RIM-BP2 is required for rapid neurotransmitter release through regulation of Ca^{2+}

channel clustering at hippocampal mossy fiber terminals.

Rinako Miyano[1], Hirokazu Sakamoto[2], Kenzo Hirose[2, 3], and Takeshi Sakaba[1]

[1]Graduate School of Brain Science, Doshisha University, Kyotanabe-shi, Kyoto 610-0394,

Japan

[2]Department of Pharmacology, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo,

Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[3]International Research Center for Neurointelligence (WPI-IRCN), The University of Tokyo,

Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Abstract

Synaptic vesicles dock and fuse at the presynaptic active zone (AZ), the specialized

site for transmitter release. AZ proteins play multiple roles such as recruitment of Ca^{2+}

channels as well as synaptic vesicle docking, priming and fusion. However, the precise role

of each AZ protein type remains unknown. At phasic synapses having high release probability,

Rab3-interacting molecule-binding protein2 (RIM-BP2) is known to affect Ca^{2+} channel

localization at AZs. In order to dissect the role of RIM-BP2 at tonic synapses having low

release probability, we applied electrophysiological recording and super-resolution imaging

to hippocampal mossy fiber terminals of RIM-BP2 KO mice. By using whole cell capacitance

measurements, we found that reduced Ca^{2+} currents were responsible for the decreased

rates of transmitter release in RIM-BP2 KO terminals. Consistently, STED microscopy

pictured lower densities of P/Q-type Ca^{2+} channels at AZs deficient in RIM-BP2. Our results

suggest that the RIM-BP2 function differs between phasic and tonic synapses.

Introduction

At chemical synapses, an action potential arriving at the presynaptic terminal opens

voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels, and Ca^{2+} influx through voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels triggers

neurotransmitter release from synaptic vesicles within ms. Synaptic vesicle fusion occurs at

a specialized region of the presynaptic membrane called the active zone (AZ), where Ca^{2+}

channel clusters and vesicle fusion sites are accommodated via AZ scaffold proteins (Südhof,

2012). For rapid transmission, accumulation of Ca^{2+} channels, co-localization of Ca^{2+}

channels and fusion sites, and molecular priming of synaptic vesicles for fusion are required,

and the differences between these factors might provide a basis for synaptic diversity.

However, it remains unknown how these factors are regulated by AZ-scaffold proteins.

Rab3-interacting molecule-binding proteins (RIM-BPs) represent one principal,

conserved family of AZ proteins and bind to RIMs and Ca^{2+} channels (Wang et al., 2000;

Hibino et al., 2002). RIM-BP family includes RIM-BP1, RIM-BP2, and RIM-BP3 in mammals,

but RIM-BP3 expression is low in the nervous system (Mittelstaedt and Schoch, 2007). In

Drosophila neuromuscular junctions (NMJs), loss of RIM-BPs decreases Ca^{2+} channel

density and reduces release probability (Liu et al., 2011). Moreover, RIM-BP here is

necessary for tight coupling of synaptic vesicles to Ca^{2+} channels and replenishment of high

release probability vesicles at *Drosophila* NMJs (Liu et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2015, Petzoldt

et al., 2020). In mammalian synapses, the observations from KO mice are diverse. In RIM-

BP1,2 DKO mice, the coupling between Ca^{2+} channels and synaptic vesicles became loose,

and action potential-evoked neurotransmitter release was reduced at the calyx of Held

synapse (Acuna et al., 2015). At hippocampal CA3-CA1 synapses, RIM-BP2 deletion alters

Ca^{2+} channel localization at the AZs without altering total Ca^{2+} influx. Besides, RIM-BP1,2

DKO has no additional effect, indicating that RIM-BP2 dominates the function of RIM-BP

isoforms (Grauel et al., 2016). Tight coupling between Ca^{2+} channels and vesicles is crucial

for rapid and efficient transmitter release (Wadel et al., 2007).

In contrast, hippocampal mossy fiber-CA3 synapses are characterized by low

release probability (Vyleta and Jonas, 2014). At hippocampal mossy fiber synapses, gSTED

imaging suggested that RIM-BP2 KO altered the Munc13-1 cluster number and distribution.

In addition, RIM-BP2 deletion decreased the number of docked vesicles (Brockmann et al.,

2019). However, because of technically difficulty, direct and quantitative measurements of

exocytosis in RIM-BP2 KO terminals have not been performed so far.

To quantify the RIM-BP2 function in mossy fiber-CA3 synapses, we performed

whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from WT and RIM-BP2 KO hippocampal mossy fiber

boutons. We measured the size of the readily releasable pool (RRP) of synaptic vesicles,

Ca^{2+} influx, Ca^{2+} sensitivity of vesicle fusion, and the sensitivity of release to an intracellular

Ca^{2+} buffer. From electrophysiological recordings, we show that Ca^{2+} current amplitudes are

reduced in RIM-BP2 KO terminals, and that the reduction of Ca^{2+} currents is the dominant

factor for that of transmitter release. Using STED imaging, we find the densities of P/Q-type

Ca^{2+} channels in terminals to be reduced in RIM-BP2 KO. We suggest that RIM-BP2

regulates the number of P/Q-type Ca^{2+} channels at the AZ and is critical for rapid transmitter

release at hippocampal mossy fiber terminals.

Results

RIM-BP2 KO reduces synaptic vesicle release and calcium currents at hippocampal

mossy fiber boutons.

To investigate the roles of RIM-BP2 in synaptic transmission, we examined the

kinetics of exocytosis and Ca^{2+} influx in WT and RIM-BP2 KO synapses. We applied whole-

cell patch-clamp recordings and capacitance measurements to hippocampal mossy fiber

terminals in acute slices from WT and RIM-BP2 KO mice, in order to measure Ca^{2+} currents

and exocytosis directly. The patch pipette contained Cs^+-based internal solution and 0.5 mM

EGTA to isolate Ca^{2+} currents (Midorikawa and Sakaba, 2017). The external solution

contained 1 μM TTX to block Na^+ currents. Membrane capacitance was measured by

applying a sinusoidal wave (1000 Hz, ±30 mV in amplitudes, Lindau and Neher, 1988). When

the terminal was depolarized from -80 mV to +10 mV for 10 ms, Ca^{2+} currents and membrane

capacitance were recorded as shown in Fig. 1A. During the depolarizing pulse, capacitance

was not measured due to large conductance changes, and the increase was used for

measuring synaptic vesicle exocytosis. To determine the size of the RRP and the time course

of exocytosis, we measured capacitance changes (ΔC_m) in response to various durations of

depolarization. Here, the length of the depolarizing pulse was varied between 5 ms and 100

ms, and ΔC_m plotted against pulse duration (Fig. 1B, bottom). ΔC_m in response to a 10 ms

pulse was reduced in RIM-BP2 KOs (p = 0.0499). ΔC_m became larger as the duration of

pulse was prolonged, but the increase started to be saturated at a 100 ms pulse in WT

terminals, suggesting depletion of the RRP. ΔC_m in response to a 100 ms pulse was 52 ± 9.1

fF (n = 8). The amplitude corresponds to the fusion of about 500-600 synaptic vesicles

