The calcineurin regulator Sarah enables distinct forms of homeostatic plasticity at the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction

Noah S. Armstrong[1,2] and C. Andrew Frank[1,2, 3]

[1]Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA, United States; [2]Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Neuroscience University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States; [3]Iowa Neuroscience Institute, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

* Correspondence:

C. Andrew Frank

andy-frank@uiowa.edu

Keywords: *Drosophila melanogaster*, NMJ, neurotransmission, plasticity, synaptic homeostasis, synaptic dysfunction, calcineurin, *sarah* (*sra*)

Abstract

The ability of synapses to maintain physiological levels of evoked neurotransmission is essential

for neuronal stability. A variety of perturbations can disrupt neurotransmission, but synapses often

compensate for disruptions and work to stabilize activity levels, using forms of homeostatic

synaptic plasticity. Presynaptic homeostatic potentiation (PHP) is one such mechanism. PHP is

expressed at the *Drosophila melanogaster* larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ) synapse, as well

as other NMJs. In PHP, presynaptic neurotransmitter release increases to offset the effects of

impairing muscle transmitter receptors. Prior *Drosophila* work has studied PHP using different

ways to perturb muscle receptor function – either acutely (using pharmacology) or chronically

(using genetics). Some of our prior data suggested that cytoplasmic calcium signaling was

important for expression of PHP after genetic impairment of glutamate receptors. Here we

followed up on that observation. We used a combination of transgenic *Drosophila* RNA

interference and overexpression lines, along with NMJ electrophysiology, synapse imaging, and

pharmacology to test if regulators of the calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase

calcineurin are necessary for the normal expression of PHP. We found that either pre- or

postsynaptic dysregulation of a *Drosophila* gene regulating calcineurin, *sarah* (*sra*), blocks PHP.

Tissue-specific manipulations showed that either increases or decreases in *sra* expression are

detrimental to PHP. Additionally, pharmacologically and genetically induced forms of expression

of PHP are functionally separable depending entirely upon which *sra* genetic manipulation is used.

Surprisingly, dual-tissue pre- and postsynaptic *sra* knockdown or overexpression can ameliorate

PHP blocks revealed in single-tissue experiments. Pharmacological and genetic inhibition of

calcineurin corroborated this latter finding. Our results suggest tight calcineurin regulation is

needed across multiple tissue types to stabilize peripheral synaptic outputs.

INTRODUCTION

Normal synapse function permits a pre-determined physiological range of activity. Challenges to synapse function can force activity beyond setpoint limits. To maintain stability, synaptic and circuit level homeostatic mechanisms exist in vertebrates and invertebrates (Davis, 2006, Marder, 2012, Pozo and Goda, 2010, Frank, 2014a). Defects in homeostatic plasticity can impair the preservation of neuronal activity and may be associated with neurological conditions that display instabilities including ataxia, migraine, schizophrenia, Alzheimer's disease and epilepsy (Andre et al., 2018, Dickman and Wondolowski, 2013, Wondolowski and Dickman, 2013, Bliss et al., 2014). Synaptic homeostasis has also been implicated as being necessary for the manifestation of normal sleep behavior (Tononi and Cirelli, 2006, Kikuma et al., 2019). For these diverse behaviors or neurological disorders, the relevant synaptic activities and functional challenges operate on varying timescales, from minutes (e.g., perturbations that induce migraine) to years (e.g., conditions like Alzheimer's Disease). Yet there are significant gaps in understanding of how neuronal stability is maintained, across neural tissue types and over broad timescales.

We can address some of these gaps using model synapses, like the *Drosophila melanogaster* neuromuscular junction (NMJ). The *Drosophila* NMJ is a well-studied synapse (Menon et al., 2013). It is suitable for combining approaches in genetics, development, and electrophysiology (Jan and Jan, 1976). For homeostatic synaptic plasticity, the NMJ has been key for mechanistic discovery (Frank et al., 2020). One well-characterized form of homeostatic plasticity is called presynaptic homeostatic potentiation (PHP). In *Drosophila*, PHP is initiated when postsynaptic glutamate receptors are impaired, decreasing quantal size. The synapse detects this impairment, and a muscle-to-nerve signaling process drives increased presynaptic glutamate release. The prevailing model is that potentiated release happens after retrograde signaling triggers an increase in presynaptic calcium influx through Ca_V2 voltage-gated calcium channels (Frank et al., 2006, Frank et al., 2009, Müller and Davis, 2012, Wang et al., 2014, Younger et al., 2013). This increase in presynaptic calcium influx coincides with an increased readily releasable pool (RRP) of synaptic vesicles (Harris et al., 2015, Müller et al., 2015, Müller et al., 2012, Weyhersmüller et al., 2011). These modifications drive the ability of the motor neuron to deliver more glutamate to the cleft, offsetting the decreased quantal size.

PHP is studied using different kinds of manipulations. Acute inhibition of glutamate

receptors causes PHP to be expressed rapidly, in minutes (Frank et al., 2006). By contrast, genetic

manipulations last over extended developmental time (Davis et al., 1998, DiAntonio et al., 1999,

Petersen et al., 1997). Acute PHP can be induced and expressed by applying glutamate receptor

inhibitors, like Philanthotoxin-433 (PhTx) (Frank et al., 2006) or GYKI-53655 (Nair et al., 2021).

Alternatively, glutamate receptors can be impaired genetically using a loss of function mutation in

$GluRIIA^{SP16}$ (Petersen et al., 1997), RNAi-mediated knockdown of $GluRIII$ (Brusich et al., 2015), or

a variety of other manipulations that impair receptor expression throughout development

(reviewed in (Frank, 2014a)). Each of these manipulations results in decreased quantal size, offset

by increased quantal content, resulting in normal levels of evoked excitation.

In prior work, we reported that a signaling modality including Gq, Plc21C (*Drosophila*

PLCβ homolog), IP_3 receptors, and ryanodine receptors were necessary for the expression of PHP

after genetic glutamate receptor loss, but not after acute pharmacological PhTx challenge (James

et al., 2019). IP_3 receptors and ryanodine receptors have dual pre- and postsynaptic functions by

mediating the release of intracellular calcium from intracellular calcium stores (Kadamur and Ross,

2013, Philip et al., 2010). However, it is unknown which calcium-dependent molecules must be

activated or regulated via the release of calcium from intracellular stores to promote homeostatic

potentiation.

Calcineurin is a well conserved Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase that is

found in most mammalian tissues but is expressed at high levels in the brain, and it has been shown

to regulate ion channel function and trafficking, apoptosis, gene regulation, receptor trafficking,

neuronal plasticity, and immune processes (Rusnak and Mertz, 2000, Furman and Norris, 2014,

Musson and Smit, 2011, Baumgärtel and Mansuy, 2012). Calcineurin is also regulated by a family of

proteins known as regulators of calcineurin (RCAN1), which are the product of Down Syndrome

Critical Region 1 (DSCR1) gene (Park et al., 2009, Harris et al., 2005). There is evidence that suggests

that RCAN family members inhibit calcineurin activity (Kingsbury and Cunningham, 2000, Lee et al.,

2003). The *Drosophila* gene *sarah* (*sra*; also known as *nebula*) encodes the only known ortholog

of DSCR1 in *Drosophila* (Chang et al., 2003). Sra/Nebula/DSCR1 (herein: Sra) has been shown to

physically associate with the catalytic subunit of calcineurin (Takeo et al., 2006). Evidence suggests

that Sra inhibits calcineurin, as calcineurin activity has been shown to be approximately 40%

higher in *sra* mutants in comparison to controls or heterozygous mutants (Chang et al., 2003). *sra*

gene function has been shown to be required for mitochondrial function (Chang and Min, 2005),

female meiosis and egg activation (Takeo et al., 2006, Horner et al., 2006, Takeo et al., 2012), sleep

regulation (Nakai et al., 2011) and learning (Chang et al., 2003).

Here we report that either increases or decreases in *sra* gene expression – in either

presynaptic neuron or postsynaptic muscle – result in PHP impairment. Using genetics, we found

that different modes of PHP expression were functionally separable, as specific *sra* manipulations

compromised expression of PHP triggered by acute pharmacology or chronic genetic mutation.

We found that tissue-specific manipulations of *sra* resulted in strong blocks of PHP. But

surprisingly, dual tissue manipulations did not. Concurrent pre- and postsynaptic *sra* knockdown

or overexpression led to weaker (or no) blocks of PHP compared to single tissue impairments.

Consistent with this latter finding, global pharmacological inhibition of calcineurin via FK506

increases homeostatic capacity of the NMJ following a genetic PHP challenge.

Taken together, our data highlight a novel idea for homeostasis at the synapse. Regulatory

proteins like Sra are present in multiple synaptic tissues; if those factors are manipulated in tissue-

specific ways, there can be profound defects in overall synapse stability. But conversely, global

losses (or gains) of function may escape the most severe phenotypes. Our data set a framework for

testing how individual molecules contribute to homeostatic synaptic plasticity from different

synaptic tissues.

METHODS

***Drosophila* husbandry and stocks**

Drosophila melanogaster fruit flies were raised on a standard cornmeal and molasses medium

prepared according to the recipe from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC,

Bloomington, IN). *Drosophila* husbandry was performed according to standard practices

(Greenspan, 2004). Larvae were raised at 25⁰C in humidity and light controlled (12 h light: 12 h

dark) Percival DR-36VL incubators (Geneva Scientific).

w^{1118} (Hazelrigg et al., 1984) was used as a non-transgenic wild type stock. The null

GluRIIA^{SP16} mutant generated previously (Petersen et al., 1997). The *UAS-GluRIII RNAi* line that

was used has been described previously (Brusich et al., 2015). GAL4 drivers that were used included

the neuronal drivers *elaV(C155)-GAL4* (Lin and Goodman, 1994) and *Sca-GAL4* (Budnik et al., 1996)

and the postsynaptic driver *BG57-GAL4* (Budnik et al., 1996). The *sra RNAi* line that was used was

TRiP.JF02557 (BDSC:27260) (Shaw and Chang, 2013) and the *sra* overexpression line that was

used was *sra^{EY07182}* (BDSC:15991) (Lee et al., 2016). The *sra^{Mi06435}* was used alone (BDSC:42393)

and in conjunction with the deficiency line *Df(3R)sbd104* (BDSC:1920). The *CaNB RNAi* line that

was used was *TRiP.JF02616* (BDSC:27307) (Shaw and Chang, 2013).

Electrophysiology and pharmacology

Wandering third instar larvae were collected and dissected for NMJ analysis. Both control and

experimental conditions were performed in parallel using identical conditions. Dissections and

recordings were performed in a modified HL3 saline (70 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5mM HEPES, 10

mM $NaHCO_3$, 115 mM sucrose, 4.2 mM trehalose, 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$ (unless otherwise noted), 10

mM $MgCl_2$, pH 7.2 (Stewart et al., 1994). Neuromuscular junction sharp electrode recordings were

performed on muscles 6/7 of abdominal segments A2, A3, and A4. Miniature excitatory

postsynaptic potentials (mEPSPs) and excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) were conducted

as previously described (Brusich et al., 2015, Spring et al., 2016, Yeates et al., 2017, James et al., 2019,

Mallik and Frank, 2022).

