Purine nucleosides interfere with c-di-AMP levels and act as adjuvants to re-

sensitise MRSA to β-lactam antibiotics

Aaron C. Nolan[a,^], Merve S. Zeden[a,^,#], Christopher Campbell[a], Igor Kviatkovski[b], Lucy

Urwin[c], Rebecca M. Corrigan[c], Angelika Gründling[b], and James P. O'Gara[a,#]

[a]Microbiology, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, University of Galway, H91

TK33, Ireland.

[b]Section of Molecular Microbiology and Medical Research Council Centre for

Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ,

United Kingdom.

[c]The Florey Institute, School of Bioscience, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN,

United Kingdom.

^These authors contributed equally

#To whom correspondence should be addressed: merve.zeden@nuigalway.ie and

jamesp.ogara@nuigalway.ie

16
17 Article word count (Introduction, Results & Discussion): 5,435

18
19 **Keywords:** *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRSA, purine metabolism, antibiotic adjuvant, β-

20 lactam resistance, c-di-AMP.

22 **Running title:** Purine nucleosides resensitise MRSA to β-lactam antibiotics

Abstract

Elucidating the complex mechanisms controlling *mecA*/PBP2a-mediated β-lactam resistance in methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has the potential to identify new drug targets with therapeutic potential. Here, we report that mutations that interfere with *de novo* purine synthesis (*pur* operon), purine transport (NupG, PbuG and PbuX) and the nucleotide salvage pathway (DeoD2, Hpt) increased β-lactam resistance in MRSA strain JE2. Extrapolating from these findings, exogenous guanosine and xanthosine, which are fluxed through the GTP branch of purine biosynthesis were shown to significantly reduce MRSA β-lactam resistance. In contrast adenosine, which is fluxed to ATP, significantly increased oxacillin resistance, whereas inosine, which can be fluxed to ATP and GTP via hypoxanthine, only marginally reduced the oxacillin MIC. Increased oxacillin resistance of the *nupG* mutant was not significantly reversed by guanosine, indicating that NupG is required for guanosine transport, which in turn is required to reduce β-lactam resistance. Suppressor mutants resistant to oxacillin/guanosine combinations contained several purine salvage pathway mutations, including *nupG* and *hpt*. Microscopic analysis revealed that guanosine significantly increased cell size, a phenotype also associated with reduced levels of c-di-AMP. Consistent with this, guanosine significantly reduced levels of c-di-AMP, and inactivation of GdpP, the c-di-AMP phosphodiesterase negated the impact of guanosine on β-lactam susceptibility. PBP2a expression was unaffected in *nupG* or *deoD2* mutants suggesting that guanosine-induced β-lactam susceptibility may result from dysfunctional c-di-AMP-dependent osmoregulation. These data reveal the therapeutic potential of purine nucleosides as β-lactam adjuvants that interfere with the normal activation of c-di-AMP required for high-level β-lactam resistance in MRSA. 249 words

Importance

The clinical burden of infections caused by antimicrobial resistant (AMR) pathogens is a leading threat to public health. Maintaining the effectiveness of existing antimicrobial drugs or finding ways to reintroduce drugs to which resistance is widespread is an important part of efforts to address the AMR crisis. Predominantly the safest and most effective class of antibiotics are the β-lactams, which are no longer effective against

methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Here we report that the purine nucleosides guanosine and xanthosine have potent activity as adjuvants that can resensitise MRSA to oxacillin and other β-lactam antibiotics. Mechanistically, exposure of MRSA to these nucleosides significantly reduced the levels of the cyclic dinucleotide c-di-AMP, which is required for β-lactam resistance. Drugs derived from nucleotides are widely used in the treatment of cancer and viral infections highlighting the clinical potential of using purine nucleosides to restore or enhance the therapeutic effectiveness of β-lactams against MRSA and potentially other AMR pathogens. 156 words

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is an opportunistic pathogen responsible for localised skin infections and more severe illnesses, such as bacteraemia, sepsis, infectious arthritis, pneumonia, endocarditis, urinary tract infections and toxic shock syndrome (1, 2). While significant advances have been made in our understandings of the metabolism of *S. aureus* in recent years, we have only just begun to interrogate the role of central metabolic pathways required for bacterial proliferation in the host, and their contribution to antibiotic resistance.

β-lactam antibiotics remain a gold standard for the treatment of *S. aureus* infections, while vancomycin and daptomycin are recommended for methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) infections (3-6). However, while effective, these antibiotics are limited by slow bactericidal activity, low tissue penetration, and nephrotoxicity (7, 8). Daptomycin exhibits high protein binding and low serum concentrations which necessitates high doses of the antibiotic to achieve therapeutic levels (9). Limited treatment options for MRSA infections are exacerbated by the emergence of vancomycin intermediate *S. aureus* (VISA) strains that also display a 100-fold decrease in susceptibility to daptomycin (10, 11).

MRSA resistance to β-lactam antibiotics such as methicillin that are not cleaved by bacterial β-lactamases, is mediated through the expression of the low affinity penicillin binding protein PBP2a, encoded by the *mecA* gene located on the mobile genetic element, the staphylococcal chromosome cassette (SCC*mec*) (12, 13). The PBP2a

transpeptidase crosslinks peptidoglycan in the presence of β-lactam antibiotics to

confer resistance (13-15).

Carriage of SCC*mec* is linked to heterogenous, low-level resistance (HeR) to β-lactam

antibiotics (13, 16). Deregulation of *mecA* transcription occurs when the MecR sensor-

protease is activated by the presence of β-lactams resulting in cleavage of the MecI

repressor (17). When exposed to β-lactam antibiotics, high-level, homogeneously

resistant (HoR) MRSA mutants carrying accessory mutations outside SCC*mec* can

also be selected (18, 19). Commonly, these mutations lead to activation of the

stringent response (20, 21), increased cyclic di-adenosine monophosphate (c-di-AMP)

levels (22-24), changes in the activity of RNA polymerase (6), as well as the ClpXP

chaperone-protease complex (25, 26).

Nucleotide second messengers play an important role in the control of β-lactam

resistance. Nucleotides are essential precursors used by all cells for DNA, RNA and

signalling molecule synthesis, while the energy carriers, adenosine-triphosphate

(ATP) and guanosine-triphosphate (GTP), are produced from their corresponding

nucleotides adenine and guanine (27, 28). Bacteria utilise an array of nucleotide

secondary messengers to regulate cellular responses to fluctuations in external stimuli

and environmental conditions (29). Bacterial nucleotide messengers are typically

produced from the building blocks ATP and GTP (30). Refined signal transduction

pathways are necessary for *S. aureus* to survive and multiply during infection. Signal

transduction in *S. aureus* influences virulence gene expression during infection, stress

and antibiotic survival responses (31, 32).

S. aureus produces ATP/GTP through a *de novo* ten-step biosynthetic process. The

pur operon encodes ten enzymes that first convert ribose-5-phosphate (Ribose-5-P)

to inosine monophosphate (IMP); the branchpoint between ATP and GTP synthesis

(Fig. 1). IMP can then be converted to adenosine monophosphate (AMP) or

xanthosine monophosphate (XMP) through *purA/purB* or *guaA* respectively. AMP is

then converted to adenosine diphosphate (ADP), ATP and c-di-AMP. On the alternate

branch XMP is converted to guanosine diphosphate (GDP), GTP and guanosine

tetraphosphate/pentaphosphate (ppGpp/(p)ppGpp) which promotes accumulation of

c-di-AMP by repressing the activity of the c-di-AMP phosphodiesterase GdpP (33).

Alternatively, XMP, GMP and AMP can be produced from nucleosides/nucleotides

through the purine salvage pathway, including transport by the purine transporters

PbuX, PbuG and NupG. There is ongoing interest in purine metabolism due to its

multifaceted roles in biofilm formation, virulence and antibiotic resistance (34-36).

The alarmones ppGpp and pppGpp play a central role in the stringent response, while

c-di-AMP contributes to the regulation of cell envelope homeostasis, osmotic

regulation and virulence (37-40). Altering c-di-AMP levels and/or (p)ppGpp levels lead

to differences in β-lactam susceptibility of MRSA strains (31, 41). Mutation of the

diadenylate cyclase gene *dacA*, leading to reduced c-di-AMP levels, resulted in the

conversion of an HoR MRSA to a HeR strain (31). Conversely inactivating mutations

in the c-di-AMP phosphodiesterase gene *gdpP* leading to increased c-di-AMP levels

were accompanied by homogeneous resistance to methicillin (22, 23). GdpP activity

is repressed by ppGpp, which is synthesised by the Rel, RelP and RelQ enzymes (41-

43). In several studies it has been reported that activation of the stringent response

and constitutive (p)ppGpp production, which will increase c-di-AMP levels (33), are

accompanied by homogeneous methicillin resistance (21, 44). The importance of

these signalling molecules to bacterial survival under different growth conditions and

their absence in humans marks them as attractive antimicrobial drug targets (45).

Further understanding of molecular mechanisms to antibiotic resistance is an

important part of efforts to identify new targets with the potential to re-sensitise bacteria

to antibiotics including β-lactams (46). The knowledge gained from understanding

such molecular mechanisms could be used to identify compounds that provide

synergistic activity with β-lactams, thus providing a potential solution to antibiotic

resistance and dwindling antibiotic therapy options (47-49).

In this study, the impact of exogenous purines on growth and susceptibility to β-

lactams and other antibiotics is described in several strains of *S. aureus* and MRSA,

and *S. epidermidis*. The contribution of several genes involved in *de novo* purine

synthesis, purine transport, purine salvage, and (p)ppGpp/c-di-AMP signalling to

nucleoside/β-lactam susceptibility has been elucidated. Our data reveal that re-

sensitisation of MRSA to β-lactams using guanosine or xanthosine is dependent on

several purine transporters and salvage pathway enzymes, and accompanied by

increased cell size and reduced levels of c-di-AMP. The therapeutic potential of using

purine nucleosides as β-lactam antibiotic adjuvants is discussed.

Results

Exogenous purines regulate MRSA growth and susceptibility to β-lactam antibiotics. The correlation between increased levels of the purine signalling nucleotides (p)ppGpp and c-di-AMP and increased resistance to β-lactam antibiotics raises the possibility that manipulation of purine metabolism (Fig. 1) by controlling the availability of purines in the growth environment can regulate the susceptibility of MRSA to β-lactam antibiotics. Exogenous purines transported into the cell are metabolised via the purine salvage pathway (Fig. 1). Growth of wild-type MRSA strain JE2 at oxacillin (OX) concentrations of 1, 16, 32 or 64 μg/ml was measured in chemically defined media without glucose (CDM) supplemented with both adenine and guanine, without adenine and guanine, with adenine alone or with guanine alone. Weak growth at the lowest OX concentration in CDM with both adenine and guanine was only measured after >30 h (Fig. S1A). This was significantly improved in CDM lacking both guanine and adenine (Fig. S1B) and growth in OX 1 μg/ml was also measured in CDM with adenine alone (Fig. S1C). Strikingly, JE2 was completely susceptible to OX in CDM supplemented with guanine alone, with no growth detected even after 48 h (Fig. S1D).

Switching to nucleosides, which are more readily solubilised in water than nucleotides, and Mueller Hinton 2% NaCl broth (MHB), which is routinely used for antibiotic susceptibility testing, addition of guanosine or xanthosine rendered JE2 susceptible to OX with the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) reduced from 64 to ⩽4 μg/ml (Table 1). In contrast, JE2 was significantly more resistant to OX in MHB supplemented with adenosine (MIC = 256 μg/ml, Table 1). Interestingly, supplementation of MHB with up to 1.0 g/l inosine, which can be fluxed to both the ATP and GTP branches of purine biosynthesis via hypoxanthine and IMP (Fig. 1) only marginally reduced the JE2 OX MIC to 32 μg/ml. Similarly, OX susceptibility was unchanged in MHB supplemented with both guanosine and adenosine (MIC = 64 μg/ml). Disk diffusion assays on MHA showed that exogenous guanosine also increased the susceptibility of JE2 to other β-lactam antibiotics, namely cefotaxime, cefoxitin, cefaclor and penicillin G (Fig. 2A, B), but did not show any synergy with tetracycline, vancomycin, chloramphenicol, and gentamicin (Fig. 2C).

