E. coli-**ΦX174 genotype to phenotype map reveals flexibility and diversity in LPS**

structures

Jordan Romeyer Dherbey, Lavisha Parab, Jenna Gallie and Frederic Bertels

Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany

Corresponding authors:

Jordan Romeyer Dherbey (ORCID 0000-0002-6125-1722) and Frederic Bertels (ORCID 0000-0001-

6222-4139

Email addresses: dherbey@evolbio.mpg.de, bertels@evolbio.mpg.de

Abstract

Extensive efforts have been made to understand the phenotypic diversity of lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

structures through deletion and complementation experiments. However, this approach likely

underestimates the available phenotypic diversity. To better explore LPS diversity, we generate LPS

mutants in *Escherichia coli* C by selecting for resistance to ΦX174, a bacteriophage that relies solely on

binding to core LPS to infect its host. An analysis of 31 *E. coli* C mutants that are resistant to ΦX174

reveals that each mutant carries at least one mutation in genes linked to core LPS biosynthesis or

assembly. Based on which genes are mutated, we predict the core LPS structures of each bacterial

mutant, and test our predictions by evolving phages to recognize each evolved LPS structure. We find

that phages that evolved to infect the same predicted LPS structure were not always able to cross-

infect each other's host, suggesting that core LPS structure diversity is higher than predicted. Similarly,

phage genotype-phenotype maps can be constructed using the bacterial LPS mutant classes. For

example, we demonstrate that a combination of two phage mutations leads to loss of the ability to

infect wildtype *E. coli* C. Our results show that phages are a useful tool to study LPS structures, and

conversely that the study of LPS structures helps to understand phage evolution and biology.

Keywords: LPS, Overcoming host resistance, Genotype-phenotype maps, Experimental evolution,

ΦX174, *E. coli* C.

Introduction

Multidrug resistant bacterial infections are one of the most pressing issues in medicine a situation that is only expected to worsen in the coming decades[1–3]. An alternative to treating bacterial infections with antibiotics is phage therapy. Major research efforts are being conducted to develop efficient therapies[4–6]. An efficient therapy should be able to eliminate a pathogen without selecting for resistance to the phage being used to treat that pathogen. Phage resistance can often evolve by modifying the cell envelop to avoid phage adsorption. The outer membrane of all Gram-negative bacteria is covered in lipopolysaccharides (LPSs), which is consequently the first contact point between a bacterium and its environment[7]. LPS structure determines whether a bacterium will be recognized by the immune system, whether it is susceptible to antibiotics, determines the efficiency of nutrient uptake and, importantly, determines susceptibility to phage infection[8–10]. Hence, LPS structure is an important factor determining the success of phage therapy.

The functions of almost all genes involved in synthesizing and assembling bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) have been elucidated[11–15]. Most *Escherichia coli* strains produce LPS molecules composed of three parts: the lipid A, the core oligosaccharide (OS; itself consisting of a conserved inner core and an outer core), and the O-antigen chain. Such tripartite LPS molecules are termed "smooth"[12]. Some *E. coli* strains produce LPS molecules that lack one or more components. LPS molecules lacking the O-antigen are classified as "rough", molecules lacking the O-antigen and the OS outer core, as "deep rough"[15,16,11,17–19]. These modifications in LPS structure can lead to a plethora of phenotypic effects, including (i) destabilization of the outer membrane[12], (ii) changes in the expression of some outer membrane proteins and (iii) modification of intracellular turgor pressure[20], (iv) increased susceptibility to hydrophobic compounds such as antimicrobial peptides, antibiotics, and bacteriocins[15,16,11,17–19], (v) altered interactions with the host immune system[21,12], and (vi) changes in resistance to phages[9,22–24].

Phage ΦX174 is a small (~320 Å), tailless coliphage from the Microvirus family. It carries a circular, single-stranded DNA genome of only 5386 bp that encodes 11 genes[25,26]. To enter a host cell, ΦX174 relies solely on attaching to the core OS by one of its spike proteins before migrating and irreversibly adsorbing to the host's cell surface via its capsid[27–29]. ΦX174 does it with a high degree of specificity: in one study, among 783 different *E. coli* isolates, only six (0.8 %) could be infected by wildtype

ΦX174[30]. In the laboratory ΦX174 infects - and hence is usually grown on - *E. coli* C, which produces

rough type (*i.e.*, lacking in O-antigen) LPS molecules[31–34] (**Figs. 1 and S1**).

Fig. 1. Structure of *E. coli* C's rough type LPS. The rough type LPS of *E. coli* C is composed of two parts:

lipid A (composed of an acetylated and 1,4'-diphosporylated β(1→6)-linked glucosamine (GlcN) disaccharide),

and the core oligosaccharide[12]. The core oligosaccharide is subdivided into a structurally conserved inner core

and an outer core. The dashed lines show non-stochiometric substitutions of phosphate (P), ethanolamine

(EtNP), and 3-deoxy-D-*manno*-octulosonic acid (Kdo) residues on the LPS[35]. Details of the LPS assembly

process are provided in **Fig. S1**.

Here, we explore the evolutionary potential of *E. coli* C to become resistant to infection by ΦX174

and, conversely, the potential of ΦX174 mutants to infect phage-resistant *E. coli* C hosts with modified

LPS structures. We first generate a set of 31 *E. coli* C mutants that are resistant to infection by ΦX174

wildtype and determine that each mutant carries at least one mutation affecting LPS biosynthesis.

The currently accepted model for predicting LPS structure posits that the presence or absence of each

LPS gene leads to a single LPS phenotype. Based on the position of each mutation, the one-gene-one-

phenotype model allows us to predict the LPS structure of each of our LPS mutants. Our 31 *E. coli* C

mutants collectively produce LPS molecules with seven distinct structures. To test the accuracy of

these predictions, we evolved and genotypically characterized a set of sixteen ΦX174 genotypes that

can, between them, infect all initially resistant 31 *E. coli* C mutants. If the LPS structure predictions

are correct then all bacteria predicted to carry the same LPS structure should be susceptible to the

same set of phages. Interestingly, this was not always the case, indicating that the diversity of LPS

structures generated by LPS-pathway mutations is much higher than anticipated from previous studies.

Bacterial strains with different mutations in the same LPS gene sometimes have strikingly different

infection phenotypes. Even the required number of phage mutations to overcome these resistances

can differ significantly. A better understanding of the evolution of phage resistance hence requires a

better understanding of the biology and evolution of LPS structures.

93   Results

94   Diverse LPS structures confer resistance to ΦX174 infection

To study LPS diversity in *E. coli* C, we generated 35 spontaneous phage-resistant strains, and used

whole genome re-sequencing to identify the mutation(s) conferring resistance (see **Methods**). Due to

a lack of isogeneity or only a partial resistance to wildtype ΦX174, four of these strains (*E. coli* C R1,

R3, R15, and R19) were excluded from downstream analyses (see **Text S1**). Of the remaining 31

strains, 27 are predicted to carry a single mutation, three carry two mutations, and one carries three

mutations (see **Table S1**). A total of 36 mutations were identified, 32 of which are unique (including

15 nucleotide substitutions, 13 deletions, one duplication, and three IS*4* and IS*5* insertion events).

Twenty-four (of 32, or 75%) mutations introduce premature stop codons or lead to frameshifts, and

thus are highly likely to disrupt gene function.

Interestingly, two of these mutations are shared by two strains (R27/R31 and R8/R10), and one

mutation is shared by three strains (R4/R24/R29). Given that there are almost limitless ways to disrupt

gene function, this high degree of parallelism is initially surprising. We note that the repetition of these

mutations is unlikely to result from their positive selection. That is, phage-resistant *E. coli* C mutants

of both low and high fitness are expected to have an equal chance of appearing on our agar plates (as

long as they can form visible colonies)[36]. Presumably, the observed parallelism is instead due to

elevated mutation rates at particular genomic positions[37]. The fact that two of three parallel mutations

occur in homopolymeric tracts supports this hypothesis[38].

Mutations in genes encoding the LPS machinery are expected to lead to changes in LPS structure, and

in some cases, LPS type[17,18,39]. Logical predictions of mutant LPS structures can be made according to

the "LEGO® block" principle; if an enzyme that synthesizes a particular LPS component is absent or

non-functional, then that LPS component cannot be synthesized. In addition, LPS components

assembled downstream of the missing component are also absent from the final LPS structure. Under

the assumption that each mutation in an LPS gene leads to a complete lack of function, this LEGO®

block principle was used to predict the LPS structure and type of each of the 31 *E. coli* C phage-

resistant mutants (**Figs. 2**, **S1**, **S2 and Table S1**). A total of seven emergent LPS structures were

forecast from our set of mutants (**Fig. 2**). Compared with the *E. coli* C wildtype LPS, three of these

seven LPS structures carry alterations in the outer-core OS, and hence are predicted to be rough type

(as is wildtype *E. coli* C; ten mutants). The remaining four LPS structures differ from wildtype LPS in

both the inner- and outer-core OS, and are presumed to be of the deep rough type (twenty mutants)

(see **Table S1**). The LPS structure and type of the remaining mutant (*E. coli* C R25) was not predicted,

because the effect of the phage-resistance mutation on LPS biosynthesis remains unclear; specifically,

R25 carries a nonsense mutation in *rfaH*. The *rfaH* gene encodes a transcriptional antiterminator that

regulates the *waa* operon[14,40], meaning that any number of LPS biosynthetic genes could potentially be

affected by this mutation.

Fig. 2. Predicted LPS structures of 30 ΦX174-resistant *E. coli* C strains. Predicted LPS structures fall into

two groups depending on their degree of truncation and/or loss of phosphate groups: the "deep rough"

phenotype with a completely truncated outer core or a loss of phosphate groups (twenty *E. coli* C mutants), and

the "rough" phenotype with smaller LPS truncations located in the outer core (ten *E. coli* C mutants). The LPS

phenotype of the final *E. coli* C mutant (R25) could not be predicted (see text). The products of genes in red

are also involved in LPS biosynthesis and, although no mutations were identified in these genes during this

study, are also potential targets for phage-resistance. Details on the functions of *gmhA*, *gmhB*, *gmhD*, *hldE*, *galU*,

and *galE* are provided in **Fig. S2**. "?P": No information was found on the phosphorylation of Hep(I) in the

absence of *waaF*; (P): only 40% of the hexose phosphorylation was observed[39]; R#: the number gave to each

E. coli C resistant strain; "*, **, ***, °, °°": identical symbols indicate that different genes are inactivated but are

expected to lead to the same LPS core structure.

The LPS structure predictions above can be tested by evolving wildtype ΦX174 to specifically infect

E. coli C cells with modified LPS structures. That is, a phage that can infect a given modified LPS

structure is expected to be able to infect all bacterial strains displaying this LPS type, regardless of the

underlying mutations. Alternatively, the inability of mutant phages to cross-infect bacterial strains of

the same predicted LPS structural class (but via different mutations) would indicate that these

mutations actually lead to distinct LPS structures.

ΦX174 evolves to overcome host resistance, a process that can be accelerated by host diversity

Phages that can infect resistant *E. coli* C mutants were evolved by serially transferring wildtype ΦX174

on each of the 31 resistant *E. coli* C strains (**Fig. 3A and Methods**). To reduce the complexity of the

experiment, bacterial cultures were not allowed to co-evolve. Instead, phages were inoculated into

fresh, exponentially growing host cultures at each transfer. The experiment gave rise to twelve evolved

phages that were collectively able to infect 21 (of the 31) initially resistant *E. coli* C strains (these display

the "easy" resistant phenotype; see **Table S2**). However, even after 21 transfers, ten (of 31) resistant

E. coli C strains remained uninfected (the "hard" resistant phenotype; see **Table S2**). To increase the

chances of evolving phages capable of infecting these strains, two further evolution experiments were

designed (**Figs. 3B and 3C**). In both of these experiments, phage diversity was increased by

combining all evolved phage strains into a phage cocktail[41,42]. Phage diversity was maintained from

one transfer to another by adding all corresponding resistant strains to the host culture (see **Methods**).

The phage cocktail was serially transferred once per day in the second evolution experiment, while

four transfers per day were performed in the third evolution experiment. At the end of the third

evolution experiment, while six *E. coli* C resistant strains remained uninfected by their own phage, all

resistant strains could be cross-infected by at least one evolved of the phage mutant. Our method of

isolating re-infecting phage is simple and effective, and allowed the successful retrieval of phages that
can target any of the 31 resistant phenotypes.

Increasing both phage and host diversities in the second and third evolution experiments accelerated
the adaptation of ΦX174. This result seemingly contradicts recent research by Sant *et al.*, which
elegantly shows that higher host diversity decreases the rate at which phages evolve[43]. By adapting
phage ΦJB01 (a phage that likely uses the LPS to infect its *E. coli* host[43]) to a set of different *E. coli*
strains, the authors demonstrate that adaptation to resistant (non-permissive) strains is faster in
evolution experiments where ΦJB01 is exposed to only one permissive and one non-permissive host,
than when two non-permissive and one permissive strain are used. Similar to the two-strains
experiment of Sant *et al.*[43], in our first evolution experiment, we evolved phage ΦX174 in the presence
of one permissive and one non-permissive *E. coli* C strain. While the experiment quickly gave rise to
phages able to infect most of the non-permissive strains (**Fig. 4A**), some phage-resistant hosts could
only be infected when both host and phage diversities were increased (**Fig. 4B-C**).

