Monosynaptic trans-collicular pathways for sensory-motor integration in the whisker system

Jesús Martín-Cortecero[1#*], Emilio Ulises Isaías-Camacho[1#], Berin Boztepe[1,2#], Katharina Ziegler[1], Rebecca Audrey Mease[1] and Alexander Groh[1*]

[1] Medical Biophysics, Institute for Physiology and Pathophysiology, Heidelberg University, 69120, Germany**.**
[2] Current affiliation: Neuroradiology Department, University Hospital Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
[#] JMC, EIC, and BB contributed equally to this work
[*] martin-cortecero@physiologie.uni-heidelberg.de (JMC); groh@uni-heidelberg.de (AG)

Abstract

The superior colliculus (SC), a conserved midbrain-node with extensive long-range connectivity throughout the brain, is a key structure for innate behaviors. Descending cortical pathways are increasingly recognized as central control points for SC-mediated behaviors, but how cortico-collicular pathways coordinate SC activity at the cellular level is poorly understood. Moreover, despite the known role of the SC as a multisensory integrator, the involvement of the SC in the somatosensory system is largely unexplored in comparison to its involvement in the visual and auditory systems. Here, we mapped the anatomical and functional input-output properties of the whisker-sensitive region of the SC in mice with transsynaptic and intersectional tracing tools and in vivo electrophysiology. The results reveal a novel trans-collicular connectivity motif by which upstream neurons in motor- and somatosensory cortices are linked to specific downstream whisker circuits in the brainstem and diencephalon via only one synapse in the SC. Intersectional approaches and optogenetically assisted *in vivo* quantifications reveal convergence of motor and somatosensory cortical input on individual SC neurons, providing a new framework for sensori-motor integration in the SC. More than a third of the cortical recipient neurons in the whisker SC are GABAergic neurons, which in turn give rise to hitherto unknown cortico-collicular GABAergic long-range pathways to thalamic nuclei and the zona incerta. These results pinpoint a whisker region in the SC of mice as a node for the integration of somatosensory and motor cortical signals via parallel excitatory and inhibitory trans-collicular pathways which link cortical and subcortical whisker circuits for somato-motor integration.

Keywords: transsynaptic; trans-collicular; superior colliculus; sensori-motor convergence; long-range GABA projections

Introduction

The superior colliculus (SC) is part of a phylogenetically ancient brain network that directs quick motor actions in response to ascending sensory signals [1,2]. Recent work in the visual and auditory system has shown that SC-mediated behaviors are modulated by cortical inputs [3–5], raising the question of how cortico-collicular pathways impinge onto SC circuits and in turn generate SC output signals to downstream target circuits in the diencephalon and brainstem. This information is not only essential to identify the long-range interaction partners in cortico-collicular functions, but also to answer principal questions concerning the identity of cortically targeted SC neurons: are they excitatory or inhibitory, to where do they project, do they receive sensory and/or motor signals? SC circuits have mostly been studied in relation to vision [2,5–7]. In contrast, somatosensory functions of the SC are less studied and less understood, even though somatosensory functions such as whisker sensation are vital for rodents and other animals [8–11]. This study set out to address the involvement of SC circuits in the whisker system and focused on three principal questions about the organization of trans-collicular pathways that mediate cortical input to SC downstream targets (Fig. 1).

(1.) Cortico-collicular signals may be routed directly to SC downstream targets (i.e. the thalamus and brainstem) via monosynaptic trans-collicular pathways and/or more indirectly, via intra-collicular connections to SC output neurons [2] (Fig. 1, left panel). While intra-collicular circuits are well-described [12], evidence for monosynaptic trans-collicular pathways is limited because relatively few studies have delineated the precise input-output connectivity of defined subpopulations in SC [2,13]. Indeed, input-output connectivity of the SC is mostly considered at the level of SC layers [2,14] and radial cortico-collicular input zones [15]. However, these mesoscopic modules comprise intermingled populations of input and output neurons as well as input neurons with different input identities [15–17], for example, retinal and cortical inputs [18]. Therefore, dissection of input-defined cell-types and analysis of their outputs is critical to unambiguously link specific SC input pathways to specific SC downstream targets and to determine whether cortical input signals can be transformed directly into SC output to downstream SC target circuits.

(2.) The SC comprises excitatory and inhibitory neurons; for example, in the visual SC approximately one third of the neurons are GABAergic [14,19]. However, very little is known about GABAergic neurons in the somatosensory SC (Fig. 1, center panel), including their proportions, their inputs and outputs and whether they are classic local interneurons or projections neurons. Determining how cortical pathways engage specific excitatory or inhibitory SC circuits and identifying which downstream pathways emanate from these circuits

is indispensable to make circuit-mechanistic predictions about recently discovered top-down modulation of SC-mediated innate escape and defense behaviors [3–5].

(3.) The multisensory nature of the SC has motivated a large body of studies demonstrating the integration of visual, auditory, somatosensory, and motor signals in the SC [16,17,20–24]. Nevertheless, whether sensory and motor cortex pathways converge in individual SC neurons remains untested (Fig. 1, right panel). The lateral SC (LSC) has been identified as a putative point of convergence of somatosensory and motor cortical efferents [15], making it a promising target to test this hypothesis.

Fig. 1. Putative synaptic connectivity motifs for the transfer of cortical information to SC downstream targets via single SC neurons.

Here we mapped the input-output connectivity of the mouse SC on the single-cell level with transsynaptic tracing, intersectional viral approaches and optogenetic-assisted electrophysiology. In awake animals, we identify a whisker-sensitive region in the SC which receives trigeminal and cortico-collicular input from motor and somatosensory cortex. We then targeted specific subsets of input-defined pathways from the brainstem and motor and somatosensory cortices to the SC and traced their axonal outputs to downstream targets, revealing direct trans-collicular pathways which provide disynaptic long-range links between cortical pathways and SC target regions. Transsynaptic labeling in combination with optogenetic input mapping reveals long-range input convergence on the level of individual SC neurons, with approximately one third of the cortical recipient SC neurons receiving convergent input from both motor and somatosensory cortex. We find that long-range input pathways extensively target inhibitory SC neurons, which in turn give rise to long-range GABAergic projections. One such identified pathway targets the zona incerta, suggesting trans-collicular circuits for cortical disinhibitory control of higher-order thalamus. In sum,this study pinpoints a 'whisker SC', in which converging cortical and trigeminal inputs innervate

GABAergic and non-GABAergic SC neurons, which in turn provide parallel trans-collicular pathways to downstream whisker circuits in the diencephalon and brainstem.

Results

The whisker-sensitive LSC receives trigeminal and cortico-collicular input from motor cortex and barrel cortex.

In awake mice, we tested neuronal responses to whisker deflections by targeting silicon probes to different locations in the lateral SC (Fig. 2A, B), which has been shown in anesthetized animals to be whisker-sensitive [25]. Whisker deflections were induced with an airpuff to whiskers contralateral to the recording sites. We found a varying degree of whisker-modulated collicular neurons across 12 recordings with approximately 30% of the units with significant modulation (325/1005 units modulated, 302/325 positive, 23/325 negative, Fig. 2C). The locations of the probes were determined by post-hoc localization of dye replacement. To visualize the location of whisker-sensitive units in the LSC, we then pooled and mapped all recorded units onto LSC outlines in coordinate space and computed their modulation indices (Fig. 2C).

Fig. 2. Whisker sensitivity in the lateral LSC.

(A) Experimental schematic of whisker airpuff stimulation and silicon probe recording in LSC in awake mice.

(B) Top: Single unit PSTHs (rows) in response to whisker stimulation (stippled line) from one example awake recording; units were ordered by first-spike latency, with shortest latencies on the bottom (78 units, 1 mouse).

(C) Summary from 12 recordings (1005 units, 12 mice) mapped onto SC outlines through trilateration in CellExplorer [26,27]. Each dot depicts the location of a unit, colors indicate the positive modulation strength upon whisker stimulation (see methods).

Having identified the whisker-sensitive region in the LSC, we next wanted to determine the long-range inputs to that region. To do so, we estimated stereotaxic coordinates from the recordings to targeted retrograde virus (rAAV-ChR2-tdTomato, [28,29]) which retrogradely labeled upstream LSC-projecting neurons (Fig. 3A). LSC-projecting neurons were found in

multiple cortical and subcortical areas (Fig. 3B), including whisker-related areas (Fig. 3C, D) in the ipsilateral barrel cortex (BC) and whisker motor cortex [30] (MC: M1 & M2, Supplementary Fig. S1), and in the contralateral trigeminal complex in the brainstem (Bs, Fig. 3E). In addition to these whisker-related projections to SC, we found SC-projecting neurons in the auditory, insular, and ectorhinal cortices as described before [15].

The laminar profiles of LSC-projecting neurons in MC and BC illustrate that in both cortices, cortico-collicular neurons originate in layer 5 (L5)(Fig. 3C, D). The L5 origin of cortico-collicular projections was further confirmed by registering LSC-projecting somata with layer 6 (L6)-specific EYFP fluorescence in an Ntsr1-EYFP reporter mouse line, showing segregation of these two subcortical projection neuron types (Fig. 3C). Moreover, virus-mediated labeling of cortical boutons revealed dense innervation of LSC by MC and BC, with moderately sized cortico-collicular boutons (median diameters: BC 1.15 μm, MC 1.38 μm, Supplementary Fig. S2), consistent with recent reports [31,32]. In summary, the whisker-sensitive region of the LSC receives direct and dense input from whisker areas in the brainstem and from L5 neurons in the barrel and motor cortices.

Fig. 3. The whisker-sensitive LSC receives projections from whisker-related cortical and brainstem regions.

(A) Upper: Retrograde viral labeling of LSC-projecting neurons: rAAV-tdTomato (red) injection into LSC (Ntsr1-ChR2-EYFP mouse line). Lower: Example images of injection site in LSC (red).

(B) Consecutive fluorescent images (rostral to caudal) of labeled LSC-projection areas, including ipsilateral MC and BC, and contralateral Sp5 in the trigeminal complex. Insets show L5 neurons in MC and BC at higher magnification. Abbreviations: AuD, auditory cortex; BC, barrel cortex; Cg, cingulate cortex; Ect, ectorhinal cortex; Ins, insular cortex; MC, motor cortex; Rs, retrosplenial cortex; S1, primary somatosensory cortex; S2, secondary somatosensory cortex; Sp5, spinal trigeminal nucleus.

(C) Fluorescence images of MC and BC (coronal slices) showing LSC-projecting neurons in layer 5 (red, TdTomato) relative to genetically identified layer 6 neurons (green, Ntsr1-EYFP line).

(D) Depth and laminar distributions of LSC-projecting neurons in MC and BC. Red dots depict the soma depths of LSC-projecting neurons (relative to pia = 0 µm, MC: median depth -741, IQR = 272 µm; BC:

median depth = -648, IQR = 71 μm). Depth-resolved soma densities (black solid lines) are shown relative to layer borders and white matter (WM) (dashed horizontal lines, estimated based on DAPI signals).

(E) LSC-projecting neurons (red, Td-Tomato) in the contralateral brainstem are located in the spinal trigeminal nucleus (Sp5). Abbreviations: 4V, fourth ventricle; icp, inferior cerebellar peduncle; sp5, spinal trigeminal tract; Sp5, spinal trigeminal nucleus; Bs, brainstem; 7N, facial nucleus.

