Agricultural land use and lake morphology explain substantial variation in

water quality across Canada

Joe R. Sánchez Schacht*[1,5], Paul W. MacKeigan[1,4], Zofia E. Taranu[2,4], Yannick

Huot[3,4], Irene Gregory-Eaves[1,4]

Author affiliations:

[1] *Department of Biology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

[2] *Aquatic Contaminants Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada,*

Montreal, Quebec, Canada

[3] *Département de géomatique appliquée, Université de Sherbrooke, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

[4] *Group for Interuniversity Research in Limnology and aquatic environment, Montreal, Quebec,*

Canada

[5] *Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University Macdonald Campus, Sainte-*

Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada

* Corresponding author correspondence details: Joe R. Sánchez Schacht, Department of Natural

Resource Sciences, McGill University Macdonald-Stewart Building, 21 111 Lakeshore Road,

Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada, H9X 3V9. Email:

joe.sanchezschacht@mail.mcgill.ca.

Abstract

Despite decades of research and mitigation efforts, declines in freshwater quality resulting from

anthropogenic nutrient input remain a persistent issue worldwide. Canada has the greatest

number of freshwater lakes in the world, yet we have a limited understanding of the magnitude

and scale at which most lakes have been affected by human activities, namely Land Use/Land

Cover (LULC) alterations. In response, the NSERC Canadian Lake Pulse Network has compiled

the first nationwide systematic database of lake quality metrics by surveying 664 lakes across 12

ecozones over three years. To assess the influence of catchment development on water quality

and its spatial variation, we built models quantifying the association between watershed LULC

and water quality. We found that agricultural and urban land use explained the greatest

proportion of variation in water quality among LULC categories ($R^2 = 0.20$–0.29). Overall, our

study highlights that drivers of water quality are similar across regions; however, baseline

conditions vary, so freshwater ecosystem management strategies must consider their geographic

context to better predict where water quality thresholds will be surpassed.

45   **Keywords**

46   **Agriculture; Canada; eutrophication; lake; urban; water quality**.

Introduction

Despite decades of research into the drivers of lake water quality and evolving land management strategies, cultural eutrophication remains one of the leading threats to freshwater ecosystems across the globe (Carpenter et al., 1998; Beusen et al., 2016; Reid et al., 2019). Influxes of limiting nutrients, specifically nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), from point sources (i.e., sewage effluent and/or factory discharges) are widely recognized as important contributors to the eutrophication of freshwater ecosystems. Often, the diversion of these point-source nutrients leads to improvements in water quality (Jeppesen et al., 2005; Kronvang et al., 2005). However, mitigation of point sources does not always result in reduced nutrient and algal biomass concentrations in lakes, even in cases where combined (N and P) nutrient loading reduction programs have been applied to lakes and their catchments (Özkundakci et al., 2011; McCrackin et al., 2017). Nonpoint, or diffuse, sources of nutrient inputs remain the main cause of eutrophication worldwide (Reid et al., 2019) partly due to the difficulties in quantifying and regulating them: fluxes can vary temporally (Medalie et al., 2012) and spatially (Lencha et al., 2022) and are often regulated by management strategies with conflicting purposes such as food production and natural resource protection (Wunderlich and Martinez, 2018). Furthermore, annual inputs of N and P from agricultural activities often exceed the removal by harvested crops (MacDonald et al., 2011; Spiess, 2011), leading to a surplus of highly mobile nutrients in soils (GOC, 2016) that can leach into downstream water bodies (Cassman and Dobermann, 2022). The accumulation of soil P and N can result in legacy loading that can take decades to deplete (Grimvall et al., 2000; Van Meter et al., 2016).

LULC in watersheds have previously been linked to variation in lake water quality across the

world. More specifically, agriculture and urbanization have been linked to poorer water quality

(i.e., eu- or hyper-eutrophic status, increases in Chl-*a*, TP, TN, ions, conductivity, DOC;

decrease in Secchi depth) compared to natural landscapes (Taranu and Gregory-Eaves, 2008;

Nielsen et al., 2012; Read et al., 2015; de Mello et al., 2020), primarily through higher

concentrations of limiting nutrients and their symptomatic cyanobacterial blooms (Doubek et al.,

2015; Almanaza et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the relationship between watershed LULC and lake

water quality varies significantly over time and space (de Mello et al., 2020) and is often

modulated by factors such as precipitation and temperature (Collins et al., 2019), flow regime

(Mbonde et al., 2015; Abirhire et al., 2016), lake morphology (i.e., area, depth, altitude; Taranu

and Gregory-Eaves, 2008; Read et al., 2015), and bedrock geology (Dillon and Kirchner, 1975;

Griffith, 2014). Therefore, studies at a large spatial scale must strive to disentangle the regional

and local drivers of water quality. Ultimately, quantifying the effect of nonpoint nutrient loading

on water quality across different Canadian ecozones will be instrumental in helping us predict

which regions will be more susceptible to lake eutrophication in the event of future LULC

modifications.

Our overarching goal is to provide a more robust understanding of how freshwater ecosystems

respond to varying levels of anthropogenic stress in a heterogeneous and expansive landscape.

By using water physiochemistry and LULC data from 664 Canadian study lakes and watersheds

from the NSERC Canadian LakePulse national database (i.e., hereafter referred to as LakePulse),

we quantified the spatial variation in water quality (mainly TP, TN, major ion, and Chl-*a*

concentrations) among lakes as explained by watershed land use. We tested the hypothesis that

the impact of LULC on lake water quality varies across ecozones due to differences in lake and

watershed morphology, vegetation, climate, and geology. We applied both linear and nonlinear

models to quantify the variation in water quality explained by various LULC categories,

including known stressors such as agriculture and urbanization, across ecozones while also

considering lake and watershed morphology (altitude, circularity, area, depth, and shoreline

slope). Furthermore, we determined thresholds of agricultural and urban land use that were

correlated with significantly poorer water quality parameters.

Materials and methods

Lake data

Over the span of three summers (June to September) from 2017 to 2019, Lake Pulse teams

sampled 664 lakes across all ten Canadian provinces and two territories (Yukon and Northwest

Territories). Approximately 600 lakes were in the nine southernmost ecozones (Pacific Maritime,

Montane Cordillera, Semi-Arid Plateaux, Prairies, Boreal Plains, Boreal Shield, Mixedwood

Plains, Atlantic Maritime, and Atlantic Highland; Figure 1), while the remaining lakes were

situated in three northern, and more remote ecozones (Taiga Plains, Boreal Cordillera, and Taiga

Cordillera). Target lakes were within 1 km from a road and selected using a random stratified

design, controlling for three levels of human impact (low, medium, high; calculated from

watershed LULC categories) and three lake sizes (0.1–0.5, 0.5–5, 5–100 km^2; see Huot et al.,

2019). In a few cases, randomly selected lakes were replaced with lakes in the same size and

human impact categories because they had associated historical data or because they were

important to certain LakePulse partners.

From the deepest point of each lake, survey teams recorded dozens of physical and chemical

variables (Table 1). Water quality variables included Secchi depth, dissolved hypolimnetic

oxygen, specific conductance, magnesium, potassium, sodium, sulfate, soluble reactive P, total

P, total N, Chlorophyll *a*, dissolved organic carbon, and dissolved inorganic carbon. Samples for

most water quality variables were taken from the photic zone (2x Secchi depth up to 2 meters),

and additional variables physico-chemical (e.g., temperature, specific conductance) were

measured across the full water column. In an effort to standardize lake assessment and variable

collection protocols across North America, the sampling process was modelled after the NLA in

the United States. For full details of the field protocol, see the NSERC Canadian Lake Pulse

Network Field Manual (2021). For the purposes of this study, lakes were categorized by their

trophic status (oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic and hypereutrophic) using TP thresholds

(Wetzel 2001).