183
184 **Fig. 3. Three phage evolution experiments used to overcome *E. coli* C resistance to wildtype ΦX174.**
185 **A.** First evolution experiment (standard): 31 independent lineages, each founded by ΦX174 wildtype, were
186 serially transferred for up to 21 transfers. Phage were grown on non-evolving (i.e., freshly prepared at each

transfer) host cultures containing a mixture of *E. coli* C wildtype and one of the 31 initially resistant strains (see **Table S1**). Phages capable of infecting the initially resistant host strain were isolated from 12 lineages. Three control lineages, where ΦX174 was cultured on only *E. coli* C wildtype, were included. **B.** Second evolution experiment (increased diversity). Four independent lineages were founded by a cocktail of ΦX174 wildtype and the 14 evolved ΦX174 strains (panel A – two evolved phages were removed from mutational analysis, see **Text S1**). Each lineage was transferred daily for up to four days, on non-evolving host cultures containing (i) *E. coli* C wildtype, (ii) the 14 host strains for which resistance had been overcome (permissive hosts), and (iii) an excess of the still-resistant strain of interest (non-permissive host). Phages capable of infecting the resistant strain of interest were isolated from two lineages. **C.** Third evolution experiment (increased diversity and generations). Two lineages were founded by the same cocktail used for the second evolution experiment (panel B). However, each lineage was transferred four times daily for up to four days (i.e., a maximum of 16 transfers), on non-evolving host cultures containing (i) *E. coli* C wildtype, (ii) the 14 strains for which resistance had been overcome (permissive hosts), and (iii) the still-resistant strain of interest (non-permissive host). Notably, all transfers completed on the same day involved transferring both phage and bacteria; on every fourth transfer, supernatants were collected and only phage transferred. Phages capable of infecting the resistant strain of interest were isolated from the two lineages. Details of the evolved phages are presented in **Table S2**, and further methodological details are provided in **Methods**.

Fig. 4. Increased host diversity facilitates viral adaptation. A. ΦX174 wildtype evolves on *E. coli* C wildtype and an "easy" *E. coli* C resistant strain. Adaptation to the resistant mutant is fast, and requires few transfers. **B.** ΦX174 wildtype evolves on *E. coli* C wildtype and a hard *E. coli* C resistant strain that it failed to infect within 21 standard serial transfers. Infecting this resistant strain may be difficult and take a long time. **C.** ΦX174 wildtype evolves in a three-host mix containing *E. coli* C wildtype and two *E. coli* C resistant strains: one easy and one hard. Phage adapts quickly to the easy resistant phenotype. If the infection of the easy resistant strain leads to the production of an evolutionary intermediate phage, then the hard phenotype can be infected after a few more transfers. If no evolutionary intermediate phage is produced, then no phage evolves to infect the hard resistant *E. coli* C strain.

To identify the mutation(s) responsible for the re-infection of the resistance mutants, we performed

whole genome re-sequencing on the 16 evolved phages (isogenic isolates) that successfully overcame

the corresponding resistance in one of the three evolution experiments (see **Methods**). We found

mutations in *F* and/or *H* genes in all 16 (**Table S2**) and discuss their molecular details in depth in the

subsequent section. Notably, all hard resistant *E. coli* C strains - those whose resistance was overcome

in the second and/or third evolution experiments - are only infected by phage carrying four mutations

(see **Tables S1 & S2**). The evolutionary emergence of a multi-mutation phage is expected to require

a large number of transfers in a two-strain evolution experiment (**Fig. 4B**). However, this process is

significantly accelerated by propagating a diverse mixture of phages that can overcome easy resistance,

some of which may act as evolutionary intermediates (i.e., carry a subset of mutations required to

overcome hard resistance). The mutational sets required to overcome hard resistance can subsequently

emerge in a single mutational step, either by recombination[44] or by *de novo* mutation (**Fig. 4C**). For

example, hard resistant strain *E. coli* C R22 (a *galU* mutant; see **Table S1**) is overcome in the second

evolution experiment by ΦX174 R22 T1, a phage that carries four mutations (three in *F*, one in *H*;

see **Table S2**). Each of these mutations was present in the phage cocktail used to initiate the

evolutionary lineage: ΦX174 R18 T4 (which overcomes the easy resistance of *E. coli* C R18) contains

three of the four mutations, and the final mutation is found in ΦX174 R10 T6 (which overcomes the

easy resistance in *E. coli* C R10). Thus, adaptation to an easy resistant LPS structure (e.g., that of *E.*

coli C R18) yields an evolutionary intermediate phage that can more easily evolve to infect a hard

resistant LPS structure (e.g., that of *E. coli* C R22).

While adaptation to re-infect easy resistant host strains can be rapid under low host diversity (as shown

in previous studies[43,45]), the results in this section demonstrate that it can be nearly impossible to infect

hard *E. coli* C resistant strains in the absence of additional hosts that allow the evolution of

intermediate phages.

ΦX174 overcomes *E. coli* C resistance by mutations in the F capsid and H minor spike

proteins

The 16 evolved phages collectively carry 40 mutations, 15 of which are unique (**Fig. 5A and Table**

S2). All are non-synonymous and occur in either *F* (encoding the viral capsid, with mutations

occurring specifically in regions encoding the E-F and H-I loops[46]; the loops are involved in the

irreversible adsorption of the capsid to the bacterium's cell surface[29]) or *H* (encoding the minor spike

protein involved in viral DNA injection) (**Figs. 5B & C**). Almost all evolved phages (13 of 16, or
~81%) carry multiple (between two and four) mutations (**Fig. 5D**). These results are consistent with
previous studies demonstrating that mutations in *F* and *H* are relevant for the adaptation of ΦX174
to novel hosts[47–49].

Parallel evolution was common in our evolved phages, particularly in the control lines and the lineages
(populations) that failed to infect their corresponding resistant *E. coli* C strains (see **Table S3**). Of the
three controls and 15 resistant lines (the phage infecting R5 was removed from the mutational
analyses, see **Text S1**) that remained uninfected by their own phage, a total of 33 mutations were
detected, but only ten were unique. All of these mutations are located in the *F* gene, except one in the
A/A* genes (at genomic position 4817). The mutation in the *F* gene at genomic position 2280 (causing
amino acid change S427L in the F protein) arose in 13 lineages. Among them, nine (69 %) present
between one to three additional mutations. High degrees of parallel evolution have also previously
been observed in ΦX174 wildtype strains and other viruses[50–54], and is an indicator of either (i) a low
number of mutational targets with high fitness gains, or (ii) mutational bias towards certain positions
in the genome[37,54].

We also observed high levels of parallel evolution in the isogenic phage strains that evolved to infect
E. coli C mutants with the same predicted LPS phenotype (**Figs. 5A and 5B**). Parallelism was especially
remarkable among phages infecting the four bacterial strains with the shortest (deep rough
heptoseless) predicted LPS structure (see **Fig. 2**). The four phages infecting *E. coli* C R18, R8, R10,
and R26 all carry an amino acid substitution at position 154 in the F protein (either Q154K or Q154R).
In addition, the two phage strains adapted to the *E. coli* C mutants R8 (*hldE* mutant) and R18 (*waaC*
mutant) also carry the mutations Y103H in the F protein and A68T in the H protein. Finally, while *E.*
coli C R27 and R31 both became resistant via the same amino acid substitution in HldE (G27A), their
corresponding phages evolved similarly to re-infect by each acquiring a different mutation that led to
the same change at the protein level (G91V in the H protein).

Fig. 5. Adaptation of ΦX174 to resistant strains of *E. coli* C. A. Positions of all predicted amino acid changes in the F and H proteins observed in the 16 evolved ΦX174 strains. **B.** Substitution matrix of all amino acid changes observed in ΦX174. ΦX174 strains are ordered based on the predicted LPS structures they infected during the evolution experiments (A-F: overcame heptoseless *waaC* and *hldE* mutants; G-L: overcame *waaO* mutants; M-N: overcame *galU* and *waaG* mutants; O: overcame *waaP*/*pssA* mutant; P: overcame *rfaH* mutant). The solid blue lines separate the evolved phages according to the evolution experiment from which they were isolated (A-L: first; M-N: second; O-P: third). The x-axis shows amino acid substitutions in the F and H proteins (separated by the dashed line), R# indicates the resistant bacterial strain that the phage evolved on, and T# is the number of transfers required to overcome host resistance. **C.** Location of protein changes on the ΦX174 three-dimensional structure. The F capsid proteins are coloured in cyan and the G major spike proteins are in magenta. Residues affected by the mutations in this study are in red and shown for one F capsid unit only. The G protein spike (above that pictured here) has been removed to improve visualisation. The image was generated using Geneious prime (version 2020.1.2) and Protein Data Bank accession code 2BPA[46]. Changes in the H protein cannot be displayed as they all occur outside of the currently determined crystallographic structure. **D.** Barplot of the number of evolved phage isolates carrying 1, 2, 3, 4 mutations. Most phage isolates – particularly those isolated from the second and third evolution experiments – carry multiple mutations.

Parallel evolution was also observed in phages infecting bacteria within several other classes of

predicted LPS structure. For example, the evolved phages infecting *galU* mutant *E. coli* C R22 and

waaG mutant *E. coli* C R28 carry an identical set of four mutations, one in the *H* gene and three in the

F gene (**Table S2 and Fig. 5**). Another example is the mutation at position 116 in the F protein (either

D116G or D116S) that occurred in four different phages all infecting different *waaO* mutants (**Figs.**

5A and 5B, **Table S2**). Parallel evolution in phages that evolved to infect *E. coli* C strains with the

same predicted LPS structure generally support the predicted classes of LPS structure. However,

analyses in the following section demonstrate that LPS structure predictions generally underestimate

LPS diversity.

Evolved phages can be used to discriminate between LPS phenotypes

To determine precisely which of the 31 initially resistant *E. coli* C strains each of the 16 evolved phages

can infect (i.e., the infection pattern of each phage), a cross-infection assay was performed (**Fig. S3**).

This involved measuring the infectivity of all possible host-phage combinations by two distinct

methods: (i) phage spotting on host lawns, and (ii) host spotting on phage lawns. In cases where a

mismatch between the two results was observed, standard plaque assays were used as a tie breaker

(see **Methods**). If our predictions – which are based on current literature in the field – of seven distinct

LPS structures among the 31 initially resistant *E. coli* C strains are accurate (see **Fig. 2 and Table S1**),

then all phages that evolved to infect a mutant with a given predicted LPS structure are expected to

be capable of cross-infecting all other host strains with the same predicted LPS structure. That is, the

infection patterns in **Fig. S3** would be identical for each class (colour) of *E. coli* C mutant. To visually

determine whether infection patterns at least cluster according to predicted LPS structure, a

hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis was performed on the infection matrix in **Fig. 6**. Of the

six predicted LPS structures that occur in at least two *E. coli* C mutants, three form unbroken clusters

with respect to infectivity pattern (cyan, light purple, and dark purple highlighting in **Fig. 6**) and three

do not (magenta, yellow, green highlighting). Each group is discussed in more detail below.

LPS predictions that cluster by infection pattern. Firstly, ΦX174 mutants R22 T1 and R28 T1 - isogenic

phages that evolved to infect resistant strains with mutations in *galU* (*E. coli* C R22) and *waaG* (*E. coli*

C R28) - can cross-infect all eight *E. coli* C mutants predicted to exhibit the same LPS structure (*E. coli*

C R9, R16, R17, R22, R23, R28, R30, R35; highlighted in cyan in **Figs. 6 and S4**). Secondly, both *E.*

coli C *waaW* mutants (R2 and R34; light purple) are cross-infected by the same three evolved phage

genotypes (R6 T12, R13 T6, R25 T4). Thirdly, both *E. coli* C *waaF* mutants (R12 and R14; dark purple)

are infected by a near-identical set of seven (R12) or six (R14) phages. In each of these cases, phage

infectivity patterns appear to be a good indicator of host LPS phenotype (and, to some extent,

underlying host genotype).

Fig. 6. Hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis of the host ranges of evolved phage. To
unravel structures in the heatmap infection matrix (see **Fig. S3**), we performed a clustering analysis
using the default settings of the Seaborn library (version 0.11.2) for Python (version 3.7.4). Briefly,
each data point is considered as a cluster. The linkage method computes the distance between them,
then repeatedly combines two nearest clusters into larger clusters, until a single cluster is left. *E. coli* C
strains are coloured based on their predicted core LPS structure (see **Fig. 2**). Phage names in black
were obtained during the first 21 serial transfers (first evolution experiment). Phage strains in blue
were obtained in the second evolution experiment (phage cocktail, one transfer per day). Phage strains
in red were obtained in the third evolution experiment (phage cocktail, four transfers per day). Dark
blue squares=infection, light blue square=no infection, coloured square=control infection by a phage evolved
on that host. R# indicates the number of the resistant strain the phage evolved on, and T# is the transfer
number where plaques were first observed. "?": core LPS structure of *E. coli* C R25 (*rfaH* mutant) could not be
predicted.

LPS predictions that do not cluster by infection pattern. Firstly, the six of the seven *E. coli* C *waaO* mutants
(highlighted in magenta in **Figs. 6 and S4**) can be cross-infected by the same set of six phages. The
seventh *waaO* mutant (*E. coli* C R7) was the only *waaO* mutant for which the corresponding phage
lineage did not evolve to infect the resistant mutant in the first evolution experiment; it can only be
infected by the phage infecting R26 and phages that evolved in the second and third evolution
experiments (**Fig. 6**). Hence, it is likely that the LPS structure of R7 differs from that of the other
waaO mutants. The difference could conceivably result from residual (or altered) WaaO function; *E.*
coli C R7 carries an in-frame deletion of a 6-bp repeat in *waaO*, which alters two WaaO residues, but
leaves the remainder of the protein intact (see **Table S1**). While it may be possible that the LPS
structure of R7 resembles that of *galU/waaG* mutants (**Figs. 2 and S4**), more detailed LPS studies are
needed to elucidate the LPS structure of R7.