Exact N in Supplementary Table S2.

Cellular organization of somatosensory and motor pathways in the lateral SC.

We next sought to directly target MC-, BC-, and Bs-recipient neurons (RNs) in the LSC to study their organization, cellular identity, and projection patterns. To do so, we employed a transsynaptic anterograde approach which is based on the ability of the AAV1 serotype to trans-synaptically jump to postsynaptic neurons [3]. We injected AAV1-Cre in combination with AAV2-DIO-mCherry into MC, BC, and Bs to simultaneously label the injection sites and their axonal projections with mCherry (red) and to express cre in the synaptically connected postsynaptic target neurons in LSC. Finally, Cre-expressing RNs were revealed by injecting AAV2-DIO-EGFP into LSC (green) (Fig. 4A, B, Supplementary Fig. S3). This strategy allowed us to visualize and reconstruct the three RN populations (MC-RNs, 6 mice; BC-RNs, 6 mice; Bs-RNs, 7 mice) and register them to standard anatomical borders within SC. This revealed that the three whisker-related input pathways predominantly target neurons in the intermediate layer of the LSC (Fig. 4C). The RN-types largely overlap along the antero-posterior axis (Fig. 4D) but are more segregated in the dorsal-ventral and medial-lateral dimensions. Here, the organization shows a lateral Bs-recipient zone, adjacent to a medial cortical-recipient zone with considerable overlap between MC- and BC-RNs (Fig. 4E, F).

Together, the anterograde and retrograde tracing experiments identify a region within the intermediate layers of the LSC which spans approximately 1.2 mm in the anterior-posterior axis (~85% of SC's extent) and which receives input from main whisker circuits in the cortex and brainstem. This region is highly whisker-sensitive (Fig. 2), suggesting that the intermediate layer of the LSC is a 'whisker SC' located ventrally to the 'visual SC'.

Fig. 4. Whisker-related sensori-motor recipient neurons are organized into overlapping zones within the intermediate layer the LSC.

(*A*) Transsynaptic labeling of MC-, BC- and Bs-RNs in SC (left). Cocktails of AAV1-Cre + AAV-DIO-mCherry were injected into projecting sites (MC, BC, or Bs) and AAV2-DIO-EGFP in SC. Example fluorescent pictures (right) of mCherry expression (red) in the projecting sites. Abbreviations: LV, lateral ventricle; icp, inferior cerebellar peduncle; Pia, pia mater; sp5, spinal trigeminal tract; Sp5, spinal trigeminal nucleus; WM, white matter; 7N, facial nucleus.

(*B*) Top left: Schematic of transsynaptically labeled RNs (green). Top right: Example confocal image of SC showing mCherry-expressing BC axons (red) and Cre-dependent expression of EGFP in BC-RNs (green). Bottom: Fluorescent images of EGFP expression (green) in MC-, BC- and Bs- RNs.

(*C*) Example reconstructions of the three RN populations along the anterior-posterior axis (red: MC-RNs; blue: BC-RNs; green: Bs-RNs), registered to standard anatomical borders within SC.

(*D*) Anterior-posterior distributions of RNs. Data points show mean RN counts per 100 µm bin (red: MC-RNs, 6 mice; blue: BC-RNs, 6 mice; green: Bs-RNs,7 mice) normalized to their maximum count. Box plots show medians (line in box) and IQRs (first to third quartile) in mm (boxes), ([median, Q1, Q3 in mm] MC-RNs: 3.58, 3.38, 3.88; BC-RNs: 3.68, 3.48, 3.88; Bs-RNs: 3.68, 3.48, 3.88).

(*E*) Comparison of recipient zones in the dorsal-ventral (DV) and medial-lateral (ML) dimensions in SC. Fluorescent thresholded representative slices of each RN population, registered at a similar AP coordinate. (Centroids: [DV, ML, µm] MC: 1123, 1505; BC: 1035, 1297; Bs: 1276, 993).

(*F*) Co-labeling experiment of cortical (red, MC-RNs + BC-RNs) and Bs-RNs (green). Top right: example fluorescent image showing cortical and peripheral RNs. Middle: fluorescence thresholded RN signals from 15 consecutive images. Bottom: Histograms show the thresholded pixel gray value probability for cortical-RNs and Bs-RNs in the DV axis and in the ML axis
([DV, median and IQR, relative to SC dorsal surface] Cortical-RN [µm]: 1115, 147; Bs-RN: 1365, 281; [ML, median and IQR, µm relative to SC midline] Cortical-RN: 1472, 211; Bs-RN: 1637, 287, p < 0.001).

* represents p < 0.01; D: Kruskal-Wallis, F: Wilcoxon rank-sum; exact p values in Supplementary Table S1, exact N in Supplementary Table S2. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M.

Cortico- and trigemino-collicular pathways directly target GABAergic neurons in the LSC.

Are the neurons targeted by cortical and trigeminal long-range input to the LSC excitatory or inhibitory? To address this question, we first estimated the proportion of GABAergic neurons in the LSC. Using a GAD-GFP mouse, in which GABAergic neurons express GFP, we co-labeled all neurons using the pan-neuronal marker NeuN-Alexa 647 (Fig. 5A) and found that approximately 23% of the LSC neurons in the intermediate layer are GABAergic (Fig. 5F and Supplementary Fig. S6), which is slightly lower than in the superficial SC (~30% [14]).

We then tested for monosynaptic innervation of GABAergic LSC neurons by employing a transsynaptic intersectional strategy that allowed us to separate GABAergic recipient neurons (iRNs) from the population of RNs, for each long-range input pathway (Fig. 5B). In GAD-Cre mice, which express Cre in GABAergic neurons [33], we injected anterograde virus (AAV1-Flpo) into MC, BC or Bs, as well as conditional reporter viruses (AAV-ConFon EYFP, AAV-fDIO mCherry) into LSC. This intersectional approach differentially labeled RNs with mCherry and iRNs with EYFP, demonstrating direct innervation of GABAergic neurons by MC, BC and Bs input pathways (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Figs. S4, 5 for controls). Reconstructions of the two populations show that iRNs and RNs are intermingled for all three input pathways (Fig. 5D). Quantifying the iRN/RN proportions shows that each pathway targets between 34-37% GABAergic neurons along the full extent of the recipient antero-posterior axis (Fig. 5E-G).

In summary, long-range input pathways from MC, BC and Bs extensively target GABAergic neurons in the LSC. The proportion of iRNs exceeded the proportion of GABAergic neurons (>34% vs 23%, Fig. 5G) suggesting a preferential targeting for GABAergic neurons by these long-range input pathways.

Fig. 5. Cortico- and trigemino-collicular pathways directly target GABAergic neurons in LSC.

(*A*) The proportion of GABAergic neurons in the LSC was determined in a GAD-GFP mouse by calculating the proportion of GFP-expressing neurons (GABA, yellow) and all neurons labeled with pan-neuronal marker NeuN-Alexa 647 (red). From left to right: example anterior-posterior section,

corresponding fluorescence image of GFP and NeuN signals and reconstruction of soma locations. Pie chart: GABA/NeuN proportions from all analyzed sections (84 GABA/384 NeuNs, 22%).

(*B*) Intersectional labeling of RNs and iRNs for each pathway in GAD-cre mice. AAV1-Flpo + AAV-fDIO-mCherry was injected in the projecting sites (MC, BC, and Bs), and AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP + AAV2-fDIO-mCherry in LSC of GAD-Cre mice to label iRNs and RNs respectively.

(*C*) Top: Schematic of transsynaptically labeled RNs (red) and iRNs (green). Bottom: Confocal images of BC-iRNs and BC-RNs.

(D) Distribution of iRNs and RNs. Left: Example fluorescence images of iRNs and RNs in different SC sections for MC, BC, and Bs pathways, respectively. *Right:* Example reconstructions of MC-, BC- and Bs-iRN and RN populations along the anterior-posterior axis (red: RNs, yellow: iRNs), registered to standard anatomical borders within SC. Abbreviations: Int, Intermediate layers; Sup, Superficial layers.

(*E*) Example for quantification of iRN/RN ratio for the MC-SC pathway. Fluorescence image, reconstruction and pie chart summary of iRNs/RNs proportion (41 iRNs/119 RNs, 34%).

(*F*) Summary of iRN/RN proportion for all three input pathways (MC red, BC blue, Bs green) along the anterior-posterior SC axis and GABA/NeuN proportion (grey dashed line).

(*G*) Means and SEMs of iRN/RN proportions and GABA/NeuN for all three input pathways (same colors as in F); [mean per slice ± SEM, iRN/RN or GABA/NeuN, n mice]; MC: 36.4 ±2.4%, 2; BC: 35.6 ±2.4%, 3; Bs: 33.2 ±1.5%, 3; GABA/NeuN: 23.1%± 1.2, 1).

* represents $p < 0.01$; Kruskal-Wallis; exact p values in Supplementary Table S1, exact N values in Supplementary Table S2. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M.

Somatosensory and motor cortex convergence in LSC neurons.

The considerable overlap between recipient zones in LSC observed in Figure 4 suggests possible convergence of long-range input pathways on the level of individual LSC neurons. To test for monosynaptic input convergence in single LSC neurons (CVG-RN), we employed an intersectional strategy as follows: in the same mouse, we injected two projection sites – e.g. MC and BC – each with a different transsynaptic variant – AAV1-Cre or AAV1-Flpo. Injections of the double-conditional (Cre- and Flpo-dependent) reporter virus in SC indeed revealed CVG-RNs for all three pathways (Fig. 6A, B). MC & Bs convergence is highest in the anterior LSC, while MC & BC, and BC & Bs convergences peak in the center and posterior SC, respectively (Fig. 6C).

To quantify the degree of convergence, we compared (1.) z-scored mean CVG-RN counts per input pair and (2.) the proportion of CVG-RNs with respect to RNs between all input pairs. Both analyses revealed that MC & BC convergence stands out compared to the other convergence pairs. Notably, the z-scored MC & BC CVG-RN counts showed a 2.33-fold higher deviation compared to Bs & cortex convergence (Fig. 6D).

To calculate the proportion of convergence neurons relative to all recipient neurons, we counted mCherry-labeled RNs and EYFP-labeled CVG-RNs (Fig. 6A). Cortico-cortical convergence was about 2.3-fold higher than brainstem-cortical convergence (~23% CVG-RN for the MC & BC input pair versus ~10% CVG-RN for both the BC & Bs and MC & Bs input pairs) (Fig. 6E). Fitting a two-component Gaussian Mixture Model to the coordinates of MC &

BC CVG-RNs localized the cortico-cortical convergence zone to the dorsal portion of the intermediate layer (Fig. 6F).

Thus, motor and barrel cortex pathways converge on single neurons in the LSC, highlighting the whisker SC as a node for the integration of somatosensory and motor cortical signals. This convergence motif could potentially support fast, temporally precise integration of signals from different cortical regions; therefore, we next tested this possibility at the functional level.

Fig. 6. Whisker-related input pathways converge in subpopulations of LSC neurons.

