Reconstitution of a minimal ESX-5 type VII secretion systems uncovers the essential role of the PPE

proteins in secretion

C. M. Bunduc[1,2,3,4], C. Kuijl[5], R. Ummels[5], T. C. Marlovits[2,3,4], W. Bitter[1,5], E.N.G. Houben[1#]

[1]Section Molecular Microbiology, Amsterdam Institute of Molecular and Life Sciences, Vrije

Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[2]Centre for Structural Systems Biology, Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

[3]Institute of Structural and Systems Biology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf,

Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

[4]German Electron Synchrotron Centre Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

[5]Department of Medical Microbiology and Infection Control, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, The

Netherlands

[#]Corresponding author. Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Section Molecular Microbiology, de Boelelaan

1108, 1081 HZ Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Tel.: +31 20 5983579; E-mail: e.n.g.houben@vu.nl

Keywords: type VII secretion, mycobacterium, minimal system, secretion complex, ESX-5

**Abstract**

Mycobacteria utilize type VII secretion systems (T7SSs) to secrete proteins across their highly

hydrophobic and diderm cell envelope. Pathogenic mycobacteria have up to five different T7SSs, called

ESX-1 to ESX-5, which are crucial for growth and virulence. Here, we use a functionally reconstituted

ESX-5 system in the avirulent species *Mycobacterium smegmatis* that lacks ESX-5, to define the role of

each gene of the *esx-5* locus in ESX-5 functionality. By creating an array of gene deletions and assessing

ESX-5 component protein levels and membrane complex assembly, we observed that only the five

components of the inner membrane complex are required for the assembly of this complex. However,

in addition to these five core members, active secretion also depends on both the Esx proteins and the

PE/PPE substrates. Tagging the PPE substrates followed by subcellular localization, membrane

extraction and surface labeling showed that these proteins localize to the cell envelope, indicating that

they could play a role in secretion across the mycobacterial outer membrane. These results provide a

first blueprint on the role of each component within mycobacterial T7SSs.

## Introduction

Gram-negative bacteria have evolved an exceptional variety of specialized secretion systems to mediate protein transport across their diderm cell envelope (Costa *et al*, 2015). Bacterial pathogens usually strictly depend on these systems to complete their infection cycle. Mycobacteria have an unusual diderm cell envelope with a distinctive outer membrane mainly composed of mycolic acids, also known as the mycomembrane (Dulberger *et al*, 2020). These bacteria use a specific group of homologous specialized secretion systems, also called the type VII secretion systems, for a diverse array of functions, such as intracellular survival, immunomodulation, as well as uptake of nutrients and metabolites (Ates *et al*, 2015; Bunduc *et al*, 2020a; Pym *et al*, 2002; Siegrist *et al*, 2009; Simeone *et al*, 2012). Pathogenic mycobacteria, such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, encode for up to five different T7SSs, named ESX-1 to ESX-5 (Bunduc *et al.*, 2020a). The importance of T7SS for pathogenicity is further evidenced by the lack of ESX-1 dictating the attenuation of the live vaccine strain, *Mycobacterium bovis BCG* (Houben *et al*, 2012a; Simeone *et al.*, 2012; van der Wel *et al*, 2007).

Conserved *esx* loci contain five T7SS membrane components, *i.e.* EccB, EccC, EccD and EccE (Ecc standing for **E**SX **c**onserved **c**omponent) and MycP. EccB is a single transmembrane domain (TMD) protein with a large periplasmic domain. EccC is a P-loop NTPase with four FtsK/SpoIIIE-like nucleotide binding domains (NBDs) and the motor protein of the complex, which has been shown to bind substrates (Rosenberg *et al*, 2015). EccD is the most hydrophobic component with eleven TMDs and EccE is peripherally associated with the complex and anchored in the membrane via two TMDs. The four Ecc components have been shown to assemble into a large ~2 MDa machinery (Houben *et al*, 2012b) and several structures of (sub)complexes have been solved by electron microscopy (EM) (Beckham *et al*, 2017; Beckham *et al*, 2021; Famelis *et al*, 2019; Poweleit *et al*, 2019). The fifth membrane component MycP, a subtilisin-like protease with a single C-terminal TMD, interacts with and stabilizes the EccBCDE membrane complex (van Winden *et al*, 2020; van Winden *et al*, 2016). The recently solved cryo-EM structure of a full ESX-5 membrane complex of *M. tuberculosis* revealed the position of MycP within this complex, confirming the stabilizing role of MycP of the membrane assembly (Bunduc *et al*, 2021).

Most substrates that are secreted by mycobacterial T7SSs belong to three substrate families, *i.e.* the Esx, PE and PPE protein families. In pathogenic mycobacteria, especially the number of *pe* and *ppe* genes has expanded extensively, hereby covering approximately 10% of the coding capacity (Cole *et al*, 1998). A specific feature of T7SS substrates is that they are secreted as folded heterodimers (Ates, 2020). Two different Esx proteins assemble into a secreted heterodimer, whereas PE proteins interact with specific PPE proteins to form a structurally similar heterodimer. Dimerization is mediated by

hydrophobic interactions resulting in a conserved four helix bundle structure. One of the secretion

partner proteins additionally contains a C-terminal YxxxD/E secretion signal that is important for

secretion.

Mycobacterial T7SSs also contain two cytosolic components, EccA and EspG. EspG is a PPE-

dedicated chaperone that binds to a hydrophobic patch on the so-called helical tip domain of PPE

proteins. Binding of EspG to PPE is required to keep PE/PPE pairs soluble in the cytosol (Ekiert & Cox,

2014; Korotkova *et al*, 2014). EspG is additionally involved in determining system-specific substrate

recognition, as swapping the EspG-binding domain between two PPE substrates resulted in rerouting

of the ESX-1 substrate PPE68_1 to the ESX-5 system (Phan *et al*, 2017). EccA is a AAA+ ATPase that has

been hypothesized to disrupt the EspG/PPE interaction, leading to recycling of EspG in the cytosol,

although the importance of this ATPase for the secretion process remains controversial and could be

dependent on growth conditions (Ekiert & Cox, 2014; Phan *et al*, 2018).

Studying the functioning of T7SSs is impaired by the slow growth rate and virulence of

pathogenic mycobacteria. Similarly, certain systems, such as ESX-3 and ESX-5, are essential for growth,

complicating genetic analyses (Ates *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, studying the potential role of specific

substrates in the secretion process is hampered by the redundancy between highly similar substrates

(Ates, 2020; Gey van Pittius *et al*, 2006). *M. smegmatis*, an avirulent and fast-growing mycobacterium,

is commonly used as a model for studying mycobacterial physiology. *M. smegmatis* possesses ESX-1,

ESX-3 and ESX-4, but lacks the ESX-2 and ESX-5 systems that are exclusively found in slow-growing

mycobacteria.

Here, we exploited an ESX-5 system of *Mycobacterium xenopi* that we have previously

functionally reconstituted in *M. smegmatis* (Beckham et al., 2017) to study the role of individual *esx-5*

genes in system functionality. We took advantage of the limited number of substrate genes present in

the introduced *esx-5* locus and defined the minimal number of genes necessary for successful assembly

of the ESX-5 membrane complex and ESX-5 mediated secretion.