Secondly, the infection patterns of the two *waaP* mutants *E. coli* C R6 and R11 (highlighted in yellow
in **Figs. 6 and S4**) also do not fit with the LPS structure prediction; R6 is infected by a single phage
that evolved directly on host R6 (phage R6 T12 from the third evolution experiment), while R11 is
cross-infected by two different phages (phage R13 T6 from the first evolution experiment, and R25
T4 from the third). The cluster map analysis indicates that R11 displays an LPS phenotype comparable
to the rough LPS phenotype of R33, a *waaT* mutant (**Fig. 6**). *E. coli* C R6 was the last resistant strain

to be infected by an evolved phage, and the evolved phage infecting R6 cannot cross-infect R11.
Therefore, the location and nature of the mutation in *waaP* strongly impact the LPS structure, possibly
by affecting the number of phosphorylated hexoses in the inner core LPS (see **Fig. S1**).

Thirdly, eight deep rough *E. coli* C mutants are predicted to have the shortest possible LPS structure
(**Fig. 2**; green highlighting in **Figs. 6 and S4**). Yet the combination of phages that can infect each of
them is remarkably different, with these *E. coli* C strains clustering into four different phenotypic sub-
groups (**Fig. 6**). The first sub-group consists of *E. coli* C R8, R10, R18, and R26. Each of these strains
can be cross-infected by their corresponding evolved phages regardless of their genotypes (a mixture
of *waaC* or *hldE* mutants). However, none of the four evolved phages can cross-infect *E. coli* C R27
or R31 (*hldE* mutants). *E. coli* C R27 and R31 constitute the second sub-group, in which both bacteria
and their corresponding evolved phages acquired identical genotypes and phenotypes. *E. coli* C R5
(*gmhB* mutant) and *E. coli* C R32 (*hldE* mutant) fall into a third and fourth sub-groups. They are the
only deep-rough mutants for which the corresponding phage lineages failed to adapt during the first
evolution experiment. Only a very narrow but different set of evolved phages can infect them
(especially for R32), and none of them belongs to the first or second deep rough LPS phenotypic
group.

The phenotypic differences observed in the four groups are not due to the involvement of *hldE*, *waaC*,
and *gmhB*, since a total loss of function in any of these genes always leads to a complete truncation of
the inner core LPS (**Fig. S2B**). *E. coli* C R8, R10, R18, and R26 belong to the same phenotypic group
despite having either *hldE* or *waaC* mutated, while neither R27, R31, or R32 (*hldE* mutants) belong to
this group (**Table S1**, **Fig. 6**). The involvement of the *gmhB* gene in *E. coli* C R5 might be an exception.
Previous research demonstrated that the deletion of *gmhB* only caused a partial defect in the synthesis
of the LPS core, resulting in the formation of heptoseless and heptose-rich forms[55]. However, the lack
of other resistant strains carrying mutations in *gmhB* in our dataset makes it unclear whether any
mutation in *gmhB* leads to the same mix of a unique deep rough and the WT phenotypes or if multiple
deep rough phenotypes can appear and persist. Nonetheless, the phenotype exhibited by R5 is
strikingly close to the one of *E. coli* C WT (**Fig. 6**). Thus, the involvement of these three genes in the
production of the deep rough phenotypes cannot explain the vast phenotypic diversity in LPS
structures. Instead, the location and type of mutation are more likely to cause the observed LPS
structure diversity.

Our infectivity assay demonstrates that predictions of LPS structure based on mutated gene(s) are not

always – or even often - accurate. Mutations are not simple knock-out mutations as previously

assumed but can have much more subtle effects on LPS structure. Hence, the "LEGO® block"

principle (see section **Diverse LPS structures confer resistance to ΦX174 infection**) is an

oversimplified model that does account for all structural alterations and how they can be they can be

regulated[14]. Phage infectivity patterns, however, provide a much better indicator of LPS structure and

can be used to link bacterial genotypes to their LPS phenotypes.

Linking phage genotype to phenotype

Similar to how phages can be used to link bacterial genotypes to LPS phenotypes, we can –

theoretically - use evolved bacteria to link phage genotypes to infection phenotypes. While there are

not many instances that unequivocally allow us to tie a mutation to a genotype, we can tie the

phenotype of infecting *E. coli* C wildtype to a specific phage genotype. Every phage strain that loses

the ability to infect the wildtype has a combination of two mutations: A68T in the H protein and

Q154K/R in the F protein (**Figs. 5B & 6**). In isolation, A68T confers the ability to infect *waaO*

mutants and Q154K/R [R8, R18, R10, R26]-heptoseless mutants. While the two mutations combined

allow the phage to infect both heptoseless and *waaO* mutants, the phage loses the ability to infect the

E. coli C wildtype. Phage R25 is the only phage that can infect all three genotypes: *waaO*, the [R8, R18,

R10, R26]-heptoseless mutants, and *E. coli* C wildtype. Presumably, this is because R25 does not

contain the Q154K/R mutation and instead uses another set of mutations that allows R25 to infect

[R8, R18, R10, R26]-heptoseless mutants.

Discussion

Our experiments have shown that *E. coli* C can become resistant to ΦX174 infection through

mutations in genes involved in the LPS biosynthesis or assembly. ΦX174 can, in turn, evolve to

reinfect initially resistant *E. coli* C strains by acquiring mutations in genes involved in host recognition

or DNA injection. Similar to ΦX174 wildtype, the evolved phages are highly host-specific and hence

can be used to distinguish between bacteria with different LPS structures. Such high host specificity

allows testing of the currently accepted LPS structure model, where LPS structures are determined by

the presence and absence of LPS synthesis and assembly genes. Our data on phage infectivity show

that LPS diversity is far greater than the current model suggests. Presumably, the modulation of LPS

gene activity leads to the variety of LPS phenotypes. Our findings can potentially be applied to other

phages that use LPS as a single or complementary receptor for host infection[56–58]. The LPS is a major

structural component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria[7], and its biosynthesis and

assembly are well known and conserved among bacterial species.

Another major finding of our study, namely that increasing phage and host diversity allows the

infection of hard resistant phenotypes (see **Fig. 4C**), has also been observed in a co-evolution

experiment between a different host-phage pair[59]. That is, phage Lambda requires at least four

mutations to infect the novel OmpF receptor in *E. coli* B, making it almost impossible to evolve the

from the wildtype phage in a single step[60]. Evolving all four mutations in one Lambda phage genome

first required the presence of Lambda phage evolutionary intermediates that already carried specific

mutations. These evolutionary intermediates arose from the infection of easy *E. coli* resistant mutants

(*malT* loss-of-function mutants) that could still spontaneously induce low-levels of the traditional host

receptor, LamB. By increasing the host diversity, the Lambda phage eventually evolved the additional

mutations (four in total) to overcome the hard *E. coli* mutants (*lamB* loss-of-function mutants)[59,61].

Similarly, we showed that the successful infection of *waaG*, *galU*, *rfaH*, and *waaP/pssA* resistant strains

became accessible by increasing host and phage diversity.

The use of bacteriophage ΦX174 could be a promising avenue for human and animal health therapies

(either in cocktails or in complement with antibiotics). The considerable knowledge acquired from

decades of research on its structure, life cycle, and evolution makes ΦX174 a good candidate for health

applications. Its safety has also been clinically studied and approved by the FDA[62,63]. Currently, the

preferred approach to phage therapy is the isolation of phages from environmental samples that can

infect specific bacterial pathogens[64]. However, finding new phages is often time-consuming. The

isolation, characterization, and adaptation of these new phages can drastically slow their suitability for

therapy, and their safety should also be assessed since phages are known to carry dangerous toxins[65,66].

The main safety advantage of ΦX174 has also been one of the greatest issues for the potential of a

therapeutic agent: its high host specificity[30]. Here we show that evolution experiments can easily

extend the host range of ΦX174 to formerly resistant strains, unlocking its potential as a powerful

therapeutic. Hence, due to therapeutic potential and potential for knowledge transfer, we believe that

established model systems such as *E. coli* C and ΦX174 should continue to be used to investigate the

basis for phage resistance, and mechanisms by which it can be overcome[67–69].

Methods

Bacterial and phage strains. The ancestral *Escherichia coli* strain C used in this study differs from the one uploaded on the NCBI website (GenBank accession number CP020543.1) by the presence of 9 additional insertions and two nucleotides' replacements (c → t at the position 1,1720,214 and g → t at the position 1,190,560). The sequence of CP020543.1 was manually modified using Geneious Prime (version 2020.1.2). Whole-genome re-sequencing on *E. coli* C wildtype's glycerol stock confirmed the modifications. All resistant bacterial strains generated in this study are derived from our ancestral *Escherichia coli* strain C. All bacteriophage strains are derived from the ancestral coliphage ΦX174 (GenBank accession number AF176034). AF176034 sequence was downloaded from the NCBI website and manually annotated using Geneious Prime (version 2020.1.2). Whole-genome re-sequencing on ΦX174 wildtype's glycerol stock showed no difference. Complete genomes of *E. coli* C WT and ΦX174 WT used as references have been deposited in Zenodo (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6952399). Both ancestral strains were provided by H.A. Wichman (Department of Biological Sciences, University of Idaho).

Media and growth conditions. Phage and bacteria were grown in a shaking incubator (New Brunswick™ Innova® 44) at 37°C, 250 rpm, in LB (Lysogeny Broth, Miller) medium supplemented with $CaCl_2$ and $MgCl_2$ at a final concentration of 5 mM and 10 mM, respectively. Solid LB agar (1.5 % agar) was used to plate both bacteria and phages. When plating phage, top agar overlay (0.5 % agar), also called SSA in this study, was supplemented with $CaCl_2$ and $MgCl_2$ at a final concentration of 5 mM and 10 mM respectively. Overnight incubations of both plates and cultures were set up for ~16-17 hours at 37°C. PBJ solution (Phage Buffer Juice: 2.03 % Tris-HCl, 0.61 % $MgCl_2.6H_2O$) was used for serial dilutions.

Isolation and storage of *E. coli* C strains with resistance to wildtype ΦX174. Thirty-five *E. coli* C wildtype colonies were randomly chosen from an agar plate, and each used to inoculate an independent overnight liquid culture. 50 μl of each stationary phase culture was mixed with 50 μl of a high titer (~10^9 pfu ml^{-1}) stock of ΦX174 wildtype lysate, immediately plated on 1.5 % agar, and incubated at 37°C overnight. From each plate, half a randomly chosen colony was used to inoculate a fresh overnight LB liquid culture. Once grown, a sample from each culture was mixed with glycerol saline solution and cryogenically frozen at -80°C (giving strains *E. coli* C R1-R35). To confirm the

acquisition of resistance to wildtype ΦX174, the remaining half of each colony was streaked onto a

1.5 % LB agar plate soaked with 100 μl of the high titer ΦX174 wildtype lysate, and incubated at 37°C

overnight.

Phage lysate preparation. Ten to twelve drops of chloroform were added to growing *E. coli* C-phage

co-cultures, and vortexed for at least 30 seconds (to kill the bacteria). Cultures were then centrifugated

at 5000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants (phage lysates) were transferred to sterile 5 ml Eppendorf

tubes and stored at 4°C. One millilitre of each lysate was stored with glycerol saline solution and

cryogenically frozen at -80°C.

First phage evolution experiment - Standard. The protocol from Bono *et al.*[70] was adapted to

evolve phages that can infect resistant *E. coli* C strains. Of R1-R35 – the 35 *E. coli* C strains resistant

to ΦX174 wildtype, see above – 31 were used for the first phage evolution experiment (excluding R1,

R3, R15, and R19; see **Results** and **Text S1**). Thirty-one ΦX174 lineages were each founded by

ΦX174 wildtype and serially transferred in cultures containing a mix of *E. coli* C wildtype (permissive

strain) and one resistant *E. coli* C strain (non-permissive strain; R2-R35). For each transfer, permissive

and non-permissive bacteria were grown separately until they reached log phase, then mixed in at a

specific ratio depending on the growth of the non-permissive strain (see **Figs. 3A & S4** and

Supplementary Methods), to a final volume of 5 ml. At each transfer, freshly prepared susceptible

cells were infected by ΦX174 at MOI_{input} ~ 0.1. Infected cultures were shaken at 250 rpm, 37°C, for

three hours. Phage lysates were isolated as described in **Phage lysate preparation**. Phage lysates from

the previous day were used to inoculate the fresh bacterial mixes the next day. Transfers continued

until phages were found to infect their corresponding resistant *E. coli* C strain, or until 21 transfers

were reached. Three control lines were also run in parallel, by transferring ΦX174 at MOI_{input} ~ 0.1 in

a culture containing *E. coli* C wildtype only.