(*A*) Left: Targeting convergence neurons (CVG-RNs) in LSC. AAV1-Cre + AAV2-DIO-mCherry and AAV1-Flpo + fDIO-mCherry were injected into two projecting sites and AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP + AAV2-DIO-mCherry into LSC to reveal CVG-RNs and RNs, respectively. Right: Example fluorescent images of CVG-RNs, Sup: superficial-; Int: intermediate layer.

(*B*) Example reconstructions of CVG-RNs along the anterior-posterior axis, registered to standard anatomical borders within SC.

(C) Normalized distributions of CVG-RNs along the anterior-posterior axis (bin size 100 μm). Box plots above show medians (white lines), and IQR (first to third quartile, boxes). MC & BC, MC & Bs, BC & Bs n=4, 3, 2 mice, respectively.

(*D*) Left: Z-scored mean number of CVG-RNs for each input pair. Right: Fold difference between mean number of CVG-RNs per 100 μm bin for cortex-cortex (4 mice) and brainstem-cortex (5 mice).

(*E*) Proportions of CVG-RNs to RNs for each convergence input pair. Box plots show the median (line in box), mean (square in box) and IQR (first to third quartile, boxes). ([median, mean, IQR] MC & BC: 0.20, 0.22, 0.01; BC & Bs: 0.08, 0.01, 0.09; MC & Bs: 0.09, 0.01, 0.06).

(*F*) The two-component Gaussian Mixture Model shows the area of MC & BC convergence zone in the intermediate layer of the LSC (11 slices, 2 mice, 222 neurons). The black dot indicates the location of the highest probability of finding MC & BC CVG-RNs (1126 μm from SC surface and 1492 μm from the midline).

* represents $p < 0.01$; C, E: Kruskal-Wallis; exact p values in Supplementary Table S1, exact N in Supplementary Table S2. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M.

Single LSC units integrate sensory- and motor cortex inputs.

To validate functional convergence of MC and BC in LSC, we made electrophysiological recordings in LSC in combination with optogenetic stimulation of L5 neurons in MC and BC in anesthetized mice. We used Rbp4-Cre-ChR2-EYFP mice (n = 4), in which L5 neurons express ChR2 [34,35] and successively stimulated MC-L5 and BC-L5 during the same experiment by using a movable fiber optic placed above the pial surface (Fig. 7A).

By characterizing the spiking activity of individual SC units in response to successive optogenetic stimulation of L5 in MC or BC (Fig. 7B), we identified thirty units that responded to either MC-L5 or BC-L5, from which nine responded to both (Fig. 7C). Unit spike latencies in response to optogenetic stimulation of L5 were approximately 9 - 12 ms (Fig. 7D, exact values in Supplementary Table S3), comparable to the latencies of optogenetically-evoked spiking in L5 and L5-innervated downstream neurons in the thalamus [36,37], suggesting monosynaptic activation of LSC neurons via L5-SC synapses.

Thus, together with the anatomical tracing, these results show that the LSC integrates dual functional input from both motor and barrel cortex layer 5 neurons in a subpopulation of about 20-30% of the recipient neurons.

Fig.7. MC and BC functional convergence in LSC.

(A) Schematic of the optogenetic stimulation of MC-L5 and BC-L5 and 64-channel silicon probe recording in LSC of anesthetized Rbp4-Cre-ChR2-EYFP mice.

(B) Example raster plot of a single unit responding to both MC-L5 (top) and BC-L5 (bottom) laser stimulation (blue, 30 trials).

(C) Top: single unit PSTHs in response to MC-L5 (left) and BC-L5 (right) laser stimulation (dashed line). Units were ordered by response magnitude upon BC stimulation. Among 30 responsive units (out of 409 recorded SC units), 25 were responsive to optogenetic stimulation of MC-L5, 14 to BC-L5, and 9 to both MC-L5 and BC-L5 (blue arrows). Bottom: LSC population PSTHs for MC-L5 (left) and BC-L5 (right) laser stimulation (blue, laser on).

(D) Overlaid LSC population PSTHs for MC-L5 (black) and BC-L5 (gray) laser stimulation (blue).

(E) Proportions of MC-L5 or BC-L5 responsive units (blue) and units responding to both (dark blue).

See Supplementary Table S3 for extended data on unit population spiking characteristics.

Trans-collicular pathways via cortico- and trigemino-collicular projections to the diencephalon and brainstem.

It is not known whether cortico-collicular signals can be routed directly to LSC downstream targets via monosynaptic trans-collicular pathways. If this is the case, cortico-collicular input neurons in the LSC would be required to form long-range pathways that leave the SC. To test this possibility, we leveraged the transsynaptic labeling approach to search for axonal signals from RN labeling experiments (3 mice) throughout the brain. Indeed, we observed abundant RN axons and varicosities in multiple target areas in the brainstem and diencephalon (reconstructions in Fig. 8A; high magnification examples in Supplementary Fig. S7).

To estimate relative innervation strengths of the target nuclei, we computed RN-output maps from reconstructed axon counts (Fig. 8B) to visualize relatively enriched or sparse innervation. All three trans-collicular pathways innervated multiple diencephalic nuclei, with the highest axonal density in zona incerta (ZI) and sensori-motor-related nuclei in the thalamus, i.e. the posterior- (PO), ventro-medial- (VM) and parafascicular (PF) nuclei. In the brainstem, the strongest innervation was found in the pontine reticular (PnC; caudal part), the gigantocellular reticular (Gi) and the facial nucleus (7N). The innervation strength of a given target was often similar for the three trans-collicular pathways, particularly for brainstem targets. The output maps differed more strongly in the thalamus, for example in the lateral-posterior nucleus (LP), which received relatively enriched innervation by Bs-RN axons.

We next analyzed the inhibitory RN pathways and asked if they solely resemble classic interneurons, which only project within intra-collicular circuits, or if they also give rise to long-range projections outside the SC (GABAergic projection neurons). Unexpectedly, we found that iRNs from all three pathways give rise to high density innervation of the ZI as well as projections to PO and PF (Fig. 8C, Supplementary Fig. S8). In contrast, no iRN projections were found in the brainstem. Thus, trans-collicular pathways extend to long-range GABAergic output with hitherto unknown inhibitory functions in thalamic nuclei and the ZI. Based on the observed axonal projections, both RNs and iRNs innervate the dorsal and ventral ZI (Figs. 8A, C; S7 A, B; S8 A, B). Since the ZI is a main source of GABAergic projections to higher-order thalamus [38], this connectivity motif suggests inhibitory and disinhibitory gate control of higher-order thalamus via RN and iRN pathways, respectively.

In summary, we found excitatory and inhibitory monosynaptic trans-collicular pathways (Fig. 9), suggesting that at least part of the input signals from cortex and brainstem are directly transformed into SC output to rapidly route cortical and brainstem information to diverse points along the neural axis.

Fig. 8. Trans-collicular pathways via cortico- and trigemino-collicular projections to the diencephalon and brainstem.

(*A*) Example axon reconstructions of MC-, BC- and Bs-RNs in diencephalic (left) and brainstem nuclei (right) target nuclei.

(*B*) RN output maps computed from normalized relative axon counts (RAC) along the anterior-posterior axis for all three RN pathways and their diencephalic (left) and brainstem (right) target nuclei. Red and blue indicate high or low RAC values, respectively. Bar plots summarize Z-scored axon counts for each target nucleus, normalized to the maximum axon count in each pathway. Stippled line indicates Z-score = 0, n=3 mice, see Methods for details.

(C) Example confocal images (desaturated and inverted) of axons from MC-, BC- and Bs-iRN in the diencephalon. Inset images at a higher magnification show varicosities (white arrowheads, scale bar 5 µm). See Supplementary Fig. 7 for higher magnification images. Abbreviations: APT, anterior pretectal nucleus; CM, central medial nucleus ; CL, central lateral nucleus; DLG, dorsolateral geniculate nucleus; ETH, ethmoidal nucleus; LD, laterodorsal nucleus; LP, lateral posterior nucleus; MD, mediodorsal nucleus; PAG, periaqueductal gray; PC, paracentral nucleus; PF, parafascicular nucleus; PO, posterior nucleus; PR, prerubral field; PRC, precommissural nucleus; PV, paraventricular nucleus; Re, Reuniens nucleus; RH, rhomboid nucleus; SCP, superior cerebellar peduncle; STH, subthalamic nucleus; VM, ventromedial nucleus; VPM, ventral posteromedial nucleus; ZI, zona incerta.

Discussion

Trans-collicular pathways

The superior colliculus – a highly conserved sensori-motor structure in vertebrates – is said to be one of the best-characterized structures in the brain [2,13,14]. However, precise understanding of how particular input pathways to the SC are synaptically connected to particular SC output targets has been hampered due to the lack of tools to determine the connectivity features of input-defined SC neurons. Such anatomical constraints are however necessary to develop functional predictions and testable hypotheses for sensori-motor computations in the SC.

The present study elucidated the input-output organization of the mouse LSC by tracing input-defined pathways from the brainstem and motor and somatosensory cortices to the LSC, and further on to collicular downstream targets in the midbrain and brainstem (Fig. 9). We thereby identified a connectivity scheme with significant implications for collicular computations: trans-collicular pathways, monosynaptically traversing through the LSC and directly linking cortical and trigeminal whisker circuits to downstream targets in the brainstem and diencephalon. The connectivity scheme followed by these trans-collicular neurons is fundamentally different from that found in other layered circuits – for example, compared to the canonical circuit scheme of the cortex, in which layer 4 neurons are input neurons that form only intracortical connections. In contrast, the SC input neurons identified here directly provide long-range output axons to multiple subcortical targets, suggesting that at least part of the input signals are directly transformed into SC output via trans-collicular pathways.

In awake animals, we found that single neurons in the intermediate layer of the LSC are highly sensitive to whisker stimulation, in accordance with earlier work in anesthetized rodents that showed whisker sensitivity in the LSC [25] and trigeminal and cortical input from the somatosensory barrel and motor cortex [15,24]. Our results expand on this work by demonstrating that the whisker-sensitive LSC is densely interconnected with whisker circuits throughout the brain, both in terms of inputs and outputs, together suggesting that the intermediate layer of the LSC serves as a whisker SC.

The microanatomical analyses and mapping data we present here allow several functional predictions for whisking behavior. Firstly, we found that trigeminal long-range inputs to the LSC turn into direct excitatory outputs to the 7N, a brainstem motor-nucleus for whisker movements [39]. This finding predicts a short sensori-motor loop that could mediate the "minimal impingement" phenomenon, which animals use to optimize tactile sensitivity by minimizing the force of tactile sensors upon surface contact [40]. Our data suggests that whisker touch signals ascending from the brainstem trigeminal nucleus to the LSC are monosynaptically transformed into motor output signals to the 7N, as a direct sensori-motor loop to stabilize whisker movement force, while animals move relative to objects.

Furthermore, in the thalamus, the three investigated trans-collicular pathways from MC, BC, and Bs target higher-order thalamic nuclei, such as PO, but not first-order thalamic nuclei (Fig. 8). This finding is particularly relevant for higher-order thalamic computations of cortical signals because it suggests parallel entry routes of cortical layer 5 signals to the higher-order thalamus: an indirect L5 trans-collicular pathway and a direct cortico-thalamic pathway [41–44].