Results

**The inter-dependence of ESX-5 components for stable expression in *M. smegmatis***

To be able to efficiently dissect the individual roles of T7SS components, we used the ESX-5 system of

the slow-growing, moderately thermophilic and pathogenic mycobacterial species *M. xenopi* (Fig. 1),

which was previously functionally reconstituted in *M. smegmatis* (Beckham *et al.*, 2017; van Winden *et*

*al.*, 2020). As *M. smegmatis* lacks a native ESX-5 system, the only ESX-5 substrates are those encoded

by the introduced *esx-5* locus, *i.e.* the Esx pair EsxM/EsxN and two PE/PPE pairs that are specific for *M.*

*xenopi* (Fig. 1). This is in contrast to ESX-5 systems in their natural hosts, which secrete multiple Esx

pairs and a large number of PE and PPE substrates (Ates, 2020; Gey van Pittius *et al.*, 2006). The limited

number of substrates secreted by the reconstituted system provides an excellent opportunity to

analyze their individual roles in T7SS functioning, bypassing any potential redundancy issues.

We exploited this non-essential reconstituted system and made single deletions of every *esx-5*

gene that encodes for system components, *i.e.* the *eccABCDE*, *mycP* and *espG* genes. In addition, we

created *esx-5* plasmids that were devoid of all *pe* and *ppe* genes (*Δpe/ppe*), lacking the two *esx* genes

(*ΔesxM/N*) or devoid of all genes that encode for substrates (*Δsubstrates*) (Fig. 1). The *Δsubstrates* and

*Δpe/ppe* plasmids included the deletion of a non-conserved gene situated between the *pe/ppe* pairs

(Fig. 1), *i.e. mxen9359,* which codes for a putative SAM-methyltransferase. Finally, we also created a

construct that only contained the five conserved membrane components (MC) (Fig 1). These constructs

were used to assess the effect of each gene deletion on the protein levels of other components, on the

formation of the ESX-5 membrane complex and on ESX-5 mediated secretion.

First, we analyzed the impact of the various gene deletions on the expression of the other

system components by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting, using specific antisera for the different ESX-5

components. We used isolated cell envelope fractions of *M. smegmatis* expressing the different *esx-5*

plasmids to detect for membrane components (Fig. 2A) and whole cell lysates of the same strains to

assess for protein levels of the cytosolic components (Fig. 2B). Deletions of the genes coding for the

cytosolic components $EspG_5$ and $EccA_5$ did not affect the protein levels of any of the five inner

membrane components, *i.e.* $EccB_5$, $EccC_5$ (strep tagged), $EccD_5$, $EccE_5$ and $MycP_5$ (Fig. 2A). Furthermore,

in the absence of $EccA_5$, the protein levels of $EspG_5$ were not affected and *vice versa* (Fig. 2B).

Deleting the membrane components $EccB_5$ and $MycP_5$ did not affect protein levels of the four

other membrane components and the two cytosolic components. The absence of $EccE_5$ seems to affect

protein levels of $EccD_5$ and also of $EccA_5$, although the latter could be attributed to polar effects of the

gene deletion, as *eccA$_5$* lies downstream of *eccE$_5$* (Fig. 2A). Knocking out *eccD$_5$* showed the most severe

effect on the protein levels of the other components. While $EccC_5$ was undetectable by immunoblotting

in the absence of EccD$_5$, the protein levels of the membrane components EccB$_5$ and MycP$_5$ were

reduced. Strikingly, also levels of the two cytosolic components were affected by the *eccD$_5$* deletion, as

EspG$_5$ could not be detected anymore and levels of EccA$_5$ were severely reduced. In conclusion, deletion

of the cytosolic components does not impact the protein levels of any of the other components. At the

same time, deleting *eccD$_5$* severely impacted the levels of all components.

**Only the five membrane components are required for full assembly of the ESX-5 membrane complex**

Next, we investigated which components play a role in the successful assembly of the ESX-5 membrane

complex. For this, we analyzed DDM-solubilized cell envelope fractions by blue native PAGE (BN-PAGE),

followed by immunoblotting using our anti-EccB$_5$ antibody or an antibody that recognized the Strep-

tag on EccC$_5$ (Fig 2C). Similar to previous observations (Beckham *et al.*, 2017), BN-PAGE analysis of

samples from mycobacteria expressing the complete *esx-5* locus resulted in three main complexes that

reacted with the anti-EccB$_5$ antibody, the largest complex representing the full complex of ~2 MDa and

two subcomplexes of ~900 kDa and ~700 kDa. Staining with the anti-Strep antibody showed a similar

immunoblot pattern. Taking into consideration the influence of the detergent, lipids and the Coomassie

dye on the size estimation of membrane proteins by BN-PAGE (Wittig *et al*, 2010), these three

complexes could represent the full hexameric complex, the dimeric subcomplex and an individual

protomer, respectively. In the absence of the cytosolic components EccA$_5$ and EspG$_5$, membrane

complex assembly was not affected, as the BN-PAGE immunoblot pattern in their absence was similar

as for the WT construct (Fig. 2C). Similarly, none of the substrate deletion plasmids showed any marked

differences in ESX-5 complexes, suggesting that substrates do not play a role in the assembly and/or

stability of the ESX-5 membrane complex. In line with this observation, a plasmid containing only the

five *esx-5* genes that code for inner membrane components resulted in membrane complex formation

similar to the intact *esx-5* locus (Fig. 2C).

Although the *ΔmycP$_5$* plasmid showed no major impact on the formation of the inner

membrane complex, an additional ~500 kDa EccB$_5$-containing complex was present, which was not

observed in the same sample when stained with an antibody against the Strep-tag on EccC$_5$ or in

samples with all five membrane components. These results were similar to previous observations in *M.*

*marinum*, where MycP$_1$ and MycP$_5$ of the ESX-1 and ESX-5 system, respectively, have been reported to

be involved in stabilizing its corresponding T7SS membrane complex (van Winden et al., 2016). Deletion

of any of the other four membrane components, *i.e.* EccB$_5$, EccC$_5$, EccD$_5$ and EccE$_5$, showed varying

effects on complex formation. In line with the observation that the *eccD$_5$* deletion severely affected

expression of the three other core components, no complex formation could be observed in this

condition using the anti-EccB$_5$ or anti-Strep antibody. This suggests that EccD$_5$ is crucial for complex

formation and/or stability, which is in line with its central location within the protomers of the

membrane complex. While deletion of either $eccB_5$, $eccC_5$ or $eccE_5$ did not drastically affect the

expression of the other membrane components (Fig. 2A), the assembly of the membrane complex, as

seen by BN-PAGE analysis, was affected (Fig. 2C). In the absence of EccB$_5$, only two faint subcomplexes

were observed. Similarly, the $\Delta eccC_5$ construct showed again the ~500 kDa complex upon staining with

the EccB$_5$ antibody (Fig. 2C). Deleting $eccE_5$ showed a reduced amount of the full complex, using both

antibodies, which is in line with our previous observation that a lower number of full complexes could

be isolated in this condition (Beckham $et\ al.$, 2017). The additional ~500 kDa EccB$_5$-containing complex

was also present in the condition where EccE$_5$ was absent.

Taken together, this shows that optimal assembly of the ESX-5 membrane complex requires

only the five membrane components.

