Two sides to every coin: reciprocal introgression line populations in *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Mark G. Sterken[1,*], Lisa van Sluijs[1], Jelle W. van Creij[1], Daniel E. Cook[2,3], Joost A.G. Riksen[1], Katharina Jovic[1], Jasmijn Schouten[1], Maarten Steeghs[1], Yiru A. Wang[4], Jana J. Stastna[4], L. Basten Snoek[1,5], Simon C. Harvey[4], Jan E. Kammenga[1*]

1: Laboratory of Nematology, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB, Wageningen University and Research, The Netherlands.

2: Interdisciplinary Biological Sciences Program, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, United States of America

3: Department of Molecular Biosciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, United States of America.

4: Biomolecular Research Group, School of Human and Life Science, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury CT1 1QU, UK

5: Theoretical Biology and Bioinformatics, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

*corresponding authors (mark.sterken@wur.nl, jan.kammenga@wur.nl)

Keywords: introgression lines, QTL mapping, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, lifespan, heat shock, gene expression, genetic interaction

Authors

-   Name; e-mail; orcid

Mark G. Sterken; mark.sterken@wur.nl ; 0000-0001-7119-6213

Lisa van Sluijs; lisa.vansluijs@wur.nl; 0000-0002-4692-0844

Jelle W. van Creij; jelle.vancreij@hrb.bio

Daniel E. Cook; danielecook@gmail.com; 0000-0003-3347-562X

Joost A.G. Riksen; joost.riksen@wur.nl

Katharina Jovic; katharinajovic@gmail.com; 0000-0002-9145-5953

Jasmijn Schouten; jasmijnschouten@gmail.com

Maarten Steeghs; m.stth@live.nl

Yiru A. Wang; yiru.wang@hotmail.com 0000-0002-6522-9995

Jana J. Stastna; jana.stastna@canterbury.ac.uk; 0000-0002-7731-9779

L. Basten Snoek; l.b.snoek@uu.nl; 0000-0001-5321-2996

Simon C. Harvey; simon.harvey@canterbury.ac.uk; 0000-0002-7504-2227

Jan E. Kammenga; jan.kammenga@wur.nl

Abstract

Quantitative genetics seeks to understand the role of allelic variation in trait differences. Introgression lines (ILs) contain a single genetic locus introgressed into another genetic background, and are one of the most powerful quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping designs. However, albeit useful for QTL discovery, this homogenous background confounds genetic interactions. Here, we created an IL population with N2 segments in a CB4856 background (IL$_{CB4856}$), reciprocal to an N2 background with CB4856 introgressions population (IL$_{N2}$). The IL$_{CB4856}$ panel comprises a population of 145 strains with sequencing confirmed N2 introgressions in a CB4856 background. A core set of 87 strains covering the entire genome was selected. We present three experiments demonstrating the power of the reciprocal IL panels. First, we performed QTL mapping identifying new regions associated with lifespan. Second, the existence of opposite-effect loci regulating heat-stress survival is demonstrated. Third, by combining IL$_{N2}$ and IL$_{CB4856}$ strains, an interacting expression QTL was uncovered. In conclusion, the reciprocal IL panels are a unique and ready-to-use resource to identify, resolve, and refine complex trait architectures in *C. elegans*.

Introduction

In the last decade, many advances have been made in quantitative genetics using *Caenorhabditis elegans*, putting it at the forefront of the study of natural genetic variation (EVANS *et al.* 2021). The most frequently used strains for exploring natural genetic variation in *C. elegans* are called N2 (Bristol) and CB4856 (Hawaii). These two strains differ in 176,543 single nucleotide variants 256,747 insertions-deletions (THOMPSON *et al.* 2015; KIM *et al.* 2019; LEE *et al.* 2021). These polymorphisms also translate to differences in phenotypic traits, many of which were identified using genetic crosses (EVANS *et al.* 2021). Many of these genes were identified using a combination of recombinant inbred lines (RILs) and introgression lines (ILs). These discoveries illustrate how ILs are excellent tools for dissection of complex traits. Importantly, ILs allow exploration of QTL effect sizes in both parental backgrounds. However, only a genome-wide IL panel in a single background exists for *C. elegans* at this time. Therefore we created a second genome-wide IL panel that is reciprocal to the existing IL panel.

Many quantitative genetics studies in *C. elegans* that focus on gene identification start with a two-step approach: first recombinant inbred lines (RILs) are used, followed by introgression lines (ILs) (ANDERSEN AND ROCKMAN 2022). RILs are lines that form a genetic mosaic of both parental genomes, whereas ILs consist of small segments of one parental strain introgressed into the genetic background of the other parental strain. In the RIL-IL approach, first a quantitative trait locus (QTL) is identified using RILs, followed by confirmation and fine mapping using ILs (for examples, see *e.g.*: (KAMMENGA *et al.* 2007; MCGRATH *et al.* 2009; BENDESKY *et al.* 2011; FREZAL *et al.* 2018; ANDERSEN AND ROCKMAN 2022) and for a more complete explanation see {Andersen, 2022 #3}). Although this paradigm is used in many QTL studies where such populations can be established, different approaches do exist such as chromosome-substitution populations or using whole-genome IL populations for trait

mapping (KEURENTJES *et al.* 2007a; SCHMALENBACH *et al.* 2008; DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009;

GLAUSER *et al.* 2011; FLETCHER *et al.* 2013). In studies using whole-genome IL populations,

it has generally been noted that ILs are more sensitive for small-effect QTL compared to RILs

(KEURENTJES *et al.* 2007a; GREEN *et al.* 2013; GLATER *et al.* 2014; BERNSTEIN AND ROCKMAN

2016; EVANS AND ANDERSEN 2020; EVANS *et al.* 2020). The reason ILs are more sensitive

lies in the reduction of genetic diversity which enables direct comparison of isolated genomic

regions against the parent background; genetic variation is isolated to the introgression.

However, whole-genome ILs panels are generally less informative on the exact location of the

QTL, because they contain fewer genetic cross-overs than RIL panels.

One of the persistent observations in quantitative genetics, is the occurrence of

background-dependent QTL-effects. In other words, a locus can produce a different effect in

an IL than in a RIL panel (for a review see {Kammenga, 2017 #276}). In pursuit of causal

alleles/genes it is important to know potential background-effects, as they determine whether

a QTL can be discerned. ILs are suitable for testing background effects. However, in a single-

background introgression line population, the background-effects are by definition entangled.

Therefore, IL populations with two backgrounds (containing introgressions from parent A in

genetic background B and vice versa) would be of great value here. In combination reciprocal

IL populations, loci-background interactions can be explored. Thus, adding a population with

the reciprocal genetic background can further elucidate the genetic architecture of traits, as

has already been demonstrated for specific QTL (*e.g.* (MCGRATH *et al.* 2009; GAERTNER *et*

al. 2012; ANDERSEN *et al.* 2014)).

Here, we constructed a new IL population in *C. elegans* with N2 segments

introgressed into a CB4856 genetic background (IL$_{CB4856}$). This population complements a

previously constructed IL$_{N2}$ population and consists of 145 strains with sequencing-confirmed

introgressions and a core set of 87 strains covering the entire genome. This makes *C. elegans*

the first organism for which a set of reciprocal whole-genome IL panels is available. We

present power simulations for QTL detection and show experimentally that this population

can identify multiple QTL for lifespan (a notoriously complex trait). Furthermore, we

demonstrate that the combination of the IL_{CB4856} and IL_{N2} panels can uncover genetic

interactions with distant loci for heat-stress survival and the expression of the gene *clec-62*.