Uncorrected quantal content was calculated per NMJ by dividing the average EPSP by the

average mEPSP. Quantal content was then corrected for non-linear summation (NLS quantal

content) using the following calculation (McLachlan and Martin, 1981):

147
$$\overline{v}' = E \ln \frac{E}{(E - \overline{v})}$$

$\overline{v}'$ is the NLS corrected EPSP, E is the electromotive force (in this case equal to resting membrane

potential), and $\overline{v}$ is equal to the EPSP amplitude. To find NLS corrected quantal content, the

corrected EPSP amplitude ($\overline{v}'$) is then divided by mEPSP amplitude.

Recordings were performed on a Leica microscope using a 10x objective and acquired

using an Axoclamp 900A amplifier, Digidata 1440A acquisition system and pClamp10.7

(Molecular Devices) software. Data were analyzed using MiniAnalysis (Synaptosoft) and the

Clampfit (Molecular Devices) programs. To generate mEPSP and EPSP traces for figures, (x,y)

coordinates were pulled from the Clampfit program and imported into GraphPad Prism

(GraphPad) software. All traces display the recording that was at or closest to the calculated

average of each specific condition.

Pharmacological agents were bath applied in recording saline at the final concentrations

indicated in the text and figures. The agents included Philanthotoxin-433 (PhTx, Sigma-Aldrich),

Cyclosporin A (Sigma-Aldrich), and FK506 (InvivoGen).

Immunostaining and analyses

Wandering third instar larvae were dissected on a sylgard Petri plate in HL3 and fixed using

Bouin's fixative for 10 minutes or 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 minutes. Larvae were

washed with PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (PBST) for 40 min, blocked for an hour with

2.5% BSA, and incubated overnight with primary antibodies at 4ºC. This was followed with

another 40 min of washes, and incubation in secondary antibodies for 1.5 hr at room temperature.

The primary antibodies used were mouse anti-Synapsin (anti-Syn; 3C11) 1:50 (Developmental

Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA); rabbit anti-Dlg 1:15,000 (Budnik et al., 1996); mouse

anti-phosphorylated CaMKII (pCaMKII) 1:100 (MA1-047; Invitrogen); rabbit anti-GluRIII 1:150

(Marrus et al., 2004, Goel and Dickman, 2018). The following fluorophore conjugated secondary

antibodies were also used (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories): goat anti-mouse 488 1:1000

(DyLight) and goat anti–rabbit 549 1:2000 (DyLight). Larval preparations were mounted in

Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and imaged at room temperature with a 700 Carl Zeiss laser

scanning confocal microscope equipped with $63 \times /1.4$ NA oil immersion objective using separate

channels with four laser lines (405, 488, 555, and 639 nm).

All quantifications were performed on muscle 6/7 segments A2 and A3. For NMJ growth

analysis, anti-Dlg boutons were counted in muscle after verifying that there was a corresponding

cluster of anti-Syn staining in motor neurons. For pCaMKII and GluRIII intensity measurements,

a mask was created around the GluRIII channel to define the postsynaptic region and eliminate

background. Only pCaMKII signals within this mask at Ib postsynaptic densities were quantified.

Images were prepared for publication using Adobe Illustrator.

Statistical analyses

Statistical significance was tested using either a Student's T-Test to compare one experimental

data set directly to a control data set or using a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey's post-hoc test if

multiple data sets were being compared. Specific *p*-value ranges used were as follows: *$p<0.05$,

**$p<0.01$, and ***$p<0.001$. All statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism

software. Data were plotted in GraphPad Prism and final figures were compiled using Adobe

Illustrator.

RESULTS

Concurrent neuron and muscle *sra* knockdown do not block PHP

PHP is commonly assessed at the *Drosophila* NMJ using acute Philanthotoxin-433 (PhTx)

application to test the rapid expression of PHP (Frank et al., 2006) or chronic genetic manipulations

to test the expression of PHP throughout life, like the *GluRIIA^{SP16}* the genetic null mutation

(Petersen et al., 1997) or knock down of *GluRIII* gene function by RNAi (Brusich et al., 2015). All

of these manipulations result in decreased mEPSP amplitude while quantal content (corrected for

non-linear summation, herein NLS quantal content) increases. Evoked excitation is maintained as

a result. This indicates PHP mechanisms are working to sustain evoked potentials near normal

levels.

Given our prior data suggesting that dysregulation of calcium stores disrupted the

maintenance of PHP after genetic challenge (Brusich et al., 2015, James et al., 2019), we wanted to

test whether combined pre- and postsynaptic *sra* loss-of-function conditions impaired PHP. To do

this, we used a *Drosophila* line that contains both neuron and muscle GAL4 drivers to knockdown

sra using RNAi and applied 20μM PhTx to test rapid PHP expression. This *sra RNAi* line been

shown to knock down protein levels of Sarah (also known as Nebula) by about 60% (Shaw and

Chang, 2013).

PhTx application decreased mEPSP amplitude for both driver control and *sra RNAi*

conditions (**Figure 1A**) (Frank et al., 2006). Evoked potentials were maintained for the driver

control (**Figure 1B**) because of the compensatory significant increase in NLS quantal content

(**Figure 1C**). Dual tissue knockdown of *sra* resulted in a mild decrease in evoked potentials

following PhTx incubation. This might be consistent with a small defect in homeostatic plasticity,

but there was still a significant increase in NLS quantal content compared to non-drugged controls

(**Figure 1A-D** and **Supplementary Table 1**). At a minimum, this indicated partially intact acute

PHP expression.

To test for the maintenance of PHP, we first used a *Drosophila* line that contains both

neuron and muscle GAL4 drivers, along with *UAS-GluRIII RNAi* to provide a genetic homeostatic

challenge (Brusich et al., 2015). This *UAS-GluRIII RNAi* reagent is a good first-test or screening

tool to identify genes needed for the maintenance of PHP (Brusich et al., 2015). Again, while there

were significant decreases in evoked amplitude in *sra RNAi* synapses challenged with either PhTx

or *GluRIII RNAi*, there were still some compensatory increases in NLS quantal content (Figure

1A-D). Our interpretation of these data is that PHP mechanisms are partially (or largely) intact

when *sra* function is knocked down.

Concurrent neuron and muscle *sra* overexpression do not block PHP

We acquired reagents to test if simultaneous pre- and postsynaptic overexpression of *sra* resulted

in impairment in forms of PHP. We used the *sra*^{EY07182} allele. This is a 5' P-element insertion allele

in the endogenous *sra* locus with *UAS* binding sites for GAL4 transcriptional control upstream of

the *sra* gene; this allows for conditional tissue specific overexpression of *sra*. Overexpression of

sra neuronally using the pan neuronal driver, *elaV*, and *sra*^{EY07182} has been shown to result in

transcript levels that were approximately twofold higher than controls (Lee et al., 2016).

For our electrophysiological experiments, there was a decrease in the EPSPs of neuron +

muscle GAL4 >> *sra*^{EY07182} ("*sra* OE" - overexpression) + *GluRIII RNAi* synapses compared to

neuron + muscle GAL4 >> *sra*^{EY07182} alone, and there was only a small – but not statistically

significant – increase in NLS quantal content (**Figure 1E-H** and **Supplementary Table 1**). Our

interpretation of these data is that the chronic expression of PHP is not fully intact. Additionally,

we there was no significant difference in the EPSPs of neuron + muscle GAL4 $>>$ $sra^{EY07182}$

overexpression animals alone or genetically identical overexpression animals challenged with

PhTx (**Figure 1E-H**). Taken as a whole, concurrent overexpression of sra both pre-and

postsynaptically does not appear to block homeostatic responses at the NMJ. If anything,

phenotypes are subtle, indicating partial impairments of PHP.

Global sra mutation shows a mixed PHP phenotype and deficient neurotransmission at low
calcium

We turned to mutant *Drosophila* stocks to examine loss-of-function alleles. We used the $sra^{Mi06435}$

transposon insertion to test if a global disruption of sra function would block PHP or show

defective neurotransmission. We compared $sra^{Mi06435}$ alone to wild type and $sra^{Mi06435}$ over

chromosomal deficiency (*Df*). We found no significant differences in EPSP amplitude or NLS

quantal content between any groups (**Figure 2A-C** and **Supplementary Table 2)**, indicating a

normal level of baseline neurotransmission.

To challenge the mutant NMJs and test for PHP, we used a $GluRIIA^{SP16}$ null mutant

([Petersen et al., 1997](#)) and combined it with the $sra^{Mi06435}$/*Df* allelic combination. The addition of

the $GluRIIA^{SP16}$ mutation caused a significant decrease in mEPSP amplitude, as expected (**Figure**

2A). Yet our test for PHP expression was mixed. This is because in the sra loss-of-function

combination, the homeostatic challenge was coupled with a small, but not statistically significant

decrease in EPSP amplitude (**Figure 2B**) and a small, but not significant increase in NLS quantal

content (**Figure 2C**). If there were robust PHP for the sra mutants, one would predict an increase

in quantal content in response to the homeostatic pressure of decreased mEPSPs. Conversely, if

there were blocked PHP, one would expect a decrease in EPSP amplitude. Neither occurred.

Prior studies showed that mutants with intermediate PHP phenotypes can be sensitive to

levels of calcium ([Frank, 2014a](#), [Frank, 2014b](#), [Genç and Davis, 2019](#), [Frank et al., 2006](#)). Therefore, we

also examined $sra^{Mi06435}$ and $sra^{Mi06435}$/*Df* for defective neurotransmission in low calcium

conditions (0.20mM). Somewhat surprisingly, $sra^{Mi06435}$ and $sra^{Mi06435}$/*Df* showed decreased

mEPSP amplitude and EPSP amplitude compared to wild type, and no increase in NLS quantal

content (**Figure 2E-G**). Our $sra^{Mi06435}$ and $sra^{Mi06435}$/Df data lead us to the interpretation that PHP

and NMJ function are highly sensitive to low levels of calcium. This is a phenotype shared with

some other homeostatic factors that govern presynaptic release (Frank, 2014b, Frank et al., 2006,

Yeates and Frank, 2021).

Postsynaptic *sra* knockdown impairs the rapid expression of PHP but not its maintenance

After testing for dual-tissue functions for *sra* in PHP, we conducted single-tissue experiments.

First, we used the *UAS-sra RNAi* transgene to knockdown *sra* expression in the muscle. We applied

PhTx to animals that expressed *UAS-sra RNAi* in the muscle using the GAL4 driver, *BG57-GAL4*.

Surprisingly, in contrast to the dual-tissue knockdown, postsynaptic knockdown of *sra* completely

blocked the rapid expression of PHP, resulting in significantly decreased EPSP amplitudes and a

failure to show a compensatory increase in NLS quantal content (**Figure 3B,C** and

Supplementary Table 3). We tested the if the postsynaptic knockdown of *sra* would also block

of the chronic maintenance and expression of PHP. Knockdown of *sra* postsynaptically in a

$GluRIIA^{SP16}$ background not only exhibited an expected decrease in mEPSP amplitude compared

to non-$GluRIIA^{SP16}$ controls, but also a marked decrease in EPSP amplitude (**Figure 3E,F** and

Supplementary Table 3). There was a slight but significant increase in quantal content, which

indicates partially intact PHP mechanisms (**Figure 3G**).

Our results are consistent with the idea that the knockdown of *sra* postsynaptically induces

a complete block when acutely challenged with pharmacology, but only a partial block when

chronically challenged genetically. This suggests that the postsynaptic expression of *sra* may have

a differential role in regulating two separable forms of PHP. We tested this idea further.