(Hallermann et al., 2003; Midorikawa and Sakaba, 2017). The time course of ΔC_m could be

fitted by a single exponential with a time constant of 58 ± 11 ms. In RIM-BP2 KO terminals,

ΔC_m in response to a 100 ms pulse was smaller (41 ± 5.9 fF, n = 8) than that of WT terminals,

and the release time constant was slower (64 ± 14 ms) than in WT terminals. We should note

that the time constant is not necessarily reliable because the ΔC_m values do not reach a

plateau value at 100 ms pulse in some terminals. The amplitudes of Ca^{2+} currents were

smaller in RIM-BP2 KO terminals as compared to WT terminals (Fig. 1B, top). The Ca^{2+}

current in response to a 100 ms pulse was reduced by ~30% in RIM-BP2 KO terminals (27

± 3.9 pA, n = 8) compared to WT terminals (41 ± 4.3 pA, n = 8) (p = 0.0379). Thus, the results

show that RIM-BP2 deletion reduces both neurotransmitter release and Ca^{2+} influx.

To investigate the Ca^{2+} influx in more detail, we examined the voltage dependence

of Ca^{2+} currents. Ca^{2+} currents were recorded in the presence of 1 µM TTX, a Na^+ channel

blocker, and 10 mM TEA, a K^+ channel blocker. Fig. 1C shows voltage step protocols and

representative current traces. Ca^{2+} currents were elicited by 5 ms depolarizing pulses from a

holding potential of -80 mV to various potentials to obtain I-V relationships. In both genotypes,

Ca^{2+} currents started to be activated at around -20 mV, and maximal amplitude was observed

at ~+10 mV (Fig. 1D). There was no major difference in the voltage dependence of Ca^{2+}

currents between WT and RIM-BP2 KO mice. Consistently, activation time constants of Ca^{2+}

currents were similar between WT and RIM-BP2 KO, when the terminal was depolarized to

+10 mV (τ = 1.4 ± 0.2 ms in WT, n = 6; τ = 1.3 ± 0.2 ms in KO, n = 4). These results suggest

a reduction in the number of Ca^{2+} channels rather than changes in activation kinetics is

responsible for the current decrease observed.

Figure 1. RIM-BP2 KO reduces calcium currents and synaptic vesicle release

(**A**) The terminal was depolarized from -80 mV to +10 mV in WT (black) and RIM-BP2 KO

(gray) hippocampal mossy fiber boutons. Ca^{2+} currents (I$_{Ca}$) and membrane capacitance (C$_m$)

in response to a 10 ms pulse (V$_m$) are shown. (**B**) *(top)* The peak Ca^{2+} currents were plotted

against the pulse duration. At 10 ms, p = 0.0499 (Wilcoxon rank sum test). *(bottom)* The

capacitance jumps were plotted against the pulse duration. At 20 and 100 ms, p = 0.0379

and 0.0379, respectively (Wilcoxon rank sum test). Black filled circles and gray open circles

represent the data from WT (n = 8) and RIM-BP2 KO (n = 8), respectively. Each data point

represents mean ± SEM. (**C**) Experimental protocol and representative traces for presynaptic

Ca^{2+} current measurements in WT (black) and RIM-BP2 KO (gray) boutons. Terminals were

sequentially depolarized for 5 ms with 2 ms intervals from -80 mV to +70 mV by 10 mV steps.

(**D**) Current-voltage relationships of peak Ca^{2+} currents in WT (black filled circle; n = 4-6) and

RIM-BP2 KO (gray open circle; n = 4-5). I_{Ca}s were elicited by 5 ms depolarizations. Each

data point represents mean ± SEM. Numerical values of plots are provided in Figure 1-source

data 1.

Source data 1. Datasets of Ca^{2+}-current and capacitance amplitudes presented in Figure 1.

High extracellular calcium concentration rescues the decrease of synaptic vesicle

release in RIM-BP2 KO.

133   Ca^{2+} influx controls exocytosis by regulating vesicular release probability and/or the

RRP size (Katz, 1969; Thanawala and Regehr, 2013). Because Ca^{2+} currents were reduced

in RIM-BP2 KO mice, we tested whether the reduction of release in RIM-BP2 KO mice could

be explained by that of Ca^{2+} influx or impaired synaptic vesicle docking / priming (Brockmann

et al., 2017). To increase Ca^{2+} influx, we raised the extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration ($[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$)

from 2 mM to 4 mM. Ca^{2+} currents and membrane capacitances were recorded at 4 mM

$[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$, and amplitudes then plotted against pulse duration (Fig. 2A). Although Ca^{2+} current

elicited by a 100 ms pulse in RIM-BP2 KO was still smaller (33 ± 2.7 pA, n = 3) than that in

WT (47 ± 6.6 pA, n = 4) (Fig. 2B, top), elevated $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ increased Ca^{2+} currents in both WT

and RIM-BP2 KO mice. At 4 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$, ΔC_m in response to a 100 ms pulse in RIM-BP2

KO was larger (51 ± 11 fF, n = 3) than at 2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$, and indeed showed a similar value

in WT at 2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ (Fig. 2B, bottom). At the same time, ΔC_m in WT was not altered (53

± 3.2 fF, n = 4) when higher $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$, suggesting that the RRP in WT was already depleted

at 2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$. Also, the time course of exocytosis at 4 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ was similar to that of

WT at 2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$, as the time courses were superimposable in Fig 2B. These results

suggest that a decrease in neurotransmitter release in RIM-BP2 KO could be rescued by an

increase in Ca^{2+} influx, easiest explained by a reduction of Ca^{2+} channel number.

Figure 2. High extracellular calcium concentration rescues the decrease of synaptic

vesicle release, but does not affect the RRP size

(**A**) *(top)* The I_{Ca}s were plotted against the pulse duration in 4 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$. *(bottom)* The C_ms

were plotted against the pulse duration in 4 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$. Black filled squares and gray open

squares represent the data from WT (n = 4-6) and RIM-BP2 KO (n = 3-4), respectively. For

comparison, the WT data in 2mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ are superimposed (black filled circle; n = 8) (the

same data set as Fig. 1B). Each data point represents mean ± SEM. (**B**) Average I_{Ca}s *(top)*

and C_ms *(bottom)* in response to a 100 ms pulse in WT (black bars) and RIM-BP2 KO (gray

bars) terminals. Extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration was 2 mM *(left)* or 4 mM *(right)*. Error bars

show SEM. Circles indicate individual values. Numerical values of plots are provided in

Figure 2-source data 1.