Another significant outcome of our mapping data is the link between the whisker and the visual system: whisker trigemino-collicular pathways send abundant projections to the visual LP – the rodent pulvinar in the thalamus (Fig. 8). This result provides an anatomical substrate for the recent finding that the LP integrates visual and tactile information [45]. The integration of trans-collicular whisker signals in the LP may be an important mechanism underlying visuo-tactile functions such as hunting behavior, in which the SC has been shown to play a key role [7].

Long-range input convergence in the LSC
The multimodal nature of the SC is well-established and functional observations of multisensory SC neurons [17] consistently support convergence in the SC. However, only few studies directly demonstrated long-range convergence at the level of individual SC neurons using anatomical means [18,46,47] and the possibility of motor and sensory convergence remained untested to the best of our knowledge. Using anatomical and functional tools to test convergence of input pathways from MC, BC and Bs in individual LSC neurons we found subpopulations of LSC neurons, receiving monosynaptic input from two input pathways. While the proportion of trigemino-cortical convergence neurons was approximately 10%, the proportion of cortico-cortical convergence neurons (MC & BC) was more than twice as high (~23%). We demonstrate that sensori-motor convergence is functional by showing that

approximately 30% of the individual LSC units receiving functional cortical input are responsive to optogenetic stimulation of layer 5 neurons in both MC and BC.

The integration of convergent L5 signals from sensory and motor cortices by single SC neurons suggests a low-level neuronal substrate for sensori-motor integration in the SC from which functional predictions in the context of the execution and control of motor plans [23] can be derived. In this framework, inputs from MC inform the SC about an intended motor action i.e. an "efference copy" of the motor command [48], while BC provides information on the resulting sensory status, thereby enabling mechanisms to initiate small motor command readjustments and/or context-dependent dampening or enhancement of sensation, possibly analogous to the SC mechanisms proposed for the stabilization of visual perception [49].

Long-range innervation of GABA neurons in the LSC

The SC contains substantial populations of GABAergic neurons with reported numbers ranging from 30% to 45% [14]. We found that the LSC contains ~23% GABAergic neurons. Rather unexpectedly, we found that GABAergic neurons are directly innervated by long-range pathways from MC, BC and Bs. Interestingly, the proportion of innervated GABAergic neurons was between 34-37% and thereby significantly exceeded the proportion of GABAergic neurons in the LSC (~23%), suggesting an important functional role for the recruitment of inhibitory SC-circuits by cortical and trigeminal long-range inputs. Furthermore, a recent report using anterograde transsynaptic viral tracing found that nearly half of the SC neurons downstream of the secondary motor cortex are GABAergic [23]. Together, these findings suggest that the dual recruitment of inhibitory and excitatory circuits in the SC provides cortico-collicular pathways with the ability to flexibly dampen or enhance SC-mediated innate behaviors.

Our mapping data demonstrates that the populations of targeted GABAergic neurons contain projection neurons. Notably, these GABAergic projections target PO and the ZI but not the brainstem. Thus, the PO and the ZI, the latter of which is a potent source of inhibition for higher-order thalamic nuclei [50], receive both GABAergic and non-GABAergic trans-collicular input, therefore predicting bidirectional control of these LSC targets. Future investigations of how the ZI is modulated by whisker signals from parallel GABAergic and non-GABAergic trans-collicular pathways will likely generate substantial insight into the role of whisking on ZI's myriad functions, which include locomotion, feeding, hunting, pain regulation, and defense behaviors [6,7].

Fig. 9. Summary of monosynaptic trans-collicular pathways of the whisker SC. Motor-sensory long-range inputs innervate inhibitory and non-inhibitory projection neurons in the LSC. Non-inhibitory pathways target nuclei in the diencephalon and brainstem, while inhibitory projection neurons target higher order thalamus and extrathalamic inhibitory nuclei and not the brainstem.

In summary, this study presents quantitative microanatomical evidence for hitherto unknown connectivity features of somato-motor pathways in the SC. In addition to sensori-motor convergence in the LSC, the results demonstrate that cortical and trigeminal inputs target GABAergic and non-GABAergic recipient neurons, which provide direct long-range output to diencephalic and brainstem nuclei involved in sensori-motor transformations.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alexei Egorov (Heidelberg University) for helping with the rAAV-Td-Tomato experiments and Martha Bickford and her team (University of Louisville) for helping with the GABA immunolabeling. This work was funded through the German Research Foundation (DFG Grant GR3757/3-1), the Heidelberg Graduate Academy completion grant through the *Landesgraduiertenförderung* program with funds allocated by the German Ministry of Science, Research and Arts (EIC), the Chica and Heinz Schaller Stiftung (RM) and the Brigitte-Schlieben-Lange Programm by the Ministry of Science, Research and the Arts Baden-Württemberg (RM). We acknowledge the data storage service SDS@hd supported by the Ministry of Science, Research and the Arts Baden-Württemberg (MWK) and the German Research Foundation (DFG) through grant INST 35/1314-1 FUGG and INST 35/1503-1 FUGG. We also thank the Nikon Imaging Center at the University of Heidelberg.

Author Contributions

J.M.C., E.I.C., B.B. and A.G. conceptualized the study; J.M.C., E.I.C., B.B., and K.Z. conducted anatomical research. J.M.C., E.I.C., conducted electrophysiological recordings. J.M.C., E.I.C. and B.B. conducted formal analysis; J.M.C., E.I.C., R.A.M and A.G. wrote the paper; J.M.C., E.I.C., K.Z., R.A.M and A.G. reviewed the paper.

Declaration of interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Corneil BD, Munoz DP. Overt responses during covert orienting. Neuron. 2014;82: 1230–1243. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.040

2. Basso MA, Bickford ME, Cang J. Unraveling circuits of visual perception and cognition through the superior colliculus. Neuron. 2021;109: 918–937. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.01.013

3. Zingg B, Chou XL, Zhang ZG, Mesik L, Liang F, Tao HW, et al. AAV-Mediated Anterograde Transsynaptic Tagging: Mapping Corticocollicular Input-Defined Neural Pathways for Defense Behaviors. Neuron. 2017;93: 33–47. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.11.045

4. Li Z, Wei J-X, Zhang G-W, Huang JJ, Zingg B, Wang X, et al. Corticostriatal control of defense behavior in mice induced by auditory looming cues. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 1040. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21248-7

5. Liang F, Xiong XR, Zingg B, Ji X-Y, Zhang LI, Tao HW. Sensory Cortical Control of a Visually Induced Arrest Behavior via Corticotectal Projections. Neuron. 2015;86: 755–767. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.048

6. Chou X-L, Wang X, Zhang Z-G, Shen L, Zingg B, Huang J, et al. Inhibitory gain modulation of defense behaviors by zona incerta. Nat Commun. 2018;9: 1151. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03581-6

7. Shang C, Liu A, Li D, Xie Z, Chen Z, Huang M, et al. A subcortical excitatory circuit for sensory-triggered predatory hunting in mice. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22: 909–920. doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0405-4

8. Catania KC, Hare JF, Campbell KL. Water shrews detect movement, shape, and smell to find prey underwater. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 571–576. doi:10.1073/pnas.0709534104

9. Brecht M, Naumann R, Anjum F, Wolfe J, Munz M, Mende C, et al. The neurobiology of Etruscan shrew active touch. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2011;366: 3026–3036. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0160

10. Muthuramalingam M, Bruecker C. Seal and Sea lion Whiskers Detect Slips of Vortices Similar as Rats Sense Textures. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 12808. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-49243-5

11. Petersen CCH. Sensorimotor processing in the rodent barrel cortex. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2019. doi:10.1038/s41583-019-0200-y

12. May PJ. The mammalian superior colliculus: laminar structure and connections. In: Büttner-Ennever JA, editor. Progress in Brain Research. Elsevier; 2006. pp. 321–378. doi:10.1016/S0079-6123(05)51011-2

13. Basso MA, May PJ. Circuits for Action and Cognition: A View from the Superior Colliculus. Annu Rev Vis Sci. 2017;3: 197–226. doi:10.1146/annurev-vision-102016-061234

14. Liu X, Huang H, Snutch TP, Cao P, Wang L, Wang F. The Superior Colliculus: Cell Types, Connectivity, and Behavior. Neurosci Bull. 2022. doi:10.1007/s12264-022-00858-1

15. Benavidez NL, Bienkowski MS, Zhu M, Garcia LH, Fayzullina M, Gao L, et al. Organization of the inputs and outputs of the mouse superior colliculus. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 4004. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24241-2

16. Meredith MA, Stein BE. Visual, auditory, and somatosensory convergence on cells in superior colliculus results in multisensory integration. J Neurophysiol. 1986;56: 640–662.

doi:10.1152/jn.1986.56.3.640

17. Wallace MT, Meredith MA, Stein BE. Converging influences from visual, auditory, and somatosensory cortices onto output neurons of the superior colliculus. J Neurophysiol. 1993;69: 1797–1809. doi:10.1152/jn.1993.69.6.1797

18. Kitanishi T, Tashiro M, Kitanishi N, Mizuseki K. Intersectional, anterograde transsynaptic targeting of neurons receiving monosynaptic inputs from two upstream regions. Commun Biol. 2022;5: 149. doi:10.1038/s42003-022-03096-3

19. Whyland KL, Slusarczyk AS, Bickford ME. GABAergic cell types in the superficial layers of the mouse superior colliculus. J Comp Neurol. 2020;528: 308–320. doi:10.1002/cne.24754

20. Gharaei S, Arabzadeh E, Solomon SG. Integration of visual and whisker signals in rat superior colliculus. Sci Rep. 2018;8: 16445. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-34661-8

21. Bezdudnaya T, Castro-Alamancos MA. Superior colliculus cells sensitive to active touch and texture during whisking. J Neurophysiol. 2011;106: 332–346.