Checkerboard titration assays further revealed that guanosine increased JE2
susceptibility to OX in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. S2). In time kill assays,
combinations of guanosine and OX achieved a significant ≥ 2 log^{10} reduction in the
number of colony forming units (CFU)/ml over 12 hours compared to OX alone, and
achieved bactericidal activity at OX concentrations > 64 µg/ml (Fig. S3). At lower OX
concentrations, recovery of JE2 growth after 12 hours, presumably reflected the
selection and expansion of suppressors or OX HoR mutants as described previously
(22, 50, 51).
This evident synergy between OX and the purine nucleosides guanosine and
xanthosine versus the antagonism with adenosine and the relatively neutral effect of
inosine implicate purine nucleotide homeostasis in the control of MRSA β-lactam
susceptibility.
196
Purine nucleoside-regulated β-lactam resistance in other *S. aureus* strains and
***S. epidermidis* RP62A.** Extending these analyses to other staphylococcal strains
revealed that guanosine and xanthosine also reduced the OX MICs of several
heterogeneously resistant MRSA strains to varying levels; USA300 (SCC*mec* type IV,
CC8), MW2 (SCC*mec* type IV; CC1), DAR13 (SCC*mec* type IV; CC8), DAR173
(SCC*mec* type IV; CC5), DAR169 (SCCmec type I; CC8), DAR45 (SCC*mec* type II;
CC30), DAR22 (SCC*mec* type III; CC5) and methicillin resistant *S. epidermidis* RP62A
(Table 1, Table S1). Guanosine at 1 g/l was only associated with a 1-fold reduction in
the OX MICs of the homogeneously resistant MRSA strains COL (SCC*mec* I, CC8)
and BH1CC (SCC*mec* II, CC8) (Table 1), suggesting that genetic changes associated
with high-level methicillin resistance can potentially negate the ability of purine
nucleosides to reduce β-lactam resistance of MRSA. Interestingly guanosine and
xanthosine also significantly reduced the OX MIC for the methicillin susceptible
laboratory strain 8325-4 and the Nebraska transposon library (NTML) (52) *mecA*
mutant NE1868, while adenosine increased their OX MICs indicating that therapeutic
potential of purine nucleosides to control MRSA and MRSE β-lactam susceptibility is
both *mecA*-dependent and *mecA*-independent.
214
Mutations in the *de novo* purine biosynthesis or purine salvage pathways
increase resistance to β-lactam antibiotics. Next the impacts of mutations in the *de*

novo purine synthesis *pur* operon and purine salvage pathways (Fig. 1) on β-lactam

resistance were investigated. NTML mutations in all tested *pur* operon genes (except

purH) increased OX resistance, whereas mutation of the *purR* repressor gene was

accompanied by increased OX susceptibility (Table 1). OX resistance was also

increased in mutants of the putative transporters *nupG* (NE1419, guanine/guanosine),

pbuG/stgP (NE283, guanine/hypoxanthine), *pbuX* (NE280, xanthine/xanthosine) and

a predicted purine nucleoside phosphorylase *deoD2* (NE650) (Table 1). Consistent

with the predicted roles of these nucleoside transporters, xanthosine reduced the

oxacillin MIC of the *nupG* mutant and guanosine reduced OX resistance of the *pbuX*

mutant (Table 1). These data suggest that NupG is the major guanosine transporter

and PbuX is the main xanthosine transporter under the conditions tested. Both

guanosine and xanthosine reduced OX resistance of the *pbuG* mutant (Table 1), which

was previously shown to transport guanine (53). The very subtle effect of inosine on

the OX MIC precluded investigation of the possible roles of NupG, PbuG and PbuX in

inosine transport using susceptibility testing. Interestingly xanthosine, but not

guanosine, significantly reduced the OX MIC of the *deoD2* mutant (Table 1), indicating

that xanthosine can be phosphorylated by a different enzyme during purine salvage.

The most likely candidate is a second putative nucleoside phosphorylase DeoD1

(NE477), which shares 67.4% identity with DeoD2 (Fig. S4A). Mutation of *deoD1* alone

did not impact oxacillin susceptibility and both guanosine and xanthosine reduced the

OX MIC of this mutant (Table 1), suggesting that DeoD1 and DeoD2 may be able to

substitute for each other in the phosphorylation of xanthosine under specific growth

conditions. Finally, in keeping with the prediction that guanine and xanthine are

processed by different phosphoribosyltransferase enzymes (Hpt and Xpt, respectively,

Fig. 1), xanthosine but not guanosine also reduced the OX MIC by 4-5 fold in the *hpt*

mutants R10 and R11 carrying $S_{71}C$ and $T_{61}P$ substitutions, respectively (53) (Table

1).

To verify that the *nupG* and *deoD2* mutations were responsible for increased OX

resistance in NE1419 and NE650, the *nupG*::Erm[r] and *deoD2*::Erm[r] alleles were

transduced using phage 80α into wild-type JE2 and MW2 (Table S1). The OX MICs

of the resulting transductants were the same as NE1419 and NE650, i.e. 128 μg/ml.

The NE1419 and NE650 mutants were also complemented by the respective wild-type

genes cloned into pLI50 (Fig. S4B and C, Table 1), restoring OX sensitivity and

confirming the specific role of each in the resistance phenotype. Using Western blot

analysis, no differences were detected in PBP2a expression levels in these mutants

and their complemented derivatives compared to wild-type JE2 (Fig. S4D).

Collectively these data are consistent with the role of purine biosynthesis and salvage

in the regulation of MRSA β-lactam resistance, via a mechanism that is independent

of PBP2a.

Exogenous nucleosides control MRSA growth, cell size and β-lactam

susceptibility in a NupG-dependent manner. Given their role as potential carbon

sources, the impact of exogenous nucleosides on the growth of MRSA, which may in

turn influence antibiotic resistance, was investigated. Weak JE2 growth in OX 1, 16 or

32 µg/ml (Fig. 3A) was completely inhibited by 0.2 g/l guanosine (Fig. 3B). In contrast,

the increased OX resistance of the *nupG* mutant was not significantly reversed by

guanosine (Fig. 3A, B), indicating again that NupG is the main guanosine transporter

in *S. aureus* under the growth conditions tested. JE2 growth in OX was also inhibited

by 0.2 g/l xanthosine (Fig. 3C), but was unaffected in MHB 0.2 g/l adenosine (Fig. 3D).

Consistent with the likely role of PbuX in xanthosine transport, growth of the *nupG*

mutant in OX was significantly inhibited by xanthosine (Fig. 3C), and like the wild-type

was largely unaffected by adenosine (Fig. 3D).

Strikingly, guanosine significantly increased the optical density (OD$_{600}$) of JE2

cultures, whereas adenosine negatively impacted growth and xanthosine had no effect

(Fig. 4A). However, enumeration of JE2 colony forming units (CFUs) revealed no

significant differences between MHB or MHB supplemented with guanosine,

xanthosine or adenosine (Fig. 4B). For the *nupG* mutant, exogenous guanosine or

xanthosine did not significantly affect growth, but cell density (OD$_{600}$) was significantly

reduced by adenosine (Fig. 4C). CFU numbers for *nupG* cultures were unaffected by

any nucleoside (Fig. 4D). Given that all three of these ribose-containing nucleosides

have the potential to serve as carbon sources, their different effects on cell density

indicates that their impact on β-lactam susceptibility was not a nutritional effect.

A recent study revealed that exposure to OX increases *S. aureus* cell size and leads

to cell division defects (54). To investigate the impact of exogenous guanosine on

morphology and cell size, which can impact growth culture optical density, confocal microscopy experiments were performed on cells collected from JE2 cultures grown in MHB NaCl, MHB NaCl OX, MHB NaCl Gua and MHB NaCl OX/Gua and stained with Vancomycin-BODIPY or WGA Alexa Fluor 594 (Fig. 5A, B). The diameter of cells grown in guanosine was slightly, yet significantly, increased compared to cells grown in MHB NaCl only. Cell size was further increased in cells grown in oxacillin, with the largest cell size measured in the OX/Gua combination (Fig. 5A, B). Increased cell size may explain, at least in part, why exogenous guanosine increased the optical density (OD_{600}) of JE2 cultures, independent of changes in the number of CFUs. Furthermore, the dramatic effect of the OX/Gua combination on both increased β-lactam susceptibility and cell size raised the possibility that these phenotypes are associated with altered regulation of c-di-AMP, which as noted earlier is known to control both of these phenotypes (37-40).

Suppressor mutations in purine metabolism, transcriptional and translational regulation and ClpX overcome MRSA susceptibility to oxacillin/purine combinations. Repetitive passaging experiments in MHB 2% NaCl supplemented with OX (32 μg/ml) and guanosine (0.2 g/l) were used to isolate suppressor mutants resistant to the OX/Gua combination. For control purposes, HoR mutants isolated from JE2 grown in medium supplemented with OX alone (32 μg/ml) were also isolated. In total 16 OX/Gua suppressor mutants and 4 HoR mutants that exhibited stable resistance to oxacillin were characterised and sequenced (Table 2). All 16 OX/Gua suppressor mutants exhibited higher MICs to OX, OX/Gua and OX/Xan combinations (Table 2). Several mutations directly impacted purine biosynthetic and salvage pathways supporting the hypothesis that purine homeostasis controls β-lactam resistance. The identification of a W_{29}Stop suppressor mutation in *nupG* (GS28) is consistent with the phenotype of the *nupG* transposon mutant (NE1419) described above. A *hpt* L_{113}Stop mutation was identified in suppressors GS8 and GS25 (Table 2) (Fig. 1). Consistent with the increased β-lactam resistance of GS8 and GS25, the R10 and R11 *hpt* mutants (53) were also found to have an increased oxacillin MIC = 256 μg/ml (Table 1). However, in contrast the R10 and R11 *hpt* mutants (53) (Table 1), the oxacillin MIC of the GS8 and GS25 *hpt* null mutants was not substantially

reduced by xanthosine (Table 2), presumably due to the loss of Hpt activity in G8 and GS25 versus altered Hpt activity of R10 and R11. Suppressor mutants GS15, GS16, GS22 and GS23 carry $G_{35}D$ mutations in ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase (Prs), which is responsible for the synthesis of phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP), the substrate for the *pur* operon-encoded enzymes. Mutations that may phenocopy increased activity of the stringent response required for expression of high level β-lactam resistance include RpoB mutations ($T_{480}K$ in GS4, GS29 and $T_{622}I$ in GS10, GS17 and GS28) that lead to increased β-lactam resistance, increased doubling times and thickened cell walls similar to increased Rel activity (44, 55-57), as well as mutations that potentially impact translation (tRNA-Met in GS3, GS6, GS21, tRNA-Leu in GS10 and tRNA-Ala in GS21, GS27). GS27 has a $G_{278}Stop$ substitution in ClpX, which is known to control β-lactam resistance (25). GS28 has a $A_{344}T$ substitution in FemA previously implicated in resistance (58), as well as additional mutations in *rpoB* and *nupG*. Similarly, the PdhB $G_{65}V$ mutations in GS16 and GS23 occur in conjunction with Prs $G_{35}D$ substitutions and may further enhance growth and resistance in media supplemented with OX/Gua. Mutations in *pdhB* were previously shown to confer a growth advantage under osmotic stress (59).