Together, our research provides a toolset for uncovering and mapping the existence of

complex genetic architectures in *C. elegans*.

Material and methods

Strains and maintenance

The starting strains for introgression line construction were recombinant inbred lines (RILs) with N2 and CB4856 parents, namely: WN001, WN007, WN025, WN068, WN071, and WN110 (Li *et al.* 2006). Strains were kept on NGM plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50 and culturing temperatures used during the crosses were 12°C, 16°C, or 20°C, depending on the desired speed of population growth (Brenner 1974). A subset of 15 of the IL_{CB4856} population – covering parts of chromosomes I and IV – has been published previously (Gao *et al.* 2018; Sterken *et al.* 2021).

Crossing scheme

To generate the IL_{CB4856}, we divided crosses into two stages (**Supplementary table 1**). The first stage was used for most loci, where a RIL male was back-crossed to a CB4856 hermaphrodite to ensure the presence of CB4856 mitochondria in the F1. This step was followed by a second stage cross with CB4856 males to enable the integration of homozygous CB4856 genotypes at the *peel-1 zeel-1* incompatibility locus on chromosome I in the F2 (Seidel *et al.* 2011). The exception were strains with WN001 as a parent, where we wanted to obtain coverage of the *peel-1 zeel-1* locus. For WN001 crosses, the second cross was initially omitted. Subsequently, selected genotyping was conducted in the F3 (4-9 markers; **Supplementary table 2**), screening for strains with most CB4856 loci and absence of the N2 genotype at the *peel-1 zeel-1* locus.

Next, selected strains were inbred and selected further to obtain as many homozygous CB4856 loci as possible (for 5-12 generations). If a desired strain contained more than one N2 locus, further back-crosses with CB4856 males were conducted until only one detectable N2

locus remained in an otherwise CB4856 genotypic background. Finally, the strains were

inbred by transferring single hermaphrodites to new NGM plates for at least 10 generations.

In this way, 154 ILs were created initially. These ILs have been cryopreserved along with the

parental strains. Ultimately, after genotyping by low-coverage whole-genome sequencing we

could verify the introgressions in 145 IL$_{CB4856}$ strains and selected a core set of 87 unique

introgression lines covering the entire genome (**Supplementary table 3**).

Genotyping by fragment length polymorphisms

Initial genotyping (during the crossing of the strains) was PCR-based using primer pairs that

detect insertions-deletion variants between the CB4856 and N2 strains (THOMPSON *et al.*

2015). In total, 41 primer pairs were optimized with a bias for covering loci with a high-

recombination frequency (**Supplementary table 2**) (ROCKMAN AND KRUGLYAK 2009). The

selection criteria for generating the primer pairs were: (i) the deletion occurred in the CB4856

strain, (ii) the deletion is larger than 25 bp and shorter than 150 bp, and (iii) it is not located in

a repetitive region. All primers have been developed with Primer3 (primer3-win-bin-2.3.6) on

the 1000 bp up- and downstream of the deletion (UNTERGASSER *et al.* 2012). Primer3 was

used with standard settings, selecting three primers in the size ranges of: 100-150 bp, 200-250

bp, 300-350 bp, 400-450 bp, 500-550 bp, 600-650 bp, 700-750 bp, and 800-850 bp. The

annealing temperature was selected between $58^{°}$C and $60^{°}$C. The specificities of the primers

were tested using BLAST (ncbi-blast 2.2.28 win64) against WS230 (settings: blastn –

word_size 7 –reward 1 –penalty -3) (ALTSCHUL *et al.* 1990). Only primers with fewer than

five hits were considered for further selection. Final selection of the primers was based on the

presence of a visible amplicon of the expected size after PCR of the N2 and CB4856 strains

(**Supplementary figure 1**) and detection of heterozygous strains.

For genotyping during crossing, DNA was isolated from single adults that had

generated offspring. Nematodes were lysed at 65°C for 30 minutes using a custom lysis buffer

(VERVOORT *et al.* 2012), followed by 5 minutes at 99°C. Genotyping PCRs were performed

with GoTaq using the manufacturers recommendations (Promega, Catalogue No. M3008;

Madison, USA). The annealing temperature was 58°C (30 seconds), with an extension time of

1 minute for 40 cycles. All samples were run on 1.5% agarose gels stained with ethidium

bromide. Ultimately, a low-resolution genetic map was constructed for 154 ILs based on the

insertion-deletion markers.

Sequencing: DNA isolation, library construction, and sequencing

DNA was isolated from all the 154 initially generated IL_{CB4856}. Furthermore, DNA was

isolated from the six parental N2xCB4856 RILs: WN001, WN007, WN025, WN068,

WN071, and WN110. We also sequenced an additional 29 IL_{N2} that were generated

previously (DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009): WN203, WN204, WN206, WN208, WN210, WN213,

WN214, WN218, WN222, WN224, WN231, WN233, WN236, WN237, WN238, WN240,

WN247, WN249, WN253, WN256, WN259, WN261, WN262, WN265, WN269, WN272,

WN282, WN283, and WN285. Furthermore, we sequenced the two parental strains N2 and

CB4856 as reference (**Supplementary table 3**).

The DNA isolation and library construction have been reported on previously (GAO *et*

al. 2018). Shortly, genomic DNA was obtained from populations grown on 9 cm NGM plates.

The Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Catalogue No. 69506; Hilden, Germany) was used

for DNA isolation. DNA was quantified by Qubit. For each sample, a total of 0.75 ng of DNA

was taken as input for the library construction. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina

HiSeq using a 300 cycle kit. Data have been deposited under accession number SRP154243 in

the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra).

Genotype calling using hidden Markov model and construction of the genetic map

The low-coverage sequencing data were used for variant calling using a hidden Markov model, as described previously (COOK *et al.* 2016; COOK AND ANDERSEN 2017). Subsequently, the hidden Markov model genotype calls were filtered for low-coverage areas (<100 supporting calls) and for introgressions less than $1*10^5$ base pairs, which are unlikely to occur often given the crossing scheme, and more likely to be incorrect calls. From the 154 initially created ILs, we could confirm the presence of an introgression in 145 ILs and a core set of 87 ILs was selected. The core set covered as many loci as possible with introgressions with as few multi-introgression ILs as possible. Ultimately, the genetic map of the new population and the additionally sequenced strains were integrated with previously constructed and sequenced RILs (LI *et al.* 2006; THOMPSON *et al.* 2015) IL_{N2} strains (DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009; THOMPSON *et al.* 2015) from the Laboratory of Nematology, Wageningen University. The map was constructed from the identified points of recombination within these populations. The markers describing the populations are adjacent to either side of each point of recombination. This led to a genetic map with 1152 markers covering the recombination events in the whole set of strains (**Supplementary table 4**).

Lifespan experiment

We measured lifespan of the 87 IL_{CB4856} strains in the core set. Each experiment was started by transferring 10 L4 animals to three independent plates per genotype (30 animals per strain in total). The two parental strains were tested on nine plates (90 animals in total). Plates were screened daily until reproduction ended. Animals that showed egg laying deficiencies (also known as 'bagging') and those that were lost from the assay (i.e. that climbed to the sides of the plate and desiccated) were censored from the data. For the IL_{CB4856} strains WN322,

WN342, and WN351, all three replicates did not yield enough observations. For QTL

mapping, we summarized the data to the mean, median, minimum, maximum, and variance in

lifespan. These summarized traits were used in further analyses (**Supplementary table 5**).