Second phage evolution experiment – Increased diversity. Four independent ΦX174 lineages

were founded by a phage cocktail made of (i) the wildtype ΦX174 and (ii) all isogenic ΦX174 strains

that successfully re-infected their corresponding resistant strains during the first phage evolution

experiment, at a roughly equal number of plaque forming units (PFU). Fourteen isogenic phage strains

were used: ΦX174 R4 T7, ΦX174 R5 T2, ΦX174 R8 T6, ΦX174 R10 T6, ΦX174 R13 T6, ΦX174

R18 T4, ΦX174 R19 T1, ΦX174 R20 T5, ΦX174 R21 T1, ΦX174 R24 T1, ΦX174 R26 T1, ΦX174

R27 T1, ΦX174 R29 T1, and ΦX174 R31 T1. Phages infecting R5 and R19 were used for the cocktail
but removed from the mutational analysis (see **Text S1** and **Table S4**). Each lineage was transferred
daily for up to four days, on non-evolving host cultures containing (i) *E. coli* C wildtype, (ii) the 14
host strains (see **Text S1** and **Table S4**) for which resistance had been overcome (permissive hosts),
and (iii) an excess of the still-resistant strain of interest (non-permissive host). For the non-permissive
host, we used R6 (*waaP/pssA* mutant), R22 (*galU* mutant), R25 (*rfaH* mutant), or R28 (*waaG* mutant;
see **Table S1**). *E. coli* C R22 and R28 were chosen as representatives of the *galU* and *waaG* mutants,
respectively. Each bacterial strain (permissive and non-permissive) was grown separately in LB liquid
culture for one hour (37°C, 250 rpm), then pooled at roughly equal number of colony forming units
The resistant strain of interest was added in excess to give a final volume of 4 ml. Infected cultures
were initiated by adding the phage cocktail (MOI$_{input}$ ~ 0.1), shaken at 250 rpm, 37°C, for 5 hours (see
Fig. 3B). Phage lysates were isolated as described in **Phage lysate preparation**. Phage lysates from
the previous day were used to inoculate the fresh bacterial mixes the next day. This process was
repeated until phages were found to infect their corresponding resistant *E. coli* C strains, or until four
transfers had been completed. See **Supplementary Methods** for a detailed version of the protocol.
542
Third evolution experiment – Increased diversity and generations. Two independent ΦX174
lineages were founded by the same phage cocktail used in the second phage evolution experiment.
Protocol from the second evolution experiment was adjusted to reduce the amount of time necessary
to retrieved the desired phages. We increased the number of lineages' transfers per day from one to
four, for a total of four days (16 transfers). *E. coli* C R6 (*waaP/pssA* mutant) and R25 (*rfaH* mutant,
see **Table S1**) were used as non-permissive hosts. After reaching their exponential log phase, bacterial
strains (except the non-permissive hosts) were mixed depending on their growth (**Figs. 3C & S4**,
Supplementary Methods). New host cultures were kept in exponential growth phase independently
by transferring every hour 1:5 of the volume (1ml) in fresh LB pre-heated at 37°C (see **Fig. 3C**).
Before each transfer, all host cultures were mixed together. Infected cultures were started by adding
the phage cocktail to the final host mix at MOI$_{input}$ ~ 0.1, shaken at 250 rpm, 37°C, for one hour. After
that, 1:15 of the volume of each infected culture was transferred in freshly mixed bacterial cultures
and infection continued for one hour (see **Fig. 3C**). This step was repeated for a total of four transfers.
The final (fourth) transfer lasted for two hours. All transfers completed on the same day involved
transferring both phage and bacteria; on every fourth transfer, supernatants were collected and only
phage transferred. Phage lysates were isolated as described in **Phage lysate preparation**. Phage lysates

from the previous day were used to inoculate the first fresh bacterial mixes the next day. See

Supplementary Methods for a detailed version of the protocol.

Isolation of evolved ΦX174 strains from evolution experiments. Top agar overlays were prepared

by mixing 100 µl of undiluted phage lysates with 200 µl of overnight culture of the corresponding

resistant *E. coli* C strain in 4 ml SSA. Plates were incubated inverted at 37°C for ~16-17 hours. An

isolated plaque was chosen randomly for each phage lysate to infect cultures of the corresponding

resistant *E. coli* C strains (in exponential growth state) incubated at 37°C, 250 rpm, for 5 hours. Phage

lysates were isolated as described in **Phage lysate preparation**. Phage isolates were re-isolated from

single plaques a second time from their glycerol stocks. See **Supplementary Methods** for a detailed

version of the protocol.

Determination of the evolved phages' host range by spotting assays

Method 1. Each top agar overlay was prepared by mixing 200 µl of an *E. coli* C strain from stationary

phase culture in 4 ml SSA. Then, 3 µl of each undiluted evolved phage lysate (between 10^7 and 10^9

pfu ml^{-1}) was dropped at the surface. *Method 2*. Each top agar overlay was prepared by mixing a volume

of each phage lysate in 4 ml SSA at a final concentration of ~10^7 pf ml^{-1}. Then, 3 µl of both undiluted

and ten-fold diluted of each *E. coli* C strain (from overnight culture) were dropped onto the surface

with a pipette. Plates were incubated inverted at 37°C for ~17 hours. *E. coli* C wildtype was used as a

positive control (permissive strain), and *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 as a negative control (non-permissive

strain). A bacterial host strain was classified as sensitive only when signs of lysis were detected using

both methods, in at least two (of three) replicates per method. A phage-bacterium combination that

yielded different results between the two methods was tested in standard plaque assays (see

Supplementary Methods). Finally, the host strain was classified as sensitive if plaques was observed

at both dilutions.

Data visualisation. The hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis (Clustermap **Fig. 6**), the

heatmap (**Fig. S3**), and growth curves (**Fig. S4**) were generated using the default settings of the

Seaborn library (version 0.11.2) for Python (version 3.7.4). All schematic drawings (**Figs. 1**-**4**, **S1 and**

S2) were created with BioRender.com. The Lollipop plot (**Fig. 5A**) was generated using trackViewer

Vignette: lollipopPlot (Lolliplot) in R (version 1.27.11).

Whole genome re-sequencing.

Bacteria. Samples were prepared for whole genome re-sequencing from 1 mL of stationary-phase culture. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Wizard® Genomic DNA purification Kit (Promega, Germany). Extracted DNA was tested for quality, pooled, and sequenced by the Max-Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology (Plön, Germany) using an Illumina Nextera DNA Flex Library Prep Kit to produce 150 bp paired-end reads[71].

Phage. ΦX174 samples were prepared for whole genome re-sequencing from 1 mL of phage lysate. Genomic DNA was extracted using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN), then amplified by performing 20 cycles of PCR using Q5® High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (NEB). The primers used for amplification of the ΦX174 genome are listed in **Table S6**. DNA samples were tested for quality, pooled, and sequenced by the Max-Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology (Plön, Germany). Sequencing was performed using an Illumina MiSeq DNA Flex Library Prep Kit to produce 150 bp paired-end reads[71].

The quality of the sequencing output from bacteria and phages was controlled using *FastQC* version 0.11.8. Reads were trimmed using *Trimmomatic*, assembled and analysed using the *breseq* pipeline (version 0.33.2[72–74]) and Geneious Prime (version 2020.1.2).

Sanger sequencing of ΦX174 *F* and *H* genes. Using the ΦX174 genomic DNA extracted above as templates, the *F* and *H* genes were amplified by 35 cycles of PCR using Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix with HF Buffer (ThermoFisher). Primers used for this purpose are listed in **Table S6**. Then, Sanger sequencing was performed using sequencing primers (**Table S6**) at the Max-Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology (Plön, Germany). Sequencing results were assembled and analysed with Geneious Prime (version 2020.1.2).

Data avalaibility

Whole-genome and Sanger sequencing results. Complete genomes of *E. coli* C WT and ΦX174 WT used as references, and raw sequencing reads used to generated the **Tables S1-5** have been deposited in Zenodo (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6952399).

Growth curves. Raw OD measurements used to generated **Fig. S4** have been deposited in in Zenodo

(doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6952399).

Hierarchical agglomerative clustering and heatmap data. Pictures and raw data used to generate **Figs. 6** and

S3 have been deposited in Zenodo (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6952399).

Supplementary Text S1

Bacteria and phage isolates that were excluded from downstream analyses. We removed four bacterial strains (*E.*

coli C R1, R3, R15, and R19) and two evolved phage strains (ΦX174 R5 T2 and ΦX174 R19 T1) from

both mutational and phenotypical analyses. The reasons behind these exclusions are outlined below.

Bacteria. We found that both *E. coli* C R3 and R15 were not isogenic. While whole genome re-

sequencing from their respective glycerol stocks showed only a single mutation in *galE*, whole genome

re-sequencing performed on colony re-streaks showed that additional mutations were systematically

associated with the single mutation in *galE* (**Tables S4 and S5**). *E. coli* C R1 displayed an unstable

resistant phenotype using the spotting assay *method 1* (see section **Determination of the evolved**

phages' host range by spotting assays). We performed phenotypic assays by plating top agar

overlays from overnight cultures of *E. coli* C R1 without phage. All overnight cultures were started

with a randomly picked single colony obtained from the glycerol stock of R1. We observed that

colonies of R1 made different lawn types: either "smooth" (no bacterial aggregate) or "granulous"

(presence of numerous bacterial aggregates), which could potentially impact phage infectivity. Finally,

E. coli C R19 was only partially resistant to ΦX174 wildtype. ΦX174 wildtype was still able to infect

and produced a few small clear plaques on a lawn of R19. It carries a single mutation *yajC* (locus tag

B6N50_17610), which encodes a periplasmic protein[75] with a putative preprotein translocase subunit

(Pfam e-value 2.3e-26) (see **Table S4**). While no link to the LPS biosynthesis or assembly has yet been

defined, *yajC* could conceivably play a role in the injection of phage DNA into the bacterium's

cytoplasmic membrane[76,77].

In our matrices (**Figs. 6 & S3**), *E. coli* C R32 cannot be infected by any evolved phages from the first

evolution experiment. It is because the phage infecting R5 was the only phage that could infect R32,

but was removed from the subsequent analysis (see below).

Phage. Since we removed R19 from the final analysis, we also removed its corresponding evolved phage

obtained during the first evolution experiment (ΦX174 R19 T1). We also removed the phage infecting

R5 (ΦX174 R5 T2) because it was not isogenic (confirmed by Sanger Sequencing). Details on their

respective mutations (in the *H* gene) can be found in **Table S4**.

Supplementary Figures

Fig. S1. Assembly of *E. coli* rough type core LPS. A. Organization of the chromosomal *waa* region in the *E. coli C* wildtype strain. Three operons are encoded: *waaA, gmhD* and *waaQ*[18]. The *waaA* operon is responsible for the incorporation of two Kdo (3-deoxy-D-*manno*-octulosonic acids) moieties to the lipid A[78]. The *gmhD* operon is required for the assembly of the inner core[11] and the *waaQ* operon contains all eight genes necessary to assemble the outer core[18] **B.** Inner core assembly starts first with WaaA adding the two Kdo moieties to the lipid-A-IV precursor[15,11,18]. A bacterium lacking WaaA activity displays sever membrane defects, resulting in the inability to form colonies[79]. Heptoses residues I and II are then anchored to Kdo$_2$-lipid-A-IV by heptosyltransferases WaaC and WaaF, respectively[11,18,80]. LPS core heptose kinases WaaP and WaaY add phosphate groups to the first and second heptose residues, respectively. WaaQ adds the last heptose to the second heptose residue[11,18,80]. *WaaP, waaQ*, and *waaY* proceed in this specific order. *WaaY* cannot work without the activity of *waaQ*, which cannot work without *waaP* (first red ellipse). The glucosyltransferases WaaG and WaaO start the formation of the outer core by anchoring the first glucose to the second heptose residue and the second glucose to the first glucose residue, respectively[81,82,18]. It has been suggested that the WaaG-catalyzed reaction might be required for WaaP and WaaY substrate specificity[39]. Then, the galactosyltransferases WaaT and WaaW add the first galactose to the second glucose residue and the second galactose to the first galactose residue, respectively[18,83]. The completion of the outer core LPS is achieved when WaaV add the third glucose to the second glucose residue. Here, *waaV* requires the

activity of *waaW* and proceed in this specific order (second red ellipse). If *waaW* is deleted, *waaV* is

not functional[58,63]. Modifications of the LPS structure can lead to major phenotypic effects. In

particular, the deep rough phenotype is usually associated with a strongly destabilized outer membrane,

a decreased expression of some outer membrane proteins, a modification of the turgor pressure[77], and

an increase of susceptibility to hydrophobic compounds such as AMPs (antimicrobial peptides),

antibiotics, or bacteriocins[15,16,11,17–19]. Differences in LPS structures can also affect interactions with the

host immune system[78,7] and phage resistance[9,22–24].

Fig S2. Biosynthesis of the core LPS glucose, galactose and heptose sugar components. A. Galactose degradation I (Leloir Pathway). GalU functions in the Leloir pathway[55,85,86]. GalU catalyses the formation of UDP-α-D-glucose from α-D-glucopyranose 1- phosphate[87]. UDP-α-D-glucose can then be incorporated into the outer core LPS by WaaG. Therefore, both ΔgalU and ΔwaaG mutants result in the same truncated LPS structure[88,11,87]. GalE also functions in the Leloir pathway, catalyzing the interconversion of UDP-α-D-galactose and UDP-α-D-glucose during galactose catabolism[89]. UDP-α-D-galactose is then incorporated into the outer core LPS by WaaT[83]. Therefore, both ΔgalE and ΔwaaT are expected to result in the same truncated LPS[90]. This figure was adapted from https://biocyc.org/ECOLI/NEW-IMAGE?type=PATHWAY&object=GALACTMETAB-PWY[91]. **B.** Then ADP-L-glycero-β-D-*manno*-heptose synthesis pathway, which involves four genes: *gmhA*, *hldE*, *gmhB* and *gmhD*. Each gene encodes a protein that catalyses the production of a heptose intermediate. The final product is ADP-L-glycero-β-D-*manno*-heptose, which is used by the

700   heptosyltransferase WaaC to build the inner core LPS[55]. Thus, deletion of one of these genes is

701   expected to result in an heptoseless, deep-rough phenotype LPS[86]. This figure is adapted from

702   https://biocyc.org/ECOLI/NEW-IMAGE?type=PATHWAY&object=PWY0-1241[91].

Fig. S3. Infection matrix of evolved ΦX174 phages on the 31 resistant *E. coli* C strains. The infection matrix was produced by combining the results of two spotting methods and the plaque assays (see **Methods**). *E. coli* C strains are grouped and coloured based on their predicted core LPS structures[11,12,15,18,33,34,39,55,75,80–82,84,86–88,90]. ΦX174 strains are ordered based on the predicted LPS structures they infected during the evolution experiments (A-F: overcame heptoseless *waaC* and *hldE* mutants; G-L: overcame *waaO* mutants; M-N: overcame *galU* and *waaG* mutants; O: overcame *waaP/pssA* mutant; P: overcame *rfaH* mutant). The solid blue lines separate the evolved phages according to the evolution experiment in which they were isolated (A-L: first; M-N: second; O-P: third). Dark blue squares=infection, light blue square=no infection, coloured square=control infection by a phage evolved on that host. R# indicates the number of the resistant strain the phage evolved on, and T# is the transfer number where plaques were first observed. "?": core LPS structure of *E. coli* C R25 (*rfaH* mutant) could not be predicted.