The 4 Ox HoRs isolated for control purposes displayed varying MICs for OX/Gua and OX/Xan, although all were more resistant to the combinations than the wild-type JE2 strain (Table 2). OS14, which has ClpX $G_{98}Stop$ mutation was highly resistant to the OX/Gua combination (MIC = 256 μg/ml), and even more resistant to the OX/Xan combination (MIC = 256 μg/ml) than the GS1 and GS27 suppressor mutants with ClpX $G_{278}Stop$ mutations (MIC = 128 μg/ml). OS18 and OS30 were found to have $P_{101}H$ substitutions in RsbW, the anti-sigma factor that controls the activity of the alternative sigma factor SigB, indicating that, consistent with a previous report (60), an altered σ^B-mediated stress response can increase β-lactam resistance and partially overcome susceptibility to the OX/Gua and OX/Xan combinations. Finally, a PBP2 $F_{44}S$ substitution in OS26 was associated with a modest increase in resistance to oxacillin, and the OX/Gua and OX/Xan combinations (Table 2). Overall these data reveal that aside from suppressors in the purine salvage pathway, mutations associated with the HoR phenotype can also confer resistance to OX/nucleoside combinations.

Guanosine-oxacillin combination treatment is associated with a *nupG* and *deoD2*-dependent reduction in c-di-AMP levels. The ATP and GTP-derived stringent response alarmone (p)ppGpp and nucleotide second messenger c-di-AMP regulate β-lactam resistance in MRSA (23, 31). Comparison of GTP levels using a GTPase-Glo bioluminescence assay surprisingly revealed no significant differences between JE2, *nupG* and *deoD2* grown in MHB NaCl supplemented with guanosine (0.2 g/l) and/or oxacillin (1 or 32 μg/ml) (Fig. S5A). Using the same strains and growth conditions, radiochemical thin layer chromatography (TLC) assays also to our surprise revealed no significant differences in (p)ppGpp levels, except in JE2 grown in MHB NaCl supplemented with guanosine (Fig. S5B). The absence of significant changes in the stringent response alarmone in the *nupG* or *deoD2* mutants or during growth in OX/Gua combinations revealed that guanosine/xanthosine-mediated increase in oxacillin susceptibility is independent of (p)ppGpp signalling. Furthermore, the oxacillin MIC of a rsh_{syn} mutant lacking three conserved amino acids in the (p)ppGpp synthetase domain of the RSH (Rel) enzyme (33) was decreased and increased by guanosine/xanthosine and adenosine, respectively, similar to the wild-type (Table 1) further suggesting that these phenotypes are (p)ppGpp-independent.

A competitive ELISA assay revealed significantly reduced c-di-AMP levels in the *nupG* and *deoD2* mutants grown in MHB NaCl (Fig. 6A) without oxacillin supplementation, which may be in keeping with the disruption to the purine salvage pathway cause by these mutations. Consistent with the putative role of NupG as a guanosine permease, exogenous guanosine (0.2 g/l) did not impact c-di-AMP production in the *nupG* mutant (Fig. 6A). Interestingly exogenous guanosine did restore c-di-AMP to wild-type levels in the *deoD2* mutant (Fig. 6A), suggesting that even though this was not associated with any change in oxacillin susceptibility (Table 1), the combination of NaCl and imported guanosine leads to increased c-di-AMP production. This experiment was repeated using MHB media without 2% NaCl (Fig. S6), which also showed that c-di-AMP was reduced in the *nupG* and *deoD2* mutants, and that exogenous guanosine restored c-di-AMP to wild-type levels in both mutants. Collectively, these data reveal that *nupG* and *deoD2* mutations have robust impact on c-di-AMP in MHB and in MHB NaCl. However, the data suggest that in the absence of NaCl, and oxacillin, alternative permeases and nucleoside phosphorylases may substitute for the absence of NupG

and DeoD2 respectively, and restore c-di-AMP regulation and homeostasis.
Furthermore, NupG is a predicted sodium-nucleoside symporter, suggesting that in
the absence of sodium it will not import its substrate nucleoside.

Next, the levels of c-di-AMP were measured in the wild-type, *nupG* and *deoD2* strains
grown in MHB NaCl with OX, which are the same culture conditions used to measure
OX susceptibility. Given the negative effect of OX/Gua combinations on growth, the
culture volumes were scaled up for these experiments from 5 ml in 20 ml tubes (Fig.
6A) to 12 ml in 125 ml flasks (Fig. 6B). In contrast to growth in tubes (Fig. 6A), growth
in larger cultures volumes and flasks did not reveal any increase in wild-type c-di-AMP
levels in MHB NaCl supplemented with guanosine without OX (Fig. 6B), further
showing that changes in growth conditions can lead to differentially regulated c-di-
AMP levels in the cells. Importantly, the guanosine-induced OX susceptibility
phenotype of the wild-type correlated with the lowest levels of c-di-AMP compared to
growth in guanosine or OX alone (Fig. 6B). This significant effect was evident even
when very low, sub-MIC concentration (0.2 μg/ml) of OX used to minimise the effect
on growth. In contrast, growth of the *nupG* and *deoD2* mutants in MHB supplemented
with guanosine and OX increased c-di-AMP levels, albeit not reaching statistical
significance (Fig. 6C). The reduced levels of c-di-AMP in MRSA cells exposed to the
OX/Gua combination observed in this experiment is consistent with the significant
increase in cell size observed the same growth conditions (Fig. 5).

Consistent with an important role for c-di-AMP in these phenotypes, the increased OX
resistance of a $\Delta gdpP$::Km mutant (ANG 1961) in which c-di-AMP levels are increased
(23) was unaffected by guanosine, xanthosine or adenosine even at 1 g/l (Table 1).
Similarly, the low OX MIC of a *dacA* G$_{206}$S mutant in which c-di-AMP synthesis is
impaired but not abolished (61) was reduced further by exogenous guanosine or
xanthosine, and increased significantly by adenosine (Table 1). Overall these data
indicate that exogenous guanosine interferes with the normal activation of c-di-AMP
production required for full expression of β-lactam resistance in MRSA.

Discussion

Identification and characterisation of antibiotic adjuvants to maintain the therapeutic

effectiveness of currently licenced antibiotics, or facilitate the reintroduction of drugs

for which resistance is widespread, is a promising part of efforts to address the AMR

crisis. In keeping with previous studies implicating purine metabolism in the regulation

of MRSA β-lactam resistance (44, 62), we report here that mutations interfering with

the purine salvage and biosynthetic pathways increased oxacillin resistance. These

data prompted us to evaluate if the opposite was true and if susceptibility to β-lactams

could be increased by exposing MRSA to exogenous purine nucleotides and

nucleosides. Guanosine and xanthosine, which are fluxed through the GTP branch of

purine biosynthesis reduced the oxacillin MIC of JE2 into the susceptibility range, in a

dose dependent manner, whereas adenosine, which is fluxed to ATP, significantly

increased oxacillin resistance. Furthermore inosine, which can be fluxed to ATP or

GTP had almost no effect. These data reveal an important role for purine homeostasis

in controlling the susceptibility of MRSA to β-lactam antibiotics and add to several

recent studies implicating the regulation of purine biosynthesis in the control of

virulence (35, 63, 64). Interestingly, as part of a 2017 study from our laboratory

showing that β-lactams can attenuate MRSA virulence, transcriptomic analysis

revealed that, after the *agr* locus, the *pur* operon was the second most down-regulated

gene cluster during growth in oxacillin (50). Furthermore oxacillin-induced repression

of the *pur* operon was accompanied by significantly increased expression of *purR* (50),

a finding in keeping with the subsequent reports that PurR also acts as a repressor of

virulence (63, 64). Taken together, it appears that under β-lactam stress, MRSA may

attempt to limit flux through purine biosynthetic pathways as a means of increasing

resistance and that, conversely, increased guanosine or xanthosine flux into the GTP

branch of purine metabolism has the reverse effect and reduces β-lactam resistance.

A recent paper describing the interplay between *de novo* purine biosynthesis and c-

di-AMP signalling demonstrated that a *purF* mutation was associated with reduced

levels of c-di-AMP (35). Here we report that, with the exception of *purH*, mutations in

all other *pur* operon genes including *purF* were accompanied by increased β-lactam

resistance. The reduction in c-di-AMP levels in a *purF* mutant reported by Li et al (35)

and the increase in oxacillin resistance described in this study may at first appear to

be contradictory. However, it is important to note that the contribution of purine
homeostasis to β-lactam resistance can only be accurately characterised when MRSA
is grown in the presence of these antibiotics. Thus under standard growth conditions
(MHB NaCl media), our data showed that the purine salvage pathway *nupG* and
deoD2 mutations were associated with reduced levels of c-di-AMP, whereas under
very mild oxacillin stress, c-di-AMP was restored to wild-type levels in these mutants.
Furthermore the reduced levels of c-di-AMP in wild-type exposed to the
guanosine/oxacillin combination was reversed in the *nupG* and *deoD2* mutants.

Among the purine metabolism mutations examined, *purH* and *deoD1* were not found
to have any impact on β-lactam resistance, although both mutants remained
susceptible to OX/Gua treatment. Purine nucleoside phosphorylases typically form
oligomers raising the possibility that DeoD1 and DeoD2 can heterodimerise, which
may influence their contribution to purine salvage and β-lactam resistance, particularly
if they are differentially regulated under specific growth conditions. The *deoD1* gene
is co-located on the chromosome with a major facilitator superfamily (Mfs) gene,
deoC1 (deoxyribose-phosphate aldolase) and *deoB* (phosphopentomutase), which
may be expressed as an operon. Mutations in the Mfs gene, *deoC1* and *deoB* genes
alone were not associated with altered OX susceptibility (65). Nevertheless, DeoD1
activity may be dependent on the Mfs protein or a solute/ion transported by this
membrane protein. In contrast the *deoD2* gene is monocistronic and a second
deoxyribose-phosphate aldolase, *deoC2*, sharing 98% identity with *deoC1*, is
transcribed divergently from *deoD2*. The *purH* gene, which encodes the bifunctional
phosphoribosylaminoimidazolecarboxamide formyltransferase / IMP cyclohydrolase is
the penultimate gene in the *purEKCSQLFMNHD* operon followed only by *purD*. A *purD*
mutation, like all other *pur* operon mutations is accompanied by increased OX
resistance. PurH is responsible for the final step in the purine biosynthetic pathway,
converting 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide (AICAR) into IMP. AICAR
is produced by PurB, which is also part of the purine salvage pathway and *purB* can
be transcriptionally regulated independent of the *pur* operon (66). Unlike other Pur
enzymes, the bifunctional activity of PurH (synthesis and break-down of IMP),
combined with its requirement for AICAR from the *de novo* or purine salvage pathways
points to a complex role of this enzyme in purine metabolism and may suggest that its

impact on OX resistance is more dependent on growth conditions than other *pur*

operon enzymes.

Arising from studies to uncover the therapeutic potential of bactericidal and

bacteriostatic compounds produced by coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) to

compete with *S. aureus* in shared ecological niche, the Heinrich's group identified the

CoNS-produced purine analogue 6-thioguanine (6-TG) and revealed its potential to

both inhibit growth of *S. aureus* by interfering with ribosome biogenesis and

downregulate *agr*-controlled virulence under purine limited growth conditions (67).

Resistance to 6-TG can arise through mutations in PbuG (also named StgP, 6-

thioguanine permease) and the Hpt hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (53),

demonstrating that guanine/6-TG are transported and metabolised by PbuG/StgP and

Hpt, respectively. We showed here that guanosine was unable to resensitize the 6-TG

resistant *hpt* mutants R10 and R11 (53) to OX, further supporting the role for Hpt in

guanine/6-TG metabolism. Our screen of suppressor mutants resistant to OX/Gua

combinations also identified stop codon mutations in *hpt* as well as *nupG*, *prs* and in

transcriptional (*rpoB*) and translational (tRNAs, ClpX) machinery genes. Interestingly,

while the R10 and R11 *hpt* mutants were resistant to guanosine/OX combinations,

they were susceptible to OX/Xan presumably because xanthosine is processed by the

Xpt phosphoribosyltransferase thus bypassing Hpt. On the other hand, the GS8 and

GS25 OX/Gua suppressor mutants carrying a Hpt L_{113}Stop mutation unexpectedly

remained resistant to OX/Xan. Although the reason for this difference is unclear, one

possibility is that Hpt also plays a role in processing xanthosine. If this is true, the Hpt

amino acid substitutions in R10 and R11 must interfere with guanosine processing,

but may not affect processing of xanthosine whereas the L_{113}Stop mutation

presumably blocks processing of both nucleosides. Interestingly, a M_1I mutation in *hpt*

likely to negatively impact translation initiation was previously implicated the HoR

phenotype (44). Similarly a *guaA* deletion mutation resulted in HoR resistance. There

are no transposon insertions in *hpt* or *guaA* in the NTML indicating that these genes

are important for growth under standard laboratory conditions. Collectively our data

are in keeping with previous studies indicating that mutations negatively impacting

GTP biosynthetic pathway enzyme activity are accompanied by increased resistance

to β-lactams and β-lactam/nucleoside combinations.