Watershed LULC and morphological data

LULC information for each lake's watershed was obtained from a variety of sources, including

the Annual Space-Based Crop Inventory for Canada 2016 (GOC, 2017) and the Land Use 2010

database (GOC, 2015a), and analyzed using geomatic software (see Huot et al., 2019). Each

lake's respective watershed was delineated to a 30 m^2 pixel size. Watershed delineation used

flow directions calculated with the Canadian Digital elevation Model (GOC, 2015b). LULC type

(Agriculture, Pasture, Urban, Forestry, Mines, Natural landscapes, and Upland water) was

extracted for each pixel from various public databases and an aggregate watershed human impact

was derived based on these LULC data (see Huot et al., 2019 for details). A human impact index

was calculated by assigning relative scores to each form of LULC (see Supplemental Table S1)

and represents the average value across all pixels in the watershed. Morphometric variables were

measured for a subset of lakes and watersheds, four of which were selected *a priori* as covariates

in our statistical models: lake altitude, circularity, dynamic ratio (estimated by taking the square

root of the lake area:mean depth ratio; Håkanson, 1982; Ferland et al., 2012), and the slope of a

100 m land buffer around the shoreline. Missing data was replaced with the corresponding

ecozone median.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using R v4.0 software (R Development Core Team,

2020). Since several of our analyses assumed a normal data distribution, we examined the

distribution of each response variable using the Shapiro-Wilks test combined with a visual

inspection of histograms. For all variables that did not meet the test's normality assumption ($p >$

0.05), we applied a Box Cox (Borcard et al., 2018), logarithmic, or square root transformation.

The Box Cox transformations were computed with the R package *geoR* (Ribeiro et al., 2020).

The transformed variables were then evaluated again with the Shapiro-Wilks test and a

histogram inspection, and the transformation that was closest to being normal was kept (specific

transformations, where appropriate, are included in Table 1). To improve model fit, the same test

for normality and transformation exercise were applied to the LULC variables, for which we

additionally attempted an arcsine transformation. For the principal components, redundancy, and

variation partitioning analyses, LULC variables with zero values were offset by adding 0.00001

when Box Cox or logarithmic transformations were applied. However, the raw LULC values

were used for the multivariate regression tree (MRT), cascade multivariate regression tree

(cMRT), and generalized additive models (GAMs). We found no significant collinearity (>0.7

Pearson correlation or >10 VIF) among the predictors. However, watershed proportions of

natural landscapes and mines were omitted as predictors to prevent redundancy and convergence

issues in our models.

[Table 1 here]

To visualize the correlations among lake water quality variables, and proximities in ordination

space among ecozones, we conducted a principal component analysis (PCA) using the *rda*

function of the R package *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2019). All response variables were transformed

(Table 1), then standardized (scaled to zero mean and unit variance) prior to performing the

PCA. LULC variables were fitted passively on the PCA to illustrate their association with water

quality parameters. We used the broken-stick method (Jackson, 1993) to determine the PC axes

that accounted for a significant portion of the variation observed. Since most of the variation was

explained by PC axis 1, we applied an analysis of variance (ANOVA) approach to quantify the

observed variability in axis scores between ecozones and post-hoc Tukey's tests to determine

significant differences.

With the goal of exploring the relationships between different LULC and water quality

parameters, we then performed a multivariate canonical ordination (i.e., a redundancy analysis

[RDA]) using the *rda* function of the R package *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2019). We set LULC

proportions as predictors and water quality measurements as response variables. RDAs assume

linear relationships between explanatory and response variables. Therefore, the RDA was

performed using the transformed variables (Table 1). All response variables were then

standardized (scaled to zero mean and unit variance) prior to performing RDA and significant

predictors were selected using forward selection (Legendre and Legendre, 2012). The omitted

LULC variables (Natural landscapes and Mines) were also fitted passively on the RDA to

illustrate their association with water quality parameters. Since most of the variation was

explained by RDA axis 1, we used the same ANOVA and Tukey's tests approach as for the PCA

to quantify variation among ecozones.

To disentangle the added influence of lake and watershed morphology on these relationships, we

performed a variation partitioning using the *varpart* function of the R package *vegan* (Oksanen et

al., 2019). Here, we considered the unique vs. combined proportion of variation in lake water

quality that LULC (all categories) and morphology explained, where altitude, lake circularity,

shoreline slope, and the dynamic ratio were bundled into the morphology metric.

Finally, to examine potential nonlinear relationships that were not captured by the linear RDA,

we first investigated the direct relationships between the raw proportion of agriculture in the

watershed and three commonly used indicators of water quality (Chl-*a*, TP, and TN; all log

transformed for interpretability) using generalized additive models (GAMs). We chose GAMs

due to the hypothesized non-linearity of these relationships in ecozones with either low or high

human impact. We also performed a multivariate regression tree (MRT) using the R package

mvpart (Therneau and Atkinson, 2014) to test for threshold responses (Ouelette and Legendre,

2013) using all response variables (transformed and standardized environmental data). We used

the cross-validation relative error (CVRE), the ratio of the variation unexplained by the model to

the total variation in the response, to select the most parsimonious tree (i.e., the tree with the

least number of nodes whose CVRE value was within one standard error of the tree with the

lowest CVRE; De'Ath, 2009). As an alternative way to calculate specific threshold LULC

proportions, we also applied a cascade MRT (Ouellette et al., 2012), which permitted us to

impose a nested structure on the analysis and partition the explanatory power of two independent

datasets, a 664×7 matrix of raw LULC percentages and a 664×1 ecozone vector, to identify

regional variability in the relationship between LULC and water quality (see Supplemental

Figure S5). Essentially, the model computes trees in the following order: (1) it initially separates

all sites according to ecozone, highlighting significant geographic discrepancies in lake water

quality; and (2) it then, for each branch (i.e., group of ecozones), uses the environmental data to

further separate sites with respect to LULC proportions.

Results

Local to regional variation in water quality, lake morphology, and LULC

We found significant variation in lake morphology and LULC among ecozones. For example,

the proportion of agriculture in a given lake's watershed was greatest in the Prairie, Boreal Plains

and MixedWood Plains ecozones (Supplemental Figure S1). Likewise, we detected substantial

differences in altitude among ecozones (Supplemental Figure S2). Given this heterogeneity in

environmental conditions across the country, it is not surprising that we also found substantial

variation in water quality metrics (Supplemental Figure S3).

To summarize the variation in lake water chemistry data, we conducted a PCA and found that PC

axis 1 (PC1) explained 51.2% of the variance. Ions (Na^+, K^+, Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, and SO_4^{2-}), specific

conductance (SC), and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) had large loadings on PC1 and were

associated with watersheds with more urban development and agriculture (Figure 2a). TN, TP,

DOC, soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), and Chl-*a* also loaded strongly on PC1, but were

associated with higher proportions of agriculture and pasture (Figure 2a). PC2 distinguished sites

with a higher proportion of natural landscapes that had lower TP, TN, DOC and DIC but greater

Secchi disk depths (Figure 2a). Sites in the Prairies and Boreal Plains (negative PC1 loadings),

tended to differ from sites in the Mixedwood Plains or the Atlantic and Pacific Maritimes

(tendency for positive PC1 loadings; Figure 2b). This difference was supported by a one-way

ANOVA which showed that lakes within the Prairies and Boreal Plains ecozones had

significantly different PC1 loadings than all other ecozones, distinguishing them from lakes

across the rest of Canada ($F_{(11, 652)} = 103.5$, $p < 0.001$; Tukey HSD: $p < 0.05$; Figure 2c).