Source data 1. Datasets of Ca^{2+}-current and capacitance amplitudes presented in Figure 2.

Decreased Calcium currents are mainly responsible for reduced release in RIM-BP2

KO.

In order to further address whether the reduction of presynaptic Ca^{2+} influx observed

was responsible for the compromised release of RIM-BP2 KO mice, we adjusted Ca^{2+} current

amplitudes of WT to that of RIM-BP2 KO by lowering $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$. The amplitudes of Ca^{2+}

currents and capacitance jumps were plotted against pulse duration (Fig. 3A). At 1 mM

$[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$, Ca^{2+} currents and ΔC_m were smaller than those of RIM-BP2 KO at 2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$.

At 1.5 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$, Ca^{2+} currents became comparable to those of RIM-BP2 KO at 2 mM

$[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$. Here, the ΔC_m evoked by a 100 ms pulse (43 ± 6.7 fF, n = 5) was comparable

between WT and RIM-BP2 KO (Fig 3A). The average time course of capacitance increase

of WT at 1.5 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ was similar to that of RIM-BP2 KO at 2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$. Therefore, by

reducing Ca^{2+} currents, WT data could reproduce the release time course of RIM-BP2 KO.

In Fig. 3B, ΔC_m in response to a 100 ms pulse at various $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$s were plotted against the

peak Ca^{2+} current amplitudes. The relationship could be fitted by a Hill plot with n = 3 in WT

(Schneggenburger et al., 1999). Consistently, when capacitance jumps elicited by various

pulse durations are plotted against total Ca^{2+} influx (Fig. S1), the RIM-BP2 KO data were

superimposed on the WT data, indicating that Ca^{2+}-sensitivity for release was not altered and

181   that the reduction of Ca^{2+} currents determine the reduced release in RIM-BP2 KO. In Fig. 3C,

182   relative amplitudes of Ca^{2+} currents and capacitance in response to a 100 ms pulse are

183   plotted. The RIM-BP2 KO data were superimposed on the WT data. These results confirmed

184   that synaptic vesicles have similar sensitivity to Ca^{2+} influx in both genotypes.

Figure 3. Calcium-dependence of the release kinetics and the RRP size

(**A**) *(top)* The relationship between peak Ca^{2+} currents and pulse durations at different

$[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$s. *(bottom)* The relationship between capacitance jumps and pulse durations at

different $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$s. Gray open circles, black diamonds and black triangles represent the data

from RIM-BP2 KO at 2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ (n = 8) (the same data set as Fig. 1B), WT at 1 mM

$[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ (n = 3-5) and WT at 1.5 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ (n = 5-7), respectively. Each data point

represents mean ± SEM. (**B**) Capacitance jumps at various $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$s are plotted against

calcium current amplitudes. Pulses were 100 ms depolarization from -80 mV to +10 mV. Each

data point represents mean ± SEM. Data points were fitted with a Hill equation with n = 3.

(**C**) *(left)* Average I_{Ca}s at indicated $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$s were normalized to the response at 2 mM

$[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ in each genotype. *(right)* Average C_ms at indicated $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$s were normalized to the

2 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ response in each genotype. Numerical values of plots are provided in Figure

3-source data 1.

Source data 1. Datasets of numerical values presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3-figure supplement 1. The relationship between synaptic vesicle release and

total Ca^{2+} charge

Capacitance jumps elicited by various pulse durations are plotted against total Ca^{2+} charge.

The total Ca^{2+} charge is calculated by multiplying a peak Ca^{2+} current amplitude by pulse

duration. Each symbol represents a data point obtained from WT (black filled symbols) and

RIM-BP2 KO (gray open symbols) mice at various $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$. Data points were fitted by a single

exponential function. Numerical values of plots are provided in Figure 3-figure supplement

1-source data 1.

Figure supplement 1-source data 1. Datasets of Ca^{2+} charge and ΔC_m presented in Figure

3-figure supplement 1.

High EGTA experiments suggest unaltered coupling between calcium channels and

synaptic vesicles.

215   Previous studies have used Ca^{2+} chelator such as EGTA to examine the sensitivity

of release to intracellular Ca^{2+} buffers (Adler et al., 1991; Borst & Sakmann, 1996; Vyleta &

Jonas, 2014). When the physical coupling between Ca^{2+} channels and synaptic vesicles is

tight, EGTA (slow Ca^{2+} chelator) has less effect on release probability, but EGTA is effective

when the coupling is loose. Previous experiments have suggested that RIM-BPs regulate the

channel-vesicle coupling at the calyx of Held (Acuna et al., 2015). If this observation also

applies to the mossy fiber synapse, EGTA sensitivity of release should be higher in RIM-BP2

KO terminals. To investigate the coupling at mossy fiber boutons in RIM-BP2 KO, we

changed the concentration of EGTA in the patch pipette. In the presence of 5 mM EGTA, we

depolarized the terminal from -80 mV to +10 mV for 5 ms and recorded Ca^{2+} currents and

membrane capacitance (Fig. 4A). Ca^{2+} currents and ΔC_m were plotted against pulse duration

(Fig. 4B). In both WT and RIM-BP2 KO mice, ΔC_m were reduced under 5 mM EGTA

compared with the control condition of 0.5 mM EGTA. We compared the effect of EGTA on

average amplitudes of peak Ca^{2+} currents in response to a 20 ms pulse (Fig. 4D).

Interestingly, Ca^{2+} current amplitudes were ~1.5 times larger at 5 mM EGTA (53 ± 12 pA, n =

5) than at 0.5 mM EGTA (39 ± 3.7 pA, n = 8) in WT, though the data scattered under 5 mM

EGTA condition and there was no statistically significant difference (p = 0.524). In RIM-BP2

KO, we did not observe such an increase. EGTA might inhibit Ca^{2+} channel inactivation in

WT (von Gersdorff and Matthews, 1996). In Fig. 4C, we compared the effect of EGTA on the

ΔC_m in response to a 20 ms pulse. In both genotypes, ΔC_m values were inhibited by 5 mM

EGTA, and the inhibition was larger in RIM-BP2 KO.