Postsynaptic *sra* overexpression blocks the maintenance of PHP but not acute expression

After examining postsynaptic *sra* knockdown, we did the opposite genetic perturbation: we

examined overexpression of *sra* postsynaptically. We found that applying PhTx to synapses with

sra overexpressed postsynaptically reveals partially impaired rapid PHP expression (**Figure 4A-**

D and **Supplementary Table 4**). There was no significant difference in EPSPs between

unchallenged and challenged NMJs. There was a small numerical increase in NLS quantal content,

but this increase did not achieve statistical significance (**Figure 4C**).

Next, we tested if postsynaptic overexpression of *sra* could block chronic expression of

PHP using the *sra^{EY07182}* allele in a *GluRIIA^{SP16}* background. Overexpression of *sra*

postsynaptically yielded normal baseline EPSP amplitude (as in Figure 1), but this postsynaptic

overexpression of *sra* in *GluRIIA^{SP16}* null NMJ background triggered a significant decrease in

EPSP amplitude along with a failure to increase NLS quantal content when compared to the

unchallenged control (**Figure 4E-H** and **Supplementary Table 4**). In fact, the NLS quantal

content was also significantly decreased in the *GluRIIA^{SP16}* background, which is not a common

phenotype. This is indicative a strong block of chronically expressed PHP. Taken together, our

data suggest that proper postsynaptic expression of *sra* is critical for the NMJ to respond to

different kinds of PHP challenge.

Postsynaptic *sra* overexpression does not impair synapse development

Since the postsynaptic overexpression phenotype was the strongest, we checked if it

correlated with NMJ developmental defects. In principle, synaptic developmental defects could

explain the physiological phenotypes. We immunostained third instar larval *Drosophila* NMJs for

presynaptic boutons to quantify their elaboration. We overexpressed *sra* postsynaptically alone

and or in conjunction with *GluRIIA^{SP16}*. We visualized NMJ bouton development in synapse 6/7

muscle segments A2 and A3 by co-staining with anti-Synapsin (Syn, presynaptic vesicle marker)

and anti-Discs Large (DLG, postsynaptic density marker).

We did not find marked differences in bouton number between any group for NMJ 6/7

within segment A2 or segment A3 (**Figure 5A-G** and **Supplementary Table 5**) (segments

analyzed separately due to documented differences in development). We quantified bouton

normalized per muscle area and found that postsynaptic overexpression of *sra* resulted in a

significant increase compared to other genotypes (**Figure 5H** and **Supplementary Table 5**). This

indicates that *sra* overexpression in the muscle may result in smaller muscles – but in any case,

these unchallenged animals had intact physiology (**Figure 4**). These data indicate that postsynaptic

overexpression of *sra* does not impair gross NMJ development, and therefore, a developmental

defect unlikely to be contributing towards the observed PHP block by electrophysiology. The

caveat to this experiment is that more subtle developmental changes are possible on the level of

active zones or glutamate receptor clusters. We decided to examine a marker known to correlate

with PHP expression at a specific motor terminal.

328   Overexpression of *sra* postsynaptically disrupts pCaMKII downregulation in Ib motor

329   neurons

To try to gain more mechanistic understanding of how postsynaptic *sra* overexpression may be

blocking the maintenance of PHP, we measured phosphorylated CaMKII (pCaMKII) levels and

glutamate receptor signal intensity. Genetic glutamate receptor challenges normally result in

decreased levels of pCaMKII immunofluorescence (Kikuma et al., 2019, Goel et al., 2017, Li et al.,

2018, Newman et al., 2017), and this decrease correlates with successful expression of PHP.

We measured pCaMKII intensity at Ib postsynaptic densities. We found a significant

decrease in *GluRIIA^{SP16}* animals compared to wild-type animals as has been shown previously

(**Figure 6A,B** and **Supplementary Table 6**). We note for this experiment that our muscle driver

control lines also showed unexpected decreases in baseline pCaMKII and GluRIII staining

compared to wild type (**Figure 6A,B**). These effects are likely due to the genetic background of

the driver, but they are important for subsequent comparison with *sra* overexpression.

Surprisingly, when comparing overexpression of *sra* postsynaptically to overexpression of

sra postsynaptically in *GluRIIA^{SP16}* null NMJs, we not only failed to see a decrease in pCaMKII

intensity, but we saw a significant increase (**Figure 6A,B**). Moreover, when examining GluRIII

(also known as GluRIIC) intensity, we saw no significant difference between wild type and

GluRIIA^{SP16} (**Figure 6A,C**). However, we saw a significant increase in GluRIII intensity in *sra*

postsynaptic overexpression in the *GluRIIA^{SP16}* null background when compared to *sra*

overexpression alone or wild type (**Figure 6A,C**). It is possible that the synapse (unsuccessfully)

attempted to compensate for its electrophysiological deficits by trafficking in more glutamate

receptors.

Knockdown of *sra* presynaptically impedes the maintenance of PHP but not acute expression

Because we saw strong tissue-specific phenotypes when genetically dysregulating *sra* in the muscle, we tested if knockdown of *sra* presynaptically also affected PHP. Acute application of PhTx to NMJs with *sra* neuronal knockdown did not block Acute PHP expression as EPSP amplitudes were mostly maintained, and NLS quantal content was significantly increased between challenged and unchallenged animals (**Figure 7A-D** and **Supplementary Table 7**).

To understand if decreasing *sra* expression presynaptically blocks the long-term expression of PHP, we turned to the *GluRIIA^{SP16}* null mutant again. We found that decreased *sra* expression neuronally blocked chronic PHP because of decreased EPSP amplitude in *GluRIIA^{SP16}*, *sra RNAi* NMJs when compared to *GluRIIA^{SP16}* or *sra RNAi* alone (**Figure 7E-H** and **Supplementary Table 7**). The *GluRIIA^{SP16}*, *sra RNAi* synapses also failed to show a significant increase in NLS quantal content compared to the unchallenged control (**Figure 7G**). This provides us with evidence that the knockdown of *sra* presynaptically blocks the long-term maintenance phase of PHP

Overexpression of *sra* presynaptically blocks long-term PHP expression

Finally, we tested if the presynaptic overexpression of *sra* affects PHP. After applying PhTx to NMJs with *sra* neuronal overexpression, we did not find any significant differences in evoked amplitude while getting a robust increase in NLS quantal content (**Figure 8A-D** and **Supplementary Table 8**).

Next, we attempted to test chronic PHP expression following neuronal *sra* overexpression in a *GluRIIA^{SP16}* null background. There was a statistically significant decrease in the evoked amplitudes of *elaV(C155)-Gal4 >> sra^{EY07182}* synapses and a non-significant NLS quantal content increase (**Figure 8E-H** and **Supplementary Table 8**). Yet based on our controls, we cannot attribute those data to *sra* overexpression alone: this is because the neuronal driver in this experiment also seemed to contribute to the phenotype **(Figure 8F-H)**.

FK506 reverses the effects of postsynaptic overexpression of *sra*

We were puzzled why the single-tissue genetic manipulations of *sra* yielded stronger PHP
inhibition. One possibility is that for instances where a molecule normally functions in multiple
tissues, synapses could engage homeostatic systems to cope with a global loss (e.g., endogenous
genetic mutation) of that molecule. In principle, challenging a synapse with single tissue genetic
manipulation could disrupt this process.

Our earlier experiments showed a strong chronic PHP block when *sra* was overexpressed
postsynaptically (**Figure 4E-H** and **Supplementary Table 4**). Sra is a calcineurin inhibitor. Thus,
overexpressing the *sra* gene (*sra* OE) postsynaptically should decrease calcineurin activity in
muscle. If the above model is correct, then impairing calcineurin globally via a pharmacological
calcineurin inhibitor could reverse the chronic PHP block.

FK506 (tacrolimus) is a calcineurin inhibiting drug that binds to FK-binding protein l (Liu
et al., 1991, Sigal and Dumont, 1992, Clardy, 1995). This immunophilin-drug binds to calcineurin and
inhibits its protein phosphatase activity (Kuromi et al., 1997, Clardy, 1995, Swanson et al., 1992). There
are notable phenotypes at synaptic preparations. For whole-cell patch clamp in rat cortex,
application of FK506 increased the frequency of spontaneous events (Victor et al., 1995). And
application of FK506 to *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular junctions has been reported to increase
the endocytosis of synaptic vesicles, while having no effect on the frequency of spontaneous events
or the mean amplitude of evoked events (Kuromi et al., 1997), though the specificity of FK506 in
impairing calcineurin at the *Drosophila* NMJ has not been thoroughly documented.

We repeated the experiment of overexpressing *sra* in the muscle, this time incubating NMJ
preps with FK506. Larval preps were incubated with 50μM FK506 for 15 minutes prior to
electrophysiological recordings. We replicated our earlier finding that *sra* muscle overexpression
challenged with the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} null mutation showed decreased evoked amplitude and a marked
decrease NLS quantal content, instead of an increase (**Figure 9B,C** and **Supplementary Table 9**).
We also repeated our driver controls, and we should note that in the case of this set of experiments,
the driver also appeared to confer an impairment of PHP (**Figure 9B,C and Supplementary Table**
9) – though consistent with before (**Figure 4**), the effect was not nearly as severe as with *sra*
muscle overexpression.

Genetically identical animals were incubated with FK506. We found that EPSP amplitude
is significantly and specifically increased in the *sra* muscle overexpression challenged with the

GluRIIA^SP16 null mutation – compared to non-drugged controls – and this is a result of increased

NLS quantal content (**Figure 9A-D** and **Supplementary Table 9**). This experiment suggests that

global inhibition of calcineurin across tissues can mitigate the chronic PHP block caused by single

tissue *sra* manipulation. Alternatively, our results may point toward non-specific potentiation

presynaptically, induced by FK506. This latter possibility did not seem likely due to the specificity

of the effect on the *sra* overexpression line, but we conducted further tests.

FK506 reverses the effects of presynaptic knockdown of *sra*

We wondered if we could reverse other PHP defects by pharmacologically blocking calcineurin.

We saw a block in chronic PHP following *sra* knockdown presynaptically (**Figure 7E-H**). We

repeated this experiment while also including synapses incubated with FK506. As before, in the

drug-free condition, EPSP amplitudes were deficient following *sra* presynaptic knockdown

challenged via *GluRIIA^SP16* and failed to increase NLS quantal content (**Figure 10B,C**). However,

incubation with FK506 significantly increased EPSP amplitude in these animals, and this was

accompanied by a robust increase in NLS quantal content (**Figure 10A-D** and **Supplementary**

Table 10). This provides further support that inhibition of calcineurin in a non-tissue-specific

pharmacological manner can reverse defects resulting from single tissue *sra* impairments and

restore PHP.

Pharmacological inhibition of calcineurin generally improves homeostatic capabilities in response to chronic PHP challenge

We next tested if the pharmacological inhibition of calcineurin alone would also block PHP. We

applied FK506 to *GluRIIA^SP16* animals at either 10μM or 50μM concentrations. We saw no change

in EPSP amplitude in wild-type animals with drug application at either concentration, but

interestingly, in *GluRIIA^SP16* animals, we saw an increase in EPSP amplitude and NLS quantal

content following 50μM FK506 incubation compared to non-drugged controls (**Figure 11B** and

Supplementary Table 11). The 50μM concentration also produced increases in mEPSP frequency

in both wild type and *GluRIIA^SP16* animals (**Figure 11D** and **Supplementary Table 11**). These

data are consistent with our hypothesis that global inhibition of calcineurin may create scenarios

at the NMJ that are favorable to the expression of PHP.