[Figure 2 here]

Agriculture and pasture explain the most variation in water quality

The redundancy analyses with LULC proportions as the explanatory variables revealed similar

gradients as the PCA, with an important difference: the resulting vectors for agriculture and

urbanization were orthogonal in the RDA. The analysis ordinated the various sites into three

significant axes that explain 23.7% of the variance. Axes 1, 2 and 3 explained 21.1%, 1.9% and

0.7% of the variance, respectively. The proportion of agriculture or pasture were found to have

strong loadings on RDA axis 1, and were correlated with TN, TP, DIC, DOC, SRP, Chl-*a*, all ion

concentrations, and hypolimnetic oxygen (DO), whereas Secchi disk depth had a negative RDA

axis 1 loading and was associated with forestry activity and natural landscapes (Figure 3a). In

contrast, urbanization was positively associated with RDA axis 2 and correlated with higher

epilimnion temperatures and sodium, but lower hypolimnetic oxygen concentrations (Figure 3a).

The one-way ANOVA of RDA 1 scores revealed that sites in the Prairies and Boreal Plains,

strongly associated with agriculture and pasture, differed significantly from other ecozones ($F_{(11,}$

$_{652)} = 99.4$, $p < 0.001$; Tukey HSD: $p < 0.05$; Figure 3b).

[Figure 3 here]

The variation partitioning including altitude, lake circularity, shoreline slope, and the dynamic

ratio as covariables describing lake and watershed morphology further emphasized the

importance of LULC as a predictor of lake water quality. LULC uniquely explained a total 15%

of the variation in water quality, morphology uniquely explained 11%, while 9% of the variation

was co-explained by both groups of variables (Figure S4).

Variable thresholds and plateau responses in the Canadian Prairies

GAMs showed that agricultural land use had varying effects on lake water quality indicators

(Chl-*a*, TP, and TN concentrations) depending on ecozone (i.e., local bedrock geology, lake and

watershed morphology). Mean values for TP and TN in watersheds with little to no agriculture

(intercepts) were relatively higher for the Prairies than for the Mixedwood Plains and Boreal

Shield ecozones (Figure 4), and the response to greater proportions of agriculture in the

watershed tended to plateau in the Prairies and Boreal Plains relative to trends observed in other

ecozones.

[Figure 4 here]

The multivariate regression tree analysis identified threshold values for agriculture and

urbanization where we could expect pronounced changes in lake water quality across the country

(Figure 5). In particular, the presence of agriculture (>0.1% of the watershed) was identified as

the most important predictor of water quality, whereby sites with minimal agriculture and

urbanization had higher than average (i.e., the baseline within each branch of the MRT) Secchi

depths, lower than average concentrations of ions, nutrients, and lower epilimnetic temperatures

(Figure 5). Among sites with no to very little agriculture, lake watersheds with some urban land

use (i.e., >1.3%) had ions, nutrients and water temperatures that were closer to the national

average (Figure 5). Water quality in sites with moderate agriculture (i.e., between 0.2% and

36%) was similar to that of sites with some urban land use, albeit with slightly higher than

average values (Figure 5). Sites with substantial agriculture (i.e., >36%) had significantly higher

than average ion and nutrient concentrations while Secchi depths were considerably lower than

average (Figure 5).

[Figure 5 here]

The cascade regression tree (cMRT), which was performed to consider potential regional

differences, clearly identified the difference in lakes of the Prairie ecozone from other regions.

The cMRT also increased the proportion of variation explained slightly relative to the MRT (R^2

= 0.29; Figure S5), but identified similar thresholds in land use proportions. In the Prairies, water

quality varied slightly by the presence of agriculture in the watershed, since catchments with

even modest agricultural land (i.e., >0.2%) had ion and nutrient concentrations above the

national average (Figure S5). In other ecozones, water quality parameters only reached above

average values when the proportion of agricultural land surpassed 0.8%, although lakes in

watersheds with proportions between 0.8% and 29.7% remained relatively close to the national

average. Additionally, water quality in catchments with less than 0.8% agriculture varied by their

proportion of urbanization, with sites over 6.6% urban land showing higher epilimnetic

temperatures and specific conductance, but lower hypolimnetic oxygen compared to the national

average as well as their less urbanized counterparts (Figure S5).

Discussion

Our analyses of 664 lakes from twelve ecozones, spread across a total study area of 412 052 km^2,

revealed considerable variation in lake water quality parameters across the nation's ecozones,

with the Canadian Prairies showing significantly different lake water quality compared to the rest

of Canada. The higher proportions of agriculture and pasture in the Prairies suggest an important

link (Figures 2 and 3), although it is also clear that other factors are at play given the heightened

baseline nutrient values for this ecozone (Figure 4). Both linear and nonlinear multivariate

regression methods identified agriculture and urbanization as the main land use drivers of lake

water quality across a wide geographic gradient (Figures 3, 5 and S2). Variation partitioning with

lake and watershed morphology factors as covariates demonstrated that this relationship was

modulated by geological and topographical factors, some of which were inherently correlated

with LULC (Figure S4). Multivariate regression tree models also identified the thresholds at

which agricultural and urban land use are associated with poorer water quality, and highlighted

differences between the Prairies and other ecozones (Figures 5 and S2). Furthermore, we found

that nonlinear models explain more variation in water quality than linear models, which

underscores the importance of further examining ecological causes for nonlinearity in the

relationship between LULC and water quality metrics.

LULC, morphology and lake water quality vary among Canadian ecozones

We observed that most lakes in the Prairies, and at least some in the Boreal Plains, had

significantly different water quality values relative to the rest of the country. Specifically, lakes

in the Prairies and Boreal Plains had higher than average concentrations of TP, TN, major ions,

dissolved carbon and algal biomass (inferred from Chl-*a*), and lower than average Secchi depths

and hypolimnetic oxygen, all of which contributed to substantial variation in the national dataset

(Figures 2b and 2c). These marked discrepancies in water quality for the Prairies and Boreal

Plains ecozones are likely the result of a higher local prevalence of agriculture and a difference

in baseline nutrient conditions (Prairies TP_{median} = 204.1 µg/L, Canada TP_{median} = 18.2 µg/L;

Figures 4 and S3; Taranu and Gregory-Eaves 2008). There are multiple factors that might

contribute to this regional discrepancy in lake responses to LULC development. For one, the

region's flat catchment topography (Last and Ginn, 2005; Bharath and Elshorbagy, 2018),

naturally nutrient-rich geology (Taranu and Gregory-Eaves, 2008; Griffiths et al., 2022) and its

changing hydrology (i.e., declining river flows due to a reduction in yearly persistence and

maximum depth of local snowpacks; Schindler and Donahue, 2006; see Ireson et al., 2015 for a

general overview of the region's shifting hydrology) may further modulate the effect of

agricultural development and urban expansion (Leavitt et al., 2006; Spence et al., 2019). Indeed,

the combined effects of increasing agricultural and urban development and the Prairie region's

flat, nutrient-rich landscape on lake water quality were supported by our variation partitioning

analysis, where LULC explained a considerable amount of the variation (15%) in water quality

alongside lake and watershed morphology (11%), and their interaction (9%; Figure S1). There is

also a well-known tendency for eutrophication to occur in shallow lakes set in flat catchments,

and this, coupled with the natural productivity of the Prairies, likely modulated the relationship

between LULC and lake water quality. Consistent with these findings, we found that TP, TN,

and DOC were higher than the national average, and that DO was lower than average in the

LakePulse Prairie sites (Figure S3). In addition, climatic variability (e.g., Chinook winds locally

and droughts regionally; see Lemmen et al., 1997) has been shown to profoundly affect

continental lakes (Schindler and Donahue, 2006), leading to variations in water quality across

different spatial and temporal scales (Pham et al., 2009). However, Vogt et al. (2018) have also

shown that internal lake characteristics (i.e., limiting nutrients, oxygen content, DOC) can have

an even larger influence on the water quality of lakes in this region relative to that of climate and

meteorology.