Our data showed that *nupG* and *deoD2* mutants significantly increased β-lactam
resistance independent of changes in PBP2a levels. Several lines of evidence support
the conclusion that purine homeostasis regulated β-lactam resistance is
mechanistically separate from PBP2a expression. For instance, our data showed that
guanosine also significantly reduces the OX MICs of a laboratory MSSA strain and the
NTML *mecA* transposon mutant. Two *clpX* stop codon mutations ($G_{98}*$ and $G_{278}*$) were
also identified giving rise to resistance to OX/Gua and OX/Xan combinations, and very
high OX MICs. The ClpXP chaperone-protease complex is known to control β-lactam
resistance in MRSA (25, 26, 68), independent of changes in *mecA* transcription or
PBP2a levels (25). Here we also identified RpoB $T_{480}K$ and $T_{622}I$ substitutions
implicated in resistance to nucleoside/OX combinations. Two independent studies of
rpoB and *rpoC* mutations associated with high-level β-lactam resistance ruled out
changes in PBP2a expression (6, 62). In a recent analysis Giulieri et al (69) implicated
both *gdpP/dacA*-regulated c-di-AMP signal transduction, as well as the ClpXP
chaperone-protease complex in *mecA*-independent, low level OX resistance.
Collectively these findings support the conclusion that altered regulation of PBP2a
expression does not play an important role in the regulation of β-lactam susceptibility
by nucleoside/OX combinations. Instead our data showing that guanosine alone, and
in particular in combination with OX, significantly reduces c-di-AMP levels in a
NupG/DeoD2-dependent manner and dramatically increases cell size.
Osmoregulation and cell size are among the important phenotypes controlled by c-di-
AMP (23, 33) suggesting that deregulation of cellular osmotic control by exogenous
purine nucleosides is the most likely mechanism for increased β-lactam susceptibility.
From a therapeutic perspective, our *in vitro* kill curves revealed significant bactericidal
activity using combinations guanosine and OX at concentrations up to 128 μg/ml,
which are within the range of flucloxacillin intravenously administered to human
patients (100-200 mg/kg/day). While the emergence of OX/Gua suppressor mutants
appeared to be significantly reduced at higher oxacillin concentrations (as evidenced
by the absence of re-growth in kill curve cultures), this remains a potential barrier to
the use of nucleosides as β-lactam adjuvants. Future studies to measure the anti-
MRSA activity of different purine nucleosides or purine analogues in combination with
different β-lactams may identify more effective therapeutic regimes. Nevertheless,

drugs derived from purine and pyrimidine nucleotides are already used for the
treatment of cancer and viral infections highlighting the potential clinical applications
of these findings, not only for MRSA but also other pathogens on the World Health
Organisation's list of priority antibiotic-resistant pathogens. Using purine nucleosides
as adjuvants to increase the susceptibility of clinically important pathogens to β-
lactams has the potential to facilitate new treatments with lower antibiotic doses and
with drug combinations that are toxic at higher concentrations.
541

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions.

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1. *Escherichia*
coli strains were grown in Luria Bertani (LB) broth or agar (LBA) and *Staphylococcus*
aureus strains were grown in Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB), Mueller-Hinton Agar
(MHA), Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB), Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA), chemically defined medium
(CDM), supplemented with erythromycin (Erm) 10 μg/ml, chloramphenicol (Cm) 10
μg/ml, ampicillin (Amp) 100 μg/ml, kanamycin (Km) 90 μg/ml, guanosine , xanthosine
or adenosine (0.2 – 1 g/l) where indicated.

Data from growth experiments in a Tecan Sunrise microplate instrument were
recorded using Magellan software. Overnight cultures were grown in 5 ml TSB using
a single colony, and were washed once in PBS before being used to inoculate CDM
cultures. PBS washed overnight cultures were adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.1 in PBS and
10 μl inoculated into 200 μl media per well before being incubated at 35°C for 24 h
with shaking and A_{600} recorded every 15 min intervals.

For flask growth curves, 250 ml flasks were filled with 25 ml growth media, and cultures
grown overnight in TSB were used to inoculate the media at a starting A_{600} of 0.01.
Flasks were incubated at 37°C shaking at 200 rpm. A_{600} readings were measured at
2 h intervals for 12 hours and an extra reading was taken at 24 hours.

Colony forming units (CFUs) were enumerated by serially diluting 100 μl aliquots
removed from flask cultures. Plates were incubated for 20 h at 37 °C and colonies
enumerated, and CFUs per ml suspension per OD_{600} calculated. Three independent

biological replicates were performed for each strain and the resulting data plotted

using GraphPad Prism software.

Genetic manipulation of *S. aureus*. Phage 80α was used as described previously

(70) to transduce the transposon insertions from NE650, NE1419 into the parent JE2

strain to rule out the possibility that uncharacterised mutations in the NTML mutants

may contribute to the increased antibiotic resistance phenotypes. Transposon

insertions were verified by PCR amplification of the target loci using primers listed in

Table S2.

To complement NE650 and NE1419, 1,387bp and 1,740bp PCR fragments were

amplified using JE2 gDNA as template and the primers INF_deoD_F / INF_deoD_R

and INF_nupG_F / INF_nupG_R, respectively (Table S2, Fig S4). The Clontech

Infusion Cloning kit was used to insert the fragments into the *Eco*R1 restriction site of

pLI50 to generate pLI50_*nupG,* and pLI50_*deoD2*. The recombinant plasmids were

first transformed into cold-competent *E. coli* HST08 before being transformed by

electroporation into the restriction-deficient *S. aureus* strain RN4220 and finally into

NE650 and NE1419.

PBP2a Western blot analysis. Single colonies from wild-type JE2, NE1419 (*nupG*),

NE650 (*deoD2*), NE1419 pLI50_*nupG*, NE650 pLI50_*deoD2*, MSSA strain 8325-4

(negative control) and HoR MRSA strain BH1CC (positive control) were inoculated in

MHB 2% NaCl overnight and grown at 35°C with 200 rpm shaking. All cultures were

supplemented with 5 μg/ml OX, with the exception of 8325-4 which was grown in MHB

2% NaCl without antibiotic and BHICC which was grown with 32 μg/ml OX. The next

day, samples were pelleted and resuspended in PBS to an A_{600} = 10. Six μl of

lysostaphin (10 μg/ml) and 1 μl of DNase (10 μg/ml) was added to 500 μl of this

concentrated cell suspension before being incubated at 37°C for 40 min. Next, 50 μl

of 10% SDS was added and the incubation continued for a further 20 min. The lysed

cells were then pelleted in a microcentrifuge for 15 min, following which the protein-

containing supernatant was collected and total protein concentration determined using

the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit. For each sample, 6 μg total protein was run on a

7.5% Tris-Glycine gel, transferred to a PVDF membrane and probed with anti-PBP2a

(1:1000), followed by HRP-conjugated protein G (1:2000) and colorimetric detection
with Opti-4CN Substrate kit. Three independent experiments were performed.
597
Antibiotic disc diffusion susceptibility assays. Disk diffusion susceptibility testing
was performed in accordance with Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)
guidelines (71) with the following modifications. Overnight cultures were diluted into
fresh TSB and grown for 3 h at 37 °C. Day cultures were then adjusted to A_{600} = 0.5
and 150 μl of this suspension was swabbed evenly 3 times across the surface of an
MHA plate (4 mm agar depth). 6 mm antibiotic discs were applied, before incubation
for times specified by CLSI guidelines for stated antibiotics at 35 - 37°C. Twenty μl of
guanosine at varying concentrations (50 - 750 μg/ml) was spotted onto discs to
demonstrate synergistic activity with β-lactam antibiotics where indicated. Three
independent measurements were performed for each strain.
608
Antibiotic minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and synergy assays. MIC
measurements by broth microdilutions were performed in accordance with CLSI
methods for dilution susceptibility testing of staphylococci (72) with modifications as
follows: guanosine, xanthosine or adenosine were supplemented into culture media at
concentrations ranging from 200 – 1000 μg/ml. Strains were first grown at 37 °C on
MHA (or MHB NaCl for OX MIC assays) for 24 h and 5 - 6 colonies were resuspended
in 0.85% saline before being adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard (A_{600} = 0.01). The
cell suspension was then diluted 1:20 in PBS and 20 μl used to inoculate 180 μl MHB
/ MHB NaCl supplemented with purines as indicated in the individual wells of 96-well
plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and MIC values were recorded as
the lowest antibiotic concentration where no growth was observed.
620
Isolation of suppressor mutants resistant to guanosine/oxacillin combination.
Suppressor mutants were isolated from JE2 cultures serially passaged in MHB 2%
NaCl supplemented with 200 μg/ml guanosine and 32 μg/ml OX at 35°C. Using 96-
well plates, 100 μl of culture medium was inoculated with 2 - 8 x 10^5 CFU/ml from a
JE2 overnight culture and growth was monitored for 24 h in a Tecan Sunrise
microplate instrument using Magellan software, after which a 1:20 dilution was used
to inoculate 100 μl of the same medium in the corresponding well of a new plate, which

was incubated for a further 24 h. In wells showing visible growth, 5 μl of culture was

inoculated onto TSA plates to purify single colonies from the suppressor strains. The

susceptibility (MICs) of each of the isolated suppressor strains to OX and OX/GUA

combinations was confirmed as described above.

Genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction and whole-genome sequencing (WGS).

Genomic DNA (gDNA) extractions were performed using the Wizard® Genomic DNA

Purification Kit (Promega) following pre-treatment of *S. aureus* cells with 10 μg/ml

lysostaphin (Ambi Products LLC) at 37°C for 30 min. DNA libraries were prepared

using an Illumina Nextera DNA kit. An Illumina MiSeq v2 300 cycle kit was used for

the genome sequencing and the 150 bp paired end sequencing run was performed at

the MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences Genomics Facility. The CLC Genomics

Workbench software (Qiagen Version 20) was used for genome sequencing analysis

of the different strains, as described previously (39). As a reference genome, a contig

was produced for wild-type JE2 by mapping Illumina reads onto the closely related

USA300 FPR3757 genome sequence (RefSeq accession number NC_07793.1). The

Illumina short read sequences from NTML mutants of interest were then mapped onto

the assembled JE2 sequence, and the presence of the transposon insertion

confirmed. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs), deletions or insertions were

mapped to the genomes of the NTML mutants and OX/Gua resistant suppressor

strains and the presence of large deletions ruled out by manually searching for zero

coverage regions. Whole genome sequence data is available from the European

Nucleotide Archive (Study Accession number PRJEB55671), accession numbers

ERS13358424 - ERS13358446).

Antibiotic kill curves. Kill Curves were performed according to CLSI guidelines to

determine the extent of synergy between purines and oxacillin. Overnight cultures

grown in MHB NaCl were diluted 1:100 and allowed to grow for three hours

(exponential growth phase) before being inoculated into 25 ml of MHB NaCl in 250 ml

flasks at a starting cell density of approximately 1×10^6 CFU/ml. The flasks were

incubated at 35°C with shaking at 200 rpm and CFUs were enumerated after 0, 2, 4,

6, 8, 12 and 24 h by serially diluting and plating 100 μl aliquots removed from flask

cultures on tryptone soya agar plates.