236   Ca^{2+} current amplitudes in RIM-B2 KO are smaller than in WT (Fig. 4B). It is possible

that strong effect of high EGTA on release maybe due to reduced Ca^{2+} currents in RIM-BP2

KO. Therefore, we changed $[Ca^{2+}]_{ext}$ from 2 mM to 1 mM and adjusted Ca^{2+} current

amplitudes of WT to the RIM-BP2 KO level. The capacitance increase and the amplitudes of

Ca^{2+} currents became similar to those of RIM-BP2 KO (Fig. 4C and Fig. 4D). These results

indicate that WT and RIM-BP2 KO terminals have similar sensitivity of neurotransmitter

release to EGTA. Thus, it is unlikely that changes in the coupling distance are responsible

for the reduced exocytosis of the mutants.

Figure 4. The effects of high EGTA on calcium currents and synaptic vesicle

exocytosis

(**A**) Example traces in response to a 5 ms depolarizing pulse to +10 mV in WT *(left)* and RIM-

BP2 KO *(right)* boutons. Ca^{2+} current (I_{Ca}) and membrane capacitance (C_m) recorded with

0.5 mM EGTA (black) or 5 mM EGTA (red) in the patch pipette are shown. (**B**) The I_{Ca}s *(top)*

and C_ms *(bottom)* are plotted against the pulse duration. The patch pipette contained 5 mM

EGTA. Black filled diamonds and gray open diamonds represent the data from WT (n = 4-5)

and RIM-BP2 KO (n = 4), respectively. Each data point indicates mean ± SEM. (**C, D**)

Average C_ms (**C**) and I_{Ca}s (**D**) elicited by a 20 ms pulse in WT (filled bars) and RIM-BP2 KO

(hatched bars). The extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration was 1 mM or 2 mM. The intracellular

solution contained either 0.5 mM EGTA (black) or 5 mM EGTA (red). Error bars show SEM.

Circles and diamonds indicate individual values. Numerical values of plots are provided in

Figure 4-source data 1.

Source data 1. Datasets of Ca^{2+}-current and capacitance amplitudes presented in Figure 4.

STED microscopy reveals that RIM-BP2 KO reduces the density of P/Q-type Ca^{2+}

channels.

Our electrophysiological data suggest that RIM-BP2 may regulate the density of

Ca^{2+} channels, or possibly Ca^{2+} channel properties. In order to directly study the Ca^{2+}

channel density at the AZ, we performed STED microscopy analysis of Ca^{2+} channels in WT

and RIM-BP2 KO hippocampal mossy fiber terminals. We here focused on P/Q-type and N-

type Ca^{2+} channels because both Ca^{2+} channel types are relevant for transmitter release at

this synapse (Castillo et al., 1994; Pelkey et al., 2006; Li et al., 2007). We performed

immunohistochemistry on thin brain cryosections from WT and RIM-BP2 KO mice. STED

microscopy confirmed complete loss of RIM-BP2 proteins in KO terminals (Fig. S2). We then

immunohistochemically labeled the α-subunit of either P/Q-type Ca^{2+} channel (Cav2.1) or N-

type Ca^{2+} channel (Cav2.2) and Munc13-1 (AZ/release site marker, Böhme et al., 2016;

Sakamoto et al., 2018) with VGLUT1 (presynaptic marker) and PSD-95 (postsynaptic

marker) (Fig. 5A, Fig. 5B and Fig. S3). In the stratum lucidum of the hippocampal CA3 region,

mossy fibers form large synapses containing multiple and clustered AZs on CA3 pyramidal

cells, and also small synapses containing a single AZ on interneurons (Acsády et al., 1998;

Rollenhagen et al., 2007). Thus, we identified AZs using Munc13-1 STED images and

quantified the signal intensity of Cav2.1 or Cav2.2 only at AZs clustered in large VGLUT1

positive terminals in the CA3 stratum lucidum, allowing us to confine the analysis to large

mossy fiber terminals innervating onto CA3 pyramidal cells. The total signal intensity of

Cav2.1 at the AZ was 22% lower in RIM-BP2 KO mice (n = 4 animals) than in WT mice (n =

4 animals) (p = 0.0286) (Fig. 5C, left). These data are consistent with the reduction of Ca^{2+}

currents in RIM-BP2 KO mice. In contrast to Cav2.1, the total signal intensity of Cav2.2 and

Munc13-1 did not significantly differ between WT and RIM-BP2 KOs (p = 0.3143 and p =

0.0831) (Fig. 5C, right). Furthermore, we found that the number of Cav2.1 clusters within the

AZ identified by STED deconvolution analysis was not altered (2.5 ± 0.11 in WT, n = 4

animals; 2.3 ± 0.02 in KO, n = 4 animals) (p = 0.114). These data suggest that RIM-BP2 KO

reduces the number of P/Q-type Ca^{2+} channels per cluster. To optically estimate physical

distances between Ca^{2+} channels and release sites, we next analyzed the nearest

neighboring distance between Cav2.1 and Munc13-1 clusters. These distances were

unchanged (61 ± 1.4 nm in WT, n = 4 animals; 64 ± 1.3 nm in KO, n = 4 animals) (p = 0.200)

(Fig. 5D), consistent with the similar sensitivity of release to EGTA between WT and RIM-

BP2 KO mice. From these results, we conclude that decreased Ca^{2+} influx in RIM-BP2 KO

hippocampal mossy fiber terminals is caused by reduction in the density of P/Q-type Ca^{2+}

channels at the AZ.

Figure 5. RIM-BP2 deletion alters the density of Cav2.1 clusters within the AZ

(**A**) Confocal *(top)* and STED *(bottom)* images of Munc13-1 (magenta) and Cav2.1 (yellow)

clusters at hippocampal mossy fiber terminals of WT *(left)* and RIM-BP2 KO *(right)* mice.

(Scale bar: 500 nm.) (**B**) Confocal images of PSD95 (cyan) and VGLUT1 (white) to identify

glutamatergic synapses in CA3 stratum lucidum: mossy fiber-CA3 synapses. (Scale bar: 500

nm.) The image is taken from same region shown in (**A**), *(left)*. (**C**) Histograms *(top)* and

cumulative distribution plots *(bottom)* of the total signal intensity of Cav2.1 *(left)*, Cav2.2, and

Munc13-1 *(right)* at AZs in WT (black) and RIM-BP2 KO (red) mice. (**D**) The average nearest

neighbor distance between Cav2.1 and Munc13-1 clusters in WT (black; n = 4 animals) and

RIM-BP2 KO (red; n = 4 animals) mice. Several hundreds of AZs per image were analyzed.

Data show the average value of distance per animal and error bars represent SEM. Each

data point indicates individual values. Numerical values of plots are provided in Figure 5-

source data 1.

Source data 1. Datasets of numerical values presented in Figure 5.

