1

Multivariate divergence in wild microbes: no evidence for evolution along a genetic line

of least resistance

Emile Gluck-Thaler[1,2]*, Muhammad Shaikh[1], Corlett W. Wood[1]

(1) Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

(2) Current address: Department of Plant Pathology, University of Wisconsin-Madison,

Madison, WI, USA; Wisconsin Institute for Discovery, Madison, WI, USA

*Corresponding author: gluckthaler@wisc.edu

Abstract

Trait evolution depends both on the direct fitness effects of specific traits and on indirect selection arising from genetically correlated traits. Although well established in plants and animals, the role of trait correlations in microbial evolution remains a major open question. Here, we tested whether genetic correlations in a suite of metabolic traits are conserved between two sister lineages of fungal endophytes, and whether phenotypic divergence between lineages occurred in the direction of the multivariate trait combination containing the most genetic variance within lineages i.e., the genetic lines of least resistance. We found that while one lineage grew faster across nearly all substrates, lineages differed in their mean response to specific substrates and in their overall multivariate metabolic trait means. The structure of the genetic variance-covariance (G) matrix was conserved between lineages, yet to our surprise, divergence in metabolic phenotypes between lineages was nearly orthogonal to the major axis of genetic variation within lineages, indicating that divergence did not occur along the genetic lines of least resistance. Our findings suggest that the evolutionary genetics of trait correlations in microorganisms warrant further research, and highlight the extensive functional variation that exists at very fine taxonomic scales in host-associated microbial communities.

Introduction

Organisms are composed of complex assemblages of correlated traits (Lande and Arnold 1983). Consequently, the evolution of any given trait depends not only on its direct effect on fitness, but also on the indirect effects of the traits with which it is genetically correlated (Wagner 2011). Decades of research in macroorganisms has established that the strength and direction of trait correlations can either constrain or facilitate evolution, depending on their alignment with or opposition to selection, and thereby play a major role in adaptation (Lande 1979; Lande and Arnold 1983; Schluter 1996; Agrawal 2020). In contrast to macroorganisms, it remains unclear whether trait correlations are similarly important in the evolution of microorganisms like bacteria and fungi.

In plants and animals, there is extensive empirical evidence that trait correlations shape evolutionary trajectories within species (Via 1984; Strauss and Irwin 2004; Ingleby et al. 2014; Gompert et al. 2015; McGlothlin et al. 2018; Cope et al. 2021). In short-term selection experiments, this can manifest as a difference between the direction of selection and the direction of the evolutionary response. Over longer evolutionary time, this manifests as divergence among lineages that is aligned with the multivariate trait combination containing the most genetic variance (i.e., the major axis of genetic variation G_{max}) (Schluter 1996). Schluter famously described this pattern as divergence along the "genetic lines of least resistance" (Schluter 1996). Substantial attention has also focused on the evolutionary lability of trait correlations: just like trait means, trait correlations can evolve via the same four evolutionary forces of natural selection, mutation, gene flow, and genetic drift and their

expression is environment dependent (Lande and Arnold 1983; Shaw et al. 1995; Estes and

Phillips 2006; Wood and Brodie 2015). There are empirical examples of rapid divergence in

trait correlations from artificial selection experiments (Shaw et al. 1995; Steven et al. 2020),

as well as between populations (Wood and Brodie 2015). Yet in macroorganisms, the dominant

pattern is that trait correlations are surprisingly stable over evolutionary time (Arnold et al.

2008; McGlothlin et al. 2018, 2022).

In microorganisms, however, the evolutionary lability of trait correlations, as well as their

impact on the trajectory of evolution remain major open questions. In contrast to macrobes,

where several decades of research have investigated evolution through explicitly multivariate

frameworks (Lande 1979; Via 1984; Ingleby et al. 2014), a multivariate perspective on trait

evolution is much rarer in microbes such as bacteria and fungi (Obeng et al. 2021). There are

two major reasons that trait correlations may play a different role in microbial evolution, both

related to differences in the modes of inheritance that are common in microbes and macrobes.

First, asexual reproduction is more prevalent in microbes than in macrobes. Although relatively

few quantitative genetic studies have been performed in asexually reproducing species,

existing evidence suggests that genetic covariances differ between sexual and asexual lineages

(Nespolo et al. 2008).

Second, horizontal gene transfer is more common in microorganisms like bacteria and fungi

(Fitzpatrick 2012; Gluck-Thaler and Slot 2015; Szöllősi et al. 2015; Arnold et al. 2022; Gluck-

Thaler et al. 2022; Bucknell and McDonald 2023). As a result, microbial phenotypes are often

decoupled from their phylogenetic history such that closely related lineages may not be

ecologically similar (Boon et al. 2014; Djemiel et al. 2022). Horizontal gene transfer could

restructure correlations between traits if the horizontally transferred genes influence some but

not all of the measured traits. Alternatively, if the horizontally transferred genes influence all

measured traits, horizontal gene transfer could transport populations to regions of phenotypic

space that are not aligned with the major axis of trait correlations. This scenario is plausible if

the measured traits are controlled by genes that are typically located close together in microbial

genomes, as is often the case for metabolic phenotypes (Wisecaver et al. 2014; Urquhart et al.

2023). Taken together, these observations suggest (1) that trait correlations may be more labile

in microorganisms than in macroorganisms; and (2) that trait correlations may have a weaker

impact on the trajectory of evolution in microorganisms than in macroorganisms.

The metabolic traits of host-associated microbes are an excellent system in which to test these

hypotheses. Host-associated microbes spend much of their lives exposed to complex mixtures

of host metabolites (Gershenzon et al. 2012). Consequently, the growth of a host-associated

microbe is a multivariate trait that can be decomposed into its ability to metabolize different

host substrates (Rokas et al. 2018; Slot and Gluck-Thaler 2019; Westrick et al. 2021). In plants,

for example, carbohydrates such as sugars, fibers and starches are often the principal source of

carbon accessible to microbes and are growth-promoting resources (Liu et al. 2011). Yet plants

also produce secondary metabolites that play multifaceted roles in signalling, growth, and

defense (Piasecka et al. 2015). Phenolics, for example, are important constituents of plant cell

walls and critical players in constitutive and inducible defense responses against microbial

pathogens (Nicholson and Hammerschmidt 1992; López-Goldar et al. 2018; Wallis and

Galarneau 2020). As a result, the ability to degrade phenolic compounds is an important

determinant of microbial growth on plant tissues (Schäfer et al. 1989; Kliebenstein et al. 2005;

Zhao et al. 2019; Nickerson et al. 2023). The simultaneous presence of growth-inhibiting

compounds (like secondary metabolites) and growth-promoting compounds (like

carbohydrates) suggests that trade-offs between stress tolerance and rapid growth may be an

important axis of trait variation in host-associated microorganisms.

In this study, we compared genetic correlations in a suite of metabolic traits between two

lineages of endophytic fungi. We further tested whether divergence in mean metabolic

phenotype between lineages occurred along the genetic lines of least resistance (sensu Schluter

1996). The endophyte lineages we used in this study are dark septate endophytes, a

polyphyletic group of melanized Ascomycete fungi that dominate plant microbiomes across

all major plant groups in terrestrial ecosystems (Ruotsalainen et al. 2021). Dark septate

endophytes from the Molliciaceae family frequently colonize the roots of *Vaccinium* species,

a widely-distributed genus of shrubs that includes blueberry and cranberry (Gorzelak et al.

2012; Yang et al. 2018; Morvan et al. 2020). Individual Mollisiaceae species and even

individuals within the same species often differ dramatically in their interactions with plants,

ranging from commensal to mutualistic to pathogenic (Newsham 2011; Tellenbach et al. 2011;

Reininger et al. 2012), suggesting variation within and between species and lineages has

important ecological consequences. Yet despite their potential to impact plant host function

and their ubiquity, our understanding of phenotypic differences among dark septate endophytes

and of the ecological factors shaping their distributions is still in its infancy (Tanney and Seifert

2020).

We isolated two lineages of Molliseaceae endophytes from the roots of lowbush blueberry

(*Vaccinium angustifolium*) across three sites in Eastern North America. We then compared

endophyte growth across a panel of phenolic and carbohydrate metabolites (to capture their

response to specific host chemicals) and extracts of whole plant tissues and organs (to capture

their response to more realistic biochemical environments), and tested for differences in trait

means and correlations between lineages. We used these two experiments to answer three

questions: (1) Have these endophyte lineages diverged in their response to each metabolite and

extract, and in their multivariate mean metabolic phenotype? (2) Are there genetic trade-offs

between growth on phenolics and carbohydrates, and if so, are these trade-offs conserved

across lineages? and (3) Did divergence in mean metabolic phenotypes occur along a genetic

line of least resistance (sensu Schluter 1996)?

Materials and Methods

Endophyte and plant material collection

Lowbush blueberry (*V. angustifolium*) plants were sampled from June to October 2020 from three second growth forests in the Eastern United States that are primarily used for scientific research: the research forest at Chatham University's Eden Hall Campus in Richland Township, Pennsylvania (40°39'50.0" N 79°57'12.3" W); Powdermill Nature Reserve in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania (40°09'41.1" N 79°16'28.9" W); and Mountain Lake Biological Station in Giles County, Virginia (37°22'34.2" N 80°31'12.1" W). At each location, we selected 2-7 sites located at least 500m apart, and within each site we haphazardly sampled 5-10 *V. angustifolium* individuals located at least 10m apart with no observable blueberry plants between them. Fine fibrous roots located no deeper than 10cm from the soil surface were collected from individual plants using a trowel and knife, stored in plastic bags, and used immediately that same day for endophyte isolation.

At Mountain Lake Biological Station in October 2020, we collected additional plant materials to use in the preparation of growth media for two experiments (see below). Whole root systems and attached leaves from approximately 50 *V. angustifolium* plants growing from three large patches were collected on a single day. Root systems and leaves were pooled across sample sites. We also haphazardly collected fallen leaves from *Quercus* spp. (oak) and *Acer* spp. (maple), and fallen needles from a stand of planted *Pinus monticola* (western white pine). Intact plant leaves were collected from the ground and not from living trees to ultimately

extract material that Molliseaceae endophytes could be expected to encounter during the

saprophytic stage of their lifecycle around the roots of their lowbush blueberry hosts (Tanney

and Seifert 2020). Since the leaves were collected from the ground, we were not confident in

ID'ing them to the species level. All roots and leaves were kept at -80°C for approximately

four months until used for media preparation.