Microscopy and cell size determination. For microscopy experiments, MRSA strain JE2 was grown overnight at 37°C in MHB. The next day, it was back-diluted into MHB, MHB Gua 0.2 g/l, MHB OX 1 μg/ml and MHB Gua 0.2 g/l OX 1 μg/ml and day cultures were grown for 5 hours at 35°C. After the 5-hour growth, the day cultures were washed and normalized to an A_{600} of 1 in PBS and 75 μl of these cultures were double stained for 30 mins at 37 °C with vancomycin-BODIPY FL at a final concentration of 2 μg/ml and WGA Alexa Fluor 594 at a final concentration of 25 μg/ml. Bacteria were then collected by centrifugation for 2 mins at 14,000 x*g*. The cells were resuspended with 100 μl of PBS, pH 7.4, and 5 μl of this sample was spotted onto a thin 1% agarose gel patch prepared in PBS. Stained bacteria were then imaged at X1000 magnification using Olympus LS FLUOVIEW Fv3000 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope. Cell size was measured as previously described (39) using ImageJ software. Images of cells from three biological replicates were acquired, 40 cells measured per biological replicate (120 cells in total per condition), and the average and standard deviations for the three biological replicates were plotted using GraphPad Prism version 9.2 and significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc.

GTP assays. A GTPase-Glo bioluminescence assay kit (Promega) was used and the manufacturer's guidelines were adjusted to measure relative intracellular GTP levels by luminescence. Briefly overnight cultures of JE2, *nupG* and *deoD2* grown at 37°C in MHB NaCl were used to inoculate duplicate MHB NaCl cultures supplemented with guanosine (Gua, 0.2 g/l) and/or OX (OX, 1 μg/ml) at a starting $A_{600} = 0.05$ before these were again incubated overnight at 37°C. Cell pellets were lysed and total protein concentrations determined using a Bicinchoninic Acid (BCA) assay. The protein concentration in each lysate was adjusted to 50 μg/ml and 2.5 μl (125 ng) used in each GTPase-Glo assay.

Measurement of (p)ppGpp. Cultures of JE2, *nupG* and *deoD2* were grown overnight at 37°C in MHB, followed by dilution in MHB NaCl with OX (1 μg/ml) and/or guanosine (0.2 g/l) to $A_{600} = 0.05$. Cells were grown to $A_{600} = 0.5$ and divided into 2 aliquots. To one, 3.7 MBq of (^{32}P)H$_3$PO$_4$ was added, while the other lacked radiation to allow for

normalization of cultures via protein concentrations by BCA assay. Both sets of culture

were grown overnight and the non-radioactive set used to determine protein

concentration. Radioactive culture corresponding to 150 ng of protein were lysed by

the addition of 2 M formic acid and subsequently subjected to four freeze/thaw cycles.

Ten μl of the supernatant fractions were subsequently spotted on PEI-cellulose F TLC

plates (Merck Millipore) and nucleotides separated using a 1.5 M KH_2PO_4, pH 3.6,

buffer. The radioactive spots were visualized using an FLA 7000 Typhoon

PhosphorImager, and data were quantified using ImageQuantTL software.

Quantification of c-di-AMP levels using a competitive ELISA. Relative intracellular

levels of c-di-AMP were determined using a previously described competitive ELISA

method (73) with some modifications. Briefly, single colonies of wild-type JE2, *nupG*

(NE1419) and *deoD2* (NE650) were picked from TSA plates and used to inoculate 5

ml or 12 ml of MHB 2% NaCl with or without guanosine (0.2 g/l) and/or OX (0.2 μg/ml)

and the cultures were incubated for 18 h at 37°C with shaking. The 5ml cultures were

grown in 20 ml tubes and 12 ml cultures were grown in 125 ml flasks. Next day, 1.5

ml (for all strains grown without OX), 3 ml (for *nupG* and *deoD2* grown in OX and the

wild-type grown in OX alone) or 6 ml (for wild-type JE2 grown in both OX and

guanosine) were collected by centrifugation, washed three times with PBS,

resuspended in 0.75 ml of 50 mM Tris pH 8 buffer supplemented with 20 ng/ml

lysostaphin and incubated for 1 h before completing cell lysis by bead beating.

Following centrifugation for 5 min at 17,000 ×*g*, the supernatant from the cell lysates

were transferred to new tubes and protein concentration of the samples was

determined using a Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA,

USA). The samples were then heated to 95°C for 10 min, centrifuged for 5 min at

17,000 ×*g* and the supernatant containing c-di-AMP was transferred to a new tube.

The samples were diluted to a protein concentration of 300-800 μg/ml, as appropriate.

100 μl of coating buffer (50 mM Na_2C0_3, 50 mM $NaHCO_3$, pH 9.6) containing 10 μg/ml

of the c-di-AMP binding protein CpaA$_{SP}$ was aliquoted into each well of a 96 well

NUNC MaxiSorp plate (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), which was then

incubated for 18 h at 4°C. The plate was then washed three times with 200 μl PBST

pH 7.4 (10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 0.05% (v/v)

Tween 20), blocked for 1 h at 18°C with 150 μl blocking solution (1% BSA in PBS pH

7.4) and again washed three times with 200 μl PBST. 250 μl aliquots of the samples

(with three technical replicates for each biological replicate) or standards (two

technical replicates) were then mixed with 250 μl of a 50 nM biotinylated c-di-AMP

solution prepared in 50 mM Tris pH 8 buffer. For the standard curve, c-di-AMP

standards were prepared in 50 mM Tris pH 8 buffer at concentrations of 0, 12.5, 25,

37.5, 50, 75, 100 and 200 nM. Following the addition of the samples and the

standards, the 96 well plates were incubated for 2 h at 18°C and then washed three

times with PBST. Next, 100 μl of a high-sensitivity streptavidin-HRP solution (Thermo

Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) diluted 1:500 in PBS was added to each well and the

plate was incubated for 1 h at 18°C. The plate was washed again three times with 200

μl PBST and 100 μl of a developing solution (0.103 M $NaHPO_4$, 0.0485 M citric acid,

500 mg/L o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride, 0.03% H_2O_2) was added to each well

and the plate incubated for 15 min at 18°C. The reaction was stopped by adding 100

μl of 2 M H_2SO_4 solution and the absorbance measured in a plate reader at 490 nm.

c-di-AMP concentrations were calculated as ng c-di-AMP/mg protein. The data from

three independent experiments was collated and presented by showing relative c-di-

AMP levels in all samples compared to the wild-type grown in MHB NaCl, MHG NaCl

Ox or MHB NaCl Ox/Gua as appropriate, which was assigned a value of 100%.

Standard deviations are shown and significant differences were determined using one-

way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests.

Data availability

Whole genome sequence data is available from the European Nucleotide Archive (Study Accession number PRJEB55671), accession numbers ERS13358424 - ERS13358446. The SAUSA300_FRP3757 (TaxID:451515) reference genome sequence is available from NCBI.

Author contributions:

Aaron Nolan: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing

Merve S. Zeden: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing

Christopher Campbell: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing

Igor Kviatkovski: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing

Lucy Urwin: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing

Rebecca M. Corrigan: Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing

Angelika Gründling: Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing

James P. O'Gara: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing

Acknowledgements

A.N., M.S.Z., C.C. and J.P.O'G. were supported by grants from the Health Research Board (ILP-POR-2019-102) (www.hrb.ie), the Irish Research Council (GOIPG/2014/763) (www.research.ie) and Science Foundation Ireland (19/FFP/6441) (www.sfi.ie). L.U. and R.M.C. were supported by a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (104110/Z/14/A). I.K. and A.G. were supported by Wellcome trust grant 210671/Z/18/Z/WT. We are grateful to Prof. David Heinrichs for the gift of strains *S. aureus* R10 and R11 and Dr. Peter Owens from the Centre for Microscopy & Imaging at the University of Galway (www.imaging.nuigalway.ie) for his scientific and technical assistance. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

789 **Tables**

Table 1. Antibacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentrations, MICs) of oxacillin (OX) alone and in combination with guanosine (Gua), xanthosine (Xan) or adenosine (Ade) against *S. aureus* strains, purine metabolism mutants, and *S. epidermidis* RP62A.

Strain	OX*	Oxacillin/Nucleoside combination			
		OX/Gua (0.2 g/l)**	OX/Gua (1 g/l)**	OX/Xan (1 g/l)**	OX/Ade (1 g/l)**
JE2	64	2-4	0.5-1	0.5-1	256
USA300	64	4-8	1-2	1-2	256
MW2	64	32	32	32	
DAR13	64	8	4-8	8	
DAR173	256	16	16	8	ND
DAR169	128	8-16	8-16	16	
DAR45	4	2	1	1	
DAR22	128	16	8	4-8	
S. epidermidis RP62A	128	8	4-8	32	ND
COL	512	512	256	256	ND
BH1CC	256	256	128	128	512
8325-4	0.125	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.25
NE1868 *mecA*	0.25	0.125	0.06	0.125	1
NE529 *purF*	128	8	1	0.5	256
NE522 *purA*	128	2			
NE950 *purB*	128	4			
NE529 *purC*	128	4			
NE581 *purD*	128	4			
NE744 *purK*	128	2		ND	
NE1785 *purN*	128	4			
NE1134 *purS*	128	8			
NE353 *purH*	64	8			
NE1101 *purM*	128	8			
NE1464 *purL*	128	8			
NE494 *purQ*	128	8			
NE1237 *purR*	32	4-8	1-2	0.5-1	64
NE1419 *nupG*	128	128	128	0.5-1	256
NE283 *pbuG*	128	4	2	0.5	256
NE280 *pbuX*	256	4-8	2	256	256
NE650 *deoD2*	128	128	128	0.5-1	256
NE477 *deoD1*	64	1	0.5-1	0.5-1	256
USA300 R10 *hpt* $S_{71}C$	256	128	128	8	256
USA300 R11 *hpt* $T_{61}P$	256	128	128	16	256
NE1419 pLI50_*nupG*	64	4-8	0.5	0.5	256
NE650 pLI50_*deoD2*	64	4-8	0.5	0.5	256
ANG3165 *rsh*$_\text{syn}$	128	4-8	1-2	0.5-1	256
ANG1961 Δ*gdpP*::Km	128	128	128	128	128
ANG3664 *dacA* $G_{206}S$	1	0.25	0.125	<0.125	16

797   * MIC values for OX in Mueller Hinton 2% NaCl broth (MHB); μg/ml
798   ** MIC values for OX in combination with guanosine (0.2 or 1 g/l), xanthosine (1 g/l) or
799   adenosine (1 g/l) in MHB, μg/ml
800   ‡ND. Not determined.
801

Table 2. Antibacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentrations, MICs) of oxacillin (OX) alone and in combination with guanosine (Gua) or xanthosine (Xan), against wild-type MRSA and selected OX/Gua and OX (HoR) suppressor mutants.

