Bacterial Microbiome and Host Inflammatory Gene Expression in Foreskin Tissue

Short title: Bacterial Microbiome of Foreskin Tissue

Brandon S. Maust[a,b], Stefan Petkov[c], Carolina Herrera[d], Colin Feng[a], Bryan P. Brown[a,b], Limakatso Lebina[e], Daniel Opoka[f,g], Andrew Ssemata[f,g], Natasha Pillay[e], Jennifer Serwanga[f,g], Portia Seatlholo[e], Patricia Namubiru[f,g], Geoffrey Odoch[f,g], Susan Mugaba[f,g], Thabiso Seiphetlo[h], Clive M. Gray[h,i], Pontiano Kaleebu[f,g], Emily L. Webb[j], Neil Martinson[e], Francesca Chiodi[c*], Julie Fox[k*], Heather B. Jaspan[a,b,h*#,] on behalf of the CHAPS team

[a] Center for Global Infectious Disease Research, Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA

[b] Division of Infectious Disease, Dept of Pediatrics, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA

[c] Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

[d] Department of Infectious Disease, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, U.K.

[e] Perinatal HIV Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

[f] Medical Research Council/Uganda Virus Research Institute, Entebbe, Uganda

[g] London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Uganda Research Unit, Entebbe, Uganda

[h] Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

[i] Division of Molecular Biology and Human Genetics, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

[j] Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, U.K.

[k] Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine, School of Immunology & Microbial Sciences, Kings College, London, U.K.

* Authors contributed equally (ordered alphabetically)

corresponding author, email hbjaspan@gmail.com

Abstract

As part of the CHAPS randomized clinical trial, we sequenced a segment of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene from foreskin tissue of 144 adolescents from South Africa and Uganda collected during surgical penile circumcision after receipt of 1 to 2 doses of placebo, emtricitabine with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, or emtricitabine with tenofovir alafenamide. We found a large proportion of *Corynebacterium* in addition to other anaerobic species. *Cutibacterium acnes* was more abundant among participants from South Africa than Uganda, though this made no difference in surgical recovery. We did not find a difference in bacterial populations by treatment received nor bacterial taxa that were differentially abundant between participants who received placebo versus active drug. Using RNAseq libraries from foreskin tissue of the same participants, we found negative correlations between the relative abundance of bacterial taxa and the expression of genes downstream of the innate response to bacteria and regulation of the inflammatory response. When participants were divided into clusters based on bacterial community composition, two main clusters emerged which were distinguished by high and low bacterial diversity. Random forest classification showed higher expression of *NFATC3* and *SELENOS* and lower expression of *STAP1* and *NLRP6* in the higher diversity group compared to the lower. Our results show no difference in the tissue microbiome of the foreskin with short-course PrEP but that bacterial taxa were largely inversely correlated with gene expression, consistent with non-inflammatory colonization.

Author Summary

We investigated the bacterial community of the foreskin of the penis. Previous studies found increased inflammation with certain anaerobic bacteria from swabs taken under the foreskin,

45   but we found that higher relative abundances of the bacteria were correlated with lower

46   expression of inflammatory genes. We did not find different bacteria in participants who

47   received medicine to prevent HIV. Understanding the relationship between bacteria and

48   inflammation in the penis will help us to understand how interventions like penile circumcision

49   reduce the risk of acquiring sexually transmitted infections such as HIV.

Introduction

HIV remains a significant global health challenge despite substantial clinical, public health, and basic science research efforts. While condom use (1, 2), pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) (3), and medical penile circumcision (4) are effective at reducing HIV incidence in men, the contribution of the penile microbiome to these mechanisms has not been fully explored. Previous work has shown a predominance of anaerobic species in the microbiota of swabs taken from the coronal sulcus or urethra (5-15) and have reported associations between species such as *Prevotella* and increased mucosal inflammation, HIV target cell density and risk of HIV acquisition (8, 16). Following circumcision, the surface microbiota shifts to be dominated by more aerobic species as found on other skin surfaces (10, 17, 18). Thus far, no data exist as to the microbiota of the foreskin itself, and its relation to tissue inflammation.

Antiretrovirals (ARVs) are used for both treatment and prevention of HIV, and limited data have shown a complex relationship with bacteria. When applied as topical vaginal pre-exposure prophylaxis, *L. crispatus* was shown to endocytose Tenofovir (TFV) then either actively metabolize or release it back into the environment (19). Similarly, *Gardnerella vaginalis* and other anaerobes have been shown to metabolize TFV (20) or block its entry into cells by secretion of adenine (19). Antiretrovirals may also theoretically alter bacteriophage populations which can dramatically reshape the bacterial component of the microbiome which they infect (21). At the rectal mucosa, small studies have investigated the effects of oral emtricitabine (FTC) with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) on the bacterial microbiome and innate inflammatory pathways in men who have sex with men (MSM) and transwomen (22-25) but with varying results.

Within the CHAPS clinical trial, young men were randomized to 1 to 2 doses of placebo, emtricitabine with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, or emtricitabine with tenofovir alafenamide , prior to medical penile circumcision. Foreskin tissue was collected and subject to both 16S rRNA sequencing and RNASeq. to characterize the bacterial microbiome and inflammatory gene expression. We hypothesized that short courses of PrEP, as utilized in a dose-finding trial, would not result in significant changes to the bacterial microbiome of the foreskin, but that there would be a relationship between the microbiota and inflammatory gene expression.