718 **Fig. S4. *E. coli* C resistant strains can be categorized as fast, intermediate, or slow growers.** Two independent cultures of each resistant

719 strain were grown at 37°C, 250 rpm for 17 hours. 180 μl of each overnight culture was inoculated into a 1 4ml sterile tube (FALCON)

720 containing 5 ml of LB (supplemented with $CaCl_2$ and $MgCl_2$ at final concentrations of 5 mM and 10 mM, respectively). Incubation time was

721 set for 6 hours at 37°C, 250 rpm. Optical density (OD) was measured at 600nm every 30 minutes with an Ultrospec 10 (Biochrom). *E. coli* C

722 wildtype was used as a reference. The mean OD600 values at each time point for each bacterial mutant were calculated and plotted. Resistant

723 strains are categorized with respect to their growth compared to *E. coli* C wildtype. Black lines: *E. coli* C wildtype. Blue lines: resistant bacteria

724 that grew similarly to *E. coli* C wildtype ("fast growers"). Green lines: resistant strains grew somewhat more slowly than *E. coli* C wildtype and

725 fast growers ("intermediate growers"). Red lines: resistant strains that grew more slowly than *E. coli* C wildtype and intermediate growers

726 ("slow growers"). **A.** Growth curves of resistant strains R2-R6 and R21-R35. **B.** Growth curves of resistant strains R7-R20. These data

727 (mutants and controls) were collected in a separate block to those in panel A. **C.** Mean OD600 values of all resistant strains in panels A and

728 B, each normalized with respect to their corresponding *E. coli* C wildtype control.

Supplementary Tables

E. coli C resistant strains	Genes	Locus tags	Synonyms	Descriptions	Positions	Nucleotide changes	Amino Acid changes	Predicted LPS structure phenotypes	"Easy" or "Hard" LPS structure phenotypes?	# Experiment during which resistance was overcome or cross-infected
R2	waaW	B6N50_00430 →	-	UDP-galactose--(galactosyl) LPS alpha1,2-galactosyltransferase	79,779	g→a	G267D	Rough	Easy	1
R4	waaO	B6N50_00415 →	rfaI	UDP-D-glucose:(glucosyl)LPS α-1,3-glucosyltransferase	76,970	$(a)_{7→6}$	L249fsTer#263[a]	Rough	Easy	1
R5	gmhB	B6N50_18960 ←	-	D-glycero-beta-D-manno-heptose 1,7-bisphosphate 7-phosphatase	3,693,747	$(t)_{8→7}$	M45fsTer#51	Deep rough	Easy	1
R6	waaP	B6N50_00410 →	rfaP	Lipopolysaccharide core heptose(I) kinase	76,163 - 76,168	Δ6 bp	Δ(A251-A253)	Deep rough	Hard	3
	pssA	B6N50_06070 ←	-	Phosphatidylserine synthase	1,190,560	t→g	V54V			
R7	waaO	B6N50_00415 →	rfaI	UDP-D-glucose:(glucosyl)LPS α-1,3-glucosyltransferase	77,219 - 77,224	$(ttattt)_{2→1}$	Δ(F332-L334)	Rough	Easy	1
R8	hldE	B6N50_03700 →	rfaE/waaE	Bifunctional heptose 7-phosphate kinase/heptose 1-phosphate adenyltransferase	721,891 - 721,892	$(gc)_{5→4}$	A79fs_Ter#83	Deep rough	Easy	1
R9	waaG	B6N50_00405 →	rfaG	UDP-glucose:(heptosyl) LPS α1,3-glucosyltransferase (glucosyltransferase I)	75,073 - 75,076	Δ4 bp	G260fs_Ter#266	Deep rough	Hard	2
R10	hldE	B6N50_03700 →	rfaE/waaE	Bifunctional heptose 7-phosphate kinase/heptose 1-phosphate adenyltransferase	721,891 - 721,892	$(gc)_{5→4}$	A79fs_Ter#83	Deep rough	Easy	1
	CRISPR	B6N50_05180 → / ← B6N50_05185	-	Repeat region	1,023,697 - 1,023,940	Δ244 bp	Non-coding region			
R11	waaP	B6N50_00410 →	rfaP	Lipopolysaccharide core heptose(I) kinase	75,562	g→a	W51stop	Deep rough	Easy	1
R12	waaF	B6N50_00450 ←	rfaF	ADP-heptose--LPS heptosyltransferase II	84,376	g→a	Q30stop	Deep rough	Easy	1
R13	waaO	B6N50_00415 →	rfaI	UDP-D-glucose:(glucosyl)LPS α-1,3-glucosyltransferase	76,255	Δ1 bp	M11fsTer#58	Rough	Easy	1
R14	waaF	B6N50_00450 ←	rfaF	ADP-heptose--LPS heptosyltransferase II	84,081	c→t	W128stop	Deep rough	Easy	1
R16	galU	B6N50_13325 ←	-	UTP--glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	2,611,994	Δ1 bp	V37fsTer#41	Deep rough	Hard	2
R17	galU	B6N50_13325 ←	-	UTP--glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	2,611,409	$(g)_{4→3}$	P232fsTer#248	Deep rough	Hard	2
R18	waaC	B6N50_00445 ←	rfaC	ADP-heptose--LPS heptosyltransferase I	83,271	Δ1 bp	H48fsTer#71	Deep rough	Easy	1
R20	waaO	B6N50_00415 →	rfaI	UDP-D-glucose:(glucosyl)LPS α-1,3-glucosyltransferase	77,104	g→a	W294stop	Rough	Easy	1

R21	*waaO*	B6N50_00415 →	*rfaI*	UDP-D-glucose:(glucosyl)LPS α-1,3-glucosyltransferase	76,978	c→a	S252stop	Rough	Easy	1
	potH	B6N50_15295 ←	-	Spermidine/putrescine ABC transporter permease	2,990,409	g→t	Q310K			
R22	*galU*	B6N50_13325 ←	-	UTP--glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	2,611,590 - 2,611,600	INS 2,783,815 - 2,784,943	M168_V172ins(376)[b]	Deep rough	Hard	2
R23	*waaG*	B6N50_00405 →	*rfaG*	UDP-glucose:(heptosyl) LPS α1,3-glucosyltransferase (glucosyltransferase I)	74,589 - 74,606	Δ18 bp	Δ(A99-E105)	Deep rough	Hard	2
R24	*waaO*	B6N50_00415 →	*rfaI*	UDP-D-glucose:(glucosyl)LPS α-1,3-glucosyltransferase	76,970	(a)7→6	L249fsTer#263	Rough	Easy	1
R25	*rfaH*	B6N50_22865 →	-	Transcription/translation regulatory transformer protein	4,485,876	g→a	W4stop	Unknown	Hard	3
R26	*hldE*	B6N50_03700 →	*rfaE/waaE*	Bifunctional heptose 7-phosphate kinase/heptose 1-phosphate adenyltransferase	722,353	t→c	S233P	Deep rough	Easy	1
R27	*hldE*	B6N50_03700 →	*rfaE/waaE*	Bifunctional heptose 7-phosphate kinase/heptose 1-phosphate adenyltransferase	721,736	g→c	G27A	Deep rough	Easy	1
R28	*waaG*	B6N50_00405 →	*rfaG*	UDP-glucose:(heptosyl) LPS α1,3-glucosyltransferase (glucosyltransferase I)	74,864	g→t	G191stop	Deep rough	Hard	2
R29	*waaO*	B6N50_00415 →	*rfaI*	UDP-D-glucose:(glucosyl)LPS α-1,3-glucosyltransferase	76,970	(a)7→6	L249fsTer#263	Rough	Easy	1
	pcoA	B6N50_01165 ←	-	Multicopper oxidase	237,963 - 237,973	INS 1,040,936 - 1,042,273	G152_G155ins(446)			
	eutM - eutN - eutE	[B6N50_06785] - [B6N50_06790] - [B6N50_06795] →	-	Ethanolamine utilization protein EutM - Ethanolamine utilization protein EutN - Aldehyde dehydrogenase EutE	1,342,381 - 1,343,448	Δ1,068 bp	Δ[EutM_A58-EutE_A181][c]			
R30	*galU*	B6N50_13325 ←	-	UTP--glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	2,611,680	c→a	E142stop	Deep rough	Hard	2
R31	*hldE*	B6N50_03700 →	*rfaE/waaE*	Bifunctional heptose 7-phosphate kinase/heptose 1-phosphate adenyltransferase	721,736	g→c	G27A	Deep rough	Easy	1
R32	*hldE*	B6N50_03700 →	*rfaE/waaE*	Bifunctional heptose 7-phosphate kinase/heptose 1-phosphate adenyltransferase	722,381 - 722,482	DUP 722,381-722,482 (102) bp	P242_A276dup(34)[d]	Deep rough	Easy	1
R33	*waaT*	B6N50_00420 →	-	UDP-galactose:(glucosyl) LPS α-1,2-galactosyltransferase	78,125	g→a	W290stop	Rough	Easy	1
R34	*waaW*	B6N50_00430 →	-	UDP-galactose--(galactosyl) LPS alpha1,2-galactosyltransferase	79,820	c→t	Q281stop	Rough	Easy	1
R35	*galU*	B6N50_13325 ←	-	UTP--glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	2,611,872 - 2,611,880	INS 2,783,815 - 2,784,945	S75_L78ins(377)	Deep rough	Hard	2

Table S1: List of mutations found in _E. coli_ C strains that are resistant to wildtype ΦX174. _E. coli_ C resistant strains: R# is the strain

number. Genes: name of the gene(s) in which mutations have been identified (as compared with wildtype _E. coli_ C, GenBank accession

CP020543.1). Locus tags: identifier of each listed gene. Synonyms: alternative gene names. Descriptions: protein product encoded by each

listed gene. Positions: genomic coordinates of mutation. Nucleotide changes: observed nucleotide change. Amino acid changes: resulting

change in amino acid sequence. Predicted LPS structure phenotypes: based on predicted LPS structure (see **Fig. 2**). "Easy" or "Hard" LPS

structure phenotypes?: easy LPS phenotypes were overcome or could be cross-infected after the first phage evolution experiment, while hard

LPS phenotypes required the second or third phage evolution experiment to be infected. "→": indicates 5'➔3' direction. "INS": insertion.

"DUP": duplication. "stop": stop codon. "[a]": L249fsTer#263 indicates a frameshift (fs) leading to a premature codon stop (Ter); the position

of the premature stop codon is in parentheses. "[b]": M168_V172ins(376) indicates an insertion; the two flanking amino acids are separated by

a "_" and followed by the number of inserted amino acids in parentheses. "[c]": Δ[EutM_A58-EutE_A181] indicated a long deletion starting

from EutM to EutE. P242_A276dup(34) indicates a duplication; the two flanking amino acids are separated by a "_" and followed by the

number of duplicated amino acids in parentheses.

ΦX174 strains	Evolution experiment #	Gene mutated in the corresponding E. coli C resistant strains	Mutants sensitive to the evolved ΦX174 strain infection	Gene mutated in ΦX174 strains	Descriptions	Positions	Nucleotide changes	Amino acid changes	Mutations present in a control lineage?
R21 T1	1	waaO	R5, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,347	a→g	D116G	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R24 T1	1	waaO	R5, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,346	g→a	D116S	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,347	a→g	D116S	No
R26 T1	1	hldE	R18, R8, R10, R26, R12, R7, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29	F	Capsid protein	1,460	c→a	Q154K	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R27 T1	1	hldE	R27, R31, WT	H	Minor spike protein	3,202	g→t	G91V	No
R29 T1	1	waaO	R5, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,346	g→a	D116S	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,347	a→g	D116S	No
R31 T1	1	hldE	R27, R31, WT	H	Minor spike protein	3,202	g→t	G91V	No
R18 T4	1	waaC	R18, R8, R10, R26, R12, R14, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29	F	Capsid protein	1,307	t→c	Y103H	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,461	a→g	Q154R	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R20 T5	1	waaO	R5, R27, R31, R12, R14, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,354	t→a	N118K	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R8 T6	1	hldE	R18, R8, R10, R26, R12, R14, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29	F	Capsid protein	1,307	t→c	Y103H	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,461	a→g	Q154R	No
				F	Capsid protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R10 T6	1	hldE	R18, R8, R10, R26, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,317	a→g	H106R	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,460	c→a	Q154K	No
R13 T6	1	waaO	R11, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, R33, R2,	F	Capsid protein	2,015	g→c	D339H	No
R4 T7	1	waaO	R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,301	a→g	T101A	Yes (in C1 T21)
				F	Capsid protein	1,347	a→g	D116G	No
				F	Capsid protein	2,085	c→t	A362V	No
R22 T1	2	galU	R18, R8, R10, R26, R32, R12, R14, R16, R17, R30, R35, R22, R28, R23, R9, R7, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29	F	Capsid protein	1,307	t→c	Y103H	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,317	a→g	H106R	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,461	a→g	Q154R	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R28 T1	2	waaG	R18, R8, R10, R26, R32, R12, R14, R16, R17, R30, R35, R22, R28, R23, R9, R7, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29	F	Capsid protein	1,307	t→c	Y103H	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,317	a→g	H106R	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,461	a→g	Q154R	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R25 T4	3	rfaH	R5, R18, R8, R10, R26, R12, R14, R25, R11, R7, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, R33, R2, R34, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,301	a→g	T101A	Yes (in C1 T21)
				F	Capsid protein	1,304	g→c	G102R	No
				F	Capsid protein	1,347	a→g	D116G	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
R6 T12	3	waaP	R5, R18, R27, R31, R32, R6, R4, R13, R20, R21, R24, R29, R2, R34, WT	F	Capsid protein	1,727	c→t	L243F	No
				F	Capsid protein	2,015	g→c	D339H	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,132	g→a	A68T	No
				H	Minor spike protein	3,154	c→t	A75V	No

Table S2: List of mutations identified in ΦX174 evolved isolates. ΦX174 strains: R# is the corresponding resistant strain number and

T# is the transfer number where plaques were observed for the first time on the given resistant strain. Evolution experiment #: experiment

number from which the evolved phages were isolated. Mutants sensitive to the evolved ΦX174 strains infection: list of all resistant strains

that a specific phage strain can infect (See **Figs. 6 and S4**). Descriptions: names of proteins encoded by the listed genes. Positions: genomic

coordinates of mutation (according to GenBank accession number AF176034.1). Nucleotide changes: observed nucleotide change. Amino

acid changes: resulting change in amino acid sequence. "→": indicates 5'→3' direction. Mutations present in a control lineage?: indicates

mutations that were observed in at least one control lineage (see **Methods**).