Antibiotic → ↓ Strain	OX[1]	OX/Gua (1 g/l)[2]	OX/Xan (1 g/l)[2]	Mutation(s)
Wild-type				
JE2	64	0.5-1	0.5-1	-[3]
OX/Gua suppressors				
GS1	256	256	128	ClpX, G_{278}Stop
GS3	256	128	128	tRNA-Met (RS09985)
GS4	256	256	128	RpoB, T_{480}K
GS6	256	256	128	tRNA-Met (RS09985)
GS8	256	256	128	Hpt, L_{113}Stop
GS10	256	256	128	RpoB, T_{622}I; tRNA-Leu (RS10005)
GS15	256	256	128	Prs, G_{35}D
GS16	256	256	128	Prs, G_{35}D; PdhB G_{65}V
GS17	256	128	128	RpoB, T_{622}I
GS21	256	128	128	tRNA-Ala (RS02670); tRNA-Met (RS09985)
GS22	256	256	128	Prs, G_{35}D; RS06410, G_{108}Stop
GS23	256	256	128	Prs, G_{35}D; PdhB G_{65}V
GS25	256	256	256	Hpt, L_{113}Stop
GS27	256	128	128	ClpX, G_{278}Stop; tRNA-Ala (RS02670)
GS28	256	256	128	RpoB, T_{622}I; NupG, W_{29}Stop; FemA, A_{344}T
GS29	256	128	128	RpoB, T_{480}K; Intergenic
OX suppressors (HoRs)				
OS14	128	256	256	ClpX, G_{98}Stop
OS18	128	64	32	RsbW, P_{101}H
OS26	128	4	4	PBP2, F_{44}S
OS30	128	64	32	RsbW, P_{101}H

[1] MICs for OX; μg/ml

[2] MICs for OX in combination with 1g/l guanosine or xanthosine; μg/ml

[3] A HemE L_{111}F mutation was identified in JE2 and all of the GS and OS suppressors sequenced in this experiment.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Overview of purine salvage and *de novo* biosynthetic pathways including transporters and enzymes implicated in susceptibility to nucleoside/β-lactam combinations. Inosine monophosphate (IMP) synthesized from ribose 5-P by enzymes encoded by the *pur* operon can be fluxed to ATP and GTP. Nucleotides and nucleosides can be transported into the cell via permeases including NupG, PbuX and PbuG (StgP), where they can be salvaged and returned to the intracellular nucleotide pool via the activity of enzymes including the nucleoside phosphorylases DeoD2 (SAUSA300_2091) and DeoD1 (SAUSA300_0138), hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase Hpt, xanthosine phosphoribosyltransferase Xpt and adenosine phosphoribosyltransferase Apt. Mutations in *hpt, prs, guaB and guaA* have previously been implicated in the high-level, homogeneous (HoR) β-lactam resistance in MRSA (Dordel et al., 2014). GTP is a substrate for RelA, RelP and RelQ catalysed synthesis of the stringent response alarmone (p)ppGpp. The cyclic dinucleotide signalling molecule c-di-AMP is synthesized from ATP by DacA, and broken down by GdpP, whose activity is repressed by ppGpp.

Fig. 2. Exogenous guanosine only increases susceptibility of MRSA to β-lactams and not other classes of antibiotic. A. Susceptibility of wild-type JE2 to the β-lactam antibiotics cefotaxime (top left), cefoxitin (top right), cefaclor (bottom left) and penicillin G (bottom right) determined by disk diffusion assay on Mueller Hinton 2% NaCl agar (MHA) (left), or MHA supplemented with 0.2 g/l guanosine (MHA Gua) (right). This experiment was repeated three times and a representative image is shown. **B.** Susceptibility of wild-type JE2 to the β-lactam antibiotics cefotaxime, cefoxitin, cefaclor and penicillin G determined by disk diffusion assay on MHA or MHA with 0.2 g/l guanosine (Gua). **C.** Susceptibility of wild-type JE2 to tetracycline, vancomycin, chloramphenicol or gentamicin determined by disk diffusion assay on MHA, or MHA with 0.2 g/l Gua. Data (zone diameters, mm) are the average of 3 independent experiments and error bars represent standard deviation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA. **** $p<0.0001$, ns, not significant

Fig. 3. The *nupG* mutant NE1419 is more resistant to oxacillin and is not
susceptible to oxacillin-guanosine combinations. A. Growth of wild-type and
NE1419 (*nupG*) for 24 hrs at 35°C in Mueller-Hinton 2% NaCl broth (MHB) and MHB
supplemented with oxacillin (OX) 1, 16 or 32 µg/ml. **B.** Growth of wild-type and
NE1419 (*nupG*) in MHB Gua 0.2g/l and MHB Gua 0.2 g/l supplemented with OX 1, 16
or 32 µg/ml. **C.** Growth of wild-type and NE1419 (*nupG*) in MHB Xan 0.2 g/l and MHB
Xan 0.2 g/l supplemented with OX 1, 16 or 32 µg/ml. **D.** Growth of wild-type and
NE1419 (*nupG*) in MHB Ade 0.2 g/l and MHB Ade 0.2 g/l supplemented with OX 1, 16
or 32 µg/ml. Growth (OD_{600}) was measured at 15 min intervals in a Tecan plate reader.
Data are the average of 3 independent experiments and error bars represent standard
deviation.

Fig. 4. Exogenous guanosine, xanthosine and adenosine impact growth and
oxacillin susceptibility in a NupG-dependent manner. Growth of wild-type and
NE1419 (*nupG*) at 35°C in Mueller-Hinton 2% NaCl broth (MHB) or MHB
supplemented with 0.2 g/l guanosine (Gua), Xanthosine (Xan) or adenosine (Ade) was
compared by measuring cell density (OD_{600}) **(A and C)** or enumerating colony forming
units (CFU/ml) **(B and D)** after 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 24 h. Both strains were grown in
25 ml culture volumes in 250 ml flasks (1:10 ratio). Data are the average of 3
independent experiments and error bars represent standard deviation.

Fig. 5. MRSA cell size is dramatically increased by growth in exogenous
guanosine and oxacillin. A. Representative microscopic images of JE2 cells grown
in MHB NaCl or MHB NaCl supplemented with guanosine (Gua, 0.2 g/l), oxacillin
(OX,1 µg/ml) or a combination of Gua and OX labelled with vancomycin BODIPY FL
(green, top panel) or WGA Alexa Fluor 594 (red, bottom panel). **B.** Average diameter
of JE2 cells grown in MHB NaCl or MHB NaCl supplemented with Gua (0.2 g/l), OX (1
µg/ml) or a combination of Gua and OX. Images of cells from three biological replicates
were acquired using Fv3000 confocal microscope and software, 40 cells measured
per biological replicate (120 cells in total per condition), and the average and standard
deviations for the three biological replicates were plotted using GraphPad Prism V9.
Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference according to one-way ANOVA

followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post-hoc test (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ***
p<0.001, **** p<0.0001). Error bars indicate standard deviation.
876
Fig. 6. Exogenous guanosine controls c-di-AMP in a *nupG* and *deoD2*-
dependent manner. A. Relative c-di-AMP levels in wild-type, *nupG* and *deoD2* grown
for 18 h in 5 ml cultures with and without 0.2 g/l guanosine (Gua). **B.** Relative c-di-
AMP levels in wild-type grown for 18 h in 12 ml cultures with and without 0.2 μg/ml
oxacillin (Ox) and/or 0.2 g/l Gua. **C.** Relative c-di-AMP levels in wild-type, *nupG* and
deoD2 grown for 18 h in 12 ml cultures with and without 0.2 μg/ml Ox and/or 0.2 g/l
Gua. Relative c-di-AMP levels are the averages of nine biological replicates from a
combination of three separate experiments with three bio-replicates each, normalized
as % relative to wild-type. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference
according to one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post-hoc test
(* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001). Error bars indicate standard
deviation.
889
890

901   **Supplementary Tables**
902

  Table S1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

Strains/plasmids	Relevant Details
JE2	USA300 cured of p01 & p03. Parent of Nebraska Transposon Mutant Library (NTML).
USA300 FPR3757	Community associated MRSA isolate (14). SCC*mec* type IV. CC8.
MW2	MRSA SCC*mec* type IV; CC1 (74)
DAR13	MRSA reference isolate; SCC*mec* type IV; CC8 (75, 76)
DAR173	MRSA reference isolate; SCC*mec* type IV; CC5 (75, 76)
DAR169	MRSA reference strain; SCCmec type I; CC8 (75, 76)
DAR45	MRSA reference isolate; SCC*mec* type II; CC30 (75, 76)
DAR22	MRSA reference isolate; SCC*mec* type III; CC5 (75, 76)
S. epidermidis RP62A	ATCC 35984. Methicillin resistant, biofilm positive (77)
COL	MRSA reference strain; SCC*mec* type I; CC8 (78)
BH1CC	MRSA clinical isolate; SCC*mec* type II; CC8 (75)
8325-4	NCTC 8325 derivative cured of prophages (79), MSSA, CC8.
NE1868 *mecA*	JE2 *mecA*. Erm^r. (52)
NE477 *deoD1*	JE2 *deoD1* (SAUSA300_0138). Erm^r. (52)
NE529 *purF*	JE2 *purF*. Erm^r. (52)
NE522 *purA*	JE2 *purA*. Erm^r. (52)
NE950 *purB*	JE2 *purB*. Erm^r. (52)
NE529 *purC*	JE2 *purC*. Erm^r. (52)
NE581 *purD*	JE2 *purD*. Erm^r. (52)
NE744 *purK*	JE2 *purK*. Erm^r. (52)
NE1785 *purN*	JE2 *purN*. Erm^r. (52)
NE1134 *purS*	JE2 *purS*. Erm^r. (52)
NE353 *purH*	JE2 *purH*. Erm^r. (52)
NE1101 *purM*	JE2 *purM*. Erm^r. (52)
NE1464 *purL*	JE2 *purL*. Erm^r. (52)
NE494 *purQ*	JE2 *purQ*. Erm^r. (52)
NE1237 *purR*	JE2 *purR*. Erm^r. (52)
NE1419 *nupG*	JE2 *nupG* (SAUSA300_0611). Erm^r. (52)
NE283 *pbuG/stgP*	JE2 *pbuG/stgP* (SAUSA300_2207). Erm^r. (52)
NE280 *pbuX*	JE2 *pbuX* (SAUSA300_0387). Erm^r. (52)
NE650 *deoD2*	JE2 *deoD2* (SAUSA300_2091). Erm^r. (52)
NE477 *deoD1*	JE2 *deoD1* (SAUSA300_0138). Erm^r. (52)
USA300 R10 *hpt* S$_{71}$C	USA300 6-thioguanine resistant mutant. Hpt S$_{71}$C mutation (53)
USA300 R11 *hpt* T$_{61}$P	USA300 6-thioguanine resistant mutant. Hpt T$_{61}$P mutation (53)
NE1419 pLI50_*nupG*	NE1419 pLI50_*nupG* Erm^r, Cm^r
NE650 pLI50_*deoD2*	NE650 pLI50_*deoD2*. Erm^r, Cm^r
ANG3165 *rsh*$_{syn}$	USA300 LAC**rsh*$_{syn}$. (33)
ANG1961 Δ*gdpP*::Km	USA300 LAC*Δ*gdpP*::*kan*. Kan^r. (23)
ANG3664 *dacA* G$_{206}$S	USA300 *dacA* G$_{206}$S. (61)
nupG::Tn	*nupG*::Erm^r from NE1419 transduced into wild-type JE2
deoD2::Tn	*deoD2*::Erm^r from NE1419 transduced into wild-type JE2
MW2 *nupG*::Tn	*nupG*::Erm^r from NE1419 transduced into MW2
MW2 *deoD2*::Tn	*deoD2*::Erm^r from NE650 transduced into MW2
RN4220	Restriction deficient derivative of *S. aureus* 8325 (79)
E. coli HST08	TaKaRa *E. coli* HST08 Premium Electro-Cells
HST06 pLI50_*deoD2*	*E. coli* HST06 carrying pLI50_*deoD2*. Amp^r
HST06 pLI50_*nupG*	*E. coli* HST06 carrying pLI50_*nupG*. Amp^r

Plasmids

pLI50	*E. coli* (Ap[r])-*Staphylococcus* (Cm[r])shuttle vector.
pLI50_*deoD2*	pLI50 carrying *deoD2* from JE2. Cm[r]. This study.
pLI50_*nupG*	pLI50 carrying *nupG* from JE2. Cm[r]. This study.

Table S2. Oligonucleotide primers used in this study.