Heat-shock survival experiment

We analysed previously published data to identify heat-stress survival QTL in *C. elegans*

(JOVIC *et al.* 2019). The goal of the analysis was to test whether loci on chromosome IV could

be associated with heat-stress survival. The data from Jovic *et al.* consist of survival

measurements in 33 CB4856 x N2 RILs and 71 IL$_{N2}$ strains (**Supplementary table 7**). For

each strain, 32 animals were measured together on one plate on average. These animals were

heat-shocked for four hours at 35°C, 48 hours after age-synchronization of the population by

bleaching (see (JOVIC *et al.* 2019)). We took the data for survival at 72, 96, and 216 hours for

mapping QTL.

As the previously published dataset indicated chromosome IV might be involved in

heat-stress survival, we designed a replicated experiment. We tested 17 IL$_{N2}$, 20 IL$_{CB4856}$, and

the N2 and CB4856 parental strains for heat-shock survival (**Supplementary table 8**). Each

experiment was started by transferring a food-deprived population to a new 9 cm NGM dish,

where the population was allowed to develop for ~60h at 20°C. After that period, the

population consisted of egg-laying adults, from which eggs were isolated by bleaching for

developmental synchronization (day 0) (EMMONS *et al.* 1979). Isolated eggs were grown for

48 h at 20°C. At this time, 20-40 nematodes were transferred to 6 cm NGM plates containing

100 µl FUdR, which inhibits reproduction (HOSONO 1978). Two plates per strains were

generated, one as a control (remaining on 20°C) and one receiving a four hour 35°C heat-

shock immediately after transfer (RODRIGUEZ *et al.* 2012). At 72h, 96h and day 216h of the

experiment, the number of surviving and dead nematodes were counted. After gathering the

data, we only included samples with greater than 10 animals found at the 72 hour observation

(upon heat-shock, animals tend to crawl to the top of the plates where they desiccate). Each

strain was assessed at least three times per treatment, parental lines were tested more

frequently (n = 10 for N2 and n = 12 for CB4856).

Experiment to measure gene expression of clec-62

We used the IL_{CB4856} population to test the QTL for the expression of *clec-62*, for which

multiple QTL were uncovered in a previous study in the IL_{N2} panel (STERKEN *et al.* 2020).

Expression of *clec-62* was measured in a similar setup as in two previous eQTL experiments

(SNOEK *et al.* 2017; STERKEN *et al.* 2020). In short, we collected 48-hour old L4 nematodes of

N2 (6 replicates), CB4856 (6 replicates) and a single replicate for 46 IL_{CB4856} strains, 50 IL_{N2}

strains, and 52 N2xCB4856 RILs grown at $20^{°}C$ (**Supplementary table 9**). The strains were

tested in three experimental batches and each batch contained a randomized selection of

strains and both parental lines in duplicate.

250   The RNA was isolated from these samples using a Maxwell16 LEV Plant RNA kit

using the recommended protocol with one modification, namely adding 20 µL proteinase K to

the lysis step after which the samples were incubated for 10 minutes at 65°C whilst shaking at

900 rpm (STERKEN *et al.* 2014). Some samples were removed from the analysis afterwards

because of low RNA concentrations and/or RNA degradation (confirmed by gel

electrophoresis). Subsequently, cDNA was constructed using a Promega GoScript reverse

transcriptase kit and *clec-62* expression was measured using RT-qPCR. For the RT-qPCR,

two primer pairs were designed for amplification of the two isoforms (A and B) of *clec-62:*

P_MS_CLEC62_A_F (5' CGACACTTCATTCCCCGAGC 3'), P_MS_CLEC62_A_R (5'

TTAAGCTGGAACGGCACCAAC   3'),   P_MS_CLEC62_B_F   (5'

CGCGTTGGTGCCGCTTAAC   3')   and   P_MS_CLEC62_B_R   (5'

GATTGCTGATTGAGGACGGCG 3'). Gene expression of *clec-62* was normalized against

the reference genes *rpl-6* and *Y37E3.8* (STERKEN *et al.* 2014). After the experiment and

quality control of the qPCR data (sufficient amplification of the reference genes {Sterken,

2014 #428}), 5 N2 replicates, 6 CB4856 replicates, 41 IL_{CB4856}, 42 IL_{N2}, and 47 RIL samples

were analysed further.

QTL mapping in the IL populations

We used three approaches for QTL mapping in the IL population(s): (i) to an individual IL,

(ii) to a single genetic background using bin mapping (DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009), and (iii) to two

backgrounds using bin mapping with an interaction term. The mapping to an individual IL

uses a linear model where each IL is compared to the parent genetic background, correlating

trait differences to the introgression region covered by the particular IL. To correlate trait

values to the introgression, the linear model

$$y = I + e$$

where *y* is the trait and *I* is the presence of the introgression was used. Each IL was tested

with this model versus the genetic-background parent (*e.g.*, for an IL_{CB4856} strain versus the

CB4856 strain). The obtained p-values were corrected for multiple testing by using the

p.adjust function in R with the Benjamini-Hochberg method (BENJAMINI AND HOCHBERG

1995).

The single-background bin mapping assumes that a single QTL exists per overlapping

set of introgressions (DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009). This method is useful when all ILs covering a

particular chromosome are tested. Here, the ILs with an introgression at a specific marker

were tested versus the genetic background strain. Similar to the individual introgression, a

linear model

$$y = x_I + e$$

where y is the trait and x_I is the introgression genotype at a given marker is used. For each marker, only the ILs with an introgression are compared to the genetic background parent (*e.g.*, for an IL_{CB4856} strain versus the CB4856 strain). The significance threshold was calculated using a permutation approach with 1,000 permutations to determine whether a significance fell below a pre-set false-discovery rate (q = 0.05).

The two-background bin mapping also assumes that a single QTL exists per overlapping set of introgressions. However, because both parental backgrounds and the derived ILs were considered, the interaction between the two backgrounds can also be solved

$$y = x_I + x_{BG} + x_I \times x_{BG} + e$$

Where y is the trait and x_I is the introgression genotype at a given marker, and x_{BG} is the genetic background of the IL. Also here, for each marker, only the ILs with an introgression are compared to the genetic background parent (*e.g.*, for an IL_{CB4856} strain versus the CB4856 strain). The significance threshold was calculated using a permutation approach with 1,000 permutations to determine whether a significance fell below a pre-set false-discovery rate (q = 0.05).

Using the outcome of the bin mapping models QTL peaks were called using a 1.5 LOD-drop and under the condition that two peaks should be at least $1*10^6$ base pairs apart.

QTL mapping in the RIL population

Mapping in the RIL population was conducted as described previously (GAO *et al.* 2018; STERKEN *et al.* 2021). In short, we fitted the trait data to the linear model

$$y_i = x_{i,j} + e_{i,j}$$

where y is the trait as measured in RIL i, x is the marker of RIL i at location j, and e is the residual variance. The 1152 marker set was used for mapping, and the significance was determined by a permutation approach using 1,000 permutations (q = 0.05).

Power analysis in the IL populations

To determine the statistical power of bin mapping with the IL_{CB4856} and both IL panels, we used an *in silico* simulation approach. The scripts for the simulation can be found in the git archive (see below). QTL mapping was simulated for two scenarios: (i) using only the IL_{CB4856} panel (87 strains), or (ii) using the combined IL_{CB4856} and IL_{N2} panels. To test the power for QTL detection, simulated data was used as input for the analyses. First, we simulated scenarios of a single QTL, varying the amount of variance explained (0.2, 0.25, ..., 0.8), this was simulated by adding normally distributed 'noise' to the dataset. We simulated these QTL per marker in the map (i.e. the QTL was directly located on the marker). We also simulated various levels of replication in the RILs and ILs (2, 3, ..., 15), thus multiple measurements of the same trait that went into the mapping algorithms. QTL were mapped using the methods described in the previous two sections. The outcomes were compared to what was expected based on the simulation (i.e. where the QTL should be found versus where the QTL was actually mapped).