### ESX-5 locus encoded PE/PPE proteins are required for secretion of Esx proteins

We previously observed that introduction of a plasmid that contains the complete $esx$-5 locus of $M.$

$xenopi$ in $M.\ smegmatis$ resulted in the secretion of the plasmid-encoded ESX-5 substrate EsxN and also

of the $M.\ marinum$ PPE18, which was introduced on an additional plasmid containing the $pe31/ppe18$

locus (Beckham $et\ al.$, 2017). This shows that the reconstituted system is functional. We therefore

tested next the impact of the various gene deletions on the ability of this system to secrete EsxN (Fig.

3AB). To check PPE protein secretion, we again introduced the plasmid containing the $pe31/ppe18$ locus

of $M.\ marinum$ (PPE18 containing a C-terminal HA-tag for detection).

While both EsxN and PPE18-HA were efficiently secretion with the WT construct, they were

also secreted in the absence of $eccA_5$, albeit at a reduced level. Deletion of the other cytosolic

component, $espG_5$, blocked the secretion of both PPE18-Ha and EsxN (Fig. 3A). Additionally, PPE18-HA

was not present in the bacterial pellet, suggesting that EspG$_5$ is essential for the stability of this protein,

as observed before (Ekiert & Cox, 2014; Korotkova $et\ al.$, 2014; Phan $et\ al.$, 2017) (Fig. 3A). As all five

membrane component deletions showed a disruption in membrane complex assembly, it is not a

surprise that they also abolished the secretion of both EsxN and PPE18-HA. In addition, deleting $eccD_5$

negatively affected the protein levels of both substrates (Fig 3A). In the absence of EsxM/EsxN,

secretion of PPE18-HA was abrogated, showing that the EsxM/N heterodimer is required for secretion

of the PE/PPE pair (Fig. 3A). Strikingly, in the absence of PE/PPE proteins encoded by the ESX-5 system,

which did not affect expression of any ESX-5 components nor assembly of the ESX-5 membrane

complex, both EsxN and PPE18 secretion was abolished (Fig. 3A). From this, we conclude that the

PE/PPE pairs of the $esx$-5 locus are important for the secretion of the Esx substrates and at least one

other PPE protein.

To analyze the role of the individual PE/PPE pairs and of the putative methyltransferase that is

also encoded by the *esx-5* locus of *M. xenopi*, which was also deleted in the *Δpe/ppe* construct, we

created four complementation vectors containing either the entire deleted region (whole; Fig. 1), one

of the two *pe/ppe* gene pairs with the methyltransferase gene (*Δpe/ppe1* and *Δpe/ppe2*) or only the

two *pe/ppe* pairs without the methyltransferase gene (*Δmethyltransf.*). EsxN secretion could be rescued

by complementation with a plasmid containing the entire deleted region (Fig. 3B). The observed

secretion levels were reduced when compared to the levels in the WT constructs, as both introduced

plasmids contained the same origin of replication, thereby probably reducing plasmid copy numbers

and the overall protein levels of all *esx-5* encoded proteins, including EsxN. The same (reduced)

secretion levels were observed when we complemented with a plasmid that contains the entire deleted

region without the gene coding for the predicted methyltransferase, suggesting that this gene is not

required for secretion. However, deletion of either of the two *pe/ppe* pairs in the complementation

construct abrogated secretion of EsxN completely (Fig. 3B). This shows that both PE/PPE pairs are

required for EsxN secretion. Notably, complementing the *Δpe/ppe* plasmid with the PE31/PPE18-HA-

encoding plasmid did not restore secretion of EsxN (Fig. 3A). This shows that although PE31/PPE18-HA

is secreted in an ESX-5 dependent manner, it cannot take over the role of the *esx-5* locus-encoded

PE/PPE proteins in EsxN secretion. In conclusion, both the *esx-5* locus encoded PE/PPE proteins are

required for the secretion of EsxN and PPE18-HA by ESX-5$_{xen}$.

### 213     PPE but not Esx substrates localize to the cell envelope fraction

To track the substrates encoded by the *M. xenopi esx-5* locus, we tagged the first and the second PPE

with a Flag- and a Strep-tag, respectively, either at the N- or C-termini. To be able to detect EsxN more

efficiently, we added a C-terminal HA-tag to this substrate, as this has previously been shown not to

disrupt the secretion of its ESX-1 equivalent EsxA (Damen *et al*, 2020). Subcellular fractionation and

secretion analysis showed that a Flag-tag at the C-terminus of the first PPE protein partially affected

secretion of EsxN, whereas placing the tag at the N-terminus had no noticeable effect on EsxN secretion

(Fig. EV 1A). Fractionation of *M. smegmatis* cells showed that the first PPE with the N-terminal Flag-tag

localized to the cell envelope fraction and also to the cytosolic fraction (Fig. EV 1A). Similarly, this

substrate was secreted and found both in the culture filtrate and in the Genapol X-080 extractable

surface fraction. This correlated well with the surface-localization of the Flag-tagged PPE proteins, as

seen by flow cytometry analysis. Here, the N-terminal variant showed a much higher signal on the

surface than the C-terminal positioned tag (Fig. EV 1B).

The second PPE could not be detected, when tagged with a Strep-tag at the N-terminus, while

placing the same tag to the C-terminus showed sufficient protein levels (Fig. EV 1A). With both

constructs, EsxN was efficiently secreted to the culture supernatant (Fig. EV 1A). While untagged EsxN could be detected by the anti-EsxN antibody, we could detect EsxN with a C-terminal HA-tag only using the anti-HA antibody (Fig. EV 1A). Similar to the first PPE, secretion of the second PPE was observed into the culture supernatant as well as on the bacterial surface. Following fractionation of cells expressing the second PPE with a C-terminal Strep-tag, only a small portion of the tagged substrate was in the cytosolic fraction, while the major portion of the protein localized to the cell envelope fraction (Fig. EV 1A).

With this information in hand, we made a final construct in which we removed the previously introduced Strep-tag at the C-terminus of $EccC_5$ and modified the substrate genes, so that they encoded for a Flag-tag at the N-terminus of the first PPE, a Strep-tag at the C-terminus of the second PPE and an HA-tag at the C-terminus of EsxN. We analyzed protein secretion by *M. smegmatis* carrying this construct and grown in the presence or absence of Tween-80, a mild detergent used as anti-clumping agent for growing mycobacteria in liquid culture (Fig. EV2). While EsxN was present in the culture filtrate in both conditions, both PPE proteins were found in the culture filtrate and not in the Genapol X-080 extractable surface fraction, when cells were grown in the presence of Tween-80, while in the absence of Tween-80, these proteins were exclusively found in the surface fraction. This suggests that the presence of the detergent triggers the release of these proteins from the cell surface into the culture medium. To test if after Genapol-extraction a portion of the two PPE proteins are still cell envelope associated, we labelled cells, carrying the construct expressing all tagged substrates, after treatment with Genapol X-080, with anti-Flag, -Step and -HA antibodies. EsxN could not be detected via its C-terminal HA-tag by subsequent flow cytometry, which is in line with the observation that EsxN is mainly secreted into the culture medium. Interestingly, using anti-Flag and anti-Strep antibodies similar levels of surface labeling could be observed as compared to cells that were not extracted with Genapol X-080 (Fig. 4BC). This suggests that the major portion of the two PPE proteins that are surface accessible remain cell envelope associated upon detergent treatment.