Materials and Methods

Cohort and Specimen Collection

The Combined HIV Adolescent PrEP and Prevention Study (CHAPS) was a randomized controlled trial that enrolled 144 men living without HIV aged 13-24 years between 2019 and 2021 from the Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital in Soweto, South Africa (n=72) and the Entebbe Regional Referral Hospital in Entebbe, Uganda (n=72). Inclusion criteria were male sex at birth, hemoglobin > 9 g/dL, weight > 35 kg, two successive negative rapid HIV antibody tests, and clinical eligibility for surgical circumcision (26). Exclusion criteria were conditions precluding circumcision or receipt of the study medications. Participants were randomized to placebo versus FTC with either TDF or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) for 1-2 days prior to surgical penile circumcision to investigate ARV dosing for on-demand PrEP.

All participants underwent a physical exam at study entry and completed survey instruments including sexual history at the randomization visit. At the circumcision visit, they provided midstream urine for *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) and *Neisseria gonorrhea* (GC) testing via nucleic acid amplification testing (NAAT) prior to surgery. If an asymptomatic sexually transmitted infection was diagnosed, antibiotic treatment was prescribed at the post-operative visit.

Penile circumcision was performed using the dorsal slit method and the removed prepuce was

placed immediately in cold Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium and shipped on ice within 1

hour (median 30 minutes) to the local laboratories in Uganda (Medical Research

Council/Uganda Virus Research Institute) and South Africa (Perinatal HIV Research Unit in

Johannesburg) for processing. Smaller, 5–7 mm^2-sized sections were stored dry at -80° C until

the samples were transported on dry ice to the Seattle Children's Research Institute, U.S.A for

microbiome studies and to the Karolinska Institutet, Sweden for transcriptome analyses.

Ethics and Human Subjects

Ethical clearance to conduct the CHAPS trial was obtained from the South African Health

Products Regulatory Authority (20181004), the Uganda Virus Research Institute research and

ethics committee (GC/127/18/12/680), Uganda National Council of Science and Technology

(HS 2534), Uganda National Drug Authority (618/NDA/DPS/09/2019), and the London School

of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine research ethics committee (Ref:17403). Informed written

consent was collected from all participants. The Swedish Ethics Review Authority approved the

transcriptome studies of the collected specimens at the Karolinska Institutet (2020-00941). The

ethics approval for the microbiome analysis was granted by the Seattle Children's Institutional

Review Board (STUDY00003430).

Specimen Processing

16S rRNA Analysis

At the time of analysis, vials were thawed and approximately 25 mg of tissue was dissected and

processed by a customized Qiagen PowerSoil Pro protocol for extraction of DNA using a

QIAcube instrument, available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.4r3l2774jg1y/v1. A

negative extraction control consisting of solution CD1 without specimen was also included. The

specimens from each collection site were extracted on single plates. The resulting total DNA

was diluted 1:4 to reduce PCR inhibition.

The *16S* rRNA gene V3-V4 region was amplified using 319F/806R universal primers for 20

cycles of PCR as previously described (27) for each specimen along with a negative PCR control

reaction consisting of mastermix without DNA template for each replicate and evenly and

staggered genomic DNA from mock bacterial libraries (BEI Resources) as positive sequencing

controls. The amplified products were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman

Coulter) and submitted to an additional 10 rounds of PCR with indexing primers (Illumina).

The resulting libraries were pooled by volume with specimens at 100x the positive controls. The

resulting library comprising all participants was purified using a MinElute PCR purification

column (Qiagen), followed by the QiaQuick gel extraction kit (Qiagen). The cleaned library was

quantitated using qPCR (NEBNext Library Quant Kit for Illumina), then pooled with PhiX,

denatured, and loaded onto a MiSeq instrument (Illumina) with a v3 2x300 flow cell following

the manufacturer's protocol.

Sequences were de-multiplexed using Illumina's BaseSpace workflow. Primers and adapters

were removed by cutadapt 2.7 (28). Sequences were further trimmed for quality, then filtered

and merged using dada2 1.22.0 (29) to generate amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). Taxa were

annotated using the Silva 138.1 database (30) with additional genital-associated species (31)

using a 100% nucleotide identity threshold. The phyloseq 1.40.0 (32) and vegan 2.6-2 (33) R

packages were used to manipulate ASV tables and calculate diversity measures. ASV sequences

were aligned using ssu-align 0.1.1 (34), and a maximum likelihood phylogeny was generated

using PhyML 3.3.20220408 (35) with a GTR substitution model. Contaminating sequences were

identified by their presence in negative controls for the extraction and PCR amplification or

mock community using decontam 1.16.0 (36) and microfiltR (37). After decontamination,

specimens with fewer than 25-fold as many reads than extraction and PCR controls were

excluded. For differential abundance analysis, decontaminated ASVs were filtered with

prevalence >= 10% and relative abundance threshold of 1×10^{-4} before combining counts for all

ASVs classified as the same species. ALDEx2 1.28.1 (38), ANCOM-BC 1.6.2 (39), and DESeq2

1.36.0 (40) (using the poscounts factors estimation) were used for differential abundance testing

to overcome the documented limited power and accuracy of these tools when used individually

on 16S data sets which contain a high proportion of zero counts (41, 42).

RNAseq of Foreskin Tissue

Foreskin samples were disrupted and homogenized using a Tissuelyzer (Qiagen) and total RNA

isolated using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA was

subjected to quality control with Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent). To construct libraries suitable

for Illumina sequencing, the Illumina stranded mRNA prep ligation sample preparation

protocol was used with starting concentration of 200 ng total RNA. The protocol includes

mRNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, ligation of adapters and amplification of indexed libraries.

The yield and quality of the amplified libraries were analysed using Qubit by (Thermo Fisher)

and the Agilent Tapestation (Agilent). The indexed cDNA libraries were normalized and

combined, and the pools were sequenced on the Illumina Novaseq 6000 S4 flowcell to generate

150 bp paired-end reads.