Endophyte isolation and genotyping

Endophytic fungi were isolated from *V. angustifolium* roots using a sequence of ethanol/bleach

sterilization steps followed by direct plating onto 50% strength Modified Melin-Norkrans agar

(MMN; Supplementary Methods). A total of 69 fungi isolated from *V. angustifolium* roots with

melanized morphologies characteristic of dark septate endophytes were successfully

genotyped by sequencing the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) barcoding gene (Table

S1)(Gardes and Bruns 1993). We identified each isolate to taxonomic family level using a

BLASTn search of their ITS sequence against the NCBI nr/nt database (last accessed

01/31/2021). The resulting sample of ITS sequences from Mollisiaceae isolates, along with

reference sequences from known species within this family (Tanney and Seifert 2020), were

aligned using mafft (--auto)(Katoh and Standley 2013). Pairwise sequence identities between

isolates were calculated based on this alignment. Alignments were trimmed using ClipKit

(default settings)(Steenwyk et al. 2020), and used to build a maximum likelihood phylogeny

with IQtree (-m MFP -b 100) (Nguyen et al. 2015). This phylogeny revealed that 52 isolates

could be confidently placed within the Mollisiaceae family.

Given that species-level classifications are notoriously difficult to resolve in the Mollisiaceae,

we used the phylogenetic placements of our isolates relative to the closest described species to

provisionally classify them into one of two lineages: the *Phialocephala fortinii* s.l. – *Acephala*

applanata species complex (PAC) and "Clade D" sensu Tanney and Seifert 2020. PAC

contains some of the most well-studied and frequently recovered dark septate endophyte

species from coniferous and ericaceous plant roots (Grünig et al. 2008). Clade D is an ad-hoc

phylogenetic group which includes *Mollisia nigrescens* and other poorly described root

endophytes that have previously been recovered from *Vaccinium* tissues (Tanney and Seifert

2020).

We initially selected $n_{Clade\ D} = 13$ and $n_{PAC} = 17$ isolates to phenotype from our available

collection by prioritizing isolates with different ITS sequences and/or that were recovered from

different host individuals to minimize the phenotyping of clones (Figure 1). While both Clade

D and PAC isolates were recovered from the Mountain Lake site ($n_{Clade\ D} = 10$; $n_{PAC} = 14$),

only Clade D isolates were retained from the Chatham site ($n_{Clade\ D} = 3$) and only PAC isolates

were retained from the Powdermill site ($n_{PAC} = 3$). We ultimately filtered out several isolates

due to quality control issues, resulting in $n_{Clade\ D} = 11$ for the Metabolite Experiment, $n_{Clade\ D} =$

13 for the Extract Experiment, $n_{PAC} = 15$ for the Metabolite Experiment and $n_{PAC} = 16$ for the

Extract Experiment (Supplementary Methods) (Parks and Goldman 2014). It is important to

note that between 11-16 isolates is on the low end of the sample size used to estimate G-

matrices. In a meta-analysis of 95 G-matrices, Wood and Brodie III (2015) found that the

median sample size used to estimate a G-matrix was 38.5 families or genotypes (mean = 52.2,

range = 5-587). Therefore, while our sample size is within the range of past G-matrix studies,

we have relatively limited statistical power to identify small differences in G-matrix structure.

In our study, the small number of isolates per lineage is a feature of the challenge of targeting

specific microbes for sampling in the field: unlike most macrobes, a microbe's identity cannot

be determined without culturing or sequencing it.

We conducted all statistical analyses described below using isolates from all three sites as well

as a more restricted set containing only isolates from Mountain Lake to test whether including

isolates from different geographic areas changed our results. Limiting our analysis to Mountain

Lake isolates only does not qualitatively change the results of our study. Therefore, we choose

to present results from the analysis of isolates from all three sites.

Plant metabolite experiment: media preparation and experimental design

We compared metabolic trait means and metabolic trait covariances within and between

lineages, where we define a metabolic trait as growth on a particular plant-derived substrate.

We selected 6 phenolic and 5 carbohydrate metabolites produced across different organs in

Vaccinium and therefore likely encountered by fungal endophytes colonizing species within

this genus (Table S2). We focused on phenolics and carbohydrates to capture potential trade-

offs between performance on putatively growth-inhibiting and growth-promoting compounds

(however, despite the fact that phenolics are an important component of inducible defenses in

plants (Nicholson and Hammerschmidt 1992; López-Goldar et al. 2018; Wallis and Galarneau

2020), they did not inhibit growth in our experiment; see Results). We added each metabolite

dissolved in ethanol to autoclaved media amended with a hot water extraction of *V.*

angustifolium roots (Supplementary Methods). Each of the 11 treatments consisted of known

physiological concentrations of each metabolite (Stribley and Read 1974; Harris et al. 2007;

Riihinen et al. 2008; Kaur et al. 2012; Edwards et al. 2018; Ștefănescu et al. 2020). We used

media amended with host root extract to capture the effect that each metabolite may have on

endophyte growth in the context of other host-associated metabolites, as opposed to adding

metabolites to standardized minimal media. As a control treatment, we added 20ml of pure

ethanol to root extract media, for a total of 12 treatments.

We ran this experiment in three cohorts separated by 1-2 weeks each due to the large number

of plates. Each cohort had one replicate of each isolate-metabolite combination (34 isolates x

12 treatments = 408 plates / cohort). All plates for a given cohort were prepared on the same

day using root extract from one of the six original bags of *V. angustifolium* root system

collections (see above) and left to dry for 48 hours in a laminar flow hood. Plates were then

inoculated with 4mm plugs from two-week old cultures grown on MMN plates, sealed with

micropore tape, haphazardly arranged and incubated upside-down in the dark at room

temperature.

Plant extract experiment: media preparation and experimental design

We selected root and leaf materials from *V. angustifolium,* as well as leaves from three different

plant species sympatric with *V. angustifolium* (*Pinus monticola, Quercus* spp. and *Acer* spp.).

We used these additional plant species to create ecologically relevant variation in multivariate

biochemical environments for the plant extract experiment. In contrast to the metabolite

experiment described above, this experiment was designed to capture potential trade-offs in

performance across more realistic biochemical environments. We prepared root and leaf

extracts from materials collected at Mountain Lake Biological Station (see above) using a hot

water extraction method (Supplementary Methods).

Autoclaved extracts were added to autoclaved DI water agar (15g/L) to a final concentration

of 5g/L, and the resulting solutions were immediately poured into plates prepared and left to

dry as above. Final extract concentrations in this experiment were 5 times greater than those

in the metabolite experiment because they were intended as the main treatment effect. There

were 3 replicates per isolate x extract treatment (34 isolates x 5 treatments x 3 replicates = 510

plates), and a single experimental cohort. All plates were prepared on the same day and

inoculated and stored as above.

Measuring growth rate

Given that many Mollisiaceae endophytes primarily reproduce through clonal vegetative

growth (Tanney and Seifert 2020), growth rates are expected to be important ecological traits

(Aguilar-Trigueros et al. 2015). We measured colony area in cm^2 at 7, 14, and 21 days after

inoculation and calculated the Area Under the Growth Progress Stairs (AUGPS) as a

quantitative measurement of growth over time, such that AUGPS units were cm^2 x 7 days

(Supplementary Methods). We calculated AUGPS using the audps function (type = "absolute")

in the R package Agricolae (Mendiburu and Simon 2015). While all isolates were able to grow

on all treatments, 30 out of the 1,530 plates prepared across all experiments had cultures that

did not grow at all over the 21-day period, as occasionally happens during culture-based

experiments, and were subsequently discarded. This resulted in at most 1 replicate being

discarded for each genotype x treatment combination.

Haphazardly selected groups of plates were imaged using a vertically mounted Nikon D3500

camera equipped with a Nikon AF-P DX 18-55mm f/3.5-5.6G VR lens. A ruler was placed in

each image and was used to calibrate measurements. Plates were illuminated from two sides

by mounted fluorescent lights. We measured colony area from images of each plate using

ImageJ software (Schneider et al. 2012), where the boundaries of each colony were estimated

by eye. The colony area at day 0 for all isolates (0.113 cm^2) was determined based on a random

sample of 10 plates imaged at this time. Colonies without identifiable boundaries were marked

as missing. Images from the extract experiment taken on day 21 were discarded because the

media and fungal tissues progressively darkened over this period of time - perhaps due to

increased melanization or the activity of secreted enzymes - such that the fungal colony

boundaries could not reliably be identified. Growth rates for the Extract Experiment were

therefore estimated using two timepoints only, in addition to the starting timepoint at day 0,

which limited our ability to capture variation in growth rates between day 14 and 21.

Statistical analysis: Linear mixed models

Prior to our analyses, we removed 4 isolates originally assigned to Clade D from our dataset

due to poor phylogenetic support (Supplementary Methods). In addition, we removed 4 isolates

(2 PAC and 2 Clade D) from the metabolite experiment and 1 PAC isolate from the extract

experiment due to possible contamination (Supplementary Methods). We performed all

statistical analyses in R 4.1.1 (R Core Team 2016) and unless specified otherwise, created data

visualizations using ggplot2 (Wickham et al. 2021). We constructed three different linear

mixed models to test for the effects of various predictor variables on isolate growth (i.e.,

AUGPS) using the "lmer" function in the lme4 package (Bates et al. 2015). We included isolate

and isolate-by-treatment interactions as random effects in all models to control for isolate-

specific variation.

