create a standard curve, and regression analysis was used to convert Ct values to protist

numbers, as has been described previously (Chudnovskiy et al., 2016; Howitt et al., 2016).

Quantification of protists in the stool was performed similarly. Stool pellets were

collected from mice and weighed. A fraction of the stool was dried and used to calculate the

water content of the stool, while the rest was used for DNA extraction. Quantification was

performed using the same qPCR primers as above. Protists were quantified in the cecum by

weighing the cecal luminal contents, then resuspending in PBS. Live trophozoites were then

counted using a hemocytometer and normalized to cecal weight.

<u>Isolation of Epithelial Cells for Flow Cytometry</u>

For epithelial flow cytometry for tuft cell quantification, mice were colonized by protists by

vertical transmission. Ileal epithelial cells were isolated as described previously (Howitt et al.,

2016). Briefly, the terminal 10cm of the small intestine was removed and trimmed of fat. Luminal

contents were gently flushed with 10mL of ice-cold PBS, then the tissue was opened

longitudinally. Peyer's Patches were removed and the tissue was incubated on ice in PBS, 5mM

HEPES pH 7.4, 2% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (iFBS), 1mM DTT. Tissue was then

transferred to pre-warmed PBS, 5mM HEPES, 2% iFBS, 5mM EDTA and shaken at 200rpm for

15 minutes at 37˚, followed by vigorous shaking. This was repeated and epithelial cells from

both fractions were combined and washed with PBS. Tissue was then digested in DMEM

containing 10% iFBS, 0.5U/mL Dispase II (StemCell Technologies), 50µg/mL DNase (Roche)

for 10 minutes at 37˚C. The cells were then filtered over 40µm strainers and washed with PBS,

2% iFBS, 1mM EDTA. Cells were then incubated for 10 minutes with anti-CD16/CD32 (clone

93, Biolegend) and then stained with the following antibodies: PacBlue-conjugated anti-CD45

(clone 30-F11, Biolegend), PE/Cy7-conjugated anti-EpCam (clone G8.8, Biolegend), and

Alex647-conjugated anti-SiglecF (clone E50-2440, BD Biosciences). Cell viability was assessed

by propidium iodide staining (Biolegend). Cells were then analyzed on a BD FACSCanto II.

<u>Lamina propria cell isolation and flow cytometry</u>

For lamina propria flow cytometry for immune cell analysis, mice were colonized by protists by

vertical transmission. The distal 10cm of small intestine, or the entire colon, were collected and

epithelial cells were removed as described above. The remaining tissue was then minced and

digested in RPMI 10% iFBS, 0.1 units/mL Dispase II (StemCell Technologies), 50µg/mL DNase

(Roche), collagenase A (Roche) (0.25mg/mL for ilea, 0.5mg/mL for colons). Tissue was

digested for 30 minutes followed by a second digestion for 40 minutes. Digested tissue was

passed through a 40µm strainer, and the cells were counted using a Cellometer Auto T4

(Nexcelom Bioscience). For cytokine measurements, cells were stimulated in RPMI

supplemented with 10% iFBS, Pen/Strep, PMA, Ionomycin, and GolgiPlug. Cells were

incubated at 37˚ for 4 hours to allow stimulation to occur before being harvested. Cells were

then incubated with anti-CD16/32 (clone 92, Biolegend) before surface staining with PacBlue-

conjugated anti-CD45 (clone 30-F11, Biolegend), FITC-conjugated anti-CD4 (clone GK1.5,

Biolegend), and PerCP/Cy5.5-conjugated anti-CD3 (clone 17A2, Biolegend). Cells were then

stained with the viability dye Zombie-NIR (Biolegend) according to manufacturer instructions

prior to fixation with the Foxp3 Transcription factor staining buffer set (eBioscience). Fixed cells

were permeabilized and stained with PE-conjugated anti-IL17 (clone 9B10, Biolegend) and

APC-conjugated anti-IFNγ (clone XMG1.2, eBioscience). Cells were then analyzed on a BD

FACSCanto II.

<u>Tissue preparation and staining for immunofluorescence</u>

Tissue sections of interest were removed and fixed in methacarn fixative (Fisher Scientific) for

48 hours. Tissue was then washed three times in 100% ethanol, followed by three washes in

Histo-Clear II (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Fixed tissue was embedded in paraffin wax prior

to sectioning (4µm) using a microtome. For immunofluorescence, tissue was first deparaffinized

as has been described previously (Earle et al., 2015), then blocked with blocking buffer (PBS

supplemented with 3% BSA, 0.1% Saponin, 2% goat serum). Sections were then stained with

the anti-*Tmu* antibody (generated by Rockland Scientific) and anti-E Cadherin (clone 36/E)

overnight. After 3 washes in PBS, sections were stained with secondary antibodies fluorescently

conjugated to Alexa488 (*Tmu*) and Alexa660 (E Cadherin). DAPI (Sigma Aldrich) and

rhodamine-conjugated Ulex Europaeus Agglutinin I (Vector Laboratories) were added during the

secondary staining step as well. After 3 PBS washes, tissue was mounted with Vectashield

(Vector Labs) and imaged on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope.

<u>Metabolite measurements</u>

For intracellular metabolite analysis, mice were treated with an antibiotic cocktail in the drinking

water (0.5g/L Vancomycin, 1g/L Neomycin, 1g/L Ampicillin, 0.2g/L Amphotericin B) for 6 days.

Protists were then isolated as described above and lysed in 1mL 80% methanol. Cellular debris

was removed through centrifugation, followed by filtration using 0.22μm filters, snap frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80˚. Prior to liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC/MS)

analysis, intracellular extracts (800 μL) were dried in a vacuum concentrator at room

temperature for approximately 4 hours then resuspended in 50 μL of HPLC-grade water spiked

with ^{13}C-labeled metabolites as an internal standard.