Sample demultiplexing was performed using bcl2fastq 2.20.0 (Illumina), and quality and

adapter trimming of reads was performed using Cutadapt 2.8 (28). Sample quality was assessed

using FastQC 0.11.8 (Babraham Bioinformatics) and MultiQC 1.7 (43). Reads were aligned to the

Ensembl GRCh38 reference genome using STAR 2.6.1d (44). Counts for each gene were

obtained using featureCounts 1.5.1 (45).

The Gene Ontology (GO) term "inflammatory response" (GO:0006954) selected 860 putative

inflammatory genes which were filtered to only those with at least two copies detected in at

least 90% of specimens. RNA read counts were normalized then transformed by centered log

ratio (CLR). The 16S ASVs were filtered and combined as described for the differential

abundance analysis and also CLR-transformed. We calculated the correlation between the gene

counts and bacterial relative abundances, then filtered for r >0.4 and Benjamani-Hochberg-

adjusted p-value < 0.05. The resulting genes were manually inspected for their most relevant

GO annotation and grouped according to their immunological function and pro- or anti-

inflammatory nature (Supplemental table S1).

Normalized gene counts were used to perform random forest feature selection as implemented

in the Boruta R package 7.0.0 (46). Only the importance measures of statistically significant

(p<0.01) features were reported.

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in R version 4. Alpha diversity comparisons were

evaluated using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Beta diversity was compared using Permutational

Multivariate of Variance (PERMANOVA) using the adonis2 function of the vegan R package.

The relationship between treatment arm and CST was assessed using multinomial logistic

regression. RNAseq and 16S taxa correlations were calculated using Pearson coefficient. A

significance threshold of • = 0.05 was used for the differential abundance hypothesis testing.

Data Availability

The 16S raw reads and RNASeq libraries will be deposited in the National Center for

Biotechnology Information Short Read Archive and European Bioinformatics Institute

(respectively) upon publication. R code to reproduce the analysis is available at

https://github.com/bmaust/CHAPS/. The completed STORMS (Strengthening The

Organizing and Reporting of Microbiome Studies) checklist (47) for this project is located at

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7269027.

Results

Clinical STI testing

No participants reported STI symptoms, and no physical exams revealed urethral discharge or

other genital abnormality. None of the participants had clinical balanoposthitis or evidence of

macroscopic inflammation. No GC infections were diagnosed, but NAAT for seven participants

was positive for CT: five from Uganda and two from South Africa.

Microbiome 16S sequencing

After filtering and contamination removal, 137 specimens from the 144 enrolled participants

had sufficient bacterial DNA reads to proceed with analysis. The identified bacterial taxa

include a variety of skin-associated Gram-positive and genital-associated anaerobic species in

addition to Gram-negative enterics (**Fig. 1**). *Corynebacterium* was the most prevalent and

abundant genus, appearing in 132 (97%) of specimens at median relative abundance of 34%

(range: 0.14% to 98%). Anaerobic species were highly abundant, including bacteria that are

commonly found in bacterial vaginosis, an inflammatory dysbiosis of the vagina, including

Prevotella, *Anaerococcus*, *Finegoldia* and *Porphyromonas*. There were no ASVs in the *Chlamydiaceae*

family which includes *C. trachomatis*.

Unsupervised partition around medioids clustering separated the unweighted Unifrac

distances into two community structure types (CST) with distinct community structure

(PERMANOVA $R^2 = 0.25$ with $p < 0.001$) and alpha diversity ($p=2.39\times10^{-19}$) (**Fig. 2**). Two clusters

maximized the silhouette score with acceptable within sum of squares and gap statistics. CST1

was highly diverse with a median Shannon index of 3.05. CST2 was dominated by

Corynebacterium tuberculostearicum and *Finegoldia magna* and, with median relative abundances

of 21% and 9.6%, respectively. CST2 also had a significantly lower median Shannon index of

1.68 (Wilcoxon unpaired exact, p = 2.39×10^{-19}). Though *F. magna* and *C. tuberculostearicum* were

also abundant in CST1 (median relative abundances of 4.6% and 2.5%), they shared high

relative abundance with *Anaerococcus, Campylobacter, Fenollaria, Finegoldia, Ezakiella, Mobiluncus,*

and *Peptinophilis* species without a clear dominant taxon.

Microbiome differences by study site

The 137 participants with 16S data included 69 (50.3%) individuals from South Africa and 68

(49.7%) from Uganda. We compared microbiota between the two study sites and found no

differences in within-participant alpha diversity (Shannon entropy) or between-participant beta

diversity (unweighted Unifrac distance) (**Fig. 3**, A and B). Differential abundance testing

identified *Cutibacterium acnes* as significantly higher in participants from South Africa compared

to those from Uganda by ALDEx2 with a CLR difference of 3.3 between sites (Wilcoxon rank

test with Benjamani-Hochberg correction p=0.0184). ANCOM-BC identified the same ASV with

a significant q-value (0.0079), but the $\log_2$fold change of 1.87 failed to meet the effect size

threshold. DESeq2 did not identify any taxa as significantly differentially abundant. (**Fig. 3**, C-

E).

Microbiome differences by parent study treatment arm

We performed similar analyses for the bacterial populations in participants who received active

drug versus placebo. The participants with 16S data were equally distributed among treatment

arms with FTC-TAF and FTC-TDF (n=59 and 62, respectively, χ^2 p=0.437). All 16 participants

who received placebo had sufficient sequences for analysis. We found no differences in alpha or

beta diversity (Fig. **4**, A and B) between placebo and FTC-TAF or FTC-TDF regimens.

Combining both treatment groups and comparing to placebo, no species were significantly

differentially abundant by any of the three tools (**Fig. 4**, C-D). An un-annotated *Dialister* species

was identified with statistically significantly higher abundance in participants who received

active drug by ANCOM-BC but did not meet the effect size threshold at only 1.9-fold more

abundant. The treatment arm was not a significant predictor of the CSTs identified above

(p=0.32 for placebo, p=0.99 for FTC/TAF, p=0.88 for FTC/TDF).