There is a growing body of evidence that has identified that long-term anthropogenic impacts

may have disproportionately altered the productivity and water physiochemistry of Prairie lakes

relative to other ecozones, leading to the present-day dichotomy in baseline nutrient levels and

water quality. For example, time-series analyses revealed widespread increases in algal biomass

since pre-industrial times (Taranu et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2019; Griffiths et al., 2022), and

indicate that in highly developed and economically important ecozones, such as the Prairies and

the Mixedwood Plains, these trends have accentuated. Furthermore, analyses of bioindicators

preserved in lake sediment records have shown that the highest degree of taxonomic turnover

since pre-industrial conditions have been localized in ecozones hosting intense land uses, with

agriculture and urbanization being key stressors to those lakes (Griffiths et al., 2021; Griffiths et

al., 2022).

Land use thresholds for lake water quality differ among ecozones

With nonlinear modelling methods, we identified land use thresholds that lead to significant

changes in water quality. The initial branch of both the MRT and the cMRT separated sites

according to whether agriculture was present in the watershed, suggesting that agricultural land

use was the main determinant of water quality across all sites. Interestingly, nested analyses

suggested that subsequent inflection points differed between the Prairies sites and the rest of

Canada. The MRT and cMRT both identified an agricultural threshold of 30–35% after which a

large decrease in water quality was observed (i.e., increase in limiting nutrients, ions, carbon, and

algal biomass; Figures 5 and S5). Water quality across all sites was poorer when even a minute

proportion of the catchment was devoted to agricultural activities (0.2% in the Prairies, 0.8% in

the rest of Canada; Figure S5). However, the differences in water quality were much more

pronounced after surpassing the 29.7% agriculture threshold across Canada, relative to exceeding

the 0.2% threshold in the Prairies ecozone (Figure S5), suggesting a lessened effect of additional

nutrient input on algal biomass in eutrophic and hypereutrophic Prairie lakes. Many lakes in this

region were historically productive, being at least mesotrophic (Lemmen et al., 1997; Blais et al.

2000), which might partially explain why the change in water quality is modest in the Prairies,

where baseline nutrients are already high.

We also identified a national urbanization threshold of 1–7%, after which we observed altered

water quality evidenced by increases in sodium concentrations and epilimnion temperatures

(Figures 5 and S5). Since roads were classified as urban land use (Supplemental Table S1), the

observed increases in sodium concentrations might be due to various factors such as the

widespread practice of road salt application, leaky septic fields, deteriorating infrastructure

(Gagnon et al., 2008; CIRC, 2019), or a combination of these factors. Increases in epilimnetic

temperatures are consistent with past studies on examining the effect of urbanization on lake

water temperature (LeBlanc et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2020), although climate change is also

impacting lake temperatures globally (O'Reilly et al., 2015; Woolway et al., 2020). Additionally,

the association between urbanization and epiliminetic temperatures could be related to

geography as Canadian municipalities are concentrated in the south (and we observed a

significant negative correlation between latitude and percent urban land use in our dataset).

Across the body of literature that quantified land use threshold values in association with lake

water quality, there is substantial heterogeneity. For example, Qiu and Turner (2015) found an

agricultural land cover threshold of 13% using data from a Wisconsin watershed, whereas Baker

(2006) reported an evident reduction in water quality at a threshold of 5% urban land use when

using a global array of studies. It is possible that the climatic and geomorphological context

modifies the influence of land use globally and could help reconcile these seemingly disparate

findings, as we have seen in our Canadian dataset. Further refinement of these models could be

performed with a closer examination of the type of agriculture (e.g., traditional vs. organic

farming, type of crop) and urbanization across different watersheds, to help inform policy

development and to provide more data and incentives for farmers.

Conclusions

Through the application of regression models to an expansive dataset of limnology and LULC

proportions, we have shown that while water physiochemistry varies across Canadian lakes,

watershed land use has a direct and significant effect on water quality, especially agriculture. We

also found that lake water quality responds differently to land use across regions, which can be

explained by geology, morphology (with altitude showing the largest variation between

ecozones), land use history, and climate. Nonetheless, with further expansion in the amount of

land converted to agricultural activities due to population growth and increasing uncertainty with

regards to global food security, we predict future declines in lake water quality. Looking

forward, initiatives such as high precision, organic, and/or vertical farming might pave the way

for more space-efficient agriculture that results in less run-off of nutrients to downstream water

bodies (Viana et al., 2022). Since organic farms incur a considerable risk of soil P depletion

(Carr et al., 2019), recycling anthropogenic P waste is also being considered as a possible

alternative source of nutrients (Nicksy and Entz, 2021).

Nonetheless, agricultural production is an important contributor to Canada's economy and a

critical part of society. For example, the nation's agricultural sector generated 39.8 billion

Canadian dollars in 2020 (GOC, 2021). Given the economic importance of its domestic

agricultural production, the federal, provincial, and territorial governments formed the Canadian

Agricultural Partnership, investing three billion dollars into federal programs and strategic

initiatives over five years (2018–2023) to help strengthen the industry, of which 23% is

dedicated to research and innovation (GOC, 2019). Other investments by the Canadian

government over the next 10 years are targeted for the adoption, testing, and monitoring of best

management practices (BMPs; e.g., no-till, cover crops) that directly or indirectly improve inland

water quality (Faust et al., 2018). Yet, voluntary participation in unsubsidized BMPs remains

low (Liu and Brouwer, 2022), with Canadian agricultural producers citing costs as an important

factor when deciding to implement BMPs (Hassanzadeh et al., 2019). Failing to adopt BMPs and

other progressive agricultural strategies can lead to the development and persistence of harmful

algal blooms across North America (Munawar and Fitzpatrick, 2018; Graham et al., 2020).

Stakeholder engagement remains crucial to successful water quality management, as the rates of

BMP adoption by producers can influence the effectiveness of said practices at a watershed scale

(Zammali et al., 2021). Land management strategies must take these particularities into account

if we are to preserve crucial ecosystem services such as food production and potable water

access worldwide and beyond the foreseeable future.

Acknowledgements

449   The authors thank the LakePulse data collection and data curating teams for their provision of

450   the data, as well as Maxime Fradette, Katherine Griffiths, Alexandre Baud, Michelle Gros,

451   Candice Aulard, David Zilkey, Rebecca Garner, and all the Gregory-Eaves lab for their

452   continued support and insightful advice.

Competing interests statement

455   The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author contribution statement

J.R.S.S. – Funding acquisition, methodology, formal analysis, visualization, writing – original

draft.

P.M. – Investigation, data curation, methodology, validation, supervision, writing – review &

editing.

Z.E.T. – Methodology, supervision, writing – review & editing.

Y.H. – Funding acquisition, conceptualization, methodology, project administration, supervision,

writing – review & editing.

I.G.-E. – Funding acquisition, conceptualization, methodology, project administration,

supervision, writing – review & editing.

Funding statement

This research was funded by McGill University's Gordon Edwards Research Award (J.R.S.S.),

the NSERC Canadian Lake Pulse Network (Y.H & I.G-E., among others) and a Canada Research

Chair grant (I.G-E.).

Data availability statement

Data generated or analyzed during this study will be available at https://lakepulse.ca/.

References

Abirhire, O., North, R.L., Hunter, K., Vandergucht, D. and Hudson, J. 2016. Do human activities

affect phytoplankton biomass and composition in embayments on Lake Diefenbaker? *Journal of*

Freshwater Ecology, *31*(4), pp.597-608. https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.2016.1205526.