We tested if FK506 increases release probability on its own. To do this, we conducted a

failure analysis in very low calcium (0.14mM) (Frank et al., 2006, Petersen et al., 1997). In extremely

low calcium, many presynaptic events fail to release vesicles and therefore fail to generate an

EPSP. In these low calcium conditions, probability of vesicle release is low (Del Castillo and Katz,

1954). Our failure analysis on wild-type and $GluRIIA^{SP16}$ animals with and without FK506

incubation showed that FK506 significantly decreases the number of failures compared to non-

drugged controls (**Figure 11E** and **Supplementary Table 11**). Additionally, successful evoked

events were significantly bigger in amplitude (on average) after FK506 incubation compared to

non-drugged controls (**Figure 11F**). Taken together, these data suggest that FK506 increases

release probability. This may be occurring because of calcineurin inhibition increasing presynaptic

calcium either via influx from voltage-gated channels or efflux via intracellular stores.

As shown in our failure analysis experiment, FK506 can increase the EPSP amplitude in

wild-type animals in low calcium (**Figure 11F** and **Supplementary Table 11**). While there were

no changes at our standard calcium concentration of 0.5mM (**Figure 11B**), it is possible that at our

standard calcium concentration, we are having a "ceiling effect" where the application of FK506

may not be able to increase the EPSPs any further due to electromotive driving forces. To test this

idea, we conducted an experiment in 0.20mM Ca^{2+} in both wild type and $GluRIIA^{SP16}$ animals with

and without FK506. As we predicted, the lower calcium concentration allowed us to detect an

increase in evoked amplitude and NLS quantal content in both genotypes following incubation

with FK506 (**Figure 11H,I** and **Supplementary Table 11**). This supports the idea that

pharmacological calcineurin inhibition may be potentiating calcium levels to increase release

probability.

Postsynaptic knockdown of the calcineurin encoding gene *CaNB* blocks PHP maintenance

Finally, we tested if genetic knockdown of a calcineurin subunit led to similar blocks in PHP as

observed with our *sra* manipulations. In *Drosophila*, there are three genes that encode the catalytic

subunit, CN A (*CaNA1*, *Pp2B-14D*, and *CaNA-14F*) and two genes that encode the regulatory

subunit, CN B (*CaNB* and *CaNB2*) ([Takeo et al., 2006](#)). *CaNA14-F* and *Pp2B-14D* share 82%

protein sequence identity and share approximately 62% identity with *CaNA1*, while *CaNB* and

CaNB2 share 98% similarity in protein sequence ([Tomita et al., 2011](#)). Presynaptic knockdown of

CaNB leads to increased frequency of ectopic neuromuscular contacts, and *CaNB* knockouts have

been shown to have significantly reduced sleep ([Nakai et al., 2011](#), [Vonhoff and Keshishian, 2017](#)).

Knockdown of *CaNB* reduces calcineurin activity, similar to *sra* overexpression ([Lee et al., 2016](#),

[Shaw and Chang, 2013](#)).

We tested whether combined pre- and postsynaptic *CaNB* conditions impaired PHP, like

how we tested *sra* overexpression conditions (**Figure 1**). Dual tissue knockdown of *CaNB* resulted

in a mild decrease in evoked potentials following PhTx incubation, and there was a slight but

insignificant increase in NLS quantal content (**Figure 12A-D** and **Supplementary Table 12**).

When testing the maintenance of PHP using *UAS-GluRIII RNAi*, dual tissue knockdown of *CaNB*

did not impair evoked potentials and showed a robust increase in NLS quantal content. Taken

together, these data suggest a weak effect of *CaNB* dual tissue knockdown on acute PHP

expression and no effect on long-term PHP maintenance.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we provide a framework for understanding how a protein residing in different

synaptic tissues can contribute to Presynaptic Homeostatic Potentiation (PHP). The core finding

is that a single gene encoding a calcineurin regulator can regulate PHP in multiple ways, in

different tissues, and over various periods of time throughout the life of the synapse. Our work

offers a way to consider how overall synaptic stability is maintained through different kinds of

challenges to synapse function.

We show that concurrent neuronal and muscle knockdown or overexpression of *sra,* a

gene encoding a calcineurin regulator, does not strongly impair synaptic homeostatic

compensation mechanisms (**Figure 1**). Global genetic mutation of *sra* does not impair homeostasis

either, but it does reveal that the mutant synapse is sensitive to low levels of extracellular calcium

(**Figure 2**). Impairing *sra* in tissue-specific manners leads to numerous blocks in PHP.

In the muscle, postsynaptic knockdown of *sra* disrupts acute, PhTx-induced PHP (**Figure**

3) while postsynaptic overexpression strongly blocks expression PHP induced by *GluRIIA* loss

(**Figure 4**). We checked if developmental defects might be associated with that strong PHP

impairment. Postsynaptic overexpression of *sra* does not alter gross NMJ development (**Figure**

5), but it does cause an increased amount of pCaMKII apposing type-Ib boutons in a *GluRIIA*

mutant background (**Figure 6**).

For the neuron, we saw that presynaptic knockdown of *sra* blocks chronic PHP (**Figure 7**)

and presynaptic overexpression also impairs it (**Figure 8**). Additionally, we were able to alleviate

observed PHP blocks via FK506, which is a calcineurin inhibitor (**Figures 9, 10**). We also showed

that the maintenance of PHP can be further potentiated via FK506, especially when the system is

crippled by low extracellular calcium (**Figure 11**). Finally, we demonstrated that concurrent

neuronal and muscle knockdown of the calcineurin subunit *CaNB* led to a weak block in acute

PHP (**Figure 12**).

Pre- vs. Postsynatpic Balance of a Homeostatic Factor

The full complement of pre- and postsynaptic data is complex. One model is that the relative

balance of calcineurin function at NMJ tissues may control homeostatic signaling capacity. It is

possible that impairing *sra* either pre- or postsynaptically (separately) leads to a PHP block as it

can disrupt the relative amounts of calcineurin activity between the two sides of the synapse. This

idea could explain why a combined pre- and postsynaptic *sra* impairment (or a global

pharmacological impairment of calcineurin) may not block PHP. Even though calcineurin activity

is changed, the changes are reciprocal between both neuron and muscle.

To our knowledge, this type of idea (relative pre- or postsynaptic balance) is new to the

field of homeostatic plasticity. But it is reminiscent of prior ideas that have emerged from studies

of Hebbian plasticity. One example is the Bienenstock-Cooper-Munro (BCM) rule for visual

cortex learning. The BCM rule dictates that either potentiation or depression can occur, but the

direction of plasticity ultimately depends upon the level of postsynaptic activity after a presynaptic

neuron fires (Bienenstock et al., 1982). By contrast, if activity is globally impaired (e.g., by blocking

glutamate receptors), no directional plasticity is possible (Bienenstock et al., 1982). In our case, we

have an opposite kind of phenomenon. Block of glutamate receptors initiates a form of homeostatic

plasticity. The expression of that plasticity can be blocked by tissue-specific manipulations to

calcineurin – but not global manipulations.

Potential neuronal mechanisms for *sra* regulation of calcineurin to impact PHP

Calcineurin regulation is the most notable function of *sra*. Decreased expression of *sra* increases

calcineurin activity, while increased *sra* via overexpression is presumed to decrease it (Chang et

al., 2003, Shaw and Chang, 2013). Both of these types of manipulations are relevant in neuronal

expression of PHP (**Figures 7, 8**).

Calcineurin has several presynaptic roles in various models that have been shown including

affecting synaptic vesicle exocytosis and endocytosis, axon remodeling and regulating

neurotransmitter release (Tarasova et al., 2018). One target of presynaptic calcineurin is to

dephosphorylate Synapsin 1 which can affect the mobilization of synaptic vesicles from the reserve

pool to active zones (Cesca et al., 2010, Jovanovic et al., 2001, Chi et al., 2003). For those studies,

opposing interactions between calcineurin and various kinases regulate vesicle mobilization. This

may explain why neuronal *sra* overexpression impaired acute PHP because increased *sra*

decreases calcineurin activity which may impair the mobilization of vesicles to active zones.

Challenges to NMJ function (e.g., *GluRIIA^{SP16}* or PhTx) consistently increase the number of

vesicles that are released, and failure to mobilize enough vesicles in response to a challenge may

lead to a failure for compensatory NLS quantal content increase and impair evoked release.

There are conflicting results on how the use of calcineurin inhibitors affects exocytosis.

They have been shown to increase the rate of exocytosis, show no change at all, or suppress

exocytosis (Yakel, 1997, Sim et al., 2003, Kumashiro et al., 2005). Specifically in the *Drosophila* NMJ,

calcineurin inhibition via FK506 has been shown to increase the endocytosis of synaptic vesicles,

while having no effect on the frequency of spontaneous events or the mean amplitude of evoked

events (Kuromi et al., 1997). This is contrary to the results of the current study where we showed

that mEPSP frequency is increased following FK506 incubation (**Figure 11D**). This difference

may be explained by the differences in concentration of FK506 that was used as previously, only

5μM and 10μM were tested. We see no change in mEPSP frequency at 10μM FK506, like previous

work as we only see the increase in spontaneous event frequency following 50μM FK506.

Alternatively, it is formally possible that FK506 could have some off-target effects at the NMJ that

affect parameters like mini frequency. Some possible ER-localized off-targets that could do this

are the ryanodine receptors (Ahern et al., 1994, Bultynck et al., 2000).

Calcineurin is believed to be a calcium-dependent regulator of endocytosis at both neuronal

and non-neuronal secretory cells (Wu et al., 2014). Calcineurin inhibitors slow endocytosis in

response to a small calcium influx but does not alter the speed of endocytosis in response to a large

calcium influx. If calcineurin is inhibiting synaptic vesicle recycling, this may explain why we see

a defect in chronic PHP following neuronal *sra* knockdown. Knockdown of *sra* leads to increased

calcineurin activity, and therefore increase inhibition of vesicular recycling. While this may not

lead to abnormal neurotransmission in the absence of a challenge, evoked amplitude may not be

maintained when chronically challenged due to an increased need for vesicle recycling in response

to the challenge.

Calcineurin may be affecting calcium influx as the targets of calcineurin in mouse NMJ

are L-type calcium channels (Gaydukov et al., 2013). Specifically, it was shown that calcineurin

downregulates neurotransmitter release by suppressing L-type calcium channels and calcium

release from intracellular stores through ryanodine receptors. In the *Drosophila* NMJ, it has

recently been shown that while L-type calcium channels are localized around active zones and

contribute to AP-triggered calcium influx, they are dispensable for evoked release (Krick et al.,

2021). However, it has not been shown if these channels are necessary for homeostatic signaling

processes. Calcium influx increases in response to both acute and chronic challenges to the synapse

(Müller and Davis, 2012). L-type calcium channels may be necessary for homeostatic compensation

as they not only serve as an additional entry point of calcium into the presynaptic compartment to

contribute to neurotransmitter release, but calcium entry through these channels also induces

calcium release from intracellular stores. In the current study, presynaptic loss of *sra* could lead to

increased calcineurin which may lead to increased inhibition of L-type calcium channels and not

allow for a large enough compensatory increase in calcium influx necessary to respond to a

homeostatic challenge.