For metabolite measurements from cecal supernatants, cecal contents were squeezed

into tubes and weighed, prior to gentle resuspension in sterile saline solution (0.15M NaCl).

Samples were then pelleted at 500xg for 5min at 4˚, and supernatants were transferred to clean

tubes. Samples were then pelleted at 2000xg for 10min at 4˚, and then supernatants were

filtered over 0.22μm filters, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80˚. Prior to LC/MS

analysis, cecal extracts were diluted in HPLC-grade water spiked with ^{13}C-labeled metabolites

as an internal standard.

For organic acid measurements, samples (5 μL) were run in reverse phase on a 2.1 mm

x 150 mm Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column with 1.7 μm packing. The column was fitted

with a Vanguard pre-column of the same composition. Analytes were separated on an Agilent

1290 Infinity II UPLC (binary pumps) and detected using an Agilent 6545 LC/MS Quadrupole

Time-of-Flight (qTOF) instrument equipped with a dual jet stream electrospray ionization source

(ESI) operating under extended dynamic range (EDR 1700 m/z) in the negative (ESI-) ionization

mode. The mobile phase solvents consisted of 20 mM ammonium formate pH 2.9 (A) and

acetonitrile (B). The separation began with 99.5% A and 0.5% B for 4 min at 0.4 mL/min, then B

was increased to 99.5% at 0.5 mL/min and held for 1.5 min, at which time the flow rate was

increased to 0.8 mL/min. At 11.5 min, solvent B was reduced to 0.5% and the flow rate was

reduced to 0.5 mL/min for 2.5 min, at which time it was further reduced to 0.4 mL/min. The

separation ended at 14 min. Specific ion source parameters included: Drying gas temperature

was 150˚C at 12L/min, sheath gas temperature was 325˚C at 12 L/min, Nebulizer was at 45

psig, capillary voltage was 2000 V, nozzle voltage was 0V, fragmentor voltage was 90 V,

skimmer voltage was at 35 V, octopole RF was 750V. For quantitation purposes, standard

curves of all analytes were prepared in HPLC water spiked with ^{13}C-labeled metabolites as an

internal standard. Metabolite levels were determined by manually integrating the area under

each chromatographic peak and their concentrations in the samples determined by interpolating

the peak area for each compound on the corresponding standard curve.

For short chain fatty acid measurements, samples were prepared as described above.

Short chain fatty acids were identified as described previously (Meshulam-Simon et al., 2007),

using HPLC-UV with an Aminex HPX-87H column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

<u>Dietary fiber experiments</u>

For experiments involving manipulation of dietary fiber, mice (fed normal complex chow) were

colonized by 1x10^5 protists between 4-6 weeks of age. Ten days later, the diets were changed

to chows with defined fiber compositions. Stool was collected for protist quantification two

weeks later, and mice were euthanized for collection of tissue for additional analyses. For

experiments involving manipulation of dietary fiber and antibiotic treatment, mice were colonized

881 by protists as above. Ten days later, mice were started on the indicated antibiotic treatment,

882 followed by diet switch three days after the start of antibiotics.

883 <u>16S Bacterial Profiling</u>

884 For 16S bacterial profiling, mice were colonized by 1×10^5 protists between 4-6 weeks of age,

885 and stool was collected 3 weeks later for microbiome analysis. DNA was extracted using the

886 Powersoil Pro Kit (Qiagen). The DNA samples were prepared for targeted sequencing at Zymo

887 Research (Irvine, CA). Libraries were made using the *Quick*-16S NGS Library Prep Kit using

888 Primer Set V3-V4 (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). Samples were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq

889 with a v3 reagent kit. Unique amplicon sequences were inferred from raw reads using the

890 Dada2 pipeline (Callahan et al., 2016). Chimeric sequences were removed, and taxonomy

891 assignment was performed using Uclust from Qiime2 (Bolyen et al., 2019). Taxonomy was

892 assigned with the Zymo Research 16S Database. Alpha-diversity and composition analyses

893 were performed with Qiime. Taxonomy abundance differences between groups were identified

894 by LEfSe (Segata et al., 2011).

895  Absolute abundance quantification was performed using qPCR. A standard curve was

896 made with plasmid DNA containing one copy of the 16S gene, prepared in 10-fold serial

897 dilutions. The primers used were the same as those used in targeted library preparation. The

898 equation generated by the plasmid DNA standard curve was used to calculate the number of

899 gene copies in the reaction for each sample. The PCR input volume was used to calculate the

900 number of gene copies in each DNA sample. The number of genome copies per microliter of

901 DNA in each sample was calculated by dividing the gene copy number by an assumed number

902 of gene copies per genome. The value used for 16S copies is 4. The amount of DNA per

903 microliter of sample was calculated using an assumed genome size of 4.64×10^6bp, the genome

904 size of *Escherichia coli*. The mass of the stool sample used for DNA extraction was then used to

905 calculate the number of genome copies per milligram of stool.

906 <u>Bacterial relative abundance measurements by qPCR</u>

Akkermansia muciniphila and *Bacteroidetes* quantification was performed using DNA isolated

from stool as described above. Relative abundance of bacterial groups were then quantified

using the following primers: *Akkermansia muciniphila* 5'-CAGCACGTGAAGGTGGGGAC-3', 5'-

CCTTGCGGTTGGCTTCAGAT-3' (Schneeberger et al., 2015). *Bacteroidetes* 5'-

GTTTAATTCGATGATACGCGAG-3', 5'-TTAASCCGACACCTCACGG-3' (Yang et al., 2015).

Universal 16S 5'-AAACTCAAAKGAATTGACGG-3', 5'-CTCACRRCACGAGCTGAC-3' (Yang et

al., 2015). qPCRs were performed using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied

Biosystems) on a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR machine (Thermo Fisher). Ct values for

bacterial groups of interest were then normalized to total 16S for relative abundance analysis.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Groups were compared using Prism v9 software (GraphPad) using the two-tailed unpaired

Student's t test or Mann-Whitney test where appropriate. Differences were considered

statistically significant when p≤0.05.