ΦX174 populations	Gene mutated in the corresponding E. coli C resistant strains	Gene mutated in ΦX174 strains	Descriptions	Positions	Nucleotide changes	Amino acid changes	Frequencies (%)	Mutations present in a control lineage?
C1 T21	None (WT)	F	Capsid protein	1,301	a→g	T101A	69,8	-
		F	Capsid protein	1,965	g→a	G322D	70,9	-
C2 T21	None (WT)	F	Capsid protein	1,968	a→g	N323S	17,8	-
		F	Capsid protein	2,277	c→t	T426I	56,7	-
C3 T21	None (WT)	F	Capsid protein	2,277	c→t	T426I	5,7	-
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	80,7	-
R2 T21	waaW	F	Capsid protein	1,965	g→a	G322D	19,0	Yes (in C1 T21)
		F	Capsid protein	2,276	a→g	T426A	30,4	No
		F	Capsid protein	2,279	t→c	S427P	10,9	No
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	30,9	Yes (in C3 T21)
R5 T21	gmhB	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
R7 T21	waaO	F	Capsid protein	2,279	t→c	S427P	5,4	
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	93,2	Yes (in C3 T21)
R9 T21	waaG	F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	100	Yes (in C3 T21)
R11 T21	waaP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
R12 T21	waaF	F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	100	Yes (in C3 T21)
R14 T21	waaF	F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	93,5	Yes (in C3 T21)
R16 T21	galU	F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	100	Yes (in C3 T21)
R17 T21	galU	F	Capsid protein	2,279	t→c	S427P	6,7	No
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	93,1	Yes (in C3 T21)
R23 T21	waaG	F	Capsid protein	1,301	a→g	T101A	5,6	Yes (in C1 T21)
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	91,6	Yes (in C3 T21)
R30 T21	galU	F	Capsid protein	2,279	t→c	S427P	7,9	No
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	90,0	Yes (in C3 T21)
R32 T21	hldE	F	Capsid protein	2,276	a→g	T426A	29,6	No
		F	Capsid protein	2,277	c→t	T426I	6,8	Yes (in C2 T21 and C3
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	61,9	Yes (in C3 T21)
R33 T21	waaT	F	Capsid protein	2,276	a→g	T426A	42,9	No
		F	Capsid protein	2,277	c→t	T426I	14,8	Yes (in C2 T21 and C3
		F	Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	41,7	Yes (in C3 T21)
		A/A*	Replication-associated protein/protein A*	4,817	c→t	D279D/D107D	12,8	No
R34 T21	waaW	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
R35 T21	galU	F	Capsid protein	1,301	a→g	T101A	19,1	Yes (in C1 T21)
			Capsid protein	1,649	c→t	R217C	8,0	No
			Capsid protein	2,179	g→t	Q393H	6,8	No
			Capsid protein	2,280	c→t	S247L	82,9	Yes (in C3 T21)

Table S3: List of mutations found in the evolved ΦX174 populations that did not infect their corresponding *E. coli* C resistant

strains. ΦX174 populations: R# is the corresponding resistant strain number and T# is the transfer number where plaques were observed

for the first time on the given resistant strain. Descriptions: names of proteins encoded by the listed genes. Positions: genomic coordinates

of mutation (according to GenBank accession number AF176034.1). Nucleotide changes: observed nucleotide change. Amino acid changes:

resulting change in amino acid sequence. "→": indicates 5'➔3' direction. Frequencies (%): frequency of a given mutation in the phage

population. Mutations present in a control lineage?: indicates mutations that were observed in at least one control lineage (see **Methods**).

NA: no data available.

Excluded *E. coli* C resistant strains	Genes	Locus tags	Synonyms	Descritptions	Positions	Nucleotide changes	Amino Acid changes	Predicted LPS structure phenotypes
R1	*waaV*	B6N50_00435 →	-	UDP-glucose:(Glucosyl) LPS β1,3-	80,534	$(t)_{7\to6}$	F149fsTer#158[a]	Rough
	galE	B6N50_15815 →	-	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,098 - 3,096,107	Δ10 bp	T228fsTer#233	
R3	*galE*	B6N50_15815 →	-	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,095,443	g→a	G10D	Rough
R15	*galE*	B6N50_15815 →	-	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	Rough
R19	*yajC*	B6N50_17610 ←	-	Preprotein translocase subunit	3,447,574	Δ1 bp	G18fsTer#23	Wildtype

ΦX174 strains	Evolution experiment #	Gene mutated in the corresponding *E. coli*	Gene mutated in ΦX174 strains	Descriptions	Positions	Nucleotide changes	Amino acid changes	Mutations present in a control lineage ?
R19 T1	1	*yajC*	*H*	Minor spike protein	3,781	c→a	S284Y	No
R5 T2	1	*gmhB*	*H*	Minor spike protein	3,169	c→t	G80V	No

Table S4: List of all *E. coli* C and evolved ΦX174 isolates excluded from the analysis. *E. coli* C resistant strains: R# is the bacterial resistant strain number. Genes: name of gene mutated. Locus tags: identifier of each listed gene. Synonyms: alternative gene names. Descriptions: protein product encoded by each listed gene. Positions: genomic coordinates of mutation. Nucleotide changes: observed nucleotide change. Amino acid changes: resulting change in amino acid sequence. Predicted LPS structure phenotypes: based on predicted LPS structure (see **Fig. 2**). "→": indicates 5'→3' direction. "stop": stop codon. "a": F149fsTer#158 indicates a frameshift (fs) leading to a premature codon stop (Ter); the position of the premature stop codon is in parentheses. ΦX174 strains: R# is the corresponding resistant strain number and T# is the transfer number where plaques were observed for the first time on the given resistant strain. Evolution experiment #: experiment number where the evolved phages were obtained. Descriptions: names of proteins encoded by the listed genes. Positions: genomic coordinates of mutation (according to GenBank accession number AF176034.1). Nucleotide changes: observed nucleotide change. Amino acid changes: resulting change in amino acid sequence. Mutations present in a control lineage?: indicates mutations that were observed in at least one control lineage (see **Methods**).

E. coli C resistant strains	Replicate #	Genes	Locus tags	Descritptions	Positions	Nucleotide changes	Amino Acid changes	Predicted LPS structure phenotypes
R3	1	*gltD*	*B6N50_02830* ←	Glutamate synthase subunit gltD	555,954	t→c	Y318C	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,095,443	g→a	G10D	
R3	2, 3, 5, 9, 10	*WaaT*	*B6N50_00420* →	UDP-galactose:(glucosyl) LPS α-1,2-galactosyltransferase	77,302	(a)$_{6\to5}$	K16fsTer#23[a]	Rough
		gltD	*B6N50_02830* ←	Glutamate synthase subunit gltD	555,954	t→c	Y318C	
		-	-	Hypothetical protein	2,147,627	c→t	Q793stop	
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,095,443	g→a	G10D	
R3	4	*oppB*	*B6N50_13280* ←	Murein tripeptide ABC transporter/inner membrane subunit	2,600,004	g→a	A211V	Deep rough
		galU	*B6N50_13325* ←	UTP--glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	2,611,933	Δ1 bp	I57fsTer#86	
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,095,443	g→a	G10D	
R3	6	*hldD*	*B6N50_00455* ←	ADP-L-glycero-D-mannoheptose-6-epimerase	84,986	g→t	Y140stop	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,095,443	g→a	G10D	
R3	7	*galE*	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,095,443	g→a	G10D	Rough
		galT	*B6N50_15820* →	Galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	3,097,396	t→c	L319P	
R3	8	*gltD*	*B6N50_02830* ←	Glutamate synthase subunit gltD	555,954	t→c	Y318C	Rough
		-	-	Hypothetical protein	2,147,627	c→t	Q793stop	
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,095,443	g→a	G10D	

R15	1	*galP*	*B6N50_04295* ←	Galactose-proton symporter	835,258	a→g	F405L	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	
R15	2	*WaaT*	*B6N50_00420* →	UDP-galactose:(glucosyl) LPS α-1,2-galactosyltransferase	77,590	g→t	E112stop	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	
R15	3 - 6	*waaW*	*B6N50_00430* →	UDP-galactose--(galactosyl) LPS alpha1,2-galactosyltransferase	79,764	t→g	L262R	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	
R15	7	*galP*	*B6N50_04295* ←	Galactose-proton symporter	836,442	g→t	S10stop	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	
R15	8	*galP*	*B6N50_04295* ←	Galactose-proton symporter	835,353	a→g	L373P	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	
R15	9	*galE*	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	Rough
R15	10	*galP*	*B6N50_04295* ←	Galactose-proton symporter	835,170	c→t	W434stop	Rough
		galE	*B6N50_15815* →	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	3,096,191	c→a	Y259stop	

780 **Table S5: List of the additional mutations associated with *galE* mutations.** *E. coli* C resistant strains: R# is the bacterial resistant strain

781 number. Descriptions: protein product encoded by each listed gene. Positions: genomic coordinates of mutation. Nucleotide changes:

782 observed nucleotide change. Amino acid changes: resulting change in amino acid sequence. Predicted LPS structure phenotypes: based on

783 predicted LPS structure (see **Fig. 2**). "→": indicates 5'➔3' direction. "stop": stop codon. "ᵃ": K16sTer#23 indicates a frameshift (fs) leading

784 to a premature codon stop (Ter); the position of the premature stop codon is in parentheses.

Name	Sequence (5'→3')	Binding Region	Tm 2Q5	Ta 2Q5	Purpose
ΦX174_2361_r	TCGCTTGGTCAACCCCTCAG	2342-2361	70°C	71°C	ΦX174 Whole genome amplification
ΦX174_2362_f	AGCGCGGTAGGTTTTCTGCT	2362-2382	70°C		ΦX174 Whole genome amplification
ΦX174_399_r	CTTGACTCATGATTTCTTACC	379-399	58°C	59°C	ΦX174 Whole genome amplification
ΦX174_400_f	TTACTGAACAATCCGTACGTTTC	400-422	62°C		ΦX174 Whole genome amplification

Name	Sequence (5'→3')	Binding Region	Tm Phusion (buffer HF)	Ta Phusion (buffer HF)	Purpose
ΦX174_F_fw	CGCTCGTCTTTGGTATGTAGGTGG	928-951	65°C	68°C	Amplification of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_rv	AGCGGCGTTGACAGATGTATCC	2580-2601	65°C		Amplification of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_fw2	GTTGCGAGGTACTAAAGGCAAGCG	898-921	66°C	68°C	Amplification of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_rv2	CTGGAGTAACAGAAGTGAGAACCAGC	2245-2470	65°C		Amplification of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_fw_short	CGTCTTTGGTATGTAGGTGG	932-951	57°C	61°C	Amplification of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_rv_short	CGGCGTTGACAGATGTATC	2581-2599	58°C		Amplification of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_H_fw	CAAGGACTGTGTGACTATTGACGTCC	2763-2788	65°C	68°C	Amplification of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_rv	GCCTCTACGCGATTTCATAGTGGAG	4490-4514	65°C		Amplification of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_fw2	CGCTACTAAATGCCGCGGATTGG	2847-2869	66°C	67°C	Amplification of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_rv2	GCCTCCAGCAATCTTGAACACTC	4467-4489	64°C		Amplification of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_fw_short	GACTGTGTGACTATTGACGTCC	2767-2788	60°C	61°C	Amplification of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_rv_short	GCCTCTACGCGATTTCATAG	4495-4514	58°C		Amplification of ΦX174's *H* gene

Name	Sequence (5'→3')	Binding Region	Tm	Ta	Purpose
ΦX174_F_Sanger_fw	CCTCATCGTCACGTTTATGG	1217-1236	58°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_Sanger_fw2	ACCGATATTGCTGGCGAC	1934-1951	59°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_Sanger_rv	GGCGTTATAACCTCACACTC	2294-2313	58°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_F_Sanger_rv2	GGCGAGAAAGCTCAGTCTC	1529-1547	60°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *F* gene
ΦX174_H_Sanger_fw	GTGGCGCCATGTCTAAATTG	2974-2993	59°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_Sanger_fw2	CAGCAAACGCAGAATCAGC	3720-3738	60°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_Sanger_rv	CCACAAGCCTCAATAGCAG	4054-4072	58°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *H* gene
ΦX174_H_Sanger_rv2	CTTTATCAGCGGCAGACTTG	3251-3270	58°C	56°C	Sanger sequencing of ΦX174's *H* gene

786 **Table S6. List of primers used in this study.** Tm 2Q5: melting temperature of the primer used with the Q5® High-Fidelity 2X Master

787 Mix (NEB). Ta 2Q5: annealing temperature of the set of primers used with the Q5® High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (NEB). Tm Phusion

788 (buffer HF): melting temperature of the primer used with Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix with HF Buffer (ThermoFisher). Ta

789 Phusion (buffer HF): annealing temperature of the primer used with Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix with HF Buffer

790 (ThermoFisher).

 Supplementary Methods

 In this section, we provide a more detailed version of the protocols used in the **Methods** section.