To test how individual metabolites affected isolate growth in the two endophyte lineages, we

constructed a model with metabolite, phylogenetic lineage, metabolite-by-lineage interactions

and cohort as fixed effects. To test how chemical class (phenolic or carbohydrate) interacts

with lineage membership, we constructed a model with chemical class, phylogenetic lineage,

class-by-lineage interactions and cohort as fixed effects and individual metabolite as a random

effect to control for metabolite-specific effects independent of chemical class. We fit cohort as

a fixed effect rather than a random effect because our experiment included only 3 cohorts, and

random-effect variance estimates are imprecise for random effects with fewer than 5-6 levels

(Harrison et al. 2018). Finally, to test how plant extracts interact with lineage membership, we

constructed a model that included extract treatment phylogenetic lineage and extract-by-

lineage interactions as fixed effects.

We tested for the significance of fixed effects with type III sums of squares using the Anova

function in the car package (Fox and Weisberg 2011). We confirmed that the residuals within

each model met the required assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity by simulating

model residuals using the DHARMa package (Hartig and Lohse 2021) and inspecting the

resulting quantile-quantile plot and the plot of the residuals versus the predicted values to

detect deviations from the expected distribution. Estimated marginal means and their 95%

confidence intervals for each treatment within each lineage were calculated and compared to

each other using the emmeans package and post-hoc Tukey tests (Lenth et al. 2021).

Statistical analysis: Comparisons of multivariate G-matrices

To test for differences in the multivariate response to plant chemistry between the two lineages,

we calculated and compared the genotypic variance-covariance (**G**) matrices from each lineage

for the plant metabolite and plant extract experiments, in addition to carbohydrate- and

phenolic-specific G-matrices. In these G-matrices, each isolate trait is its mean-centered

growth (AUGPS) averaged across its replicates on a specific metabolite or plant extract. We

mean centered AUGPS for each isolate by subtracting the lineage mean from its untransformed

AUGPS value, in a process analogous to size correction that is used when comparing different

macroorganism species (McGlothlin et al. 2018), in order to increase our power to detect

differences in growth due to treatment effect and not differences due to baseline growth rates

between lineages. In order to avoid artificially inflating similarity between G-matrices, we

removed metabolite treatments from the metabolite experiment that had no statistically

significant effect on growth in either lineage (Table S3). This resulted in the removal of two

phenolic treatments (chlorogenic acid and vanillic acid) and one carbohydrate treatment

(starch). Removal of these treatments do not quantitatively change the results of any

multivariate statistical analyses (data not shown). For the metabolite experiment, we averaged

growth across cohorts (which were equivalent to experimental replicates) and for the extract

experiment, we averaged across replicates. We decomposed each G-matrix into its

eigenvectors and eigenvalues using base R's prcomp function (scale = FALSE).

We calculated three test statistics that capture differences in matrix structure, following

previously established methods (Wood and Brodie III 2015): the difference in total genetic

variance between endophyte lineages (ΔVt), the difference between the proportion of variance

along Gmax (ΔGmax), and the difference in the orientation of Gmax (θ). Gmax represents the

largest eigenvector of the covariance matrix and is the linear combination of traits that captures

the largest proportion of variation in growth among isolates. A large ΔVt indicates that isolates

within one lineage harbor more genetic variation than do isolates in the other lineage. A large

ΔGmax indicates that growth on different substrates is more strongly correlated in one lineage

than in the other. A large θ indicates that the lineages differ in the direction of trait correlations.

To test whether ΔVt, ΔGmax, or θ differed between lineages, we permuted isolates among the

two lineage matrices 500 times and recalculated the three test statistics as above from the

permuted data to generate null distributions.

To quantify similarity in overall matrix structure, we calculated correlations between the

response to random vectors applied to each lineage's G-matrix using the RandomSkewers

function from the evolqg package with 10,000 random gradients (Melo et al. 2016). The

random skewers (RS) method applies random vectors of selection gradients to each matrix and

measures the correlation between the response vectors of the two matrices. The responses of

matrices with similar structures will be highly correlated.

To examine pairwise correlations between growth on different substrates, we constructed Spearman correlation matrices using base R's cor function. The significance of each correlation coefficient was visualized and calculated using the ggcorplot package (Kassambara 2019). Pairwise trait correlations between lineages were compared using the cocor package using the Fisher's z test (Diedenhofen and Musch 2015). *P* values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg method implemented in base R's p.adjust function.

To test for differences in multivariate trait means between lineages, we performed a one-way MANOVA for each experiment where the different substrates were the response variables and lineage was the predictor. Here, we also mean centered trait values by subtracting mean lineage growth across all treatments within a specific experiment from each trait value. This allowed us to remove the overall growth difference between the lineages while preserving the differences between treatments within each lineage. We evaluated the effect size of lineage on substrate using the package effectsize (Ben-Shachar et al. 2020).

We also used mean centered AUGPS values to calculate Schluter's theta according to the procedure described in Schluter (1996) so we could focus on differences between lineages due to substrate treatment and not basal growth rate (e.g., analogous to using size-corrected species means for animals (McGlothlin et al. 2018)). First, we extracted Gmax from each lineage's G-matrix for each experiment using the prcomp() function in R. Then, we calculated the vector

393   between each lineage's multivariate mean (z), and normalized z such that z'z = 1. Finally, for

394   each lineage, we calculated the angle theta in degrees between its Gmax and z.

Results

Lineages differ in baseline growth rates and in their growth on individual plant metabolites, chemical classes and plant extracts

For the plant metabolite experiment, we found that lineages differed in their baseline growth (lineage effect: $\chi^2_{df=1}$ = 175.43, $P < 0.0001$), that metabolites differed in their effects on growth (metabolite effect: $\chi^2_{df=11}$ = 238.75, $P < 0.0001$), and that lineages differed in their growth on individual metabolites (lineage-by-metabolite interaction: $\chi^2_{df=11}$ = 345.16, $P < 0.0001$)(Figure 2; Table S4). The mean growth of the PAC isolates averaged across all treatments and cohorts was significantly greater than the growth of Clade D isolates (LS means: PAC = 64.4 cm^2 x 7 days, Clade D = 31.3 cm^2 x 7 days; $P < 0.0001$). In Clade D, growth on rutin, caffeic acid and xylose all differed significantly from the ethanol control media, while in the PAC, all metabolite treatments except chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid and starch differed significantly from the control (Table S5, Figure 2). Treatments with significant effects tended to increase growth, except caffeic acid which negatively affected the PAC (Table S6). The positive effect of caffeic acid on Clade D was such that growth rate differences between the two lineages were abolished when growing on this metabolite after correcting for multiple comparisons (LS means: PAC = 50.7 cm^2 x 7 days, Clade D = 42.9 cm^2 x 7 days; $P_{Bonferroni}$ = 0.234). We additionally found a significant effect of experimental cohort ($\chi^2_{df=2}$ = 126.92, $P < 0.0001$), indicating that growth rates differed between our experimental cohorts. Differences between treatments were not due to total carbon concentration, as carbon molarity was not significantly

correlated with growth in either lineage across all treatments (Pearson's r_{PAC}= 0.01, P_{PAC}=

0.83; Pearson's $r_{Clade\ D}$= 0.02, $P_{Clade\ D}$= 0.68; Figure S1).

We found that lineages differed in their baseline growth across carbohydrate and phenolic

chemical classes (lineage effect: $\chi^2_{df=1}$ = 183.38, P < 0.0001) and that lineages differed in their

growth on individual chemical classes (lineage by chemical class interaction: $\chi^2_{df=1}$ = 74.50, P

< 0.0001; Figure 2B). However, phenolics and carbohydrates alone did not differ in their

impact on endophyte growth (chemical effect: $\chi^2_{df=1}$ = 0.35, P = 0.55; Table S7). These main

effects were still significant when excluding the caffeic acid treatment (Table S8). The mean

growth of PAC isolates was significantly greater than Clade D isolates on both chemical

classes (Table S9); however, the magnitude of difference was greater on carbohydrates than

on phenolics (LS means for carbohydrates: PAC = 67.7 cm^2 x 7 days, Clade D = 27.8 cm^2 x 7

days; LS means for phenolics: PAC = 61.2 cm^2 x 7 days, Clade D = 33.8 cm^2 x 7 days), and

remained true when excluding caffeic acid treatments (Table S10). We also found a significant

effect of experimental cohort (cohort effect: $\chi^2_{df=2}$ = 32.48, P < 0.0001), indicating that growth

rates differed between cohorts.

For the plant extract experiment, we similarly found that lineages differed in their baseline

growth (lineage effect: $\chi^2_{df=1}$ = 542.31, P < 0.0001), that plant extracts differed in their effect

on growth (extract effect: $\chi^2_{df=4}$ = 394.12, P < 0.0001), and that lineages responded differently

to individual plant extracts (lineage by extract effect: $\chi^2_{df=4}$ = 205.92, P < 0.0001; Table S11,

Figure 2). The mean growth of PAC isolates was significantly greater than that of Clade D

isolates averaged across all extract types (LS means: PAC = 33.1 cm^2 x 7 days, Clade D = 11

cm^2 x 7 days; $P < 0.0001$). Within the PAC, growth on the oak and maple leaf extracts was

significantly greater compared with growth on all other extracts, while within Clade D, only

the oak leaf treatment was significantly greater compared with all other treatments (Table S12).

Although maple and oak are non-host species for these two lineages, maple and oak leaves

were abundant at our study sites and may be an important resource during their saprophytic

life stage.

Lineages differ in their multivariate means across multiple metabolic traits

We calculated separate G-matrices for PAC and Clade D for both the metabolite and extract

experiments using mean-centered growth data (Methods; Tables S13-20). For the metabolite

experiment, the major principal component of the mean-centered G-matrices (PC1, or Gmax)

for each lineage captured 73.24% and 54.71% for Clade D and PAC, respectively (Figure 3).