References

Atarashi, K., Tanoue, T., Ando, M., Kamada, N., Nagano, Y., Narushima, S., Suda, W., Imaoka, A., Setoyama, H., Nagamori, T., Ishikawa, E., Shima, T., Hara, T., Kado, S., Jinnohara, T., Ohno, H., Kondo, T., Toyooka, K., Watanabe, E., Yokoyama, S., Tokoro, S., Mori, H., Noguchi, Y., Morita, H., Ivanov, I.I., Sugiyama, T., Núñez, G., Camp, J.G., Hattori, M., Umesaki, Y., Honda, K., 2015. Th17 Cell Induction by Adhesion of Microbes to Intestinal Epithelial Cells. Cell 163, 367–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.08.058

Atarashi, K., Tanoue, T., Oshima, K., Suda, W., Nagano, Y., Nishikawa, H., Fukuda, S., Saito, T., Narushima, S., Hase, K., Kim, S., Fritz, J.V., Wilmes, P., Ueha, S., Matsushima, K., Ohno, H., Olle, B., Sakaguchi, S., Taniguchi, T., Morita, H., Hattori, M., Honda, K., 2013. Treg induction by a rationally selected mixture of Clostridia strains from the human microbiota. Nature 500, 232–236. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12331

Bae, M., Cassilly, C.D., Liu, X., Park, S.-M., Tusi, B.K., Chen, X., Kwon, J., Filipčík, P., Bolze, A.S., Liu, Z., Vlamakis, H., Graham, D.B., Buhrlage, S.J., Xavier, R.J., Clardy, J., 2022. Akkermansia muciniphila phospholipid induces homeostatic immune responses. Nature 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04985-7

Barratt, J., Gough, R., Stark, D., Ellis, J., 2016. Bulky Trichomonad Genomes: Encoding a Swiss Army Knife. Trends Parasitol. 32, 783–797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2016.05.014

Belkaid, Y., Hand, T.W., 2014. Role of the Microbiota in Immunity and Inflammation. Cell 157, 121–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.011

Bellali, S., Lagier, J.-C., Million, M., Anani, H., Haddad, G., Francis, R., Yimagou, E.K., Khelaifia, S., Levasseur, A., Raoult, D., Khalil, J.B., 2021. Running after ghosts: are dead bacteria the dark matter of the human gut microbiota? Gut Microbes 13, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1897208

Billy, V., Lhotská, Z., Jirků, M., Kadlecová, O., Frgelecová, L., Parfrey, L.W., Pomajbíková, K.J.,

2021. Blastocystis Colonization Alters the Gut Microbiome and, in Some Cases,

Promotes Faster Recovery From Induced Colitis. Front. Microbiol. 12, 641483.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.641483

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics 30, 2114–2120.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M.R., Bokulich, N.A., Abnet, C.C., Al-Ghalith, G.A., Alexander,

H., Alm, E.J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., Bai, Y., Bisanz, J.E., Bittinger, K., Brejnrod, A.,

Brislawn, C.J., Brown, C.T., Callahan, B.J., Caraballo-Rodríguez, A.M., Chase, J., Cope,

E.K., Silva, R.D., Diener, C., Dorrestein, P.C., Douglas, G.M., Durall, D.M., Duvallet, C.,

Edwardson, C.F., Ernst, M., Estaki, M., Fouquier, J., Gauglitz, J.M., Gibbons, S.M.,

Gibson, D.L., Gonzalez, A., Gorlick, K., Guo, J., Hillmann, B., Holmes, S., Holste, H.,

Huttenhower, C., Huttley, G.A., Janssen, S., Jarmusch, A.K., Jiang, L., Kaehler, B.D.,

Kang, K.B., Keefe, C.R., Keim, P., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koester, I., Kosciolek, T.,

Kreps, J., Langille, M.G.I., Lee, J., Ley, R., Liu, Y.-X., Loftfield, E., Lozupone, C., Maher,

M., Marotz, C., Martin, B.D., McDonald, D., McIver, L.J., Melnik, A.V., Metcalf, J.L.,

Morgan, S.C., Morton, J.T., Naimey, A.T., Navas-Molina, J.A., Nothias, L.F., Orchanian,

S.B., Pearson, T., Peoples, S.L., Petras, D., Preuss, M.L., Pruesse, E., Rasmussen,

L.B., Rivers, A., Robeson, M.S., Rosenthal, P., Segata, N., Shaffer, M., Shiffer, A.,

Sinha, R., Song, S.J., Spear, J.R., Swafford, A.D., Thompson, L.R., Torres, P.J., Trinh,

P., Tripathi, A., Turnbaugh, P.J., Ul-Hasan, S., Hooft, J.J.J. van der, Vargas, F.,

Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Vogtmann, E., Hippel, M. von, Walters, W., Wan, Y., Wang, M.,

Warren, J., Weber, K.C., Williamson, C.H.D., Willis, A.D., Xu, Z.Z., Zaneveld, J.R.,

Zhang, Y., Zhu, Q., Knight, R., Caporaso, J.G., 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable

and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat. Biotechnol. 37, 852–857.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

Brune, A., 2014. Symbiotic digestion of lignocellulose in termite guts. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 12,

168–180. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3182

Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016.