Target gene	Primer name	Primer sequence (5'-3')
purA	purA_Tn_check	TCATCAATCGTAGTAGTTGGGACACA
purB	purB_Tn_check	GGAGAAGTAAAGTGAATAAATTCTGGTA
purC	purC_Tn_check	CCACTAGCAATATTACGAACAACTACTTC
purD	purD_Tn_check	GTCATTAGCGAGAACTCTTCACC
purF	purF_Tn_check	CGAAGAATGTGGCGTGTTTGG
purH	purH_Tn_check	CGCTTCATCATATTCTGCAGTATGC
purK	purK_Tn_check	CTGAAGATTGTCCATGTAGATACGTTGC
purL	purL_Tn_check	CGTACTACCGATGCTTGAAGTCCT
purM	purM_Tn_check	GCAAATCCAGCTACATCATATTCGC
purQ	purQ_Tn_check	CCAGGTTCGAATTGTGATAGAGACA
purS	purS_Tn_check	GGATGAAATATCTCCAGATACATGTCG
purN	purN_Tn_check	CACGCGCTTTGAAGATTTTAGCAGAAC
deoD1	deoD1_Tn_check	GAAGCAATTGGCGCAAAATGAC
deoD2	deoD2_Tn_check	CACCACATATTAACCAAATGGAG
nupG	nupG_Tn_check	GAGCAGCCAATGGTATCGCT
pbuX	pbuX_Tn_check	GGGAGAAGAATAATGAAAAATTTAATCC
pbuG	pbuG_Tn_check	CTGCACTTGTTACAACAGCGATTAACGG
relA	relA_Tn_check	GCGAGTGATGTTATTGAGTTGCC
Infusion cloning primers		
deoD2	INF_deoD2_F	TCGTCTTCAAGAATTACATGCGTTGGATTCACACA
	INF_deoD2_R	TACCGAGCTCGAATTTGGTAATGCTGGCGATGATG
nupG	INF_nupG_F	TCGTCTTCAAGAATTGGTAACAGGTAAAGGTACGCA
	INF_nupG_R	TACCGAGCTCGAATTATAGACGACATGCCTGGACA

References

References

1.   Lowy FD. 1998. Staphylococcus aureus infections. N Engl J Med 339:520-32.
2.   Martins A, Cunha Mde L. 2007. Methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus and
coagulase-negative staphylococci: epidemiological and molecular aspects. Microbiol
Immunol 51:787-95.
3.   van Hal SJ, Jensen SO, Vaska VL, Espedido BA, Paterson DL, Gosbell IB. 2012.
Predictors of mortality in Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia. Clin Microbiol Rev
25:362-86.
4.   Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, Gorwitz RJ, Kaplan SL,
Karchmer AW, Levine DP, Murray BE, M JR, Talan DA, Chambers HF. 2011. Clinical
practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of america for the treatment of
methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children:
executive summary. Clin Infect Dis 52:285-92.
5.   Hiramatsu K, Ito T, Tsubakishita S, Sasaki T, Takeuchi F, Morimoto Y, Katayama Y,
Matsuo M, Kuwahara-Arai K, Hishinuma T, Baba T. 2013. Genomic Basis for
Methicillin Resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Infect Chemother 45:117-36.
6.   Panchal VV, Griffiths C, Mosaei H, Bilyk B, Sutton JAF, Carnell OT, Hornby DP,
Green J, Hobbs JK, Kelley WL, Zenkin N, Foster SJ. 2020. Evolving MRSA: High-
level beta-lactam resistance in Staphylococcus aureus is associated with RNA
Polymerase alterations and fine tuning of gene expression. PLoS Pathog
16:e1008672.
7.   Bruniera FR, Ferreira FM, Saviolli LR, Bacci MR, Feder D, da Luz Gonçalves
Pedreira M, Sorgini Peterlini MA, Azzalis LA, Campos Junqueira VB, Fonseca FL.
2015. The use of vancomycin with its therapeutic and adverse effects: a review. Eur
Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 19:694-700.
8.   Rybak MJ, Lomaestro BM, Rotscahfer JC, Moellering RC, Jr., Craig WA, Billeter M,
Dalovisio JR, Levine DP. 2009. Vancomycin Therapeutic Guidelines: A Summary of
Consensus Recommendations from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, the
American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, and the Society of Infectious
Diseases Pharmacists. Clinical Infectious Diseases 49:325-327.
9.   Lamp KC, Rybak MJ, Bailey EM, Kaatz GW. 1992. In vitro pharmacodynamic effects
of concentration, pH, and growth phase on serum bactericidal activities of
daptomycin and vancomycin. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 36:2709-2714.
10. Howe RA, Monk A, Wootton M, Walsh TR, Enright MC. 2004. Vancomycin
susceptibility within methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus lineages. Emerging
infectious diseases 10:855-857.
11. Mwangi MM, Wu SW, Zhou Y, Sieradzki K, de Lencastre H, Richardson P, Bruce D,
Rubin E, Myers E, Siggia ED, Tomasz A. 2007. Tracking the *in vivo* evolution of
multidrug resistance in Staphylococcus aureus by whole-genome sequencing.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
104:9451-9456.
12. Chambers HF. 2001. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Mechanisms of
resistance and implications for treatment. Postgrad Med 109:43-50.
13. Hartman BJ, Tomasz A. 1984. Low-affinity penicillin-binding protein associated with
beta-lactam resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 158:513-6.
14. Diep BA, Gill SR, Chang RF, Phan TH, Chen JH, Davidson MG, Lin F, Lin J,
Carleton HA, Mongodin EF, Sensabaugh GF, Perdreau-Remington F. 2006.
Complete genome sequence of USA300, an epidemic clone of community-acquired
meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Lancet 367:731-9.
15. Francis JS, Doherty MC, Lopatin U, Johnston CP, Sinha G, Ross T, Cai M, Hansel
NN, Perl T, Ticehurst JR, Carroll K, Thomas DL, Nuermberger E, Bartlett JG. 2005.
Severe community-onset pneumonia in healthy adults caused by methicillin-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus carrying the Panton-Valentine leukocidin genes. Clin Infect
Dis 40:100-7.

16. Katayama Y, Ito T, Hiramatsu K. 2000. A new class of genetic element,
967 staphylococcus cassette chromosome mec, encodes methicillin resistance in
968 Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44:1549-55.

17. Llarrull LI, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. 2009. Molecular basis and phenotype of
970 methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus and insights into new beta-lactams
971 that meet the challenge. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:4051-63.

18. Finan JE, Rosato AE, Dickinson TM, Ko D, Archer GL. 2002. Conversion of oxacillin-
973 resistant staphylococci from heterotypic to homotypic resistance expression.
974 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:24-30.

19. Tomasz A, Nachman S, Leaf H. 1991. Stable classes of phenotypic expression in
976 methicillin-resistant clinical isolates of staphylococci. Antimicrobial agents and
977 chemotherapy 35:124-129.

20. Kim C, Mwangi M, Chung M, Milheirico C, de Lencastre H, Tomasz A. 2013. The
979 mechanism of heterogeneous beta-lactam resistance in MRSA: key role of the
980 stringent stress response. PLoS One 8:e82814.

21. Mwangi MM, Kim C, Chung M, Tsai J, Vijayadamodar G, Benitez M, Jarvie TP, Du L,
982 Tomasz A. 2013. Whole-genome sequencing reveals a link between beta-lactam
983 resistance and synthetases of the alarmone (p)ppGpp in Staphylococcus aureus.
984 Microb Drug Resist 19:153-9.

22. Pozzi C, Waters EM, Rudkin JK, Schaeffer CR, Lohan AJ, Tong P, Loftus BJ, Pier
986 GB, Fey PD, Massey RC, O'Gara JP. 2012. Methicillin resistance alters the biofilm
987 phenotype and attenuates virulence in Staphylococcus aureus device-associated
988 infections. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002626.

23. Corrigan RM, Abbott JC, Burhenne H, Kaever V, Gründling A. 2011. c-di-AMP is a
990 new second messenger in Staphylococcus aureus with a role in controlling cell size
991 and envelope stress. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002217.

24. Griffiths JM, O'Neill AJ. 2012. Loss of function of the *gdpP* protein leads to joint beta-
993 lactam/glycopeptide tolerance in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents
994 Chemother 56:579-81.

25. Baek KT, Gründling A, Mogensen RG, Thogersen L, Petersen A, Paulander W,
996 Frees D. 2014. beta-Lactam resistance in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus
997 aureus USA300 is increased by inactivation of the ClpXP protease. Antimicrob
998 Agents Chemother 58:4593-603.

26. Jensen C, Baek KT, Gallay C, Thalso-Madsen I, Xu L, Jousselin A, Ruiz Torrubia F,
1000 Paulander W, Pereira AR, Veening JW, Pinho MG, Frees D. 2019. The ClpX
1001 chaperone controls autolytic splitting of Staphylococcus aureus daughter cells, but is
1002 bypassed by beta-lactam antibiotics or inhibitors of WTA biosynthesis. PLoS Pathog
1003 15:e1008044.

27. Giuliani AL, Sarti AC, Di Virgilio F. 2019. Extracellular nucleotides and nucleosides
1005 as signalling molecules. Immunology Letters 205:16-24.

28. Kilstrup M, Hammer K, Ruhdal Jensen P, Martinussen J. 2005. Nucleotide
1007 metabolism and its control in lactic acid bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Reviews
1008 29:555-590.

29. Pesavento C, Hengge R. 2009. Bacterial nucleotide-based second messengers.
1010 Current Opinion in Microbiology 12:170-176.

30. Gründling A, Lee VT. 2016. Old concepts, new molecules and current approaches
applied to the bacterial nucleotide signalling field. Philosophical Transactions of the
Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 371:20150503.

31. Dengler V, McCallum N, Kiefer P, Christen P, Patrignani A, Vorholt JA, Berger-Bachi
B, Senn MM. 2013. Mutation in the C-di-AMP cyclase *dacA* affects fitness and
resistance of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. PLoS One 8:e73512.
32. Fahmi T, Port GC, Cho KH. 2017. c-di-AMP: An Essential Molecule in the Signaling
Pathways that Regulate the Viability and Virulence of Gram-Positive Bacteria. Genes
(Basel) 8.
33. Corrigan RM, Bowman L, Willis AR, Kaever V, Gründling A. 2015. Cross-talk
between two nucleotide-signaling pathways in Staphylococcus aureus. J Biol Chem
290:5826-39.
34. Li L, Abdelhady W, Donegan NP, Seidl K, Cheung A, Zhou Y-F, Yeaman MR, Bayer
AS, Xiong YQ. 2018. Role of Purine Biosynthesis in Persistent Methicillin-Resistant
Staphylococcus aureus Infection. The Journal of infectious diseases 218:1367-1377.
35. Li L, Li Y, Zhu F, Cheung AL, Wang G, Bai G, Proctor RA, Yeaman MR, Bayer AS,
Xiong YQ. 2021. New Mechanistic Insights into Purine Biosynthesis with Second
Messenger c-di-AMP in Relation to Biofilm-Related Persistent Methicillin-Resistant
Staphylococcus aureus Infections. mBio 12:e0208121-e0208121.
36. Lan L, Cheng A, Dunman PM, Missiakas D, He C. 2010. Golden pigment production
and virulence gene expression are affected by metabolisms in Staphylococcus
aureus. J Bacteriol 192:3068-77.
37. Stulke J, Kruger L. 2020. Cyclic di-AMP Signaling in Bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol
74:159-179.
38. Schuster CF, Bellows LE, Tosi T, Campeotto I, Corrigan RM, Freemont P, Gründling
A. 2016. The second messenger c-di-AMP inhibits the osmolyte uptake system
OpuC in Staphylococcus aureus. Sci Signal 9:ra81.
39. Zeden MS, Schuster CF, Bowman L, Zhong Q, Williams HD, Gründling A. 2018.
Cyclic di-adenosine monophosphate (c-di-AMP) is required for osmotic regulation in
Staphylococcus aureus but dispensable for viability in anaerobic conditions. J Biol
Chem 293:3180-3200.
40. Corrigan RM, Gründling A. 2013. Cyclic di-AMP: another second messenger enters
the fray. Nat Rev Microbiol 11:513-24.
41. Aedo S, Tomasz A. 2016. Role of the Stringent Stress Response in the Antibiotic
Resistance Phenotype of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob
Agents Chemother 60:2311-7.
42. Geiger T, Goerke C, Fritz M, Schafer T, Ohlsen K, Liebeke M, Lalk M, Wolz C. 2010.
Role of the (p)ppGpp synthase RSH, a RelA/SpoT homolog, in stringent response
and virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. Infect Immun 78:1873-83.
43. Lemos JA, Lin VK, Nascimento MM, Abranches J, Burne RA. 2007. Three gene
products govern (p)ppGpp production by Streptococcus mutans. Mol Microbiol
65:1568-81.
44. Dordel J, Kim C, Chung M, Pardos de la Gándara M, Holden MT, Parkhill J, de
Lencastre H, Bentley SD, Tomasz A. 2014. Novel determinants of antibiotic
resistance: identification of mutated loci in highly methicillin-resistant subpopulations
of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. mBio 5:e01000.
45. Martín-Rodríguez AJ, Römling U. 2017. Nucleotide Second Messenger Signaling as
a Target for the Control of Bacterial Biofilm Formation. Curr Top Med Chem.
46. Liu A, Tran L, Becket E, Lee K, Chinn L, Park E, Tran K, Miller JH. 2010. Antibiotic
sensitivity profiles determined with an Escherichia coli gene knockout collection:
generating an antibiotic bar code. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 54:1393-
1403.
47. Blair JMA, Webber MA, Baylay AJ, Ogbolu DO, Piddock LJV. 2015. Molecular
mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Nature Reviews Microbiology 13:42-51.