Possible postsynaptic mechanisms of *sra* to affect PHP through calcineurin regulation

We also showed muscle roles for Sarah at the NMJ. Postsynaptic *sra* knockdown impaired acute homeostatic signaling (**Figure 3**) while postsynaptic *sra* overexpression strongly blocked chronic homeostatic signaling (**Figure 4**).

It is known that postsynaptic calcineurin activation can lead to the dephosphorylation of AMPA receptors that can lead to the internalization of them (D'Amelio et al., 2011, He et al., 2009, Lin et al., 2000). Ionotropic glutamate receptor subunits that are localized to muscle in *Drosophila* are *DGluRIIA* and *DGluRIIB*, both of which are AMPA/kainite type receptors (Betz et al., 1993, Petersen et al., 1997). This presents a potential mechanism for how *sra* knockdown in the muscle blocks PHP- decreased *sra* expression increases calcineurin activity which may lead to increased internalization of postsynaptic glutamate receptors. A decrease in the amount of available glutamate receptors may be detrimental when glutamate receptors are already being challenged via philanthotoxin. However, we showed that the amount of GluRIII is increased in animals with postsynaptic overexpression of *sra* (**Figure 6**). Our data suggest that *sra* overexpression postsynaptically triggers a system to compensate for increased pCaMKII levels by trafficking in more glutamate receptors to Ib boutons.

Our study also showed an increase in pCaMKII at Ib postsynaptic densities following overexpression of *sra* postsynaptically in the chronically challenged *GluRIIA^{SP16}* null background (**Figure 6**). Calcineurin is known to dephosphorylate I-1 which activates PPI to in turn dephosphorylate and inactivate CaMKII (Tarasova et al., 2018). In the *Drosophila* NMJ, pCaMKII levels have been found to be decreased following genetic glutamate receptor impairment (Newman et al., 2017, Li et al., 2018, Goel et al., 2017). Additionally, postsynaptic overexpression of the constitutively active phosphor-mimetic form of CaMKII^{T287D} blocks chronic PHP expression (Li et al., 2018). Chronic overexpression of *sra* in the muscle decreases calcineurin function, which may be leading to an increased amount of pCaMKII, contrary to what normally occurs in response to a homeostatic challenge. Our data provides correlative evidence that pCaMKII levels signal PHP expression state, but mechanistically, it is unclear how decreased pCaMKII contributes to PHP. It has been shown that mutations that alter CaMKII can impair the elaboration of the postsynaptic subsynaptic reticulum and in turn result in defective PHP (SSR) (Koles et al., 2015, Koh et al., 1999).

It will be interesting to test how Sarah may interact with the development of the SSR and more
broadly how decreases in pCaMKII contribute to PHP.

It is likely that Sarah's impact on PHP is at least partly through its role in calcineurin
regulation. Dual-tissue knockdown of the calcineurin subunit *CaNB* partly impairs the acute phase
of PHP (**Figure 12**). Calcineurin and CaMKII have been shown to act as a switch-like mechanism
both for controlling the direction of calcium dependent growth cone tuning and for the regulation
of cofilin activity and subsequently actin cytoskeletal reorganization (Wen et al., 2004, Zhao et al.,
2012). It is possible that at the *Drosophila* NMJ, calcineurin and CaMKII act as a switch for
regulating postsynaptic signaling that is necessary for PHP maintenance.

How *sra* could affect PHP through non-calcineurin regulating roles

Previous work in rat cortex suggests that RCAN1 expression is upregulated following incubation
with Aβ (Lloret et al., 2011). The authors proposed that RCAN1 proteins not only inhibits
calcineurin but can also shift tau to a hyperphosphorylated state via inducing expression of GSK3β.
This provides evidence that RCAN1 proteins are linked to Alzheimer's and neurodegeneration.
Like this work and human studies, Aβ42-expressing *Drosophila* show increased Sra levels in the
brain (Lee et al., 2016). Genetic overexpression of *sra* in combination with Aβ42 impaired
locomotor activity and lifespan compared to overexpression of *sra* alone. However, data from
Drosophila suggest that upregulation of *sra* can be neuroprotective in the presence of amyloid
precursor protein (APP) by facilitating the transport of synaptic proteins and mitochondria (Shaw
and Chang, 2013). These conflicting results regarding the overexpression of *sra* demonstrate that
sra is involved with both APP and Aβ42 separate from its function of regulating calcineurin. It is
unclear if *sra* is working through calcineurin, APP, Aβ42 or other molecules to contribute to both
pre- and postsynaptic homeostatic signaling processes. This line of research may also lead to
examining other open questions such as how defective homeostatic synaptic processes may
contribute to neurodegeneration and other neural pathologies. It is known that most genes that are
necessary for PHP are linked to neural disorders and pathologies such as autism spectrum
disorders, schizophrenia, Fragile X Syndrome, migraine, and epilepsy (Wondolowski and Dickman,
2013, Frank et al., 2020).

Another idea for how the expression of *sra* may be regulating PHP is through its effects on

mitochondrial function. Both increases and decreases in *sra* have been shown to result in decreased

ATP levels, increased ROS production, decreased mitochondrial DNA in *Drosophila* heads,

decreased mitochondrial size, and increased mitochondrial number (Chang and Min, 2005). This is

independent from its function of regulating calcineurin as decreasing calcineurin activity in *sra*

mutants did not rescue mitochondrial enzymatic activity. Recent work also indicates that

Mitochondrial Complex I (MCI) is necessary for synapse function and plasticity at the *Drosophila*

NMJ (Mallik and Frank, 2022). Genetic knockdown or pharmacological inhibition of MCI impaired

neurotransmission, reduced NMJ growth and altered NMJ morphology.

Acute versus chronic PHP expression with single tissue contributions

There is strong evidence to suggest that there are shared mechanisms underlying both the acute

and chronic forms of PHP signaling as both forms display robust increases in readily releasable

pool (RRP) size and Ca_V2-mediated calcium influx that result in increases in quantal content

necessary for function PHP (Davis and Müller, 2015, Müller and Davis, 2012). Recent work has found

that the acute and chronic forms of PHP are functionally separable (James et al., 2019). Our data

support this idea by showing minimal overlap in a specific *sra* impairment in a specific tissue. For

example, *sra* knockdown postsynaptically only blocked acute PHP while leaving the chronic phase

intact; conversely, *sra* overexpression postsynaptically did not impair acute PHP while blocking

chronic PHP. These data demonstrate that *sra* is tightly regulated as both too much and too little

sra can disrupt PHP.

Our *CaNB* knockdown data also support the separation of the acute and chronic phases of

PHP as global knockdown led to a weak impairment in acute PHP while leaving chronic PHP

intact. Conversely, postsynaptic-specific knockdown led to a strong block in chronic PHP but left

acute PHP intact. These data support the separation of acute and chronic PHP as *sra* and *CaNB*

expression are likely regulating different processes at different timepoints that integrate to produce

functional PHP.

Other work has suggested that PHP pathways converge onto the same targets (Goel et al.,

2017). While it is possible that discrete signaling pathways converge, it is more likely that there is

some degree of convergence while also some amount of separate signaling systems. It will be

important for future studies to test how the individual influences of molecules from distinct

synaptic tissues underly the different phases of PHP to promote a fully functional PHP signaling

system. Collectively, our data indicate that some situations where PHP is blocked in the short run

are eventually resolved over developmental time if the synapse is given sufficient time to grow

and implement an array of compensatory mechanisms at its disposal (Goel et al., 2019). Conversely

there could be other situations where the synapse has a capacity for a quick response but fails to

respond after long periods of continuous challenge.

Models of compensation: pharmacology vs. genetics, spatial vs. temporal

The field of homeostatic synaptic plasticity faces a conundrum related to how PHP is

executed. Distinct acute pharmacological (PhTx) and chronic genetic manipulations (*GluRIIA*

loss) result in similar PHP expression mechanisms (Goel et al., 2017, Böhme et al., 2019, Müller et

al., 2012). Yet – depending upon the type of challenge used – important differences in PHP

expression have been observed. This has given rise to different models. Some groups have

proposed there exist differences in how the synapse executes PHP at phasic vs. tonic motor

terminals (Aponte-Santiago and Littleton, 2020, Genç and Davis, 2019, Newman et al., 2017, Sauvola et

al., 2021), depending upon pharamacological vs. genetic challenge. Recent characterization of

motor neuron-specific tools allow a refinement of analyses, at least in the context of presynaptic

terminals (Han et al., 2022, Aponte-Santiago et al., 2020, Wang et al., 2021). For a second model, a

recent study suggests that the type of pharmacological perturbation to glutamate receptors might

control the eventual response (Nair et al., 2021). A third model is that short-term and long-term

events that stabilize the NMJ are governed by genetically separable signaling systems (Brusich et

al., 2015, James et al., 2019, Spring et al., 2016, Yeates et al., 2017). This latter idea is analogous to

"early phase" and "late phase" events that trigger and consolidate Hebbian types of plasticity like

LTP (Bosch et al., 2014), and it gives rise to terms like "acute PHP" and "chronic PHP," similar to

what we have used here.

Importantly, these models are not mutually exclusive. Our data regarding calcineurin

regulation via Sarah is consistent with any of them. Intriguingly, misexpression of Sarah in the

postsynaptic muscle causes an increase in the levels of pCaMKII at boutons. By contrast,

downregulation of pCaMKII has been shown by other groups to be a sentinel of successful PHP

expression apposing type-Ib boutons, specifically when genetically impairing glutamate receptors

(Li et al., 2018, Newman et al., 2017). Whether or not such a mechanistic difference is dictated strictly

spatially or also temporally is yet to be full tested.

References

AHERN, G. P., JUNANKAR, P. R. & DULHUNTY, A. F. 1994. Single channel activity of the
ryanodine receptor calcium release channel is modulated by FK-506. *FEBS Lett,* 352**,** 369-
74.

ANDRE, E. A., FORCELLI, P. A. & PAK, D. T. 2018. What goes up must come down:
homeostatic synaptic plasticity strategies in neurological disease. *Future Neurol,* 13**,** 13-
21.

APONTE-SANTIAGO, N. A. & LITTLETON, J. T. 2020. Synaptic Properties and Plasticity
Mechanisms of Invertebrate Tonic and Phasic Neurons. *Front Physiol,* 11**,** 611982.

APONTE-SANTIAGO, N. A., ORMEROD, K. G., AKBERGENOVA, Y. & LITTLETON, J. T.
2020. Synaptic Plasticity Induced by Differential Manipulation of Tonic and Phasic
Motoneurons in Drosophila. *J Neurosci,* 40**,** 6270-6288.

BAUMGÄRTEL, K. & MANSUY, I. M. 2012. Neural functions of calcineurin in synaptic
plasticity and memory. *Learn Mem,* 19**,** 375-84.

BETZ, H., SCHUSTER, C., ULTSCH, A. & SCHMITT, B. 1993. Molecular biology of ionotropic
glutamate receptors in Drosophila melanogaster. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences,* 14**,**
428-431.

BIENENSTOCK, E. L., COOPER, L. N. & MUNRO, P. W. 1982. Theory for the development of
neuron selectivity: orientation specificity and binocular interaction in visual cortex. *J
Neurosci,* 2**,** 32-48.

BLISS, T. V. P., COLLINGRIDGE, G. L. & MORRIS, R. G. M. 2014. Synaptic plasticity in health
and disease: introduction and overview. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
B: Biological Sciences,* 369**,** 20130129.