22. Bezdudnaya T, Castro-Alamancos MA. Neuromodulation of whisking related neural activity in superior colliculus. J Neurosci. 2014;34: 7683–7695. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0444-14.2014

23. Duan CA, Pan Y, Ma G, Zhou T, Zhang S, Xu N-L. A cortico-collicular pathway for motor planning in a memory-dependent perceptual decision task. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 2727. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22547-9

24. Castro-Alamancos MA, Favero M. Whisker-related afferents in superior colliculus. J Neurophysiol. 2016;115: 2265–2279. doi:10.1152/jn.00028.2016

25. Hemelt ME, Keller A. Superior Colliculus Control of Vibrissa Movements. J Neurophysiol. 2008;100: 1245–1254. doi:10.1152/jn.90478.2008

26. Petersen PC, Siegle JH, Steinmetz NA, Mahallati S, Buzsáki G. CellExplorer: A framework for visualizing and characterizing single neurons. Neuron. 2021;109: 3594–3608.e2. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.09.002

27. Petersen PC, Berg RW. Lognormal firing rate distribution reveals prominent fluctuation-driven regime in spinal motor networks. Elife. 2016;5. doi:10.7554/eLife.18805

28. Wang Y, Sun Q-Q. A long-range, recurrent neuronal network linking the emotion regions with the somatic motor cortex. Cell Rep. 2021;36: 109733. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109733

29. Mao T, Kusefoglu D, Hooks BM, Huber D, Petreanu L, Svoboda K. Long-range neuronal circuits underlying the interaction between sensory and motor cortex. Neuron. 2011;72: 111–123. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.07.029

30. Sreenivasan V, Esmaeili V, Kiritani T, Galan K, Crochet S, Petersen CCH. Movement Initiation Signals in Mouse Whisker Motor Cortex. Neuron. 2016;92: 1368–1382. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.001

31. Prasad JA, Carroll BJ, Sherman SM. Layer 5 Corticofugal Projections from Diverse Cortical Areas: Variations on a Pattern of Thalamic and Extrathalamic Targets. J Neurosci. 2020;40: 5785–5796. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0529-20.2020

32. Rojas-Piloni G, Guest JM, Egger R, Johnson AS, Sakmann B, Oberlaender M. Relationships between structure, in vivo function and long-range axonal target of cortical pyramidal tract neurons. Nat Commun. 2017;8: 870. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00971-0

33. Melzer S, Michael M, Caputi A, Eliava M, Fuchs EC, Whittington MA, et al. Long-range-projecting GABAergic neurons modulate inhibition in hippocampus and entorhinal cortex. Science. 2012;335: 1506–1510. doi:10.1126/science.1217139

34. Lohse M, Dahmen JC, Bajo VM, King AJ. Subcortical circuits mediate communication between

primary sensory cortical areas in mice. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 3916. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24200-x

35. Xiong XR, Liang F, Zingg B, Ji X-Y, Ibrahim LA, Tao HW, et al. Auditory cortex controls sound-driven innate defense behaviour through corticofugal projections to inferior colliculus. Nat Commun. 2015;6: 7224. doi:10.1038/ncomms8224

36. Mease RA, Sumser A, Sakmann B, Groh A. Corticothalamic Spike Transfer via the L5B-POm Pathway in vivo. Cereb Cortex. 2016;26: 3461–3475. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhw123

37. Arenkiel BR, Peca J, Davison IG, Feliciano C, Deisseroth K, Augustine GJ, et al. In vivo light-induced activation of neural circuitry in transgenic mice expressing channelrhodopsin-2. Neuron. 2007;54: 205–218. doi:S0896-6273(07)00183-3 [pii] 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.03.005

38. Wang X, Chou X-L, Zhang LI, Tao HW. Zona Incerta: An Integrative Node for Global Behavioral Modulation. Trends Neurosci. 2020;43: 82–87. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2019.11.007

39. Kleinfeld D, Ahissar E, Diamond ME. Active sensation: insights from the rodent vibrissa sensorimotor system. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2006;16: 435–444. doi:S0959-4388(06)00082-1 [pii] 10.1016/j.conb.2006.06.009

40. Mitchinson B, Martin CJ, Grant RA, Prescott TJ. Feedback control in active sensing: rat exploratory whisking is modulated by environmental contact. Proc Biol Sci. 2007;274: 1035–1041. doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.0347

41. Rojas-Piloni G, Guest M, Johnson A, Egger R, Narayanan R, Udvary D, et al. Cell type-specific subcortical targets of layer 5 projecting neurons in the rat vibrissal cortex. Washington, DC:; 2014.

42. Hoogland PV, Welker E, Van der Loos H. Organization of the projections from barrel cortex to thalamus in mice studied with Phaseolus vulgaris-leucoagglutinin and HRP. Exp Brain Res. 1987;68: 73–87. doi:10.1007/BF00255235

43. Groh A, de Kock CPJ, Wimmer VC, Sakmann B, Kuner T. Driver or coincidence detector: modal switch of a corticothalamic giant synapse controlled by spontaneous activity and short-term depression. J Neurosci. 2008;28: 9652–9663. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1554-08.2008

44. Sumser A, Mease RA, Sakmann B, Groh A. Organization and somatotopy of corticothalamic projections from L5B in mouse barrel cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114: 8853–8858. doi:10.1073/pnas.1704302114

45. Petty GH, Kinnischtzke AK, Hong YK, Bruno RM. Effects of arousal and movement on secondary somatosensory and visual thalamus. Elife. 2021;10. doi:10.7554/eLife.67611

46. Feig S, Harting JK. Ultrastructural studies of the primate parabigeminal nucleus: electron microscopic autoradiographic analysis of the tectoparabigeminal projection in Galago crassicaudatus. Brain Res. 1992;595: 334–338. doi:10.1016/0006-8993(92)91068-p

47. Hofbauer A, Holländer H. Synaptic connections of cortical and retinal terminals in the superior colliculus of the rabbit: an electron microscopic double labelling study. Exp Brain Res. 1986;65: 145–155. doi:10.1007/BF00243837

48. Ford JM, Mathalon DH. Efference Copy, Corollary Discharge, Predictive Coding, and Psychosis. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2019;4: 764–767. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.07.005

49. Cavanaugh J, Berman RA, Joiner WM, Wurtz RH. Saccadic Corollary Discharge Underlies Stable Visual Perception. J Neurosci. 2016;36: 31–42. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2054-15.2016

50. Halassa MM, Acsady L. Thalamic Inhibition: Diverse Sources, Diverse Scales. Trends Neurosci. 2016;39: 680–693. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2016.08.001

51. Tamamaki N, Yanagawa Y, Tomioka R, Miyazaki J-I, Obata K, Kaneko T. Green fluorescent

protein expression and colocalization with calretinin, parvalbumin, and somatostatin in the GAD67-GFP knock-in mouse. J Comp Neurol. 2003;467: 60–79. doi:10.1002/cne.10905

52. Gong S, Doughty M, Harbaugh CR, Cummins A, Hatten ME, Heintz N, et al. Targeting Cre recombinase to specific neuron populations with bacterial artificial chromosome constructs. J Neurosci. 2007;27: 9817–9823. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2707-07.2007

53. Madisen L, Zwingman TA, Sunkin SM, Oh SW, Zariwala HA, Gu H, et al. A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci. 2010;13: 133–140. doi:10.1038/nn.2467

54. Mukherjee A, Bajwa N, Lam NH, Porrero C, Clasca F, Halassa MM. Variation of connectivity across exemplar sensory and associative thalamocortical loops in the mouse. Elife. 2020;9. doi:10.7554/eLife.62554

55. Zingg B, Peng B, Huang J, Tao HW, Zhang LI. Synaptic Specificity and Application of Anterograde Transsynaptic AAV for Probing Neural Circuitry. J Neurosci. 2020;40: 3250–3267. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2158-19.2020

56. Fenno LE, Mattis J, Ramakrishnan C, Hyun M, Lee SY, He M, et al. Targeting cells with single vectors using multiple-feature Boolean logic. Nat Methods. 2014;11: 763–772. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2996

57. Paxinos G. The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates / George Paxinos, Keith B.J. Franklin. Franklin KBJ, editor. San Diego, Calif. ; London : Academic; 2001.

58. Heiney SA, Wohl MP, Chettih SN, Ruffolo LI, Medina JF. Cerebellar-dependent expression of motor learning during eyeblink conditioning in head-fixed mice. J Neurosci. 2014;34: 14845–14853. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2820-14.2014

59. Lopes G, Bonacchi N, Frazão J, Neto JP, Atallah BV, Soares S, et al. Bonsai: an event-based framework for processing and controlling data streams. Front Neuroinform. 2015;9: 7. doi:10.3389/fninf.2015.00007

60. Steinmetz NA, Aydin C, Lebedeva A, Okun M, Pachitariu M, Bauza M, et al. Neuropixels 2.0: A miniaturized high-density probe for stable, long-term brain recordings. doi:10.1101/2020.10.27.358291

61. Kirchgessner MA, Franklin AD, Callaway EM. Distinct "driving" versus "modulatory" influences of different visual corticothalamic pathways. Curr Biol. 2021;31: 5121–5137.e7. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2021.09.025

62. Sofroniew NJ, Vlasov YA, Hires SA, Freeman J, Svoboda K. Neural coding in barrel cortex during whisker-guided locomotion. Elife. 2015;4. doi:10.7554/eLife.12559

Materials and methods

Resources Table

Reagent or Resource	Source	Identifier
Antibodies		
primary antibody rabbit IgG anti-NeuN	Merck Chemicals GmbH	ABN78
primary antibody rabbit IgG anti-GABA	Sigma-Aldrich	A2052
secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific/ Invitrogen	A32733
Bacterial and virus strain		
PENN AAV hSyn Cre WPRE hGH	Addgene	#105553-AAV1
AAV2-hSyn-DIO-EGFP	Addgene	#50457-AAV2
AAV2hSyn-DIO-mCherry	Addgene	#50459-AAV2
AAV8-hSyn Con/Fon EYFP	Addgene	#55650-AAV8
AAV2-Ef1a-fDIO mCherry	Addgene	#114471-AAV2
AAV1-EF1a-Flpo	Addgene	#55637-AAV1
AAVrg-CAG-hChR2-tdTomato	Addgene	#28017- retrograde
AAV1/2-CAG-SyPhy-EGFP	Thomas Kuner Lab	N/A
AAV1/2-CBA-SyPhy-mOrange	Thomas Kuner Lab	N/A
Experimental models: organisms/strains		
(B6.FVB(Cg)-Tg/(Ntsr1-Cre)GN220Sat/Mmucd x B6.129S-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm32(CAG-COP4*H134R/EYFP).	GENSAT Project at Rockefeller University Jackson Laboratory Created by: Hongkui Zeng	MGI:4358487 (MMRRC: 030648) MGI:5013789
"Wild type"; C57BL/6N	Janvier	C57BL/6NRj Mouse - Janvier Labs (janvier-labs.com)
B6-Gad1tm2(Cre)Mony/Uhg	Hannah Monyer	MGI:4830465
B6.CG-Gad1TM1Tama	Created by: Nobuaki Tamamaki	[51]
(B6.FVB/CD1-Tg(Rbp4-Cre)KL100Gsat/Mmucd	GENSAT Project at Rockefeller	MGI:4367067

x B6.129S-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm32(CAG-COP4*H134R/EYFP)	University Jackson Laboratory Created by: Hongkui Zeng	(MMRRC:031125) MGI:5313002
Software and algorithms		
Matlab 2018b	Mathworks	https://de.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html
Spike 2	CED	https://ced.co.uk/es/products/spkovin
ImageJ/Fiji	NIH	https://imagej.net/software/fiji/downloads
Canvas X	ACD systems	
Microsoft office	Microsoft	www.microsoft.com
Kilosort 2.0	Github	https://github.com/MouseLand/Kilosort
Phy	The Cortical Processing Laboratory at UCL	https://github.com/cortex-lab/phy.git
Bonsai	Bonsai foundation CIC	https://bonsai-rx.org/

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents or mouse lines

Resource availability

All data and analysis scripts of this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon reasonable request.

Lead contact

Further information and requests for data or code should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jesus Martin-Cortecero or Alexander Groh.

Animals

Mice (male and female, 10-20 weeks of age at the time of virus injection) were housed with food and water ad libitum on a 12h light/dark cycle. All experimental procedures were performed according to the ethical guidelines set by the governing body (Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe, Germany).