Figure 5-figure supplement 1. STED microscopy confirmed loss of RIM-BP2 proteins

in KO terminals

Confocal *(top)* and STED *(middle)* images of RIM-BP2 (yellow) in WT *(left)* and RIM-BP2 KO

(right) hippocampal mossy fiber terminals identified by PSD95 (cyan) and VGLUT1 (white)

(bottom). (Scale bar: 500 nm.) The *bottom* images show the same region as *top* and *middle*.

Figure 5-figure supplement 2. STED imaging of Cav2.2 at hippocampal mossy fiber

terminals

Confocal *(top)* and STED *(middle)* images of Munc13-1 (magenta) and Cav2.2 (yellow)

clusters in WT *(left)* and RIM-BP2 KO *(right)* mice. Confocal images of PSD95 (cyan) and

VGLUT1 (white) in the same region as *top* and *middle* are also shown *(bottom)*. (Scale bar:

500 nm.)

Discussion

327   Accumulation of Ca^{2+} channels at the AZ, and efficient vesicle docking and priming

are essential factors for fast synaptic vesicle exocytosis. AZ proteins are critical for fast

synchronous release and synaptic diversity. RIM-BPs have been implicated in recruitment of

Ca^{2+} channels to the AZ (Kaeser et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011) by interacting with RIMs and

Ca^{2+} channels (Wang et al., 2000; Hibino et al., 2002). In addition, RIM-BPs, like RIM1/2,

recruit Munc-13 and accelerate synaptic vesicle priming (Brockmann et al., 2020).

Concerning the relative importance of RIM-BPs on priming vs Ca^{2+} channel recruitment, we

still do know little.

At murine central synapses, RIM-BPs deficient synapses demonstrate overall a

rather mild decrease in the amounts of transmitter release (Acuna et al., 2015; Grauel et al.,

2016; Luo et al., 2017; Krinner et al., 2017; Brockmann et al., 2019; Butola et al., 2021). Most

studies so far have been focused on synapses with high release probability (phasic

synapses) such as the calyx of Held and CA3-CA1 synapses. This said, at mossy fiber-CA3

synapses, KO of RIM-BPs has a strong influence on synaptic transmission (Brockmann et

al., 2019). Notably, at mossy fiber-CA3 synapses, release probability is relatively low (tonic

synapses). It was unknown whether the reduction of transmitter release at RIM-BP2 KO

mossy fiber synapses is due to impaired Ca^{2+} channel recruitment, compromised vesicle

docking or priming. Using STED microscopy, Brockmann et al. (2019) suggested that the

physical recruitment of Munc13-1 might be an important function of RIM-BP2, though

functional demonstration had been lacking.

By using direct presynaptic patch clamp recordings, we here observed a decrease

of Ca^{2+} current amplitudes (~30%) in RIM-BP2 KO mice (Fig. 1). As the rates of exocytosis

steeply depend on Ca^{2+} influx (Dodge and Rahamimoff, 1967), the reduced Ca2+ influx is

likely sufficient to explain the slowed release time course at RIM-BP2 KO mossy fiber

synapses. Moreover, STED microscopy supported decreased density of P/Q-type Ca^{2+}

channels (Cav2.1) in the mutant mossy fiber terminal. Brockmann et al. (2019) observed a

reduction of Munc13-1 cluster number and docked vesicles in RIM-BP2 deficient synapses

at hippocampal mossy fiber terminals. They identified the terminals by using ZnT3, enriched

at mossy fiber (Brockmann et al., 2019; Wenzel et al., 1997). Our STED imaging surprisingly

did not detect differences in Munc13-1 cluster number at the AZ between WT and RIM-BP2

KO mice. Notably, we analyzed the number of Cav2.1, Cav2.2 and Munc13-1 clusters only

within AZs showing direct co-localization with VGLUT1 and PSD-95, which mainly reflects

the AZs facing CA3 pyramidal cells rather than the synapses onto interneurons (Acsády et

al., 1998; Rollenhagen et al., 2007). Such a difference in areas analyze between previous

and our study might explain the difference. Still, our results do not exclude roles of RIM-BP2

in synaptic vesicle priming. We however suggest that the reduced Ca^{2+} influx might be more

critical here when compared to effects of impaired vesicle priming.

Recent studies have reported that RIM-BPs deletion altered Ca^{2+} channel

localization and loosened the coupling between Ca^{2+} channels and synaptic vesicles at

phasic synapses (Acuna et al., 2015; Grauel et al., 2016; Butola et al., 2021). We investigated

the Ca^{2+} channel-vesicle coupling at hippocampal mossy fiber terminals. The sensitivity of

release to Ca^{2+} chelator EGTA was not changed between WT and RIM-BP2 KO mice. We

also indicated RIM-BP2 KO does not alter the coupling of Ca^{2+} channels to release sites by

using STED microscopy.

At phasic synapses, deletion of RIM-BP2 affects physical distance between Ca^{2+}

channels and synaptic vesicles. In contrast, at hippocampal mossy fiber terminals having low

release probability (Vyleta and Jonas, 2014), RIM-BP2 KO decreased the number of P/Q-

type Ca^{2+} channels, thereby reducing Ca^{2+} influx and neurotransmitter release. Thus, we

hypothesize the specific molecular-architectural and biochemical contributions by RIM-BPs

might be of different relevance between phasic and tonic synapses. Brockmann et al. (2020)

proposed that RIM-BP2 controls Ca^{2+} channel recruitment and vesicle priming by profound

interaction with RIMs and Munc13s. These results have been obtained through studying

hippocampal cultures dominated by phasic synapses. It seems possible that the interaction

among three protein types is tight at phasic synapses, simply because of high density of

these proteins at AZs. Alternatively, one might speculate about additional proteins, different

interaction surfaces taken, transsynaptic columns (Tang et al., 2016). Deletion of RIM-BP2

does not lead to loss of Ca^{2+} channels themselves and/or vesicle priming due to remaining

RIMs, Munc13, and other proteins, which can regulate recruitment of Ca^{2+} channels and

synaptic vesicle priming without RIM-BP2. Instead, fine-tuning of release such as

synchronization is compromised. We hypothesize that AZ scaffold might be differently built,

leading to different consequences when eliminating one component. Hence deletion of RIM-

BP2 leads to immediate loss of Ca^{2+} channels and/or vesicle priming. This is in line with the

proposal that at tonic synapses, synaptic vesicles are only loosely coupled with Ca^{2+}

channels (Vyleta and Jonas, 2014) and vesicles are de-primed under resting conditions (Miki

et al., 2016; Neher and Brose, 2018). Future research along this line may lead to an

understanding of how fine molecular differences in the AZ scaffolds might orchestrate

synaptic diversity.

Materials and Methods

Slice preparation

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the

Physiological Society of Japan, and were approved by Doshisha University Animal

Experiment Committee (A22063, D22063).