Heritability analysis

The broad-sense heritability (H^2) was calculated as in (BREM AND KRUGLYAK 2005; KEURENTJES *et al.* 2007b; STERKEN *et al.* 2020), where

$$H^2 = \frac{\sigma_F^2 - \sigma_P^2}{\sigma_F^2}$$

here H^2 was the broad-sense heritability, σ^2 is the variance of either the population *F* (RIL, IL_{N2}, or IL_{CB4856}) or the parental strains *P* (N2 and CB4856). Where the variance of the parental populations was the pooled variance and used as an estimate of the measurement error. The significance threshold was determined using a permutation approach with 1,000

330   permutations per trait. A significance of q = 0.01 was taken to compensate for the upper-

331   bound estimation this approach gives.

332   The narrow-sense heritability was calculated using a REML approach as provided by

the "heritability" package (SPEED *et al.* 2012; KRUIJER AND KRUIJER 2014; KRUIJER *et al.*

2015). The significance threshold was determined by 1,000 permutations per trait.

Software, scripts, and data

Data were analysed using R (version 3.4.2, windows x64) in RStudio (version 1.1.383) with

custom written scripts (TEAM 2013; TEAM 2015). The tidyverse packages (version 1.2.1) were

used for organizing and plotting data (WICKHAM 2019). All scrips and data are available at

https://git.wur.nl/published_papers/sterken_2022_cb4856-ils. In particular, the scripts include

a set of functions for QTL mapping and power simulations in the IL populations.

Results

Genetic characteristics of the IL_{CB4856} population

A genome-wide population of introgression lines containing an N2 segment in a CB4856 background was constructed (IL_{CB4856}). This set was created by backcrossing a set of six recombinant inbred lines (LI *et al.* 2006) with the CB4856 strain (**Supplementary table 1; Figure 1A**). During the crosses, the genotypes were monitored using 41 amplification fragment length polymorphism (FLP) markers (**Supplementary table 2; Supplementary figure 1**) and afterwards all 154 selected IL strains were whole-genome sequenced (**Supplementary table 3**). A total of 145 strains with sequence-confirmed N2 introgressions into the CB4856 strain was obtained, of which 99 contained a single N2 introgressed region in an otherwise CB4856 genetic background, 37 ILs contained two N2 introgressed regions, and nine strains contained multiple N2 introgressed regions (**Supplementary table 3**). From this set, a core set of 87 strains was assembled, covering the entire *C. elegans* genome with N2 segments in an otherwise CB4856 genetic background (**Figure 1B**). The median introgression size of this population was 3.13 Mb, with the smallest region spanning less than 0.02 Mb and the largest region spanning 16.09 Mb. Each chromosome was covered by regions found in a minimum of seven ILs (chromosome III) and a maximum of 20 ILs (chromosome IV). In conclusion, this set of strains can be used as resource for QTL exploration in *C. elegans*.

To facilitate integrated analyses using IL populations, we created and tested the power of a combined genetic map of the new population with our previously constructed N2-background IL population (IL_{N2}) (DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009). To complete the IL_{N2} genetic map, we sequenced 29 of the IL_{N2} strains, to supplement the 57 IL_{N2} strains that were sequenced previously (THOMPSON *et al.* 2015). By integrating the IL_{CB486}, IL_{N2} with the genetic map of the Wageningen N2xCB4856 RIL population (LI *et al.* 2006; THOMPSON *et al.* 2015), we

constructed a map with 1152 informative markers, spanning 389 strains (**Supplementary**

table 4). We used this map for power analyses of the core sets of the two IL populations.

With the establishment of an IL$_{CB4856}$ population, we could simulate its ability to detect QTL

when combined with the IL$_{N2}$ population (**Supplementary figure 2; Figure 1C**). Using

simulations, we found that three replicates are sufficient to detect 50% of the interacting QTL

explaining at least 35% of variance. Therefore, this analytical design can effectively uncover

local genetic interactions.

Figure 1: A novel N2>CB4856 introgression line panel and its mapping properties. (A) Schematic overview of the crossing scheme to create IL$_{CB4856}$ strains. N2xCB4856 RIL strains were (back)crossed with CB4856 over several generations to obtain IL$_{CB4856}$ strains with single introgressions. (B) The panel consisting of 87 strains covering the entire genome with N2 introgressions in a CB4856 genetic background. On the x-axis, the physical location is shown in million bases (Mb) split-out per chromosome. On the y-axis, the strains are shown

(no label, each row represents a single strain). The blue colours indicate the CB4856 genotype, and the orange colours indicate the N2 genotype. (C) *In silico* power analysis of the detection of background interactions when combining the IL$_{CB4856}$ with the previously constructed IL$_{N2}$ panel. On the x-axis, the number of replicates per IL is plotted against the percentage of the simulated QTL detected on the y-axis. The colours indicate the amount of variance explained (h^2) per simulated interaction QTL.

QTL mapping in the IL$_{CB4856}$ panel uncovered nine lifespan QTL

To investigate QTL detection using real data in the newly generated IL$_{CB4856}$ strains, we

performed a QTL mapping experiment for lifespan (**Supplementary table 5**). We picked this

trait because it was tested previously in the IL$_{N2}$ population and would allow us to compare

the QTL architectures (DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009). In the previous panel, six QTL for mean

lifespan were detected. When we measured lifespan, we found that the N2 strain lived longer

than the CB4856 strain on average, which was in line with previous results (**Figure 2A**)

(DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009). After bin mapping, we detected 42 QTL for the various summary

statistics mapped, including nine QTL related to mean lifespan (**Supplementary figure 3;**

Supplementary table 6; **Figure 2B**). Of these nine mean-lifespan QTL, three (1, 2, and 7)

were also detected in the IL$_{N2}$ population {Doroszuk, 2009 #12}. For example, the effect

direction of QTL 7 (shorter mean lifespan related to CB4856) was recapitulated in the

IL$_{CB4856}$ population (**Figure 2C**), indicating that we uncovered an additive QTL on the right

side of chromosome IV.

Figure 2: Lifespan analysis in the IL_{CB4856} panel. (**A**) Overview of the mean lifespan over all 87 IL_{CB4856} strains and the N2 and CB4856 parental lines. Each dot represents a plate (n = 10 animals per plate), the box plots are used as visual aids. The colours indicate the genetic background (orange: N2, blue CB4856). Most of the ILs had longer lifespan than the two parental lines. (**B**) The QTL profile for the mean lifespan mapping. On the x-axis, the physical position in million bases (Mb) is shown. On the y-axis, the statistical significance of the association. The dashed horizontal line indicates the FDR = 0.05 threshold (based on 1,000 permutations). The red triangles indicate the peaks that were called, the asterisk indicates that this peak was mapped previously in the IL_{N2} panel (**C**) The trait values under the chromosome IV peak (7), where we found that the ILs with an introgression on the site (N2 genotype) had an increased lifespan compared to the CB4856 parental strain.