BÖHME, M. A., MCCARTHY, A. W., GRASSKAMP, A. T., BEUSCHEL, C. B., GOEL, P.,
JUSYTE, M., LABER, D., HUANG, S., REY, U., PETZOLDT, A. G., LEHMANN, M.,
GOTTFERT, F., HAGHIGHI, P., HELL, S. W., OWALD, D., DICKMAN, D., SIGRIST,

S. J. & WALTER, A. M. 2019. Rapid active zone remodeling consolidates presynaptic potentiation. *Nat Commun,* 10**,** 1085.

BOSCH, M., CASTRO, J., SANEYOSHI, T., MATSUNO, H., SUR, M. & HAYASHI, Y. 2014. Structural and molecular remodeling of dendritic spine substructures during long-term potentiation. *Neuron,* 82**,** 444-59.

BRUSICH, D. J., SPRING, A. M. & FRANK, C. A. 2015. A single-cross, RNA interference-based genetic tool for examining the long-term maintenance of homeostatic plasticity. *Front Cell Neurosci,* 9**,** 107.

BUDNIK, V., KOH, Y. H., GUAN, B., HARTMANN, B., HOUGH, C., WOODS, D. & GORCZYCA, M. 1996. Regulation of synapse structure and function by the Drosophila tumor suppressor gene dlg. *Neuron,* 17**,** 627-40.

BULTYNCK, G., DE SMET, P., WEIDEMA, A. F., VER HEYEN, M., MAES, K., CALLEWAERT, G., MISSIAEN, L., PARYS, J. B. & DE SMEDT, H. 2000. Effects of the immunosuppressant FK506 on intracellular Ca2+ release and Ca2+ accumulation mechanisms. *J Physiol,* 525 Pt 3**,** 681-93.

CESCA, F., BALDELLI, P., VALTORTA, F. & BENFENATI, F. 2010. The synapsins: key actors of synapse function and plasticity. *Prog Neurobiol,* 91**,** 313-48.

CHANG, K. T. & MIN, K. T. 2005. Drosophila melanogaster homolog of Down syndrome critical region 1 is critical for mitochondrial function. *Nat Neurosci,* 8**,** 1577-85.

CHANG, K. T., SHI, Y.-J. & MIN, K.-T. 2003. The Drosophila homolog of Down's syndrome critical region 1 gene regulates learning: Implications for mental retardation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 100**,** 15794-15799.

CHI, P., GREENGARD, P. & RYAN, T. A. 2003. Synaptic vesicle mobilization is regulated by distinct synapsin I phosphorylation pathways at different frequencies. *Neuron,* 38**,** 69-78.

CLARDY, J. 1995. The chemistry of signal transduction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,* 92**,** 56-61.

D'AMELIO, M., CAVALLUCCI, V., MIDDEI, S., MARCHETTI, C., PACIONI, S., FERRI, A., DIAMANTINI, A., DE ZIO, D., CARRARA, P., BATTISTINI, L., MORENO, S., BACCI, A., AMMASSARI-TEULE, M., MARIE, H. & CECCONI, F. 2011. Caspase-3 triggers early synaptic dysfunction in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci,* 14**,** 69-76.

DAVIS, G. W. 2006. Homeostatic control of neural activity: from phenomenology to molecular design. *Annu Rev Neurosci,* 29**,** 307-23.

DAVIS, G. W., DIANTONIO, A., PETERSEN, S. A. & GOODMAN, C. S. 1998. Postsynaptic PKA controls quantal size and reveals a retrograde signal that regulates presynaptic transmitter release in Drosophila. *Neuron,* 20**,** 305-15.

765 DAVIS, G. W. & MÜLLER, M. 2015. Homeostatic control of presynaptic neurotransmitter
766   release. *Annu Rev Physiol,* 77**,** 251-70.

767 DEL CASTILLO, J. & KATZ, B. 1954. Quantal components of the end-plate potential. *J Physiol,*
768   124**,** 560-73.

769 DIANTONIO, A., PETERSEN, S. A., HECKMANN, M. & GOODMAN, C. S. 1999. Glutamate
770   receptor expression regulates quantal size and quantal content at the Drosophila
771   neuromuscular junction. *J Neurosci,* 19**,** 3023-32.

772 DICKMAN, D. & WONDOLOWSKI, J. 2013. Emerging links between homeostatic synaptic
773   plasticity and neurological disease. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience,* 7.

774 FRANK, C. A. 2014a. Homeostatic plasticity at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction.
775   *Neuropharmacology,* 78**,** 63-74.

776 FRANK, C. A. 2014b. How voltage-gated calcium channels gate forms of homeostatic synaptic
777   plasticity. *Front Cell Neurosci,* 8**,** 40.

778 FRANK, C. A., JAMES, T. D. & MÜLLER, M. 2020. Homeostatic control of Drosophila
779   neuromuscular junction function. *Synapse,* 74**,** e22133.

780 FRANK, C. A., KENNEDY, M. J., GOOLD, C. P., MAREK, K. W. & DAVIS, G. W. 2006.
781   Mechanisms underlying the rapid induction and sustained expression of synaptic
782   homeostasis. *Neuron,* 52**,** 663-77.

783 FRANK, C. A., PIELAGE, J. & DAVIS, G. W. 2009. A presynaptic homeostatic signaling system
784   composed of the Eph receptor, ephexin, Cdc42, and CaV2.1 calcium channels. *Neuron,* 61**,**
785   556-69.

786 FURMAN, J. L. & NORRIS, C. M. 2014. Calcineurin and glial signaling: neuroinflammation and
787   beyond. *J Neuroinflammation,* 11**,** 158.

788 GAYDUKOV, A., TARASOVA, E. & BALEZINA, O. 2013. Calcium-dependent phosphatase
789   calcineurin downregulates evoked neurotransmitter release in neuromuscular junctions of
790   mice. *Neurochemical Journal,* 7**,** 29-33.

791 GENÇ, O. & DAVIS, G. W. 2019. Target-wide Induction and Synapse Type-Specific Robustness
792   of Presynaptic Homeostasis. *Curr Biol,* 29**,** 3863-3873 e2.

793 GOEL, P. & DICKMAN, D. 2018. Distinct homeostatic modulations stabilize reduced
794   postsynaptic receptivity in response to presynaptic DLK signaling. *Nat Commun,* 9**,** 1856.

795 GOEL, P., KHAN, M., HOWARD, S., KIM, G., KIRAGASI, B., KIKUMA, K. & DICKMAN,
796   D. 2019. A screen for synaptic growth mutants reveals mechanisms that stabilize synaptic
797   strength. *J Neurosci.*

798   GOEL, P., LI, X. & DICKMAN, D. 2017. Disparate Postsynaptic Induction Mechanisms
799   Ultimately Converge to Drive the Retrograde Enhancement of Presynaptic Efficacy. *Cell*
800   *Rep,* 21**,** 2339-2347.

801   GREENSPAN, R. J. 2004. *Fly pushing : the theory and practice of Drosophila genetics,* Cold
802   Spring Harbor, N.Y., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

803   HAN, Y., CHIEN, C., GOEL, P., HE, K., PINALES, C., BUSER, C. & DICKMAN, D. 2022.
804   Botulinum neurotoxin accurately separates tonic vs. phasic transmission and reveals
805   heterosynaptic plasticity rules in Drosophila. *Elife,* 11.

806   HARRIS, C. D., ERMAK, G. & DAVIES, K. J. 2005. Multiple roles of the DSCR1 (Adapt78 or
807   RCAN1) gene and its protein product calcipressin 1 (or RCAN1) in disease. *Cell Mol Life*
808   *Sci,* 62**,** 2477-86.

809   HARRIS, N., BRAISER, D. J., DICKMAN, D. K., FETTER, R. D., TONG, A. & DAVIS, G. W.
810   2015. The Innate Immune Receptor PGRP-LC Controls Presynaptic Homeostatic
811   Plasticity. *Neuron,* 88**,** 1157-64.

812   HAZELRIGG, T., LEVIS, R. & RUBIN, G. M. 1984. Transformation of white locus DNA in
813   drosophila: dosage compensation, zeste interaction, and position effects. *Cell,* 36**,** 469-81.

814   HE, K., SONG, L., CUMMINGS, L. W., GOLDMAN, J., HUGANIR, R. L. & LEE, H.-K. 2009.
815   Stabilization of Ca^{2+}-permeable AMPA receptors at perisynaptic sites by
816   GluR1-S845 phosphorylation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 106**,**
817   20033-20038.

818   HORNER, V. L., CZANK, A., JANG, J. K., SINGH, N., WILLIAMS, B. C., PURO, J., KUBLI,
819   E., HANES, S. D., MCKIM, K. S., WOLFNER, M. F. & GOLDBERG, M. L. 2006. The
820   Drosophila Calcipressin Sarah Is Required for Several Aspects of Egg Activation. *Current*
821   *Biology,* 16**,** 1441-1446.

822   JAMES, T. D., ZWIEFELHOFER, D. J. & FRANK, C. A. 2019. Maintenance of homeostatic
823   plasticity at the Drosophila neuromuscular synapse requires continuous IP3-directed
824   signaling. *eLife,* 8.

825   JAN, L. Y. & JAN, Y. N. 1976. Properties of the larval neuromuscular junction in Drosophila
826   melanogaster. *J Physiol,* 262**,** 189-214.

827   JOVANOVIC, J. N., SIHRA, T. S., NAIRN, A. C., HEMMINGS, H. C., JR., GREENGARD, P.
828   & CZERNIK, A. J. 2001. Opposing changes in phosphorylation of specific sites in
829   synapsin I during Ca2+-dependent glutamate release in isolated nerve terminals. *J*
830   *Neurosci,* 21**,** 7944-53.

831   KADAMUR, G. & ROSS, E. M. 2013. Mammalian phospholipase C. *Annu Rev Physiol,* 75**,** 127-
832   54.

KIKUMA, K., LI, X., PERRY, S., LI, Q., GOEL, P., CHEN, C., KIM, D., STAVROPOULOS, N.
& DICKMAN, D. 2019. Cul3 and insomniac are required for rapid ubiquitination of
postsynaptic targets and retrograde homeostatic signaling. *Nat Commun,* 10**,** 2998.

KINGSBURY, T. J. & CUNNINGHAM, K. W. 2000. A conserved family of calcineurin
regulators. *Genes & development,* 14**,** 1595-1604.

KOH, Y. H., POPOVA, E., THOMAS, U., GRIFFITH, L. C. & BUDNIK, V. 1999. Regulation of
DLG Localization at Synapses by CaMKII-Dependent Phosphorylation. *Cell,* 98**,** 353-363.

KOLES, K., MESSELAAR, E. M., FEIGER, Z., YU, C. J., FRANK, C. A. & RODAL, A. A.
2015. The EHD protein Past1 controls postsynaptic membrane elaboration and synaptic
function. *Molecular Biology of the Cell,* 26**,** 3275-3288.

KRICK, N., RYGLEWSKI, S., PICHLER, A., BIKBAEV, A., GÖTZ, T., KOBLER, O., HEINE,
M., THOMAS, U. & DUCH, C. 2021. Separation of presynaptic Ca_v2 and Ca_v1 channel
function in synaptic vesicle exo- and endocytosis by the membrane anchored Ca^{2+} pump
PMCA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 118**,** e2106621118.