DADA2: High resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat. Methods 13,

581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

Carlton, J.M., Hirt, R.P., Silva, J.C., Delcher, A.L., Schatz, M., Zhao, Q., Wortman, J.R., Bidwell,

S.L., Alsmark, U.C.M., Besteiro, S., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Noel, C.J., Dacks, J.B., Foster,

P.G., Simillion, C., Peer, Y.V.D., Miranda-Saavedra, D., Barton, G.J., Westrop, G.D.,

Müller, S., Dessi, D., Fiori, P.L., Ren, Q., Paulsen, I., Zhang, H., Bastida-Corcuera, F.D.,

Simoes-Barbosa, A., Brown, M.T., Hayes, R.D., Mukherjee, M., Okumura, C.Y.,

Schneider, R., Smith, A.J., Vanacova, S., Villalvazo, M., Haas, B.J., Pertea, M.,

Feldblyum, T.V., Utterback, T.R., Shu, C.L., Osoegawa, K., Jong, P.J.D., Hrdy, I.,

Horvathova, L., Zubacova, Z., Dolezal, P., Malik, S.B., Logsdon, J.M., Henze, K., Gupta,

A., Wang, C.C., Dunne, R.L., Upcroft, J.A., Upcroft, P., White, O., Salzberg, S.L., Tang,

P., Chiu, C.H., Lee, Y.S., Embley, T.M., Coombs, G.H., Mottram, J.C., Tachezy, J.,

Fraser-Liggett, C.M., Johnson, P.J., 2007. Draft genome sequence of the sexually

transmitted pathogen Trichomonas vaginalis. Science 315, 207–212.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132894

Carver, T., Thomson, N., Bleasby, A., Berriman, M., Parkhill, J., 2009. DNAPlotter: circular and

linear interactive genome visualization. Bioinformatics 25, 119–120.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn578

Cepicka, I., Hampl, V., Kulda, J., 2010. Critical Taxonomic Revision of Parabasalids with

Description of one New Genus and three New Species. Protist 161, 400–433.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2009.11.005

Céza, V., Pánek, T., Smejkalová, P., Čepička, I., 2015. Molecular and morphological diversity of

the genus Hypotrichomonas (Parabasalia: Hypotrichomonadida), with descriptions of six

new species. Eur. J. Protistol. 51, 158–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2015.02.003

Chu, H., Mazmanian, S.K., 2013. Innate immune recognition of the microbiota promotes host-

microbial symbiosis. Nat. Immunol. 14, 668–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2635

Chudnovskiy, A., Mortha, A., Kana, V., Belkaid, Y., Grigg, M.E., Correspondence, M.M., 2016.

Host-Protozoan Interactions Protect from Mucosal Infections through Activation of the

Inflammasome. Cell 167, 444-456.e14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.076

Clemente, J.C., Ursell, L.K., Parfrey, L.W., Knight, R., 2012. The Impact of the Gut Microbiota

on Human Health: An Integrative View. Cell 148, 1258–1270.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035

Coyte, K.Z., Rakoff-Nahoum, S., 2019. Understanding Competition and Cooperation within the

Mammalian Gut Microbiome. Curr. Biol. 29, R538–R544.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.04.017

Coyte, K.Z., Schluter, J., Foster, K.R., 2015. The ecology of the microbiome: Networks,

competition, and stability. Science 350, 663–666.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad2602

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J.K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M.O., Whitwham, A.,

Keane, T., McCarthy, S.A., Davies, R.M., Li, H., 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and

BCFtools. GigaScience 10, giab008. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008

Derrien, M., Vaughan, E.E., Plugge, C.M., Vos, W.M. de, 2004. Akkermansia muciniphila gen.

nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Evol.

Microbiol. 54, 1469–1476. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02873-0

Desai, M.S., Seekatz, A.M., Koropatkin, N.M., Kamada, N., Hickey, C.A., Wolter, M., Pudlo,

N.A., Kitamoto, S., Terrapon, N., Muller, A., Young, V.B., Henrissat, B., Wilmes, P.,

Stappenbeck, T.S., Núñez, G., Martens, E.C., 2016. A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut

Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility.

Cell 167, 1339-1353.e21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.043

Earle, K.A., Billings, G., Sigal, M., Lichtman, J.S., Hansson, G.C., Elias, J.E., Amieva, M.R.,

Huang, K.C., Sonnenburg, J.L., 2015. Quantitative Imaging of Gut Microbiota Spatial

Organization. Cell Host Microbe 18, 478–488.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.09.002

Escalante, N.K., Lemire, P., Tleugabulova, M.C., Prescott, D., Mortha, A., Streutker, C.J.,

Girardin, S.E., Philpott, D.J., Mallevaey, T., 2016. The common mouse protozoa

Tritrichomonas muris alters mucosal T cell homeostasis and colitis susceptibility. J. Exp.

Med. 213, 2841–2850. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20161776

Ferreyra, J.A., Wu, K.J., Hryckowian, A.J., Bouley, D.M., Weimer, B.C., Sonnenburg, J.L., 2014.

Gut microbiota-produced succinate promotes C. Difficile infection after antibiotic

treatment or motility disturbance. Cell Host Microbe 16, 770–777.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.11.003

Fischbach, M.A., Sonnenburg, J.L., 2011. Eating for two: How metabolism establishes

interspecies interactions in the gut. Cell Host Microbe 10, 336–347.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.002

Hampl, V., Cepicka, I., Flegr, J., Tachezy, J., Kulda, J., 2007. Morphological and Molecular

Diversity of the Monocercomonadid Genera Monocercomonas, Hexamastix, and

Honigbergiella gen. nov. Protist 158, 365–383.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2007.02.003

Holden, H.M., Rayment, I., Thoden, J.B., 2003. Structure and Function of Enzymes of the Leloir

Pathway for Galactose Metabolism*. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 43885–43888.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.r300025200

Hooper, L.V., Littman, D.R., Macpherson, A.J., 2012. Interactions between the microbiota and

the immune system. Science 336, 1268–1273. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1223490

Howitt, M.R., Lavoie, S., Michaud, M., Blum, A.M., Tran, S.V., Weinstock, J.V., Gallini, C.A.,