We used male and female C57BL/6 mice (postnatal days 35-40). WT and RIM-BP2

KO mice (Grauel et al., 2016) were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated.

Their brains were quickly removed and chilled in sherbet-like cutting solution containing (in

mM): 87 NaCl, 75 sucrose, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 2.5 KCl, 10 glucose, 0.5 $CaCl_2$ and

7 $MgCl_2$ bubbled with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2 (Hallermann et al., 2003). Hippocampal slices

(300 µm thick) were prepared from brains using a vibratome (VT1200S, Leica) in ice-cold

cutting solution. After slicing, slices were incubated in cutting solution at 37°C for 30 min, and

subsequently kept at room temperature (22-25°C) up to 4 h.

Whole-cell recordings

Electrophysiological recordings were performed in a recording chamber filled with

the extracellular solution containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 25 glucose, 25 $NaHCO_3$,

1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 0.4 ascorbic acid, 3 myo-inositol, 2 Na-pyruvate, 2 $CaCl_2$ and 1 $MgCl_2$

saturated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2. In some experiments, the concentration of $CaCl_2$ was

changed to 1 mM, 1.5 mM and 4 mM. For membrane capacitance measurements, 1 µM

tetrodotoxin (TTX, Wako) was added to block Na^+ channels. Moreover, for recording Ca^{2+}

currents, 10 mM TEA-Cl was added to block K^+ channels. Slices were visualized by an

upright microscope (BX-51, Olympus). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were applied to

hippocampal mossy fiber terminals at room temperature. The patch pipettes were filled with

the intracellular solution containing (in mM): 135 Cs-gluconate, 20 TEA-Cl, 10 Hepes, 5

Na_2-phosphocreatine, 4 MgATP, 0.3 GTP and 0.5 EGTA (pH = 7.2 adjusted with CsOH). In

some experiments, the concentration of EGTA was changed to 5 mM. Presynaptic patch

pipettes (BF150-86-10, Sutter Instrument) had a resistance of 15-20 MΩ and series

resistance (R_s) was 30-70 MΩ. R_s was compensated so that residual resistance was 30-35

MΩ. Patch-clamp recordings were performed using an EPC10/3 amplifier (HEKA) in

voltage-clamp mode, controlled by Patchmaster software (HEKA). Membrane currents

were low-pass filtered at 2.9 kHz and sampled at 20 kHz. Membrane capacitance

measurements were performed using an EPC10/3 amplifier in the sine + DC configuration

(Gillis, 2000) using Patchmaster software (HEKA). A sine wave (30 mV in amplitude, 1000

Hz in frequency) was superimposed on the holding potential of -80 mV. Data were obtained

from at least three different WT and RIM-BP2 KO terminals in each set of experiments

(biological replicate).

Immunostaining

Brains of WT and RIM-BP2 KO mice were quickly removed and transferred to

cryomold (Sakura Finetek) filled with tissue freezing medium (Leica). Brains were

instantaneously frozen on aluminum block in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. For

cryosectioning, frozen brains were kept in cryostat (CM1860, Leica) at −18°C for 30 min.

Then, 10 µm-thick sections were sliced and collected on cover glasses (Matsunami glass,

25×25 No.1). Sections were quickly fixed by dehydration with a heat blower at 50°C for 1

min, and further dehydrated in ethanol for 30 min at -25°C and in acetone for 10 min on ice.

After blocking with PBS containing 0.3% BSA at room temperature, sections were

incubated with primary antibodies diluted in PBS containing 0.3% BSA: anti-VGLUT1

(1:2000, Synaptic Systems, guinea pig polyclonal, RRID:AB_887878), anti-PSD-95 (1:50,

NeuroMab, Mouse monoclonal IgG2a, clone K28/43, TC Supernatant, RRID:AB_2877189),

anti-Munc13-1 (1:1000, Mouse monoclonal IgG1, clone 11B-10G, Sakamoto et al., 2018),

and anti-Cav2.1 (1:400, Synaptic Systems, rabbit polyclonal, RRID:AB_2619841) or anti-

Cav2.2 (1:400, Synaptic Systems, Mouse monoclonal IgG2b, clone 163E3,

RRID:AB_2619843) or anti-RIM-BP2 (1:200, Mouse monoclonal IgG2b, clone 8-4G,

Sakamoto et al., 2022) for 3 hours at room temperature. Afterwards, sections were washed

and incubated with fluorescence dye labeled secondary antibodies: DyLight 405 Anti-

Guinea Pig IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, RRID:AB_2340470), Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) labeled Anti-Mouse IgG2a (Jackson ImmunoResearch,

RRID:AB_2338462), STAR635P (Abberior) labeled Anti-Mouse IgG1 (Jackson

ImmunoResearch, RRID:AB_2338461), and Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

labeled Anti-Rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, RRID:AB_2340585) or Anti-Mouse

IgG2b (Jackson ImmunoResearch, RRID:AB_2338463) for 1 hour at room temperature.

Sections were post-fixed with 4% PFA in PBS and washed with PBS. Sections were

mounted on coverslips using Prolong Glass Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The same number of sections were obtained from WT and RIM-BP2 KO brains and

processed in parallel at the same experimental day (biological replicate).

STED imaging

STED imaging was performed using TCS SP8 STED 3x microscope (Leica)

equipped with a 405 nm diode laser, a pulsed white light laser (WLL), a continuous 592 nm

STED laser for alignment, a pulsed 775 nm STED laser, HyD detectors, and a 100× oil-

immersion objective lens (NA = 1.4). STED images were acquired using the Leica LAS-X

software with an image format of 1024×1024 pixels, 16-bit sampling, 8-line accumulations,

and 11.36-zoom factor, yielding a pixel size of 10 nm. HyD detectors were configured to

counting mode with a gating from 0.5 to 6.5 nanosecond. A 405 nm diode laser was used to

excite DyLight405. Alexa488, Alexa594, and STAR635P were excited using WLL at 488

nm, 561 nm, and 633 nm, respectively. The 775 nm STED laser power was set to 75% and

100% of maximum power for depletion of STAR635P and Alexa594, respectively, and delay

time was set to 300 picoseconds. STED imaging was performed on thin sections obtained

from four different WT and RIM-BP2 KO mice (biological replicate). Images were acquired

three times from each section (technical replicate).

Analysis

For electrophysiological experiments, the data were analyzed by Igor Pro

(WaveMetrics) or Excel (Microsoft Corp). To determine the time constant of release, an

exponential fit was applied. Values are given as mean ± SEM, and n indicates the number

of recorded terminals. Statistical method of sample size determination was not done, but

our sample sizes are similar to those of previous studies (Hallermann et al., 2003,

Midorikawa and Sakaba, 2017).