KUMASHIRO, S., LU, Y. F., TOMIZAWA, K., MATSUSHITA, M., WEI, F. Y. & MATSUI, H.
2005. Regulation of synaptic vesicle recycling by calcineurin in different vesicle pools.
Neurosci Res, 51**,** 435-43.

KUROMI, H., YOSHIHARA, M. & KIDOKORO, Y. 1997. An inhibitory role of calcineurin in
endocytosis of synaptic vesicles at nerve terminals of Drosophila larvae. *Neurosci Res,* 27**,**
101-13.

LEE, J. I., DHAKAL, B. K., LEE, J., BANDYOPADHYAY, J., JEONG, S. Y., EOM, S. H., KIM,
D. H. & AHNN, J. 2003. The Caenorhabditis elegans Homologue of Down Syndrome
Critical Region 1, RCN-1, Inhibits Multiple Functions of the Phosphatase Calcineurin.
Journal of Molecular Biology, 328**,** 147-156.

LEE, S., BANG, S. M., HONG, Y. K., LEE, J. H., JEONG, H., PARK, S. H., LIU, Q. F., LEE, I.
S. & CHO, K. S. 2016. The calcineurin inhibitor Sarah (Nebula) exacerbates Abeta42
phenotypes in a Drosophila model of Alzheimer's disease. *Dis Model Mech,* 9**,** 295-306.

LI, X., GOEL, P., CHEN, C., ANGAJALA, V., CHEN, X. & DICKMAN, D. K. 2018. Synapse-
specific and compartmentalized expression of presynaptic homeostatic potentiation. *Elife,*
7.

LIN, D. M. & GOODMAN, C. S. 1994. Ectopic and increased expression of Fasciclin II alters
motoneuron growth cone guidance. *Neuron,* 13**,** 507-23.

LIN, J. W., JU, W., FOSTER, K., LEE, S. H., AHMADIAN, G., WYSZYNSKI, M., WANG, Y.
T. & SHENG, M. 2000. Distinct molecular mechanisms and divergent endocytotic
pathways of AMPA receptor internalization. *Nat Neurosci,* 3**,** 1282-90.

LIU, J., FARMER, J. D., JR., LANE, W. S., FRIEDMAN, J., WEISSMAN, I. & SCHREIBER, S.
L. 1991. Calcineurin is a common target of cyclophilin-cyclosporin A and FKBP-FK506
complexes. *Cell,* 66**,** 807-15.

LLORET, A., BADIA, M. C., GIRALDO, E., ERMAK, G., ALONSO, M. D., PALLARDÓ, F.
V., DAVIES, K. J. & VIÑA, J. 2011. Amyloid-β toxicity and tau hyperphosphorylation
are linked via RCAN1 in Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis,* 27**,** 701-9.

MALLIK, B. & FRANK, C. A. 2022. Roles for Mitochondrial Complex I Subunits in Regulating
Synaptic Transmission and Growth. *Frontiers in Neuroscience,* 16.

MARDER, E. 2012. Neuromodulation of neuronal circuits: back to the future. *Neuron,* 76**,** 1-11.

MARRUS, S. B., PORTMAN, S. L., ALLEN, M. J., MOFFAT, K. G. & DIANTONIO, A. 2004.
Differential Localization of Glutamate Receptor Subunits at the Drosophila
Neuromuscular Junction. *The Journal of Neuroscience,* 24**,** 1406.

MCLACHLAN, E. M. & MARTIN, A. R. 1981. Non-linear summation of end-plate potentials in
the frog and mouse. *J Physiol,* 311**,** 307-24.

MENON, K. P., CARRILLO, R. A. & ZINN, K. 2013. Development and plasticity of the
Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol,* 2**,** 647-70.

MÜLLER, M. & DAVIS, G. W. 2012. Transsynaptic control of presynaptic Ca^{2+} influx achieves
homeostatic potentiation of neurotransmitter release. *Current biology : CB,* 22**,** 1102-1108.

MÜLLER, M., GENÇ, Ö. & DAVIS, G. W. 2015. RIM-Binding Protein Links Synaptic
Homeostasis to the Stabilization and Replenishment of High Release Probability Vesicles.
Neuron.

MÜLLER, M., LIU, K. S., SIGRIST, S. J. & DAVIS, G. W. 2012. RIM Controls Homeostatic Plasticity
through Modulation of the Readily-Releasable Vesicle Pool. *J Neurosci,* 32**,** 16574-85.

MUSSON, R. E. & SMIT, N. P. 2011. Regulatory mechanisms of calcineurin phosphatase activity.
Curr Med Chem, 18**,** 301-15.

NAIR, A. G., MUTTATHUKUNNEL, P. & MÜLLER, M. 2021. Distinct molecular pathways
govern presynaptic homeostatic plasticity. *Cell Rep,* 37**,** 110105.

NAKAI, Y., HORIUCHI, J., TSUDA, M., TAKEO, S., AKAHORI, S., MATSUO, T., KUME, K.
& AIGAKI, T. 2011. Calcineurin and its regulator sra/DSCR1 are essential for sleep in
Drosophila. *J Neurosci,* 31**,** 12759-66.

NEWMAN, Z. L., HOAGLAND, A., AGHI, K., WORDEN, K., LEVY, S. L., SON, J. H., LEE,
L. P. & ISACOFF, E. Y. 2017. Input-Specific Plasticity and Homeostasis at the Drosophila
Larval Neuromuscular Junction. *Neuron,* 93**,** 1388-1404 e10.

PARK, J., OH, Y. & CHUNG, K. C. 2009. Two key genes closely implicated with the neuropathological characteristics in Down syndrome: DYRK1A and RCAN1. *BMB Rep,* 42, 6-15.

PETERSEN, S. A., FETTER, R. D., NOORDERMEER, J. N., GOODMAN, C. S. & DIANTONIO, A. 1997. Genetic analysis of glutamate receptors in Drosophila reveals a retrograde signal regulating presynaptic transmitter release. *Neuron,* 19, 1237-48.

PHILIP, F., KADAMUR, G., SILOS, R. G., WOODSON, J. & ROSS, E. M. 2010. Synergistic activation of phospholipase C-beta3 by Galpha(q) and Gbetagamma describes a simple two-state coincidence detector. *Curr Biol,* 20, 1327-35.

POZO, K. & GODA, Y. 2010. Unraveling mechanisms of homeostatic synaptic plasticity. *Neuron,* 66, 337-51.

RUSNAK, F. & MERTZ, P. 2000. Calcineurin: form and function. *Physiol Rev,* 80, 1483-521.

SAUVOLA, C. W., AKBERGENOVA, Y., CUNNINGHAM, K. L., APONTE-SANTIAGO, N. A. & LITTLETON, J. T. 2021. The decoy SNARE Tomosyn sets tonic versus phasic release properties and is required for homeostatic synaptic plasticity. *Elife,* 10.

SHAW, J. L. & CHANG, K. T. 2013. Nebula/DSCR1 Upregulation Delays Neurodegeneration and Protects against APP-Induced Axonal Transport Defects by Restoring Calcineurin and GSK-3β Signaling. *PLOS Genetics,* 9, e1003792.

SIGAL, N. H. & DUMONT, F. J. 1992. Cyclosporin A, FK-506, and rapamycin: pharmacologic probes of lymphocyte signal transduction. *Annu Rev Immunol,* 10, 519-60.

SIM, A. T., BALDWIN, M. L., ROSTAS, J. A., HOLST, J. & LUDOWYKE, R. I. 2003. The role of serine/threonine protein phosphatases in exocytosis. *Biochem J,* 373, 641-59.

SPRING, A. M., BRUSICH, D. J. & FRANK, C. A. 2016. C-terminal Src Kinase Gates Homeostatic Synaptic Plasticity and Regulates Fasciclin II Expression at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction. *PLoS Genet,* 12, e1005886.

STEWART, B., ATWOOD, H., RENGER, J., WANG, J. & WU, C. 1994. Improved stability of Drosophila larval neuromuscular preparations in haemolymph-like physiological solutions A Neuroethology, sensory, neural, and behavioral physiology.

SWANSON, S. K., BORN, T., ZYDOWSKY, L. D., CHO, H., CHANG, H. Y., WALSH, C. T. & RUSNAK, F. 1992. Cyclosporin-mediated inhibition of bovine calcineurin by cyclophilins A and B. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 89, 3741-5.

TAKEO, S., SWANSON, S. K., NANDANAN, K., NAKAI, Y., AIGAKI, T., WASHBURN, M. P., FLORENS, L. & HAWLEY, R. S. 2012. Shaggy/glycogen synthase kinase 3beta and phosphorylation of Sarah/regulator of calcineurin are essential for completion of Drosophila female meiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 109, 6382-9.

TAKEO, S., TSUDA, M., AKAHORI, S., MATSUO, T. & AIGAKI, T. 2006. The Calcineurin
Regulator Sra Plays an Essential Role in Female Meiosis in Drosophila. *Current Biology,*
16**,** 1435-1440.

TARASOVA, E. O., GAYDUKOV, A. E. & BALEZINA, O. P. 2018. Calcineurin and Its Role in
Synaptic Transmission. *Biochemistry (Mosc),* 83**,** 674-689.

TOMITA, J., MITSUYOSHI, M., UENO, T., ASO, Y., TANIMOTO, H., NAKAI, Y., AIGAKI,
T., KUME, S. & KUME, K. 2011. Pan-Neuronal Knockdown of Calcineurin Reduces
Sleep in the Fruit Fly, Drosophila melanogaster. *The Journal of Neuroscience,*
31**,** 13137-13146.

TONONI, G. & CIRELLI, C. 2006. Sleep function and synaptic homeostasis. *Sleep Medicine*
Reviews, 10**,** 49-62.

VICTOR, R. G., THOMAS, G. D., MARBAN, E. & O'ROURKE, B. 1995. Presynaptic
modulation of cortical synaptic activity by calcineurin. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 92**,** 6269-6273.

VONHOFF, F. & KESHISHIAN, H. 2017. In Vivo Calcium Signaling during Synaptic
Refinement at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction. *The Journal of Neuroscience,* 37**,**
5511-5526.

WANG, T., HAUSWIRTH, A. G., TONG, A., DICKMAN, D. K. & DAVIS, G. W. 2014.
Endostatin Is a Trans-Synaptic Signal for Homeostatic Synaptic Plasticity. *Neuron,* 83**,**
616-629.

WANG, Y., LOBB-RABE, M., ASHLEY, J., ANAND, V. & CARRILLO, R. A. 2021. Structural
and Functional Synaptic Plasticity Induced by Convergent Synapse Loss in the Drosophila
Neuromuscular Circuit. *J Neurosci,* 41**,** 1401-1417.

WEN, Z., GUIRLAND, C., MING, G. L. & ZHENG, J. Q. 2004. A CaMKII/calcineurin switch
controls the direction of Ca(2+)-dependent growth cone guidance. *Neuron,* 43**,** 835-46.

WEYHERSMÜLLER, A., HALLERMANN, S., WAGNER, N. & EILERS, J. 2011. Rapid active zone
remodeling during synaptic plasticity. *J Neurosci,* 31**,** 6041-52.

WONDOLOWSKI, J. & DICKMAN, D. 2013. Emerging links between homeostatic synaptic
plasticity and neurological disease. *Front Cell Neurosci,* 7**,** 223.

WU, X.-S., ZHANG, Z., ZHAO, W.-D., WANG, D., LUO, F. & WU, L.-G. 2014. Calcineurin is
universally involved in vesicle endocytosis at neuronal and nonneuronal secretory cells.
Cell reports, 7**,** 982-988.