Redding, K., Margolskee, R.F., Osborne, L.C., Artis, D., Garrett, W.S., 2016. Tuft cells,

taste-chemosensory cells, orchestrate parasite type 2 immunity in the gut. Science 351,

1329–1333. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf1648

Iraporda, C., Errea, A., Romanin, D.E., Cayet, D., Pereyra, E., Pignataro, O., Sirard, J.C.,

Garrote, G.L., Abraham, A.G., Rumbo, M., 2015. Lactate and short chain fatty acids

produced by microbial fermentation downregulate proinflammatory responses in

intestinal epithelial cells and myeloid cells. Immunobiology 220, 1161–1169.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2015.06.004

Ivanov, I.I., Atarashi, K., Manel, N., Brodie, E.L., Shima, T., Karaoz, U., Wei, D., Goldfarb, K.C.,

Santee, C.A., Lynch, S.V., Tanoue, T., Imaoka, A., Itoh, K., Takeda, K., Umesaki, Y.,

Honda, K., Littman, D.R., 2009. Induction of Intestinal Th17 Cells by Segmented

Filamentous Bacteria. Cell 139, 485–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.033

Kamada, N., Seo, S.U., Chen, G.Y., Núñez, G., 2013. Role of the gut microbiota in immunity

and inflammatory disease. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 13, 321–335.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3430

Karin, E.L., Mirdita, M., Söding, J., 2020. MetaEuk—sensitive, high-throughput gene discovery,

and annotation for large-scale eukaryotic metagenomics. Microbiome 8, 48.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00808-x

Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y., Pevzner, P.A., 2019. Assembly of long, error-prone reads

using repeat graphs. Nat. Biotechnol. 37, 540–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-

0072-8

Langmead, B., Salzberg, S.L., 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods

9, 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

Lee, Y.-S., Kim, T.-Y., Kim, Y., Lee, S.-H., Kim, S., Kang, S.W., Yang, J.-Y., Baek, I.-J., Sung,

Y.H., Park, Y.-Y., Hwang, S.W., O, E., Kim, K.S., Liu, S., Kamada, N., Gao, N., Kweon,

M.-N., 2018. Microbiota-Derived Lactate Accelerates Intestinal Stem-Cell-Mediated

Epithelial Development. Cell Host Microbe 24, 833-846.e6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.11.002

Lemoine, F., Correia, D., Lefort, V., Doppelt-Azeroual, O., Mareuil, F., Cohen-Boulakia, S.,

Gascuel, O., 2019. NGPhylogeny.fr: new generation phylogenetic services for non-

specialists. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, W260–W265. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz303

Levy, M., Thaiss, C.A., Zeevi, D., Dohnalová, L., Zilberman-Schapira, G., Mahdi, J.A., David, E.,

Savidor, A., Korem, T., Herzig, Y., Pevsner-Fischer, M., Shapiro, H., Christ, A.,

Harmelin, A., Halpern, Z., Latz, E., Flavell, R.A., Amit, I., Segal, E., Elinav, E., 2015.

Microbiota-Modulated Metabolites Shape the Intestinal Microenvironment by Regulating

NLRP6 Inflammasome Signaling. Cell 163, 1428–1443.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.048

Li, H., Durbin, R., 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., Shi, W., 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30, 923–930.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

Littman, D.R., Pamer, E.G., 2011. Role of the Commensal Microbiota in Normal and Pathogenic

Host Immune Responses. Cell Host Microbe 10, 311–323.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.004

Lombard, V., Ramulu, H.G., Drula, E., Coutinho, P.M., Henrissat, B., 2014. The carbohydrate-

active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D490–D495.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178

Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-

0550-8

1113 Lukeš, J., Stensvold, C.R., ková, K.J.-P. i, Parfrey, L.W., 2015. Are Human Intestinal

1114   Eukaryotes Beneficial or Commensals? 11, e1005039.

1115   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005039

1116 Lynch, J.B., Sonnenburg, J.L., 2012. Prioritization of a plant polysaccharide over a mucus

1117   carbohydrate is enforced by a Bacteroides hybrid two-component system. Mol.

1118   Microbiol. 85, 478–491. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08123.x

1119 Malik, S.-B., Brochu, C.D., Bilic, I., Yuan, J., Hess, M., Logsdon, J.M., Carlton, J.M., 2011.

1120   Phylogeny of Parasitic Parabasalia and Free-Living Relatives Inferred from Conventional

1121   Markers vs. Rpb1, a Single-Copy Gene. PLoS ONE 6, e20774.

1122   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020774

1123 Manni, M., Berkeley, M.R., Seppey, M., Zdobnov, E.M., 2021. BUSCO: Assessing Genomic

1124   Data Quality and Beyond. Curr. Protoc. 1, e323. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpz1.323

1125 Martens, E.C., Chiang, H.C., Gordon, J.I., 2008. Mucosal glycan foraging enhances fitness and

1126   transmission of a saccharolytic human gut bacterial symbiont. Cell Host Microbe 4, 447–

1127   57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.09.007

1128 Mazmanian, S.K., Round, J.L., Kasper, D.L., 2008. A microbial symbiosis factor prevents

1129   intestinal inflammatory disease. Nature 453, 620–625.

1130   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07008

1131 Meshulam-Simon, G., Behrens, S., Choo, A.D., Spormann, A.M., 2007. Hydrogen Metabolism in

1132   *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 1153–1165.

1133   https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01588-06

1134 Morita, N., Umemoto, E., Fujita, S., Hayashi, A., Kikuta, J., Kimura, I., Haneda, T., Imai, T.,

1135   Inoue, A., Mimuro, H., Maeda, Y., Kayama, H., Okumura, R., Aoki, J., Okada, N., Kida,

1136   T., Ishii, M., Nabeshima, R., Takeda, K., 2019. GPR31-dependent dendrite protrusion of

1137   intestinal CX3CR1+ cells by bacterial metabolites. Nature 566, 110–114.