Inflammatory genes and bacterial taxa

Forty inflammatory genes showed significant correlation with 31 bacterial species (**Table 1**). Six

genes had insufficient evidence for inflammatory function and were therefor excluded. The

remaining 34 genes were primarily pro-inflammatory with negative correlation to bacterial

species (**Fig. 5**) without difference by environmental niche. IL-15 was the most frequently

correlated gene, with significant negative correlations to seven bacterial taxa not typically

associated with invasive infection: *Brevibacterium luteolum, Corynebacterium urealyticum, Dietzia*

timorensis, and unannotated species in the *Cutibacterium, Corynebacterium, Dietzia,* and

Nosocomiicoccus genera. The majority of the bacterial taxa significantly correlated with other

genes were gram-positive organisms which frequently colonize the skin. The CLR-transformed

relative abundance of *Corynebacterium massilliense*, in particular, was associated with

significantly lower expression of genes involved in regulation of inflammatory responses and

neutrophil chemotaxis and activation. Anaerobes also found in the oral cavity such as

Parvimonas and *Porphyromonas* were also correlated with primarily lower expression of

inflammatory genes. However, the oral anaerobe *Rothia amarae* was associated with higher

expression of the regulatory factor *GHSR* and an unclassified species also in the *Rothia* genus

was associated with higher expression of the pro-inflammatory gene *REG3G*. Species in three

genera canonically associated with BV, *Atopobium, Prevotella,* and *Sneathia,* were correlated with

lower expression of inflammatory genes, but one unannotated *Prevotella* ASV was negatively

correlated with *ZFP36*, an immune regulatory gene.

Table 1 Bacterial taxa and human gene correlations

Taxon (Genus species)	Gene Symbol	Pearson corr	adj p
(Uknown *Muribaculaceae* family)	ADAMTS12	-0.404	5.90E-04
(Uknown *Muribaculaceae* family)	TUSC2	-0.497	2.63E-06
Aliicoccus (unclassified sp)	IL15	-0.557	2.41E-08
Anaerococcus lactolyticus	ODAM	0.479	8.41E-06
Arcanobacterium (unclassified sp)	CXCL9	-0.415	3.31E-04
Atopobium (unclassified sp)	JAM3	-0.420	2.64E-04
Brevibacillus (unclassified sp)	IL1RN	-0.401	6.89E-04
Brevibacterium luteolum	IL15	-0.563	1.48E-08
Campylobacter (unclassified sp)	CCL11	0.492	3.68E-06
Campylobacter (unclassified sp)	ITGB2	-0.465	2.03E-05
Campylobacter (unclassified sp)	RIPK2	-0.461	2.55E-05
Corynebacterium confusum	FPR3	-0.402	6.46E-04
Corynebacterium confusum	MS4A2	-0.439	9.72E-05
Corynebacterium confusum	SMPDL3B	-0.543	7.86E-08
Corynebacterium coyleae	APOA2	0.542	8.40E-08
Corynebacterium genitalium	BDKRB2	-0.474	1.14E-05
Corynebacterium genitalium	POLB	-0.434	1.23E-04
Corynebacterium genitalium	PPBP	0.414	3.52E-04
Corynebacterium massiliense	CAMK1D	-0.405	5.56E-04
Corynebacterium massiliense	DAB2IP	-0.595	7.04E-10
Corynebacterium massiliense	KDM6B	-0.482	6.95E-06
Corynebacterium massiliense	LILRB4	-0.419	2.83E-04
Corynebacterium massiliense	PLCG2	-0.412	4.01E-04
Corynebacterium massiliense	PRCP	-0.469	1.51E-05
Corynebacterium massiliense	RELA	-0.454	3.88E-05
Corynebacterium massiliense	SPATA2	-0.556	2.43E-08
Corynebacterium riegelii	APOA2	0.516	6.69E-07
Corynebacterium urealyticum	IL15	-0.607	2.22E-10
Cutibacterium (unclassified sp)	IL15	-0.650	1.75E-12
Cutibacterium (unclassified sp)	S1PR3	-0.407	5.03E-04
Cutibacterium (unclassified sp)	TLR1	-0.402	6.55E-04
Cutibacterium acnes	GPRC5B	-0.405	5.46E-04
Dermabacter vaginalis	FOS	-0.401	6.84E-04
Dietzia (unclassified sp)	IL15	-0.583	2.48E-09
Dietzia timorensis	IL15	-0.470	1.49E-05
Enhydrobacter (unclassified sp)	HDAC4	-0.423	2.21E-04
Lactobacillus iners	BDKRB2	-0.440	8.68E-05
Lactobacillus iners	POLB	-0.428	1.72E-04
Lactobacillus iners	PSTPIP1	-0.423	2.21E-04
Mycoplasma spermatophilum	IL9	0.432	1.36E-04
Nosocomiicoccus (unclassified sp)	IL15	-0.424	2.11E-04
Nosocomiicoccus (unclassified sp)	SMPDL3B	-0.489	4.44E-06
Parvimonas (unclassified sp)	RIPK2	-0.430	1.44E-04
Parvimonas micra	CCL18	-0.409	4.56E-04
Porphyromonas somerae	C4A	-0.409	4.52E-04
Prevotella bergensis	CXCR6	-0.430	4.52E-04

Prevotella_7 (unclassified sp)	ZFP36	-0.464	1.51E-04
Rothia (unclassified sp)	REG3G	0.534	2.18E-05
Rothia amarae	EGFR	-0.468	1.62E-07
Rothia amarae	GHSR	0.480	1.66E-05
Sneathia amnii	XCR1	-0.419	7.99E-06

We performed a similar analysis, grouping bacterial ASVs at the genus level (Supplemental

table S2). Eight genes showed correlation with nine bacterial genera. As expected, all the

correlated genes and bacterial genera were also identified in the species-level analysis.