To characterize their cell envelope association further, we isolated cell envelope fractions from cells with the final construct, grown in the absence of Tween-80 and removed their surface extractable proteins using Genapol X-080. We then subjected the isolated cell envelope fractions to solubilization with a range of detergents, some of which have been used previously for characterization of mycobacterial membrane proteins (Hoffmann *et al*, 2008; Målen *et al*, 2010). While our inner membrane protein controls, $EccC_5$ and FstH could be extracted by the nonionic detergents DDM, beta-octylglucoside and Triton X-100, and the anionic detergent SDS, but not by the milder nonionic detergent Genapol X-080 or zwitter ionic detergent CHAPSO, the first PPE substrate could be

solubilized, partially or fully, by all these detergents. In contrast, the second PPE protein could not be
solubilized by any of these detergents, with the exception of SDS (Fig. 4A).

Together, we conclude that a major portion of both *esx-5*-locus encoded PPE proteins localize
to the mycobacterial cell envelope, where they are surface exposed. In addition, the observation that
a major subpopulation of the second PPE protein cannot be extracted from the cell envelope with
detergents that are able to solubilize inner membrane proteins indicates that this protein is an integral
membrane protein. Therefore, this protein could potentially form a channel that mediates protein
transport across the mycomembrane.

## Discussion

In this study, we used a functional ESX-5 system reconstituted in *M. smegmatis* to define a minimal functional unit for ESX-5, by analyzing the role of each component and substrate pair in protein levels, membrane complex formation and secretion. The obtained results in this study are summarized in Table 1.

Deletion of membrane components affected assembly of the complex to various extents, as judged by BN-PAGE analysis. Deleting *mycP$_5$* or *eccE$_5$* showed a relatively mild effect on the overall stability of the complex. Deletion of *eccB$_5$* affected membrane complex formation, especially for the higher molecular weight species, which is in line with previous findings that EccB$_5$ is involved in complex multimerization (Bunduc *et al.,* 2021; Famelis *et al.,* 2019). In the absence of EccC$_5$, membrane complex formation was almost completely abolished, with this construct exhibiting only a ~500 kDa subcomplex containing EccB$_5$, observed also in the absence of MycP$_5$ or EccE$_5$ but not seen with the WT construct. The most striking phenotype was observed in the absence of EccD$_5$, which had a large negative effect not only on the formation of the membrane complex, but also on the expression of all other T7SS components, including cytosolic components and substrates. The fact that the *esx-5* gene cluster is introduced in *M. smegmatis* that lacks ESX-5 itself makes it unlikely that these reduced protein levels are caused on a transcriptional level by a negative feedback loop, as has been described for the ESX-1 system (Abdallah *et al*, 2019; Bosserman *et al*, 2017; Chen *et al*, 2016). This indicates that the lack of EccD$_5$ triggers a domino-effect on the stability of the other proteins. In its absence, the membrane complex cannot be assembled, resulting in the degradation of all other membrane components. Subsequently, in the absence of any membrane (sub)complexes, cytosolic components also become unstable, hereby destabilizing the substrates.

Individual substrate mutants or deletions in either of the two cytosolic chaperones showed no defect in membrane complex formation, as analyzed by BN-PAGE. Complex assembly required only the five conserved membrane components, as a construct encoding only these components showed successful complex assembly. Although complex formation did not appear to be affected by BN-PAGE analysis, conformational changes could occur at the membrane complex level upon chaperone or substrate-binding.

By systematic deletions we have defined the minimal functional T7SS ESX-5 unit, which requires the five membrane components, EspG$_5$, both PE/PPE pairs that are encoded by the *esx-5* locus and an Esx heterodimer. Naturally, functionality was tightly connected with the successful assembly of the membrane complex, as none of the deletions in membrane components showed secretion of EsxN or PPE18-HA. EspG$_5$ proved to be critical for successful secretion of both PE/PPE and EsxM/N proteins,

similar to previous findings (Korotkova *et al.*, 2014). The system without EccA$_5$ was still functional,

although to a lower extent. EccA proteins have been shown to be required for secretion in some but

not all studies (Bottai *et al*, 2012; Joshi *et al*, 2012; Phan *et al.*, 2018).

Deletion of the SAM-methyltransferase gene located between the two *pe/ppe* gene pairs of the

*esx-5* cluster was not essential for a functional system. Methyltransferases are not often associated

with *esx-5* or other T7SSs loci, suggesting that the presence of this gene could be a coincidence. In

contrast, we showed that secretion of the Esx heterodimers by ESX-5 is dependent on the locus

encoded PE/PPE proteins. Additionally, the reverse was also true, *i.e.* PE/PPE proteins require Esx

heterodimers to be secreted. This is in line with a recent observation for ESX-1 in *Mycobacterium*

*marinum*, where secretion of EsxA is dependent on the co-secretion of PPE68 that is encoded by the

same gene cluster (Damen *et al*, 2022). Notably, PPE68 was observed to be degraded on the cell surface

upon export. Since PE31/PPE18 was not able to complement secretion of the Esx pair, our results are

in line with a model in which Esx and PE/PPE proteins are specifically paired for their co-secretion. The

observation that both *esx-5* encoded PE/PPE proteins and EsxM/EsxN are required for PPE18-HA

secretion shows that both substrate classes are necessary for ESX-5 functionality.

The interdependency of PE/PPE and Esx substrates could be explained by a previously proposed

dual recognition event by the EccC ATPase (Bunduc *et al*, 2020b). EccC interacts with Esx proteins via

its third NBD and potentially drives the multimerization of its soluble domains (Rosenberg *et al.*, 2015).

This step is needed for completion of the active site of the first crucial NBD by neighboring arginine

finger motifs, called R-fingers (Bunduc *et al.*, 2020b). A second recognition step, which activates the

NBD1, takes place at the NBD1/linker 2 interface. The linker 2 domain that connects NBD1 and NBD2,

might be a recognition site for PE/PPE proteins, as this region has been shown to be involved in the

species-specific secretion of the ESX-5 dependent PE_PGRS proteins in *M. marinum* (Bunduc *et al.*,

2020b).

Interdependency could also take place beyond the T7SS membrane complex. The dimensions

of the core membrane complex dictates that this complex spans only the inner membrane. How protein

transfer takes place beyond the inner membrane is unknown as there is no clear data on the identity

of the mycomembrane channel or components. As our reconstituted ESX-5 system is functional in *M.*

*smegmatis*, the proteins that drive secretion over this second membrane are likely encoded by the

introduced *esx-5* cluster. Within the *esx-5*$_{xen}$ locus, the proteins that have thus far been regarded as

substrates are the only proteins that could mediate translocation through the mycomembrane, as all

other components are localized either in the inner membrane or in the cytosol. In addition, in recent

years it has become clear that various PE/PPE proteins are involved in the uptake of nutrients and

localize to the cell envelope, with PPE51 as the main example (Ates *et al.*, 2015; Korycka-Machala *et al*,

2020; Wang *et al*, 2020). Indeed, in our reconstituted system both PPE substrates also localized to cell

envelope to various degrees. The first PPE protein was well secreted and localized to the cell envelope

and cytosol in relatively equal amounts, whereas the second PPE substrate localized mainly to the cell

envelope compartment, with only a minimal portion remaining in the cytosol. The second PPE protein

also showed to be largely non-extractable by the detergents that solubilize inner membrane proteins.