Metabolite treatments had similar loadings to each other along each lineage's Gmax,

suggesting this axis separates isolates in both lineages according to how fast or slow they grow

and independent of any specific treatment. In contrast, treatments differ in their loadings along

the second principal component (PC2, capturing 7.77% and 17.42% of variation in growth rate

for Clade D and PAC, respectively), which suggests that this axis separates isolates according

to metabolite-specific growth responses. We confirmed a significant and large effect of

endophyte lineage on mean multivariate metabolite-related growth using a one-way

MANOVA (Pillai's trace = 0.93; approximate $F = 67.3$; $df(9,45)$; $P < 0.0001$; Partial eta^2 =

0.93). For the plant extract experiment, Gmax captured 84.68% and 72.35% of variation in

growth rate and PC2 captured 7.15% and 18.31% for Clade D and PAC, respectively. We

observed a significant and large multivariate effect of endophyte lineage on plant extract-

related mean growth using a one-way MANOVA (Pillai's trace = 0.87; approximate F = 101.2;

$df(5,73)$; $P < 0.0001$; Partial eta^2 = 0.87). This indicates that the lineages occupy non-

overlapping regions of multivariate metabolic trait space (Figure 3B).

Metabolic traits vary with similar strength and in similar directions along the major axis

of multivariate growth

The total genotypic variance of PAC was nearly twice the magnitude of Clade D (200 vs. 110),

indicating that there was more variation in growth across metabolites in PAC isolates than

Clade D isolates. The difference in total genotypic variance (ΔVt) between the two lineages

for the metabolite experiment was significantly greater than expected compared to random

chance ($P = 0.02$; Figure 3). Lineages differed marginally in the proportion of variation

explained by Gmax (ΔGmax) compared with random expectations ($P = 0.09$), indicating that

the strength with which traits covary along Gmax is largely similar among isolates from either

lineage. Finally, the difference in the orientation of Gmax (θ) was smaller than expected (P =

0.05), indicating that within each lineage, the directions in which traits covary are conserved

along Gmax.

The comparisons of Gmax for the metabolite experiment, which provide comparisons along a

single dimension of the G-matrix, are in agreement with comparisons of overall G-matrix

structure. Random skewers showed that the response to selection predicted from the G-

matrices for the two lineages was strongly correlated (random skewers correlation = 0.88, P

<0.001). This correlation is not likely not driven by a response to a specific chemical class, as

the carbohydrate-specific and phenolic-specific G-matrices were also significantly correlated

across the two lineages (random skewers correlation$_{carbohydrates}$ = 0.96, P = 0.005; random

skewers correlation$_{phenolics}$ = 0.88, P = 0.025). This indicates that overall, traits vary with similar

strength and in similar directions across all dimensions of the G-matrix.

In contrast for the extract experiment, differences between lineages in total genotypic variance

(ΔVt; P = 0.609), the proportion of variation on Gmax (ΔGmax; P = 0.431) and the orientation

of Gmax (θ; P = 0.719) did not differ more or less compared to a random expectation. This

indicates that PAC isolates are about as different from each other as Clade D isolates when

growing on plant extracts and that their traits vary with similar strength and in similar

directions (Figure 3). Similar to the metabolite experiment, a random skewers analysis showed

that the G-matrices of the two lineages were positively correlated with each other (Random

skewers correlation = 0.82, P = 0.026).

The strength and direction of pairwise metabolic trait correlations are conserved

After comparing the overall multivariate responses of the two lineages, we next compared all

pairwise trait correlations between the two lineages to test whether any substrate-specific

differences in metabolic trait covariances exist. For the metabolite experiment, all trait

correlations tended to be positive within each lineage, with 36/36 showing positive trends in

both lineages and with 16/36 of these having positive correlations significantly greater than 0

in both lineages (Figure S2). For the extract experiment, all pairwise trait correlations within

each lineage were positive, and 4/10 correlations were significantly greater than 0 in both

lineages (Figure S2). After correcting for multiple comparisons, we found no evidence that

pairwise trait correlations differed between lineages for the metabolite experiment, while only

the oak leaf-pine needle trait correlation differed between lineages for the extract experiment

(Spearman's $rho_{Clade\ D}$= 0.9, Spearman's rho_{PAC}= 0.2; Fisher's z = -3.01; P = 0.03; Table S21,

Figure 4).

No evidence for metabolic trait divergence along a genetic line of least resistance

We used each lineage's G-matrix, calculated with mean-centered average growth data for each

isolate, to test the hypothesis that the metabolism of lineages has diverged along a genetic line

of least resistance. In contrast to previous studies that found evidence for morphological

divergence along genetic lines of least resistance in multiple animal species (i.e., Schluter's

theta = 0) (Schluter 1996; McGlothlin et al. 2018), we found no evidence for metabolic

divergence along a line of least resistance between the two endophytic fungal lineages in either

the metabolite or extract experiment. Instead, we found that Schluter's theta for PAC relative

to Clade D and for Clade D relative to PAC for the metabolite experiment was 81.6° and 86.2°,

respectively, and that theta for PAC relative to Clade D and for Clade D relative to PAC for

the extract experiment was 91.3° and 83.2°, respectively.

To provide context to these results, we calculated pairwise nucleotide similarity at the ITS

barcode locus between each isolate (Table S22). Mean ITS similarity was 99.6%, 96.8% and

87.2% for within PAC, within Clade D and between PAC and Clade D, respectively. A

threshold of 88.5% identity at the ITS locus is typical for discriminating between taxonomic

families, while species-level thresholds typically range from 97%-100% depending on the

fungal taxon in question (Vu et al. 2019).

Discussion

Here, we found that sister lineages of endophytes isolated from the same host harbor significant genotypic and phenotypic variation related to growth on plant-derived metabolites and extracts. Metabolic trait correlations were broadly conserved between lineages (Figure 3, Figure 4). However, we found no evidence of trade-offs within either lineage between specific carbohydrate and phenolic compounds, perhaps because phenolics did not inhibit endophyte growth, contrary to our initial expectation. However, lineages differed in their relative performance on phenolics versus carbohydrates, indicating that metabolic trade-offs may exist between lineages. Finally, we found that metabolic trait divergence between lineages did not occur along the genetic lines of least resistance. Divergence between the two endophyte lineages was nearly orthogonal to the major axis of genetic variation within lineages. Our results underscore the importance of species-level variation in the microbiome, and suggest that the evolutionary genetics of trait correlations in microorganisms merit further study.

Positive correlations between metabolic traits are conserved across lineages

A central goal of our study was to test whether trait correlations are conserved across lineages in microorganisms, as they often are in macroorganisms (Arnold et al. 2008). We tested this hypothesis in two complementary experiments that measured endophyte performance across a suite of eleven host-derived metabolites and five plant extracts. In both experiments, we found that correlations between metabolic traits were largely positive and conserved between lineages (Figure 4). Neither the orientation nor magnitude of the major axis of genotypic

variation differs between lineages for either metabolite- or extract-related growth phenotypes

(Figure 3). Together, the conservation of pairwise positive trait correlations and the major axis

of multivariate trait variation suggests that these lineages have maintained a common set of

metabolic trait covariances.

Although we did not detect a difference in the magnitude or direction of genetic correlations

between lineages, lineages differed in the total amount of genetic variation (ΔV_t) in their

response to plant metabolites (Figure 3). The PAC lineage contained almost twice the amount

of genetic variation in metabolic traits compared with Clade D. This difference in the amount

of standing genetic variation in the metabolic traits implies that our focal lineages differ in

their evolutionary capacity to respond to selection imposed by specific metabolites (Roff et al.

2012).

The evolutionary stability of genetic (co)variances is a long-standing question in quantitative

genetics (Turelli 1988; Shaw et al. 1995; Arnold et al. 2008; McGlothlin et al. 2022). All four

forces of evolution—selection, drift, mutation, and gene flow—can alter genetic variances and

reshape covariances between traits (Arnold et al. 2008). Indeed, the G-matrix can evolve

rapidly. Selection erodes trait variance (Lande and Arnold 1983; Arnold et al. 2008), and can

rapidly alter genetic correlations between traits (Steven et al. 2020). In some cases, **G** differs

substantially between populations (Wood and Brodie 2015) and between species (Chakrabarty

and Schielzeth 2020). Nevertheless, in many cases the structure of **G** is stable over relatively

long timescales (Arnold et al. 2008, McGlothlin et al. 2022) and major environmental clines

(Henry and Stinchcombe 2023). Our results are consistent with this latter group of studies,

showing that the overall structure of **G** is relatively constant across lineages. We hope that our

study will inspire future studies of microbial quantitative genetics, which are necessary to

evaluate whether the G-matrix exhibits similar patterns of stability and evolution in micro- and

macroorganisms.

We originally expected that the G-matrices in our study would be dominated by negative

genetic covariances between growth on carbohydrates and phenolics. This expectation was

based on the fact that sugars, fibers, and starches tend to be easily metabolized by microbes

(Liu et al. 2011), while phenolics are involved in plant defense responses against microbial

pathogens and tend to inhibit microbial growth (Nicholson and Hammerschmidt 1992; López-

Goldar et al. 2018; Wallis and Galarneau 2020). Given the preponderance of stress-related

trade-offs across taxa (Ferenci 2016; Züst and Agrawal 2017; He et al. 2022), we hypothesized

that performance on growth-inhibiting compounds like phenolics would come at the expense

of rapid growth on growth-promoting compounds like carbohydrates. However,we did not

observe any statistically significant trade-offs between growth on these different substrates

(Figure 4, Figure S2). This may be due to the fact that phenolics were not actually growth-

limiting in our experiment (Figure 2A). Both Clade D and PAC grew faster on several different

phenolic compounds compared with controls, suggesting that both lineages can use phenolic

compounds as a nutrient source. Future studies should examine ecological traits beyond those

directly related to the metabolism of plant metabolites, such as abiotic stress tolerance and

combative dominance, to test if and how the metabolic traits we measured here are associated

with other ecological traits (Bazzaz and Grace 1997; Crowther et al. 2014; Zanne et al. 2020).

While we did not detect a tradeoff between growth on phenolics and carbohydrates *within*

lineages, comparing the lineages to each other revealed some evidence of specialization for

different strategies. Clade D tended to have higher growth across all phenolics compared to all

carbohydrates, while the opposite was true for PAC (Figure 2B), suggesting that Clade D in is

better equipped to assimilate phenolic compounds and PAC is better equipped to assimilate

carbohydrates. Indeed, we found a significant lineage x chemical class interaction effect that

supports the observation that lineages respond differently to either carbohydrates or phenolics.