1138   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0884-1

Morris, C.F., McLean, D., Engleson, J.A., Fuerst, E.P., Burgos, F., Coburn, E., 2012. Some

observations on the granivorous feeding behavior preferences of the house mouse (Mus

musculus L.). mammalia 76, 209–218. https://doi.org/10.1515/mammalia-2011-0121

Muller, M., Mentel, M., Hellemond, J.J. van, Henze, K., Woehle, C., Gould, S.B., Yu, R.Y.,

Giezen, M. van der, Tielens, A.G.M., Martin, W.F., 2012. Biochemistry and Evolution of

Anaerobic Energy Metabolism in Eukaryotes. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 76, 444–495.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.05024-11

Nadjsombati, M.S., McGinty, J.W., Lyons-Cohen, M.R., Jaffe, J.B., DiPeso, L., Schneider, C.,

Miller, C.N., Pollack, J.L., Gowda, G.A.N., Fontana, M.F., Erle, D.J., Anderson, M.S.,

Locksley, R.M., Raftery, D., Moltke, J. von, 2018. Detection of Succinate by Intestinal

Tuft Cells Triggers a Type 2 Innate Immune Circuit. Immunity 49, 33-41.e7.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.06.016

Nayfach, S., Shi, Z.J., Seshadri, R., Pollard, K.S., Kyrpides, N.C., 2019. New insights from

uncultivated genomes of the global human gut microbiome. Nature 568, 505–510.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1058-x

Palmieri, N., Ramires, M. de J., Hess, M., Bilic, I., 2021. Complete genomes of the eukaryotic

poultry parasite Histomonas meleagridis: linking sequence analysis with virulence /

attenuation. BMC Genomics 22, 753. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-08059-2

Parfrey, L.W., Walters, W.A., Knight, R., 2011. Microbial Eukaryotes in the Human Microbiome:

Ecology, Evolution, and Future Directions. Front. Microbiol. 2, 153.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00153

Parfrey, L.W., Walters, W.A., Lauber, C.L., Clemente, J.C., Berg-Lyons, D., Teiling, C., Kodira,

C., Mohiuddin, M., Brunelle, J., Driscoll, M., Fierer, N., Gilbert, J.A., Knight, R., 2014.

Communities of microbial eukaryotes in the mammalian gut within the context of

environmental eukaryotic diversity. Front. Microbiol. 5, 429.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00298

Park, S.-Y., Rao, C., Coyte, K.Z., Kuziel, G.A., Zhang, Y., Huang, W., Franzosa, E.A., Weng, J.-

K., Huttenhower, C., Rakoff-Nahoum, S., 2022. Strain-level fitness in the gut microbiome

is an emergent property of glycans and a single metabolite. Cell 185, 513-529.e21.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.01.002

Patnode, M.L., Beller, Z.W., Han, N.D., Cheng, J., Peters, S.L., Terrapon, N., Henrissat, B.,

Gall, S.L., Saulnier, L., Hayashi, D.K., Meynier, A., Vinoy, S., Giannone, R.J., Hettich,

R.L., Gordon, J.I., 2019. Interspecies Competition Impacts Targeted Manipulation of

Human Gut Bacteria by Fiber-Derived Glycans. Cell 179, 59-73.e13.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.011

Petrin, D., Delgaty, K., Bhatt, R., Garber, G., 1998. Clinical and Microbiological Aspects

ofTrichomonas vaginalis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 11, 300–317.

https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.11.2.300

Porter, Nathan T, Martens, Eric C, 2017. The Critical Roles of Polysaccharides in Gut Microbial

Ecology and Physiology.

Rooks, M.G., Garrett, W.S., 2016. Gut microbiota, metabolites and host immunity. Nat. Publ.

Group 16, 341–352. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.42

Schneeberger, M., Everard, A., Gómez-Valadés, A.G., Matamoros, S., Ramírez, S., Delzenne,

N.M., Gomis, R., Claret, M., Cani, P.D., 2015. Akkermansia muciniphila inversely

correlates with the onset of inflammation, altered adipose tissue metabolism and

metabolic disorders during obesity in mice. Sci. Rep. 5, 16643.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16643

Schneider, C., O'Leary, C.E., Moltke, J. von, Liang, H.E., Ang, Q.Y., Turnbaugh, P.J.,

Radhakrishnan, S., Pellizzon, M., Ma, A., Locksley, R.M., 2018. A Metabolite-Triggered

Tuft Cell-ILC2 Circuit Drives Small Intestinal Remodeling. Cell 174, 271-284.e14.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.014

Segata, N., Izard, J., Waldron, L., Gevers, D., Miropolsky, L., Garrett, W.S., Huttenhower, C.,

2011. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol. 12, R60.

https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60

Smith, P.M., Howitt, M.R., Panikov, N., Michaud, M., Gallini, C.A., Bohlooly-Y, M., Glickman,

J.N., Garrett, W.S., 2013. The Microbial Metabolites, Short-Chain Fatty Acids, Regulate

Colonic Treg Cell Homeostasis. Science 341, 569–573.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241165

Smits, S.A., Leach, J., Sonnenburg, E.D., Gonzalez, C.G., Lichtman, J.S., Reid, G., Knight, R.,

Manjurano, A., Changalucha, J., Elias, J.E., Dominguez-Bello, M.G., Sonnenburg, J.L.,

2017. Seasonal cycling in the gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers of

Tanzania. Science 357, 802–806. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4834

Sonnenburg, E.D., Smits, S.A., Tikhonov, M., Higginbottom, S.K., Sonnenburg, J.L., 2016. Diet-

induced extinctions in the gut microbiota compound over generations. Nature 529, 212–

215. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16504

Sonnenburg, E.D., Sonnenburg, J.L., 2014. Starving our Microbial Self: The Deleterious

Consequences of a Diet Deficient in Microbiota-Accessible Carbohydrates. Cell Metab.