Notably, this is also an observed feature of known or predicted integral mycomembrane proteins (Heinz

& Niederweis, 2000; Speer *et al*, 2015), suggesting this PPE protein might be integrated in the

mycomembrane. We therefore propose two working models. In the first model, the roles of the two

*esx-5* locus encoded PPE proteins in secretion are different, considering the differences in localization

and membrane association. Here, the first PPE protein could play a role in activation of the inner

membrane complex and co-secretion together with the EsxM/N heterodimer, similar as for the ESX-1

dependent Esx and PE/PPE substrates (Damen *et al.*, 2022) , while the second PPE protein could form

the channel for protein translocation over the mycomembrane. In the second model, the two PPE

proteins form the mycomembrane channel together, with the second PPE protein creating the integral

part and the first PPE being the peripheral portion of the complex. As these PPE proteins are specific

for *M. xenopi*, further studies are required to determine whether the *esx-5*-associated PPE proteins of

other mycobacterial species, such as *M. tuberculosis*, have similar properties.

## Materials and methods

### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

*E. coli* Dh5α was grown in LB media supplemented with appropriate antibiotics at 37°C and 200 RPM. *M. smegmatis* MC$^2$155 was grown in LB media supplemented with 0.5% Tween 80 (Merck) and appropriate antibiotics at 37°C and 90 RPM. Antibiotics were used with the following concentrations: hygromycin 50mg/l, streptomycin 30mg/ml.

### Molecular cloning

Cloning was performed using *E. coli* Dh5α and restriction enzymes from New English Biolabs. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products were amplified with IProof DNA polymerase from BioRad and fused in multi-product reactions with InFusion HD from TakaraBio. For construction of plasmid derivatives, genes were deleted, either completely if they were in a single operon or ~100-150 bp were left at the C-terminus, if these were in an operon with other downstream genes. Generally, genes were deleted using the WT ESX-5$_{xen}$ plasmid as template using the closest unique restriction sites up- and downstream of the gene of interest and amplification of two or more PCR fragments with compatible 18bp ends, where the gene of interest was omitted. Because for different deletions the same restriction sites were used, some primers were used for construction of multiple derivatives. For a complete overview of restriction enzymes, primers, plasmids and cloning strategies see Table S1, Table S2 and Table S3.

### Protein secretion and immunoblot analysis

*M. smegmatis* MC$^2$155 with plasmids coding for ESX-5$_{xen}$ WT or its derivatives were grown in LB liquid medium with 0.05% Tween 80 and appropriate antibiotics at 37°C and 90 RPM until mid-log phase. Cells were sub-cultured at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.05 in LB liquid media under the same conditions for 12-16 hours until an OD$_{600}$ of 0.8-1 was reached. Cultures were spun down, supernatants were passed through 0.2 μm filters and precipitated with trichloroacetic acid (TCA), resulting in culture supernatant fractions. Cell pellets were broken via bead-beating with glass beads, resulting in whole cell lysates or bacterial pellet fractions. SDS loading buffer was added to all samples, boiled and loaded on SDS-PAGE gels (10% or 16% depending on the protein size), transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and stained with appropriate antibodies. Antibodies against EccA$_5$, EccB$_5$, EccD$_5$, EccE$_5$, EspG$_5$, FtsH, MycP$_5$, EsxN (Mtb9.9) and GroEL2 have been described previously (Houben *et al.*, 2012b); HA- and Strep-antibodies were purchased from Thermo Scientific (2-2.2.14) and Novusbio (NBP2-41073).

### Cell envelope isolation

*M smegmatis* MC$^2$155 with the various ESX-5$_{xen}$ plasmids were grown as above to an OD$_{600}$ of ~1.5. Cells were washed in PBS, resuspended in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl and 10% glycerol) and broken by passing two times through a high-pressure homogenizer (Stansted). Unbroken cells were pelleted at 5000xg. Cell envelopes were separated from the soluble fraction by ultracentrifugation at 150.000xg. After ultracentrifugation, pellets were washed and resuspended in buffer and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Where stated, after culture harvesting, cells were incubated with mixing at room temperature with 0.5% Genapol X-80 for 30 minutes, to remove the capsular layer on the surface of bacteria. Subsequently, cells were centrifuged at 5000xg, washed one time with buffer and fractionated as mentioned above.

### BN-PAGE

For BN-PAGE analysis of membrane complexes, cell envelopes were solubilized with 0.25% DDM for one hour at 4°C. Non-solubilized material was pelleted by centrifugation at 100.000xg for 20 minutes. Resulting supernatant samples were run on 3-12% NativePage Bis-Tris Protein Gels (Invitrogen) with addition of NativePage 5% G-250 Sample Additive (Invitrogen). Gels were blotted to PVDF membrane and stained with appropriate antibodies.

### Flow cytometry analysis.

*M. smegmatis* expressing different plasmids was grown to an OD600 of 0.8 to 1.2 in LB with or without Tween 80. Bacteria were pelleted, washed with PBS with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma), and incubated for 2h with antibodies recognizing the Flag-tag (F-1804; Sigma-Aldrich), Strep tag (NBP2-41073; Novusbio) or influenza virus hemagglutinin tag (2-2.2.14; Thermo Scientific). After washing with PBS with 1% BSA, bacteria were incubated with secondary goat anti-mouse IgG (A-21235; ThermoFischer) or secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG (A-21244; ThermoFischer) conjugated to Alexa 647 antibodies for 30min. After washing with PBS with 1% BSA, bacteria were analyzed by flow cytometry (Attune NxT; ThermoFisher). As a control, bacteria were incubated only with the secondary antibodies.