Almanza, V., Pedreros, P., Laughinghouse IV, H.D., Félez, J., Parra, O., Azócar, M. and Urrutia,

R. 2019. Association between trophic state, watershed use, and blooms of cyanobacteria in

south-central Chile. *Limnologica*, *75*, pp.30-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2018.11.004.

Baker, A. 2006. Land use and water quality. *Encyclopedia of hydrological sciences*, Wiley, New

York, pp.1-3. https://doi.org/10.1002/0470848944.hsa195.

Beusen, A.H., Bouwman, A.F., Van Beek, L.P., Mogollón, J.M. and Middelburg, J.J. 2016.

Global riverine N and P transport to ocean increased during the 20th century despite increased

retention along the aquatic continuum. *Biogeosciences*, *13*(8), pp.2441-2451.

https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2441-2016.

Bharath, R. and Elshorbagy, A. 2018. Flood mapping under uncertainty: a case study in the

Canadian prairies. *Natural Hazards*, *94*(2), pp.537-560. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-018-

3401-1.

Blais, J.M., Duff, K.E., Schindler, D.W., Smol, J.P., Leavitt, P.R. and Agbeti, M. 2000. Recent

eutrophication histories in Lac Ste. Anne and Lake Isle, Alberta, Canada, inferred using

paleolimnological methods. *Lake and Reservoir Management*, *16*(4), pp.292-304.

https://doi.org/10.1080/07438140009354237.

Bunting, L., Leavitt, P.R., Simpson, G.L., Wissel, B., Laird, K.R., Cumming, B.F., St. Amand,

A. and Engstrom, D.R. 2016. Increased variability and sudden ecosystem state change in Lake

Winnipeg, Canada, caused by 20th century agriculture. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *61*(6),

pp.2090-2107. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10355 .

[CIRC] Canadian Infrastructure Report Card. 2019. Monitoring the State of Canada's Core

Public Infrastructure: The Canadian Infrastructure Report Card 2019, viewed 13 Mar 2022,

http://canadainfrastructure.ca/.

Carpenter, S.R., Caraco, N.F., Correll, D.L., Howarth, R.W., Sharpley, A.N. and Smith, V.H.

1998. Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecological*

applications, *8*(3), pp.559-568. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-

0761(1998)008[0559:NPOSWW]2.0.CO;2.

Carr, P.M., Cavigelli, M.A., Darby, H., Delate, K., Eberly, J.O., Gramig, G.G., Heckman, J.R.,

Mallory, E.B., Reeve, J.R., Silva, E.M. and Suchoff, D.H. 2019. Nutrient cycling in organic field

crops in Canada and the United States. *Agronomy Journal*, *111*(6), pp.2769-2785.

https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2019.04.0275.

Cassman, K.G. and Dobermann, A. 2022. Nitrogen and the future of agriculture: 20 years on.

Ambio, *51*(1), pp.17-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01526-w.

Collins, S.M., Yuan, S., Tan, P.N., Oliver, S.K., Lapierre, J.F., Cheruvelil, K.S., Fergus, C.E.,

Skaff, N.K., Stachelek, J., Wagner, T. and Soranno, P.A. 2019. Winter precipitation and summer

temperature predict lake water quality at macroscales. *Water Resources Research*, *55*(4),

pp.2708-2721. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023088.

De'Ath, G. 2002. Multivariate regression trees: a new technique for modeling species–

environment relationships. *Ecology*, *83*(4), pp.1105-1117. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-

9658(2002)083[1105:MRTANT]2.0.CO;2.

de Mello, K., Taniwaki, R.H., de Paula, F.R., Valente, R.A., Randhir, T.O., Macedo, D.R., Leal,

C.G., Rodrigues, C.B. and Hughes, R.M. 2020. Multiscale land use impacts on water quality:

Assessment, planning, and future perspectives in Brazil. *Journal of Environmental Management*,

270, p.110879. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110879.

Dillon, P.J. and Kirchner, W.B. 1975. The effects of geology and land use on the export of

phosphorus from watersheds. *Water research*, *9*(2), pp.135-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-

1354(75)90002-0.

Doubek, J.P., Carey, C.C. and Cardinale, B.J. 2015. Anthropogenic land use is associated with

N-fixing cyanobacterial dominance in lakes across the continental United States. *Aquatic*

Sciences, *77*(4), pp.681-694. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-015-0411-x.

Faust, D.R., Kumar, S., Archer, D.W., Hendrickson, J.R., Kronberg, S.L. and Liebig, M.A. 2018.

Integrated crop☐livestock systems and water quality in the northern Great Plains: Review of

current practices and future research needs. *Journal of environmental quality*, *47*(1), pp.1-15.

https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2017.08.0306.

Ferland, M.E., del Giorgio, P.A., Teodoru, C.R. and Prairie, Y.T. 2012. Long‐term C

accumulation and total C stocks in boreal lakes in northern Québec. *Global Biogeochemical*

Cycles, *26*(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004241.

Gagnon, M., Gaudreault, V. and Overton, D. 2008. *Age of public infrastructure: A provincial*

perspective, Statistics Canada, Ottawa.

[GOC] Government of Canada. 2015a. Land Use 2010 [dataset]. Open Government Portal.

[accessed 4 Sep 2018]. https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/9e1efe92-e5a3-4f70-b313-

68fb1283eadf.

[GOC] Government of Canada. 2015b. Canadian Digital Elevation Model [dataset]. Open

Government Portal. [accessed: 5 Feb 2018]. https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/7f245e4d-

76c2-4caa-951a-45d1d2051333.

[GOC] Government of Canada. 2016. Agri-Environmental Indicator (AEI) - Risk of P release in

agricultural land (P-Source) – 2011 [dataset]. Open Government Portal. [accessed: 1 June 2022].

https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/fc9e5c73-1c1a-47c1-9de4-612569b718fd.

[GOC] Government of Canada. 2017. Annual Crop Inventory 2016 [dataset]. Open Government

Portal. [accessed: 9 April 2018]. https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/b8e4da73-fb5f-4e6e-

93a4-8b1f40d95b51.

[GOC] Government of Canada. 2019. Canadian agricultural partnership. [accessed 10 April

2022]. https://agriculture.canada.ca/en/about-our-department/key-departmental-

initiatives/canadian-agricultural-partnership.

[GOC] Government of Canada. 2021. Overview of Canada's agriculture and agri-food sector.

[accessed 15 July 2022]. https://agriculture.canada.ca/en/canadas-agriculture-sectors/overview-

canadas-agriculture-and-agri-food-sector.

Graham, J.L., Dubrovsky, N.M., Foster, G.M., King, L.R., Loftin, K.A., Rosen, B.H. and Stelzer,

E.A. 2020. Cyanotoxin occurrence in large rivers of the United States. *Inland Waters*, *10*(1),

pp.109-117. https://doi.org/10.1080/20442041.2019.1700749.

Griffith, M.B. 2014. Natural variation and current reference for specific conductivity and major

ions in wadeable streams of the conterminous USA. *Freshwater Science*, *33*(1), pp.1-17.

https://doi.org/10.1086/674704.

Griffiths, K., Jeziorski, A., Paquette, C., Taranu, Z.E., Baud, A., Antoniades, D., Beisner, B.,

Hamilton, P.B., Smol, J.P. and Gregory-Eaves, I. 2021. Multi-trophic level responses to

environmental stressors over the past ~150 years: Insights from a lake-rich region of the world.

Ecological Indicators, *127*, p.107700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107700.

Griffiths, K., Jeziorski, A., Antoniades, D., Beaulieu, M., Smol, J.P. and Gregory-Eaves, I. 2022.