20, 779–786. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2014.07.003

Sonnenburg, E.D., Zheng, H., Joglekar, P., Higginbottom, S.K., Firbank, S.J., Bolam, D.N.,

Sonnenburg, J.L., 2010. Specificity of Polysaccharide Use in Intestinal Bacteroides

Species Determines Diet-Induced Microbiota Alterations. Cell 141, 1241–1252.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.05.005

Sonnenburg, J.L., 2005. Glycan foraging in vivo by an intestine-adapted bacterial symbiont.

Science 307, 1952–1955. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1108397

Stark, D., Barratt, J., Chan, D., Ellis, J.T., 2016. Dientamoeba fragilis, the Neglected

Trichomonad of the Human Bowel. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 29, 553–580.

https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00076-15

Tailford, L.E., Crost, E.H., Kavanaugh, D., Juge, N., 2015. Mucin glycan foraging in the human

gut microbiome. Front. Genet. 6, 81. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00081

Tuncil, Y.E., Xiao, Y., Porter, N.T., Reuhs, B.L., Martens, E.C., Hamaker, B.R., 2017. Reciprocal

Prioritization to Dietary Glycans by Gut Bacteria in a Competitive Environment Promotes

Stable Coexistence. mBio 8, e01068-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01068-17

Walker, B.J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C.A., Zeng,

Q., Wortman, J., Young, S.K., Earl, A.M., 2014. Pilon: An Integrated Tool for

Comprehensive Microbial Variant Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement. PLoS

ONE 9, e112963. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112963

Wardman, J.F., Bains, R.K., Rahfeld, P., Withers, S.G., 2022. Carbohydrate-active enzymes

(CAZymes) in the gut microbiome. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 1–15.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00712-1

Westrop, G.D., Wang, L., Blackburn, G.J., Zhang, T., Zheng, L., Watson, D.G., Coombs, G.H.,

2017. Metabolomic profiling and stable isotope labelling of Trichomonas vaginalis and

Tritrichomonas foetus reveal major differences in amino acid metabolism including the

production of 2-hydroxyisocaproic acid, cystathionine and S-methylcysteine. PLOS ONE

12, e0189072. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189072

Yang, Y.-W., Chen, M.-K., Yang, B.-Y., Huang, X.-J., Zhang, X.-R., He, L.-Q., Zhang, J., Hua,

Z.-C., 2015. Use of 16S rRNA Gene-Targeted Group-Specific Primers for Real-Time

PCR Analysis of Predominant Bacteria in Mouse Feces. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81,

6749–6756. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01906-15

Zhang, H., Yohe, T., Huang, L., Entwistle, S., Wu, P., Yang, Z., Busk, P.K., Xu, Y., Yin, Y.,

2018. dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation.

Nucleic Acids Res. 46, gky418. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky418

Zheng, Y., Paik, D., Yao, L., Kim, E., Trinath, J., Lu, J., Ha, S., Nelson, B.N., Kelly, S.P., Wu, L.,

Zheng, Y., Longman, R.S., Rastinejad, F., Devlin, A.S., Krout, M.R., Fischbach, M.A.,

Littman, D.R., Huh, J.R., 2019. Bile acid metabolites control TH17 and Treg cell

differentiation. Nature 576, 143–148. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1785-z

Zinöcker, M.K., Lindseth, I.A., 2018. The Western Diet–Microbiome-Host Interaction and Its

Role in Metabolic Disease. Nutrients 10, 365. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10030365

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

Supplemental figure legends

Figure S1. Discovery of *T. casperi*, a new species of murine commensal parabasalid, related to Figure 1

(A) Alignment of ITS sequences from *Tmu* and *Tc*. (B) Abundance of *Tmu* and *Tc* in the distal SI, cecum, and colon. Data is plotted as mean and each symbol represents an individual mouse. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test.

Figure S2. *Tmu* dominates tuft cell responses in the distal SI, and colonic responses are not adhesion-driven, related to Figure 2

(A) Tuft cell frequency in the epithelium of the distal SI of mice colonized by each protist individually, or colonized by both species. (B) Protist quantification in mice from (A). (C) Western Blot of *Tmu* and *Tc* lysates stained with the anti-*Tmu* polyclonal antibody. (D) Representative image of a *Tmu* trophozoite (left) and pseudocyst (right). Protists stained with anti-*Tmu* antibody (green), nuclei stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars are 5μm. (E) Representative image of a *Tmu* cell adhered to the colonic epithelium. Scale bar is 10μm. (F) Representative image of a flushed colon from a *Tmu*-colonized mouse. *Tmu* stained with anti-*Tmu* antibody (green), mucus stained with UEA1 (red), nuclei stained with DAPI (blue), epithelium stained with E-Cadherin (white). Scale bar is 150μm. Data is plotted as mean and each symbol represents an individual mouse from three pooled experiments (A). **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test.

Figure S3: Characterization of fermentative metabolic pathways in parabasalids, related to Figure 3

(A) Tuft cell frequency in the epithelium of the distal small intestine, in WT or *Gpr91*^{-/-} mice colonized by each protist, or fed succinate in the drinking water. (B) Quantification of extracellular succinate in the cecal contents of mice colonized with each protist. (C) Genome

assembly statistics for *Tmu* and *Tc* draft genomes. (D) Extracellular SCFA quantification in the

cecal lumen of mice colonized by *Tc*. (E) Succinate (left) and lactate (right) quantification in

culture supernatants of *P. hominis* (class *Trichomonadea*) and *M. colubrorum* (class

Tritrichomonadea). Data is plotted as mean with SD in B, D, and E. The graph in A is plotted as

the mean with each symbol representing an individual mouse pooled from two experiments.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, NS, not significant by Student's T test.