Lineages diverged in baseline growth rate and in their response to specific metabolites

Although trait correlations are largely conserved between the sister lineages of Molliseaceae

endophytes in our experiment, they have diverged phenotypically in two major ways. First,

they differed in baseline growth rates across nearly all examined metabolites (Figure 2). The

differences between lineages in intrinsic growth persisted across the plant extracts, indicating

that lineages also differ in growth rate in more complex, ecologically realistic metabolic

environments. In addition to differences in baseline growth, lineages differed significantly in

their overall multivariate trait means on both metabolite and plant extracts (Figure 3), and in

their response to specific substrates, most notably for caffeic acid (Figure 2). Together, the

differences in both specific trait means and in the overall multivariate mean suggest the

biochemical niches of microbes colonizing the same host are structured by both small

differences across multiple traits and by large differences in specific traits.

Caffeic acid is a common metabolite produced by many plants as a precursor in the production

of lignin and is also known to directly inhibit the growth of several bacteria and fungi,

suggesting it has potential to act as a defense compound both through strengthening plant cell

walls and inhibiting microbial colonization of plant tissues (Ravn et al. 1989; Lanoue et al.

2010; Li et al. 2021). The lineage-specific effects we observed for substrates like caffeic acid

may arise through several non-mutually exclusive mechanisms. Lineages may differ in their

capacity to produce enzymes that detoxify or assimilate the substrate, or may have other

compensatory mechanisms for tolerating downstream stresses such as reactive oxygen species

(Westrick et al. 2021).

Divergence between lineages did not occur along the genetic line of least resistance

If trait correlations influence the trajectory of evolution, phenotypic divergence between

lineages should be aligned with the multivariate trait combination containing the most genetic

variation within lineages (Schluter 1996). Although trait correlations were broadly conserved

between the endophyte lineages in our study, to our surprise we found that divergence in the

multivariate mean metabolic phenotype was nearly orthogonal to the major axis of genetic

variation within lineages. This finding is contrary to studies in macroorganisms over the past

two decades that are generally consistent with Schluter's hypothesis (Bégin and Roff 2004;

McGuigan et al. 2005; Chenoweth et al. 2010; Bolstad et al. 2014; McGlothlin et al. 2018).

What might account for this pair of incongruent observations, that trait correlations are

conserved between lineages, but that the major axis of genetic variation was not aligned with

phenotypic divergence between lineages? One possibility is that our study omitted a major trait

or traits that are correlated with the metabolic traits we measured and are under strong selection

in wild endophyte populations. Mollisiaceae endophytes are facultative symbionts: they live

as endophytes in blueberry roots, but are also capable of functioning as free-living saprotrophs

of leaf litter (Tanney and Seifert 2020). Selection can differ dramatically between host-

associated and free-living life stages in facultative symbionts (Burghardt et al. 2018). If our

experiment omitted an important fitness-related trait from either life stage, indirect selection

driven by the missing correlated trait could be responsible for driving evolution orthogonal to

the trait correlations we measured, especially if the omitted trait or traits contain extensive

genetic variation (Lande and Arnold 1983).

A second possibility is that the fungal lineages in our experiment are more distantly related

than the macroorganisms that have been the predominant focus of past work. Although

divergence is expected to follow the genetic line of least resistance over relatively short

evolutionary timescales, this pattern may weaken as the divergence time between lineages

increases, because **G** itself evolves (Schluter 1996; Arnold et al. 2008; McGlothlin et al. 2018).

However, our comparisons of ITS sequences indeed suggest that the fungal lineages in our

study are indeed different genera in the same taxonomic family (Tanney and Seifert 2020),

similar in taxonomic scope to Schluter's original paper, which included cross-generic

comparisons in Galapagos finches and sparrows (Schluter 1996). Furthermore, a recent study

in *Anolis* lizards showed that the major axis of genetic divergence shaped phenotypic

divergence over relatively long timescales (20-40 million years), even in the face of an

evolving G-matrix (McGlothlin et al. 2018).

Alternatively, horizontal gene transfer could account for divergence in a direction orthogonal

to the genetic lines of least resistance. Once thought to be relatively rare in eukaryotes like

fungi, the past two decades have revealed extensive evidence for horizontal gene transfer

between fungi of genes that confer important ecological functions, including those involved in

specialized metabolism (Fitzpatrick 2012; Wisecaver et al. 2014; Gluck-Thaler and Slot 2015;

Szöllősi et al. 2015). The pattern we observed—divergence orthogonal to $\mathbf{G_{max}}$ and little

change in $\mathbf{G}$ itself—could be explained by horizontal transfer of a suite of genes that affect all

measured metabolic traits. This could deliver the recipient lineage to a new region of the fitness

landscape without altering trait correlations. Mobile genetic elements have previously been

implicated in major evolutionary changes via horizontal transfer in bacteria, such as the

transfer of antibiotic resistance or the ability to infect a host (Brockhurst et al. 2019; Weisberg

and Chang 2023), and large mobile elements carrying metabolic genes and capable of

horizontal transfer have recently been discovered in fungi (Vogan et al. 2021; Gluck-Thaler et

al. 2022; Urquhart et al. 2022). The impact of horizontally transferable microbial mobile

elements on the trajectory of evolution—the direction of evolution in multivariate trait space—

in the recipient lineage, merits future research.

Future directions: the evolutionary ecology of wild microbes

One unique challenge that arises when applying quantitative genetics to wild microbes is that

the distinction between *intra*specific and *inter*specific variation is more ambiguous in

microorganisms than in macroorganisms (Lücking et al. 2020). The ambiguity that arises when

defining microbial species can complicate the interpretation of G-matrices. If a group of

isolates is actually comprised of multiple species, the resulting G-matrix of trait correlations—

which will summarize trait variation *among* species—is not a true G-matrix, and may not be a

useful predictor of evolutionary trajectories *within* each constituent species. In this study, the

endophyte isolates clustered into two well-resolved lineages with a high degree of sequence

similarity at the ITS locus within lineages but not between lineages. This is why we considered

variation within lineages to reflect intraspecific variation, and differences between lineages to

reflect divergence between species. Nevertheless, the ambiguity of what constitutes a true

species is a crucial factor to consider when applying quantitative genetics to microbes.

Second, it is often prohibitively difficult to control the number of isolates, lineages, or genera

of wild microbes represented in a study *a priori.* It is usually infeasible to strategically target

isolates or lineages for field sampling because microbial identity cannot be determined in the

field. Instead, identifying wild microbes relies on culture-dependent or -independent methods

back in the lab (Su et al. 2012). As a result, the number of isolates, species, or genera included

in a study is often outside of the researcher's control, at least relative to taxa that can be reliably

identified and targeted for sampling in the field.

An additional complication that arises when estimating genetic (co)variances in clonal species

like microbes is that some among-isolate variation may be due to transgenerational plasticity.

Transgenerational plasticity is when an individual's phenotype is determined by an

environment that its parent (or grandparent or great-grandparent) experienced, rather than the

alleles it inherited (Bell and Hellmann 2019). Transgenerational plasticity can inflate genetic

(co)variances because it confounds genetic and environmental effects on phenotype.
Accounting for this possibility in future microbial experiments requires a system-specific
understanding of how rapidly these effects decay in a common garden.

Finally, the ecological significance of fine-scale taxonomic variation in host-associated
microbes merits future research. Our study uncovered significant functional variation among
closely related isolates in the same lineage, as well as significant functional divergence
between sister lineages. How this variation scales up to influence the structure and function of
host-associated communities is a major open question in the field. Through differentially
impacting the growth of individual members of the plant microbiome, plant host chemistry
may have cascading impacts on endophyte community assembly (Eisenhauer et al. 2017;
Clocchiatti et al. 2021). Distinct host chemistries can favor the assembly of distinct endophyte
communities (Sietiö et al. 2018; Bonito et al. 2019; Nickerson et al. 2023) and likely shape the
distribution of endophyte populations across landscapes through environmental filtering and
niche partitioning (Carroll and Petrini 1983; Tedersoo et al. 2013; Wehner et al. 2014; Veach
et al. 2019). In turn, differences between endophyte communities may shape variation in plant
health outcomes by, for example, influencing interactions with pathogens (Busby et al. 2013),
mediating abiotic stress responses (Rodriguez et al. 2008) and promoting or inhibiting plant
growth (Alberton et al. 2010; Newsham 2011; Reininger et al. 2012). Further investigations
into the evolution of endophyte ecology will thus be critical for dissecting how variation within
microbiomes shapes and is in turn shaped by variation in their plant hosts.

Data availability

All supporting data, including raw image files, measurements and R scripts (including alternate scripts for analyzing ML isolates only), are available from the following Figshare repository (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20489715). All sequence data have been deposited at NCBI (GenBank accession numbers OP378573 through OP378602).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the help of Marion Holmes and Tiffany Betras for getting us to and from our sample sites and providing expert guidance. Thanks to John Wenzel for providing access to the Powdermill Nature Reserve, Jaime Jones for facilitating our sampling at Mountain Lake Biological Station and to Vincent Formica, Chang-Yu Chang, McCall Calvert, Eunnuri Yi and Addison Martin for providing helpful feedback on drafts of this manuscript. This work was supported by startup funds from the University of Pennsylvania to CWW.

Contributions

EGT and CWW conceptualized the research. EGT and MS collected data and EGT conducted formal analyses. EGT wrote the original draft and EGT and CWW participated in reviewing and editing the manuscript.

References

Agrawal, A. A. 2020. A scale-dependent framework for trade-offs, syndromes, and

specialization in organismal biology. Ecology 101:e02924.

Aguilar-Trigueros, C. A., S. Hempel, J. R. Powell, I. C. Anderson, J. Antonovics, J. Bergmann,

T. R. Cavagnaro, et al. 2015. Branching out: Towards a trait-based understanding of fungal

ecology. Fungal Biology Reviews 29:34–41.

Alberton, O., T. W. Kuyper, and R. C. Summerbell. 2010. Dark septate root endophytic fungi

increase growth of Scots pine seedlings under elevated CO2 through enhanced nitrogen use

efficiency. Plant and Soil 328:459–470.