### Acknowledgements

### Conflict of interest

References

Abdallah AM, Weerdenburg EM, Guan Q, Ummels R, Borggreve S, Adroub SA, Malas TB, Naeem R,
Zhang H, Otto TD *et al* (2019) Integrated transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of pathogenic
mycobacteria and their esx-1 mutants reveal secretion-dependent regulation of ESX-1 substrates and
WhiB6 as a transcriptional regulator. *PloS one* 14: e0211003
Ates LS (2020) New insights into the mycobacterial PE and PPE proteins provide a framework for future
research. *Molecular microbiology* 113: 4-21
Ates LS, Ummels R, Commandeur S, van de Weerd R, Sparrius M, Weerdenburg E, Alber M, Kalscheuer
R, Piersma SR, Abdallah AM *et al* (2015) Essential Role of the ESX-5 Secretion System in Outer
Membrane Permeability of Pathogenic Mycobacteria. *PLoS genetics* 11: e1005190
Beckham KS, Ciccarelli L, Bunduc CM, Mertens HD, Ummels R, Lugmayr W, Mayr J, Rettel M, Savitski
MM, Svergun DI *et al* (2017) Structure of the mycobacterial ESX-5 type VII secretion system membrane
complex by single-particle analysis. *Nature microbiology* 2: 17047
Beckham KSH, Ritter C, Chojnowski G, Ziemianowicz DS, Mullapudi E, Rettel M, Savitski MM,
Mortensen SA, Kosinski J, Wilmanns M (2021) Structure of the mycobacterial ESX-5 type VII secretion
system pore complex. *Sci Adv* 7
Bosserman RE, Nguyen TT, Sanchez KG, Chirakos AE, Ferrell MJ, Thompson CR, Champion MM,
Abramovitch RB, Champion PA (2017) WhiB6 regulation of ESX-1 gene expression is controlled by a
negative feedback loop in <i>Mycobacterium marinum</i>. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
*Sciences* 114: E10772-E10781
Bottai D, Di Luca M, Majlessi L, Frigui W, Simeone R, Sayes F, Bitter W, Brennan MJ, Leclerc C, Batoni
G *et al* (2012) Disruption of the ESX-5 system of Mycobacterium tuberculosis causes loss of PPE protein
secretion, reduction of cell wall integrity and strong attenuation. *Molecular microbiology* 83: 1195-
1209
Bunduc CM, Bitter W, Houben ENG (2020a) Structure and Function of the Mycobacterial Type VII
Secretion Systems. *Annual review of microbiology* 74: 315-335
Bunduc CM, Fahrenkamp D, Wald J, Ummels R, Bitter W, Houben ENG, Marlovits TC (2021) Structure
and dynamics of a mycobacterial type VII secretion system. *Nature* 593: 445-448
Bunduc CM, Ummels R, Bitter W, Houben ENG (2020b) Species-specific secretion of ESX-5 type VII
substrates is determined by the linker 2 of EccC5. *Molecular microbiology* 114: 66-76
Chen Z, Hu Y, Cumming BM, Lu P, Feng L, Deng J, Steyn AJ, Chen S (2016) Mycobacterial WhiB6
Differentially Regulates ESX-1 and the Dos Regulon to Modulate Granuloma Formation and Virulence
in Zebrafish. *Cell Rep* 16: 2512-2524
Cole ST, Brosch R, Parkhill J, Garnier T, Churcher C, Harris D, Gordon SV, Eiglmeier K, Gas S, Barry CE,
3rd *et al* (1998) Deciphering the biology of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from the complete genome
sequence. *Nature* 393: 537-544
Costa TR, Felisberto-Rodrigues C, Meir A, Prevost MS, Redzej A, Trokter M, Waksman G (2015)
Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural and mechanistic insights. *Nature reviews*
*Microbiology* 13: 343-359
Damen MPM, Meijers AS, Keizer EM, Piersma SR, Jiménez CR, Kuijl CP, Bitter W, Houben ENG (2022)
The ESX-1 substrate PPE68 has a key function in the ESX-1 mediated secretion in <em>Mycobacterium
marinum</em>. *bioRxiv*: 2022.2006.2021.497121
Damen MPM, Phan TH, Ummels R, Rubio-Canalejas A, Bitter W, Houben ENG (2020) Modification of a
PE/PPE substrate pair reroutes an Esx substrate pair from the mycobacterial ESX-1 type VII secretion
system to the ESX-5 system. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 295: 5960-5969
Dulberger CL, Rubin EJ, Boutte CC (2020) The mycobacterial cell envelope - a moving target. *Nature*
*reviews Microbiology* 18: 47-59

Ekiert DC, Cox JS (2014) Structure of a PE-PPE-EspG complex from Mycobacterium tuberculosis reveals molecular specificity of ESX protein secretion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111: 14758-14763

Famelis N, Rivera-Calzada A, Degliesposti G, Wingender M, Mietrach N, Skehel JM, Fernandez-Leiro R, Bottcher B, Schlosser A, Llorca O *et al* (2019) Architecture of the mycobacterial type VII secretion system. *Nature* 576: 321-325

Gey van Pittius NC, Sampson SL, Lee H, Kim Y, van Helden PD, Warren RM (2006) Evolution and expansion of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis PE and PPE multigene families and their association with the duplication of the ESAT-6 (esx) gene cluster regions. *BMC evolutionary biology* 6: 95

Heinz C, Niederweis M (2000) Selective extraction and purification of a mycobacterial outer membrane protein. *Anal Biochem* 285: 113-120

Hoffmann C, Leis A, Niederweis M, Plitzko JM, Engelhardt H (2008) Disclosure of the mycobacterial outer membrane: cryo-electron tomography and vitreous sections reveal the lipid bilayer structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105: 3963-3967

Houben D, Demangel C, van Ingen J, Perez J, Baldeon L, Abdallah AM, Caleechurn L, Bottai D, van Zon M, de Punder K *et al* (2012a) ESX-1-mediated translocation to the cytosol controls virulence of mycobacteria. *Cellular microbiology* 14: 1287-1298

Houben EN, Bestebroer J, Ummels R, Wilson L, Piersma SR, Jimenez CR, Ottenhoff TH, Luirink J, Bitter W (2012b) Composition of the type VII secretion system membrane complex. *Molecular microbiology* 86: 472-484

Joshi SA, Ball DA, Sun MG, Carlsson F, Watkins BY, Aggarwal N, McCracken JM, Huynh KK, Brown EJ (2012) EccA1, a component of the Mycobacterium marinum ESX-1 protein virulence factor secretion pathway, regulates mycolic acid lipid synthesis. *Chemistry & biology* 19: 372-380

Korotkova N, Freire D, Phan TH, Ummels R, Creekmore CC, Evans TJ, Wilmanns M, Bitter W, Parret AH, Houben EN *et al* (2014) Structure of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis type VII secretion system chaperone EspG5 in complex with PE25-PPE41 dimer. *Molecular microbiology* 94: 367-382

Korycka-Machala M, Pawelczyk J, Borowka P, Dziadek B, Brzostek A, Kawka M, Bekier A, Rykowski S, Olejniczak AB, Strapagiel D *et al* (2020) PPE51 Is Involved in the Uptake of Disaccharides by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Cells* 9

Målen H, Pathak S, Søfteland T, de Souza GA, Wiker HG (2010) Definition of novel cell envelope associated proteins in Triton X-114 extracts of Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Rv. *BMC Microbiol* 10: 132

Phan TH, Ummels R, Bitter W, Houben EN (2017) Identification of a substrate domain that determines system specificity in mycobacterial type VII secretion systems. *Scientific reports* 7: 42704

Phan TH, van Leeuwen LM, Kuijl C, Ummels R, van Stempvoort G, Rubio-Canalejas A, Piersma SR, Jimenez CR, van der Sar AM, Houben ENG *et al* (2018) EspH is a hypervirulence factor for Mycobacterium marinum and essential for the secretion of the ESX-1 substrates EspE and EspF. *PLoS pathogens* 14: e1007247

Poweleit N, Czudnochowski N, Nakagawa R, Trinidad DD, Murphy KC, Sassetti CM, Rosenberg OS (2019) The structure of the endogenous ESX-3 secretion system. *eLife* 8: e52983

Pym AS, Brodin P, Brosch R, Huerre M, Cole ST (2002) Loss of RD1 contributed to the attenuation of the live tuberculosis vaccines Mycobacterium bovis BCG and Mycobacterium microti. *Molecular microbiology* 46: 709-717

Rosenberg OS, Dovala D, Li X, Connolly L, Bendebury A, Finer-Moore J, Holton J, Cheng Y, Stroud RM, Cox JS (2015) Substrates Control Multimerization and Activation of the Multi-Domain ATPase Motor of Type VII Secretion. *Cell* 161: 501-512

Siegrist MS, Unnikrishnan M, McConnell MJ, Borowsky M, Cheng TY, Siddiqi N, Fortune SM, Moody DB, Rubin EJ (2009) Mycobacterial Esx-3 is required for mycobactin-mediated iron acquisition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106: 18792-18797

Simeone R, Bobard A, Lippmann J, Bitter W, Majlessi L, Brosch R, Enninga J (2012) Phagosomal rupture by Mycobacterium tuberculosis results in toxicity and host cell death. *PLoS pathogens* 8: e1002507