For STED imaging, data were analyzed using custom-designed programs in

Mathematica (Wolfram), values were processed by Igor Pro (WaveMetrics), and pictures

were created with ImageJ (NIH). To determine the area of AZs, image masks were

generated from STED images of Munc13-1 by unsharp masking and image binarization.

Only AZs that show co-localization with VGLUT1 and PSD-95 immunofluorescence signals

were included in the analysis. To confine the analysis to the large mossy fiber boutons, AZs

in presynaptic terminals of small VGLUT1-positive area were excluded. Then, the

491 background subtracted integral of signal intensity of Cav2.1 or Cav2.2 was quantified using

492 the image masks of AZs. The background signal intensity was estimated from non-AZ area

493 in the same STED images. For quantification of Cav2.1 clusters in the AZs, STED images

494 of Cav2.1 were deconvolved using a gaussian kernel with a radius of 40 nm. Image masks

495 of each Ca^{2+} channel were generated from deconvolved STED images by unsharp masking

496 and image binarization. Then, the total signal intensity, the size, and the number of Cav2.1

497 clusters at the AZs were quantified using the image masks of Cav2.1 clusters. Custom-

498 designed programs in Mathematica (Wolfram) are available from Source code 1. Values

499 are given as mean ± SEM, and n indicates the number of animals. Statistical method of

500 sample size determination was not done, but our sample sizes are similar to those of

501 previous studies (Brockmann et al., 2019).

502   Experiments were not fully randomized and blinded.

503   Statistical analysis was done in MATLAB (The MathWorks). To consider the data

504 variability among terminals, Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for significance test of two

505 groups. Significance level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$, and p values were described in the main text

506 or figure legends.

508 **Data availability**

All data generated in this study are included in the main text or shown as scatter

plots in each graph. Numerical values of graphs are provided in Source data files. The

custom-written code files in Mathematica are uploaded as Source code file.

Acknowledgements

We thank Stephan Sigrist, Dietmar Schmitz and Christian Rosenmund for kindly

providing us RIM-BP2 KO mice. We also thank Stephan Sigrist for comments on the

manuscript and The IRCN Imaging Core, The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced

Studies, for the use of STED microscopy and for assistance. This works has been supported

by JSPS KAKENHI (20J20550 to RM, 20KK0171, 21K15183 to HS, 20H03427 to KH,

20KK0171, 21H02598 to TS), JSPS core-to-core program A, Advanced Research Networks

(JPJSCCA2022007 to TS) and JST, PRESTO (JPMJPR21E7 to HS).

Competing interests

None

Additional information

Author ORCIDs

Rinako Miyano https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0022-7198

Hirokazu Sakamoto https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9881-5173

Kenzo Hirose https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8944-6513

Takeshi Sakaba https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0688-7717

References

Acsády L, Kamondi A, Sík A, Freund T, Buzsáki G. 1998. GABAergic cells are the major postsynaptic targets of mossy fibers in the rat hippocampus. *Journal of Neuroscience* **18**:3386-3403. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-09-03386.1998, PMID: 9547246

Acuna C, Liu X, Gonzalez A, Südhof TC. 2015. RIM-BPs Mediate Tight Coupling of Action Potentials to Ca^{2+}-Triggered Neurotransmitter Release. *Neuron* **87**:1234-1247. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.027, PMID: 26402606

Adler EM, Augustine GJ, Duffy SN, Charlton MP. 1991. Alien intracellular calcium chelators attenuate neurotransmitter release at the squid giant synapse. *Journal of Neuroscience* **11**:1496-1507. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.11-06-01496.1991, PMID: 1675264

Borst JG, Sakmann B. 1996. Calcium influx and transmitter release in a fast CNS synapse. *Nature* **383**:431-434. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/383431a0, PMID: 8837774

Brockmann MM, Maglione M, Willmes CG, Stumpf A, Bouazza BA, Velasquez LM, Grauel MK, Beed P, Lehmann M, Gimber N, Schmoranzer J, Sigrist SJ, Rosenmund C, Schmitz D. 2019. RIM-BP2 primes synaptic vesicles *via* recruitment of Munc13-1 at hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. *eLife* **8**:e43243. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43243, PMID: 31535974

Brockmann MM, Zarebidaki F, Camacho M, Grauel MK, Trimbuch T, Südhof TC, Rosenmund C. 2020. A Trio of Active Zone Proteins Comprised of RIM-BPs, RIMs, and Munc13s Governs Neurotransmitter Release. *Cell Reports* **32**:107960-107960. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107960, PMID: 32755572

Butola T, Alvanos T, Hintze A, Koppensteiner P, Kleindienst D, Shigemoto R, Wichmann C, Moser T. 2021. RIM-Binding Protein 2 Organizes Ca^{2+} Channel Topography and Regulates Release Probability and Vesicle Replenishment at a Fast Central Synapse. *Journal of Neuroscience* **41**:7742-7767. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0586-21.2021, PMID: 34353898

Castillo PE, Weisskopf MG, Nicoll RA. 1994. The role of Ca^{2+} channels in hippocampal

mossy fiber synaptic transmission and long-term potentiation. *Neuron* **12**:261-269. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(94)90269-0, PMID: 8110457

Dodge FA, Rahamimoff R. 1967. Co-operative action a calcium ions in transmitter release

at the neuromuscular junction. *The Journal of physiology* **193**:419-432. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1967.sp008367, PMID: 6065887

Grauel MK, Maglione M, Reddy-Alla S, Willmes CG, Brockmann MM, Trimbuch T,

Rosenmund T, Pangalos M, Vardar G, Stumpf A, Walter AM, Rost BR, Eickholt BJ,

Haucke V, Schmitz D, Sigrist SJ, Rosenmund C. 2016. RIM-binding protein 2 regulates

release probability by fine-tuning calcium channel localization at murine hippocampal

synapses. *PNAS* **113**:11615-11620. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605256113,

PMID: 27671655

Hallermann S, Pawlu C, Jonas P, Heckmann M. 2003. A large pool of releasable vesicles

in a cortical glutamatergic synapse. *PNAS* **100**:8975-8980. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1432836100, PMID: 12815098

Hibino H, Pironkova R, Onwumere O, Vologodskaia M, Hudspeth AJ, Lesage F. 2002.

RIM binding proteins (RBPs) couple Rab3-interacting molecules (RIMs) to voltage-gated

Ca^{2+} channels. *Neuron* **34**:411-423. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00667-0,

PMID: 11988172

Kaeser PS, Deng L, Wang Y, Dulubova I, Liu X, Rizo J, Südhof TC. 2011. RIM proteins

tether Ca^{2+} channels to presynaptic active zones via a direct PDZ-domain interaction. *Cell*

144:282-295. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.029, PMID: 21241895

Katz B. 1969. The release of neural transmitter substances. Liverpool University Press.