QTL fine mapping using the IL_{CB4856} panel identified 18 heat-stress survival QTL on

chromosome IV

The most common usage of ILs is in fine mapping or experimental validation of previously

identified QTL. Typically, once a QTL is detected in a RIL panel, a selected set of ILs can be

used to validate a QTL detected in a mapping experiment (for examples, see *e.g.*:

(KAMMENGA *et al.* 2007; MCGRATH *et al.* 2009; BENDESKY *et al.* 2011; FREZAL *et al.* 2018;

ANDERSEN AND ROCKMAN 2022)). We used a set of ILs that could validate the detected QTL

on chromosome IV in the heat-stress response. Chromosome IV was previously implicated in

fitness (reproduction) after heat shock, and a heat-shock expression QTL hotspot was found

on chromosome IV (RODRIGUEZ *et al.* 2012; SNOEK *et al.* 2017; STERKEN *et al.* 2020). To

further verify the QTL, we performed a QTL analysis on previously published data from a

heat-shock survival experiment in the IL_{N2} panel (**Supplementary table 7**) (JOVIC *et al.*

2019). In this experiment, we found only one chromosome IV QTL associated with heat-

stress survival (**Supplementary figure 4; Supplementary table 6**). We set out to investigate

(i) whether this QTL could be replicated and (ii) whether it was additive or implicated in a

genetic background interaction.

We performed a heat-stress survival experiment on ILs that together covered

chromosome IV: 17 IL_{N2} strains and 20 IL_{CB4856} strains. We measured survival of four hour

exposure to 35ºC at 24, 48, and 168 hours after the start of the exposure (**Supplementary**

table 8; **Figure 3A**). We observed that the ILs typically showed a decreased survival when

compared with the parental lines (**Figure 3B**). When we used these data for bin mapping, 18

heat-stress survival QTL were uncovered on chromosome IV (**Supplementary table 6;**

Figure 3C). One locus, a QTL around 12.6 Mb was associated with a decrease in survival in

both N2 and CB4856 ILs, this was also the QTL we mapped in the previously published data

(**Supplementary figure 4**). Mapping in both IL populations indicated the existence of

opposite-effect QTL in that region (**Figure 3D** and **E**). Therefore, we concluded that the use

of strains from both IL panels is useful to uncover background-effects.

Figure 3: Heat-stress survival in ILs covering chromosome IV. (**A**) Experimental setup of the heat-stress survival experiment. (**B**) The survival one week after 4 hour exposure to a 35°C heat-shock. Each dot represents a plate (n = 20 – 40 animals per plate) and the box-plots are added as visual aide. The colours indicate the genetic background (orange: N2, blue CB4856). (**C**) Overview of all QTL mapped in the IL populations using various types of models (N2 ILs: IL_{N2} bin mapping; CB4856 ILs: IL_{CB4856} bin mapping; 2BG marker: 2-background mapping model variance captured by marker; 2BG interaction: 2-background mapping model variance captured by the interaction marker-background). On the x-axis, the physical position in million bases (Mb) is shown. The colour scale indicates the significance of the association. Only significant associations are shown (FDR = 0.05; based on 1,000 permutations). (**D**) The QTL profile of the 2BG interaction term, the two red triangles indicate the locations of the peaks. On the x-axis, the physical position in million bases (Mb) is shown, on the y-axis the significance. The dashed horizontal line indicates the threshold (FDR = 0.05; based on 1,000 permutations). (**E**) The QTL effects of the right peak from panel (D). Where both parental lines (PL) have a similar survival percentage, the IL_{N2} (CB4856 introgression at the locus) and the IL_{CB4856} (N2 introgression at the locus) display a lower survival percentage.

Whole-genome QTL mapping uncovering an N2-background-dependent QTL for clec-62 gene-expression

One final way in which the IL_{CB4856} panel can be used, is by combining data from different genetic panels, especially the IL_{N2} and N2xCB4856 RIL populations. For this case, we investigated an expression QTL for the gene *clec-62*, which we previously picked up in the IL_{N2} panel (STERKEN *et al.* 2020). Previously, no expression QTL for *clec-62* in N2xCB4856 RILs had been identified (LI *et al.* 2010; ROCKMAN *et al.* 2010; SNOEK *et al.* 2017; STERKEN *et al.* 2017; SNOEK *et al.* 2020). We set out to verify previous QTL detection in the IL_{N2} panel (STERKEN *et al.* 2020), as well as explore the existence of eQTL for *clec-62* in the IL_{CB4856} population.

We measured the expression of the two isoforms of *clec-62* in 47 RILs, 42 IL_{N2} strains, and 41 IL_{CB4856} strains by RT-qPCR (**Figure 4A**; **Supplementary table 9**) and found that *clec-62A* expression was 3.5-fold higher than *clec-62B*. The expression levels of the two isoforms were highly correlated (**Supplementary figure 5A;** Pearson *R = 0.94*; $p < 1^{-15}$) and therefore the analysis was performed using the higher expressed isoform A. We also found a high correlation between the expression in the previous microarray-based experiment on the IL_{N2} population, but not on the RIL population (**Supplementary figure 5B; Supplementary table 10**). This confirmed that we only found a QTL using the ILs. Analysis of broad-sense and narrow-sense heritabilities in the three populations showed there was only significant broad-sense heritability for *clec-62* expression in the IL_{N2} panel (H^2 estimates 0.86 – 0.98; q < 0.01; **Supplementary table 11**). Significant narrow-sense heritability was only found in the microarray data of the IL_{N2} panel ($h^2 = 0.56$; q < 0.01), which is probably due to the higher number of strains included in that experiment. Altogether, we hypothesize that genetic variation in *clec-62* expression can only be found in an N2 genetic background and no QTL

should exist in the RIL and the IL$_{CB4856}$ population. Therefore, the variation in *clec-62*

expression must be explained by background interactions.

QTL mapping of *clec-62* expression indeed identified QTL interacting with the

genetic background. As predicted, no QTL were detected in the RIL and the IL$_{CB4856}$

populations (**Supplementary figure 5C**). However, when mapping using the IL$_{N2}$ panel, a

highly similar QTL profile for *clec-62* expression as measured by RT-qPCR and microarray

was found (**Supplementary figure 5C; Supplementary table 6**). When using the combined

IL$_{N2}$ and IL$_{CB4856}$ panels, we identified two loci with significant interaction effects: one on

chromosome IV and one on chromosome X (**Supplementary table 6; Figure 4B**). These loci

were both associated with a low expression when a CB4856 introgression is present (**Figure**

4C and D). Overall, we conclude that the interaction of CB4856 introgressions with the N2

genetic background drives the *clec-62* expression QTL.

Figure 4: Expression of *clec-62* is due to QTL interacting with the N2 genetic background (**A**) The expression of *clec-62* as measured by qPCR in N2 (n = 5), CB4856 (n = 6), and three inbred panels: RIL (n =47), IL$_{N2}$ (n = 42), and IL$_{CB4856}$ (n = 41). The log$_2$-normalized expression is shown per strain. (**B**) Profile of the significance of the interaction with the genetic background as mapped in the two IL panels. On the x-axis the physical position is

shown in million bases (Mb). On the y-axis the significance of the association is shown (-$\log_{10}(p)$). The dashed horizontal line indicates the FDR = 0.05 threshold. The red triangles indicate QTL-peaks. (**C**) The split-out of the chromosome IV peak from panel (**B**). Where both parental lines (PL) and the IL_{CB4856} population have a similar *clec-62* expression, the IL_{N2} (CB4856 introgression at the locus) has a lower *clec-62* expression. (**D**) As in (**C**), but for the chromosome X peak.

Discussion

Here we presented a novel whole-genome N2>CB4856 IL population in *C. elegans*. This new IL$_{CB4856}$ population is reciprocal to the previous IL$_{N2}$ population (DOROSZUK *et al.* 2009). The construction of the novel panel was facilitated by the availability of the first high-quality CB4856 genome (THOMPSON *et al.* 2015), allowing for the selection of insertions/deletions as genetic markers which could be used during the crossing process. Genotyping by low-coverage whole-genome sequencing provided a detailed genetic map that allows the use of the novel population in various QTL approaches. We present three such approaches in this paper: (i) mapping lifespan using the panel on its own, (ii) further dissection of a previously implicated region in heat-stress resistance, and (iii) exploration of *clec-62* expression regulation using all three available N2xCB4856 populations. These cases show that the IL$_{CB4856}$ panel empowers understanding the role of natural genetic variation in trait regulation, especially the role of genetic interactions.