Mouse lines

Retrograde labeling:

"Ntsr1-EYFP"; crossbreed between "Ntsr1-Cre" x "Ai32" (B6.FVB(Cg)-Tg/(Ntsr1-Cre)GN220Sat/Mmucd x B6.129S-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm32(CAG-COP4*H134R/EYFP).

RN labeling: "Wild type"; C57BL/6N
iRN labeling: "GAD-Cre"; B6-Gad1tm2(Cre)Mony/Uhg

Quantification of GABAergic neurons in intermediate layers of SC:
 "GAD67-GFP"; B6.CG-Gad1TM1Tama

In vivo Electrophysiology:

"Rbp4-Cre-ChR2-EYFP"; crossbreed between "Rbp4-Cre" x "Ai32" (B6.FVB/CD1-Tg(Rbp4-Cre)KL100Gsat/Mmucd x B6.129S-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm32(CAG-COP4*H134R/EYFP)

Virus-mediated labeling and intersectional strategies

Retrograde labeling: to identify input regions to the LSC (Fig. 3A) we targeted the retrograde rAAV-TdTomato [29] into the whisker-sensitive region of the LSC (Fig. 2). To delineate SC projection neurons (Td-Tomato) from layer 6 neurons, these injections were done in Ntsr1-EYFP mice, in which layer 6 (L6) but not L5 neurons express EYFP [52,53].

Cortico-collicular bouton labeling: to label MC and BC boutons in SC we injected AAV1/2-CAG-SyPhy-EGFP and AAV1/2-CBA-SyPhy-mOrange [44] into the cortical projection sites (BC and MC, respectively) in the same animal (Fig. S2A). The diameters of synaptic boutons labeled with Synaptophysin-EGFP and Synaptophysin-mOrange in SC were measured as maximal projection area [31,54] from confocal microscopy images using an A1+ microscope and NIS elements (Heidelberg Nikon Imaging Center).

Transsynaptic anterograde labeling: to label input-defined recipient neurons we made use of the ability of AAV1-Cre and AAV1-Flpo particles to jump to postsynaptic neurons via vesicle release [3,55,56]. We adopted this strategy to reveal different subsets of SC neurons defined by their monosynaptic input from whisker-related projection sites of interest (MC, BC, Bs): 1. *Recipient neurons (RNs)*, 2. *Cortical and brainstem RNs,* 3. *Recipient inhibitory neurons (iRNs)* and 4. *Convergence neurons (CVG-RNs)* as follows:

1. Recipient neurons (RNs): cocktails of AAV1-Cre (transsynaptic) + AAV2-DIO-mCherry (1:1) were injected into the projection sites of interest (MC, BC, Bs) in separate mice. A second injection of the Cre reporter virus AAV2-DIO-EGFP was targeted to SC to reveal RNs (Fig. 4A).

2. Cortical and brainstem RNs: Same as RN but injecting MC and BC in the same mouse with a cocktail of AAV1-Flpo + AAV2-fDIO-mCherry (1:1), and Bs with a cocktail of AAV1-Cre + AAV2-DIO-EGFP (1:1). SC was injected with a cocktail of AAV2-fDIO-mCherry + AAV2-DIO-EGFP (1:1) to reveal cortical and brainstem RNs in different colors (Fig. 4F).

3. Inhibitory recipient neurons (iRNs): same as RN approach but in GAD-Cre mice [33](Fig. 5B), using a cocktail of AAV1-Flpo + AAV2-fDIO-mCherry (1:1) for the projection sites of interest (MC, BC, Bs). SC was targeted with a cocktail of AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP and AAV2-fDIO-mCherry (1:1). AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP serves as a reporter virus to express EGFP when Cre and Flpo recombinases are co-expressed and thus labels iRNs, while AAV2-fDIO-mCherry labels RNs.

4. Convergence neurons (CVG-RNs): AAV1-Flpo + AAV2-fDIO-mCherry (projection site 1) and AAV1-Cre + AAV2-DIO-mCherry (projection site 2) cocktails (1:1) were injected into wild-type mice, and an AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP + AAV2-fDIO-mCherry cocktail (1:1) into SC to visualize convergent RNs (EYFP, co-innervated from projection sites 1 + 2, Fig. 6A) and RNs (mCherry, innervated from projection site 1 only). See supplementary Fig. S5 for controls of the conditional expression systems. Virus expression time was between 28-30 days.

Virus	Virus short name	Titer in viral genomes per milliliter [vg/ml]	Supplier	Expression
PENN AAV hSyn Cre WPRE hGH	AAV-1-Cre	1.8×10^{13}	Addgene #105553-AAV1	Cre
AAV2-hSyn-DIO-EGFP	DIO-EGFP	3×10^{12}	Addgene #50457-AAV2	Cre dependent EGFP
AAV2hSyn-DIO-mCherry	DIO mCherry	4×10^{12}	Addgene #50459-AAV2	Cre dependent mCHerry
AAV8-hSyn Con/Fon EYFP	Con/Fon EYFP	1×10^{13}	Addgene	Cre and Flpo

			#55650-AAAV8	dependent EYFP
AAV2-Ef1a-fDIO mCherry	fDIO mCherry	1.1×10^{13}	Addgene #114471-AAV2	Flpo dependent mCherry
AAV1-EF1a-Flpo	AAV1-Flpo	7×10^{12}	Addgene #55637-AAV1	Flpo
AAV1-Ef1a-fDIO EYFP	fDIO EYFP	2.1×10^{13}	Addgene #55641-AAV1	Flpo dependent
AAVrg-CAG-hChR2-tdTomato (AAV Retrograde)	rAAV-TdTomato	7×10^{12}	Addgene #28017-AAVrg	tdTomato
AAV1/2-CAG-SyPhy-EGFP	AAV-SyPhy	Not determined	T.Kuner Lab	EGFP
AAV1/2-CBA-SyPhy-mOrange	AAV-SyPhy	Not determined	T.Kuner Lab	mOrange

Table 1. Viruses used for the different targeting strategies in this study.

Stereotaxic surgeries

Mice were placed in a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments) and anesthetized with 1.2-2 vol% isoflurane in medical oxygen at a flow rate of 0.8 l/min while keeping body temperature at 39°C. Carprofen (CP-Pharma) was administered subcutaneously (5 mg/kg) and lidocaine (Xylocaine 1%, Aspen Pharma) was injected under the scalp and around ear bars for local anesthesia. Eyes were covered with Bepanthen ointment (Bayer) to keep them lubricated during the surgery. After ensuring the absence of tail- and toe-pinch reflexes, the skin was opened with a midline incision, the periosteum and aponeurotic galea were removed to visualize the reference points bregma and lambda, and the head was aligned to the stereotaxic frame. Small craniotomies were drilled above the injection sites and viral particle solutions were injected with calibrated glass micropipettes (Blaubrand; IntraMARK) at the following coordinates relative to bregma (AP, ML) and pia (DV):

Injection site	Injection volume [nl]	AP [mm]	ML [mm]	DV [mm]
MC	200	1	1	-0.6 to -0.8
BC	200	-1	2.95	-0.6 to -0.8

Bs (Sp5o)	200	-5.9	1.7	-4 to -4.2
SC	300	-3.6	1.3	-2 / -1.8 / -1.6 (3 injections, 100 nl each)
SC (retrograde experiments)	200	-3.6	1.3	2

Table 2. Coordinates and injection volumes for stereotaxic AAV injections.

After viral particle injections, the pipette was left in place for 10 minutes to allow diffusion, and was then slowly retracted. Finally, the skin above the skull was sutured with silk (PermaHand, 6–0; Ethicon) and the animal was transferred to its home cage.

Slicing and immunolabeling

After virus expression, mice were exposed to a lethal dose of Ketamine (80 mg/kg) and Xylazine (10 mg/kg) and transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. The brain was removed and postfixed for 12 h in 4% PFA at 4°C. The same procedure was applied for GABA immunofluorescence labeling except using 2% glutaraldehyde and 2% PFA in PBS. Brains were sliced (50 μm) with a vibratome (Thermo Scientific Microm HM650V).

NeuN immunofluorescence staining:

SC slices were incubated in blocking solution (PB 0.1 M, with 4% normal goat antiserum (NGS, Biozol) and 0.4% Triton X-100 (Roth)) for 2 h, then washed 5 times in PB 0.1 M. Subsequently, slices were treated with primary antibody rabbit IgG anti-NeuN (Merck, ABN78) diluted in PB 0.1 M 1:500 with 1% NGS for 48 h at 4°C. Finally, after washing again 5 times with PB 0.1 M, slices were incubated for 2 h at room temperature in secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa 647 (Invitrogen, A32733) diluted 1:500 in PB 0.1 M containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and 3% NGS.

GABA immunofluorescence staining:

SC slices were preincubated in PB 0.1 M containing 1% sodium borohydride for 15 min to remove glutaraldehyde autofluorescence. Subsequently, SC slices were washed three times in PB 0.1 M and then incubated in a blocking solution (4% NGS and 0.3% Triton X-100 in PB 0.1 M) for 30 min. We then washed the slices 5 times in PB 0.1 M and incubated them with primary antibody rabbit IgG antiGABA (Sigma A2052) diluted in PB 0.1 M 1:1000 with 1% NGS overnight at 4°C. The following day, we washed 5 times with PB 0.1 M and incubated them for 1 h at room temperature in secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa 647 (Invitrogen, A32733) diluted 1:1000 in PB 0.1 M containing 1% NGS. Finally, slices were

washed 5 times in PB 0.1 M and mounted with a mounting solution (Mowiol 4-88, Sigma-Aldrich).

Microscopy

Injection sites, labeled cells in SC, and axonal outputs were imaged with a fluorescent microscope (Leica DM6000) outfitted with an automated stage to generate mosaics (Andor Neo camera, SCC02104) and laser lines 365, 470, 505, 530, and 625 nm. The objective HC PL APO 10x/0.40Dry was used to image the injection site and labeled cells in SC (voxel size 2 x 2 μm). We used the objective HC PL APO 20x/0.70Dry (voxel size 1 x 1 μm) for imaging RN axonal outputs. Varicosities, retrogradely labeled cells, and immunolabeled cells were imaged with a confocal microscope (Nikon A1R or C2, Nikon Imaging Center Heidelberg, Germany) outfitted with an automated mosaic stage and laser lines 405, 488, 561, and 640 nm. Objectives used: Nikon Plan Apo 20x NA 0.75 Nikon Plan Fluor 40x NA 1.30 oil immersion, Nikon Apo λS 60x NA 1.40. Slices were scanned in sequential mode; scanner zoom: 1x to 3x; resolution of individual tiles: 2048 × 2048 pixels. The laser power, photomultiplier gain, and pinhole (20 - 60 μm) were adjusted so that the signal was not saturated.