48.   Turner NA, Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Maskarinec SA, Eichenberger EM, Shah PP,
Carugati M, Holland TL, Fowler VG. 2019. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus
aureus: an overview of basic and clinical research. Nature Reviews Microbiology
17:203-218.

49.   Worthington RJ, Melander C. 2013. Combination approaches to combat multidrug-
resistant bacteria. Trends in biotechnology 31:177-184.

50.   Waters EM, Rudkin JK, Coughlan S, Clair GC, Adkins JN, Gore S, Xia G, Black NS,
Downing T, O'Neill E, Kadioglu A, O'Gara JP. 2017. Redeploying beta-lactam
antibiotics as a novel antivirulence strategy for the treatment of methicillin-resistant
Staphylococcus aureus infections. J Infect Dis 215:80-87.

51.   Plata KB, Riosa S, Singh CR, Rosato RR, Rosato AE. 2013. Targeting of PBP1 by
beta-lactams determines *recA*/SOS response activation in heterogeneous MRSA
clinical strains. PLoS One 8:e61083.

52.   Fey PD, Endres JL, Yajjala VK, Widhelm TJ, Boissy RJ, Bose JL, Bayles KW. 2013.
A genetic resource for rapid and comprehensive phenotype screening of
nonessential *Staphylococcus aureus* genes. mBio 4:e00537-12.

53.   Chin D, Goncheva MI, Flannagan RS, Heinrichs DE. 2021. Mutations in a Membrane
Permease or *hpt* Lead to 6-Thioguanine Resistance in Staphylococcus aureus.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 65:e0076021.

54.   Salamaga B, Kong L, Pasquina-Lemonche L, Lafage L, von Und Zur Muhlen M,
Gibson JF, Grybchuk D, Tooke AK, Panchal V, Culp EJ, Tatham E, O'Kane ME,
Catley TE, Renshaw SA, Wright GD, Plevka P, Bullough PA, Han A, Hobbs JK,
Foster SJ. 2021. Demonstration of the role of cell wall homeostasis in
Staphylococcus aureus growth and the action of bactericidal antibiotics. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 118.

55.   Aiba Y, Katayama Y, Hishinuma T, Murakami-Kuroda H, Cui L, Hiramatsu K. 2013.
Mutation of RNA polymerase β-subunit gene promotes heterogeneous-to-
homogeneous conversion of β-lactam resistance in methicillin-resistant
Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:4861-71.

56.   Gao W, Cameron DR, Davies JK, Kostoulias X, Stepnell J, Tuck KL, Yeaman MR,
Peleg AY, Stinear TP, Howden BP. 2012. The RpoB H481Y Rifampicin Resistance
Mutation and an Active Stringent Response Reduce Virulence and Increase
Resistance to Innate Immune Responses in Staphylococcus aureus. The Journal of
Infectious Diseases 207:929-939.

57.   Gao W, Cameron DR, Davies JK, Kostoulias X, Stepnell J, Tuck KL, Yeaman MR,
Peleg AY, Stinear TP, Howden BP. 2013. The RpoB H(4)(8)(1)Y rifampicin
resistance mutation and an active stringent response reduce virulence and increase
resistance to innate immune responses in Staphylococcus aureus. J Infect Dis
207:929-39.

58.   Johnson S, Krüger D, Labischinski H. 1995. FemA of Staphylococcus aureus:
isolation and immunodetection. FEMS Microbiol Lett 132:221-8.

59.   Vilhelmsson O, Miller KJ. 2002. Synthesis of pyruvate dehydrogenase in
Staphylococcus aureus is stimulated by osmotic stress. Appl Environ Microbiol
68:2353-8.

60.   Li L, Cheung A, Bayer AS, Chen L, Abdelhady W, Kreiswirth BN, Yeaman MR, Xiong
YQ. 2016. The Global Regulon sarA Regulates beta-Lactam Antibiotic Resistance in
Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus In Vitro and in Endovascular Infections.
J Infect Dis 214:1421-1429.

61.   Bowman L, Zeden MS, Schuster CF, Kaever V, Gründling A. 2016. New Insights into
the Cyclic Di-adenosine Monophosphate (c-di-AMP) Degradation Pathway and the
Requirement of the Cyclic Dinucleotide for Acid Stress Resistance in Staphylococcus
aureus. J Biol Chem 291:26970-26986.

62.   Boonsiri T, Watanabe S, Tan X-E, Thitiananpakorn K, Narimatsu R, Sasaki K,
Takenouchi R, Sato'o Y, Aiba Y, Kiga K, Sasahara T, Taki Y, Li F-Y, Zhang Y, Azam
AH, Kawaguchi T, Cui L. 2020. Identification and characterization of mutations
responsible for the β-lactam resistance in oxacillin-susceptible mecA-positive
Staphylococcus aureus. Scientific Reports 10:16907.

63.   Sause WE, Balasubramanian D, Irnov I, Copin R, Sullivan MJ, Sommerfield A, Chan
R, Dhabaria A, Askenazi M, Ueberheide B, Shopsin B, Bakel Hv, Torres VJ. 2019.
The purine biosynthesis regulator PurR moonlights as a virulence regulator in
Staphylococcus aureus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
116:13563-13572.

64.   Goncheva MI, Flannagan RS, Sterling BE, Laakso HA, Friedrich NC, Kaiser JC,
Watson DW, Wilson CH, Sheldon JR, McGavin MJ, Kiser PK, Heinrichs DE. 2019.
Stress-induced inactivation of the Staphylococcus aureus purine biosynthesis
repressor leads to hypervirulence. Nat Commun 10:775.

65.   Gallagher LA, Shears R, Fingleton C, Alvarez L, M. WE, Clarke J, Bricio-Moreno L,
Campbell C, Yadav A, Razvi F, O'Neill E, Cava F, O'Neill AJ, Fey PD, Kadioglu A,
O'Gara JP. 2019. Impaired alanine transport or exposure to D-cycloserine increases
the susceptibility of MRSA to beta-lactam antibiotics. bioRxiv 616920 doi:
https://doi.org/10.1101/616920). .

66.   Gélinas M, Museau L, Milot A, Beauregard PB. 2020. Cellular adaptation and the
importance of the purine biosynthesis pathway during biofilm formation in Gram-
positive pathogens. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2020.12.11.422287:2020.12.11.422287.

67.   Chin D, Goncheva MI, Flannagan RS, Deecker SR, Guariglia-Oropeza V, Ensminger
AW, Heinrichs DE. 2021. Coagulase-negative staphylococci release a purine analog
that inhibits Staphylococcus aureus virulence. Nat Commun 12:1887.

68.   Baek KT, Jensen C, Farha MA, Nielsen TK, Paknejadi E, Mebus VH, Vestergaard M,
Brown ED, Frees D. 2021. A Staphylococcus aureus *clpX* Mutant Used as a Unique
Screening Tool to Identify Cell Wall Synthesis Inhibitors that Reverse beta-Lactam
Resistance in MRSA. Front Mol Biosci 8:691569.

69.   Giulieri SG, Guerillot R, Kwong JC, Monk IR, Hayes AS, Daniel D, Baines S, Sherry
NL, Holmes NE, Ward P, Gao W, Seemann T, Stinear TP, Howden BP. 2020.
Comprehensive Genomic Investigation of Adaptive Mutations Driving the Low-Level
Oxacillin Resistance Phenotype in Staphylococcus aureus. mBio 11.

70.   Fingleton C, Zeden MS, Bueno E, Cava F, O'Gara JP. 2021. Mutation of lipoprotein
processing pathway gene *lspA* or inhibition of LspA activity by
globomycin increases MRSA resistance to β-lactam antibiotics. bioRxiv
doi:10.1101/2021.02.03.429649:2021.02.03.429649.

71.   CLSI. 2018. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests;
approved standard—12th ed. M02-A13., Wayne, PA.

72.   Wayne P. CLSI 2018. CLSI 2018. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk
susceptibility tests. Approved standard, 12th ed. CLSI standard M02-A13. Clinical
and Laboratory Standards Institute,.

73.   Underwood AJ, Zhang Y, Metzger DW, Bai G. 2014. Detection of cyclic di-AMP using
a competitive ELISA with a unique pneumococcal cyclic di-AMP binding protein. J
Microbiol Methods 107:58-62.

74.   Saiman L, O'Keefe M, Graham PL, 3rd, Wu F, Said-Salim B, Kreiswirth B, LaSala A,
Schlievert PM, Della-Latta P. 2003. Hospital transmission of community-acquired
methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus among postpartum women. Clin Infect
Dis 37:1313-9.

75.   O'Neill E, Pozzi C, Houston P, Smyth D, Humphreys H, Robinson DA, O'Gara JP.
2007. Association between methicillin susceptibility and biofilm regulation in

Staphylococcus aureus isolates from device-related infections. J Clin Microbiol
45:1379-88.
76. Robinson DA, Enright MC. 2003. Evolutionary models of the emergence of
methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:3926-
34.
77. Conlon KM, Humphreys H, O'Gara JP. 2002. *icaR* encodes a transcriptional
repressor involved in environmental regulation of ica operon expression and biofilm
formation in Staphylococcus epidermidis. J Bacteriol 184:4400-8.
78. Gill SR, Fouts DE, Archer GL, Mongodin EF, Deboy RT, Ravel J, Paulsen IT,
Kolonay JF, Brinkac L, Beanan M, Dodson RJ, Daugherty SC, Madupu R, Angiuoli
SV, Durkin AS, Haft DH, Vamathevan J, Khouri H, Utterback T, Lee C, Dimitrov G,
Jiang L, Qin H, Weidman J, Tran K, Kang K, Hance IR, Nelson KE, Fraser CM. 2005.
Insights on evolution of virulence and resistance from the complete genome analysis
of an early methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strain and a biofilm-producing
methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis strain. J Bacteriol 187:2426-38.
79. Horsburgh MJ, Aish JL, White IJ, Shaw L, Lithgow JK, Foster SJ. 2002. sigmaB
modulates virulence determinant expression and stress resistance: characterization
of a functional *rsbU* strain derived from Staphylococcus aureus 8325-4. J Bacteriol
184:5457-67.
1187

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.

Fig. 3.

Fig. 4.

A

B

Fig. 5.

Fig. 6.