The IL$_{CB4856}$ panel for use in QTL mapping

The IL$_{CB4856}$ panel is mostly free from large-effect laboratory-derived alleles that segregate in the IL$_{N2}$ panel. As the background of the developed IL population is CB4856, it lacks the N2 laboratory derived alleles, such as *nath-10* (DUVEAU AND FELIX 2012), *glb-5* (MCGRATH *et al.* 2009), and *npr-1* (MCGRATH *et al.* 2009; ANDERSEN *et al.* 2014). Therefore, the new IL$_{CB4856}$ panel may be especially useful if the studied trait might be affected by these pleiotropic and large-effect alleles (STERKEN *et al.* 2015). Therefore, the crossing scheme used to obtain the IL population considered results obtained from quantitative genetics studies in *C. elegans*. The scheme accounted for the *peel-1/zeel-1* locus (SEIDEL *et al.* 2011) leading to marker distribution distortions in N2xCB4856 RIL populations (LI *et al.* 2006; ROCKMAN

AND KRUGLYAK 2009; THOMPSON *et al.* 2015). A double back-cross with CB4856 was used

to remove the N2 *peel-1/zeel-1* allele, which failed to segregate otherwise (data not shown).

One of the main strengths of IL populations in comparison to RIL populations lies in

the detection of small phenotypic effects. RIL populations are hampered by the residual

variance induced by the segregation of multiple QTL (KEURENTJES *et al.* 2007a). In strains

with a homogeneous background all QTL are fixed, except the introgressed locus, reducing

the residual variance (the experimental variation) per QTL to a minimum. Many studies

observed ILs resolve more QTL than RILs (KEURENTJES *et al.* 2007a; GREEN *et al.* 2013;

GLATER *et al.* 2014; BERNSTEIN AND ROCKMAN 2016; EVANS AND ANDERSEN 2020; EVANS *et*

al. 2020) and because the IL_{CB4856} population contains novel breakpoints compared to the

IL_{N2} population QTL in these ILs can now also be pinpointed to a narrower locus. Therefore,

the IL_{CB4856} population will likely be useful for fine mapping complex traits. Furthermore, the

IL_{CB4856} population can serve as a resource for the generation of ILs with even smaller

introgressions (BERNSTEIN AND ROCKMAN 2016).

The compounding benefit of additional mapping populations

We show that reciprocal IL populations can easily identify genetic interaction dependent on

background-effects. As examples, we identified interacting loci for both heat-stress resistance

and *clec-62* expression. The reason that genetic interactions can more easily be identified

when compared to RIL population (BLOOM *et al.* 2015; FORSBERG *et al.* 2017) is that

reciprocal IL populations can place the QTL effect in the context of the genetic background.

There are many genome-wide IL populations, including for barley (SCHMALENBACH *et al.*

2008), *Arabidopsis* (KEURENTJES *et al.* 2007a; FLETCHER *et al.* 2013), tomato (MONFORTE

AND TANKSLEY 2000), maize (SZALMA *et al.* 2007), rice (LI *et al.* 2005), and mice (GALE *et*

al. 2009). However, to our knowledge there are no genome-wide reciprocal IL populations

and until now observations in reciprocal ILs have only been made on a small scale. One

difficulty of mapping interactions in reciprocal IL panels is that the partner locus (hiding in

the genetic background) cannot readily be pinpointed. Still, QTL mapping in the combined

IL_{N2} and IL_{CB4856} panels provides a starting point for investigations into genetic interactions.

From the experimental observations we made, we propose that genetic background

effects are often overlooked in an IL panel with a single background. In such IL panels, the

QTL-background interactions are confounded by definition. In other words: if an interaction

with the genetic background exists and determines the trait levels in the individual

introgression line, it cannot be distinguished from additive QTL. The homogenous

background can lead to different estimations of QTL effect sizes compared to RILs (as

reviewed (MACKAY 2014)). The cause of this effect is due to the frequency of the genotype at

the interacting locus. In an ideal RIL population, the loci are unlinked and therefore both

genotypes affect the main effect at the QTL. However, in ILs, the loci are linked and the QTL

main effects are therefore affected by the background interaction as exemplified by ILs

generated for specific loci (KROYMANN AND MITCHELL-OLDS 2005; MCGRATH *et al.* 2009;

GAERTNER *et al.* 2012). The availability of two reciprocal IL populations therefore enables

QTL dissection on a genome-wide scale.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Robyn Tanny, Erik Andersen, Beatrice Tan, Tatiana Blokhina, Jenifer Sundar, and Myrthe Walhout for technical support. Erik Andersen is also thanked for feedback on the manuscript. MGS was supported by the Graduate School for Production Ecology and Resource Conservation (PE&RC) and by NWO domain Applied and Engineering Sciences VENI grant (17282). LBS was funded by ALW grant 823.01.001 JEK received support from NIH R01 AA026658.

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MGS, LBS, JEK. Constructed the panel: MGS, JWvC, JAGR. Sequencing and genetic map construction: DC. Performed experiments: MS, JS, KJ, YAW, JJS, SCH. Analysed the data: MGS, LvS. Wrote the paper: MGS, LvS. All authors edited and commented on the paper.

Supplementary figures and tables

Supplementary figure 1: Map of the amplification fragment length polymorphisms. For each primer-pair (indicated by the chromosome number and the start location of the deletion in CB4856) the amplicons in N2, CB4856 and the six RILs used for constructing the IL_{CB4856} panel are shown. The 100, 500, and 1000 bp bands of the 1kb+ marker are indicated. Photographs of the gels were stretched to cover the same area.

Supplementary figure 2: Power analysis in the IL_{CB4856} and the combined IL_{N2} and IL_{CB4856} panels. (**A**) Power analysis of bin mapping using the IL_{CB4856} panel core set of 87 ILs. The percentage of simulated QTL detected is plotted versus the number of replicates per IL. The colours indicate the amount of heritable variation simulated in the QTL. (**B**) Power analysis of bin mapping using both the 90 IL_{N2} and 87 IL_{CB4856} core sets. The simulated QTL contained only a marker effect. The percentage detected versus the number of replicates is for the marker-effect in the two-background model.

Supplementary figure 3: A figure of all lifespan QTL mapped. On the y-axis the various summary statistics (maximum, mean, median, and variance) for lifespan are shown. On the x-axis the position of the QTL in million bases (Mb) is shown. The dots indicate the peak of the QTL and the horizontal lines the confidence interval of the peak location. The colours indicate the significance.

Supplementary figure 4: The heat-stress survival QTL mapped in the data obtained from (JOVIC *et al.* 2019). (**A**) the QTL profiles as mapped in the IL_{N2} and the N2xCB4856 RIL population. On the x-axis the physical position is shown in million bases (Mb). On the y-axis the significance of the association is shown ($-\log_{10}(p)$). The dashed horizontal line indicates the FDR = 0.05 threshold. The red triangles indicate QTL-peaks. (**B**) The split-out per genotype at the Chromosome IV QTL at 13.2 Mb. The ILs with the CB4856 introgression at the marker are shown versus the measurements in the N2 strain.