We conducted a separate query of associations between inflammatory genes and the CSTs

described in **Fig. 2**A distinguished by high and low diversity bacterial populations. In the

random forest classification, four features achieved statistical significance. Nuclear Factor of

Activated T cells 3 (*NFATC3*) and Selenoprotein S (*SELENOS*) showed higher expression in the

highly diverse CST1 relative to CST2, while Signal Transducing Adapter Family Member 1

(*STAP1*) and Nod-like Receptor Pyrin domain-containing 6 (*NLRP6*) showed lower expression

(**Fig. 6**).

Discussion

Our study is the first of which we are aware to analyze the tissue-level microbiome of the

foreskin. Consistent with previous reports using penile swabs (5-18, 48), the bacteria we

identified are predominated by taxa commonly colonizing the skin (chiefly *Corynebacteria* spp)

(49, 50) in addition to anaerobic bacteria such as *Prevotella, Dialister, Murdochiella, Peptoniphilus,*

and *Negativicoccus.* These anaerobic species have been associated with increased inflammation

and HIV acquisition in uncircumcised men (8) and bacterial vaginosis in women (51). In the

foreskin, we describe two major CSTs, one significantly more diverse than the other. We did not

identify bacterial species that were differentially abundant between participants receiving

placebo compared to emtricitabine with either of the two forms of tenofovir. While vaginal-

associated species such as *Lactobacillus* and *Gardnerella* take up tenofovir from their environment

(19, 20), further studies of more prolonged ARV use may better elucidate whether there is an

effect on bacterial or viral communities of the penis.

The overall composition of the bacterial community did not appear to differ by study site;

however, we did find *Cutibacterium acnes* to be significantly more abundant in South African

than Ugandan participants. *C. acnes* is typically resident in the deep dermis in association with

sebaceous glands and hair follicles (52), which our study sampled by digesting full-thickness

specimens rather than resuspending skin swabs. While its contribution to its namesake acne

vulgaris is debated, it is otherwise non-pathogenic in immunocompetent hosts without artificial

material (52). It is frequently identified in surgical cultures, with unclear significance to sterility,

likely due to its resistance to surgical sterilization techniques and transection of deep dermal

structures during surgery (53). The differential abundance we observed may have been caused

by different surgical preparation at the two sites or by an actual difference in bacterial

populations. As there were no surgical complications observed during the study, the difference

does not appear to have clinical significance.

Our inflammatory gene analysis primarily identified an inverse relationship between

expression of genes associated with response to bacteria and skin commensals such as

Corynebacterium and *Cutibacterium*, consistent with non-inflammatory colonization. IL-15, the

most commonly correlated gene, is a pleotropic cytokine secreted by a narrow range of cell

types, in foreskin tissue including epithelial cells, fibroblasts, Langerhans cells, and monocytes

(54). It has broad immunostimulatory function, promoting NK cell differentiation and survival

(55), inflammatory cytokine production by macrophages (56) and dendritic cells (57), neutrophil

activation, survival, and phagocytosis (58), germinal center B cell proliferation (59), CD8^{+} T cell

survival (60), and it is required for development of skin-resident memory CD8^{+} T cells (61). Its

lower expression correlating with higher relative abundances of non-pathogenic bacteria is

consistent with reduced inflammatory signaling corresponding to increased bacterial growth.

When examining gene expression between the participant groups with higher and lower

diversity bacterial communities, we did not confirm previous findings in the penis (8, 10, 16)

and vagina (62) that high bacterial diversity, particularly with anaerobic taxa, is associated with

increased inflammation. While we frequently identified anaerobic taxa, individual species

showed lower prevalence than in studies using surface swabs. This may have reduced our

power to detect an inflammatory association. Another explanation could be in the structure of

our experiment: rather than measuring secreted cytokines, we processed the entire tissue

specimen for bulk RNAseq, which likely included many cells not directly interacting with

bacteria or immune cells. Alternatively, the pre-procedure sterilization or the surgery itself may

have preferentially removed inflammatory species. The sterilization or collection could also

have altered host-bacterial interactions, though the rapid timeframe makes this explanation

seem less likely. Lastly, the bacterial strains in our cohort may employ different metabolic or

virulence strategies that render them less inflammatory. Unfortunately, our experiment was not

structured to investigate this possibility.

In the vagina, high diversity communities are highly inflammatory (62) and associated with

higher risk of adverse outcomes including HIV acquisition (63, 64) and preterm birth (65). The

foreskin microbiome had two obvious CSTs, one highly diverse CST1 and a less diverse CST2.

We hypothesized that the more diverse CST1 would be associated with higher levels of genes

related to inflammation, as in the vagina. However, when comparing gene expression between

CST1 versus CST2 specimens, random forest feature selection identified four genes that are not

directly involved in the canonical innate antibacterial response pathways, such as TNF-α and IL-

6. While named for its key activity in T-Cell Receptor (TCR) signaling, NFAT family members

play a broad role in cell differentiation in the immune system and beyond (66), including in B

cells (67), Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling in monocytes (68), and proliferation in perivascular

tissue (69) and keratinocytes (70). Higher expression of NFATC3 in CST1 specimens may

represent increased signaling from any of these cell types. SELENOS is up-regulated by

cytokines such as IL-1• and TNF-• via NF-•B and in turns acts to suppress cytokine secretion in

macrophages (71). Its higher expression in CST1 samples is consistent with increased

suppression of chronic inflammation. STAP1 has the best evidence for signaling downstream of

the B-Cell Receptor (BCR) (72), and lower expression could represent a response to sustained

signaling (although this was not supported by the expected changes in other genes). NLRP6 is

both an inducer and a component of the inflammasome, binds directly to the lipopolysaccharide

of gram negative or lipoteichoic acid of gram positive bacterial cell membranes, and plays both

a pro- and anti-inflammatory role in tissues such as liver, kidney, and intestine (73). Given these

contrasting roles, the effects of its lower expression are difficult to predict with available data.