Cell counting

Fluorescence image series of SC were loaded into Fiji and manually rotated to align all slices along the midline. From each image series, we selected the section that matched the most rostral part of SC (AP: -3.08 mm) in Paxinos' atlas [57], so that all series contained the same domain (AP: -3.08 to -4.28 mm). To select the most rostral section, we compared the shape and size of the hippocampus, optic tract, anterior pretectal nucleus, medial geniculate nucleus, and SC and its brachium anatomical references against Paxinos' -3.08 mm slice. The resulting SC series were processed in Fiji as follows. First, as a measure of background, we took the average pixel intensity of three different regions in the non-recipient area and subtracted this average value from each section in the series. Images were then contrast-enhanced with an exponential transformation (Fiji "Exp" function) and brightness was manually restored by scaling intensity values by a factor between 1.2 and 2. Labeled neurons were detected using Fiji 3D Object Counter with two user parameters: gray-value threshold and a minimum-size filter, which we set to 30 pixels to exclude non-somatic signals. The distributions of detected neurons across the anterior-posterior (AP) SC axis are shown in 13 bins of 100 μm from -3.08 to -4.28 mm.

To estimate the proportion of GABAergic neurons in the intermediate layer of LSC, confocal image series of double-immunolabeled slices (GAD67 & NeuN) were analyzed with Fiji's "Cell

Counter" plugin. GAD67 and NeuN labeled somata were counted in three segments of 200×200 µm per image within the intermediate layer (n = 10 slices). We excluded marginal slices when the cell number did not satisfy a minimum *N* sample criterion to detect the proportion difference with a statistical power of 0.8 β.

RN distribution analysis

All analyses were done using MATLAB (R2018b). For comparisons across different RNs, neuron counts were normalized to the maximum value across sections within the same brain. Normality of the distributions was tested with one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and differences between the distributions were tested with Wilcoxon rank sum test. Mean values were reported with SEM (error bars or plus/minus) and median values with Q1 and Q3, or interquartile range (IQR). To compare distributions among different RN groups, a non-parametric analysis of variance was performed (Kruskal-Wallis and multiple comparisons test, using the Bonferroni method).

Axon maps

Fluorescent axonal projections from RNs were reconstructed in Canvas X (version 10, ACD system). Coronal images were desaturated, inverted, and manually registered to the corresponding atlas section [57], see schematics in Fig. 8A, B, Supplementary Fig. S7). We considered axonal signals in the AP regions between -1.22 and -2.54 mm (diencephalon) and -5.4 and -6.4 mm (brainstem). The resulting axon counts were organized into two matrices (for diencephalon and brainstem, respectively) per input-defined RNs. Each matrix was *m×n*, where *m* is the number of 100 µm-bins in the AP dimension and *n* is the number of nuclei analyzed; 15 for diencephalon and 7 for brainstem (Table 3).

RN output type	Diencephalic axon map	Brainstem axon map
MC-RN	13 slices x 15 nuclei	11 slices x 7 nuclei
BC-RN	13 slices x 15 nuclei	14 slices x 7 nuclei
Bs-RN	12 slices x 15 nuclei	13 slices x 7 nuclei

Table 3. Axon-count matrices.

Relative axon counts (RAC, normalized counts) were calculated for each pathway across the AP axis based on their maximum count as follows:

$$RAC = \frac{\#counts}{max(\#counts)}$$

RAC maps were visualized using MATLAB's built-in function contour ("Fill", "on") and are shown in descending order, taking MC-RNs as reference. Finally, to compare the projection

patterns among different RN groups, we summed the axonal counts per nuclei across the antero-posterior (m) dimension and calculated the z-score of the total axonal count per nucleus (n) (Fig. 8B).

In vivo electrophysiological recordings

<u>Awake recordings</u>

Awake wild-type mice between 8-12 weeks (n = 12) were recorded on a cylindrical treadmill, based on the model established in [58], consisting of a 15 cm diameter foam roller mounted on a custom built low friction rotary metal axis, attached to two vertical posts. Prior to whisker stimulation experiments, a head plate was implanted through stereotaxic surgery following the preparation procedures described in the section for viral injections. After exposing the skull, a small craniotomy was made above the recording site in LSC (AP: -3.6; ML: 1.25 - 1.3; DV: -1.65 to -2.1). A plastic ring was cemented (Paladur, Kulzer, GmbH) around the craniotomy to create a small ringer reservoir for the reference electrode. The well was covered with silicone elastomer (Kwik-Cast, World Precision Instruments) until the experiment. Next, a polycarbonate two-winged head plate was cemented onto the skull with dental cement (Super-bond, Sun Medical Co.LTD). Habituation began 5 days after the surgery and lasted for 3 days. Animals were head-fixed on the treadmill apparatus with the head plate. Habituation sessions lasted for approximately 60 minutes during which mice freely walked on the cylindrical treadmill and were fed sweetened condensed milk as reward. Recording sessions were done on the following day and lasted between 20-30 minutes. The protective silicone was removed and silicon probes were lowered to the SC. Activity was recorded using an electrophysiology system composed of a multielectrode silicon probe (sharpened ASSY-77 E1, Cambridge Neurotech); a 64-channel amplifier chip (RHD2164) to a USB-2 interface board (RHD-EVAL, Intan Technologies, California, US); and signals were fed into Bonsai [59]Intan RHD library. Neural activity was recorded at 30 kHz using a 0.1 Hz - 15 kHz bandpass filter. The resulting binary file was fed to Kilosort, a MATLAB-based semi-automated spike-sorting software [60]. The .bin files and the E1-probe channel map in μm were input to Kilosort with the default parameters and the results were curated with Phy. Single units with <3% refractory period (1.5 ms) violations and a baseline spike rate >0.1 Hz were included for subsequent analysis.

<u>Whisker stimulation</u>

Whiskers were stimulated with airpuffs, delivered via an air tube placed behind the whiskers of the animal to prevent stimulation of any other body part (face, ears or eyes). The tube was connected to an air regulator module from Modular Electronics for Cell Physiology (Max-Planck-Institute for Medical Research, manufactured by Sigmann Elektronik, GmbH,

Germany) and set to 2 Bar. Airpuffs were controlled with Bonsai [59] and consisted of 100 ms airpuffs at random intervals between 1 s and 100 s.

Anesthetized recordings

Preparations and recordings were done in 8-12-week-old Rbp4-Cre-ChR2-EYFP mice (n = 4), which is crossbred between "Rbp4-Cre" x "Ai32" to specifically express ChR2 in L5 PT neurons [61]. Mice were anesthetized with a mixture of 5% urethane solution (i.p., 1.3 g/kg body weight) and 1% isoflurane in medical degree oxygen, applied via an inhalator mask. After incision, bregma and lambda were revealed and aligned using a micro-manipulator (Luigs-and-Neumann) to drill craniotomies above SC (AP: -3.6, ML: 1.3), BC (AP: -1, ML: +3), and MC (AP: +1, ML: +1). SC activity was recorded using an electrophysiology system composed of a multielectrode silicon probe in AP: -3.6, ML: +1.3 DV: -1.6 to -2 mm (sharpened ASSY-77 E1, Cambridge Neurotech); a 64-channel amplifier chip (RHD2164) to a USB-2 interface board (RHD-EVAL, Intan Technologies, California, US); and the Spike2 (version 9.14) recording suite with Intan Talker module (Cambridge Electronic Devices, Cambridge, UK). Neural activity was recorded at 30 kHz using a 100 Hz - 10 kHz bandpass filter. The resulting file (.smrx) was converted into a binary file (.bin) to feed it to Kilosort, a MATLAB-based semi-automated spike-sorting software [60]. The file conversion consisted of reading the channels in the .smrx file, transforming them into unsigned 16-bit integers (uint16) values from the 16-bit depth analog-to-digital converter (ADC), and writing them into a .bin file. The .bin files and the E1-probe channel map in μm were input to Kilosort with the default parameters and the results were curated with Phy. Single units with <3% refractory period (1.5 ms) violations and a baseline spike rate >0.1 Hz were included for subsequent analysis.

Optogenetic stimulation

An optical fiber was placed at an angle of ~45°, at ~100 μm from the pia to optogenetically activate L5 neurons in MC or BC. Optogenetic stimulation pulses were controlled by a stimulation protocol prepared in Spike2 through interface hardware (Power1401, Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK). Single 5 ms laser-pulses (20 – 50 mW/mm^2 at the fiber tip) were delivered every 5 s using a custom-build laser setup containing a 488 nm solid-state laser (Sapphire, Coherent, Germany, maximum power 22 mW); an ultrafast shutter (Uniblitz, Rochester, NY, USA); and a collimator to focus the laser beam into the optical fiber (inner diameter 400 μm, NA 0.48, Thorlabs, USA). After stimulating L5 in one cortex, the optical fiber was moved to the other, e.g. MC and then BC.

Cell responsiveness

Whisker responses: We considered a single unit to be whisker responsive if the median spike count across trials within a 30 ms window (-20 to -50 ms) pre-stimulus was significantly different from the median spike count within an identical-length window (20 to 50 ms, α=5%, two-sample Wilcoxon test). The modulation index (MI) was computed using Cell Explorer [26] as follows: $MI = (R + C)/(R - C)$, where *R* and *C* are firing rates in the response and spontaneous windows, respectively [62]. A given unit could have MI values ranging from -1, when $R = 0 \,\&\, C \neq 0$; to 0, when $R = C$; and to 1, when $R \neq 0 \,\&\, C = 0$.

Optogenetic responses: We considered two equal-length time windows in which we counted spikes before the onset of the optogenetic stimulus (spontaneous, -18 to -6 ms) and after the optogenetic stimulus onset (evoked, 6 to 18 ms) for each trial and for each unit. We computed the z-scores of evoked counts using the mean and standard deviation of spontaneous counts and compared evoked z-scores against a 1.96 z-threshold (α=5%). Trials that crossed the z-threshold were considered significantly responsive and were assigned a logical true value. We then computed the proportion of responsive (successful) trials per unit. In order to state significance for the response proportion, we estimated the necessary trial number (N) to achieve a statistical power of 0.8 with proportion values *p* and hypothesis *p₀=1-p* using MATLAB's test of the 'N' parameter (trials) for a binomial distribution (sampsizepwr). For *p≈1/3*, *N≈40*, which is our experimental trial number. Additionally, we compared the unit's trial crossing proportions with increasing thresholds (α=5%, one-tailed *t*-test, ttest in MATLAB) and visually searched for a region where the cardinality of included units stabilized. With an increasing threshold, the number of included units decreases until the responsive units would be found. We chose *p₀=1/3* as an optimal inflection point, minimizing the threshold and maximizing the responsive unit cardinality.

Supplementary information

Monosynaptic trans-collicular pathways for sensory-motor integration in the whisker system

Jesús Martín-Cortecero[1#*], Emilio Ulises Isaías-Camacho[1#], Berin Boztepe[1,2#], Katharina Ziegler[1], Rebecca Audrey Mease[1] and Alexander Groh[1*]

[1] Medical Biophysics, Institute for Physiology and Pathophysiology, Heidelberg University, 69120, Germany**.**
[2] Current affiliation: Neuroradiology Department, University Hospital Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
[#] JMC, EIC, and BB contributed equally to this work
[*] martin-cortecero@physiologie.uni-heidelberg.de (JMC); groh@uni-heidelberg.de (AG)

Fig. S1. LSC-projecting neurons in the motor cortex (M1 and M2). Related to Fig. 3.

Retrograde mCherry-labeled LSC-projecting neurons (red) in the motor cortex (MC) extend across M1 and M2.

Fig. S2. MC and BC boutons in SC. Related to Fig. 3.