Supplementary figure 5: The *clec-62* expression QTL. (**A**) The expression of *clec-62* isoform A versus isoform B as measured by RT-qPCR in the same strains. The expression has been normalized and $\log_2$-transformed. The Pearson correlation coefficient is shown as well as the significance of correlation. The colours indicate the various strains/panels tested. (**B**) the correlation between the expression of *clec-62* as measured by microarray (x-axis) versus RT-qPCR measured *clec-62* isoform A expression. Each dot represents the expression measured in a strain of either the IL_{N2} panel (orange) or RIL panel (grey). The Pearson

correlation coefficient is shown, as well as the significance of the correlation. (**C**) The QTL profiles of *clec-62* expression. On the x-axis the physical position is shown in million bases (Mb). On the y-axis the significance of the association is shown ($-\log_{10}(p)$). The dashed horizontal line indicates the FDR = 0.05 threshold. The red triangles indicate QTL-peaks. Where qPCR data is shown, *clec-62* isoform A was used for analysis.

Supplementary table 1: Description of the crossing setup and generations underlying each IL.

Supplementary table 2: List with the fragment length polymorphism primers used for initial tracking of segments.

Supplementary table 3: Complete list of genotypes of all the Wageningen Nematology strains of the N2xCB4856 RIL, IL_{N2} and IL_{CB4856} panels.

Supplementary table 4: Integrated genetic map for all the Wageningen Nematology strains of the N2xCB4856 RIL, IL_{N2} and IL_{CB4856} panels.

Supplementary table 5: Data of the lifespan experiment in the IL_{CB4856} panel.

Supplementary table 6: Locations and intervals of all QTL mapped for lifespan, heat-stress survival and *clec-62* expression.

Supplementary table 7: Heat stress survival data from (JOVIC *et al.* 2019) that was analysed.

Supplementary table 8: Heat stress survival data from chromosome IV IL_{N2} and IL_{CB4856} strains.

Supplementary table 9: Expression data of the *clec-62* gene as measured by RT-qPCR.

602 **Supplementary table 10:** Expression data of the *clec-62* gene as measured by microarray,
603 obtained from (SNOEK *et al.* 2017; STERKEN *et al.* 2020).

605 **Supplementary table 11:** Heritability analysis of *clec-62* expression.

References

Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers and D. J. Lipman, 1990 Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403-410.

Andersen, E. C., J. S. Bloom, J. P. Gerke and L. Kruglyak, 2014 A variant in the neuropeptide receptor npr-1 is a major determinant of Caenorhabditis elegans growth and physiology. PLoS Genet 10: e1004156.

Andersen, E. C., and M. V. Rockman, 2022 Natural genetic variation as a tool for discovery in Caenorhabditis nematodes. Genetics 220.

Bendesky, A., M. Tsunozaki, M. V. Rockman, L. Kruglyak and C. I. Bargmann, 2011 Catecholamine receptor polymorphisms affect decision-making in C. elegans. Nature 472: 313-318.

Benjamini, Y., and Y. Hochberg, 1995 Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological) 57: 289-300.

Bernstein, M. R., and M. V. Rockman, 2016 Fine-Scale Crossover Rate Variation on the Caenorhabditis elegans X Chromosome. G3 (Bethesda) 6: 1767-1776.

Bloom, J. S., I. Kotenko, M. J. Sadhu, S. Treusch, F. W. Albert *et al.*, 2015 Genetic interactions contribute less than additive effects to quantitative trait variation in yeast. Nat Commun 6: 8712.

Brem, R. B., and L. Kruglyak, 2005 The landscape of genetic complexity across 5,700 gene expression traits in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 1572-1577.

Brenner, S., 1974 The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77: 71-94.

Cook, D. E., and E. C. Andersen, 2017 VCF-kit: assorted utilities for the variant call format. Bioinformatics 33: 1581-1582.

Cook, D. E., S. Zdraljevic, R. E. Tanny, B. Seo, D. D. Riccardi *et al.*, 2016 The Genetic Basis of Natural Variation in Caenorhabditis elegans Telomere Length. Genetics 204: 371-383.

Doroszuk, A., L. B. Snoek, E. Fradin, J. Riksen and J. Kammenga, 2009 A genome-wide library of CB4856/N2 introgression lines of Caenorhabditis elegans. Nucleic Acids Res 37: e110.

Duveau, F., and M. A. Felix, 2012 Role of pleiotropy in the evolution of a cryptic developmental variation in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Biol 10: e1001230.

Emmons, S. W., M. R. Klass and D. Hirsh, 1979 Analysis of the constancy of DNA sequences during development and evolution of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 76: 1333-1337.

Evans, K. S., and E. C. Andersen, 2020 The Gene scb-1 Underlies Variation in Caenorhabditis elegans Chemotherapeutic Responses. G3 (Bethesda) 10: 2353-2364.

Evans, K. S., M. H. van Wijk, P. T. McGrath, E. C. Andersen and M. G. Sterken, 2021 From QTL to gene: C. elegans facilitates discoveries of the genetic mechanisms underlying natural variation. Trends Genet 37: 933-947.

Evans, K. S., S. Zdraljevic, L. Stevens, K. Collins, R. E. Tanny *et al.*, 2020 Natural variation in the sequestosome-related gene, sqst-5, underlies zinc homeostasis in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Genet 16: e1008986.

Fletcher, R. S., J. L. Mullen, S. Yoder, W. L. Bauerle, G. Reuning *et al.*, 2013 Development of a next-generation NIL library in Arabidopsis thaliana for dissecting complex traits. BMC Genomics 14: 655.

Forsberg, S. K., J. S. Bloom, M. J. Sadhu, L. Kruglyak and O. Carlborg, 2017 Accounting for genetic interactions improves modeling of individual quantitative trait phenotypes in yeast. Nat Genet 49: 497-503.

Frezal, L., E. Demoinet, C. Braendle, E. Miska and M. A. Felix, 2018 Natural Genetic Variation in a Multigenerational Phenotype in C. elegans. Curr Biol 28: 2588-2596 e2588.

Gaertner, B. E., M. D. Parmenter, M. V. Rockman, L. Kruglyak and P. C. Phillips, 2012 More than the sum of its parts: a complex epistatic network underlies natural variation in thermal preference behavior in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 192: 1533-1542.

Gale, G. D., R. D. Yazdi, A. H. Khan, A. J. Lusis, R. C. Davis *et al.*, 2009 A genome-wide panel of congenic mice reveals widespread epistasis of behavior quantitative trait loci. Mol Psychiatry 14: 631-645.

Gao, A. W., M. G. Sterken, J. Uit de Bos, J. van Creij, R. Kamble *et al.*, 2018 Natural genetic variation in C. elegans identified genomic loci controlling metabolite levels. Genome Res 28: 1296-1308.

Glater, E. E., M. V. Rockman and C. I. Bargmann, 2014 Multigenic natural variation underlies Caenorhabditis elegans olfactory preference for the bacterial pathogen Serratia marcescens. G3 (Bethesda) 4: 265-276.

Glauser, D. A., W. C. Chen, R. Agin, B. L. Macinnis, A. B. Hellman *et al.*, 2011 Heat avoidance is regulated by transient receptor potential (TRP) channels and a neuropeptide signaling pathway in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 188: 91-103.

Green, J. W., L. B. Snoek, J. E. Kammenga and S. C. Harvey, 2013 Genetic mapping of variation in dauer larvae development in growing populations of Caenorhabditis elegans. Heredity (Edinb) 111: 306-313.

Hosono, R., 1978 Sterilization and growth inhibition of Caenorhabditis elegans by 5-fluorodeoxyuridine. Exp Gerontol 13: 369-374.

Jovic, K., J. Grilli, M. G. Sterken, B. L. Snoek, J. A. G. Riksen *et al.*, 2019 Transcriptome resilience predicts thermotolerance in Caenorhabditis elegans. BMC Biol 17: 102.