ISBN: 0853230609

Krinner S, Butola T, Jung S, Wichmann C, Moser T. 2017. RIM-Binding Protein 2

Promotes a Large Number of $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+}-Channels and Contributes to Fast Synaptic

Vesicle Replenishment at Hair Cell Active Zones. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*

11:334. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2017.00334, PMID: 29163046

Li L, Bischofberger J, Jonas P. 2007. Differential gating and recruitment of P/Q-, N-, and

R-type Ca^{2+} channels in hippocampal mossy fiber boutons. *Journal of Neuroscience*

27:13420-13429. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1709-07.2007, PMID:

18057200

Lindau M, Neher E. 1988. Patch-clamp techniques for time-resolved capacitance

measurements in single cells. *Pflügers Archiv* **411**:137-146. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00582306, PMID: 3357753

Liu KS, Siebert M, Mertel S, Knoche E, Wegener S, Wichmann C, Matkovic T,

Muhammad K, Depner H, Mettke C, Bückers J, Hell SW, Müller M, Davis GW, Schmitz D,

Sigrist SJ. 2011. RIM-binding protein, a central part of the active zone, is essential for

neurotransmitter release. *Science* **334**:1565-1569. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1212991, PMID: 22174254

Luo F, Liu X, Südhof TC, Acuna C. 2017. Efficient stimulus-secretion coupling at ribbon

synapses requires RIM-binding protein tethering of L-type Ca^{2+} channels. *Proceedings of*

the National Academy of Sciences **114**:E8081-E8090. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702991114, PMID: 28874522

Midorikawa M, Sakaba T. 2017. Kinetics of Releasable Synaptic Vesicles and Their

Plastic Changes at Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses. *Neuron* **96**:1033-1040.e3. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.10.016, PMID: 29103807

Miki T, Malagon G, Pulido C, Llano I, Neher E, Marty A. 2016. Actin- and Myosin-

Dependent Vesicle Loading of Presynaptic Docking Sites Prior to Exocytosis. *Neuron*

91:808-823. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.033, PMID: 27537485

Mittelstaedt T, Schoch S. 2007. Structure and evolution of RIM-BP genes: identification of

a novel family member. *Gene* **403**:70-79. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2007.08.004, PMID: 17855024

Müller M, Genç Ö, Davis GW. 2015. RIM-binding protein links synaptic homeostasis to

the stabilization and replenishment of high release probability vesicles. *Neuron* **85**:1056-

1069. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.01.024, PMID: 25704950

Neher E, Brose N. 2018. Dynamically Primed Synaptic Vesicle States: Key to Understand

Synaptic Short-Term Plasticity. *Neuron* **100**:1283-1291. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.024, PMID: 30571941

Pelkey KA, Topolnik L, Lacaille J-C, McBain CJ. 2006. Compartmentalized Ca^{2+} channel
regulation at divergent mossy-fiber release sites underlies target cell-dependent plasticity.
Neuron **52**:497-510. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.08.032, PMID: 17088215

Petzoldt AG, Götz TWB, Driller JH, Lützkendorf J, Reddy-Alla S, Matkovic-Rachid T, Liu
S, Knoche E, Mertel S, Ugorets V, Lehmann M, Ramesh N, Beuschel CB, Kuropka B,
Freund C, Stelzl U, Loll B, Liu F, Wahl MC, Sigrist SJ. 2020. RIM-binding protein couples
synaptic vesicle recruitment to release sites. *Journal of Cell Biology* **219**:e201902059.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201902059, PMID: 32369542

Rollenhagen A, Sätzler K, Rodríguez EP, Jonas P, Frotscher M, Lübke JHR. 2007.
Structural determinants of transmission at large hippocampal mossy fiber synapses.
Journal of Neuroscience **27**:10434-10444. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1946-07.2007, PMID: 17898215

Sakamoto H, Ariyoshi T, Kimpara N, Sugao K, Taiko I, Takikawa K, Asanuma D, Namiki
S, Hirose K. 2018. Synaptic weight set by Munc13-1 supramolecular assemblies. *Nature*
neuroscience **21**:41–49. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0041-9, PMID:
29230050

Sakamoto H, Kimpara N, Namiki S, Hamada S, Ohtsuka T, Hirose K. 2022. Synapse type-
specific molecular nanoconfigurations of the presynaptic active zone in the hippocampus
identified by systematic nanoscopy [unpublished manuscript]. *bioRxiv* 2022.03.11.483942.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.11.483942

Schneggenburger R, Meyer AC, Neher E. 1999. Released fraction and total size of a pool
of immediately available transmitter quanta at a calyx synapse. *Neuron* **23**:399-409. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80789-8, PMID: 10399944

Südhof TC. 2012. The presynaptic active zone. *Neuron* **75**:11-25. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.06.012, PMID: 22794257

Tang AH, Chen H, Li TP, Metzbower SR, MacGillavry HD, Blanpied TA. 2016. A trans-
synaptic nanocolumn aligns neurotransmitter release to receptors. *Nature* **536**:210-214.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19058, PMID: 27462810

Thanawala MS, Regehr WG. 2013. Presynaptic calcium influx controls neurotransmitter
release in part by regulating the effective size of the readily releasable pool. *Journal of*

Neuroscience **33**:4625-4633. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4031-12.2013,

PMID: 23486937

von Gersdorff H, Matthews G. 1996. Calcium-dependent inactivation of calcium current in

synaptic terminals of retinal bipolar neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* **16**:115-122. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-01-00115.1996, PMID: 8613777

Vyleta NP, Jonas P. 2014. Loose coupling between Ca^{2+} channels and release sensors at

a plastic hippocampal synapse. *Science* **343**:665-670. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244811, PMID: 24503854

Wadel K, Neher E, Sakaba T. 2007. The coupling between synaptic vesicles and Ca^{2+}

channels determines fast neurotransmitter release. *Neuron* **53**:563-575. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.021, PMID: 17296557

Wang Y, Sugita S, Südhof TC. 2000. The RIM/NIM family of neuronal C2 domain

proteins. Interactions with Rab3 and a new class of Src homology 3 domain proteins.

Journal of Biological Chemistry **275**:20033-20044. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M909008199, PMID: 10748113

Wenzel HJ, Cole TB, Born DE, Schwartzkroin PA, Palmiter RD. 1997. Ultrastructural

localization of zinc transporter-3 (ZnT-3) to synaptic vesicle membranes within mossy fiber

boutons in the hippocampus of mouse and monkey. *PNAS* **94**:12676-12681. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.23.12676, PMID: 9356509