YAKEL, J. L. 1997. Calcineurin regulation of synaptic function: from ion channels to transmitter
release and gene transcription. *Trends Pharmacol Sci,* 18**,** 124-34.

YEATES, C. J. & FRANK, C. A. 2021. Homeostatic Depression Shows Heightened Sensitivity to
Synaptic Calcium. *Front Cell Neurosci,* 15**,** 618393.

YEATES, C. J., ZWIEFELHOFER, D. J. & FRANK, C. A. 2017. The Maintenance of Synaptic
Homeostasis at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction Is Reversible and Sensitive to
High Temperature. *eNeuro,* 4.

YOUNGER, M. A., MÜLLER, M., TONG, A., PYM, E. C. & DAVIS, G. W. 2013. A presynaptic
ENaC channel drives homeostatic plasticity. *Neuron,* 79**,** 1183-96.

ZHAO, J.-W., GAO, Z.-L., ZHANG, H.-Y., JI, Q.-Y., WANG, H., YANG, Y.-D., XING, F.-J.,
MENG, L.-J. & WANG, Y. 2012. Regulation of Cofilin Activity by CaMKII and
Calcineurin. *The American Journal of the Medical Sciences,* 344**,** 462-472.

Figure 1. Dual pre and postsynaptic knockdown or overexpression of *sra* does not block PHP **(A-**

C) Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content

in pre + post-GAL4 control and pre + post-GAL4 driven *sra RNAi*. Black points show DMSO

control synapses, green points show synapses treated with PhTx, and magenta points show animals

chronically challenged with *GluRIII RNAi*. **(D, H)** Representative electrophysiological traces of

EPSPs (above) and mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms

(500 ms) for EPSPs (mEPSPs). **(E-G)** Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP

amplitude, and NLS quantal content in pre + post-GAL4 control and pre + post-GAL4 driven *sra*

overexpression (OE). Black points show DMSO control synapses, green points show synapses

treated with PhTx, and magenta points show animals chronically challenged with *GluRIII RNAi*.

*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$ by Multiple Student's T-test and corrected for multiple

comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method. DMSO controls were compared to PhTx and *GluRIII*

RNAi synapses for driver controls and separately for *sra RNAi* or OE.

Figure 2. Global *sra* mutation does not preclude PHP but does show an evoked amplitude decrease

in low calcium conditions. **(A-C)** Quantification showing average mEPSP, EPSP amplitude, and

NLS quantal content in $sra^{Mi06345}$, $sra^{Mi06345}/Df(3R)sbd^{104}$, and $GluRIIA^{SP16}$;

$sra^{Mi06345}/Df(3R)sbd^{104}$. **(D, H)** Representative electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above) and

mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs

(mEPSPs). **(E-G)** Experiments conducted in low calcium (0.2mM). Quantification showing

average mEPSP, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in $sra^{Mi06345}$, $sra^{Mi06345}/Df(3R)sbd^{104}$,

and $GluRIIA^{SP16}$; $sra^{Mi06345}/Df(3R)sbd^{104}$. Ordinary one-way ANOVAs were used to compare each

genotype followed by a Tukey's HSD test for multiple comparisons. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$,

***$p<0.001$.

1006

Figure 3. Acute expression of presynaptic homeostatic potentiation requires muscle expression of

sra, but maintenance does not. **(A-C)** Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP

amplitude, and NLS quantal content in post-GAL4 control and post-GAL4 driven *sra* RNAi. Black

points show DMSO control synapses, while green points show synapses treated with PhTx. **(D, H)**

Representative electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above) and mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for

all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs (mEPSPs). **(E-G)** Quantification

showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in post-GAL4

control and post-GAL4 driven *sra* RNAi in both the presence and absence of *GluRIIA^{SP16}*.

$*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$ by Student's T-test versus non-challenged genetic control.

1016

Figure 4. Maintenance of presynaptic homeostatic potentiation is impaired by muscle

overexpression of *sra*, but acute expression is not. **(A-C)** Quantification showing average mEPSP

amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in post-GAL4 control and post-GAL4 driven

sra overexpression (OE). Black points show DMSO control synapses, while green points show

synapses treated with PhTx. **(D, H)** Representative electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above)

and mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs

(mEPSPs). **(E-G)** Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS

quantal content in post-GAL4 control and post-GAL4 driven *sra* OE in both the presence and

absence of *GluRIIA^{SP16}*. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$ by Student's T-test versus non-

challenged genetic control.

1027

Figure 5. Postsynaptic *sra* overexpression does not impair synapse development. **(A-F)** NMJs

were co-stained with anti-DLG (magenta) and anti-Synapsin antibodies (green) to visualize

synaptic boutons. **(G)** NMJ growth was assessed by bouton counting at abdominal segments A2

and A3, muscle 6/7, based on postsynaptic DLG staining and checking for presynaptic Synapsin.

(H) Bouton counts were normalized per unit of muscle 6/7 area. Ordinary one-way ANOVAs were

used to compare each genotype within each segment followed by a Tukey's HSD test for multiple

comparisons. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$.

1035

Figure 6. Postsynaptic *sra* overexpression disrupts pCaMKII in Ib postsynaptic densities. **(A)**

Maximum-intensity projections of pCaMKII (magenta) and GluRIII (green). Merged images of

both channels shown in top row. Representative bouton maximum-intensity projections of

pCaMKII (middle row) and GluRIII (bottom row) are shown as heat maps. **(B)** Quantification of

pCaMKII intensity as a percentage relative to wild type boutons. Each point represents the

intensity at an individual Ib postsynaptic density. **(C)** Quantification of GluRIII intensity as a

percentage relative to wild type boutons. Ordinary one-way ANOVAs were used to compare each

genotype followed by a Tukey's HSD test for multiple comparisons. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$,

$***p<0.001$.

1045

Figure 7. Maintenance of presynaptic homeostatic potentiation is impaired by neuronal

knockdown of *sra*, but acute expression is not. **(A-C)** Quantification showing average mEPSP

amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in pre-GAL4 control and pre-GAL4 driven

sra RNAi. Black points show DMSO control synapses, while green points show synapses treated

with PhTx. **(D, H)** Representative electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above) and mEPSPs

(below). Scale bars for all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs (mEPSPs).

(E-G) Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal

content in pre-GAL4 control and pre-GAL4 driven *sra* RNAi in both the presence and absence of

GluRIIA^{SP16}. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$ by Student's T-test versus non-challenged genetic

control.

1056

Figure 8. Maintenance of presynaptic homeostatic potentiation is impaired by neuronal

overexpression of *sra*, but acute expression is not. **(A-C)** Quantification showing average mEPSP

amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in pre-GAL4 control and pre-GAL4 driven

sra overexpression (OE). Black points show DMSO control synapses, while green points show

synapses treated with PhTx. **(D, H)** Representative electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above)

and mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs

(mEPSPs). **(E-G)** Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS

quantal content in pre-GAL4 control and pre-GAL4 driven *sra* OE in both the presence and

absence of *GluRIIA^{SP16}*. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$ by Student's T-test versus non-

challenged genetic control.

1067

Figure 9. Pharmacological inhibition of calcineurin partially rescues the PHP deficit observed in

chronically challenged postsynaptic overexpression of *sra* animals. **(A-C)** Quantification showing

average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in post-GAL4 control and

post-GAL4 driven *sra* overexpression (OE) in both the presence and absence of 50μM FK506.

Black points show DMSO control synapses while dark pink points represent 50μM FK506. **(D)**

Representative electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above) and mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for

all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs (mEPSPs). Two-way ANOVAs

were used to compare each genotype with and without drug followed by a Tukey's HSD test for

multiple comparisons. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$.

1077

Figure 10. Pharmacological inhibition of calcineurin rescues the PHP deficit observed in

chronically challenged presynaptic knockdown of *sra* animals. **(A-C)** Quantification showing

average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in pre-GAL4 control and

pre-GAL4 driven *sra* knockdown in both the presence and absence of 50μM FK506. Black points

show DMSO control synapses while dark pink points represent 50μM FK506. **(D)** Representative

electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above) and mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for all traces are y

= 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs (mEPSPs). Two-way ANOVAs were used to

compare each genotype with and without drug followed by a Tukey's HSD test for multiple

comparisons. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$.

1087

Figure 11. Maintenance of presynaptic homeostatic potentiation can be further potentiated by

pharmacologically inhibiting calcineurin. **(A-D)** Quantification showing average mEPSP

amplitude, EPSP amplitude, NLS quantal content, and mEPSP frequency in wild type and

GluRIIA^{SP16} animals. Black points show 10μM DMSO control, light pink points show 10μM

FK506, grey points show 50μM DMSO control, and dark pink points show 50μM FK506. **(E,F)**

Quantification of failure analysis experiment. 0.10mM Ca^{2+} was used in this experiment. "%

failures" represents the number of stimulation pulses that failed to elicit any discernable response

out of the 100 total pulses per synapse. Black points represent DMSO control, while the dark pink

points represent 50μM FK506. **(G-I)** Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP

amplitude, and NLS quantal content in wild type and *GluRIIA^{SP16}* animals dissected in low calcium

conditions (0.20mM Ca^{2+}). Black points represent DMSO control, while the dark pink points

represent 50μM FK506. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$ by Student's T-test versus non-drugged control.

Figure 12. Dual pre- and postsynaptic knockdown of *CaNB* does not block PHP while knockdown in muscle alone precluded the maintenance of PHP **(A-C)** Quantification showing average mEPSP amplitude, EPSP amplitude, and NLS quantal content in pre + post-GAL4 control and pre + post-GAL4 driven *CaNB* RNAi. Black points show DMSO control synapses, green points show synapses treated with PhTx, and magenta points show animals chronically challenged with *GluRIII* RNAi. **(D)** Representative electrophysiological traces of EPSPs (above) and mEPSPs (below). Scale bars for all traces are y = 10 mV (1mV), x = 20 ms (500 ms) for EPSPs (mEPSPs). *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$ by Multiple Student's T-test and corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method. DMSO controls were compared to PhTx and *GluRIII* RNAi synapses for driver controls and separately for *CaNB* RNAi.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

NSA and CAF designed the research, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. NSA performed the research. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health/NINDS (NS085164 and NS130108) to CAF. This work was also supported by NIN/NINDS grant supplement to NSA for grant NS085164 (PI - CAF). Additional funds supporting parts of this work have been provided

1125 by the University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine (CCOM) and the PI's home department
1126 within CCOM, Anatomy and Cell Biology.

1127

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Frank lab for helpful comments throughout this study. We thank the
laboratories of Tina Tootle, Toshihiro Kitamoto, Pamela Geyer, and Lori Wallrath for helpful
discussions. Additionally, we thank Drs. Samuel Young, Joshua Weiner, and Catherine
Marcinkiewcz for helpful suggestions. We also thank the Bloomington Drosophila Resource Stock
Center for several fly stocks detailed in the Methods section.

1134

1135 **Supplementary Material**

1136 Supplementary Tables S1-S4 and S7-S12 show raw electrophysiology data. Table S5 shows raw
1137 bouton counts and measurements for NMJ growth assessment. Table S6 shows raw pCaMKII and
1138 GluRIII intensity measurements.

1139

1140 **Data Availability Statement**

1141 The raw datasets for this study will be made available by the authors.

1142