Pervasive changes in algal indicators since pre-industrial times: A paleolimnological study of

changes in primary production and diatom assemblages from ~200 Canadian lakes. *Science of*

The Total Environment, p.155938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155938.

Grimvall, A., Stålnacke, P. and Tonderski, A. 2000. Time scales of nutrient losses from land to

sea—a European perspective. *Ecological engineering*, *14*(4), pp.363-371.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(99)00061-0.

Håkanson, L. 1982. Lake bottom dynamics and morphometry: the dynamic ratio. *Water*

Resources Research, *18*(5), pp.1444-1450. https://doi.org/10.1029/WR018i005p01444.

Hassanzadeh, E., Strickert, G., Morales-Marin, L., Noble, B., Baulch, H., Shupena-Soulodre, E.

and Lindenschmidt, K.E. 2019. A framework for engaging stakeholders in water quality

modeling and management: Application to the Qu'Appelle River Basin, Canada. *Journal of*

environmental management, *231*, pp.1117-1126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.11.016.

Ho, J.C., Michalak, A.M. and Pahlevan, N., 2019. Widespread global increase in intense lake

phytoplankton blooms since the 1980s. *Nature*, *574*(7780), pp.667-670.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1648-7.

Huot, Y., Brown, C.A., Potvin, G., Antoniades, D., Baulch, H.M., Beisner, B.E., Bélanger, S.,

Brazeau, S., Cabana, H., Cardille, J.A. et al. 2019. The NSERC Canadian Lake Pulse Network:

A national assessment of lake health providing science for water management in a changing

climate. *Science of The Total Environment*, *695*, p.133668.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133668.

Ireson, A.M., Barr, A.G., Johnstone, J.F., Mamet, S.D., Van der Kamp, G., Whitfield, C.J.,

Michel, N.L., North, R.L., Westbrook, C.J., DeBeer, C., Chun, K.P. et al. 2015. The changing

water cycle: the Boreal Plains ecozone of Western Canada. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:*

Water, *2*(5), pp.505-521. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1098.

Jackson, D.A. 1993. Stopping rules in principal components analysis: a comparison of heuristical

and statistical approaches. *Ecology*, *74*(8), pp.2204-2214. https://doi.org/10.2307/1939574.

Jeppesen, E., Søndergaard, M., Jensen, J.P., Havens, K.E., Anneville, O., Carvalho, L., Coveney,

M.F., Deneke, R., Dokulil, M.T., Foy, B.O.B. et al. 2005. Lake responses to reduced nutrient

loading–an analysis of contemporary long☐term data from 35 case studies. *Freshwater biology*,

50(10), pp.1747-1771. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01415.x.

Kronvang, B., Jeppesen, E., Conley, D.J., Søndergaard, M., Larsen, S.E., Ovesen, N.B. and

Carstensen, J. 2005. Nutrient pressures and ecological responses to nutrient loading reductions in

Danish streams, lakes and coastal waters. *Journal of hydrology*, *304*(1-4), pp.274-288.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.07.035.

Last, W.M. and Ginn, F.M. 2005. Saline systems of the Great Plains of western Canada: an

overview of the limnogeology and paleolimnology. *Saline systems*, *1*(1), pp.1-38.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-1448-1-10.

Leavitt, P.R., Brock, C.S., Ebel, C. and Patoine, A. 2006. Landscape☐scale effects of urban

nitrogen on a chain of freshwater lakes in central North America. *Limnology and Oceanography*,

51(5), pp.2262-2277. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.5.2262.

LeBlanc, R.T., Brown, R.D. and FitzGibbon, J.E. 1997. Modeling the effects of land use change

on the water temperature in unregulated urban streams. *Journal of Environmental Management*,

49(4), pp.445-469. https://doi.org/10.1006/jema.1996.0106.

Legendre, P. and Legendre, L. 2012. *Numerical ecology*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Lemmen, D.S., Vance, R.E., Wolfe, S.A. and Last, W.M. 1997. Impacts of future climate change

on the southern Canadian Prairies: a paleoenvironmental perspective. *Geoscience Canada*.

https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/GC/article/view/3948.

Lencha, S.M., Ulsido, M.D. and Tränckner, J. 2022. Estimating Point and Nonpoint Source

Pollutant Flux by Integrating Various Models, a Case Study of the Lake Hawassa Watershed in

Ethiopia's Rift Valley Basin. *Water*, *14*(10), p.1569. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14101569.

Liu, H. and Brouwer, R. 2022. Incentivizing the future adoption of best management practices on

agricultural land to protect water resources: The role of past participation and experiences.

Ecological Economics, *196*, p.107389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2022.107389.

MacDonald, G.K., Bennett, E.M., Potter, P.A. and Ramankutty, N. 2011. Agronomic phosphorus

imbalances across the world's croplands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,

108(7), pp.3086-3091. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010808108.

Mbonde, A.S., Sitoki, L. and Kurmayer, R., 2015. Phytoplankton composition and microcystin

concentrations in open and closed bays of Lake Victoria, Tanzania. *Aquatic ecosystem health &*

management, *18*(2), pp.212-220. https://doi.org/10.1080/14634988.2015.1011030.

McCrackin, M.L., Jones, H.P., Jones, P.C. and Moreno☐Mateos, D. 2017. Recovery of lakes and

coastal marine ecosystems from eutrophication: A global meta☐analysis. *Limnology and*

Oceanography, *62*(2), pp.507-518. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10441.

Medalie, L., Hirsch, R.M. and Archfield, S.A. 2012. Use of flow-normalization to evaluate

nutrient concentration and flux changes in Lake Champlain tributaries, 1990–2009. *Journal of*

Great Lakes Research, *38*, pp.58-67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2011.10.002.

Munawar, M. and Fitzpatrick, M.A.J. 2018. Eutrophication in three Canadian Areas of Concern:

Phytoplankton and major nutrient interactions. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management*, *21*(4),

pp.421-437. https://doi.org/10.1080/14634988.2018.1530895.

Natural Earth. 2022. 1:50m cultural vectors: Admin 1 – States, provinces. Free Vector and Raster

Database. [accessed 3 Jul 2022]. https://www.naturalearthdata.com/downloads/50m-cultural-

vectors/50m-admin-1-states-provinces/.

Nicksy, J. and Entz, M.H. 2021. Recycled nutrients as a phosphorus source for Canadian organic

agriculture: a perspective. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, *101*(4), pp.571-580.

https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-2021-0014.

Nielsen, A., Trolle, D., Søndergaard, M., Lauridsen, T.L., Bjerring, R., Olesen, J.E. and

Jeppesen, E. 2012. Watershed land use effects on lake water quality in Denmark. *Ecological*

applications, *22*(4), pp.1187-1200. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1831.1.

NSERC Canadian Lake Pulse Network. 2021. NSERC Canadian Lake Pulse Network field

manual 2017 - 2018 - 2019 surveys. Varin, M.-P., Beaulieu, M., Huot, Y. (eds.). Université de

Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke. https://doi.org/10.17118/11143/18662.

Oksanen, J., Guillaume Blanchet, F., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D.,

Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., et al. 2020. vegan: community

ecology package [software]. R package Ver. 2.5–7; [accessed 20 May 2021]. https://CRAN.R-

project.org/package=vegan.

O'Reilly, C.M., Sharma, S., Gray, D.K., Hampton, S.E., Read, J.S., Rowley, R.J., Schneider, P.,

Lenters, J.D., McIntyre, P.B., Kraemer, B.M. et al. 2015. Rapid and highly variable warming of

lake surface waters around the globe. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *42*(24), pp.10-773.

https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066235.