None of the identified genes plays a first-line role in regulating bacterial sensing or

inflammatory response.

In summary, we report on the first tissue-level examination of the bacterial microbiome of the

foreskin, without apparent effect of brief antiretroviral drug exposure. Correlating bacterial

species with RNAseq data revealed largely negative correlations with genes involved in the

inflammatory response, consistent with maintenance of immune tolerance.

Acknowledgements

This study is part of the EDCTP2 programme supported by the European Union (grant number

RIA2016MC-1616). BSM was supported by NIH training grant T32HD007233. Research reported

in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of

the National Institutes of Health under award number R21AI165144 to Heather Jaspan. The

study received support by a grant from the Swedish Research Council (Francesca Chiodi;

Vetenskapsrådet (VR) 2019-04596). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and

interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. The authors declare that no

conflict of interest exists.

References

1.   Weller S, Davis K. Condom effectiveness in reducing heterosexual HIV transmission. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002(1):CD003255.
2.   Silverman BG, Gross TP. Use and effectiveness of condoms during anal intercourse. A review. Sex Transm Dis. 1997;24(1):11-7.
3.   Spinner CD, Boesecke C, Zink A, Jessen H, Stellbrink HJ, Rockstroh JK, et al. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP): a review of current knowledge of oral systemic HIV PrEP in humans. Infection. 2016;44(2):151-8.
4.   Friedman B, Khoury J, Petersiel N, Yahalomi T, Paul M, Neuberger A. Pros and cons of circumcision: an evidence-based overview. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2016;22(9):768-74.
5.   Nelson DE, Dong Q, Van der Pol B, Toh E, Fan B, Katz BP, et al. Bacterial communities of the coronal sulcus and distal urethra of adolescent males. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e36298.
6.   Carda-Diéguez M, Cárdenas N, Aparicio M, Beltrán D, Rodríguez JM, Mira A. Variations in Vaginal, Penile, and Oral Microbiota After Sexual Intercourse: A Case Report. Front Med (Lausanne). 2019;6:178.
7.   Onywera H, Williamson AL, Ponomarenko J, Meiring TL. The Penile Microbiota in Uncircumcised and Circumcised Men: Relationships With HIV and Human Papillomavirus Infections and Cervicovaginal Microbiota. Front Med (Lausanne). 2020;7:383.
8.   Prodger JL, Abraham AG, Tobian AA, Park DE, Aziz M, Roach K, et al. Penile bacteria associated with HIV seroconversion, inflammation, and immune cells. JCI Insight. 2021;6(8).
9.   Liu CM, Hungate BA, Tobian AA, Ravel J, Prodger JL, Serwadda D, et al. Penile Microbiota and Female Partner Bacterial Vaginosis in Rakai, Uganda. mBio. 2015;6(3):e00589.
10.   Galiwango RM, Park DE, Huibner S, Onos A, Aziz M, Roach K, et al. Immune milieu and microbiome of the distal urethra in Ugandan men: impact of penile circumcision and implications for HIV susceptibility. Microbiome. 2022;10(1):7.
11.   Li M, Mao JX, Jiang HH, Huang CM, Gao XH, Zhang L. Microbiome Profile in Patients with Adult Balanoposthitis: Relationship with Redundant Prepuce, Genital Mucosa Physical Barrier Status and Inflammation. Acta Derm Venereol. 2021;101(5):adv00466.
12.   Mehta SD, Zhao D, Green SJ, Agingu W, Otieno F, Bhaumik R, et al. The Microbiome Composition of a Man's Penis Predicts Incident Bacterial Vaginosis in His Female Sex Partner With High Accuracy. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020;10:433.
13.   Mehta SD, Nandi D, Agingu W, Green SJ, Bhaumik DK, Bailey RC, et al. Vaginal and Penile Microbiome Associations with HSV-2 in Women and their Male Sex Partners. J Infect Dis. 2020.
14.   Onywera H, Williamson AL, Cozzuto L, Bonnin S, Mbulawa ZZA, Coetzee D, et al. The penile microbiota of Black South African men: relationship with human papillomavirus and HIV infection. BMC Microbiol. 2020;20(1):78.
15.   Plummer EL, Vodstrcil LA, Danielewski JA, Murray GL, Fairley CK, Garland SM, et al. Combined oral and topical antimicrobial therapy for male partners of women with bacterial vaginosis:

Acceptability, tolerability and impact on the genital microbiota of couples - A pilot study. PLoS One.
2018;13(1):e0190199.
16.   Liu CM, Prodger JL, Tobian AAR, Abraham AG, Kigozi G, Hungate BA, et al. Penile Anaerobic
Dysbiosis as a Risk Factor for HIV Infection. mBio. 2017;8(4).
17.   Liu CM, Hungate BA, Tobian AA, Serwadda D, Ravel J, Lester R, et al. Male circumcision
significantly reduces prevalence and load of genital anaerobic bacteria. mBio. 2013;4(2):e00076.
18.   Price LB, Liu CM, Johnson KE, Aziz M, Lau MK, Bowers J, et al. The effects of circumcision on the
penis microbiome. PLoS One. 2010;5(1):e8422.
19.   Taneva E, Sinclair S, Mesquita PM, Weinrick B, Cameron SA, Cheshenko N, et al. Vaginal
microbiome modulates topical antiretroviral drug pharmacokinetics. JCI Insight. 2018;3(13).
20.   Klatt NR, Cheu R, Birse K, Zevin AS, Perner M, Noël-Romas L, et al. Vaginal bacteria modify HIV
tenofovir microbicide efficacy in African women. Science. 2017;356(6341):938-45.
21.   Sutton TDS, Hill C. Gut Bacteriophage: Current Understanding and Challenges. Front Endocrinol
(Lausanne). 2019;10:784.
22.   Fulcher JA, Li F, Cook RR, Zabih S, Louie A, Okochi H, et al. Rectal Microbiome Alterations
Associated With Oral Human Immunodeficiency Virus Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis. Open Forum Infect
Dis. 2019;6(11):ofz463.
23.   Dubé MP, Park SY, Ross H, Love TMT, Morris SR, Lee HY. Daily HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis
(PrEP) with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine reduced Streptococcus and increased
Erysipelotrichaceae in rectal microbiota. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):15212.
24.   Perler BK, Reinhart EM, Montgomery M, Maynard M, Shapiro JM, Belenky P, et al. Evaluation of
the Microbiome in Men Taking Pre-exposure Prophylaxis for HIV Prevention. AIDS Behav. 2021.
25.   Hughes SM, Levy CN, Calienes FL, Stekler JD, Pandey U, Vojtech L, et al. Treatment with
Commonly Used Antiretroviral Drugs Induces a Type I/III Interferon Signature in the Gut in the Absence
of HIV Infection. Cell Rep Med. 2020;1(6):100096.
26.   Nash S, Dietrich J, Ssemata AS, Herrera C, O'Hagan K, Else L, et al. Combined HIV Adolescent
Prevention Study (CHAPS): comparison of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis regimens for adolescents in
sub-Saharan Africa-study protocol for a mixed-methods study including a randomised controlled trial.
Trials. 2020;21(1):900.
27.   Dabee S, Mugo N, Mudhune V, McLellan-Lemal E, Peacock S, O'Connor S, et al. Genital
microbiota of women using a 90 day tenofovir or tenofovir and levonorgestrel intravaginal ring in a
placebo controlled randomized safety trial in Kenya. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):12040.
28.   Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnetjournal. 2011;17(1):10.
29.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-resolution
sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13(7):581-3.
30.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA
gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic acids research.
2013;41(Database issue).
31.   Brown BP. Updated 16S databases for marker gene taxonomic assignment. 2021.
32.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e61217.
33.   Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, et al. vegan: Community
Ecology Package. R Package. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan2020.
34.   Nawrocki EP. Structural RNA Homology Search and Alignment Using Covariance Models. St.
Louis, MO: Washington University in St. Louis; 2009.
35.   Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New algorithms and
methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst
Biol. 2010;59(3):307-21.
36.   Davis N, Proctor D, Holmes S, Relman D, Callahan B. Simple statistical identification and
removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics data. Microbiome. 2018;6(1).
37.   Brown BB. microfiltR. 1.2 ed. p. R package.

38.   Fernandes AD, Reid JN, Macklaim JM, McMurrough TA, Edgell DR, Gloor GB. Unifying the
analysis of high-throughput sequencing datasets: characterizing RNA-seq, 16S rRNA gene sequencing
and selective growth experiments by compositional data analysis. Microbiome. 2014;2:15.
39.   Lin H, Peddada SD. Analysis of compositions of microbiomes with bias correction. Nat Commun.
2020;11(1):3514.
40.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550.
41.   Nearing JT, Douglas GM, Hayes MG, MacDonald J, Desai DK, Allward N, et al. Microbiome
differential abundance methods produce different results across 38 datasets. Nat Commun.
2022;13(1):342.
42.   Lin H, Peddada SD. Analysis of microbial compositions: a review of normalization and
differential abundance analysis. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. 2020;6(1):60.
43.   Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Kaller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple
tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(19):3047-8.
44.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal
RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15-21.
45.   Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923-30.
46.   Kursa MB, Rudnicki WR. Feature Selection with the Boruta Package. J Stat Softw. 2010;36(11):1-
13.
47.   Mirzayi C, Renson A, Genomic Standards C, Massive A, Quality Control S, Zohra F, et al.
Reporting guidelines for human microbiome research: the STORMS checklist. Nat Med. 2021;27(11):1885-
92.
48.   Srinivasan S, Chambers LC, Tapia KA, Hoffman NG, Munch MM, Morgan JL, et al. Urethral
Microbiota in Men: Association of Haemophilus influenzae and Mycoplasma penetrans With
Nongonococcal Urethritis. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73(7):e1684-e93.
49.   Human Microbiome Project C. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human
microbiome. Nature. 2012;486(7402):207-14.
50.   Oh J, Byrd AL, Park M, Program NCS, Kong HH, Segre JA. Temporal Stability of the Human Skin
Microbiome. Cell. 2016;165(4):854-66.
51.   Dabee S, Passmore JS, Heffron R, Jaspan HB. The Complex Link between the Female Genital
Microbiota, Genital Infections, and Inflammation. Infect Immun. 2021;89(5).
52.   Achermann Y, Goldstein EJ, Coenye T, Shirtliff ME. Propionibacterium acnes: from commensal to
opportunistic biofilm-associated implant pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2014;27(3):419-40.
53.   Lee MJ, Pottinger PS, Butler-Wu S, Bumgarner RE, Russ SM, Matsen FA, 3rd. Propionibacterium
persists in the skin despite standard surgical preparation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014;96(17):1447-50.
54.   Perera PY, Lichy JH, Waldmann TA, Perera LP. The role of interleukin-15 in inflammation and
immune responses to infection: implications for its therapeutic use. Microbes Infect. 2012;14(3):247-61.
55.   Waldmann TA, Tagaya Y. The multifaceted regulation of interleukin-15 expression and the role
of this cytokine in NK cell differentiation and host response to intracellular pathogens. Annu Rev
Immunol. 1999;17:19-49.
56.   Alleva DG, Kaser SB, Monroy MA, Fenton MJ, Beller DI. IL-15 functions as a potent autocrine
regulator of macrophage proinflammatory cytokine production: evidence for differential receptor subunit
utilization associated with stimulation or inhibition. J Immunol. 1997;159(6):2941-51.
57.   Mattei F, Schiavoni G, Belardelli F, Tough DF. IL-15 is expressed by dendritic cells in response to
type I IFN, double-stranded RNA, or lipopolysaccharide and promotes dendritic cell activation. J
Immunol. 2001;167(3):1179-87.
58.   Girard D, Paquet ME, Paquin R, Beaulieu AD. Differential effects of interleukin-15 (IL-15) and IL-
2 on human neutrophils: modulation of phagocytosis, cytoskeleton rearrangement, gene expression, and
apoptosis by IL-15. Blood. 1996;88(8):3176-84.
59.   Park CS, Yoon SO, Armitage RJ, Choi YS. Follicular dendritic cells produce IL-15 that enhances
germinal center B cell proliferation in membrane-bound form. J Immunol. 2004;173(11):6676-83.