 First phage evolution experiment - Standard. The protocol from Bono *et al.*[70] was adapted to
 evolve phages that can infect resistant *E. coli* C strains. Of R1-R35 – the 35 *E. coli* C strains resistant
 to ΦX174 wildtype, see above – 31 were used for the first phage evolution experiment (excluding R1,
 R3, R15, and R19; see **Results** and **Text S1**). Thirty-one ΦX174 lineages were each founded by
 ΦX174 wildtype and serially transferred in cultures containing a mix of *E. coli* C wildtype (permissive
 strain) and one resistant *E. coli* C strain (non-permissive strain; R2-R35). For each transfer, permissive
 and non-permissive bacteria were grown separately until they reached log phase. These cultures were
 founded with an initial inoculum of 180 µl (fast and intermediate growers) or 350 µl (slow growers)
 of overnight culture (see **Fig. S4**). Fast and intermediate growers were then incubated at 37˚C (250
 rpm) for two hours, slow growers for three hours. Then, permissive and non-permissive strains were
 mixed in a 14 ml sterile tube at a specific ratio depending on the growth of the non-permissive strain
 (1:1 for fast growers, 1:2 for intermediate growers, 1:3 for slow growers), to a final volume of 5 ml.
 At each transfer, $\sim 10^8$ freshly prepared susceptible cells were infected by $\sim 10^7$ ΦX174 (MOI$_{input}$ $\sim$
 0.1). Infected cultures were shaken at 250 rpm at 37˚C for three hours. Phage lysates were isolated as
 described in **Phage lysate preparation**. Phage lysates from the previous day were used to inoculate
 the fresh bacterial mixes the next day. Transfers continued until phages were found to infect their
 corresponding resistant *E. coli* C strain, or until 21 transfers were reached. Three control lines were
 also run in parallel, by transferring ΦX174 at MOI$_{input}$ $\sim$ 0.1 in a culture containing *E. coli* C wildtype
 only.

 Second phage evolution experiment – Increased diversity. Four independent ΦX174 lineages
 were founded by a phage cocktail made of (i) the wildtype ΦX174 and (ii) all isogenic ΦX174 strains
 that successfully re-infected their corresponding resistant strains during the first phage evolution
 experiment at a roughly equal number of plaque forming units (final concentration of $\sim 2.10^8$ pfu/ml).
 These isogenic phage strains (14 in total) are namely: ΦX174 R4 T7, ΦX174 R5 T2, ΦX174 R8 T6,
 ΦX174 R10 T6, ΦX174 R13 T6, ΦX174 R18 T4, ΦX174 R19 T1, ΦX174 R20 T5, ΦX174 R21 T1,
 ΦX174 R24 T1, ΦX174 R26 T1, ΦX174 R27 T1, ΦX174 R29 T1, and ΦX174 R31 T1. Despite being
 removed from the mutational analysis, phages infecting R5 and R19, respectively, were part of the

final cocktail (see **Text S1** and **Table S4**). Each lineage was transferred daily for up to four days, on

non-evolving host cultures containing (i) *E. coli* C wildtype, (ii) the 14 host strains for which resistance

had been overcome (permissive hosts), and (iii) an excess of the still-resistant strain of interest (non-

permissive host). For the non-permissive host, we used R6 (*waaP/pssA* mutant), R22 (*galU* mutant),

R25 (*rfaH* mutant), or R28 (*waaG* mutant; see **Table S1**). *E. coli* C R22 and R28 were chosen as

representatives of the *galU* and *waaG* mutants, respectively. First, each bacterial strain (permissive and

non-permissive) was grown separately in LB liquid culture for one hour (37°C, 250 rpm). An inoculum

of 180 μl from overnight cultures was used for the fast and intermediate growers, while 350 μl was

used for the slow growers. After the incubation time, permissive strains were pooled at roughly equal

number of colony forming units (CFU), for a final concentration of ~ 10^8 susceptible, permissive cells.

One of the *E. coli* C resistant strains of interest was then added in excess (in between ~1 and $5x10^8$

resistant cells) in the host mix, to give a final volume of 4 ml. Infections were initiated by adding ~10^7

phages (MOI_{input} ~ 0.1) from the phage cocktail to the bacterial culture mix. Infected cultures were

shaken in 100 ml sterile Erlenmeyer at 250 rpm, 37°C, for 5 hours. Phage lysates were isolated as

described in **Phage lysate preparation**. Phage lysates from the previous day were used to inoculate

the fresh bacterial mixes the next day. This process was repeated until phages were found to infect

their corresponding resistant *E. coli* C strains, or until four transfers had been completed.

Third evolution experiment – Increased diversity and generations. Two independent ΦX174

lineages were founded by the same phage cocktail used in the second phage evolution experiment.

Protocol from the second evolution experiment was adjusted to reduce the amount of time necessary

to retrieved the desired phages. We increased the number of lineages' transfers per day from one to

four, for a total of four days (16 transfers). *E. coli* C R6 (*waaP/pssA* mutant) and R25 (*rfaH* mutant,

see **Table S1**) were used as non-permissive hosts. First, each bacterial strain (permissive and non-

permissive) was grown separate for one hour. Then, three bacterial mixes were generated depending

on the growth categories of each bacterium (slow, intermediate, and fast growers, **Fig. S4**). 1 ml of

each fast grower was used to make the fast mix, 833 μl of each intermediate grower was used for the

intermediate mix. Finally, 1.25 ml of each slow grower was used to prepare the slow mix. Bacterial

mixes were kept in exponential growth phase independently by transferring every hour 1:5 of the

volume (1ml) in fresh LB pre-heated at 37°C. Before adding the phage cocktail, the three mixes were

pooled together (500 μl each, for a total of ~10^8 susceptible cells) and one of the *E. coli* C resistant

strains of interest was added in excess (i.e., the number of resistant cells was comprised between ~1

and 5.10^8), for a final volume of 4ml. Infections started by adding ~10^7 phages (MOI$_{input}$ ~ 0.1) from

the cocktail in the final bacterial mix. Infected cultures were shaken in 100 ml sterile Erlenmeyer at

250 rpm, 37°C, for one hour. After that, 1:15 of the volume of each infected culture was transferred

in freshly mixed bacterial cultures and infection continued for one hour. This step was repeated for a

total of four transfers. The final (fourth) transfer lasted for two hours. All transfers completed on the

same day involved transferring both phage and bacteria; on every fourth transfer, supernatants were

collected and only phage transferred. Phage lysates were isolated as described in **Phage lysate**

preparation. Phage lysates from the previous day were used to inoculate the first fresh bacterial mixes

the next day.

Isolation of evolved ΦX174 strains from evolution experiments. Top agar overlays were prepared

by mixing 100 µl of undiluted phage lysates with 200 µl of overnight culture of the corresponding

resistant *E. coli* C strain in 4 ml SSA. Top agar overlays were poured onto LB plates, dried for at least

15 minutes, and incubated inverted at 37°C for ~16-17 hours. Plaques were counted the next day. An

isolated plaque was chosen randomly for each phage lysate to infect cultures of the corresponding

resistant *E. coli* C strains (in exponential growth state) incubated at 37°C, 250 rpm, for 5 hours. Phage

lysates were isolated as described in **Phage lysate preparation**. Phage isolates were re-isolated from

single plaques a second time from their glycerol stocks. For each phage isolate, a plaque was randomly

chosen to infect cultures of the corresponding resistant *E. coli* C strains (in exponential growth state),

incubated at 37°C, 250 rpm, for 5 hours. Phage lysates were isolated and stored as described in **Phage**

lysate preparation.

Determination of the evolved phages' host range by spotting assays

Method 1. Each top agar overlay was prepared by mixing 200 µl of an *E. coli* C strain from stationary

phase culture in 4 ml SSA, then poured on LB plates and dried for at least 15 minutes under a sterile

laminar flow hood. Then, 3 µl of each undiluted evolved phage lysate (between 10^7 and 10^9 pfu ml^{-1})

was dropped at the surface. *Method 2*. Each top agar overlay was prepared by mixing a volume of each

phage lysate in 4 ml SSA at a final concentration of ~10^7 pf ml^{-1}, then poured on LB plates and dried

for at least 15 minutes under a sterile laminar flow hood. Then, 3 µl of both undiluted and ten-fold

diluted of each *E. coli* C strain (from overnight culture) were dropped onto the surface with a pipette.

For both methods, phage lysate spots were dried for at least 30 minutes under sterile laminar flow,

and the plates subsequently incubated inverted at 37°C for ~17 hours. *E. coli* C wildtype was used as

a positive control (permissive strain), and *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 as a negative control (non-permissive

strain). A bacterial host strain was classified as sensitive only when signs of lysis were detected using

both methods, in at least two (of three) replicates per method. A phage-bacterium combination that

yielded different results between the two methods was tested in standard plaque assays as follows: a

top agar overlay was prepared by mixing 100 µl of the phage lysate diluted to 10^{-1} and 10^{-6} with 200

µl of the resistant *E. coli* C strain from stationary phase culture in 4 ml SSA, then poured on LB plates

and dried for at least 15 minutes. The presence or absence of plaques was assessed the next day.

Finally, the host strain was classified as sensitive if plaques was observed at both dilutions.

References

1. Murray, C. J. *et al.* Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis.
The Lancet **399**, 629–655 (2022).

2. WHO Antimicrobial resistance (2021) at https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-
sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance.

3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U.S.). Antibiotic resistance threats in the United
States, 2019 at https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/82532.

4. Bull, J. J., Levin, B. R. & Molineux, I. J. Promises and pitfalls of *in vivo* evolution to improve phage
therapy. *Viruses* **11**, 1083 (2019).

5. Oechslin, F. Resistance development to bacteriophages occurring during bacteriophage therapy.
Viruses **10**, 351 (2018).

6. Monteiro, R., Pires, D. P., Costa, A. R. & Azeredo, J. Phage therapy: going temperate? *Trends
Microbiol.* **27**, 368–378 (2019).

7. Zhang, G., Meredith, T. C. & Kahne, D. On the essentiality of lipopolysaccharide to Gram-
negative bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **16**, 779–785 (2013).

8. Nikaido, H. Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited. *Microbiol. Mol.
Biol. Rev.* **67**, 593–656 (2003).

9. Mutalik, V. K. *et al.* High-throughput mapping of the phage resistance landscape in *E. coli. PLOS
Biol.* **18**, e3000877 (2020).

10. Simpson, B. W. & Trent, M. S. Pushing the envelope: LPS modifications and their consequences.
Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **17**, 403–416 (2019).

11. Schnaitman, C. A. & Klena, J. D. Genetics of lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis in enteric bacteria.
Microbiol. Rev. **57**, 655–682 (1993).

12. Raetz, C. R. H. & Whitfield, C. Lipopolysaccharide endotoxins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **71**, 635–700
(2002).

13. Qian, J., Garrett, T. A. & Raetz, C. R. H. *In vitro* assembly of the outer core of the
lipopolysaccharide from *Escherichia coli* K-12 and *Salmonella typhimurium. Biochemistry* **53**, 1250–1262
(2014).

14. Klein, G. & Raina, S. Regulated assembly of LPS, its structural alterations and cellular response
to LPS defects. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **20**, 356 (2019).

15. Amor, K. *et al.* Distribution of core oligosaccharide types in lipopolysaccharides from *Escherichia
coli. Infect. Immun.* **68**, 1116–1124 (2000).

16. Klein, G. *et al.* Molecular and structural basis of inner core lipopolysaccharide alterations in
Escherichia coli: incorporation of glucuronic acid and phosphoethanolamine in the heptose region.
J. Biol. Chem. **288**, 8111–8127 (2013)

17. Yethon, J. A., Heinrichs, D. E., Monteiro, M. A., Perry, M. B. & Whitfield, C. Involvement of
waaY, *waaQ*, and *waaP* in the modification of *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide and their role in the
formation of a stable outer membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 26310–26316 (1998).

18. Whitfield, C. *et al.* Assembly of the R1-type core oligosaccharide of *Escherichia coli*
lipopolysaccharide. **5**, 151–156 (1999).

19. Van der Ley, P., de Graaff, P. & Tommassen, J. Shielding of *Escherichia coli* outer membrane
proteins as receptors for bacteriophages and colicins by O-antigenic chains of lipopolysaccharide.
J. Bacteriol. **168**, 449–451 (1986).

20. Pagnout, C. *et al.* Pleiotropic effects of *rfa*-gene mutations on *Escherichia coli* envelope properties.
Sci. Rep. **9**, 9696 (2019).

21. Matsuura, M. Structural modifications of bacterial lipopolysaccharide that facilitate Gram-negative
bacteria evasion of host innate immunity. *Front. Immunol.* **4**, (2013).

22. Hancock, R. E. & Reeves, P. Lipopolysaccharide-deficient, bacteriophage-resistant mutants of
Escherichia coli K-12. *J. Bacteriol.* **127**, 98–108 (1976).

23. Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E. & Moineau, S. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*
8, 317–327 (2010).

24. Kulikov, E. E., Golomidova, A. K., Prokhorov, N. S., Ivanov, P. A. & Letarov, A. V. High-
throughput LPS profiling as a tool for revealing of bacteriophage infection strategies. *Sci. Rep.* **9**,
2958 (2019).

25. Sanger, F *et al.* The nucleotide sequence of Bacteriophage ΦX174. *J. Mol. Biol.* **125**, 225–246 (1978).

26. Sinsheimer, R. L. A single-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid from bacteriophage ΦX174. *J. Mol. Biol.*
1, 43–53 (1959).

27. Incardona, N. L. & Selvidge, L. Mechanism of adsorption and eclipse of bacteriophage ΦX174
II. Attachment and eclipse with isolated *Escherichia coli* cell wall lipopolysaccharide. *J. Virol.* **11**,
775–782 (1973).

28. Incardona, N. L., Tuech, J. K. & Murti, G. Irreversible binding of phage ΦX174 to cell-bound
lipopolysaccharide receptors and release of virus-receptor complexes. *Biochemistry* **24**, 6439–6446
(1985).