1300

Figure S4. *Tmu* and *Tc* occupy different nutritional niches within the microbiota, related

to Figure 4

(A) Abundance of *Tmu* and (B) *Tc* in the cecal contents of mice fed diets with different fiber

compositions for two weeks. Inulin and cellulose are the only fibers present in the defined chow.

(C) 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing of the stool of mice with or without each protist. Each

column represents the microbiome composition of a single mouse. (D) qPCR Quantification of

total bacterial abundance in the stool of mice from (C). (E) Species richness in the stool of mice

from (C). (F) Linear Discriminant Analysis scores showing microbiome changes associated with

Tmu colonization. OTUs in green have higher abundance with *Tmu* colonization, OTUs in red

have lower abundance with *Tmu* colonization. Data is plotted as mean in (A, B, and D), with

each symbol representing an individual mouse pooled from two experiments. *p<0.05, **p<0.01,

NS, not significant by Student's T test or Mann-Whitney test.

1313

Figure S5. Fiber deprivation causes *Tmu* to switch to a mucolytic metabolism, related to

Figure 5

(A) Serial passaging of *Tmu* in PBF medium. Drops in culture density show when *Tmu* was

subcultured into fresh media. (B) Survival of *Tc* in PBF medium after 24 hours, with maltose or

mucus added as the carbon source. (C) Representative image of *Tmu* in the colon of a mouse

fed cellulose chow and fed antibiotics in the drinking water. *Tmu* is stained with anti-*Tmu*

antibody (green), mucus is stained with UEA1 (red), nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). Scale

bar is 100µm. (D) *Tmu* abundance in the stool of mice after two weeks of feeding on cellulose

chow and different antibiotic treatments. (E) Quantification of total bacterial abundance in the

stool of *Tmu*-colonized mice fed cellulose chow and given different antibiotic treatments in the

drinking water (mice from Figure 5F). Graphs (A and B) depict mean and SD. Each symbol

represents an individual mouse from three pooled experiments (D and E) *p<0.05, **p<0.01,

NS, not significant by Mann-Whitney test.

1327

Figure S6. *Tmu* utilizes specific dietary fibers as a carbon source, Related to Figure 6

(A) *Tmu in vitro* growth curves with different dietary fibers in PBF medium. Dashed lines show

maximum growth over 5 days in PBF medium without a supplemented carbon source. (B)

Succinate quantification in the culture supernatants of *Tmu* cultured with maltose or mucus as a

carbon source. Graphs show mean with SD. **p<0.01, NS, not significant by Student's T test or

Mann-Whitney test.

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

Figure 1

A SSC / FSC — Tmu, Tc

B *T. musculis* (UM, AF, RF); *T. casperi* (RF, UM, AF)

C Protist length (μm) — Tmu, Tc; ****

D Phylogenetic tree:
- Trichomonas_gallinae
- Trichomonas_tenax
- Trichomonas_vaginalis
- Pentatrichomonas_hominis
- Hypotrichomonas_acosta
- Trichomitus_batrachorum
- Monocercomonas_colubrorum
- Tritrichomonas_foetus
- Tritrichomonas_casperi
- Tritrichomonas_musculis

0.02

E %Tuft cells (of total epithelial) — Ctrl, Tmu, Tc; ****, NS

F Dclk1 (Tuft cell), E-cadherin (epithelial), DAPI; Uncolonized, *Tmu*, *Tc*

G %GATA3$^+$ of total CD4$^+$ — Ctrl, Tmu, Tc; ****, ***, ****

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S1

A

```
MF375342/Tcasperi    TTCGTAAAAATTACTACAAACATATCTTTTTTTATAAGTAATAATAACATTTTCATACAA
Tmusculis            TTCGTAAATTTAATCACAAACATATTTTTT--------TAAAAAAAAAATTATCTAAAAT
                     ********  *  * *********  ****        *** ** ** *** **  * *

MF375342/Tcasperi    ACAAA-TAAATAACTAAATTAAAAAAATTAGACCTTAGGCAATGGATGTCTTGGCTTCTT
Tmusculis            ACAAATTAAATAATAAAAACAAAAAATTTAGACCTTAGGCAATGGATGTCTTGGCTTCTT
                     ***** *******   ***  ****** ********************************

MF375342/Tcasperi    ACACGAGGAAGAACGTTGCATAATGCGATAAGCGGCAGGAGTTGCTTTCATTGTGACATG
Tmusculis            ACACGAGGAAGAACGTTGCATAATGCGATAAGCGGCTGGAGTTGCTTACTTTGCGACAAG
                     ************************************ ********** * *** **** *

MF375342/Tcasperi    TTCGATCTTTGAATGCATATTGCGCGTAAGTTTGGGCTTTTGCTCAGACACGCATGTATG
Tmusculis            TTCGATCTTTGAATGCACATTGCGCGCCG--TCGAGCTTCGGCTTTGACACGCATATATG
                     ***************** *******     * * ****  *** ********* ****

MF375342/Tcasperi    ATTCAGTAACCTATAATAATTTAAAGCAAATTCTCTTTTCTTGAAAAAGAGCGAAAAACT
Tmusculis            GTACAGTAACCCATAATAGTTTAAA--AAAATCTCTTTTTACGCAAAAGAGCGAAAATT
                      * ******** ***** ****** *** ********   * ************** *

MF375342/Tcasperi    T---TATAAGCTTTTTAAGAA
Tmusculis            TATATGTAACCAACGTAA---
                     *   * *** *    ***
```

B

Figure S2

Figure S3

A

B

C

	T. musculis	*T. casperi*
Genome length (Mbp)	157.8	64.3
Gene count	45,134	22,632
N_{50} (Mbp)	1.477	1.213
Coverage	56.5	66.5

D

E

Figure S4

Figure S5

Figure S6

A

B