Arnold, B. J., I.-T. Huang, and W. P. Hanage. 2022. Horizontal gene transfer and adaptive

evolution in bacteria. Nature Reviews Microbiology 20:206–218.

Arnold, S. J., R. Bürger, P. A. Hohenlohe, B. C. Ajie, and A. G. Jones. 2008. Understanding the

Evolution and Stability of the G-Matrix. Evolution 62:2451–2461.

Bazzaz, F. A., and J. Grace. 1997. Plant Resource Allocation. Elsevier.

Bégin, M., and D. A. Roff. 2004. From Micro- to Macroevolution Through Quantitative Genetic

Variation: Positive Evidence from Field Crickets. Evolution 58:2287–2304.

Bell, A. M., and J. K. Hellmann. 2019. An Integrative Framework for Understanding the

Mechanisms and Multigenerational Consequences of Transgenerational Plasticity. Annual

review of ecology, evolution, and systematics 50:97–118.

Ben-Shachar, M. S., D. Lüdecke, and D. Makowski. 2020. effectsize: Estimation of Effect Size

Indices and Standardized Parameters. Journal of Open Source Software 5:2815.

Bolstad, G. H., T. F. Hansen, C. Pélabon, M. Falahati-Anbaran, R. Pérez-Barrales, and W. S.

Armbruster. 2014. Genetic constraints predict evolutionary divergence in Dalechampia

blossoms. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences

369:20130255.

Bonito, G., G. M. N. Benucci, K. Hameed, D. Weighill, P. Jones, K.-H. Chen, D. Jacobson, et al.

2019. Fungal-Bacterial Networks in the Populus Rhizobiome Are Impacted by Soil Properties

and Host Genotype. Frontiers in Microbiology 10:481.

Boon, E., C. J. Meehan, C. Whidden, D. H.-J. Wong, M. G. Langille, and R. G. Beiko. 2014.

Interactions in the microbiome: communities of organisms and communities of genes. Fems

Microbiology Reviews 38:90–118.

Brockhurst, M. A., E. Harrison, J. P. J. Hall, T. Richards, A. McNally, and C. MacLean. 2019.

The Ecology and Evolution of Pangenomes. Current Biology 29:R1094–R1103.

Bucknell, A. H., and M. C. McDonald. 2023. That's no moon, it's a Starship: Giant transposons

driving fungal horizontal gene transfer. Molecular Microbiology.

Burghardt, L. T., B. Epstein, J. Guhlin, M. S. Nelson, M. R. Taylor, N. D. Young, M. J.

Sadowsky, et al. 2018. Select and resequence reveals relative fitness of bacteria in symbiotic and

free-living environments. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115:2425–2430.

Busby, P. E., N. Zimmerman, D. J. Weston, S. S. Jawdy, J. Houbraken, and G. Newcombe.

2013. Leaf endophytes and Populus genotype affect severity of damage from the necrotrophic

leaf pathogen, Drepanopeziza populi. Ecosphere 4:art125.

Carroll, G., and O. Petrini. 1983. Patterns of Substrate Utilization by Some Fungal Endophytes

from Coniferous Foliage. Mycologia 75:53–63.

Chakrabarty, A., and H. Schielzeth. 2020. Comparative analysis of the multivariate genetic

architecture of morphological traits in three species of Gomphocerine grasshoppers. Heredity

124:367–382.

Chenoweth, S. F., H. D. Rundle, and M. W. Blows. 2010. The Contribution of Selection and

Genetic Constraints to Phenotypic Divergence. The American Naturalist 175:186–196.

Clocchiatti, A., S. E. Hannula, M. van den Berg, M. P. J. Hundscheid, and W. de Boer. 2021.

Evaluation of Phenolic Root Exudates as Stimulants of Saptrophic Fungi in the Rhizosphere.

Frontiers in Microbiology 12.

Cope, O. L., K. Keefover-Ring, E. L. Kruger, and R. L. Lindroth. 2021. Growth–defense trade-

offs shape population genetic composition in an iconic forest tree species. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 118:e2103162118.

Crowther, T. W., D. S. Maynard, T. R. Crowther, J. Peccia, J. R. Smith, and M. A. Bradford.

2014. Untangling the fungal niche: the trait-based approach. Frontiers in Microbiology 5.

Diedenhofen, B., and J. Musch. 2015. cocor: A Comprehensive Solution for the Statistical

Comparison of Correlations. PLoS ONE 10:e0121945.

Djemiel, C., P.-A. Maron, S. Terrat, S. Dequiedt, A. Cottin, and L. Ranjard. 2022. Inferring

microbiota functions from taxonomic genes: a review. GigaScience 11:giab090.

Edwards, K. R., E. Kaštovská, J. Borovec, H. Šantrůčková, and T. Picek. 2018. Species effects

and seasonal trends on plant efflux quantity and quality in a spruce swamp forest. Plant and Soil

426:179–196.

Eisenhauer, N., A. Lanoue, T. Strecker, S. Scheu, K. Steinauer, M. P. Thakur, and L. Mommer.

2017. Root biomass and exudates link plant diversity with soil bacterial and fungal biomass.

Scientific Reports 7:44641.

Estes, S., and P. C. Phillips. 2006. Variation in pleiotropy and the mutational underpinnings of

the G-matrix. Evolution 60:2655–2660.

Ferenci, T. 2016. Trade-off Mechanisms Shaping the Diversity of Bacteria. Trends in

Microbiology 24:209–223.

Fitzpatrick, D. A. 2012. Horizontal gene transfer in fungi. FEMS microbiology letters 329:1–8.

Gershenzon, J., A. Fontana, M. Burow, U. Wittstock, and J. Degenhardt. 2012. Mixtures of plant

secondary metabolites: metabolic origins and ecological benefits. Pages 56–77 *in* G. R. Iason, M.

Dicke, and S. E. Hartley, eds. The Ecology of Plant Secondary Metabolites: From Genes to

Global Processes, Ecological Reviews. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Gluck-Thaler, E., T. Ralston, Z. Konkel, C. G. Ocampos, V. D. Ganeshan, A. E. Dorrance, T. L.

Niblack, et al. 2022. Giant Starship Elements Mobilize Accessory Genes in Fungal Genomes.

Molecular Biology and Evolution 39:msac109.

Gluck-Thaler, E., and J. C. Slot. 2015. Dimensions of Horizontal Gene Transfer in Eukaryotic

Microbial Pathogens. PLOS Pathogens 11:e1005156.

Gompert, Z., J. P. Jahner, C. F. Scholl, J. S. Wilson, L. K. Lucas, V. Soria-Carrasco, J. A.

Fordyce, et al. 2015. The evolution of novel host use is unlikely to be constrained by trade-offs

or a lack of genetic variation. Molecular Ecology 24:2777–2793.

Gorzelak, M. A., S. Hambleton, and H. B. Massicotte. 2012. Community structure of ericoid

mycorrhizas and root-associated fungi of Vaccinium membranaceum across an elevation

gradient in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. Fungal Ecology, Fungi and Global Change 5:36–45.

Hadacek, F., and G. F. Kraus. 2002. Plant root carbohydrates affect growth behaviour of

endophytic microfungi. FEMS microbiology ecology 41:161–170.

Harris, C. S., A. J. Burt, A. Saleem, P. M. Le, L. C. Martineau, P. S. Haddad, S. A. L. Bennett, et

al. 2007. A single HPLC-PAD-APCI/MS method for the quantitative comparison of phenolic

compounds found in leaf, stem, root and fruit extracts of Vaccinium angustifolium.

Phytochemical Analysis 18:161–169.

Harrison, X. A., L. Donaldson, M. E. Correa-Cano, J. Evans, D. N. Fisher, C. E. D. Goodwin, B.

S. Robinson, et al. 2018. A brief introduction to mixed effects modelling and multi-model

inference in ecology. PeerJ 6:e4794.

He, Z., S. Webster, and S. Y. He. 2022. Growth–defense trade-offs in plants. Current Biology

32:R634–R639.

Henry, G. A., and J. R. Stinchcombe. 2023. G-matrix stability in clinally diverging populations

of an annual weed. Evolution 77:49–62.

Ho, A., D. P. Di Lonardo, and P. L. E. Bodelier. 2017. Revisiting life strategy concepts in

environmental microbial ecology. FEMS microbiology ecology 93.

Ingleby, F. C., D. J. Hosken, K. Flowers, M. F. Hawkes, S. M. Lane, J. Rapkin, C. M. House, et

al. 2014. Environmental heterogeneity, multivariate sexual selection and genetic constraints on

cuticular hydrocarbons in Drosophila simulans. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 27:700–713.

Katoh, K., and D. M. Standley. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version

7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:772–780.

Kaur, J., D. Percival, L. J. Hainstock, and J.-P. Privé. 2012. Seasonal growth dynamics and

carbon allocation of the wild blueberry plant (Vaccinium angustifolium Ait.). Canadian Journal

of Plant Science 92:1145–1154.

Kliebenstein, D. J., H. C. Rowe, and K. J. Denby. 2005. Secondary metabolites influence

Arabidopsis/Botrytis interactions: variation in host production and pathogen sensitivity. The

Plant Journal 44:25–36.

Lande, R. 1979. Quantitative Genetic Analysis of Multivariate Evolution, Applied to Brain:

Body Size Allometry. Evolution 33:402–416.

Lande, R., and S. J. Arnold. 1983. The Measurement of Selection on Correlated Characters.

Evolution 37:1210–1226.

Lanoue, A., V. Burlat, G. J. Henkes, I. Koch, U. Schurr, and U. S. R. Röse. 2010. De novo

biosynthesis of defense root exudates in response to Fusarium attack in barley. The New

Phytologist 185:577–588.

Li, S., J. Pi, H. Zhu, L. Yang, X. Zhang, and W. Ding. 2021. Caffeic Acid in Tobacco Root

Exudate Defends Tobacco Plants From Infection by Ralstonia solanacearum. Frontiers in Plant

Science 12.

Liu, Q., A. J. Parsons, H. Xue, K. Fraser, G. D. Ryan, J. A. Newman, and S. Rasmussen. 2011.