(A) Schematic of dual injections of AAVs encoding for synapse-specific fluorescent fusion proteins (Synaptophysin-mOrange, Synaptophysin-EGFP [43,44]) to label MC (mOrange) and BC (EYFP) boutons in SC.
(B) Example confocal images of coronal SC slices with fluorescently labeled BC (left, synaptophysin-EGFP) and MC (right, synaptophysin-mOrange) boutons. Sup: superficial layer, Int: intermediate layer.
(C) Example of higher magnification confocal image showing BC boutons in the intermediate layers of SC.
(D) Normalized distribution of MC and BC bouton diameters in SC. Boxplots of bouton diameters, median (line in box), IQR (first to third quartile, boxes) (BC = 1.15 µm, n = 100; MC = 1.38 µm, n = 44) and IQRs (BC = 0.50 µm; MC = 0.60 µm).
* represents p < 0.01; B: Wilcoxon rank sum; exact p values in Supplementary Table S1.

Fig. S3. Transsynaptic labeling of MC recipient neurons in the intermediate gray and white layers [57]**, equivalent to SC intermediate layers. Related to Fig. 4.**
(A) SC coronal slice showing transsynaptically EGFP-labeled MC-RNs in the intermediate layers of SC with overlaid anatomical borders from Paxinos mouse brain atlas [57].
(B) Same as (A) at higher magnification.

Abbreviations: csc, commissure of the superior colliculus; DpG, Deep gray layer; DpWh deep white layer; InG, Intermediate gray layer; InWh, intermediate white layer; Op, optic nerve of the superior colliculus; PAG, periaqueductal gray; SuG, superficial gray layer; Zo, Zonal layer.

Fig. S4. GABA immunostaining of Con/Fon labeled cells in the GAD-Cre mouse line. Related to Fig. 5.

Example experiment in which MC-iRNs were labeled by injecting AAV1-Flpo in MC, and AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP in LSC of GAD-Cre mice. Slices with transsynaptically labeled iRNs (green, Confon-EYFP) were counterstained against GABA (red, immunostaining with Alexa 647, see Methods). All inspected iRNs were GABA positive.

(A) Example confocal image showing iRNs (green) and GABA immunostain (red). Left: overlay of red and green channel, showing GABA positive iRNs in yellow (arrows). Middle: green channel, showing iRNs (green). Right: red channel, showing GABA positive somata (red).

(B) Same as in (A) for a different iRN at higher magnification.

Fig. S5. Controls for conditional expression of transsynaptic reporter viruses in LSC. Related to Figs. 4-6.

(A) Upper row: Conditional expression of the reporter DIO was tested by injecting AAV2-DIO-mCherry either alone (left) or in combination with AAV1-Cre (right) into the LSC.

Bottom row. Examples of corresponding fluorescence images of the LSC. Conditional expression was only observed in combination with AAV1-Cre (right, red neurons). No leak expression of the reporter virus was detected

(B) Same as *(A)* but for Flpo dependent reporter virus. No leak expression of the reporter virus was detected.

(C) Upper row: Conditional expression of the reporter virus AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP was tested by LSC injections of AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP either alone or in different combinations with transsynaptic viruses: AAV1-Cre and AAV1-Flpo. Bottom row: Examples of corresponding fluorescence images of the LSC. Conditional expression was only observed for the last combination AAV8-Con/Fon-EYFP & AAV1-Cre & AAV1-Flpo (green neurons); no leak expression of the reporter virus was detected.

Fig. S6. Proportion of GABAergic neurons in intermediate layers of the LSC. Related to Fig. 5.

Proportion of GABAergic GAD67-GFP positive neurons with respect to immunostained NeuN neurons in the intermediate layer of the LSC. Using a GAD-GFP mouse line, in which GABAergic neurons are labeled with GFP, neurons were counterstained with NeuN-Alexa 647 (Fig. 3E). The plot shows the proportion of GABA and NeuN neurons along the anterior-posterior LSC axis.

Fig. S7. Axonal projections and varicosities of MC-RNs in diencephalic and brainstem target nuclei. Related to Fig. 8.

(A) Desaturated and inverted fluorescence images at two different anterior-posterior coordinates in diencephalic regions (top and middle) and brainstem (bottom), showing MC-RN axons (black arrowheads) at low magnification. Roman numbers enumerate the nuclei shown in *(B)*. Abbreviations: CM, the centro-medial nucleus; CL, centrolateral nucleus; Gi, Gigantocellular reticular nucleus; PC, Precentral; PF; Parafascicular nucleus; PO, Posterior nucleus; nucleus MD, mediodorsal nucleus; VM, ventromedial nucleus; ZI, zona incerta; 7N, Facial nucleus

(B) Confocal images show examples of axons in diencephalic nuclei CM/CL/PC MD, PO, VM, PF, and ZI and in brainstem nuclei, Gi and 7N. Roman numbers indicate the nuclei shown in *(A)*. Small square box indicates the region selected for magnification. Insets show a high magnification of axons and varicosities (white arrowheads), scale bar = 5 μm.

Fig. S8. LSC-iRN projections to diencephalic regions. Related to Fig. 8.

(A) Desaturated and inverted fluorescence images at three different anterior-posterior coordinates (from left to right) showing MC-, BC-, and Bs-iRNs outputs (top to bottom). Black arrowheads indicate iRN axons. Abbreviations: ETH, Ethmoidal nucleus; Pf, Parafascicular nucleus; PO, Posterior Nucleus; ZI, Zona incerta.

(B) Left: Exemplary desaturated and inverted fluorescence images at two different anterior-posterior coordinates showing MC-iRNs outputs in diencephalic nuclei (top and bottom). Black arrowheads indicate axons. Roman numbers enumerate the nuclei shown in high magnification. Abbreviations: APT, Anterior pretectal area; ETH, Ethmoidal nucleus; Pf, Parafascicular nucleus; PO, Posterior nucleus; MD, mediodorsal nucleus; VM, ventromedial nucleus; ZI, zona incerta.

Right: High magnification confocal images show examples of MC-iRN axons in ETH PF, Po, and ZI. Small square box indicates the region selected for magnification Roman numbers indicate the nuclei shown left. Insets show a high magnification of axons and varicosities (white arrowheads), inset scale bar = 10 µm.

Figure	Comparison	Statistical Test	P value =
4D	RNs Median location	Kruskal-Wallis	Chi Prob=7.8572e-06
	MC-RNs vs BC-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	6.4351e-06
	MC-RNs vs Bs-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	0.008951
	BC-RNs vs Bs-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	0.061802
4F Histogram D-V	D-V Cortex vs brainstem Medians	Wilcoxon Rank sum	0
4F Histogram M-L	M-L Cortex vs brainstem medians	Wilcoxon Rank sum	0
5G	iRN median proportion per slice	Kruskal-Wallis	Chi prob=6.8211e-04
5G	MC-iRNs vs BC-iRNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	1
	MC-iRNs vs Bs-iRNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	1
	BC-iRNs vs iBs-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	1
	MC-iRNs vs GABA	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	0.0019154
	BC-iRNs vs GABA	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	0.01571
	Bs-iRNs vs GABA	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	0.0092727
6C	CVG-RNs median location	Kruskal-Wallis	Chi prob=8.1595e-10
	Bs&BC vs Bs&MC-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	3.3295e-10
	Bs&BC vs BC&MC-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	0.00024089
	Bs&MC vs BC&MC-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	0.00047532
6E	CVG-RN median proportion per slice	Kruskal-Wallis	Chi prop=4.2556e-14
	Bs&BC vs Bs&MC-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	1
	Bs&BC vs BC&MC-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	2.8236e-08
	Bs&MC vs BC&MC-RNs	post-hoc Bonferroni Method	1.1304e-12
S2B	MC boutons vs BC boutons	Wilcoxon Rank sum	0.0057

Table S1. Statistical comparisons per figure.

Experiment	Neuron Type	N (neuron counts)
Whisker responsive units Fig.2A Awake	Whisker responsive units/Recorded units	325/1005
	Positive Modulation/Total whisker responsive	302/325
	Negative modulation/Total whisker responsive	23/325
Retrograde depth profile Fig. 3D	SC-projecting MC neurons	71
	SC-projecting BC neurons	55
Recipient Neurons (RNs) Fig. 4D	MC-RNs	5263
	BC-RNs	2927
	Bs-RNs	6702
Cortical and brainstem inputs Fig. 4F	Cortical RNs (MC & BC), Brainstem RNs	19179 pixels, 45494 pixels
GABAergic Neurons Fig. 5A	GABA (Gad-GFP), NeuN	84, 384
GABAergic Neurons Fig. 5E	iRNs (Cre&Flpo), RNs (Flpo)	41, 119
GABAergic Neurons Fig. 5G	GABA (GAD-GFP), NeuN	300, 1296 (10 slices, 30 sample sections)
Inhibitory Recipient neurons iRNs, RN Fig.5G	MC-iRN(Cre&Flpo),MC-RN(Flpo)	396, 1068 (24 slices)
	BC-iRN(Cre&Flpo),BC-RN(Flpo)	527, 1492 (34 slices)
	Bs-iRN(Cre&Flpo), Bs-RN(Flpo)	1092, 3274 (39 slices)
Convergent Neurons CVG Fig. 6C	MC&BC-CVG-RN (Cre&Flpo)	707
	Bs&MC-CVG-RN (Cre&Flpo)	264
	Bs&BC-CVG-RN (Cre&Flpo)	115
Convergent Neurons CVG Fig. 6C	Cortex&cortex vs Cortex&brainstem	52 slices 65 slices
Convergent Neurons CVG-RN Fig. 6E	MC&BC-CVG-RN (Cre&Flpo), RN(Flpo)	636, 2832 (55 slices)
	Bs&MC-CVG-RN (Cre&Flpo), RN(Flpo)	243, 2453 (63 slices)
	Bs&BC-CVG-RN (Cre&Flpo), RN(Flpo)	114, 1391(27 slices)
Gaussian mixture model Fig.6F	MC&BC-CVG-RN (Cre&Flpo)	222
Functional Convergence Fig 7C	Total Responsive units	30
Bouton diameter FigS2B	MC-L5→SC responsive units	25
	BC-L5→SC responsive units	14
	MC&BC→ SC responsive units	9
	MC→SC boutons BC→SC boutons	44 100

Table S2. Sample sizes per figure.

	Spontaneous firing rate [Hz]	Evoked firing rate [Hz]	Onset latency [ms]
MC-L5 responsive units	1.26, 0.71, 2.98	111.10, 83.33, 142.36	12.68, 11.59, 13.56
BC-L5 responsive units	1.23, 0.96, 4.29	95.83, 70.83, 106.25	9.51, 8.74, 12.65
MC-L5 & BC-L5 responsive units	1.17, 0.90, 2.45	MC-L5: 122.92, 78.64, 128.64 BC-L5: 95.83, 67.19, 111.63	MC-L5: 12.24, 11.50, 13.34 BC-L5: 9.58, 8.73, 12.64
Whisker responsive units	2.55, 1.0, 5.46	30.18, 14.59, 63.79	31.46, 25.08, 47.15

Table S3. SC unit population spiking characteristics. Reported values are medians, Q1, and Q3. Related to Figs. 2, 7.