Speer A, Sun J, Danilchanka O, Meikle V, Rowland JL, Walter K, Buck BR, Pavlenok M, Hölscher C, Ehrt
S *et al* (2015) Surface hydrolysis of sphingomyelin by the outer membrane protein Rv0888 supports
replication of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in macrophages. *Molecular microbiology* 97: 881-897
van der Wel N, Hava D, Houben D, Fluitsma D, van Zon M, Pierson J, Brenner M, Peters PJ (2007) M.
tuberculosis and M. leprae translocate from the phagolysosome to the cytosol in myeloid cells. *Cell*
129: 1287-1298
van Winden VJC, Bunduc CM, Ummels R, Bitter W, Houben ENG (2020) A Chimeric EccB-MycP Fusion
Protein is Functional and a Stable Component of the ESX-5 Type VII Secretion System Membrane
Complex. *Journal of molecular biology* 432: 1265-1278
van Winden VJC, Ummels R, Piersma SR, Jimenez CR, Korotkov KV, Bitter W, Houben EN (2016)
Mycosins Are Required for the Stabilization of the ESX-1 and ESX-5 Type VII Secretion Membrane
Complexes. *mBio* 7
Wang Q, Boshoff HIM, Harrison JR, Ray PC, Green SR, Wyatt PG, Barry CE, 3rd (2020) PE/PPE proteins
mediate nutrient transport across the outer membrane of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Science (New*
*York, NY)* 367: 1147-1151
Wittig I, Beckhaus T, Wumaier Z, Karas M, Schagger H (2010) Mass estimation of native proteins by
blue native electrophoresis: principles and practical hints. *Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP* 9:
2149-2161

Table 1. Overview of results.

| Construct | Component/substrate protein levels | | | | Complex formation | Secretion | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Membrane | Cytosolic | EsxN | PPE18 | | EsxN | PPE18 |
| WT | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Δ*espG*$_5$ | ++ | ++ | + | - | ++ | - | - |
| Δ*eccA*$_5$ | ++ | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | + |
| Δ*eccB*$_5$ | ++ | ++ | + | + | - | - | - |
| Δ*eccC*$_5$ | + | ++ | + | + | - | - | - |
| Δ*eccD*$_5$ | - | +/- | - | +/- | - | - | - |
| Δ*eccE*$_5$ | + | +/- | + | + | + | - | - |
| Δ*mycP*$_5$ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | - | - |
| Membr. comp. | ++ | n.a. | n.a. | + | ++ | n.a. | - |
| Δ*esxM/N* | ++ | ++ | n.a. | + | ++ | n.a. | - |
| Δ*pe/ppe* | ++ | ++ | + | + | ++ | - | - |
| Δ*substrates* | ++ | ++ | n.a. | + | ++ | n.a. | - |

539	n.a., not analyzed.

 **Figure legends**

**Figure 1: Genetic organization of the *esx-5* locus of *M. xenopi* RIVM700367 and several of its**
**derivatives**
Depicted are the complete gene cluster, the cluster derivatives, in which more than one gene was
deleted and the gene organization for the *pe/ppe* region complementation plasmid.

**Figure 2: Protein expression and membrane complex formation for ESX-5 mutants**
(A) SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of cell envelope fractions of *M. smegmatis* carrying the ESX-
$5_{xen}$ plasmid or its derivatives using antibodies reactive against the four membrane components $EccB_5$,
$EccD_5$, $EccE_5$ and $MycP_5$ or antibodies directed against a Strep-tag linked to the membrane component
$EccC_5$. Antibodies against the unrelated membrane protein FtsH were used as loading control. Notably,
$MycP_5$ is processed in one of the loops of its protease domain (van Winden *et al.*, 2016), resulting in
two immuno-reactive bands (arrow heads). The high hydrophobicity of $EccD_5$ compromises its
biochemical analysis, resulting in its variable detection by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. (B) SDS-
PAGE and immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates of *M. smegmatis* carrying the ESX-$5_{xen}$ plasmid or
its derivatives using antibodies reactive against the cytosolic ESX-5 components $EccA_5$ and $EspG_5$.
Antibodies against GroEL2 were used as loading control. (C) BN-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of
DDM-solubilized cell envelope fractions of *M. smegmatis* carrying the ESX-$5_{xen}$ plasmid or its
derivatives using antibodies against $EccB_5$ and the Strep-tag introduced on EccC. * denotes the ~500
KDa subcomplex seen in the *eccC$_5$, eccE$_5$* and *eccD$_5$* mutants.

**Figure 3: Secretion analysis of *M. smegmatis* carrying the ESX-$5_{xen}$ plasmid or its derivatives**
(A) SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of secreted fractions (culture supernatants) and whole cell
lysates (bacterial pellets) using antibodies against EsxN. In addition, an HA-antibody was used to
detect PPE18-HA that was encoded together with its partner protein PE31 on a separate plasmid.
Antibodies against GroEL2 were used as lysis and loading control for the whole cell lysates and
antibodies against Apa were used as loading control for the secreted fraction. (B) Secretion analysis
of *M. smegmatis* carrying the ESX-$5_{xen}$ *Δpe/ppe* plasmid and various *pe/ppe* complementation vectors.
The used bacterial fractions and antibodies are the same as under A.

**Figure 4: Solubilization of membrane bound PPE proteins**

(A) SDS-PAGE and immunoblot or Coomassie blue stain analysis of cell envelope fractions isolated from cells treated with Genapol X-80 and solubilized with the mentioned surfactants. Concentrations for the detergents are: 0,25% DDM, 1% n-Octyl-β-D-Glucopyranoside, 2% Triton X-100, 2% SDS, 0.5% Genapol X-80 and 5% CHAPSO. Fractions were loaded in equal amounts. Antibodies against $EccB_5$ and FtsH were used as inner membrane controls. S, soluble fraction; NS, non-soluble fraction. (B) and (C) Surface exposure of substrates on whole cells was measured by flow cytometry of cells grown in the presence of Tween-80 without (B) or with (C) surface protein extraction with Genapol X80 after culture harvesting.

**Expanded View Figure 1: Subcellular fractionation of *M. smegmatis* carrying the *esx-5$_{xen}$* plasmid expressing tagged ESX-5 substrates**

(A) Cells expressing the *M. xenopi esx-5* locus encoding substrates with tags at the N or C- termini were grown in the presence of 0.05% Tween 80, after which supernatants (S) were separated from bacterial cells (P). Half these cells were treated with Genapol X-80 to extract surface proteins, producing the Genapol supernatant (GS) and Genapol pellet (GP). Both Genapol-treated and nontreated cells were subsequently fractionated into total (T), cytosol (Cyt) and cell envelope (CE) fractions. Fractions were loaded in a ratio of 1:1:1:2:2 for T:Cyt:CE:GS:S. Antibodies against FtsH (inner membrane protein) was used as fractionation controls. Background bands corresponding to the protein ladder are marked with *. (B) Surface exposure of substrates on whole cells was measured by flow cytometry of cells grown in the presence of Tween-80.