Ouellette, M.H., Legendre, P. 2013. MVPARTwrap: Additional features for package mvpart

[software]. R package Ver. 0.1–9.2; [accessed 19 Aug 2021]. https://cran.r-

project.org/package=MVPARTwrap.

Ouellette, M.H., Legendre, P. and Borcard, D. 2012. Cascade multivariate regression tree: a

novel approach for modelling nested explanatory sets. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *3*(2),

pp.234-244. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00171.x.

Özkundakci, D., Hamilton, D.P. and Trolle, D. 2011. Modelling the response of a highly

eutrophic lake to reductions in external and internal nutrient loading. *New Zealand Journal of*

Marine and Freshwater Research, *45*(2), pp.165-185.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2010.548072.

Pham, S.V., Leavitt, P.R., McGowan, S., Wissel, B. and Wassenaar, L.I. 2009. Spatial and

temporal variability of prairie lake hydrology as revealed using stable isotopes of hydrogen and

oxygen. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *54*(1), pp.101-118.

https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.1.0101.

QGIS.org. 2022. QGIS Geographic Information System. QGIS Association [software]. Ver. 3.0;

[accessed 20 Jun 2022]. http://www.qgis.org/.

Qiu, J. and Turner, M.G. 2015. Importance of landscape heterogeneity in sustaining hydrologic

ecosystem services in an agricultural watershed. *Ecosphere*, *6*(11), pp.1-19.

https://doi.org/10.1890/ES15-00312.1.

R Development Core Team. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing [software]. Ver. 4.0.0; [accessed 20 May 2021].

https://www.r-project.org/.

Read, E.K., Patil, V.P., Oliver, S.K., Hetherington, A.L., Brentrup, J.A., Zwart, J.A., Winters,

K.M., Corman, J.R., Nodine, E.R., Woolway, R.I. and Dugan, H.A. 2015. The importance of

lake specific characteristics for water quality across the continental United States. *Ecological*

Applications, *25*(4), pp.943-955. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-0935.1.

Reid, A.J., Carlson, A.K., Creed, I.F., Eliason, E.J., Gell, P.A., Johnson, P.T., Kidd, K.A.,

MacCormack, T.J., Olden, J.D., Ormerod, S.J. et al. 2019. Emerging threats and persistent

conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. *Biological Reviews*, *94*(3), pp.849-873.

https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12480.

Ribeiro, P.J. Jr, Diggle, P.J., Schlather, M., Bivand, R., Ripley, B. 2020. geoR: Analysis of

geostatistical data [software]. R package Ver. 1.8–1; [accessed 20 May 2021]. https://cran.r-

project.org/package=geoR.

Schindler, D.W. and Donahue, W.F. 2006. An impending water crisis in Canada's western prairie

provinces. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *103*(19), pp.7210-7216.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601568103.

Spence, C., Wolfe, J.D., Whitfield, C.J., Baulch, H.M., Basu, N.B., Bedard-Haughn, A.K.,

Belcher, K.W., Clark, R.G., Ferguson, G.A., Hayashi, M. and Liber, K. 2019. Prairie water: a

global water futures project to enhance the resilience of prairie communities through sustainable

water management. *Canadian Water Resources Journal/Revue canadienne des ressources*

hydriques, *44(2*), pp.115-126. https://doi.org/10.1080/07011784.2018.1527256.

Spiess, E. 2011. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium balances and cycles of Swiss agriculture

from 1975 to 2008. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, *91*(3), pp.351-365.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-011-9466-9.

Taranu, Z.E. and Gregory-Eaves, I. 2008. Quantifying relationships among phosphorus,

agriculture, and lake depth at an inter-regional scale. *Ecosystems*, *11*(5), pp.715-725.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-008-9153-0.

Taranu, Z.E., Gregory☐Eaves, I., Leavitt, P.R., Bunting, L., Buchaca, T., Catalan, J., Domaizon,

I., Guilizzoni, P., Lami, A., McGowan, S. et al. 2015. Acceleration of cyanobacterial dominance

in north temperate☐subarctic lakes during the Anthropocene. *Ecology letters*, *18*(4), pp.375-384.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12420.

Therneau, T.M., Atkinson, B. 2014. mvpart: Multivariate partitioning [software]. R package Ver.

1.6–2; [accessed 19 August 2021]. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mvpart.

Van Meter, K.J., Basu, N.B., Veenstra, J.J. and Burras, C.L. 2016. The nitrogen legacy:

emerging evidence of nitrogen accumulation in anthropogenic landscapes. *Environmental*

Research Letters, *11*(3), p.035014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/035014.

Viana, L.R., Dessureault, P.L., Marty, C., Loubet, P., Levasseur, A., Boucher, J.F. and Paré,

M.C. 2022. Would transitioning from conventional to organic oat grains production reduce

environmental impacts? A LCA case study in North-East Canada. *Journal of Cleaner*

Production, *349*, p.131344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131344.

Vogt, R.J., Sharma, S. and Leavitt, P.R. 2018. Direct and interactive effects of climate,

meteorology, river hydrology, and lake characteristics on water quality in productive lakes of the

Canadian Prairies. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *75*(1), pp.47-59.

https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2016-0520.

Wetzel, R.G. 2001. *Limnology: lake and river ecosystems*, Elsevier, San Diego.

Woolway, R.I., Kraemer, B.M., Lenters, J.D., Merchant, C.J., O'Reilly, C.M. and Sharma, S.

2020. Global lake responses to climate change. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, *1*(8),

pp.388-403. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0067-5.

Wunderlich, S.M. and Martinez, N.M. 2018. Conserving natural resources through food loss

reduction: Production and consumption stages of the food supply chain. *International Soil and*

Water Conservation Research, *6*(4), pp.331-339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2018.06.002.

Yang, K., Yu, Z. and Luo, Y. 2020. Analysis on driving factors of lake surface water temperature

for major lakes in Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau. *Water Research*, *184*, p.116018.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116018.

Zammali, M.K., Hassanzadeh, E., Shupena-Soulodre, E. and Lindenschmidt, K.E. 2021. A

generic approach to evaluate costs and effectiveness of agricultural Beneficial Management

Practices to improve water quality management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *287*,

p.112336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112336.

Table

Table 1. Distribution and transformation coefficients of land use/land cover, water quality, and

lake morphology variables for use in the principal component analysis, the redundancy analysis,

and the variation partitioning (N = 664 lakes).

	Variable (symbol)	Unit	Min/Median/Max	Transformation	Shapiro-Wilks p-value pre-transformation	Shapiro-Wilks p-value post-transformation
Land Use/Land Cover	Percent agriculture (Agriculture)	%	0/0/86	None	< 2.2e-16	< 2.2e-16
	Percent pasture (Pasture)	%	0/0/55	None	< 2.2e-16	< 2.2e-16
	Percent urbanization (Urban)	%	0/2/99	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	1.2e-10
	Percent forestry (Forestry)	%	0/0/59	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	5.2e-14
	Percent mines (Mines)	%	0/0/28	None	< 2.2e-16	< 2.2e-16
	Percent natural landscapes (NatLand)	%	2/80/100	Arcsine	< 2.2e-16	4.8e-11
	Percent upland water (Water)	%	0/1/39	None	< 2.2e-16	< 2.2e-16
Water quality	Secchi depth (Secchi)	m	0.08/2.45/17.75	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	0.05
	Epilimnetic temperature (Temp)	°C	11.80/20.44/29.73	Box Cox	9.5e-08	1.7e-04
	Dissolved hypolimnetic oxygen (DO)	mg/L	0/7.02/15.19	None	< 2.2e-16	< 2.2e-16