Competition between foliar Neotyphodium lolii endophytes and mycorrhizal Glomus spp. fungi

in Lolium perenne depends on resource supply and host carbohydrate content. Functional

Ecology 25:910–920.

López-Goldar, X., C. Villari, P. Bonello, A. K. Borg-Karlson, D. Grivet, R. Zas, and L.

Sampedro. 2018. Inducibility of Plant Secondary Metabolites in the Stem Predicts Genetic

Variation in Resistance Against a Key Insect Herbivore in Maritime Pine. Frontiers in Plant

Science 9.

Lücking, R., M. C. Aime, B. Robbertse, A. N. Miller, H. A. Ariyawansa, T. Aoki, G. Cardinali,

et al. 2020. Unambiguous identification of fungi: where do we stand and how accurate and

precise is fungal DNA barcoding? IMA Fungus 11:14.

McGlothlin, J. W., M. E. Kobiela, H. V. Wright, J. J. Kolbe, J. B. Losos, and E. D. Brodie. 2022.

Conservation and Convergence of Genetic Architecture in the Adaptive Radiation of Anolis

Lizards. The American Naturalist 200:E207–E220.

McGlothlin, J. W., M. E. Kobiela, H. V. Wright, D. L. Mahler, J. J. Kolbe, J. B. Losos, and E. D.

Brodie. 2018. Adaptive radiation along a deeply conserved genetic line of least resistance in

Anolis lizards. Evolution Letters 2:310–322.

McGuigan, K., S. F. Chenoweth, M. W. Blows, and A. E. J. M. Cheverud. 2005. Phenotypic

Divergence along Lines of Genetic Variance. The American Naturalist 165:32–43.

Morvan, S., H. Meglouli, A. Lounès-Hadj Sahraoui, and M. Hijri. 2020. Into the wild blueberry

(Vaccinium angustifolium) rhizosphere microbiota. Environmental Microbiology 22:3803–3822.

Nespolo, R. F., C. C. Figueroa, M. Plantegenest, and J. C. Simon. 2008. Short-term population

differences in the genetic architecture of life history traits related to sexuality in an aphid species.

Heredity 100:374–381.

Newsham, K. K. 2011. A meta-analysis of plant responses to dark septate root endophytes. New

Phytologist 190:783–793.

Nguyen, L.-T., H. A. Schmidt, A. von Haeseler, and B. Q. Minh. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and

Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Molecular

Biology and Evolution 32:268–274.

Nicholson, R. L., and R. Hammerschmidt. 1992. Phenolic Compounds and Their Role in Disease

Resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology 30:369–389.

Nickerson, M. N., L. P. Moore, and J. M. U'Ren. 2023. The impact of polyphenolic compounds

on the in vitro growth of oak-associated foliar endophytic and saprotrophic fungi. Fungal

Ecology 62:101226.

Obeng, N., F. Bansept, M. Sieber, A. Traulsen, and H. Schulenburg. 2021. Evolution of

Microbiota-Host Associations: The Microbe's Perspective. Trends in Microbiology 29:779–787.

Parks, S. L., and N. Goldman. 2014. Maximum Likelihood Inference of Small Trees in the

Presence of Long Branches. Systematic Biology 63:798–811.

Piasecka, A., N. Jedrzejczak-Rey, and P. Bednarek. 2015. Secondary metabolites in plant innate

immunity: conserved function of divergent chemicals. New Phytologist 206:948–964.

Rasmussen, S., A. J. Parsons, S. Bassett, M. J. Christensen, D. E. Hume, L. J. Johnson, R. D.

Johnson, et al. 2007. High nitrogen supply and carbohydrate content reduce fungal endophyte

and alkaloid concentration in Lolium perenne. New Phytologist 173:787–797.

Ravn, H., C. Andary, G. Kovács, and P. Mølgaard. 1989. Caffeic acid esters as in vitro inhibitors

of plant pathogenic bacteria and fungi. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 17:175–184.

Reininger, V., C. R. Grünig, and T. N. Sieber. 2012. Host species and strain combination

determine growth reduction of spruce and birch seedlings colonized by root-associated dark

septate endophytes. Environmental Microbiology 14:1064–1076.

Riihinen, K., L. Jaakola, S. Kärenlampi, and A. Hohtola. 2008. Organ-specific distribution of

phenolic compounds in bilberry (Vaccinium myrtillus) and "northblue" blueberry (Vaccinium

corymbosum x V. angustifolium). Food Chemistry 110:156–160.

Rodriguez, R. J., J. Henson, E. Van Volkenburgh, M. Hoy, L. Wright, F. Beckwith, Y.-O. Kim,

et al. 2008. Stress tolerance in plants via habitat-adapted symbiosis. The ISME journal 2:404–

416.

Roff, D. A., J. M. Prokkola, I. Krams, and M. J. Rantala. 2012. There is more than one way to

skin a G matrix. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 25:1113–1126.

Rokas, A., J. H. Wisecaver, and A. L. Lind. 2018. The birth, evolution and death of metabolic

gene clusters in fungi. Nature Reviews Microbiology 16:731–744.

Ruotsalainen, A. L., M. Kauppinen, P. R. Wäli, K. Saikkonen, M. Helander, and J. Tuomi. 2021.

Dark septate endophytes: mutualism from by-products? Trends in Plant Science 0.

Schäfer, W., D. Straney, L. Ciuffetti, H. D. Van Etten, and O. C. Yoder. 1989. One Enzyme

Makes a Fungal Pathogen, But Not a Saprophyte, Virulent on a New Host Plant. Science

246:247–249.

Schluter, D. 1996. Adaptive Radiation Along Genetic Lines of Least Resistance. Evolution

50:1766–1774.

Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. Eliceiri. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of

image analysis. Nature Methods 9:671–675.

Shaw, F. H., R. G. Shaw, G. S. Wilkinson, and M. Turelli. 1995. Changes in Genetic Variances

and Covariances: G Whiz! Evolution 49:1260–1267.

Sietiö, O.-M., T. Tuomivirta, M. Santalahti, H. Kiheri, S. Timonen, H. Sun, H. Fritze, et al. 2018.

Ericoid plant species and Pinus sylvestris shape fungal communities in their roots and

surrounding soil. New Phytologist 218:738–751.

Slot, J. C., and E. Gluck-Thaler. 2019. Metabolic gene clusters, fungal diversity, and the

generation of accessory functions. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, Evolutionary

genetics 58–59:17–24.

Steenwyk, J. L., T. J. B. Iii, Y. Li, X.-X. Shen, and A. Rokas. 2020. ClipKIT: A multiple

sequence alignment trimming software for accurate phylogenomic inference. PLOS Biology

18:e3001007.

Ștefănescu, B.-E., L. F. Călinoiu, F. Ranga, F. Fetea, A. Mocan, D. C. Vodnar, and G. Crișan.

2020. The Chemical and Biological Profiles of Leaves from Commercial Blueberry Varieties.

Plants 9:1193.

Steven, J. C., I. A. Anderson, E. D. Brodie III, and L. F. Delph. 2020. Rapid reversal of a

potentially constraining genetic covariance between leaf and flower traits in Silene latifolia.

Ecology and Evolution 10:569–578.

Strauss, S. Y., and R. E. Irwin. 2004. Ecological and Evolutionary Consequences of Multispecies

Plant-Animal Interactions. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 35:435–466.

Stribley, D. P., and D. J. Read. 1974. The Biology of Mycorrhiza in the Ericaceae. New

Phytologist 73:731–741.

Su, C., L. Lei, Y. Duan, K.-Q. Zhang, and J. Yang. 2012. Culture-independent methods for

studying environmental microorganisms: methods, application, and perspective. Applied

Microbiology and Biotechnology 93:993–1003.

Sun, K., F.-M. Zhang, N. Kang, J.-H. Gong, W. Zhang, Y. Chen, and C.-C. Dai. 2019. Rice

carbohydrate dynamics regulate endophytic colonization of Diaporthe liquidambaris in response

to external nitrogen. Fungal Ecology 39:213–224.

Szöllősi, G. J., A. A. Davín, E. Tannier, V. Daubin, and B. Boussau. 2015. Genome-scale

phylogenetic analysis finds extensive gene transfer among fungi. Philosophical Transactions of

the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 370:20140335.

Tanney, J. B., and K. A. Seifert. 2020. Mollisiaceae: An overlooked lineage of diverse

endophytes. Studies in Mycology 95:293–380.

Tedersoo, L., M. Mett, T. A. Ishida, and M. Bahram. 2013. Phylogenetic relationships among

host plants explain differences in fungal species richness and community composition in

ectomycorrhizal symbiosis. The New Phytologist 199:822–831.

Tellenbach, C., C. R. Grünig, and T. N. Sieber. 2011. Negative effects on survival and

performance of Norway spruce seedlings colonized by dark septate root endophytes are primarily

isolate-dependent. Environmental Microbiology 13:2508–2517.

Turelli, M. 1988. Phenotypic Evolution, Constant Covariances, and the Maintenance of Additive

Variance. Evolution 42:1342–1347.

Urquhart, A. S., N. F. Chong, Y. Yang, and A. Idnurm. 2022. A large transposable element

mediates metal resistance in the fungus Paecilomyces variotii. Current Biology 32:937-950.e5.

Urquhart, A. S., A. A. Vogan, D. M. Gardiner, and A. Idnurm. 2023. Starships are active

eukaryotic transposable elements mobilized by a new family of tyrosine recombinases.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

120:e2214521120.

Veach, A. M., R. Morris, D. Z. Yip, Z. K. Yang, N. L. Engle, M. A. Cregger, T. J. Tschaplinski,

et al. 2019. Rhizosphere microbiomes diverge among Populus trichocarpa plant-host genotypes

and chemotypes, but it depends on soil origin. Microbiome 7:76.

Via, S. 1984. The Quantitative Genetics of Polyphagy in an Insect Herbivore. II. Genetic

Correlations in Larval Performance Within and Among Host Plants. Evolution 38:896–905.