**Expanded View Figure 2: Secretion analysis of *M. smegmatis* carrying the ESX-5$_{xen}$ plasmid expressing tagged substrates**

SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of secreted fractions (culture supernatants) and whole cell lysates (bacterial pellets) of *M. smegmatis* carrying the ESX-5$_{xen}$ plasmid encoding the first PPE substrate with a Flag-tag at the N-terminus, the second PPE protein with a Strep-tag at the C-terminus and EsxN with a HA-tag at the C-terminus. Antibodies against GroEL2 were used as lysis control. Cells were grown in the presence or absence of Tween-80, after they were harvested half was treated with Genapol-X-80 to extract surface proteins. P, bacterial pellet; S, culture supernatant; GS, Genapol supernatant; GP, Genapol pellet.

604     **Supplementary table 1: List of plasmids used in this study**

606     **Supplementary table 2: Primers used in this study for molecular cloning**

608     **Supplementary table 3: Overview of molecular cloning strategies for generating the plasmids shown**

609     **in Table S1 and using primers shown in Table S2.**

# Figure 1

# Figure 2

# Figure 3

Bacterial pellets

Culture supernatants

Bacterial pellets

Culture supernatants

# Figure 4

# Expanded View Figure 1

**Table S1**: List of plasmids used in this study

| Nr. | Construct | Source |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | pMV ESX-5xen | Beckham et al., 2017 |
| 2. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta eccB_5$ | this study |
| 3. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta eccC_5$ | this study |
| 4. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta pe/ppe$ | this study |
| 5. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta esxM/N$ | this study |
| 6. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta substrates$ | this study |
| 7. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta espG_5$ | this study |
| 8. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta eccD_5$ | this study |
| 9. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta mycP_5$ | van Winden et al., 2020 |
| 10. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta eccE_5$ | this study |
| 11. | pMV ESX-5xen $\Delta eccA_5$ | this study |
| 12. | pMV ESX-5xen membrane components | this study |
| 13. | pSMT3 PE/PPE whole region | this study |
| 14. | pSMT3 PE/PPE $\Delta 1^{st}\_pe/ppe$ | this study |
| 15. | pSMT3 PE/PPE $\Delta$methyltransferase | this study |
| 16. | pSMT3 PE/PPE $\Delta 2^{nd}\_pe/ppe$ | this study |
| 17. | pMV ESX-5xen $1^{st}$ PPE Flag N-term | this study |
| 18. | pMV ESX-5xen $1^{st}$ PPE Flag C-term | this study |
| 19. | pMV ESX-5xen $2^{nd}$ PPE Strep N-term | this study |
| 20. | pMV ESX-5xen $2^{nd}$ PPE Strep C-term | this study |
| 21. | pMV ESX-5xen EsxN-HA | this study |
| 22. | pMV ESX-5xen $1^{st}$ PPE Flag N-term, $2^{nd}$ PPE Strep C-term, EsxN-HA, No EccC Strep. | this study |

**Table S2**: List of primers used in this study

| Nr. | Primer name | Primer sequence 3' -5' |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | ESX-5 xen del.EccB 1 FW | TGTTTGCTTGTCGGACACCT |
| 2. | ESX-5 xen del.EccB 1 RV | CTATTTGAGTCACGATCCCCGTTC |
| 3. | ESX-5 xen del.EccB 2 FW | GATCGTGACTCAAATAGGCACCATGGGTGGCACTG |
| 4. | ESX-5 xen del.EccB 2 RV | TCCCATTGCGGGTCTTCGAT |
| 5. | ESX-5 xen del.EccC FW | GTACGCGAAGAACCACTTAATTAATGC |
| 6. | ESX-5 xen del.EccC RV | GAGGTGCCTGCCTTAAGTCACTTCGGTACCGCCAATTC |
| 7. | Del EspG xen FW | GGCGGGGGCTTCTCTAGATG |
| 8. | Del EsxM/N xen 1 rv | TGCGGTTGGTTGTTACTGAATATCTCCTTAGCTCGAAATCGGCTG |
| 9. | Del EsxM/N xen 2 fw | TAACAACCAACCGCAGGCGC |
| 10. | Del EsxM/N xen 2 rv | CATCTCGACCGAATACGTAAGTGAAAG |
| 11. | Xen ESX-5 del sub. INF. FW | CGAGAAGTAAAGCTCTTAAGTAACAACCAACCGCAGGCGCG |
| 12. | Del EspG xen FW | GGCGGGGGCTTCTCTAGATG |
| 13. | Del EspG xen RV | CATCTCGACCGAATACgtaGGCTGCTCCCATGTTGGCGTG |
| 14. | Del EccE clean 1 FW | GATCCTGCGCGGCCGCTCAT |
| 15. | Del EccE clean 1 RV | CAGCCGTGGGCGTCGTCACGTCATGGCTGCCTCCCTTGTCG |
| 16. | Del EccE clean 2 FW | CGTGACGACGCCCACGGCTGGTG |
| 17. | Del EccE clean 2 RV | CGTCGACATCGATAAGCTTTGG |
| 18. | Del EccA xen FW | GCCATCTGCCGGACGCGTG |
| 19. | Del EccA xen RV | GTCGACATCGATAAGCTTCATCGGGCCGCCGCCGGG |
| 20. | Xen whole PE/PPE inf FW | GAGGAATCACGCTAGCGATGTCGTTCGTGACC |
| 21. | Xen whole PE/PPE inf RV | AGATATCCATGGATCCTAACCGGCGTAGACC |
| 22. | Xen tag substr 1 FW | CGAGAAGTAAAGCTCTTAAGGCAGGCAC |
| 23. | Xen 1st PPE flag C 1 RV | TGTCGTCATCGTCTTTGTAGTCGCCGGCGAACGGTGGGCGTG |
| 24. | Xen 1st PPE Flag C 2 FW | ACAAAGACGATGACGACAAGTAGCAACACGCGAAGCGCCG |
| 25. | Xen tag substr 2 RV | TGCATCTCGACCGAATACGTAAGTGAAA |
| 26. | Xen 2nd PPE Strep C 1 RV | TTCAAATTGGGGATGCGACCATGATCCTCCACCGGCGTAGACCGGGCG |
| 27. | Xen 2nd PPE Strep C 2 FW | TCGCATCCCCAATTTGAAAAATAGGAAAGGGGGCCAGCCG |
| 28. | Xen EsxN-HA 1 RV | TAATCAGGAACATCATACGGATAGGCCCAGCTGGAGCCGAC |
| 29. | Xen EsxN-HA 2 FW | CGTATGATGTTCCTGATTATGCTTAACAACCAACCGCAGGCGC |
| 30. | Xen 1st PPE flag Nterm 1 RV | CGAACCTCCCGAACCTCCCTTGTCGTCATCGTCTTTGTAGTCCATTAGTACTCTCCTTAGCCCGCCG |
| 31. | Xen 1st PPE Flag Nterm 2 FW | GGAGGTTCGGGAGGTTCGGTGCTGGACTTCGGGGCATTTC |
| 32. | Xen 2nd PPE Strep Nterm 1 RV | CGAACCTCCCGAACCTCCTTTTTTCAAATTGGGGATGCGACCACATCTAGAGAAGCCCCCGCC |
| 33. | Xen 2nd PPE Strep Nterm 2 FW | GGAGGTTCGGGAGGTTCGTTGGATTTCGGGCTGTTTCCG |
| 34. | Rem Str EccC5xen FW | CCGACCTGCGCCGGTTTAAATAGCTTAAGGCAGGCACCTCTTGAG |
| 35 | Rem Str EccC5xen RV | CTCAAGAGGTGCCTGCCTTAAGCTATTTAAACCGGCGCAGGTCGG |