60.   Wu TS, Lee JM, Lai YG, Hsu JC, Tsai CY, Lee YH, et al. Reduced expression of Bcl-2 in CD8+ T
cells deficient in the IL-15 receptor alpha-chain. J Immunol. 2002;168(2):705-12.
61.   Mackay LK, Rahimpour A, Ma JZ, Collins N, Stock AT, Hafon ML, et al. The developmental
pathway for CD103(+)CD8+ tissue-resident memory T cells of skin. Nat Immunol. 2013;14(12):1294-301.
62.   Anahtar MN, Byrne EH, Doherty KE, Bowman BA, Yamamoto HS, Soumillon M, et al.
Cervicovaginal bacteria are a major modulator of host inflammatory responses in the female genital tract.
Immunity. 2015;42(5):965-76.
63.   McClelland RS, Lingappa JR, Srinivasan S, Kinuthia J, John-Stewart GC, Jaoko W, et al.
Evaluation of the association between the concentrations of key vaginal bacteria and the increased risk of
HIV acquisition in African women from five cohorts: a nested case-control study. Lancet Infect Dis.
2018;18(5):554-64.
64.   Masson L, Passmore JA, Liebenberg LJ, Werner L, Baxter C, Arnold KB, et al. Genital
inflammation and the risk of HIV acquisition in women. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61(2):260-9.
65.   Wylie KM, Wylie TN, Cahill AG, Macones GA, Tuuli MG, Stout MJ. The vaginal eukaryotic DNA
virome and preterm birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018;219(2):189.e1-.e12.
66.   Horsley V, Pavlath GK. NFAT: ubiquitous regulator of cell differentiation and adaptation. J Cell
Biol. 2002;156(5):771-4.
67.   Venkataraman L, Francis DA, Wang Z, Liu J, Rothstein TL, Sen R. Cyclosporin-A sensitive
induction of NF-AT in murine B cells. Immunity. 1994;1(3):189-96.
68.   Minematsu H, Shin MJ, Celil Aydemir AB, Kim KO, Nizami SA, Chung GJ, et al. Nuclear
presence of nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) c3 and c4 is required for Toll-like receptor-activated
innate inflammatory response of monocytes/macrophages. Cell Signal. 2011;23(11):1785-93.
69.   Graef IA, Chen F, Chen L, Kuo A, Crabtree GR. Signals transduced by Ca(2+)/calcineurin and
NFATc3/c4 pattern the developing vasculature. Cell. 2001;105(7):863-75.
70.   Santini MP, Talora C, Seki T, Bolgan L, Dotto GP. Cross talk among calcineurin, Sp1/Sp3, and
NFAT in control of p21(WAF1/CIP1) expression in keratinocyte differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2001;98(17):9575-80.
71.   Curran JE, Jowett JB, Elliott KS, Gao Y, Gluschenko K, Wang J, et al. Genetic variation in
selenoprotein S influences inflammatory response. Nat Genet. 2005;37(11):1234-41.
72.   Ohya K, Kajigaya S, Kitanaka A, Yoshida K, Miyazato A, Yamashita Y, et al. Molecular cloning of
a docking protein, BRDG1, that acts downstream of the Tec tyrosine kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
1999;96(21):11976-81.
73.   Angosto-Bazarra D, Molina-Lopez C, Pelegrin P. Physiological and pathophysiological functions
of NLRP6: pro- and anti-inflammatory roles. Commun Biol. 2022;5(1):524.

Figures

Figures

Fig. 1 Top 25 most abundant bacterial genera across participants by study treatment received.

(anaerobic taxa in earth tones, aerobes in purples, uncultured or unidentified species in grays)

A   **B**

Fig. 2 (A) Heatmap of top 25 bacterial species by CST, (B) PCoA ordination of bacterial beta

diversity by unweighted Unifrac distance, colored by CST

Fig. 3 Comparisons by study site: alpha (A) and beta (B) diversity; differential abundance

testing with ALDEx2 (C), ANCOM-BC (D), and DESeq2 (E)

Fig. 4 Analysis by treatment arm: alpha (A) and beta (B) diversity by drug received; differential

abundance testing with ALDEx2 (C), ANCOM-BC (D), and DESeq2 (E)

550 **Fig. 5** Bacterial taxa and inflammatory gene associations by immune function

CST1 vs CST2 feature importance (normalized counts)

Red represents upregulation and blue downregulation of genes in CST1

552   **Fig. 6** Random Forest Feature Importance comparing RNAseq results between CST 1 and CST 2

553   Supplemental items

554   Supplemental Table S1: Gene categorization

555   Supplemental Table S2: Bacterial genera and human gene correlations