Kammenga, J. E., A. Doroszuk, J. A. Riksen, E. Hazendonk, L. Spiridon *et al.*, 2007 A Caenorhabditis elegans wild type defies the temperature-size rule owing to a single nucleotide polymorphism in tra-3. PLoS Genet 3: e34.

Keurentjes, J. J., L. Bentsink, C. Alonso-Blanco, C. J. Hanhart, H. Blankestijn-De Vries *et al.*, 2007a Development of a near-isogenic line population of Arabidopsis thaliana and comparison of mapping power with a recombinant inbred line population. Genetics 175: 891-905.

Keurentjes, J. J., J. Fu, I. R. Terpstra, J. M. Garcia, G. van den Ackerveken *et al.*, 2007b Regulatory network
construction in Arabidopsis by using genome-wide gene expression quantitative trait loci. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 104: 1708-1713.
Kim, C., J. Kim, S. Kim, D. E. Cook, K. S. Evans *et al.*, 2019 Long-read sequencing reveals intra-species
tolerance of substantial structural variations and new subtelomere formation in C. elegans. Genome
Res 29: 1023-1035.
Kroymann, J., and T. Mitchell-Olds, 2005 Epistasis and balanced polymorphism influencing complex trait
variation. Nature 435: 95-98.
Kruijer, W., M. P. Boer, M. Malosetti, P. J. Flood, B. Engel *et al.*, 2015 Marker-based estimation of heritability in
immortal populations. Genetics 199: 379-398.
Kruijer, W., and M. W. Kruijer, 2014 Package 'heritability'.
Lee, D., S. Zdraljevic, L. Stevens, Y. Wang, R. E. Tanny *et al.*, 2021 Balancing selection maintains hyper-
divergent haplotypes in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Ecol Evol 5: 794-807.
Li, Y., O. A. Alvarez, E. W. Gutteling, M. Tijsterman, J. Fu *et al.*, 2006 Mapping determinants of gene expression
plasticity by genetical genomics in C. elegans. PLoS Genet 2: e222.
Li, Y., R. Breitling, L. B. Snoek, K. J. van der Velde, M. A. Swertz *et al.*, 2010 Global genetic robustness of the
alternative splicing machinery in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 186: 405-410.
Li, Z.-K., B.-Y. Fu, Y.-M. Gao, J.-L. Xu, J. Ali *et al.*, 2005 Genome-wide introgression lines and their use in
genetic and molecular dissection of complex phenotypes in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Plant Molecular
Biology 59: 33-52.
Mackay, T. F., 2014 Epistasis and quantitative traits: using model organisms to study gene-gene interactions.
Nat Rev Genet 15: 22-33.
McGrath, P. T., M. V. Rockman, M. Zimmer, H. Jang, E. Z. Macosko *et al.*, 2009 Quantitative mapping of a
digenic behavioral trait implicates globin variation in C. elegans sensory behaviors. Neuron 61: 692-
699.
Monforte, A. J., and S. D. Tanksley, 2000 Development of a set of near isogenic and backcross recombinant
inbred lines containing most of the Lycopersicon hirsutum genome in a L. esculentum genetic
background: a tool for gene mapping and gene discovery. Genome 43: 803-813.
Rockman, M. V., and L. Kruglyak, 2009 Recombinational landscape and population genomics of Caenorhabditis
elegans. PLoS Genet 5: e1000419.
Rockman, M. V., S. S. Skrovanek and L. Kruglyak, 2010 Selection at linked sites shapes heritable phenotypic
variation in C. elegans. Science 330: 372-376.
Rodriguez, M., L. B. Snoek, J. A. Riksen, R. P. Bevers and J. E. Kammenga, 2012 Genetic variation for stress-
response hormesis in C. elegans lifespan. Exp Gerontol 47: 581-587.
Schmalenbach, I., N. Korber and K. Pillen, 2008 Selecting a set of wild barley introgression lines and
verification of QTL effects for resistance to powdery mildew and leaf rust. Theor Appl Genet 117: 1093-
1106.
Seidel, H. S., M. Ailion, J. Li, A. van Oudenaarden, M. V. Rockman *et al.*, 2011 A novel sperm-delivered toxin
causes late-stage embryo lethality and transmission ratio distortion in C. elegans. PLoS Biol 9:
e1001115.
Snoek, B. L., M. G. Sterken, R. P. J. Bevers, R. J. M. Volkers, A. Van't Hof *et al.*, 2017 Contribution of trans
regulatory eQTL to cryptic genetic variation in C. elegans. BMC Genomics 18: 500.
Snoek, B. L., M. G. Sterken, M. Hartanto, A. J. van Zuilichem, J. E. Kammenga *et al.*, 2020 WormQTL2: an
interactive platform for systems genetics in Caenorhabditis elegans. Database (Oxford) 2020.
Speed, D., G. Hemani, M. R. Johnson and D. J. Balding, 2012 Improved heritability estimation from genome-
wide SNPs. Am J Hum Genet 91: 1011-1021.
Sterken, M. G., R. P. J. Bevers, R. J. M. Volkers, J. A. G. Riksen, J. E. Kammenga *et al.*, 2020 Dissecting the
eQTL Micro-Architecture in Caenorhabditis elegans. Front Genet 11: 501376.
Sterken, M. G., L. B. Snoek, K. J. Bosman, J. Daamen, J. A. Riksen *et al.*, 2014 A heritable antiviral RNAi
response limits Orsay virus infection in Caenorhabditis elegans N2. PLoS One 9: e89760.
Sterken, M. G., L. B. Snoek, J. E. Kammenga and E. C. Andersen, 2015 The laboratory domestication of
Caenorhabditis elegans. Trends Genet 31: 224-231.
Sterken, M. G., L. van Bemmelen van der Plaat, J. A. G. Riksen, M. Rodriguez, T. Schmid *et al.*, 2017 Ras/MAPK
Modifier Loci Revealed by eQTL in Caenorhabditis elegans. G3 (Bethesda) 7: 3185-3193.
Sterken, M. G., L. van Sluijs, Y. A. Wang, W. Ritmahan, M. L. Gultom *et al.*, 2021 Punctuated Loci on
Chromosome IV Determine Natural Variation in Orsay Virus Susceptibility of Caenorhabditis elegans
Strains Bristol N2 and Hawaiian CB4856. J Virol 95.
Szalma, S. J., B. M. Hostert, J. R. Ledeaux, C. W. Stuber and J. B. Holland, 2007 QTL mapping with near-
isogenic lines in maize. Theor Appl Genet 114: 1211-1228.
Team, R., 2015 RStudio: integrated development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA URL http://www. rstudio.
com 42: 84.
Team, R. C., 2013 R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Thompson, O. A., L. B. Snoek, H. Nijveen, M. G. Sterken, R. J. Volkers *et al.*, 2015 Remarkably Divergent
Regions Punctuate the Genome Assembly of the Caenorhabditis elegans Hawaiian Strain CB4856.
Genetics 200: 975-989.
Untergasser, A., I. Cutcutache, T. Koressaar, J. Ye, B. C. Faircloth *et al.*, 2012 Primer3--new capabilities and
interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 40: e115.
Vervoort, M. T., J. A. Vonk, P. J. Mooijman, S. J. Van den Elsen, H. H. Van Megen *et al.*, 2012 SSU ribosomal
DNA-based monitoring of nematode assemblages reveals distinct seasonal fluctuations within
evolutionary heterogeneous feeding guilds. PLoS One 7: e47555.
Wickham, H., 2019 *Advanced r*. CRC press.