Parameter	Units	Min/Mean/Max	Transformation		
Specific conductance (SC)	µS/cm at 25 °C	7.4/186.2/37 392.1	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	4.7e-04
Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	0.01/19.33/535.49	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	3.7e-08
Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	0.01/4.96/2 248.99	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	7.9e-04
Potassium (K)	mg/L	0.01/0.99/279.17	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	2.0e-09
Sodium (Na)	mg/L	0.01/5.63/14 804.91	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	1.5e-07
Sulfate (SO$_4$)	mg/L	0.02/4.81/16 971.23	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	4.9e-12
Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP)	µg/L	0.5/4.7/4 066.1	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	5.7e-11
Total phosphorus (TP)	µg/L	1.4/18.2/10 053.0	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	1.6e-07
Total nitrogen (TN)	µg/L	0.03/0.2/4.4	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	1.1e-06
Chlorophyll a (Chl a)	mg/L	0.05/2.89/381.49	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	1.4e-06
Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)	mg/L	0.12/8.17/220.22	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	6.2e-05

Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC)	mg/L	0.07/15.92/879.03	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	2.3e-05
Lake altitude	m	2.0/338.5/1555	Square root	< 2.2e-16	9.5e-07
Lake circularity	-	0.003/0.31/0.93	Box Cox	3.5e-13	1.1e-03
Lake dynamic ratio	-	0.03/0.17/6.65	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	6.0e-03
Shoreline slope	°	0.09/2.94/25.10	Box Cox	< 2.2e-16	0.07

Lake morphology

Figure captions

Figure 1. Ecozone map of the 664 sampled watersheds shaded by human impact index. The bulk of sampling was conducted in the nine southernmost main ecozones (Pacific Maritime, Montane Cordillera, Semi-Arid Plateaux, Prairies, Boreal Plains, Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime, and Atlantic Highland), while sampling was limited in the three northernmost and remote ecozones (Taiga Plains, Boreal Cordillera, Taiga Cordillera). This map was illustrated with QGIS 3.0 (QGIS.org, 2022) using shape file and boundary file data from Natural Earth (2022). The projection used is NAD83/Canada Atlas Lambert.

Figure 2.   Principal component analysis (PCA) of lake variables from the LakePulse (N

= 664). The PCA is plotted twice to highlight the distribution of a) vectors of LULC types

plotted passively (coloured in blue, dashed lines) and b) site scores, colour-coded according to

ecozone. c) Boxplot of site scores from PC axis 1 grouped and colour-coded by ecozone, where

the width of the boxes reflects sample size ranging from 3 to 91 lakes. Letter superscripts denote

significantly different groups determined via a post-hoc Tukey's test.

Figure 3. Redundancy analysis examining the relationships between water quality variables

(response variables) and LULC metrics (predictors; N = 664). Response variables were

transformed and standardized prior to analysis. The analyses are divided into two plots to

highlight the distribution of a) vectors of LULC variables (coloured in blue), and b) boxplot of

site scores for RDA axis 1 grouped by ecozone, where the width of the boxes reflects sample size

ranging from 3 to 91 lakes. Letter superscripts denote significantly different groups determined

via a post-hoc Tukey's test.

Figure 4. Generalized additive models of the relationships between % Agriculture and total

phosphorous (TP), total nitrogen (TP), and chlorophyll a (Chl-*a*) concentration in four populous

ecozones along an increasing human impact gradient. Dashed lines represent the 95% confidence

intervals. Sites are coloured according to ecozone. Deviation explained by each model (D) is

shown at the bottom right of each figure.

Figure 5. Multivariate regression tree of the transformed and standardized water quality

variables, constrained by the LULC variables ($R^2 = 0.20$; N = 664).

Figures

856   Figure 1.

858   Figure 2.

860   Figure 3.

862   Figure 4.

864   Figure 5.

Appendix A: Supplemental Table

Supplemental Table S1. Annual Space-Based Crop Inventory for Canada 2016 and Land Use

2010 database categories and corresponding simplified categories of the various LULC types.

Simplified categories were used in this article's analyses.

Definition	Simplified category
No Data	NA
Water	Water
Exposed Land / Barren / Rock / Snow / Ice	Natural Landscape
Natural landscape (unclassified)	Natural Landscape
Bryoids	Natural Landscape
Shrubland	Natural Landscape
Herb / Grassland	Natural Landscape
Coniferous forest	Natural Landscape
Broadleaf forest	Natural Landscape
Mixedwood forest	Natural Landscape
Forest (undifferentiated)	Natural Landscape
Wetland	Natural Landscape
Forest Loss (2012-2017)	Forestry
Urban / Developed	Urban
Sod	Urban
Greenhouses	Agriculture
Agriculture (undifferentiated)	Agriculture
Pasture / Forages	Pasture
Too Wet to be Seeded	Agriculture
Fallow	Agriculture
Cereals	Agriculture
Barley	Agriculture
Other Grains	Agriculture
Millet	Agriculture
Oats	Agriculture
Rye	Agriculture
Spelt	Agriculture
Triticale	Agriculture
Wheat	Agriculture
Switchgrass	Agriculture
Sorghum	Agriculture
Winter Wheat	Agriculture

Spring Wheat	Agriculture
Corn	Agriculture
Tobacco	Agriculture
Ginseng	Agriculture
Oilseeds	Agriculture
Borage	Agriculture
Camelina	Agriculture
Canola / Rapeseed	Agriculture
Flaxseed	Agriculture
Mustard	Agriculture
Safflower	Agriculture
Sunflower	Agriculture
Soybeans	Agriculture
Pulses	Agriculture
Peas	Agriculture
Beans	Agriculture
Lentils	Agriculture
Vegetables	Agriculture
Tomatoes	Agriculture
Potatoes	Agriculture
Sugar beets	Agriculture
Other Vegetables	Agriculture
Fruits	Agriculture
Berries	Agriculture
Blueberry	Agriculture
Cranberry	Agriculture
Other Berry	Agriculture
Orchards	Agriculture
Other Fruits	Agriculture
Vineyards	Agriculture
Hops	Agriculture
Herbs	Agriculture
Nursery	Agriculture
Buckwheat	Agriculture
Canary seed	Agriculture
Hemp	Agriculture
Vetch	Agriculture
Other Crops	Agriculture
Mines/excavation	Mines

Appendix B: Supplemental Figure captions

Supplemental Figure S1. Boxplot distributions of Land Use/Land Cover variables used in this

study, per ecozone (N = 664). Boxplots are colour-coded by ecozone. When variables were

transformed, y-axis displays transformed units in black and original units in grey.

Supplemental Figure S2. Boxplot distributions of morphological variables used in this study, per

ecozone (N = 664). Boxplots are colour-coded by ecozone. When variables were transformed, y-

axis displays transformed units in black and original units in grey.

Supplemental Figure S3. Boxplot distributions of water quality variables used in this study, per

ecozone (N = 664). Boxplots are colour-coded by ecozone. When variables were transformed, y-

axis displays transformed units in black and original units in grey.

Supplemental Figure S4. Variation partitioning of water quality variables (response variables)

among LULC proportions and lake morphology (explanatory variable groups; R^2 = 0.35; N =

664). Water quality variables were transformed and standardized prior to analysis. Percentages

inside circles represent the total variation explained by the explanatory variables included in each

group, with each group being distinguished by its own colour.

Supplemental Figure S5. Cascade multivariate regression tree of the transformed and

standardized water quality variables, constrained by the LULC proportions (R^2 = 0.29; N = 664).

The model first splits the dataset geographically, then by LULC in response to water quality

differences.

Appendix C: Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Figure S1.

914   Supplemental Figure S2.

925   Supplemental Figure S3.

939   Supplemental Figure S4.

952　　Supplemental Figure S5.

955　**Total word count: 8 800 words (including references)**