Vogan, A. A., S. L. Ament-Velásquez, E. Bastiaans, O. Wallerman, S. J. Saupe, A. Suh, and H.

Johannesson. 2021. The Enterprise, a massive transposon carrying Spok meiotic drive genes.

Genome Research.

Vu, D., M. Groenewald, M. de Vries, T. Gehrmann, B. Stielow, U. Eberhardt, A. Al-Hatmi, et al.

2019. Large-scale generation and analysis of filamentous fungal DNA barcodes boosts coverage

for kingdom fungi and reveals thresholds for fungal species and higher taxon delimitation.

Studies in Mycology 92:135–154.

Wagner, A. 2011. Genotype networks shed light on evolutionary constraints. Trends in Ecology

& Evolution 26:577–584.

Wallis, C. M., and E. R.-A. Galarneau. 2020. Phenolic Compound Induction in Plant-Microbe

and Plant-Insect Interactions: A Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in Plant Science 11.

Wehner, J., J. R. Powell, L. A. H. Muller, T. Caruso, S. D. Veresoglou, S. Hempel, and M. C.

Rillig. 2014. Determinants of root-associated fungal communities within Asteraceae in a semi-

arid grassland. Journal of Ecology 102:425–436.

Weisberg, A. J., and J. H. Chang. 2023. Mobile Genetic Element Flexibility as an Underlying

Principle to Bacterial Evolution. Annual Review of Microbiology 77:null.

Westrick, N. M., D. L. Smith, and M. Kabbage. 2021. Disarming the Host: Detoxification of

Plant Defense Compounds During Fungal Necrotrophy. Frontiers in Plant Science 12.

Wisecaver, J. H., J. C. Slot, and A. Rokas. 2014. The Evolution of Fungal Metabolic Pathways.

PLOS Genetics 10:e1004816.

Wood, C. W., and E. D. Brodie III. 2015. Environmental effects on the structure of the G-matrix.

Evolution 69:2927–2940.

Yang, H., X. Zhao, C. Liu, L. Bai, M. Zhao, and L. Li. 2018. Diversity and characteristics of

colonization of root-associated fungi of Vaccinium uliginosum. Scientific Reports 8:15283.

Zanne, A. E., K. Abarenkov, M. E. Afkhami, C. A. Aguilar-Trigueros, S. Bates, J. M. Bhatnagar,

P. E. Busby, et al. 2020. Fungal functional ecology: bringing a trait-based approach to plant-

associated fungi. Biological Reviews 95:409–433.

Zhao, T., D. Kandasamy, P. Krokene, J. Chen, J. Gershenzon, and A. Hammerbacher. 2019.

Fungal associates of the tree-killing bark beetle, Ips typographus, vary in virulence, ability to

degrade conifer phenolics and influence bark beetle tunneling behavior. Fungal Ecology, From

antagonism to mutualism: the chemical basis of insect-fungus interactions 38:71–79.

Züst, T., and A. A. Agrawal. 2017. Trade-Offs Between Plant Growth and Defense Against

Insect Herbivory: An Emerging Mechanistic Synthesis. Annual Review of Plant Biology

68:513–534.

1036

1037

 Figure legends

 Figure 1: Two lineages of Mollisiacean endophytes sampled from wild _Vaccinium_

 angustifolium roots across multiple sites in Eastern North America. A) A maximum

 likelihood phylogeny of the ITS sequences of all Mollisiacean fungi isolated as part of this

 study and reference sequences obtained from public databases. Isolates from this study are

 highlighted in green if part of the _Phialocephala fortinii_ s.l. – _Acephala applanata_ species

 complex (PAC), highlighted in orange if part of the ad-hoc phylogenetic group Clade D, and

 reference sequences are not highlighted. Bootstrap support is labeled in red and all branches

 with <70% bootstrap support have been collapsed. B) Pictures of typical Clade D (left) and

 PAC (right) isolates after two weeks growth on 1% blueberry root extract media C)

 Approximate locations of sample sites in Pennsylvania (PA) and Virginia (VA), with the

 proportion of each lineage from each site visualized as a pie chart.

 Figure 2: Endophyte lineages differ in their intrinsic growth rates and respond

 differently to specific plant metabolites, metabolite classes, and plant extracts. Dot plots

 displaying fungal growth (cm^2 x 7 days), as measured by the Area Under the Growth Progress

 Stairs (AUGPS), in response to A) metabolite treatments, B) metabolite class and C) plant

 extracts. Estimated marginal means were calculated separately for the PAC and Clade D

 lineages and are overlaid as a large circle on top of individual data points that represent all

 cohorts and replicates of each isolate for each treatment. Individual data points are color coded

 by lineage, while treatment means that differ significantly from the control (panel A; $\alpha = 0.05$)

 or from the blueberry root extract treatment (panel C; $\alpha = 0.05$) are color coded by lineage and

1060   otherwise have a white fill if not significant. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval,

1061   and points are arranged to be non-overlapping with jitter = 0.02.

1062

Figure 3: Metabolic trait architecture is largely conserved between endophyte lineages.

Top: scaled biplots where the X and Y axes represent the first two principal components of the

phenotypic variance/covariance (**P**) matrix for mean-centered metabolic traits in the PAC and

Clade D endophyte lineages for A and B) the metabolite experiment and C and D) the extract

experiment. Individual points represent individual isolates. Loadings for individual

metabolites or plant extracts along PC1/Gmax and PC2 are drawn as black arrows and labeled

in red. Bottom: Null distributions and observed values for the three matrix comparison

statistics (difference in total phenotypic variance, difference in percent variance along

PC1/Gmax and difference in the orientation of PC1/Gmax) for E) the metabolite experiment

and F) the extract experiment. Null distributions were calculated by permuting isolates among

lineages and comparing the features of 500 randomly permuted matrix pairs.

1074

1075   **Figure 4: The vast majority of pairwise metabolic trait correlations do not differ between**

1076   **endophyte lineages.** Each cell in these two matrices contains a P value associated with the

1077   null hypothesis that the pairwise trait correlation does not differ between the PAC and Clade

1078   D lineages for A) metabolite experiment and B) extract experiment. Statistical comparisons of

1079   Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were conducted using the Fisher's z test.

 Supplementary Information Legends

 Figure S1: The linear association between carbon concentration and growth across all

 metabolite treatments and fungal lineages

 Figure S2: Spearman's rho correlations between growth across a) metabolite treatments and

 b) plant extract treatments for the PAC and Clade D fungal lineages. Non-significant

 correlations ($P > 0.05$) are crossed out.

 Table S1: Fungal isolate ITS genotyping and best BLASTn hit from NCBI nr

 Table S2: Carbohydrate and Phenolic metabolites known to be produced by Vaccinium

 species

 Table S3: Metabolite treatments with no statistically discernible effect on the growth of either

 PAC or Clade D lineages

 Table S4: Analysis of Deviance Table of the linear model with individual metabolite

 treatments as fixed effects for the metabolite experiment (Type III Wald $\chi2$ tests)

 Table S5: Estimated marginal means comparisons of all treatments vs. ethanol control in PAC

 and cladeD

1103   **Table S6:** Estimated marginal means comparisons between PAC and cladeD for each

1104   metabolite treatment

1105

1106   **Table S7:** Analysis of Deviance Table of the linear model with carbohydrate and phenolic

1107   chemical class as fixed effects for the metabolite experiment (Type III Wald $\chi 2$ tests)

1108

1109   **Table S8:** Anova of fixed effects in model for phenolics vs carbohydrates, excluding caffeic

1110   acid

1111

1112   **Table S9:** Estimated marginal means comparisons between PAC and cladeD for carbohydrates

1113   and phenolics

1114

1115   **Table S10:** Estimated marginal means comparisons between PAC and cladeD for

1116   carbohydrates and phenolics, excluding caffeic acid

1117

1118   **Table S11:** Analysis of Deviance Table of the linear model with plant extract treatments as

1119   fixed effects for the extract experiment (Type III Wald $\chi 2$ tests)

1120

1121   **Table S12:** Estimated marginal means comparisons of all plant extract treatments in PAC and

1122   cladeD

1123

1124   **Table S13:** G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (Clade D, metabolite experiment, n = 11

1125   isolates)

1126

Table S14: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (Clade D, metabolite experiment, n = 11 isolates)

1129

Table S15: G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (PAC, metabolite experiment, n = 15 isolates)

1131

Table S16: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (PAC, metabolite experiment, n = 15 isolates)

1134

Table S17: G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (Clade D, extract experiment, n = 13 isolates)

1136

Table S18: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (Clade D, extract experiment, n = 13 isolates)

1139

Table S19: G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (PAC, extract experiment, n = 16 isolates)

1141

Table S20: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the G-matrix for mean isolate AUGPS (PAC, extract experiment, n = 16 isolates)

1144

Table S21: Tests for differences between the pairwise trait correlations of cladeD and PAC for both the metabolite and extract experiment

1147

Table S22: Pairwise nucleotide identity at the ITS locus across all isolates

A Metabolite experiment

phenolics — carbohydrates

Growth (cm² x 7 days)

control, rutin, quercetin, chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, p–coumaric acid, caffeic acid, starch, L–arabinose, xylose, D–raffinose, D–maltose

B Metabolite experiment

Growth (cm² x 7 days)

phenolics, carbohydrates

C Extract experiment

blueberry root, blueberry leaf, pine needle, maple leaf, oak leaf

Lineage
- PAC
- Clade D

A — Metabolite experiment

	rutin	quercetin	p–coumaric acid	caffeic acid	L–arabinose	xylose	D–raffinose	D–maltose
D–raffinose								1
xylose							1	1
L–arabinose						1	1	1
caffeic acid					1	1	1	1
p–coumaric acid				1	1	1	1	1
quercetin			1	0.89	1	1	1	1
rutin		1	1	1	1	1	0.89	1
control	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

B — Extract experiment

	blueberry leaf	pine needle	maple leaf	oak leaf
maple leaf				0.62
pine needle			0.62	0.03
blueberry leaf		0.62	0.62	0.79
blueberry root	0.2	0.75	0.79	0.79

Fisher's z P value
1.0
0.5
0.0