29. Sun, Y. *et al.* Structural changes of tailless bacteriophage ΦX174 during penetration of bacterial
cell walls. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 13708–13713 (2017).

30. Michel, A., Clermont, O., Denamur, E. & Tenaillon, O. Bacteriophage ΦX174's Ecological niche
and the flexibility of its *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide receptor. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**,
7310–7313 (2010).

31. Feige, Ulrich & Stirm, Stephan. On the structure of *Escherichia coli* C cell wall lipopolysaccharide
core and its ΦX174 receptor region. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **71**, 8 (1976).

32. Inagaki, M. *et al.* Characterization of the binding of spike H protein of bacteriophage ΦX174 with
receptor lipopolysaccharides. *J. Biochem. (Tokyo)* **127**, 577–583 (2000).

33. Kawaura, T. *et al.* Recognition of receptor lipopolysaccharides by spike G protein of bacteriophage
ΦX174. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **64**, 1993–1997 (2000).

34. Król, J. E. *et al.* Genome rearrangements induce biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* C – an old
model organism with a new application in biofilm research. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 767 (2019).

973 35. Kojima, H., Inagaki, M., Tomita, T. & Watanabe, T. Diversity of non-stoichiometric substitutions
974   on the lipopolysaccharide of *E. coli* C demonstrated by electrospray ionization single quadrupole
975   mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **24**, 43–48 (2010).

976 36. Luria, S. E. & Delbrück, M. Mutations of bacteria from virus sensitivity to virus resistance. *Genetics*
977   **28**, 491–511 (1943).

978 37. Lind, P. A., Libby, E., Herzog, J. & Rainey, P. B. Predicting mutational routes to new adaptive
979   phenotypes. *eLife* **8**, e38822 (2019).

980 38. Moxon, E. R., Rainey, P. B., Nowak, M. A. & Lenski, R. E. Adaptive evolution of highly mutable
981   loci in pathogenic bacteria. *Curr. Biol.* **4**, 24–33 (1994).

982 39. Yethon, J. A., Vinogradov, E., Perry, M. B. & Whitfield, C. Mutation of the lipopolysaccharide
983   core glycosyltransferase encoded by *waaG* destabilizes the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli* by
984   interfering with core phosphorylation. *J. Bacteriol.* **182**, 5620–5623 (2000).

985 40. Bailey, M. J. A., Hughes, C. & Koronakis, V. RfaH and the *ops* element, components of a novel
986   system controlling bacterial transcription elongation. *Mol. Microbiol.* **26**, 845–851 (1997).

987 41. Hermisson, J. & Pennings, P. S. Soft sweeps: molecular population genetics of adaptation from
988   standing genetic variation. *Genetics* **169**, 2335–2352 (2005).

989 42. Barrett, R. D. H. & Schluter, D. Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **23**,
990   38–44 (2008).

991 43. Sant, D. G., Woods, L. C., Barr, J. J. & McDonald, M. J. Host diversity slows bacteriophage
992   adaptation by selecting generalists over specialists. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **5**, 350–359 (2021).

993 44. Rokyta, D. R., Burch, C. L., Caudle, S. B. & Wichman, H. A. Horizontal gene transfer and the
994   evolution of microvirid coliphage genomes. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**, 1134–1142 (2006).

995 45. Betts, A., Gray, C., Zelek, M., MacLean, R. C. & King, K. C. High parasite diversity accelerates
996   host adaptation and diversification. *Science* **360**, 907–911 (2018).

997 46. McKenna, R. *et al.* Atomic structure of single-stranded DNA bacteriophage ΦX174 and its
998   functional implications. *Nature* **355**, 137–143 (1992).

999 47. Cox, J. & Putonti, C. Mechanisms responsible for a ΦX174 mutant's ability to Infect *Escherichia*
1000   *coli* by phosphorylation. *J. Virol.* **84**, 4860–4863 (2010).

1001 48. Crill, W. D., Wichman, H. A. & Bull, J. J. Evolutionary reversals during viral adaptation to
1002   alternating hosts. *Genetics* **154**, 27–37 (2000).

1003 49. Weisbeek, P. J., Van de Pol, J. H. & Van Arkel, G. A. Mapping of host range mutants of
1004   bacteriophage ΦX174. *Virology* **52**, 408–416 (1973).

1005 50. Bull, J. J. *et al.* Exceptional convergent evolution in a virus. *Genetics* **147**, 1497–1507 (1997).

1006 51. Wichman, H. A., Badgett, M. R., Scott, L. A., Boulianne, C. M. & Bull, J. J. Different trajectories
1007   of parallel evolution during viral adaptation. *Science* **285**, 422–424 (1999).

1008 52. Remold, S. K., Rambaut, A. & Turner, P. E. Evolutionary genomics of host adaptation in vesicular
1009   stomatitis virus. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **25**, 1138–1147 (2008).

1010 53. Bertels, F., Leemann, C., Metzner, K. J. & Regoes, R. R. Parallel evolution of HIV-1 in a long-
1011   term experiment. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 2400–2414 (2019).

54. Bertels, F., Metzner, K. J. & Regoes, R. Convergent evolution as an indicator for selection during acute HIV-1 infection. *Peer Community J.* **1**, e4 (2021).

55. Kneidinger, B. *et al.* Biosynthesis Pathway of ADP-L-glycero-^{N}L-D-manno-Heptose in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* **184**, 7 (2002).

56. Bertozzi Silva, J., Storms, Z. & Sauvageau, D. Host receptors for bacteriophage adsorption. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **363**, fnw002 (2016).

57. Latino, L., Midoux, C., Hauck, Y., Vergnaud, G. & Pourcel, C. Pseudolysogeny and sequential mutations build multiresistance to virulent bacteriophages in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol. Read. Engl.* **162**, 748–763 (2016).

58. Broeker, N. K. & Barbirz, S. Not a barrier but a key: how bacteriophages exploit host's O-antigen as an essential receptor to initiate infection. *Mol. Microbiol.* **105**, 353–357 (2017).

59. Meyer, J. R. *et al.* Repeatability and contingency in the evolution of a key innovation in phage Lambda. *Science* **335**, 428–432 (2012).

60. Burmeister, A. R., Lenski, R. E. & Meyer, J. R. Host coevolution alters the adaptive landscape of a virus. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **283**, 20161528 (2016).

61. Gupta, A. *et al.* Host-parasite coevolution promotes innovation through deformations in fitness landscapes. *eLife* **11**, e76162 (2022).

62. Bearden, C. M. *et al.* Rituximab inhibits the *in vivo* primary and secondary antibody response to a neoantigen, bacteriophage ΦX174. *Am. J. Transplant. Off. J. Am. Soc. Transplant. Am. Soc. Transpl. Surg.* **5**, 50–57 (2005).

63. Rubinstein, A. *et al.* Progressive specific immune attrition after primary, secondary and tertiary immunizations with bacteriophage ΦX174 in asymptomatic HIV-1 infected patients. *AIDS Lond. Engl.* **14**, F55-62 (2000).

64. Chan, B. K. *et al.* Phage treatment of an aortic graft infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Evol. Med. Public Health* **2018**, 60–66 (2018).

65. Krüger, A. & Lucchesi, P. M. A. Shiga toxins and stx phages: highly diverse entities. *Microbiol. Read. Engl.* **161**, 451–462 (2015).

66. Jamet, A. *et al.* A widespread family of polymorphic toxins encoded by temperate phages. *BMC Biol.* **15**, 75 (2017).

67. Ramjeet, M. *et al.* Truncation of the lipopolysaccharide outer core affects susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides and virulence of *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* serotype 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 39104–39114 (2005).

68. Maldonado, R. F., Sá-Correia, I. & Valvano, M. A. Lipopolysaccharide modification in Gram-negative bacteria during chronic infection. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **40**, 480–493 (2016).

69. Burmeister, A. R. *et al.* Pleiotropy complicates a trade-off between phage resistance and antibiotic resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **117**, 11207–11216 (2020).

70. Bono, L. M., Gensel, C. L., Pfennig, D. W. & Burch, C. L. Competition and the origins of novelty: experimental evolution of niche-width expansion in a virus. *Biol. Lett.* **9**, 20120616–20120616 (2012).

71. Picelli, S. *et al.* Tn5 transposase and tagmentation procedures for massively scaled sequencing
projects. *Genome Res.* **24**, 2033–2040 (2014).

72. Deatherage, D. E. & Barrick, J. E. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes
from next-generation sequencing data using *breseq. Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ* **1151**, 165–188
(2014).

73. Barrick, J. E. *et al.* Identifying structural variation in haploid microbial genomes from short-read
resequencing data using *breseq. BMC Genomics* **15**, 1039 (2014).

74. Deatherage, D. E., Traverse, C. C., Wolf, L. N. & Barrick, J. E. Detecting rare structural variation
in evolving microbial populations from new sequence junctions using *breseq. Front. Genet.* **5**, (2015).

75. Fang, J. & Wei, Y. Expression, purification and characterization of the *Escherichia coli* integral
membrane protein YajC. *Protein Pept. Lett.* **18**, 601–608 (2011).

76. Schulze, R. J. *et al.* Membrane protein insertion and proton-motive-force-dependent secretion
through the bacterial holo-translocon SecYEG–SecDF–YajC–YidC. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**,
4844–4849 (2014).

77. Bohm, K. *et al.* Genes affecting progression of bacteriophage P22 infection in *Salmonella* identified
by transposon and single gene deletion screens: host genes affecting phage P22 infection. *Mol.*
Microbiol. **108**, 288–305 (2018).

78. Belunis, C. J., Clementz, T., Carty, S. M. & Raetz, C. R. H. Inhibition of lipopolysaccharide
biosynthesis and cell growth following inactivation of the *kdtA* gene in *Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem.*
270, 27646–27652 (1995).

79. Klein, G., Lindner, B., Brabetz, W., Brade, H. & Raina, S. *Escherichia coli* K-12 suppressor-free
mutants lacking early glycosyltransferases and late acyltransferases: Minimal lipopolysaccharide
structure and induction of envelope stress response. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 15369–15389 (2009).

80. Jansson, P.-E., Lindberg, B., Lindberg, A. A. & Wollin, R. Structural studies on the hexose region
of the core in lipopolysaccharides from Enterobacteriaceae. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **115**, 571–577 (1981).

81. Heinrichs, D. E., Yethon, J. A. & Whitfield, C. Molecular basis for structural diversity in the core
regions of the lipopolysaccharides of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica. Mol. Microbiol.* **30**, 221–
232 (1998).

82. Vinogradov, E. V. *et al.* The structures of the carbohydrate backbones of the lipopolysaccharides
from *Escherichia coli* rough mutants F470 (R1 core type) and F576 (R2 core type): LPS from *E. coli*
R1 and R2 core types. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **261**, 629–639 (1999).

83. Heinrichs, D. E., Yethon, J. A., Amor, P. A. & Whitfield, C. The assembly system for the outer
core portion of R1- and R4-type lipopolysaccharides of *Escherichia coli*: the R1 core-specific β-
glucosyltransferase provides a novel attachment site for O-polysaccharides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**,
29497–29505 (1998).

84. Leipold, M. D., Vinogradov, E. & Whitfield, C. Glycosyltransferases involved in biosynthesis of
the outer core region of *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharides exhibit broader substrate specificities
than is predicted from lipopolysaccharide structures. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 26786–26792 (2007).

85. Frey, P. A. The Leloir pathway: a mechanistic imperative for three enzymes to change the
stereochemical configuration of a single carbon in galactose. *FASEB J.* **10**, 461–470 (1996).

86. McArthur, F., Andersson, C. E., Loutet, S., Mowbray, S. L. & Valvano, M. A. Functional analysis
of the glycero-manno-heptose 7-phosphate kinase domain from the bifunctional HldE protein,
which is involved in ADP-L-glycero-D-manno-Heptose biosynthesis. *J. Bacteriol.* **187**, 5292–5300
(2005).

87. Weissborn, A. C., Liu, Q., Rumley, M. K. & Kennedy, E. P. UTP: α-D-glucose-L-phosphate
uridylyltransferase of *Escherichia coli*: isolation and DNA sequence of the *galU* gene and purification
of the enzyme. **176**, 8 (1994).

88. Genevaux, P., Bauda, P., DuBow, M. S. & Oudega, B. Identification of Tn 10 insertions in the
rfaG, *rfaP*, and *galU* genes involved in lipopolysaccharide core biosynthesis that affect *Escherichia*
coli adhesion. *Arch. Microbiol.* **172**, 1–8 (1999).

89. Pierson, D. E. & Carlson, S. Identification of the *galE* gene and a *galE* homolog and
characterization of their roles in the biosynthesis of lipopolysaccharide in a serotype O:8 strain of
Yersinia enterocolitica. J. Bacteriol. **178**, 5916–5924 (1996).

90. Schnaitman, C. A. & Austin, E. A. Efficient incorporation of galactose into lipopolysaccharide by
Escherichia coli K-12 strains with polar *galE* mutations. *J. Bacteriol.* **172**, 5511–5513 (1990).

91. Karp, P. D. *et al.* The BioCyc collection of microbial genomes and metabolic pathways. *Brief.*
Bioinform. **20**, 1085–1093 (2019).

1108

1109

1110 **Contributions**

1111

1112 J.R.D and F.B conceived the study and planned the experiments. J.R.D and L.P. carried out the

1113 experiments. J.R.D conducted the sequence analyses. J.R.D, F.B, and J.G interpreted the results.

1114 J.R.D., F.B., and J.G. wrote the manuscript. J.R.D prepared all figures and tables. All authors reviewed

1115 and approved the manuscript.

1116

1117 **Competing interests**

1118

1119 The authors declare no competing interests.

1120

1121 **Acknowledgments**

1122

1123 We thank Loukas Theodosiou for his bioinformatic support, David Rogers for troubleshooting all

1124 PCRs, and Sven Kuenzel for his help with phage and bacterial genome sequencing.

1125